

# A Security-Constrained Optimal Power Management Algorithm for Shipboard Microgrids with Battery Energy Storage System

F. D'Agostino, M. Gallo, M. Saviozzi, F. Silvestro

University of Genova

DITEN - Dipartimento di Ingegneria Navale, Elettrica, Elettronica e delle Telecomunicazioni

Genova, Italy

fabio.dagostino@unige.it, marco.gallo@edu.unige.it, matteo.saviozzi@unige.it, federico.silvestro@unige.it

**Abstract**—This work proposes an optimal power management strategy for shipboard microgrids equipped with diesel generators and a battery energy storage system. The optimization provides both the unit commitment and the optimal power dispatch of all the resources, in order to ensure reliable power supply at minimum cost and with minimum environmental impact. The optimization is performed solving a mixed integer linear programming problem, where the constraints are defined according to the operational limits of the resources when a contingency occurs. The algorithm is tested on a notional all-electric ship where the ship's electrical load is generated through a Markov chain, modeled on real measurement data. The results show that the proposed power management strategy successfully maximizes fuel saving while ensuring blackout prevention capability.

**Index Terms**—Power Management Strategy, Security Constraints, Battery Energy Storage System, Efficiency.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ecological transition is one of the main topics addressed nowadays. Emissions from maritime transport accounts for about 3% of Global Greenhouse Gases (GHG), as well as 13% of Nitrogen Oxide ( $NO_x$ ) and 12% of Sulphur Oxide ( $SO_x$ ) emissions, including Particulate Matter (PM), methane, all known to be harmful to human health [1].

In order to limit the emission of the ships, in 1973, the International Maritime Organization (IMO) adopted the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships known as MARPOL [2]. From 2020 the use of Very Low Sulphur Fuel Oil (VLSFO) has become mandatory (0.50% sulphur limit). This is in agreement to the "European Union's Fit for 55" climate package of legislative proposals [3]. These policies include measures to reduce GHG emissions by 55% by 2030 compared to 1990 values.

Several recent papers propose the utilization of Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) as a mean to improve efficiency in All Electric Ship (AES) where the electrical generating is provided by Diesel Generator (DG). In [4], a wide range of functions for BESS is described. The strategic loading is an interesting function wherein the BESS is exploited to optimize the working point of the DG.

In AES one of the main challenges is to design the Power Management System (PMS) strategy that coordinates the

power sources to achieve efficient and robust operation. As per the International Association of Classification Societies (IACS) guidelines, in the event of a failure of one generating unit, the system must be able to avoid the blackout [5]. Therefore, the security constraints need to take into account the two main limitations of each generating unit: the maximum overload and the maximum permissible load step that a generator can absorb.

There are different strategies that have been presented in literature. In [6], a rules based power management strategy is proposed with the aim of increasing the anti blackout capability while minimising fuel consumption. An optimization is carried out to realize a load dependent start-up table, which rules the status of the generators (on, off). In [7], a two step multi-objective optimization method for AES is proposed. In [8], a security constrained power management strategy is designed to optimally operate the system and to guarantee its security. In [9], an optimal power management method is proposed so that the operational costs are minimized.

In this paper an optimal power management strategy for shipboard microgrids equipped with DGs and a BESS is proposed. The algorithm, based on an optimization problem, provides both the Unit Commitment (UC) and the economic dispatch of all generating units. The proposed methodology is based on a Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP) problem wherein security constraints are modelled in order to avoid the blackout in the event of a failure. The modelling of the security constraints represents the main contribution of this paper. The algorithm is validated through simulations on a notional cruise ship with four main DGs and a BESS where the electrical load is modelled starting from real measurements.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces the System Modelling adopted for the PMS, Section III provides the Optimization Problem, Section IV reports Simulation and Results Analysis while the conclusions are reported in Section V.

## II. SYSTEM MODELLING

Figure 1 reports the notional architecture of the selected cruise ship. The generating resources of the ship are composed of DGs and a BESS that are based on real components.




Fig. 1: System Architecture.

In an AES the main load is represented by the propulsion system, which is a function of the speed of the ship. The extra propulsive ship's load (e.g. HVAC, galley, accommodation, etc.) is represented by the hotel load [10]. Each of these loads are evaluated in the Electric Power Load Analysis (EPLA) of the ship that are divided according to the Operating Conditions (OCs) (e.g. full power, navigation, etc.) of the ship [11].

### A. DG Fuel Consumption

The DG fuel consumption is modelled by a linearized Specific Fuel Oil Consumption (SFOC) curve reported in Fig. 2. The linearization is performed in two steps: (i) fitting the real data, (ii) linearize the fitted curve.

There is a non-linear relationship between SFOC and DG's power. From the manufacturers data-sheet the SFOC is obtained at some working point of the power of the DG [12]. These data are fitted by a polynomial regression. As in [13], it is assumed a parabolic function between SFOC and the power of the DG. From this regression a linearization is then performed.

Figure 2 shows the results of the linearization that divides the quadratic function in 10 equal intervals.




Fig. 2: SFOC curve.

The  $m$ -th interval is a line with slope  $a_{m,i}(t)$  and intercept  $b_{m,i}(t)$  ( $i$  indicates the  $i$ -th DG).

### B. Battery Energy Storage System

The State Of Charge (SOC) of the battery is modelled according to the following equations as in [14]:

$$SOC(t+1) = SOC(t) + \left( P_b^d(t) \cdot \frac{1}{\eta_d} - P_b^c(t) \cdot \eta_c \right) \cdot \frac{\Delta t}{E_n} \quad \forall t = 1 : T \quad (1)$$

Where  $E_n$  [kWh] is the energy of the battery,  $P_b^c$  [kW] and  $P_b^d$  [kW] are respectively the charge and discharge power of the battery,  $\Delta t$  is the granularity of the control and  $T$  is the horizon of the optimization. The BESS is composed by an energy storage and a conversion system. These components are modelled through the efficiency of the BESS which is different for the charging ( $\eta_c$ ) and the discharging ( $\eta_d$ ) of the storage system. It is assumed that the initial ( $t = 1$ ) SOC is known and it is equal to the final ( $t = T$ ) value.

## III. OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

The proposed methodology is based on a MILP optimization algorithm implemented in MATLAB environment. Several constraints are implemented in the algorithm to model the DGs, the BESS and to manage the security of the system.

### A. DG Constraints

The linearization of the SFOC curve of the DGs is modelled by the equation as in [15]:

$$P_{DG,i}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{n_i} \delta_{m,i}(t) \quad \forall i = 1 : N, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (2)$$

$$0 \leq \delta_{m,i}(t) \leq \frac{P_{DG,i}^n}{n_i} \quad \forall i = 1 : N, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (3)$$

where  $P_{DG,i}(t)$  is the active power set-point of the  $i$ -th DG,  $\delta_{m,i}(t)$  is the auxiliary variable of the  $m$ -th interval of the  $i$ -th DG,  $P_{DG,i}^n$  is the rated power of the  $i$ -th DG,  $N$  is the total number of DGs, and  $n_i$  indicates the number of linearization intervals.

The active power of the DGs is bounded between a maximum (4) and a minimum (5) set-point:

$$P_{DG,i}(t) \leq c_{DG,max} \cdot P_{DG,i}^n \cdot z_i(t) \quad \forall i = 1 : N, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (4)$$

$$P_{DG,i}(t) \geq c_{DG,min} \cdot P_{DG,i}^n \cdot z_i(t) \quad \forall i = 1 : N, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (5)$$

In these equations the parameters  $c_{DG,min}$  and  $c_{DG,max}$  are respectively the coefficients that allow to evaluate the minimum and the maximum active power of the  $i$ -th DG starting from  $P_{DG,i}^n$ . The binary variable  $z_i(t)$  identifies the status of the  $i$ -th DG ( $z_i(t) = 1$  means that the  $i$ -th DG is ON at time  $t$ ). The equation (6) models the start-up of the DGs.

$$z_i(t) - z_i(t-1) \leq u_i(t) \quad \forall i = 1 : N, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (6)$$

The binary variable  $u_i(t)$  is equal to 1 if the  $i$ -th DG is starting up at time  $t$ .

The equations (7)–(8) models the minimum up and the minimum down times of the DGs.

$$u_i(t) - \frac{\Delta t}{t_{min_u,i}} \sum_{u_t=1}^{t_{min_u,i}/\Delta t} z_i(t + u_t) \leq 0 \quad \forall i = 1 : N, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (7)$$

$$u_i(t) + \frac{\Delta t}{t_{min_d,i}} \sum_{d_t=1}^{t_{min_d,i}/\Delta t} z_i(t - d_t) \leq 1 \quad \forall i = 1 : N, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (8)$$

Where  $t_{min_d,i}$  and  $t_{min_u,i}$  are respectively the minimum down time and the minimum up time of the  $i$ -th DG. Both of these parameters must be multiples of the simulation time-step. Equations (9)–(10) represent the ramp limits of the DGs.

$$P_{DG,i}(t) - P_{DG,i}(t-1) \leq \Delta P_{r_u,i} \quad \forall i = 1 : N, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (9)$$

$$P_{DG,i}(t-1) - P_{DG,i}(t) \leq \Delta P_{r_d,i} \quad \forall i = 1 : N, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (10)$$

Where  $\Delta P_{r_u,i}$  and  $\Delta P_{r_d,i}$  are respectively the maximum power ramp-up and ramp-down limit that a DG can take in a single time-step.

### B. BESS Constraints

The SOC of the BESS is limited between a minimum ( $SOC_{min}$ ) and maximum ( $SOC_{max}$ ) value according to the following constraints:

$$SOC_{min} \leq SOC(t) \leq SOC_{max} \quad \forall t = 1 : T \quad (11)$$

Equations (12) – (15) represent the upper and lower bound of the charging power ( $P_b^c(t)$ ) and discharging power ( $P_b^d(t)$ ) of the battery at time  $t$ .

$$P_b^c(t) \leq c_{c,max} \cdot P_b^n \cdot z_b^c(t) \quad \forall t = 1 : T \quad (12)$$

$$P_b^c(t) \geq c_{c,min} \cdot P_b^n \cdot z_b^c(t) \quad \forall t = 1 : T \quad (13)$$

$$P_b^d(t) \leq c_{d,max} \cdot P_b^n \cdot z_b^d(t) \quad \forall t = 1 : T \quad (14)$$

$$P_b^d(t) \geq c_{d,min} \cdot P_b^n \cdot z_b^d(t) \quad \forall t = 1 : T \quad (15)$$

In these equations  $c_{c,max}, c_{c,min}, c_{d,max}$  and  $c_{d,min}$  represent respectively the max/min charge and the max/min discharge of the battery, while  $z_b^c(t)/z_b^d(t)$  are two binary variable that identify if the BESS is charging/discharging at time  $t$ . Equation (16) models that the battery can only charge or discharge in a single time-step.

$$z_b^d(t) + z_b^c(t) = z_b(t) \quad \forall t = 1 : T \quad (16)$$

Where  $z_b(t)$  is a binary variable that is equal to 1 if the BESS is charging or discharging a time  $t$ .

### C. Security Constraints

As introduced in Section I, the IACS requires that if one of the generating units fail, the remaining units must be able to avoid blackout. This means that at least 2 generating units must be in service at all time instants  $t$  to meet the electrical load. Auxiliary variables are implemented in order to model the security constraints. First of all, it is necessary to identify which of the  $n_g$  generating units (DGs and BESS) are on.

There are  $n_c$  combinations without repetition of class  $k$  greater or equal to 2 of generating units that are providing power. For instance, if the number of total units are 3 there are 4 possible combinations of at least 2 units that are in service. It is possible to calculate this number using the binomial coefficient  $C(n_g, k)$  for each  $k$  class and then sum all the coefficient where  $n_g = N + 1$ .

Thus, in the above mentioned example, since at least 2 units must be ON, the combinations are:

$$n_c = \sum_{k \geq 2} C(n_g, k) = \binom{3}{2} + \binom{3}{3} = \frac{3!}{2!(3-2)!} + \frac{3!}{3!(3-3)!} = 4 \quad (17)$$

The first binary auxiliary variable is  $s_j(t)$ . If the  $j$ -th combination of generators is active then  $s_j(t) = 1$ . Since at time  $t$  only one combination can be active, the following equations models the fact that only one combination can be active:

$$v(t) \cdot \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_c} s_j(t) \right) = 0 \quad \forall t = 1 : T \quad (18)$$

In (18),  $v(t)$  is a parameter that can be 1 if the constraints is active at time  $t$ , and 0 otherwise. The activation of the constraint depends on the OC of the ship as it will be seen in Section IV.

The second auxiliary variable is  $f_j(t)$ . In (19), it is modelled the number of units that are supplying power for each  $j$ -th combination.

$$v(t) \cdot \left( f_j(t) - \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} z_{gen,r}(t) \right) = 0 \quad \forall j = 1 : n_c, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (19)$$

Where the binary variable  $z_{gen,r}(t)$  is equal to 1 if  $r$ -th unit is on at time  $t$  and  $n_j$  represents the possible generating unit of the  $j$ -th combination.

The inequality (20) links the variable  $s_j(t)$  with  $k_j(t)$  ensuring that when  $s_j(t) = 1$  then  $f_j(t) = n_j$ .

$$v(t) \cdot \left( f_j(t) - n_j \cdot s_j(t) \right) \geq 0 \quad \forall j = 1 : n_c, \forall t = 1 : T \quad (20)$$

The following constraint ensures that, following the loss of a generating unit, the remaining  $n_j - 1$  are able to provide the total electric power load:

$$v(t) \cdot \left( s_j(t) \cdot P_{load}(t) + P_b^c(t) + \sum_{h=1, h \neq r}^{n_j-1} \alpha_{gen,h} \cdot P_{gen,h}^n \cdot z_{gen,h}(t) \right) \leq 0 \quad (21)$$

$$\forall j = 1 : n_c, \forall r = 1 : n_j, \forall t = 1 : T$$

where  $P_{load}(t)$  is the total power load of the ship at time  $t$ ,  $\alpha_{gen,h}$  represents the overload working limit that the  $h$ -th generator can guarantee in the event of an emergency for a short period.

The equation (22) models that a generating unit can provide a maximum instantaneous load step in case of emergency.

$$v(t) \cdot \left( P_{gen,r}(t) - \sum_{h=1, h \neq r}^{n_j-1} \beta_{gen,w} \cdot P_{gen,w}^n + M \cdot (1 - s_j(t)) \right) \leq 0 \quad (22)$$

$$\forall j = 1 : n_c, \forall r = 1 : n_j, \forall t = 1 : T$$

Where  $\beta_{gen,w}$  represents the instantaneous variation of power of the, the variable  $P_{gen,r}(t)$  represents the power supplied by the  $r$ -th unit at time  $t$ .

Finally, equation (23) takes into account that in case of an emergency each unit is able to provide the maximum load step.

$$v(t) \cdot \left( P_{gen,r}(t) - (\alpha_{gen,r} - \beta_{gen,r}) \cdot P_{gen,r}^n + M \cdot (1 - s_j(t)) \right) \leq 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\forall j = 1 : n_c, \forall r = 1 : n_j, \forall t = 1 : T$$

These two last inequalities are characterized there is the  $M$  parameter. It model the so called Big M method in order to modify the constraints according to the activation of the  $j$ -th combination. In fact, when  $s_j(t) = 0$  the constraint is always satisfied since the term  $-M \cdot (1 - s_j(t))$  is negative and it is dominants to the other contribution (e.g.  $M = 10^9$ ).

#### D. Load balance

The generated electric power must be equal to the load demand that includes the BESS when it is absorbing power. This is modelled in the equation (24) where  $P_{load}$  is the total electric power require by the ship.

$$P_{load}(t) + P_b^c(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N P_{DG,i}(t) + P_b^d(t) \quad (24)$$

$$\forall t = 1 : T$$

#### E. Objective Function

The objective function is formulated as:

$$\min \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \sum_{m=1}^{n_i} a_{m,i}(t) \cdot \delta_{m,i}(t) \cdot c_{fuel} + b_{1,i}(t) \cdot z_i(t) \cdot c_f + c_i \cdot u_i(t) \right) \cdot \Delta t \quad (25)$$

Where  $c_f$  is the cost of the fuel [€/kg] and  $c_i$  represents the start-up cost of the  $i$ -th generator. The optimization algorithm minimizes the total cost [€] that is divided in two parts: one is the fuel cost and the other is the start-up cost.

Summarizing the optimization problem is composed of the objective function (25) and the constraints (2)-(23). In order to facilitate the paper comprehension, Table I reports the variables of the optimization problem.

TABLE I: Variables of the optimisation problems.

Variable	Description	Unit
$P_{DG,i}(t)$	DG power set-point of the $i$ -th generator	MW
$\delta_{m,i}(t)$	$m$ -th interval of the SFOC linearization of the $i$ -th generator	MW
$z_i(t)$	binary variable that identify the state of the $i$ -th DG, on or off	-
$u_i(t)$	binary variable that identify if $i$ -th generator is turning at time $t$	-
$P_b^c(t)$	BESS charging power set-point	MW
$P_b^d(t)$	BESS discharging power set-point	MW
$SOC(t)$	BESS state of charge	%
$s_j(t)$	binary variable that identify which combination is active	-
$f_j(t)$	integer variable that identify the number of DGs on in the $j$ -th combination	-
$z_b^c(t)$	binary variable that identify if the BESS is charging or not	-
$z_b^d(t)$	binary variable that identify if the BESS is discharging or not	-
$z_b(t)$	binary variable that identify the state of the BESS, on or off	-

## IV. SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS ANALYSIS

The proposed algorithm is validated through the simulation of a shipboard microgrid made up of four DGs and a BESS (see Fig.1). The rated parameters of each power source are collected in Table II.

Since the ship electrical load profile was not available, it has been modeled simulating a generic operating profile. The total ship load is composed by the hotel load, derived from the EPLA, and the propulsive load, which depends on the Speed Over Ground (SOG), as in (26).

$$P_{load}(t) = P_{prop}(SOG(t), OC(t)) + P_{hotel}(OC(t)) \quad \forall t = 1 : T \quad (26)$$

The SOG is simulated through a Markov Chain (MC) model derived from real data of similar ships, as in [16]. The hotel load  $P_{hotel}$  is obtained from the EPLA including a Gaussian noise with a variance of 5%.

Figure 3 shows the load profile obtained through the above mentioned methodology. In this case the granularity of the load modelling is equal to 15 min and  $T = 6$  h.




Fig. 3: OC and SOG profile.

The simulation parameters are listed in Table II. The parameter  $v(t)$  is equal to one during the low speed and the navigation OC.

TABLE II: Parameters of the simulations.

Parameter	Value	Description
$P_{DG,i=1,2}^n$	5.04 MW	Rated power of $i = 1,2$ DG
$P_{DG,i=3,4}^n$	6.72 MW	Rated power of $i = 3,4$ DG
$t_{min_u,i}$	60 min	Min up-time of $i$ -th DG
$t_{min_d,i}$	15 min	Min down-time of $i$ -th DG
$c_{DG,min}$	0	Min power of DG
$c_{DG,max}$	1	Max power of DG
$\Delta P_{r_u,i}$	10 MW/min	Max DG power ramp-up limit
$\Delta P_{r_d,i}$	10 MW/min	Max DG power ramp-down limit
$\alpha_{DG}$	1.1	Max DG overload in emergency
$\beta_{DG}$	0.33	Max DG step in emergency
$c_i$	200 €	DG start-up cost
$c_f$	684 €/t	fuel cost
$P_b^n$	5 MW	BESS nominal power
$E_n$	5 MWh	BESS nominal energy
$SOC(1)$	50%	Initial SOC of the battery
$SOC(T)$	50%	Final SOC of the battery
$SOC_{max}$	80%	Max SOC of the battery
$SOC_{min}$	20%	Min SOC of the battery
$\eta_d$	92%	BESS discharge efficiency
$\eta_c$	95%	BESS charge efficiency
$c_{c,min}$	0	Min charging C-rate
$c_{c,max}$	1	Max charging C-rate
$c_{d,min}$	0	Min discharging C-rate
$c_{d,max}$	2	Max discharging C-rate
$\alpha_b$	3	Max BESS overload in emergency
$M$	$10^9$	Big M parameter
$N$	4	Number of DG
$n_i$	10	Number of SFOC intervals curve

The proposed power management strategy is tested on two study cases. In the first study case (SC1) the BESS is not considered, while in the second study case (SC2) the BESS is active. Figure 4 shows the results of the optimization for the SC1, wherein the power generation is provided only by the DGs. Figure 5 shows the results obtained for the SC2, where the BESS is available.




Fig. 4: Simulation results, SC1, only DGs.




Fig. 5: Simulation results, SC2, DGs and BESS.

The results are reported in Table III, which summarizes the fuel cost, the amount of fuel required, the total  $CO_2$  emission, and the average loading factor of the DGs ( $LF_{avg}$ ).

TABLE III: Simulations results.

SC1 - only DGs			
Total Cost [€]	Total Fuel [kg]	$CO_2$ [kg]	$LF_{avg}$ [%]
8792	12710	40.74	63
SC2 - DGs and BESS			
Total Cost [€]	Total Fuel [kg]	$CO_2$ [kg]	$LF_{avg}$ [%]
8672	12520	40.13	79

The fuel costs are obtained considering the usage of the

VLSFO at a cost per metric tonne of 684€/t, obtained from [17]. In addition, each start-up has an associated cost of 200€, as previously reported (see II). The total  $CO_2$  emissions have been calculated considering an emission factor of 3.206 kg of  $CO_2$  per metric tonne [18].

The comparison of these two study cases shows that the BESS allows to reduce the fuel consumption by 1.5% (190 kg of fuel saving with respect to the case without BESS). As a consequence, also the  $CO_2$  and the total cost are reduced. In the SC2 the  $LF_{avg}$  is much closer to point of lowest specific consumption, which is approximately equal to 80% of the nominal power. It is important to highlight that both the study cases exploit the optimal control strategy.

Figures 6 and 7 illustrate BESS power and SOC, respectively. It is worth noting that the SOC is within the selected thresholds, and that the final values matches the initial requirements.




Fig. 6: BESS power.




Fig. 7: Battery SOC.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

This work proposes an optimal power management strategy for shipboard microgrids equipped with DGs and a BESS. The optimization is aimed at optimally dispatching the power, in order to ensure reliable power supply at minimum cost and with minimum environmental impact.

The algorithm is validated through the simulation of an all electric ship equipped with four DGs and a BESS. A comparison between two study cases have been conducted: the results show that the presence of the BESS helps significantly the system to be more efficient, with savings around 1.5% of fuel (190 kg) in 6 hours.

Future developments will be devoted to the implementation of a model predictive control algorithm with load forecast capabilities.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Mueller, M. Westerby, and M. Nieuwenhuijsen, "Health impact assessments of shipping and port-sourced air pollution on a global scale: A scoping literature review," *Environmental Research*, vol. 216, p. 114460, 2023.
- [2] *Amendments to the annex of the protocol of 1978 relating to the international convention for the prevention of pollution from ships, 1973*, IMO Convention MEPC 116(51), April 2004.
- [3] E. Commission, "Fit for 55—delivering the EU's 2030 climate target on the way to climate neutrality," Jul 2021.
- [4] J. F. Hansen and F. Wendt, "History and state of the art in commercial electric ship propulsion, integrated power systems, and future trends," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 103, no. 12, pp. 2229–2242, 2015.
- [5] *SCI Main source of electrical power - Interpretations of the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS), 1974 and its Amendments*, International Association of Classification Societies (IACS) Std., 1974, Rev.2, Feb. 2021.
- [6] D. Radan, T. Johansen, A. Sørensen, and A. Adnanes, "Optimization of load dependent start tables in marine power management systems with blackout prevention," vol. 4, 12 2005.
- [7] S. Fang, Y. Xu, Z. Li, T. Zhao, and H. Wang, "Two-step multi-objective management of hybrid energy storage system in all-electric ship microgrids," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 3361–3373, 2019.
- [8] S. Mashayekh and K. L. Butler-Purry, "Security constrained power management system for the ng ips ships," in *North American Power Symposium 2010*, 2010.
- [9] F. D. Kanellos, "Optimal power management with ghg emissions limitation in all-electric ship power systems comprising energy storage systems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 330–339, 2014.
- [10] A. Boveri, F. D'Agostino, P. Gualeni, D. Neroni, and F. Silvestro, "A stochastic approach to shipboard electric loads power modeling and simulation," 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [11] N. Doerry, "Electric power load analysis," *Naval Engineers Journal*, vol. 124, pp. 45–48, 12 2012.
- [12] *Wärtsilä 46F, product guide*, Wärtsilä, 2020, dAAB605814.
- [13] P. Ghimire, M. Zadeh, J. Thorstensen, and E. Pedersen, "Data-driven efficiency modeling and analysis of all-electric ship powertrain: A comparison of power system architectures," *IEEE Transactions on Transportation Electrification*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1930–1943, 2022.
- [14] K. Hein, Y. Xu, G. Wilson, and A. K. Gupta, "Coordinated optimal voyage planning and energy management of all-electric ship with hybrid energy storage system," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 2355–2365, 2021.
- [15] M. Carrion and J. Arroyo, "A computationally efficient mixed-integer linear formulation for the thermal unit commitment problem," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 21, pp. 1371 – 1378, 09 2006.
- [16] S. Massucco, G. Mosaico, M. Saviozzi, F. Silvestro, A. Fidigatti, and E. Ragaini, "An instantaneous growing stream clustering algorithm for probabilistic load modeling/profiling," in *2020 International Conference on Probabilistic Methods Applied to Power Systems (PMAPS)*, 2020.
- [17] Ship and Bunker, "Average Bunker Prices," <https://shipandbunker.com/prices/av>, 2023.
- [18] International Maritime Organization (IMO), "Fourth greenhouse gas study 2020," [www.imo.org](http://www.imo.org), 2021.