

# Cyberbullying in Text Content Detection: An Analytical Review

Sylvia W. Azumah, Nelly Elsayed, Zag ElSayed, Murat Ozer

*School of Information Technology*

*University of Cincinnati*

Cincinnati, Ohio, United States

azumahsw@mail.uc.edu, elsayeny@ucmail.uc.edu, elsayezs@ucmail.uc.edu, ozermm@ucmail.uc.edu

**Abstract**—Technological advancements have resulted in an exponential increase in the use of online social networks (OSNs) worldwide. While online social networks provide a great communication medium, they also increase the user’s exposure to life-threatening situations such as suicide, eating disorder, cybercrime, compulsive behavior, anxiety, and depression. To tackle the issue of cyberbullying, most existing literature focuses on developing approaches to identifying factors and understanding the textual factors associated with cyberbullying. While most of these approaches have brought great success in cyberbullying research, data availability needed to develop model detection remains a challenge in the research space. This paper conducts a comprehensive literature review to provide an understanding of cyberbullying detection.

**Index Terms**—Cyberbullying, Cybercrime, text detection, dataset, deep learning, online social network, social media.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The use of social media as a technological device revolutionized the mode of communication and exchange of information in our current society. The ability to send information across thousands of miles at the speed of light has helped businesses in generating more revenue and allows Internet users to stay in contact with friends and family through various social media platforms. Social media has proven to be beneficial to humans by presenting a means of creating events, posting videos, and staying connected with loved ones while also negatively impacting the mental well-being of young individuals who are subject to cyberbullying on social media platforms [1]. The Datareportal study found that 53% of the global population uses social media platforms and that the average person spends about three hours a day on social media [2]. OSNs are used daily for a substantial amount of time. Cyberbullying has developed as a major problem on online social networks or media, afflicting both children and young adults [3]. Generally, cyberbullying is identified as bullying that occurs via electronic devices or the Internet to cause harm or victimize someone [4]. Cyberbullying has occurred and continues to occur on social networking sites such as Facebook, WhatsApp, Twitter, and Instagram. Reports of Soni et al. [5] indicate that 40% of teenagers in the United States experienced cyberbullying harassment and name-calling, which negatively impacted their mental well-being, including deep emotional trauma, psychological and psychosomatic disarray [5]. According to Utemissova et al. [6], one-third of people bullied

did not realize the negative behavior shown was associated with cyberbullying. Other findings from researchers stated that young adults who are cyberbullied have a higher tendency to harm themselves or have suicidal thoughts and behavior [7]. Additionally, a recommendation in the paper Modeling the detection of textual cyberbullying by Dinakar et al. [8] highlights that about 83% of young adults or people that are bullied believe that online social network platforms should have a part to play in curbing the issue of Cyberbullying on their social platforms [8]. In recent years, researchers have studied and understood most social science cyberbullying problems [9]. Part of the preventive measures recommended by researchers to help with the cyberbullying problem include, but are not limited to, human interference, educational awareness and recommendation, deleting offensive terms, and cyberbullying detection [9].

Figure 1 depicts an example of cyberbullying on online social media networks or social media. They show demeaning words and phrases such as lo\$er, pi\$\$ off, sh\*t, fat a\$\$, and other similar phrases to the victims. Over the years, most researchers have worked on solving the problem of cyberbullying. Grellety et al. [10] developed queries in detecting cyberbullying contents with a precision of 91.25%. Cyberbullying is often perceived as a defensive or aggressive response, with most perpetrators able to remain anonymous while victimizing targets. The research of Dalvi et al. [11] developed software for detecting cyberbullying posts on Twitter using machine learning algorithms. While most of these approaches have brought great success in cyberbullying research, data availability needed to develop model detection remains a challenge in the research space [1], [12], [13]. This paper provides a systematic literature review focusing on addressing the following research questions:

- What are the major problems of cyberbullying detection for researchers?
- What are the impacts of law or legislation on cyberbullying across different continents?

This paper is structured as follows: Section II describes cyberbullying forms, content, crimes, and the policies pertaining to cyberbullying and its impact on an individual. Section III explains the method used to collect literature, the screening criteria used to identify the papers included in the review,



Fig. 1. A real example of cyberbullying tweet captured from the social media site Twitter. Some parts of the image are blurred to hide the identity of persons who are involved in the cyberbullying case [14].

and the criteria used to exclude literature not considered in the review. Afterward, Section IV discusses past, present, and future solutions in cyberbullying detection by first identifying cyberbullying, text detection, cyberbullying crime analysis, cyberbullying law, and cyberbullying data. Section V presents the analysis and discussion of the study. Finally, Section VII provides an overview of the study via the research questions presented in this paper, followed by a recommendation for future research and the limitations of this study.

## II. CYBERBULLYING FORMS

As the Internet evolves, more cyberbullying occurs among its users. Over the years, researchers have come across various forms of Cyberbullying ranging from outing, stalking, and impersonation, just to mention a few. A recent investigation by Blumberg et al. [15] introduces new forms of cyberbullying that have continuously evolved over the years. Some include trolling and doxing. Some of the significant cyberbullying forms are:

1) *Flaming*: In cyberbullying, the term flaming is described as an intense argument or disagreement via emails, instant messaging, and social media where the participants relay rude, offensive, profanity, threatening messages, and vulgar words [4]. For some social media users, flaming means to arouse or stir up anger and other negative emotions in a user [16]. Other times, flaming can end up in a lawsuit when it becomes excessive and defamatory [17].

2) *Harassment*: According to Nandoli et al. [18], harassment involves sending persistent insults and hurtful messages over the Internet.

3) *Stalking*: Cyberstalking is using the Internet or social media to consistently pursue, threaten and physically harm victims to the point where they start to look over their shoulder and feel unsafe [18]. Cyberbullies make use of stalking for

two reasons, according to the research of Bocij et al. [19], i) To collect and gather personal identifiable information on the targeted person for further pursuit. ii) To consistently communicate with the target to threaten or inflict fear into them.

4) *Denigration*: It is to make available online defamatory information about their target. During denigration, cyberbullies post harmful materials such as text messages, videos, photos, gossip, and bank details of someone or a targeted person to humiliate them or damage their reputation [20].

5) *Impersonation*: It is falsifying one's identity to destroy their reputation or deceive people into hating them [21].

6) *Outing*: It is to make people's secrets or personal identifiable information available, such as health records and embarrassing information, online without the person's consent [18].

7) *Trickery*: As the name suggests, it is tricking or deceiving social media users to give out personal information about themselves, which is later shared without seeking their approval first [21].

8) *Trolling*: In academia, trolling is defined in various forms. Some researchers identify trolling as behaving in a disruptive way on social media [22]. On the other hand, some researchers carefully state that trolling has nothing to do with hostile intentions [23]. Even though most researchers have not come to an agreement on defining what trolling is, most studies identify and agree that trolling is purposely done to harm others [24].

9) *Exclusion*: Intentionally excluding someone from an online social media group is termed as exclusion [23]. For instance, leaving someone out of a gaming community.

10) *Doxing*: It mainly occurs in social media platforms such as Twitter [25]. Doxing is a form of cyberbullying that releases confidential or sensitive information without the person's consent. The doxed information includes but is not limited to demographic information, Social Security Number (SSN), ZipCode, Geographical Positioning System (GPS) coordinate, phone numbers, email address, passport number, username, and passwords [26], [27]. Disclosing these documents or sensitive information could lead to hate groups, child trafficking, spreading false information or rumors, and defamation [28].

11) *Masquerading*: Like impersonation, masquerading is a form of cyberbullying where cyber bullies adopt a false identity in order to harass or harm someone without revealing their true identity [20].

12) *Hate crime*: In cyberbullying hate crimes, the bully's behavior is often driven by their prejudice toward someone's race, religion, sexual orientation, gender, disability, or ethnicity [29].

### A. Cyberbullying Roles

For cyberbullying to occur, it involves participants who accept well-structured roles [1]. Researchers in the field have identified various parties most prominent in cyberbullying. Vimala et al. [10] categorize cyberbullying roles into bullies or

harassers, victims, bully-victims, and bystanders. Cyberbullies or Cyber-harassers are people who initiate bullying using an electronic device as a tool or the Internet; victims are the targeted person or the people that are bullied, and bystanders are witnesses to the bullying act online [10].

Further research by VanHee et al. [1] highlights four cyberbullying roles as Harasser or bully, Victim, Bystander-defender, and Bystander-assistant. A bystander-defender is someone who protects and defends the victim from the bully, and a bystander-assistant is someone who encourages the bully or harasser to carry on with the act of cyberbullying. Even though traditional bullying studies have focused on just two bullying roles which are victim and bullies [30], they acknowledge the importance of having a bystander as part of a bullying incident [31], [32].

### III. METHODOLOGY

This section presents a systematic literature review method used to collect and review the literature for this study. This research method is guided by the research questions highlighted earlier in the introduction of the study. Cyberbullying text detection literature that has been done between the years 2017 to 2022 is studied to identify the research gap proposed. To accomplish the systematic research review, the Jorgensen survey methodology (DICARe) is used [33]. The five stages of the Jorgensen method are explained as used below:

1) *Define*: The purpose and scope of the research are defined using the research questions: What are the major problems of cyberbullying detection for researchers? In addition, what are the impacts of law or legislation on cyberbullying across different continents? As stated in the introduction. The topic selected was cyberbullying text detection; the period of the study was performed over the last five years, from 2017 to 2022. Given the increase in cyberbullying over the years, the period from 2017 to 2022 looks appropriate for the study.

2) *Identification*: This phase identifies research papers that are relevant to the topic of interest. These papers were written in English and had abstracts, titles, research topic keywords, and conclusions.

3) *Classify*: The classification stage involves putting together research papers related to the research on a particular approach. For this systematic literature review, we used Cyberbullying detection as the main theme. We provided grouping based on text detection, the impact of cyberbullying, cyberbullying crime analysis, and recommendations for cyberbullying.

4) *Analyze*: This phase concentrates on classification in the previous step and analyzes the grouping to ensure it is correct and can be selected for further analyzing.

5) *Report*: This phase concentrates on classification in the previous step and analyzes the grouping to ensure it is correct and can be selected for further analysis.

#### A. Research Keywords

To conduct the research methodology, the keywords were extracted from the research questions. The following keywords are: “Cyberbullying,” “Text or textual,” “Detection,” “Social media or Online social network.”

#### B. Study Selection

Publications must meet the following criteria to be considered for inclusion:

- 1) The last five years (2017-2022)
- 2) Cyberbullying
- 3) Cyberbullying text detection
- 4) Implications of cyberbullying
- 5) Automatic cyberbullying detection
- 6) Cyberbullying on social media or online social networks

The following publications were excluded from the study selection:

- 1) Non-English papers
- 2) Extended abstracts
- 3) Cyberbullying image detection
- 4) Poster presentations
- 5) Short papers
- 6) Books
- 7) Survey

#### C. Search and selection strategy

The search and selection strategy is a combination of different types of categories to extensively search for cyberbullying text detection papers. The goal of the search is to find relevant research papers in cyberbullying detection;

1) *Database Search*: Using online inquiry components of popular publication databases is the most notable approach to scan for papers [34]. This paper used some of the most popular publication databases, namely IEEE Xplore, Scopus, Web of Science, and ACM, to search for the papers related to the study. However, only papers from IEEE Xplore and Web of Science were used. This was used as these libraries provide sufficient keyword paper indexing that helps to find the most-related publications. The keywords that have been used in the publication’s search are represented in Table I.

After every search, the results of the selected papers are reviewed by going through the abstract, title, and skimming through the contents of the paper. After that, duplicated copies found are taken out. Eventually, the results from each database search are merged. A total of 55 papers were returned from the search query. However, after skimming through to select the relevant papers for the study, 31 papers were selected and used.

### IV. RELATED LITERATURE

Cyberbullying or electronic bullying is one of the well-known risks of technological evolution and consists of voluntary and repeated actions against one or more individuals using computers and electronic devices [35]. In the past, traditional cyberbullying has been restricted to schools and the youth. However, with the rapid evolution of the Internet and the use of social media, cyberbullying has developed as a pressing issue beginning at home. Studies in this area show the increased rate of cyberbullying over the years [36]. Most cyberbullying research efforts have focused on areas including cyberbullying online detection through text and images. Others

TABLE I  
RESEARCH DATABASE SEARCH AND RESULTS.

Database	Search Keyword	Results	Filter
IEEE Xplore	("All metadata": cyberbullying) AND ("All metadata": "text") AND ("All metadata": "detection") AND ("All metadata": "system")	21	2017-2022
Web of Science	(((((ALL=(cyberbullying)) AND ALL=(detection)) AND ALL=(text))) AND ALL=(system))	34	2017-2022

have worked on providing recommendations to concerned parties such as Internet users, social media companies, law enforcement agencies, and many others with the intention of creating awareness on the topic. However, a common issue surrounding the study is the lack of a publicly available dataset for research analysis [1], [12], [13]. This section examines literature in the domain of cyberbullying detection between 2017 and 2022.

#### A. Cyberbullying Text Detection

Over the years, research done in cyberbullying text detection has constituted using both machine learning and filtration software [37]. In social media platforms, filtration software is used to automatically filter unhallowed words or content [38]. Other works applied machine learning and deep learning techniques for cyberbullying text detection rather than filtration techniques. With machine learning and deep learning techniques, comments or text are scrapped from social media platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, and vine, to mention a few. These collected comments are then introduced to the machine learning and deep learning algorithms responsible for classifying cyberbullying.

Haidar et al. [37], in their paper, detected cyberbullying in Arabic content. The researchers discovered that more research had been done on detecting cyberbullying in English content; hence they saw the need to explore detecting Arabic content. To solve this issue of cyberbullying, Haider et al. [37] proposed a machine learning algorithm that splits the dataset into training and testing data for classification. They also used the Dataiku DSS and WEKA to test the machine learning algorithm in their research. According to the researchers, the WEKA toolkit was used because it aids in the visualization, preparation, and classification of the Arabic language [37]. The results from this study showed promising results from the use of the Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Naive Bayesian algorithm to detect cyberbullying. These two machine learning algorithms were used in this study based on past research work in cyberbullying.

Moreover, researchers have concluded that SVM and Naive Bayesian algorithms are the best algorithms for detecting cyberbullying text [39]. Their module obtained an F1-Score of 92% and 90% consequently. Similar to [37], Rohit et al. [40] detected cyberbullying behavior in Hindi and Marathi social media text content. The algorithms selected by Rohit et al. are Multinomial Naive Bayes (MNB), Logistics Regression (LR), and Stochastics Gradient Descent (SDG) to perform ten-fold cross-validation experimentation for the study [40]. The algorithms selected in this study were selected based

on their performance in previous studies [40]. The results of their experiments showed 97% accuracy and 96% for F1-Score. According to the researchers, the results of their studies performed very well in detecting cyberbullying in both languages. In this way, their model can therefore detect multiple languages in the cyberbullying space [40], [41].

Automatic detection of cyberbullying in social media text by Van Hee et al. [1] aimed at exploring cyberbullying automatic detection on social media. This automatic detection included discovering cyberbullying signals, such as the various forms of cyberbullying, and identifying posts from bullies, victims, and bystanders [1]. This study leveraged a binary classification to detect cyberbullying in English and Dutch. In addition, the paper highlighted the issue of few publicly available datasets, making it difficult for researchers to conduct constructive research addressing cyberbullying. To conclude, the classification revealed that the binary approach is promising to detect cyberbullying automatically in the future. However, a dive into using deep learning techniques to detect cyberbullying automatically would improve the ability of the classifier to predict cyberbullying content. In [42], Lu et al. proposed a Character-level Convolutional Neural Network with shortcuts (Char-CNNs) for the detection of cyberbullying in a social media text. Additionally, as part of trying to solve the issue of publicly available data for cyberbullying detection mentioned in [1], [10], [11], [12], [13], [42], they contributed to making their dataset available on GitHub by crawling data from Sina Weibo comment [42]. The model had a precision, accuracy, and F1-score of 79%, 71.6%, and 69.8%, respectively. The researchers concluded that the results of the performance of the Char-CNNs were competitive and outperformed various state-of-the-art algorithms that have been used in cyberbullying detection when compared. While most researchers have leveraged machine learning techniques in detecting cyberbullying text in social media, others are leaning towards using deep learning algorithms. A tremendous work by Iwendi Celestine et al. [43] developed a solution-based deep learning architecture to detect cyberbullying on social media. The study collected data from Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram preprocessed it and experimented on it using four deep learning algorithms, namely, Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (BLSTM), Gated Recurrent Units (GRU), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and finally Recurrent neural network (RNN). In evaluating the performance of the deep learning algorithms, it was observed that the BLSTM model outperformed RNN, LSTM, and GRU with higher accuracy and F1-Score. Iwendi Celestine et al. concluded that their

most performed deep learning algorithm would allow future researchers to leverage to solve cyberbullying.

Fang, Yong et al. [44], conducted an experiment using three datasets of which two were collected from Twitter and the other from Wikipedia. The data was fed into a combination of a bidirectional GRU and a self-attention algorithm to detect textual cyberbullying content. It was observed in the study that the proposed methods performed tremendously high in all performance metrics. Table II is a representation of studies, metrics, approach categories, and classification algorithms used in cyberbullying detection. The studies highlighted in the table are ordered based on the year of publication, 2017 to 2022, as mentioned in the inclusion criteria.

### B. Cybercrime analysis on cyberbullying

A cybercrime is using the Internet as a tool or any electronic device to commit a crime. Cybercrimes include but are not limited to child pornography, cyberbullying, harassment, doxing, hate crime, impersonation, and many others [49]. The United States Department of Justice amplified the definition of cybercrime as an illegal use of an electronic device or computer to store evidence [9]. Thangiah et al. [41] categorize cybercrime into two, namely, content-based and technology-based crimes. Over the years, research in hate crime or hate speech has increased [62]. The main aim of this paper is to review cyberbullying text detection literature in the past five years. However, hate crime or speech is added to the literature due to its similarities with cyberbullying detection. In a paper on detecting and visualizing hate speech in social media, Modha et al. [63] studied hate crimes on Twitter and Facebook by exploring use case scenarios. They developed a visualization tool to find and display hate crime content posted by online social media users. They observed that while most researchers have leveraged the use of machine learning algorithms to detect text in hate speech or crime, they have also presented a learning process drawback [63]. They went further to highlight that deep learning algorithms will not only perform better in hate speech text detection but has the tendency to solve the machine learning limitations. In a framework for hate speech detection using deep convolutional neural networks by Roy et al. [64], they develop an automated deep learning system together with GloVe embedding vector to capture hate speech text from Twitter. The outcome of the experimentation showed a poor accuracy rate on the train test dataset. However, with 10-fold cross-validation used with the deep learning algorithm, the model achieved a good prediction recall value. Alnazzawi et al. [12] proposed a method to develop hateMotiv corpus to provide publicly available hate crime data. The hate crime data included the types of hate crimes and the motives behind committing the crime. Additionally, the authors worked on a vocabulary list that can be used as a resource for hate crimes and their motivation.

## V. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Major problems of cyberbullying detection for researchers

1) *Publicly available data:* After analyzing the selected papers for the literature review, it was noticed that most researchers mentioned that having a publicly available dataset for the classification of cyberbullying text was and is still a challenge in cyberbullying. For instance, Salawu et al. [12] approach to automated detection of cyberbullying paper identified that most studies used online harassment, insult, and hate crime datasets for cyberbullying detection. These kinds of data consist of individual abusive or insulting content, are outdated, and as such, are inappropriate for creating and selecting features for cyberbullying detection but rather beneficial for detecting a particular form of cyberbullying such as cyber aggression, hate crime, and harassment.

2) *Heterogeneity of data:* Cyberbullying is not restricted to English alone but also extends to other languages, such as but not limited to Arabic, Turkish, Dutch, and Hindi. Cyberbullying detection research has leveraged different language data to solve cyberbullying on online social media. Due to language diversity, text classification in cyberbullying has become challenging as the translation of the text can be ambiguous. Additionally, it becomes difficult for researchers to understand the dataset [42]. As per their recommendation of how to solve the unavailability of cyberbullying dataset highlighted as a challenge in these studies [1], [10], [11], [12], [44], they urge researchers in the field to work on developing dataset that could go a long way to helping the cyberbullying research community detect and recognize the various forms of cyberbullying, cyberbullying roles and mapping the relations between the victim and offenders in cyberbullying. So far, the most popular cyberbullying dataset is the Trace dataset [12]. Although it consists of cyberbullying and non-cyberbullying tweets and some forms of cyberbullying, researchers are still encouraged to put much effort into creating datasets so that machine learning and deep learning models can perform advanced cyberbullying detection tasks [12].

With cyberbullying being an issue of great importance to society, it is important to pay attention to cyberbullying prevention and improvement methods. These are only feasible if parents, law enforcement, social media platforms, educational colleges or educators, and researchers make a conscious effort to create awareness of the topic through knowledge dissemination. It is only when this occurs that cyberbullying detection research can progress worldwide.

### B. Impact of law or legislation on cyberbullying across different continents.

While it is important that law enforcement agencies take a forward-looking approach to combating cyberbullying, the law controlling the use of electronic devices and social media has fallen behind. Hence, making it difficult for law enforcement to handle cyberbullying cases [65]. Through research, it is noted that most advanced countries such as Canada, America and Australia has adopted legal ways of governing cyberbullying

TABLE II  
SUMMARIZATION OF CYBERBULLYING DETECTION STUDY. INFORMATION THAT HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED BY THE AUTHORS IS INDICATED BY THE SYMBOL (-).

Study	Year	Classifiers	Metrics	Category
Haidar et al. [37]	2017	SVM, Naive Bayesian	F1-Score	Machine Learning
Alduailej et al. [45]	2017	Text Mining, Lexicon	-	-
Van Hee et al. [1]	2018	Binary Classification	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Machine Learning
Shane et al. [46]	2018	MAN, SAC	-	Sentiment Analysis
Lu et al. [42]	2019	Char-CNN	Accuracy, Precision, F1-Score	Deep Learning
Rohit et al. [40]	2019	MNB, LR, SDG	Accuracy, F1-Score	Machine Learning
Mahlangu et al. [47]	2019	LSTM + Stacked Embedding	Accuracy, F1-Score	Deep Learning
Pascucci et al. [48]	2019	RF, Sequential Optimization Algorithm	Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Machine Learning
Andleeb et al. [49]	2019	SVM, Bernoulli NB	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Machine, Deep Learning
Iwendi Celestine, et al. [43]	2020	BLSTM, GRU, LSTM, RNN	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Deep Learning
Muneer et al. [50]	2020	LR, SVM, RF, NB, LGBM	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Machine Learning
Van B. et al. [51]	2020	SVM, CNN, XGBoost	Accuracy, ROC, F1-Score	Machine, Deep Learning
Wang et al. [52]	2020	BLSTM, MLP	Accuracy, F1-Score	Deep Learning
Talpur et al. [53]	2020	NB, KNN, RF, SVM, DT	AUC, Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Machine, Deep Learning
Fang, Yong et al. [44]	2021	BLSTM + Self-attention	Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Deep Learning
Parera et al. [54]	2021	SVM, LR	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Machine Learning
Kumar et al. [55]	2021	CNN	Accuracy, Precision, Recall	Deep Learning
Perera et al. [54]	2021	SVM, LR	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Machine Learning
Yuvaraj et al. [56]	2021	DNN	Accuracy, G-Mean, F1-Score	Deep Learning
Bozyugit et al. [57]	2021	SVM, AdaBoost, RF, LR	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Machine Learning
kazi et al. [58]	2021	XGBoost, LR	Accuracy, AUC, F1-Score	Machine Learning
Jahan et al. [59]	2022	CNN, FastText, BERT	Accuracy, F1-Score	Deep Learning
Singh et al. [60]	2022	CNN, BERT	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score	Deep Learning
Kumar et al. [61]	2022	Bi-GRU Attention-CapsNet	ROC-AUC, F1-Score	Deep Learning

[66]. In the United States of America, the law requires that differentiation is constructed around Cyberbullying, Electronic harassment, and Cyberstalking for legal rules to be applied.

According to research in [65], [66], about forty-nine American states have traditional bullying laws, forty states have laws pointing to cyber or electronic harassment, and finally, fourteen states have laws governing cyberbullying. For instance, in New Jersey, a revenge porn law has been established to prevent the leak of nude photos and videos of minors without their consent [67]. As of now, cyberbullying is a criminal offense in Arkansas, North Carolina, and Louisiana. Cyberbullies in

North Carolina are subjected to paying a fine of up to \$1,000 or spending six months behind bars. In Canada, improper behavior by an individual on the Internet is considered a cyberbullying crime. Provinces in Canada have a law or legislation governing cyberbullying. The Canadian Penal Code under section 264 (2) (b) states that cyber aggression involves frequently contacting one person with another [66]. This offense is actionable by up to 10 years imprisonment [19], [66], [68]. A law passed in the New South Wales administrative-territorial unit, Australia, identifies cyberbullying as a criminal offense that is applied only when cyberbullying is committed against an

individual, or victim [69]. Additionally, this law also governs all other forms of cyberbullying in our community. Like in the USA, Canada, and Australia, in Ghana, cyberbullying on social media could lead to jailing for a minimum of 6 months and a maximum of three years in prison for cyberbullying on social media. Unlike the aforementioned countries, U.K., Switzerland, and Spain do not have cyberbullying laws.

## VI. LIMITATION

The Di-CARE method was used to select relevant literature for this study. Even though the required papers were selected, there were limitations in terms of the search terms and the identified literature. Only English search terms were used. Furthermore, the list of search terms was predetermined rather than developed inductively. A second search should be conducted using the terms gathered during the literature analysis process to find additional relevant literature in the context of this literature review. Only publications of controlled quality were included in the analysis process by excluding non-peer-reviewed publications (e.g., books and white papers, surveys). Even though the books included have valuable contributions presented at conferences or published in journals, some contributions could be added to this literature review.

## VII. CONCLUSION

This study used the Di-CARE method to review cyberbullying studies to identify research in cyberbullying text detection. Specifically cyberbullying forms, roles, and cyberbullying detection methods. During the review, it was identified that most researchers detected cyberbullying using machine learning algorithms, natural language processing, and deep learning. Additionally, this paper highlighted the dataset challenge in cyberbullying detection research and highlighted the impacts of law or legislation on cyberbullying across different continents.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Van Hee, G. Jacobs, C. Emmery, B. Desmet, E. Lefever, B. Verhoeven, G. De Pauw, W. Daelmans, and V. Hoste, "Automatic detection of cyberbullying in social media text," *PLoS one*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. e0203794, 2018.
- [2] "Global social media statistics - datareportal – global digital insights." [Online]. Available: <https://datareportal.com/social-media-users>
- [3] H. Lieberman, K. Dinakar, and B. Jones, "Let's gang up on cyberbullying," *Computer*, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 93–96, 2011.
- [4] T. Feinberg and N. Robey, "Cyberbullying: Intervention and prevention strategies," *National Association of School Psychologists*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 22–24, 2009.
- [5] D. Soni and V. K. Singh, "See no evil, hear no evil: Audio-visual-textual cyberbullying detection," *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 2, no. CSCW, pp. 1–26, 2018.
- [6] G. U. Utemissova, S. Danna, and V. N. Nikolaevna, "Cyberbullying during the covid-19 pandemic," *Global Journal of Guidance and Counseling in Schools: Current Perspectives*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 77–87, 2021.
- [7] V. K. Singh, M. L. Radford, Q. Huang, and S. Furrer, "they basically destroyed the school one day" on newer app features and cyberbullying in schools," in *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*, 2017, pp. 1210–1216.
- [8] K. Dinakar, R. Reichart, and H. Lieberman, "Modeling the detection of textual cyberbullying," in *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, vol. 5, no. 3, 2011, pp. 11–17.

- [9] S. Nadali, M. A. A. Murad, N. M. Sharef, A. Mustapha, and S. Shojaei, "A review of cyberbullying detection: An overview," in *2013 13th International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 325–330.
- [10] V. Balakrishnan, S. Khan, T. Fernandez, and H. R. Arabnia, "Cyberbullying detection on twitter using big five and dark triad features," *Personality and individual differences*, vol. 141, pp. 252–257, 2019.
- [11] R. R. Dalvi, S. B. Chavan, and A. Halbe, "Detecting a twitter cyberbullying using machine learning," in *2020 4th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 297–301.
- [12] S. Salawu, Y. He, and J. Lumsden, "Approaches to automated detection of cyberbullying: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 3–24, 2017.
- [13] P. Newman, "How social media application design elements can influence cyberbullying avoidance behaviour," Ph.D. dissertation, UNSW Sydney, 2020.
- [14] O. Makafui, "Bongo idea's says nathan quao's wife is 'too old and big' for him, tweeps bore," Mar 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://myinfoh.com/2022/03/bongo-ideas-says-nathan-quaos-wife-is-too-old-and-big-for-him-tweeps-bore/>
- [15] S. Paul, P. K. Smith, and H. H. Blumberg, "Revisiting cyberbullying in schools using the quality circle approach," *School Psychology International*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 492–504, 2012.
- [16] M. Alonzo and M. Aiken, "Flaming in electronic communication," *Decision Support Systems*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 205–213, 2004.
- [17] J. Sandberg, "Newsletter faces libel suit for 'flaming' on internet, the wall street journal," *Eastern Edition*, vol. 223, p. 79, 1994.
- [18] N. Von Marées and F. Petermann, "Cyberbullying: An increasing challenge for schools," *School psychology international*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 467–476, 2012.
- [19] P. Bocj and L. McFarlane, "Online harassment: Towards a definition of cyberstalking," *Prison Service Journal*, pp. 31–38, 2002.
- [20] S. Bauman, "Types of cyberbullying," *Cyberbullying: What counselors need to know*, pp. 53–58, 2015.
- [21] N. M. Aune, "Cyberbullying," 2009.
- [22] G. Komaç and K. Çağiltay, "An overview of trolling behavior in online spaces and gaming context," in *2019 1st International Informatics and Software Engineering Conference (UBMYK)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–4.
- [23] J. Bishop, "Representations of 'trolls' in mass media communication: a review of media-texts and moral panics relating to 'internet trolling'," *International Journal of Web Based Communities*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 7–24, 2014.
- [24] P. Fichman and M. R. Sanfilippo, "The bad boys and girls of cyberspace: How gender and context impact perception of and reaction to trolling," *Social science computer review*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 163–180, 2015.
- [25] M. Chen, A. S. Y. Cheung, and K. L. Chan, "Doxing: What adolescents look for and their intentions," *International journal of environmental research and public health*, vol. 16, no. 2, p. 218, 2019.
- [26] Q. Chen, K. L. Chan, and A. S. Y. Cheung, "Doxing victimization and emotional problems among secondary school students in hong kong," *International journal of environmental research and public health*, vol. 15, no. 12, p. 2665, 2018.
- [27] Z. Waseem and D. Hovy, "Hateful symbols or hateful people? predictive features for hate speech detection on twitter," in *Proceedings of the NAACL student research workshop*, 2016, pp. 88–93.
- [28] Y. Karimi, A. Squicciarini, and S. Wilson, "Automated detection of doxing on twitter," *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 6, no. CSCW2, pp. 1–24, 2022.
- [29] N. Almazawi, "Using twitter to detect hate crimes and their motivations: The hatemotiv corpus," *Data*, vol. 7, no. 6, p. 69, 2022.
- [30] C. Salmivalli, K. Lagerspetz, K. Björkqvist, K. Österman, and A. Kaukiainen, "Bullying as a group process: Participant roles and their relations to social status within the group," *Aggressive Behavior: Official Journal of the International Society for Research on Aggression*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 1996.
- [31] C. Salmivalli, "Bullying and the peer group: A review," *Aggression and violent behavior*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 112–120, 2010.
- [32] S. Bastiaensens, H. Vandebosch, K. Poels, K. Van Cleemput, A. DeSmet, and I. De Bourdeaudhuij, "Cyberbullying on social network sites. an experimental study into bystanders' behavioural intentions to help the victim or reinforce the bully," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 31, pp. 259–271, 2014.

- [33] A. Amiruddin, A. A. P. Ratna, and R. F. Sari, "Systematic review of internet of things security," *International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 248–255, 2019.
- [34] T. Rajmohan, P. H. Nguyen, and N. Ferry, "A decade of research on patterns and architectures for iot security," *Cybersecurity*, vol. 5, pp. 1–29, 2022.
- [35] E. Aboujaoude, M. W. Savage, V. Starcevic, and W. O. Salame, "Cyber-bullying: Review of an old problem gone viral," *Journal of adolescent health*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 10–18, 2015.
- [36] S. Yusuf, M. S. H. Hassan, and A. M. M. Ibrahim, "Cyberbullying among malaysian children based on research evidence," in *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in System Security, Information Privacy, and Forensics*. IGI global, 2019, pp. 115–137.
- [37] B. Haidar, M. Chamoun, and A. Serhrouchni, "Multilingual cyberbullying detection system: Detecting cyberbullying in arabic content," in *2017 1st cyber security in networking conference (CSNet)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–8.
- [38] V. Nahar, X. Li, and C. Pang, "An effective approach for cyberbullying detection," *Communications in information science and management engineering*, vol. 3, no. 5, p. 238, 2013.
- [39] G. McLachlan, "Discriminant analysis and statistical pattern recognition. wiley-interscience: Hoboken," 2004.
- [40] R. Pawar and R. R. Raje, "Multilingual cyberbullying detection system," in *2019 IEEE international conference on electro information technology (EIT)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 040–044.
- [41] M. Thangiah, S. Basri, and S. Sulaiman, "A framework to detect cybercrime in the virtual environment," in *2012 International Conference on Computer & Information Science (ICICIS)*, vol. 1. IEEE, 2012, pp. 553–557.
- [42] N. Lu, G. Wu, Z. Zhang, Y. Zheng, Y. Ren, and K.-K. R. Choo, "Cyberbullying detection in social media text based on character-level convolutional neural network with shortcuts," *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, vol. 32, no. 23, p. e5627, 2020.
- [43] C. Iwendi, G. Srivastava, S. Khan, and P. K. R. Maddikunta, "Cyberbullying detection solutions based on deep learning architectures," *Multimedia Systems*, pp. 1–14, 2020.
- [44] Y. Fang, S. Yang, B. Zhao, and C. Huang, "Cyberbullying detection in social networks using bi-gru with self-attention mechanism," *Information*, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 171, 2021.
- [45] A. H. Alduailej and M. B. Khan, "The challenge of cyberbullying and its automatic detection in arabic text," in *2017 International Conference on Computer and Applications (ICCA)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 389–394.
- [46] S. Murnion, W. J. Buchanan, A. Smales, and G. Russell, "Machine learning and semantic analysis of in-game chat for cyberbullying," *Computers & Security*, vol. 76, pp. 197–213, 2018.
- [47] T. Mahlangu and C. Tu, "Deep learning cyberbullying detection using stacked embeddings approach," in *2019 6th International Conference on Soft Computing & Machine Intelligence (ISCFMI)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 45–49.
- [48] A. Pascucci, V. Masucci, and J. Monti, "Computational stylometry and machine learning for gender and age detection in cyberbullying texts," in *2019 8th International conference on affective computing and intelligent interaction workshops and demos (ACIIW)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [49] S. Andleeb, R. Ahmed, Z. Ahmed, and M. Kanwal, "Identification and classification of cybercrimes using text mining technique," in *2019 International Conference on Frontiers of Information Technology (FIT)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 227–2275.
- [50] A. Muneer and S. M. Fati, "A comparative analysis of machine learning techniques for cyberbullying detection on twitter," *Future Internet*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 187, 2020.
- [51] D. Van Bruwaene, Q. Huang, and D. Inkpen, "A multi-platform dataset for detecting cyberbullying in social media," *Language Resources and Evaluation*, vol. 54, pp. 851–874, 2020.
- [52] K. Wang, Q. Xiong, C. Wu, M. Gao, and Y. Yu, "Multi-modal cyberbullying detection on social networks," in *2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–8.
- [53] B. A. Talpur and D. O'Sullivan, "Cyberbullying severity detection: A machine learning approach," *PloS one*, vol. 15, no. 10, p. e0240924, 2020.
- [54] A. Perera and P. Fernando, "Accurate cyberbullying detection and prevention on social media," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 181, pp. 605–611, 2021.
- [55] A. Kumar and N. Sachdeva, "Multimodal cyberbullying detection using capsule network with dynamic routing and deep convolutional neural network," *Multimedia Systems*, pp. 1–10, 2021.
- [56] N. Yuvaraj, V. Chang, B. Gobinathan, A. Pinagapani, S. Kannan, G. Dhiman, and A. R. Rajan, "Automatic detection of cyberbullying using multi-feature based artificial intelligence with deep decision tree classification," *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, vol. 92, p. 107186, 2021.
- [57] A. Bozyigit, S. Utku, and E. Nasibov, "Cyberbullying detection: Utilizing social media features," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 179, p. 115001, 2021.
- [58] K. S. Alam, S. Bhowmik, and P. R. K. Prosun, "Cyberbullying detection: an ensemble based machine learning approach," in *2021 third international conference on intelligent communication technologies and virtual mobile networks (ICICV)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 710–715.
- [59] M. S. Jahan, D. R. Beddiar, M. Oussalah, and M. Mohamed, "Data expansion using wordnet-based semantic expansion and word disambiguation for cyberbullying detection," in *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, 2022, pp. 1761–1770.
- [60] N. K. Singh, P. Singh, and S. Chand, "Deep learning based methods for cyberbullying detection on social media," in *2022 International Conference on Computing, Communication, and Intelligent Systems (ICCCIS)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 521–525.
- [61] A. Kumar and N. Sachdeva, "A bi-gru with attention and capsnet hybrid model for cyberbullying detection on social media," *World Wide Web*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1537–1550, 2022.
- [62] F. Elsafoury, S. Katsigiannis, Z. Pervez, and N. Ramzan, "When the timeline meets the pipeline: A survey on automated cyberbullying detection," *IEEE access*, vol. 9, pp. 103 541–103 563, 2021.
- [63] S. Modha, P. Majumder, T. Mandl, and C. Mandalia, "Detecting and visualizing hate speech in social media: A cyber watchdog for surveillance," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 161, p. 113725, 2020.
- [64] P. K. Roy, A. K. Tripathy, T. K. Das, and X.-Z. Gao, "A framework for hate speech detection using deep convolutional neural network," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 204 951–204 962, 2020.
- [65]
- [66] P. D. Tagaymuratovna, "Cyberbullying as a socio-psychological problem and legal ways to solve it abroad," *EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 28–31, 2022.
- [67] A. M. Matwyshyn and M. Mowbray, "Fake," *Cardozo L. Rev.*, vol. 43, p. 643, 2021.
- [68] B. Szoka and A. D. Thierer, "Cyberbullying legislation: Why education is preferable to regulation," *Progress & Freedom Foundation Progress on Point Paper*, vol. 16, no. 12, 2009.
- [69] G. Soldatova, E. Rasskazova, E. Zotova, M. Lebesheva, and P. Roggen-dorf, "Children of russia online: risks and safety," *The results of the international project EU Kids Online II in Russia. Moscow*, 2012.