

Maximal Haagerup subgroups in $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \rtimes_{\rho_n} GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

Alain VALETTE

March 14, 2023

Abstract

Let ρ_n denote the standard action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the space $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree n in two variables, with integer coefficients. For G a non-amenable subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we describe the maximal Haagerup subgroups of the semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \rtimes_{\rho_n} G$, extending the classification of Jiang-Skalski [JS21] of the maximal Haagerup subgroups in $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. This classification leads naturally to the description of the first cohomology group $H^1(G, P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$: we prove the non-vanishing of $H^1(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ for even n .

1 Introduction

For discrete countable groups, the Haagerup property is a weak form of amenability that proved to be useful in many questions in analytical group theory, ranging from K-theory to dynamical systems (see [CCJJV]). It is not difficult to see that, in a countable group, every Haagerup subgroup is contained in a maximal one (see Proposition 1.3 in [JS21] or lemma 2.1 below). This raises the question, given a group G , of describing the maximal Haagerup subgroups of G (parallel to the description of maximal abelian, solvable, or amenable subgroups of G).

The study of maximal Haagerup subgroups was initiated by Y. Jiang and A. Skalski [JS21], and we refer to this paper for many interesting and intriguing examples. We mention here Theorem 2.12 in [JS21], where the authors classify maximal Haagerup subgroups of the semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. This example is especially interesting in view of a result of Burger (Example 2 following Proposition 7 in [Bur91]): if G is a non-amenable subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then the pair $(\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes G, \mathbb{Z}^2)$ has the relative property (T); in particular $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes G$ is not Haagerup, in spite of the fact that $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and G are both Haagerup.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.12 in [JS21]). *Let H be a maximal Haagerup subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then there is a dichotomy:*

1. either $H = \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes C$, where C is a maximal amenable¹ subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$;
2. or $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ is trivial; then H is not amenable. If K denotes the image of H under the quotient map $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (so that K is isomorphic to H), then $H = \{(b(g), g) : g \in K\}$ where $b : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a 1-cocycle that cannot be extended to a larger subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 1.2. Denote by $L(G)$ the group von Neumann algebra of the group G . In Theorem 3.1 of [JS21], Jiang and Skalski are able to prove the stronger result that, if C is a maximal amenable subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, such that $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes C$ has infinite conjugacy classes, then $L(\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes C)$ is a maximal Haagerup von Neumann subalgebra of $L(\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$, where the Haagerup property for finite von Neumann algebras was defined in [Jo02]. Subsequently Y. Jiang (Corollary 4.3 in [Ji21]) showed that $L(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ is a maximal Haagerup subalgebra in $L(\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$. It was pointed out to us by A. Skalski, that there is no known example of a maximal Haagerup subgroup H in a group G , such that $L(H)$ is not maximal Haagerup in $L(G)$.

We now come to the present paper. Fix $n \geq 1$ and, for $A = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, denote by $P_n(A)$ the set of polynomials in two variables X, Y , with coefficients in A , which are homogeneous of degree n , so that $P_n(A) \simeq A^{n+1}$. It is a classical fact that $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ admits an irreducible representation ρ_n on $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ given as follows: for $P \in P_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})$, set:

$$(\rho_n(A)(P))(X, Y) = P((X, Y) \cdot A) = P(a_{11}X + a_{21}Y, a_{12}X + a_{22}Y).$$

Since $\rho_n(GL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ leaves $P_n(\mathbb{Z})$ invariant, we may form the semi-direct product

$$G_n =: P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

(observe $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, that contains $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ as a subgroup of index 2). Let G be a non-amenable subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$: our goal is to classify the maximal Haagerup subgroups of $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} G \subset G_n$. So here is our main result, extending Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.3. Fix $n \geq 1$ and a non-amenable subgroup G of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let H be a maximal Haagerup subgroup of $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} G$. Then there is a dichotomy.

1. Either H is amenable, in which case $H = P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} C$, with C maximal amenable in G ;

¹Observe that, as $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is virtually free, so is any subgroup; in particular a subgroup is amenable if and only if it is virtually cyclic.

2. or H is non amenable and there exists a subgroup $K \subset G$ isomorphic to H , and a 1-cocycle $b \in Z^1(K, P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ such that

$$H = \{(b(k), k) : k \in K\}$$

and b cannot be extended to a larger subgroup of G . (In particular, if b is a 1-coboundary, then $K = G$.)

Conversely, any subgroup of $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} G$ of one of the above 2 forms, defines a maximal Haagerup subgroup in $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} G$.

Even if the conclusion looks very similar to Theorem 1.1, we emphasize that we had to come up with a totally different argument to show that the intersection $H \cap P_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is either $P_n(\mathbb{Z})$ or trivial.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 raise an interesting - and somewhat unusual - question in the 1-cohomology of groups: to describe maximal Haagerup subgroups that are non-amenable, we need to describe 1-cocycles that cannot be extended to a larger subgroup. In the case of ρ_1 , this question is extensively studied in section 2 of [JS21] (from Lemma 2.14 to Proposition 2.18). In particular it is proved there that $H^1(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}^2) = 0$. In Proposition 5.1 we generalize this to other subgroups $G \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, proving that $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^2) = 0$ whenever G contains an element of order 6. For the representation ρ_n , we prove:

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that $n \geq 2$ is even. Then $H^1(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z})) \neq 0$. Actually for $n \geq 8$ the rank of $H^1(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ as an abelian group, satisfies*

$$rk(H^1(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z}))) \geq \frac{n-6}{6}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to prerequisites. In section 3 we prove the analogue of Burger's aforementioned result, namely that the pair $(G_n, P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ has the relative property (T) for $n \geq 1$. Theorem 1.3 is proved in section 4, while cohomological questions are treated in section 5.

Acknowledgements: Thanks are due to Laurent Hayez, Paul Jolissaint and Alexandre Zumbrunnen for numerous useful discussions, and to A. Skalski for a careful reading of the first draft.

2 Generalities

The following results on countable groups will be used freely:

- The group $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is Haagerup (see sections 1.2.2 or 1.2.3 in [CCJJV]).
- An extension of a Haagerup group by an amenable group, is Haagerup; in particular every amenable group is Haagerup (see Proposition 6.1.5 in [CCJJV]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a countable group. Any Haagerup subgroup of G is contained in a maximal Haagerup subgroup.*

Proof: (compare with Proposition 1.3 in [JS21]) To apply Zorn's lemma, we must show that being Haagerup is stable by arbitrary increasing unions of subgroups. This follows from the fact that, for countable groups, being Haagerup is a local property, i.e a countable group is Haagerup if and only if every finitely generated subgroup is Haagerup (see Proposition 6.1.1 in [CCJJV]). ■

We recall the following facts from the cohomology of groups. For G a group and A a G -module, define the group of 1-cocycles:

$$Z^1(G, A) = \{b : G \rightarrow A : b(gh) = gb(h) + b(g) \text{ for all } g, h \in G\};$$

the group of 1-coboundaries:

$$B^1(G, A) = \{b \in Z^1(G, A) : \text{there exists } a \in A \text{ such that } b(g) = ga - a \text{ for all } g \in G\};$$

and the first cohomology group:

$$H^1(G, A) = Z^1(G, A) / B^1(G, A).$$

The following is proved e.g. in Proposition 2.3 of [Br82].

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a group and let A be a G -module. Splittings of the split extension*

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \rtimes G \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

are given by $i_b : G \rightarrow A \rtimes G : g \mapsto (b(g), g)$ with $b \in Z^1(G, A)$ and are classified up to A -conjugacy by the first cohomology group $H^1(G, A)$. ■

The first part of the following lemma is a variation on Corollaire 7.2, page 39 of [Gu80].

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a group and A be a G -module with cancellation by 2 (i.e. $2x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$).*

1. *Assume G contains a central element z that acts on A by -1 . Then, for any $b \in Z^1(G, A)$, we have $2b \in B^1(G, A)$. Moreover $b \in B^1(G, A)$ if $b(z)$ belongs to $2A$.*
2. *Assume that G contains a central element c of order 2, such that the module action on A factors through $G/\langle c \rangle$. Then the map $H^1(G/\langle c \rangle, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, A)$ (induced by the quotient map $G \rightarrow G/\langle c \rangle$) is an isomorphism.*

Proof:

1. For $b \in Z^1(G, A)$ and $g \in G$ we have, using $zg = gz$ in the 3rd equality:

$$b(z) - b(g) = b(z) + zb(g) = b(zg) = b(gz) = gb(z) + b(g).$$

Re-arranging:

$$2b(g) = (1 - g)b(z).$$

Hence $2b \in B^1(G, A)$. If we may write $b(z) = 2a$ for some $a \in A$, cancelling 2 on both sides we get $b(g) = (1 - g)a$, so $b \in B^1(G, A)$.

2. The map $H^1(G/\langle c \rangle, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, A)$ is clearly injective. For surjectivity, fix $b \in Z^1(G, A)$ and consider $b(c^2) = b(1) = 0$: using the cocycle relation

$$0 = (1 + c)b(c) = 2b(c),$$

hence $b(c) = 0$ and b factors through $G/\langle c \rangle$.

■

We denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n , and by D_n the dihedral group of order $2n$. Set

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is well-known (see Example 1.5.3 in [Se77]) that $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ admits a decomposition as an amalgamated product:

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq C_4 *_{{C_2}} C_6.$$

with $C_4 = \langle s \rangle$, $C_6 = \langle t \rangle$ and $C_2 = \{1, \varepsilon\}$. It extends to an amalgamated product decomposition of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Section 6 in [BT16]):

$$GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq D_4 *_{{D_2}} D_6,$$

with $D_4 = \langle s, w \rangle$, $D_6 = \langle t, w \rangle$ and $D_2 = \langle \varepsilon, w \rangle$.

Lemma 2.4. *The amenable radical of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the subgroup C_2 .*

Proof: This is a very particular case of a result of Cornulier (Proposition 7 in [Co09]): let $G = A *_C B$ be an amalgamated product such that $[A : C] \geq 2$ and $[B : C] \geq 3$. Then the amenable radical of G is the largest normal subgroup of C which is amenable and normalized both by A and B . ■

3 Relative property (T)

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a non-amenable subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.*

1. *The restriction of the representation ρ_n to G is irreducible.*
2. *The pair $(P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} G, P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ has the relative property (T). In particular $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} G$ is not Haagerup.*

Proof:

1. Since $G \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has index at most 2 in G , replacing G by $G \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we may assume that $G \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let L be the Zariski closure of G in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, so that L is a Lie subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, hence of dimension 0, 1, 2 or 3. As Lie subgroups of dimension 0, 1, 2 are virtually solvable hence amenable, L has dimension 3, i.e. G is Zariski dense in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Since the representation ρ_n is algebraic, irreducibility is preserved by passing to a Zariski dense subgroup.²
2. Set $V_n = P_n(\mathbb{R})$. By Proposition 7 in [Bur91] (see especially Example (2) on p. 62 of [Bur91]), if G does not fix any probability measure on the projective space $P(V_n^*)$, then the pair $(P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} G, P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ has the relative property (T). Since the representation ρ_n of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is equivalent to its contragredient ρ_n^* , it is enough to check that there is no G -fixed probability measure on the projective space $P(V_n)$. So assume by contradiction that there is such a probability measure μ . Then, by Corollary 3.2.2 in [Zim], there are exactly two cases:
 - The measure μ is not supported on a finite union of proper projective subspaces. Then the stabilizer $PGL(V_n)_\mu$ is compact, which contradicts the fact that the image of G in $PGL(V_n)$ is infinite discrete.
 - There exists a proper linear subspace W in V_n such that $\mu([W]) > 0$ (where $[W]$ denotes the image of W in $P(V_n)$), and moreover the orbit of $[W]$ under the stabilizer $PGL(V_n)_\mu$ is finite. In particular there is a finite index subgroup of $PGL(V_n)_\mu$ that leaves $[W]$ invariant. So there is a finite index subgroup G_0 of G that leaves the linear subspace W invariant, in contradiction with the first part of the Proposition. ■

²We recall the argument: if W is a $\rho_n(G)$ -invariant subspace, and $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ is a set of linear forms such that $W = \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$, then $\rho_n(G)$ -invariance of W is equivalent to $f_i(\rho_n(g)w) = 0$ for every $g \in G, w \in W, i \in I$. View this as a system of polynomial equations in the matrix coefficients of g : it vanishes on G , hence also vanishes on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ by Zariski density.

4 Maximal Haagerup subgroups

An interesting question raised in [JS21] is whether every countable group admits a Haagerup radical, i.e. a unique maximal normal subgroup with the Haagerup property. We first show that G_n admits such a Haagerup radical.

Proposition 4.1. *For every $n \geq 1$, the Haagerup radical of G_n is $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} C_2$.*

Proof: Set $U =: P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} C_2$. Let $N \triangleleft G_n$ be a normal Haagerup subgroup, we want to prove that N is contained in U . We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.10 in [JS21]: the subgroup UN is normal and since $UN/N \simeq U/(U \cap N)$ is amenable, UN is an amenable extension of a Haagerup group, hence UN is Haagerup. Since UN contains U we have in particular $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \subset UN$ so $UN = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \rtimes_{\rho_n} K$, for some normal subgroup $K \triangleleft GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. By Proposition 3.1(2), the subgroup K must be amenable, i.e. $K \subset C_2$ by lemma 2.4. So $UN \subset U$ and therefore $N \subset U$. ■

Since $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} C_2$ is actually amenable, we immediately have:

Corollary 4.2. *The amenable radical of G_n is $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} C_2$. ■*

We now come to the proof of our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Let

$$q_n : P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : (v, S) \mapsto S$$

be the quotient map. Observe that, as $\text{Ker}(q_n|_H) = H \cap P_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is abelian, H is amenable if and only if $q_n(H)$ is amenable. We separate the two cases.

1. If H is amenable, set $C = q_n(H)$, so that H is contained in the amenable subgroup $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} C$. By maximality, we have $H = P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} C$, and C is maximal amenable in G .
2. Assume now that H is not amenable, and set $K = q_n(H)$.

Claim 1: the subgroup $H \cap P_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is invariant by $\rho_n(K)$. Indeed, fix $h \in H$ and write $h = (v_h, q_n(h))$ as an element of the semi-direct product G_n . For $(w, 1) \in H \cap P_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we have, because $P_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is abelian:

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_n(q_n(h^{-1}))w, 1) &= (0, q_n(h))^{-1}(w, 1)(0, q_n(h)) \\ &= (0, q_n(h))^{-1}(-v_h, 1)(w, 1)(v_h, 1)(0, q_n(h)) \\ &= ((v_h, 1)(0, q_n(h)))^{-1}(w, 1)((v_h, 1)(0, q_n(h))) \\ &= (v_h, q_n(h))^{-1}(w, 1)(v_h, q_n(h)) = h^{-1}(w, 1)h \end{aligned}$$

which belongs to $H \cap P_n(\mathbb{Z})$ because the latter is normal in H . This proves Claim 1.

Claim 2: We have $H \cap P_n(\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$. To see it, let k be the rank of the free abelian group $H \cap P_n(\mathbb{Z})$, so that $0 \leq k \leq n+1$, we must show that $k = 0$.

If $k = n+1$, then $H \cap P_n(\mathbb{Z})$ has finite index in $P_n(\mathbb{Z})$, so that H has finite index in $H \cdot P_n(\mathbb{Z})$. By maximality, we must have $H \cdot P_n(\mathbb{Z}) = H$, i.e. $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \subset H$ and $H = P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} K$; as K is not amenable, this contradicts part (2) of Proposition 3.1.

If $1 \leq k \leq n$, we denote by W the linear subspace of $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ generated by $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. By Claim 1, the subspace W is invariant by $\rho_n(K)$, contradicting Part 1 of Proposition 3.1. This proves Claim 2.

At this point we know that $q_n|_H$ induces an isomorphism from H onto K , so that by Proposition 2.2 there exists a 1-cocycle $b \in Z^1(K, P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ so that $H = \{(b(k), k) : k \in K\}$. By maximality of H , the 1-cocycle cannot be extended to a larger subgroup of G . This proves the direct implication of the Theorem.

For the converse, if C is a maximal amenable subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes C$ is Haagerup, and maximality follows immediately from Part 2 of Proposition 3.1. If K is a non-amenable subgroup of G and $b \in Z^1(K, P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ is a 1-cocycle that cannot be extended to a larger subgroup, then $H = \{(b(k), k) : k \in K\}$ is a Haagerup subgroup of $P_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\rho_n} G$, and maximality follows the dichotomy in the direct implication of the Theorem. ■

5 Cohomological matters

Proposition 5.1. *Let G be a subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ containing an element t_0 of order 6. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_N(\mathbb{Z})$ be a homomorphism such that $\rho(t_0^3) = -1$, and $\rho(t_0)$ does not admit -1 as an eigenvalue. Then $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^N) = 0$. This applies in particular to $\rho = \rho_1|_G$.*

Proof: In an amalgamated product of groups, any element of finite order is conjugate to a finite order element in one of the factors (see e.g. Cor. 1 in section 3 of [Se77]). So in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = D_4 *_D D_6$, conjugating G if necessary we may assume that $t_0 = t$, so that $\varepsilon = t_0^3$ belongs to H .

Fix $b \in Z^1(G, \mathbb{Z}^N)$, we want to prove that b is a 1-coboundary. By lemma 2.3 (applied with $z = \varepsilon$) it is enough to prove that $b(\varepsilon) \in 2\mathbb{Z}^N$.

Set $T =: \rho(t_0)$, then:

$$0 = T^3 + 1 = (T + 1)(T^2 - T + 1).$$

Since by assumption $T + 1$ is invertible in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$, we have $0 = T^2 - T + 1$, i.e. $2T = T^2 + T + 1$. Now expanding $t_0^3 = \varepsilon$ by the 1-cocycle relation we get

$$b(\varepsilon) = (T^2 + T + 1)b(t_0) = 2Tb(t_0).$$

i.e. $b(\varepsilon) \in 2\mathbb{Z}^N$. For the final statement about ρ_1 , it is enough to observe that -1 is not an eigenvalue of $t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Since $\rho_n(\varepsilon) = 1$ as n is even, by the second part of Lemma 2.3 we may replace $H^1(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ by $H^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$.

Set $S = \rho_n(s), T = \rho_n(t)$ and view $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ as the free product $\langle S \rangle * \langle T \rangle$. We define a subgroup of $Z^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ by

$$Z_0^1 =: \{b \in Z^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z})) : b(T) = 0\},$$

and we set $m_0 =: rk(Z_0^1)$ and $n_0 =: rk(Z_0^1 \cap B^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z})))$. We then have

$$rk(H^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z}))) \geq rk(Z_0^1 / (Z_0^1 \cap B^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z})))) = m_0 - n_0,$$

and it is enough to prove that $m_0 > n_0$ for $n \geq 2$ and $m_0 - n_0 \geq \frac{n-6}{6}$ for $n \geq 8$. This will be done in 5 steps.

1. *We claim that Z_0^1 can be identified with $\ker(S + 1)$.* Indeed any 1-cocycle on $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle S \rangle * \langle T \rangle$ is completely determined by its values on S and T . So a cocycle $b \in Z_0^1$ is completely determined by $b(S)$, which in turn is submitted to the only relation $(S + 1)b(S) = 0$ (obtained by expanding $S^2 = 1$ by the 1-cocycle relation).
2. *Computation of $m_0 = rk(\ker(S+1))$.* Observe that $(SP)(X, Y) = P(Y, -X)$ for $P \in P_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Expanding P as a sum of monomials $P(X, Y) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k X^{n-k} Y^k$, we get

$$(SP)(X, Y) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k a_k Y^{n-k} X^k = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k a_{n-k} X^{n-k} Y^k,$$

so that $SP = -P$ if and only if $a_k = (-1)^{k+1} a_{n-k}$ for every $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. So we may choose $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n/2-1}$ arbitrarily, while $a_{n/2} = 0$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and $a_{n/2}$ can be chosen arbitrarily if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. So

$$m_0 = \frac{n + 1 + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}{2}. \tag{1}$$

3. *We claim that $Z_0^1 \cap B^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ can be identified with $\ker(T - 1)$.* Indeed $b \in Z_0^1$ is a 1-coboundary if and only if there exists $P \in P_n(\mathbb{Z})$

such that $b(S) = (S - 1)(P)$ and $0 = (T - 1)(P)$. This is still equivalent to $b(S) \in (S - 1)(\ker(T - 1))$. This already identifies $Z_0^1 \cap B^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), P_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ with $(S - 1)(\ker(T - 1))$.

To prove the claim it remains to show that $(S - 1)|_{\ker(T - 1)}$ is injective, i.e. $\ker(S - 1) \cap \ker(T - 1) = \{0\}$. But, as S, T generate $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the space $\ker(S - 1) \cap \ker(T - 1)$ is exactly the space of $\rho_n(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ -fixed vectors in $P_n(\mathbb{Z})$, which is 0 by the first part of Proposition 3.1.

4. *Computation of $n_0 = rk(\ker(T - 1))$.* Since $T^3 = 1$, the operator T defines a representation σ of the cyclic group C_3 , and $n_0 = rk(\ker(T - 1))$ is the multiplicity of the trivial representation in this representation. Recall that C_3 has 3 irreducible representations, all of dimension 1: the trivial representation χ_0 and the characters $\chi_{\pm}$ defined by $\chi_{\pm}(T) = e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{3}}$. Now σ is equivalent to the direct sum of n_0 copies of χ_0 with n_+ copies of χ_+ and n_- copies of χ_- . Note that $n_+ = n_-$ because σ is a real representation. Computing the character of σ we get

$$n + 1 = Tr(1) = n_0\chi_0(1) + n_+\chi_+(1) + n_-\chi_-(1) = n_0 + 2n_+;$$

$$Tr(T) = n_0\chi_0(T) + n_+\chi_+(T) + n_-\chi_-(T) = n_0 - n_+.$$

Solving this system for n_0 we get:

$$rk(\ker(T - 1)) = n_0 = \frac{n + 1 + 2Tr(T)}{3}. \quad (2)$$

It remains to compute $Tr(T)$ to get the exact value of n_0 . We observe that ρ_n extends to a representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ on the space $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. Restricting to the compact maximal torus $T = \{a_{\vartheta} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\vartheta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\vartheta} \end{pmatrix} : \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ we have the classical formula (see e.g. formula (7.26) in [Ha03]):

$$Tr(\rho_n(a_{\vartheta})) = \frac{\sin((n + 1)\vartheta)}{\sin(\vartheta)}.$$

Since t has order 6 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, it is conjugate to $a_{\pi/3}$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, and therefore:

$$Tr(T) = Tr(\rho_n(a_{\pi/3})) = \frac{\sin((n + 1)\pi/3)}{\sin(\pi/3)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

5. *Conclusion of proof.* It remains to compare m_0 and n_0 by means of formulae (1), (2) and (3). For $n = 2, 4, 6$ we get $m_0 > n_0$ from the following table:

n	n_0	m_0
2	1	2
4	1	2
6	3	4

For $n \geq 8$ we have:

$$m_0 - n_0 = \frac{n + 1 + 3(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} - 4Tr(T)}{6} \geq \frac{n - 6}{6},$$

where the inequality follows from (3). This concludes the proof. ■

We end the paper with an amusing combinatorial consequence of our proof of Theorem 1.4. For n even, the sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n/2} (-1)^k \binom{n-k}{k},$$

which is an alternating sum on a diagonal in Pascal's triangle, can be computed by combinatorial means (see [BQ08]). We give a representation-theoretic derivation.

Corollary 5.2. *For even n :*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n/2} (-1)^k \binom{n-k}{k} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

. **Proof:** In view of formula (3), it is enough to prove that the LHS is equal to $Tr(T) = Tr(T^{-1})$. For this we observe that $T^{-1}(P)(X, Y) = P(Y - X, -X)$ for $P \in P_n(\mathbb{R})$. So in the canonical basis $X^n, X^{n-1}Y, \dots, XY^{n-1}, Y^n$ we have, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$:

$$(T^{-1})(X^{n-k}Y^k) = X^k(X - Y)^{n-k} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-k}{\ell} X^{n-\ell}Y^\ell.$$

In the last sum, the term $X^{n-k}Y^k$ does not appear if $k > \frac{n}{2}$, and it appears with coefficient $(-1)^k \binom{n-k}{k}$ if $0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$. In other words, the k -th diagonal coefficient of the matrix of T^{-1} in the canonical basis is

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k > \frac{n}{2} \\ (-1)^k \binom{n-k}{k} & \text{if } k \leq \frac{n}{2} \end{cases}$$

This yields the trace of T^{-1} :

$$Tr(T^{-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n/2} (-1)^k \binom{n-k}{k}.$$

■

References

- [BQ08] A.T. BENJAMIN and J.J. QUINN, *An alternate approach to alternating sums: a method to DIE for*, College Math. J. 39 (2008), 191-201.
- [Br82] K.S. BROWN, *Cohomology of groups* Grad. Texts in Math. 87, Springer Verlag 1982.
- [BT16] M. BUCHER and A. TALAMBUTSA, *Exponential growth rates of free and amalgamated products*, Israel Journal of Mathematics 212 (2016), 521-546.
- [Bur91] M. BURGER, *Kazhdan constants for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$* , J. reine angew. Math. 413 (1991), 36-67.
- [CCJJV] P.-A. CHERIX, M. COWLING, P. JOLISSAINT, P. JULG, and A. VALETTE. *Groups with the Haagerup Property*. Birkhäuser, Progress in Math. 197, 2001.
- [Co09] Y. CORNULIER, *Infinite conjugacy classes in groups acting on trees*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 3 (2009) 267-277.
- [Gu80] A. GUICHARDET *Cohomologie des groupes topologiques et des algèbres de Lie*, Textes mathématiques, Cedric-Nathan, 1980.
- [Ha03] B.C. HALL, *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and representations*, Springer Grad. Texts in Math. 222, 2003.
- [Ji21] Y. JIANG, *Maximal Haagerup subalgebras in $L(\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$* , J. Operator Theory 86 (2021), 203-230.
- [JS21] Y. JIANG and A. SKALSKI, *Maximal subgroups and von Neumann subalgebras with the Haagerup property*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 15 (2021), no. 3, 849-892.
- [Jo02] P. JOLISSAINT, *Haagerup approximation property for finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Operator Theory 48 (2002), 549-571.
- [Se77] J.-P. SERRE, *Arbres, amalgames, SL_2* , Astérisque 46, Soc. Math. France, 1977.
- [Zim] R.J. ZIMMER, *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, Monographs in Math., Springer, 1984.

Author's address:

Institut de mathématiques
Université de Neuchâtel
11 Rue Emile Argand - Unimail
CH-2000 Neuchâtel - SUISSE
alain.valette@unine.ch