# Quantum fluctuations induce collective multiphonons in finite Fermi liquids

Petar Marević

Centre Borelli, ENS Paris-Saclay, Université Paris-Saclay, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France and Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia\*

David Regnier

CEA, DAM, DIF, 91297 Arpajon, France and Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, Laboratoire Matière en Conditions Extrêmes, 91680 Bruyères-le-Châtel, France

Denis Lacroix

Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France

(Dated: August 10, 2023)

We show that collective multiphonon states in atomic nuclei emerge at high excitation energies when quantum fluctuations in the collective space are included beyond the independent-particle approximation. The quadrupole response of a nucleus is studied using an extension of the nuclear time-dependent density-functional theory that mixes several many-body trajectories. While a single trajectory can account for the excitation of the first collective quantum, the second and the third quanta emerge due to the interference between trajectories. The collective spectrum, found as nearly harmonic, is in excellent agreement with the experimentally observed three quanta of the isoscalar giant quadrupole resonance in <sup>40</sup>Ca. This study offers guidance for multiphonon searches in other self-bound systems and demonstrates the resistance to internal excitation of finite Fermi liquids.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The response to an external perturbation is a powerful and versatile tool for studying properties of quantum many-body systems [1]. A recurrent feature of these systems is the capacity of particles to self-organize into a collective motion, leading to the occurrence of phonons in quantum solids [2, 3] and plasmons in electron gases [4]. Such collectivity survives even in systems with a much smaller number of particles, like in metallic clusters where the electronic clouds exhibit collective motion with respect to the ions [5], or in self-bound finite systems like atomic nuclei where the so-called giant resonances (GRs) have been studied for decades [6-8]. A convenient framework for understanding the dynamics of quantum liquids is the time-dependent density-functional theory (TDDFT) [9–15]. In the linear-response regime of TDDFT [14, 16], the GRs represent the first harmonic quantum of quasibosonic excitations. Based on this picture, it is expected that higher oscillatory quanta, the socalled multiphonon states, should exist as well [17, 18]. After several decades of research, the existence of twophonon GRs in several nuclei has been established using multiple experimental techniques, including the heavyion inelastic scattering at intermediate or relativistic energies and the pion double charge exchange reactions [19– 27]. An exciting case is the  ${}^{40}$ Ca nucleus where, in addition to a two-phonon state [26, 27], an experimental signature of a three-phonon state was reported as well [28]. Such multiphonon states built from the collective motion of valence electrons have also been probed in metallic

clusters [29–31]. Despite these efforts, the experimental signatures of multiphonon states in finite quantum systems are scarce, and many questions remain open regarding their stability against internal disorder, particle emissions, or even how they emerge from a microscopic picture.

A difficulty for microscopic modeling of multiphonon excitations is the fact that the TDDFT motion reduces to a quasiclassical evolution of the one-body degrees of freedom [17, 32], leading to a large underestimation of quantum fluctuations in the collective space. Even though the oscillations of TDDFT densities may contain some signatures of a second phonon [33], the small amplitude limit of TDDFT gives only an access to the first quantum of collective state. Higher excitation quanta can then be generated by an *ad hoc* introduction of phonon degrees of freedom through, for example, the boson mapping method [34, 35] or the quasiparticle-phonon model [36, 37]. Alternatively, a model can be explicitly requantized through, for example, a semiclassical quantization of periodic orbitals [17, 38] or the fully quantum configuration mixing method [39–42]. Today, the latter approaches typically employ bases of time-independent many-body states [43] chosen on the adiabatic energy landscape, although a few attempts leveraging time-dependent bases have been recently made within schematic models [44, 45]. As demonstrated by TDDFT, collective excitations can hardly be reconciled with the adiabatic assumption unless an enormous number of states is used.

In this work, the method discussed in Refs. [44, 46] is extended and applied to realistic modeling of nuclear dynamics. The TDDFT evolution is requantized through the mixing of several TDDFT trajectories. By applying the model to the case of GRs in the <sup>40</sup>Ca nucleus, it is demonstrated that the collective multiphonon excitations

<sup>\*</sup> pmarevic@phy.hr



FIG. 1. Illustration of the MC-TDDFT approach to the quantum many-body dynamics. The nuclear wave function is a weighted, time-dependent superposition of non-orthogonal Slater determinants  $|\Phi_{q}(t)\rangle$ , each following its own TDDFT trajectory. The complex collective wave function  $g_{q}(t)$  accounts for quantum interference between trajectories.

emerge naturally in the collective response and their origin is attributed to the influence of quantum fluctuations in the collective space.

## **II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

The basic ingredient of our method is the nuclear TDDFT, which is considered the most advanced microscopic approach to small and large amplitude nuclear motion beyond the adiabatic limit [11, 47]. While a single TDDFT trajectory is rather predictive for the motion of one-body observables, it largely underestimates quantum fluctuations in the collective space that are beyond the independent-particle approximation. Significant efforts have been made to include fluctuations via phase-space methods [48, 49] using independent TDDFT trajectories. Even though such approaches account for quantum fluctuations, a genuine quantization in the collective space is required to describe the quantum interference between trajectories.

Based on the well-known static generator coordinate method (GCM) [39, 40], a natural way to re-quantize the collective nuclear motion is to write the many-body wave function as

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}} f_{\boldsymbol{q}}(t) \left| \Phi_{\boldsymbol{q}}(t) \right\rangle, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\{|\Phi_q(t)\rangle\}$  represents a set of time-dependent Slater determinants labeled by a vector of collective coordinates q. The many-body state of (1) is formally equivalent to the one used in quantum chemistry within the socalled multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree-Fock (MC-TDHF) framework [50–52]. In MC-TDHF, the trajectories are orthogonal to each other and their evolution follows a coupled set of TDHF equations. However, two distinctions in the nuclear case need to be made: (i) the starting point of our calculation is the nuclear TDDFT based on phenomenological nuclear energy density functionals (NEDFs) [15, 16], (ii) the set of generating states  $\{|\Phi_{q}(t)\rangle\}$  is typically non-orthogonal, rendering the practical implementation significantly more complex. For these reasons, the nuclear model presented here will be referred to as the multiconfigurational TDDFT (MC-TDDFT).

To overcome the difficulties listed above that are particular to the nuclear case, and following the work of Refs. [44, 46], we assume here that each state in Eq. (1) follows its own TDDFT trajectory, that is

$$i\hbar\dot{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{q}}(t) = \Big[h[\rho_{\boldsymbol{q}}(t)], \rho_{\boldsymbol{q}}(t)\Big],$$
 (2)

where  $\rho_{\mathbf{q}}(t)$  is the one-body density matrix corresponding to  $|\Phi_{\mathbf{q}}(t)\rangle$  and  $h[\rho_{\mathbf{q}}(t)]$  is the single-particle Hamiltonian deduced from an effective interaction [15, 16]. The mixing coefficients  $f_{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ , that account for quantum interference between trajectories, are determined through the Dirac-Frenkel variational principle which requires that the action

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \, \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{H} - i\hbar \partial_t | \Psi(t) \rangle \tag{3}$$

is stationary with respect to variation of the state (1). By treating the mixing coefficients as variational parameters we obtain

$$i\hbar \dot{g} = \left[\mathcal{N}^{-1/2}(\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{D})\mathcal{N}^{-1/2} + i\hbar \dot{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2}\mathcal{N}^{-1/2}\right]g. \quad (4)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{N}_{qq'}(t) = \langle \Phi_{q}(t) | \Phi_{q'}(t) \rangle$  corresponds to the overlap kernel, while  $\mathcal{H}_{qq'}(t) = \langle \Phi_{q}(t) | \hat{H} | \Phi_{q'}(t) \rangle$  is the Hamiltonian kernel whose density-dependent part is calculated with the average density prescription [15]. Finally, the derivative kernel reads  $\mathcal{D}_{qq'}(t) = \langle \Phi_{q}(t) | i \hbar \partial_{t} | \Phi_{q'}(t) \rangle$ . The normalized collective wave function,  $g_{q}(t) = \sum_{q'} \mathcal{N}_{qq'}^{1/2}(t) f_{q'}(t)$ , are determined by the numerical solution of Eq. (4) and can be used to calculate the expectation value of any observable.

The present framework is similar in spirit to the timedependent generator coordinate method (TDGCM) [43] whose adiabatic realization is particularly popular in fission studies [53]. However, a major difference is that MC-TDDFT automatically incorporates the nonadiabatic effects by considering TDDFT trajectories. Consequently, we expect a drastic reduction in the number of generating states as compared with the adiabatic TDGCM, even if relatively high internal excitation energies are considered. Figure 1 illustrates the essential concepts of the MC-TDDFT approach to the quantum many-body dynamics.

### III. RESULTS

TDDFT calculations are performed using a newly developed code based on the finite element method [54, 55]. The code was benchmarked against the Sky3D code [56];



FIG. 2. (a) The response of the <sup>40</sup>Ca nucleus to an isoscalar quadrupole perturbation, obtained for a single TDDFT trajectory (red dashed line) and for the MC-TDDFT state (green solid line). (b) The corresponding fluctuations,  $\sigma(q_{20}) = \sqrt{\langle \hat{Q}_{20}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{Q}_{20} \rangle^2}$ . The inclusion of quantum fluctuations beyond the independent-particle approximation gives rise to the onset of multiple frequencies.

a detailed performance analysis will be reported elsewhere. Dynamics of the  ${}^{40}$ Ca nucleus is simulated in a three-dimensional box of length L = 24 fm, with a regular mesh of 14 cells in each spatial direction and a finite element basis of third-order polynomials. We use the SLy4d NEDF [57] which is particularly well suited for nuclear dynamics studies. Starting from the NEDF ground state  $|\Phi_1(0)\rangle$ , different configurations can be obtained by applying the isoscalar quadrupole boost operator  $\exp(i\lambda\hat{Q}_{20})$ , where  $\hat{Q}_{20}$  is the quadrupole operator and  $\lambda$  is the boost amplitude [11, 58]. For this study, we choose a minimal mixed state of the form (1) with q =1, 2, 3, where  $|\Phi_2(0)\rangle$  and  $|\Phi_3(0)\rangle$  are quadrupole-boosted states with the excitation energies of about 0.25 and 0.50MeV, respectively. These configurations are chosen so that the basis of generating states is not overly linearly dependent. To study the isoscalar giant quadrupole resonance (GQR), the entire mixture is perturbed with a common boost of  $\lambda = 5.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ fm}^{-2}$  and left to evolve in time. The time step of  $\Delta t = 5 \times 10^{-25}$  s was verified to provide well-converged results. The mixing coefficients were set to  $f_1(0) = 1$  and  $f_2(0) = f_3(0) = 0$  so that the initial state corresponds to a single Slater determinant while the interference with other trajectories kicks in during time evolution.

Figure 2(a) shows the response of the <sup>40</sup>Ca nucleus to the isoscalar quadrupole boost, either assuming no in-

terference (a single TDDFT trajectory) or when the interference is taken into account (the MC-TDDFT case). Given that the oscillation period of GRs is typically of the order of  $10^{-22}$  s [17], the nuclear dynamics is simulated up to  $t = 3 \times 10^{-21}$  s. The TDDFT curve exhibits almost harmonic oscillations with a single frequency, consistently with what is usually observed within TDDFT when the Landau damping effect is absent [1, 7, 9]. Note that the other two TDDFT trajectories (not shown) yield similar oscillations with slightly larger amplitudes.

On the other hand, the  $q_{20}(t)$  evolution of the MC-TDDFT state is markedly more complex, with the emergence of both lower and higher new frequencies. Such beating of collective modes is seen even more clearly in the corresponding fluctuations, shown in Fig. 2(b). While fluctuations in the TDDFT case have rather modest amplitudes and oscillate with the same frequency as  $q_{20}(t)$ , the MC-TDDFT fluctuations exhibit significantly larger amplitudes and include the beating of various frequencies.

A quantitative analysis of the quadrupole response can be made using the Fourier transform method. The excitation spectrum of GRs is calculated as

$$c(E)| = \left| \int_0^\infty dt q_{20}(t) f(\Gamma_0, t) \exp\left(i\frac{E}{\hbar}t\right) \right|, \quad (5)$$

where the damping function  $f(\Gamma_0, t)$  is a conventional way to account for the fact that time evolution can be simulated only up to finite times. In practice, we used a Gaussian damping which ensures a good resolution of individual peaks. The form of the Gaussian is such that it is equivalent to the standard exponential decay with the same  $\Gamma_0 = 1.5$  MeV parameter.

In Figure 3(a), we show the normalized |c(E)| obtained from the TDDFT and the MC-TDDFT quadrupole responses. The TDDFT curve exhibits one peak centered at about  $E_{\text{TDDFT}} = 17.8$  MeV, in agreement with the classical oscillator picture. Of course, the peak energy may slightly differ for other Skyrme parametrizations. Note that the other two TDDFT trajectories used in MC-TDDFT yield essentially indistinguishable curves, as expected in the small oscillations limit. As could be anticipated from Fig. 2(a), the MC-TDDFT once again yields a markedly richer structure. In particular, the main GR peak is slightly shifted and it gets fragmented with another peak at about 4 MeV higher energy. Experimentally, the existence of the isoscalar GQR in  ${}^{40}$ Ca in the  $E_{\rm GR} \approx 18$  MeV region has long been established [59– 67]. Moreover, a fragmentation of the main peak with a secondary contribution at about 3.5 MeV lower energy was also observed [26, 63]. Theoretical models based on the random-phase approximation (RPA) are able to predict a fragmentation similar to the experimental one only when extended with complex damping mechanisms stemming from the nucleon-nucleon collisions and coupling to low-lying states [9]. Even though the present calculation does not capture fine details of this fragmentation, it is interesting to note that the magnitude of



FIG. 3. (a): The excitation spectrum of the isoscalar giant quadrupole resonance in  $^{40}$ Ca. The TDDFT approach (red dashed line) yields one frequency, in agreement with the classical oscillator picture. Note that the width of the peak is partially induced by the value of  $\Gamma_0$  in Eq. (5). The MC-TDDFT spectrum (red solid line) is fragmented around the main GR peak, and new high-energy components appear at twice and three times the energy of the main peak (see inset). Panels (b), (c), and (d) show the same excitation spectrum for different combinations of basis states and initial conditions defined in the text: BS1-IC2, BS2-IC1, and BS2-IC2, respectively. The appearance of multiphonon structures and their energies are robust with respect to the change of basis states and initial conditions.

splitting is roughly reproduced based on an entirely different framework. Note that the present calculations consider very few configurations compared with the extended RPA framework. It would therefore be interesting to explore how this fragmentation evolves as more collective degrees of freedom are taken into account.

Most interestingly, the new feature in Fig. 3(a) are the structures in the 30 MeV < E < 60 MeV region: two peaks at roughly twice and three times the energy of the first fragmented peak. We verified the robustness of these structures with respect to the change of initial conditions and the choice of TDDFT trajectories. In particular, in addition to the set of basis states (referred to as BS1) and the set of initial conditions (referred to as IC1) discussed above, we considered two more sets. For the second set of basis states (BS2), we start by quadrupole-boosting the NEDF ground state  $|\Phi_1(0)\rangle$  by about 0.5 MeV. The three states of the BS2 set then correspond to (i) the boosted state at t = 0, (ii) the boosted state at time  $\tau$  when maximal deformation  $q_{20}(\tau)$  is reached for the first time, (iii) and the boosted state at time  $\tau/2$ . Furthermore, the second set of initial conditions (IC2) is determined by diagonalizing the collective Hamiltonian at t = 0, rendering the initial MC-TDDFT state equal to the actual ground state. The excitation spectrum for BS1-IC2, BS2-IC1, BS2-IC2 combinations is shown in panels 3(b)-3(d), respectively. While relative height of peaks depends on the way the initial state is prepared and the choice of basis states, the appearance of peaks and their energies are very robust. Additionally, we verified that the structures persist when another Skyrme effective interaction is used.

The fact that these high-energy structures exhibit the same splitting as the original GR represents a strong indication that they correspond to the second and the third quantum of the same excitation. Without making any *a priori* assumptions on their existence, MC-TDDFT predicts the occurrence of multiphonon states on top of the main GQR. Figure 4 summarizes the calculated energies of multiphonon states, alongside the representative experimental data. The  $1\sigma$  uncertainties were estimated from the four different combinations of basis states and initial conditions defined above. This estimate does not include the uncertainty due to the nuclear interaction and other possible sources. The main one-phonon peak is found at  $E_{\rm ph} = (18.1 \pm 0.2)$  MeV, in excellent agreement



FIG. 4. The mean excitation energies of multiphonon states obtained in MC-TDDFT. The first and the second phonon are in excellent agreement with experimental data [27, 67]. We predict the third photon within the energy range observed in Ref. [28] (the shaded gray area). The excitation pattern of the main peak closely follows that of the harmonic oscillator (the dashed gray line). Note that certain symbols are shifted horizontally to avoid overlaps.

with the bulk of experimental data. In Refs. [26, 27], the inelastic scattering of  ${}^{40}$ Ca on  ${}^{40}$ Ca at 50 MeV/nucleon was measured in coincidence with protons and a twophonon state at  $(34.8 \pm 0.5)$  MeV was established. This is very close to our value of  $E_{2ph} = (35.7 \pm 0.4)$  MeV. Finally, later inelastic-scattering experiments have found a three-phonon structure built on the isoscalar GQR in the energy range of 42 - 55 MeV [28] but were not able to precisely determine its energy. Another experiment hinted at a possibility of a three-phonon giant dipole resonance in  $^{136}$ Xe [21], but the corresponding cross section was low and the authors were not able to exclude instrumental effects. To the best of our knowledge, these are the only experimental observations of the kind in atomic nuclei. The MC-TDDFT model confirms the existence of a three-phonon isoscalar GQR state in <sup>40</sup>Ca with an energy  $E_{3\rm ph} = (53.6\pm0.7)$  MeV, within the relevant experimental range. Besides this confirmation, our calculations also demonstrate the survival of such excitation for a sufficiently long time despite the high internal excitation of the system.

We note that present calculations do not predict any additional structures up to 100 MeV, including the region of a hypothetical fourth phonon at  $E \approx 72$  MeV. The obtained results support the quasibosonic picture of multiphonon states as essentially harmonic excitations [17]. The presence of anharmonicities is minor (see the very slight departure from the dashed line in Fig. 4; based on the reported excitation energies, we estimate it to be of the order of 2%. This result is nontrivial because (i) no harmonic approximation was explicitly made in our calculations and (ii) it was argued that some mesoscopic systems, such as metallic clusters, can exhibit extremely strong anharmonicities [68].

### IV. CONCLUSION

We showed that multiphonon collective states emerge naturally within the new multiconfigurational TDDFT model that includes quantum fluctuations in the collective space beyond the independent-particle approximation. The experimentally observed multiphonon states in <sup>40</sup>Ca are obtained at nearly-harmonic excitation energies, in excellent agreement with experiments and without an *ad hoc* introduction of phonon degrees of freedom. Bevond its relevance for collective excitations, the MC-TDDFT model and its extensions can be used to study a variety of nuclear phenomena. For example, the inclusion of pairing correlations would be crucial for TDDFT studies of fission where the lack of quantum fluctuations prevents the calculation of quantities such as mass yields or angular momenta for the entire range of observed fragmentations [53]. Finally, while we focused on atomic nuclei, this study may offer guidance for multiphonon searches in other self-bound systems, such as quantum droplets that were recently formed in laboratory [69–71].

- D. Pines and P. Nozieres, *Theory of Quantum Liquids* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2018).
- [2] E. Lipparini, Modern Many-Particle Physics: Atomic Gases, Nanostructures and Quantum Liquids (World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 2008).
- [3] A. J. Leggett, Quantum Liquids: Bose Condensation and Cooper Pairing in Condensed-Matter Systems (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006).
- [4] P. M. Dinh, J. Navarro, and E. Suraud, An Introduction to Quantum Fluids (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2018).
- [5] V. V. Kresin, Collective resonances and response properties of electrons in metal clusters, Physics Reports 220, 1 (1992).
- [6] D. J. Rowe, Nuclear Collective Motion: Models and Theory (World Scientific, Singapore, 2010).

- [7] M. N. Harakeh and A. van der Woude, Giant Resonances: Fundamental High-Frequency Modes of Nuclear Excitation (Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 2006).
- [8] P. F. Bortignon, A. Bracco, and R. A. Broglia, Giant Resonances: Nuclear Structure at Finite Temperatures (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2019).
- [9] D. Lacroix, S. Ayik, and P. Chomaz, Nuclear collective vibrations in extended mean-field theory, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 52, 497 (2004).
- [10] C. Simenel, B. Avez, and D. Lacroix, *Quantum Many-Body Dynamics: Applications to Nuclear Reactions* (VDM Verlag, Sarrebruck, Germany, 2010).
- [11] C. Simenel, Nuclear quantum many-body dynamics, The European Physicsl Journal A 48, 152 (2012).

- [12] A. Bulgac, Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory and Real-Time Dynamics of Fermi Superfluids, Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science 63, 97 (2013).
- [13] M. Casida and M. Huix-Rotllant, Progress in timedependent density-functional theory, Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 63, 287 (2012).
- [14] M. A. L. Marques, N. T. Maitra, F. Nogueira, E. K. U. Gross, and A. Rubio (Eds.), Fundamentals of Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory (Berlin: Springer, 2012).
- [15] N. Schunck (Ed.), Energy Density Functional Methods for Atomic Nuclei (IOP Publishing Ltd., Bristol, UK, 2019).
- [16] P. Ring and P. Schuck, *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem* (Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin, 2004).
- [17] P. Chomaz and N. Frascaria, Multiple phonon excitation in nuclei: experimental results and theoretical descriptions, Physics Reports 252, 275 (1995).
- [18] T. Aumann, P. F. Bortignon, and H. Emling, Multiphonon giant resonances in nuclei, Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science 48, 351 (1998).
- [19] S. Mordechai, N. Auerbach, G. R. Burleson, K. S. Dhuga, M. Dwyer, J. A. Faucett, H. T. Fortune, R. Gilman, S. J. Greene, C. Laymon, C. F. Moore, C. L. Morris, D. S. Oakley, M. A. Plum, S. J. Seestrom-Morris, P. A. Seidl, M. J. Smithson, Z. F. Wang, and J. D. Zumbro, Giant dipole resonances built on isobaric analog states in pion double charge exchange, Physical Review Letters **60**, 408 (1988).
- [20] S. Mordechai, N. Auerbach, M. Burlein, H. T. Fortune, S. J. Greene, C. F. Moore, C. L. Morris, J. M. O'Donnell, M. W. Rawool, J. D. Silk, D. L. Watson, S. H. Yoo, and J. D. Zumbro, Pion double charge exchange to the double dipole resonance, Physical Review Letters 61, 531 (1988).
- [21] R. Schmidt, T. Blaich, T. W. Elze, H. Emling, H. Freiesleben, K. Grimm, W. Henning, R. Holzmann, J. G. Keller, H. Klingler, R. Kulessa, J. V. Kratz, D. Lambrecht, J. S. Lange, Y. Leifels, E. Lubkiewicz, E. F. Moore, E. Wajda, W. Prokopowicz, C. Schütter, H. Spies, K. Stelzer, J. Stroth, W. Walus, H. J. Wollersheim, M. Zinser, and E. Zude, Electromagnetic excitation of the double giant dipole resonance in <sup>136</sup>Xe, Physical Review Letters **70**, 1767 (1993).
- [22] J. Ritman, F.-D. Berg, W. Kühn, V. Metag, R. Novotny, M. Notheisen, P. Paul, M. Pfeiffer, O. Schwalb, H. Löhner, L. Venema, A. Gobbi, N. Herrmann, K. D. Hildenbrand, J. Mösner, R. S. Simon, K. Teh, J. P. Wessels, and T. Wienold, First observation of the Coulombexcited double giant dipole resonance in <sup>208</sup>Pb via double-γ decay, Physical Review Letters **70**, 533 (1993).
- [23] S. Mordechai and C. Fred Moore, Isotensor double giant resonance from charge-exchange reactions, Nuclear Physics A 599, 159 (1996).
- [24] K. Boretzky, J. Stroth, E. Wajda, T. Aumann, T. Blaich, J. Cub, T. Elze, H. Emling, W. Henning, R. Holzmann, H. Klingler, R. Kulessa, J. Kratz, D. Lambrecht, Y. Leifels, E. Lubkiewicz, K. Stelzer, W. Walus, M. Zinser, and E. Zude, Two-phonon giant dipole resonance in <sup>208</sup>Pb, Physics Letters B **384**, 30 (1996).
- [25] K. Boretzky, A. Grünschloß, S. Ilievski, P. Adrich, T. Aumann, C. A. Bertulani, J. Cub, W. Dostal, B. Eberlein, T. W. Elze, H. Emling, M. Fallot, J. Holeczek, R. Holzmann, C. Kozhuharov, J. V. Kratz, R. Kulessa, Y. Leifels, A. Leistenschneider, E. Lubkiewicz, S. Mordechai, T. Ohtsuki, P. Reiter, H. Simon,

K. Stelzer, J. Stroth, K. Sümmerer, A. Surowiec, E. Wajda, and W. Walus (LAND Collaboration), Two-phonon giant resonances in  $^{136}$ Xe,  $^{208}$ Pb, and  $^{238}$ U, Physical Review C **68**, 024317 (2003).

- [26] J. A. Scarpaci, Y. Blumenfeld, P. Chomaz, N. Frascaria, J. P. Garron, M. Lamehi-Rachti, I. Lhenry, J. C. Roynette, T. Suomijärvi, D. Beaumel, P. Massolo, N. Alamanos, A. Gillibert, and A. Van der Woude, Signature of a two-phonon state through its proton decay pattern, Physical Review Letters **71**, 3766 (1993).
- [27] J. A. Scarpaci, M. Lamehi-Rachti, J. C. Roynette, Y. Blumenfeld, P. Chomaz, N. Frascaria, J. P. Garron, T. Suomijärvi, D. Beaumel, I. Lhenry, N. Alamanos, A. Gillibert, and A. van der Woude, Proton emission in inelastic scattering of <sup>40</sup>Ca on <sup>40</sup>Ca at 50 MeV/nucleon, Physical Review C 56, 3187 (1997).
- [28] M. Fallot, J. A. Scarpaci, N. Frascaria, Y. Blumenfeld, A. Chbihi, P. Chomaz, P. Désesquelles, J. Frankland, E. Khan, J. L. Laville, E. Plagnol, E. C. Pollacco, P. Roussel-Chomaz, J. C. Roynette, A. Shrivastava, and T. Zerguerras, Evidence for a three-phonon giant resonance state in <sup>40</sup>Ca nuclei, Physical Review Letters **97**, 242502 (2006).
- [29] C. Bréchignac, P. Cahuzac, F. Carlier, M. de Frutos, and J. Leygnier, Cohesive energies of  $K_n^+ 5 < n < 200$  from photoevaporation experiments, The Journal of Chemical Physics **93**, 7449 (1990).
- [30] C. Bréchignac, P. Cahuzac, F. Carlier, M. de Frutos, and J. Leygnier, Optical excitation in small ionized sodium clusters: closed-shell and open-shell systems, Chemical Physics Letters 189, 28 (1992).
- [31] D. Gambacurta and F. Catara, Second random phase approximation studies in metallic clusters, Physical Review B 79, 085403 (2009).
- [32] J.-P. Blaizot and G. Ripka, *Quantum Theory of Finite Systems*, Vol. 3 (MIT press Cambridge, MA, 1986).
- [33] P. Ring, D. Vretenar, and B. Podobnik, Double giant resonances in time-dependent relativistic mean-field theory, Nuclear Physics A 598, 107 (1996).
- [34] M. V. Andrés, F. Catara, E. G. Lanza, P. Chomaz, M. Fallot, and J. A. Scarpaci, Microscopic description of Coulomb and nuclear excitation of multiphonon states in <sup>40</sup>Ca+<sup>40</sup>Ca collisions, Physical Review C 65, 014608 (2001).
- [35] E. G. Lanza, F. Catara, M. V. Andrés, P. Chomaz, M. Fallot, and J. A. Scarpaci, Microscopic calculations of double and triple giant resonance excitations in heavy ion collisions, Physical Review C 74, 064614 (2006).
- [36] V. Soloviev, Theory of Atomic Nuclei, Quasi-particle and Phonons (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992).
- [37] C. A. Bertulani and V. Y. Ponomarev, Microscopic studies on two-phonon giant resonances, Physics Reports 321, 139 (1999).
- [38] J. W. Negele, The mean-field theory of nuclear structure and dynamics, Reviews of Modern Physics 54, 913 (1982).
- [39] D. L. Hill and J. A. Wheeler, Nuclear constitution and the interpretation of fission phenomena, Physical Review 89, 1102 (1953).
- [40] J. J. Griffin and J. A. Wheeler, Collective motions in nuclei by the method of generator coordinates, Physical Review 108, 311 (1957).
- [41] K. Goeke, P.-G. Reinhard, and H. Reinhardt, The generator coordinate method, path integrals, and quantized

time-dependent mean field motion, Physics Letters B **118**, 1 (1982).

- [42] P. G. Reinhard and K. Goeke, The generator coordinate method and quantised collective motion in nuclear systems, Reports on Progress in Physics 50, 1 (1987).
- [43] M. Verriere and D. Regnier, The time-dependent generator coordinate method in nuclear physics, Frontiers in Physics 8, 233 (2020).
- [44] D. Regnier and D. Lacroix, Microscopic description of pair transfer between two superfluid Fermi systems. II. Quantum mixing of time-dependent Hartree-Fock-Bogolyubov trajectories, Physical Review C 99, 064615 (2019).
- [45] N. Hasegawa, K. Hagino, and Y. Tanimura, Timedependent generator coordinate method for manyparticle tunneling, Physics Letters B 808, 135693 (2020).
- [46] P.-G. Reinhard, R. Y. Cusson, and K. Goeke, Time evolution of coherent ground-state correlations and the TDHF approach, Nuclear Physics A 398, 141 (1983).
- [47] A. Bulgac, Time-dependent density functional theory for fermionic superfluids: From cold atomic gases - to nuclei and neutron stars crust, Physica Status Solidi B 256, 1800592 (2019).
- [48] D. Lacroix and S. Ayik, Stochastic quantum dynamics beyond mean field, The European Physical Journal A 50, 95 (2014).
- [49] Y. Tanimura, D. Lacroix, and S. Ayik, Microscopic phase-space exploration modeling of <sup>258</sup>Fm spontaneous fission, Physical Review Letters **118**, 152501 (2017).
- [50] H.-D. Meyer, U. Manthe, and L. Cederbaum, The multi-configurational time-dependent Hartree approach, Chemical Physics Letters 165, 73 (1990).
- [51] M. Beck, A. Jäckle, G. Worth, and H.-D. Meyer, The multiconfiguration time-dependent Hartree (MCTDH) method: a highly efficient algorithm for propagating wavepackets, Physics Reports **324**, 1 (2000).
- [52] H.-D. Meyer, F. Gatti, and G. A. Worth, Multidimensional Quantum Dynamics: MCTDH Theory and Applications (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2009).
- [53] N. Schunck and D. Regnier, Theory of nuclear fission, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 125, 103963 (2022).
- [54] O. C. Zienkiewicz and R. L. Taylor, The Finite Element Method: Its Basis and Fundamentals, 7th ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann, Amsterdam, 2013).
- [55] R. Anderson, J. Andrej, A. Barker, J. Bramwell, J.-S. Camier, J. C. V. Dobrev, Y. Dudouit, A. Fisher, T. Kolev, W. Pazner, M. Stowell, V. Tomov, I. Akkerman, J. Dahm, D. Medina, and S. Zampini, MFEM: A modular finite element methods library, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 81, 42 (2021).
- [56] B. Schuetrumpf, P.-G. Reinhard, P. Stevenson, A. Umar, and J. Maruhn, The TDHF code Sky3D version 1.1, Computer Physics Communications 229, 211 (2018).
- [57] K.-H. Kim, T. Otsuka, and P. Bonche, Three-dimensional TDHF calculations for reactions of unstable nuclei, Jour-

nal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics **23**, 1267 (1997).

- [58] G. Scamps and D. Lacroix, Systematics of isovector and isoscalar giant quadrupole resonances in normal and superfluid spherical nuclei, Physical Review C 88, 044310 (2013).
- [59] Y. Torizuka, K. Itoh, Y. M. Shin, Y. Kawazoe, H. Matsuzaki, and G. Takeda, Electroexcitation of giant multipole resonances in <sup>40</sup>Ca, Physical Review C 11, 1174 (1975).
- [60] N. Marty, M. Morlet, A. Willis, V. Comparat, and R. Frascaria, Excitation of giant resonances by inelastic proton scattering at 155 MeV, Nuclear Physics A 238, 93 (1975).
- [61] D. H. Youngblood, J. M. Moss, C. M. Rozsa, J. D. Bronson, A. D. Bacher, and D. R. Brown, Giant resonances observed in the scattering of 96- and 115-MeV alpha particles, Physical Review C 13, 994 (1976).
- [62] D. H. Youngblood, A. D. Bacher, D. R. Brown, J. D. Bronson, J. M. Moss, and C. M. Rozsa, Particle decay from the giant resonance region of <sup>40</sup>Ca, Physical Review C 15, 246 (1977).
- [63] A. Moalem, Properties of the GQR from the <sup>40</sup>Ca(<sup>3</sup>He, <sup>3</sup>He'α)<sup>36</sup>Ar and <sup>40</sup>Ca(<sup>3</sup>He, <sup>3</sup>He'p)<sup>39</sup>K reactions, Nuclear Physics A **281**, 461 (1977).
- [64] T. Yamagata, K. Iwamoto, S. Kishimoto, B. Saeki, K. Yuasa, M. Tanaka, T. Fukuda, K. Okada, I. Miura, M. Inoue, and H. Ogata, Isoscalar multipole resonances in <sup>40</sup>Ca, Physical Review Letters **40**, 1628 (1978).
- [65] J. Arvieux, J. P. Albanese, M. Buenerd, D. Lebrun, E. Boschitz, C. H. Q. Ingram, and J. Jansen, Giantresonance studies via inelastic pion scattering, *Physical Review Letters* 42, 753 (1979).
- [66] Y. W. Lui, J. D. Bronson, C. M. Rozsa, D. H. Youngblood, P. Bogucki, and U. Garg, Giant resonances in <sup>40</sup>Ca, Physical Review C 24, 884 (1981).
- [67] D. H. Youngblood, Y.-W. Lui, and H. L. Clark, Isoscalar E0, E1, and E2 strength in <sup>40</sup>Ca, Physical Review C 63, 067301 (2001).
- [68] F. Catara, P. Chomaz, and N. Van Giai, Two-plasmon excitations in metallic clusters, Physical Review B 48, 18207 (1993).
- [69] D. Baillie, R. M. Wilson, and P. B. Blakie, Collective excitations of self-bound droplets of a dipolar quantum fluid, Physical Review Letters 119, 255302 (2017).
- [70] F. Böttcher, J.-N. Schmidt, J. Hertkorn, K. S. Ng, S. D. Graham, M. Guo, T. Langen, and T. Pfau, New states of matter with fine-tuned interactions: quantum droplets and dipolar supersolids, Reports on Progress in Physics 84, 012403 (2020).
- [71] L. Chomaz, I. Ferrier-Barbut, F. Ferlaino, B. Laburthe-Tolra, B. L. Lev, and T. Pfau, Dipolar physics: a review of experiments with magnetic quantum gases, Reports on Progress in Physics 86, 026401 (2022).