

PERFECT ALGEBRAIC STACKS

TIANWEI LIANG

ABSTRACT. We develop a theory of perfect algebraic stacks that extend our theory of perfect algebraic spaces in [32], [33] to the setting of algebraic stacks. We prove several desired properties of perfect algebraic stacks. This extends some previous results of perfect schemes and perfect algebraic spaces, including the recent one developed by Bertapelle et al. in [1]. Moreover, our theory extends the previous one developed by Xinwen Zhu in [35].

Our method to define perfect algebraic stacks differs from all previous approaches, as we utilize representability of algebraic spaces. There is a natural notion of algebraic Frobenius morphisms of algebraic stacks. The algebraic Frobenius morphism provides one with an explicit description of the perfection of an algebraic stack. This gives rise to the perfection functor on algebraic stacks, which enables us to pass between the usual and the perfect world.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	1
1.2. Results	2
1.3. Outline	4
1.4. Conventions	4
2. Some preliminary of categories fibred in groupoids	4
3. Perfect algebraic stacks	12
4. Algebraic Frobenius morphisms	17
5. Perfection of algebraic stacks	25
6. Comparison with Zhu's perfect algebraic stacks	36
References	37

§1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number and let \mathbb{F}_p be a finite field of order p . All rings will be tacitly commutative with identity.

§1.1. Motivation. The notion of perfect rings in commutative algebra is particularly important in algebraic geometry. Many significant researches in algebraic geometry are surrounding the setting of perfectness, see [20], [9], and [21] for example. One naturally desires to generalize perfect rings to the setting of schemes. In the classical paper [14], Serre introduced the so-called perfect varieties. However, a perfect variety is in general not a scheme. Until another classical paper of Greenberg [4], the subject of perfect schemes comes into being. In [4], Greenberg introduced the notions of perfect closures of rings and schemes. This naturally gives rise to the so-called perfection functor. The perfection functor plays a significant role in many areas of algebraic geometry, see [9], [2], [11], and [1].

For greater generality, one desires to generalize perfect schemes to the setting of algebraic spaces. Once we get perfect algebraic spaces, one would like to generalize it to perfect algebraic stacks. In [34] and [35], Xinwen Zhu formalized the notions of perfect algebraic spaces, and more generally, perfect algebraic stacks. However, Zhu's perfect algebraic spaces and perfect algebraic stacks are only defined over a perfect field of characteristic p . It would be desirable if one can extend perfect algebraic spaces and perfect algebraic stacks to be over some arbitrary base scheme. Another restriction is that Zhu's definitions depends on the Frobenius morphisms. For arbitrary algebraic space or algebraic stack X , the Frobenius morphism $X \rightarrow X$ may not make sense. One has no idea to decide the endomorphism of a set or a category to be Frobenius. In fact, we even do not know the characteristic of an algebraic space or an algebraic stack.

In [32], we define perfect algebraic spaces in a totally different approach, which turns out to solve all these problems. Next, in [33], we construct the perfection of any algebraic space in characteristic p . This naturally gives rise to the perfection functor on algebraic spaces. The perfection functor enjoys several desirable properties. It enables us to pass between the usual and the perfect world.

There are also other references concerning perfect algebraic stacks, see [24], [25], and [26]. However, they are in the setting of derived algebraic geometry and their terminologies are completely different to us.

§1.2. Results. This article is the subsequence of [32] and [33]. In this article, we will continue our research by generalizing our perfect algebraic spaces to perfect algebraic stacks. Rather than utilizing any endomorphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} to make it perfect, we will make use of the representability by algebraic spaces of 1-morphisms. This makes our approach different to all previous ones.

We begin by introducing the notions of perfect categories fibred in groupoids and perfect 1-morphisms. The material forms the foundation of the sequent sections. Our approach gives rise to several types of perfect algebraic stacks. Let S be some base scheme. Let $0 \leq i \leq 3$ be an integer. Let $AStack_S$ be the category of algebraic stacks over S .

Let $\text{Perf}AStack_S$, $\underline{\text{Perf}}AStack_S^i$, $\underline{\text{QPerf}}AStack_S^i$, $\underline{\text{SPerf}}AStack_S^i$, and $\underline{\text{StPerf}}AStack_S^i$ denote the 2-categories of perfect algebraic stacks respectively. Let $\text{Perf}DM_S$, $\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S$, $\underline{\text{QPerf}}DM_S^i$, $\underline{\text{SPerf}}DM_S^i$, and $\underline{\text{StPerf}}DM_S^i$ denote the 2-categories of perfect Deligne-Mumford stacks respectively. These 2-categories all enjoy the following desirable property, which generalizes our result in [32, Proposition 1.1].

Proposition 1.1. *The 2-categories $\text{Perf}AStack_S$, $\underline{\text{Perf}}AStack_S^i$, $\underline{\text{QPerf}}AStack_S^i$, $\underline{\text{SPerf}}AStack_S^i$, and $\underline{\text{StPerf}}AStack_S^i$ of perfect algebraic stacks are all stable under 2-fibre products. In particular, the 2-categories $\text{Perf}DM_S$, $\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S$, $\underline{\text{QPerf}}DM_S^i$, $\underline{\text{SPerf}}DM_S^i$, and $\underline{\text{StPerf}}DM_S^i$ of perfect Deligne-Mumford stacks are also stable under 2-fibre products.*

More importantly, we formalize notion of characteristic of an algebraic stack. Given an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} in characteristic p , there is a canonical map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, which is called the *algebraic Frobenius morphism* of \mathcal{X} . Although our definition of perfect algebraic stacks is completely separated from Frobenius morphisms, it recovers the following desirable statement.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with algebraic Frobenius $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then \mathcal{X} is perfect if and only if $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an equivalence.*

Every algebraic stack \mathcal{X} in characteristic p over S has a perfection \mathcal{X}^{pf} . The algebraic Frobenius morphism provides us with an explicit description of the perfection of \mathcal{X} .

Proposition 1.3. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with perfection \mathcal{X}^{pf} . Then there is an equivalence*

$$\mathcal{X}^{pf} \cong \varprojlim_{\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{X}.$$

Let $AStack^p$ be the 2-category of algebraic stacks \mathcal{X} over S with $\text{char}(\mathcal{X}) = p$. The perfection of algebraic stacks naturally gives rise to a 2-functor

$$\underline{\text{Perf}}_S : AStack^p \longrightarrow \text{Perf}AStack_S.$$

Such a 2-functor is called the *perfection 2-functor*. Note that the perfection 2-functor induces an ordinary functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S$ called the *perfection functor*. They satisfy the following desirable properties.

Proposition 1.4. *Here is a list of properties of $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S$:*

- (1) *The perfection functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S$ is full.*
- (2) *The perfection functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S$ has a right adjoint.*
- (3) *The perfection functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S$ is left exact, and thus commutes with fibre products.*
- (4) *The perfection 2-functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S$ commutes with 2-fibre products.*

The perfection 2-functor enables us to pass the properties between the usual world and the perfect world.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks in characteristic p over S . Let $f^{\natural} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ be the image of f under the perfection 2-functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S$. Then*

- (1) *f is representable by algebraic spaces, then f^{\natural} is perfect;*
- (2) *f has property \mathcal{P} , then f^{\natural} has property \mathcal{P} ;*
- (3) *f has property \mathcal{P}' if and only if f^{\natural} has property \mathcal{P}' ;*
- (4) *\mathcal{X} has property \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{X}^{pf} has property \mathcal{P} .*

Remark 1.6. Here $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ are properties of algebraic spaces or morphisms of algebraic spaces satisfying some extra conditions.

By means of Theorem 1.5, we show that the algebraic Frobenius shares the same properties as the absolute Frobenius of schemes.

Proposition 1.7. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with algebraic Frobenius $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then the following statements are satisfied:*

- (1) *$\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is representable by algebraic spaces.*
- (2) *$\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is integral, and is a universal homeomorphism.*
- (3) *$\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is surjective.*
- (4) *If \mathcal{X} is perfect, then $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is perfect.*

Finally, we compare our perfect algebraic stacks with Zhu's perfect algebraic stacks. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p and let \mathcal{ZAS}_k^{pf} be the 2-category of Zhu's perfect algebraic stacks over k . We show that there is a string of inclusions

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{ZAS}_k^{pf} \subset \text{Perf}AStack_k \subset \underline{\text{Perf}}AStack_k^2 \subset \underline{\underline{\text{QPerf}}}AStack_k^2 \subset \underline{\underline{\text{SPerf}}}AStack_k^2 \subset \underline{\underline{\text{STPerf}}}AStack_k^2.$$

In other words, our perfect algebraic stacks generalize Zhu's perfect algebraic stacks.

§1.3. Outline. In §2, we develop a theory of perfect categories fibred in groupoids and perfect 1-morphisms. This material will be applied to the following sections. Next, in §3, we begin by introducing the definitions of all kinds of perfect algebraic stacks. We show that all these perfect algebraic stacks are stable under fibre products.

In §4, we first formalize the characteristic of an algebraic stack. Then we study the properties of the canonical morphism of an algebraic stack. Most importantly, we deduce the algebraic Frobenius of an algebraic stack and prove the main Theorem 4.21.

In §5, we construct the perfection of an algebraic stack in characteristic p . Then by means of algebraic Frobenius morphisms, we show that every perfection can be described as an inverse limit. Then there is a natural notion of perfection 2-functor. We show that the perfection 2-functor enjoys several desirable properties.

Finally, in §6, we compare our theory of perfect algebraic stacks with Zhu's one. At the end, we provide an equivalence between our perfect algebraic stacks and Zhu's perfect algebraic stacks.

§1.4. Conventions. Throughout this paper, p, q, ℓ will always be prime numbers. The set of natural numbers will be $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. We will make use of the conventions in [3, Tag04XA] as follows:

- (1) Without explicitly mentioned, all schemes will be contained in Sch_{fppf} .
- (2) S will always be a fixed base scheme contained in the big fppf site Sch_{fppf} .
- (3) Sometimes, we will not distinguish between a scheme U (resp. an algebraic space X) and the algebraic stack $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow (Sch/S)_{fppf}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_X \rightarrow (Sch/S)_{fppf}$).
- (4) Sometimes, we will abbreviate 1-morphism to morphism, namely we may say $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a morphism of algebraic stacks to indicate f is a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks over base scheme S .

We will make use of the definition of algebraic stacks in [3, Tag026O]. By an *algebraic stack* X over S , we mean a stack in groupoids X over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ whose diagonal is representable by algebraic spaces, and it admits a surjective smooth map $U \rightarrow X$ from a scheme U .

In [32], one has the notions of perfect, quasi-perfect, semiperfect, and strongly perfect algebraic spaces. However, for simplicity, we will sometimes use “perfect algebraic spaces” to mean these four types of spaces. Let X, Y be two categories. Without explicitly mentioned, the notation $X \cong Y$ will mean that X is equivalent to Y .

§2. SOME PRELIMINARY OF CATEGORIES FIBRED IN GROUPOIDS

In this section, we will develop some necessary materials concerning categories fibred in groupoids and perfect schemes (algebraic spaces). All these material will be useful in the following sections. We first focus on categories fibred in groupoids that are representable by a scheme or an algebraic space.

Recall that a category fibred in groupoids \mathcal{X} over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ is said to be *representable* (resp. *representable by an algebraic space*) if there is an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \cong (Sch/U)_{fppf}$ (resp. $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$) of categories over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ for some scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ (resp. for some algebraic space F over S). We specialize these definitions and make the following definitions.

Definition 2.1. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$.*

- (1) *We say that \mathcal{X} is weakly perfect if there exists a perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ such that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \cong (Sch/U)_{fppf}$ of categories over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. In other words, \mathcal{X} is weakly perfect if it is representable by a perfect scheme.*

- (2) We say that \mathcal{X} is perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) if there exists a perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space F over S such that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$ of categories over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. In other words, \mathcal{X} is perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) if it is representable by a perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space.
- (3) We say that \mathcal{X} is representably perfect if there exists a representable perfect algebraic space F over S such that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$ of categories over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. In other words, \mathcal{X} is representably perfect if it is representable by a representable perfect algebraic space.

Remark 2.2. Note that we only define representably perfect categories fibred in groupoids, since the other corresponding notions are trivial due to [32, Lemma 3.3].

It is obvious that every weakly perfect category fibred in groupoids is perfect. Meanwhile, every strongly perfect category fibred in groupoids is both quasi-perfect and semiperfect. And every quasi-perfect category fibred in groupoids is semiperfect.

Here is the lemma that characterizes weakly perfect, perfect, quasi-perfect, and semiperfect categories fibred in groupoids.

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Then*

- (1) \mathcal{X} is weakly perfect if and only if \mathcal{X} is fibred in setoids, and the presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)/ \cong$ is a perfect algebraic space.
- (2) \mathcal{X} is perfect if and only if \mathcal{X} is fibred in setoids, and the presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)/ \cong$ is a perfect algebraic space.
- (3) \mathcal{X} is quasi-perfect if and only if \mathcal{X} is fibred in setoids, and the presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)/ \cong$ is a quasi-perfect algebraic space.
- (4) \mathcal{X} is semiperfect if and only if \mathcal{X} is fibred in setoids, and the presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)/ \cong$ is a semiperfect algebraic space.
- (5) \mathcal{X} is strongly perfect if and only if \mathcal{X} is fibred in setoids, and the presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)/ \cong$ is a strongly perfect algebraic space.

Proof. (1): Assume that \mathcal{X} is weakly perfect. It follows from [3, Tag0045] that the presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)/ \cong$ is represented by a perfect scheme. Hence, by [32, Lemma 3.3], the presheaf is a perfect algebraic space. Conversely, it follows from [3, Tag0045] again that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow (Sch/U)_{fppf}$ for some perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ such that \mathcal{X} is weakly perfect.

The rest are similar to (1) as they can also be deduced from [3, Tag0045] and [32, Lemma 3.3]. \square

Next, we focus on 1-morphisms between categories fibred in groupoids that are representable or representable by algebraic spaces. Recall that a 1-morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ is *representable* (resp. *representable by algebraic spaces*) if for any $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ and any $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, the category fibred in groupoids $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ over $(Sch/U)_{fppf}$ is a scheme (resp. an algebraic space) over U .

We then specialize these notions to the following cases.

Definition 2.4. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Then*

- (1) f is said to be 0-weakly perfect if there exists $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ such that for any $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$, the category fibred in groupoids $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect scheme over U .
- (2) f is said to be 1-weakly perfect if there exists perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ such that for any $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$, the category fibred in groupoids $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect scheme over U .
- (3) f is said to be 2-weakly perfect if for every perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ and any $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$, the category fibred in groupoids $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect scheme over U .
- (4) f is said to be 3-weakly perfect if for every $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ and any $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$, the category fibred in groupoids $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect scheme over U . In other words, f is 3-weakly perfect if it is representable by perfect schemes.
- (5) f is said to be 0-perfect (resp. 0-quasiperfect, resp. 0-semiperfect, resp. 0-strongly perfect) if there exists $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ such that for any $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$, the category fibred in groupoids $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space over U .
- (6) f is said to be 1-perfect (resp. 1-quasiperfect, resp. 1-semiperfect, resp. 1-strongly perfect) if there exists perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ such that for any $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$, the category fibred in groupoids $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space over U .
- (7) f is said to be 2-perfect (resp. 2-quasiperfect, resp. 2-semiperfect, resp. 2-strongly perfect) if for every perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ and any $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$, the category fibred in groupoids $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space over U .
- (8) f is said to be 3-perfect (resp. 3-quasiperfect, resp. 3-semiperfect, resp. 3-strongly perfect) if for every $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ and any $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$, the category fibred in groupoids $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space over U . In other words, f is 3-perfect (resp. 3-quasiperfect, resp. 3-semiperfect, resp. 3-strongly perfect) if it is representable by perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic spaces.

Remark 2.5. We will simply call *weakly perfect* (resp. *perfect*, resp. *quasiperfect*, resp. *semiperfect*, resp. *strongly perfect*) 1-morphism for any 0-weakly perfect (resp. 0-perfect, resp. 0-quasiperfect, resp. 0-semiperfect, resp. 0-strongly perfect) 1-morphism, when there is no confusion.

Clearly, every 1-perfect 1-morphism is 0-perfect. Every 2-perfect 1-morphism is 1-perfect. And every 3-perfect 1-morphism is 2-perfect. Let $0 \leq i \leq 3$ be an integer. These hold similarly for i -weakly perfect, i -quasiperfect, i -semiperfect, and i -strongly perfect 1-morphisms.

We specialize the definitions of i -perfect 1-morphisms to the following case of representable functors.

Definition 2.6. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of categories fibred in groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$ that is representable by algebraic spaces. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ and let $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$ such that the category fibred in groupoids $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by an algebraic space F over U .

- (1) Such an F is called an associated algebraic space of f .

- (2) If f is i -perfect such that the category fibred in groupoids $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{y,\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect algebraic space F over U . Then such an F is called an associated i -perfect algebraic space of f . And f is said to be representably i -perfect if all associated i -perfect algebraic spaces of f are representable.

Note that every i -weakly perfect 1-morphism is representably i -perfect. The following lemma characterizes some 1-morphisms defined above. And it is useful in the next section.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Suppose that \mathcal{Y} is representable by an algebraic space.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{X} is perfect, then the 1-morphism f is 2-perfect. In particular, if \mathcal{X} is weakly perfect, then the 1-morphism f is 2-weakly perfect.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{X} is quasi-perfect, then the 1-morphism f is 2-quasiperfect.*
- (3) *If \mathcal{X} is semiperfect, then the 1-morphism f is 2-semiperfect.*
- (4) *If \mathcal{X} is strongly perfect, then the 1-morphism f is 2-strongly perfect.*
- (5) *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are representably perfect, then the 1-morphism f is representably 2-perfect.*

Proof. (1): Choose $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F, \mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{S}_G$ where F is a perfect algebraic space and G is an algebraic space. Assume that $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ is a perfect scheme and that $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$. Then $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{y,\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_{h_U} \times_{\mathcal{S}_G} \mathcal{S}_F = \mathcal{S}_{h_U \times_G F}$. By [32, Proposition 3.7], $h_U \times_G F$ is a perfect algebraic space. Thus, $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{y,\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect algebraic space. And hence, the 1-morphism f is 2-perfect.

(2): Let F be a quasi-perfect algebraic space and G be an algebraic space such that $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F, \mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{S}_G$. Assume that $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ is a perfect scheme and that $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$. Then $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{y,\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_{h_U} \times_{\mathcal{S}_G} \mathcal{S}_F = \mathcal{S}_{h_U \times_G F}$. By [32, Proposition 3.9], $h_U \times_G F$ is a quasi-perfect algebraic space. Thus, $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{y,\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a quasi-perfect algebraic space. And hence, the 1-morphism f is 2-quasiperfect.

The rest are all similar to the proof of (1) and (2). □

In the following, we have a series of propositions which characterize categories fibred in groupoids whose diagonal morphisms are i -perfect (resp. i -quasiperfect, resp. i -semiperfect, resp. i -strongly perfect).

Proposition 2.8. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The diagonal $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is 3-perfect (resp. 3-quasiperfect, resp. 3-semiperfect, resp. 3-strongly perfect).*
- (2) *For every $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$, and any $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, the presheaf $\text{Isom}(x, y)$ is a perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space.*
- (3) *For every $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$, and any $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, the associated 1-morphism $x : (Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is 3-perfect (resp. 3-quasiperfect, resp. 3-semiperfect, resp. 3-strongly perfect).*

Proof. We just prove the first case as the rest are similar.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) : Assume that the diagonal $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is 3-perfect. Let $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ and $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$. The category $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{y,\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by a perfect algebraic space and hence is perfect. Let $x', y' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$. By [3, Tag04SI] the presheaf $\text{Isom}(x', y')$ is coincident with the presheaf given by $U \mapsto \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)/\cong$. Now, Lemma 2.3 shows that $\text{Isom}(x', y')$ is a perfect algebraic space.

Conversely, let $x', y' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$. Note that the category $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is fibred in groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}$. Then $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is fibred in setoids over $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}$ and the presheaf $\text{Isom}(x', y')$ is coincident with the presheaf given by $U \mapsto \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)/\cong$ due to [3, Tag04SI]. Now, by Lemma 2.3, $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is perfect.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3) : Assume (1). For any $V \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ and $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_V)$, we see that

$$(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{x, \mathcal{X}, y} (\text{Sch}/V)_{fppf} \cong ((\text{Sch}/U \times_S V)_{fppf}) \times_{(x, y), \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, \Delta} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F,$$

where F is a perfect algebraic space by assumption. Hence, the associated 1-morphism $x : (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is 3-perfect.

Conversely, assume (3). For any pair of objects $x, x' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, we have

$$\mathcal{X} \times_{\Delta, \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, (x, x')} (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \cong ((\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{x, \mathcal{X}, x'} (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}) \times_{(\text{Sch}/U \times_S U)_{fppf}, \Delta} (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}.$$

By assumption, the associated 1-morphism $x : (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is 3-perfect. Thus, the right hand side above is represented by a perfect algebraic space F , which implies that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\Delta, \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, (x, x')} (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$ such that the diagonal $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is 3-perfect. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The diagonal $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is 2-perfect (resp. 2-quasiperfect, resp. 2-semiperfect, resp. 2-strongly perfect).*
- (2) *For every perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$, and any $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, the presheaf $\text{Isom}(x, y)$ is a perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space.*
- (3) *For every perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$, and any $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, the associated 1-morphism $x : (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is 2-perfect (resp. 2-quasiperfect, resp. 2-semiperfect, resp. 2-strongly perfect).*

Proof. The proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 2.8. \square

Proposition 2.10. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The diagonal $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is 1-perfect (resp. 1-quasiperfect, resp. 1-semiperfect, resp. 1-strongly perfect).*
- (2) *There is perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ such that for any $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, the presheaf $\text{Isom}(x, y)$ is a perfect (resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space.*
- (3) *There is perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ such that for any $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, the associated 1-morphism $x : (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is 1-perfect (resp. 1-quasiperfect, resp. 1-semiperfect, resp. 1-strongly perfect).*

Proof. The proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 2.8. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The diagonal $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is perfect (resp. quasiperfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect).*
- (2) *There is $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ such that for any $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, the presheaf $\text{Isom}(x, y)$ is a perfect (resp. quasiperfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) algebraic space.*

- (3) There is $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ such that for any $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, the associated 1-morphism $x : (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is perfect (resp. quasiperfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect).

Proof. The proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 2.8. \square

The following lemma shows that one can pass between i -perfect (resp. i -weakly perfect, resp. i -quasiperfect, resp. i -semiperfect, resp. i -strongly perfect) 1-morphisms in any 2-commutative diagram as follows.

Lemma 2.12. *Consider the 2-commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{Y}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

of 1-morphisms over $(\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$, where the horizontal arrows are equivalences. Then

- (1) f is i -weakly perfect if and only if f' is i -weakly perfect.
- (2) f is i -perfect if and only if f' is i -perfect. In particular, f is representably i -perfect if and only if f' is representably i -perfect.
- (3) f is i -quasiperfect if and only if f' is i -quasiperfect.
- (4) f is i -semiperfect if and only if f' is i -semiperfect.
- (5) f is i -strongly perfect if and only if f' is i -strongly perfect.

Proof. We just prove (2) since the other are similar. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$. Then we have the following equivalences

$$(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}'} \mathcal{X}' \cong \mathcal{S}_F,$$

where F is an associated i -perfect algebraic space over U . This proves (2). \square

Next, one can show that i -perfect (resp. i -weakly perfect, resp. i -quasiperfect, resp. i -semiperfect, resp. i -strongly perfect) 1-morphisms are stable under compositions.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be two 1-morphisms of categories fibred in groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$.*

- (1) If f and g are i -weakly perfect, then $g \circ f$ is i -weakly perfect.
- (2) If f and g are i -perfect, then $g \circ f$ is i -perfect. In particular, if f, g are representably i -perfect, then $g \circ f$ is representably i -perfect.
- (3) If f and g are i -quasiperfect, then $g \circ f$ is i -quasiperfect.
- (4) If f and g are i -semiperfect, then $g \circ f$ is i -semiperfect.
- (5) If f and g are i -strongly perfect, then $g \circ f$ is i -strongly perfect.

Proof. (2): Without loss of generality, we take $i = 0$. Let $U, U' \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$. Since f, g are perfect, there is some perfect algebraic spaces F, F' such that $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$ and $(\text{Sch}/U')_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{S}_{F'}$. Now, $((\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}) \times_{(\text{Sch}/U')_{fppf}} ((\text{Sch}/U')_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}) \cong \mathcal{S}_F \times_{\mathcal{S}_{h_{U'}}} \mathcal{S}_{F'} = \mathcal{S}_{F \times_{h_{U'}} F'}$. But $((\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}) \times_{(\text{Sch}/U')_{fppf}} ((\text{Sch}/U')_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}) \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y} \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{X}$. Hence, $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_{F \times_{h_{U'}} F'}$. By [32, Proposition 3.7] the algebraic space $F \times_{h_{U'}} F'$ is perfect. Thus, $g \circ f$ is perfect. The second statement is clear.

(3): Without loss of generality, we take $i = 0$. Let $U, U' \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ such that there exist quasi-perfect algebraic spaces F, F' such that $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$ and $(\text{Sch}/U')_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}}$

$\mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{S}_{F'}$. Then as (1) above we have $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_{F \times_{h_U} F'}$. Now, [32, Proposition 3.9] shows that the algebraic space $F \times_{h_U} F'$ is quasi-perfect. Thus, $g \circ f$ is quasi-perfect. The second statement is clear.

(1) is a special case of (2). (4), (5) follow from (3) by replacing ‘‘quasi-perfect’’ by ‘‘semiperfect/strongly perfect’’.

Moreover, i -perfect (resp. i -weakly perfect, resp. i -quasiperfect, resp. i -semiperfect, resp. i -strongly perfect) 1-morphisms are stable under arbitrary base change.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ be categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Consider the 2-fibre product diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{b'} & \mathcal{X} \\ a' \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

- (1) *If a is i -weakly perfect, then a' is i -weakly perfect.*
- (2) *If a is i -perfect, then a' is i -perfect. In particular, if a is representably i -perfect, then a' is representably i -perfect.*
- (3) *If a is i -quasiperfect, then a' is i -quasiperfect.*
- (4) *If a is i -semiperfect, then a' is i -semiperfect.*
- (5) *If a is i -strongly perfect, then a' is i -strongly perfect.*

Proof. We will merely prove (2) as the rest are similar. Without loss of generality, take $i = 0$. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$. Since a is perfect, there is some perfect algebraic space F over U such that $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$. Now,

$$(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} (\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{Z}) \cong (Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F.$$

This shows that a' is perfect. If F is representable, then a' is representably perfect.

Proposition 2.13 implies that we can form certain kinds of 2-categories. We first check the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ which is an equivalence. Then f is 2-weakly perfect. In particular, if $1_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the identity 1-morphism, then $1_{\mathcal{X}}$ is 2-weakly perfect.*

Proof. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ be a perfect scheme. Then note that there is an equivalence $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong (Sch/U)_{fppf}$.

We can define a list of full sub 2-categories of the 2-category of categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ as follows:

Definition 2.16.

- (1) The 2-category of weakly perfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which is denoted by $\text{WPerfCat}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of weakly perfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-weakly perfect due to Lemma 2.7.
- (2) The 2-category of perfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which is denoted by $\text{PerfCat}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of perfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-perfect due to Lemma 2.7.

- (3) The 2-category of quasi-perfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which is denoted by $QPerfCat_{\mathcal{F}}$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of quasi-perfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-quasiperfect due to Lemma 2.7.
- (4) The 2-category of semiperfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which is denoted by $SPerfCat_{\mathcal{F}}$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of semiperfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-semiperfect due to Lemma 2.7.
- (5) The 2-category of strongly perfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which is denoted by $StPerfCat_{\mathcal{F}}$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of strongly perfect categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-strongly perfect due to Lemma 2.7.

One readily obtains the following lemma which says that the 2-categories in Definition 2.16 are closed under equivalences.

Lemma 2.17. *Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Suppose that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are equivalent. Then*

- (1) \mathcal{X} is weakly perfect if and only if \mathcal{Y} is weakly perfect.
- (2) \mathcal{X} is perfect (resp. representably perfect) if and only if \mathcal{Y} is perfect (resp. representably perfect).
- (3) \mathcal{X} is quasi-perfect if and only if \mathcal{Y} is quasi-perfect.
- (4) \mathcal{X} is semiperfect if and only if \mathcal{Y} is semiperfect.
- (5) \mathcal{X} is strongly perfect if and only if \mathcal{Y} is strongly perfect.

Proof. It is easy to check from definitions. □

Moreover, it is easy to see that 2-categories in Definition 2.16 are stable under fibre products.

Proposition 2.18. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be 1-morphisms of categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$.*

- (1) If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are weakly perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is weakly perfect. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $WPerfCat_{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is perfect. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $PerfCat_{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (3) If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are quasi-perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is quasi-perfect. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $QPerfCat_{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (4) If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are semiperfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is semiperfect. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $SPerfCat_{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (5) If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are strongly perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is strongly perfect. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $StPerfCat_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. By [3, Tag0041], the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a category fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Thus, the statements follow directly from [32, Proposition 3.7, Proposition 3.9] and the fact that 2-categories in Definition 2.16 are full sub 2-category of the 2-category of categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. □

§3. PERFECT ALGEBRAIC STACKS

In this section, we extend our results of perfect algebraic spaces to the setting of algebraic stacks. Here are the definitions of different kinds of perfect algebraic stacks. Let $0 \leq i \leq 3$ be an integer.

Definition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack over S .*

- (1) *Then \mathcal{X} is said to be perfect if there exist a perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ together with a surjective smooth 1-morphism $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.*
- (2) *We say \mathcal{X} is relatively i -perfect (resp. i -quasiperfect, resp. i -semiperfect, resp. i -strongly perfect) if the diagonal morphism $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is i -perfect (resp. i -quasiperfect, resp. i -semiperfect, resp. i -strongly perfect).*
- (3) *We say that \mathcal{X} is representably relatively i -perfect (resp. relatively i -weakly perfect) if the diagonal morphism $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is representably i -perfect (resp. i -weakly perfect).*
- (4) *We say \mathcal{X} is representably weakly perfect (resp. perfect, resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) if it is weakly perfect (resp. perfect, resp. quasi-perfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect) as a category fibred in groupoids.*

We specialize the above definitions to the case of Deligne-Mumford stacks.

Definition 3.2. *Let \mathcal{X} be a Deligne-Mumford stack over S and let τ be any property of an algebraic stack over S in Definition 3.1 (2)-(4). Then \mathcal{X} is said to be perfect if there exist a perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ and a surjective étale 1-morphism $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. And \mathcal{X} is said to be τ if it is τ as an algebraic stack over S .*

Remark 3.3. For simplicity, we simply call *DM stack* for a Deligne-Mumford stack.

The following lemma shows that certain kinds of Deligne-Mumford stack defined above generalize the notion of perfect algebraic spaces.

Lemma 3.4. *Let F be an algebraic space over S .*

- (1) *If F is perfect, then the associated category fibred in groupoid $p : \mathcal{S}_F \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$ is a perfect, relatively 2-perfect, and representably perfect DM stack. Furthermore, if F is representable, then \mathcal{S}_F is representably relatively 2-perfect. And if F is represented by a perfect scheme, then \mathcal{S}_F is representably weakly perfect and relatively 2-weakly perfect.*
- (2) *If F is quasi-perfect, then the associated category fibred in groupoid $p : \mathcal{S}_F \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$ is a relative 2-quasiperfect and representably quasi-perfect DM stack.*
- (3) *If F is semiperfect, then the associated category fibred in groupoid $p : \mathcal{S}_F \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$ is a relative 2-semiperfect and representably semiperfect DM stack.*
- (4) *If F is strongly perfect, then the associated category fibred in groupoid $p : \mathcal{S}_F \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$ is a relative 2-strongly perfect and representably strongly perfect DM stack.*

Proof. (1): Let $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ be a perfect scheme such that $h_U \rightarrow F$ is surjective étale. It follows from [3, Tag045A] that the 1-morphism $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_F$ is surjective étale. Hence, \mathcal{S}_F is perfect. Since F is perfect, the diagonal morphism $\mathcal{S}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{F \times F}$ is 2-perfect by Lemma 2.7. Thus, the category fibred in groupoid \mathcal{S}_F is relatively 2-perfect.

If F is representable (resp. represented by a perfect scheme), then the diagonal morphism $\mathcal{S}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{F \times F}$ is representably 2-perfect (resp. 2-weakly perfect) by Lemma 2.7. Thus, the category fibred in groupoid \mathcal{S}_F is representably relatively 2-perfect (resp. relatively 2-weakly perfect).

(2): Since F is quasi-perfect, it follows from Lemma 2.7 that the diagonal morphism $\mathcal{S}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{F \times F}$ is 2-quasiperfect. Thus, the category fibred in groupoid \mathcal{S}_F is relatively 2-quasiperfect. It is obvious that \mathcal{S}_F is quasi-perfect.

The proof of (3) and (4) are similar to (1) and (2). \square

Remark 3.5. Let “perfect” represents “perfect (resp. quasiperfect, resp. semiperfect, resp. strongly perfect)” for now. If \mathcal{X} is a relatively 3-perfect algebraic stack over S , then by virtue of Lemma 3.4, \mathcal{X} should be said to be *pseudo-perfect*, which indicates that it does not generalize perfect algebraic spaces. Moreover, by abuse, when we speak of a relatively 0-perfect algebraic stack \mathcal{X} over S , we will simply call it *relatively perfect* algebraic stack over S .

We can form a series of full sub 2-categories of the 2-category $AStack_S$ (resp. DM_S) of algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S as follows.

Definition 3.6. We have the following full sub 2-categories of the 2-category $AStack_S$ (resp. DM_S).

- (1) The 2-category of perfect algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S , which is denoted by $\text{Perf}AStack_S$ (resp. $\text{Perf}DM_S$).
- (2) The 2-category of relatively i -perfect algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S , which is denoted by $\underline{\text{Perf}}AStack_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^i$).
- (3) The 2-category of relatively i -quasiperfect algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S , which is denoted by $\underline{\text{QPerf}}AStack_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\text{QPerf}}DM_S^i$).
- (4) The 2-category of relatively i -semiperfect algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S , which is denoted by $\underline{\text{SPerf}}AStack_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\text{SPerf}}DM_S^i$).
- (5) The 2-category of relatively i -strongly perfect algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S , which is denoted by $\underline{\text{STPerf}}AStack_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\text{STPerf}}DM_S^i$).
- (6) The 2-category of representably weakly perfect DM stacks over S , which is denoted by $\widehat{\text{WPerf}}DM_S$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of weakly perfect DM stacks over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-weakly perfect due to Lemma 2.7.
- (7) The 2-category of representably perfect DM stacks over S , which is denoted by $\widehat{\text{Perf}}DM_S$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of perfect DM stacks over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-perfect due to Lemma 2.7.
- (8) The 2-category of representably quasi-perfect DM stacks over S , which is denoted by $\widehat{\text{QPerf}}DM_S$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of quasi-perfect DM stacks over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-quasiperfect due to Lemma 2.7.
- (9) The 2-category of representably semiperfect DM stacks over S , which is denoted by $\widehat{\text{SPerf}}DM_S$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of semiperfect DM stacks over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-semiperfect due to Lemma 2.7.
- (10) The 2-category of representably strongly perfect DM stacks over S , which is denoted by $\widehat{\text{StPerf}}DM_S$. Its 1-morphisms will be 1-morphisms of strongly perfect DM stacks over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$, which are automatically 2-strongly perfect due to Lemma 2.7.

Then we have the following two commutative diagrams of inclusions of categories

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{Q}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{S}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{ST}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^0 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^1 & \longrightarrow & \underline{Q}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^1 & \longrightarrow & \underline{S}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^1 & \longrightarrow & \underline{ST}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^1 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^2 & \longrightarrow & \underline{Q}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^2 & \longrightarrow & \underline{S}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^2 & \longrightarrow & \underline{ST}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^2 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^3 & \longrightarrow & \underline{Q}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^3 & \longrightarrow & \underline{S}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^3 & \longrightarrow & \underline{ST}\underline{\text{Perf}}\underline{A}Stack_S^3
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. The commutative diagram of inclusion functors

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{Q}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{S}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{ST}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^0 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^1 & \longrightarrow & \underline{Q}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^1 & \longrightarrow & \underline{S}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^1 & \longrightarrow & \underline{ST}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^1 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^2 & \longrightarrow & \underline{Q}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^2 & \longrightarrow & \underline{S}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^2 & \longrightarrow & \underline{ST}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^2 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^3 & \longrightarrow & \underline{Q}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^3 & \longrightarrow & \underline{S}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^3 & \longrightarrow & \underline{ST}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^3
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. The commutative diagram of inclusion functors

together with a string of inclusion functors

$$(3.1) \quad \widehat{\text{WPerf}}DM_S \subset \widehat{\text{Perf}}DM_S \subset \widehat{\text{QPerf}}DM_S \subset \widehat{\text{SPerf}}DM_S \subset \widehat{\text{StPerf}}DM_S.$$

The following lemma shows that all 2-categories in Definition 3.6 are closed under equivalences.

Lemma 3.7. *Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be categories fibred in groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{fpf}}$. Suppose that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are equivalent. Then*

- (1) \mathcal{X} is a perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack) if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).
- (2) \mathcal{X} is a representably perfect DM stack if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a representably perfect DM stack. In particular, \mathcal{X} is a representably weakly perfect DM stack if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a representably weakly perfect DM stack.
- (3) \mathcal{X} is a representably quasi-perfect DM stack if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a representably quasi-perfect DM stack.
- (4) \mathcal{X} is a representably semiperfect DM stack if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a representably semiperfect DM stack.
- (5) \mathcal{X} is a representably strongly perfect DM stack if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a representably strongly perfect DM stack.

- (6) \mathcal{X} is a relatively i -perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack) if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a relatively i -perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).
- (7) \mathcal{X} is a relatively i -quasiperfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack) if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a relatively i -quasiperfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).
- (8) \mathcal{X} is a relatively i -semiperfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack) if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a relatively i -semiperfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).
- (9) \mathcal{X} is a relatively i -strongly perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack) if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a relatively i -strongly algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).

Proof. By [3, Tag03YQ], \mathcal{X} is a algebraic stack (resp. DM stack) if and only if \mathcal{Y} is a algebraic stack (resp. DM stack). Let $x : (Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a surjective smooth (resp. étale) 1-morphism for $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/U)_{fppf})$ a perfect scheme. Choose an equivalence $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Consider the following 2-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Sch/U)_{fppf} & \xrightarrow{id} & (Sch/U)_{fppf} \\ x \downarrow & & \downarrow f \circ x \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

Then [3, Tag03YQ] shows that $f \circ x$ is surjective smooth (resp. étale) if and only if x is surjective smooth (resp. étale). This proves (1).

(2), (3), (4), and (5) follow directly from Lemma 2.17. The equivalence $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ yields a 2-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y} \\ \Delta_x \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_y \\ \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f \times f} & \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

whose horizontal arrows are equivalences. Then (6), (7), (8), and (9) all follow directly from Lemma 2.12. \square

There will be a series of propositions specifying the 2-fibre products of perfect algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks). We will show that all 2-categories in Definition 3.6 have 2-fibre products.

Proposition 3.8. *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S .*

- (1) *Let \mathcal{Z} be a stack in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ whose diagonal is perfect. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be 1-morphisms of stacks in groupoids. If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).*
- (2) *Let \mathcal{Z} be an algebraic stack over S . Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be 1-morphisms of algebraic stacks. If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack). In particular, if \mathcal{Z} is a perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack), then $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack) such that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a 2-fibre product in the 2-category PerfAStack_S (resp. PerfDM_S).*

Proof. It follows from [3, Tag04TF] that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is an algebraic stack. Let $U, V \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ be perfect schemes, and let $x : (Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, y : (Sch/V)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be surjective smooth

(resp. étale) morphisms. Consider the following solid 2-pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} (Sch/V)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & (Sch/V)_{fppf} & & \\
\downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow y & & \\
\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} (Sch/U)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
(Sch/U)_{fppf} & \xrightarrow{x} & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Z}
\end{array}$$

The dotted 1-morphism $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} (Sch/V)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ which is the composition of base changes of x and y is smooth (resp. étale).

(1): If the diagonal of \mathcal{Z} is perfect, it follows from Proposition 2.11 that $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} (Sch/V)_{fppf}$ is represented by a perfect algebraic space F . Let $W \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ be a perfect scheme such that $h_W \rightarrow F$ is surjective étale. Then $(Sch/W)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_F$ is surjective étale. Hence, the composition $(Sch/W)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is surjective smooth (resp. étale) such that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack). This proves (1).

(2): For the second statement, note that $\text{Perf}AStack_S$ (resp. $\text{Perf}DM_S$) is a full sub 2-category of the 2-category $AStack_S$ of algebraic stacks over S . Thus, if \mathcal{Z} is also a perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack), then $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a 2-fibre product in $\text{Perf}AStack_S$ (resp. $\text{Perf}DM_S$). \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be 1-morphisms of DM stacks.*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are representably weakly perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a representably weakly perfect DM stack. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $\widehat{\text{WPerf}DM_S}$.*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are representably perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a representably perfect DM stack. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $\widehat{\text{Perf}DM_S}$.*
- (3) *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are representably quasi-perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a representably quasi-perfect DM stack. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $\widehat{\text{QPerf}DM_S}$.*
- (4) *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are representably semiperfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a representably semiperfect DM stack. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $\widehat{\text{SPerf}DM_S}$.*
- (5) *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are representably strongly perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a representably strongly perfect DM stack. It is also a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $\widehat{\text{StPerf}DM_S}$.*

Proof. These are clear by Proposition 2.18. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let \mathcal{Z} be a stack in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ whose diagonal is representable by algebraic spaces. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S . Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be 1-morphisms of stacks in groupoids.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are relatively i -perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a relatively i -perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).*
- (2) *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are relatively i -quasiperfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a relatively i -quasiperfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).*
- (3) *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are relatively i -semiperfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a relatively i -semiperfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).*
- (4) *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are relatively i -strongly perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a relatively i -strongly perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).*

Proof. (1): Without loss of generality, take $i = 0$. First, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a algebraic stack (resp. DM stack), see the proof of [3, Tag04TF]. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$. Let u, v be objects in $(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y})_U$. Then one can write $u = (x, y, \alpha)$ and $v = (x', y', \alpha')$ where $\alpha : f(x) \rightarrow g(y)$ is an isomorphism, similar for α' . It is clear that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Isom}(u, v) & \longrightarrow & \text{Isom}(y, y') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Isom}(x, x') & \longrightarrow & \text{Isom}(f(x), g(y')) \end{array}$$

is Cartesian. By Proposition 2.8, $\text{Isom}(y, y')$, $\text{Isom}(x, x')$, $\text{Isom}(f(x), g(y'))$ are perfect algebraic space. Thus, $\text{Isom}(u, v)$ is also a perfect algebraic space such that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is relatively perfect.

The proof of (2), (3), (4) is similar to (1). \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be 1-morphisms of algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S .*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are relatively i -perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a relatively i -perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack). It is also a 2-fibre product is the 2-category $\underline{\text{Perf}}\text{AStack}_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\text{Perf}}\text{DM}_S^i$).*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are relatively i -quasiperfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a relatively i -quasiperfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack). It is also a 2-fibre product is the 2-category $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}\text{Perf}\text{AStack}_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}\text{Perf}\text{DM}_S^i$).*
- (3) *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are relatively i -semiperfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a relatively i -semiperfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack). It is also a 2-fibre product is the 2-category $\underline{\mathcal{S}}\text{Perf}\text{AStack}_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\mathcal{S}}\text{Perf}\text{DM}_S^i$).*
- (4) *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are relatively i -strongly perfect, then the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a relatively i -strongly perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack). It is also a 2-fibre product is the 2-category $\underline{\mathcal{ST}}\text{Perf}\text{AStack}_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\mathcal{ST}}\text{Perf}\text{DM}_S^i$).*

Proof. We just prove (1) as the other are the same. First, it follows from the stronger Proposition 3.10 that the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a relatively i -perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack). Then the fact that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}$ is a 2-fibre product in the 2-category $\underline{\text{Perf}}\text{AStack}_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\text{Perf}}\text{DM}_S^i$) follows formally from the fact that the 2-category $\underline{\text{Perf}}\text{AStack}_S^i$ (resp. $\underline{\text{Perf}}\text{DM}_S^i$) is a full sub 2-category of the 2-category AStack_S of algebraic stacks over S . \square

§4. ALGEBRAIC FROBENIUS MORPHISMS

In this section, we extend the notion of algebraic Frobenius morphisms of algebraic spaces to the setting of algebraic stacks. This enables us to describe a perfect algebraic stack \mathcal{X} in terms of the endomorphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

As the usual case, the Frobenius morphism of an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} only makes sense when \mathcal{X} has characteristic p . So we first need to formalize the characteristic of a given category fibred in groupoids whose diagonal is representable by algebraic spaces.

Definition 4.1. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$ whose diagonal is representable by algebraic spaces.*

- (1) *We say that \mathcal{X} has characteristic p if \mathcal{X} is nonempty and there exists a surjective smooth 1-morphism $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ where $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ has characteristic p .*

(2) \mathcal{X} is said to have characteristic 0 if \mathcal{X} is nonempty and for every surjective smooth 1-morphism $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ with $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$, U is not an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme.

We will use $\text{char}(\mathcal{X})$ to indicate the characteristic of \mathcal{X} .

The following lemma ensures that the characteristic of a category fibred in groupoids is independent of the choice of smooth atlases.

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ whose diagonal is representable by algebraic spaces. Suppose that there are two surjective smooth 1-morphisms $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $(Sch/V)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ where $U, V \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ have characteristics p, q . Then we have $p = q$.*

Proof. Consider the 2-fibre product $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} (Sch/V)_{fppf} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$ for some algebraic space F over S . Choose a surjective étale map $W \rightarrow F$ for some scheme $W \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$. Then the composition $(Sch/W)_{fppf} \rightarrow (Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} (Sch/V)_{fppf} \rightarrow (Sch/U)_{fppf}$ gives rise to a unique morphism of schemes $W \rightarrow U$ such that W is an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme. Similarly, another morphism of schemes $W \rightarrow V$ makes W an \mathbb{F}_q -scheme. This shows that $p = q$. \square

One observes the following lemma concerning morphisms of algebraic stacks in different characteristics.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ whose diagonals are representable by algebraic spaces. Suppose that \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} have characteristics p, q . Then we have $p = q$.*

Proof. Let $(Sch/V)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a surjective smooth 1-morphism where $V \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ has characteristic q . It follows from [3, Tag04T1] that there exist $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ and a 2-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Sch/U)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & (Sch/V)_{fppf} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

where $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is surjective smooth. This shows that U is an \mathbb{F}_q -scheme. Now, choose a surjective smooth 1-morphism $(Sch/W)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ where $W \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ is an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme. Then by Lemma 4.2, we have $p = q$. \square

The following proposition shows that one can pass between the characteristic of algebraic spaces and categories fibred in groupoids.

Proposition 4.4. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ whose diagonal is representable by algebraic spaces. Let F be an algebraic space over S . Suppose that \mathcal{X} is representable by F . Then \mathcal{X} has characteristic p if and only if F has characteristic p .*

Proof. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ in characteristic p . Assume that F has characteristic p . Then by assumption, there is a surjective étale 1-morphism $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_F$. Thus, the composition $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is surjective étale such that \mathcal{X} has characteristic p . Conversely, if \mathcal{X} has characteristic p , then the composition $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_F$ is surjective smooth. Thus, F has characteristic p . \square

Next, we want to use the similar method as in [32, Lemma 4.5] to define the algebraic Frobenius of algebraic stacks. However, the resulted canonical 1-morphism does not share all

our desired properties. Still, such a canonical 1-morphism has some other useful properties. Here is the lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Let $p_0 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, p_1 : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, p_2 : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, p_3 : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be categories over \mathcal{C} . Let $a : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}, b : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}', c : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ be 1-morphisms. Then there exists a 1-morphism $d : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathcal{Y} \\ c \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\ \mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathcal{Y}' \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover, if a, b, c are equivalences, then d is an equivalence.

Proof. Let $a : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}), c : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}'), b : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}') \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}')$ be object-functions. Then the object-function $d : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}')$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} d(a(x)) = b(c(x)), & \text{for all } x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}); \\ d(y) = x_0, & \text{for all } y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}) \setminus \text{Im}(a) \text{ and some } x_0 \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}'). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that d is well-defined.

Fix $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X})$. Let $a : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}}(ax, ay), c : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}'}(cx, cy), b : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}'}(cx, cy) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}'}(bx, by)$ be arrow-functions. Then the arrow-function $d : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}'}(dx, dy)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} d(a(x')) = b(c(x')), & \text{for all } x' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, y); \\ d(y') = x'_0, & \text{for all } y' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}}(x, y) \setminus \text{Im}(a) \text{ and some } x'_0 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}'}(x, y). \end{cases}$$

These give us the desired functor d . And we can show that d is over \mathcal{C} . \square

The lemma applies to the following two statements.

Proposition 4.6. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf}$ which is representable by an algebraic space F over S . Suppose that F has characteristic p with algebraic Frobenius morphism $\Psi_F : F \rightarrow F$. Then there exists a 1-morphism $\psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_F & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Psi_F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathcal{S}_F & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 4.5. \square

Proposition 4.7. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids with representable diagonal in characteristic p over S . Let $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a surjective smooth 1-morphism for $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ in characteristic p . Then there exists a 1-morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ that fits into the commutative dotted diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_U} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \\ (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

where Φ_U denotes the absolute Frobenius morphism of U .

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 4.5. \square

If we speak of a category fibred in groupoids representable by an algebraic space, then the induced 1-morphisms in Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 4.6 are equivalent.

Proposition 4.8. *Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids in characteristic p over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Suppose that \mathcal{X} is representable by an algebraic space F over S . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the 1-morphisms $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ in Proposition 4.7 and the 1-morphisms $\psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ in Proposition 4.6.*

Proof. Here is the commutative diagram in Proposition 4.7.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Sch/U)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_U} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \\ (Sch/U)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

This gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & F \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_U} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_F \\ U & \longrightarrow & F \end{array}$$

where $U \rightarrow F$ is surjective étale. Thus, Ψ_F is the algebraic Frobenius of F and $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the 1-morphism that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Psi_F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathcal{S}_F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

commute, i.e. $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} = \psi_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Now, consider the commutative diagram in Proposition 4.6

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_F & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Psi_F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathcal{S}_F & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

This gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Sch/U)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_U} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \\ (Sch/U)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

Thus, we have $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} = \psi_{\mathcal{X}}$. \square

Now, we make the definition of canonical morphisms of algebraic stacks.

Definition 4.9. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of characteristic p over S . The canonical morphism of \mathcal{X} is one of the induced 1-morphisms $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ as in Proposition 4.7.*

The following statement provides us with an alternative description of a perfect algebraic stack that is representable by an algebraic space.

Proposition 4.10. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of characteristic p over S with canonical morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. If \mathcal{X} is representably perfect, then $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is an equivalence. Conversely, if $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is an equivalence and \mathcal{X} is representable by an algebraic space over S , then \mathcal{X} is representably perfect.*

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Lemma 4.5. Conversely, if $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is an equivalence, then it can be shown that \mathcal{S}_{Ψ_F} is an equivalence. Thus, Ψ_F is an isomorphism such that F is perfect. This shows that \mathcal{X} is representably perfect. \square

The following statement provides us with an alternative description of a perfect algebraic stack that is representable by a presheaf of sets.

Proposition 4.11. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of characteristic p over S . Suppose that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$ for some presheaf of sets F on $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Then \mathcal{X} is perfect if and only if $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{X} is perfect. Let $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a surjective smooth 1-morphism for $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ of characteristic p . Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_U & \xrightarrow{f} & F \\ h(\Phi_U) \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ h_U & \xrightarrow{f} & F \end{array}$$

where f is surjective smooth and Φ_U is the absolute Frobenius of U . Next, it follows from [3, Tag0BGR] that F is an algebraic space. Let $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a conservative family of points. Now, consider the following commutative diagram of stalks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_{U,p_i} & \xrightarrow{f_{p_i}} & F_{p_i} \\ h(\Phi_U)_{p_i} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ h_{U,p_i} & \xrightarrow{f_{p_i}} & F_{p_i} \end{array}$$

where $p_i \in \{p_i\}_{i \in I}$. One can show that $F_{p_i} \rightarrow F_{p_i}$ is bijective. Thus, $F \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism such that $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an equivalence. Conversely, if $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an equivalence, then $F \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism such that $F_{p_i} \rightarrow F_{p_i}$ is bijective. This shows that $h(\Phi_U)_{p_i} : h_{U,p_i} \rightarrow h_{U,p_i}$ is bijective. Hence, $h(\Phi_U)$ is an isomorphism such that U is perfect. This implies that \mathcal{X} is perfect. \square

The above theorem immediately gives rise to the following proposition.

Proposition 4.12. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of characteristic p over S . If \mathcal{X} is perfect, then $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is 2-perfect (resp. 2-quasiperfect, resp. 2-semiperfect, resp. 2-strongly perfect).*

Proof. If $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is an equivalence, then we have $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{x,\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X} \cong (Sch/U)_{fppf}$ for perfect scheme $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ and $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$. Thus, $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is 2-perfect (resp. 2-quasiperfect, resp. 2-semiperfect, resp. 2-strongly perfect). \square

The canonical morphism of an algebraic stack is representable by algebraic spaces.

Proposition 4.13. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with canonical morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is representable by algebraic spaces.*

Proof. If \mathcal{X} is an empty algebraic stack, then the canonical morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is trivially representable. Now, assume that $\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$. Let $U, V \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ be nonempty schemes. Choose an equivalence $\mathcal{S}_F \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} (\text{Sch}/V)_{fppf}$ for some algebraic space F over S . Consider the composition $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_F$. Then we have an equivalence

$$((\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}) \times_{\mathcal{S}_F} ((\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} (\text{Sch}/V)_{fppf}) \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}.$$

Choose $W \simeq U \times_F U$ for some $W \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$. Then there are equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}) \times_{\mathcal{S}_F} ((\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} (\text{Sch}/V)_{fppf}) \\ & \cong (\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{S}_{U \times_F U}) \times_{\mathcal{X}} (\text{Sch}/V)_{fppf} \\ & \cong \mathcal{S}_{U \times_F U} \times_{\mathcal{X}} (\text{Sch}/V)_{fppf} \\ & \cong (\text{Sch}/W)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} (\text{Sch}/V)_{fppf} \\ & \cong \mathcal{S}_G \end{aligned}$$

for some algebraic space G over S . Thus, we have $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{S}_G$. \square

The canonical morphism of an algebraic stack is necessarily surjective.

Lemma 4.14. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of characteristic p over S with canonical morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ of characteristic p together with a surjective smooth 1-morphism $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow |\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*| \\ |(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}| \end{array}$$

of points of algebraic stacks, where the horizontal arrows and the left vertical arrow are surjective. Thus, $|\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*|$ is surjective such that $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is surjective. \square

The canonical morphism of a perfect algebraic stack induces a homeomorphism of the underlying topological space.

Lemma 4.15. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of characteristic p over S . If \mathcal{X} is perfect, then $|\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*| : |\mathcal{X}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{X}|$ is a homeomorphism of the underlying topological space.*

Proof. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ be a perfect scheme together with a surjective smooth 1-morphism $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. First, it follows from Proposition 4.14 that $|\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*|$ is surjective. So one just need to show that $|\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*|$ is injective. Assume that \mathcal{X} is perfect. Then $f : |(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}| \rightarrow |(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}|$ is a homeomorphism with an inverse $f^{-1} : |(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}| \rightarrow$

$|(Sch/U)_{fppf}|$. This induces the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 |(Sch/U)_{fppf}| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}| \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow |\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*| \\
 |(Sch/U)_{fppf}| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}| \\
 f^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 |(Sch/U)_{fppf}| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{X}|
 \end{array}$$

which implies that $|\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*|$ has a left inverse such that $|\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*|$ is injective. Thus, $|\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*|$ is a homeomorphism. \square

The following lemma characterizes the property of canonical morphism.

Lemma 4.16. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of characteristic p over S with canonical morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. If \mathcal{X} is representably perfect, then $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is 2-weakly perfect and representably 2-perfect.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.10 that $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is an equivalence. Thus, $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ is 2-weakly perfect and representably 2-perfect by Lemma 2.15. \square

Suppose that we are given an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} in characteristic p over S . Let $f : (Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a surjective smooth 1-morphism for $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ in characteristic p . Consider the 2-fibre product $\mathcal{R} = (Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{f, \mathcal{X}, f} (Sch/U)_{fppf}$. Choose an equivalence $\mathcal{S}_F \cong \mathcal{R}$ for some algebraic space F in characteristic p over U . Let $s, t : F \rightarrow h_U$ be morphisms corresponding to the projections $pr_0, pr_1 : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow (Sch/U)_{fppf}$, which are surjective smooth. Let $c : F \times_{s, h_U, t} F \rightarrow F$ be the morphism corresponding to the projection $pr_{02} : \mathcal{R} \times_{pr_0, (Sch/U)_{fppf}, pr_1} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. The quintuple (h_U, F, s, t, c) forms a smooth groupoid in algebraic spaces over S . Moreover, the 1-morphism f gives rise to an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \cong [h_U/F]$ of stacks in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Similarly, any DM stack \mathcal{X} in characteristic p over S gives rise to an étale groupoid in algebraic spaces (h_U, F, s, t, c) over S together with an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \cong [h_U/F]$.

Lemma 4.17. *Consider the smooth groupoid in algebraic spaces (h_U, F, s, t, c) as above. The map $\Psi_f : (h_U, F, s, t, c) \rightarrow (h_U, F, s, t, c)$ given by the Frobenius $\Phi_U : h_U \rightarrow h_U$ and the algebraic Frobenius $\Psi_F : F \rightarrow F$ is an endomorphism of the groupoid in algebraic spaces (h_U, F, s, t, c) .*

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi : h_V \rightarrow F$ is a surjective étale map for $V \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ in characteristic p and that $e : h_U \rightarrow F$ is the identity of the groupoid in algebraic spaces (h_U, F, s, t, c) . Then the composition $h_V \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \xrightarrow{s} h_U$ comes from a unique morphism of schemes $V \rightarrow U$. In other words, the morphism $s : F \rightarrow h_U$ is induced by the morphism of schemes $V \rightarrow U$. Thus, it follows from [32, Proposition 4.10] that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F & \xrightarrow{\Psi_F} & F \\
 s \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\
 h_U & \xrightarrow{\Phi_U} & h_U
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Similarly, we can show that $t \circ \Psi_F = \Phi_U \circ t$ and that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_U & \xrightarrow{e} & F \\ \Phi_U \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_F \\ h_U & \xrightarrow{e} & F \end{array}$$

commutes. Note that there is another commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_U & \xrightarrow{e} & F \\ e \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ h_U & \xrightarrow{t} & F \end{array}$$

which gives rise to a unique morphism $h_U \rightarrow F \times_{s, h_U, t} F$. Thus, the second diagram can be factored into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} h_U & \longrightarrow & F \times_{s, h_U, t} F & \xrightarrow{c} & F \\ \Phi_U \downarrow & & \downarrow (\Psi_F, \Psi_F) & & \downarrow \Psi_F \\ h_U & \longrightarrow & F \times_{s, h_U, t} F & \xrightarrow{c} & F \end{array}$$

This shows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \times_{s, h_U, t} F & \xrightarrow{c} & F \\ (\Psi_F, \Psi_F) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_F \\ F \times_{s, h_U, t} F & \xrightarrow{c} & F \end{array}$$

commutes. □

The morphism $\Psi_f : (h_U, F, s, t, c) \rightarrow (h_U, F, s, t, c)$ is called the *algebraic Frobenius morphism* of (h_U, F, s, t, c) . It induces a canonical 1-morphism $[\Psi_f] : [h_U/F] \rightarrow [h_U/F]$ of the quotient stack $[h_U/F]$. Thus, we obtain a canonical 1-morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of the algebraic stack \mathcal{X} .

Definition 4.18. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of characteristic p over S . The algebraic Frobenius morphism of \mathcal{X} is the canonical 1-morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ as above.*

The following proposition shows that perfect algebraic stacks is the same as relatively 1-perfect algebraic stacks.

Proposition 4.19. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S . Then \mathcal{X} is perfect if and only if \mathcal{X} is relatively 1-perfect.*

Proof. Consider the smooth groupoid in algebraic spaces (h_U, F, s, t, c) together with an equivalence $[h_U/F] \cong \mathcal{X}$ as above. Let $h_V \rightarrow F$ be a surjective étale map for $V \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ in characteristic p . Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} h_V & \longrightarrow & F & \xrightarrow{s} & h_U \\ \Phi_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_F & & \downarrow \Phi_U \\ h_V & \longrightarrow & F & \xrightarrow{s} & h_U \end{array}$$

Thus, it follows from [32, Lemma 5.10] that Φ_U is an isomorphism if and only if Ψ_F is an isomorphism. This shows that the category fibred in groupoid $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{f, \mathcal{X}, f} (Sch/U)_{fppf}$ over $(Sch/U)_{fppf}$ is representable by a perfect algebraic space if and only if \mathcal{X} is perfect. \square

This induces an equivalence between the 2-category of perfect algebraic stacks and the 2-category of relatively 1-perfect algebraic stacks.

Theorem 4.20. *The 2-category of perfect algebraic stacks over S is equivalent to the 2-category of relatively 1-perfect algebraic stacks over S , i.e. $\underline{\text{Perf}}AStack_S^1 \cong \text{Perf}AStack_S$.*

Proof. The statement follows from Proposition 4.19 and definitions. \square

We arrive at the following theorem which provides us with an equivalent definition of perfect algebraic stacks.

Theorem 4.21. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with algebraic Frobenius $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then \mathcal{X} is perfect if and only if $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. Consider the smooth groupoid in algebraic spaces (h_U, F, s, t, c) together with an equivalence $[h_U/F] \cong \mathcal{X}$ as above. Suppose that \mathcal{X} is perfect. Then it follows from Proposition 4.19 that Ψ_f is an isomorphism such that $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an equivalence. Conversely, if $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an equivalence, then it follows from [3, Tag046T] that (h_U, F, s, t, c) is the restriction of (h_U, F, s, t, c) via the Frobenius $\Phi_U : h_U \rightarrow h_U$. In other words, for each $T \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$, the functor of a groupoid $(h_U(T), F(T), s, t, c) \rightarrow (h_U(T), F(T), s, t, c)$ is fully faithful, which implies that the algebraic Frobenius $\Psi_F : F \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism. By Proposition 4.19, Φ_U is an isomorphism if and only if Ψ_F is an isomorphism. Thus, \mathcal{X} is perfect. \square

We readily deduce the following corollary in terms of the above results.

Corollary 4.22. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with algebraic Frobenius $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{X} is perfect;
- (2) $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence;
- (3) \mathcal{X} is relatively 1-perfect.

Proof. Combine Proposition 4.19 with Theorem 4.21. \square

§5. PERFECTION OF ALGEBRAIC STACKS

In this section, we focus on the perfection of algebraic stacks, which extends our theory of perfection of algebraic spaces in [33]. First, we observe the following lemma on the perfection of categories fibred in groupoids which are representable by algebraic spaces.

Lemma 5.1. *Let F be an algebraic space in characteristic p over S . Then we have*

$$\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}_F = \mathcal{S}_{\lim_{n \geq 0} F} = \mathcal{S}_{F^{pf}},$$

where the transition maps are algebraic Frobenius.

Proof. This follows from the equivalence of categories between the category of presheaves of sets over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ and the category of categories fibred in sets over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. \square

Here is the definition of perfections of categories fibred in groupoids representable by an algebraic space.

Definition 5.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a category fibred in groupoids in characteristic p over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$. Suppose that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{S}_F \cong \mathcal{X}$ for some algebraic space F in characteristic p over S . Then the perfection of \mathcal{X} is any category fibred in groupoids \mathcal{X}^{pf} over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ with an equivalence $\mathcal{X}^{pf} \cong \mathcal{S}_{F^{pf}}$.

Remark 5.3. Let $F^{pf} \rightarrow F$ be the canonical projection of F^{pf} . This induces a canonical morphism $p_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which is called the *canonical projection* of \mathcal{X}^{pf} .

Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S . Let $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ of characteristic p with surjective smooth 1-morphism $f : (Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Let F be an algebraic space representing the 2-fibre product $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{f, \mathcal{X}, f} (Sch/U)_{fppf}$. Recall that in §5, every algebraic stack \mathcal{X} gives rise to a smooth groupoid (h_U, F, s, t, c) in algebraic spaces such that there is an equivalence $[h_U/F] \cong \mathcal{X}$, where $s, t : F \rightarrow h_U$ are surjective smooth morphisms and $c : F \times_{s, h_U, t} F \rightarrow F$ denotes the projection to the second F . The following theorem ensures that the perfection of arbitrary algebraic stack in characteristic p exists.

Theorem 5.4. Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack (resp. a DM stack) in characteristic p over S . Let $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ be a scheme in characteristic p . Let (h_U, F, s, t, c) be a smooth (resp. an étale) groupoid in algebraic spaces over S as above such that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \cong [h_U/F]$. Then the quotient stack $[h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$ is a perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack).

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{X} is an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S . First, we see that the quotient stack $[h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$ is a stack in groupoids by construction. By [33, Lemma 7.2], the perfection $(h_U^{pf}, F^{pf}, s^{\sharp}, t^{\sharp}, c^{\sharp})$ is still a groupoid in algebraic spaces over S . Thus, the diagonal of $[h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$ is representable by algebraic spaces, see [3, Tag04WZ].

Let $T \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ and let $x : (Sch/T)_{fppf} \rightarrow [h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$ be a 1-morphism. Next, we just need to show that the projection

$$(Sch/T)_{fppf} \times_{[h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]} (Sch/U^{pf})_{fppf} \longrightarrow (Sch/T)_{fppf}$$

is surjective smooth. Assume that x comes from $x : T \rightarrow h_U^{pf}$. Then it follows from the proof of [3, Tag04X0] that we have an equality

$$(Sch/T)_{fppf} \times_{[h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]} (Sch/U^{pf})_{fppf} = \mathcal{S}_{F^{pf}} \times_{(Sch/U^{pf})_{fppf}} (Sch/T)_{fppf}.$$

Now, consider the Cartesian diagram as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{pf} \times_{s^{\sharp}, h_U^{pf}, x} T & \longrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow x \\ F \times_{h_U} h_U^{pf} \simeq F^{pf} & \xrightarrow{s^{\sharp}} & h_U^{pf} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F & \xrightarrow{s} & h_U \end{array}$$

The projection $F^{pf} \times_{s^{\sharp}, h_U^{pf}, x} T \rightarrow T$ is smooth as the base change of the smooth morphism $s : F \rightarrow h_U$ of algebraic spaces. And it is surjective since s^{\sharp} admits a section. Therefore, the 1-morphism $x : (Sch/U^{pf})_{fppf} \rightarrow [h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$ is surjective smooth. This proves that the quotient stack $[h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$ is a perfect algebraic stack.

For the second statement, note that $(h_U^{pf}, F^{pf}, s^\sharp, t^\sharp, c^\sharp)$ is an étale groupoid in algebraic spaces by [33, Proposition 7.9]. Thus, it follows from [3, Tag04TK] that the quotient stack $[h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$ is an algebraic stack. And one can show that the 1-morphism $(Sch/U^{pf})_{fppf} \rightarrow [h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$ is surjective étale. This proves that the quotient stack $[h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$ is a perfect DM stack. \square

Consider the canonical projection $p : (h_U^{pf}, F^{pf}, s^\sharp, t^\sharp, c^\sharp) \rightarrow (h_U, F, s, t, c)$. This induces a canonical 1-morphism of quotient stacks $[p] : [h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}] \rightarrow [h_U/F]$. Now, we can make the definition of perfection of algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks).

Definition 5.5. *Consider the situation as in Theorem 5.4. The perfection of \mathcal{X} is any perfect algebraic stack (resp. DM stack) \mathcal{X}^{pf} over S such that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{X}^{pf} \cong [h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}]$. The canonical 1-morphism $p_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ induced by $[p]$ above is called the canonical projection of \mathcal{X}^{pf} .*

The following proposition shows that the perfection of an algebraic stack (resp. a DM stack) in characteristic p can be described as an inverse limit.

Proposition 5.6. *Consider the situation as in Theorem 5.4. Let Ψ_f be the algebraic Frobenius of (h_U, F, s, t, c) and let $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the algebraic Frobenius of \mathcal{X} . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$[h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}] \cong \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [h_U/F].$$

where the transition maps are algebraic Frobenius $[\Psi_f] : [h_U/F] \rightarrow [h_U/F]$. Moreover, we have the following string of equivalences

$$\mathcal{X}^{pf} \cong [h_U^{pf}/F^{pf}] \cong \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [h_U/F] \cong \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{X},$$

where the transition maps of the second limit are algebraic Frobenius $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Consider the category fibred in groupoids $[h_U^{pf}/_p F^{pf}] = [\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_U/_p \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F]$ which corresponds to the presheaf of groupoids

$$\begin{aligned} (Sch/S)_{fppf}^{opp} &\longrightarrow \text{Groupoids} \\ S' &\longmapsto \left(\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_U(S'), \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F(S'), s^\sharp, t^\sharp, c^\sharp \right). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $[\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_U/_p \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F] = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [h_U/_p F]$. First, it is easy to check that there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Ob}([\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_U/_p \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F]) = \text{Ob}(\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [h_U/_p F]).$$

Moreover, for $x, y \in \text{Ob}([\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_U/_p \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F])$, there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{[\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_U/_p \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F]}(x, y) = \text{Hom}_{\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [h_U/_p F]}(x, y).$$

Thus, we obtain an isomorphism of categories fibred in groupoids

$$\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [h_U/_p F] = [\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_U/_p \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F].$$

By [36, Proposition 2.1.9], limits commute with stackification. This shows that there is an isomorphism of quotient stacks

$$\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [h_U/F] = [\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_U / \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F].$$

\square

The perfection of an algebraic stack (resp. a DM stack) in characteristic p satisfies the following universal property.

Corollary 5.7. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack (resp. a DM stack) in characteristic p over S with perfection \mathcal{X}^{pf} . Suppose that we are given a perfect algebraic stack \mathcal{Y} over S together with a 1-morphism $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique 1-morphism $f^{pf} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{pf}$ such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}^{pf} & \xleftarrow{f^{pf}} & \mathcal{Y} \\ & \searrow p_{\mathcal{X}} & \swarrow f \\ & \mathcal{X} & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 5.6 and [36, Proposition 2.1.4]. \square

We record here a lemma that will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 5.8. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack (resp. a DM stack) of characteristic p over S with perfection \mathcal{X}^{pf} . Then there is an isomorphism $(\mathcal{X}^{pf})^{pf} \cong \mathcal{X}^{pf}$.*

Proof. It can be shown using universal properties of \mathcal{X}^{pf} and $(\mathcal{X}^{pf})^{pf}$ that $(\mathcal{X}^{pf})^{pf}$ satisfies the same universal property as \mathcal{X}^{pf} . \square

Next, we prove the functoriality of perfection of algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks).

Lemma 5.9. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) in characteristic p over S . Then f induces a canonical 1-morphism $f^{\natural} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ of perfect algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) over S such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}^{pf} & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{X}}} & \mathcal{X} \\ f^{\natural} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{Y}^{pf} & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{Y}}} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. This follows directly from the universal property described in Corollary 5.7 that there exists a unique 1-morphism $f^{\natural} = (f \circ p_{\mathcal{X}})^{pf}$ such that $p_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ f^{\natural} = f \circ p_{\mathcal{X}}$. \square

Let $AStack_S^p$ (resp. DM_S^p) be the 2-category of algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) in characteristic p over S . It is a sub 2-category of the 2-category $AStack_S^p$ (resp. DM_S^p) defined as follows:

- (1) Its objects will be algebraic stacks (resp. DM stacks) \mathcal{X} over S with $\text{char}(\mathcal{X}) = p$.
- (2) Its 1-morphisms will be functors of categories over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$.
- (3) Its 2-morphisms will be transformations between functors over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$.

Then there is a natural 2-functor

$$\text{Perf}_S^{\mathbb{A}} : AStack_S^p \longrightarrow \text{Perf}AStack_S, \quad \mathcal{X} \longmapsto \mathcal{X}^{pf}$$

that to each 1-morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, it assigns the canonical 1-morphism $f^{\natural} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ as in Lemma 5.9. And to each 2-morphism in $AStack_S^p$, it associates some 2-morphism in $\text{Perf}AStack_S$. Such a 2-functor is called the *algebraic perfection 2-functor*. When we speak of

the *algebraic perfection functor*, we mean the ordinary functor between the underlying categories induced by the algebraic perfection 2-functor.

Similarly, there is another natural 2-functor

$$\underline{\text{Perf}}_S^{\mathbb{D}} : DM_S^p \longrightarrow \text{Perf}DM_S, \quad \mathcal{X} \longmapsto \mathcal{X}^{pf}.$$

Such a 2-functor is called the *DM perfection 2-functor*. When we speak of the *DM perfection functor*, we mean the ordinary functor between the underlying categories induced by the DM perfection 2-functor.

Let $i : \text{Perf}DM_S \rightarrow DM_S^p$ be the inclusion functor. The following proposition characterizes the property of the DM perfection functor.

Proposition 5.10. *The DM perfection functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S^{\mathbb{D}}$ is right adjoint to the inclusion functor i . In other words, for any $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Ob}(\text{Perf}DM_S)$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Ob}(DM_S^p)$, there exists a functorial bijection*

$$\text{Hom}_{DM_S^p}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Perf}DM_S}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}^{pf}), \quad f \longmapsto f^{pf}.$$

Proof. The inverse function is given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Perf}DM_S}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}^{pf}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{DM_S^p}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), \quad g \longmapsto g \circ p_{\mathcal{Y}},$$

where $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Ob}(\text{Perf}DM_S)$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Ob}(DM_S^p)$.

Next, we will show that the bijection defined above is functorial. Let $B' \xrightarrow{h} B$ be a morphism in $\text{Perf}DM_S$. Let $B \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{g} A'$ be morphisms in DM_S^p . Let A^{pf}, A'^{pf} be the perfections of A, A' . It follows from Lemma 5.9 that $gp_A = p_{A'}g^{\natural}$, which implies that $gp_A f^{pf} h = p_{A'} g^{\natural} f^{pf} h$. Then the universal property of the perfection A^{pf} yields $g \circ f \circ h = p_{A'} \circ g^{\natural} \circ f^{pf} \circ h$. Finally, by the universal property of A'^{pf} , we have $p_{A'} \circ (g \circ f \circ h) = p_{A'} \circ (g^{\natural} \circ f^{pf} \circ h)$. The uniqueness requirement yields $g \circ f \circ h = g^{\natural} \circ f^{pf} \circ h$. Thus, the bijection is natural in both X and Y . \square

Let $i' : \text{Perf}A\text{Stack}_S \rightarrow A\text{Stack}_S^p$ be the inclusion functor. The following proposition characterizes the property of the algebraic perfection functor.

Proposition 5.11. *The algebraic perfection functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S^{\mathbb{A}}$ is right adjoint to the inclusion functor i' . In other words, for any $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Ob}(\text{Perf}A\text{Stack}_S)$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Ob}(A\text{Stack}_S^p)$, there exists a functorial bijection*

$$\text{Hom}_{A\text{Stack}_S^p}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Perf}A\text{Stack}_S}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}^{pf}), \quad f \longmapsto f^{pf}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 5.10. \square

In practice, $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S^{\mathbb{A}}$ shares almost the same properties with $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S^{\mathbb{D}}$. Therefore, in the following, we will not distinguish between the algebraic perfection 2-functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S^{\mathbb{A}}$ and the DM perfection 2-functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S^{\mathbb{D}}$. We will simply call $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S^{\mathbb{A}}$ the *perfection 2-functor* and denote it by $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S$. When we speak of the *perfection functor*, we mean the ordinary functor induced by the perfection 2-functor. Moreover, we will only state the results on algebraic stacks though they also hold for DM stacks.

The following lemma shows that the perfection functor $\underline{\text{Perf}}_S$ is full but not faithful.

Lemma 5.12. *Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be algebraic stacks in characteristic p over S with perfections $\mathcal{X}^{pf}, \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$. Let $f : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ be a 1-morphism of perfect algebraic stacks over S . Then there exists a*

canonical 1-morphism $f^{-1} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}^{pf} & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{X}}} & \mathcal{X} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f^{-1} \\ \mathcal{Y}^{pf} & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{Y}}} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that there exists a 1-morphism f^{-1} that makes the diagram commute. \square

In the following, we will study the nature of the perfection functor. We can show that the perfection 2-functor commutes with 2-fibre products and products in the 2-category $AStack^p$.

Proposition 5.13. *Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ be algebraic stacks in characteristic p over S . Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be 1-morphisms of algebraic stacks over S . Then we have $(\mathcal{X} \times_{f, \mathcal{Z}, g} \mathcal{Y})^{pf} = \mathcal{X}^{pf} \times_{f^{\natural}, \mathcal{Z}^{pf}, g^{\natural}} \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$. In particular, we have $(\mathcal{X} \times_{(Sch/S)_{fppf}} \mathcal{Y})^{pf} = \mathcal{X}^{pf} \times_{(Sch/S^{pf})_{fppf}} \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$.*

Proof. It follows from the explicit description of $(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y})^{pf}$ that every element in $(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y})^{pf}$ is of the form

$$((U, x_0, y_0, f_0), (U, x_1, y_1, f_1), \dots, (U, x_n, y_n, f_n), \dots),$$

where $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$, $x_i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U)$, $y_i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$, and $f_i : f(x_i) \rightarrow g(y_i)$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{Z}_U . Similarly, every element in $\mathcal{X}^{pf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}^{pf}} \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ can be written as the form (U, x, y, f') where $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$, $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U^{pf})$, $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U^{pf})$, and $f' : f^{\natural}(x) \rightarrow g^{\natural}(y)$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{Z}_U^{pf} . Note that there is an isomorphism

$$((U, x_0, y_0, f_0), \dots, (U, x_n, y_n, f_n), \dots) \mapsto (U, (x_0, \dots, x_n, \dots), (y_0, \dots, y_n, \dots), (f_0, \dots, f_n, \dots)).$$

Since $(x_0, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_U^{pf})$, $(y_0, \dots, y_n, \dots) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U^{pf})$, and (f_0, \dots, f_n, \dots) is an isomorphism in \mathcal{Z}_U^{pf} , we obtain an isomorphism $(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y})^{pf} \cong \mathcal{X}^{pf} \times_{\mathcal{Z}^{pf}} \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$. \square

The perfection 2-functor maps 1-morphisms representable by algebraic spaces to 2-perfect 1-morphisms.

Proposition 5.14. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks in characteristic p over S representable by algebraic spaces. Then the canonical 1-morphism $f^{\natural} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ is 2-perfect.*

Proof. Let $y : (Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of categories fibred in groupoids over $(Sch/S)_{fppf}$ where $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ is a perfect scheme in characteristic p . Choose an equivalence $\mathcal{S}_F \cong (Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{y, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ where F is an algebraic space in characteristic p over U . Then it follows from Proposition 5.13 and Lemma 5.1 that we have an equivalence $\mathcal{S}_{F^{pf}} \cong (Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{y^{\natural}, \mathcal{Y}^{pf}} \mathcal{X}^{pf}$. Since the perfection functor is full by Lemma 5.12, f is 2-perfect. \square

Moreover, the perfection of an algebraic stack is relatively 2-perfect.

Proposition 5.15. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with perfection \mathcal{X}^{pf} . Then \mathcal{X}^{pf} is relatively 2-perfect.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5.14 that the perfection functor maps the diagonal $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ to a 2-perfect diagonal $\Delta^{\natural} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{pf} \times \mathcal{X}^{pf}$. Thus, \mathcal{X}^{pf} is relatively 2-perfect. \square

Let $0 \leq j \leq 2$ be an integer. The above proposition shows that every perfect algebraic stack is both relatively j -perfect and perfect.

Lemma 5.16. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with algebraic Frobenius $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Suppose that \mathcal{X} is perfect. Then we have an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{X}^{pf}$ such that \mathcal{X} is relatively j -perfect.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.21, if \mathcal{X} is perfect, then the algebraic Frobenius $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an equivalence. Thus, the inverse limit $\lim_{\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{X}$ is equivalent to \mathcal{X} . Moreover, the last statement follows from Lemma 3.7. \square

The following theorem specifies a string of equivalences of 2-categories.

Theorem 5.17. *The 2-category of perfect algebraic stacks over S is equivalent to the 2-category of relatively 2-perfect algebraic stacks over S , and the 2-category of relatively 2-perfect algebraic stacks over S is equivalent to the 2-category of relatively 1-perfect algebraic stacks over S . In other words, we have the following string of equivalences of 2-categories*

$$\text{Perf}A\text{Stack}_S \cong \underline{\text{Perf}}A\text{Stack}_S^1 \cong \underline{\text{Perf}}A\text{Stack}_S^2.$$

Proof. Note that we have an equivalence $\underline{\text{Perf}}A\text{Stack}_S^1 = \text{Perf}A\text{Stack}_S$ by Theorem 4.20. Then the statement follows from Lemma 5.16 and the inclusion $\underline{\text{Perf}}A\text{Stack}_S^2 \subset \underline{\text{Perf}}A\text{Stack}_S^1 = \text{Perf}A\text{Stack}_S$. \square

Now, we have the following strings of inclusion functors

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Perf}DM_S \subset \underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^j \subset \underline{\mathcal{Q}}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^j \subset \underline{\mathcal{S}}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^j \subset \underline{\mathcal{S}T}\underline{\text{Perf}}DM_S^j,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \text{Perf}A\text{Stack}_S \subset \underline{\text{Perf}}A\text{Stack}_S^j \subset \underline{\mathcal{Q}}\underline{\text{Perf}}A\text{Stack}_S^j \subset \underline{\mathcal{S}}\underline{\text{Perf}}A\text{Stack}_S^j \subset \underline{\mathcal{S}T}\underline{\text{Perf}}A\text{Stack}_S^j.$$

Lemma 5.18. *Let \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{X} be algebraic stacks of characteristic p over S and let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks over S . Then we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}^{pf} \cong \mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}^{pf}$. Moreover, the natural projection $p_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a universal homeomorphism.*

Proof. It can be checked that the perfection \mathcal{V}^{pf} satisfies the universal property of the base change $\mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}^{pf}$. This gives rise to an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}^{pf} \cong \mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}^{pf}$. For the second statement, choose a smooth cover $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ for $U \in \text{Ob}((Sch/S)_{fppf})$ of characteristic p . Then the base change of $\mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by $(Sch/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is $(Sch/U)_{fppf}^{pf} \cong (Sch/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow (Sch/U)_{fppf}$. It follows from [1, Remark 5.4] that the canonical projection $U^{pf} \rightarrow U$ is a universal homeomorphism. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a universal homeomorphism by [3, Tag0DTQ]. \square

We observe the following lemma about points of the perfection.

Lemma 5.19. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with perfection \mathcal{X}^{pf} . Let $p_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the canonical projection. Then $p_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a monomorphism. Furthermore, we have a underlying homeomorphism of topological spaces*

$$|\mathcal{X}| \xrightarrow{\cong} |\mathcal{X}^{pf}|.$$

Proof. Note that the diagonal $\Delta_{p_{\mathcal{X}}} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{pf} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}^{pf} \cong \mathcal{X}^{pf}$ is an equivalence. This shows that $p_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a monomorphism. The second statement is clear since the canonical projection $\mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a universal homeomorphism. \square

An algebraic stack is representable by an algebraic space if and only if its perfection is representable by an algebraic space.

Lemma 5.20. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of characteristic p over S with perfection \mathcal{X}^{pf} . Then \mathcal{X} is an algebraic space if and only if \mathcal{X}^{pf} is an algebraic space. In particular, \mathcal{X} is a scheme (resp. affine) if and only if \mathcal{X}^{pf} is a scheme (resp. affine).*

Proof. If \mathcal{X} is an algebraic space, then clearly \mathcal{X}^{pf} is an algebraic space. Conversely, consider the quotient stack $[h_U/F'] \cong \mathcal{X}$ induced by the smooth groupoid in algebraic spaces (h_U, F', s, t, c) . Now, assume that there are equivalences $\mathcal{S}_F \cong \mathcal{X}^{pf}$ for some algebraic space F over S . This gives rise to an equivalence $[h_U^{pf}/F'^{pf}] \cong \mathcal{S}_F$. Thus, the quotient stack $[h_U/F']$ is an algebraic space by the definition. For the second statement, see [33, Lemma 4.21]. \square

A morphism of algebraic stacks is representable by algebraic spaces if and only if its perfection is representable by algebraic spaces.

Proposition 5.21. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks in characteristic p and let $f^\natural : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ be its perfection. Then f is representable by algebraic spaces if and only if f^\natural is representable by algebraic spaces.*

Proof. Let $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ of characteristic p with $\xi \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$. Choose ξ to be some composition $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Then there are equivalences $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}^{pf}} (\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{Y}^{pf}) \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}^{pf}} \mathcal{X}^{pf} \cong \mathcal{S}_F$ for some algebraic space F over U . This shows that f^\natural is representable by algebraic spaces.

Conversely, assume that f^\natural is representable by algebraic spaces. Choose an equivalence $\mathcal{S}_F \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}^{pf}} \mathcal{X}^{pf}$ for some algebraic space F over U in characteristic p and $\xi' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U^{pf})$. Then there is an equivalence $\mathcal{S}_{F^{pf}} \cong (\text{Sch}/U^{pf})_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}^{pf}} \mathcal{X}^{pf}$. Since the perfection functor is full, it follows from Lemma 5.20 that $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is an algebraic space for every $\xi'' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$. Thus, f is representable by algebraic spaces. \square

The following statement enables us to pass between the usual world and the perfect world.

Lemma 5.22. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks in characteristic p over S . Suppose that f is representable by algebraic spaces. Let \mathcal{P} be a property of morphisms of algebraic spaces which*

- (1) *is preserved under arbitrary base change, and*
- (2) *is fppf local on the base.*

If f has property \mathcal{P} , then $f^\natural : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ has property \mathcal{P} .

Proof. By [3, Tag03YK], if f has property \mathcal{P} , then the morphism of algebraic spaces $F \rightarrow U$ induced by $\mathcal{S}_F \cong \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}$, where $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ and F is an algebraic space over U , has property \mathcal{P} . Now, choose ξ to be some composition $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Then there are isomorphisms $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}^{pf}} (\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{Y}^{pf}) \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}^{pf}} \mathcal{X}^{pf}$. Thus, the morphism $\mathcal{S}_F \cong (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}^{pf}} \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf}$ is the same as $F \rightarrow U$ which has property \mathcal{P} . This shows that the perfection $f^\natural : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ has property \mathcal{P} . \square

More generally, we can extend the results of Lemma 5.22 to 1-morphisms of algebraic stacks that are not necessarily representable by algebraic spaces. We first observe the following lemma.

Lemma 5.23. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks in characteristic p over S . Let \mathcal{P} be a property of morphisms of algebraic spaces which is smooth local on the source-and-target. Consider commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{h} & V \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow b \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

where U, V are algebraic spaces and a, b are smooth. The following are equivalent:

- (1) for any diagram as above such that $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V$ is smooth, the morphism h of algebraic spaces has property \mathcal{P} .
- (2) for some diagram as above where a, b is surjective and U, V have characteristic p , the morphism h of algebraic spaces has property \mathcal{P} .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Choose an algebraic space V in characteristic p with a surjective smooth 1-morphism $V \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Next, choose an algebraic space U with a surjective smooth 1-morphism $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V$. It is easy to check that U has characteristic p . Then the composition $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is surjective smooth. Hence, we obtain a diagram as in (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : This is obvious by [3, Tag06FM]. \square

Given a property of morphisms of algebraic spaces which is smooth local on the source-and-target, one can use it to define a corresponding property of morphisms of algebraic stacks. Here we specialize the definition in [3, Tag06FN] to the following case.

Definition 5.24. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks in characteristic p over S and let \mathcal{P} be a property of morphisms of algebraic spaces which is smooth local on source-and-target. We say that f has property \mathcal{P} if one of the equivalent conditions in Lemma 5.23 is satisfied.*

Properties of morphisms of algebraic stacks corresponding to properties of morphisms of algebraic spaces which are smooth local on the source-and-target are preserved under the perfection functor.

Lemma 5.25. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks in characteristic p over S . Let \mathcal{P} be a property of morphisms of algebraic spaces which*

- (1) *is smooth local on the source-and-target, and*
- (2) *is preserved under the perfection functor on algebraic spaces.*

If f has property \mathcal{P} , then $f^{\natural} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ has property \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram as in Lemma 5.23

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{h} & V \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow b \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

where U, V are algebraic spaces in characteristic p over S and a, b are surjective smooth. Suppose that h has property \mathcal{P} such that f has property \mathcal{P} . This gives rise to another commutative

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U^{pf} & \xrightarrow{h^\natural} & V^{pf} \\ a^\natural \downarrow & & \downarrow b^\natural \\ \mathcal{X}^{pf} & \xrightarrow{f^\natural} & \mathcal{Y}^{pf} \end{array}$$

where a^\natural, b^\natural are surjective smooth by Lemma 5.22. Thus, by assumption, h^\natural also has property \mathcal{P} such that f^\natural has property \mathcal{P} . \square

Given a property of morphisms of algebraic spaces which is étale-smooth local on the source-and-target, one can use it to define a corresponding property of DM morphisms of algebraic stacks. Recall the definition imposing properties on DM morphisms in [3, Tag06FN]. We can show that such properties of DM morphisms are preserved under the perfection functor.

Lemma 5.26. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a DM morphism of algebraic stacks in characteristic p . Let \mathcal{P} be a property of morphisms of algebraic spaces which*

- (1) *is étale-smooth local on the source-and-target, and*
- (2) *is preserved under the perfection functor on algebraic spaces.*

If f has property \mathcal{P} , then $f^\natural : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ has property \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Let U, V be algebraic spaces over S . It follows from Lemma 5.22 and Proposition 5.13 that if $V \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is smooth and $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} V$ is étale, then $V^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ is smooth and $U^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{pf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}^{pf}} V^{pf}$ is étale. Moreover, note that f^\natural is also DM by Proposition 5.27 below. The rest of the proof is similar to Lemma 5.25 above. \square

In the following proposition, we summarize the properties of 1-morphisms that can be passed between the perfection functor.

Proposition 5.27. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks in characteristic p over S and let $f^\natural : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{pf}$ be its perfection. Then the following properties hold for f if and only if they hold for f^\natural :*

- (1) *surjective,*
- (2) *quasi-compact,*
- (3) *(universally) submersive*
- (4) *(universally) closed,*
- (5) *(universally) open,*
- (6) *a (universal) homeomorphism,*
- (7) *affine,*
- (8) *integral,*
- (9) *quasi-separated.*

If the following properties hold for f , then they also hold for f^\natural :

- (10) *a monomorphism,*
- (11) *a closed immersion,*
- (12) *an open immersion,*
- (13) *an immersion,*
- (14) *DM,*
- (15) *étale,*
- (16) *(faithfully) flat.*

Proof. (1)-(2) follow directly from Lemma 5.19 and definitions. For (3)-(6), note that the canonical projection $\mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a universal homeomorphism.

(7)-(8): The direct direction follows directly from Lemma 5.22 since f has to be representable. The inverse direction is similar to the proof of [33, Proposition 4.28].

(9)-(13): These follow from [33, Proposition 4.28] and Lemma 5.22.

(14): If f is DM, then the second diagonal $\Delta_{f,2} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X})} \mathcal{X}$ is étale. Since $\Delta_{f,2}$ is representable, it follows from [33, Proposition 4.28] that $\Delta_{f,2}^{\natural} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{pf} \times_{(\mathcal{X}^{pf} \times_{\mathcal{Y}^{pf}} \mathcal{X}^{pf})} \mathcal{X}^{pf}$ is étale. As the second diagonal $\Delta_{f,2}^{\natural}$ is locally of finite type, this shows that the diagonal Δ_f^{\natural} is unramified, see [3, Tag0CJ0]. Hence, f^{\natural} is DM.

Finally, (15) is by (14) and Lemma 5.26 above. And (16) is by Lemma 5.25 and (1). \square

The perfection functor preserves all kinds of substacks of an algebraic stack.

Proposition 5.28. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S .*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{X}' \subset \mathcal{X}$ is an open substack, then \mathcal{X}'^{pf} is an open substack of \mathcal{X}^{pf} .*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{X}' \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a closed substack, then \mathcal{X}'^{pf} is a closed substack of \mathcal{X}^{pf} .*
- (3) *If $\mathcal{X}' \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a locally closed substack, then \mathcal{X}'^{pf} is a locally closed substack of \mathcal{X}^{pf} .*

Proof. First, we show that any substack of \mathcal{X} also has characteristic p . Let $V \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ in characteristic p and let $(\text{Sch}/V)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a surjective smooth 1-morphism. Then it follows from [3, Tag04T1] that there exist $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ and a 2-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} & \longrightarrow & (\text{Sch}/V)_{fppf} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

where $(\text{Sch}/U)_{fppf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ is surjective smooth. Thus, this shows that \mathcal{X}' has characteristic p . Now, consider the perfection $\mathcal{X}'^{pf} \subset \mathcal{X}^{pf}$ of \mathcal{X}' . By the explicit description of \mathcal{X}'^{pf} , one easily see that \mathcal{X}'^{pf} is a strictly full subcategory of \mathcal{X}^{pf} . Then (1)-(3) follow from Proposition 5.27 (10)-(12). \square

The following statement enables us to pass between the properties of an algebraic stack and its perfection.

Lemma 5.29. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S . Let \mathcal{P} be a property of schemes which*

- (1) *which is local in the smooth topology, and*
- (2) *which is preserved under the perfection functor on schemes.*

If \mathcal{X} has property \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{X}^{pf} has property \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Let $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a surjective smooth 1-morphism, where $U \in \text{Ob}((\text{Sch}/S)_{fppf})$ has characteristic p and has property \mathcal{P} . This gives rise to a canonical surjective smooth 1-morphism $U^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{pf}$ such that \mathcal{X}^{pf} has property \mathcal{P} . \square

Here is a consequence of Theorem 5.29 above.

Corollary 5.30. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S . If \mathcal{X} is perfect, then \mathcal{X} is reduced. In particular, the perfection \mathcal{X}^{pf} of \mathcal{X} is reduced, i.e. $\mathcal{X}^{pf} = \mathcal{X}_{red}^{pf}$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from [33, Lemma 3.4] and definitions. For the second statement, one just need to apply Theorem 5.29. \square

The following proposition shows that the algebraic Frobenius of an algebraic stack shares the same properties as the absolute Frobenius of a scheme.

Proposition 5.31. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack in characteristic p over S with algebraic Frobenius morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is representable by algebraic spaces. Moreover, $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is surjective, integral, and is a universal homeomorphism.*

Proof. Consider the morphism $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^{\natural} : \mathcal{X}^{pf} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{pf}$, which is an equivalence due to Theorem 4.21. Then it follows from Proposition 5.21 that $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is representable by algebraic spaces. Moreover, since $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^{\natural}$ is clearly surjective, integral, and universal homeomorphic, $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is surjective, integral, and universal homeomorphic by Proposition 5.27. \square

§6. COMPARISON WITH ZHU'S PERFECT ALGEBRAIC STACKS

In this section, we will compare our theory of perfect algebraic stacks with Zhu's perfect algebraic stacks in [35]. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p . Let $(Sch/k)_{fpqc}$ be the big fpqc site with perfection $(Sch/k)_{fpqc}^{pf}$. Let $(Aff/k)_{fpqc}$ be the big affine fpqc site with perfection $(Aff/k)_{fpqc}^{pf}$.

We first recall the definition of perfectly smooth morphisms in [35, Definition A.1.9].

Definition 6.1. *A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of algebraic spaces over S is perfectly smooth at $x \in X$ if there are an étale atlas $U \rightarrow X$ at x and an étale atlas $V \rightarrow Y$ at $f(x)$ such that the composition $U \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ factors as $U \xrightarrow{h} V \rightarrow Y$ and h factors as $U \xrightarrow{h'} V \times (\mathbb{A}^n)^{pf} \xrightarrow{pr} V$, where h' is étale and pr is the projection.*

We say that f is perfectly smooth if it is perfectly smooth at every point in X .

We denote by *Groupoids* the 2-category of groupoids. Here is the definition of perfect algebraic stacks given in [35, Definition A.1.10].

Definition 6.2. *A perfect algebraic stack in the sense of Zhu over k is a contravariant 2-functor*

$$X : (Aff/k)_{fpqc}^{pf} \longrightarrow \text{Groupoids}$$

such that

- (1) *The presheaf X is a fpqc sheaf.*
- (2) *The diagonal is represented by a perfect algebraic space in the sense of Zhu over k .*
- (3) *There exists a perfectly smooth surjective map $U \rightarrow X$ from a perfect algebraic space U in the sense of Zhu over k .*

It follows from [33, Theorem 6.6] that an algebraic space over k is perfect in the sense of Zhu if and only if it is perfect. Thus, one can rewrite the definition to the following form that is easier to deal with.

Definition 6.3. *A perfect algebraic stack in the sense of Zhu over k is an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} over k such that the following properties are satisfied:*

- (1) *For every perfect schemes U, V over k and any $x \in \text{Ob}(X_U), y \in \text{Ob}(X_V)$, the 2-fibre product $U \times_{x, \mathcal{X}, y} V$ is a perfect algebraic space over k .*
- (2) *There exists a perfectly smooth surjective map $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ from a perfect algebraic space U over k .*

One easily observes the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *Let X be a perfect algebraic stack in the sense of Zhu over k . Then the diagonal $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is 2-perfect. Thus, X is relatively 2-perfect and perfect.*

Proof. It is clear by definitions that X is relatively 2-perfect. Therefore, X is also relatively 1-perfect. Then it follows from Proposition 4.19 that X is perfect. \square

Let $\mathcal{Z}AS_k^{pf}$ be the 2-category of perfect algebraic stacks in the sense of Zhu over k . It is defined as follows.

- (1) Its objects will be perfect algebraic stacks in the sense of Zhu over k .
- (2) Its 1-morphisms will be functors of categories over $(Sch/k)_{fpqc}^{pf}$.
- (3) Its 2-morphisms will be transformations between functors over $(Sch/k)_{fpqc}^{pf}$.

Then we have the following strings of inclusion 2-functors

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{Z}AS_k^{pf} \subset \underline{\text{Perf}}AS\text{tack}_k^2 \subset \underline{\mathcal{Q}}\text{Perf}AS\text{tack}_k^2 \subset \underline{\mathcal{S}}\text{Perf}AS\text{tack}_k^2 \subset \underline{\mathcal{ST}}\text{Perf}AS\text{tack}_k^2,$$

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{Z}AS_k^{pf} \subset \underline{\text{Perf}}AS\text{tack}_k^2 \subset \underline{\text{Perf}}AS\text{tack}_k^1 = \text{Perf}AS\text{tack}_k.$$

The following theorem specifies the equivalence between Zhu’s perfect algebraic stacks and our perfect algebraic stacks.

Theorem 6.5. *Let X be an algebraic stack over k . Then X is perfect in the sense of Zhu if and only if the following statements are satisfied:*

- (1) X is relatively 2-perfect.
- (2) All associated algebraic spaces of the diagonal $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is a perfect algebraic space U .
- (3) There exists a surjective perfectly smooth 1-morphism $U \rightarrow X$.

Proof. This is clear by Lemma 6.4, [33, Theorem 6.6], and definitions. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Alessandra Bertapelle, Cristian D. González-Avilés, *On the perfection of schemes*, *Expositiones Mathematicae*, Volume 36, Issue 2, 2018, Pages 197-220.
- [2] Bertapelle, A., González-Avilés, C.D. *The Greenberg functor revisited*. *European Journal of Mathematics* **4**, 1340-1389 (2018).
- [3] Stack Project authors, *Stack Project*, available at <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2022.
- [4] M.J. Greenberg, *Perfect closures of rings and schemes*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2) (1965) 313-317.
- [5] Peter J. Hilton and Urs Stammbach, *A Course in Homological Algebra*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Volume 4, Springer-Verlag New York, 1997.
- [6] Pierre Antoine Grillet, *Abstract Algebra*, 2nd ed., *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 242, Springer-Verlag New York, 2007.
- [7] Michael Artin, Jean-Etienne Bertin, Michel Demazure, Alexander Grothendieck, Pierre Gabriel, Michel Raynaud, and Jean-Pierre Serre, *Schémas en groupes*, *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963/1966.
- [8] Artin, M., Grothendieck, A., Verdier, J.-L., *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 269, 270, 305, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1972-1973.
- [9] K.S. Kedlaya, R. Liu, *Relative p -adic Hodge theory: foundations*, *Astérisque*, Vol. 371, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2015.
- [10] J.S. Milne, *Arithmetic Duality Theorems*, second ed., BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006.
- [11] M. Boyarchenko, J. Weinstein, *Maximal varieties and the local Langlands correspondence for $GL(n)$* , *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (2016) 177-236.
- [12] Michael Artin, *The implicit function theorem in algebraic geometry*, *Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968)*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1969, pp. 13-34.

- [13] Donald Knutson, *Algebraic spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 203, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [14] J. P. Serre, *Groupes proalgébriques*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 7 (1960), 1-67.
- [15] B. Bhatt, P. Scholze, *Projectivity of the Witt vector affine Grassmannian*, Invent. Math. 209 (2017) 329-423.
- [16] Bhargav Bhatt, *Lecture notes for a class on perfectoid spaces*, available at <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~bhattb/teaching/mat679w17/lectures.pdf>, April 23, 2017.
- [17] Sean Keel and Shigefumi Mori, *Quotients by groupoids*, Ann. of Math. (2) **145** (1997), 193-213.
- [18] Michael Artin, *Théorèmes de représentabilité pour les espaces algébriques*, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Que., 1973, En collaboration avec Alexandru Lascu et Jean-François Boutot, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, No. 44 (Été, 1970).
- [19] Michael Artin, *Algebraic spaces*, Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn., 1971, A James K. Whittemore Lecture in Mathematics given at Yale University, 1969, Yale Mathematical Monographs, 3.
- [20] Peter Scholze, *Perfectoid Spaces*, *IHES Publ. math.* **116** (2012), pp. 245-313.
- [21] Peter Scholze, *Étale cohomology of diamonds*, Preprint, 2018.
- [22] Yifeng Liu and Weizhe Zheng, *Enhanced six operations and base change theorem for Artin stacks*, 2017, arXiv:1211.5948v2.
- [23] Ofer Gabber and Lorenzo Ramero, *Foundations For Almost Ring Theory*, Release 7.5, April 1, 2019, arXiv:math/0409584v13.
- [24] D. Ben-Zvi, J. Francis, and D. Nadler, *Integral transforms and Drinfeld centers in derived algebraic geometry*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 4, 909-966.
- [25] Hall, Jack and Rydh, David, *Perfect complexes on algebraic stacks*. Compos. Math. 153 (2017), no. 11, 2318-2367.
- [26] J. Lurie, *Derived Algebraic Geometry XI: Descent Theorems*, Available on homepage, Sep 2011.
- [27] M.-A. Moens, U. Bernani-Canani, F. Borceux, *On regular presheaves and regular semi-categories*, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Cat. **XLIII** no.3 (2002) pp.163-190.
- [28] G.M. Kelly, *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes **64**, 1982, Cambridge University Press.
- [29] W. Dale Garraway, *Sheaves for an involutive quantaloid*, Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques, 46 no. 4 (2005), p. 243-274.
- [30] Isar Stubbe, *Categorical structures enriched in a quantaloid : regular presheaves, regular semicategories*, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Cat. **XLVI** no.2 (2005) pp.99-121.
- [31] Susumu Hayashi, *Adjunction of semifunctors: Categorical structures in nonextensional lambda calculus*, Theoretical Computer Science Volume 41, 1985, Pages 95-104.
- [32] T.W. Liang, *Perfect algebraic spaces*, 2022, arXiv:2303.07672.
- [33] T.W. Liang, *On the perfection of algebraic spaces*, 2022, arXiv:2303.08502.
- [34] Xinwen Zhu. *Affine Grassmannians and the geometric satake in mixed characteristic*. 2014. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.8519>, to appear in *Annals of Mathematics*.
- [35] Liang Xiao and Xinwen Zhu, *Cycles on Shimura varieties via geometric Satake*, 2017, Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.05700>.
- [36] Mattia Talpo and Angelo Vistoli, *Infinite root stacks of logarithmic schemes and moduli of parabolic sheaves*, 2017, arXiv:1410.1164.