# Trapped surface formation for the Einstein-Scalar system 

Peng Zhao ${ }^{*, 1}$, David Hilditch ${ }^{\dagger, 2}$, and Juan A. Valiente Kroon ${ }^{\ddagger, 3}$<br>${ }^{1}$ College of Education for the Future, Beijing Normal University at Zhuhai, No.18, Jinfeng Road, Tangjiawan, Zhuhai City, Guangdong Province, 519087, P.R.China.<br>${ }^{2}$ CENTRA, Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico - IST, Universidade de Lisboa - UL, Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049 Lisboa, Portugal.<br>${ }^{3}$ School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 $4 N S$, United Kingdom.

April 5, 2023


#### Abstract

We consider the formation of trapped surfaces in the evolution of the Einstein-scalar field system without symmetries. To this end, we follow An's strategy to analyse the formation of trapped surfaces in vacuum and for the Einstein-Maxwell system. Accordingly, we set up a characteristic initial value problem (CIVP) for the Einstein-Scalar system with initial data given on two intersecting null hypersurfaces such that on the incoming slince the data is Minkowskian whereas on the outgoing side no symmetries are assumed. We obtain a scale-critical semi-global existence result by assigning a signature for decay rates to both the geometric quantities and the scalar field. The analysis makes use of a gauge due to J. Stewart and an adjustment of the Geroch-Held-Penrose (GHP) formalism, the T-weight formalism, which renders the connection between the Newman-Penrose (NP) quantities and the PDE analysis systematic and transparent.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we study the Einstein-scalar system

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} R g_{a b}=T_{a b} \tag{1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{a} \nabla_{a} \varphi=0, \tag{1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{a b}$ and $R$ denote, respectively, the Ricci tensor and scalar of the Levi-Civita covariant derivative of a Lorentzian metric $g_{a b}$, and

$$
T_{a b}=\nabla_{a} \varphi \nabla_{b} \varphi-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} \nabla_{c} \varphi \nabla^{c} \varphi
$$

is the energy-momentum tensor associated to equation 1b]. It then follows from equation 1a) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b}=\nabla_{a} \varphi \nabla_{b} \varphi . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Black holes are one of the most fascinating predictions of general relativity, and evidence for their existence is overwhelmingly supported by many recent observations (see for instance [1, 2). Theoretically, a black hole is defined as a region in spacetime which has no causal relation to future null infinity. However, this global definition is not ideal for the study of the dynamical formation of black holes. An alternative approach is to track the appearance of trapped surfaces -see e.g. 3, 4. Trapped surfaces are closed, spacelike topological 2 -spheres such that both the outgoing and ingoing light rays emanating from it converge. This local perspective was first introduced by R. Penrose in his famous incompleteness theorem [5]. This theorem shows that the existence of trapped surfaces in spacetime leads to the existence of incomplete future causal geodesics. Hence, the study of trapped surfaces is central to the understanding of the formation of black holes.

A drawback of Penrose's incompleteness theorem is that it does not provide an explanation of how trapped surfaces can form. In particular, it is clear that the formation of trapped surfaces requires appropriate initial conditions. Following the work of Christodoulou and Klainerman [8] on the non-linear stability of the Minkowski spacetime, initial conditions close (in a suitable sense) to initial conditions for the Minkowski spacetime develop into a geodesically complete spacetime with a global structure similar to that of the Minkowski spacetime. This suggests that to form trapped surfaces one needs data which is in some sense large. In view of Birkhoff's theorem, this data needs to be non-spherical in order to allow for the formation of trapped surfaces in vacuum.

The question of the dynamical formation of trapped surfaces in vacuum was first solved by Christodoulou in [7. In doing so, he considered initial data having a special hierarchical structure called the short pulse ansatz. In this setting, various quantities have different decay behaviours parameterised by $\delta$, and these properties are maintained under long time nonlinear evolution. Subsequently, Klainerman and Rodnianski 9 simplified Christodoulou's main theorem by introducing an index $s_{1}$ called signature to track the $\delta$-weight of the various geometry quantities. In parallel to the latter, they defined scale invariant norms for which most of the non-linear terms are small. In [24] An has extended Klainerman and Rodnianski's work so that initial conditions are prescribed on past null infinity thus recovering Christodoulou's original results. As part of his analysis An introduced a new signature $s_{2}$ corresponding to the powers of a retarded time $u$ which describes the decay rates near past null infinity.

Building on the work described in the previous paragraph, in [26], An and Luk proved the first scale-critical result from data which can be regarded as mild in comparison to that used in the original work by Christodoulou and relaxed the lower bound required to form a trapped surface. Based on the idea of scale-critical arguments, in [28] An provided a simple proof of the formation of trapped surfaces in vacuum which sharpens the previous results both of Christodoulou and AnLuk. This argument connects Christodoulou's short-pulse method and Klainerman-Rodnianski's signature counting argument to the peeling properties of the gravitational field at past null infinity.

The results on gravitational collapse in the presence of matter predate those in the vacuum with the first result being the analysis by Christodoulou of the spherically symmetric selfgravitating massless scalar field in [6]. Christodoulou goes to show that trapped surfaces form if the ratio of the mass contained in an annular region to the largest radius is large in some specific
sense. Li and Liu [27] have revisited Christodoulou's model and obtained an almost scale-critical trapped surface formation criterion without assuming symmetry on the initial outgoing lightcone but with spherical singular initial data on the incoming lightcone.

The case of the Einstein-Maxwell field system, has been analysed by Yu in [23]. This work also makes use of the short pulse Ansatz to study the formation of trapped surfaces. In particular, it is shown that the formation of a trapped surface can be purely due to the concentration of the Maxwell field on the initial lightcone where there is no incoming gravitational energy. Building on these ideas, An and Athanasiou [29] have obtained an extended scale-critical trapped surface formation criterion from past null infinity. This work generalises the An's work on vacuum case in [28] by employing additional elliptic estimates and geometric renormalisations.

Motivated by [28] and [29], the goal of the present article is to obtain a scale-critical trapped surface formation criterion for the Einstein-scalar system from near past null infinity. To this end we study the behaviour of both the scalar field and geometric quantities and develop an understanding of the mechanism of collapse.

### 1.1 New insights

The analysis in the present article make use of the following new insights and ideas:
(1) We systematically study the asymptotic behaviour of scalar field by applying An's strategy -i.e. by assigning signatures $s_{2}$ to the geometric quantities, the scalar field $\varphi$ as well as the auxiliary field $\varphi_{a} \equiv \frac{1}{3} \nabla_{a} \varphi$. (The factor $\frac{1}{3}$ is added for convenience when working in the NP formalism). The signature works well in keeping consistency with the equations of the scalar field. For example, for $\varphi_{0} \equiv l^{a} \varphi_{a}, \varphi_{1} \equiv m^{a} \varphi_{a}$ and $\varphi_{2} \equiv n^{a} \varphi_{a}$, their signature is the same as that of the Faraday tensor components $\phi_{0} \equiv F_{a b} l^{a} m^{b}, \phi_{1} \equiv \frac{1}{2} F_{a b}\left(l^{a} n^{b}+\bar{m}^{a} m^{b}\right)$ and $\phi_{2} \equiv F_{a b} \bar{m}^{a} n^{b}$ respectively. The structure of the equations for $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ is also similar to that of the Maxwell equations, see [29], so that energy estimates can be constructed along the same lines.
(2) In addition to the renormalised components of the Weyl tensor

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{1} \equiv \Psi_{1}-3 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}, \quad \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \equiv \Psi_{3}-3 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

our analysis requires yet another renormalised Weyl component, namely

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{2} \equiv \Psi_{2}-\varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

to close the energy estimates for Weyl curvature. This term does not arise in the analysis of the Einstein-Maxwell system as in that case the energy-momentum tensor is tracefree.
(3) Due to the intrinsic relations between $\varphi$ and $\varphi_{a}$, the asymptotic behaviour of $\varphi_{2}$ does not satisfy the same peeling property as the Maxwell component $\phi_{2}$, and this difference is inherited also by the associated stress-energy tensor. Moreover, as the first order equations for $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ arise from the use of commutation relations, one faces obstructions in the analysis of $\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right)$. This difficulty can be overcome by introducing a new variable $\tilde{\varphi}_{2}$

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{2} \equiv \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}+\mu \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

In the above expression $\delta^{\prime}$ denotes an improved $T$-weight operator arising from the NP operator $\bar{\delta}=\bar{m}^{a} \nabla_{a}$ on the tangent bundle of a 2 -sphere, see (4) below.
(4) We make use of a new NP formalism, which we dub the T-weight formalism. This is an adjusted version of the GHP formalism which takes into account the requirements of PDE analysis and the use of double null foliations. In addition to the usual spin-weighted quantities $\kappa, \nu, \tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma, \mu$ and $\lambda$, we introduce another three spin-weighted quantities $\vartheta \equiv \beta+\bar{\alpha}$, $\underline{\omega} \equiv \gamma+\bar{\gamma}$ and $\omega \equiv \epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}$ in the analysis. These quantities are related to definite tensors on a topological 2-sphere $\mathcal{S} \approx \mathbb{S}^{2}$ and are characterised by one integer -their $T$-weight, within the present formalism. We also redefine the covariant operators $p, p^{\prime}, ð$ and $\partial^{\prime}$ by appropriately
incorporating the combinations $\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}, \gamma-\bar{\gamma}$ and $\beta-\bar{\alpha}$, into their definitions. These operators have a definite correspondence with the NP directional derivative operators $l^{a} \nabla_{a}, n^{a} \nabla_{a}, m^{a} \nabla_{a}$ and $\bar{m}^{a} \nabla_{a}$ and preserve the T-weight property. This new formalism is a bridge connecting the derivatives of NP quantities and the covariant derivative of tensors. Another advantage of this formalism is that the divergence, curl and the Stokes' theorem used in the discussion of elliptic estimates and the Hodge system have clear, concise, expressions.

### 1.2 Overview and main results

We will study the formation of trapped surfaces by means of double null foliation. In the following $\mathcal{N}_{u}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}$ will denote, respectively, outgoing and ingoing null hypersurfaces with $u$ and $v$ optical functions satisfying the eikonal equations

$$
g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} u \partial_{\nu} u=0 \quad \text { and } \quad g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} v \partial_{\nu} v=0
$$

For given values of $u$ and $v$ the intersection $\mathcal{S}_{u, v} \equiv \mathcal{N}_{u} \cap \mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}$ has the topology of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$.
Our analysis will focus on the region of spacetime given by

$$
\mathbb{D}=\left\{(u, v) \mid u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-a / 4, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1\right\}
$$

where $a$ is a suitable (large) positive number. The initial outgoing null hypersurface is $\mathcal{N}_{\star} \equiv$ $\mathcal{N}_{u=u_{\infty}}$ and the initial ingoing null hypersurface is $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime} \equiv \mathcal{N}_{v=0}$. On $\mathbb{D}$ one can choose appropriate coordinates and specify an adapted NP frame $\{\boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{m}, \overline{\boldsymbol{m}}\}$. The expansions of outgoing and ingoing null hypersurfaces, respectively, can be expressed in terms of the NP spin connection coefficients as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{l} & \equiv \sigma^{a b} \nabla_{a} l_{b}=-\rho-\bar{\rho}=-2 \rho \\
\theta_{n} & \equiv \sigma^{a b} \nabla_{a} n_{b}=\mu+\bar{\mu}=2 \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{a b}$ is the induced metric on $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$. The norm of the shears of the outgoing and ingoing null hypersurface are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\chi}_{l} & \equiv-\sigma-\bar{\sigma}=-2|\sigma| \\
\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{\boldsymbol{n}} & \equiv \lambda+\bar{\lambda}=2|\lambda| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The four coefficients $\rho, \mu, \sigma$ and $\lambda$ are the components of null second fundamental forms

$$
\hat{\chi}_{a b} \equiv-\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\nabla_{a} l_{b}\right), \quad \hat{\chi}_{a b} \equiv \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\nabla_{a} n_{b}\right)
$$

where $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}$ is projection on $\mathcal{S}$. We establish the following existence theorem:
Theorem 1 (Existence result). Given a positive number $\mathcal{I}$, there exists a sufficiently large $a_{0}=$ $a_{0}(\mathcal{I})$ such that for $a \geq a_{0} \geq 0$ and initial data such that

$$
\mathcal{I}_{0} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{i=0}^{15} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|p^{j}\left(\left|u_{\infty}\right| \mathcal{D}\right)^{i}\left(\sigma, \varphi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)} \leq \mathcal{I}
$$

along the outgoing initial null hypersurface $u=u_{\infty}$, and Minkowskian initial data along ingoing initial null hypersurface $v=0$, then the Einstein-scalar field system admits a unique solution in

$$
\mathbb{D}=\left\{(u, v) \mid u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-a / 4, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1\right\}
$$

Remark 1. In the above expression $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the covariant derivative of the metric $\sigma_{a b}$ on the sphere $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$ acting on the NP quantities.


Figure 1: Existence domain $\mathbb{D}$ for the main theorem. The setup consists of a characteristic initial value problem where Minkoswkian initial data is prescribed on the incoming null hypersurface $v=$ 0 and suitable data on the outgoing hypersurface $u=u_{\infty}$ lying in the asymptotic region. By choosing $a$ appropriately one can ensure that the 2 -surface $\mathcal{S}_{a / 4,1}$ (upper vertex of the existence diamond) is a trapped surface.

Based on the existence result, we have the following trapped surface formation theorem:
Theorem 2 (Trapped surface formation). Given $\mathcal{I}$, there exists a sufficiently large $a=a(\mathcal{I})$ such that for $a \geq a_{0} \geq 0$ an initial data set with

$$
\mathcal{I}_{0} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{i=0}^{15} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|p^{j}\left(\left|u_{\infty}\right| \mathcal{D}\right)^{i}\left(\sigma, \varphi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)} \leq \mathcal{I}
$$

along the outgoing initial null hypersurface $u=u_{\infty}$ and Minkowskian initial data along the ingoing initial null hypersurface $v=0$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right) \mid\right)\left(u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \geq a
$$

holds uniformly for any point on the initial outgoing null hypersurface $u=u_{\infty}$, it follows that $\mathcal{S}_{-a / 4,1}$ is a trapped surface.

### 1.3 A heuristic argument

In this section we assume the results of the preceding section and provide a heuristic argument of the dynamic formation of a trapped surface.

A trapped surface is a closed 2-sphere $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$ such that both the outgoing expansion $\theta_{l}$ and the ingoing expansion $\theta_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ are negative on the whole of $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$. On the initial ingoing null hypersurface $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ we prescribe Minkowskian data and the expansions can be computed to be given by

$$
\theta_{l}=\frac{2}{|u|}, \quad \theta_{\boldsymbol{n}}=-\frac{2}{|u|}
$$

Now, it is possible to show that the ingoing expansion on $\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}$ is given by $-2 /\left|u_{\infty}\right|+$ l.o.t. Here and in the following l.o.t means lower order terms compared with the other terms in the equation. Applying the ingoing structure equation

$$
p^{\prime} \mu=-\mu^{2}+\text { l.o.t. }
$$

where $p^{\prime}$ is the ingoing null derivative along $\boldsymbol{n}$ and one can show that $\theta_{\boldsymbol{n}}=2 \mu$ is a decreasing function and, thus, it becomes even more negative.

For the outgoing expansion we first make use of the ingoing equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime} \sigma & =-\mu \sigma+\text { l.o.t } \\
p^{\prime} \varphi_{0} & =-\mu \varphi_{0}+\text { l.o. }
\end{aligned}
$$

to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.|u|^{2}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\right|_{u, v}=\left.\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\right|_{u=u_{\infty}, v}+\text { l.o.t. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we apply the transport equation

$$
p \rho=\rho^{2}+\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}
$$

to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(-\frac{a}{4}, 1, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) & =\rho\left(-\frac{a}{4}, 0, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial v} \mathrm{~d} v=-\frac{4}{a}+\int_{0}^{1} p \rho \mathrm{~d} v^{\prime} \\
& \geq-\frac{4}{a}+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\left(-\frac{a}{4}, v^{\prime}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \\
& \geq-\frac{4}{a}+\frac{8}{a}=\frac{4}{a}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we have made use of (3) and the condition on the initial data on $\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}$

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right) \mid\right)\left(u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \geq a
$$

Hence $\theta_{\boldsymbol{l}}=-2 \rho<0$ on $\mathcal{S}_{-a / 4,1}$ which mean $\mathcal{S}_{-a / 4,1}$ is a trapped surface. More details can be found in Section 8 .

### 1.4 Outline of the article

In Section 2 we give a discussion of the geometric setting being considered and of the characteristic initial value problem for the Einstein-scalar field system. Section 3 provides a full introduction to the T-weight formalism. In particular, we discuss the relation between the T-weight formalism and the PDE analysis and give the structure equations, Bianchi identities and the scalar field equations in this formalism. In Section 4 we define the scale invariant norms used in the analysis and discuss the bootstrap assumptions. We also give basic estimates for the components of the metric and a Grönwall type estimate for solutions to null transport equations. Section 5 discusses the $L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimate for non-top-order connection coefficients, the auxiliary field $\varphi_{a}$ and the Weyl curvature. In Section 6 we provide elliptic estimates of the top-order connection coefficients. Section 7 discusses energy estimates of $\varphi_{a}$ and the Weyl curvature. Section 8 provides the proof of the formation of trapped surfaces.
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## 2 Geometric setting and formulation of the characteristic initial value problem

In this section we provides details of the construction of Stewart's gauge and discuss the basic local existence result for the characteristic initial value problem underlining our analysis. More discussions can be found in [13] and [15]

### 2.1 Coordinates and Stewart's gauge

The basic geometric setting of this paper consists of a 4-dimensional manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \boldsymbol{g})$ with boundary and an edge. The boundary consists of two null hypersurfaces: $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$, the outgoing null hypersurface; and $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$, the incoming null hypersurface. We denote the non-empty intersection of the initial hypersurfaces by $\mathcal{S}_{\star} \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\star} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$. Given a neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$, the future of $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ can be foliated by two families of null hypersurfaces: $\mathcal{N}_{u}$ (the outgoing null hypersurfaces) and $\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}$ (the ingoing null hypersurfaces). The functions $u$ and $v$ satisfy the eikonal equation and $\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}=\mathcal{N}_{\star}$, $\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}=\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$. Given suitable data on $\left(\mathcal{N}_{\star} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{U}$, one can make statement of existence and uniqueness of solutions to the Einstein-Scalar system on some open set

$$
\mathcal{V} \subset\left\{p \in \mathcal{U} \mid u(p)>u_{\infty}, v(p)>0\right\}
$$

The relevant area for our analysis is given by the conditions

$$
u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-a / 4, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1
$$

where $a$ is a large positive number.
To complete the coordinate system, consider arbitrary coordinate charts $\left(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\star}, x_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$, with the index ${ }^{\mathcal{A}}$ taking the values 2,3 and where $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\star}$ denote a finite cover of $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$. For convenience we can make use of the stereographic coordinates given by the diffeomorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ with $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. In this case the chart label $\alpha$ takes the values 1,2 corresponding, respectively, to the North and South polar charts. Now, define $\left(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)_{u, 0}$ be the coordinate patch generated from $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\star}$ along the generators of $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$, and $\left(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\right)_{u, v}$ be the coordinate patch generated from $\left(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)_{u, 0}$ along along the generators of each hypersurface $\mathcal{N}_{u}$. For brevity we write $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ to denote $\left(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\right)_{u, v}$. Coordinates $x_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\star}$ are then first propagated into $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ by requiring them to be constant along the generators of $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$. Once coordinates have been defined on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$, one can propagate them into $\mathcal{V}$, a suitable neighbourhood of $\mathcal{N}_{\star} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$, by requiring them to be constant along the generators of each $\mathcal{N}_{u}$. In this manner one obtains a coordinate system $\left(x^{\mu}\right)=\left(v, u, x^{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ in $D_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}} \equiv \cup_{u, v}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\right)_{u, v}$.

The above coordinate construction leads in a natural way to a Newman-Penrose (NP) null tetrad $\{\boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{m}, \overline{\boldsymbol{m}}\}$ with the vectors $\boldsymbol{l}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$ tangent to the generators of the null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{N}_{u}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively, and $m^{a}$ lying in the tangent space to the intersection $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$. The auxiliary field $\varphi_{a} \equiv \frac{1}{3} \nabla_{a} \varphi$ can be expanded in terms of the NP frame and we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{0} \equiv l^{a} \varphi_{a}=\frac{1}{3} l^{a} \nabla_{a} \varphi=\frac{1}{3} D \varphi \\
& \varphi_{2} \equiv n^{a} \varphi_{a}=\frac{1}{3} n^{a} \nabla_{a} \varphi=\frac{1}{3} \Delta \varphi \\
& \varphi_{1} \equiv m^{a} \varphi_{a}=\frac{1}{3} m^{a} \nabla_{a} \varphi=\frac{1}{3} \delta \varphi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following the same discussion of [13] we make the following:
Gauge Choice 1 (Stewart's choice of the components of the frame). On $\mathcal{V}$ we consider a NP frame of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{l}=Q \boldsymbol{\partial}_{v}, \quad \boldsymbol{n}=\boldsymbol{\partial}_{u}+C^{\mathcal{A}} \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \boldsymbol{m}=P^{\mathcal{A}} \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathcal{A}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{\mathcal{A}}=0$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$, $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{m}}$ span the tangent space of $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$. On $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$ one has that $\boldsymbol{l}=Q \boldsymbol{\partial}_{v}$. As the coordinates $\left(x^{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ are constant along the generators of $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$, it follows that on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$ the coefficient $Q$ is only a function of $v$. Thus, without loss of generality one can parameterise $u$ so as to set $Q=1$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$.

A direct application of the NP commutators to the coordinates $\left(v, u, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)$ leads to the following:

Lemma 1 (conditions on the connection coefficients). The NP frame of Gauge Choice 1 can be chosen such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa & =\nu=\epsilon=0,  \tag{5a}\\
\rho & =\bar{\rho}, \quad \mu=\bar{\mu}  \tag{5b}\\
\tau & =\bar{\alpha}+\beta \tag{5c}
\end{align*}
$$

on $\mathcal{V}$ and, furthermore, with

$$
\gamma-\bar{\gamma}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Remark 2. Additional commutator relations can be used to obtain equations for frame coefficient $Q, P^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $C^{\mathcal{A}}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
D C^{\mathcal{A}} & =(\bar{\tau}+\pi) P^{\mathcal{A}}+(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \bar{P}^{\mathcal{A}}  \tag{6a}\\
D P^{\mathcal{A}} & =\bar{\rho} P^{\mathcal{A}}+\sigma \bar{P}^{\mathcal{A}}  \tag{6b}\\
\Delta P^{\mathcal{A}}-\delta C^{\mathcal{A}} & =-(\mu-\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) P^{\mathcal{A}}-\bar{\lambda} \bar{P}^{\mathcal{A}}  \tag{6c}\\
\Delta Q & =(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) Q  \tag{6d}\\
\bar{\delta} P^{\mathcal{A}}-\delta \bar{P}^{\mathcal{A}} & =(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) P^{\mathcal{A}}-(\bar{\alpha}-\beta) \bar{P}^{\mathcal{A}}  \tag{6e}\\
\delta Q & =(\tau-\bar{\pi}) Q \tag{6f}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.1.1 The renormalised Weyl tensor and Bianchi identities

Once the NP frame has been specified one can obtain the structure equations, the Bianchi Identities and the matter equations associated to the Einstein-scalar field system. For the scalar field we introduce an auxiliary field, $\varphi_{a}$ corresponding to its derivative. Then the scalar field equation can be recast as a symmetric hyperbolic system for $\varphi$ and $\varphi_{a}$ - see (54a)- (54e) in Appendix A.2. In fact, as it will be seen in the main part of the article, these equations can be formulated as a Hodge system (as defined for instance in 8]).

Recall that from the Einstein field equations one has that the Ricci curvature equals $\nabla_{a} \varphi \nabla_{b} \varphi$. To expand the Bianchi Identity with respect to NP frame, we need derivatives of curvature. It can the be seen that the equations for the Weyl curvature components $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}$ and $\Psi_{3}$ have the form

$$
(D, \Delta) \boldsymbol{\Psi}-\delta \boldsymbol{\Psi}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}(D, \Delta) \boldsymbol{\varphi}-\boldsymbol{\varphi} \delta \boldsymbol{\varphi}=\ldots
$$

where $\varphi$ represents the auxiliary fields $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$. Equations of this form cannot be used to formulate a Hodge system from which energy estimates can be extracted. For this, one can


Figure 2: Setup for Stewart's gauge. The construction makes use of a double null foliation of the future domain of dependence of the initial hypersurface $\mathcal{N}_{\star} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$. The coordinates and NP null tetrad are adapted to this geometric setting. See the main text for the definitions of the various regions and objects.
only have one null derivative in the equation. This problem can be solved by introducing the renormalised quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \equiv \Psi_{1}-\Phi_{01}=\Psi_{1}-3 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}  \tag{7a}\\
& \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \equiv \Psi_{2}+2 \Lambda=\Psi_{2}-\varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{7b}\\
& \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \equiv \Psi_{3}-\Phi_{21}=\Psi_{3}-3 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \tag{7c}
\end{align*}
$$

With these three renormalised Weyl curvature components, the terms $D \varphi$ and $\Delta \varphi$ in the Bianchi identities are absorbed in $D \tilde{\Psi}, \Delta \tilde{\Psi}$ and $\delta \tilde{\Psi}$. Hence, it is possible to formulate a Hodge system for the renormalised components of the Weyl curvature. The resulting equations are $\sqrt{56 \mathrm{a})}-(56 \mathrm{~h})$ in Appendix A. 2 .

It is worth comparing the above construction with that for the Einstein-Maxwell system, where the renormalisation excludes $\tilde{\Psi}_{2}$. The reason for this difference can be traced back to the fact that for the Einstein-Maxwell system one has $\Lambda=R / 24=0$. More details can be found in [28].

### 2.2 The formulation of the characteristic initial value problem for the Einstein-scalar system

In this section we discuss the general aspects of the characteristic initial value problem (CIVP) for the Einstein-scalar (ES) system with data on the null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$. The existence of a hierarchical structure in the reduced ES system leads to a reduced initial data set from which the full initial data on $\mathcal{N}_{\star} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ for the ES system can be computed. In a situation where the initial data on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ is Minkowskian, due to the underlying symmetries, there is more freedom on the choice of the reduced initial data.

Lemma 2 (freely specifiable data for the CIVP for the ES system). Assume that the gauge conditions given by Lemma 1 are satisfied in a neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$. Initial data for the ES system on $\mathcal{N}_{\star} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime \prime}$ can be computed from the reduced data set $\mathbf{r}_{\star}$ consisting of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{0}, \varphi_{0} \quad \text { on } \quad \mathcal{N}_{\star}, \\
& \Psi_{4}, \quad \varphi_{2}, \quad \gamma+\bar{\gamma} \quad \text { on } \quad \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}, \\
& \rho, \quad \sigma, \quad \mu, \quad \lambda, \quad \tau, \varphi_{1}, P^{\mathcal{A}} \text { on } \mathcal{S}_{\star} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Data on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$. From the frame coefficients $P^{\mathcal{A}}$ one can compute the intrinsic 2-metric on $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ and the associated NP operators $\delta, \bar{\delta}$. Then from (6f) one has $\pi=\tau=\bar{\alpha}+\beta$. From the 2 -metric one can compute $\beta-\bar{\alpha}$ and hence we can obtain $\alpha$ and $\beta$. From (55n, (55q) and (55j) we can compute the values of $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}$ and $\Psi_{3}$.
Data on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$. From $Q=1$ we have $D=\partial_{v}$ and $\tau=\bar{\pi}$. From the coefficients $\Psi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{0}$ we can solve (55f) and (55m) together and obtain the value of $\rho$ and $\sigma$. Then one can solve the equation for $P^{\mathcal{A}} 6 \mathrm{~b}$ and obtain the operators $\delta$ and $\bar{\delta}$ along $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$. Combining (56b), 54c) and (55b) we can compute the values of $\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \varphi_{1}$ and $\tau$. Next, we can solve (6a) and obtain $C^{\mathcal{A}}$. From (55e) and (551) we can obtain the value of $\beta$ and $\alpha$. Solving (55a), 55h), 55j) and (55p) together one can obtain the values of $\gamma, \mu, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}$ and $\lambda$. With the results above in hand, from (56f), (56h) and (54d) we can obtain the coefficients $\tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \tilde{\Psi}_{4}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$.
Data on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$. The condition $C^{\mathcal{A}}=0$ leads to $\Delta=\partial_{u}$. With the value of $\gamma+\bar{\gamma}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ we can solve (6d) and obtain $Q$. Solving (55g) and (550) together we have $\mu$ and $\lambda$. Next, solving (6c) and we have $P^{\mathcal{A}}$ which gives us the operators $\delta$ and $\bar{\delta}$ along $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$. Combining (55d), (55k), 54b), 556 g ), (55c) and (6e) and solving as a system we can determine $\beta, \alpha, \varphi_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \pi$ and $\tau$. Solving (55i), (55r) and (55j) gives the values of $\sigma, \rho$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_{2}$. With the results above we can obtain $\varphi_{0}$ from (54a), obtain $\Psi_{1}$ from (56c) and obtain $\Psi_{0}$ from (56a).

In the present analysis we are interested in a situation in which the data for the ingoing lightcone is Minkowskian. In this case we have another choice for the reduced initial data. Namely, one has:

Lemma 3 (freely specifiable data of the CIVP for ES system on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$ ). Assume the gauge choice 1 and the gauge conditions implied by Lemma 1 are satisfied in a neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$. In addition assume that the data on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ is Minkowskian. Then the freely specifiable initial data for the $E S$ system on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$ is given $\sigma$ and $\varphi_{0}$.

Proof. On $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$ we have $Q=1$ and this leads to $\tau=\bar{\pi}$ and $D=\partial_{v}$. With the given data $\sigma$ and $\varphi_{0}$, the value of $\rho$ can be obtained using the structure equation 55 m . Then, the value of $\Psi_{0}$ can be directly computed by (55f) - this requires $D \sigma$. In addition, with the value of $\rho$ and $\sigma$, we can obtain $P^{\mathcal{A}}$ via (6b) and, hence, the operators $\delta$ and $\bar{\delta}$. From 54c we can obtain the value of $\varphi_{1}-\delta \varphi_{0}$ is needed for this. Combining (55b), 55e, (551) and (56b and solving together we can obtain the values of $\tau, \alpha, \beta$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_{1}$-the value of $\delta \bar{\Psi}_{0}$ is needed for this. From (55j), with the value of $\delta \alpha$ and $\bar{\delta} \beta$ we can compute $\tilde{\Psi}_{2}$. Solving (55h) and (55p) together we have $\mu$ and $\lambda$ $-\delta \pi$ is needed for this. In addition, we can obtain $\gamma$ form (55a). Equation (54d) leads to $\varphi_{2}$ where $\bar{\delta} \varphi_{1}$ is needed. From 55n we can obtain $\tilde{\Psi}_{3}$ after $\delta \lambda$ and $\bar{\delta} \mu$ have been obtained. With the information above at hand $\Psi_{4}$ can be obtained via 56 h where $\bar{\delta} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}$ is needed to do this.

Remark 3. From the analysis in the previous proof it follows that the regularity requirements on $\sigma$ is are of least four angular derivatives and one extra outgoing derivative. We need to know at least two angular derivatives of $\varphi_{0}$.

Remark 4. The coefficient $\sigma$ corresponds to the shear of the outgoing lightcone. The coefficient $\varphi_{0}$ describes the rate of change of the scalar field along the hypersurface $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$. This interpretation allows us to understand the role of scalar field in the process of formation of trapped
surfaces. In this work the formation of trapped surfaces follows from the interaction of gravity and matter. When $\varphi_{0}$ is zero, the pure gravity case, our result collapses to that of 28].

To apply the standard theory of the CIVP we extract a symmetric hyperbolic system (SHS) from the ES system. Following the strategy in [12], in order to avoid the derivatives of $\varphi_{a}$ in the Bianchi Identity, to close the equations we introduce the trace-free symmetric tensor $\hat{\varphi}_{a b}$ and the scalar $\hat{\varphi}$

$$
\hat{\varphi}_{a b} \equiv \nabla_{a} \varphi_{b}-\frac{1}{4} \hat{\varphi} g_{a b}, \quad \hat{\varphi} \equiv \nabla_{c} \varphi^{c}
$$

It follows then that thee ES system is equivalent to the first order system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\boldsymbol{e}_{a}, \boldsymbol{e}_{b}\right]-\left(\Gamma_{a}^{c}{ }_{b}-\Gamma_{b}^{c}{ }_{a}\right) e_{c}=0}  \tag{8}\\
R_{a b c}{ }^{d}-\rho_{a b c}{ }^{d}=0 \\
\nabla_{[a} R_{b c] d e}=0, \\
\nabla_{a} \varphi-3 \varphi_{a}=0 \\
\nabla_{a} \varphi_{b}-\hat{\varphi}_{a b}-\frac{1}{4} \hat{\varphi} g_{a b}=0, \\
\hat{\varphi}=0, \\
\nabla_{a} \hat{\varphi}=0, \\
\nabla_{[a} \hat{\varphi}_{b] c}-\frac{1}{2} R_{a b c}{ }^{d} \varphi_{d}=0 \\
R_{a b}-6 \varphi_{a} \varphi_{b}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\rho_{a b c}{ }^{d}$ is the algebraic curvature as defined by irreducible decomposition of the Riemann curvature in terms of the Weyl and Ricci tensors. We denote the above first order system as $\mathscr{N}=$ 0.

We now apply the same strategy as in [13] and [15] and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{e}^{t} & \equiv\left\{C^{\mathcal{A}}, P^{\mathcal{A}}, Q\right\} \\
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{t} & \equiv\{\gamma, \pi, \beta, \mu, \alpha, \lambda, \tau, \sigma, \rho\} \\
\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{t} & \equiv\left\{\Psi_{0}, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\} \\
\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{t} & \equiv\left\{\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{t} & \equiv\left\{\hat{\varphi}_{00}, \hat{\varphi}_{01}, \hat{\varphi}_{02}, \hat{\varphi}_{11}, \hat{\varphi}_{12}, \hat{\varphi}_{22}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hat{\varphi}_{00} \equiv \hat{\varphi}_{a b} l^{a} l^{b}, \hat{\varphi}_{01} \equiv \hat{\varphi}_{a b} l^{a} m^{b}, \hat{\varphi}_{02} \equiv \hat{\varphi}_{a b} m^{a} m^{b}, \hat{\varphi}_{11} \equiv \hat{\varphi}_{a b} l^{a} n^{b}=\hat{\varphi}_{a b} m^{a} \bar{m}^{b}, \hat{\varphi}_{12} \equiv$ $\hat{\varphi}_{a b} n^{a} m^{b}, \hat{\varphi}_{22} \equiv \hat{\varphi}_{a b} n^{a} n^{b}$. The naming here is given to coincide with the components in spinorial language. By selecting particular NP equations for $\boldsymbol{u}^{t}=\left\{\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}^{t}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{t}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{t}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{t}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{t}\right\}$ out of the first order system $\mathscr{N}=0$, one can extract the SHS

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{D} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{A}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{u}
$$

and $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mu}$ is Hermitian and $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mu}\left(l_{\mu}+n_{\mu}\right)$ is positive.
To complete the analysis one needs to show that a solution to the SHS (9) implies a solution to $\mathscr{N}=0$-that is, one needs to prove the propagation of the constraints. The idea behind this argument is that one can first define the constraints in $\mathscr{N}=0$ as zero-quantities and then show that the latter satisfies an homogeneous SHS under the assumption that 9 holds. Although straightforward the explicit steps are technical and lengthy, and so are not given explicitly. A similar example can be found in [17].

## 3 The T-weight formalism

In this section we introduce the T-weight formalism -an extension of the GHP formalism used in our analysis.

### 3.1 From the NP to the T-weight formalism

As a part of the PDE analysis in the present article we routinely need to integrate the norm of NP quantities globally over a topological 2 -sphere $\mathcal{S} \approx \mathbb{S}^{2}$. However, strictly speaking, NP coefficients are only defined on single coordinate patches. Frames on the overlap region between coordinate patches are related by rotation $m^{a} \mapsto e^{i \theta} m^{a}$. For a quantity which transforms like $f \mapsto e^{i s \theta} f$, namely with a definite spin-weight, the norm $|f|^{2}=f \bar{f}$ can be well defined all over the 2-spheres. Therefore, the integral of $|f|^{2}$ over $\mathcal{S}$ makes sense. At the same time, such quantities are connected directly to tensors over $\mathcal{S}$ which depend only on the choice of $\boldsymbol{l}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$ and do not need a particular choice of $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{m}}$. Hence, for fixed $\boldsymbol{l}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$, one can define tensors on a topological 2 -sphere $\mathcal{S}$ associated to these quantities via the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{a b} \equiv \sigma \bar{m}_{a} \bar{m}_{b}, \quad \lambda_{a b} \equiv \lambda m_{a} m_{b}, \quad \tau_{a} \equiv \tau \bar{m}_{a}, \quad \pi_{a} \equiv \pi m_{a}  \tag{10a}\\
& \kappa_{a} \equiv \kappa \bar{m}_{a}, \quad \nu_{a} \equiv \nu m_{a}, \quad \vartheta_{a} \equiv \vartheta \bar{m}_{a},  \tag{10b}\\
& \left(\Psi_{0}\right)_{a b} \equiv \Psi_{0} \bar{m}_{a} \bar{m}_{b}, \quad\left(\Psi_{1}\right)_{a} \equiv \Psi_{1} \bar{m}_{a}, \quad\left(\Psi_{3}\right)_{a} \equiv \Psi_{3} m_{a}, \quad\left(\Psi_{4}\right)_{a b} \equiv \Psi_{4} m_{a} m_{b},  \tag{10c}\\
& \left(\Phi_{01}\right)_{a} \equiv \Phi_{01} \bar{m}_{a}, \quad\left(\Phi_{02}\right)_{a b} \equiv \Phi_{02} \bar{m}_{a} \bar{m}_{b}, \quad\left(\Phi_{12}\right)_{a} \equiv \Phi_{12} \bar{m}_{a}  \tag{10d}\\
& \left(\Phi_{10}\right)_{a} \equiv \Phi_{10} m_{a}, \quad\left(\Phi_{20}\right)_{a b} \equiv \Phi_{20} m_{a} m_{b}, \quad\left(\Phi_{21}\right)_{a} \equiv \Phi_{21} m_{a} \tag{10e}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vartheta \equiv \beta+\bar{\alpha}$. In addition, we consider the following scalars with 0 spin-weight:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\omega} \equiv \gamma+\bar{\gamma}=l^{a} n^{b} \nabla_{b} n_{a}, \quad \omega \equiv \epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}=-n^{a} l^{b} \nabla_{b} l_{a}, \quad \mu, \quad \rho, \\
& \Psi_{2}, \quad \Phi_{00}, \quad \Phi_{11}, \quad \Phi_{22}, \quad \Lambda=R / 24 . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

It is readily verified that the above tensors and scalars are invariant under rotations $m^{a} \mapsto$ $e^{i \theta} m^{a}$. Therefore, the tensors and scalars above are defined globally on $\mathcal{S}$. It also follows from the above definitions that the norms of the tensors coincide with the norm of the associated NP quantities.

Taking into account the transformation laws for the above objects, we define, for fixed $\boldsymbol{l}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$, a new weight ( $T$-weight) $s(f)$ to the associated NP scalars:

Table 1: T-weight of NP quantities

| $s=-2$ | $\sigma, \Psi_{0}, \Phi_{02}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s=-1$ | $\tau, \kappa, \vartheta, \Psi_{1}, \Phi_{01}, \Phi_{12}$ |
| $s=0$ | $\rho, \mu, \underline{\omega}, \omega, \Psi_{2}, \Phi_{00}, \Phi_{11}, \Phi_{22}, \Lambda$ |
| $s=1$ | $\pi, \nu, \Psi_{3}, \Phi_{10}, \Phi_{21}$ |
| $s=2$ | $\lambda, \Psi_{4}, \Phi_{20}$ |

Under a rotation $m_{a} \mapsto e^{i \theta} m_{a}$ a quantity $f$ with T-weight $s$ transforms as

$$
f \mapsto e^{-i s \theta} f
$$

Hence, for any T-weighted quantities $f$ and $g$, we have

$$
s(\bar{f})=-s(f), \quad s(f g)=s(f)+s(g)
$$

The coordinates $\bar{x}=\left(v, u, x^{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ are defined as having 0 T-weight. For any quantity $f$ in Table 1 with T-weight $s(f)$, denote $T(f)$ be its corresponding tensor in $\sqrt{10 \mathrm{a}}-\sqrt{10 \mathrm{e}}$ and 11 . Up to this point the T -weight of an objects coincides with its standard spin-weight up to a conventional minus sign. The main differences arise in the following discussions about operators.

Remark 5. Combining the fields in $10 \mathrm{a}-10 \mathrm{e}$, and 11 and their complex conjugates one can construct the following real tensors:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\chi}_{a b} \equiv \sigma_{a b}+\bar{\sigma}_{a b}=-\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\nabla_{\{b} l_{a\}}\right), \quad \hat{\chi}_{a b} \equiv \lambda_{a b}+\bar{\lambda}_{a b}=\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\nabla_{\{b} n_{a\}}\right)  \tag{12a}\\
& \eta_{a} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\tau_{a}+\bar{\tau}_{a}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(n^{b} \nabla_{b} l_{a}\right), \quad \eta_{a} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\pi_{a}+\bar{\pi}_{a}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(l^{b} \nabla_{b} n_{a}\right),  \tag{12b}\\
& \left.\zeta_{a} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\beta+\bar{\alpha}) \bar{m}_{a}+(\bar{\beta}+\alpha) m_{a}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(l^{b} \nabla_{a} n_{b}\right),  \tag{12c}\\
& \varkappa_{a} \equiv \kappa_{a}+\bar{\kappa}_{a}=-\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(l^{b} \nabla_{b} l_{a}\right), \quad v_{a} \equiv \nu_{a}+\bar{\nu}_{a}=\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(n^{b} \nabla_{b} n_{a}\right) \tag{12d}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{a b} \equiv\left(\Psi_{0}\right)_{a b}+\left(\bar{\Psi}_{0}\right)_{a b}=\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(C_{l a l b}\right), \quad \beta_{a} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\Psi_{1}\right)_{a}+\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1}\right)_{a}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(C_{l n l a}\right)  \tag{13a}\\
& \underline{\beta}_{a} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\Psi_{3}\right)_{a}+\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3}\right)_{a}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(C_{n l n a}\right), \quad \underline{\alpha}_{a b} \equiv\left(\Psi_{4}\right)_{a b}+\left(\bar{\Psi}_{4}\right)_{a b}=\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(C_{n a n b}\right) \tag{13b}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above expressions $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the projection on to $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$ and indices $\{a b\}$ denote the operation of taking the traceless part. The notation on the left-hand-side of these expressions is consistent with that of Christodoulou in [7].

### 3.2 T-weight Operators and Norms

Next, we introduce differential operators which preserve the T-weight as well as reflect the relation with tensor and covariant derivative. A direct computation shows that the standard operators $D, \Delta, \delta$ and $\bar{\delta}$ do not interact simply with the T-weight.

The differential operators of the GHP formalism preserve the spin-weight property but cannot be applied to quantities with non-vanishing boost weight. To overcome this we overwrite the usual GHP notation, and define four new differential operators $\partial, \partial^{\prime}, b$ and $p^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial f & \equiv \delta f+s(\beta-\bar{\alpha}) f,  \tag{14a}\\
\partial^{\prime} f & \equiv \bar{\delta} f-s(\bar{\beta}-\alpha) f,  \tag{14b}\\
p f & \equiv D f+s(\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) f,  \tag{14c}\\
p^{\prime} f & \equiv \Delta f+s(\gamma-\bar{\gamma}) f, \tag{14d}
\end{align*}
$$

acting on any quantity $f$ with defined T-weight $s$. One can check that under a rotation $m^{a} \mapsto$ $e^{i \theta} m^{a}$, these operators transform as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial f \mapsto e^{-i(s-1) \theta} \partial f, \quad \partial^{\prime} f \mapsto e^{-i(s+1) \theta} \partial^{\prime} f, \\
& p f \mapsto e^{-i s \theta} p f, \quad b^{\prime} f \mapsto e^{-i s \theta} p^{\prime} f
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\partial f)=s(f)-1, \quad s\left(ð^{\prime} f\right)=s(f)+1, \quad s(p f)=s(f)=s\left(b^{\prime} f\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, one can check that these four operators follow the Leibnitz rule. Making use of $s(\bar{f})=$ $-s(f)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\prime} \bar{f}=\overline{\partial f}, \quad ð \bar{f}=\overline{\partial^{\prime} f} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{p f}=p \bar{f}, \quad \overline{p^{\prime} f}=b^{\prime} \bar{f} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our analysis we will also need to consider NP coefficients which do not transform homogeneously under a rotation $f \mapsto e^{i s \theta} f$. These include the combinations

$$
\beta-\bar{\alpha}, \quad \epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}, \quad \gamma-\bar{\gamma}
$$

These quantities are related to the covariant derivative of the frame on the 2 -sphere along $\boldsymbol{l}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$. This connection can be seen by introducing two new operators $D$ and $\Delta$ which are the projections to $\mathcal{S}$ of the covariant derivatives $l^{a} \nabla_{a}$ and $n^{a} \nabla_{a}$. Denoting by $\not \nabla_{a}$ the induced connection, i.e. the covariant derivative on $\mathcal{S}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\not{ }_{a} m_{b} & =(\beta-\bar{\alpha}) \bar{m}_{a} m_{b}-(\bar{\beta}-\alpha) m_{a} m_{b} \\
\underset{\leftarrow}{D} m_{a} & =(\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) m_{a}, \quad \underset{\leftarrow}{\Delta} m_{a}=(\gamma-\bar{\gamma}) m_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, given a T-weighted quantity $f$, denote its associated tensor (cf. equations 10a)-10e) by $T(f)$. It follows from the preceding discussion that $p f$ is the single non-vanishing component of $\underset{\leftarrow}{D} T(f) ; b^{\prime} f$ is the single non-vanishing component of $\underset{\leftarrow}{\Delta} T(f) ; \partial^{k} f, \partial^{k-1} \partial^{\prime} f, \ldots, \partial^{\prime k} f$ are the components of $\nabla_{a_{1}} \ldots \nabla_{a_{k}} T(f)$ and so on. Taking the NP scalar $\lambda$ as an example one readily verifies that $s(\lambda)=2$. Moreover, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\not \nabla_{a_{1}} \lambda_{a b} & =[\bar{\delta} \lambda-2(\bar{\beta}-\alpha) \lambda] m_{a_{1}} m_{a} m_{b}+[\delta \lambda+2(\beta-\bar{\alpha}) \lambda] \bar{m}_{a_{1}} m_{a} m_{b} \\
& =\left(\partial^{\prime} \lambda\right) m_{a_{1}} m_{a} m_{b}+(\partial \lambda) \bar{m}_{a_{1}} m_{a} m_{b}, \\
\underset{\leftarrow}{D} \lambda_{a b} & =[D \lambda+2(\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) \lambda] m_{a} m_{b}=(p \lambda) m_{a} m_{b}, \\
\underset{\leftarrow}{\Delta} \lambda_{a b} & =[\Delta \lambda+2(\gamma-\bar{\gamma}) \lambda] m_{a} m_{b}=\left(p^{\prime} \lambda\right) m_{a} m_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can also verify the transformation rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \lambda+2(\beta-\bar{\alpha}) \lambda \mapsto e^{-i \theta}[\delta \lambda+2(\beta-\bar{\alpha}) \lambda] \\
& \bar{\delta} \lambda-2(\bar{\beta}-\alpha) \lambda \mapsto e^{-3 i \theta}[\bar{\delta} \lambda-2(\bar{\beta}-\alpha) \lambda] \\
& D \lambda+2(\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) \lambda \mapsto e^{-2 i \theta}[D \lambda+2(\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) \lambda] \\
& \Delta \lambda+2(\gamma-\bar{\gamma}) \lambda \mapsto e^{-2 i \theta}[\Delta \lambda+2(\gamma-\bar{\gamma}) \lambda]
\end{aligned}
$$

One also has that

$$
\left|\not \nabla_{a_{1}} \lambda_{a b}\right|^{2}=\left|\partial^{\prime} \lambda\right|^{2}+|\partial \lambda|^{2}
$$

Similarly, one can compute $\nabla_{a_{2}} \bar{\nabla}_{a_{1}} \lambda_{a b}$ and has

$$
\left|\nabla_{a_{2}} \nabla_{a_{1}} \lambda_{a b}\right|^{2}=\left|\partial^{\prime 2} \lambda\right|^{2}+\left|\partial \partial^{\prime} \lambda\right|^{2}+\left|\partial^{\prime} \partial \lambda\right|^{2}+\left|\partial^{2} \lambda\right|^{2} .
$$

Hence, the norm of $\nabla^{k} T(f)$ can be computed in terms of the norm of all its components $\ldots \partial \ldots \partial^{\prime} \ldots f$, i.e. we have

$$
\left|\nabla^{k} T(f)\right|^{2}=\sum_{\alpha}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f\right|^{2}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f$ is a string of order $k$ of the operators $\partial$ and $\partial^{\prime}$, and the sum over $\alpha$ denotes all such strings. The set of all possible strings $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}}$ is contains all the possible combinations of $\partial$ and $\partial^{\prime}$ of order $k$. As $\partial$ and $\partial^{\prime}$ do not, in general, commute, the order of $\partial$ and $\partial^{\prime}$ in the string matters. For example when $k=3$ we have the combinations

$$
\partial^{3} f, \quad \partial^{\prime} \partial^{2} f, \quad \partial \partial^{\prime} \partial f, \quad \partial^{\prime 2} \partial f, \quad \partial^{\prime} \partial \partial^{\prime} f, \quad \partial^{2} \partial^{\prime} f, \quad \partial{\partial^{\prime 2} f, \quad \partial^{\prime 3} f . . . . ~}_{\text {. }}
$$

To obtain all the fourth order combinations one just needs to apply $\partial$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ to each of the terms above. We see that, in general, there are $2^{k}$ terms in the collection of strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f$. Proceeding in this way leads to the norm on $\mathcal{S}$

$$
\left\|\nabla^{k} T(f)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2}=\sum_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{S}}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f\right|^{2}
$$

Now we can introduce the norms of T-weighted quantities - namely, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\right|^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\right|^{2} \equiv \sum_{\alpha}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f\right|^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the notation $p \mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f$ and $b^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f$ to denote the $p$ and $p^{\prime}$-derivatives of $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f$ respectively. Making use of the commutator relations (60a) and 60 c , one readily finds that the structure of the equations for the various quantities $p \mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f$ is similar. The only differences arise in the terms involving $\partial, \partial^{\prime}$ and the conjugates of T-weighted quantities. These differences disappear once the norm and the sum in 18 are evaluated - this is an important observation in the analysis of the transport equations and the construction of energy estimates. A similar discussion applies to $p^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f$. The differences between $\partial$ and $\partial^{\prime}$ will be addressed in the construction of elliptic estimates.

Similarly to the above we define norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S})}^{p} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\right|^{p} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{S})} \equiv \sup _{\mathcal{S}}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\right| \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 6. In the previous discussion, the notation $T(f)$ has been used to denote the tensor associated to $f$ according to Table 1. When we apply the derivatives $\partial$ or $\partial^{\prime}$ to $f$, its associated tensor is defined by

$$
\ldots \partial \ldots \partial^{\prime} \ldots f \mapsto\left(\ldots \partial \ldots \partial^{\prime} \ldots f\right) \ldots \bar{m}_{a} \ldots m_{b} \ldots
$$

In the following discussions, we will only consider quantities $f$ and associated tensors $T(f)$ appearing in Table 1 and in equations $10 \mathrm{a}-10 \mathrm{e}$ and 11 . From the definition in 19 , for any two quantities $f$ and $g$, the norm of the tensor product $\nabla^{k} T(f) \not \nabla^{k^{\prime}} T(g)$ can be naturally defined as follows:

$$
\left|\nabla^{k} T(f) \not \nabla^{k^{\prime}} T(g)\right|^{2}=\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f\right|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{\beta}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}^{\prime}} g\right|^{2}\right)=\left|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k^{\prime}} g\right|^{2}
$$

Integral on $\mathcal{S}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f \mathcal{D}^{k^{\prime}} g\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2} & \equiv\left\|\nabla^{k} T(f) \nabla^{k^{\prime}} T(g)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f\right|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{\beta}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}^{\prime}} g\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{S}}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{D}^{k^{\prime}} g\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for any product $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f \mathcal{D}^{k_{j}^{\prime}} g$ we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f \mathcal{D}^{k_{j}^{\prime}} g\right| \leq\left|\mathcal{D}^{k} f \| \mathcal{D}^{k^{\prime}} g\right|
$$

which leads to

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} f \mathcal{D}^{k_{j}^{\prime}} g\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})} \leq\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f \mathcal{D}^{k^{\prime}} g\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}
$$

This follows from the definition given in 20 . For expressions involving the product of more than two terms we have similar results. These observations supplement the previous discussions on the relation between the norms for terms involving $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{k}$.

Remark 7 (Relation to the standard GHP formalism). The connection coefficients, $\kappa, \rho, \sigma, \tau$, $\nu, \mu, \lambda$ and $\pi$ have GHP weights $\{3,1\},\{1,1\},\{3,-1\},\{1,-1\},\{-3,-1\},\{-1,-1\},\{-3,1\}$ and $\{-1,1\}$ respectively. In addition to these coefficients which also are considered in the GHP formalism, we consider further three coefficients with definite T-weight - namely, $\underline{\omega}=\gamma+\bar{\gamma}$, $\omega=\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}$ and $\vartheta=\beta+\bar{\alpha}$. Now, the Weyl curvature coefficients $\Psi_{0}, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}$ and $\Psi_{4}$ have weight $\{4,0\},\{2,0\},\{0,0\},\{-2,0\}$ and $\{-4,0\}$ respectively. The Ricci curvature coefficients $\Phi_{00}, \Phi_{10}$, $\Phi_{01}, \Phi_{11}, \Phi_{20}, \Phi_{21}, \Phi_{02}, \Phi_{12}$ and $\Phi_{22}$ have weight $\{2,2\},\{0,2\},\{2,0\},\{0,0\},\{-2,2\},\{-2,0\}$, $\{2,-2\},\{0,-2\}$ and $\{-2,-2\}$ respectively. For any quantity $f$ with GHP weight $\{w, z\}$ we have that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
b_{G} f & =D f+(-w \epsilon-z \bar{\epsilon}) f, & b_{G}^{\prime} f & =\Delta f+(-w \gamma-z \bar{\gamma}) f, \\
\partial_{G} f=\delta f+(-w \beta-z \bar{\alpha}) f, & \partial_{G}^{\prime} f=\bar{\delta} f+(-w \alpha-z \bar{\beta}) f
\end{array}
$$

where we have used the subscript $G$ to denote the GHP operators. Similarly, we use the subscript $T$ to denote the T -weight operators. Then, for quantity $f$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{T} f-\partial_{G} f & =[(w+s) \beta+(z-s) \bar{\alpha}] f, \quad \partial_{T}^{\prime} f-\partial_{G}^{\prime} f=[(z-s) \bar{\beta}+(w+s) \alpha] f, \\
p_{T} f-b_{G} f & =[(w+s) \epsilon+(z-s) \bar{\epsilon}] f \quad b_{T}^{\prime} f-b_{G}^{\prime} f=[(w+s) \gamma+(z-s) \bar{\gamma}] f .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can check that for quantities with zero boost-weight, the GHP operators coincide with the Tweight operators. The difference comes arises for non-zero boost-weighted quantities. To translate between the formalism we can use the maps $w \mapsto s=\frac{w-z}{2}$ and $z \mapsto-s=-\frac{w-z}{2}$.

The NP equations in the T-weight formalism are given in Appendix B
We conclude this section by noting the following lemma:
Lemma 4. Suppose $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are two real scalar fields with zero $T$-weight. Then

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k}\left(f_{1}+i f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2}=\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2}
$$

Proof. The proof follows from a direct computation. Namely, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k}\left(f_{1}+i f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2} & =\left\|\nabla^{k}\left(f_{1}+i f_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{S}}\left[\nabla^{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}}\left(f_{1}+i f_{2}\right)\right]\left[\nabla_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}}\left(f_{1}-i f_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{S}} \not \nabla^{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}} f_{1} \not \nabla_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}} f_{1}+\int_{\mathcal{S}} \nabla^{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}} f_{2} \nabla_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}} f_{2} \\
& =\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 8. The above discussion shows that the terms $\beta-\bar{\alpha}, \epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}$ and $\gamma-\bar{\gamma}$ allow us to connect the derivative of NP quantities $f$ with T-weight and the derivative of tensors $T(f)$. In what follows we will not distinguish $f$ and $T(f)$ where there is no source for confusion.

### 3.3 The T-weight formalism and PDE analysis

In this section we discuss applications of the T-weight formalism to the construction of estimates to solutions to differential equations in a number of scenarios.

### 3.3.1 Transport estimates

Given a quantity $f$ such that $s(f)=s$ we have that

$$
p(f \bar{f})=D(f \bar{f})=f p \bar{f}+\bar{f} p f, \quad b^{\prime}(f \bar{f})=\Delta(f \bar{f})=f b^{\prime} \bar{f}+\bar{f} b^{\prime} f
$$

It follows that in order to obtain $L^{2}$-estimates of $\not \nabla_{k} T(f)$ one can apply the transport identities

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} \phi & =\int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} Q^{-1}(D \phi-(\rho+\bar{\rho}) \phi),  \tag{22}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} \phi & =\int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}}(\Delta \phi+(\mu+\bar{\mu}) \phi) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

to $\phi \equiv f \bar{f}$, where we have made use of the expressions for $\boldsymbol{l}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$ in Stewart's gauge - namely

$$
\boldsymbol{l}=Q \boldsymbol{\partial}_{v}, \quad \boldsymbol{n}=\boldsymbol{\partial}_{u}+C^{\mathcal{A}} \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\mathcal{A}}
$$

As an example of the above discussion consider $\phi=\left|\partial^{k} f\right|^{2}$. In this case the derivatives $p \partial^{k} f$ and $b^{\prime} \partial^{k} f$ encode the typical structural property of $\underset{\leftarrow}{D} \nabla^{k} T(f)$ and $\underset{\leftarrow}{\Delta} \nabla^{k} T(f)$. It follows then that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}}\left|\partial^{k} f\right|^{2}=\int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} Q^{-1}\left(D\left|\partial^{k} f\right|^{2}-(\rho+\bar{\rho})\left|\partial^{k} f\right|^{2}\right)
$$

The left-hand side can be expanded as

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v}\left\|\partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}=2\left\|\partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v}\left\|\partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

while the right hand side can be estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} Q^{-1}\left(p\left|\partial^{k} f\right|^{2}-(\rho+\bar{\rho})\left|\partial^{k} f\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} Q^{-1}\left(\overline{\partial^{k} f} p \partial^{k} f+\partial^{k} f f \overline{\partial^{k} f}-(\rho+\bar{\rho})\left|\partial^{k} f\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} 2 Q^{-1}\left(\left|\partial^{k} f\right|\left|p \partial^{k} f\right|+\left|\rho \| \partial^{k} f\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 2\left\|\partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left(\left\|Q^{-1} p \partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|Q^{-1} \partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above expressions one concludes that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v}\left\|\partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq\left(\left\|Q^{-1} p \partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|Q^{-1} \partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right)
$$

Similarly, one can show the inequality

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\left\|\partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq\left(\left\|b^{\prime} \partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\|\mu\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\partial^{k} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right)
$$

### 3.3.2 Elliptic estimates

We are particularly interested in constructing estimates for the divergence and curl operators on the 2 -spheres $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$. We note that the volume element $\varepsilon_{a b}$ can be written as $\varepsilon_{a b}=i\left(\bar{m}_{a} m_{b}-m_{a} \bar{m}_{b}\right)$. For any NP quantity $f$ related tensor $T(f)$ in Table 1 , we define

$$
(\operatorname{div} T(f))_{a_{1} \ldots a_{r}} \equiv \nabla^{a} T(f)_{a a_{1} \ldots a_{r}}, \quad(\operatorname{curl} T(f))_{a_{1} \ldots a_{r}} \equiv \varepsilon^{a b} \nabla_{a} T(f)_{b a_{1} \ldots a_{r}}
$$

where $r=0,1$. One can readily verify that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{curl} T(f)=\mathrm{i}(\operatorname{div} T(f))=\mathrm{i} T(\partial f), & \text { for } \quad s(f)>0 \\
\operatorname{curl} T(f)=-\mathrm{i}(\operatorname{div} T(f))=-\mathrm{i} T\left(\partial^{\prime} f\right), & \text { for } \quad s(f)<0 .
\end{array}
$$

It follows that the curl of the tensor $T(f)$ is essentially encoded in its divergence. For quantities in Table 1 with nonzero T-weight, we will use the T-weighted scalar $\mathscr{D}_{f}$ to denote its divergence:

$$
\mathscr{D}_{f}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\partial f & s(f)>0  \tag{24}\\
\partial^{\prime} f & s(f)<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

When $f$ has $s=0$, we have that

$$
\boldsymbol{\Delta} f=\left(\partial ð^{\prime}+\partial^{\prime} \partial\right) f
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is the Laplacian $\nabla^{a} \nabla_{a}$. Now, for a double null foliation we have $\mu=\bar{\mu}$ and $\rho=\bar{\rho}$. This leads to

$$
\Delta f=2 ð^{\prime} \partial f=2 \partial ð^{\prime} f
$$

### 3.3.3 Stokes theorem

As a topological 2-sphere $\mathcal{S}$ has no boundary, it follows then from Stokes theorem that for any 1-form $h_{a}$ on $\mathcal{S}$, the integral of a divergence vanishes - that is

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} \not \nabla^{a} h_{a}=0
$$

Now, suppose we have two T-weighted quantities $f$ and $g$ satisfying $s(f)+s(g)-1=0$ and define $h \equiv f g$. One can verify that $s(h)=1$. It follows then that the associated tensor is of the form

$$
h_{a}=h m_{a}
$$

which is globally defined on $\mathcal{S}$. One can check that

$$
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{h}=ð h=\partial(f g)=f \partial g+g \partial f, \quad s(\operatorname{div} h)=0,
$$

from which it follows that $f \partial g+g \partial f$ is a globally defined scalar on $\mathcal{S}$. Thus, applying Stokes Theorem one has

$$
0=\int_{\mathcal{S}} \operatorname{div} h=\int_{\mathcal{S}} f \partial g+g ð f \Rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{S}} f \partial g=-\int_{\mathcal{S}} g ð f .
$$

Similarly, when $f$ and $g$ satisfy

$$
s(f)+s(g)+1=0
$$

we have that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} f \partial^{\prime} g=-\int_{\mathcal{S}} g ð^{\prime} f
$$

We summarise the above discussion in the following:

## Lemma 5.

(i) For a T-weighted quantity $h$ with $s(h)=1$ we have that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} ð h=0 .
$$

If, on the other hand $s(h)=-1$, we have that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} \partial^{\prime} h=0 .
$$

(ii) For $T$-weighted quantities $f$ and $g$ on $\mathcal{S}$ satisfying

$$
s(f)+s(g)-1=0,
$$

we have that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} f \partial g=-\int_{\mathcal{S}} g \not \partial f .
$$

If, on the other hand, we have that

$$
s(f)+s(g)+1=0,
$$

then we conclude that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} f{ð^{\prime}} g=-\int_{\mathcal{S}} g ð^{\prime} f .
$$

The above lemma can be used to deal with a system of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p^{\prime} \Psi_{I}-\partial \Psi_{I I}=P, \\
p \Psi_{I I}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{I}=Q,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the T-weights of $\Psi_{I}$ and $\Psi_{I I}$ satisfy

$$
s\left(\Psi_{I}\right)+s\left(\bar{\Psi}_{I I}\right)+1=0, \quad s\left(\Psi_{I I}\right)+s\left(\bar{\Psi}_{I}\right)-1=0 .
$$

From Lemma 5 it follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{S}} \Psi_{I} \partial^{\prime} \bar{\Psi}_{I I} & =-\int_{\mathcal{S}} \bar{\Psi}_{I I} \partial^{\prime} \Psi_{I}, \\
\int_{\mathcal{S}} \Psi_{I I} \partial \bar{\Psi}_{I} & =-\int_{\mathcal{S}} \bar{\Psi}_{I} \partial \Psi_{I I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the above system leads to

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} \Delta\left(\left|\Psi_{I}\right|^{2}\right)+\int_{\mathcal{S}} D\left(\left|\Psi_{I I}\right|^{2}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\Psi_{I} \bar{P}+\bar{\Psi}_{I} P+\Psi_{I I} \bar{Q}+\bar{\Psi}_{I I} Q\right)
$$

Recall now that on a double null foliation one has that $\bar{\rho}=\rho$ and $\bar{\mu}=\mu$. Hence, for a T-weight quantity $f$ with T -weight $s$ the commutation relation (59f) simplifies to

$$
\left(\partial \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} \partial\right) f=s K f,
$$

with $K$ the Gaussian curvature. It follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{S}}|\partial f|^{2} & =\int_{\mathcal{S}} \overline{\partial f} \partial f=\int_{\mathcal{S}} \partial^{\prime} \bar{f} \partial f=-\int_{\mathcal{S}} \bar{f} \partial^{\prime} \partial f=-\int_{\mathcal{S}} \bar{f} \partial \partial^{\prime} f+\int_{\mathcal{S}} s K|f|^{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{S}} \partial \bar{f} \partial^{\prime} f+\int_{\mathcal{S}} s K|f|^{2}=\int_{\mathcal{S}}\left|\partial^{\prime} f\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{S}} s K|f|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the particular case of a scalar $f$ zero T -weight, we have that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}}|\partial f|^{2}=\int_{\mathcal{S}} \mid{\left.\partial^{\prime} f\right|^{2} .}^{2}
$$

### 3.3.4 Sobolev embeddings

In this subsection we briefly recall results from [7], Chapter 5.2 , but adapted here to the T-weight formalism. Given any T-weighted quantity $f$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{S}))^{-1 / p}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S})} \leq C_{p} \sqrt{I^{\prime}(\mathcal{S})}\left((\operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{S}))^{-1 / 2}\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}+\|\mathcal{D} f\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $2<p<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{S})} \leq C_{p} \sqrt{I^{\prime}(\mathcal{S})}(\operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{S}))^{1 / 2-1 / p}\left(\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{S})}+(\operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{S}))^{-1 / 2}\|\mathcal{D} f\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{S})}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p>2$, where $C_{p}$ is a numerical constant depending only on $p$ and

$$
I^{\prime}(S) \equiv \max \{I(\mathcal{S}), 1\}
$$

where $I(\mathcal{S})$ is the isoperimetric constant of $\mathcal{S}$.

### 3.4 Local existence results in terms of the T-weight formalism

In this section we make use of the T-weight formalism to reformulate the local existence results for the characteristic initial value problem given in [13]. This reformulation can be directly obtained by a replacement of the spin connection coefficients and differential operators by their T-weighted counterpart. Accordingly, we only provide the main statements of the theorems.

The article 13 is concerned with the local existence of CIVP for the vacuum Einstein field equations (EFE). The analysis is done in a coordinate system and gauge choice which are slightly different from those considered in the previous section. In this case the statement for the freely specifiable data reads as follows:

Lemma 6 (Freely specifiable data for the CIVP). Working in the gauge discussed in Section 2 in [13], initial data for the vacuum Einstein field equations on $\mathcal{N}_{\star} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ can be computed (near $\mathcal{S}_{\star}$ ) from a reduced data set $\mathbf{r}_{\star}$ consisting of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{0}, \omega \quad \text { on } \mathcal{N}_{\star}, \\
& \Psi_{4} \quad \text { on } \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}, \\
& \lambda, \quad \sigma, \quad \mu, \quad \rho, \quad \pi, \quad P^{\mathcal{A}} \text { on } \mathcal{S}_{\star} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The existence result can be reformulated as:
Theorem 3 (Improved local existence for the CIVP for the EFE). Given regular initial data for the vacuum Einstein field equations as constructed in Lemma 6 on the null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{N}_{\star} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ for $I \equiv\left\{0 \leq v \leq v_{\bullet}\right\}$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that a unique smooth solution to the vacuum Einstein field equations exists in the region where $v \in I$ and $0 \leq u \leq \varepsilon$ defined by the null coordinates $(u, v)$. The number $\varepsilon$ can be chosen to depend only on $I$, and the initial data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{e_{\star}} \equiv \sup _{\mathcal{N}_{\star}, \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}} \sup _{U_{\alpha}}\left(|Q|,\left|Q^{-1}\right|,\left|C^{\mathcal{A}}\right|,\left|P^{\mathcal{A}}\right|\right) \\
& \Delta_{\Gamma_{\star}} \equiv \sup _{\mathcal{S}_{u, v} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\star}, \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}} \sup _{\Gamma \in\{\mu, \lambda, \rho, \sigma, \pi, \tau, \omega, \chi\}} \max \left\{1,\|\Gamma\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}, \sum_{i=0}^{1}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}, \sum_{i=0}^{2}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right\}, \\
& \Delta_{\Psi_{\star}} \equiv \sup _{\mathcal{S}_{u, v} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\star}, \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}} \Psi \in\left\{\Psi_{0}, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\} \\
& \sup \\
&+\sum_{i=0}^{3} \max \left\{1, \sum_{i=0}^{1}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Psi\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}, \sum_{i=0}^{2}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Psi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \sup \operatorname{sum}_{\left.1, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}\right\}}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Psi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\star}\right)}+\sup _{\Psi \in\left\{\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\}}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Psi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, in this existence domain one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{u, v} \sup _{\Gamma \in\{\mu, \lambda, \rho, \sigma, \omega, \chi, \tau, \pi\}} \max \left\{\sum_{i=0}^{1}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}, \sum_{i=0}^{2}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}, \sum_{i=0}^{3}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{3} \sup _{\Psi \in\left\{\Psi_{0}, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}\right\}} \sup _{u}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Psi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}\right)}+\sup _{\Psi \in\left\{\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\}} \sup _{v}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i} \Psi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\right)} \leq C\left(I, \Delta_{e_{\star}}, \Delta_{\Gamma_{\star}}, \Delta_{\Psi_{\star}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 9. These three quantities $\beta-\bar{\alpha}, \epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}, \gamma-\bar{\gamma}$ depend on the choice of frame on $\mathcal{S}$ and thus are not T-weight quantities. For these three we need to choose an appropriate coordinate system to make sure that their initial values do not blow up. Then we can solve the ODE systems ( (55a), (55d) and (55k) for these three quantities in the target area.

### 3.5 The Einstein-massless scalar field system in the T-weight formalism

The use of Stewart's gauge as discussed in Section 2.1 together with the T-weight formalism gives rise to a simpler version of the Einstein-scalar field equations.

As already discussed, in Stewart's gauge one has that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\kappa=0, & \nu=0, \\
\bar{\rho}=\rho, & \epsilon=0 \\
\bar{\mu}=\mu, & \vartheta=\tau
\end{array}
$$

It follows then that the equations for the scalar field take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{\prime} \varphi_{0}-\partial \bar{\varphi}_{1} & =(\underline{\omega}-\mu) \varphi_{0}+\rho \varphi_{2}-\bar{\tau} \varphi_{1}-\tau \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{27a}\\
b \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{0} & =(\pi-\bar{\tau}) \varphi_{0}+\bar{\sigma} \varphi_{1}+\rho \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{27b}\\
p^{\prime} \varphi_{1}-\partial \varphi_{2} & =-\mu \varphi_{1}-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{27c}\\
b \varphi_{2}-\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1} & =-\mu \varphi_{0}+\rho \varphi_{2}+\pi \varphi_{1}+\bar{\pi} \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{27d}\\
\partial \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1} & =0 \tag{27e}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account the definition of renormalised components of the Weyl curvature as given by

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{1} \equiv \Psi_{1}-3 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}, \quad \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \equiv \Psi_{2}-\varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}, \quad \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \equiv \Psi_{3}-3 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

it follows that the structure equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
b \rho & =\rho^{2}+\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}  \tag{28a}\\
p \sigma & =2 \rho \sigma+\Psi_{0}  \tag{28b}\\
b \mu-\partial \pi & =\rho \mu+\sigma \lambda+\pi \bar{\pi}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}  \tag{28c}\\
p \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \pi & =\rho \lambda+\bar{\sigma} \mu+\pi^{2}+3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2}  \tag{28d}\\
b^{\prime} \rho-\partial^{\prime} \tau & =-\rho \mu-\sigma \lambda-\bar{\tau} \tau+\underline{\omega} \rho-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}  \tag{28e}\\
p^{\prime} \sigma-\partial \tau & =-\mu \sigma-\bar{\lambda} \rho-\tau^{2}+\underline{\omega} \sigma-3 \varphi_{1}^{2}  \tag{28f}\\
p^{\prime} \mu & =-\mu^{2}-\lambda \bar{\lambda}-\underline{\omega} \mu-3 \varphi_{2}^{2}  \tag{28~g}\\
p^{\prime} \lambda & =-2 \mu \lambda-\underline{\omega} \lambda-\Psi_{4}  \tag{28h}\\
p \tau & =(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \rho+(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \sigma+\tilde{\Psi}_{1}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}  \tag{28i}\\
b^{\prime} \pi & =-(\pi+\bar{\tau}) \mu-(\bar{\pi}+\tau) \lambda-\tilde{\Psi}_{3}-6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{28j}\\
b \underline{\omega} & =2 \tau \bar{\tau}+2 \tau \pi+2 \bar{\tau} \bar{\pi}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}  \tag{28k}\\
p^{\prime} \tau-\partial \underline{\omega} & =-2 \bar{\tau} \bar{\lambda}-2 \tau \mu-\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{3}-6 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1} \tag{281}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial \rho-\partial^{\prime} \sigma=\tau \rho-\bar{\tau} \sigma-\tilde{\Psi}_{1},  \tag{28~m}\\
& \partial \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \mu=\bar{\tau} \mu-\tau \lambda-\tilde{\Psi}_{3} . \tag{28n}
\end{align*}
$$

The Bianchi Identities take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
p \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{0} & =-6 \varphi_{0} \partial \varphi_{0}+(\pi-2 \bar{\tau}) \Psi_{0}+4 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \\
& +3 \varphi_{0}^{2}(2 \tau-\bar{\pi})+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \rho-6 \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma  \tag{29a}\\
p^{\prime} \Psi_{0}-\partial_{\Psi_{1}} & =6 \varphi_{0} \partial \varphi_{1}+(2 \underline{\omega}-\mu) \Psi_{0}-5 \tau \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \sigma \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \\
& +6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2} \sigma-3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \rho-6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma-12 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \tau  \tag{29b}\\
p \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\partial^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} & =6 \varphi_{1} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{0}-\lambda \Psi_{0}+(2 \pi-\bar{\tau}) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \\
& +3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \mu+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \pi+3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \bar{\sigma}-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \bar{\tau}  \tag{29c}\\
p^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\partial \tilde{\Psi}_{2} & =-6 \varphi_{1} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}+(\underline{\omega}-2 \mu) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-3 \tau \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+2 \sigma \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \\
& +6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma+6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \tau+3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \bar{\tau}-6 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1} \rho  \tag{29d}\\
p \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\partial^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{2} & =-6 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial \bar{\varphi}_{1}-2 \lambda \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \pi \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+2 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \\
& -3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \bar{\pi}+6 \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \mu-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \lambda-6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \pi  \tag{29e}\\
p^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\partial \tilde{\Psi}_{3} & =6 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial \varphi_{2}-3 \mu \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\tau \tilde{\Psi}_{3}+\sigma \Psi_{4}-3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \bar{\rho}  \tag{29f}\\
p \Psi_{4}-\partial^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{3} & =6 \varphi_{2} \partial^{\prime} \bar{\varphi}_{1}-3 \lambda \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+(4 \pi+\bar{\tau}) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}+\rho \Psi_{4} \\
& +12 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \pi+6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \lambda+3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \mu+3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \bar{\sigma}-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2} \lambda  \tag{29~g}\\
p^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\partial \Psi_{4} & =-6 \varphi_{2} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}-(4 \mu+\underline{\omega}) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}+\tau \Psi_{4} \\
& +6 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1} \lambda-6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \mu-3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \bar{\tau} . \tag{29h}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we observe that the commutators are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(p p^{\prime}-b^{\prime} b\right) f=s\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+\pi \tau-\bar{\pi} \bar{\tau}\right) f-\underline{\omega} p f+(\pi+\bar{\tau}) \partial f+(\bar{\pi}+\tau) \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{30a}\\
& (b ð-ð b) f=s\left(-ð \rho+ð^{\prime} \sigma+\tau \rho-\bar{\tau} \sigma-\bar{\pi} \rho+\pi \sigma\right) f+(\bar{\pi}-\tau) p f+\rho ð f+\sigma ð^{\prime} f  \tag{30b}\\
& \left(b^{\prime} \partial-ð p^{\prime}\right) f=s\left(-\partial^{\prime} \bar{\lambda}+\partial \mu\right) f-\mu \partial f-\bar{\lambda} \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{30c}\\
& \left(b \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} p\right) f=s\left(\partial^{\prime} \rho-\partial \bar{\sigma}-\bar{\tau} \rho+\tau \bar{\sigma}+\pi \rho-\bar{\pi} \bar{\sigma}\right) f+(\bar{\tau}-\pi) p f+\bar{\sigma} \partial f+\rho \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{30d}\\
& \left(b^{\prime} \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} p^{\prime}\right) f=s\left(\partial \lambda-ð^{\prime} \mu\right) f-\lambda ð f-\bar{\mu} \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{30e}\\
& \left(\partial \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} \partial\right) f=s K f, \tag{30f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K$ is the Gaussian curvature of the 2 -surfaces $\mathcal{S}$-namely,

$$
K \equiv 2 \mu \rho-\lambda \sigma-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\sigma}-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

## 4 Preliminaries

In this section we provide a first discussion of the general structure and strategy for the analysis of the formation of trapped surfaces.

### 4.1 Further ancillary fields

We begin by observing that for Minkowski data on $\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime} \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ the two expansions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{l}(u, v=0)=-\rho-\bar{\rho}=-2 \rho=-2 \cdot \frac{1}{u-v}=-\frac{2}{u} \\
& \theta_{\boldsymbol{n}}(u, v=0)=\mu+\bar{\mu}=2 \mu=2 \cdot \frac{1}{u-v}=\frac{2}{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have made use of the null coordinates $(u, v)$. For convenience, we define a new quantity

$$
\tilde{\mu} \equiv \mu-\frac{1}{u}
$$

to analyse the behaviour of $\mu$. This renormalized expansion satisfies transport equations given by

$$
\begin{align*}
D \tilde{\mu}-\rho \tilde{\mu} & =-\rho \tilde{\mu}+\mu \rho+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\lambda \sigma+\delta \pi+\pi \bar{\pi}-\bar{\alpha} \pi+\beta \pi  \tag{31a}\\
\Delta \tilde{\mu}+2 \mu \tilde{\mu} & =\tilde{\mu}^{2}-(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \mu-\lambda \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \tag{31b}
\end{align*}
$$

In the T-weight formalism these equations can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
p \tilde{\mu}-\partial \pi-\rho \tilde{\mu} & =-\rho \tilde{\mu}+\mu \rho+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\lambda \sigma+\pi \bar{\pi}  \tag{32a}\\
p^{\prime} \tilde{\mu}+2 \mu \tilde{\mu} & =\tilde{\mu}^{2}-\underline{\omega} \mu-\lambda \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \tag{32b}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of the subsequent analysis it convenient to define the scalar

$$
\underline{\chi} \equiv D \log Q
$$

which, as it is the derivative of a component of frame, is at the same level of connection coefficients. This scalar is the direct analogue of $\chi$ as defined in [15] with a derivative in the incoming direction. It provides a component of the connection which does not arise in the original NP formalism, but is needed to obtain a complete set of outgoing equations for the frame. From the definition of $\underline{\chi}$ and the NP Ricci identities we readily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\prime} \underline{\chi}=2 \tau \pi+2 \bar{\tau} \bar{\pi}+2 \pi \bar{\pi}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\underline{\omega} \underline{\chi} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, as a consequence of the gauge choice $Q=1$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$, the initial data for $\underline{\chi}$ is 0 on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$.
Remark 10. From the definitions we have that

$$
s(\tilde{\mu})=s(\underline{\chi})=0
$$

### 4.2 Signatures and scale-invariant norms

Following the assumptions in [28] and [29], we assume throughout that on the initial outgoing null slice $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$, the connection coefficients satisfy asymptotic behaviour

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\rho| & \lesssim \frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}, \quad|\sigma| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}, \quad|\mu| \lesssim \frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}, \quad|\tilde{\mu}|=\left|\mu+\frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}}, \quad|\lambda| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}} \\
|\underline{\omega}| & \lesssim \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{3}}, \quad|\tau| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}}, \quad|\pi| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and that for the components of the Weyl tensor one has

$$
\left|\Psi_{0}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}, \quad\left|\Psi_{1}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}}, \quad\left|\Psi_{2}\right| \lesssim \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{3}}, \quad\left|\Psi_{3}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{4}}, \quad\left|\Psi_{4}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{5}}
$$

For the scalar field $\varphi$ and the auxiliary field associated to its derivative $\varphi_{a}$, we assume that on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$ one has that

$$
|\varphi| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}, \quad\left|\varphi_{0}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}, \quad\left|\varphi_{2}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}}, \quad\left|\varphi_{1}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}}
$$

From the above assumption on $\varphi_{a}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right| \equiv\left|\Psi_{1}-3 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}}, \\
& \left|\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right| \equiv\left|\Psi_{2}-\varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right| \lesssim \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{3}}, \\
& \left|\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right| \equiv\left|\Psi_{3}-3 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which show that the renormalised Weyl components can be assumed to keep the same behaviour as that of $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}$ and $\Psi_{3}$, respectively. The equivalence between $\Psi$ and $\tilde{\Psi}$ is given in the main proof.

For future use is convenient to introduce another auxiliary field - namely,

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{2} \equiv \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}+\mu \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

and assume on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}$ that

$$
\left|\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{4}}
$$

Remark 11. Note that applying $\partial$ or $\partial^{\prime}$ to our variables results in additional decay of $1 /|u|$ to the asymptotic decay so that $\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}$ decays like $a^{\frac{1}{2}} /\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{3}$. The term $\mu \bar{\varphi}_{1}$ shares the same decay. The leading (negative) piece of $\mu$ eliminates the leading term of these two expressions. A more detailed discussions on the behaviour of the quantity $\tilde{\varphi}_{2}$ is given in Section 7 on the energy estimates.

In our analysis we follow the basic design in An's work [28] for the definition of scale invariant $L_{s c}^{\infty}(\mathcal{S})$-norms with $|u|$ and $a$ weights such that

$$
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}(\mathcal{S})} \lesssim 1
$$

To this end associate a number (signature) $s_{2}(f)$ to characterise the decay rate of the various geometric quantities:

|  | $\Psi_{0}$ | $\Psi_{1}$ | $\Psi_{2}$ | $\Psi_{3}$ | $\Psi_{4}$ | $\rho, \sigma$ | $\omega$ | $\vartheta$ | $\tau$ | $\pi$ | $\mu, \lambda, \tilde{\mu}$ | $\underline{\omega}$ | $\underline{\chi}$ | $\varphi, \varphi_{0}$ | $\varphi_{1}$ | $\varphi_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{2}$ | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | $3 / 2$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 1 |

The signature is required to satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{2}\left(b^{i} b^{\prime j}\left\{\partial, \partial^{\prime}\right\}^{k} \phi\right)=s_{2}(\phi)+0 \times i+1 \times j+\frac{1}{2} \times k \\
& s_{2}\left(\phi_{1} \cdot \phi_{2}\right)=s_{2}\left(\phi_{1}\right)+s_{2}\left(\phi_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We make use of the signature to define the following scale-invariant norms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \equiv a^{-s_{2}(\phi)}|u|^{2 s_{2}(\phi)+1}\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \equiv a^{-s_{2}(\phi)}|u|^{2 s_{2}(\phi)}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \equiv a^{-s_{2}(\phi)}|u|^{2 s_{2}(\phi)-1}\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above norms satisfy the Hölder inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi_{1} \cdot \phi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \leq \frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
\left\|\phi_{1} \cdot \phi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \leq \frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\|\phi_{1} \cdot \phi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

Thus it follows that, making use of these norms, that if the norms themselves are order 1 , then the nonlinear terms can be treated as lower order terms when $|u| \gg 1$. Finally, we define the following scale-invariant norms along the lightcones:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2} & \equiv \int_{0}^{v}\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \mathrm{~d} v^{\prime} \\
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} & \equiv \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \mathrm{~d} u^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 12. In the analysis of main proof, from Section 5 to Section 7, we frequently use the Hölder inequalities to divide the $L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)$ of multiple terms to one $L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)$ norm and rest $L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)$ norms. Take multiple terms $A \cdot B \cdot C$ as an example:

$$
\|A \cdot B \cdot C\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{|u|^{2}}\|A\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\|B\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\|C\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

The total number of spherical derivatives in each such term is less or equal to 11. The reason $A$ takes the $L^{2}$ norm is that $A$ is the term containing the highest spherical derivative among $A, B$ and C. According to the bootstrap assumptions and the field equations themselves, no terms which are quadratic (or higher order) in the top (11th) derivative occur. Therefore, for convenience we use

$$
\|A \cdot B \cdot C\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{|u|^{2}}\|A\| \times\|B\| \times\|C\|
$$

in the discussion when there is no ambiguity.

### 4.3 Bootstrap norms

In this section we introduce the norms that will be used to set up our main bootstrap argument. In the following, let $\hat{\Gamma} \equiv\{\rho, \tau, \pi, \underline{\omega}, \underline{\chi}\}, \hat{\Psi}=\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\}$. For $0 \leq i \leq 6$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{i, \infty}(u, v) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \hat{\Gamma}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
+\frac{a}{|u|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}, \\
\Psi_{i, \infty}(u, v) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \hat{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}, \\
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i, \infty}(u, v) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{1}, \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $0 \leq i \leq 10$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{i, 2}(u, v) & \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \hat{\Gamma}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\frac{a}{|u|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\varphi_{i, 2}(u, v) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

For $0 \leq i \leq 9$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{i, 2}(u, v) & \equiv\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
\Psi_{i, 2}(u, v) & \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \hat{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $0 \leq i \leq 10$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{i}(u, v) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i}\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}, \\
\underline{\Psi}_{i}(u, v) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i}\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $0 \leq i \leq 11$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}(u, v) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \\
\underline{\varphi}_{i}(u, v) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}+\left\|\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\left\|\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \equiv \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2}
$$

Finally, for $0 \leq i \leq 10$, we define

$$
\underline{\tilde{\varphi}}_{i}(u, v) \equiv\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}
$$

Remark 13. From the choice of signature for $\tilde{\Psi}$ and $\Psi$, it follows that the norm of $\tilde{\Psi}$ is equivalent to that of $\Psi$.

In the following we denote by $\Gamma_{i, \infty}, \Gamma_{i, 2}, \Psi_{i, \infty}, \Psi_{i, 2}, \Psi_{i}, \underline{\Psi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i, \infty}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i, 2}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}$ and $\underline{\varphi}_{i}$ the supremum over $u$ and $v$ of the aforementioned bootstrap norms. We define, moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \equiv \sum_{i \leq 6}\left(\Gamma_{i, \infty}+\Psi_{i, \infty}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i, \infty}\right)+\sum_{i \leq 10}\left(\Gamma_{i, 2}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i, 2}\right)+\sum_{i \leq 9}\left(\Psi_{i, 2}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{i, 2}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{\Psi} \equiv \sum_{i \leq 10}\left(\Psi_{i}+\underline{\Psi}_{i}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi} \equiv \sum_{i \leq 11}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \leq 10} \underline{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}}_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and write $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{0}$ for the norms on the initial hypersurfaces.
We make use of $\Gamma\left(\Gamma, \varphi_{i}, \Psi\right)_{k}$ to denote the k-th derivative of $L^{2}$ norm of $\Gamma, \varphi_{i}$ and $\Psi$ which shows up on the right hand side in previous definition. Take $\sigma$ as an example:

$$
\Gamma(\sigma)_{k} \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

Follow the same spirit we make use of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}[\Gamma]$ to denote all the norms related to $\Gamma$. For $\sigma$ we define

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma) \equiv \sum_{i \leq 10} \Gamma(\sigma)_{i}
$$

Moreover, for $\varphi_{i}$, we use $\varphi\left[\varphi_{i}\right]_{k}$ and $\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{i}\right]_{k}$ to denote the norm of the $k$-th derivative of $\varphi_{i}$ along null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{N}_{u}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}$ respectively. For example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]_{k} & \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}, \\
\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]_{k} & \equiv\left\|\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We then write $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{i}\right]$ to denote the sum of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{i}\right]_{k}$ up to order 11. By $\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{i}\right]$ we mean the sum of $\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{i}\right]_{k}$ up to order 11.

Similarly, we use $\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{i}\right]_{k}$ and $\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\Psi_{i}\right]_{k}$ to denote the norm of the $k$-th derivative of $\Psi_{i}$ along null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{N}_{u}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}$ respectively, and likewise use $\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{i}\right]$ to denote the sum of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{i}\right]_{k}$ up to 10 th order. By $\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\Psi_{i}\right]$ we mean the sum of $\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\Psi_{i}\right]_{k}$ up to 10 th order.

We also make use of the initial data quantity

$$
\mathcal{I}_{0} \equiv \sup _{0 \leq v \leq 1} \mathcal{I}_{0}(v)
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{I}_{0}(v) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{i=0}^{15} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|p^{j}\left(\left|u_{\infty}\right| \mathcal{D}\right)^{i}\left(\sigma, \varphi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}
$$

Notation. In the rest of the article, for simplicity of the presentation, we use $A \lesssim B$ to denote that there exist a constant $C>0$ which is independent of $a$, such that $A \leq C \widetilde{B}$. We do not distinguish between $\lesssim$ and $\leq$ when there is no source of ambiguity. In addition, we write $\partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}}$ to denote $\partial^{j_{1}} \Gamma \partial^{j_{2}} \Gamma \ldots \partial^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma$ where $i_{1} \geq 0, i_{2} \geq 1, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{i_{2}} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_{1}+j_{2}+\ldots+j_{i_{2}}=i_{1}$.

### 4.4 Strategy of the bootstrap argument

The main theorem in this article relies on an a priori estimate for the nonlinear Einstein-scalar system. In order to derive uniform upper bounds on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, we make use of a bootstrap argument. From the analysis of the initial data for the CIVP, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{0}$ we readily have the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0}+\varphi_{0} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of our main theorem will show that in the area

$$
\mathbb{D}=\left\{(u, v) \mid u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-a / 4, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1\right\}
$$

the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(u, v)+\boldsymbol{\Psi}(u, v)+\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u, v) \lesssim\left(\mathcal{I}_{0}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{I}_{0}+1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. In turn, the above uniform bound leads to a last slice argument from which it follows that a solution to Einstein-scalar system exists within the area $\mathbb{D}$.

In order to establish $(35)$, we make the bootstrap assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\varphi \leq \mathcal{O} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $\mathcal{O}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{I}_{0} \ll \mathcal{O}
$$

but also

$$
\mathcal{O}^{20} \leq a^{\frac{1}{16}} .
$$

Letting

$$
\left.\boldsymbol{I}=\left\{u \mid u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-a / 4, \quad 36\right) \text { holds for every } 0 \leq v \leq 1\right\}
$$

our goal becomes to show that, in fact, $\boldsymbol{I}=\left[u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-a / 4\right]$. We will follow the standard bootstrap principle (or continuity method) to derive this conclusion -i.e, showing that $\boldsymbol{I}$ is both open and closed.

Our argument is naturally divided into the following steps:
Step 1: From the assumptions on the initial data, Condition (34), and the local existence for the Einstein-scalar system, the existence area can always be slightly extended in $u$. Then there exists a small $\varepsilon$ such that for $u_{\infty} \leq u \leq u_{\infty}+\varepsilon$ we have

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\varphi \lesssim 2 \mathcal{I}_{0} \ll \mathcal{O}
$$

This implies that $\left[u_{\infty} \leq u \leq u_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right] \subseteq \boldsymbol{I}$, and it follows that $\boldsymbol{I}$ is a closed set.
Step 2: In Sections 5, 6 and 7 we show the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(u, v) \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}(u, v)^{2}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}(u, v)+\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u, v)^{2}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}(u, v)+\mathcal{I}_{0}+1, \\
& \boldsymbol{\varphi}(u, v) \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}+1, \quad \boldsymbol{\Psi}(u, v) \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

The above estimates improve the upper bounds in the bootstrap assumption (36). Accordingly, $\boldsymbol{I}$ can be extended a bit forward along $u$. This implies that $\boldsymbol{I}$ is open.
Step 3: Using local existence and basic topology, it follows from Steps 1 and 2 that the only possibility for the set $\boldsymbol{I}$ to be both open and closed in $\left[u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-a / 4\right]$ is that it is the whole set. We conclude that $\boldsymbol{I} \equiv\left[u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-a / 4\right]$ and, moreover, that the uniform bound in (35) holds.

### 4.5 Estimates for the components of the frame

In order to kick-start the construction of our main estimates we need first some basic estimates for the components of the frame in terms of the basic bootstrap assumptions (36).
Step 1. Integrating the definition of $\underline{\chi}$ in the $v$-direction and making use of the bootstrap assumption (36) for $\underline{\chi}$, one has that

$$
\left.|Q-1|=\left|\int_{0}^{1} \underline{\chi} \mathrm{~d} v\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\|\underline{\chi}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \mathrm{d} v^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{|u|} \right\rvert\, \underline{\chi} \|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|}
$$

In the above estimate it has been used that $Q=1$ on $\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime} \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$ where Minkowski data is given. From the assumption that $a$ is suitably larger than $\mathcal{O}$, one has that $\left\|Q, Q^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}$ are close to 1.
Step 2. Making use of the estimate 22

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} \phi=\int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} Q^{-1}(D \phi-(\rho+\bar{\rho}) \phi)
$$

and letting $\phi=1$ one concludes that

$$
\operatorname{Area}\left(S_{u, v}\right)-\operatorname{Area}\left(S_{u, 0}\right) \lesssim \int_{0}^{v} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} 2 Q^{-1}\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

Now, making use of the bootstrap assumption of $\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \mathcal{O} /|u|$ and that Area $\left(S_{u, 0}\right)=2 \pi u^{2}$ we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Area}\left(S_{u, v}\right)-2 \pi u^{2} \lesssim \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|^{2}} \operatorname{Area}\left(S_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Finally, making use of the Grönwall inequality we find that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Area}\left(S_{u, v}\right)-2 \pi u^{2}\right| \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|}
$$

### 4.6 Grönwall-type estimates

One of the basic tools in our analysis will be a Grönwall-type estimate on transport equations. We begin by noticing the following:

Proposition 1. Under the bootstrap assumption (36), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\|p \phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \\
\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\left\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{0}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{0}}^{u}\right\| p^{\prime} \phi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \mathrm{d} u^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $f \equiv|\phi|^{2}$. Making use of the discussion in Section 3.3 and the bootstrap assumption on $\rho$, one has that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq\|p \phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

Then, the Grönwall inequality will give us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \leq \exp \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|} \mathrm{d} v^{\prime}\right)\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{1}\|p \phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \mathrm{d} v^{\prime}\right) \\
& \lesssim\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{1}\|p \phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \mathrm{d} v^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Along the $u$ direction, making use of the bootstrap assumption

$$
\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}
$$

where $\tilde{\mu} \equiv \mu+\frac{1}{|u|}$, we observe that

$$
-\frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}-\frac{1}{|u|} \leq \mu \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}-\frac{1}{|u|} \leq 0
$$

Hence, when $f \equiv|\phi|^{2}$, using that $Q \sim 1$ we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq\left\|b^{\prime} \phi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

from which the desired result follows.
We also have the following scale-invariant norm version of the last result:

## Proposition 2.

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\|p \phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \mathrm{d} v^{\prime}  \tag{37a}\\
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0, v}\right)}+\int_{0}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|b^{\prime} \phi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \mathrm{d} u^{\prime} \tag{37b}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following we focus attention on the transport equations for the components of the connection in the incoming direction. Schematically, these can be written as

$$
p^{\prime} \Gamma=\lambda_{0} \mu \Gamma+F
$$

In view of the important role to be played by the bootstrap assumption on $\mu$, we will require more precise estimates. In particular, we have the following result:

Proposition 3. For transport equations of the form

$$
b^{\prime} \Gamma=\lambda_{0} \mu \Gamma+F,
$$

one has the estimate

$$
|u|^{\lambda_{1}}\|\Gamma\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{\lambda_{1}}\|\Gamma\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\lambda_{1}}\|F\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \mathrm{d} u^{\prime},
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{1} \equiv-\left(\lambda_{0}+1\right)
$$

Proof. Let $f \equiv|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|^{2}$ and apply equation 23 . The left-hand side of this equation is then given by

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} f=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\left|\left\|\left.u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}=2\right|\left\|\left.u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\left|\left\|\left.u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right.
$$

The integrands on the right-hand side satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime}\left(|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|^{2}\right)+2 \mu|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|^{2} \\
& =2 \lambda_{1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}-1} b^{\prime}(|u|)|\Gamma|^{2}+|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left(\bar{\Gamma} b^{\prime} \Gamma+\Gamma p^{\prime} \bar{\Gamma}\right)+2 \mu|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|^{2} \\
& \leq-2 \lambda_{1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}-1}|\Gamma|^{2}+2 \lambda_{0} \mu|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|^{2}+2|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\Gamma||F|+2 \mu|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|^{2} \\
& =-2 \lambda_{1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|^{2}\left(\mu-\frac{1}{u}\right)+2|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}} \Gamma F .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of the bootstrap assumption

$$
\left\|\mu-\frac{1}{u}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}
$$

one can show that the right-hand side is less than

$$
2 \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}\left|\left||u|^{\lambda_{1}}\right| \Gamma\right|\left\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}+\left.2\left|\left\|\left.u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right|| | u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|F|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

Putting everything together one gets

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\left|\left\|\left.u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}\right|\left\|\left.u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left|\left\|\left.u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|F|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right.
$$

Integrating with respect to $u$ and applying the Grönwall inequality, one concludes that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left\|\left.u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right. & \leq\left(\exp \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right)\left(| | | u | ^ { \lambda _ { 1 } } | \Gamma | \left\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u}\left|\left\|\left.u^{\prime}\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|F|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \lesssim\left|\left\|\left.u\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|\Gamma|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u}\right|\left\|\left.u^{\prime}\right|^{\lambda_{1}}|F|\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In terms of scale-invariant norms the above result can be reformulated as
Proposition 4. For transport equations of the form

$$
p^{\prime} \Gamma=\lambda_{0} \mu \Gamma+F
$$

one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{s_{2}(\Gamma)}|u|^{\lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(\Gamma)}\|\Gamma\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim a^{s_{2}(\Gamma)}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{\lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(\Gamma)}\|\Gamma\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)} \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} a^{s_{2}(F)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)}\|F\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \mathrm{d} u^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{2}(F)=s_{2}\left(p^{\prime} \Gamma\right)=s_{2}(\Gamma)+1$.

### 4.7 Sobolev embedding

Exploiting the fact that $\operatorname{Area}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right) \sim|u|^{2}$ and working under the bootstrap assumptions, the Sobolev embedding inequalities (25) and (26) imply the following:

Proposition 5. Under the bootstrap assumptions (36) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \sum_{i \leq 2}\| \| u\right|^{i-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \phi \|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of scale-invariant norms one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \sum_{i \leq 2}\left\|\left(a^{1 / 2} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \phi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.8 Commutators

Suppose that the T-weighted quantity $f$ satisfies the transport equation $b f=H_{0}$. Then, using Stewart's gauge and the commutator 65b we have that

$$
H_{k}=\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\pi, \tau)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} H_{0}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}} f
$$

where $H_{k} \equiv p \partial^{k} f$. Similarly, suppose $f$ satisfies $p^{\prime} f=G_{0}$, we have that

$$
G_{k}=-k \mu \partial^{k} f+\partial^{k} G_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \mu \partial^{k-i} f+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \lambda \partial^{k-i} f
$$

where $G_{k} \equiv p^{\prime} \partial^{k} f$. In the above expressions for $H_{k}$ and $G_{k}$ we need give neither the exact constants in each sum nor the T-weight of $f$. Furthermore, in the expressions for $H_{k}$ and $G_{k}$ we need not distinguish the $\partial$ and $\partial^{\prime}$ operators or their complex conjugates. The justification for this can be traced back to the definition of the norms.

## $5 \quad L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates

In this section, under the bootstrap assumption

$$
\Gamma, \boldsymbol{\Psi}, \varphi \leq \mathcal{O}
$$

we show $L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates of the connection coefficients, the auxiliary field $\varphi_{a}$ and the Weyl curvature. In particular we demonstrate the inequality

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\varphi^{2}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}+\mathcal{I}_{0}+1
$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ contains up to 10 derivatives of the connection coefficients, 10 derivatives of $\varphi_{0,1,2}$ and 9 derivatives of the Weyl scalars $\Psi_{0, \ldots, 4}$. We use the 10 th derivative of the Weyl terms with the 11th derivative of $\varphi_{0,1,2}$ on the lightcone to control $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$.

The general strategy of the proof is to make use of the transport equations satisfied by the various quantities involved in the field equations. Then one applies the commutator relations into either equation (37a) or the inequalities in Proposition 4. Making use of scale-invariant norms, we show in detail that the main contributions in the transport equations come from the linear terms, and a handful of nonlinear terms involving the scalar field. The T-weight of the various fields allows us to obtain estimates for $\mathcal{D}^{k} f$ once we have an estimate on one of its strings of derivatives. For concreteness, in our calculations we always choose $\partial^{k} f$ as an example. In the course of calculation, we make frequent use of the bootstrap assumption (36) and the fact that $\mathcal{O} \ll a \leq|u|$. In the case of the connection coefficients we can always find structure equations which do not involve angular derivatives. In contrast, for $\varphi_{0,1,2}$ and the components of the Weyl tensor we instead transform the estimates of angular derivatives from $\mathcal{S}$ to lightcone estimates.

## 5.1 $\quad L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates of connection coefficients

We start by looking at the estimates for the connection coefficients. Our first result is the following:

Proposition 6. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has

$$
\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

Proof. We illustrate the method of proof by looking in detail at the case of the spin connection coefficient $\lambda$. In this case the relevant structure equation is 28 h - namely

$$
p^{\prime} \lambda=-2 \mu \lambda-\underline{\omega} \lambda-\Psi_{4} .
$$

In order to estimate $\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \lambda\right\|$ one needs to commute each $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}}$ with $p^{\prime}$. We take $\partial^{k} \lambda$ as an example. One has that

$$
b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \lambda+(k+2) \mu \partial^{k} \lambda=-\partial^{k} \Psi_{4}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \tilde{\mu} \partial^{k-i} \lambda+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \lambda) \partial^{k-i} \lambda
$$

Denote the right-hand side of the last equation by $F$. One has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{2}(\lambda)=1, \quad s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \lambda\right)=\frac{k+2}{2}, \quad s_{2}(F)=\frac{k+4}{2} \\
& \lambda_{0}=-(k+2), \quad \lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{0}-1=k+1 \\
& \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)=-3, \quad \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \lambda\right)=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of Proposition 4, one has

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} .
$$

Multiplying by $a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ one has

$$
\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}
$$

Now, for convenience let

$$
H \equiv \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

Substituting the expression of $F$ one finds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H \leq & \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{3}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{3}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\mu}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-1} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{3}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \lambda)\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-1} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \lambda \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{1}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{3}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} & \leq\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{|u|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\left.u^{\prime}, v\right)}^{2}\right.}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Psi_{4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \frac{a}{|u|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of the bootstrap assumptions

$$
\|\tilde{\mu}\| \leq \frac{|u|}{a} \mathcal{O}, \quad\|\lambda\| \leq \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}, \quad\|\underline{\omega}, \tau\| \leq \mathcal{O}, \quad\|\mu\| \leq \frac{|u|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}
$$

for $I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$, the above assumptions give

$$
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2}}{|u|} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{2}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1
$$

Combining the results above with those on the initial data, one concludes

$$
\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

One can estimate the rest of the strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \lambda$ in analogous way. Hence, from Definition (18), we have

$$
\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

Proposition 7. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \Psi\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1
$$

Proof. In this case we make use of the transport equation 28 b

$$
p \sigma=2 \rho \sigma+\Psi_{0}
$$

to estimate $\sigma$. For $k \leq 10$, commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $p$, one has that

$$
p \partial^{k} \sigma=\partial^{k} \Psi_{0}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k, i_{3}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Psi_{0}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}} \sigma .
$$

Then, making use of the transport estimate in scale-invariant norm, equation (37a), one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} b ð^{k} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

Note that the initial data for $\sigma$ on the initial ingoing light cone is Minkowskian -i.e, it is zero. Now, multiplying by $a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ both sides of the inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} p \partial^{k} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k, i_{3}<k} \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{\mid u u^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+1}+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}+1}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O} \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of the strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \sigma$ can be estimated in a similar way. Hence, from Definition 18), we conclude that

$$
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1
$$

Proposition 8. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Proof. Here we make use of the structure equation 28 k -namely,

$$
p \underline{\omega}=2 \tau \bar{\tau}+2 \tau \pi+2 \bar{\tau} \bar{\pi}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}
$$

For $k \leq 10$, we commute $\partial^{k}$ with $p$, to obtain
$b \partial^{k} \underline{\omega}=\partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k, i_{3}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \underline{\omega})$

$$
+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \partial^{i_{4}} \varphi_{2} .
$$

Then, the transport estimate in the scale-invariant norm, inequality (37a), yields

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} p \partial^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

As the initial data for $\underline{\omega}$ on the initial ingoing light cone is Minkowskian (and thus vanishes), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} p \partial^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \leq \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k, i_{3}<k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+1}+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+1} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)+1 \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we have made use of the $L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates for $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ which will be shown in Proposition 14 and Proposition 15.

The remaining strings of derivatives in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \underline{\omega}$ can be estimated in a similar way. Hence, from Definition 18, we conclude that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Proposition 9. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \underline{\chi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Proof. We make use of the transport equation (33) - namely

$$
b^{\prime} \underline{\chi}=2 \tau \pi+2 \bar{\tau} \bar{\pi}+2 \pi \bar{\pi}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\underline{\omega} \underline{\chi} .
$$

Commuting $b^{\prime}$ with $\partial^{k}$ we have that

$$
b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \underline{\chi}+k \mu \partial^{k} \underline{\chi}=\partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{k-i} \pi+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \underline{\omega} \partial^{k-i} \underline{\chi}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \varphi_{0} \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{2}
$$

Denote the right-hand side of the above equation by $F$. One has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{2}(\underline{\chi})=0, \quad s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \underline{\chi}\right)=\frac{k}{2}, \quad s_{2}(F)=\frac{k+2}{2} \\
& \lambda_{0}=-k, \quad \lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{0}-1=k-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)=-3, \quad \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \underline{\chi}\right)=-1
$$

Now, making use of Proposition 4 one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \underline{\chi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \partial^{i} \varphi_{0} \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \underline{\omega}) \partial^{k-i} \Gamma(\pi, \underline{\chi})\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $I_{1}$ can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \underline{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right] \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \frac{\underline{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]}{|u|}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} ð\right)^{i} \varphi_{0}\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k-i} \varphi_{2}\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Gamma\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \Gamma\left(\varphi_{2}\right)}{|u|} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\varphi\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1}{|u|}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last step in the above chain of inequalities will be shown in the Propositions 14 and 15 .
Finally, for $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
I_{3} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{i} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k-i} \Gamma\right\| \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \lesssim \frac{1}{|u|}
$$

Collecting the previous estimates, we obtain that

$$
\frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \underline{\chi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]}{|u|}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1}{|u|}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \underline{\chi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \underline{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Proposition 10. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \Psi\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right] \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Proof. We make use of the structure equation 28i)

$$
p \tau=(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \rho+(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \sigma+\tilde{\Psi}_{1}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}
$$

For $k \leq 10$, commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $p$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \partial^{k} \tau & =\partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k, i_{3}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \varphi_{0} \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{1} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \partial^{i_{4}} \varphi_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we make use of the transport estimate in scale-invariant norm 37a). One concludes that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} p \partial^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

As the initial data of $\tau$ on the initial ingoing light cone is Minkowskian, we have that $\tau=0$. Accordingly, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} p \partial^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \leq \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k, i_{3}<k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+1}+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+1} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}+\frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O} \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+1 \\
& \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right] \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 11. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. We make use of the structure equation for $\rho$-namely, 28a

$$
p \rho=\rho^{2}+\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}
$$

For $k \leq 10$, commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $p$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \partial^{k} \rho & =\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \sigma \partial^{k-i} \bar{\sigma}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \varphi_{0} \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{0}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \rho \partial^{i_{4}} \rho \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \sigma \partial^{i_{4}} \sigma+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \partial^{i_{4}} \varphi_{0} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}} \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we make use of the transport estimate in terms of scale-invariant norms, inequality (37a). One finds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} p \partial^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \sigma \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}}\left(\Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma), \varphi_{0}\right) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}}\left(\Gamma(\rho, \sigma), \varphi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim 1+\frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{2}+\frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{2}+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \\
& \quad \leq 1+\frac{a}{|u|}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{2}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{2}\right)+\frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|}+\frac{a \mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that in the third inequality one has that $i_{2} \neq 0$. Similarly, one can estimate the rest of the strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \rho$ and obtain the same result. We conclude then that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1\right)^{2}
$$

Remark 14. The initial data of $\rho$ on the ingoing light cone is Minkowskian - that is, one has $\rho=-\frac{1}{|u|}$. In terms of scale-invariant norms we have

$$
\|\rho\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}=1 ; \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}=0 .
$$

Hence, when $1 \leq k \leq 9$, we have that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{2}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{2}\right)+\frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|}+\frac{a \mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}
$$

Proposition 12. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1 \\
\frac{a}{|u|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. In this case we make use of the ingoing equation of $\tilde{\mu}$ - namely,

$$
p^{\prime} \tilde{\mu}+2 \mu \tilde{\mu}=\tilde{\mu}^{2}-\underline{\omega} \mu-\lambda \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{2}^{2}
$$

Commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $p^{\prime}$, we find that

$$
p^{\prime} \partial^{k} \tilde{\mu}+(k+2) \mu \partial^{k} \tilde{\mu}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \partial^{k-i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \underline{\omega} \partial^{k-i} \mu+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \varphi_{2} \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{2}
$$

Denoting the right-hand side of the above equation by $F$ it follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{2}(\tilde{\mu})=1, \quad s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right)=\frac{k+2}{2}, \quad s_{2}(F)=\frac{k+4}{2} \\
& \lambda_{0}=-(k+2), \quad \lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{0}-1=k+1 \\
& \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)=-3, \quad \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \lambda\right)=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of Proposition 4 one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} 犭\right)^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mu \mathcal{D}^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}| | a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \tilde{\mu} \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \underline{\omega} \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}| | a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \varphi_{2} \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}| | a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i}(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \mathcal{D}^{k-i}(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \left.\lesssim 1+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\underline{\omega})+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \right\rvert\, \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left(\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a} \mathcal{O} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a} \mathcal{O}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{\left.a^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a} \mathcal{O}\right)}\right. \\
& \leq 1+\frac{a}{|u|}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\underline{\omega})+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 1+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, in the end, one has that

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

so that, consequently,

$$
\frac{a}{|u|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

Proposition 13. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right]+1
$$

Proof. We make use of the structure equation 28 j , that is

$$
p^{\prime} \pi=-(\pi+\bar{\tau}) \mu-(\bar{\pi}+\tau) \lambda-\tilde{\Psi}_{3}-6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

Commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $p^{\prime}$, we find that

$$
b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \pi+(k+1) \mu \partial^{k} \pi=-\partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\mu \partial^{k} \bar{\tau}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{k-i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{2}
$$

Denoting the right-hand side of the above expression by $F$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{2}(\pi)=\frac{1}{2}, \quad s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \pi\right)=\frac{k+1}{2}, \quad s_{2}(F)=\frac{k+3}{2}, \\
& \lambda_{0}=-(k+1), \quad \lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{0}-1=k \\
& \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)=-3, \quad \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \pi\right)=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of Proposition 4 one has

$$
\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}
$$

Multiplying by $a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mu \mathcal{D}^{k} \bar{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i}(\tau, \pi) \mathcal{D}^{k-i}(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}+I_{1}+\ldots+I_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
I_{1} \leq\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
I_{2} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\|\mu\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \bar{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \Gamma(\tau) \lesssim \frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)}{|u|}
$$

For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
I_{3} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \mathcal{O} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{1}{|u|}
$$

Finally, for $I_{4}$ we have that

$$
I_{4} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \leq \frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)}{|u|} .
$$

Now, combining $I_{1}$ and $I_{4}$ we see that

$$
\frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)+1}{|u|}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)+1 \\
& \lesssim \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right] \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

## $5.2 \quad L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates of the auxiliary field $\varphi_{a}$

Next, we proceed to discuss the construction of estimates for the components of the auxiliary field.

Proposition 14. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Proof. We make use of equation 27a,

$$
b^{\prime} \varphi_{0}-\partial \bar{\varphi}_{1}=(\underline{\omega}-\mu) \varphi_{0}+\rho \varphi_{2}-\bar{\tau} \varphi_{1}-\tau \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

Commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $b^{\prime}$, we find that

$$
b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}+(k+1) \mu \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}=-\partial^{k+1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\rho, \tau) \partial^{k-i}\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)
$$

Denoting the right-hand side of the previous equation by $F$, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{2}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=0, \quad s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \pi\right)=\frac{k}{2}, \quad s_{2}(F)=\frac{k+2}{2} \\
& \lambda_{0}=-(k+1), \quad \lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{0}-1=k \\
& \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)=-2, \quad \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of Proposition 4 one has

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}
$$

so that multiplying by $a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ one sees

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 1+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\rho, \tau) \mathcal{D}^{k-i}\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=1+I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have

$$
I_{1} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right] \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

For the term $I_{2}$ we have

$$
I_{2} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left(\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a} \mathcal{O}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u}\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right) \leq 1
$$

And, finally, for the term $I_{3}$ it can be verified that

$$
I_{3} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left(\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}+1\right) \mathcal{O}^{2}=\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u}\left(\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}+\frac{a \mathcal{O}^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\right) \leq 1
$$

Combining the above estimates we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right] \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}}+1 \leq \varphi\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

A similar analysis of all the other strings of derivatives in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \varphi_{0}$ leads to the same result, so that we can conclude that

$$
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

The components $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ can be analysed together. We have the following:
Proposition 15. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right]+1 \\
\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. In this proof we make use of the definition

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{2} \equiv \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}+\mu \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

and the associated bootstrap assumption

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \mathcal{O}
$$

for $0 \leq k \leq 9$.
Starting from equation 27 c

$$
b^{\prime} \varphi_{1}+2 \mu \varphi_{1}=\overline{\tilde{\varphi}}_{2}-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

we commute $\partial^{k}$ with $p^{\prime}$ to obtain

$$
b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}+(k+2) \mu \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}=\partial^{k} \overline{\tilde{\varphi}}_{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{1}
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq 10$. Denote by $F$ the right-hand side of the previous equation. One has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{2}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}, \quad s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right)=\frac{k+1}{2}, \quad s_{2}(F)=\frac{k+3}{2}, \\
& \lambda_{0}=-(k+2), \quad \lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{0}-1=k+1 \\
& \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)=-2, \quad \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of Proposition 4 one has that

$$
a^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim a^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}
$$

so that $a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ to both hands side and substituting the expression for $F$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \left\lvert\, \frac{u^{\prime} \mid}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{1}\right\|\right. \\
& \leq 1+\underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, observing that the rest of the strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \varphi_{1}$ give rise to the same estimate, one can conclude that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right]+1
$$

For the analysis of $\tilde{\varphi}_{2}$, we apply $\partial^{\prime}$ to 27 d and make use of the commutator relations to yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \tilde{\varphi}_{2} & =\partial^{\prime} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}+\bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial \pi+\bar{\pi} \partial^{\prime} \bar{\varphi}_{1}+2 \pi \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}-\bar{\tau} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{0} \partial^{\prime} \mu+\varphi_{1} \partial^{\prime} \pi+\bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial^{\prime} \bar{\pi}+\varphi_{2} \partial^{\prime} \rho \\
& +\bar{\varphi}_{1} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}+2 \rho \tilde{\varphi}_{2}+\bar{\sigma} \bar{\varphi}_{2}+\pi^{2} \varphi_{1}+2 \pi \bar{\pi} \bar{\varphi}_{1}+\pi \rho \varphi_{2}+\lambda \sigma \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\pi \bar{\tau} \varphi_{1}-\bar{\pi} \bar{\tau} \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\rho \bar{\tau} \varphi_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Commuting $\partial^{\prime k}$ with $p$ we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \partial^{\prime k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2} & =\partial^{\prime k+2} \varphi_{1}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{\prime i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{\prime i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{\prime i_{4}+1} \varphi_{1} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{\prime i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{\prime i_{3}} \varphi \partial^{\prime i_{4}+1} \Gamma(\pi, \mu, \rho) \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{\prime i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{\prime i_{3}}\left(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma, \varphi_{1}\right) \partial^{\prime i_{4}} \tilde{\varphi}_{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \partial^{\prime i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{\prime i_{3}} \Gamma(\lambda, \pi) \partial^{\prime i_{4}} \Gamma(\sigma, \tau, \pi, \rho) \partial^{\prime i_{5}} \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq 9$. Making use of the transport estimate in scale-invariant norm (37a) it follows that
$\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial^{\prime}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial^{\prime}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} b \partial^{\prime k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+2} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \Gamma(\pi, \mu, \rho)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}}\left(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma, \varphi_{1}\right) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\lambda, \pi) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Gamma(\sigma, \tau, \pi, \rho) \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& =1+I_{1}+\ldots+I_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
I_{1} \leq\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+2} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}=\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|a^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+2} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \varphi\left[\varphi_{1}\right] \leq 1
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
I_{2} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1
$$

For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
I_{3} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a} \mathcal{O}+\mathcal{O}^{2}+\frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{2}\right) \leq 1
$$

For $I_{4}$ we have that

$$
I_{4} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O O} \leq 1
$$

Finally, for $I_{5}$ we have that

$$
I_{5} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}}\left(\frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}+\mathcal{O}^{2} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}\right) \leq 1
$$

Combining the above estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots I_{5}$ we see that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial^{\prime}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

Following the same strategy one can estimate the rest of the strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}$ to conclude that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

From the definition of $\tilde{\varphi}_{2}$ we have $\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}=\tilde{\varphi}_{2}-\mu \bar{\varphi}_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k+1} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k}\left(\mu \varphi_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq 1+\frac{a}{|u|} \frac{1}{|u|}\|\mu\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{a}{|u|} \frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \mu\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq 1+\frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{a}+\frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \frac{|u|}{a} \mathcal{O} \leq 1
$$

when $0 \leq k \leq 9$.
In the case of $k=0$ we fall back into equation 27 d and make use of the transport estimate in scale-invariant norm

$$
\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|p \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

so that multiplying by $a^{\frac{1}{2}} /|u|$ we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|p \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left(\left\|\mathcal{D} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}+\left\|\mu \varphi_{0}+\rho \varphi_{2}+\pi \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim 1+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left(\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}+\frac{1}{|u|} \frac{|u|^{2}}{a} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+\frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 1+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right] \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last step of the previous chain of inequalities we have made use of

$$
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\mathcal{D} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \mathcal{O} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a}{|u|} \mathcal{O} \leq 1
$$

Collecting the above estimates for $\varphi_{2}$ we finally conclude that

$$
\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

for $0 \leq k \leq 10$.

## $5.3 \quad L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates of Weyl curvature

Next in our hierarchy, we construct sphere estimates for the components of the Weyl tensor.
Proposition 16. For $0 \leq k \leq 9$, one has that

$$
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

Proof. We make use of the Bianchi identity 29b
$b^{\prime} \Psi_{0}+\mu \Psi_{0}-ð \tilde{\Psi}_{1}=6 \varphi_{0} \partial \varphi_{1}+2 \underline{\omega} \Psi_{0}-5 \tau \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \sigma \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2} \sigma-3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \rho-6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma-12 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \tau$.
Commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $p^{\prime}$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \Psi_{0}+(k+1) \mu \partial^{k} \Psi_{0} & =\partial^{k+1} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \varphi_{0} \partial^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda, \underline{\omega}) \partial^{k-i} \Psi_{0} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tau, \sigma) \partial^{k-i} \tilde{\Psi}_{1,2}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{2}} \varphi \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting the right-hand side of the previous equality by $F$, one has

$$
s_{2}\left(\Psi_{0}\right)=0, \quad s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \Psi_{0}\right)=\frac{k}{2}, \quad s_{2}(F)=\frac{k+2}{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{0}=-(k+1), \quad \lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{0}-1=k \\
& \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)=-2, \quad \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \Psi_{0}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of Proposition 4 one finds

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)},
$$

so that multiplying by $a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ one concludes that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda, \underline{\omega}) \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\tau, \sigma) \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \tilde{\Psi}_{1,2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{2}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 1+I_{1}+\ldots+I_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
I_{1} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k+1} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
I_{2} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1
$$

For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
I_{3} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left(\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a} \mathcal{O}+\frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \mathcal{O} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O} \leq 1
$$

For $I_{4}$ we have that

$$
I_{4} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \mathcal{O}^{2}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1
$$

and, finally, for $I_{5}$ we have that

$$
I_{5} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1
$$

Combining the above estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{5}$ we conclude that

$$
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{1}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1 \leq 1
$$

Observing that for the remaining strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \varphi_{2}$ one obtains the same result, we can conclude that

$$
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

Proposition 17. For $0 \leq k \leq 9$, one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k}\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2}+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Proof. For the $L^{2}$ estimates of $\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}$ and $\Psi_{4}$, we make use of the Bianchi identities 29a, (29c), 29e and 29g). These equations share the same structure - namely, one has that

$$
p \Psi_{I I}=\partial\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}\right)+\varphi ð \varphi+\Gamma \Psi+\Gamma \varphi \varphi
$$

where we have used $\tilde{\Psi}$ denote $\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\}$ and $\Psi_{I I}$ to denote $\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\}$. We commute $\partial^{k}$ with $p^{\prime}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \partial^{k} \Psi_{I I} & =\partial^{k+1}\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}\right)+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k, i_{3}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}+1}\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}\right)+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi \partial^{i_{4}+1} \varphi \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{4}} \Psi+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{4}} \varphi \partial^{i_{5}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}
\end{aligned}
$$

We then make use of the scale-invariant norm transport estimate (37a) so as to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} p \partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1}\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k, i_{3}<k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}+1}\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Psi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k}^{v} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 1+I_{1}+\ldots+I_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1}\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k+1}\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}[\tilde{\Psi}] \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we find that

$$
I_{2} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1
$$

For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left(\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0,1} \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{0,1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}+\mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{2}+\frac{1}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{4}$, the worst term is $\lambda \Psi_{0}$. In this case we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \lambda \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \lambda \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} a^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} a^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O} \leq 1+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O}+\frac{\mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $I_{5}$, we denote integral contain terms $\mu \varphi_{0,1} \varphi_{0,1}$ by $I_{51}$. One has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{51} & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mu \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{0,1} \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \varphi_{0,1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}<k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \mu \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi_{0,1} \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi_{0,1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|u|^{2}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{a} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\varphi_{0,1}\right) a^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\varphi_{0,1}\right)+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{a} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{3} \\
& \leq \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2}+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, denote the integral contain terms with $\varphi_{2}$, namely $\sigma \varphi_{2}^{2}, \lambda \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}$ and $\pi \varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}$ by $I_{52}$. It follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{52} & \leq \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}+\frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}+\mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+3}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+3} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{3}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting the remaining terms by $I_{53}$, one has

$$
I_{53} \leq \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\lambda, \sigma, \tau, \pi, \rho) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi_{0,1} \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi_{0,1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}}\left(\frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}+a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}+\mathcal{O}\right) a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2}+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}+3}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+3} \\
& \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{2}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}|u|}+\frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{3} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

To conclude, combining the above estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{5}$ one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2}+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1 .
$$

An inspection then shows that the other strings of derivatives in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \Psi_{I I}$ give rise to the same estimate. Accordingly, we find that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2}+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1 .
$$

### 5.4 Summary and discussion of $L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimate

In this subsection we summarise and put together the $L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates we have obtained so far.
We have showed that under the bootstrap assumption

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \Psi \leq \mathcal{O}
$$

we obtain the estimates
(i) for $0 \leq k \leq 10$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim 1 \\
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \Psi\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1, \\
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \Psi\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1, \\
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \underline{\chi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1, \\
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1\right)^{2}, \\
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right] \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1, \\
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1, \\
\frac{a}{|u|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim 1, \\
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right] \underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) for $0 \leq k \leq 10$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1, \quad\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right]+1 \\
& \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) for $0 \leq k \leq 9$,

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim 1 \\
\quad\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \Psi\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Psi_{I I}=\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\}$.
Actually, from the bootstrap assumption $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \leq \mathcal{O}$, one can obtain additional estimates for all derivatives of $\varphi$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ except those at the highest level. We now give estimates which will be used to control the Gaussian curvature.

When $0 \leq k \leq 10$, from Propositions 14 and 15 we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]_{k} & \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{a}\left(\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}=\frac{\mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and furthermore that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]_{k} & \equiv \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{a}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(S_{u, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}=\frac{\mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $0 \leq k \leq 9$, from Proposition 16 we have that

$$
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]_{k}=\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} a^{\frac{1}{2}}=1
$$

With the aid of the above three results, one can make use of the $L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates from the previous subsection, so that when $0 \leq k \leq 9$ one has that

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\sigma, \varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)_{k} \lesssim 1
$$

Moreover, making use of the estimate (29c) in Proposition 17 to estimate $\Psi_{2}$, we can conclude with the inequality

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right)_{k} \leq 1,
$$

which leads to

$$
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]_{k} \leq 1, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tilde{\mu})_{k} \leq 1 .
$$

From Lemma 14 we have that for $1 \leq k \leq 9$

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{k} \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0} \lesssim 1 .
$$

Collecting the results above we have following corollary:
Corollary 1. Under bootstrap assumption $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\Psi} \leq \mathcal{O}$, for $0 \leq k \leq 9$, we have that

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\sigma, \tilde{\mu}, \varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right)_{k}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]_{k}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]_{k} \lesssim 1 .
$$

When $0 \leq k \leq 10$, we have that

$$
\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]_{k}, \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]_{k} \leq 1,
$$

and for $\rho$ we have that

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{1 \leq k \leq 9} \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0} \lesssim 1 .
$$

## 6 Elliptic estimates

In this section we estimate the highest (11th order) derivative of connection coefficients. These results are needed in the energy estimates of the auxiliary fields $\varphi_{0,1,2}$. The reason one cannot directly use the transport estimate is due to the presence of angular derivatives and Weyl curvature terms leading to even higher derivatives of said quantities. To overcome this problem, based on the analysis on topological 2 -spheres $\mathcal{S}$, as introduced in Chapter 2 of [8, which provided an estimate of up to nine derivatives of the Gaussian curvature on $\mathcal{S}$, we can obtain an estimate for the highest derivative of the connection coefficients from the information of the divergence and curl of its' lower derivatives. The required Gaussian curvature estimates can be obtained from the Codazzi equation. Further discussion on this strategy can be found in 10, [29.

In this article we can simplify the discussion by making use of the T-weight formalism and relying on 3.3 .2 -which shows that the divergence has the same information as the curl. Additional simplifications are obtained by constructing some new quantities via divergences and the Weyl curvature so that the transport equation of these new quantities no longer involve the Weyl tensor.

The connection coefficients can be divided into three categories depending on the specific structure of their equations:
(i) The first category contains $(\rho, \sigma),(\mu, \lambda)$. For these coefficients we make use one transport equation for $\rho(\mu)$ which does not contain spherical derivative and the Weyl tensor to obtain estimates. The leading term in this estimate is $\sigma(\lambda)$ which be controlled by its divergence via the Codazzi equation and Proposition 18. Details are given in Propositions 20 and 24 ,
(ii) The second category contains $\tau$ and $\pi$. Their transport equations do not contain angular derivative but have components of the Weyl tensor. To avoid the eleventh derivative of the Weyl, we construct new quantities with the divergence and Weyl tensor for which we estimate up to the tenth derivative. Proposition 18 then allows us to control the top derivatives of $\tau$ and $\pi$. This discussion is presented in Propositions 21 and 22,
(iii) The third category consists of $\underline{\omega}$ which is a pure scalar with zero T-weight. This property leads to a relatively complex construction. Via an auxiliary field, we construct the new quantity $\underline{\tilde{\omega}}$ to avoid the analysis of the eleventh derivative of the Weyl tensor. More details are given in Proposition 23 .

### 6.1 Preliminary elliptic estimates

Making use of the $L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates, we have the estimate:

## Lemma 7.

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{7}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} K\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{9}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} K\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

Proof. The Gauss curvature satisfies the formula

$$
K=2 \mu \rho-\lambda \sigma-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\sigma}+6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}
$$

For $1 \leq k \leq 9$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} K\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \rho\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \lambda\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{1}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \rho\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \mu\right\| \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \lambda\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \sigma\right\|+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{1}\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \varphi_{1}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, applying the results in Corollary 1 we find that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} K\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1 .
$$

When $k=0$, we have the troublesome term $\rho \mu$. To estimate $\mu$ we make use of the definition of $\tilde{\mu}$ to yield

$$
\|\mu\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\frac{1}{|u|}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{|u|}{a}+1 .
$$

Hence, we have that

$$
\|K\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} 1 \times \frac{|u|}{a}+1 \lesssim 1 .
$$

Then we have when $0 \leq k \leq 9$ that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} K\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1 .
$$

Finally, making use of the Sobolev embedding theorem we obtain the desired result.
Remark 15. From the last lemma it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{7}|u|^{2}\left\|\left(|u|^{i} \mathcal{D}^{i} K\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|} \leq 1, \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{9}|u|\left\|\left(|u|^{i} \mathcal{D}^{i} K\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

With this result we have, in turn, that

$$
\left|\| u | ^ { k } \mathcal { D } ^ { k } f \| _ { L ^ { 2 } ( \mathcal { S } _ { u , v } ) } ^ { 2 } \lesssim \sum _ { i \leq k - 1 } \left(\left\|\left|\left\|\left.u\right|^{i+1} \mathcal{D}^{j} \mathscr{D}_{f}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}+\left|\left\|\left.u\right|^{j} \mathcal{D}^{i} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right.}^{2}\right),\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

where $\mathscr{D}_{f}$ is the divergence related scalar defined in (24). In terms of scale-invariant norms we have:

Proposition 18. Let $f$ denote a quantity in Table 1 with nonzero $T$-weight. Then under the bootstrap assumption, for $0 \leq k \leq 11$, one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} f\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \sum_{i \leq k-1}\left(\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i+1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \mathscr{D}_{f}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} f\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right) .
$$

The proof can be found in Sec 6.1 in Paper [29. When $f$ is a pure scalar, we have the following:

Proposition 19. Let $f$ denote a quantity in Table 1 with zero $T$-weight. Then, under the bootstrap assumption, for $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k+1} f\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}(\mathcal{S})} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k+1} \mathcal{D}^{k-1}(\boldsymbol{\Delta} f)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}(\mathcal{S})}+\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} f\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}(\mathcal{S})},
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Delta} f \equiv 2 ð \partial^{\prime} f$.

### 6.2 Elliptic estimates for the highest (11th) derivative of the connection

The norm of the eleventh derivative quantities of the connection coefficients is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}(u, v) & \equiv\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}+\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \\
& +\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}+\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \\
& +\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}+\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following estimates, we make use of the $L^{2}(\mathcal{S})$ estimates and the bootstrap assumptions

$$
\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11} \leq \mathcal{O}
$$

We will show that auxiliary bootstrap assumption on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}$ can be improved to

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}+1
$$

Proposition 20. One has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1 \\
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1
$$

## Proof. Step 1: Estimate of $\rho$.

We make use of structure equation for $\rho$-namely, equation 28a):

$$
p \rho=\rho^{2}+\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}
$$

Commuting $\partial^{11}$ with $p$, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \partial^{11} \rho & =\Gamma(\rho, \sigma) \partial^{11} \rho+\sigma \partial^{11} \sigma+\varphi_{0} \partial^{11} \varphi_{0} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=11, i_{3}+i_{4}<11} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}}\left[\Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma), \varphi_{0}\right] \partial^{i_{4}}\left[\Gamma(\rho, \sigma), \varphi_{0}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, making use of the transport estimate in scale-invariant norm, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{5} \partial^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|a^{5} \partial^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} p \partial^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \rho \partial^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \sigma \partial^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \varphi_{0} \partial^{11} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=11, i_{3}+i_{4}<11} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}}\left[\Gamma, \varphi_{0}\right] \partial^{i_{4}}\left[\Gamma, \varphi_{0}\right]\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& =I_{1}+\ldots+I_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
I_{1} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|}\|\rho\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0, \infty}+a^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{0, \infty}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

This term can be absorbed by the Grönwall inequality.
For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|}\|\sigma\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{0, \infty}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right] \leq \frac{a}{|u|}\left(\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1\right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right] \leq \frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leq \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=11} \int_{0}^{v} \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}}\left[\Gamma, \varphi_{0}\right]\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}}\left[\Gamma, \varphi_{0}\right]\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}=\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}+1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting the results above we have that

$$
\left\|a^{5} \partial^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a}{|u|} \varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]
$$

Following the same strategy one can estimate the rest of the strings of derivatives in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \tilde{\tau}$ and obtain the same result. That leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a}{|u|} \varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: Elliptic estimates for $\sigma$.
For $\sigma$ we apply the structure equation 28 m - namely

$$
\partial \rho-\partial^{\prime} \sigma=\tau \rho-\bar{\tau} \sigma-\tilde{\Psi}_{1} .
$$

As the T -weight of $\sigma$ is -2 , hence we have that

$$
\mathscr{D}_{\sigma}=\partial^{\prime} \sigma=\partial \rho-\tau \rho+\bar{\tau} \sigma+\tilde{\Psi}_{1} .
$$

Now, applying Proposition 18, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \sum_{i \leq 10}\left(\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \mathscr{D}_{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tau\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tau\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1 \\
& \lesssim\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1 \\
& \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\sum_{i=0}^{10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the second step we have made use of

$$
\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1
$$

for $i \leq 10$.
Then using Grönwall's inequality we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\sum_{i=0}^{10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that integrating along the outgoing lightcone we find that

$$
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1
$$

Substituting (41) back into 40 we see that

$$
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1
$$

Integrating along the outgoing lightcone we have that

$$
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1
$$

Next, we have that

## Proposition 21.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1 \\
& \left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We define the field

$$
\tilde{\tau} \equiv \mathscr{D}_{\tau}-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}=\partial^{\prime} \tau-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}
$$

with zero T-weight and signature 1. The strategy of the proof is to use of the estimates up to the tenth derivative of $\tilde{\tau}$ to obtain the estimate of the eleventh derivative of $\tau$ via Proposition 18 , Making use of the structure equation of $\tau$, equation 28i), and the Bianchi identity for $p \bar{\Psi}_{2}$, equation 229 c , we find the following equation for $\tilde{\tau}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \tilde{\tau} & =6 \varphi_{0} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}+\tau ð \bar{\sigma}+\bar{\sigma} \partial \tau+\sigma \partial^{\prime} \pi+\pi \partial^{\prime} \sigma+\rho \partial^{\prime} \bar{\pi}+\bar{\pi} \partial^{\prime} \rho+\bar{\tau} \partial^{\prime} \sigma+\sigma \partial^{\prime} \bar{\tau} \\
& +2 \rho \tilde{\tau}+\lambda \Psi_{0}-\pi \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\rho \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-3 \mu \varphi_{0}^{2}-3 \bar{\sigma} \varphi_{1}^{2}+\pi \bar{\pi} \rho+\pi^{2} \sigma+\bar{\pi} \bar{\sigma} \tau-\bar{\sigma} \tau^{2}-\bar{\pi} \rho \bar{\tau}-\sigma \bar{\tau}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remarkably, we see that this equation does not involve derivatives of the Weyl tensor. Hence we can avoid the estimate of the eleventh derivative of Weyl. We now first estimate the $k=10$ derivative of $\tilde{\tau}$. For this, we first estimate $\partial^{k} \tilde{\tau}$. Commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $p$ one has that
$p \partial^{k} \tilde{\tau}=\varphi_{0} \partial^{11} \varphi_{1}+\Gamma(\rho, \sigma) \partial^{11} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)+\Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{11} \Gamma(\rho, \sigma)+\Gamma(\lambda, \pi, \rho) \partial^{k} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4} \neq k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \partial^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{1}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4} \neq k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{4}+1} \Gamma \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{1}}^{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4} \leq k-1} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{4}} \Psi\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{4}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{5}} \Gamma \\
& \left.+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k}^{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \delta^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\mu, \sigma)\right)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi \partial^{i_{5}} \varphi+\partial^{i_{4}} \tilde{\tau},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma$ contains $\rho, \sigma, \pi, \tau, \varphi$ contain $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$. Then, making use of the transport estimate in scale-invariant norm, one finds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|p\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\Gamma \mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\Gamma \mathcal{D}^{10} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4} \neq k} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4} \neq k} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4} \leq k-1}^{v} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\mu, \sigma) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{v} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \tilde{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim 1+I_{1}+\ldots+I_{9} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|}\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{10} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{|u|} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{10} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right] \lesssim 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|}\|\Gamma\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{10} \mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma\right\| \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{10} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{10} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{10} \mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma(\rho, \sigma)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O}}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{10} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This term can be handled using the Grönwall inequality. For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|}\|\Gamma(\lambda, \ldots)\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{10} \mathcal{D}^{10} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\Psi_{0}, \ldots\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}+\frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10}\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0}\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{1}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{3} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{5}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5} & \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i 2}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots)\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}+1} \Gamma\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{3} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{6}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{6} & \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots)\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \Psi\left(\Psi_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}|u|^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{2}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{7}$, the worst term is $\mu \partial^{i} \varphi_{0} \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{0}$. In this case we follow the same strategy used for $I_{51}$ in Proposition 17 and obtain that this term can be bounded by

$$
I_{71} \leq \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

The rest of the terms in $I_{7}$ are collectively denoted by $I_{72}$. We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{72} & \leq a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{j_{1}} \Gamma \ldots \mathcal{D}^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, . .) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \varphi\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \frac{|u|}{a} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+3} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{3} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{8}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{8} & \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{5}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}+1}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+3} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{3} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $I_{9}$ we find that

$$
I_{9} \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots)\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \tilde{\tau}\right\|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}+1}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+3} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \leq 1
$$

Collect the results above one concludes that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \Psi\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Following the same strategy one can estimate the rest of the strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \tilde{\tau}$ and obtain the same result. That leads to

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1 .
$$

Now, making use of the definition $\tilde{\tau}=\mathscr{D}_{\tau}-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}=\partial^{\prime} \tau-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}$, we have that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \mathscr{D}_{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Applying Proposition 18, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \sum_{i \leq 10}\left(\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \mathscr{D}_{\tau}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

We now transform the estimate on $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$ to one on the light cone so that

$$
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

and

$$
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

A similar strategy is used to construct an estimate for the coefficient $\pi$.

## Proposition 22.

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Proof. In order to estimate the eleventh derivative of $\pi$ we introduce the following quantity:

$$
\tilde{\pi} \equiv \mathscr{D}_{\pi}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}=\partial \pi+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}
$$

The T-weight of $\tilde{\pi}$ is zero and its signature of is 1 . Next, we make use of the structure equation 28 j$)$ and the Bianchi identities 29 f to obtain the following equation for $\tilde{\pi}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime} \tilde{\pi}+2 \mu \tilde{\pi} & =-6 \varphi_{2} \partial \bar{\varphi}_{1}-(\bar{\pi}+\tau) \partial \lambda-\bar{\tau} \partial \mu-\lambda(\partial \bar{\pi}+\partial \tau)-\mu \partial \bar{\tau}-\bar{\lambda} \partial^{\prime} \pi-\pi ð^{\prime} \bar{\lambda} \\
& -\tau \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\mu \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\sigma \Psi_{4}-3 \bar{\lambda} \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2}-3 \rho \varphi_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that this equation does not involve derivatives of the Weyl tensor -hence, we can avoid estimating its eleventh derivative. Now, we first estimate the $k=10$ derivative of $\tilde{\pi}$ by first looking at $\partial^{k} \tilde{\pi}$. Commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $b^{\prime}$ one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \tilde{\pi}+(k+2) \mu \partial^{k} \tilde{\pi}=\varphi_{2} \partial^{11} \varphi_{1}+\Gamma(\mu, \lambda) \partial^{11} \tau+\lambda \partial^{11} \pi+\Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{11} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \\
& \quad+\Gamma(\tau, \mu, \sigma) \partial^{k} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \varphi_{2} \partial^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\lambda, \rho) \partial^{i_{2}} \varphi(1,2) \partial^{i_{3}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \lambda, \mu) \partial^{k+1-i} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \lambda, \mu)+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \partial^{k-i} \tilde{\pi} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tau, \tilde{\mu}, \sigma) \partial^{k-1} \mathbf{\Psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the right-hand side of the last equation by $F$. One can verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{2}(\tilde{\pi})=1, \quad s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \tilde{\pi}\right)=\frac{k+2}{2}, \quad s_{2}(F)=\frac{k+4}{2} \\
& \lambda_{0}=-(k+2), \quad \lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{0}-1=k+1 \\
& \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)=-3, \quad \lambda-2 s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \tilde{\pi}\right)=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of Proposition 4 one has that

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\pi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\pi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}
$$

For convenience define

$$
H \equiv \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} .
$$

Substituting into the expression of $F$ one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{10} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\lambda, \rho) \mathcal{D}^{i_{2}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\mu, . .) \mathcal{D}^{k+1-i} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, . .) \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \tilde{\pi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, . .) \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}=I_{1}+\ldots+I_{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Gamma\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{0, \infty}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& =\Gamma\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{0, \infty} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \leq \Gamma\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{0, \infty}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{|u|^{2}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{a \Gamma\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{0, \infty}}{|u|^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \Gamma\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{0, \infty} \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right] \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\|\Gamma\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\|\mu\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\|\lambda\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\|\lambda\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right.}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\|\Gamma(\tau, \pi)\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\|\Gamma(\tau, \pi)\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mu)_{0, \infty}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)_{0, \infty}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)_{0, \infty}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau, \pi)_{0, \infty}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau, \pi)_{0, \infty}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\| \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mu)_{0, \infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}(\tau)+\frac{1}{|u|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)_{0, \infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}(\tau)+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\frac{1}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau, \pi)_{0, \infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}(\mu)+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau, \pi)_{0, \infty} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}(\lambda) \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}(\tau)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral in the last line can be absorbed using the Grönwall inequality. For $I_{3}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{3} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\|\Gamma\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\|\mu\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
&\left.+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\|\tau\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|\left\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\right\| \sigma \|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right.}\right)\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \Psi_{4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mu)_{0, \infty}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)_{0, \infty}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\| \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
&+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{0, \infty}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
&\left.\leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mu)_{0, \infty} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{5}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)_{0, \infty} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+\frac{a}{|u|^{\frac{5}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{0, \infty} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\Psi_{4}\right] \leq \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{4}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{2}\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left.\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}\right|^{\left.\frac{1}{4} \right\rvert\,} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O O} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{5}$ we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5} & \leq \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\Gamma(\lambda, \rho)\| \times\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}(1,2)\| \times\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\| \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{5}}\left(\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}^{3}\right) \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{6}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{6} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda, . .)\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k+1-i} \Gamma\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{7}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{7} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}| |\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)\|\times\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \tilde{\pi} \| \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a} \mathcal{O} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{8}$ we have that

$$
I_{8} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}| | \Gamma| | \times\|\Psi\| \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1
$$

Collecting the results above we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\pi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}(\tau)+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+1 \\
& \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality leads to

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\pi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

By the definition of $\tilde{\pi}=\mathscr{D}_{\pi}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}=ð \pi+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}$, we have that

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \mathscr{D}_{\pi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

Now applying Proposition 18, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \sum_{i \leq 10}\left(\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \mathscr{D}_{\pi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, integrating along the outgoing lightcone we finally conclude that

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1
$$

## Proposition 23.

$$
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1
$$

Proof. We estimate $\underline{\omega}$ in three steps.
Step 1: Construct a new scalar $\underline{\tilde{\omega}}$.
First we construct an auxiliary function $\underline{\omega}^{\dagger}$ with zero T-weight through the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}=-i\left(\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with trivial initial data on $\mathcal{N}_{\star}^{\prime}$. Here $\underline{\omega}^{\dagger}$ is real. First we apply $\partial$ and use the commutator relation to find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(i \partial \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}\right)=\partial \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}-\partial \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+i \rho \partial \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}+i \sigma \partial^{\prime} \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}+(\bar{\pi}-\tau)\left(\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, making use of the structure equation for $\underline{\omega}, 28 \mathrm{k}$, we have that

$$
p \underline{\omega}=2 \tau \bar{\tau}+2 \tau \pi+2 \bar{\tau} \bar{\pi}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}
$$

and then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p \partial \underline{\omega}= & \partial \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\partial \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+\rho \partial \underline{\omega}+\sigma \partial^{\prime} \underline{\omega} \\
& +[\partial+(\bar{\pi}-\tau)]\left(2 \tau \bar{\tau}+2 \tau \pi+2 \bar{\tau} \bar{\pi}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}\right) \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Together with 43) we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left[\partial \underline{\omega}+i \partial \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}\right]= & 2 \partial \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+\rho\left(\partial \underline{\omega}+i \partial \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}\right)+\sigma\left(\partial^{\prime} \underline{\omega}+i \partial^{\prime} \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}\right) \\
& + \text { ГठГ+}+\Gamma^{3}+\varphi \partial \varphi+\Gamma \varphi^{2}+\Gamma \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the previous equation $\Gamma$ contains $\tau$ and $\pi$, while $\varphi$ contains $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{2}$. Then, making use of the Bianchi identity 29 e

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\partial^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}= & -6 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial \bar{\varphi}_{1}-2 \lambda \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \pi \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+2 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \\
& -3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \bar{\pi}+6 \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \mu-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \lambda-6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left[\partial\left(\underline{\omega}+i \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}\right)-2 \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{3}\right]= & \rho\left[\partial\left(\underline{\omega}+i \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}\right)-2 \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{3}\right]+\sigma \partial^{\prime}\left(\underline{\omega}+i \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}\right) \\
& +\Gamma \partial \Gamma+\Gamma^{3}+\varphi \partial \varphi+\Gamma \varphi^{2}+\Gamma \tilde{\Psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the previous equation $\Gamma$ contains $\tau, \pi, \mu$ and $\lambda ; \varphi$ contains $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2} ;$ and $\tilde{\Psi}$ contains $\tilde{\Psi}_{1}$, $\tilde{\Psi}_{2}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_{3}$. Crucially, there is no derivative of curvature on the right-hand side.

Now, we define

$$
\underline{\tilde{\omega}} \equiv \partial\left(\underline{\omega}+i \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}\right)-2 \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{3}
$$

and find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \underline{\tilde{\omega}} & =\rho \underline{\tilde{\omega}}-\sigma \tilde{\omega}^{*}+2 \sigma \partial^{\prime} \underline{\omega}+2 \tau(\partial \pi+\partial \bar{\tau})+2 \bar{\tau}(\partial \bar{\pi}+\partial \tau)+2 \pi \partial \tau+2 \bar{\pi} \partial \bar{\tau}+6 \varphi_{2} \partial \varphi_{0}+6 \varphi_{0} \partial \varphi_{2} \\
& +12 \varphi_{1} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}+12 \bar{\lambda} \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1}-12 \mu \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}+6 \pi \varphi_{1}^{2}+6 \bar{\pi} \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+12 \bar{\pi} \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}-6 \tau \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+4 \bar{\lambda} \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{1}-4 \bar{\pi} \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2} \\
& -2 \rho \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{3}-2 \sigma \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-2 \tau \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+2 \pi \bar{\pi} \tau-2 \pi \tau^{2}+2 \bar{\pi}^{2} \bar{\tau}-2 \tau^{2} \bar{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

The key observation is that this equation does not contain derivatives of the Weyl curvature. Hence we can avoid estimating the eleventh derivative of the Weyl tensor. The T-weight of $\underline{\tilde{\omega}}$ is -1 and its signature is $\frac{3}{2}$.
Step 2: Estimate of the $k=10$ derivative of $\underline{\tilde{\omega}}$.
Commuting $\partial^{k}$ with $b$ one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \partial^{k} \underline{\tilde{\omega}} & =\varphi \partial^{11} \varphi+\Gamma(\tau, \pi, \lambda, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{10} \tilde{\Psi}+\Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{11} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)+\Gamma(\rho, \sigma) \partial^{10} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}+\sigma \partial^{11} \underline{\omega} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi \partial^{i_{4}+1} \varphi \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}+1} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \sigma, \underline{\omega}) \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \lambda, \rho) \partial^{i_{4}} \tilde{\Psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \mu, \lambda) \partial^{i_{4}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \partial^{i_{5}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{i_{4}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{i_{5}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}} \underline{\tilde{\omega}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we make use of the transport estimate in scale-invariant norm, to find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v}\left\|p\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, 0}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\Gamma \mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\Gamma \mathcal{D}^{10} \tilde{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\Gamma \mathcal{D}^{10} \tilde{\tilde{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\sigma \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}=k, i_{4}<k} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \tilde{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k}^{v} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 1+I_{1}+\ldots+I_{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1} \leq \int_{0}^{v} a^{5}\left(\left\|\varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|} a^{5}\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|} a^{5}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
&+\int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|} a^{5}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
&+\frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \\
& \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}{|u|} \varphi\left[\varphi_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\frac{\mathcal{O}^{2}}{a} .
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{a^{5}}{|u|}\|\Gamma\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{a}\left(\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \Gamma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{2}}{a} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the previous expression $\Gamma$ contains $\tau$ and $\pi$. For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|}\|\Gamma\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|} \frac{|u| \mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma$ contains $\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma$ and $\lambda$. For $I_{4}$ we have that

$$
I_{4} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|}\|\Gamma\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

which can be absorbed making use of the Grönwall inequality. In the last expression $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is meant to contain $\rho$ and $\sigma$. For $I_{5}$ we have that

$$
I_{5} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|}\|\sigma\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

For $I_{6}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{6} & \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \varphi\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}+1} \varphi\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}|u|^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{3} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{7}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{7} & \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots)\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}+1} \Gamma\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{3} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{8}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{8} & \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots)\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \mathbf{\Psi}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}-1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}-2}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \leq \frac{1}{a|u|} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{2}}{a^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{9}$ the worst behaved terms contain $\mu \partial^{i} \varphi_{0} \partial^{j} \varphi_{1}$. It follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{9} & \leq a^{5}\left\|\mathcal{D}^{j_{1}} \Gamma \ldots \mathcal{D}^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\mu, . .) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \varphi\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \frac{|u|}{a} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a} \mathcal{O}=\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{a^{\frac{3}{2}}|u|^{i_{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+3} \leq 1 .
$$

For $I_{10}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{10} & \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{2}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{5}} \Gamma\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \mathcal{O O O}=\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+3} \leq \frac{1}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{3} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $I_{11}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{11} & \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left.\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma|\ldots| \right\rvert\,\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots)\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \underline{\underline{\tilde{}}}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}=\frac{a^{i_{2}+1}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{11}$ we have that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta\right)^{10} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+1 .
$$

Following the same strategy one can estimate the remaining strings of derivatives in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \underline{\underline{\tilde{}}}$. This yields the same result. Hence, one concludes that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\underline{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+1 .
$$

Step 3: Make use of the elliptic estimate.
The estimate of the tenth derivative of $\underline{\underline{\tilde{}}}$ implies an estimate for the ninth derivative of $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \underline{\omega}$, where

$$
\Delta \underline{\omega} \equiv \nabla_{a} \nabla^{a} \underline{\omega}=2 \partial^{\prime} \partial \underline{\omega} .
$$

Making use of Proposition 19 we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{9}\left(\partial^{\prime} \partial \underline{\omega}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{10} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{9}\left(\partial^{\prime} \partial \underline{\omega}+i \partial^{\prime} \partial \underline{\omega}^{\dagger}\right)\right\|_{L_{s}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+1 \\
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\underline{\omega}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+1 \\
& \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating along the ingoing lightcone we have that

$$
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1 .
$$

Proposition 24. We have that

$$
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left.\left|u^{\prime}\right|\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1,
$$

$$
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\|}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1,
$$

and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{3}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim\left(\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1\right)^{2} \\
& \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof. Step 1: Estimate of $\tilde{\mu}, \mu$.

We make use of

$$
p^{\prime} \tilde{\mu}+2 \mu \tilde{\mu}=\tilde{\mu}^{2}-\underline{\omega} \mu-\lambda \bar{\lambda}-2 \varphi_{2}^{2}
$$

and then commute $\partial^{k}$ with $b^{\prime}$ for $k=11$. We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \tilde{\mu}+(k+2) \mu \partial^{k} \tilde{\mu}= & \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \partial^{11} \tilde{\mu}+\Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \partial^{11} \lambda+\mu \partial^{11} \underline{\omega}+\underline{\omega} \partial^{11} \tilde{\mu}+\varphi_{2} \partial^{11} \varphi_{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda, \underline{\omega}) \partial^{k-i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda, \underline{\omega})+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \partial^{i} \varphi_{2} \partial^{k-i} \varphi_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the right-hand side of the previous expression by $F$. It follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{2}(\tilde{\mu})=1, \quad s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right)=\frac{k+2}{2}, \quad s_{2}(F)=\frac{k+4}{2} \\
& \lambda_{0}=-(k+2), \quad \lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{0}-1=k+1 \\
& \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}(F)=-3, \quad \lambda_{1}-2 s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right)=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we make use of Proposition 4, so as to obtain

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}
$$

Multiplying by $a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ one concludes that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \delta^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} F\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \left.\leq \frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda, \underline{\omega}) \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\right) \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mu \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda, \underline{\omega}) \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda, \underline{\omega})\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right.} \lesssim 1+I_{1}+\ldots+I_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
I_{1} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}| | \Gamma\left\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}| | a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}
$$

$$
\leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left|\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}\right| \left\lvert\, a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\left\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\right\| a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right. \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} .
$$

This term can be absorbed using the Grönwall inequality. For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\|\Gamma\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\left.u^{\prime}, v\right)}\right)}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \left\lvert\, \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\left.u^{\prime}, v\right)}\right)} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \left.\leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\|\mu\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \right\rvert\,\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \left\lvert\, \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\right. \\
& \leq\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{4}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|\left\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}\right\| a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2} \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} 5^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right] \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{5}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5} & \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \Gamma\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{3}{3}}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}=\mathcal{O}^{2} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{2}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{6}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{6} & \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{2}| | \times\right\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \varphi_{2} \| \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}=\mathcal{O}^{2} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{2}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{6}$ we have that

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \delta^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+1 .
$$

Following the same strategy one can estimate the remaining strings of derivatives in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \underline{\tilde{\omega}}$ and obtain the same result. This leads us to conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}+\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+1 . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: Elliptic estimates of $\lambda$.
To estimate $\lambda$ we make use of the structure equation 28 n -namely

$$
\partial \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \mu=\bar{\tau} \mu-\tau \lambda-\tilde{\Psi}_{3} .
$$

As the T -weight of $\lambda$ is 2 , it follows that $\mathscr{D}_{\lambda}=\partial \lambda$. Accordingly, the above structure equation can be rewritten as

$$
\mathscr{D}_{\lambda}=\partial^{\prime} \mu+\bar{\tau} \mu-\tau \lambda-\tilde{\Psi}_{3} .
$$

Now, applying Proposition 18, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \sum_{i \leq 10}\left(\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \mathscr{D}_{\lambda}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \mu\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{10}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \lambda\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, integrating along the ingoing lightcone we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\sum_{i=0}^{10} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{10} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \mu\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{10} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \lambda\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+1 \\
& =\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for the second integral in the last inequality we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{10}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{10} \frac{a}{|u|^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For the third and fourth integrals we see that

$$
I_{2}+I_{3} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O O} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O} \leq 1
$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+1 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (46) and apply Grönwall's inequality we have that

$$
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+1
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lesssim \varphi+\underline{\varphi}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1, \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that integrating along the ingoing lightcone we end up with

$$
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1
$$

Now, we observe that for spherical derivatives, the norm of $\mu$ coincides with that of $\tilde{\mu}$. Hence, we have that

$$
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}+\underline{\varphi}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1 .
$$

Accordingly, observing (47), we see that

$$
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1
$$

Moreover, from (48) we have that

$$
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{3}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1\right)^{2} .
$$

Following the same strategy as in the previous paragraphs we finally conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2} & \lesssim \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{10} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2}+1 \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{a}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}+\underline{\varphi}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1\right)^{2}+\frac{a}{|u|^{2}}\left(\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]^{2}+1\right) \\
& \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.3 Summary of the analysis of the top derivative of the connection

In this section we have made use of the results in Section 5 and the bootstrap assumption

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \Psi \leq \mathcal{O}
$$

to conclude with the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1, \\
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1 \\
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \sigma\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1 \\
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1, \\
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1, \\
\frac{a}{|u|}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)} & \lesssim \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1, \\
\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} & \lesssim \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1, \\
\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \lesssim\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{3}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim\left(\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1\right)^{2}, \\
& \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim 1, \\
& \left.\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} \right\rvert\,\left\|a^{5} \mathcal{D}^{11} \lambda\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, in general we have that

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11} \lesssim \Psi^{2}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{2}+\varphi+1
$$

## 7 Energy estimates

In this section we discuss the construction of energy estimates for the components of the Weyl tensor and for the auxiliary fields $\varphi_{0,1,2}$. Making use of the bootstrap assumption (36) and the the results in previous sections we will show that

$$
\Psi+\varphi \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}+1
$$

In Section 7.1 we introduce our main tool in the analysis - namely, the energy inequality. Due to the central role played by the connection coefficient $\mu$, which are large, we follow the strategy in An's work [28] to manage the terms appearing in the principal part with an explicit $\mu$, and thus obtain an improved energy inequality for the auxiliary fields and the Weyl curvature. The latter will also be a key tool in the analysis. For the pairs $\left(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{i+1}\right)$ where $i=0, \ldots, 3$ and $\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)$, this inequality can be used to manage the terms involving $\mu$. Now, for the pair ( $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ ), the term $\mu \varphi_{1}$ in 27c) leads to a significant difference in the energy inequality:

$$
\left\|\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1}{\delta^{k}}_{\varphi_{2}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \lesssim \ldots
$$

and $\mu \varphi_{0}$ in 27 d ) is a large term. As a result, the estimate for $\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ is comparatively coarse, and so not good enough for the purposes of studying the formation of trapped surfaces.

Another problem in the energy estimates comes from the Bianchi equation (29h). According to the bootstrap assumption, the terms $\varphi_{2} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}$ and $\varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \mu$ are large, and lead to a logarithmic contribution in the integral over $D_{u, v}$. This behaviour can also obstruct the proof.

To address these problems we introduce an auxiliary field

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{2} \equiv \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}+\mu \bar{\varphi}_{1} .
$$

The first advantage of this definition is that the structure of equations of pair ( $\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}, \tilde{\varphi}_{2}$ ) have the same good structure of the other pairs and is also consistent with the signature $s_{2}$. For this auxiliary variable the energy inequality leads to an estimate of exactly the same type as the other pairs. The second advantage is that the leading terms of $\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}$ and $\mu \bar{\varphi}_{1}$, which are large terms, but cancel exactly to leading order because of our choice of initial data combined with the fact that they appear in the field equations with the desired coefficients (see remark 11 for more detail). This implies that $\tilde{\varphi}_{2}$ is in fact not a leading order term and, hence, the estimate of $\left(\Psi_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right)$ goes through undamaged. The details of this heuristic discussion are provided in Propositions 27 and 29.

### 7.1 Preliminary energy estimates

We begin by recalling integration by parts on causal diamonds. Making use of 222 and 23) one has the following:

Lemma 8 (integral over causal diamonds of derivatives of a scalar). Let $f$ be a scalar with zero T-weight in the causal diamond $\mathcal{D}_{u, v}$. One has then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} \Delta f=\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)} Q^{-1} f-\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)} Q^{-1} f+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}(\underline{\omega}-2 \mu) f \\
& \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} D f=\int_{\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)} f-\int_{\mathcal{N}_{o}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)} f+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} 2 \rho f
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2. Let $f=f_{1} f_{2}$ and assume $s(f)=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} f_{1} p^{\prime} f_{2}+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} f_{2} b^{\prime} f_{1}=\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)} Q^{-1} f_{1} f_{2}-\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)} Q^{-1} f_{1} f_{2}+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}(\underline{\omega}-2 \mu) f_{1} f_{2}, \\
& \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} f_{1} b f_{2}+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} f_{2} p f_{1}=\int_{\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)} f_{1} f_{2}-\int_{\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)} f_{1} f_{2}+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} 2 \rho f_{1} f_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 25. Let $\phi$ be either a T-weighted quantity or a derivative of one. Moreover, let $\lambda_{1}=$ $\lambda_{0}-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\phi, p^{\prime} \phi+\lambda_{0} \mu \phi\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)} Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\phi|^{2}-\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)} Q^{-1}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\phi|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} h\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\phi|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h$ obeys the bound

$$
|h| \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}
$$

where

$$
2\left\langle\phi, p^{\prime} \phi+2 \lambda_{0} \mu \phi\right\rangle=\bar{\phi}\left(p^{\prime} \phi+\lambda_{0} \mu \phi\right)+\phi\left(p^{\prime} \bar{\phi}+\lambda_{0} \mu \bar{\phi}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $f \equiv Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\phi|^{2}$. Making use of 23 one then has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}|\phi|^{2} \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} 2 Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\phi, b^{\prime} \phi+\lambda_{0} \mu \phi\right\rangle+\int_{\mathcal{S}_{u, v}} Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left(2 \mu\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)-\underline{\omega}-\frac{2 \lambda_{1}}{|u|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have made use of the fact that $\Delta Q=\underline{\omega} Q$. Now, let

$$
h \equiv-2 \mu\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right)+\underline{\omega}+\frac{2 \lambda_{1}}{|u|}
$$

It then follows that

$$
h=2 \lambda_{1}\left(\mu-\frac{1}{u}\right)+\underline{\omega} .
$$

Making use of the bootstrap assumption one concludes that $|h| \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}$. Now, integrating with respect to $\mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} v$ and applying the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus with respect to $u$ we obtain the desired result.

In the following, let

$$
\left(\Psi_{I}, \Psi_{I I}\right) \in\left\{\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right),\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right),\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right),\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right),\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right),\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Using this notation, the Bianchi identities and the equations for the scalar field take the schematic form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b^{\prime} \Psi_{I}+\lambda_{0} \mu \Psi_{I}-\partial \Psi_{I I}=P_{0} \\
& p \Psi_{I I}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{I}=Q_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the derivative $\partial$ and the commutator relations it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{\prime} \partial^{k} \Psi_{I}+\left(\lambda_{0}+k\right) \mu \partial^{k} \Psi_{I}-\partial^{k+1} \Psi_{I I} & =P_{k}  \tag{49a}\\
p \partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}-\partial^{\prime} \partial^{k} \Psi_{I} & =Q_{k} \tag{49b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
P_{k}=\partial^{k} P_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \partial^{k-i} \Psi_{I}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{k} & =\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\pi, \tau)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Psi_{I}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\pi, \tau)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} Q_{0} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}} \Psi_{I I}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \partial^{i_{1}} K \partial^{i_{2}} \Psi_{I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The signature of the various terms involved satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right)=\frac{k}{2}+s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right), & s_{2}\left(\partial^{k} \Psi_{2}\right)=\frac{k+1}{2}+s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right), \\
s_{2}\left(P_{k}\right)=\frac{k+2}{2}+s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right), & s_{2}\left(Q_{k}\right)=\frac{k+1}{2}+s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Now applying the expressions in Proposition 25 to $\Psi_{I}$ one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}, b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \Psi_{I}+\left(\lambda_{0}+k\right) \mu \partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)} Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}-\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)} Q^{-1}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} h\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{0}+k-1$. Applying Corollary 2 to $f_{1}=\bar{f}_{2}=|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}} \partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}, p \partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2}-\int_{\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} 2 \rho\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that combine the above two equalities one ends up with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}, b^{\prime} \partial^{k} \Psi_{I}+\left(\lambda_{0}+k\right) \mu \partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\rangle+2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}, b \partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)} Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}-\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)} Q^{-1}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{\lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2}-\int_{\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} \\
& +\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} h\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} 2 \rho\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of equations 49a, 49b in the left-hand side of the previous expression and making use of integration by parts (Lemma 5), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)} Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)} Q^{-1}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}, P_{k}\right\rangle+2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}, Q_{k}\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} h\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}-\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}} 2 \rho\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the bootstrap assumption we have that

$$
|h| \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|^{2}}, \quad|\rho| \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|}
$$

Letting

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(u) & \equiv \int_{\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)} Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}, \quad g(v) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} \\
X(u, v) & \equiv \int_{\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)} Q^{-1}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} \\
& +2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}, P_{k}\right\rangle+2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}, Q_{k}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

one has that

$$
f(u)+g(v) \leq X(u, v)+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} f\left(u^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} u+\int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|} g\left(v^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} v
$$

Hence, we find that

$$
g(v) \leq X(u, v)+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} f\left(u^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} u+\int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|} g\left(v^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} v
$$

Applying the Grönwall-type estimate, we thus obtain that

$$
g(v) \lesssim X(u, v)+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} f\left(u^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} u
$$

and, moreover, that

$$
f(u) \lesssim X(u, v)+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} f\left(u^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} u
$$

Applying the Grönwall-type estimate again, one has

$$
f(u) \lesssim X(u, v)
$$

In other words, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)} Q^{-1}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)} Q^{-1}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}, P_{k}\right\rangle+2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left\langle\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}, Q_{k}\right\rangle \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)} Q^{-1}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right|\left|P_{k}\right|+2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_{u, v}}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}}\left|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right|\left|Q_{k}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, recalling the estimate stating that $\left\|Q, Q^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}$ are not far from 1 , we can neglect term $Q^{-1}$ in the above inequality. Then, multiplying by $a^{-k-2 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)}$ on both sides and making use of the definitions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \equiv a^{-s_{2}(\phi)}|u|^{2 s_{2}(\phi)}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \\
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} & \equiv a^{-s_{2}(\phi)}|u|^{2 s_{2}(\phi)-1}\|\phi\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

we can rewrite the inequality as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{v}|u|^{2 \lambda_{1}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-2 k}| | \partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\left\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-2 k-2}\right\| \partial^{k} \Psi_{I I} \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{v}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-2 k}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-2 k-2}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, 0}\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-2 k-1}\left|\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}| | P_{k} \mid\right\|_{L_{s c}^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\right. \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{1}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-2 k-1} \mid\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\| Q_{k}\| \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, applying the Hölder inequality in scale-invariant norm and observing that $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{0}+k-1$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{v}|u|^{2 \lambda_{0}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-2}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{0}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-4}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
\lesssim & \int_{0}^{v}\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2 \lambda_{0}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-2}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{0}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-4}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, 0}\right)}^{2} \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{0}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-4}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}| | P_{k} \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 \lambda_{0}-4 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)-4}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\left(\Psi_{I}, \Psi_{I I}\right) \in\left\{\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right),\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right),\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right),\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right),\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)\right\}$, the Bianchi identities and the equations for the scalar field satisfy that $\lambda_{0}=2 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)+1$. Consequently, the above inequality takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
\lesssim & \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, 0}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, recalling the definitions of the norms on the lightcone - namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2} & \equiv \int_{0}^{v}\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \mathrm{~d} v^{\prime} \\
\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} & \equiv \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\phi\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \mathrm{~d} u^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \left.\quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.2 Energy estimates for the auxiliary field

Proposition 26. For $0 \leq k \leq 11$, one has that

$$
\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \leq \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{4}}} .
$$

Proof. When $\left(\Psi_{I}, \Psi_{I I}\right)=\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)$, we make use of the equations 27a and 27a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime} \varphi_{0}-\partial \bar{\varphi}_{1} & =(\underline{\omega}-\mu) \varphi_{0}+\rho \varphi_{2}-\bar{\tau} \varphi_{1}-\tau \bar{\varphi}_{1} \\
p \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{0} & =(\pi-\bar{\tau}) \varphi_{0}+\bar{\sigma} \varphi_{1}+\rho \bar{\varphi}_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{k} \equiv \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \lambda) \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}+\tau \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}+\rho \partial^{k} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{0} \partial^{k} \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \tilde{\mu})+\varphi_{1} \partial^{k} \tau+\varphi_{2} \partial^{k} \rho \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \tau, \rho, \tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \partial^{k-1} \varphi\left(\varphi_{0,1,2}\right) . \\
& Q_{k} \equiv \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}+\varphi_{0} \partial^{k} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)+\Gamma(\rho, \sigma) \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{1} \partial^{k} \Gamma(\rho, \sigma) \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}}\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \partial^{i_{1}} K \partial^{i_{2}} \varphi_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of the energy inequality we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

so that multiplying both sides by $a^{\frac{2 k-2}{2}}$ on both hands side we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2}+M+N
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & \equiv 2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
N & \equiv 2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, multiplying by $a^{-1}$ we are led to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}(M+N) \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

From here one the proof requires a number of intermediate steps.
Step 1: Estimate $M$.
We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & \leq 2\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =2\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u^{\prime}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} J^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right] J^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
J \equiv \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}
$$

Now, substituting the definition of $P_{k}$ into $J$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \lambda) \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \tau \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \rho \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \tilde{\mu})\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}=I_{1}+\ldots+I_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \lambda)\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \left.\leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\underline{\omega})_{0, \infty}^{2}+\frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)_{0, \infty}^{2}\right)\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u^{\prime}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\tau\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{|u|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)_{0, \infty}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)_{0, \infty}^{2} \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1\right)^{2} \leq \frac{a \mathcal{O}^{4}}{|u|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\rho\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0, \infty}^{2}\left\|\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{a} \underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} \leq & \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \leq \\
& \int_{0}^{v} \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \underline{\omega}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v^{\prime}}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v^{\prime}}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \leq \\
\leq & \frac{1}{a}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{5}$ one sees that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tau\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]+\underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{6}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{6} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u^{\prime}}(0, v)\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $I_{7}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{7} & \left.\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots)\right\|^{2} \times \| a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \varphi \|^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2} a \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{7}$ we conclude that

$$
J \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2}+\frac{\mathcal{O}^{4}}{a}
$$

and then

$$
M \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|} \varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2}+\mathcal{O}^{2} \varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]
$$

Step 2: Estimate $N$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & \leq 2\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =2\left(\int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} H^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim a^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\left[\varphi_{1}\right] H^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
H \equiv \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}
$$

Substituting the definition of $Q_{k}$ into $H$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \Gamma(\rho, \sigma) \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Gamma(\rho, \sigma)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k}^{v} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\pi, \tau)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} K \mathcal{D}^{i_{2}} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}=I_{1}+\ldots+I_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\Gamma(\tau, \pi)\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau, \pi)_{0, \infty}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u^{\prime}}(0, v)\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau, \pi)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1\right)^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
I_{2} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}| | \Gamma(\rho, \sigma)\left\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1} \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0, \infty}^{2}+a \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{0, \infty}^{2}\right)\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{0, \infty}^{2} \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+1\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{3}$ and $I_{4}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}+I_{4} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{0,1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Gamma(\rho, \sigma)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} a \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Gamma(\rho, \sigma)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u^{\prime}}(0, v)\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]+\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+1\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{5}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5} & =\sum_{i_{1}+\ldots+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{j_{1}} \Gamma \ldots \mathcal{D}^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \varphi\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}\right)^{2}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{a^{i_{2}+2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{2\left(i_{2}+2\right)} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{6}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{6} & \leq \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{2}} K\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{2}} \varphi_{0}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} a \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{|u|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, combining the estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{6}$ we conclude that

$$
H \lesssim \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]+\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right]+1\right)^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{2}(\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}+1)^{2}+\frac{1}{|u|},
$$

and that

$$
N \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right] \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right](\underline{\Psi}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}+1) .
$$

Step 3: Summary.
From the analysis in Steps 1 and 2 we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \partial^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}(M+N) \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right] \varphi\left[\varphi_{1}\right](\underline{\Psi}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\boldsymbol{\varphi}+1)+\frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2}+\mathcal{O}^{2} \varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]\right) \\
& \leq \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{4}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Following the same strategy one can estimate the remaining strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \varphi_{0}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \varphi_{1}$ and obtain the same result. We thus obtain the estimate

$$
\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \leq \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{4}}}
$$

Proposition 27. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. In this proof we make use of the fields $\tilde{\varphi}_{1}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{2}$ where

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{1} \equiv \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_{2} \equiv \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}+\mu \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

Starting from the equations 27 c and 27 d -i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{\prime} \varphi_{1}-\partial \varphi_{2} & =-\mu \varphi_{1}-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \\
p \varphi_{2}-\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1} & =-\mu \varphi_{0}+\rho \varphi_{2}+\pi \varphi_{1}+\bar{\pi} \bar{\varphi}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

we apply $\partial^{\prime}$ and make use of the commutator relations so as to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{\prime} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}+3 \mu \tilde{\varphi}_{1}-\partial \tilde{\varphi}_{2} & =-\lambda \partial \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{1} \partial \lambda-3 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial \mu-\bar{\lambda} \partial^{\prime} \bar{\varphi}_{1}+\bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial^{\prime} \bar{\lambda}  \tag{51}\\
p \tilde{\varphi}_{2}-\partial^{\prime} \tilde{\varphi}_{1} & =\bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial \pi+\bar{\pi} \partial^{\prime} \bar{\varphi}_{1}+2 \pi \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}-\bar{\tau} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}-\varphi_{0} \partial^{\prime} \mu+\varphi_{1} \partial^{\prime} \pi+\bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial^{\prime} \bar{\pi}+\varphi_{2} \partial^{\prime} \rho \\
& +\bar{\varphi}_{1} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}+2 \rho \tilde{\varphi}_{2}+\bar{\sigma} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}+\pi^{2} \varphi_{1}+2 \pi \bar{\pi} \bar{\varphi}_{1}+\pi \rho \varphi_{2}+\lambda \sigma \bar{\varphi}_{1} \\
& -\pi \bar{\tau} \varphi_{1}-\bar{\pi} \bar{\tau} \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\rho \bar{\tau} \varphi_{2} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have made use of $\overline{\tilde{\varphi}}_{1}=\partial \bar{\varphi}_{1}=\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}=\tilde{\varphi}_{1}$-i.e. $\tilde{\varphi}_{1}$ is real. We can also use the same strategy for the pair ( $\left.\partial \varphi_{2}, \partial \varphi_{1}\right)$ as long as we apply $\partial$ to 27 c ) and 27 d ). By definition, $s_{2}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right)=3 / 2, s_{2}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right)=1$. We now apply the energy estimate to the pair $\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{1}, \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right)$. Choosing $\lambda_{0}=$ $3=2 s_{2}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right)+1$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\partial^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\partial^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, 0}\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\partial^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq 10$. Multiplying by $a^{k}$ we arrive to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, 0}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \left.=\int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, 0}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& +M+N
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & \equiv 2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
N & \equiv 2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{k}=\lambda \partial^{k+1} \varphi_{1}+\varphi_{1} \partial^{k+1} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \partial^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \varphi_{1} \partial^{k+1-i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \\
& Q_{k}= \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{1}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi \partial^{i_{4}+1} \Gamma(\pi, \mu, \rho) \\
&+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}}\left(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma, \varphi_{1}\right) \partial^{i_{4}} \tilde{\varphi}_{2} \\
&+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\lambda, \pi) \partial^{i_{4}} \Gamma(\sigma, \tau, \pi, \rho) \partial^{i_{5}} \varphi+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \partial^{i_{1}} K \partial^{i_{2}+1} \varphi_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of the proof consists of three steps.
Step 1: Estimate $M$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & \leq\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting the second integral by $J$ and substituting $P_{k}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \lambda \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda) \mathcal{D}^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{k+1-i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}=I_{1}+\ldots+I_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
I_{1} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\lambda\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& =\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u^{\prime}}(0, v)\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \varphi\left[\varphi_{1}\right] \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)^{2}}{a} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \lesssim \frac{1}{a} \mathcal{O}^{4} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{3}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \lambda)\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $I_{4}$ leads to the same estimate as for $I_{3}$. Combining the estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{4}$ we have $J \lesssim 1$.
Step 2: Estimating $N$.
We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M \leq & \left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting the second integral by $H$ and substituting $Q_{k}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H \leq & \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \Gamma(\pi, \mu, \rho)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}}\left(\sigma, \ldots, \varphi_{1}\right) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\lambda, \pi) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} K \mathcal{D}^{i_{2}+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}=I_{1}+\ldots+I_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}| | \Gamma(\tau, \pi)\left\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}| | a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}+2}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \mathcal{O}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u^{\prime}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{\mathcal{O}^{4}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} \leq & \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \frac{|u|}{a} \mathcal{O}+\mathcal{O}^{2}+\frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \\
\lesssim & \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \mu\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \pi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \rho\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\frac{\mathcal{O}^{4}}{|u|} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{a} \mathcal{O}^{4}+\frac{1}{a|u|} \mathcal{O}^{4}+\frac{\mathcal{O}^{4}}{|u|} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
I_{3} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{4} \leq 1
$$

For $I_{4}$ we have that

$$
I_{4} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{4} \leq 1
$$

Finally, for $I_{5}$ we find that

$$
I_{5} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq 1
$$

Combine the above estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{5}$ we have $H \lesssim 1$.
Step 3: Summary for $0 \leq k \leq 1$.
Collecting the estimates for $M$ and $N$ we have that

$$
\int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+1
$$

Observing that the rest of the strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{1}}$ give rise to the same results, we conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+1
$$

We can also consider the pair $\left(\partial \varphi_{1}, \partial \varphi_{2}+\mu \varphi_{1}\right)$ and obtain that

$$
\int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \partial \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k}\left(\partial \varphi_{2}+\mu \varphi_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+1
$$

Thus, for $\varphi_{1}$, we have that

$$
\int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+1
$$

Similarly, for $\varphi_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k}\left(\partial \varphi_{2}+\mu \varphi_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k}\left(\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}+\mu \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right.}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k}\left(\mu \varphi_{1}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
& \left.\lesssim 1+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\mu\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right.}^{2} \right\rvert\,\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \tilde{\mu}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim 1+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{6}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}{a^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{6}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} \lesssim 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, one can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v}\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq k \leq 10$.
The estimates of $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ on the light cone give the same result. For the sake of conciseness we do not discuss the details.

### 7.3 Energy estimate for the Weyl curvature

Finally, in this section we obtain the energy estimates for the components of the Weyl tensor.
Proposition 28. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{4}}}
$$

Proof. For the pair $\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right)$, we make use of the Bianchi equations 29b) and 29a

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{\prime} \Psi_{0}-ð \tilde{\Psi}_{1} & =6 \varphi_{0} \partial \varphi_{1}+(2 \underline{\omega}-\mu) \Psi_{0}-5 \tau \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \sigma \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \\
& +6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2} \sigma-3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \rho-6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma-12 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \tau \\
p \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{0}= & -6 \varphi_{0} \partial \varphi_{0}+(\pi-2 \bar{\tau}) \Psi_{0}+4 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \\
& +3 \varphi_{0}^{2}(2 \tau-\bar{\pi})+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \rho-6 \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying $\partial^{k}$ and commuting with $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime} \partial^{k} \Psi_{0}+(k+1) \mu \partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\partial^{k+1} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} & =P_{k} \\
p \partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\partial^{\prime} \partial^{k} \Psi_{0} & =Q_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{k}= & \varphi_{0} \partial^{k+1} \varphi_{1}+\underline{\omega} \partial^{k} \Psi_{0}+\tau \partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+\sigma \partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \varphi_{0} \partial^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\underline{\omega}, \tilde{\mu}) \partial^{k-i} \Psi_{0} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \lambda \partial^{k-i} \Psi_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma(\tau, \sigma) \partial^{k-i}\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right)+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\rho, \sigma, \lambda, \tau) \partial^{i_{2}} \varphi \partial^{i_{3}} \boldsymbol{\varphi},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{k}= & \varphi_{0} \partial^{k+1} \varphi_{0}+\Gamma(\tau, \pi) \partial^{k} \Psi_{0}+\rho \partial^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \partial^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{0} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}}\left(\Psi_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi, \rho, \sigma) \partial^{i_{4}} \varphi \partial^{i_{5}} \varphi .+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \partial^{i_{1}} K \partial^{i_{2}} \Psi_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi_{1}}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left.\left|u^{\prime}\right|\right|^{\|}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2}+M+N,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \equiv 2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} 犭\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}| |\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} P_{k} \|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq 2\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u^{\prime}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} J^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
& N \equiv 2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq 2\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is convenient to define

$$
J \equiv \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}, \quad H \equiv \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime} \mid}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} .
$$

The rest of the proof proceeds in three steps.
Step 1: Estimate $J$.
Substituting $P_{k}$ we have that

$$
J \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \underline{\omega} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \tau \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \sigma \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{i} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \Psi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{2}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}=I_{1}+\ldots+I_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\underline{\omega}\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\underline{\omega})_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\underline{\omega})_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\underline{\omega})_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{3}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\tau\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, w^{\prime}}\right.}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{4}$ we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\sigma\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{u} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\sigma)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{5}$ we have that

$$
I_{5} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi_{0}\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k+1-i} \varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}
$$

$$
\leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} a \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)^{2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{4}
$$

For $I_{6}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{6} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots)\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \Psi\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)^{2} a \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\Psi_{0}\right)^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{a}{|u|} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for this term $I_{7}$ we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{7} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots)\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{2}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{2}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{6}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2} a \mathcal{O}^{2} a \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting the estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{7}$ we conclude that

$$
J \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{4}
$$

so that, in fact, one has that

$$
M \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right] \mathcal{O}^{2}
$$

Step 2: Estimate $H$.
Substituting $Q_{k}$ in the definition for $H$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H \leq & \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \Gamma(\tau, \pi) \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \rho \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{3}+i_{4}<k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Psi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} K \mathcal{D}^{i_{2}} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}^{2}\right)}^{2}=I_{1}+\ldots+I_{7 .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{3}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a^{3}}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2} \leq \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{2}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\Gamma(\tau, \pi)\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{v} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau, \pi)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau, \pi)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\tau, \pi)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\rho\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{k} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{1}\right]^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{1}\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & =\sum_{i_{1}+\ldots+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{j_{1}} \Gamma \ldots \mathcal{D}^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0} \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \varphi_{0}\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}\right)^{2}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{i_{2}+2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2 i_{2}+4}} \mathcal{O}^{2\left(i_{2}+2\right)} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \mathcal{O}^{4} \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{5}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5} & \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots)\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \Psi\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}\right)^{2} \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{4} \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{6}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{6} & \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{1}} \Gamma\right\| \ldots\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{j_{i_{2}}} \Gamma\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \Gamma(\sigma, \ldots)\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{4}} \varphi\right\| \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{5}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{i_{2}}}{|u|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}\right)^{2}=\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{a^{i_{2}+3}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{i_{2}+4}} \mathcal{O}^{2 i_{2}+6} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{4}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{6}} \mathcal{O}^{6} \leq \frac{a^{4}}{|u|^{5}} \mathcal{O}^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for $I_{7}$ we have that

$$
I_{7} \leq \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{2}} K\right\|^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{2}} \Psi_{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

$$
\leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} a \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}} \mathcal{O}^{2}
$$

Collecting the estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{7}$ we obtain

$$
H \lesssim \varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{|u|} \mathcal{O}^{6}
$$

so that

$$
N \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]\left(\varphi\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\frac{\mathcal{O}^{3}}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)
$$

Step 3: Summary.
From the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2}+M+N,
\end{aligned}
$$

multiplying by $\frac{1}{a}$ we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}(M+N) \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right] \mathcal{O}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]+\frac{\mathcal{O}^{3}}{|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{4}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we observe that the rest of the strings in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \Psi_{0}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}$ give rise to the same estimate. Hence, one concludes that

$$
\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{4}}}
$$

Proposition 29. For $0 \leq k \leq 10$, one has that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k}\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right\}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k}\left\{\tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+1
$$

Proof. We use the notation $\left(\Psi_{I}, \Psi_{I I}\right)$ to denote any of the pairs $\left\{\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}_{2}\right),\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{2}, \tilde{\Psi}_{3}\right),\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right)\right\}$. The Bianchi identities of $\left(\Psi_{I}, \Psi_{I I}\right)$-i.e $29 \mathrm{c}, 29 \mathrm{~d}, 22 \mathrm{e}, 29 \mathrm{f}, 29 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$ - have the structure

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime} \Psi_{I}+\left(2 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)+1\right) \mu \Psi_{I}-\partial \Psi_{I I} & =\boldsymbol{\varphi} \partial \varphi+\Gamma \Psi+\Gamma \varphi \varphi \\
p \Psi_{I I}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{I} & =\boldsymbol{\varphi} \partial+\Gamma \Psi+\Gamma \varphi \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Commuting $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ with $\partial^{k}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime} \partial^{k} \Psi_{I}+\left(2 s_{2}\left(\Psi_{I}\right)+k+1\right) \mu \partial^{k} \Psi_{I}-\partial^{k+1} \Psi_{I I} & =P_{k} \\
p \partial^{k} \Psi_{I I}-\partial^{\prime} \partial^{k} \Psi_{I} & =Q_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
P_{k} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \varphi \partial^{k+1-i} \varphi+\sum_{i=0}^{k} \partial^{i} \Gamma \partial^{k-i} \Psi+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{2}} \varphi \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{k} & \equiv \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \varphi \partial^{i_{4}+1} \varphi+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{4}} \Psi \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \partial^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \partial^{i_{3}} \Gamma \partial^{i_{4}} \varphi \partial^{i_{5}} \varphi+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \partial^{i_{1}} K \partial^{i_{2}} \Psi_{I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows then that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\infty}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+M+N,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have defined

$$
M \equiv 2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \oint\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

and

$$
N \equiv 2 \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} .
$$

As in previous proofs, the argument proceeds in three steps.
Step 1: Estimate for $M$.
One readily has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & \leq\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& =\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u^{\prime}}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+H,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
H \equiv \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} P_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

Substituting the definition of $P_{k}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H \leq & \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathcal{D}^{k+1-i} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \Psi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{2}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{i_{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
= & I_{1}+\ldots+I_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} \leq & \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathcal{D}^{k+1-i} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}=I_{11}+I_{12}+I_{13}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that for $I_{11}$ we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{11} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

while for $I_{12}$ one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{12} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{|u|^{4}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \frac{1}{a} \underline{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{2}\right]^{2} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $I_{13}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{13} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k+1-i} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{4}}{|u|} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the above that $I_{1} \lesssim 1$.
Next, for the term $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{k-i} \Psi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& =I_{21}+I_{22}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that for $I_{21}$ we have the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{21} \leq & \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \underline{\omega})\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\Gamma(\tilde{\mu}, \sigma, \underline{\omega}, \tau)\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{2,3,4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & \left.\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a^{2}} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu})\left(\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{2,3,4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{a^{2}} \Gamma(\tilde{\mu}) \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{2,3,4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\mu})}{a}\left(\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{a} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{2,3}, \Psi_{4}\right]^{2}\right) \lesssim 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for $I_{22}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{22} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i} \Gamma\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k-i} \Psi\right\|^{2} \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a^{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2} a \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{4}}{|u|} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly, we have that $I_{2} \lesssim 1$.
For the term $I_{3}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{1}} \Gamma\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{2}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{3}} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{6}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2} \mathcal{O}^{2}=\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{\mathcal{O}^{6}}{a\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{4}$ we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leq \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{1}} \varphi_{2}\right\|^{2} \times\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{i_{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O}^{2} \mathcal{O}^{2} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}^{4}}{|u|} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting the estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{4}$ we have that $H \lesssim 1$.
Step 2: Estimate for $N$
In this case one readily sees that

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & \leq\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& =\int_{0}^{v}\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v^{\prime}}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2}+J,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
J \equiv \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} Q_{k}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}
$$

Substituting the definition of $Q_{k}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & \equiv \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Psi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}=k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathcal{D}^{i_{5}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=k-1} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} K \mathcal{D}^{i_{2}} \Psi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}=I_{1}+\ldots+I_{4}
$$

We now proceed to estimate each of the terms $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{4}$.
For the term $I_{1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi_{1} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi_{2} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}+1} \varphi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& =I_{11}+I_{12}+I_{13}+I_{14}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $I_{11}$ one sees that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{11} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{0}\right]^{2} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{12}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{12} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{|u|^{3}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{13}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{13} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\left.u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)}\right.}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{1}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k+1} \varphi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]^{2} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

while for $I_{14}$ we have that

$$
I_{14} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{O}^{2}+\mathcal{O}^{2}+\frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathcal{O}^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \leq 1
$$

For the term $I_{2}$ we have that

$$
I_{2} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \lambda \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\lambda, \ldots) \mathcal{D}^{k}\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{1,2,3}, \Psi_{4}\right)\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad+\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}=k, i_{4}<k} \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{1}} \Gamma(\tau, \pi)^{i_{2}} \mathcal{D}^{i_{3}} \Gamma \mathcal{D}^{i_{4}} \Psi\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& =
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $I_{21}$ one sees that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{21} & \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\|\lambda\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)_{0, \infty} \frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{0}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)_{0, \infty} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\Psi_{0}\right] \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for $I_{22}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{22} \leq & \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\|\Gamma(\lambda \ldots)\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1,2,3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}+\|\rho\|_{L_{s c}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)_{0, \infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{v}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \tilde{\Psi}_{1,2,3}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right.}+\int_{0}^{v} \frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0, \infty}^{2}}{|u|^{2}} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left\|a^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{k} \Psi_{4}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|u|} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)_{0, \infty}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{1,2,3}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{|u|^{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\rho)_{0, \infty}^{2} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}\left[\Psi_{4}\right]^{2} \lesssim 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{23}$ we have that

$$
I_{23} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{i_{2}+1}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}}\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\Psi_{0}\right)+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\Psi_{I I}\right)\right) \frac{|u|}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\lambda)\right)^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|} \leq 1
$$

where we have made use of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\Psi_{0}, \lambda\right) \lesssim 1$.
For the term $I_{3}$ one has that the worst term in $\Gamma \varphi^{2}$ is $\mu \varphi_{0}^{2}$. One then has that

$$
I_{3} \leq \int_{0}^{v} \int_{u_{\infty}}^{u} \frac{a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\frac{a^{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{i_{2}+2}} \mathcal{O}^{i_{2}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{a} \mathcal{O} a \mathcal{O}^{2}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{a}{|u|} \leq 1
$$

where we have made use of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mu) \lesssim 1$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \lesssim \varphi\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+1 \lesssim 1$.
$I_{4}$ : This integral can also be bounded by 1 .
Collecting the estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{4}$ we conclude that $J \lesssim 1$.
Summary. From the estimates for $M$ and $N$ we have that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+1
$$

Now, the rest of the strings of derivatives in $\mathcal{D}^{k_{i}}$ give rise to the same result and hence we have that

$$
\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{u}(0, v)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}\right)^{k} \Psi_{I I}\right\|_{L_{s c}^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}_{v}^{\prime}\left(u_{\infty}, u\right)\right)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}+1
$$

Combining the estimates in last three sections we have improved the bootstrap assumption

$$
\Gamma+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\varphi \leq \mathcal{O}
$$

by

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}+\boldsymbol{\Psi}+\boldsymbol{\varphi} \lesssim\left(\mathcal{I}_{0}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{I}_{0}+1
$$

Hence, following the discussion in Section 4.4 we obtain our main existence result. Namely, one has that

Theorem 4 (Existence result). Given $\mathcal{I}$, there exists a sufficiently large $a_{0}=a_{0}(\mathcal{I})$, such that for $0 \leq a_{0} \leq a$ and initial data

$$
\mathcal{I}_{0} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{i=0}^{15} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|p^{j}\left(\left|u_{\infty}\right| \mathcal{D}\right)^{i}\left(\sigma, \varphi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)} \leq \mathcal{I}
$$

along the outgoing initial null hypersurface $u=u_{\infty}$ and Minkowskian initial data along the ingoing initial null hypersurface $v=0$, then the Einstein-scalar field system has a solution in the causal diamond

$$
\mathbb{D}=\left\{(u, v) \mid u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-a / 4, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1\right\}
$$

## 8 Trapped surface formation

We are now in a position to provide the main argument for the formation of trapped surfaces in the existence domain $\mathscr{D}$.

We fix $\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \in \mathcal{S}$ and carry out a pointwise analysis. From the estimate of $\underline{\omega}$,

$$
\|\underline{\omega}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|^{3}}
$$

and $p^{\prime} Q=\underline{\omega} Q$, we have that

$$
|Q| \lesssim 1+\int_{u_{\infty}}^{u}\left\|p^{\prime} Q\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

so that

$$
|Q|-1 \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{O}}{|u|}
$$

This means $Q$ and $Q^{-1}$ are both close to 1 .
For $P^{\mathcal{A}}$, we use the equation

$$
p P^{\mathcal{A}}=\rho P^{\mathcal{A}}+\sigma \bar{P}^{\mathcal{A}}
$$

and obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P^{\mathcal{A}}(u, v)\right| & \lesssim\left|P^{\mathcal{A}}(u, 0)\right|+\int_{0}^{v}\left|b P^{\mathcal{A}}\right| \\
& \leq\left|P^{\mathcal{A}}(u, 0)\right|+\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|} \mathcal{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that in the course of the evolution $P^{\mathcal{A}}$ remains close to its initial value.
For $C^{\mathcal{A}}$ we use the equation

$$
p C^{\mathcal{A}}=(\bar{\tau}+\pi) P^{\mathcal{A}}+(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \bar{P}^{\mathcal{A}}
$$

so that

$$
\left|C^{\mathcal{A}}\right| \lesssim \int_{0}^{v}\left|b C^{\mathcal{A}}\right| \lesssim \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}
$$

Now, defining

$$
F \equiv|u|^{2} Q^{-1}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

and using $\boldsymbol{n}=\partial_{u}+C^{\mathcal{A}} \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have

$$
\partial_{u} F=b^{\prime} F-C^{\mathcal{A}} \partial_{\mathcal{A}} F \equiv I_{1}+I_{2}
$$

We now proceed to estimate the terms $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$.
Estimate for $I_{1}$. For $\sigma, \varphi_{0}$ and $Q$ we make use of their $p^{\prime}$ equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime} \sigma & =\partial \tau-\mu \sigma-\bar{\lambda} \rho-\tau^{2}+\underline{\omega} \sigma-3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \\
p^{\prime} \varphi_{0} & =\partial \bar{\varphi}_{1}+(\underline{\omega}-\mu) \varphi_{0}+\rho \varphi_{2}-\bar{\tau} \varphi_{1}-\tau \bar{\varphi}_{1} \\
p^{\prime} Q & =\underline{\omega} Q
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{\prime} F & =|u|^{2} Q^{-1}\left[-\frac{2 \sigma \bar{\sigma}+6 \varphi_{0}^{2}}{|u|}+\underline{\omega}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)+\sigma p^{\prime} \bar{\sigma}+\bar{\sigma} p^{\prime} \sigma+6 \varphi_{0} b^{\prime} \varphi_{0}\right] \\
& =|u|^{2} Q^{-1}\left(-2 \tilde{\mu} \sigma \bar{\sigma}-6 \tilde{\mu} \varphi_{0}^{2}+6 \rho \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}-3 \sigma \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2}-\lambda \rho \sigma-3 \bar{\sigma} \varphi_{1}^{2}-\bar{\lambda} \rho \bar{\sigma}-6 \tau \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{\sigma} \tau^{2}-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \bar{\tau}-\sigma \bar{\tau}^{2}+9 \underline{\omega} \varphi_{0}^{2}+3 \underline{\omega} \sigma \bar{\sigma}+\bar{\sigma} \partial \tau+6 \varphi_{0} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}+\sigma ð^{\prime} \bar{\tau}\right) \\
& =|u|^{2} Q^{-1} M,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have made use of $\tilde{\mu}=\mu+1 /|u|$. Next, we make use of the results in Section 5 and obtain

$$
|M| \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|^{4}}
$$

It follows from the latter that

$$
\left|I_{1}\right| \lesssim \frac{a}{|u|^{2}}
$$

Estimate for $I_{2}$. In this case we make use of the constraint $\delta Q=(\tau-\bar{\pi}) Q$ and that on $\mathcal{S}_{u, v}$ one has

$$
\left|\partial_{\mathcal{A}} f\right| \leq\|\mathcal{D} f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{2}\right| & \leq|u|^{2}\left|C^{\mathcal{A}}\right| \cdot\left|\partial_{\mathcal{A}}\left(Q^{-1}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq|u|^{2}\left|C^{\mathcal{A}}\right| \cdot\left(\left\|\mathcal{D} Q^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right)\left|\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\left\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\left|Q^{-1}\right| \cdot\right\| \mathcal{D}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right) \|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq|u|^{2}\left|C^{\mathcal{A}}\right| \cdot\left|Q^{-1}\right| \cdot\left(\|\tau, \pi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}| |\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\left\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}+\right\| \mathcal{D}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right) \|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim|u|^{2} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{4}}+\frac{a}{|u|^{3}}\right) \leq \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{3}} \mathcal{O} \leq \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the results above we obtain

$$
\left|\partial_{u} F\right| \leq \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{2}} \ll \frac{a^{\frac{7}{4}}}{|u|^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
-\frac{a^{\frac{7}{4}}}{|u|^{2}} \leq \partial_{u} F \leq \frac{a^{\frac{7}{4}}}{|u|^{2}}
$$

The latter, in turn, leads to

$$
\left.|u|^{2} Q^{-1}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\right|_{u, v}-\left.\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\right|_{u=u_{\infty}, v} \geq-\frac{a^{\frac{7}{4}}}{|u|}+\frac{a^{\frac{7}{4}}}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}
$$

Hence, for sufficiently large $a$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}\left(|u|^{2} Q^{-1}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right) \mid\right)\left(u, v^{\prime}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \\
& \quad \geq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right) \mid\right)\left(u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime}-\frac{a^{\frac{7}{4}}}{|u|} \\
& \geq a-\frac{a^{\frac{7}{4}}}{|u|} \geq a-\frac{4 a^{\frac{7}{4}}}{a} \geq \frac{a}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, when $u=-\frac{a}{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a^{2}}{16} \int_{0}^{1}\left(Q^{-1}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right) \mid\right)\left(-\frac{a}{4}, v^{\prime}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \geq \frac{a}{2} \\
\Rightarrow & \int_{0}^{1}\left(Q^{-1}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right) \mid\right)\left(-\frac{a}{4}, v^{\prime}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \geq \frac{8}{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Behaviour of the expansion. In this step we make use of the structure equation for $\rho$ - namely,

$$
p \rho=\rho^{2}+\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2} .
$$

Recall that the outgoing expansion $\theta_{l}$ is defined by

$$
\theta_{l} \equiv \sigma^{a b} \nabla_{a} l_{b}=-\rho-\bar{\rho}=-2 \rho .
$$

Its initial value is Minkowskian, namely we have that

$$
\rho\left(u, 0, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\frac{1}{|u|}
$$

We now show that the outgoing expansion on $\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}$ is positive. From $\boldsymbol{l}=Q \partial_{v}=\partial_{v}$, observing that $Q=1$ on $\mathcal{N}_{u_{\infty}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(u_{\infty}, v, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) & =\rho\left(u_{\infty}, 0, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial v} \mathrm{~d} v=-\frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}+\int_{0}^{v} b \rho \mathrm{~d} v^{\prime} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}+\int_{0}^{v}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\left(-\frac{a}{4}, v^{\prime}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \\
& \lesssim-\frac{1}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|}+\frac{a}{\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have made use of the estimates for $\sigma, \varphi_{0}$ and $a<\left|u_{\infty}\right|$. It follows that the outgoing expansion on the initial outgoing lightcone is positive.

Turning now the attention to $\mathcal{N}_{-a / 4}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(-\frac{a}{4}, 1, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) & =\rho\left(-\frac{a}{4}, 0, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial v} \mathrm{~d} v=-\frac{4}{a}+\int_{0}^{1} Q^{-1} p \rho \mathrm{~d} v^{\prime} \\
& \geq-\frac{4}{a}+\int_{0}^{1} Q^{-1}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right)\left(-\frac{a}{4}, v^{\prime}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\geq-\frac{4}{a}+\frac{8}{a}=\frac{4}{a}>0
$$

It follows then that the outgoing expansion on $\mathcal{N}_{-a / 4}$ is negative.
Recall now that the ingoing expansion $\theta_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ is defined by

$$
\theta_{\boldsymbol{n}} \equiv \sigma^{a b} \nabla_{a} n_{b}=\mu+\bar{\mu}=2 \mu
$$

From the estimate

$$
\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)}=\left\|\mu+\frac{1}{|u|}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u, v}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{|u|^{2}}
$$

we have

$$
\mu\left(-\frac{a}{4}, v, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)<0, \quad \mu\left(u_{\infty}, v, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)<0
$$

for any $\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right)$. This means that the ingoing expansion is always negative.
In conclusion, for any point on $\mathcal{S}_{-\frac{a}{4}, 1}$, both $\theta_{\boldsymbol{l}}$ and $\theta_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ are negative. Consequently $\mathcal{S}_{-\frac{a}{4}, 1}$ is a trapped surface. Summarising, we have proven the following:

Theorem 5 (Trapped surface formation). Given $\mathcal{I}$, there exists a sufficiently large $a=a(\mathcal{I})$ such that if $0 \leq a_{0} \leq a$, and the initial data satisfies

$$
\mathcal{I}_{0} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{i=0}^{15} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|p^{j}\left(\left|u_{\infty}\right| \mathcal{D}\right)^{i}\left(\sigma, \varphi_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}_{u_{\infty}, v}\right)} \leq \mathcal{I}
$$

along the outgoing initial null hypersurface $u=u_{\infty}$ and is Minkowskian initial data along ingoing initial null hypersurface $v=0$, with

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|u_{\infty}\right|^{2}\left(\sigma \bar{\sigma}+3 \varphi_{0}^{2}\right) \mid\right)\left(u_{\infty}, v^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} v^{\prime} \geq a
$$

uniformly for any point on the outgoing initial null hypersurface $u=u_{\infty}$, then we have that $\mathcal{S}_{-a / 4,1}$ is a trapped surface.

## A The Einstein field equations in the NP formalism

In this appendix we provide an overview of the main geometric objects and equations used in the analysis in the main text.

## A. 1 The NP field equations

Given a NP frame $\left\{l^{a}, n^{a}, m^{a}, \bar{m}^{a}\right\}$, we define the complex spin connection coefficients in the usual manner as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa & \equiv-m^{a} l^{b} \nabla_{b} l_{a}, \quad \rho \equiv-m^{a} \bar{m}^{b} \nabla_{b} l_{a}, \quad \sigma \equiv-m^{a} m^{b} \nabla_{b} l_{a}, \quad \tau \equiv-m^{a} n^{b} \nabla_{b} l_{a} \\
\nu & \equiv \bar{m}^{a} n^{b} \nabla_{b} n_{a}, \quad \mu \equiv \bar{m}^{a} m^{b} \nabla_{b} n_{a}, \quad \lambda \equiv \bar{m}^{a} \bar{m}^{b} \nabla_{b} n_{a}, \quad \pi=\bar{m}^{a} l^{b} \nabla_{b} n_{a} \\
\alpha & \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(l^{a} \bar{m}^{b} \nabla_{b} n_{a}-m^{a} \bar{m}^{b} \nabla_{b} \bar{m}_{a}\right), \quad \beta \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{m}^{a} m^{b} \nabla_{b} m_{a}-n^{a} m^{b} \nabla_{b} l_{a}\right) \\
\epsilon & \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{m}^{a} l^{b} \nabla_{b} m_{a}-n^{a} l^{b} \nabla_{b} l_{a}\right), \quad \gamma \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(l^{a} n^{b} \nabla_{b} n_{a}-m^{a} n^{b} \nabla_{b} \bar{m}_{a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We also write

$$
D \equiv l^{a} \nabla_{a}, \quad \Delta \equiv n^{a} \nabla_{a}, \quad \delta \equiv m^{a} \nabla_{a}, \quad \bar{\delta}=\bar{m}^{a} \nabla_{a}
$$

Then the commutators of the above directional covariant derivatives satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\Delta D-D \Delta) \psi=((\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) D+(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) \Delta-(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \delta-(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \bar{\delta}) \psi  \tag{53a}\\
& (\delta D-D \delta) \psi=((\bar{\alpha}+\beta-\bar{\pi}) D+\kappa \Delta-(\bar{\rho}+\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) \delta-\sigma \bar{\delta}) \psi  \tag{53~b}\\
& (\delta \Delta-\Delta \delta) \psi=(-\bar{\nu} D+(\tau-\bar{\alpha}-\beta) \Delta+(\mu-\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \delta+\bar{\lambda} \bar{\delta}) \psi  \tag{53c}\\
& (\bar{\delta} \delta-\delta \bar{\delta}) \psi=((\bar{\mu}-\mu) D+(\bar{\rho}-\rho) \Delta+(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \delta-(\bar{\alpha}-\beta) \bar{\delta}) \psi \tag{53~d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi$ is any scalar field.
We use the same notation in [19] to denote the components of Weyl spinor $\Psi_{A B C D}$ and tracefree Ricci spinor $\Phi_{A A^{\prime} B B^{\prime}}$ - namely $\left\{\Psi_{0}, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi_{3}, \Psi_{4}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Phi_{00}, \Phi_{01}, \Phi_{02}, \Phi_{11}, \Phi_{12}\right.$, $\left.\Phi_{22}, \Lambda\right\}$. These coefficients are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{0} \equiv C_{a b c d} l^{a} m^{b} l^{c} m^{d}, \quad \Psi_{1} \equiv C_{a b c d} l^{a} n^{b} l^{c} m^{d}, \quad \Psi_{2} \equiv \frac{1}{2} C_{a b c d} l^{a} n^{b}\left(l^{c} n^{d}-m^{c} \bar{m}^{d}\right) \\
& \Psi_{3} \equiv C_{a b c d} n^{a} l^{b} n^{c} \bar{m}^{d}, \quad \Psi_{4} \equiv C_{a b c d} n^{a} \bar{m}^{b} n^{c} \bar{m}^{d}, \\
& \Phi_{00} \equiv \frac{1}{2} R_{\{a b\}} l^{a} l^{b}, \quad \Phi_{01} \equiv \frac{1}{2} R_{\{a b\} l^{a} m^{b}, \quad \Phi_{02} \equiv \frac{1}{2} R_{\{a b\}} m^{a} m^{b}} \\
& \Phi_{11} \equiv \frac{1}{4} R_{\{a b\}}\left(l^{a} n^{b}+m^{a} \bar{m}^{b}\right), \quad \Phi_{12} \equiv \frac{1}{2} R_{\{a b\}} n^{a} m^{b} \\
& \Phi_{22} \equiv \frac{1}{2} R_{\{a b\}} n^{a} n^{b}, \quad \Lambda \equiv \frac{R}{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{\{a b\}}=R_{a b}-\frac{1}{4} R g_{a b}$. The NP structure equations and the Bianchi identities can be found in e.g. [15.

## A. 2 The massless scalar equations

Given a massless scalar field $\varphi$ let

$$
\varphi_{0}=D \varphi, \quad \varphi_{2}=\Delta \varphi, \quad \varphi_{1}=\delta \varphi, \quad \bar{\varphi}_{1}=\bar{\delta} \varphi
$$

Then the equation $\nabla^{a} \nabla_{a} \varphi=0$ can be expressed in terms of the NP frame. One finds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D \varphi_{2}+\Delta \varphi_{0}-\delta \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\bar{\delta} \varphi_{1}+\bar{\varphi}_{1}(\bar{\alpha}-\beta)+\varphi_{1}(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \\
& -\varphi_{0}(\gamma+\bar{\gamma})+\varphi_{2}(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon})+\varphi_{0}(\mu+\bar{\mu})-\bar{\varphi}_{1} \bar{\pi}-\varphi_{1} \pi \\
& -\varphi_{2}(\rho+\bar{\rho})+\varphi_{1} \bar{\tau}+\bar{\varphi}_{1} \tau=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the commutator relations (53a)-53d), one has that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \varphi_{0}-\bar{\delta} \varphi_{1} & =(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}-\bar{\mu}) \varphi_{0}+\rho \varphi_{2}+(\bar{\beta}-\alpha-\bar{\tau}) \varphi_{1}-\tau \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{54a}\\
\Delta \varphi_{1}-\delta \varphi_{2} & =\bar{\nu} \varphi_{0}+(\bar{\alpha}+\beta-\tau) \varphi_{2}+(\gamma-\bar{\gamma}-\mu) \varphi_{1}-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{54b}\\
D \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\bar{\delta} \varphi_{0} & =(\pi-\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \varphi_{0}-\bar{\kappa} \varphi_{2}+\bar{\sigma} \varphi_{1}+(\bar{\epsilon}-\epsilon+\rho) \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{54c}\\
D \varphi_{2}-\bar{\delta} \varphi_{1} & =-\bar{\mu} \varphi_{0}+(\rho-\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) \varphi_{2}+(\bar{\beta}-\alpha+\pi) \varphi_{1}+\bar{\pi} \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{54d}\\
\delta \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\bar{\delta} \varphi_{1} & =(\mu-\bar{\mu}) \varphi_{0}+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \varphi_{2}+(\bar{\beta}-\alpha) \varphi_{1}+(\bar{\alpha}-\beta) \bar{\varphi}_{1} \tag{54e}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from the Einstein-scalar field equation

$$
R_{a b}=6 \nabla_{a} \varphi \nabla_{b} \varphi
$$

one obtains the relations

$$
\Phi_{00}=3 \varphi_{0}^{2}, \quad \Phi_{01}=3 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}, \quad \Phi_{02}=3 \varphi_{1}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{11}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right), \quad \Phi_{12}=3 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1}, \quad \Phi_{22}=3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \\
& \Lambda=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, making use of the definitions of the renormalised components of the Weyl tensor -namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \equiv \Psi_{1}-\Phi_{01}=\Psi_{1}-3 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \\
& \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \equiv \Psi_{2}+2 \Lambda=\Psi_{2}-\varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \\
& \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \equiv \Psi_{3}-\Phi_{21}=\Psi_{3}-3 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and substituting into the structure equations and Bianchi identities one can obtain the NP form of the Einstein-Massless scalar system. The structure equations take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \epsilon-D \gamma & =-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}-3 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\epsilon(2 \gamma+\bar{\gamma})+\gamma \bar{\epsilon}+\kappa \nu-\beta \pi-\alpha \bar{\pi}-\alpha \tau-\pi \tau-\beta \bar{\tau},  \tag{55a}\\
\Delta \kappa-D \tau & =-\tilde{\Psi}_{1}-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}+3 \gamma \kappa+\bar{\gamma} \kappa-\bar{\pi} \rho-\pi \sigma-\epsilon \tau+\bar{\epsilon} \tau-\rho \tau-\sigma \bar{\tau},  \tag{55b}\\
\Delta \pi-D \nu & =-\tilde{\Psi}_{3}-6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}+3 \epsilon \nu+\bar{\epsilon} \nu-\gamma \pi+\bar{\gamma} \pi-\mu \pi-\lambda \bar{\pi}-\lambda \tau-\mu \bar{\tau}  \tag{55c}\\
\delta \gamma-\Delta \beta & =3 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1}-\bar{\alpha} \gamma-2 \beta \gamma+\beta \bar{\gamma}+\alpha \bar{\lambda}+\beta \mu-\epsilon \bar{\nu}-\nu \sigma+\gamma \tau+\mu \tau  \tag{55~d}\\
\delta \epsilon-D \beta & =-\tilde{\Psi}_{1}-3 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}+\bar{\alpha} \epsilon+\beta \bar{\epsilon}+\gamma \kappa+\kappa \mu-\epsilon \bar{\pi}-\beta \bar{\rho}-\alpha \sigma-\pi \sigma,  \tag{55e}\\
\delta \kappa-D \sigma & =-\Psi_{0}+\bar{\alpha} \kappa+3 \beta \kappa-\kappa \bar{\pi}-3 \epsilon \sigma+\bar{\epsilon} \sigma-\rho \sigma-\bar{\rho} \sigma+\kappa \tau  \tag{55f}\\
\delta \nu-\Delta \mu & =3 \varphi_{2}^{2}+\lambda \bar{\lambda}+\gamma \mu+\bar{\gamma} \mu+\mu^{2}-\bar{\alpha} \nu-3 \beta \nu-\bar{\nu} \pi+\nu \tau  \tag{55~g}\\
\delta \pi-D \mu & =-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\epsilon \mu+\bar{\epsilon} \mu+\kappa \nu+\bar{\alpha} \pi-\beta \pi-\pi \bar{\pi}-\mu \bar{\rho}-\lambda \sigma  \tag{55h}\\
\delta \tau-\Delta \sigma & =3 \varphi_{1}^{2}-\kappa \bar{\nu}+\bar{\lambda} \rho-3 \gamma \sigma+\bar{\gamma} \sigma+\mu \sigma-\bar{\alpha} \tau+\beta \tau+\tau^{2}  \tag{55i}\\
\bar{\delta} \beta-\delta \alpha & =-3 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \varphi_{1}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\alpha \bar{\alpha}+2 \alpha \beta-\beta \bar{\beta}-\epsilon \mu+\epsilon \bar{\mu}-\gamma \rho-\mu \rho+\gamma \bar{\rho}+\lambda \sigma  \tag{55j}\\
\bar{\delta} \gamma-\Delta \alpha & =\tilde{\Psi}_{3}+3 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\bar{\beta} \gamma-\alpha \bar{\gamma}+\beta \lambda+\alpha \bar{\mu}-\epsilon \nu-\nu \rho+\lambda \tau+\gamma \bar{\tau},  \tag{55k}\\
\bar{\delta} \epsilon-D \alpha & =-3 \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1}+2 \alpha \epsilon+\bar{\beta} \epsilon-\alpha \bar{\epsilon}+\gamma \bar{\kappa}+\kappa \lambda-\epsilon \pi-\alpha \rho-\pi \rho-\beta \bar{\sigma}  \tag{55l}\\
\bar{\delta} \kappa-D \rho & =-3 \varphi_{0}^{2}+3 \alpha \kappa+\bar{\beta} \kappa-\kappa \pi-\epsilon \rho-\bar{\epsilon} \rho-\rho^{2}-\sigma \bar{\sigma}+\bar{\kappa} \tau  \tag{55~m}\\
\bar{\delta} \mu-\delta \lambda & =\tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\bar{\alpha} \lambda+3 \beta \lambda-\alpha \mu-\bar{\beta} \mu-\mu \pi+\bar{\mu} \pi-\nu \rho+\nu \bar{\rho}  \tag{55n}\\
\bar{\delta} \nu-\Delta \lambda & =\Psi_{4}+3 \gamma \lambda-\bar{\gamma} \lambda+\lambda \mu+\lambda \bar{\mu}-3 \alpha \nu-\bar{\beta} \nu-\nu \pi+\nu \bar{\tau}  \tag{55o}\\
\bar{\delta} \pi-D \lambda & =-3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2}+3 \epsilon \lambda-\bar{\epsilon} \lambda+\bar{\kappa} \nu-\alpha \pi+\bar{\beta} \pi-\pi^{2}-\lambda \rho-\mu \bar{\sigma}  \tag{55p}\\
\bar{\delta} \sigma-\delta \rho & =\tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\kappa \mu+\kappa \bar{\mu}-\bar{\alpha} \rho-\beta \rho+3 \alpha \sigma-\bar{\beta} \sigma-\rho \tau-\bar{\rho} \tau  \tag{55q}\\
\bar{\delta} \tau-\Delta \rho & =\tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\kappa \nu-\gamma \rho-\bar{\gamma} \rho+\bar{\mu} \rho+\lambda \sigma+\alpha \tau-\bar{\beta} \tau+\tau \bar{\tau} \tag{55r}
\end{align*}
$$

The Bianchi identities written in terms of the renormalised components of the Weyl tensor take the following decoupled form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \Psi_{0}-\delta \tilde{\Psi}_{1}= & 6 \varphi_{0} \delta \varphi_{1}+(4 \gamma-\mu) \Psi_{0}-(2 \beta+4 \tau) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \sigma \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \\
& +6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \bar{\alpha}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2} \sigma-3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \bar{\rho}-6 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \varphi_{1} \sigma-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}(\beta+2 \tau)  \tag{56a}\\
D \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\bar{\delta} \Psi_{0}= & -6 \varphi_{0} \delta \varphi_{0}+(\pi-4 \alpha) \Psi_{0}+(2 \epsilon+4 \rho) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-3 \kappa \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \\
& +3 \varphi_{0}^{2}(2 \bar{\alpha}+2 \beta-\bar{\pi})+6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \kappa+3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \bar{\kappa}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}(2 \rho-\bar{\rho})+6 \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma  \tag{56b}\\
\Delta \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\delta \tilde{\Psi}_{2}= & -6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\delta} \varphi_{1}+\nu \Psi_{0}+(2 \gamma-2 \mu) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-3 \tau \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+3 \sigma \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \\
& +6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma+6 \varphi_{1}\left(\varphi_{0} \bar{\mu}-\varphi_{0} \mu+\bar{\varphi}_{1} \tau\right)+3 \varphi_{1}^{2}(2 \alpha-2 \bar{\beta}+\bar{\tau}) \\
& -3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \bar{\nu}-6 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1} \rho,  \tag{56c}\\
D \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\bar{\delta} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}= & 6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\delta} \varphi_{0}-\lambda \Psi_{0}+(2 \pi-2 \alpha) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-2 \kappa \tilde{\Psi}_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \bar{\mu}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \pi+3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \bar{\sigma}-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}(\alpha+\bar{\beta})-6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \kappa  \tag{56d}\\
\Delta \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\delta \tilde{\Psi}_{3}= & 6 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \delta \varphi_{2}+2 \nu \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-3 \mu \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+(2 \beta-2 \tau) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}+\sigma \Psi_{4} \\
& +6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}(\bar{\alpha}+\beta-\tau)+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \nu-3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \bar{\rho}  \tag{56e}\\
D \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\bar{\delta} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}= & 6 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \delta \bar{\varphi}_{1}-2 \lambda \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \pi \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+(2 \rho-2 \epsilon) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\kappa \Psi_{4} \\
& +3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2}(2 \bar{\alpha}-2 \beta-\bar{\pi})+3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \bar{\kappa}+6 \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \mu+6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \\
& -6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \lambda+6 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \varphi_{1} \pi  \tag{56f}\\
\Delta \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\delta \Psi_{4}= & -6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\delta} \varphi_{2}+3 \nu \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-(2 \gamma+4 \mu) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}+(4 \beta-\tau) \Psi_{4} \\
& +6 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1} \lambda+6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}(\bar{\mu}-2 \mu)+3 \varphi_{2}^{2}(\bar{\tau}-2 \alpha-2 \bar{\beta})-6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \nu-3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \bar{\nu}  \tag{56~g}\\
D \Psi_{4}-\bar{\delta} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}= & 6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\delta} \bar{\varphi}_{1}-3 \lambda \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+(2 \alpha+4 \pi) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}+(\rho-4 \epsilon) \Psi_{4} \\
& 6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}(\alpha-\bar{\beta}+2 \pi)+6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \lambda+3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \mu+3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \bar{\sigma}-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2} \lambda \tag{56h}
\end{align*}
$$

## B NP T-weight equations

Using the T-weighted operators the structure equations can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
p \rho-\partial^{\prime} \kappa= & \rho^{2}+\sigma \bar{\sigma}+\omega \rho-\bar{\kappa} \tau-(2 \bar{\vartheta}-\pi) \kappa+\Phi_{00},  \tag{57a}\\
p \sigma-\partial \kappa= & (\rho+\bar{\rho}) \sigma+\omega \sigma-(\tau-\bar{\pi}+2 \vartheta) \kappa+\Psi_{0},  \tag{57~b}\\
p \mu-\partial \pi= & \bar{\rho} \mu+\sigma \lambda+\pi \bar{\pi}-\omega \mu-\nu \kappa+\Psi_{2}+2 \Lambda,  \tag{57c}\\
p \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \pi= & \rho \lambda+\bar{\sigma} \mu+\pi^{2}-\nu \bar{\kappa}-\omega \lambda+\Phi_{20},  \tag{57~d}\\
b^{\prime} \rho-\partial^{\prime} \tau= & -\rho \bar{\mu}-\sigma \lambda-\bar{\tau} \tau+\underline{\omega} \rho-\nu \kappa-\Psi_{2}-2 \Lambda,  \tag{57e}\\
p^{\prime} \sigma-\partial \tau= & -\mu \sigma-\bar{\lambda} \rho-\tau^{2}+\underline{\omega} \sigma+\kappa \bar{\nu}-\Phi_{02},  \tag{57f}\\
p^{\prime} \mu-\partial \nu= & -\mu^{2}-\lambda \bar{\lambda}-\underline{\omega} \mu+\bar{\nu} \pi+(2 \vartheta-\tau) \nu-\Phi_{22},  \tag{57~g}\\
p^{\prime} \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \nu= & -(\mu+\bar{\mu}) \lambda-\underline{\omega} \lambda+(2 \bar{\vartheta}+\pi-\bar{\tau}) \nu-\Psi_{4},  \tag{57~h}\\
p \tau-b^{\prime} \kappa= & (\tau+\bar{\pi}) \rho+(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \sigma-2 \underline{\omega} \kappa+\Psi_{1}+\Phi_{01},  \tag{57i}\\
p^{\prime} \pi-p \nu= & -(\pi+\bar{\tau}) \mu-(\bar{\pi}+\tau) \lambda+2 \omega \nu-\Psi_{3}-\Phi_{21},  \tag{57j}\\
p \underline{\omega}-b^{\prime} \omega= & (\tau+\bar{\pi}) \bar{\vartheta}+(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \vartheta-2 \underline{\omega} \omega+\tau \pi+\bar{\tau} \bar{\pi} \\
& -\nu \kappa-\bar{\nu} \bar{\kappa}+\Psi_{2}+\bar{\Psi}_{2}+2 \Phi_{11}-2 \Lambda,  \tag{57k}\\
p \vartheta-\partial \omega= & (\bar{\vartheta}+\pi) \sigma+(\vartheta+\bar{\pi}) \bar{\rho}+(\bar{\pi}-\vartheta) \omega \\
& -(\mu+\underline{\omega}) \kappa-\bar{\kappa} \bar{\lambda}+\Psi_{1}+\Phi_{01},  \tag{57l}\\
p^{\prime} \vartheta-\partial \underline{\omega}= & -(\bar{\tau}+\bar{\vartheta}) \bar{\lambda}-(\tau+\vartheta) \mu-(\tau-\vartheta) \underline{\omega} \\
& +(\bar{\rho}+\omega) \bar{\nu}+\sigma \nu-\bar{\Psi}_{3}-\Phi_{12},  \tag{57~m}\\
\partial \rho-\partial^{\prime} \sigma= & \vartheta \rho-\bar{\vartheta} \sigma+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \tau+(\mu-\bar{\mu}) \kappa-\Psi_{1}+\Phi_{01},  \tag{57n}\\
\partial \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \mu= & \bar{\vartheta} \mu-\vartheta \lambda+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \nu+(\mu-\bar{\mu}) \pi-\Psi_{3}+\Phi_{21}, \tag{57o}
\end{align*}
$$

while for the Bianchi identities one has

$$
\begin{align*}
p \Psi_{1}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{0}-p \Phi_{01}+\partial \Phi_{00}= & (\pi-2 \bar{\vartheta}) \Psi_{0}+(\omega+4 \rho) \Psi_{1}-(\omega+2 \bar{\rho}) \Phi_{01}+(2 \vartheta-\bar{\pi}) \Phi_{00} \\
& -3 \kappa \Psi_{2}-2 \sigma \Phi_{10}+2 \kappa \Phi_{11}+\bar{\kappa} \Phi_{02},  \tag{58a}\\
p^{\prime} \Psi_{0}-ð \Psi_{1}+p \Phi_{02}-\partial \Phi_{01}= & (2 \underline{\omega}-\mu) \Psi_{0}-(4 \tau+\vartheta) \Psi_{1}+\bar{\rho} \Phi_{02}+(2 \pi-\vartheta) \Phi_{01} \\
& +3 \sigma \Psi_{2}+2 \sigma \Phi_{11}-2 \kappa \Phi_{12}-\bar{\lambda} \Phi_{00},  \tag{58b}\\
p \Psi_{2}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{1}+b^{\prime} \Phi_{00}-\partial^{\prime} \Phi_{01}+2 p \Lambda= & 3 \rho \Psi_{2}+(2 \pi-\bar{\vartheta}) \Psi_{1}+(2 \underline{\omega}-\bar{\mu}) \Phi_{00}-(2 \bar{\tau}+\bar{\vartheta}) \Phi_{01} \\
& -\lambda \Psi_{0}-2 \kappa \Psi_{3}-2 \tau \Phi_{10}+2 \rho \Phi_{11}+\bar{\sigma} \Phi_{02},  \tag{58c}\\
p^{\prime} \Psi_{1}-\partial \Psi_{2}-b^{\prime} \Phi_{01}+\partial^{\prime} \Phi_{02}-2 ð \Lambda= & (\underline{\omega}-2 \mu) \Psi_{1}-3 \tau \Psi_{2}+(2 \bar{\mu}-\underline{\omega}) \Phi_{01}+\bar{\tau} \Phi_{02}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\nu \Psi_{0}+2 \sigma \Psi_{3}+2 \tau \Phi_{11}-2 \rho \Phi_{12}-\bar{\nu} \Phi_{00},  \tag{58d}\\
p \Psi_{3}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{2}-b \Phi_{21}+\partial \Phi_{20}-2 ð^{\prime} \Lambda= & (2 \rho-\omega) \Psi_{3}+3 \pi \Psi_{2}+(\omega-2 \bar{\rho}) \Phi_{21}-\bar{\pi} \Phi_{20} \\
& -2 \lambda \Psi_{1}-\kappa \Psi_{4}-2 \pi \Phi_{11}+2 \mu \Phi_{10}+\bar{\kappa} \Phi_{22},  \tag{58e}\\
p^{\prime} \Psi_{2}-\partial \Psi_{3}+b \Phi_{22}-\partial \Phi_{21}+2 p^{\prime} \Lambda= & -3 \mu \Psi_{2}+(\vartheta-2 \tau) \Psi_{3}+(\bar{\rho}-2 \omega) \Phi_{22}+(2 \bar{\pi}+\vartheta) \Phi_{21} \\
& -2 \nu \Psi_{1}+\sigma \Psi_{4}+2 \pi \Phi_{12}-2 \mu \Phi_{11}-\bar{\lambda} \Phi_{20},  \tag{58f}\\
p \Psi_{4}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{3}+b^{\prime} \Phi_{20}-\partial^{\prime} \Phi_{21}= & (\rho-2 \omega) \Psi_{4}+(4 \pi+\bar{\vartheta}) \Psi_{3}+(\bar{\vartheta}-2 \bar{\tau}) \Phi_{21} \\
& -\bar{\mu} \Phi_{20}-3 \lambda \Psi_{2}-2 \lambda \Phi_{11}+2 \nu \Phi_{10}+\bar{\sigma} \Phi_{22},  \tag{58~g}\\
p^{\prime} \Psi_{3}-\partial \Psi_{4}+\partial^{\prime} \Phi_{22}-p^{\prime} \Phi_{21}= & (2 \vartheta-\tau) \Psi_{4}-(4 \mu+\underline{\omega}) \Psi_{3}+(\bar{\tau}-2 \bar{\vartheta}) \Phi_{22} \\
& +(2 \bar{\mu}+\underline{\omega}) \Phi_{21}+3 \nu \Psi_{2}+2 \lambda \Phi_{12}-2 \nu \Phi_{11}-\bar{\nu} \Phi_{20},  \tag{58h}\\
p \Phi_{11}-\partial \Phi_{10}-\partial^{\prime} \Phi_{01}+b^{\prime} \Phi_{00}+3 p \Lambda= & \left.(2 \underline{\omega}-\mu-\bar{\mu}) \Phi_{00}+(\pi-\bar{\vartheta}-2 \bar{\tau}) \Phi_{01}+(\bar{\pi}-\vartheta-2 \tau) \Phi_{10}\right) \\
& +2(\rho+\bar{\rho}) \Phi_{11}+\bar{\sigma} \Phi_{02}+\sigma \Phi_{20}-\bar{\kappa} \Phi_{12}-\kappa \Phi_{21},  \tag{58i}\\
p \Phi_{12}-\partial \Phi_{11}-\partial^{\prime} \Phi_{02}+b^{\prime} \Phi_{01}+3 ð \Lambda= & (\pi-\bar{\tau}) \Phi_{02}+(\bar{\rho}+2 \rho-\omega) \Phi_{12}+2(\bar{\pi}-\tau) \Phi_{11} \\
& +(\underline{\omega}-2 \bar{\mu}-\mu) \Phi_{01}+\bar{\nu} \Phi_{00}-\bar{\lambda} \Phi_{10}+\sigma \Phi_{21}-\kappa \Phi_{22},  \tag{58j}\\
p \Phi_{22}-\partial \Phi_{21}-\partial^{\prime} \Phi_{12}+b^{\prime} \Phi_{11}+3 p^{\prime} \Lambda= & (\bar{\rho}+\rho-2 \omega) \Phi_{22}+(\bar{\vartheta}+2 \pi-\bar{\tau}) \Phi_{12}+(\vartheta+2 \bar{\pi}-\tau) \Phi_{21} \\
& -2(\mu+\bar{\mu}) \Phi_{11}+\nu \Phi_{01}+\bar{\nu} \Phi_{10}-\bar{\lambda} \Phi_{20}-\lambda \Phi_{02} . \tag{58k}
\end{align*}
$$

The commutator relations for any quantity $f$ with T-weight $s$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(b p^{\prime}-b^{\prime} b\right) f=s\left(\Psi_{2}-\bar{\Psi}_{2}+\bar{\kappa} \bar{\nu}-\kappa \nu+\pi \tau-\bar{\pi} \bar{\tau}\right) f \\
& -\underline{\omega} p f-\omega p^{\prime} f+(\pi+\bar{\tau}) \partial f+(\bar{\pi}+\tau) \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{59a}\\
& (p \partial-ð b) f=s\left(-\Phi_{01}+\Psi_{1}+\bar{\kappa} \bar{\lambda}-\bar{\pi} \bar{\rho}-\kappa \mu+\pi \sigma\right) f \\
& +(\bar{\pi}-\vartheta) p f-\kappa p^{\prime} f+\bar{\rho} ð f+\sigma \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{59b}\\
& \left(p^{\prime} \partial-ð p^{\prime}\right) f=s\left(-\Phi_{12}+\bar{\Psi}_{3}+\nu \sigma-\mu \tau-\bar{\nu} \bar{\rho}+\bar{\lambda} \bar{\tau}\right) f \\
& +\bar{\nu} p f+(\vartheta-\tau) b^{\prime} f-\mu ð f-\bar{\lambda} \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{59c}\\
& \left(p \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} p\right) f=s\left(\Phi_{10}-\bar{\Psi}_{1}-\kappa \lambda+\pi \rho+\bar{\kappa} \bar{\mu}-\bar{\pi} \bar{\sigma}\right) f \\
& +(\bar{\vartheta}-\pi) p f-\bar{\kappa} b^{\prime} f+\bar{\sigma} \partial f+\rho \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{59d}\\
& \left(p^{\prime} \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} p^{\prime}\right) f=s\left(\Phi_{21}-\Psi_{3}-\bar{\nu} \bar{\sigma}+\bar{\mu} \bar{\tau}+\nu \rho-\lambda \tau\right) f \\
& +\nu p f+(\bar{\vartheta}-\bar{\tau}) p^{\prime} f-\lambda \partial f-\bar{\mu} \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{59e}\\
& \left(\partial \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} \partial\right) f=s K f+(\mu-\bar{\mu}) p f+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) p^{\prime} f, \tag{59f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K$ is the Gauss curvature

$$
K \equiv \mu \rho+\bar{\mu} \bar{\rho}-\lambda \sigma-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\sigma}+2 \Lambda+2 \Phi_{11}-\Psi_{2}-\bar{\Psi}_{2}
$$

Making use of the structure equations (57n) and (57o), the commutator relations (59b)-(59e) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
(b \partial-\partial p) f= & s\left(-\partial \rho+\partial^{\prime} \sigma+\vartheta \rho-\bar{\vartheta} \sigma+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \tau-\bar{\mu} \kappa+\bar{\kappa} \bar{\lambda}-\bar{\pi} \bar{\rho}+\pi \sigma\right) f \\
& +(\bar{\pi}-\vartheta) p f-\kappa p^{\prime} f+\bar{\rho} ð f+\sigma \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{60a}\\
\left(b^{\prime} \partial-\partial p^{\prime}\right) f= & s\left(-\partial^{\prime} \bar{\lambda}+\partial \bar{\mu}+\vartheta \bar{\mu}-\bar{\vartheta} \bar{\lambda}+(\bar{\mu}-\mu) \bar{\pi}-\rho \bar{\nu}+\nu \sigma-\mu \tau+\bar{\lambda} \bar{\tau}\right) f \\
& +\bar{\nu} p f+(\vartheta-\tau) p^{\prime} f-\mu ð f-\bar{\lambda} \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{60b}\\
\left(b \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} p\right) f= & s\left(\partial^{\prime} \bar{\rho}-\partial \bar{\sigma}-\bar{\vartheta} \bar{\rho}+\vartheta \bar{\sigma}+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \bar{\tau}+\mu \bar{\kappa}-\kappa \lambda+\pi \rho-\bar{\pi} \bar{\sigma}\right) f \\
& +(\bar{\vartheta}-\pi) p f-\bar{\kappa} b^{\prime} f+\bar{\sigma} \partial f+\rho \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{60c}\\
\left(p^{\prime} \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} p^{\prime}\right) f= & s\left(\partial \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \mu-\bar{\vartheta} \mu+\vartheta \lambda+\bar{\rho} \nu+(\bar{\mu}-\mu) \pi-\bar{\nu} \bar{\sigma}+\bar{\mu} \bar{\tau}-\lambda \tau\right) f \\
& +\nu p f+(\bar{\vartheta}-\bar{\tau}) p^{\prime} f-\lambda ð f-\bar{\mu} ð^{\prime} f . \tag{60d}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that the T-weight of $f$ determines whether there are derivatives of $\rho, \sigma$ and $\mu, \lambda$ on the right-hand side of the commutators or not.

## B. 1 The Einstein-scalar field system in the T-weight formalism

We conclude by providing the full form of the Einstein-scalar field system in the T-weight formalism.

We various components of the auxiliary field $\varphi_{a}$ encoding the derivatives of $\varphi$ are assigned T-weights as per the following table:

|  | $\varphi$ | $\varphi_{0}$ | $\varphi_{2}$ | $\varphi_{1}$ | $\bar{\varphi}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 |

Recalling that $\varphi_{a} \equiv \nabla_{a} \varphi$, it follows then that

$$
\begin{align*}
b^{\prime} \varphi_{0}-\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1} & =(\underline{\omega}-\bar{\mu}) \varphi_{0}+\rho \varphi_{2}-\bar{\tau} \varphi_{1}-\tau \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{61a}\\
b \varphi_{1}-\partial \varphi_{0} & =(\bar{\pi}-\vartheta) \varphi_{0}-\kappa \varphi_{2}+\sigma \bar{\varphi}_{1}+\bar{\rho} \varphi_{1}  \tag{61b}\\
p^{\prime} \varphi_{1}-\partial \varphi_{2} & =\bar{\nu} \varphi_{0}+(\vartheta-\tau) \varphi_{2}-\mu \varphi_{1}-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{61c}\\
p \varphi_{2}-\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1} & =-\bar{\mu} \varphi_{0}+(\rho-\omega) \varphi_{2}+\pi \varphi_{1}+\bar{\pi} \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{61d}\\
\partial \bar{\varphi}_{1}-\partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1} & =(\mu-\bar{\mu}) \varphi_{0}+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \varphi_{2} \tag{61e}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, recall that components of the definition of the renormalised Weyl tensor are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \equiv \Psi_{1}-\Phi_{01}=\Psi_{1}-3 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}  \tag{62a}\\
& \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \equiv \Psi_{2}+2 \Lambda=\Psi_{2}-\varphi_{0} \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}  \tag{62b}\\
& \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \equiv \Psi_{3}-\Phi_{21}=\Psi_{3}-3 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \tag{62c}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the latter into the structure equations and Bianchi identities one obtains the final form for the coupled Einstein-Massless scalar field system. In particular, the structure equations take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
p \rho-\partial^{\prime} \kappa= & \rho^{2}+\sigma \bar{\sigma}+\omega \rho-\bar{\kappa} \tau-(2 \bar{\vartheta}-\pi) \kappa+3 \varphi_{0}^{2},  \tag{63a}\\
p \sigma-\partial \kappa= & (\rho+\bar{\rho}) \sigma+\omega \sigma-(\tau-\bar{\pi}+2 \vartheta) \kappa+\Psi_{0},  \tag{63b}\\
p \mu-\partial \pi= & \bar{\rho} \mu+\sigma \lambda+\pi \bar{\pi}-\omega \mu-\nu \kappa+\tilde{\Psi}_{2},  \tag{63c}\\
p \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \pi= & \rho \lambda+\bar{\sigma} \mu+\pi^{2}-\nu \bar{\kappa}-\omega \lambda+3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2},  \tag{63d}\\
p^{\prime} \rho-\partial^{\prime} \tau= & -\rho \bar{\mu}-\sigma \lambda-\bar{\tau} \tau+\underline{\omega} \rho-\nu \kappa-\tilde{\Psi}_{2},  \tag{63e}\\
p^{\prime} \sigma-\partial \tau= & -\mu \sigma-\bar{\lambda} \rho-\tau^{2}+\underline{\omega} \sigma+\kappa \bar{\nu}-3 \varphi_{1}^{2}  \tag{63f}\\
p^{\prime} \mu-\not \partial \nu= & -\mu^{2}-\lambda \bar{\lambda}-\underline{\omega} \mu+\bar{\nu} \pi+(2 \vartheta-\tau) \nu-3 \varphi_{2}^{2},  \tag{63~g}\\
p^{\prime} \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \nu= & -(\mu+\bar{\mu}) \lambda-\underline{\omega} \lambda+(2 \bar{\vartheta}+\pi-\bar{\tau}) \nu-\Psi_{4},  \tag{63h}\\
p \tau-b^{\prime} \kappa= & (\tau+\bar{\pi}) \rho+(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \sigma-2 \underline{\omega} \kappa+\tilde{\Psi}_{1}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1},  \tag{63i}\\
p^{\prime} \pi-p \nu= & -(\pi+\bar{\tau}) \mu-(\bar{\pi}+\tau) \lambda+2 \omega \nu-\tilde{\Psi}_{3}-6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1},  \tag{63j}\\
p \underline{\omega}-b^{\prime} \omega= & (\tau+\bar{\pi}) \bar{\vartheta}+(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \vartheta-2 \underline{\omega} \omega+\tau \pi+\bar{\tau} \bar{\pi} \\
& -\nu \kappa-\bar{\nu} \bar{\kappa}+\tilde{\Psi}_{2}+\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2},  \tag{63k}\\
p \vartheta-\partial \omega= & (\bar{\vartheta}+\pi) \sigma+(\vartheta+\bar{\pi}) \bar{\rho}+(\bar{\pi}-\vartheta) \omega \\
& -(\mu+\underline{\omega}) \kappa-\bar{\kappa} \bar{\lambda}+\tilde{\Psi}_{1}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1},  \tag{631}\\
p^{\prime} \vartheta-\partial \underline{\omega}= & -(\bar{\tau}+\bar{\vartheta}) \bar{\lambda}-(\tau+\vartheta) \mu-(\tau-\vartheta) \underline{\omega}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
+(\bar{\rho}+\omega) \bar{\nu}+\sigma \nu-\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{3}-6 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1}  \tag{63~m}\\
\partial \rho-\partial^{\prime} \sigma=\vartheta \rho-\bar{\vartheta} \sigma+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \tau+(\mu-\bar{\mu}) \kappa-\tilde{\Psi}_{1},  \tag{63n}\\
\partial \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \mu=\bar{\vartheta} \mu-\vartheta \lambda+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \nu+(\mu-\bar{\mu}) \pi-\tilde{\Psi}_{3} \tag{63o}
\end{gather*}
$$

while the Bianchi identities are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
p \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\partial^{\prime} \Psi_{0}= & -6 \varphi_{0} \partial \varphi_{0}+(\pi-2 \bar{\vartheta}) \Psi_{0}+(4 \rho-\omega) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-3 \kappa \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \\
& +3 \varphi_{0}^{2}(2 \vartheta-\bar{\pi})+6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \kappa+3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \bar{\kappa}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1}(2 \rho-\bar{\rho})-6 \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma  \tag{64a}\\
p^{\prime} \Psi_{0}-\partial_{\Psi_{1}}= & 6 \varphi_{0} \partial \varphi_{1}+(2 \underline{\omega}-\mu) \Psi_{0}-(4 \tau+\vartheta) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \sigma \tilde{\Psi}_{2} \\
& +6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2} \sigma-3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \bar{\rho}-6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma-12 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \tau  \tag{64b}\\
p \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\partial^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}= & 6 \varphi_{1} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{0}-\lambda \Psi_{0}+(2 \pi-\bar{\vartheta}) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-2 \kappa \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \\
& +3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \bar{\mu}+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \pi+3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \bar{\sigma}-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \bar{\vartheta}-6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \kappa  \tag{64c}\\
p^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-\partial \tilde{\Psi}_{2}= & -6 \varphi_{1} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{1}+\nu \Psi_{0}+(\underline{\omega}-2 \mu) \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-3 \tau \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+2 \sigma \tilde{\Psi}_{3} \\
& +6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \sigma+6 \varphi_{1}\left(\varphi_{0} \bar{\mu}-\varphi_{0} \mu+\bar{\varphi}_{1} \tau\right)+3 \varphi_{1}^{2} \bar{\tau}-3 \varphi_{0}^{2} \bar{\nu}-6 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1} \rho  \tag{64d}\\
p \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\partial^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}= & -6 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial \bar{\varphi}_{1}-2 \lambda \tilde{\Psi}_{1}+3 \pi \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+(2 \rho-\omega) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\kappa \Psi_{4} . \\
& -3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \bar{\pi}+3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \bar{\kappa}+6 \varphi_{0} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \mu+6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}(\rho-\bar{\rho})-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \lambda-6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \pi  \tag{64e}\\
p^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\partial \tilde{\Psi}_{3}= & 6 \bar{\varphi}_{1} \partial \varphi_{2}+2 \nu \tilde{\Psi}_{1}-3 \mu \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+(\vartheta-2 \tau) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}+\sigma \Psi_{4} \\
& +6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}(\vartheta-\tau)+6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{1} \nu-3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \bar{\lambda}-3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \bar{\rho},  \tag{64f}\\
p \Psi_{4}-\partial^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}= & 6 \varphi_{2} \partial^{\prime} \bar{\varphi}_{1}-3 \lambda \tilde{\Psi}_{2}+(4 \pi+\bar{\vartheta}) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}+(\rho-2 \omega) \Psi_{4} \\
& +12 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \pi+6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \lambda+3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \mu+3 \varphi_{2}^{2} \bar{\sigma}-6 \varphi_{0} \varphi_{2} \lambda  \tag{64~g}\\
p^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi}_{3}-\partial \Psi_{4}= & -6 \varphi_{2} \partial^{\prime} \varphi_{2}+3 \nu \tilde{\Psi}_{2}-(4 \mu+\underline{\omega}) \tilde{\Psi}_{3}+(2 \vartheta-\tau) \Psi_{4} \\
& +6 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{1} \lambda+6 \varphi_{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}(\bar{\mu}-2 \mu)+3 \varphi_{2}^{2}(\bar{\tau}-2 \bar{\vartheta})-6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1} \nu-3 \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2} \bar{\nu} \tag{64h}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, commutators take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(b p^{\prime}-p^{\prime} b\right) f=s\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+\bar{\kappa} \bar{\nu}-\kappa \nu+\pi \tau-\bar{\pi} \bar{\tau}\right) f \\
& -\underline{\omega} p f-\omega p^{\prime} f+(\pi+\bar{\tau}) \partial f+(\bar{\pi}+\tau) \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{65a}\\
& (b \partial-\partial p) f=s\left(-\partial \rho+\partial^{\prime} \sigma+\vartheta \rho-\bar{\vartheta} \sigma+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \tau-\bar{\mu} \kappa+\bar{\kappa} \bar{\lambda}-\bar{\pi} \bar{\rho}+\pi \sigma\right) f \\
& +(\bar{\pi}-\vartheta) p f-\kappa p^{\prime} f+\bar{\rho} \partial f+\sigma \partial^{\prime} f  \tag{65b}\\
& \left(p^{\prime} \partial-\partial p^{\prime}\right) f=s\left(-\partial^{\prime} \bar{\lambda}+\partial \bar{\mu}+\vartheta \bar{\mu}-\bar{\vartheta} \bar{\lambda}+(\bar{\mu}-\mu) \bar{\pi}-\rho \bar{\nu}+\nu \sigma-\mu \tau+\bar{\lambda} \bar{\tau}\right) f \\
& +\bar{\nu} p f+(\vartheta-\tau) b^{\prime} f-\mu \partial f-\bar{\lambda} \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{65c}\\
& \left(p \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} p\right) f=s\left(\partial^{\prime} \bar{\rho}-ð \bar{\sigma}-\bar{\vartheta} \bar{\rho}+\vartheta \bar{\sigma}+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \bar{\tau}+\mu \bar{\kappa}-\kappa \lambda+\pi \rho-\bar{\pi} \bar{\sigma}\right) f \\
& +(\bar{\vartheta}-\pi) p f-\bar{\kappa} p^{\prime} f+\bar{\sigma} \partial f+\rho \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{65d}\\
& \left(p^{\prime} \partial^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} p^{\prime}\right) f=s\left(\partial \lambda-\partial^{\prime} \mu-\bar{\vartheta} \mu+\vartheta \lambda+\bar{\rho} \nu+(\bar{\mu}-\mu) \pi-\bar{\nu} \bar{\sigma}+\bar{\mu} \bar{\tau}-\lambda \tau\right) f \\
& +\nu p f+(\bar{\vartheta}-\bar{\tau}) p^{\prime} f-\lambda \partial f-\bar{\mu} \partial^{\prime} f,  \tag{65e}\\
& \left(\partial ð^{\prime}-\partial^{\prime} \partial\right) f=s K f+(\mu-\bar{\mu}) p f+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) p^{\prime} f . \tag{65f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K$ is the Gauss curvature

$$
K=\mu \rho+\bar{\mu} \bar{\rho}-\lambda \sigma-\bar{\lambda} \bar{\sigma}-\tilde{\Psi}_{2}-\overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{2}+6 \varphi_{1} \bar{\varphi}_{1}
$$

## C Scaling argument

Consider a conformal transformation from a spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, \boldsymbol{g})$ to another spacetime $\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}\right)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}=\delta^{2} \boldsymbol{g} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is a constant -i.e. a homotety. This conformal transformation leaves the scalar field invariant. Now, define a coordinate system $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, x^{\prime \mathcal{A}}\right)$ on $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ via the relations

$$
x^{\prime \mu}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \equiv \delta x^{\mu}(p) \Rightarrow u^{\prime} \equiv \delta u, \quad v^{\prime} \equiv \delta v, \quad x^{\prime \mathcal{A}} \equiv \delta x^{\mathcal{A}}
$$

where $p^{\prime}$ is the image of $p$ under the conformal transformation. Under this transformation the domain

$$
\mathscr{D}=\left\{p \in \mathcal{M} \left\lvert\, u_{\infty} \leq u \leq-\frac{a}{4}\right., \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1\right\}
$$

is mapped to

$$
\mathscr{D}^{\prime}=\left\{p^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}^{\prime} \left\lvert\, \delta u_{\infty} \leq u^{\prime} \leq-\frac{\delta a}{4}\right., \quad 0 \leq v^{\prime} \leq \delta\right\}
$$

As the scalar field is not derived from the metric, we can choose it to be invariant -i.e. we set

$$
\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(x)
$$

Moreover, we also have that

$$
Q^{\prime}=Q, \quad C^{\prime \mathcal{A}}=C^{\mathcal{A}}, \quad P^{\prime \mathcal{A}}=P^{\mathcal{A}}
$$

On the other hand, the rescaling (66) implies for the NP frame that

$$
\boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}=\delta^{-1} \boldsymbol{l}, \quad \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}=\delta^{-1} \boldsymbol{n}, \quad \boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}=\delta^{-1} \boldsymbol{m}, \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}=\delta^{-1} \overline{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\prime}
$$

In addition, we also have that $\nabla_{a}^{\prime}=\nabla_{a}$. Then from the definition of the NP connection coefficients we have that $\Gamma^{\prime}=\delta^{-1} \Gamma$. For the curvature we have $R_{b c d}^{a}=R_{b c d}^{a}$, so that $R_{a b c d}^{\prime}=\delta^{2} R_{a b c d}$ which, in turn, leads to $\Psi^{\prime}=\delta^{-2} \Psi$. Taking into account the rescaling properties of the frame it follows that the components of the derivative of the scalar field satisfy

$$
\varphi_{0}^{\prime}=\delta^{-1} \varphi_{0}, \quad \varphi_{1}^{\prime}=\delta^{-1} \varphi_{1}, \quad \varphi_{2}^{\prime}=\delta^{-1} \varphi_{2}
$$

From the estimates in the main text and considering that $\frac{a \delta}{4} \leq\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq \delta\left|u_{\infty}\right|$, one can readily see that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\rho^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}|\rho(x)| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{1}{|u|}=\frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}, \quad\left|\sigma^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}|\sigma(x)| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}=\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}, \\
& \left|\mu^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}|\mu(x)| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{1}{|u|}=\frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}, \quad\left|\tilde{\mu}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\mu^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}\right|=\delta^{-1}|\tilde{\mu}(x)| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{1}{|u|^{2}}=\frac{\delta}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \\
& \left|\lambda^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}|\lambda(x)| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}}=\frac{\delta a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}, \quad\left|\underline{\omega}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}|\underline{\omega}(x)| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{a}{|u|^{3}}=\frac{\delta^{2} a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \leq \frac{\delta a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \\
& \left|\tau^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}|\tau(x)| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}}=\frac{\delta a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}, \quad\left|\pi^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}|\pi(x)| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}}=\frac{\delta a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Psi^{\prime}:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Psi_{0}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-2}\left|\Psi_{0}(x)\right| \leq \delta^{-2} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}=\frac{\delta^{-1} a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}, \quad\left|\Psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-2}\left|\Psi_{1}(x)\right| \leq \delta^{-2} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}}=\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}, \\
& \left|\Psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-2}\left|\Psi_{2}(x)\right| \leq \delta^{-2} \frac{a}{|u|^{3}}=\frac{\delta a}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}, \quad\left|\Psi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-2}\left|\Psi_{3}(x)\right| \leq \delta^{-2} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|u|^{4}}=\frac{\delta^{2} a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{4}} \leq \frac{\delta a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{3}}, \\
& \left|\Psi_{4}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-2}\left|\Psi_{4}(x)\right| \leq \delta^{-2} \frac{a^{2}}{|u|^{5}}=\frac{\delta^{3} a^{2}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{5}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\varphi^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\varphi^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=|\varphi(x)| \leq \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}=\frac{\delta a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}, \quad\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}\left|\varphi_{0}(x)\right| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|}=\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|}, \\
& \left|\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}\left|\varphi_{1}(x)\right| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}}=\frac{\delta a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}, \quad\left|\varphi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\delta^{-1}\left|\varphi_{2}(x)\right| \leq \delta^{-1} \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{2}}=\frac{\delta a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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