

# COMMUTATOR SUBGROUPS AND CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC QUOTIENTS OF VIRTUAL EXTENSIONS OF SYMMETRIC GROUPS

PRAVIN KUMAR, TUSHAR KANTA NAIK, NEHA NANDA, AND MAHENDER SINGH

ABSTRACT. The virtual braid group  $VB_n$ , the virtual twin group  $VT_n$  and the virtual triplet group  $VL_n$  are extensions of the symmetric group  $S_n$ , which are motivated by the Alexander-Markov correspondence for virtual knot theories. The kernels of natural epimorphisms of these groups onto the symmetric group  $S_n$  are the pure virtual braid group  $VP_n$ , the pure virtual twin group  $PVT_n$  and the pure virtual triplet group  $PVL_n$ , respectively. In this paper, we investigate commutator subgroups, pure subgroups and crystallographic quotients of these groups. We derive explicit finite presentations of the pure virtual triplet group  $PVL_n$ , the commutator subgroup  $VT'_n$  of  $VT_n$  and the commutator subgroup  $VL'_n$  of  $VL_n$ . Our results complete the understanding of these groups, except that of  $VB'_n$ , for which the existence of a finite presentations is not known for  $n \geq 4$ . We also prove that  $VL_n/PVL'_n$  is a crystallographic group and give an explicit construction of infinitely many torsion elements in it.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For  $n \geq 2$ , the symmetric group  $S_n$  admits a Coxeter presentation with generators  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}\}$  and defining relations:

- (1)  $\tau_i^2 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,
- (2)  $\tau_i \tau_j \tau_i = \tau_j \tau_i \tau_j$  for  $|i-j|=1$ ,
- (3)  $\tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i$  for  $|i-j| \geq 2$ .

By omitting all the relations of type (1), (2) or (3) one at a time from the preceding presentation, we obtain three canonical extensions of  $S_n$ , namely, the braid group  $B_n$ , the twin group  $T_n$  and the triplet group  $L_n$ .

$$(1.0.1) B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \mid \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ for } |i-j| \geq 2, \quad \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \text{ for } |i-j|=1 \rangle.$$

$$(1.0.2) T_n = \langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} \mid s_i^2 = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad s_i s_j = s_j s_i \text{ for } |i-j| \geq 2 \rangle.$$

$$(1.0.3) L_n = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \mid y_i^2 = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad y_i y_j y_i = y_j y_i y_j \text{ for } |i-j|=1 \rangle.$$

The terms twin groups and triplet groups first appeared in the work [20] of Khovanov on  $K(\pi, 1)$  subspace arrangements. The kernels of natural epimorphisms

$$B_n \rightarrow S_n \text{ given by } \sigma_i \mapsto \tau_i,$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification* 2020. Primary 20F55, 20H15; Secondary 20F36.

*Key words and phrases.* Bieberbach group, crystallographic group, Reidemeister-Schreier method, virtual braid group, virtual triplet group, virtual twin group.

$$T_n \rightarrow S_n \quad \text{given by} \quad s_i \mapsto \tau_i$$

and

$$L_n \rightarrow S_n \quad \text{given by} \quad y_i \mapsto \tau_i$$

are the pure braid group  $P_n$ , the pure twin group  $PT_n$  and the pure triplet group  $PL_n$ , respectively. Pure braid groups are well-known to have complements of complex hyperplane arrangements as their Eilenberg–MacLane spaces. Pure twin groups and pure triplet groups are real counterparts of pure braid groups, and also admit suitable codimension two subspace arrangements as their Eilenberg–MacLane spaces [20]. Furthermore, these groups have topological interpretations similar to braid groups, and play the role of groups under the Alexander–Markov correspondence for some appropriate knot theories. Twin groups are related to doodles on the 2-sphere, which were introduced by Fenn and Taylor [11] as finite collections of simple closed curves on the 2-sphere without triple or higher intersections. Allowing self intersections of curves, Khovanov [18] extended the idea to finite collections of closed curves without triple or higher intersections on a closed oriented surface. Similarly, triplet groups are related to topological objects called noodles. Fixing a codimension one foliation (with singular points) on the 2-sphere, a noodle is a collection of closed curves on the 2-sphere such that no two intersection points belong to the same leaf of the foliation, there are no quadruple intersections and no intersection point can occupy a singular point of the foliation.

Khovanov [18] proved an analogue of Alexander Theorem by showing that every oriented doodle on a 2-sphere is closure of a twin. An analogue of Markov Theorem in this setting has been proved by Gotin [15], though the idea has been implicit in [19]. The structure of the pure twin group  $PT_n$  for small values of  $n$  has been well-understood [6, 13, 24], but understanding the general case seems challenging (see [23] for some progress). Concerning triplet groups, it has been shown in [26] that the pure triplet group  $PL_n$  is a non-abelian free group of finite rank for  $n \geq 4$ .

The virtual knot theory is a far reaching generalisation of the classical knot theory and was introduced by Kauffman in [16]. Motivated by the well-known Alexander–Markov correspondence for virtual knot theories, the preceding three extensions of  $S_n$  can be enlarged to their virtual avatars, namely, the virtual braid group  $VB_n$  [17], the virtual twin group  $VT_n$  [6, 27] and the virtual triplet group  $VL_n$  (which we introduce below).

$$(1.0.4) \quad VB_n = \left\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \mid \begin{array}{l} \rho_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, \quad \rho_i \rho_j = \rho_j \rho_i, \quad \sigma_i \rho_j = \rho_j \sigma_i \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j| \geq 2, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j, \quad \rho_i \rho_j \rho_i = \rho_j \rho_i \rho_j, \quad \rho_i \sigma_j \rho_i = \rho_j \sigma_i \rho_j \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j|=1 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

$$(1.0.5) \quad VT_n = \left\langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \mid \begin{array}{l} s_i^2 = 1, \quad \rho_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ s_i s_j = s_j s_i, \quad \rho_i \rho_j = \rho_j \rho_i, \quad s_i \rho_j = \rho_j s_i \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j| \geq 2, \\ \rho_i \rho_j \rho_i = \rho_j \rho_i \rho_j, \quad \rho_i s_j \rho_i = \rho_j s_i \rho_j \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j|=1 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$



groups (called Bieberbach groups) and the class of compact flat Riemannian manifolds [9, Theorem 2.1.1]. Crystallographic quotients of virtual braid groups and virtual twin groups have been investigated in [28]. In this paper, we consider the case of virtual triplet groups. More precisely, we prove that  $VL_n/PVL'_n$  is a crystallographic group of dimension  $n(n-1)/2$  for  $n \geq 2$  (Theorem 5.4), and that it contains infinitely many torsion elements (Corollary 5.6). In fact, we give an explicit construction of infinitely many torsion elements in  $VL_n/PVL'_n$ .

We denote the  $n$ -th term of the lower central series of a group  $G$  by  $\gamma_n(G)$ , where  $\gamma_1(G) = G$  and  $\gamma_2(G) = G'$  is the commutator subgroup of  $G$ .

## 2. PRESENTATION OF COMMUTATOR SUBGROUP OF VIRTUAL TWIN GROUP

In this section, we give a finite presentation of the commutator subgroup  $VT'_n$  of  $VT_n$ . This is achieved through a reduced presentation of  $VT_n$ , which we prove first.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The virtual twin group  $VT_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) has the following reduced presentation:*

- (1)  $VT_3 = \langle s_1, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid s_1^2 = \rho_1^2 = \rho_2^2 = (\rho_1\rho_2)^3 = 1 \rangle$ .
- (2) For  $n \geq 4$ ,  $VT_n$  has a presentation with generating set  $\{s_1, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{n-1}\}$  and defining relations as follows:

$$(2.0.1) \quad s_1^2 = 1,$$

$$(2.0.2) \quad \rho_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$(2.0.3) \quad \rho_i\rho_j = \rho_j\rho_i \quad \text{for } |i-j| \geq 2,$$

$$(2.0.4) \quad \rho_i\rho_{i+1}\rho_i = \rho_{i+1}\rho_i\rho_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

$$(2.0.5) \quad \rho_i s_1 = s_1 \rho_i \quad \text{for } i \geq 3,$$

$$(2.0.6) \quad (s_1\rho_2\rho_1\rho_3\rho_2)^4 = 1.$$

*Proof.* The case  $n = 3$  is immediate. For  $n \geq 4$ , we first show that the generating set of  $VT_n$  can be reduced to  $\{s_1, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{n-1}\}$ .

Claim 1:  $s_{i+1} = (\rho_i\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_2\rho_1)(\rho_{i+1}\rho_i \dots \rho_3\rho_2)s_1(\rho_2\rho_3 \dots \rho_i\rho_{i+1})(\rho_1\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i-1}\rho_i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

The case  $i = 1$  follows from the relation

$$(2.0.7) \quad \rho_i s_j \rho_i = \rho_j s_i \rho_j \quad \text{for } |i-j| = 1.$$

Assuming the claim for  $i$  and using the relation (2.0.7) for  $j = i+1$  gives

$$s_{i+1} = \rho_i \rho_{i+1} (\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \dots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_i \rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \rho_3 \dots \rho_{i-1} \rho_i) (\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_{i-2} \rho_{i-1}) \rho_{i+1} \rho_i.$$

Now, the relation (2.0.3) gives

$$(2.0.8) \quad s_{i+1} = (\rho_i \rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_{i+1} \rho_i \dots \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \rho_3 \dots \rho_i \rho_{i+1}) (\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_{i-1} \rho_i),$$

which is desired. Hence, we can eliminate the generators  $s_i$  for  $i \geq 2$ .

Next, we show that the relations of  $VT_n$  involving  $s_i$  for  $i \geq 2$  can be recovered from the relations listed in the statement of the theorem.

Claim 2: The relations  $\rho_i s_{i+1} \rho_i = \rho_{i+1} s_i \rho_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$  can be recovered from the relations (2.0.2) and (2.0.3).

Using (2.0.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_i s_{i+1} \rho_i &= \rho_i (\rho_i \cdots \rho_1) (\rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_{i+1}) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_i) \rho_i \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_1) \rho_{i+1} (\rho_i \cdots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_i) \rho_{i+1} (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \\
&= \rho_{i+1} (\rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_1) (\rho_i \cdots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \rho_{i+1} \\
&= \rho_{i+1} s_i \rho_{i+1},
\end{aligned}$$

which is desired.

Claim 3: The relations  $s_i \rho_j = \rho_j s_i$  for  $|i-j| \geq 2$  can be recovered using the relations (2.0.3), (2.0.4) and (2.0.5).

If  $j \leq i-2$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_i \rho_j \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_i \rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \rho_3 \cdots \rho_{i-1} \rho_i) (\rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_{i-2} \rho_{i-1}) \rho_j \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_i \rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_{i-1} \rho_i) (\rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_j \rho_{j+1} \rho_j \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3)}) \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_i \rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_{i-1} \rho_i) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{j+1} \rho_j \rho_{j+1} \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.4)}) \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_i \rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_{j+1} \rho_{j+2} \rho_{j+1} \cdots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3)}) \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_i \rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_{j+2} \rho_{j+1} \rho_{j+2} \cdots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.4)}) \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_i \cdots \rho_{j+2} \rho_{j+1} \rho_{j+2} \cdots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3) and (2.0.5)}) \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_i \cdots \rho_{j+1} \rho_{j+2} \rho_{j+1} \cdots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.4)}) \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_{j+1} \rho_j \rho_{j+1} \cdots \rho_1) (\rho_i \cdots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3)}) \\
&= (\rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_j \rho_{j+1} \rho_j \cdots \rho_1) (\rho_i \cdots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.4)}) \\
&= \rho_j (\rho_{i-1} \cdots \rho_1) (\rho_i \cdots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \cdots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3)}) \\
&= \rho_j s_i,
\end{aligned}$$

which is desired. If  $j \geq i+2$ , then the claim follows from the relations (2.0.3) and (2.0.5).

Claim 4: The relations  $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq n-3$  can be recovered from the relations (2.0.1) through (2.0.6).

For clarity, we underline the terms which are modified using the cited relations. First, we consider  $i=1$ , in which case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_1 s_j \\
&= \underline{s_1} (\rho_{j-1} \cdots \rho_1) (\rho_j \cdots \rho_2) \underline{s_1} (\rho_2 \rho_3 \cdots \rho_j) (\rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_{j-1}) \\
&= (\rho_{j-1} \cdots \rho_3) \underline{s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1} (\rho_j \cdots \rho_3 \rho_2) \underline{s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2} (\rho_4 \cdots \rho_j) (\rho_3 \cdots \rho_{j-1}) \\
&= (\rho_{j-1} \cdots \rho_3) (\rho_j \cdots \rho_4) (s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2)^2 (\rho_4 \cdots \rho_j) (\rho_3 \cdots \rho_{j-1}) \\
&= (\rho_{j-1} \cdots \rho_3) (\rho_j \cdots \rho_4) (\rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1)^2 (\rho_4 \cdots \rho_j) (\rho_3 \cdots \rho_{j-1}) \\
&= (\rho_{j-1} \cdots \rho_1) (\rho_j \cdots \rho_2) \underline{s_1} (\rho_2 \rho_3 \cdots \rho_j) (\rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_{j-1}) \underline{s_1} \\
&= s_j s_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we assume that  $i \geq 2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_i s_j \\
= & (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1})(\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_j \dots \rho_2) s_1 \\
& (\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) \rho_{i+1} (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1})(\rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_j \dots \rho_2) s_1 \\
& (\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i \rho_{i+1})(\rho_i \dots \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_j \dots \rho_2) s_1 \\
& (\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.4)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_1 \dots \rho_i) \\
& (\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3} \rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.4)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3) s_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1}) \rho_{i+2} \\
& (\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_{i+1} \rho_i \dots \rho_2) s_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3) and (2.0.5)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3) s_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+2}) \\
& \rho_1 (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1}) s_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.4)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3) s_1 (\rho_2 \rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+2}) \\
& (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3) \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1 (\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3) and (2.0.5)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3) s_1 \rho_2 (\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4 \rho_3 \rho_4 \dots \rho_{i+2}) \\
& \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1 (\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.4)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4) \\
& s_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1 (\rho_4 \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3) and (2.0.5)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle \cong S_n$ , it is not difficult to see that (2.0.3) and (2.0.4) give

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.0.9) \quad & (\rho_4 \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1} \rho_i \dots \rho_{j-1}) \\
= & \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2 (\rho_4 \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_1) \dots (\rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i-1})(\rho_{i+3} \dots \rho_j)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_{j-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.0.10) \quad & (\rho_4 \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_1) \dots (\rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i-1}) \\
= & (\rho_4 \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.0.11) \quad & (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4)(\rho_2 \rho_3 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) \\
= & (\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.0.9), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_i s_j \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4) \\
& \underline{s_1(\rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2)(\rho_4 \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_1) \dots (\rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i-1})(\rho_{i+3} \dots \rho_j)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_{j-1})} \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4) \\
& \underline{(\rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2 s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1(\rho_4 \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_1) \dots (\rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i-1})(\rho_{i+3} \dots \rho_j)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_{j-1})} \quad (\text{By (2.0.6)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_1)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4 \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2 s_1 \\
& (\rho_4 \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1})(\rho_{i+3} \dots \rho_j)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3), (2.0.5) and (2.0.10)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_1)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4 \rho_3 \rho_2) s_1 \underline{\rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2 s_1} \\
& (\rho_4 \dots \rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+3} \dots \rho_j)(\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1})(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_{j-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_1)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4 \rho_3 \rho_2) \\
& s_1(\rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4 \dots \rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+3} \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1} \rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_{j-1}) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3) and (2.0.5)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4)(\rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2) \\
& s_1(\rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4 \dots \rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+3} \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1} \rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_{j-1}) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.3)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2})(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_{i+2}) \dots (\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4)(\rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2) \\
& s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.10)}) \\
& \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2}) \rho_{i+1} (\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_{i+2}) \dots (\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4)(\rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2) \\
& s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \\
& \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_{i+2}) \rho_{i+1} \rho_i (\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3})(\rho_{i-1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_{i+2}) \dots (\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4)(\rho_3 \rho_2) \\
& s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \\
& \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_i)(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3}) \rho_{i+2} (\rho_{i-1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_{i+2}) \dots (\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4) \rho_2 \\
& s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \\
& \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_i)(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+3}) \rho_{i+2} \rho_{i+1} (\rho_{i-1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_{i+2}) \dots (\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_4) \\
& s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_i)(\rho_j \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4) \\
& \underline{s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1})} \quad (\text{By (2.0.10)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_j \dots \rho_2) s_1(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3)(\rho_{i+2} \dots \rho_4)(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1}) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \\
& \quad (\text{By (2.0.3) and (2.0.5)}) \\
= & (\rho_{j-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_j \dots \rho_2) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_j)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{j-1})(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2) s_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad (\text{By (2.0.11)}) \\
= & s_j s_i.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Our main computational tool will be the Reidemeister-Schreier method [22, Theorem 2.6]. Given a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ , we fix a Schreier system  $\Lambda$  of coset representatives of  $H$  in  $G$ . For an element  $w \in G$ , let  $\bar{w}$  denote the unique coset representative of the coset of  $w$  in the Schreier set  $\Lambda$ . Then, by Reidemeister-Schreier Theorem, the subgroup  $H$  has a presentation with generating set

$$\{\gamma(\mu, a) := (\mu a)(\bar{\mu a})^{-1} \mid \mu \in \Lambda \text{ and } a \in S\}$$

and set of defining relations

$$\{\tau(\mu r \mu^{-1}) \mid \mu \in \Lambda \text{ and } r \in R\}.$$

Here,  $\tau$  is the rewriting process, that is, given  $g = g_1 g_2 \dots g_k \in G$  for some  $g_i \in S$ , we have

$$\tau(g) = \gamma(1, g_1) \gamma(\bar{g}_1, g_2) \cdots \gamma(\overline{g_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1}}, g_k).$$

We now use Theorem 2.1 and the Reidemeister-Schreier method to give a presentation of  $VT'_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Since the abelianisation of  $VT_n$  is isomorphic to the elementary abelian 2-group of order 4, we can take the Schreier system of coset representatives to be

$$M = \{1, s_1, \rho_1, s_1 \rho_1\}.$$

In view of Theorem 2.1, we take  $S = \{s_1, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{n-1}\}$  as a generating set for  $VT_n$ .

**Generators of  $VT'_n$ :** The generating set  $\{\gamma(\mu, a) \mid \mu \in M, a \in S\}$  of  $VT'_n$  can be determined explicitly. More precisely, for each  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(1, s_1) &= s_1(\bar{s}_1)^{-1} = 1, & \gamma(\rho_1, s_1) &= \rho_1 s_1(\overline{\rho_1 s_1})^{-1} = (\rho_1 s_1)^2, \\ \gamma(1, \rho_1) &= \rho_1(\bar{\rho}_1)^{-1} = 1, & \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_1) &= \rho_1 \rho_1(\overline{\rho_1 \rho_1})^{-1} = 1, \\ \gamma(1, \rho_i) &= \rho_i(\bar{\rho}_i)^{-1} = \rho_i \rho_1, & \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_i) &= \rho_1 \rho_i(\overline{\rho_1 \rho_i})^{-1} = \rho_1 \rho_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(s_1, s_1) &= s_1 s_1(\overline{s_1 s_1})^{-1} = 1, & \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, s_1) &= s_1 \rho_1 s_1(\overline{s_1 \rho_1 s_1})^{-1} = (s_1 \rho_1)^2, \\ \gamma(s_1, \rho_1) &= s_1 \rho_1(\overline{s_1 \rho_1})^{-1} = 1, & \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_1) &= s_1 \rho_1 \rho_1(\overline{s_1 \rho_1 \rho_1})^{-1} = 1, \\ \gamma(s_1, \rho_i) &= s_1 \rho_i(\overline{s_1 \rho_i})^{-1} = s_1 \rho_i \rho_1 s_1, & \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_i) &= s_1 \rho_1 \rho_i(\overline{s_1 \rho_1 \rho_i})^{-1} = (s_1 \rho_i \rho_1 s_1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , setting

$$x_i := \rho_i \rho_1, \quad z_i := s_1 \rho_i \rho_1 s_1 \quad \text{and} \quad w := (\rho_1 s_1)^2,$$

we see that  $VT'_n$  is generated by the set

$$\{x_i, z_i, w \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1\}.$$

**Relations in  $VT'_n$ :** We now determine the relations in  $VT'_n$  corresponding to each relation in the presentation of  $VT_n$  given by Theorem 2.1.

(1) First, we consider the relation  $s_1^2 = 1$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(1s_1s_11) &= \gamma(1, s_1)\gamma(\overline{s_1}, s_1) = 1, \\ \tau(s_1s_1s_1s_1) &= (\gamma(1, s_1)\gamma(s_1, s_1))^2 = 1, \\ \tau(\rho_1s_1s_1\rho_1) &= \gamma(1, \rho_1)\gamma(\rho_1, s_1)\gamma(s_1\rho_1, s_1)\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_1) = 1, \\ \tau(s_1\rho_1(s_1s_1)\rho_1s_1) &= \gamma(1, s_1)\gamma(s_1, \rho_1)\gamma(s_1\rho_1, s_1)\gamma(\rho_1, s_1)\gamma(s_1\rho_1, \rho_1)\gamma(s_1, s_1) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

(2) Next, we consider the relations  $\rho_i^2 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . This case gives

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(1\rho_i\rho_i1) &= \gamma(1, \rho_i)\gamma(\overline{\rho_i}, \rho_i) = 1, \\ \tau(s_1\rho_i\rho_is_1) &= \gamma(1, s_1)\gamma(s_1, \rho_i)\gamma(s_1\rho_1, \rho_i)\gamma(s_1, s_1) = 1, \\ \tau(\rho_1\rho_i\rho_i\rho_1) &= \gamma(1, \rho_1)\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_i)\gamma(1, \rho_i)\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_1) = 1, \\ \tau(s_1\rho_1(\rho_1\rho_i)\rho_1s_1) &= \gamma(1, s_1)\gamma(s_1, \rho_1)\gamma(s_1\rho_1, \rho_i)\gamma(s_1, \rho_1)\gamma(s_1\rho_1, \rho_1)\gamma(s_1, s_1) = 1, \\ \tau(s_1\rho_1(\rho_i\rho_i)\rho_1s_1) &= \gamma(1, s_1)\gamma(s_1, \rho_1)\gamma(s_1\rho_1, \rho_i)\gamma(s_1, \rho_i)\gamma(s_1\rho_1, \rho_1)\gamma(s_1, s_1) = z_i^{-1}z_i = 1 \\ &\text{for } i \geq 2.\end{aligned}$$

(3) Consider the relations  $(\rho_i\rho_j)^2 = 1$  for  $|i-j| \geq 2$ . In this case, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(1(\rho_i\rho_j)^21) &= (\gamma(1, \rho_i)\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_j))^2 \\ &= \begin{cases} x_j^{-2} & \text{for } j \geq 3, \\ (x_i x_j^{-1})^2 & \text{for } i \geq 2 \text{ and } i+2 \leq j \leq n-1, \end{cases} \\ \tau(s_1(\rho_i\rho_j)^2s_1) &= (\gamma(s_1, \rho_i)\gamma(s_1\rho_1, \rho_j))^2 \\ &= \begin{cases} z_j^{-2} & \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ (z_i z_j^{-1})^2 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \text{ and } j \geq i+2, \end{cases} \\ \tau(\rho_1(\rho_i\rho_j)^2\rho_1) &= (\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_i)\gamma(1, \rho_j))^2 \\ &= \begin{cases} x_j^2 & \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ (x_i^{-1}x_j)^2 & \text{for } i \geq 2 \text{ and } i+2 \leq j \leq n-1, \end{cases} \\ \tau(s_1\rho_1(\rho_i\rho_j)^2\rho_1s_1) &= (\gamma(s_1\rho_1, \rho_i)\gamma(s_1, \rho_j))^2 \\ &= \begin{cases} z_j^2 & \text{for } j \geq 3, \\ (z_i^{-1}z_j)^2 & \text{for } i \geq 2 \text{ and } i+2 \leq j \leq n-1. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the non-trivial relations in  $VT'_n$  are

$$(2.0.12) \quad x_j^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

$$(2.0.13) \quad z_j^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

$$(2.0.14) \quad (x_i x_j^{-1})^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \quad \text{and } j \geq i+2,$$

$$(2.0.15) \quad (z_i z_j^{-1})^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \quad \text{and } j \geq i+2.$$

(4) Considering the relations  $(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$  give

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(1(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 1) &= (\gamma(1, \rho_i) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_{i+1}))^3 \\ &= \begin{cases} x_2^{-3} & \text{for } i = 1, \\ (x_i x_{i+1}^{-1})^3 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(s_1(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 s_1) &= (\gamma(s_1, \rho_i) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_{i+1}))^3 \\ &= \begin{cases} z_2^{-3} & \text{for } i = 1, \\ (z_i z_{i+1}^{-1})^3 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\rho_1(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 \rho_1) &= (\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_i) \gamma(1, \rho_{i+1}))^3 \\ &= \begin{cases} x_2^3 & \text{for } i = 1, \\ (x_i^{-1} x_{i+1})^3 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(s_1 \rho_1(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 \rho_1 s_1) &= (\gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_i) \gamma(s_1, \rho_{i+1}))^3 \\ &= \begin{cases} z_2^3 & \text{for } i = 1, \\ (z_i^{-1} z_{i+1})^3 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following non-trivial relations in  $VT'_n$

$$(2.0.16) \quad x_2^3 = 1,$$

$$(2.0.17) \quad z_2^3 = 1,$$

$$(2.0.18) \quad (x_i x_{i+1}^{-1})^3 = 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

$$(2.0.19) \quad (z_i z_{i+1}^{-1})^3 = 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

(5) Considering the relations  $(\rho_i s_1)^2 = 1$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n-1$  give

$$\tau(1(\rho_i s_1)^2 1) = \gamma(1, \rho_i) \gamma(\rho_1, s_1) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_i) = x_i w z_i^{-1} \quad \text{for } i \geq 3,$$

$$\tau(s_1(\rho_i s_1)^2 s_1) = \gamma(s_1, \rho_i) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, s_1) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_i) = z_i w^{-1} x_i^{-1} \quad \text{for } i \geq 3,$$

$$\tau(\rho_1(\rho_i s_1)^2 \rho_1) = \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_i) \gamma(s_1, \rho_i) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, s_1) = x_i^{-1} z_i w^{-1} \quad \text{for } i \geq 3,$$

$$\tau(s_1 \rho_1(\rho_i s_1)^2 \rho_1 s_1) = \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_i) \gamma(1, \rho_i) \gamma(\rho_1, s_1) = z_i^{-1} x_i w \quad \text{for } i \geq 3.$$

Thus, we have the following non-trivial relations in  $VT'_n$

$$(2.0.20) \quad z_i = x_i w \quad \text{for } 3 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

(6) Finally, we consider the relation  $(s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2)^4 = 1$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(1(s_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2)^2 1) \\ = & (\gamma(s_1, \rho_2) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_3) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_2) \gamma(1, \rho_2) \gamma(1, \rho_3) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_2))^2 \\ = & (z_2 z_3^{-1} z_2^{-1} x_2 x_3 x_2^{-1})^2, \\ & \tau(s_1(s_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2)^2 s_1) \\ = & (\gamma(1, \rho_2) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_3) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_2) \gamma(s_1, \rho_2) \gamma(s_1, \rho_3) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_2))^2 \\ = & (x_2 x_3^{-1} x_2^{-1} z_2 z_3 z_2^{-1})^2, \\ & \tau(\rho_1(s_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2)^2 \rho_1) \\ = & (\gamma(\rho_1, s_1) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_2) \gamma(s_1, \rho_3) \gamma(s_1, \rho_2) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, s_1) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_2) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_3) \gamma(1, \rho_2))^2 \\ = & (w z_2^{-1} z_3 z_2 w^{-1} x_2^{-1} x_3^{-1} x_2)^2, \\ & \tau(s_1 \rho_1(s_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_1 \rho_2 s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \rho_2)^2 \rho_1 s_1) \\ = & (\gamma(s_1 \rho_1, s_1) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_2) \gamma(1, \rho_3) \gamma(1, \rho_2) \gamma(\rho_1, s_1) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_2) \gamma(s_1 \rho_1, \rho_3) \gamma(s_1, \rho_2))^2 \\ = & (w^{-1} x_2^{-1} x_3 x_2 w z_2^{-1} z_3^{-1} z_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the following two non-trivial relations in  $VT'_n$

$$(2.0.21) \quad (z_2 z_3^{-1} z_2^{-1} x_2 x_3 x_2^{-1})^2 = 1,$$

$$(2.0.22) \quad (w z_2^{-1} z_3 z_2 w^{-1} x_2^{-1} x_3^{-1} x_2)^2 = 1.$$

We can eliminate the generators  $z_i$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n-1$  using (2.0.20). Setting  $z := z_2$ , we see that the relations (2.0.16) through (2.0.22) yield the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The following hold for the commutator subgroup  $VT'_n$  of  $VT_n$ :*

- (1)  $VT'_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and is generated by  $(\rho_1 s_1)^2$ .
- (2)  $VT'_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 * \mathbb{Z}_3 * \mathbb{Z}$  and has a presentation

$$\langle \rho_2 \rho_1, s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 s_1, (\rho_1 s_1)^2 \mid (\rho_2 \rho_1)^3 = (s_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 s_1)^3 = 1 \rangle.$$

- (3) For  $n \geq 4$ ,  $VT'_n$  has a presentation with generating set

$$\{x_i, z, w \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$$

and defining relations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_2^3 &= 1, \\
x_j^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
z^3 &= 1, \\
(x_i x_{i+1}^{-1})^3 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2, \\
(x_i x_j^{-1})^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \quad \text{and } j \geq i+2, \\
(x_j w)^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
(zw^{-1}x_3^{-1})^3 &= 1, \\
(zw^{-1}x_j^{-1})^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 4 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
(zw^{-1}x_3^{-1}z^{-1}x_2x_3x_2^{-1})^2 &= 1, \\
(wz^{-1}x_3wz^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_2)^2 &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

It is known that the second and the third term of the lower central series coincide for the braid group  $B_n$  when  $n \geq 3$  [14] and coincide for the virtual braid group  $VB_n$  when  $n \geq 4$  [3, Proposition 7(c)]. The same assertion does not hold for twin groups [30, Theorem 4.5]. However, it turns out that the assertion holds for virtual twin groups.

**Proposition 2.3.**  $VT'_n = \gamma_3(VT_n)$  for  $n \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that  $VT_n/\gamma_3(VT_n)$  is abelian. The group  $VT_n/\gamma_3(VT_n)$  is generated by

$$\tilde{s}_i := s_i \gamma_3(VT_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\rho}_i := \rho_i \gamma_3(VT_n),$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . It is easy to see that

$$\rho_{i+1} = \rho_i[\rho_i, [\rho_i, \rho_{i+1}]] \quad \text{and} \quad s_{i+1} = s_i[[\rho_{i+1}, [\rho_{i+1}, \rho_i]], s_i]^{-1}.$$

This gives

$$\tilde{\rho}_{i+1} = \rho_{i+1} \gamma_3(VT_n) = \rho_i[\rho_i, [\rho_i, \rho_{i+1}]] \gamma_3(VT_n) = \rho_i \gamma_3(VT_n) = \tilde{\rho}_i$$

and

$$\tilde{s}_{i+1} = s_{i+1} \gamma_3(VT_n) = s_i[[\rho_{i+1}, [\rho_{i+1}, \rho_i]], s_i]^{-1} \gamma_3(VT_n) = s_i \gamma_3(VT_n) = \tilde{s}_i.$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ . Since

$$\tilde{\rho}_1 \tilde{s}_1 = \tilde{\rho}_3 \tilde{s}_1 = \tilde{s}_1 \tilde{\rho}_3 = \tilde{s}_1 \tilde{\rho}_1,$$

the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.**  $VT_n$  is residually nilpotent if and only if  $n = 2$ .

We conclude the section with a result on freeness of commutator subgroup of  $PVT_n$ . A graph is called *chordal* if each of its cycles with more than three vertices has a chord (an edge joining two vertices that are not adjacent in the cycle). A *clique* (or a complete subgraph) of a graph is a subset  $C$  of vertices such that every two vertices in  $C$  are connected by an edge. It is well-known that a graph is chordal if and only if its vertices can be ordered in such a way that the lesser neighbours of each vertex form a clique.

**Proposition 2.5.** The commutator subgroup of  $PVT_n$  is free if and only if  $n \leq 4$ .




FIGURE 1. The graph of  $PVT_4$ .




FIGURE 2. The graph of  $PVT_5$ .

*Proof.* By [25, Theorem 3.3], the pure virtual twin group  $PVT_n$  is an irreducible right-angled Artin group for each  $n \geq 2$ , and has a presentation

$$PVT_n = \langle \lambda_{i,j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n \mid \lambda_{i,j}\lambda_{k,l} = \lambda_{k,l}\lambda_{i,j} \text{ for distinct integers } i, j, k, l \rangle,$$

where  $\lambda_{i,i+1} = s_i \rho_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $\lambda_{i,j} = \rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_{i+1} \lambda_{i,i+1} \rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_{j-2} \rho_{j-1}$  for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $j \neq i+1$ . The assertion is now immediate for  $n = 2, 3$ .

The graph of  $PVT_4$  (see Figure 1) is vacuously chordal.

By [29, Corollary 4.4], the commutator subgroup of a right-angled Artin group is free if and only if its associated graph is chordal, and hence  $PVT_4$  is free.

For  $n \geq 5$ , fix an ordering on the vertex set of the graph, for example, it could be the lexicographic ordering in our case. Let  $\lambda_{i,j}$  be a maximal vertex and  $p, q, r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i, j\}$  be three distinct integers. Then both  $\lambda_{p,q}$  and  $\lambda_{p,r}$  are lesser neighbours of  $\lambda_{i,j}$ , but there cannot be an edge between  $\lambda_{p,q}$  and  $\lambda_{p,r}$ . Thus, lesser neighbours of the vertex  $\lambda_{i,j}$  do not form a clique, and hence the graph is not chordal.  $\square$

### 3. PRESENTATION OF COMMUTATOR SUBGROUP OF VIRTUAL TRIPLET GROUP

In this section, we determine a finite presentation of the commutator subgroup  $VL'_n$  of  $VL_n$ . The approach is similar to that of the preceding section. We will need the following reduced presentation of  $VL_n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The virtual triplet group  $VL_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) has a presentation with generating set  $\{y_1, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{n-1}\}$  and defining relations as follows:*

$$(3.0.1) \quad y_1^2 = 1,$$

$$(3.0.2) \quad \rho_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$(3.0.3) \quad \rho_i \rho_j = \rho_j \rho_i \quad \text{for } |i-j| \geq 2,$$

$$(3.0.4) \quad \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i = \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

$$(3.0.5) \quad \rho_i y_1 = y_1 \rho_i \quad \text{for } i \geq 3,$$

$$(3.0.6) \quad (y_1 \rho_1 \rho_2 y_1 \rho_2 \rho_1)^3 = 1.$$

*Proof.* Replacing  $s_i$  by  $y_i$  in Claim 1 in the proof of Theorem 2.1 gives

$$(3.0.7) \quad y_{i+1} = (\rho_i \rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_2 \rho_1)(\rho_{i+1} \rho_i \dots \rho_3 \rho_2) y_1 (\rho_2 \rho_3 \dots \rho_i \rho_{i+1})(\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_{i-1} \rho_i)$$

for  $i \geq 2$ . Hence, we can eliminate the generators  $y_i$  for  $i \geq 2$ .

Next, we show that the relations of  $VL_n$  involving  $y_i$  for  $i \geq 2$  can be recovered from the relations listed in the statement of the theorem. Firstly, replacing  $s_i$  by  $y_i$  in Claim 2 in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we see that the relations  $\rho_i y_{i+1} \rho_i = \rho_{i+1} y_i \rho_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$  can be recovered from the relations (3.0.2) and (3.0.3). Similarly, the relation  $y_i \rho_j = \rho_j y_i$  for  $|i-j| \geq 2$  is a consequence of the relations 3.0.3, 3.0.4 and 3.0.5. Next, we claim that the relation  $y_i y_{i+1} y_i = y_{i+1} y_i y_{i+1}$  is a consequence of (3.0.7) and the relations (3.0.1) through (3.0.6). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & y_i y_{i+1} y_i \\ = & (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2) y_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) \underbrace{(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1})(\rho_i \dots \rho_1)}_{\text{By (3.0.7)}} (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2) y_1 \\ & (\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1}) \underbrace{(\rho_1 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)}_{\text{By (3.0.7)}} (\rho_i \dots \rho_2) y_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad \text{(By (3.0.7))} \\ = & (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1) (\rho_i \dots \rho_2) y_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) \underbrace{(\rho_i \dots \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_i)}_{\text{By (3.0.4)}} (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2) y_1 \\ & (\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1}) (\rho_i \dots \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_i) \underbrace{(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)}_{\text{By (3.0.4)}} y_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad \text{(By (3.0.4))} \\ = & (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1) (\rho_i \dots \rho_2) y_1 (\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_i) \underbrace{(\rho_{i+1} \rho_i \dots \rho_2)}_{\text{By (3.0.2)}} y_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i \rho_{i+1}) \underbrace{(\rho_i \dots \rho_2 \rho_1)}_{\text{By (3.0.2)}} y_1 \\ & (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad \text{(By (3.0.2))} \\ = & (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1) (\rho_i \dots \rho_2) \underbrace{y_1 \rho_1 (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})}_{\text{By (3.0.4)}} y_1 (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3 \rho_2 \rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1}) \underbrace{\rho_1 y_1}_{\text{By (3.0.4)}} \\ & (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad \text{(By (3.0.4))} \\ = & (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1) (\rho_i \dots \rho_2) (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3) \underbrace{y_1 \rho_1 \rho_2 y_1 (\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})}_{\text{By (3.0.3) and (3.0.5)}} (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3) \rho_2 \rho_1 y_1 (\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1}) \\ & (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad \text{(By (3.0.3) and (3.0.5))} \\ = & (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1) (\rho_i \dots \rho_2) (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3) \underbrace{y_1 \rho_1 \rho_2 y_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 y_1}_{\text{By (3.0.2)}} (\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1}) (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad \text{(By (3.0.2))} \\ = & (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1) (\rho_i \dots \rho_2) \underbrace{(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3) \rho_1 \rho_2 y_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 y_1 \rho_1 \rho_2 y_1 \rho_2 \rho_1}_{\text{By (3.0.6)}} (\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1}) (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \\ & \text{(By (3.0.6))} \\ = & (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1) (\rho_i \dots \rho_1) (\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2) y_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 y_1 \rho_1 \rho_2 y_1 (\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1}) (\rho_1 \dots \rho_i) (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1}) \quad \text{(By (3.0.3))} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & y_{i+1}y_iy_{i+1} \\
 = & (\rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2)y_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1})\underline{(\rho_1 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)}y_1 \\
 & (\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)\underline{(\rho_1 \dots \rho_{i-1})(\rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2)}y_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_1 \dots \rho_i) \quad (\text{By (3.0.7)}) \\
 = & (\rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2)y_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_i \dots \rho_2\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2)y_1 \\
 & \underline{(\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_i \dots \rho_2 \rho_1\rho_2 \dots \rho_i)}(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2)y_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_1 \dots \rho_i) \quad (\text{By (3.0.4)}) \\
 = & (\rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2)y_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_i \dots \rho_2 \rho_1)y_1(\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_i)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2) \\
 & y_1(\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_1 \dots \rho_i) \quad (\text{By (3.0.2)}) \\
 = & (\rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2)\underline{y_1(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3\rho_2\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})\rho_1y_1\rho_1(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3\rho_2\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})}y_1 \\
 & (\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_1 \dots \rho_i) \quad (\text{By (3.0.4)}) \\
 = & (\rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_3)\underline{y_1\rho_2\rho_1y_1\rho_1\rho_2y_1}(\rho_3 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_2 \dots \rho_{i+1})(\rho_1 \dots \rho_i) \\
 & (\text{By (3.0.2), (3.0.3) and (3.0.5)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

But, (3.0.3) and (3.0.4) give

$$(\rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_{i+1}\rho_i\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_2)(\rho_{i+1}\rho_i \dots \rho_3) = (\rho_{i-1} \dots \rho_1)(\rho_i \dots \rho_1)(\rho_{i+1} \dots \rho_2),$$

and the claim holds, which completes the proof.  $\square$

Since the abelianisation of  $VL_n$  is isomorphic to the elementary abelian 2-group of order 4, we can take

$$M = \{1, y_1, \rho_1, y_1\rho_1\}$$

as a Schreier system of coset representatives. We use the presentation of  $VL_n$  given by Theorem 3.1 and set  $S = \{y_1, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{n-1}\}$ .

**Generators of  $VL'_n$ :** We first compute the generating set  $\{\gamma(\mu, a) \mid \mu \in M, a \in S\}$  explicitly. Since the generating set and the Schreier system is similar to the case of  $VT_n$ , ignoring the trivial generators, we see that  $VL'_n$  is generated by the set

$$\{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \delta \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_i & := \rho_i\rho_1 = \gamma(1, \rho_i) = \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_i)^{-1}, \\
 \beta_i & := y_1\rho_i\rho_1y_1 = \gamma(y_1, \rho_i) = \gamma(y_1\rho_1, \rho_i)^{-1}, \\
 \delta & := (\rho_1y_1)^2 = \gamma(\rho_1, y_1) = \gamma(y_1\rho_1, y_1)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Relations in  $VL'_n$ :** We now determine the relations in  $VL'_n$  corresponding to each relation in the presentation of  $VL_n$  given by Theorem 3.1. Since the computations are similar to the ones in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we elaborate only those which yield non-trivial relations in  $VL'_n$ .

- (1) The relation  $y_1^2 = 1$  gives only trivial relations in  $VL'_n$ .
- (2) Similarly, the relations  $\rho_i^2 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  give only trivial relations in  $VL'_n$ .

(3) Next, we consider the relations  $(\rho_i \rho_j)^2 = 1$  for  $|i - j| \geq 2$ . In this case, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(1(\rho_i \rho_j)^2 1) &= (\gamma(1, \rho_i) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_j))^2 \\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha_j^{-2} & \text{for } j \geq 3, \\ (\alpha_i \alpha_j^{-1})^2 & \text{for } i \geq 2 \text{ and } i + 2 \leq j \leq n - 1, \end{cases} \\
\tau(y_1(\rho_i \rho_j)^2 y_1) &= (\gamma(y_1, \rho_i) \gamma(y_1 \rho_1, \rho_j))^2 \\
&= \begin{cases} \beta_j^{-2} & \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n - 1, \\ (\beta_i \beta_j^{-1})^2 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n - 2 \text{ and } j \geq i + 2, \end{cases} \\
\tau(\rho_1(\rho_i \rho_j)^2 \rho_1) &= (\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_i) \gamma(1, \rho_j))^2 \\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha_j^2 & \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n - 1, \\ (\alpha_i^{-1} \alpha_j)^2 & \text{for } i \geq 2 \text{ and } i + 2 \leq j \leq n - 1, \end{cases} \\
\tau(y_1 \rho_1(\rho_i \rho_j)^2 \rho_1 y_1) &= (\gamma(y_1 \rho_1, \rho_i) \gamma(y_1, \rho_j))^2 \\
&= \begin{cases} \beta_j^2 & \text{for } j \geq 3, \\ (\beta_i^{-1} \beta_j)^2 & \text{for } i \geq 2 \text{ and } i + 2 \leq j \leq n - 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following non-trivial relations in  $VL'_n$

$$(3.0.8) \quad \alpha_j^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n - 1,$$

$$(3.0.9) \quad \beta_j^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n - 1,$$

$$(3.0.10) \quad (\alpha_i \alpha_j^{-1})^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n - 2 \text{ and } j \geq i + 2,$$

$$(3.0.11) \quad (\beta_i \beta_j^{-1})^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n - 2 \text{ and } j \geq i + 2.$$

(4) We now consider the relations  $(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(1(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 1) &= (\gamma(1, \rho_i) \gamma(\rho_1, \rho_{i+1}))^3 \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_2^{-3} & \text{for } i = 1, \\ (\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}^{-1})^3 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2, \end{cases} \\ \tau(y_1(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 y_1) &= (\gamma(y_1, \rho_i) \gamma(y_1 \rho_1, \rho_{i+1}))^3 \\ &= \begin{cases} \beta_2^{-3} & \text{for } i = 1, \\ (\beta_i \beta_{i+1}^{-1})^3 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2, \end{cases} \\ \tau(\rho_1(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 \rho_1) &= (\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_i) \gamma(1, \rho_{i+1}))^3 \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_2^3 & \text{for } i = 1, \\ (\alpha_i^{-1} \alpha_{i+1})^3 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2, \end{cases} \\ \tau(y_1 \rho_1(\rho_i \rho_{i+1})^3 \rho_1 y_1) &= (\gamma(y_1 \rho_1, \rho_i) \gamma(y_1, \rho_{i+1}))^3 \\ &= \begin{cases} \beta_2^3 & \text{for } i = 1, \\ (\beta_i^{-1} \beta_{i+1})^3 & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following non-trivial relations in  $VL'_n$

$$(3.0.12) \quad \alpha_2^3 = 1,$$

$$(3.0.13) \quad \beta_2^3 = 1,$$

$$(3.0.14) \quad (\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}^{-1})^3 = 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

$$(3.0.15) \quad (\beta_i \beta_{i+1}^{-1})^3 = 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

(5) The relations  $(\rho_i y_1)^2 = 1$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n-1$  give

$$\tau(1(\rho_i y_1)^2 1) = \alpha_i \delta \beta_i^{-1},$$

$$\tau(y_1(\rho_i y_1)^2 y_1) = \beta_i \delta^{-1} \alpha_i^{-1},$$

$$\tau(\rho_1(\rho_i y_1)^2 \rho_1) = \alpha_i^{-1} \beta_i \delta^{-1},$$

$$\tau(y_1 \rho_1(\rho_i y_1)^2 \rho_1 y_1) = \beta_i^{-1} \alpha_i \delta$$

for each  $3 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Thus, the non-trivial relations in  $VL'_n$  are

$$(3.0.16) \quad \beta_i = \alpha_i \delta \quad \text{for } 3 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

(6) Finally, we consider the relation  $(y_1\rho_1\rho_2y_1\rho_2\rho_1)^3 = 1$ . In this case, we get

$$\tau(1(y_1\rho_1\rho_2y_1\rho_2\rho_1)^3 1) = (\gamma(y_1\rho_1, \rho_2)\gamma(1, \rho_2))^3 = (\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2)^3,$$

$$\tau(y_1(y_1\rho_1\rho_2y_1\rho_2\rho_1)^3 y_1) = (\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_2)\gamma(y_1, \rho_2))^3 = (\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2)^3,$$

$$\tau(\rho_1(y_1\rho_1\rho_2y_1\rho_2\rho_1)^3 \rho_1) = (\gamma(\rho_1, y_1)\gamma(y_1, \rho_2)\gamma(y_1\rho_1, y_1)\gamma(\rho_1, \rho_2))^3 = (\delta\beta_2\delta^{-1}\alpha_2^{-1})^3,$$

$$\tau(y_1\rho_1(y_1\rho_1\rho_2y_1\rho_2\rho_1)^3 \rho_1 y_1) = (\gamma(y_1\rho_1, y_1)\gamma(1, \rho_2)\gamma(\rho_1, y_1)\gamma(y_1\rho_1, \rho_2))^3 = (\delta^{-1}\alpha_2\delta\beta_2^{-1})^3.$$

Thus, we have the following additional non-trivial relations in  $VL'_n$

$$(3.0.17) \quad (\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2)^3 = 1,$$

$$(3.0.18) \quad (\delta\beta_2\delta^{-1}\alpha_2^{-1})^3 = 1.$$

We eliminate the generators  $\beta_i$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n-1$  using the relations (3.0.16) and set  $\beta := \beta_2$ . This together with the relations (3.0.12) through (3.0.18) gives the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *The following hold for the commutator subgroup  $VL'_n$  of  $VL_n$ :*

(1)  $VL'_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and is generated by  $(\rho_1 y_1)^2$ .

(2)  $VL'_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 * \mathbb{Z}_3 * \mathbb{Z}$  and has a presentation

$$\langle \rho_2\rho_1, y_1\rho_2\rho_1 y_1, (\rho_1 y_1)^2 \mid (\rho_2\rho_1)^3 = (y_1\rho_2\rho_1 y_1)^3 = 1 \rangle.$$

(3) For  $n \geq 4$ ,  $VL'_n$  has a presentation with generating set

$$\{\alpha_i, \beta, \delta \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$$

and defining relations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2^3 &= 1, \\ \alpha_j^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \beta^3 &= 1, \\ (\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}^{-1})^3 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ (\alpha_i \alpha_j^{-1})^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \quad \text{and } j \geq i+2, \\ (\alpha_j \delta)^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ (\beta \delta^{-1} \alpha_3^{-1})^3 &= 1, \\ (\beta \delta^{-1} \alpha_j^{-1})^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 4 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ (\alpha_2^{-1} \beta)^3 &= 1, \\ (\delta \beta \delta^{-1} \alpha_2^{-1})^3 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.3.**  $L'_n = \gamma_3(L_n)$  and  $VL'_n = \gamma_3(VL_n)$  for  $n \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow L'_n / \gamma_3(L_n) \longrightarrow L_n / \gamma_3(L_n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since coset of each  $y_i$  maps to the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , it follows that there exist  $\alpha_i \in L'_n$  such that  $y_i = \alpha_i y_1 \pmod{\gamma_3(L_n)}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , where  $\alpha_1 = 1$ . Using the braid relation in  $L_n/\gamma_3(L_n)$ , we obtain

$$(3.0.19) \quad \alpha_i y_1 \alpha_{i+1} y_1 \alpha_i y_1 = \alpha_{i+1} y_1 \alpha_i y_1 \alpha_{i+1} y_1 \pmod{\gamma_3(L_n)}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Since  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and  $L'_n/\gamma_3(L_n) \subseteq Z(L_n/\gamma_3(L_n))$ , equation (3.0.19) gives

$$\alpha_i = 1 \pmod{\gamma_3(L_n)}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . This implies that  $L_n/\gamma_3(L_n)$  is cyclic of order 2, and hence  $L'_n/\gamma_3(L_n) = 1$ , which is desired. The proof of  $VL'_n = \gamma_3(VL_n)$  is similar to that of Proposition 2.3 with  $s_i$  replaced by  $y_i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.**  *$L_n$  and  $VL_n$  are residually nilpotent if and only if  $n = 2$ .*

#### 4. PRESENTATION OF THE PURE VIRTUAL TRIPLET GROUP

Recall that, for  $n \geq 2$ , the virtual triplet group  $VL_n$  has a presentation with generating set  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{n-1}\}$  and defining relations as follows:

$$(4.0.1) \quad y_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$(4.0.2) \quad y_i y_{i+1} y_i = y_{i+1} y_i y_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

$$(4.0.3) \quad \rho_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$(4.0.4) \quad \rho_i \rho_j = \rho_j \rho_i \quad \text{for } |i-j| \geq 2,$$

$$(4.0.5) \quad \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i = \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

$$(4.0.6) \quad \rho_i y_j = y_j \rho_i \quad \text{for } |i-j| \geq 2,$$

$$(4.0.7) \quad \rho_i \rho_{i+1} y_i = y_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

Let  $\pi : VL_n \rightarrow S_n$  denote the natural epimorphism given by  $\pi(y_i) = \pi(\rho_i) = \tau_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , where  $\tau_i$  denotes the transposition  $(i, i+1)$  in  $S_n$ . Then, we have  $PVL_n = \ker(\pi)$ . Further, the monomorphism  $S_n \rightarrow VL_n$  given by  $\tau_i \mapsto \rho_i$  gives a splitting of the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow PVL_n \rightarrow VL_n \xrightarrow{\pi} S_n \rightarrow 1.$$

We take the set

$$M_n = \{m_{1,i_1} m_{2,i_2} \cdots m_{n-1,i_{n-1}} \mid m_{k,i_k} = \rho_k \rho_{k-1} \cdots \rho_{i_k+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq i_k < k\}$$

as a Schreier system of coset representatives of  $PVL_n$  in  $VL_n$ . Further, we set  $m_{k,k} = 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . For an element  $w \in VL_n$ , let  $\bar{w}$  denote the unique coset representative of the coset of  $w$  in the Schreier set  $M_n$ . Then  $PVL_n$  is generated by

$$\{\gamma(\mu, a) \mid \mu \in M_n \text{ and } a \in \{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}\}\}$$

and has defining relations

$$\{\tau(\mu r \mu^{-1}) \mid \mu \in M_n \text{ and } r \text{ is a defining relation in } VL_n\}.$$

We set

$$\kappa_{i,i+1} := y_i \rho_i$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and

$$\kappa_{i,j} := \rho_{j-1}\rho_{j-2}\cdots\rho_{i+1}\kappa_{i,i+1}\rho_{i+1}\cdots\rho_{j-2}\rho_{j-1}$$

for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $j \neq i+1$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{\kappa_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$  and  $\mathcal{S} \sqcup \mathcal{S}^{-1} = \{\kappa_{i,j}^{\pm 1} \mid \kappa_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . For ease of notation, we set  $\kappa_{j,i} := \kappa_{i,j}^{-1}$  whenever  $i < j$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *The conjugation action of  $S_n \cong \langle \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{S} \sqcup \mathcal{S}^{-1}$  is given by*

$$\rho_i : \begin{cases} \kappa_{i,i+1} \longleftrightarrow \kappa_{i,i+1}^{-1}, \\ \kappa_{i,j} \longleftrightarrow \kappa_{i+1,j} & \text{for } i+2 \leq j \leq n, \\ \kappa_{j,i} \longleftrightarrow \kappa_{j,i+1} & \text{for } 1 \leq j < i, \\ \kappa_{k,l} \longleftrightarrow \kappa_{k,l} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

More precisely,  $S_n$  acts on  $\mathcal{S} \sqcup \mathcal{S}^{-1}$  by the rule

$$\rho \cdot \kappa_{i,j} = \kappa_{\rho(i),\rho(j)}$$

for each  $\rho \in S_n$  and  $\kappa_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* First, we consider action on the generators  $\kappa_{i,i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

- (1) If  $1 \leq k \leq i-2$  or  $i+2 \leq k \leq n-1$ , then  $\rho_k \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_k = \kappa_{i,i+1}$ .
- (2) If  $k = i-1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_k &= \rho_{i-1} y_i \rho_i \rho_{i-1} \\ &= \rho_{i-1} y_i \rho_{i-1} (\rho_{i-1} \rho_i \rho_{i-1}) \\ &= \rho_{i-1} (y_i \rho_{i-1} \rho_i) \rho_{i-1} \rho_i \\ &= \rho_i (y_{i-1} \rho_{i-1}) \rho_i \\ &= \kappa_{i-1,i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) If  $k = i$ , then  $\rho_k \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_k = \kappa_{i,i+1}^{-1}$ .
- (4) If  $k = i+1$ , then  $\rho_k \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_k = \kappa_{i,i+2}$ .

Next, we consider action on  $\kappa_{i,j}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  with  $j \neq i+1$ .

- (1) If  $1 \leq k \leq i-2$  or  $j+1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , then  $\rho_k \kappa_{i,j} \rho_k = \kappa_{i,j}$ .
- (2) For  $k = i-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{i-1} \kappa_{i,j} \rho_{i-1} &= \rho_{i-1} \rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_{i+1} \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_{j-2} \rho_{j-1} \rho_{i-1} \\ &= \rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_{i+1} (\rho_{i-1} \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_{i-1}) \rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_{j-2} \rho_{j-1} \\ &= \rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_{i+1} \rho_i (y_{i-1} \rho_{i-1}) \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_{j-2} \rho_{j-1} \\ &= \kappa_{i-1,j}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) For  $k = i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_i \kappa_{i,j} \rho_i &= \rho_i \rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_{i+1} \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_{j-2} \rho_{j-1} \rho_i \\
 &= \rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \cdots \rho_{j-2} \rho_{j-1} \\
 &= \rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots (\rho_i \rho_{i+1} y_i) \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \cdots \rho_{j-2} \rho_{j-1} \\
 &= \rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_{i+2} y_{i+1} (\rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i) \cdots \rho_{j-2} \rho_{j-1} \\
 &= \rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_{i+2} (y_{i+1} \rho_{i+1}) \rho_{i+2} \cdots \rho_{j-2} \rho_{j-1} \\
 &= \kappa_{i+1,j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(4) If  $i + 1 \leq k \leq j - 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_k \kappa_{i,j} \rho_k &= \rho_k \rho_{j-1} \cdots \rho_{k+1} \rho_k \cdots \rho_{i+1} \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_k \rho_{k+1} \cdots \rho_{j-1} \rho_k \\
 &= \rho_{j-1} \cdots \rho_k \rho_{k+1} \rho_k \cdots \rho_{i+1} \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_k \rho_{k+1} \rho_k \cdots \rho_{j-1} \\
 &= \rho_{j-1} \cdots \rho_{k+1} \rho_k \rho_{k+1} \rho_{k-1} \cdots \rho_{i+1} \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_{k-1} \rho_{k+1} \rho_k \rho_{k+1} \cdots \rho_{j-1} \\
 &= \rho_{j-1} \cdots \rho_{k+1} \rho_k \rho_{k-1} \cdots \rho_{i+1} \kappa_{i,i+1} \rho_{i+1} \cdots \rho_{k-1} \rho_k \rho_{k+1} \cdots \rho_{j-1} \\
 &= \kappa_{i,j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(5) If  $k = j - 1$ , then  $\rho_k \kappa_{i,j} \rho_k = \kappa_{i,j-1}$ .

(6) Finally, if  $k = j$ , then  $\rho_k \kappa_{i,j} \rho_k = \kappa_{i,j+1}$ .

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *The pure virtual triplet group  $PVL_n$  has a presentation with generating set  $\mathcal{S} = \{\kappa_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$  and defining relations*

$$\kappa_{i,j} \kappa_{i,k} \kappa_{j,k} = \kappa_{j,k} \kappa_{i,k} \kappa_{i,j},$$

where  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$ .

*Proof.* We begin by observing that

$$\bar{\alpha} = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\alpha_1 y_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_k y_{i_k}} = \alpha_1 \rho_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_k \rho_{i_k}$$

for words  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_j$  in the generators  $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1}\}$ . Thus, we have

$$\gamma(\mu, \rho_i) = (\mu \rho_i) (\mu \rho_i)^{-1} = 1$$

and

$$\gamma(\mu, y_i) = (\mu y_i) (\mu \rho_i)^{-1} = \mu y_i \rho_i \mu^{-1} = \mu \kappa_{i,i+1} \mu^{-1}$$

for each  $\mu \in M_n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . It follows from Lemma 4.1 that each  $\gamma(\mu, y_i)$  lie in  $\mathcal{S} \sqcup \mathcal{S}^{-1}$ . Conversely, if  $\kappa_{i,j} \in \mathcal{S}$  is an arbitrary element, then conjugation by  $(\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1) (\rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_3 \rho_2)$  maps  $\kappa_{1,2}$  to  $\kappa_{i,j}$ . Similarly, conjugation by the element  $(\rho_{i-1} \rho_{i-2} \cdots \rho_3 \rho_2) (\rho_{j-1} \rho_{j-2} \cdots \rho_2 \rho_1)$  maps  $\kappa_{1,2}$  to  $\kappa_{i,j}^{-1}$  ( $= \kappa_{j,i}$ ). Thus,  $\mathcal{S} \sqcup \mathcal{S}^{-1}$ , and consequently  $\mathcal{S}$  generates  $PVL_n$ .

Next, we determine the defining relations in  $PVL_n$ . Consider an element  $\mu = \rho_{i_1} \rho_{i_2} \cdots \rho_{i_k} \in M_n$ . Since  $\gamma(\mu, \rho_i) = 1$  for all  $i$ , no non-trivial relations for  $PVL_n$  can be obtained from the relations (4.0.3), (4.0.4) and (4.0.5) of  $VL_n$ . We now consider the remaining relations of  $VL_n$ .

(1) First, consider the relations  $y_i^2 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\mu y_i^2 \mu^{-1}) &= \gamma(\rho_{i_1} \cdots \rho_{i_k} y_i y_i \rho_{i_k} \cdots \rho_{i_1}) \\
&= \gamma(1, \rho_{i_1}) \gamma(\overline{\rho_{i_1}}, \rho_{i_2}) \cdots \gamma(\overline{\mu}, y_i) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i}, y_i) \cdots \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i y_i \rho_{i_k} \cdots \rho_{i_2}}, \rho_{i_1}) \\
&= \gamma(\overline{\mu}, y_i) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i}, y_i) \\
&= \gamma(\mu, y_i) \gamma(\mu \rho_i, y_i) \\
&= \gamma(\mu, y_i) (\mu \rho_i y_i \mu^{-1}) \\
&= \gamma(\mu, y_i) \gamma(\mu, y_i)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which does not yield any non-trivial relation in  $PVL_n$ .

(2) For the relations  $(y_i y_{i+1})^3 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\mu (y_i y_{i+1})^3 \mu^{-1}) &= \gamma(\rho_{i_1} \cdots \rho_{i_k} y_i y_{i+1} y_i y_{i+1} y_i y_{i+1} \rho_{i_k} \cdots \rho_{i_1}) \\
&= \gamma(\overline{\mu}, y_i) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i}, y_{i+1}) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i y_{i+1}}, y_i) \\
&\quad \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i y_{i+1} y_i}, y_{i+1}) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i y_{i+1} y_i y_{i+1}}, y_i) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i y_{i+1} y_i y_{i+1} y_i}, y_{i+1}) \\
&= \gamma(\mu, y_i) \gamma(\mu \rho_i, y_{i+1}) \gamma(\mu \rho_i \rho_{i+1}, y_i) \\
&\quad \gamma(\mu \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i, y_{i+1}) \gamma(\mu \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1}, y_i) \gamma(\mu \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i, y_{i+1}) \\
&= (\mu \kappa_{i,i+1} \mu^{-1}) (\mu \kappa_{i,i+2} \mu^{-1}) (\mu \kappa_{i+1,i+2} \mu^{-1}) \\
&\quad (\mu \kappa_{i,i+1} \mu^{-1})^{-1} (\mu \kappa_{i,i+2} \mu^{-1})^{-1} (\mu \kappa_{i+1,i+2} \mu^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= \kappa_{\mu(i), \mu(i+1)} \kappa_{\mu(i), \mu(i+2)} \kappa_{\mu(i+1), \mu(i+2)} \kappa_{\mu(i), \mu(i+1)}^{-1} \kappa_{\mu(i), \mu(i+2)}^{-1} \kappa_{\mu(i+1), \mu(i+2)}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives non-trivial relations

$$(4.0.8) \quad \kappa_{\mu(i), \mu(i+1)} \kappa_{\mu(i), \mu(i+2)} \kappa_{\mu(i+1), \mu(i+2)} = \kappa_{\mu(i+1), \mu(i+2)} \kappa_{\mu(i), \mu(i+2)} \kappa_{\mu(i), \mu(i+1)}$$

in  $PVL_n$ . Since  $S_n$  action on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is triply-transitive, (4.0.8) gives the non-trivial relations

$$(4.0.9) \quad \kappa_{i,j} \kappa_{i,k} \kappa_{j,k} = \kappa_{j,k} \kappa_{i,k} \kappa_{i,j},$$

where  $i, j, k$  are all distinct. We claim that the relations (4.0.9) are consequences of the relations

$$(4.0.10) \quad \kappa_{p,q} \kappa_{p,r} \kappa_{q,r} = \kappa_{q,r} \kappa_{p,r} \kappa_{p,q},$$

where  $1 \leq p < q < r \leq n$ . Note that, given three distinct integers  $i, j, k$ , one of the following holds:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\text{(a)} \quad i < j < k & \text{(c)} \quad k < j < i & \text{(e)} \quad j < i < k \\
\text{(b)} \quad i < k < j & \text{(d)} \quad k < i < j & \text{(f)} \quad j < k < i
\end{array}$$

Nothing needs to be done in Case (a). In Case (b), the relation (4.0.9) becomes

$$\kappa_{i,j} \kappa_{i,k} \kappa_{k,j}^{-1} = \kappa_{k,j}^{-1} \kappa_{i,k} \kappa_{i,j}.$$

Rewriting it gives

$$\kappa_{k,j} \kappa_{i,j} \kappa_{i,k} = \kappa_{i,k} \kappa_{i,j} \kappa_{k,j},$$

which is the relation (4.0.10). In Case (c), the relation (4.0.9) becomes

$$\kappa_{j,i}^{-1} \kappa_{k,i}^{-1} \kappa_{k,j}^{-1} = \kappa_{k,j}^{-1} \kappa_{k,i}^{-1} \kappa_{j,i}^{-1}.$$

Taking inverses give

$$\kappa_{k,j} \kappa_{k,i} \kappa_{j,i} = \kappa_{j,i} \kappa_{k,i} \kappa_{k,j},$$

which is the relation (4.0.10). The remaining cases can sorted out in a similar manner.

(3) In case of the relations  $(y_i \rho_j)^2 = 1$  for  $|i - j| \geq 2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu y_i \rho_j y_i \rho_j \mu^{-1}) &= \gamma(\bar{\mu}, y_i) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i}, \rho_j) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i \rho_j}, y_i) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i \rho_j y_i}, \rho_j) \\ &= \gamma(\bar{\mu}, y_i) \gamma(\overline{\mu y_i \rho_j}, y_i) \\ &= \gamma(\mu, y_i) \gamma(\mu \rho_i \rho_j, y_i) \\ &= \gamma(\mu, y_i) (\mu \rho_i \rho_j y_i \rho_i \rho_j \rho_i \mu^{-1}) \\ &= \gamma(\mu, y_i) (\mu \rho_i y_i \mu^{-1}) \\ &= \gamma(\mu, y_i) (\mu y_i \rho_i \mu^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= \gamma(\mu, y_i) \gamma(\mu, y_i)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which does not yield any non-trivial relation in  $PVL_n$ .

(4) Finally, consider the relations  $\rho_i y_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} y_i \rho_{i+1} = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ . Computing

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu \rho_i y_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} y_i \rho_{i+1} \mu^{-1}) &= \gamma(\overline{\mu \rho_i}, y_{i+1}) \gamma(\overline{\mu \rho_i y_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1}}, y_i) \\ &= \gamma(\mu \rho_i, y_{i+1}) \gamma(\mu \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1}, y_i) \\ &= \gamma(\mu \rho_i, y_{i+1}) (\mu \rho_{i+1} \rho_i y_i \rho_{i+1} \mu^{-1}) \\ &= \gamma(\mu \rho_i, y_{i+1}) (\mu \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_{i+1} y_i \rho_{i+1} \mu^{-1}) \\ &= \gamma(\mu \rho_i, y_{i+1}) (\mu \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_i y_{i+1} \rho_i \mu^{-1}) \\ &= \gamma(\mu \rho_i, y_{i+1}) (\mu \rho_i \rho_{i+1} y_{i+1} \rho_i \mu^{-1}) \\ &= \gamma(\mu \rho_i, y_{i+1}) \gamma(\mu \rho_i, y_{i+1})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

gives only trivial relations in  $PVL_n$ .

Thus, the only non-trivial relations amongst elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are of the form  $\kappa_{i,j} \kappa_{i,k} \kappa_{j,k} = \kappa_{j,k} \kappa_{i,k} \kappa_{i,j}$ , where  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## 5. CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC QUOTIENTS OF VIRTUAL TRIPLET GROUPS

A closed subgroup  $H$  of a Hausdorff topological group  $G$  is said to be *uniform* if  $G/H$  is a compact space. A discrete and uniform subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes O(n, \mathbb{R})$  is called a *crystallographic group* of dimension  $n$ . If in addition  $G$  is torsion free, then it is called a *Bieberbach group* of dimension  $n$ . The following characterisation of crystallographic groups is well-known [12, Lemma 8].

**Lemma 5.1.** *A group  $G$  is a crystallographic group if and only if there is an integer  $n$ , a finite group  $H$  and a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\eta} H \longrightarrow 1$$

*such that the integral representation  $\Theta : H \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  defined by  $\Theta(h)(x) = zxz^{-1}$  is faithful, where  $h \in H$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $z \in G$  is such that  $\eta(z) = h$ .*

The group  $H$  is called the *holonomy group* of  $G$ , the integer  $n$  is called the *dimension* of  $G$ , and  $\Theta : H \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  is called the *holonomy representation* of  $G$ . It is known that any finite group is the holonomy group of some flat manifold [8, Theorem III.5.2]. Furthermore, there is a correspondence between the class of Bieberbach groups and the class of compact flat Riemannian manifolds [9, Theorem 2.1.1]. Crystallographic quotients of virtual braid and virtual twin groups have been considered in [28, Theorem 2.4] and [28, Theorem 3.5], respectively.

**Theorem 5.2.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , there is a split short exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)} \longrightarrow VB_n/V P'_n \longrightarrow S_n \longrightarrow 1$$

*such that the group  $VB_n/V P'_n$  is a crystallographic group of dimension  $n(n-1)$ .*

**Theorem 5.3.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , there is a split short exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)/2} \longrightarrow VT_n/PVT'_n \longrightarrow S_n \longrightarrow 1$$

*such that the group  $VT_n/PVT'_n$  is a crystallographic group of dimension  $n(n-1)/2$ .*

We prove the following result for virtual triplet groups.

**Theorem 5.4.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , there is a split short exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)/2} \longrightarrow VL_n/PVL'_n \longrightarrow S_n \longrightarrow 1$$

*such that the group  $VL_n/PVL'_n$  is a crystallographic group of dimension  $n(n-1)/2$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that  $PVL_n/PVL'_n \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)/2}$ . The split short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow PVL_n \rightarrow VL_n \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1$$

induces the desired split short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)/2} \longrightarrow VL_n/PVL'_n \xrightarrow{\pi} S_n \longrightarrow 1.$$

Let  $\Theta : S_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(PVL_n/PVL'_n)$  be the action of  $S_n$  on  $PVL_n/PVL'_n$ , which is induced by the action given in Lemma 4.1. That is, for  $\rho \in S_n$  and  $\kappa_{i,j} \in PVL_n$ , we have  $\Theta(\rho)(\kappa_{i,j}) = \kappa_{\rho(i),\rho(j)} \pmod{PVL'_n}$ . Thus,  $\kappa_{\rho(i),\rho(j)} = \kappa_{i,j} \pmod{PVL'_n}$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  if and only if  $\rho = 1$ . Hence, the holonomy representation is faithful and  $VL_n/PVL'_n$  is a crystallographic group.  $\square$

Next, we determine torsion in the crystallographic quotient considered above. Since  $VL_n = PVL_n \rtimes S_n$ , any  $\beta \in VL_n$  can be written uniquely as  $\beta = w\theta$  for some  $w \in PVL_n$  and  $\theta \in S_n$ . The element  $\beta$  acts on the set  $\{\kappa_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$  via the action of its image  $\pi(\beta) = \theta$  (see Lemma 4.1). We denote the orbit of an element  $\kappa_{i,j}$  under this action by  $\mathcal{O}_\theta(\kappa_{i,j})$ . The following theorem is an analogue of similar results for virtual braid groups and virtual twin groups [28, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.6].

**Theorem 5.5.** *For each  $2 \leq t \leq n$ , let  $1 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_{t-1} \leq n$  be a sequence of consecutive integers. Let  $\pi(\rho_{r_1}\rho_{r_2}\dots\rho_{r_{t-1}}) = \theta$  and  $\mathcal{T}_\theta$  denote a set of representatives of orbits of the action of  $\rho_{r_1}\rho_{r_2}\dots\rho_{r_{t-1}}$  on  $\{\kappa_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$ . Then the coset of the element*

$$\left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) (\rho_{r_1}\rho_{r_2}\dots\rho_{r_{t-1}})$$

*has order  $t$  in  $VL_n/PVL'_n$  if and only if*

$$a_{r,s} + a_{\theta^{-1}(r),\theta^{-1}(s)} + \dots + a_{\theta^{-(t-1)}(r),\theta^{-(t-1)}(s)} = 0$$

*for all  $\kappa_{r,s} \in \mathcal{T}_\theta$ . Here,  $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_{\theta^\ell(r),\theta^\ell(s)} := -a_{\theta^\ell(s),\theta^\ell(r)}$  whenever  $1 \leq \theta^\ell(s) < \theta^\ell(r) \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that  $r_i = i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ , and the other cases can be proved in a similar way. Note that, for each  $2 \leq t \leq n$ , the element  $\rho_1\rho_2\dots\rho_{t-1}$  has order  $t$  in  $VL_n$ . We will analyse the conditions on  $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$  under which the coset of the element  $(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}})(\rho_1\rho_2\dots\rho_{t-1})$  has order  $t$  in  $VL_n/PVL'_n$ . To proceed, let  $\pi(\rho_1\rho_2\dots\rho_{t-1}) = \theta$  and  $\mathcal{T}_\theta = \{\kappa_{r_1,s_1}, \kappa_{r_2,s_2}, \dots, \kappa_{r_m,s_m}\}$  a set of representatives of orbits of the action of  $\rho_1\rho_2\dots\rho_{t-1}$  on  $\{\kappa_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) (\rho_1\rho_2\dots\rho_{t-1}) \right)^t \\ &= \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) (\rho_1\rho_2\dots\rho_{t-1}) \cdots \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) (\rho_1\rho_2\dots\rho_{t-1}) \\ &= \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) \left( (\rho_1\dots\rho_{t-1}) \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) (\rho_1\dots\rho_{t-1})^{-1} \right) \\ & \quad \left( (\rho_1\dots\rho_{t-1})^2 \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) (\rho_1\dots\rho_{t-1})^{-2} \right) \cdots \\ & \quad \cdots \left( (\rho_1\dots\rho_{t-1})^{t-1} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) (\rho_1\dots\rho_{t-1})^{-(t-1)} \right) (\rho_1\dots\rho_{t-1})^t \\ &= \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) \left( \theta \cdot \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) \right) \left( \theta^2 \cdot \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) \right) \cdots \\ & \quad \cdots \left( \theta^{t-1} \cdot \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) \right) (\rho_1\dots\rho_{t-1})^t \\ &= \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \right) \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{\theta(i),\theta(j)}^{a_{i,j}} \right) \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{\theta^2(i),\theta^2(j)}^{a_{i,j}} \right) \cdots \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \kappa_{\theta^{t-1}(i),\theta^{t-1}(j)}^{a_{i,j}} \right). \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{\theta^{-1}(i),\theta^{-1}(j)}} \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{\theta^{-2}(i),\theta^{-2}(j)}} \cdots \kappa_{i,j}^{a_{\theta^{-(t-1)}(i),\theta^{-(t-1)}(j)}} \right) \pmod{PVL'_n}. \end{aligned}$$



	$B_n$	$T_n$	$L_n$
Commutator subgroup	Finite presentation known for $n \geq 2$ [14].	Finite presentation known for $n \geq 2$ [10].	Finite presentation known for $n \geq 2$ [7, 26].
Pure subgroup	Finite presentation known for $n \geq 2$ [1].	Finite presentation known for $2 \leq n \leq 6$ [6, 13, 24].	It is a free group of finite rank for $n \geq 4$ [20, 21].
Crystallographic quotient	$B_n/P'_n$ is crystallographic for $n \geq 2$ [12].	$T_n/PT'_n$ is crystallographic for $n \geq 4$ [21].	$L_n/PL'_n$ is crystallographic for $n \geq 4$ [21].

Table 1.

	$VB_n$	$VT_n$	$VL_n$
Commutator subgroup	Finite generation known for $n \geq 4$ [5]. Finite presentation unknown for $n \geq 4$ .	Theorem 2.2.	Theorem 3.2.
Pure subgroup	Finite presentation known for $n \geq 2$ [2].	Finite presentation known for $n \geq 2$ [25].	Theorem 4.2.
Crystallographic quotient	$VB_n/VP'_n$ is crystallographic for $n \geq 2$ [28].	$VT_n/PVT'_n$ is crystallographic for $n \geq 2$ [28].	Theorem 5.4.

Table 2.

**Acknowledgement.** Pravin Kumar is supported by the PMRF fellowship at IISER Mohali. Neha Nanda has received funding from European Union’s Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska Curie grant agreement no. 101066588. Mahender Singh is supported by the Swarna Jayanti Fellowship grants DST/SJF/MSA-02/2018-19 and SB/SJF/2019-20.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Artin, *Theory of braids*, Ann. of Math. (2) 48 (1947), 101–126.  
 [2] V. G. Bardakov, *The virtual and universal braids*, Fund. Math. 184 (2004), 1–18.  
 [3] V. G. Bardakov and P. Bellingeri, *Combinatorial properties of virtual braids*, Topology Appl. 156 (2009), no. 6, 1071–1082.  
 [4] V. G. Bardakov, I. Emel’yanenkov, M. Ivanov, T. Kozlovskaya, T. Nasybullov and A. Vesnin, *Virtual and universal braid groups, their quotients and representations*, J. Group Theory 25 (2022), no. 4, 679–712.  
 [5] V. G. Bardakov, K. Gongopadhyay and M. V. Neshchadim, *Commutator subgroups of virtual and welded braid groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 29 (2019), no. 3, 507–533.  
 [6] V. Bardakov, M. Singh and A. Vesnin, *Structural aspects of twin and pure twin groups*, Geom. Dedicata 203 (2019), 135–154.

- [7] N. Bourbaki, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, Chapters 4-6. Translated from the 1968 French Original by Andrew Pressley. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), xii+300 pp. Springer, Berlin (2002)
- [8] L. S. Charlap, *Bieberbach groups and flat manifolds*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. xiv+242 pp.
- [9] K. Dekimpe, *Almost-Bieberbach groups: affine and polynomial structures*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1639. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. x+259 pp.
- [10] S. Dey and K. Gongopadhyay, *Commutator subgroups of twin groups and Grothendieck's cartographical groups*, J. Algebra 530 (2019), 215–234.
- [11] R. Fenn and P. Taylor, *Introducing doodles*, Topology of low-dimensional manifolds (Proc. Second Sussex Conf., Chelwood Gate, 1977), pp. 37–43, Lecture Notes in Math., 722, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [12] D. L. Gonçalves, J. Guaschi and O. Ocampo, *A quotient of the Artin braid groups related to crystallographic groups*, J. Algebra 474 (2017), 393–423.
- [13] J. González, J. L. León-Medina and C. Roque, *Linear motion planning with controlled collisions and pure planar braids*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 23 (2021), no. 1, 275–296.
- [14] E. A. Gorin and V. J. Lin, *Algebraic equations with continuous coefficients, and certain questions of the algebraic theory of braids*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 78 (120) (1969), 579–610.
- [15] K. Gotin, *Markov theorem for doodles on two-sphere*, (2018), arXiv:1807.05337.
- [16] L. H. Kauffman, *Virtual knot theory*, European J. Combin. 20 (1999), no. 7, 663–690.
- [17] L. H. Kauffman and S. Lambropoulou, *Virtual braids*, Fund. Math. 184 (2004), 159–186.
- [18] M. Khovanov, *Doodle groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 2297–2315.
- [19] M. Khovanov, *New geometrical constructions in low-dimensional topology*, (1990), preprint.
- [20] M. Khovanov, *Real  $K(\pi, 1)$  arrangements from finite root systems*, Math. Res. Lett. 3 (1996), 261–274.
- [21] P. Kumar, T. K. Naik and M. Singh, *Congruence subgroups and crystallographic quotients of small Coxeter groups*, (2022) arXiv:2212.09084v2.
- [22] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory, Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*, Interscience Publishers, New York-London-Sydney 1966 xii + 444 pp.
- [23] J. Mostovoy, *A presentation for the planar pure braid group*, (2020), arXiv:2006.08007.
- [24] J. Mostovoy and C. Roque-Márquez, *Planar pure braids on six strands*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 29 (2020), No. 01, 1950097.
- [25] T. K. Naik, N. Nanda and M. Singh, *Structure and automorphisms of pure virtual twin groups*, Monatsh. Math. (2023), to appear.
- [26] T. K. Naik and M. Singh, *Automorphisms of odd Coxeter groups*, Monatsh. Math. 195 (2021), no. 3, 501–521.
- [27] N. Nanda and M. Singh, *Alexander and Markov theorems for virtual doodles*, New York J. Math. 27 (2021), 272–295.
- [28] O. Ocampo and P. C. C. Dos Santos Jr., *Virtual braid groups, virtual twin groups and crystallographic groups*, (2021), arXiv:2110.02392v1.
- [29] T. E. Panov and Ya. A. Verëvkin, *Polyhedral products and commutator subgroups of right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups*, Mat. Sb. 207 (2016), no. 11, 105–126.
- [30] Ya. A. Verëvkin, *The associated Lie algebra of a right-angled Coxeter group*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 305 (2019), no. 1, 53–62.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, SECTOR 81, S. A. S. NAGAR, P. O. MANAULI, PUNJAB 140306, INDIA.  
*Email address:* [pravin444enaj@gmail.com](mailto:pravin444enaj@gmail.com)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR, AN OCC OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, P. O. JATNI, KHURDA 752050, ODISHA, INDIA.  
*Email address:* [tushar@niser.ac.in](mailto:tushar@niser.ac.in)

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES NICOLAS ORESME UMR CNRS 6139, UNIVERSITÉ DE CAEN NORMANDIE, 14000 CAEN, FRANCE.  
*Email address:* [nehananda94@gmail.com](mailto:nehananda94@gmail.com)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, SECTOR 81, S. A. S. NAGAR, P. O. MANAULI, PUNJAB 140306, INDIA.  
*Email address:* [mahender@iisermohali.ac.in](mailto:mahender@iisermohali.ac.in)