

Canonical Sphere Bases for Simplicial and Cubical Complexes

Paul C. Kainen *

Abstract. Sphere-bases are constructed for the \mathbb{Z}_2 vector space formed by the k -dimensional subcomplexes, of n -simplex (or n -cube), for which every $(k-1)$ -face is contained in a positive even number of k -cells; addition is symmetric difference of the corresponding sets of k -cells. The bases consist of the boundaries of an algorithmically-specified family of $k+1$ -simplexes or $k+1$ -cubes. Geometric properties of these bases are investigated.

Keywords. Cellular tree, no k -equal space, robust and connected-sum cycle bases for graphs, homology of Platonic skeleta, computational topology.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 57N99, 57M15, 55N31.

1. Introduction

A cycle basis of a graph G is a minimal family of connected 2-regular subgraphs such that each even-degree subgraph of G is the mod-2 sum of a subset of the basis; “mod-2” means that an edge appears in the sum if and only if it appears an *odd* number of times in the sum.

Cycle bases of graphs have been constructed with special properties such as *fundamental* (constructable from a spanning tree) [30], *minimum* (least total number of edges) [26], and *robust* or *connected sum* (having rearrangement properties similar to the ordering of facets in a shelling), [27, 19].

We describe analogous bases for simplicial and cubical complexes with all or almost all of the above properties, suitably generalized to complexes.

*to appear in *Journal of Knot Theory & its Ramifications*, accepted for publ. 6/25/22

In addition, our bases are *canonical*: for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, the basis for even k -dimensional subcomplexes of an n -dimensional simplex Δ_n or n -cube \mathcal{Q}_n is uniquely determined by the integer pair (n, k) (together with a choice of basepoint for the simplex and a choice of coordinate-ordering for the cube) and could be precomputed and stored to speed up topological computations. Having canonical spheres as basis elements might be useful for various aspects of persistent homology [8, 11, 17, 39].

The general problem of realizing homology classes by spheres goes back to Steenrod [14, Problem 24], who asked (as phrased by Eilenberg) “*What algebraic conditions are necessary and sufficient for a homology class z in $H_n(K)$ with integer coefficients on a complex K to be spherical?*” The problem has been extended to stable homology by Landweber [31].

With the convenient and natural properties of \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients [25, p. 7], we get the k -th homology of cube and simplex k -skeleta spanned as vector spaces by our bases. The cross-polytope is not addressed here.

The simplex basis was known (though not its special property), while our cube basis seems to be new [28]. The simplex basis for $k = 1$ is robust [27] and the cube basis has the connected sum property [28]. See §5 below.

Cardinality of each basis is the Betti number of the k -skeleton of the respective n -dimensional polytope (Section 2) and so expressible by means of the Euler-Poincaré equation. Our constructions give alternative expressions which lead to binomial identities. We also exhibit connections with topological combinatorics, cellular trees, and no- k -equal space (see §6).

For the k -skeleton of Δ_n , our basis consists of the set of all boundaries of $k+1$ -faces of Δ_n which contain a fixed vertex, so the basis depends also on a base-point. As boundary of a simplex is a sphere, this is a sphere basis.

In the n -cube, a sphere basis for the k -skeleton is obtained by a recursive construction which depends on the sequential ordering of the coordinates.

For instance, a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{z_1, \dots, z_{31}\}$ for the 2-skeleton of the 5-cube $[0, 1]^5$ is given with respect to the ordering $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ as follows: Let

$$z_1 := \partial(Q(1, 2, 3)), \text{ where } Q(1, 2, 3) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_5) : x_4 = 0 = x_5\}.$$

On each of the 6 square faces of $Q(1, 2, 3)$, erect a 3-cube using the 4th coordinate, keeping the 5th coordinate fixed at zero. The boundaries of these six cubes are spheres z_2, \dots, z_7 , and $\{z_1, \dots, z_7\}$ is a basis for the 2-skeleton

of the 4-cube. If we now take each of the 24 square faces of the 4-cube and repeat this process using the 5th coordinate, we get 24 new spheres, and the resulting set of 31 spheres is a basis for the 2-skeleton of the 5-cube. Order of the first three coordinates doesn't matter.

Any even subgraph is an edge-disjoint union of cycles, so a cycle basis can be chosen for any graph such that any even subgraph *contains* the members of the basis of which it is the sum. But this is impossible for the torus, an even 2-complex, which has no sphere subcomplex. However, the torus is a mod-2 sum of spheres in our basis. One can get a toroidal subcomplex in the 2-skeleton of Q_4 , by summing five of the basis elements, excluding two "opposite-side" cube boundaries; see [22, Fig. 6].

Section 2 below defines terms and lists results used. Section 3 is on the cube, and Section 4 is on the simplex. Section 5 generalizes cycle basis properties for $k > 1$. The last section is a discussion on coincidences in enumeration, binomial identities, and topics in geometric combinatorics.

2. Complexes, cycle spaces, and homology

We work with simplicial and cubical complexes, both of which are **polytopal complexes** [38, p. 127]. For convenience, a few of the basic terms are defined; otherwise, see Ziegler [38] and Spanier [36]. We use \mathbb{Z}_2 for the 2-element vector space. For d a positive integer, $[d] := \{1, \dots, d\}$; $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of a set A .

The n -**simplex** is the convex hull of the $n+1$ standard unit vectors

$$\Delta_n := \text{conv}(\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\})$$

and its k -**faces** are the corresponding convex hulls of the various subsets

$$\{e_j : j \in J \subseteq [n+1]\}, |J| = k+1.$$

The n -**cube** is the product of n copies of the unit interval, $Q_n := [0, 1]^n$. Its k -**faces** are the various subspaces of the form $A \times B$, where A is the k -cube corresponding to some k -element subset of $[n]$ and B is a vertex in the $n-k$ -cube determined by the complementary subset.

For $X := \Delta_n$ or Q_n , let X^k denote the k -**skeleton** of X (all faces of dimension $\leq k$). A subcomplex Y of X has dimension k if k is the largest

dimension of any face in Y and we write $\dim Y := k$. So $\dim X^k = k$. We call Y a **k-complex** if $\dim Y = k$. Note that every k -complex is a subcomplex of the k -skeleton of X for n sufficiently large. We say that Y is a **complex** if it is a k -complex for some k .

For $\ell \geq 0$ and for Y any k -complex contained in X , we write $C_\ell(Y)$ for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space indexed by the set of all ℓ -faces of Y , so $C_\ell(Y) = 0$ for $\ell > k$. There is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -linear boundary operator $\partial_\ell : C_\ell(X) \rightarrow C_{\ell-1}(X)$ which maps an ℓ -cell c to the $(\ell-1)$ -chain which is the formal sum of the $(\ell-1)$ -faces of c , $\ell \geq 1$. Put $Z_\ell := Z_\ell(Y) := \ker \partial_\ell$ and $B_\ell := \text{im } \partial_{\ell+1} \subseteq Z_\ell$ and $H_\ell := H_\ell(Y) := Z_\ell/B_\ell$. Let b_ℓ be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -dimension of H_ℓ (Betti number).

A k -complex Y is **even** if each $(k-1)$ -face in Y is contained in a positive even number of k -faces in Y . These are exactly the k -chains which map to zero by ∂_k ; that is, $Z_k(Y)$ is the set of all even k -subcomplexes of Y . Manifolds and pseudomanifolds [36, pp. 148–150] are even complexes.

For X an n -complex and $k \leq n-1$,

$$H_k(X^k) \cong Z_k(X^k) \cong Z_k(X).$$

Hence, $b_k(X^k)$ is the cardinality of a basis for the even k -complexes in X .

Each ℓ -face of a complex Y is an ℓ -**cell**, which is a closed topological ball of dimension ℓ (more specifically, a simplex or cube). Every (finite) complex has a unique topology coinduced by the topologies on its cells and is a compact Hausdorff space. For T a topological space, a **T-complex** is a complex which is homeomorphic to T . Note that $X^k = \Delta_n^k$ or Q_n^k is even if and only if $n-k$ is odd as it is easy to verify that the number of k -cells containing any $k-1$ -cell in both n -simplex and n -cube is $n-k+1$.

For Y a k -complex, $Y^{(j)}$ denotes the set of all j -cells in Y , $j \geq -1$ with $Y^{(j)} = \emptyset$ for $j > k$; there is a unique “empty” cell in dimension $j = -1$. The elements in $Y^{(j)}$ are called **vertices**, **edges**, **sides**, or **facets** for $j = 0, 1, k-1$, or k , resp. A complex is **pure** if every cell is a face of some facet.

We use the *Mayer-Vietoris sequence* [25, p. 148], which holds for an arbitrary field of coefficients (so for \mathbb{Z}_2). For simplicial complexes Y, Y' , there is a long exact sequence in homology [36, p. 187],

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_k(Y \cap Y') \rightarrow H_k(Y) \oplus H_k(Y') \rightarrow H_k(Y \cup Y') \rightarrow H_{k-1}(Y \cap Y') \rightarrow \cdots \quad (1)$$

For $k \geq 2$, the middle terms in (1) are isomorphic when $Y \cap Y'$ is contractible. The same facts hold if Y and Y' are cubical cell complexes.

3. Sphere bases for even subcomplexes of the cube

Let $k \geq 2$. For each $n \geq k + 1$, we construct a basis $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ for $Z_k(\mathcal{Q}_n^k)$, and then state its properties in a theorem.

For $n = k + 1$, we have $\mathcal{B}(n, k) = \{\partial\mathcal{Q}_n\}$. For $n \geq k + 1$,

$$\mathcal{B}(n+1, k) \setminus \mathcal{B}(n, k) := \{\partial(s \times [0, 1]) : s \in \mathcal{Q}_n^{(k)}\} \quad (2)$$

It is the linear ordering of coordinates (after the first $k+1$) that determines which $k+1$ -cube boundaries are in the basis. The recursively generated set $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ of spherical k -dimensional subcomplexes is independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Indeed, each additional isomorphic copy of $\partial\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}$, after the first, contains a k -face (opposite to the k -face to which the copy of \mathcal{Q}_{k+1} is attached) which is in no other sphere, so a linear combination is zero if and only if all coefficients of combination are also zero. We now prove $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ is a basis.

Theorem 1. *Let $k \geq 1$. Then for every $n \geq k + 1$, (i) $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ is a basis for $Z_k(\mathcal{Q}_n^k)$ and (ii) every k -cube in \mathcal{Q}_{n+1} is a face of some member of $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$.*

Proof. The result was proved for $k = 1$ in [28]; we now fix $k \geq 2$ and proceed by induction on n ; trivially, (i) and (ii) hold if $n = k + 1$. By the inductive hypothesis, for $n \geq k + 1$, $|\mathcal{B}(n, k)| = b_k(\mathcal{Q}_n^k)$ and

$$U(\mathcal{B}(n, k)) := \{c : c \in S \text{ and } S \in \mathcal{B}(n, k)\} = \mathcal{Q}_n^{(k)}.$$

Then (ii) holds for $n + 1$ as

$$U(\mathcal{B}(n+1, k)) = \mathcal{Q}_n^{(k)} \cup \{c : c \in \partial(s \times [0, 1]), s \in \mathcal{Q}_n^{(k)}\} = \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}^{(k)}.$$

Hence, \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}^k is the union of \mathcal{Q}_n^k and the k -spheres in $\mathcal{B}(n+1, k) \setminus \mathcal{B}(n, k)$, which we call the *attached spheres*. In fact, for each pair (n, k) statement (ii) follows from (i) but we actually need (ii) in order to prove (i).

For any $\ell \geq 2$, the $\ell+1$ -cube is the (Cartesian) product of an ℓ -cube and the unit interval. The *back* ℓ -cube corresponds to 0 in the last coordinate, while *front* ℓ -cube corresponds to 1. The *side* ℓ -cubes are the products of the unit interval with the $\ell-1$ -subcubes of the original ℓ -cube. Order the sequence of $\binom{n}{k} 2^{n-k}$ attached spheres arbitrarily, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_t ; then S_i intersects the union of \mathcal{Q}_n^k and $\bigcup_{r=1}^{i-1} S_r$ in its back k -face together with a subset

of the side cubes. Hence, the intersection of each sphere with the union of \mathcal{Q}_n^k and all previously attached spheres is contractible.

For each i , $1 \leq i \leq t = \binom{n}{k} 2^{n-k}$, applying the Mayer-Vietoris isomorphism (1) with Y equal to $\mathcal{Q}_n^k \cup \bigcup_{r=1}^{i-1} S_r$ and $Y' = S_i$ increments the dimension of the k -th homology of the union by 1 for each attachment of a sphere. Hence, $b_k(\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}^k) = b_k(\mathcal{Q}_n^k) + \binom{n}{k} 2^{n-k}$, so using (i) for \mathcal{Q}_n^k and (2),

$$b_k(\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}^k) = |\mathcal{B}(n, k)| + \binom{n}{k} 2^{n-k} = |\mathcal{B}(n+1, k)|.$$

Thus, for every n , $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ is a maximal independent set and so is a basis. \square

4. Sphere bases for even subcomplexes of the simplex

For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, by Euler-Poincaré, $b_k(\Delta_n^k) = m'(n, k)$, where

$$m'(n, k) := \sum_{j=-1}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n+1}{j+1} = \binom{n+1}{k+1} - \binom{n+1}{k} \pm \dots + (-1)^{k+1}. \quad (3)$$

Let $\mathcal{C}'(n, k)$ be the family of all $k+1$ -simplices Δ' contained in Δ_n and containing vertex 1 of Δ_n . Put $\mathcal{B}'(n, k) := \{\partial c : c \in \mathcal{C}'(n, k)\}$. By definition

$$|\mathcal{B}'(n, k)| = \binom{n}{k+1}. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 2. *Let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then $\mathcal{B}'(n, k)$ is a sphere basis for $\mathbb{Z}_k(\Delta_n)$.*

Proof. Write $\binom{n}{k+1} - m'(n, k)$ as a sum of $k+3$ terms and use the binomial recursion $k+1$ times to get $(-1)^{k+2} + (-1)^{k+1} = 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{B}'(n, k)$ has the cardinality of a basis. Because it is independent, it must be a basis. \square

As a consequence, $b_k(\Delta_n^k) = \binom{n}{k+1}$; cf. [13, §4.1], [37]. The simplicial complex generated by the members of $\mathcal{B}'(n, k)$ is a **shifted** complex [12], so $b_k(\Delta_n^k)$ is the number of facets not containing 1, agreeing with our result. A pure k -complex is **shellable** if it is possible to order its facets so that each facet intersects the union of the previous facets in a pure $k-1$ -complex. Sphere bases exist for shellable complexes [2], the boundary complex of a polytope is shellable [7], and the k -skeleton of a shellable complex is shellable [4]. The basis $\mathcal{B}'(n, k)$ arises also in matroid theory [2].

5. Some properties of the bases

We first note the consequence of our two theorems.

Corollary 3. *Let Y be a pure, even k -subcomplex of $X = \Delta_n$ or Q_n . Then Y is the mod-2 sum of a family of at most $b_k(X^k)$ distinct k -dimensional spheres in the respective bases $\mathcal{B}'(n, k)$ or $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$.*

The property of *minimality* for a cycle basis certainly applies to both of our bases since each uses only minimum-size elements in $Z_k(X)$, where X is n -simplex or n -cube. Any spanning tree in a connected graph determines a unique cycle basis, where each cycle is produced by one of the non-tree edges of the graph. Such cycle bases are called *fundamental*; interpreting this property for $k > 1$ depends on generalizing “spanning tree”.

Both bases $\mathcal{B}'(n, k)$ and $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$, when $k = 1$, have recursive properties not possessed by all cycle bases [19]. It is possible that some similar properties hold for $k > 1$ since the key condition in the recursion, that two cycles being added meet in a common nontrivial path, generalizes – the connected sum of two k -spheres remains a k -sphere. But $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$ aren’t panaceas [18].

A cycle basis \mathcal{R} for a graph is **robust** if for each cycle z in the graph, it is possible to find a (finite) sequence of members of \mathcal{R} such that z is their mod-2 sum and each summand intersects the sum of the previous terms in a nontrivial path [27]. Hence, each partial sum is also a cycle.

The cycle basis $\mathcal{B}'(n, 1)$ is a robust basis for $Z_1(K_{n+1})$ [27, Prop. 1]. Extending the notion to $k > 1$, we ask: Is $\mathcal{B}'(n, k)$ a robust basis for $Z_k(\Delta_n)$?

The cycle basis $\mathcal{B}(n, 1)$ for the hypercube graph Q_n has a weaker recursive property, called **connected sum** [28, 19]: Any cycle in the graph can be constructed by iterating the procedure described for robust bases. The first iteration constructs a family of cycles from the original basis. In the later iterations, one uses both the basis and the cycles constructed in the previous iterations, until, after finitely many iterations, all cycles are formed. Extending this to $k > 1$, we ask if $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ is a connected sum basis for $Z_k(Q_n)$?

A property of cycles is called **cooperative** [19] if the mod-2 sum of two cycles, which intersect in a nontrivial path, has the property whenever both the summands have it. *Any cooperative property which holds for all cycles in a connected-sum basis must hold for all cycles in the graph.* For example, Q_5 has over 51 billion cycles (sequence A085408 in the OEIS [35]) but has only 49 elements in the cycle basis $\mathcal{B}(5, 1)$ which is a connected sum basis.

These bases provide spherical primitives which could be added together sequentially to build up any spherical object. Cubical complexes seem more natural for applications, especially in graphics, but consider a k -dimensional simplicial complex Y and let Δ_n be a simplex which contains Y and has at least one additional vertex 1. Let $1 * \sigma$ denote the $(k+1)$ -simplex which is the topological join of 1 and a k -simplex σ in Y . Then we define

$$M(Y) := M := \sum_{\sigma \in Y^{(k)}} \partial(1 * \sigma) \quad (5)$$

so M is the mod-2 sum of these canonically given members of $\mathcal{B}'(n, k)$.

This may be an advantage for simplicial, rather than cubical, models.

An entirely different approach to the geometry of k -skeleta of Platonic polytopes was taken in [20, 21, 23], where we were able to *decompose* the even k -skeleta of cube and simplex into *facet-disjoint* spheres in nearly all cases for cubes (and always for simplexes).

6. Discussion

Fix $k \geq 1$ and let $n \geq k+1$. Our construction shows

$$s(n, k) := |\mathcal{B}(n, k)| = \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \binom{j}{k} 2^{j-k}. \quad (6)$$

But $m(n, k) := b_k(\mathcal{Q}_n^k)$ can be computed via the Euler-Poincaré formula [24, p. 146], [25, p. 25], to get a rather different-looking expression.

$$m(n, k) = (-1)^{1+k} + \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n}{j} 2^{n-j}. \quad (7)$$

Theorem 1 implies that $s(n, k) = m(n, k)$. Conversely, a computer-algebra proof that $s(n, k) = m(n, k)$ implies Theorem 1. Indeed, both m and s satisfy the recursion $T(n, k) = 2T(n-1, k) + T(n-1, k-1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ as can be verified using Mathematica [41], which applies a Gauss hypergeometric contiguous identity - see the Digital Library of Mathematical Functions [40, 15.5.15].

The sequences given by $s(n, k)$ for $n = k+1, k+2, \dots$ with $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
 1, 5, 17, 49, 129, 321, 769, 1793, ...
 1, 7, 31, 111, 351, 1023, 2815, 7423, ...
 1, 9, 49, 209, 769, 2561, 7937, 23297, ...

constitute a triangular array; see the OEIS [35, A119258] and [34].

For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, the sequences are the ranks of certain (integer) cohomology and homology groups [3, 5, 1] associated with the **no k -equal** space $M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{R}}$, which is the complement in \mathbb{R}^n of

$$V_{n,k}^{\mathbb{R}} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists J \subseteq [n] \text{ s.t. } |J| = k \text{ and } x_j \text{ is constant } \forall j \in J\}.$$

In particular, Björner and Welker found [5] that for $n \geq k$,

$$\text{bw}(n, k) := \text{rank}\left(H^{k-2}(M_{n,k}^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{Z})\right) = \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} \binom{i-1}{k-1}, \quad k \geq 3. \quad (8)$$

Evaluation shows that $\text{bw}(n, 3) = s(n, 2)$ is sequence A055580 from [35], while $\text{bw}(n, 4) = s(n, 3)$ is A027608, and the next sequence is A211386.

There are a number of distinct formulas that all give these same sequences. See [15, 16, 34] which prove the formulas are equal algebraically.

Green [15, Thm. 4.1.2] shows that, for a CW-complex $C_{n,k}$ contained in the *half-cube* [9, §8.6], $b_{k-1}(C_{n,k})$ can be expressed by the alternating sum

$$b_{k-1}(C_{n,k}) = \text{gr}(n, k) := \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{k+i} 2^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \quad (9)$$

and Green also shows [15, Cor. 4.1.6]

$$\text{gr}(n, k) = \text{bw}(n, k). \quad (10)$$

Tree-like structures in higher dimensions have been studied for decades; e.g., Pippert & Beineke [33], Dewdney [10], Bolker [6], Kalai [29], and Lyons [32]. Duvall, Klivans, and Martin [13] define a *k -dimensional cellular spanning tree* of a k -complex to be a certain subset of the facets containing the $n-1$ -skeleton and satisfying some conditions on integer homology.

Baryshnikov, Klivans, and Kosar [1, Thm. 1.1] show that the number of facets in a $k+1$ -cellular spanning tree T for \mathcal{Q}_n^{k+1} is given by $b_{k-1}(M_{n,k-1}^{\mathbb{R}})$.

Further, if T is a spanning tree of the $k+1$ -skeleton of *any convex polytope* P in \mathbb{R}^n , they show that T has $|T| = b_k(P^k)$ facets [1, Prop. 5.2].

Let $\mathcal{C}(n, k)$ be the family of $k+1$ -cubes whose boundaries are $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$. We conjecture that $\mathcal{C}(n, k)$ is a $(k+1)$ -cellular spanning tree for \mathcal{Q}_n^{k+1} and that $\mathcal{C}'(n, k)$ is a $(k+1)$ -cellular spanning tree for Δ_n^{k+1} .

Acknowledgements: I thank colleagues in Slovenia, (Drago Bokal) and Greece (Stratos Prassidis and Sofia Lambropoulou) for a stimulating research environment during the preparation of the first draft of this paper in the summer of 2018. Thanks also to the referees for helpful comments and for pointing out the matroid connection and to Michael Somos for observing the role of the Gauss identity.

References

- [1] Y. Baryshnikov, C. Klivans, & N. Kosar, On the topology of no k -equal spaces, arXiv:1708.00032v1 [math.CO].
- [2] A. Björner, Homology and shellability of matroids and geometric lattices, *Matroid Applications*, N. White, Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992, Ch. 7.
- [3] A. Björner, L. Lovász, & A. C. C. Yao, Linear decision trees: Volume estimates and topological bounds, pp. 170–177, *STOC*, 1992.
- [4] A. Björner & M. L. Wachs, Shellable nonpure complexes and posets I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348** (4) (1996) 1299–1327.
- [5] A. Björner & V. Welker, The homology of “ k -equal” manifolds and related partition lattices, *Advances in Math.*, **110** (1995) 277–313.
- [6] E. D. Bolker, Simplicial geometry and transportation polytopes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **217** (1976) 121–142.
- [7] H. Bruggesser & P. Mani, Shellable decompositions of cells and spheres, *Math. Scand.* **29** (2) (1972) 197–205.
- [8] D. Cohen-Steiner, H., Edelsbrunner, J., Harer, Stability of persistence diagrams, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **37** (1) (2007) 103–120.

-
- [9] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Regular Polytopes*, Dover, NY, 1973 (orig. 1963).
- [10] A. K. Dewdney, Higher-dimensional tree structures, *J. Combin. Theory (B)* **17** (1974) 160–169.
- [11] T. K. Dey, T. Li, & Y. Wang, Efficient algorithms for computing a minimal homology basis. In: *Latin American Symposium on Theoretical Informatics*, pp. 376–398 (2018). Springer
- [12] A. M. Duval, Shifted simplicial complexes and algebraic shifting, *preprint*, AMS Regional Meeting, Binghamton Univ., 2003.
- [13] A. M. Duval, C. J. Klivans & J. L. Martin, Simplicial and Cellular Trees, pp 713–752 in *Recent Trends in Combinatorics*, A. Beveridge, J. Griggs, L. Hogben, G. Musiker, P. Tetali (eds), The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, vol 159. Springer, Cham. 2016.
- [14] S. Eilenberg, On the problems of topology, *Annals of Math.* **50**(2) (1949) 247–260; presented at Bicentennial Celebration of Princeton University, Dec. 1946.
- [15] R. M. Green, Homology representations arising from the half cube, *Advances in Math.*, **222**, (1) (2009) 216–239.
- [16] R. M. Green & J. T. Harper, Morse matchings on polytopes, *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* **12** (2012) 2429–2450.
- [17] M. Guerra, A. De Gregorio, U. Fugacci, G. Petri & F. Vaccarino, *Homological Scaffold via Minimal Homology Bases*, arXiv 2004.11606v1 24 April 2020.
- [18] R. H. Hammack, Cyclicity of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **32** (2) (1999) 160–170.
- [19] R. H. Hammack & P. C. Kainen, Graph bases and diagram commutativity, *Graphs and Combin.* **34**(4) (2018) 523–534.
- [20] R. H. Hammack & P. C. Kainen, On 2-skeleta of Platonic polytopes, *Bull. Hellenic Math. Soc.* **62** (2018) 94–102.

- [21] R. H. Hammack & P. C. Kainen, Sphere decompositions of hypercube, *Art Discr. Appl. Math.* **3** (2) (2020): #P2.09, 8 pp. doi.org/10.26493/2590-9770.1285.fd8
- [22] R. H. Hammack & P. C. Kainen, A new view of hypercube genus, *American Math. Monthly*, **128** (4) (2021) 352–359.
- [23] R. H. Hammack & P. C. Kainen, Factorization of Platonic polytopes into canonical spheres, *Geombinatorics*, **XXXI**(1) (2021) 5–9.
- [24] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [25] J.-C. Hausmann, *Mod Two Homology and Cohomology* Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [26] J. D. Horton, A polynomial-time algorithm to find the shortest cycle basis of a graph, *SIAM Journal on Computing* **16** (2) (1987) 358–366.
- [27] P. C. Kainen, On robust cycle bases, in *Proc. of the Ninth Quadrennial Int. Conf. on Graph Theory (Combinatorics, Algorithms and Applications)*, Ed. by Y. Alavi, D. Jones, D.R. Lick, J. Liu, June 2000, Kalamazoo, MI, *Elec. Notes in Discr. Math.*, **11**, Elsevier (2002).
- [28] P. C. Kainen, Cycle construction and geodesic cycles with application to the hypercube, *Ars Math. Contempor.* **9** (2015) 27–43; <http://amc-journal.eu/index.php/amc/article/view/450/653>.
- [29] G. Kalai, Enumeration of Q-acyclic simplicial complexes, *Israel J. Math.* **45** (1983) (4) 337–351.
- [30] K. Klemm & P. F. Stadler, A note on fundamental, non-fundamental, and robust cycle bases, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **157** (2009) 2432–2438.
- [31] P. S. Landweber, Steenrod representability of stable homology, *Proc. American Math. Soc.* **18**(3) (1967) 523–529.
- [32] R. Lyons, Random complexes and ℓ^2 -Betti numbers, *J. Topol. Anal.* **1** (2009) (2) 153–175.

- [33] R. E. Pippert & L. W. Beineke, Characterizations of 2-dimensional trees, in *The Many Facets of Graph Theory*, G. Chartrand & S. F. Kapoor, Springer, Lect. Notes in Math. 110, 1969, pp. 263–270.
- [34] M. Shattuck & T. Waldhauser, Proofs of some binomial identities using the method of last squares, *Fibonacci Quart.* **48** (4) (2010) 290–297.
- [35] N J A Sloane, *The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://oeis.org>.
- [36] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, NY, 1966.
- [37] Question from Taladris, answer by Zhen Lin, *math.stackexchange*, July 28, 2014.
<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/880201/simplicial-homology-of-the-skeleton-of-a-simplex>
- [38] G. M. Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes*, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [39] A. J. Zomorodian & G. Carlsson, Computing persistent homology. *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **33** (2) (2005) 249–274
- [40] <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>, *Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*.
- [41] *Mathematica* (ver. 11.3), Wolfram Research, Inc., Champaign, IL.

Paul C. Kainen, Georgetown University
kainen@georgetown.edu