

# Chaotic interaction between dark matter and dark energy

E. Aydiner\*

*Theoretical and Computational Physics Research Laboratory, İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye*  
*Department of Physics, İstanbul University, Fatih 34134, İstanbul, Türkiye*

(Dated: Written: March 2023; Revised: June 2024)

In this study, we consider dark matter and dark energy as grand-canonical systems which are open, non-equilibrium coupled, and interacting systems. For the first time, we propose a new more realistic interaction scheme to explain dynamics between coupled interacting thermodynamic systems. Based on this new interaction schema, we propose new theorems to define the interactions including mutual and self-interactions. We proved the theorems based on the energy conservation law of thermodynamics. Furthermore, we obtain new coupled equations using the theorems. We numerically solved the interaction equations and obtained phase space diagrams and Lyapunov exponents. We show that the interaction between dark matter and dark energy is chaotic. We conclude that these theorems and results can be generalized to all coupled interacting particle and thermodynamic systems. Finally, we evaluated that the obtained results indicate the existence of a new law in physics. Additionally, based on the results, we gave physical definitions of basic concepts such as chaos and self-organization and pointed out the existence of hidden symmetries and variables in interacting systems.

## I. INTRODUCTION

It is known that our universe, which continues to expand, consists not only of matter but also of dark matter and dark energy. Dark matter, which is thought to interact with matter only through gravity, was proposed to explain the rotational anomaly of galaxies [1–3]. The accelerated expansion of the universe was first discovered by Riess et al. [4] by measuring luminosity distances of SNe Ia, used as standard candles. Perlmutter et al. [5] later confirmed the discovery with analysis of nearby and high-redshift supernovae. Estimates for the composition of the universe in terms of dark energy, dark matter and ordinary matter are given by the WMAP collaboration to be 71.4%, 24% and 4.6% [6, 7], and by the Planck collaboration to be 68.3%, 26.8% and 4.9% [8], respectively.

Although the physical origin of dark matter and dark energy has not been explained yet, it is known that they play an important role in the dynamics of the universe. For this reason, understanding the interaction of all the components that make up the universe and the results arising from these interactions is one of the most important problems of cosmology. To tackle this problem, many interacting models based on dark matter and dark energy interaction have been used to solve the singularity and cosmic coincidence problems [9–37].

In 2018, we proposed Chaotic Universe Theory based on interactions between the components such as matter, dark matter, and dark energy [38]. In this study, we showed that the interaction dynamics of these components are chaotic. We suggested that the universe is evolving chaotically within the cosmic time-line. We concluded that this new cosmological model has the potential to solve many complications of the big-bang cosmology

such as singularities, cosmic coincidence, emergence of galaxies, fractal formation of the universe from micro to macro scale, and the future and the end of the universe. Additionally, in another study, we showed that dark energy and dark matter interaction introduce a novel mechanism to explain late time inflation i.e., the transition from matter-dominated era to dark energy-dominated era of the universe [39]. The first time, we obtained a hybrid scale factor containing both eras and showed that this transition appears around 9.8-10 Gyears in the cosmic time-line. Furthermore, we found that the parameters of the new hybrid scale factor are precisely consistent with the theoretical and observational results for the matter and dark energy-dominated eras. Finally, the importance of the dark matter and dark energy interactions has been thoroughly discussed in Ref. [40].

The results presented above emphasize that developing interaction, particularly, chaotic interaction models may have great importance in cosmology. In Ref. [38], we obtained the chaotic interactions between matter, dark matter, and dark energy inspired by Lotka-Volterra-type interactions. However, even though the Lotka-Volterra-type interaction equations of dark matter and dark energy models can cause chaotic behavior under some special conditions [38, 41], these equations may not fully describe the interaction between dark matter and dark energy. Therefore, in this study, we will propose a new interaction schema for dark matter and dark energy interactions, supporting the main claim of Ref. [38]. Furthermore, we will consider dark matter and dark energy as an open and interacting thermodynamic system in a new interaction schema, unlike standard statistical thermodynamics. Based on this new theoretical framework, we will show that interaction equations can be derived from the first law of thermodynamics. To obtain a full description of the interaction we will suggest a new complementary equation inspired by graph theory. Finally, based on these theorems, we will carry out new interac-

---

\* ekrem.aydiner@istanbul.edu.tr  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0385-9916>

tion equations that describe the interaction between dark matter and dark energy. We show that the interaction between dark matter and dark energy is chaotic. Our results might give a critical contribution not only to cosmology but also to statistical thermodynamics and the understanding of nature and living systems.

This work is organized as follows: In Section II, we briefly summarize the conventional method for modeling the interaction between dark matter and dark energy, in Section III, we introduce a new and novel interaction schema to model dark matter and dark energy interactions. We present new theorems and give proofs based on the energy conservation law of thermodynamics. We obtain new interaction equations between coupled non-equilibrium systems. In Section IV, the numerical solution of the new model is given. We present some remarkable conclusions in Section V. We sum up the important results in Section VI. Finally, in the last Section VII, we point out possible future studies.

## II. THE CONVENTIONAL APPROACH TO THE INTERACTION

Assuming the coexistence of dark matter and dark energy as components in the Universe, the continuity equation for both these components is given by

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (1)$$

If we assume that they interact in the same volume, the continuity equation (1) can be written for the dark matter and dark energy separately as

$$\frac{d\rho_{dm}}{dt} + 3H(\rho_{dm} + p_{dm}) = X \quad (2a)$$

$$\frac{d\rho_{de}}{dt} + 3H(\rho_{de} + p_{de}) = -X \quad (2b)$$

where  $X$  is the interaction coupling term.

So far many different interaction models have been proposed in literature. For example, in our previous study [38], we consider  $X = \gamma\rho_{dm}\rho_{de}$  where  $\gamma$  is the interaction constant. By setting  $\alpha_1 = 3H(1 + w_{dm})$ ,  $\alpha_2 = -3H(1 + w_{de})$ ,  $x_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha_2}\rho_{dm}$  and  $x_2 = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha_1}\rho_{de}$ , Eq.(2) can be written in terms of Lotka-Volterra equation [38]:

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \alpha_1 x_1 (1 - x_2) \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \alpha_2 x_2 (x_1 - 1) \quad (3b)$$

where  $\alpha_{1,2}$  are the interaction rate parameters.

The phase space solution is periodic of the Lotka-Volterra equation in Eq.(3). Based on this idea, in the

previous study [38], Eq.(3) was extended to a more general interaction by adding quadratic terms representing the self-interaction,

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = x_i \sum_j^N \alpha_{ij} (1 - x_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (4)$$

which is the same expression as in Refs. [42, 43]. It was shown that the solutions obtained from the coupled density equations already show that the dynamics of the system is chaotic. In his approach, different components or kinds are consuming each other. However, this approach may violate the conservation laws. Therefore, we say that this interaction method is incomplete from the point of view of statistical thermodynamics. We will present a new method that covers the full thermodynamic behavior of the interacting system.

## III. NEW INTERACTION THEORY

We consider dark matter and dark energy as grand canonical thermodynamic systems. According to the conventional approach, they interact in the Universe by exchanging energy and particles as seen in Fig.1. However,




FIG. 1. The schematic picture for the thermodynamic ensemble of the dark matter (DM) and dark energy (DE). In the standard thermodynamic schema, open thermodynamic ensembles interact via the  $\delta Q$  and  $\delta N$  exchange. Here we set  $\delta V = 0$ .

we show that the conventional approach is not enough to define the interactions between two open, coupled non-equilibrium thermodynamic systems. Therefore, we introduce new interaction schemes and theorems to define the correct interaction equations. Below, for simplicity, we label dark matter and dark energy as systems 1 and 2, respectively.

### A. Mutual Interactions

**Lemma 1** *The continuity equation for a non-equilibrium system 1 which interacts with the system 2 should be presented in the form as*

$$\frac{d\rho_1}{dt} + 3H(\rho_1 + p_1) = \frac{dX}{dt} \quad (5)$$

where  $X$  is the source term of system 2 based on the approach of Prigogine [44].

Change of the source  $X$  can be defined as  $X = \Gamma_1 x_2$  where  $x_2$  is the energy flux from system 1 to the 2,  $\Gamma_1$  denotes the *energy transfer rates*, i.e., the system 1 which determines the energy amount which is transferred from system 2 to the system 1. In the present study, based on this Lemma, we present a new interaction schema and new theorems.

**Theorem 1** *The interactions between two coupled non-equilibrium systems can be obtained from the energy conservation law of statistical thermodynamics and is given in a simple form as*

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \Gamma_1 x_2 - x_1 \quad (6a)$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \Gamma_2 x_1 - x_2 \quad (6b)$$

where  $x_{1,2}$  denotes the energy flux between systems and  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  the energy transfer which are time-dependent degrees of freedom of the system.

**Proof:** The two open and coupled interacting systems 1 and 2 are given in Fig.1. In the standard statistical thermodynamics, the open and interacting two systems are characterized by the energy and particle exchange as in Fig.1. The energy conservation law of thermodynamics for open thermodynamic systems is given by

$$dU = \delta Q - p\delta V + \mu\delta N \quad (7)$$

where  $dU$  corresponds to the energy exchange in the system,  $\delta Q$  is the change of heat,  $p$  is the pressure,  $\delta V$  denotes volume exchange,  $\mu$  is the chemical potential and  $\delta N$  is the particle exchange in the same system.

For the constant volume  $\delta V = 0$ , the energy conservation laws of the thermodynamics for two non-interacting systems are given separately as

$$dU_1 = \delta Q_1 + \mu_1 \delta N_1 \quad (8a)$$

$$dU_2 = \delta Q_2 + \mu_2 \delta N_2 \quad (8b)$$

These equations can be represented as a time-dependent form

$$\frac{dU_1}{dt} = \frac{dQ_1}{dt} + \mu_1 \frac{dN_1}{dt} \quad (9a)$$

$$\frac{dU_2}{dt} = \frac{dQ_2}{dt} + \mu_2 \frac{dN_2}{dt} \quad (9b)$$

It should be noted that these equations do not represent the interaction between systems. Instead, they denote only independent energy change in two systems in contact with each other. It is a conventional mistake to say that the interactions between two thermodynamic systems are given by Eq.(9).

To write the interaction between two systems, it is necessary to accept that the two systems couple. The interaction naturally connects the two systems and the flow of energy occurs between the two systems. The energy flow between the two systems must be bidirectional, as shown in Fig.2(a), due to the nature of the interaction. This exchange represents *mutual interaction*. The energy fluxes  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in Fig.2.(a) can be determined by Eq.(9). As we will show below these fluxes represent the changes in  $\delta Q$  and  $\delta N$  between the two systems in terms of energy. However, it is not enough to assume that the energy flow is bidirectional as in Fig.2(a). Energy flow cannot be written independently of the source. Therefore, as **Lemma 1** indicates, the flow must be written depending on the source. When the energy flow is written as dependent on the source, it also makes sense in the change that occurs on the source. The change in the source is represented as *self-interaction*. The self-interaction loops that appear on the sources are indicated with a dashed circle in Fig.2(b). This surprising connection shows that the existence of mutual interaction depends on self-interaction.

The new interaction schema in Fig.2(b) is more realistic and introduces a new perspective to the model for coupling systems. The main problem in this new interaction scheme is how to incorporate this self-interaction contribution into the system. Here, we will establish this connection when establishing the mutual interaction equations. However, we will also discuss the self-interaction contribution separately. We only state that these self-interaction loops can be considered as *hidden actions* including *hidden vectorial variables*.




FIG. 2. A new interaction schema. In (a)  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  denote the mutual interactions between two systems, in (b) loops given dashed circles represent the self-interaction of each ensemble.

By using **Lemma 1**, the internal energy change in Eq.9 can be written as

$$\frac{dU_1}{dt} = M_1 \Gamma_1 x_2, \quad \frac{dU_2}{dt} = M_2 \Gamma_2 x_1 \quad (10)$$

where  $M_{1,2}$  is the mutual interaction coupling constant and  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  are the *energy storage speed* of each of the sys-

tems for the cyclic dynamic in Fig.2(b).  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  are the *non-holonomic variables*.

Eq.(10) clearly says that the internal energy change in system 1 is determined by system 2, and conversely, the internal energy change in system 2 is determined by system 1.

On the other hand, the first term on the right-hand side of Eq.(9) denotes energy change in each of the systems due to coupling. Therefore the heat  $Q_{1,2}$  can be defined as the heat flux and it can be written as  $Q_{1,2} = \phi_{1,2} = L_{1,2}x_{1,2}$ . Therefore, the heat terms are given by

$$\frac{dQ_1}{dt} = L_1 \frac{dx_1}{dt}, \quad \frac{dQ_2}{dt} = L_2 \frac{dx_2}{dt}. \quad (11)$$

where  $L_{1,2}$  are constants and indicate the *energy store capacity of the system*. Then, the second term on the right-hand side of Eq.(9) can be regarded as the changing of the energy amount with time. Therefore, the second term can be written as

$$\mu_1 \frac{dN_1}{dt} = \mu_1 x_1, \quad \mu_2 \frac{dN_2}{dt} = \mu_2 x_2. \quad (12)$$

Finally, to simplify equations we set  $L_1 = L_2 = 1$ ,  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$  and  $M_1 = M_2 = 1$ . If we insert Eqs.(10), (11) and (12) into Eq.(9), we can obtain interaction equations in Eq.(6) as

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \Gamma_1 x_2 - x_1 \quad (6a)$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \Gamma_2 x_1 - x_2 \quad (6b)$$

which prove **Theorem 1**.

Here, we introduce the mutual interaction schema and show that the mutual interaction equation between dark matter and dark energy can be obtained from the energy conservation laws of thermodynamics. However, this description in Eq.(6) is also not enough to define the interaction dynamics of two interacting and cyclic non-equilibrium systems. To obtain a completed interaction schema, non-holonomic vectorial variables  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  and contribution of the self-interaction loops should be defined.

**Theorem 1** imply that given system in Fig.2(b) to be cyclic. The presence of cyclic interaction and the presence of vectorial non-holonomic variables  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  indicate that there must be other driving vectorial forces in the system. Without these vectorial forces, it may not be possible to drive the system. At this point, we can ask the main questions: *How to define the non-holonomic time-dependent degrees of freedom of the system?* and *What are these hidden vectorial fields?* To reply to these questions and to complete the interaction description we have to deal with the role of the self-interacting loops.

## B. Self-Interactions

**Theorem 2** *The non-holonomic time-dependent energy transfer rate parameters  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  can be obtained from hidden vectorial fields and are given in terms of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  currents as*

$$\frac{d\Gamma_1}{dt} = 1 - x_1 x_2 \quad (13a)$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma_2}{dt} = 1 - x_1 x_2 \quad (13b)$$

**Proof:** Before giving a mathematical proof, it will be useful to give the following definitions and descriptions to explain the dynamics that arise in the self-interaction scheme:

- Self-interaction loops given in Fig.2(b) represent the dynamics within systems 1 and 2.
- As seen from Fig.3, the energy  $x_1$  flows from system 1 to system 2 and acts on system 2. Similarly,  $x_2$  flows from the system 2 to the system 1 and acts on the system 1. While  $x_1$  causes a cyclic dynamics within the system 2, and  $x_2$  also causes a cyclic dynamics on the system 1.
- Energy flow  $x_2$  in system 1 changes  $\Gamma_1$  in the system 1, however,  $\Gamma_1$  also changes the energy flow  $x_2$ . Therefore, it can be suggested that there is a non-linear relationship between these two variables. A similar situation also applies to the dynamics in system 2.
- These cyclic dynamics of  $x_{1,2}$  on the systems produce the non-holonomic vectorial variables which are represented by  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  as seen in Fig.3.
- The rotational flow of  $x_{1,2}$  on the systems will cause inertial momentum in both systems.
- Energy flow  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  on the systems leads to the vectorial forces  $\vec{F}_{1,2}$ .
- The cyclic dynamics on the loops lead to torques  $\vec{\tau}_{1,2}$  we call them as *vectorial attractor torques*.
- The energy flows  $x_{1,2}$  can be written in terms of the vectorial force  $\vec{A}_{1,2}$  as  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}_{1,2} \sim \vec{x}_{1,2}$ . We call these forces as *vectorial attractor fields*.
- Except the energy flows  $x_{1,2}$ , all vector quantities occurring on the self-interaction loops and driving the systems can be regarded as virtual or pseudo quantities, we called these quantities *hidden*.

After these definitions and descriptions, we can discuss the relation between these vectorial quantities to describe the dynamics of the self-interaction loops. It is possible




FIG. 3. The vectorial attractor fields and torque behavior on the self-interacting loops.  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  denotes non-holonomic variables in Eq.6.  $\vec{A}_{1,2}$  are the attractor vectorial fields caused by driven force  $\vec{F}_{1,2}$  and  $\vec{\tau}_{1,2}$  correspond to torque on the loops.

to interconnect all virtual vector quantities of the self-interaction loops within the torque term.

The vectorial attractor torque can be written in terms of energy transfer velocity as

$$\vec{\tau}_1 = c_1 \frac{d\Gamma_1}{dt} \hat{z} = \vec{\tau}_{1b} + \vec{\tau}_{1f} \quad (14a)$$

$$\vec{\tau}_2 = c_2 \frac{d\Gamma_2}{dt} \hat{z} = \vec{\tau}_{2b} + \vec{\tau}_{2f} \quad (14b)$$

where  $c_{1,2}$  are inertia momenta of the system 1 and 2,  $\vec{\tau}_{1b,2b}$  denote torques belong to the bulk and  $\vec{\tau}_{1f,2f}$  are torque cause from flux  $x_{1,2}$ .

The bulk torques can be assumed constant and do not contribute to the formation of the flux  $x_{1,2}$ , therefore, can be ignored or assigned constant values. The main torques  $\vec{\tau}_{1f}$  and  $\vec{\tau}_{2f}$  on the loop 1 and 2 cause form  $d\vec{F}_1$  and  $d\vec{F}_2$ , respectively. These torques can be written in terms of vectorial fields  $\vec{A}_1$  and  $\vec{A}_2$  as

$$\vec{\tau}_{1f} = \int d\vec{F}_1 \times \vec{r}_1 = x_1 \int (d\vec{r}_1 \times \vec{A}_1) \times \vec{r}_1 \quad (15a)$$

$$\vec{\tau}_{2f} = \int d\vec{F}_2 \times \vec{r}_2 = x_2 \int (d\vec{r}_2 \times \vec{A}_2) \times \vec{r}_2 \quad (15b)$$

where loop radius  $r_{1,2}$  characterize the velocity magnitude of the densities and  $A_{1,2}$  are the vectorial fields on the loops. The integrals in Eq.15 can be written as

$$\int (d\vec{r}_1 \times \vec{A}_1) \times \vec{r}_1 = -M_1 x_2 \hat{z} \quad (16a)$$

$$\int (d\vec{r}_2 \times \vec{A}_2) \times \vec{r}_2 = -M_2 x_1 \hat{z} \quad (16b)$$

where  $M_{1,2}$  are the positive constants. As a result, combining Eqs.14, 15 and 16, we obtain

$$c_1 \frac{d\Gamma_1}{dt} = \tau_{1b} - M_1 x_1 x_2 \quad (17a)$$

$$c_2 \frac{d\Gamma_2}{dt} = \tau_{2b} - M_2 x_1 x_2 \quad (17b)$$

Now, if we set  $c_1 = c_2 = 1$  and  $\tau_{1b} = \tau_{2b} = 1$ , we obtain equations for non-holonomic variables  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  as

$$\frac{d\Gamma_1}{dt} = 1 - x_1 x_2 \quad (14a)$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma_2}{dt} = 1 - x_1 x_2 \quad (14b)$$

which verify **Theorem 2**. Here we show that the density  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  behave like current for both systems. The currents  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in the systems are described by vector fields that govern the interaction scheme in the cyclic dynamics.

### C. Full Interactions

As a result, based on **Theorems 1** and **2**, full interaction between dark matter and dark energy can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_1}{dt} &= x_2 x_3 - x_1 \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt} &= (x_3 - q)x_1 - x_2 \\ \frac{dx_3}{dt} &= 1 - x_1 x_2 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where  $q$  is the control parameter which can be given as  $q = \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2$  [45]. These are non-linear coupled equations that govern the dynamics of the dark energy and dark matter interactions in Fig.1.

## IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To analyze the dynamical behavior of Eq.18, we solved these equations numerically by writing FORTRAN 90 code based on the Runge-Kutta method and the linearized algorithm [46]. Phase trajectories are given in Figs.4-6.

In Fig.4, the trajectory is given in the  $x_1 - x_2$  phase plane for the control parameter  $q = 3.46$ . In this figure, there is an attractor around  $x_2 = 0$ , and the trajectory proceeds to the right without cutting itself.

In Fig.5, the attractor appears more clearly in  $x_1 - x_3$  plane. In this figure, it can be seen that the center of the attractor is located around  $x_3 = 0$ . As seen from Fig.5 that trajectory proceeds to the right in direction  $x_1$  without cutting itself.

In Fig.6, the trajectory is given in the  $x_2 - x_3$  phase plane for the control parameter  $q = 3.46$ . In this figure, there is an attractor around  $x_2 = 0 - 0.5$  and at  $x_3 = 1$ .

We compute the Lyapunov exponent by using the Wolf algorithm and give the numerical results in Fig.7. As




FIG. 4. Chaotic attractor and trajectory in  $x_1 - x_2$  plane for the control parameter  $q = 3.46$ .




FIG. 5. Chaotic attractor and trajectory in  $x_1 - x_3$  plane for the control parameter  $q = 3.46$ .




FIG. 6. Chaotic attractor and trajectory in  $x_2 - x_3$  plane for the control parameter  $q = 3.46$ .

seen from Fig.7, there are three Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_i$ ,

$i = 1, 2, 3$  for the control parameter  $q$ . Positive Lyapunov exponents indicate the chaotic behavior of the system. One can see that two exponents (red and green curves) have positive values depending on the control parameter  $q$ . Lyapunov spectrum in Fig.7 shows that the system in




FIG. 7. Lyapunov spectrum versus control parameter  $q$  for the DM-DE interaction.

Eq.18 behaves chaotic. Based on these numerical results we conclude that the interaction between dark matter and dark energy is chaotic.

In this study, it was shown for the first time that the interactions between dark matter and dark energy should be universally chaotic. These results are not only limited to dark matter and dark energy interactions but also have a universality to be generalized for all interacting particle and thermodynamic systems.

## V. DISCUSSION AND SOME CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this study, firstly, we briefly summarized the conventional approach to the dark matter and dark energy interaction. We argued that equations of the conventional approach may not fully reflect the interaction between dark matter and dark energy, since the interaction terms are chosen arbitrarily.

We propose a new interaction scheme that represents the interaction of dark matter and dark energy. We have demonstrated that interactions should be defined in two ways. The first is the mutual interaction between the two systems and the second is the self-interaction that occurs on each system. These two interaction pictures are beyond what has been known so far and offer a new approach to the interaction mechanisms of interacting systems. Based on the new interaction schema, we introduce several new concepts such as *energy transfer velocity*, *vectorial attractor fields*, *vectorial attractor torques* which are new concepts for the non-equilibrium statistical mechanics and thermodynamics. Furthermore, we

introduce new theorems to define the mutual and self-interactions.

In **Theorem 1**, we introduce a new coupling equation to define the mutual interactions between dark matter and dark energy. We give a proof for this theorem based on the energy conservation law of thermodynamics. We also state that equations in **Theorem 1** include non-holonomic variables. We know that two coupled systems out of equilibrium will exchange energy and particles until they reach fundamental and chemical equilibrium. However, the system we give in Fig.2 is not just an out-of-equilibrium coupled system. Since we assume that these systems are completely transformed into each other, the dynamics of the system are not spontaneous. The system is startled by the forces controlling the interaction dynamics. Therefore, we need to define some vectorial forces to provide the transformation of dark matter to transform into dark energy or vice versa. However, these vectorial forces drive  $x_1$  to  $x_2$  or  $x_2$  to  $x_1$  in this dynamics.

In **Theorem 2**, we introduce a new complementary coupling equation to define the dynamics of the non-holonomic variables. We also give a proof for **Theorem 1** based on a self-interacting loop in Fig.3 motivated by the node connections approach of the graph theory.

By using these theorems, we obtain a non-linear coupling equation that fully describes dark matter and dark energy interactions. We numerically solve these equations and we obtain phase space trajectories in various phase planes. We compute Lyapunov exponents for the control parameter  $q$  and show that there are non-negative values of the exponents which indicates chaos. It is the first time, based on proof-able theorems and new non-linear coupling equations, that we show that the interaction between dark matter and dark energy is fully chaotic. Here it should be noted that, until now, in physics, changes in thermodynamic systems in contact with each other were interpreted as interactions. However, these changes do not represent mutual interaction. It is necessary to write the interactions depending on the source. When interactions are written depending on the source, mutual interaction emerges. The basic philosophy of this study is based on this idea. In this study, the concept of mutual interaction is proposed for the first time for thermodynamic systems that come into contact with each other. As a result, the mutual interaction causes self-interaction in systems. In other words, self-interactions are the result of mutual interactions. If there are no mutual interactions, there will be no self-interaction.

It must be admitted that it is easy to visualize the mutual interaction. For example, if there is a heat transfer  $\delta Q$  from the other system to the first one, it can be assumed that heat  $-\delta Q$  is transferred from the other system to the other. However, self-interaction may not be easy to understand or explain, because no one would expect such an interaction mechanism in the classical thermodynamic system. It can be thought that since such

an effect has not been expected yet, it has not been theorized. However, this counter-intuitive assumption may be understandable upon careful consideration. It should be kept in mind that if energy from one system goes to another system and causes dynamics within that system, there must be a mechanism that sets this dynamic. In this study, we propose that this mechanism can be established by the so-called forces that arise in the system. In conclusion, the interaction model we present here is quite interesting and new. Thanks to this model, it may be possible to understand the physical nature of interacting systems. Although the model seems contrary to common sense, for now, it should not be overlooked that it has the potential to add new definitions and concepts to physics.

Furthermore, one can see that these significant results can be generalized to all interacting systems such as matter and dark matter interaction visa versa. Our findings shed light on a more accurate understanding of the dynamics of the components in the universe. Furthermore, these results strongly prove that the universe has chaotic dynamics. In the previous study [38], we proposed that interactions between matter, dark matter, and dark energy would be chaotic. At the same time, we stated that the universe evolves through chaotic interactions and that the universe has cosmic evolution with cyclic chaotic processes. In this study, we have strongly proved these hypotheses in a new theoretical framework.

This theoretical evidence not only explains the interaction of dark matter and dark energy but also reveals an important and striking perspective in terms of understanding how nature works. The interaction equations we have obtained have provided a completely new understanding of nature by illuminating the hitherto unnoticed behavior of interacting systems in nature.

It is very important to mention another crucial point. The interaction scheme in Fig.3, **Theorem 1**, **Theorem 2** and interaction equations in Eq.18 and all the results we obtained here are valid not only for cosmology but also for all coupled non-equilibrium thermodynamic systems. The results also show that the dynamics of all coupled, interacting, and transforming thermodynamic systems are chaotic both far from and close to the equilibrium. This is also another significant result of the present study. Additionally, these results may indicate the presence of new thermodynamics laws.

If we sum up, in this study, we theoretically show that the interacting coupling thermodynamic systems behave as chaotic. These theoretical findings will have important contributions to physics. These theoretical discoveries can be proven experimentally, and we expect experimental studies to confirm our theoretical findings.

Finally, we conclude that this result can be generalized to the  $N$  coupling systems which behave as interaction networks. In addition to the chaotic behavior of all elements in such an interaction network, the complex system itself is expected to behave chaotically. The human neural network, which is a self-organized system,

can be the best example of this. We know that chaos characterizes the dynamics of a system whose dynamics are sensitive to the initial conditions and whose time evolution is unpredictable. However, at this point, we can give a new definition of chaos: *Chaos is the collective minimum action of the synchronized self-organized interacting systems.*

## VI. SUMMARY OF THE MAIN RESULTS

1. **Interaction between dark matter and dark energy:** The interaction between dark matter and dark energy is chaotic.
2. **Hidden Symmetry:** All coupled interacting particle and thermodynamic systems have hidden symmetries that can be represented by the self-interaction loops.
3. **Hidden Interaction:** The self-interaction loops of the coupled interacting particle and thermodynamic systems have hidden variables and vectorial fields.
4. **New Physics Law:** The dynamics of all coupled interacting particle and thermodynamic systems are chaotic.
5. **Definition of the Chaos:** Chaos is the minimum action of the coupled, synchronized, self-organized interacting systems.

6. **Self-organization:** All self-organized systems are the manifestation of chaotic behavior.

## VII. FURTHER STUDIES

One can be seen from Section VI, this work contains many open problems. For now, we have examined our proposed interaction scheme for a few special cases of open and closed thermodynamic systems [47]. Furthermore, we are now continuing our detailed work on some of these open problems. Particularly, following the concept of this work, it is of great importance to continue studies on the new interaction scheme and its effects on cosmology. Approaching the problem from this perspective, we will discuss basic cosmology problems such as singularity, flatness, monopole, transitions between different epochs, entropy, complexity, and the future of the universe by resolving the interaction equations Eq. (18) using standard cosmology  $\Lambda$ CDM data. In this way, we may have the chance to test the consistency of the presented model. On the other hand, testing the ideas proposed for particle and thermodynamic systems is also crucial. This is another important open problem.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to the anonymous referee for the many valuable constructive corrections, suggestions, and contributions that played an important role in improving the manuscript. This work was supported by Istanbul University. Research Project: FYO-2021-38105 which is entitled “Investigation of the Cosmic Evolution of the Universe”.

- 
- [1] V. C. Rubin and J. Ford, W. Kent, *Astrophys. J.* **159**, 379 (1970).
  - [2] V. C. Rubin, J. Ford, W. K., and N. Thonnard, *Astrophys. J.* **238**, 471 (1980).
  - [3] V. Trimble, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* **25**, 425 (1987), <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.25.090187.002233>.
  - [4] Adam G. Riess and et al., *The Astronomical Journal* **116**, 1009 (1998), [astro-ph/9805201](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9805201).
  - [5] S. Perlmutter and et al., *The Astrophysical Journal* **517**, 565 (1999).
  - [6] C. L. Bennett and et al. , *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **208**, 20 (2013).
  - [7] G. Hinshaw and et al., *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **208**, 19 (2013).
  - [8] Planck Collaboration, *A&A* **641**, A6 (2020).
  - [9] V. L. Fitch, D. R. Marlow, M. A. E. Dementi, and F. J. Dyson, *American Journal of Physics* **66**, 837 (1998), <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.18973>.
  - [10] I. Zlatev, L. Wang, and P. J. Steinhardt, *Physical Review Letters* **82**, 896 (1999).
  - [11] S. del Campo, R. Herrera, G. Olivares, and D. Pavón, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 023501 (2006).
  - [12] J.-H. He, B. Wang, and E. Abdalla, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 063515 (2011).
  - [13] V. Poitras, *Gen Relativ Gravit.* **46**, 1732 (2014).
  - [14] P. Brax and J. Martin, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **11**, 008, [arXiv:astro-ph/0606306](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0606306).
  - [15] Y. L. Bolotin, A. Kostenko, O. Lemets, and D. Yerokhin, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **24**, 1530007 (2015), [arXiv:1310.0085](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.0085) [[astro-ph.CO](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph)].
  - [16] W. Zimdahl and D. Pavón, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **36**, 1483–1491 (2004), [arXiv:gr-qc/0311067](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0311067).
  - [17] L. Amendola, G. C. Campos, and R. Rosenfeld, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 083506 (2007), [arXiv:astro-ph/0610806](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0610806).
  - [18] B. Wang, E. Abdalla, F. Atrio-Barandela, and D. Pavón, *Reports on Progress in Modern Physics* **79**, 096901 (2016), [arXiv:1603.08299](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.08299) [[astro-ph.CO](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph)].
  - [19] C. G. Böhrer, N. Tamanini, and M. Wright, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 123002 (2015), [arXiv:1501.06540](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.06540) [[gr-qc](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc)].
  - [20] C. G. Böhrer, N. Tamanini, and M. Wright, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 123003 (2015), [arXiv:1502.04030](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.04030) [[gr-qc](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc)].
  - [21] J.-H. He and B. Wang, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **06**, 010, [arXiv:0708014233](https://arxiv.org/abs/0708014233) [[astro-ph](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph)].
  - [22] R.-G. Cai, N. Tamanini, and T. Yang, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **05**, 031, [arXiv:1703.07323](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.07323)

- [astro-ph.CO].
- [23] W. Yang, N. Banerjee, A. Paliathanasis, and S. Pan, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **26**, 100383 (2019), arXiv:1812.06854 [astro-ph.CO].
- [24] L. Amendola, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 043511 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/9908023.
- [25] D. Tocchini-Valentini and L. Amendola, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 063508 (2002), arXiv:astro-ph/0108143.
- [26] L. Amendola and C. Quercellini, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 023514 (2003), arXiv:astro-ph/0303228.
- [27] S. del Campo, R. Herrera, and D. Pavón, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 021302 (2008), arXiv:0806.2116 [astro-ph].
- [28] S. del Campo, R. Herrera, and D. Pavón, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* (01), 020, arXiv:0812.2210 [gr-qc].
- [29] H. Wei and S. N. Zhang, *Physics Letters B* **644**, 7 (2007), arXiv:astro-ph/0609597.
- [30] S. del Campo, R. Herrera, and D. Pavón, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 123539 (2015), arXiv:1507.00187 [gr-qc].
- [31] L. P. Chimento, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 043525 (2010), arXiv:0911.5687 [astro-ph.CO].
- [32] G. Sanchez and E. Ivan, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **46**, 1769 (2014), arXiv:1405.1291 [gr-qc].
- [33] M. M. Verma, *Astrophys Space Sci* **330**, 101 (2010).
- [34] M. Shahalam, S. D. Pathak, M. M. Verma, M. Y. Khlopov, and R. Myrzakulov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 395 (2015), arXiv:1503.08712 [gr-qc].
- [35] M. Cruz and S. Lepe, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **35**, 155013 (2018).
- [36] M. Cruz, S. Lepe, and G. Morales-Navarrete, *Nuclear Physics B* **943**, 114623 (2019).
- [37] R. Saleem and M. J. Imtiaz, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **37**, 065018 (2020).
- [38] E. Aydiner, *Scientific Reports* **8**, 721 (2018).
- [39] E. Aydiner, I. Basaran-Öz, T. Dereli, and M. Sarisaman, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 39 (2022).
- [40] E. Aydiner, in *Frontiers of Fundamental Physics FFP16*, edited by E. Aydiner, B. G. Sidharth, M. Micheli, and C. Corda (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2024) pp. 25–39.
- [41] J. Perez, A. Füzfa, T. Carletti, L. Mélot, and L. Guedezounme, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **46**, 10.1007/s10714-014-1753-8 (2014).
- [42] A. Arneodo, P. Couillet, and C. Tresser, *Physics Letters A* **79**, 259 (1980).
- [43] J. A. Vano, J. C. Wildenberg, M. B. Anderson, J. K. Noel, and J. C. Sprott, *Nonlinearity* **19**, 2391 (2006).
- [44] I. Prigogine, J. Geheniau, E. Gunzig, and P. Nardone, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **85**, 7428 (1988), <https://www.pnas.org/doi/pdf/10.1073/pnas.85.20.7428>.
- [45] Similar equations were derived in a different context in T. Rikitake, in *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, Vol. 54 (Cambridge University Press, 1958) pp. 89–105.
- [46] A. Wolf, J. B. Swift, H. L. Swinney, and J. A. Vastano, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **16**, 285 (1985).
- [47] E. Aydiner, Preprints 10.20944/preprints202404.0257.v1 (2024).