Geometric Convergence of Distributed Heavy-Ball Nash Equilibrium Algorithm over Time-Varying Digraphs with Unconstrained Actions

Duong Thuy Anh Nguyen, *Student Member, IEEE*, Duong Tung Nguyen, *Member, IEEE*, and Angelia Nedić, *Member, IEEE*

Abstract—This paper presents a new distributed algorithm that leverages heavy-ball momentum and a consensus-based gradient method to find a Nash equilibrium (NE) in a class of non-cooperative convex games with unconstrained action sets. In this approach, each agent in the game has access to its own smooth local cost function and can exchange information with its neighbors over a communication network. The main novelty of our work is the incorporation of heavy-ball momentum in the context of non-cooperative games that operate on fully-decentralized, directed, and time-varying communication graphs, while also accommodating non-identical step-sizes and momentum parameters. Overcoming technical challenges arising from the dynamic and asymmetric nature of mixing matrices and the presence of an additional momentum term, we provide a rigorous proof of the geometric convergence to the NE. Moreover, we establish explicit bounds for the step-size values and momentum parameters based on the characteristics of the cost functions, mixing matrices, and graph connectivity structures. We perform numerical simulations on a Nash-Cournot game to demonstrate accelerated convergence of the proposed algorithm compared to that of the existing methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nash equilibrium (NE) computation is essential for examining decision-making and strategic behavior in multi-agent systems, especially in non-cooperative games. These games have applications in numerous engineering domains, including electricity markets, power systems, flow control, and crowd-sourcing [1], [2]. In non-cooperative games, each agent has their own goals and seeks to maximize their payoff, without coordinating with other agents. Classical complete information game theory typically employs best-response or gradient-based methods to find an NE, but these approaches necessitate each agent having complete information regarding the actions of its competitors [3], [4], which can be unrealistic in many practical engineering systems.

Extensive research has been conducted to develop efficient distributed methods for seeking NE in the settings with partial information available to the agents. These methods are primarily built upon projected gradient and consensus dynamics approaches, and they have been studied in both continuous-time [5] and discrete-time [6], [7] domains. Early works only consider time-invariant undirected networks, such

The authors are with the School of Electrical, Computer and Energy Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, United States. Email: {dtnguy52, duongnt, Angelia.Nedich}@asu.edu. This material is based in part upon work supported by the NSF award CCF-2106336. *Corresponding Author*: Duong Thuy Anh Nguyen.

as for example [8], which develops an algorithm within the framework of an inexact-ADMM. The accelerated gradient play algorithm (Acc-GRANE) presented in [9] is based on the strong monotonicity of an augmented mapping and is applicable to a subclass of games. Reference [10] extends the applicability of the Acc-GRANE algorithm to a broader class of games by assuming the restricted strong monotonicity of the augmented mapping. Based on the contraction properties of doubly stochastic matrices, reference [7] develops a distributed gradient method whose convergence properties do not depend on an augmented mapping.

There has been a growing interest in studying NE computation in communication networks with switching topologies. Early work [6] focuses on aggregative games over undirected graphs, while [11] extends the study to games with coupling constraints. Reference [12] proposes an asynchronous gossip algorithm for a directed graph, assuming each agent can update all estimates of the agents who interfere with its cost function. In [13], a projected pseudo-gradient-based algorithm is proposed for time-varying directed graphs that are weight-balanced, while [14] relaxes the weight-balancedness assumption for a static directed graph, assuming complete knowledge of the underlying communication graph. In [15], these assumptions are further relaxed, and a condition is established to address the loss of monotonicity with weighted norms, which is a commonly encountered issue. In [16], a robust algorithm is proposed that utilizes an observation graph allowing for direct observation of actions and, thus, making it immune to tampering by adversarial agents.

Most of the above mentioned works deal with gradient-based methods. The heavy-ball method, introduced in [17], has been widely used as an acceleration technique for gradient-based methods to achieve faster convergence [18]. In the context of aggregative games, the heavy-ball method has been employed in algorithms for both undirected [19] and directed [20] graphs, achieving convergence for diminishing step-sizes. It has also been employed in semi-decentralized communication architectures, where a central coordinator collects and transmits aggregative signals to the agents in the system [21]. Reference [22] considers games over undirected graphs with affine coupling constraints and introduces acceleration schemes for the proximal-point algorithm, such as (alternated) inertia and overrelaxation.

Contributions. Motivated by the potential benefits of the heavy-ball method in accelerating convergence, this pa-

per presents a novel distributed, discrete-time algorithm for NE seeking by integrating the heavy-ball momentum and consensus-based gradient method. The proposed algorithm is designed to work on a general sequence of time-varying directed graphs, without requiring any coordination among agents for the weights. It also allows for non-identical step-sizes and momentum parameters. The incorporation of agent-based heavy-ball momentum terms introduces additional technical complexity in the convergence analysis of the algorithm. The main challenge is in ensuring the contraction properties of a recurrence relation due to the presence of the additional momentum terms, especially when dealing with time-varying asymmetric mixing matrices. Prior heavy-ball algorithms, such as those presented in [19] and [20] for aggregative games, utilize diminishing step-sizes to demonstrate that the state difference resulting from the additional momentum term converges to zero. Unfortunately, this approach is not applicable to this work since our algorithm employs a constant step-size rule. To overcome this technical challenge, we analyze three distinct quantities: the consensus error, the NE gap, and consecutive states difference. By establishing a composite relation for the vector comprising these quantities, we rigorously prove the linear convergence of the algorithm to the NE under strong monotonicity and Lipschitz continuity assumptions. We also provide explicit bounds for the constant step-size values and momentum terms based on the properties of the cost functions, mixing matrices, and graph connectivity structures.

This paper is structured as follows. Section II presents the problem formulation. In Section III, the distributed algorithm is introduced. Section IV provides convergence analysis and Section V numerically evaluates the performance of the proposed algorithm. Section VI concludes with key points.

Notations. All vectors are column vectors unless otherwise stated. We write u^T for the transpose of a vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We use $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ to denote the vector with all entries equal to 0 and 1, respectively. The i-th entry of a vector u is denoted by u_i, while it is denoted by $[u_k]_i$ for a time-varying vector u_k. We denote $\min(u) = \min_i u_i$ and $\max(u) = \max_i u_i$. Given a vector u, $\mathrm{Diag}(u)$ denotes the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries correspond to the entries of u. A nonnegative vector is called stochastic if its entries sum up to 1.

We use A_{ij} to denote the ij-th entry of a matrix A, and $[A_k]_{ij}$ when the matrix is time-dependent. The notation $A \leq B$ is used when $A_{ij} \leq B_{ij}$ for all i, j, where A and B are matrices of the same dimension. A matrix A is nonnegative if all its entries are nonnegative and $\min^+(A)$ denotes the smallest positive entry of A. A nonnegative matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is row-stochastic if $A\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$. A *consensual* matrix has equal row vectors. The identity matrix is denoted by $\mathbb{I}$. Given a vector $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with positive entries, we denote

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_\pi = \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_i \langle u_i, v_i \rangle \text{ and } \|\mathbf{u}\|_\pi = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \pi_i \|u_i\|^2},$$

where $\mathbf{u} := [u_1, \ldots, u_m]^\mathsf{T}, \mathbf{v} := [v_1, \ldots, v_m]^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$. When $\pi = \mathbf{1}$, we write $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ and $\|\mathbf{u}\|$. We have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\max(\pi)}}\|\mathbf{u}\|_\pi \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\min(\pi)}}\|\mathbf{u}\|_\pi, \quad (1)$$

and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_\pi \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_\pi \|\mathbf{v}\|_\pi$ (Cauchy–Schwarz inequality).

We let $[m] = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ for an integer $m \geq 1$. Given a directed graph $\mathbb{G} = ([m], \mathsf{E})$, specified by the set of edges $\mathsf{E} \subseteq [m] \times [m]$ of ordered pairs of nodes, the in-neighbor and out-neighbor set for every agent i are defined, as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_i^{\mathrm{in}} = \{j \in [m] | (j, i) \in \mathsf{E}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_i^{\mathrm{out}} = \{\ell \in [m] | (i, \ell) \in \mathsf{E}\}.$$

A directed graph $\mathbb{G}$ is *strongly connected* if there is a directed path from any node to all other nodes in $\mathbb{G}$. We use $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{G})$ and $\mathsf{K}(\mathbb{G})$ to denote the diameter and the maximal edge-utility of a strongly connected directed graph $\mathbb{G}$, respectively, as defined in Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 in [23].

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

We study a non-cooperative game with m agents, where each agent has an unconstrained action set $X_i = \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, for $i \in [m]$. Each agent i has a cost function $J_i(x_i, x_{-i})$ that depends on its own action $x_i \in X_i$ and the joint action of all other agents except itself, $x_{-i} \in X_{-i} = \mathbb{R}^{n-n_i}$. The joint action vector of all agents has size $n = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$ and belongs to the joint action set $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m = \mathbb{R}^n$. We assume that the cost function $J_i(x_i, x_{-i})$ is continuously differentiable in x_i for any fixed $x_{-i} \in X_{-i}$, for all $i \in [m]$.

Denote the game by $\Gamma = ([m], \{J_i\}, \{X_i\})$. A vector $x^* = [x_1^*, \ldots, x_m^*]^\mathsf{T} \in X$ is a NE to the game Γ if, for every agent $i \in [m]$, the condition below is satisfied:

$$J_i(x_i^*, x_{-i}^*) \leq J_i(x_i, x_{-i}^*), \quad \text{for all } x_i \in X_i. \quad (2)$$

We define the game mapping $F(x) : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$F(x) \triangleq [\nabla_1 J_1(x_1, x_{-1}), \ldots, \nabla_m J_m(x_m, x_{-m})]^\mathsf{T}, \quad (3)$$

where $\nabla_i J_i(x_i, x_{-i}) = \nabla_{x_i} J_i(x_i, x_{-i})$ for all $i \in [m]$.

We make the following assumptions.

Assumption 1: The game mapping $F(x)$ is strongly monotone on X with the constant $\mu > 0$.

Assumption 2: Consider the game $\Gamma = ([m], \{J_i\}, \{X_i\})$, assume for all $i \in [m]$:
(a) The mapping $\nabla_i J_i(x_i, \cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous on X_{-i} for every fixed $x_i \in X_i$ with a uniform constant $L_{-i} > 0$.
(b) The mapping $\nabla_i J_i(\cdot, x_{-i})$ is Lipschitz continuous on X_i for every fixed $x_{-i} \in X_{-i}$ with a uniform constant $L_i > 0$.

Remark 1: Assumption 1 implies strong convexity of each cost function $J_i(x_i, x_{-i})$ on X_i for every $x_{-i} \in X_{-i}$ with the constant μ, as noted in Remark 1 of [9]. The existence and uniqueness of a NE for the game $\Gamma = ([m], J_i, X_i)$ is also guaranteed by Assumption 1. This result is established in Theorem 2.3.3 of [24]. Moreover, as $X_i = \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ for all $i \in [m]$, $x^* \in X$ is the NE if and only if $F(x^*) = \mathbf{0}$.

III. DISTRIBUTED NASH EQUILIBRIUM SEEKING

Consider a game $\Gamma = ([m], J_i, X_i)$ where agents interact through a sequence of time-varying communication graphs $\mathbb{G}_k = ([m], \mathsf{E}_k)$ at time k. Each link (j, i) in E_k indicates that agent i receives information from agent j. The graph is assumed to have self-loops for every node in each $\mathbb{G}_k$, ensuring that $\mathcal{N}_{ik}^{\mathrm{in}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{ik}^{\mathrm{out}}$ always contain agent i. The details are outlined in the following assumption.

Algorithm 1: DNE-HB

Every agent $i \in [m]$ selects a local stepsize $\alpha_i > 0$, a local momentum parameter $\beta_i > 0$ and initializes with arbitrary initial vectors $z_{i,-i}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-n_i}, x_i^0, x_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$.

for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, every agent $i \in [m]$ does the following:

Receives z_j^k from in-neighbors $j \in \mathcal{N}_{ik}^{\mathrm{in}}$;

Sends z_i^k to out-neighbors $\ell \in \mathcal{N}_{ik}^{\mathrm{out}}$;

Chooses the weights $[W_k]_{ij}, j \in [m]$;

Updates the action x_i^{k+1} and estimates $z_{i,-i}^{k+1}$ by

$$x_i^{k+1} = \sum_{l=1}^m [W_k]_{il} z_{li}^k - \alpha_i \nabla J_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^m [W_k]_{ij} z_j^k\right)$$
$$+ \beta_i(x_i^k - x_i^{k-1}); \quad z_{i,i}^{k+1} = x_i^{k+1};$$
$$z_{i,-i}^{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^m [W_k]_{ij} z_{j,-i}^k + \beta_i(z_{i,-i}^k - z_{i,-i}^{k-1});$$

end for

Assumption 3: Each graph $\mathbb{G}_k = ([m], \mathsf{E}_k)$ is strongly connected and has a self-loop at every node $i \in [m]$.

Remark 2: Assumption 3 can be relaxed by considering B-strongly-connected graph sequence, i.e., when an integer $B \geq 1$ exists such that the graph with edge set $\mathsf{E}_k^B = \bigcup_{i=kB}^{(k+1)B-1} \mathsf{E}_i$ is strongly connected for every $k \geq 0$.

To deal with the partial information available to agents, each agent i maintains a local variable $z_i^k = (z_{i1}^k, \ldots, z_{im}^k)^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where z_{ij}^k is agent i's estimate of the decision x_j^k for agent $j \neq i$, while $z_{ii}^k = x_i^k$. The estimate of agent j without the i-th block-component is defined as

$$z_{j,-i}^k = (z_{j1}^k, \ldots, z_{j,i-1}^k, z_{j,i+1}^k, \ldots, z_{jm}^k)^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-n_i}.$$

Given the constraints on agents' access to others' actions in game Γ, we propose a fully-distributed algorithm that respects the information access as dictated by the communication graphs $\mathbb{G}_k$. The approach, outlined in Algorithm 1, incorporates a gradient method with heavy-ball momentum. At each time k, each agent i sends its estimate z_i^k to its out-neighbors $\ell \in \mathcal{N}_{ik}^{\mathrm{out}}$ and receives estimates z_j^k from its in-neighbors $j \in \mathcal{N}_{ik}^{\mathrm{in}}$. Agent i then updates its own action x_i^{k+1} and local estimate z_i^{k+1} using the received information.

We make the following assumption on the matrices W_k.

Assumption 4: For each $k \geq 0$, the weight matrix W_k is row-stochastic and compatible with the graph $\mathbb{G}_k$ i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} [W_k]_{ij} > 0, & \text{when} \quad j \in \mathcal{N}_{ik}^{\mathrm{in}}, \\ [W_k]_{ij} = 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

There exist a scalar $w > 0$ such that $\min^+(W_k) \geq w, \forall k \geq 0$.

IV. GEOMETRIC CONVERGENCE OF DNE-HB

A. Preliminaries

We outline basic results on norm of linear combinations of vectors, graphs, stochastic matrices, and gradient method.

Lemma 1 ([15], Corollary 5.2): Consider a vector collection $\{u_i, i \in [n]\} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, and a scalar collection $\{\gamma_i, i \in [n]\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ of scalars such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i = 1$. For all $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$, we have the following relation:

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i u_i - u\right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \|u_i - u\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \left\|u_i - \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \gamma_\ell u_\ell\right)\right\|^2.$$

Lemma 2 ([15], Lemma 5.4): Under Assumption 3, when W_k satisfies Assumption 4, we have for all $k \geq 0$:
  (a) There exists a sequence $\{\pi_k\}$ of stochastic vectors such that $\pi_{k+1}^\mathsf{T} W_k = \pi_k^\mathsf{T}$.
  (b) The entries of each π_k have a uniform lower bound, i.e., $[\pi_k]_i \geq \frac{w^m}{m}$ for all $i \in [m]$ and all $k \geq 0$.

Using the stochastic vectors π_k described in Lemma 2, we can define an appropriate Lyapunov function for the method.

Lemma 3 ([15], Lemma 6.1): Let $\mathbb{G} = ([m], \mathsf{E})$ be a strongly connected directed graph, and let W be an $m \times m$ row-stochastic matrix that is compatible with the graph and has positive diagonal entries. Also, let π be a stochastic vector and let ϕ be a nonnegative vector such that $\phi^\mathsf{T} W = \pi^\mathsf{T}$. Consider a collection of vectors $z_1, \ldots, z_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the vectors $r_i = \sum_{j=1}^m W_{ij} z_j$, for all $i \in [m]$, and let $\hat{z}_\pi = \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_i z_i$, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i \|r_i - u\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \|z_j - u\|^2$$
$$- \frac{\min(\phi)\left(\min^+(W)\right)^2}{\max^2(\pi) \mathsf{D}(\mathbb{G}) \mathsf{K}(\mathbb{G})} \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j \|z_j - \hat{z}_\pi\|^2.$$

Let $\mathbf{z}_{i:}$ be the vector in the ith row of matrix $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. We define a mapping $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\cdot)$ where the ith row is given by

$$[\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{z})]_{i:} = (\mathbf{0}_{n_1}^\mathsf{T}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}_{n_{i-1}}^\mathsf{T}, \alpha_i(\nabla_i J_i(\mathbf{z}_{i:}))^\mathsf{T}, \mathbf{0}_{n_{i+1}}^\mathsf{T}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}_{n_m}^\mathsf{T}). \tag{5}$$

Lemma 4 ([15], Lemma 5.6): Let Assumptions 2 hold and $\mathbf{L}_\alpha = \sqrt{\max_{i \in [m]}\{\alpha_i^2(L_{-i}^2 + L_i^2)\}}$. For any stochastic vector $\pi > \mathbf{0}$,

$$\|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{z}) - \mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{y})\|_\pi^2 \leq \mathbf{L}_\alpha^2 \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}\|_\pi^2 \text{ for all } \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}.$$

Lemma 5 ([17]): For a μ-strongly convex function f with L-Lipschitz continuous gradients, at the point $x^* = \arg\min_x f(x)$, for all α with $0 < \alpha < 2L^{-1}$, we have

$$\|x - x^* - \alpha \nabla f(x)\| \leq q(\alpha)\|x - x^*\| \quad \text{for all } x,$$

where $q(\alpha) = \max\{|1 - \alpha\mu|, |1 - \alpha L|\} < 1$.

B. Convergence Results

Consider the sequence of time-varying directed graphs $\mathbb{G}_k = ([m], \mathsf{E}_k)$. Under assumptions 1-4, we provide a proof demonstrating that the iterate sequence x^k generated by **DNE-HB** exhibit geometric convergence towards the NE.

Let $z_i^k = (z_{i1}^k, \ldots, z_{im}^k)^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for all $k \geq 0$, and let $\{\pi_k\}$ denote the sequence of stochastic vectors satisfying $\pi_{k+1}^\mathsf{T} W_k = \pi_k^\mathsf{T}$, with $\pi_k > \mathbf{0}$. We define matrices

$$\mathbf{z}^k = [z_1^k, \ldots, z_m^k]^\mathsf{T}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k = \mathbf{1}_m(\hat{z}^k)^\mathsf{T}, \quad \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{1}_m(x^*)^\mathsf{T}, \tag{6}$$

where $\hat{z}^k = \sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_k]_i z_i^k$ and x^* is an NE point of the game. Then, the local update in compact form is as follows

$$\mathbf{z}^{k+1} = W_k \mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k \mathbf{z}^k) + \boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}), \tag{7}$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m)^\mathsf{T}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathrm{Diag}(\beta)$.

Let $\mathbf{\Pi}_k = \mathbf{1}_m \pi_k^\mathsf{T}$, for all $k \geq 0$. The weighted average $\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k$ evolves according to the following relation

$$\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{k+1} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1} \mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k \mathbf{z}^k) + \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}). \tag{8}$$

We denote the following bounds:

$$\bar{\alpha} = \max_{i\in[m]} \alpha_i, \ \underline{\alpha} = \min_{i\in[m]} \alpha_i, \ \bar{\beta} = \max_{i\in[m]} \beta_i. \quad (9)$$

Let Assumption 1-4 hold. Consider Algorithm **DNE-HB** and the notations in (6), (9). We have the following results:

Proposition 1: Let $c_k = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\min(\pi_{k+1})w^2}{\max^2(\pi_k)\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{G}_k)\mathsf{K}(\mathbb{G}_k)}}$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{z}^{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{k+1}\|_{\pi_{k+1}} \leq (1 + \mathbf{L}_\alpha)c_k \|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}$$
$$+ \mathbf{L}_\alpha \|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k} + \bar{\beta}\|\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}\| \quad \text{for all} \ \ k \geq 0.$$

Proof: Using the update formulations in (7) and (8),

$$\|\mathbf{z}^{k+1} - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{k+1}\|_{\pi_{k+1}}$$
$$= \|W_k\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k + (\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1})\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}) - \mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\right)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}$$
$$\leq \|W_k\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_{k+1}} + \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1})\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}$$
$$+ \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1})\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1})\|_{\pi_{k+1}}. \quad (10)$$

To evaluate the first term on the right-hand side (RHS) of (10), we utilize Lemma 3 with $W = W_k$, $z_i = z_i^k$, $u = \hat{z}^k$, and the stochastic vectors $\phi = \pi_{k+1}$ and $\pi = \pi_k$, to obtain

$$\|W_k\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_{k+1}} \leq c_k\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k} \quad \text{for all} \ \ k \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

Consider the second term on the RHS of (10). Let $\mathbf{g}_i^k = \nabla_i J_i([W_k\mathbf{z}^k]_{i,:})$. Since π_{k+1} is a stochastic vector, we obtain

$$\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1})\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i \left((1 - [\pi_{k+1}]_i)^2 + [\pi_{k+1}]_i \sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_j\right)\|\mathbf{g}_i^k\|^2$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i \left(1 - [\pi_{k+1}]_i\right)\|\mathbf{g}_i^k\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i\|\mathbf{g}_i^k\|^2.$$

Notice that $\|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i\|\mathbf{g}_i^k\|^2$. Hence,

$$\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1})\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|_{\pi_{k+1}} \leq \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}$$
$$= \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k) - \mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_{\pi_{k+1}} \leq \mathbf{L}_\alpha\|W_k\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_{k+1}}, \quad (12)$$

where we use $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and Lemma 4.

Furthermore, we apply Lemma 3 with $W = W_k$, $z_i = z_i^k$, $u = x^*$, and stochastic vectors $\phi = \pi_{k+1}$, $\pi = \pi_k$, to obtain

$$\|W_k\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k}^2 - (1 - c_k^2)\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}^2. \quad (13)$$

By Lemma 1, with $\gamma_i = [\pi_k]_i$, $u_i = z_i^k$ and $u = x^*$, and observing that $\|\hat{z}^k - x^*\|^2 = \|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k}^2$, yields

$$\|\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k}^2 = \|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}^2 + \|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k}^2. \quad (14)$$

Combining the relations in (13) and (14), it follows that

$$\|W_k\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_{k+1}} \leq \|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k} + c_k\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}. \quad (15)$$

Combining the previous relation with (12), we have

$$\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1})\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}$$
$$\leq \mathbf{L}_\alpha\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k} + \mathbf{L}_\alpha c_k\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}. \quad (16)$$

For the last term on the RHS of (10), let $\mathbf{u}^k = \mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}$, using Lemma 1 with $\gamma_i = [\pi_{k+1}]_i$, vector u_i as the ith row of the matrix $\mathbf{u}$ (i.e., $u_i = \mathbf{u}_{i:}^k$), and $u = 0$, it follows that

$$\|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1})\boldsymbol{\beta}\mathbf{u}^k\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i \beta_i^2 \left\|\mathbf{u}_{i:}^k - \sum_{j=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_j \mathbf{u}_{j:}^k\right\|^2$$

$$\leq \bar{\beta}^2 \sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i\|\mathbf{u}_{i:}^k\|^2 = \bar{\beta}^2 |\mathbf{u}^k\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2 \leq \bar{\beta}^2 \|\mathbf{u}^k\|^2. \quad (17)$$

The desired relation follows from (10), (11), (16), (17). ∎

Proposition 2: With $\alpha_i \in (0, 2L_1^{-1})$ for all $i \in [m]$, we have

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_{k+1}} \leq \left(\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{2}L_2 + q_k(\alpha)\right)\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k}$$
$$+ \sqrt{2}\mathbf{L}_\alpha c_k\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k} + \bar{\beta}\|\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}\| \quad \text{for all} \ \ k \geq 0,$$

where $q_k(\alpha) = \max_{i\in[m]}\{|1 - [\pi_{k+1}]_i\alpha_i\mu_i|, |1 - [\pi_{k+1}]_i\alpha_iL_i|\} < 1$, $L_1 = \max_{i\in[m]} L_i$ and $L_2 = \max_{i\in[m]} L_{-i}$.

Proof: Using the compact form for $\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{k+1}$ in (8),

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_{k+1}}$$
$$\leq \|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|_{\pi_{k+1}} + \|\mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1})\|_{\pi_{k+1}}$$
$$\leq \|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_0(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k, \mathbf{x}^*)\|_{\pi_{k+1}} + \|\mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1})\|_{\pi_{k+1}}$$
$$+ \|\mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_0(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k, \mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}, \quad (18)$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{F}_0(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k, \mathbf{x}^*)$ has ith row, $[\mathbf{F}_0(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k, \mathbf{x}^*)]_{i:}$, equals

$$(\mathbf{0}_{n_1}^\mathsf{T}, \dots, \mathbf{0}_{n_{i-1}}^\mathsf{T}, \alpha_i(\nabla_i J_i(\hat{z}_i^k, x_{-i}^*))^\mathsf{T}, \mathbf{0}_{n_{i+1}}^\mathsf{T}, \dots, \mathbf{0}_{n_m}^\mathsf{T}). \quad (19)$$

For the first term on the RHS of (18), since the matrices are consensual and π_{k+1} is a stochastic vector, we have

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_0(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k, \mathbf{x}^*)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \|\hat{z}_i^k - x_i^* - [\pi_{k+1}]_i\alpha_i\nabla_i J_i(\hat{z}_i^k, x_{-i}^*)\|^2.$$

Applying Lemma 5, for all α_i such that $0 < [\pi_{k+1}]_i\alpha_i < 2L_i^{-1}$:

$$\|\hat{z}_i^k - x_i^* - [\pi_{k+1}]_i\alpha\nabla_i J_i(\hat{z}_i^k, x_{-i}^*)\| \leq q_{i,k}(\alpha)\|\hat{z}_i^k - x_i^*\|,$$

$$q_{i,k}(\alpha) = \max\{|1 - [\pi_{k+1}]_i\alpha_i\mu_i|, |1 - [\pi_{k+1}]_i\alpha_iL_i|\}. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_0(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k, \mathbf{x}^*)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2 \leq q_k^2(\alpha)\sum_{i=1}^m \|\hat{z}_i^k - x_i^*\|^2$$
$$= q_k^2(\alpha)\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - x^*\|^2 = q_k^2(\alpha)\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k}^2. \quad (20)$$

Regarding the second term on the RHS of (18), the matrix $\mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1})$ is consensual. For stochastic vectors π_{k+1}, using the notation $\mathbf{u}^k = \mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\boldsymbol{\beta}\mathbf{u}^k\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2 = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i\beta_i\mathbf{u}_{i:}^k\right\|^2 \leq \bar{\beta}\sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i\|\mathbf{u}_{i:}^k\|^2$$
$$\leq \bar{\beta}\|\mathbf{u}^k\|^2 = \bar{\beta}\|\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}\|^2, \quad (21)$$

where we use Lemma 1 with $\gamma_i = [\pi_{k+1}]_i$, $u_i = \mathbf{u}_{i:}^k$, and $u = 0$. For the last term in (18), from Assumption 2 we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_0(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k, \mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2$$
$$= \bar{\alpha}^2 \sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i^2\|\nabla_i J_i(\hat{z}_i^k, x_{-i}^*) - \nabla_i J_i([W_k\mathbf{z}^k]_i)\|^2$$
$$\leq \bar{\alpha}^2 \sum_{i=1}^m [\pi_{k+1}]_i^2(2\|\nabla_i J_i(\hat{z}_i^k, x_{-i}^*) - \nabla_i J_i([W_k\mathbf{z}^k]_{ii}, x_{-i}^*)\|^2$$
$$+ 2\|\nabla_i J_i([W_k\mathbf{z}^k]_{ii}, x_{-i}^*) - \nabla_i J_i([W_k\mathbf{z}^k]_i)\|^2)$$
$$\leq \bar{\alpha}^2(2L_1^2\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - W_k\mathbf{z}^k\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2 + 2L_2^2\|\mathbf{x}^* - W_k\mathbf{z}^k\|_{\pi_{k+1}}^2).$$

Combining the relations in (11) and (15) with the preceding relation and using $\sqrt{a+b} \le \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}, \forall a,b \ge 0$, yields

$$\|\mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_0(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k, \mathbf{x}^*) - \mathbf{\Pi}_{k+1}\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\|$$
$$\le \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{2}L_2\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k} + \sqrt{2}\mathbf{L}_\alpha c_k\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}, \quad (22)$$

where we use the relation $\bar{\alpha}L_1\sqrt{2} + \bar{\alpha}L_2\sqrt{2} \le \sqrt{2}\mathbf{L}_\alpha$, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, to obtain the last term.

The desired relation follows from (18) and (20)-(22). ∎

Proposition 3: Let $\varphi_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\min \pi_k}}$. We then have

$$\|\mathbf{z}^{k+1} - \mathbf{z}^k\| \le \varphi_{k+1}(1 + \mathbf{L}_\alpha)c_k\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}$$
$$+ \varphi_{k+1}\mathbf{L}_\alpha\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k} + \bar{\beta}\|\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}\| \quad \text{for all} \quad k \ge 0.$$

Proof: Using the update formulation in (7), we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{z}^{k+1} - \mathbf{z}^k\| \le \|W_k\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k) + \boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}) - \mathbf{z}^k\|$$
$$\le \|W_k\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^k\| + \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\| + \|\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1})\|. \quad (23)$$

Using the relations in (1) and (11), we have:

$$\|W_k\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\| \le \varphi_{k+1}c_k\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}. \quad (24)$$

From relations (1) and since $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$, we see that

$$\|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k)\| \le \varphi_{k+1}\|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(W_k\mathbf{z}^k) - \mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}^*)\|_{\pi_{k+1}}$$
$$\le \mathbf{L}_\alpha\varphi_{k+1}\left(\|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k} + c_k\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}\right), \quad (25)$$

where we use Lemma 4 and relation (15). For the last term on the RHS of (23), we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1})\| \le \bar{\beta}\|\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}\|. \quad (26)$$

The desired relation follows from (23)-(26). ∎

Define $V_k = \left(\|\mathbf{z}^k - \hat{\mathbf{z}}^k\|_{\pi_k}, \|\hat{\mathbf{z}}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\|_{\pi_k}, \|\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^{k-1}\|\right)^\mathsf{T}$, we have the following composite relation:

Proposition 4: With $\alpha_i \in (0, 2L_1^{-1})$ for all $i \in [m]$, we have

$$V_{k+1} \le M_k(\alpha, \beta)V_k \quad \text{for all } k \ge 0,$$

with $M_k(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{bmatrix} (1 + \mathbf{L}_\alpha)c_k & \mathbf{L}_\alpha & \bar{\beta} \\ \sqrt{2}\mathbf{L}_\alpha c_k & \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{2}L_2 + q_k(\alpha) & \bar{\beta} \\ \varphi_{k+1}(1 + \mathbf{L}_\alpha)c_k & \varphi_{k+1}\mathbf{L}_\alpha & \bar{\beta} \end{bmatrix}$,

where $\mathbf{L}_\alpha$ defined as in Lemma 4, $q_k(\alpha)$ defined as in Proposition 2, and φ_{k+1} defined as in Proposition 3.

Proof: The relation follows from Propositions 1-3. ∎

In view of Proposition 4, to prove that $V_k \to 0$ at a geometric rate, it suffices to show that $M_k(\alpha, \beta) \le M(\alpha, \beta)$, for some matrix $M(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, we select appropriate step-size and the momentum parameter such that the spectral radius ρ_M of $M(\alpha, \beta)$ is less than 1, as follows. We have $\mathbf{L}_\alpha \le \bar{\alpha}L$, where $L = \sqrt{L_1^2 + L_2^2}$ with L_1 and L_2 as in Proposition 2. Additionally, for $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 2(L_1 + \mu)^{-1})$, we obtain $q_k(\alpha) = 1 - \underline{\alpha}\min(\pi_k)\mu < 1$. We let $\sigma > 0$ be such that $\sigma \le \min_{k \ge 0}\min(\pi_k)$ (see Lemma 2). Thus, we have

$$\max_{k \ge 0} q_k(\alpha) \le 1 - \underline{\alpha}\sigma\mu, \quad \max_{k \ge 0} c_k \le c, \quad \max_{k \ge 0} \varphi_k \le \varphi. \quad (27)$$

Using the bounds in (9) and (27), for $\alpha \in (0, 2(L_1 + \mu)^{-1})$, we have $M_k(\alpha, \beta) \le M(\alpha, \beta)$ for all $k \ge 0$, with

$$M(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{bmatrix} (1 + \bar{\alpha}L)c & \bar{\alpha}L & \bar{\beta} \\ \sqrt{2}\bar{\alpha}Lc & 1 - (\underline{\alpha}\sigma\mu - \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{2}L_2) & \bar{\beta} \\ \varphi(1 + \bar{\alpha}L)c & \varphi\bar{\alpha}L & \bar{\beta} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

We now provide the main convergence result.

Theorem 1: Let Assumptions 1-4 hold, and assume that $\sigma\mu > \sqrt{2}L_2$. For all $i \in [m]$, let the step-size $\alpha_i > 0$ and the acceleration parameter $\beta_i \ge 0$ be such that

$$\bar{\alpha} \le \min\left\{\frac{2}{L_1+\mu}, \frac{1-c}{Lc}, \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}\right\}, \underline{\alpha} > \frac{\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{2}L_2}{\sigma\mu}, \bar{\beta} < \frac{\eta(1-c)}{(2-c)(\eta+L)}, \quad (29)$$

where $\eta\bar{\alpha} = \underline{\alpha}\sigma\mu - \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{2}L_2$, $\eta_1 = \eta(1-c) - \bar{\beta}(2-c)(\eta+L) > 0$, and $\eta_2 = \sqrt{2}Lc(1 + \bar{\beta}(\varphi-c)) + \bar{\beta}c\eta(\varphi-1) + c\eta > 0$. Then, $\rho_M < 1$, thus, $\lim_{k \to \infty}\|\mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{x}^*\| = 0$ and $\lim_{k \to \infty}\|x^k - x^*\| = 0$ with a linear convergence rate of the order of $\mathcal{O}\left(\rho_M^k\right)$.

Proof: By Lemma 8 in [25], we obtain $\rho_M < 1$ if $\det(\mathbb{I} - M(\alpha, \beta)) > 0$ and the diagonal entries of $M(\alpha, \beta)$ are less than 1. Solving the resulting inequalities yields (29). ∎

Remark 3: The assumption $\sigma\mu > \sqrt{2}L_2$ is equivalent to the condition that $\beta_\alpha > 0$ in [15], establishing when $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\cdot)$ is strongly monotone. This assumption is akin to those in Proposition 7 of [26], Lemma 2 of [9], and Assumption 5 in [10]. Essentially, it entails that the strong monotonicity of each agent's local objective is sufficiently strong compared to its dependence on the actions of other agents or the coupling of the agents' optimization problems, to guarantee the strong monotonicity of the game mapping. In Section VI of [26], this assumption has a compelling physical interpretation in the context of power control in cognitive radio networks.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We evaluate the performance of the proposed approach for a Nash-Cournot game, as described in [15]. Consider $m = 20$ firms competing in $N = 7$ markets, denoted as $M_1, \ldots, M_N$. Each firm $i \in [m]$ competes in $n_i \le N$ markets by determining the quantity of the homogeneous commodity $x_i \in \Omega_i = \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ to be produced and delivered, as illustrated in Figure 1 of [15]. Firm i has a local matrix $B_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n_i}$, with $[B_i]_{hj} = 1$ if agent i delivers $[x_i]_j$ to M_h, $h \in [N]$, and 0 otherwise. Let $n = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i = 32$, $x = [x_i]_{i \in [m]} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $B = [B_1, \cdots, B_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$. Then, given an action profile x of all the firms, the vector of the total product supplied to the markets can be expressed as $Bx = \sum_{i=1}^m B_i x_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The commodity's price in M_h is $p_h(x) = \bar{P}_h - \chi_h[Bx]_h$, $\forall h$, where $\bar{P}_h > 0$ and $\chi_h > 0$. Let $\bar{P} = [\bar{P}_h]_{h=\overline{1,N}} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\Xi = \text{diag}([\chi_h]_{h=\overline{1,N}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. Then, the price vector function $P = [p_h]_{h=\overline{1,N}}$ has the form: $P = \bar{P} - \Xi Bx$, and $P^\mathsf{T} B_i x_i$ is the payoff of firm i obtained by selling x_i to the markets that it connects with. Firm i's production cost is $c_i(x_i) = x_i^\mathsf{T} Q_i x_i + q_i^\mathsf{T} x_i$, with $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_i}$ symmetric and $Q_i \succ 0$, and $q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$. The objective function of firm i is $J_i(x_i, x_{-i}) = c_i(x_i) - (\bar{P} - \Xi Bx)^\mathsf{T} B_i x_i$. In our simulations, we use directed time-varying graphs with self-loops and establish a directed cycle linking all agents at each iteration. We define the row-stochastic weight matrix W_k as in [15], generate the diagonal matrix Q_i with entries uniformly distributed in $[5, 8]$, draw q_i uniformly from the interval $[1, 2]$ and select $\bar{P}_h$ randomly from $[10, 20]$. We choose χ_h such that $\sigma\mu > \sqrt{2}L_2$, for example, $0.01 \le \chi_h \le 0.02$ yields μ around 11 and L_2 around 0.03, indicating that the strong monotonicity of the local objective is significantly strong in comparison to its dependence on other agents' actions.

Fig. 1: Convergence behavior for one game instance.

Fig. 2: Effects of varying the momentum parameter.

Stepsize	Avg. # Iterations		Avg. Running Time (s)	
	DNE	**DNE-HB**	**DNE**	**DNE-HB**
$\alpha_i = 0.005$	3667.52	1826.44	1.0703	0.5984
$\alpha_i = 0.01$	2032.25	1006.56	0.6702	0.3502

TABLE I: Average performance over 1000 simulations.

To demonstrate the accelerated convergence of **DNE-HB**, we compare it with existing agorithms including **DNE** (i.e. Algo. 1 of [15]) and Algo. 1 of [14]. We conduct 1000 simulations with $\alpha_i = 0.01$, $\beta_i = 0.5$ and terminate the algorithms if the consensus error $\max_{i \in [m], j \in [m], i \neq j} \|[\mathbf{z}_k]_{i:} - [\mathbf{z}_k]_{j:}\|_\infty$ is less than 10^{-5} or the iteration limit of 10^5 is reached. Figure 1 shows the convergence for a game instance. Figure 2 illustrates the effect of momentum parameter on convergence rate. These results suggest that the algorithm converges faster with larger momentum parameter values satisfying the range in (29). Table I further compares the average performance of the algorithms over 1000 simulations. Overall, the results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm with the heavy-ball acceleration significantly improves the convergence rate.

VI. Conclusions and Future Work

This paper has proposed an accelerated distributed algorithm that incorporates heavy-ball acceleration to improve the performance of the gradient-based distributed NE-seeking algorithm for games over time-varying directed networks. A geometric convergence rate of the algorithm is shown with explicit bounds for the non-identical step-sizes and momentum parameters based on the properties of the cost functions and network structure. Our numerical results illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method. In future, we will study its convergence for games with constrained action sets.

References

[1] W. Saad, Z. Han, H. V. Poor, and T. Basar, "Game-Theoretic Methods for the Smart Grid: An Overview of Microgrid Systems, Demand-Side Management, and Smart Grid Communications," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 86–105, 2012.

[2] D. T. A. Nguyen, J. Cheng, D. T. Nguyen, and A. Nedić, "CrowdCache: A Decentralized Game–Theoretic Framework for Mobile Edge Content Sharing," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.13246*, 2023.

[3] P. Yi and L. Pavel, "An Operator Splitting Approach for Distributed Generalized Nash Equilibria Computation," *Automatica*, vol. 102, pp. 111–121, 2019.

[4] G. Belgioioso and S. Grammatico, "Projected-Gradient Algorithms for Generalized Equilibrium Seeking in Aggregative Games are Preconditioned Forward-Backward Methods," in *2018 European Control Conference (ECC)*, 2018, pp. 2188–2193.

[5] D. Gadjov and L. Pavel, "A Passivity-Based Approach to Nash Equilibrium Seeking Over Networks," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 1077–1092, 2019.

[6] J. Koshal, A. Nedić, and U. V. Shanbhag, "Distributed Algorithms for Aggregative Games on Graphs," *Operations Research*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 680–704, 2016.

[7] T. Tatarenko and A. Nedić, "Geometric Convergence of Distributed Gradient Play in Games with Unconstrained Action Sets," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 53, pp. 3367–3372, 01 2020.

[8] F. Salehisadaghiani, W. Shi, and L. Pavel, "Distributed Nash Equilibrium Seeking Under Partial-Decision Information via the Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers," *Automatica*, vol. 103, pp. 27–35, 2019.

[9] T. Tatarenko, W. Shi, and A. Nedić, "Accelerated Gradient Play Algorithm for Distributed Nash Equilibrium Seeking," in *2018 IEEE Conf. Decis. Control (CDC)*, 2018, pp. 3561–3566.

[10] ——, "Geometric Convergence of Gradient Play Algorithms for Distributed Nash Equilibrium Seeking," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 5342–5353, 2021.

[11] G. Belgioioso, A. Nedić, and S. Grammatico, "Distributed Generalized Nash Equilibrium Seeking in Aggregative Games on Time-Varying Networks," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 2061–2075, 2021.

[12] F. Salehisadaghiani and L. Pavel, "Nash Equilibrium Seeking with Non-doubly Stochastic Communication Weight Matrix," *EAI Endorsed Trans. Collaborative Computing*, vol. 4, no. 13, pp. 3–15, 2019.

[13] M. Bianchi and S. Grammatico, "Fully Distributed Nash Equilibrium Seeking Over Time-Varying Communication Networks With Linear Convergence Rate," *IEEE Control Syst. Lett.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 499–504, 2021.

[14] ——, "Nash Equilibrium Seeking under Partial-Decision Information Over Directed Communication Networks," in *2020 59th IEEE Conf. Decis. Control (CDC)*, 2020, pp. 3555–3560.

[15] D. T. A. Nguyen, D. T. Nguyen, and A. Nedić, "Distributed Nash Equilibrium Seeking over Time-Varying Directed Communication Networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.02323*, 2022.

[16] D. Gadjov and L. Pavel, "An Algorithm for Resilient Nash Equilibrium Seeking in the Partial Information Setting," *IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems*, pp. 1–10, 2023.

[17] B. Polyak, *Introduction to Optimization*. New York : Optimization Software, Inc., 1987.

[18] D. T. A. Nguyen, D. T. Nguyen, and A. Nedić, "Accelerated AB/Push-Pull Methods for Distributed Optimization over Time-Varying Directed Networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.01214*, 2023.

[19] C. Song, C. Wu, Z. Lv, F. Zhang, J. Li, and S. Yang, "Distributed Heavy-Ball Nash Equilibrium Seeking Algorithm in Aggregative Games," in *39th Chinese Control Conference*, 2020, pp. 5019–5024.

[20] X. Fang, G. Wen, J. Zhou, J. Lu, and G. Chen, "Distributed Nash Equilibrium Seeking for Aggregative Games With Directed Communication Graphs," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I: Regul. Pap.*, vol. 69, no. 8, pp. 3339–3352, 2022.

[21] G. Belgioioso and S. Grammatico, "Semi-Decentralized Generalized Nash Equilibrium Seeking in Monotone Aggregative Games," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 140–155, 2023.

[22] M. Bianchi, G. Belgioioso, and S. Grammatico, "Fast Generalized Nash Equilibrium Seeking under partial-Decision Information," *Automatica*, vol. 136, p. 110080, 2022.

[23] A. Nedić, D. T. A. Nguyen, and D. T. Nguyen, "AB/Push-Pull Method for Distributed Optimization in Time-Varying Directed Networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.06974*, 2022.

[24] F. Facchinei and J.-S. Pang, *Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems*. Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering, 2003.

[25] S. Pu, W. Shi, J. Xu, and A. Nedić, "Push–Pull Gradient Methods for Distributed Optimization in Networks," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2021.

[26] G. Scutari, F. Facchinei, J.-S. Pang, and D. P. Palomar, "Real and Complex Monotone Communication Games," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 4197–4231, 2014.