All multipartite entanglements are quantum coherences in locally distinguishable bases

Ahana Ghoshal¹, Swati Choudhary^{1,2}, and Ujjwal Sen¹

¹Harish-Chandra Research Institute, A CI of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Prayagraj 211 019, India

Prof. CR Rao Road, Gachibowli, Hyderabad 500 032, Telangana, India

We find that the m-separability and k-partite entanglement of a multipartite quantum system is correlated with quantum coherence of the same with respect to complete orthonormal bases, distinguishable under local operations and classical communication in certain partitions. In particular, we show that the geometric measure of m-inseparable entanglement of a multipartite quantum state is equal to the square of minimum fidelity-based quantum coherence of the state with respect to complete orthonormal bases, that are locally distinguishable in a partition into m-parties.

I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement [1-3] and quantum coherence [4-7] play central roles in quantum information protocols and have become the backbone in the development of quantum resource theories in the last few decades. Entanglement acts as a key resource in several quantum communication protocols including quantum dense coding [8, 9], quantum teleportation [10– 12], entanglement-based quantum cryptography [13–16], etc. Coherence of a quantum state, which, like entanglement, is also an outcome of the superposition principle of quantum mechanics, allows one to understand phenomena like interference patterns in interferometers, and plays an important role in e.g. the study of lasers [17], quantum-enhanced metrology [18–20], quantum algorithms [21–25], quantum state discrimination [26, 27], quantum thermodynamics [28-31], and possibly in biology [32]. However, the two quintessential quantum resources, quantum entanglement and quantum coherence, although interconnected in many ways, also possess significant differences. In particular, entanglement is a basisindependent quantity, whereas quantum coherence is naturally dependent on the choice of basis. Studies on the inter-relations between the two resources include Refs. [33–48].

The characterisation and detection of multipartite quantum entanglement is an interesting and useful area of research in quantum information [1-3, 49-54]. Multipartite quantum states can be categorised into different groups on the basis of their separability and entanglement properties. The fully separable and genuine multipartite entangled states [50, 51] are two important groups. The genuine *n*-partite entanglement of an *n*-partite quantum state can be identified by various approaches [50, 51, 55–58], like spin-squeezing inequalities [59], Bell-type inequalities [60], state extensions [61–63], covariance matrices [64], etc. For multipartite quantum systems, there exist some states which are neither fully separable, nor genuinely entangled. These states can be categorised as "m-separable" ones, on the basis of their separability properties, and as k-partite entangled ones, on the basis of the maximum number of entangled parties present in at least one cluster of the separable partitions. For research on *m*-separability and k-partite entanglement, see e.g. [51–54, 65–75].

A complete orthonormal basis of (pure) quantum states of a system of two or more subsystems, distinguishable under local quantum operations and classical communication (LOCC) [76–79], is called a locally distinguishable basis. Local distinguishability of orthogonal states of multiparty systems is rather unconnected to the entanglement of the constituent systems [80–82]. However, in [47] (see also [42]), it was found that entanglement can be qualitatively as well as quantitatively defined via quantum coherence with respect to all locally distinguishable complete orthonormal bases. The results in [47] were mostly for bipartite systems. Entanglement however can and has been generalised to the multipartite domain. Multiparty entangled states present far more challenges in attempts to characterise them, while being of much more potential utility than the bipartite variety.

In this paper, we find a relation between m-separability of a multipartite quantum system with its quantum coherence with respect to complete orthonormal bases, locally distinguishable in certain partitions. We also show that a similar relation holds for k-partite entanglement and quantum coherence with respect to the same for a multipartite quantum system. We subsequently quantify the connection between m-separability with quantum coherence by showing that for a pure multiparty quantum state, the square of the minimum of fidelity-based quantum coherence [83, 84] with respect to the optimal complete orthonormal basis, locally distinguishable in partitions into m parties, turns out to be equal with the geometric measure of entanglement [54, 85–87], which is a measure of m-inseparability of a multipartite entangled state. We extend this quantification for multipartite mixed states also.

The rest of the paper is presented as follows. In Sec. II, we provide the basic ideas of m-separable states and k-partite entangled states, and the measures of quantum entanglement and quantum coherence, considered for obtaining the results of our paper. Section III contains the theorems and the corresponding proofs, which connect the quantum entanglement and quantum coherence of a multipartite quantum system. A summary is given in Sec. IV.

II. UNDERLYING TOOLS

For a multipartite quantum system, the definition of entangled quantum state is layered. If an *n*-partite pure quantum state (n > 2) is separable in all its partitions, the state is called an *n*-separable or a fully separable state. On the other hand, if the state is entangled in at least one bipartite splitting,

²International Institute of Information Technology Hyderabad,

then it is an entangled one. These "entangled" states can however be categorised into different classes in various ways. The definitions of m-separability and k-partite entanglement of an n-partite quantum state, and a brief discussion of the basic concepts of the two, are briefly presented now.

m-separability: An *n-partite* pure quantum state is called *m*-separable, if it can be written as a product of pure states of a maximum of *m* sub-systems, viz.

$$|\psi_{m\text{-sep}}\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes |\psi_m\rangle, \qquad (1)$$

where m can be any integer $\leq n$.

We will refer to the state in any of the sub-systems as a "sub-state". An *n*-partite pure quantum state is called fully separable, if and only if m = n, and it is genuinely multipartite entangled if and only if the state is not bi-separable, i.e., m = 1. A quantum state, ρ_{m-sep} , possibly mixed, is *m*-separable, if (i) it has at least one pure state decomposition, in which there is at least one *m*-separable pure state and no other state with separability lower than *m* exist in that decomposition, and (ii) there is no other pure state decomposition with all pure states that are \tilde{m} -separable with $\tilde{m} \ge m + 1$. Therefore, there exists a probabilistic decomposition,

$$\rho_{m\text{-sep}} = \sum_{i} p_i \left| \psi^i \right\rangle \left\langle \psi^i \right|,\tag{2}$$

where for at least one i, $|\psi^i\rangle$ will be $|\psi_{m\text{-sep}}\rangle$, and for the other i's, $|\psi^i\rangle$ will be $|\psi_{m'\text{-sep}}\rangle$, where $m' \geq m$. Furthermore, there does not exist a decomposition for which every element is \tilde{m} -separable with $\tilde{m} \geq m + 1$. If there exist m''s which are equal to m, then the individual m-separable pure states composing the n-partite and m-separable mixed state, can be m-separable in different partitions. Hence, in general, m-separable mixed states are not separable with respect to any specific partition, which makes m-separability rather difficult to detect. An n-partite pure quantum state is m-separable, implies that the state will exhibit some entanglement between some parts of the system, provided n > m. The degree of entanglement of an n-partite entangled state can be described by k-partite entanglement, which is defined below.

k-partite entanglement: Any *n*-partite pure quantum state is called *k*-partite entangled, if there exists at least one genuinely *k*-partite entangled sub-state and no other pure sub-state has genuinely \tilde{k} -party entanglement for $\tilde{k} \ge k + 1$.

There can be (k - 1)-partite entangled sub-states present in such states, but the maximum degree of entanglement is k. Similarly as in the *m*-separability case, we can define kpartite entanglement for mixed states also. An *n*-partite quantum state, possibly mixed, is called *k*-partite entangled, when (i) there exist at least one decomposition into pure states, in which at least one pure state is genuinely *k*-partite entangled, (ii) no other state exhibits $\geq (k+1)$ -partite entanglement, and (iii) there is no other pure state decomposition with all pure states that are genuinely \tilde{k} -party entangled with $\tilde{k} \leq k - 1$. A state being k-partite entangled is independent of its mseparability, except the relation $k \le n - m + 1$. Two different *n*-partite and *m*-separable quantum states for the same values of *n* and *m* can be k-partite entangled for two different values of *k*, and the parallel statement is also true when we interchange k-partite entanglement and *m*-separability. This fact is clearly visible from the following example. Let us consider two pure 10-partite quantum states,

- (i) $|GHZ\rangle |GHZ\rangle |GHZ\rangle |0\rangle$,
- (ii) $|GHZ\rangle |\psi^{-}\rangle |\psi^{-}\rangle |\psi^{-}\rangle |0\rangle$,

where $|GHZ\rangle$ is the Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state (GHZ state) [88, 89] for three-qubits, and $|\psi^{-}\rangle$ is one of the Bell states:

$$|GHZ\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle),$$

$$|\psi^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle).$$
 (3)

Here $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ indicate, respectively, the excited and ground state eigenvectors of the Pauli-z operator. Both the states, (i) and (ii), are three-partite entangled as the maximum number of entangled qubits is 3 in both the cases, but (i) is a fourseparable state while (ii) is a five-separable one. Similarly, there exist multipartite states which can be written in the same separable structure, but exhibit different degrees of entanglement.

The complexity of the structure of *m*-separable and *k*-partite entangled states makes their detection for an *n*-partite system with n > 2 rather challenging. There are several multipartite entanglement measures known in the literature. In this paper, we concentrate on the geometric measures (GMs) of entanglement [54, 85–87, 90–92]. The GMs are distance-based measures of entanglement for a multipartite quantum state and is defined by keeping an appropriate class of separable states as the reference states. For clarity of notation, we use GM_m for the geometric measure of entanglement in the further discussions to specify the *m*-separable reference states. We now provide definitions of and brief discussions on a few concepts that will be useful in this paper.

Geometric measure of entanglement: It is a measure of *m*-inseparability of a multipartite entangled state that is quantified by the distance of a given entangled state from the nearest *m*-separable one. For a multipartite pure state $|\psi\rangle$, the geometric measure of entanglement is defined as

$$GM_m(|\psi\rangle) = \min_{|\phi\rangle} (1 - |\langle \phi |\psi\rangle|^2), \tag{4}$$

where $|\phi\rangle$ belongs to the set of *m*-separable pure states.

If this $|\phi\rangle$ belongs to the set of bi-separable pure states, then the multipartite entanglement measure GM_2 will be a measure of genuine multipartite entanglement, the generalised geometric measure (GGM) [93–96]. For a multipartite mixed state ρ , the geometric measure of entanglement can be defined using the convex roof approach [96, 97]. Thus,

$$GM_m(\rho) = \min_{\{p_i, |\psi^i\rangle\}} \sum_i p_i GM_m(|\psi^i\rangle), \tag{5}$$

where the minimisation is over all pure state decompositions of $\rho = \sum_{i} p_i |\psi^i\rangle \langle \psi^i |$.

We now move over to the next concept that we will need in the manuscript, viz. that of multi-orthogonal product bases.

Multi-orthonormal product basis: It is a basis in the Hilbert space $C_G \equiv \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \ldots \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$, whose elements can be written in the product form of the constituent substates of \mathbb{C}^{d_i} where *i* runs from 1 to *n*, and where the local pure states form orthonormal bases in \mathbb{C}^{d_i} . Therefore, a multi-orthonormal (fully separable) product basis in C_G has the form

$$\{|\psi_{j_1}^1\rangle \otimes |\psi_{j_2}^2\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes |\psi_{j_n}^n\rangle\}_{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_n},\tag{6}$$

where j_1 runs from 1 to d_1 , j_2 runs from 1 to d_2 and so on, and $\{|\psi_{j_i}^i\rangle\}_{j_i}$ forms an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{C}^{d_i} for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Similarly, the structure of an *m*-separable multi-orthonormal product basis in the Hilbert space C_G with the corresponding partition being as in $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{d}_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{d}_2} \otimes ... \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{d}_m}$, with $\prod_{i=1}^n d_i = \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{d}_i$, takes the form

$$\{|\psi_{\tilde{j}_1}^1\rangle \otimes |\psi_{\tilde{j}_2}^2\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes |\psi_{\tilde{j}_m}^m\rangle\}_{\tilde{j}_1,\tilde{j}_2,\ldots,\tilde{j}_m}.$$
(7)

Here \tilde{j}_1 runs from 1 to \tilde{d}_1 , \tilde{j}_2 runs from 1 to \tilde{d}_2 and so on. It is important to note that it is always possible to construct an *m*-separable multi-orthonormal product basis from any *n*-partite *m*-separable pure state.

We now move over to the discussion of another resource, viz. quantum coherence. Unlike entanglement, quantum coherence is a basis-dependent quantity. It is always defined with respect to some fixed basis, and changing the basis results in a change in coherence of a system state. The basic idea and the measure of quantum coherence used in this paper are presented below.

Quantum coherence: A pure quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ of a physical system with Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^d is claimed to be quantum coherent with respect to a complete orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^d , if the density matrix $\rho = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$ is not diagonal when written in that basis [4–7].

For a mixed state, the concept of quantum coherence can be defined by using the concept of convex roof [96, 97]. A mixed quantum state ρ , on the Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^d , is said to be quantum coherent with respect to a class of complete orthonormal bases $\{B\}$ of \mathbb{C}^d , if it can not be written as a convex sum of pure states of the same Hilbert space, with zero minimal quantum coherence when optimised over such bases [47]. Therefore, for a quantum state ρ in \mathbb{C}^d , quantum coherence with respect to the class $\{B\}$ of bases in \mathbb{C}^d is given by

$$C_{\{B\}}(\rho) = \min \sum_{i} p_i \min_{B \in \{B\}} C_B(|\psi^i\rangle),$$
 (8)

where the outer minimisation is over all decompositions of ρ into $\sum_i p_i |\psi^i\rangle \langle \psi^i |$ and $C_B(|\psi^i\rangle)$ is any measure of quantum coherence for pure states $|\psi^i\rangle$. In this paper, the measure is taken to be the fidelity-based coherence measure, discussed below.

Fidelity-based quantum coherence measure: For a pure quantum state $|\psi\rangle$, of the Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^d , the fidelity-based quantum coherence with respect to a complete orthonormal basis B can be defined as

$$C_B^F(|\psi\rangle) = \min_{|\tilde{\phi}\rangle \in I} \sqrt{1 - F(|\psi\rangle, |\tilde{\phi}\rangle)},\tag{9}$$

where I is the set of all incoherent pure states corresponding to basis B, i.e., the elements of B, and $F(|\psi\rangle, |\hat{\phi}\rangle) = |\langle \tilde{\phi} | \psi \rangle|^2$. See e.g. [98]. The convex roof construction can lead us to obtain the measure for mixed state inputs, and therein we have,

$$C_B^F(\rho) = \min_{\{p_i, |\psi^i\rangle\}} \sum_i p_i C_B^F(|\psi^i\rangle).$$
(10)

The minimisation is over all the pure state decompositions of $\rho = \sum_{i} p_{i} |\psi^{i}\rangle \langle \psi^{i}|.$

III. CONNECTION OF *m*-SEPARABILITY AND *k*-PARTITE ENTANGLEMENT WITH QUANTUM COHERENCE

It was shown in [47] (see also [42]) that quantum coherence in locally distinguishable bases of bipartite systems can be connected to entanglement, both qualitatively and quantitatively. Multipartite systems however are known to possess a far richer structure, in comparison to bipartite ones, in terms of entanglement [99–101] as well as local distinguishability [102] and some connections between entanglement and quantum coherence in locally distinguishable bases was already alluded to in [47]. We provide here a complete characterisation of this connection with respect to the entire hierarchy of *m*-separable and *k*-partite entangled states.

Theorem 1: An n-partite pure quantum state of the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \ldots \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$ is m-separable if and only if (i) it is quantum coherent with respect to all complete orthonormal bases that are locally distinguishable in an arbitrary partition into m + 1 parties and (ii) incoherent with respect to at least one complete orthonormal basis locally distinguishable in at least one partition into m parties.

Proof: \implies part. Let $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \ldots \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$ be an *m*-separable state. Therefore, $|\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \ldots \otimes |\psi_m\rangle$, with $|\psi_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{\overline{d}_k}$, where $\prod_{k=1}^m \overline{d}_k = \prod_{i=1}^n d_i$. Also, any $|\psi_k\rangle$ is either a single-party state or is genuinely multiparty entangled in $\mathbb{C}^{\overline{d}_k}$. Let $\{B_L^{m+1}\}$ be the set of all complete orthonormal bases locally distinguishable in an arbitrary partition of the *n* parties into m+1 clusters. For a given element of $\{B_L^{m+1}\}$, let that partition be $A_1 : A_2 : \ldots : A_{m+1}$. Then $|\psi\rangle$ is quantum coherent with respect to that element of $\{B_L^{m+1}\}$ [47, 82].

So, $|\psi\rangle$ is quantum coherent with respect to all the elements of $\{B_L^{m+1}\}$.

On the contrary, if we consider the set of all complete orthonormal bases, locally distinguishable in at least one partition into m parties, $|\psi\rangle$ must be incoherent with respect to at least one such basis. The reason is the following. With the m-separable state $|\psi\rangle$, separable in the partition, say A_1 : $A_2 : \ldots : A_m$, we can always construct an m-separable multiorthonormal product basis in $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \ldots \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$, which can be distinguished by LOCC in the partition $A_1 : A_2 : \ldots : A_m$. As $|\psi\rangle$ is an element of this basis, it is naturally incoherent with respect to the basis.

 \Leftarrow part. On the other way around, let us consider that $|\psi\rangle$ is an m'-separable state with $m' \neq m$. If $m' \geq m + 1$, there always exists at least one complete orthonormal basis locally distinguishable in at least one partition into $\leq m + 1$ parties, in which $|\psi\rangle$ exhibits zero coherence. Therefore, this satisfies (ii), but violates (i). Again, for m' < m, $|\psi\rangle$ is quantum coherent with respect to all complete orthonormal bases locally distinguishable in an arbitrary partition into m as well as m + 1 parties. This obeys (i), but violates (ii). Hence, for m'-separable states for $m' \neq m$, both the conditions (i) and (ii) can not be satisfied at the same time.

Therefore, *Theorem 1* gives a necessary and sufficient condition for an n-party pure quantum state to be m-separable. A similar theorem can be stated for mixed states.

Theorem 2: Any n-partite quantum state ρ , possibly mixed, is m-separable on the $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$ Hilbert space, if and only if (i) it has a non-zero quantum coherence with respect to all complete orthonormal bases that are locally distinguishable in an arbitrary partition into m + 1 parties and (ii) exhibits a zero quantum coherence with respect to a class of complete orthonormal bases locally distinguishable in an arbitrary partition into m parties.

Proof: \implies part. Let $\rho_{m\text{-sep}}$ be an *n*-partite, *m*-separable mixed state on the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$. According to the definition given in Eq. (2), there exists at least one pure state decomposition of $\rho_{m\text{-sep}}$, which contains at least one *m*-separable pure state, $|\psi_{m\text{-sep}}^i\rangle$, and all other pure states in that decomposition are *m'*-separable with $m' \geq m$. Moreover, there is no pure state decomposition of $\rho_{m\text{-sep}}$ containing \tilde{m} -separable pure states with $\tilde{m} \geq m + 1$. So, if we consider a set of all complete orthonormal bases $\{B_L^{m+1}\}$, every element of which is locally distinguishable in an arbitrary partition into m + 1 parties, then $|\psi_{m\text{-sep}}^i\rangle$ exhibits non-zero quantum coherence with respect to all elements of that set. Hence, $\rho_{m\text{-sep}}$ is quantum coherent with respect to the class of bases $\{B_L^{m+1}\}$ according to the definition of coherence for a mixed state given in (8).

On the other hand, suppose $\{B_L^m\}$ be the set of all complete orthonormal bases, that are locally distinguishable in an arbitrary partition of the *n* parties into *m* clusters. Now, let us suppose that $|\psi_{m-sep}^i\rangle$ is *m*-separable in the $\mathcal{A} \equiv A_1 : A_2 : \ldots : A_m$ partition. Hence, if we construct an *m*-separable multi-orthonormal product basis with $|\psi_{m-sep}^i\rangle$, it will be an element of the set $\{B_L^m\}$ and $|\psi_{m-sep}^i\rangle$ is incoherent in that basis.

Now, for another constituent pure state of $\rho_{m\text{-sep}} |\psi_{m'\text{-sep}}^i\rangle$, that is m'-separable with $m' \geq m$, we can again construct an m-separable multi-orthonormal product basis that are locally distinguishable in a partition into m parties, which can be same or different from \mathcal{A} . Each of these constructed bases are elements of the set $\{B_L^m\}$ and the corresponding $|\psi_{m'\text{-sep}}^i\rangle$ are incoherent with respect to that basis. So, $\rho_{m\text{-sep}}$ can be written as a convex sum of pure states of the same Hilbert space, with zero minimal quantum coherence when optimised over the set of bases $\{B_L^m\}$. Thus, from the definition of quantum coherence for a mixed state (see (8)), $\rho_{m\text{-sep}}$ is incoherent with respect to the set of bases $\{B_L^m\}$.

 \Leftarrow part. For an *n*-partite state being m'-separable, $\rho_{m',sep}$, for $m' \neq m$, both the conditions (i) and (ii) can not be satisfied simultaneously as in *Theorem 1*. This completes the proof.

We now move over to a characterisation of k-partite entangled states.

Theorem 3: An n-partite pure quantum state in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$ is k-partite entangled if and only if (i) there exist at least one k-partite sub-state, that is quantum coherent with respect to all k-partite complete orthonormal bases, locally distinguishable in an arbitrary bipartition of the k parties and (ii) each of the existing \tilde{k} -partite sub-states of the n-party state with $\tilde{k} \geq k + 1$ is incoherent with respect to at least one \tilde{k} -partite complete orthonormal bases, locally distinguishable in at least one bipartition of the \tilde{k} parties.

Proof: \implies part. Let $|\psi\rangle$ be an *n*-partite pure quantum state in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_3} \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$, which is *k*-partite entangled. Therefore, there exist at least one *k*-partite sub-state in $|\psi\rangle$, say $|\psi_k\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{d'_k}$, which is genuinely *k*-party entangled. Let us now take the set of all complete orthonormal bases $\{B_L^k\}$ in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{d'_k}$, that are locally distinguishable in an arbitrary bipartition of the *k* parties. Suppose, for one element of $\{B_L^k\}$, the partition be $A_1 : A_{k-1}$. As $|\psi_k\rangle$ is entangled across all bipartitions of the *k* parties, it is quantum coherent with respect to this basis. Similarly, for other elements of $\{B_L^k\}$ having local distinguishability in any other bipartition of the *k* parties, $|\psi_k\rangle$ is quantum coherent in that basis also. So, $|\psi_k\rangle$ is quantum coherent with respect to all elements of the set $\{B_L^k\}$.

Now, suppose $\{B_L^k\}$ be a set of all \tilde{k} -partite complete othonormal bases in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{d_{\tilde{k}}}$, locally distinguishable in an arbitrary bipartition into \tilde{k} parties with $\tilde{k} \ge k + 1$. An *n*-partite pure quantum state, which is *k*-partite entangled, does not contain a $\ge (k + 1)$ -partite genuinely entangled substate and hence all the \tilde{k} -partite sub-states of $|\psi\rangle$ are not genuinely entangled. It means they are separable in at least one bipartition into \tilde{k} parties. So, for each of the \tilde{k} -partite substates, there exists at least one element in the set $\{B_L^{\tilde{k}}\}$ with respect to which the corresponding \tilde{k} -partite sub-state will be incoherent.

 \Leftarrow part. On the other way round, let us consider that the state $|\psi\rangle$ is k'-partite entangled, where $k' \neq k$. If $k' \geq k + 1$,

there may exist a pure sub-state of $|\psi\rangle$ which is genuinely kpartite entangled and hence quantum coherent with respect to all complete orthonormal bases locally distinguishable in an arbitrary bipartition of the k parties, but there also exists at least one k'-partite sub-state which is quantum coherent with respect to all complete orthonormal bases locally distinguishable in an arbitrary bipartition of the k' parties. This satisfies condition (i), but violates condition (ii). For k' < k, there is no k-partite pure sub-state of $|\psi\rangle$ which is quantum coherent with respect to all complete orthonormal bases locally distinguishable in an arbitrary bipartition of the k parties as there is no k-partite genuinely entangled pure state. So, this violates condition (i). Therefore, for $k' \neq k$, both the conditions (i) and (ii) can not be satisfied. This completes the proof.

Remark: The proof of *Theorem 3* is an extension of *Theorem 8* of [47] for a boarder spectrum of multiparty entanglement. There is a typo in the statement of *Theorem 8* in [47]. The correct statement is the following.

A multiparty pure state in $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_3} \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_m}$ is genuinely multiparty entangled if and only if it is quantum coherent with respect to all complete orthonormal bases that are locally distinguishable in an arbitrary bipartition of the m parties.

The proof is similar with the proof of condition (i) of *Theorem 3* of this paper.

An extension to mixed states is in the following theorem.

Theorem 4: An *n*-partite quantum state ρ , possibly mixed, on the $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$ Hilbert space, is *K*-partite entangled if and only if (1) there exist at least one pure state decomposition of ρ , in which at least one *n*-partite pure state obeys the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3 for k = K, and no other pure state in that decomposition obey either of the same conditions for $k \geq K + 1$, and (2) there is no other pure state decomposition of ρ , in which all pure states satisfy (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3 for $k \leq K - 1$.

Proof: The proof of this theorem can be obtained by applying the arguments of the proof of *Theorem 3* by keeping in mind all the three conditions of a mixed state to be k-partite entangled, discussed in the previous section.

For bipartite scenarios, the minimal relative entropy of quantum coherence was found to be equal with the entanglement of formation of a state in [47]. Below we show that for the multiparty case, the square of minimal fidelity-based quantum coherence turns out to be equal to the geometric measure of entanglement.

Theorem 5: The square of the minimum fidelity-based quantum coherence of an n-partite pure entangled state, with respect to an optimal complete orthonormal basis locally distinguishable in a partition into m-parties, is equal to the geometric measure of entanglement, which quantifies the minseparability of the *n*-partite entangled state. For an *n*-partite mixed state, the geometric measure of entanglement is equal to the convex sum of the square of minimal fidelitybased quantum coherence for pure states of the same Hilbert space.

Proof: Let $|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle$ be an *n*-partite *m'*-separable pure entangled state, where m' < m, in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}_G \equiv \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_n}$. The GM_m of the state, according to the definition given in Eq. (4), is

$$GM_m(|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle) = \min_{|P\rangle} (1 - |\langle P|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle|^2), \qquad (11)$$

where $|P\rangle$ belongs to the set of all *m*-separable states. Let the optimal $|P\rangle$, that minimises the above quantity be $|P_m\rangle =$ $|P'_1\rangle \otimes |P'_2\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes |P'_m\rangle$. Let the corresponding partition into Hilbert spaces of \mathbb{C}_G be $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{d}_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{d}_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{d}_m}$, with $\prod_{i=1}^n d_i = \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{d}_i$. Therefore we have,

$$GM_m(|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle) = 1 - |\langle P_m|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle|^2.$$
(12)

Now, using this $|P_m\rangle$, we can construct an *m*-separable multiorthonormal product basis, B_L^m , that is LOCC distinguishable in $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{d}_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{d}_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{d}_m}$. Clearly, $|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle$ is not an element of B_L^m , as it is m'-separable with m' < m, and hence will have non-zero quantum coherence with respect to that basis. Note that $|P_m\rangle$ can also be interpreted as the closest m-separable pure state to $|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle$ that is incoherent with respect to the basis B_L^m . So, basically $GM_m(|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle)$ measures the minimum distance of the state $|\psi_n^{m'}
angle$ from the nearest pure incoherent state with respect to an optimal basis, optimised over the set of all complete orthonormal bases, $\{B_L^m\}$, that are LOCC distinguishable in any arbitrary partition into m parties. This is equal to the square of the minimum of fidelity-based coherence measure defined in Eq. (9). So, $GM_m(|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle) = (C_{B_L^m}^F(|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle))^2$, where $C_{B_L^m}^F(|\psi_n^{m'}\rangle)$ is minimised over all the bases in the set $\{B_L^m\}$. Moreover, for an *m*-separable pure state, the GM_m measure will be zero and also the minimum fidelity based coherence measure is zero as we can form a basis with that state, which will be an element of the set $\{B_L^m\}$. So, here we are able to relate quantum coherence with the geometric measure of entanglement for an *n*-partite pure quantum state. In case of detecting genuine multipartite entanglement of the considered state, the $|P\rangle$ has to be the set of all bi-separable pure states. The connection between the fidelity-based quantum coherence measure with the GGM still holds in that case. A convex roof approach for the extension to an *n*-partite *m'*-separable mixed states, $\rho_n^{m'}$, leads to the relation of the same, given by

$$GM_m(\rho_n^{m'}) = \min_{\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}} \sum_i p_i (C_B^F(|\psi_i\rangle))^2.$$
(13)

The outer minimisation is over all the pure state decompositions of $\rho_n^{m'} = \sum_i p_i |\psi^i\rangle \langle \psi^i |$.

Remark: This theorem is only valid for m'-separable states with $m' \leq m$. For m' > m, the optimal value of quantum coherence is always zero, as all m'-separable states are

incoherent with respect to at least one complete orthonormal basis locally distinguishable in at least one partition into m parties. On the other hand, according to the definition of m-separability taken in this paper, the geometric measure of entanglement, GM_m , will exhibit non-zero values for m' > m, as in this case, $|P_m\rangle$ will be different from $|\psi_m^{m'}\rangle$.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we established an inter-relation between the two resources, quantum entanglement and quantum coherence for multiparty scenarios. Understanding, discerning, and computing of detection and quantification of entanglement for multiparty cases is of critical importance in efficient usage of quantum devices and for better comprehension of cooperative quantum phenomena. Therefore, it is fruitful to relate multipartite entanglement of a system with other physical quantities like quantum coherence, which are *a priori* unrelated to the former. We found that m-separability and k-partite entanglement of pure or mixed multiparty quantum states of arbitrary dimensions and arbitrary number of parties are connected qualitatively as well as quantitatively to quantum coherence of the same states in certain locally distinguishable bases. In particular, we found a relation between the geometric measure of m-inseparable entanglement and the minimum fidelity-based quantum coherence, with respect to an optimal locally distinguishable basis in a certain partition into m parties.

V. ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

This research was supported in part by the 'INFOSYS scholarship for senior students'. We also acknowledge partial support from the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, through the QUEST grant with Grant No. DST/ICPS/QUST/Theme-3/2019/120.

- R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Quantum entanglement, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 865 (2009).
- [2] O. Gühne and G. Tóth, Entanglement detection, Phys. Rep. 474, 1 (2009).
- [3] S. Das, T. Chanda, M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, A. Sen(De), and U. Sen, *The separability versus entanglement problem, in Quantum Information: From Foun- dations to Quantum Technology Applications, 2nd ed., edited by D. Bruß and G. Leuchs* (Wiley, Weinheim, 2019).
- [4] J. Åberg, Quantifying superposition, arXiv:quant-ph/0612146.
- [5] T. Baumgratz, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Quantifying coherence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 140401 (2014).
- [6] A. Winter and D. Yang, Operational resource theory of coherence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 120404 (2016).
- [7] A. Streltsov, G. Adesso, and M. B. Plenio, Colloquium: Quantum coherence as a resource, Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 041003 (2017).
- [8] C. H. Bennett and S. J. Wiesner, Communication via oneand two-particle operators on einstein-podolsky-rosen states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2881 (1992).
- [9] Y. Guo, B.-H. Liu, C.-F. Li, and G.-C. Guo, Advances in quantum dense coding, Advanced Quantum Technologies 2, 1900011 (2019).
- [10] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and einstein-podolsky-rosen channels, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).
- [11] S. Pirandola, J. Eisert, C. Weedbrook, A. Furusawa, and S. L. Braunstein, Advances in quantum teleportation, Nature Photonics 9, 641 (2015).
- [12] T. Liu, The applications and challenges of quantum teleportation, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1634, 012089 (2020).
- [13] A. K. Ekert, Quantum cryptography based on bell's theorem, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 661 (1991).
- [14] N. Gisin, G. Ribordy, W. Tittel, and H. Zbinden, Quantum cryptography, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 145 (2002).

- [15] S. Pirandola, U. L. Andersen, L. Banchi, M. Berta, D. Bunandar, R. Colbeck, D. Englund, T. Gehring, C. Lupo, C. Ottaviani, J. L. Pereira, M. Razavi, J. S. Shaari, M. Tomamichel, V. C. Usenko, G. Vallone, P. Villoresi, and P. Wallden, Advances in quantum cryptography, Adv. Opt. Photon. **12**, 1012 (2020).
- [16] C. Portmann and R. Renner, Security in quantum cryptography, arXiv:2102.00021.
- [17] M. D. Lukin, Quantum coherence and interference in optics and laser spectroscopy, Ph.D. thesis, Texas A&M University System (1998).
- [18] D. P. Pires, I. A. Silva, E. R. deAzevedo, D. O. Soares-Pinto, and J. G. Filgueiras, Coherence orders, decoherence, and quantum metrology, Phys. Rev. A 98, 032101 (2018).
- [19] A. Castellini, R. Lo Franco, L. Lami, A. Winter, G. Adesso, and G. Compagno, Indistinguishability-enabled coherence for quantum metrology, Phys. Rev. A 100, 012308 (2019).
- [20] C. Zhang, T. R. Bromley, Y.-F. Huang, H. Cao, W.-M. Lv, B.-H. Liu, C.-F. Li, G.-C. Guo, M. Cianciaruso, and G. Adesso, Demonstrating quantum coherence and metrology that is resilient to transversal noise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 180504 (2019).
- [21] M. Hillery, Coherence as a resource in decision problems: The deutsch-jozsa algorithm and a variation, Phys. Rev. A 93, 012111 (2016).
- [22] N. Anand and A. K. Pati, Coherence and entanglement monogamy in the discrete analogue of analog grover search, arXiv:1611.04542.
- [23] H.-L. Shi, S.-Y. Liu, X.-H. Wang, W.-L. Yang, Z.-Y. Yang, and H. Fan, Coherence depletion in the grover quantum search algorithm, Phys. Rev. A 95, 032307 (2017).
- [24] Y.-C. Liu, J. Shang, and X. Zhang, Coherence depletion in quantum algorithms, Entropy 21, 10.3390/e21030260 (2019).
- [25] S. S and U. Sen, Noncommutative coherence and quantum phase estimation algorithm, arXiv:2004.01419.
- [26] C. Xiong and J. Wu, Geometric coherence and quantum state discrimination, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 51, 414005 (2018).

- [27] S. Kim, L. Li, A. Kumar, C. Xiong, S. Das, U. Sen, A. K. Pati, and J. Wu, Protocol for unambiguous quantum state discrimination using quantum coherence, arXiv:1807.04542.
- M. Huber, A. Riera, L. del [28] J. Goold, Rio. and P. Skrzypczyk, The role of quantum intopical formation in thermodynamics—a review. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 49, 143001 (20[5]] M. Huber, F. Mintert, A. Gabriel, and B. C. Hiesmayr, Detec-
- [29] M. Lostaglio, K. Korzekwa, D. Jennings, and T. Rudolph, Quantum coherence, time-translation symmetry, and thermodynamics, Phys. Rev. X 5, 021001 (2015).
- [30] A. Misra, U. Singh, S. Bhattacharya, and A. K. Pati, Energy cost of creating quantum coherence, Phys. Rev. A 93, 052335 (2016).
- [31] M. Lostaglio, D. Jennings, and T. Rudolph, Thermodynamic resource theories, non-commutativity and maximum entropy principles, New Journal of Physics 19, 043008 (2017).
- [32] S. Huelga and M. Plenio, Vibrations, quanta biology, Contemporary Physics 54, 181 (2013), and https://doi.org/10.1080/00405000.2013.829687.
- [33] J. Oppenheim, K. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Mutually exclusive aspects of information carried by physical systems: Complementarity between local and nonlocal information, Phys. Rev. A 68, 022307 (2003).
- [34] J. K. Asbóth, J. Calsamiglia, and H. Ritsch, Computable measure of nonclassicality for light, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 173602 (2005).
- [35] Y. Yao, X. Xiao, L. Ge, and C. P. Sun, Quantum coherence in multipartite systems, Phys. Rev. A 92, 022112 (2015).
- [36] A. Streltsov, U. Singh, H. S. Dhar, M. N. Bera, and G. Adesso, Measuring quantum coherence with entanglement, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 020403 (2015).
- [37] Z. Xi, Y. Li, and H. Fan, Measuring quantum coherence with entanglement, Sci. Rep. 5, 10922 (2015).
- [38] E. Chitambar and M.-H. Hsieh, Relating the resource theories of entanglement and quantum coherence. Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 020402 (2016).
- [39] N. Killoran, F. E. S. Steinhoff, and M. B. Plenio. Converting nonclassicality into entanglement, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 080402 (2016).
- [40] A. Streltsov, E. Chitambar, S. Rana, M. N. Bera, A. Winter, and M. Lewenstein, Entanglement and coherence in quantum state merging, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 240405 (2016).
- T. Gao, and F. Yan, [41] X. Qi, Measuring coherence with entanglement concurrence. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 50, 285301 (20[64] O. Gittsovich, P. Hyllus, and O. Gühne, Multiparti-
- [42] H. Zhu, Z. Ma, Z. Cao, S.-M. Fei, and V. Vedral, Operational one-to-one mapping between coherence and entanglement measures, Phys. Rev. A 96, 032316 (2017).
- [43] S. Chin, Coherence number as a discrete quantum resource, Phys. Rev. A 96, 042336 (2017).
- [44] H. Zhu, M. Hayashi, and L. Chen, Axiomatic and operational connections between the l_1 -norm of coherence and negativity, Phys. Rev. A 97, 022342 (2018).
- [45] D. Egloff, J. M. Matera, T. Theurer, and M. B. Plenio, Of local operations and physical wires, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031005 (2018).
- [46] L. Kraemer and L. del Rio, Currencies in resource theories, Entropy 23, 10.3390/e23060755 (2021).
- [47] A. Mekala and U. Sen, All entangled states are quantum coherent with locally distinguishable bases, Phys. Rev. A 104, L050402 (2021).
- [48] A. Bhattacharyya, A. Ghoshal, and U. Sen, Correlation between resource-generating capacities of quantum gates, arXiv:2110.13839.

- [49] W. Laskowski, T. Paterek, Č. Brukner, and M. Żukowski, Entanglement and communication-reducing properties of noisy n-qubit states, Phys. Rev. A 81, 042101 (2010).
- [50] O. Gühne Separability and M. Seevinck, crigenuine multiparticle for entanglement, teria New Journal of Physics 12, 053002 (2010).
- tion of high-dimensional genuine multipartite entanglement of mixed states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 210501 (2010).
- [52] A. Gabriel, B. C. Hiesmayr, and M. Huber, Criterion for k-separability in mixed multipartite systems, Quantum Inf. Comput. 10, 829 (2010).
- [53] Ananth, N., Chandrasekar, V.K., and Senthilvelan, M., Criteria for non-k-separability of n-partite quantum states, Eur. Phys. J. D 69, 56 (2015).
- [54] S. Das, T. Chanda, M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, A. S. De, and U. Sen, The separability versus entanglement problem, arXiv:1701.02187.
- [55] T. Gao and Y. Hong, Detection of genuinely entangled and nonseparable n-partite quantum states, Phys. Rev. A 82, 062113 (2010).
- [56] Gao, T. and Hong, Y., Separability criteria for several classes of n-partite quantum states, Eur. Phys. J. D 61, 765 (2011).
- [57] J.-Y. Wu, H. Kampermann, D. Bruß, C. Klöckl, and M. Huber, Determining lower bounds on a measure of multipartite entanglement from few local observables, Phys. Rev. A 86, 022319 (2012).
- [58] Z.-H. Chen, Z.-H. Ma, J.-L. Chen, and S. Severini, Improved lower bounds on genuine-multipartite-entanglement concurrence, Phys. Rev. A 85, 062320 (2012).
- [59] G. Vitagliano, P. Hyllus, I. n. L. Egusquiza, and G. Tóth, Spin squeezing inequalities for arbitrary spin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 240502 (2011).
- [60] M. Seevinck and G. Svetlichny, Bell-type inequalities for partial separability in *n*-particle systems and quantum mechanical violations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 060401 (2002).
- [61] A. C. Doherty, P. A. Parrilo, and F. M. Spedalieri, Distinguishing separable and entangled states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 187904 (2002).
- [62] A. C. Doherty, P. A. Parrilo, F. and M. Spedalieri, Complete family of separability criteria, Phys. Rev. A 69, 022308 (2004).
- [63] A. C. Doherty, P. A. Parrilo, and F. M. Spedalieri, Detecting multipartite entanglement, Phys. Rev. A 71, 032333 (2005).
- cle covariance matrices and the impossibility of detecting graph-state entanglement with two-particle correlations, Phys. Rev. A 82, 032306 (2010).
- [65] P. Hyllus, W. Laskowski, R. Krischek, C. Schwemmer, W. Wieczorek, H. Weinfurter, L. Pezzé, and A. Smerzi, Fisher information and multiparticle entanglement, Phys. Rev. A 85, 022321 (2012).
- [66] G. Tóth, Multipartite entanglement and high-precision metrology, Phys. Rev. A 85, 022322 (2012).
- [67] Y. Hong, T. Gao, and F. Yan, Measure of multipartite entanglement with computable lower bounds, Phys. Rev. A 86, 062323 (2012).
- [68] M. Huber, M. Perarnau-Llobet, and J. I. de Vicente, Entropy vector formalism and the structure of multidimensional entanglement in multipartite systems, Phys. Rev. A 88, 042328 (2013).
- [69] T. Gao, Y. Hong, Y. Lu, and F. Yan, Efficient kseparability criteria for mixed multipartite quantum states, EPL (Europhysics Letters) 104, 20007 (2013).

- [70] T. Gao, F. Yan, and S. J. van Enk, Permutationally invariant part of a density matrix and nonseparability of *n*-qubit states, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 180501 (2014).
- [71] C. Klöckl and M. Huber, Characterizing multipartite entanglement without shared reference frames, Phys. Rev. A 91, 042339 (2015).
- [72] Y. Hong, S. Luo, and H. Song, Detecting k-nonseparability via quantum fisher information, Phys. Rev. A 91, 042313 (2015).
- [73] M. Gessner, L. Pezzè, and A. Smerzi, Efficient entanglement criteria for discrete, continuous, and hybrid variables, Phys. Rev. A 94, 020101 (2016).
- [74] Y. Hong and S. Luo, Detecting *k*-nonseparability via local uncertainty relations, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 042310 (2016).
- [75] Y. Hong, T. Gao, and F. Yan, Detection of k-partite entanglement and k-nonseparability of multipartite quantum states, Physics Letters A 401, 127347 (2021).
- [76] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, J. A. Smolin, and W. Wootters, Mixed-state entanglement and quantum error correction, Phys. Rev. A 54, 3824 (1996).
- [77] V. Vedral and M. B. Plenio, Entanglement measures and purification procedures, Phys. Rev. A 57, 1619 (1998).
- [78] E. M. Rains, Entanglement purification via separable superoperators, arXiv:quant-ph/9707002 (1998).
- [79] E. Chitambar, D. Leung, L. Mančinska, M. Ozols, and A. Winter, Everything you always wanted to know about LOCC (but were afraid to ask), Communications in Mathematical Physics **328**, 303 (2014).
- [80] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, C. A. Fuchs, T. Mor, E. Rains, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, Quantum nonlocality without entanglement, Phys. Rev. A 59, 1070 (1999).
- [81] J. Walgate, A. J. Short, L. Hardy, and V. Vedral, Local distinguishability of multipartite orthogonal quantum states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4972 (2000).
- [82] M. Horodecki, A. Sen(De), U. Sen, and K. Horodecki, Local indistinguishability: More nonlocality with less entanglement, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 047902 (2003).
- [83] A.Wehrl, General properties of entropy, Rev. Mod. Phys. 50, 221 (1978).
- [84] V.Vedral, The role of relative entropy in quantum information theory, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 197 (2002).
- [85] T.-C. Wei and P. M. Goldbart, Geometric measure of entanglement and applications to bipartite and multipartite quantum states, Phys. Rev. A 68, 042307 (2003).
- [86] M. Blasone, F. Dell'Anno, S. De Siena, and F. Illuminati, Hierarchies of geometric entanglement, Phys. Rev. A 77, 062304 (2008).

- [87] M. Cianciaruso, T. R. Bromley, and G. Adesso, Accessible quantification of multiparticle entanglement, npj Quantum Information 2, 2056 (2016).
- [88] D. M. Greenberger, M. A. Horne, and A. Zeilinger, Going beyond bell's theorem, in: 'Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory, and Conceptions of the Universe', M. Kafatos (Ed.), Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 69 (1989).
- [89] N. D. Mermin, Quantum mysteries revisited, Am. J. Phys. 58, 731 (1990).
- [90] S. Hu, L. Qi, and G. Zhang, Computing the geometric measure of entanglement of multipartite pure states by means of nonnegative tensors, Phys. Rev. A 93, 012304 (2016).
- [91] R. Hübener, M. Kleinmann, T.-C. Wei, C. González-Guillén, and O. Gühne, Geometric measure of entanglement for symmetric states, Phys. Rev. A 80, 032324 (2009).
- [92] L. Chen, A. Xu, and H. Zhu, Computation of the geometric measure of entanglement for pure multiqubit states, Phys. Rev. A 82, 032301 (2010).
- [93] A. Sen(De) and U. Sen, Channel capacities versus entanglement measures in multiparty quantum states, Phys. Rev. A 81, 012308 (2010).
- [94] A. Sen(De) and U. Sen, Bound genuine multisite entanglement: Detector of gapless-gapped quantum transitions in frustrated systems, arXiv:1002.1253.
- [95] A. Biswas, R. Prabhu, A. Sen(De), and U. Sen, Genuine-multipartite-entanglement trends in gaplessto-gapped transitions of quantum spin systems, Phys. Rev. A 90, 032301 (2014).
- [96] T. Das, S. S. Roy, S. Bagchi, A. Misra, A. Sen(De), and U. Sen, Generalized geometric measure of entanglement for multiparty mixed states, Phys. Rev. A 94, 022336 (2016).
- [97] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, Mixed-state entanglement and quantum error correction, Phys. Rev. A 54, 3824 (1996).
- [98] C. L. Liu, D.-J. Zhang, X.-D. Yu, Q.-M. Ding, and L. Liu, A new coherence measure based on fidelity, Quantum Information Processing 16, 198 (2017).
- [99] S. Nezami and M. Walter, Multipartite entanglement in stabilizer tensor networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 241602 (2020).
- [100] H. Yamasaki, A. Pirker, M. Murao, W. Dür, and B. Kraus, Multipartite entanglement outperforming bipartite entanglement under limited quantum system sizes, Phys. Rev. A 98, 052313 (2018).
- [101] M. G. M. Moreno and F. Parisio, Critical behaviour in the optimal generation of multipartite entanglement., (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06299-5).
- [102] S. Halder, M. Banik, S. Agrawal, and S. Bandyopadhyay, Strong quantum nonlocality without entanglement, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 040403 (2019).