

# Improved uniform error bounds of exponential wave integrator method for long-time dynamics of the space fractional Klein-Gordon equation with weak nonlinearity

Junqing Jia<sup>1</sup> · Xiaoyun Jiang<sup>1</sup>

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** An improved uniform error bound at  $O(h^m + \varepsilon^2 \tau^2)$  is established in  $H^{\alpha/2}$ -norm for the long-time dynamics of the nonlinear space fractional Klein-Gordon equation (NSFKGE). A second-order exponential wave integrator (EWI) method is used to semi-discretize NSFKGE in time and the Fourier spectral method in space is applied to derive the full-discretization scheme. **Regularity compensation oscillation (RCO)** technique is employed to prove the improved uniform error bounds at  $O(\varepsilon^2 \tau^2)$  in temporal semi-discretization and  $O(h^m + \varepsilon^2 \tau^2)$  in full-discretization up to the long-time  $T_\varepsilon = T/\varepsilon^2$  ( $T > 0$  fixed), respectively. Complex NSFKGE and oscillatory complex NSFKGE with nonlinear terms of general power exponents are also discussed. Finally, the correctness of the theoretical analysis and the effectiveness of the method are verified by numerical examples.

**Keywords** Nonlinear space fractional Klein-Gordon equation · Long-time dynamics · Exponential wave integrator method · Regularity compensation oscillation (RCO) · Improved uniform error bound

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020)** 35R11 · 35Q55 · 65M12 · 65M15

---

Junqing Jia  
E-mail: 18353112314@163.com

✉ Xiaoyun Jiang  
E-mail: wqjxyf@sdu.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, PR China

## 1 Introduction

We consider the following dimensionless nonlinear space fractional Klein-Gordon equation (NSFKGE),

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \beta \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \varepsilon^2 \psi^3(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ \psi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \psi_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \partial_t \psi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \psi_1(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with periodic boundary equations.  $\psi := \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is a real-valued function,  $\mathbf{x}$  is the spatial coordinate,  $t$  is time,  $\beta > 0$ . The nonlinearity strength is described by the dimensionless parameter  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ .  $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d = 1, 2, 3$ ) is a compact domain imposed periodic boundary condition.  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  ( $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ ) is the space fractional Laplace operator, which is defined by [1, 22],

$$-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\omega|^\alpha \widehat{\psi}(\omega, t)), \quad \widehat{\psi}(\omega, t) = \mathcal{F} \psi(\omega, t),$$

where  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_d)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\psi)$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $\psi$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  stands for its inverse transform.  $\psi_0(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\psi_1(\mathbf{x})$  are two known real-valued initial functions with no dependence on  $\varepsilon$ . When  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ , the Eq. (1.1) with  $O(1)$  initial data and  $O(\varepsilon^2)$  nonlinearity can be reconverted into the following equivalent NSFKGE with  $O(\varepsilon)$  initial data and  $O(1)$  nonlinearity by introducing  $z(\mathbf{x}, t) = \varepsilon \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt} z(\mathbf{x}, t) + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} z(\mathbf{x}, t) + \beta z(\mathbf{x}, t) + z^3(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ z(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \varepsilon \psi_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \partial_t z(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \varepsilon \psi_1(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

In particular, when  $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1$ , Eq. (1.1) simplifies to the classical nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, which was first proposed in 1927 by physicists Oskar Klein and Walter Gordon [24]. The equation describing the motion of spinless particles, widely used in particle physics, quantum electrodynamics, quantum mechanics and special relativity, etc., see [3, 16] and references therein.

For the classical nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation (NKGE), it has been extensively studied numerically [5, 10, 11, 12, 25, 29]. Efficient and robust exponential-type integrators for NKGEs are introduced in [6]. Cai and Zhou [8] developed a class of uniformly accurate nested Picard iterative integrator (NPI) Fourier pseudospectral methods for the NKGE in the nonrelativistic regime. Calvo and Schratz [9] proposed a novel class of uniformly accurate integrators for the NKGE which capture classical as well as highly oscillatory nonrelativistic regimes. Recently, widespread concern has been raised by the long-time dynamics of the NKGE with weak nonlinearity. Long-time error bounds for the numerical methods have been strictly established [4, 18]. The improved uniform error bounds for the NKGE have been established with the aid of the **regularity compensation oscillation** (RCO) technique [2].

Fractional operator can successfully describe various processes and materials with memory, nonlocality and genetic characteristics. It has become a powerful tool for mathematical modeling of complex material science and physical processes. Fractional partial differential equations (FPDEs) can be used to describe anomalous diffusion phenomena, long-range interaction and memory effect, see [7, 23, 26, 27, 28,

30] and references therein. And many effective numerical methods have been developed for solving the FPDEs. For the 2D space fractional nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation, Zeng et al. [32] developed a new ADI Galerkin-Legendre spectral method. A semi-implicit time-stepping, second-order stabilized Fourier spectral approach was developed by Zhang et al [34]. For the highly oscillating space fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Feng investigated an improved error estimate [19]. For more numerical methods of solving the FPDEs, we can refer to the references in the above articles.

In [21] and references therein presented the physical motivations for considering the NSFCKGE. To our knowledge, there are very few works in the literature on the improved uniform error bounds for long-time dynamics of the NSFCKGE. In this paper, we established the improved uniform error bounds for long-time dynamics of the NSFCKGE with weak nonlinearity by using the RCO technique. The main innovation of our paper is the RCO technique is first applied to prove the long-time dynamics of the NSFCKGE, which improves the error convergence order in time direction to  $O(\varepsilon^2 \tau^2)$ . In the spatial direction, the Fourier pseudospectral method is used to make the spatial accuracy reach the spectral accuracy. The one-dimensional (1D) and two-dimensional (2D) numerical experiments indicate that our numerical method is effective.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the fully discrete numerical scheme to the NSFCKGE and rigorous convergence results are shown in Section 3. Complex NSFCKGE and oscillatory complex NSFCKGE with nonlinear terms of general power exponents are discussed in Section 4. Numerical experiments are provided in Section 5 to confirm our error estimates and demonstrate the potency of the numerical method. Finally, conclusions are given in Section 6. In this paper, the notation  $A \lesssim B$  is used to denote  $|A| \leq CB$ , where  $C > 0$  is a general constant independent of the space step  $h$  and time step  $\tau$  as well as  $\varepsilon$ .

## 2 Numerical method

For convenience, we only consider the 1D case, i.e.,  $\Omega = (a, b)$ . Then, Eq. (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi(x, t) + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi(x, t) + \beta \psi(x, t) + \varepsilon^2 \psi^3(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ \psi(a, t) = \psi(b, t), \quad \partial_x \psi(a, t) = \partial_x \psi(b, t), & t \geq 0, \\ \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x), \quad \partial_t \psi(x, 0) = \psi_1(x), & x \in \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

### 2.1 Preliminary

Let  $\tau > 0$  be the time step and  $h = (b - a)/N$  be the space step, where  $N$  is an even positive integer. The grid points are denoted as

$$t_n := n\tau, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \quad x_j := a + jh, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

$X_N := \{\psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N)^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \mid \psi_0 = \psi_N\}$ ,  $C_{per}(\Omega) = \{\psi \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \mid \psi(a) = \psi(b)\}$ , and

$$Y_N := \text{span} \left\{ e^{i\mu_l(x-a)}, x \in \overline{\Omega}, \mu_l = \frac{2\pi l}{b-a}, l \in T_N \right\},$$

$$T_N = \left\{ l \mid l = -\frac{N}{2}, -\frac{N}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1 \right\}.$$

$P_N : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow Y_N$  is the standard  $L^2$ -projection operator,  $I_N : C_{per}(\Omega) \rightarrow Y_N$  or  $I_N : X_N \rightarrow Y_N$  is the trigonometric interpolation operator, i.e.,

$$P_N \psi(x) = \sum_{l \in T_N} \widehat{\psi}_l e^{i\mu_l(x-a)}, \quad I_N \psi(x) = \sum_{l \in T_N} \widetilde{\psi}_l e^{i\mu_l(x-a)}, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\widehat{\psi}_l = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \psi(x) e^{-i\mu_l(x-a)} dx, \quad \widetilde{\psi}_l = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \psi_j e^{-i\mu_l(x_j-a)}, \quad (2.3)$$

with  $\psi_j$  defined as  $\psi(x_j)$ .  $P_N$  and  $I_N$  are identity operators on  $X_N$ .

For  $m \geq 0$ ,  $H^m(\Omega)$  is the space of functions  $\psi(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$ , the  $H^m$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_m$  is defined by

$$\|\psi\|_m^2 = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + \mu_l^2)^m |\widehat{\psi}_l|^2, \quad \text{for } \psi(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\psi}_l e^{i\mu_l(x-a)}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\widehat{\psi}_l (l \in \mathbb{Z})$  are the Fourier coefficients of the function  $\psi(x)$ . Note that  $H^0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$ , we use  $\|\cdot\|$  to denote the  $L^2$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$ .

Define the operator  $\langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha = \sqrt{\beta + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$ ,

$$\langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha \psi(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{\beta + |\mu_l|^\alpha} \widehat{\psi}_l e^{i\mu_l(x-a)},$$

and the inverse operator  $\langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha^{-1}$  as

$$\langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha^{-1} \psi(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\widehat{\psi}_l}{\sqrt{\beta + |\mu_l|^\alpha}} e^{i\mu_l(x-a)},$$

which leads to

$$\|\langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha^{-1} \psi\|_s \lesssim \|\psi\|_{s-\alpha/2} \lesssim \|\psi\|_s, \quad \|\langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha \psi\|_s \lesssim \|\psi\|_{s+\alpha/2} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{s+1}.$$

Introducing  $\eta(x, t) = \partial_t \psi(x, t)$  and  $\varphi(x, t) = \psi(x, t) - i \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha^{-1} \eta(x, t)$ , then Eq. (2.1) is equivalent to the the following relativistic nonlinear space fractional Schrödinger equation(NLSFSE),

$$\begin{cases} i \partial_t \varphi(x, t) + \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha \varphi(x, t) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{8} \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha^{-1} (\varphi + \bar{\varphi})^3(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ \varphi(a, t) = \varphi(b, t), & t \geq 0, \\ \varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x) := \psi_0(x) - i \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha^{-1} \psi_1(x), & x \in \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\bar{\varphi}$  is the complex conjugate of  $\varphi$ . The solution of Eq. (2.1) is

$$\psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} (\varphi(x, t) + \bar{\varphi}(x, t)), \quad \eta(x, t) = \frac{i}{2} \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha (\varphi(x, t) - \bar{\varphi}(x, t)). \quad (2.6)$$

## 2.2 The time discrete scheme

The exact solution to (2.5) can be obtained by using the variation-of-constants formula,

$$\varphi(t_n + \tau) = e^{i\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}\varphi(t_n) + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^\tau e^{i(\tau-s)\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha} G(\varphi(t_n + s)) ds, \quad (2.7)$$

where the nonlinear operator  $G$  has the following expression

$$G(\varphi) = i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha^{-1}g(\varphi), \quad g(\varphi) = \frac{1}{8}(\varphi + \bar{\varphi})^3, \quad (2.8)$$

treat the integral term by the second order Deuffhard scheme (the trapezoidal quadrature) [15],

$$\varphi(t_n + \tau) \approx e^{i\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}\varphi(t_n) + \varepsilon^2 \cdot \frac{\tau}{2} \left[ G(\varphi(t_n + \tau)) + e^{i\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha} G(\varphi(t_n)) \right]. \quad (2.9)$$

Then the time discrete scheme for Eq. (2.5) is

$$\varphi^{[n+1]} = e^{i\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}\varphi^{[n]} + \varepsilon^2 \cdot \frac{\tau}{2} \left[ G(\varphi^{[n+1]}) + e^{i\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha} G(\varphi^{[n]}) \right]. \quad (2.10)$$

with  $\varphi^{[0]} = \varphi_0 = \psi_0 - i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha^{-1}\psi_1$ , where  $\varphi^{[n]} := \varphi^{[n]}(x) \approx \varphi(x, t_n)$ . Hence, the time discrete scheme for Eq. (2.1) is

$$\psi^{[n+1]} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi^{[n+1]} + \overline{\varphi^{[n+1]}} \right), \quad \eta^{[n+1]} = \frac{i}{2} \langle\nabla\rangle\alpha \left( \varphi^{[n+1]} - \overline{\varphi^{[n+1]}} \right), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2.11)$$

with  $\psi^{[0]} = \psi_0(x)$  and  $\eta^{[0]} = \psi_1(x)$ .

## 2.3 The fully discrete scheme

Denote  $\varphi_j^n \approx \varphi(x_j, t_n)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ . Then, the fully discrete scheme for (2.5) is

$$\varphi_j^{n+1} = \sum_{l \in T_N} e^{i\tau\delta_l} \tilde{\varphi}_l^n e^{i\mu_l(x_j - a)} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 \tau}{2} \left[ G_j^{n+1} + \sum_{l \in T_N} e^{i\tau\delta_l} \tilde{G}_l^n e^{i\mu_l(x_j - a)} \right]. \quad (2.12)$$

with  $\varphi_j^0 = \psi_0(x_j) - i \sum_{l \in T_N} \frac{\widetilde{\psi_1}_l}{\delta_l} e^{i\mu_l(x_j - a)}$ , where

$$\delta_l = \sqrt{\beta + |\mu_l|^\alpha}, \quad G_j^{n+1} = i \sum_{l \in T_N} \frac{1}{\delta_l} (g(\widetilde{\psi^{n+1}}))_l e^{i\mu_l(x_j - a)} = \sum_{l \in T_N} \tilde{G}_l^{n+1} e^{i\mu_l(x_j - a)}.$$

Thus, the fully discrete scheme for (2.1) is

$$\psi_j^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi_j^{n+1} + \overline{\varphi_j^{n+1}} \right), \quad \eta_j^{n+1} = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{l \in T_N} \delta_l \left[ \tilde{\varphi}_l^{n+1} - \overline{\tilde{\varphi}_l^{n+1}} \right] e^{i\mu_l(x_j - a)}, \quad (2.13)$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , with  $\psi_j^0 = \psi_0(x_j)$  and  $\eta_j^0 = \psi_1(x_j)$ .

### 3 Convergence analysis of the numerical method

First, we suppose the exact solution  $\psi$  satisfy the following assumptions up to the time at  $T_\varepsilon = T/\varepsilon^2 (T > 0)$ ,

$$(A) \quad \|\psi\|_{C^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H^{m+\alpha/2})} \lesssim 1, \quad \|\partial_t \psi\|_{C^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H^m)} \lesssim 1, \quad m > 1.$$

Next, we show the key error estimates listed below.

**Theorem 3.1** *Under the assumption (A),  $0 < \tau_0 < 1$  is small enough and independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that, when  $0 < \tau < \min \left\{ 1, \gamma \frac{\pi(b-a)^{\alpha/2} \tau_0^{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{\beta} \tau_0^\alpha (b-a)^{\alpha+2\alpha} \pi^\alpha (1+\tau_0)^\alpha} \right\}$ , where  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  is a fixed constant, the following improved uniform error bound can be obtained,*

$$\|\psi(\cdot, t_n) - \psi^{[n]}\|_{\alpha/2} + \|\partial_t \psi(\cdot, t_n) - \eta^{[n]}\| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \tau^2 + \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau. \quad (3.1)$$

*In particular, if the exact solution is sufficiently smooth, the last term  $\tau_0^{m+\alpha/2}$  can be ignored for small enough  $\tau_0$ , then the improved uniform error bound for sufficiently small  $\tau$  is*

$$\|\psi(\cdot, t_n) - \psi^{[n]}\|_{\alpha/2} + \|\partial_t \psi(\cdot, t_n) - \eta^{[n]}\| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \tau^2, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau. \quad (3.2)$$

**Theorem 3.2** *Under the assumption (A), there exist  $h_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \tau_0 < 1$  are small enough and independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that, when  $0 < h \leq h_0$  and  $0 < \tau < \min \{1,$*

*$\gamma \frac{\pi(b-a)^{\alpha/2} \tau_0^{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{\beta} \tau_0^\alpha (b-a)^{\alpha+2\alpha} \pi^\alpha (1+\tau_0)^\alpha} \}$ , where  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  is a fixed constant, for any  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ , we have the following improved uniform error estimate*

$$\|\psi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \psi^n\|_{\alpha/2} + \|\partial_t \psi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \eta^n\| \lesssim h^m + \varepsilon^2 \tau^2 + \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau. \quad (3.3)$$

*In particular, the last term  $\tau_0^{m+\alpha/2}$  can be ignored for small enough  $\tau_0$ , then the improved uniform error bound for sufficiently small  $\tau$  is*

$$\|\psi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \psi^n\|_{\alpha/2} + \|\partial_t \psi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \eta^n\| \lesssim h^m + \varepsilon^2 \tau^2, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau. \quad (3.4)$$

#### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

For small enough  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_c$  ( $\tau_c$  is a constant), under the assumption (A), there exists a constant  $K > 0$  depending on  $T$ ,  $\|\psi_0\|_{H^{m+\alpha/2}}$ ,  $\|\psi_1\|_{H^m}$ ,  $\|\psi\|_{C^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H^{m+\alpha/2})}$  and  $\|\partial_t \psi\|_{C^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H^m)}$  such that

$$\|\psi^{[n]}\|_{m+\alpha/2}^2 + \|\eta^{[n]}\|_m^2 \leq K, \text{ i.e., } \|\varphi^{[n]}\|_{m+\alpha/2}^2 \leq K, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau. \quad (3.5)$$

See the Appendix for the specific proof of Eq. (3.5).

Denote the numerical error function  $e^{[n]} := e^{[n]}(x) (n = 0, 1, \dots, T_\varepsilon/\tau)$  by

$$e^{[n]} := \varphi^{[n]} - \varphi(t_n). \quad (3.6)$$

Subtract (2.7) from (2.10), we get the following error equation,

$$e^{[n+1]} = e^{i\tau(\nabla)^\alpha} e^{[n]} + Q^n + \mathcal{E}^n, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$Q^n = \frac{\varepsilon^2 \tau}{2} \left[ G(\varphi^{[n+1]}) + e^{i\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} G(\varphi^{[n]}) \right] - \left[ G(\varphi(t_{n+1})) + e^{i\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} G(\varphi(t_n)) \right], \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mathcal{E}^n = \frac{\varepsilon^2 \tau}{2} \left[ G(\varphi(t_{n+1})) + e^{i\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} G(\varphi(t_n)) \right] - \varepsilon^2 \int_0^\tau e^{i(\tau-s) \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} G(\psi(t_n + s)) ds. \quad (3.9)$$

Then,

$$e^{[n+1]} = e^{i(n+1)\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} e^{[0]} + \sum_{k=0}^n e^{i(n-k)\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} (Q^k + \mathcal{E}^k) = \sum_{k=0}^n e^{i(n-k)\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} (Q^k + \mathcal{E}^k). \quad (3.10)$$

Under the assumption (A), by (2.8) and (3.5), we have

$$\|Q^n\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \tau (\|e^{[n+1]}\|_{\alpha/2} + \|e^{[n]}\|_{\alpha/2}). \quad (3.11)$$

Thus, when  $\tau$  is sufficiently small and  $0 < \tau < 1/\varepsilon^2$ ,

$$\|e^{[n+1]}\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \tau \sum_{k=0}^n \|e^{[k]}\|_{\alpha/2} + \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n e^{i(n-k)\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} \mathcal{E}^k \right\|_{\alpha/2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Next, the RCO technique [2] will be employed to analysis the last term on the right hand side (RHS) of (3.12) and gain the order  $O(\varepsilon^2)$ .

Since  $e^{i\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha}$  preserves the  $H^m$ -norm ( $m > 0$ ), multiplying the last term in (3.12) by  $e^{i(n-1)\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha}$ , then the last term becomes

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^n e^{-i(k+1)\tau \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} \mathcal{E}^k \right\|_{\alpha/2}. \quad (3.13)$$

From (2.5), we find that  $\partial_t \varphi(x, t) - i \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha \varphi(x, t) = \varepsilon^2 G(\varphi(x, t)) = O(\varepsilon^2)$ , consider the ‘twisted variable’ as

$$\xi(x, t) = e^{-it \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} \varphi(x, t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.14)$$

which satisfies the equation  $\partial_t \xi(x, t) = \varepsilon^2 e^{-it \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} G(e^{it \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} \xi(x, t))$ . According to the assumption (A), we have  $\|\xi\|_{C^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H^{m+\alpha/2})} \lesssim 1$  and  $\|\partial_t \xi\|_{C^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H^{m+\alpha/2})} \lesssim \varepsilon^2$  with

$$\|\xi(t_{n+1}) - \xi(t_n)\|_{m+\alpha/2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \tau, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1. \quad (3.15)$$

**Step 1.** Choose  $\tau_0 \in (0, 1)$  (**Cut-off parameter**), and let  $N_0 = 2\lceil 1/\tau_0 \rceil \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  ( $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  is the ceiling function) with  $1/\tau_0 \leq N_0/2 < 1 + 1/\tau_0$ , then only those Fourier modes with  $-\frac{N_0}{2} \leq l \leq \frac{N_0}{2} - 1$  ( $|l| \leq \frac{1}{\tau_0}$ , low frequency modes) in a spectral projection would be considered. Next, we analysis  $\|\mathcal{E}^k\|_{\alpha/2}$  carefully.

$$G(\varphi) = G(e^{it \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} \xi) = \frac{i}{8} \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha^{-1} (e^{it \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} \xi + e^{-it \langle \nabla \rangle \alpha} \bar{\xi})^3,$$

by (A), the properties of operators  $e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}$  and  $\langle\nabla\rangle_\alpha^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$\|G(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}\xi(t_{k+1}))\|_{m+\alpha} \lesssim \|\xi(t_{k+1})\|_{m+\alpha/2}^3 \lesssim 1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1. \quad (3.16)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} G(\varphi(t_{k+1})) &= G(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}\xi(t_{k+1})) \\ &= G(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}\xi(t_{k+1})) - P_{N_0}G(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}\xi(t_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + P_{N_0}G(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}\xi(t_{k+1})) - P_{N_0}G(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}(P_{N_0}\xi(t_{k+1}))) \\ &\quad + P_{N_0}G(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}(P_{N_0}\xi(t_{k+1}))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

by (3.16) and the properties of projection operator, then,

$$\|G(\varphi(t_{k+1}))\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2} + \|P_{N_0}G(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}(P_{N_0}\xi(t_{k+1})))\|_{\alpha/2}. \quad (3.18)$$

Make similar analysis for each term in  $\|\mathcal{E}^k\|_{\alpha/2}$ , for  $0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1$ ,

$$\|e^{[n+1]}\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2} + \varepsilon^2 \tau \sum_{k=0}^n \|e^{[k]}\|_{\alpha/2} + \|R^n\|_{\alpha/2}, \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n e^{-i(k+1)\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha} \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon^2\tau}{2} \left[ P_{N_0}G\left(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}(P_{N_0}\xi(t_{k+1}))\right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + e^{i\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}P_{N_0}G\left(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}(P_{N_0}\xi(t_k))\right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \varepsilon^2 \int_0^\tau e^{i(\tau-s)\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}P_{N_0}G\left(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}(P_{N_0}\xi(t_k+s))\right) ds \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

**Step 2.** Next, we focus on the term  $R^n$ . We present the following decomposition for the nonlinear function  $G(\cdot)$ ,

$$G(\xi) = \sum_{q=1}^4 G^q(\xi), \quad G^q(\xi) = i\langle\nabla\rangle_\alpha^{-1}g^q(\xi), \quad q = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (3.21)$$

with  $g^1(\xi) = \frac{1}{8}\xi^3$ ,  $g^2(\xi) = \frac{3}{8}\bar{\xi}\xi^2$ ,  $g^3(\xi) = \frac{3}{8}\xi\bar{\xi}^2$ ,  $g^4(\xi) = \frac{1}{8}\bar{\xi}^3$ . Then  $R^n = \sum_{q=1}^4 R_q^n$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} R_q^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n e^{-i(k+1)\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha} \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon^2\tau}{2} \left[ P_{N_0}G^q\left(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}(P_{N_0}\xi(t_{k+1}))\right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + e^{i\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}P_{N_0}G^q\left(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}(P_{N_0}\xi(t_k))\right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \varepsilon^2 \int_0^\tau e^{i(\tau-s)\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}P_{N_0}G^q\left(e^{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha}(P_{N_0}\xi(t_k+s))\right) ds \right\}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq 4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Since the analysis of  $R_q^n$  ( $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) are similarly, we only show the case of  $R_1^n$  ( $0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1$ ). Define the index set  $I_l^{N_0}$  as

$$I_l^{N_0} = \{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \mid l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = l, l_1, l_2, l_3 \in T_{N_0}\}, \quad l \in T_{N_0}. \quad (3.23)$$



In view of  $P_{N_0} \xi(t_k) = \sum_{l \in T_{N_0}} \widehat{\xi}_l(t_k) e^{i\mu_l(x-a)}$ , the following expansion holds,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-i(k+1)\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha} P_{N_0} G^1 \left( e^{i\mu_{k+1}\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha} (P_{N_0} \xi(t_{k+1})) \right) \\ &= e^{-i(k+1)\tau\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha} P_{N_0} \left[ \frac{i\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha^{-1}}{8} \left( e^{i\mu_{k+1}\langle\nabla\rangle\alpha} P_{N_0} \xi(t_{k+1}) \right)^3 \right] \\ &= \sum_{l \in T_{N_0}} \sum_{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in I_l^{N_0}} \frac{i}{8\delta_l} G_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k(\tau) e^{i\mu_l(x-a)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$G_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k(\zeta) = e^{-i(t_k+\zeta)\delta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}} \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_k + \zeta) \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_k + \zeta) \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k + \zeta), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.25)$$

with  $\delta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3} = \delta_l - \delta_{l_1} - \delta_{l_2} - \delta_{l_3}$  and  $\delta_l = \sqrt{\beta + |\mu_l|^\alpha}$  for  $l \in T_{N_0}$ . Thus,

$$R_1^n = \frac{i\varepsilon^2}{8} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l \in T_{N_0}} \sum_{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in I_l^{N_0}} \frac{1}{\delta_l} \Theta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k e^{i\mu_l(x-a)}, \quad (3.26)$$

where

$$\Theta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k = \frac{\tau}{2} \left( G_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k(\tau) + G_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k(0) \right) - \int_0^\tau G_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k(s) ds. \quad (3.27)$$

For a general function  $f(s) \in C^2$ , the following equation holds [15, 20],

$$\frac{\tau}{2} (f(0) + f(\tau)) - \int_0^\tau f(s) ds = \frac{\tau^3}{2} \int_0^1 \mu(1-\mu) f''(\mu\tau) d\mu. \quad (3.28)$$

Taking  $f(s) = G_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k(s)$  in (3.28), expand function  $f''(s)$  specifically, which yields

$$\Theta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k = r_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3} e^{-it_k \delta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}} c_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k, \quad (3.29)$$

with

$$c_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k = \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_k) \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_k) \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k), \quad (3.30)$$

$$r_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3} = O\left(\tau^3 (\delta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3})^2\right). \quad (3.31)$$

Assume  $\delta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3} \neq 0$  ( $r_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3} = 0$  if  $\delta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3} = 0$ ). For  $l \in T_{N_0}$  and  $(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in I_l^{N_0}$ , the following inequality holds,

$$|\delta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}| \leq 4\delta_{N_0/2} = 4\sqrt{\beta + |\mu_{N_0/2}|^\alpha} < 4\sqrt{\beta + \frac{2^\alpha \pi^\alpha (1 + \tau_0)^\alpha}{\tau_0^\alpha (b-a)^\alpha}} \quad (3.32)$$

which implies

$$\frac{\tau}{2} |\delta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}| \leq \gamma\pi, \quad (3.33)$$

if  $0 < \tau \leq \gamma \frac{\pi(b-a)^{\alpha/2} \tau_0^{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{\beta} \tau_0^{\alpha} (b-a)^{\alpha} + 2^{\alpha} \pi^{\alpha} (1+\tau_0)^{\alpha}} := \tau_0^{\gamma}$  ( $0 < \tau_0, \gamma < 1$ ). Denoting  $S_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^n = \sum_{k=0}^n e^{-it_k \delta_{l_1, l_2, l_3}} (n \geq 0)$ , for  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0^{\gamma}$ , based on the fact  $\frac{\sin(x)}{x}$  is bounded and decreasing for  $x \in [0, \gamma\pi]$ , then the following inequality holds

$$\left| S_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^n \right| \leq \frac{1}{|\sin(\tau \delta_{l_1, l_2, l_3} / 2)|} \leq \frac{C}{\tau |\delta_{l_1, l_2, l_3}|}, \quad C = \frac{2\gamma\pi}{\sin(\gamma\pi)}. \quad (3.34)$$

Using summation by parts, we derive from (3.29) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \Theta_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^k = r_{l_1, l_2, l_3} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^k \left( c_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^k - c_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^{k+1} \right) + S_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^n c_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^n \right], \quad (3.35)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^k - c_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^{k+1} \\ &= \left( \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_{k+1}) \right) \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_k) \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k) + \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_{k+1}) \left( \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_{k+1}) \right) \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k) \\ & \quad + \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_{k+1}) \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_{k+1}) \left( \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_{k+1}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Combining (3.31), (3.34), (3.35) and (3.36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \Theta_{l_1, l_2, l_3}^k \right| &\lesssim \tau^2 |\delta_{l_1, l_2, l_3}| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_k) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k) \right| \right. \\ & \quad + \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_{k+1}) \right| \Big) \\ & \quad + \tau^2 |\delta_{l_1, l_2, l_3}| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_n) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_n) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_n) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

For  $l \in T_{N_0}$ ,  $(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in I_l^{N_0}$  and  $\beta > 0$ , we know that

$$|\delta_{l_1, l_2, l_3}| \lesssim \left( 1 + \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 \mu_{l_j} \right|^{\alpha} \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^{\alpha}} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^{\alpha}}. \quad (3.38)$$

Based on (3.26),(3.37),(3.38), then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_1^n\|_{\alpha/2}^2 &\lesssim \varepsilon^4 \sum_{l \in T_{N_0}} \left| \sum_{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in I_l^{N_0}} \sum_{k=0}^n \Theta_{l, l_1, l_2, l_3}^k \right|^2 \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^4 \tau^4 \left\{ \sum_{l \in T_{N_0}} \left( \sum_{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in I_l^{N_0}} \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_n) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_n) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_n) \right| \prod_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^\alpha} \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad + n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l \in T_{N_0}} \left[ \left( \sum_{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in I_l^{N_0}} \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_k) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k) \right| \prod_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^\alpha} \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad + \left( \sum_{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in I_l^{N_0}} \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k) \right| \prod_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^\alpha} \right)^2 \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left( \sum_{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \in I_l^{N_0}} \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_1}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_2}(t_{k+1}) \right| \left| \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_{l_3}(t_{k+1}) \right| \prod_{j=1}^3 \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^\alpha} \right)^2 \right] \right\}. \tag{3.39}
\end{aligned}$$

We get the estimate on the RHS of (3.39) with the aid of the following auxiliary functions,

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^\alpha} \left| \widehat{\xi}_l(t_n) \right| e^{i\mu_l(x-a)},$$

$$\theta_1(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^\alpha} \left| \widehat{\xi}_l(t_k) - \widehat{\xi}_l(t_{k+1}) \right| e^{i\mu_l(x-a)},$$

$$\theta_2(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^\alpha} \left| \widehat{\xi}_l(t_k) \right| e^{i\mu_l(x-a)},$$

and

$$\theta_3(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{1 + |\mu_{l_j}|^\alpha} \left| \widehat{\xi}_l(t_{k+1}) \right| e^{i\mu_l(x-a)}.$$

By assumption (A) we know  $\|\theta\|_s \lesssim \|\xi(t_n)\|_{s+\alpha/2}$  ( $s \leq m$ ). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_1^n\|_{\alpha/2}^2 &\lesssim \varepsilon^4 \tau^4 \left[ \|\xi(t_n)\|_{m+\alpha/2}^6 + n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|\xi(t_k) - \xi(t_{k+1})\|_{m+\alpha/2}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left( \|\xi(t_k)\|_{m+\alpha/2} + \|\xi(t_{k+1})\|_{m+\alpha/2} \right)^4 \right] \tag{3.40} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^4 \tau^4 + n^2 \varepsilon^4 \tau^4 (\varepsilon^2 \tau)^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^4 \tau^4, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The similar estimates can be established for  $R_q^n (q = 2, 3, 4)$ , from (3.19) and (3.21), the following inequality is obtained,

$$\left\| e^{[n+1]} \right\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2} + \varepsilon^2 \tau^2 + \varepsilon^2 \tau \sum_{k=0}^n \left\| e^{[k]} \right\|_{\alpha/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1. \quad (3.41)$$

The discrete Gronwall's implies

$$\left\| e^{[n+1]} \right\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \tau^2 + \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1, \quad (3.42)$$

the error bound (3.1) follows in view of (2.6) and (2.11).

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2

Let  $\psi^n$ ,  $\eta^n$  and  $\varphi^n$  be the numerical approximations. Under the assumption (A), for  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_c, 0 < h \leq h_c$ , where  $\tau_c, h_c$  are constants independent of  $\varepsilon$ , there exists a constant  $K > 0$  depending on  $T, \|\psi_0\|_{m+\alpha/2}, \|\psi_1\|_m, \|\Psi\|_{C^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H^{m+\alpha/2})}$  and  $\|\partial_t \Psi\|_{C^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H^m)}$  such that the numerical solution satisfies

$$\|I_N \psi^n\|_{m+\alpha/2}^2 + \|I_N \eta^n\|_m^2 \leq K, \text{ i.e., } \|I_N \varphi^n\|_{m+\alpha/2}^2 \leq K, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau. \quad (3.43)$$

Since  $\varphi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \varphi^n = \varphi(\cdot, t_n) - \varphi^{[n]} + \varphi^{[n]} - P_N \varphi^{[n]} + P_N \varphi^{[n]} - I_N \varphi^n$ , we derive that

$$\|\varphi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \varphi^n\|_{\alpha/2} \leq \|P_N \varphi^{[n]} - I_N \varphi^n\|_{\alpha/2} + C_1 \left( \varepsilon^2 \tau^2 + \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2} + h^m \right) \quad (3.44)$$

Define the error function  $e^n := e^n(x) \in Y_N$ ,

$$e^n := P_N \varphi^{[n]} - I_N \varphi^n, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau.$$

From (2.10) and (2.12), we get the following equations,

$$\begin{aligned} P_N \varphi^{[n+1]} &= e^{i\tau(\nabla)\alpha} P_N \varphi^{[n]} + \varepsilon^2 \tau/2 \left( P_N G \left( \varphi^{[n+1]} \right) + e^{i\tau(\nabla)\alpha} P_N G \left( \varphi^{[n]} \right) \right), \\ I_N \varphi^{n+1} &= e^{i\tau(\nabla)\alpha} I_N \varphi^n + \varepsilon^2 \tau/2 \left( I_N G \left( I_N \varphi^{n+1} \right) + e^{i\tau(\nabla)\alpha} I_N G \left( I_N \varphi^n \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which lead to

$$\begin{aligned} e^{n+1} &= e^{i\tau(\nabla)\alpha} e^n + \varepsilon^2 \tau/2 \left( P_N G \left( \varphi^{[n+1]} \right) - I_N G \left( I_N \varphi^{n+1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{i\tau(\nabla)\alpha} \left( P_N G \left( \varphi^{[n]} \right) - I_N G \left( I_N \varphi^n \right) \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

when  $\tau$  is sufficiently small and  $0 < \tau < 1/\varepsilon^2$ , then

$$\|e^{n+1}\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim \|e^n\|_{\alpha/2} + \varepsilon^2 \tau h^{m+\alpha/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau. \quad (3.46)$$

Since  $e^0 = P_N u_0 - I_N u_0 - i\langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha^{-1} (P_N u_1 - I_N u_1)$ , then  $\|e^0\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim h^m$ , the discrete Gronwall's inequality implies  $\|e^{n+1}\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim h^m$  ( $0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1$ ). Combining the above estimates with (3.44), we derive

$$\|\varphi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \varphi^n\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim h^m + \varepsilon^2 \tau^2 + \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau.$$

Recalling (2.13), the error bounds for  $\psi^n$  and  $\eta^n$  ( $0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau$ ) are

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \psi^n\|_{\alpha/2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \varphi(\cdot, t_n) + \overline{\varphi(\cdot, t_n)} - I_N \varphi^n - I_N \overline{\varphi^n} \right\|_{\alpha/2} \\ &\leq \|\varphi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \varphi^n\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \tau^2 + \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2} + h^m \\ \|\eta(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \eta^n\| &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha \left( \varphi(\cdot, t_n) - \overline{\varphi(\cdot, t_n)} \right) - \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha \left( I_N \varphi^n - I_N \overline{\varphi^n} \right) \right\| \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \varphi^n\|_{\alpha/2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \tau^2 + \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2} + h^m, \end{aligned}$$

which show (3.3) is valid and we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

## 4 Extensions

In this section, we discuss the error estimates of the complex NSFKGE and oscillatory complex NSFKGE with nonlinear terms of general power exponents.

### 4.1 The complex NSFKGE with nonlinear terms of general power exponents

Consider the following dimensionless nonlinear complex NSFKGE with nonlinear terms of general power exponents,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \beta \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \varepsilon^{2p} |\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)|^{2p} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ \psi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = u_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \partial_t \psi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \psi_1(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

with periodic boundary equations.  $\psi := \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is a complex-valued function,  $p \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .  $\psi_0(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\psi_1(\mathbf{x})$  are two known complex-valued functions independent of  $\varepsilon$ . When  $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1$ , the analysis results show that the life-span of NKGE smooth solution is at least  $O(\varepsilon^{-2p})$ , see [13, 14, 17] and references therein.

Here, we only show the theoretical result in 1D. Introducing  $\eta(x, t) = \partial_t \psi(x, t)$  and

$$\varphi_\pm(x, t) = \psi(x, t) \mp i\langle \nabla \rangle^{-1} \eta(x, t), \quad a \leq x \leq b, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4.2)$$

and introducing  $f(\varphi) = |\varphi|^{2p} \varphi$ , then Eq. (4.1) can be transformed into the following coupled relativistic NLSFSEs:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \varphi_\pm \pm \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha \varphi_\pm \pm \varepsilon^{2p} \langle \nabla \rangle_\alpha^{-1} f\left(\frac{1}{2}\varphi_+ + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_-\right) = 0, \\ \varphi_\pm(t=0) = u_0 \mp i\langle \nabla \rangle^{-1} v_0. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Suppose that there exists an exact solution  $\psi := \psi(x, t)$  of the NSFKGE (4.1) up to the time  $T_{\varepsilon, p} = T/\varepsilon^{2p}$  and

$$(B) \quad \|\psi\|_{C^2([0, T_{\varepsilon, p}]; H^{m+\alpha/2})} \lesssim 1, \quad \|\partial_t \psi\|_{C^2([0, T_{\varepsilon, p}]; H^m)} \lesssim 1, \quad m > 1.$$

We then give the following improved uniform error bounds.

**Theorem 4.1** *Under the assumption (B), there exist  $h_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \tau_0 < 1$  are small enough and independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that when  $0 < h \leq h_0$ ,  $0 < \tau < \gamma \tau_0$  where  $\gamma > 0$  is a fixed constant, for any  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ , we have the following improved uniform error estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \psi^n\|_{\alpha/2} + \|\partial_t \psi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \eta^n\| \\ & \lesssim h^m + \varepsilon^{2p} \tau^2 + \tau_0^{m+\alpha/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_{\varepsilon, p}/\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

*In particular, if the exact solution is sufficiently smooth, the improved uniform error bounds for sufficiently small  $\tau$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \psi^n\|_{\alpha/2} + \|\partial_t \psi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \eta^n\| \\ & \lesssim h^m + \varepsilon^{2p} \tau^2, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T_{\varepsilon, p}/\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

*Remark 4.1* The NSFKGE (4.1) conserves the energy as

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &:= \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\partial_t \psi(x, t)|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \psi(x, t)|^2 + \beta |\psi(x, t)|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^{2p}}{p+1} |\psi(x, t)|^{2p+2} \right] dx \\ &\equiv \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\psi_1(x)|^2 + |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \psi_0(x)|^2 + \beta |\psi_0(x)|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^{2p}}{p+1} |\psi_0(x)|^{2p+2} \right] dx \\ &= E(0), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

## 4.2 An oscillatory complex NSFKGE

Rescale in time

$$t = \frac{r}{\varepsilon^{2p}} \iff r = \varepsilon^{2p} t, \quad \Phi(\mathbf{x}, r) = \psi(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (4.7)$$

then the Eq. (4.1) can be reformulated as the following oscillatory complex NSFKGE

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2p} \partial_{rr} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, r) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2p}} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, r) + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^{2p}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, r) + |\Phi(\mathbf{x}, r)|^{2p} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, r) = 0, \\ \Phi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \psi_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \partial_r \Phi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2p}} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ ,  $r > 0$ . Denote  $\Upsilon(\mathbf{x}, r) = \partial_r \Phi(\mathbf{x}, r)$ , taking the time step  $\lambda = \varepsilon^{2p} \tau$ . Extend the improved error bounds of the long-time problem to the oscillatory complex NSFKGE (4.8) up to the fixed time  $T$ . For convenience, we only consider 1D problem, and assume existence of the exact solution  $\Phi := \Phi(x, t)$  of the NSFKGE (4.8), and

$$(C) \quad \|\Phi\|_{C^2([0, T]; H^{m+\alpha/2})} \lesssim 1, \quad \|\partial_r \Phi\|_{C^2([0, T]; H^m)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2p}}, \quad m > 1.$$

**Theorem 4.2** *Under the assumption (C), there exist  $h_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$  are small enough and independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that, when  $0 < h \leq h_0$ ,  $0 < \lambda < \gamma\lambda_0\varepsilon^{2p}$  where  $\gamma > 0$  is a fixed constant, for any  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ , we have the following improved uniform error estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi(\cdot, r_n) - I_N \Phi^n\|_{\alpha/2} + \varepsilon^{2p} \|\partial_r \Phi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \Upsilon^n\| \\ & \lesssim h^m + \frac{\lambda^2}{\varepsilon^{2p}} + \lambda_0^{m+\alpha/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T/\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

*In particular, if the exact solution is sufficiently smooth, the improved uniform error bounds for sufficiently small time step  $\lambda$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi(\cdot, r_n) - I_N \Phi^n\|_{\alpha/2} + \varepsilon^{2p} \|\partial_r \Phi(\cdot, t_n) - I_N \mu^n\| \\ & \lesssim h^m + \frac{\lambda^2}{\varepsilon^{2p}}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq T/\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

## 5 Numerical results

We demonstrate a few numerical examples in 1D and 2D in this part to illustrate the improved uniform error bounds for the long-time dynamics of the NSFKE and oscillating NSFKE.

### 5.1 The long-time dynamics in 1D

First, we study the long-time errors for the (4.1) in 1D with  $p = 2$  and real-valued initial data as

$$\psi_0(x) = \frac{3}{2 + \cos^2(x)}, \quad \psi_1(x) = \frac{3}{4 + \cos^2(x)}, \quad x \in \Omega = (0, 2\pi). \quad (5.1)$$

For no exact solution to (4.1), we use the numerical solution calculated with very fine space step ( $h_e = \pi/64$  (i.e.  $N = 128$ )) and time step ( $\tau_e = 10^{-4}$ ) as the ‘exact’ solution. We define the following error functions to quantify the error:

$$e_1(t_n) = \|\Psi(x, t_n) - I_N \Psi^n\|_{\alpha/2}, \quad e_{1,\max}(t_n) = \max_{0 \leq m \leq n} e_1(t_m). \quad (5.2)$$




Fig. 1: Long-time temporal errors for the NSFKE (4.1) with  $p = 2$  and different  $\epsilon$  in 1D when  $\alpha$  is taken as 2, 1.5 and 1.2 respectively.






Fig. 2: Long-time spatial errors for the NSFKE (4.1) with  $p = 2$  in 1D when  $\alpha$  is taken as 2, 1.5 and 1.2 at  $t = 1/\varepsilon^4$  respectively.




Fig. 3: Long-time temporal errors for the NSFKE (4.1) with  $p = 2$  in 1D when  $\alpha$  is taken as 2, 1.5 and 1.2 at  $t = 1/\varepsilon^4$  respectively.




Fig. 4: Energy evolutions for the NSFKE (4.1) with  $p = 2$  in 1D when  $\alpha$  is taken as 2, 1.5 and 1.2.

Fig. 1 displays the long-time temporal errors for the NSFKE (4.1) with fixed time step  $\tau$  (here we take  $\tau = 10^{-2}$ ) and different  $\varepsilon$  in 1D when  $\alpha$  is taken as 2, 1.5 and 1.2 respectively. It indicates that the improved uniform error bounds in  $H^{\alpha/2}$ -norm are  $O(\varepsilon^4 \tau^2)$  up to time  $O(1/\varepsilon^4)$ , and the fractional index  $\alpha$  will not affect the result. Fig. 2 presents long-time spatial errors for the NSFKE (4.1) in 1D when  $\alpha$  is taken as 2, 1.5 and 1.2 at  $t = 1/\varepsilon^4$  respectively. Fig. 2(a<sub>1</sub>) – (a<sub>3</sub>) indicates the spectral accuracy for the NSFKE (4.1) in space, and Fig. 2(b<sub>1</sub>) – (b<sub>3</sub>) illustrates that the small parameter  $\varepsilon$  has no effect on the spatial errors. Fig. 3(a<sub>1</sub>) – (a<sub>3</sub>) shows that there is second-order convergence accuracy in time, Fig. 3(b<sub>1</sub>) – (b<sub>3</sub>) further validates that the  $H^{\alpha/2}$ -norm errors behave like  $O(\varepsilon^4 \tau^2)$  up to the time at  $t = 1/\varepsilon^4$ . From Fig. 4, we can observe that the numerical method is energy conservation.

## 5.2 The long-time dynamics in 2D

In this subsection, we show a 2D example with  $p = 1$ , the domain  $(x, y) \in \Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 2\pi)$  and the initial conditions are

$$\psi_0(x, y) = \frac{2}{1 + \cos^2(2\pi x + y)}, \quad \psi_1(x, y) = \frac{3}{2 + 2\cos^2(2\pi x + y)} \quad (5.3)$$




Fig. 5: The numerical solutions for the NSFKE (4.1) with  $p = 1$  in 2D




Fig. 6: The contour figure for the NSFKGE (4.1) with  $p = 1$  in 2D

Fig.5-6 presents the figures and contour figures of the numerical solutions for the NSFKGE (4.1) for different  $\alpha$  at  $t = 1/\varepsilon^2$ . They indicate that  $\alpha$  will affect the shape of the wave, change the periodicity of waves and generate new waves. It's obvious that as  $\alpha$  decreases the relaxation time reaching the equilibrium increases. This is because the fractional diffusion process's long-range interactions and heavy-tailed influence [31, 33].




Fig. 7: Long-time temporal errors for the NSFKE (4.1) with  $p = 1$  in 2D when  $\alpha$  is taken as 2, 1.5 and 1.2 at  $t = 1/\varepsilon^2$  respectively.

Fig.7 describes the temporal errors of the NSFKE at  $t = 1/\varepsilon^2$  when  $\alpha$  is taken as 2, 1.5 and 1.2 respectively, which further verifies the improved uniform error bound is  $O(\varepsilon^2\tau^2)$  up to the time  $O(1/\varepsilon^2)$ .

### 5.3 The oscillatory complex NSFKE

In this subsection, the numerical result of the 1D oscillatory complex NSFKE (4.8) with  $p = 1$  is presented. And the complex-valued initial data is

$$\psi_0(x) = x^2(x-1)^2 + 3, \quad \psi_1(x) = x(x-1)(2x-1) + 3i\cos(2\pi x), \quad x \in \Omega = (0, 1).$$

From the upper triangle above the diagonal with bold letters in Table 1-3, we find that the temporal errors are  $O(\lambda^2)$  convergence accuracy when  $\lambda \lesssim \varepsilon^2$  and in  $H^{\alpha/2}$ -norm behave like  $O(\lambda^2/\varepsilon^2)$ , which confirm the improved error bound (4.9). In addition, the fractional index  $\alpha$  will not affect the result.

Table 1: Temporal errors of the EWI method for the oscillatory complex NSFKGE (4.8) in 1D when  $\alpha = 2$ .

$e_1(r=1)$	$\lambda_0 = 0.05$	$\lambda_0/4$	$\lambda_0/4^2$	$\lambda_0/4^3$	$\lambda_0/4^4$
$\epsilon_0 = 1$	<b>1.11E-2</b>	6.90E-4	4.31E-5	2.65E-6	1.24E-7
order	—	2.00	2.00	2.01	2.21
$\epsilon_0/2$	5.90E-2	<b>3.22E-3</b>	2.00E-4	1.25E-5	7.67E-7
order	—	<b>2.10</b>	2.01	2.00	2.01
$\epsilon_0/2^2$	7.41E-1	1.66E-2	<b>9.86E-4</b>	6.14E-5	3.84E-6
order	—	2.74	<b>2.04</b>	2.00	2.00
$\epsilon_0/2^3$	1.43	3.10E-1	1.60E-2	<b>9.90E-4</b>	6.19E-5
order	—	1.11	2.14	<b>2.01</b>	2.00
$\epsilon_0/2^4$	2.03	3.74	7.76E-2	4.25E-3	<b>2.64E-4</b>
order	—	-0.44	2.80	2.10	<b>2.01</b>

Table 2: Temporal errors of the EWI method for the oscillatory complex NSFKGE (4.8) in 1D when  $\alpha = 1.5$ .

$e_1(r=1)$	$\lambda_0 = 0.05$	$\lambda_0/4$	$\lambda_0/4^2$	$\lambda_0/4^3$	$\lambda_0/4^4$
$\epsilon_0 = 1$	<b>1.22E-2</b>	7.60E-4	4.74E-5	2.92E-6	1.37E-7
order	—	2.00	2.00	2.01	2.21
$\epsilon_0/2$	5.91E-2	<b>3.23E-3</b>	2.00E-4	1.25E-5	7.69E-7
order	—	2.10	2.01	2.00	2.01
$\epsilon_0/2^2$	7.41E-1	1.66E-2	<b>9.88E-4</b>	6.15E-5	3.84E-6
order	—	2.74	<b>2.04</b>	2.00	2.00
$\epsilon_0/2^3$	1.43	3.09E-1	1.60E-2	<b>9.91E-4</b>	6.19E-5
order	—	1.11	2.14	<b>2.01</b>	2.00
$\epsilon_0/2^4$	2.03	3.74	7.75E-2	4.25E-3	<b>2.64E-4</b>
order	—	-0.44	2.80	2.10	<b>2.01</b>

Table 3: Temporal errors of the EWI method for the oscillatory complex NSFKGE (4.8) in 1D when  $\alpha = 1.2$ .

$e_1(r=1)$	$\lambda_0 = 0.05$	$\lambda_0/4$	$\lambda_0/4^2$	$\lambda_0/4^3$	$\lambda_0/4^4$
$\epsilon_0 = 1$	<b>1.03E-2</b>	6.46E-4	4.03E-5	2.48E-6	1.16E-7
order	—	2.00	2.00	2.01	2.21
$\epsilon_0/2$	5.95E-2	<b>3.25E-3</b>	2.01E-4	1.26E-5	7.74E-7
order	—	<b>2.10</b>	2.01	2.00	2.01
$\epsilon_0/2^2$	7.42E-1	1.66E-2	<b>9.86E-4</b>	6.14E-5	3.83E-6
order	—	2.74	<b>2.04</b>	2.00	2.00
$\epsilon_0/2^3$	1.43	3.10E-1	1.60E-2	<b>9.91E-4</b>	6.19E-5
order	—	1.11	2.14	<b>2.01</b>	2.00
$\epsilon_0/2^4$	2.03	3.74	7.76E-2	4.25E-3	<b>2.64E-4</b>
order	—	-0.44	2.80	2.10	<b>2.01</b>

## 6 Conclusions

In this paper, the second order Deuffhard-type integrator was used to deal with the integral generated in the time semi-discretization, and Fourier pseudospectral method was applied to discretize the space. With the aid of the RCO technique, we strictly proved that the  $H^{\alpha/2}$ -norm error bound of NSFKGE was  $O(h^m + \varepsilon^2 \tau^2)$  up to the

time  $O(1/\varepsilon^2)$ . In RCO, the high frequency modes ( $> 1/\tau_0$ ), where  $\tau_0$  is the frequency cut-off parameter, were controlled by the regularity of the exact solution, and the phase cancellation and energy method were used to evaluate the low frequency modes ( $\leq 1/\tau_0$ ). Numerical results in 1D and 2D verified our error estimates and demonstrated they are sharp, the numerical example in 1D also showed the NSFKE has the property of energy conservation, and the results in 2D indicated the fractional diffusion process's long-range interactions and heavy-tailed influence.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to specially thank Professor Weizhu Bao and Dr. Yue Feng for their valuable suggestions and comments. This work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants Nos. 12120101001, 12001326, 12171283), Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant Nos. ZR2021ZD03, ZR2020QA032, ZR2019ZD42).

## Data Availability

The datasets analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

**Competing Interests** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Appendix

### A Proof of Eq. (3.5)

**Lemma A.1** *The exact solution of (2.5) with initial data  $\varphi_0$  is denoted as  $\varphi(t) = S_{\varepsilon,t}(\varphi_0)$ . Assume  $\varphi(t) \in H^{m+\alpha/2}$  ( $m > 1$ ), then for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  and  $0 < \tau \leq 1/\varepsilon^2$ , the local error of the scheme (2.10) is bounded by*

$$\|\mathcal{E}^n\|_{m+\alpha/2} = \|S_\tau(\varphi(t_n)) - S_{\varepsilon,\tau}(\varphi(t_n))\|_{m+\alpha/2} \leq K_0 \varepsilon^2 \tau^3,$$

where

$$S_\tau(\varphi(t_n)) = e^{i\tau(\nabla)^\alpha} \varphi(t_n) + \varepsilon^2 \cdot \frac{\tau}{2} \left[ G(\varphi(t_n + \tau)) + e^{i\tau(\nabla)^\alpha} G(\varphi(t_n)) \right],$$

$$S_{\varepsilon,\tau}(\varphi(t_n)) = e^{i\tau(\nabla)^\alpha} \varphi(t_n) + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^\tau e^{i(\tau-s)(\nabla)^\alpha} G(\varphi(t_n + s)) ds,$$

and  $K_0$  depends on  $\|\varphi\|_{C^2([0,T_\varepsilon];H^{m+\alpha/2})}$ .

*Proof*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{E}^n\|_{m+\alpha/2} \\ &= \|S_\tau(\varphi(t_n)) - S_{\varepsilon,\tau}(\varphi(t_n))\|_{m+\alpha/2} \\ &= \left\| \varepsilon^2 \cdot \frac{\tau}{2} \left[ G(\varphi(t_n + \tau)) + e^{i\tau(\nabla)^\alpha} G(\varphi(t_n)) \right] - \varepsilon^2 \int_0^\tau e^{i(\tau-s)(\nabla)^\alpha} G(\varphi(t_n + s)) ds \right\|_{m+\alpha/2} \\ &= \left\| \frac{\varepsilon^2 \tau^3}{2} \int_0^1 \sigma(1-\sigma) G''(\sigma\tau) d\sigma \right\|_{m+\alpha/2} \leq K_0 \varepsilon^2 \tau^3. \end{aligned}$$



□

Suppose

$$\|e^{[k]}\|_\infty \leq C_0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n \leq j, \quad n, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We also suppose that

$$\|e^{[k+1]}\|_\infty \leq C_1, \quad \text{if } \|e^{[k]}\|_\infty \leq C_0. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

**Theorem A.1 [Uniform error bound]** Suppose that  $\varphi^{[n+1]}$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1$ ) are solutions of the scheme (2.10) and  $C, C^*$  are suitable positive constants independent of  $n, \tau, \varepsilon$ . Assume  $\|e^{[k+1]}\|_\infty \leq C_1$  under the condition  $\|e^{[k]}\|_\infty \leq C_0$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ). If  $\tau$  is sufficiently small and  $0 < \tau < \min \left\{ \frac{2}{L\varepsilon^2}, \left( \frac{C_0}{C^*CK_0T\varepsilon^{CLT}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$ , then

$$\|e^{[n+1]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} \leq CK_0T\tau^2 e^{CL(n+1)\varepsilon^2\tau}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

*Proof* We adopt the mathematical induction method to prove (A.3). Obviously, (A.3) holds for  $n = 0$ . Then we prove (A.3) holds for any  $0 \leq n \leq T_\varepsilon/\tau - 1$ .

Suppose  $\|e^{[k]}\|_\infty \leq C_0$  ( $0 \leq k \leq j$ ). By Lemma A.1 and the Proposition 3.1 (iii) in [19], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{[k+1]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} \\ &= \left\| S_\tau(\varphi^{[k]}) - S_\tau(\varphi(t_k)) + S_\tau(\varphi(t_k)) - S_{e,\tau}(\varphi(t_k)) \right\|_{m+\alpha/2} \\ &\leq \|e^{[k]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} + \frac{\varepsilon^2\tau}{2}L_1 \|e^{[k]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} + \frac{\varepsilon^2\tau}{2}L_2 \|e^{[k+1]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} + K_0\varepsilon^2\tau^3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Summing  $k$  from 0 to  $n$ , for sufficiently small  $\tau$ , when  $0 < \tau < \frac{2}{L\varepsilon^2}$ , one can get

$$\|e^{[n+1]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} \leq CL\varepsilon^2\tau \sum_{k=0}^n \|e^{[k]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} + CK_0T\tau^2, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where  $L = \max\{L_1, L_2\}$ .

Using Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\|e^{[n+1]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} \leq CK_0T\tau^2 e^{CL(n+1)\varepsilon^2\tau}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq j. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Next, we prove (A.6) holds for  $n = j + 1$ .

$$\|e^{[j+1]}\|_\infty \leq C^* \|e^{[j+1]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} \leq C^*CK_0T\tau^2 e^{CL(n+1)\varepsilon^2\tau} \leq C_0, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where  $0 < \tau \leq \left( \frac{C_0}{C^*CK_0T\varepsilon^{CLT}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Thus,  $\|e^{[k]}\|_\infty \leq C_0$  for  $0 \leq k \leq j + 1$ . We also derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{[j+2]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} &\leq CK_0T\tau^2 + CL\varepsilon^2\tau \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} \|e^{[k]}\|_{m+\alpha/2} \\ &\leq CK_0T\tau^2 \left( 1 + CL\varepsilon^2\tau \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} e^{CL(k+1)\varepsilon^2\tau} \right) \\ &\leq CK_0T\tau^2 e^{CL(j+2)\varepsilon^2\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Thus, (A.6) holds for  $n = j + 1$ . The proof is completed. □

For the fully discrete numerical solution, we have the similar conclusion.

## References

1. Ainsworth, M, Mao, Z.: Analysis and approximation of a fractional Cahn-Hilliard equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **55**(4), 1689-1718 (2017)
2. Bao, W., Cai, Y., Feng, Y.: Improved uniform error bounds on time-splitting methods for long-time dynamics of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with weak nonlinearity. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **60**, 1962-1984 (2022)
3. Bao, W., Cai, Y., Zhao, X.: A uniformly accurate multiscale time integrator pseudospectral method for the Klein-Gordon equation in the nonrelativistic limit regime. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **52**(5), 2488-2511 (2014)
4. Bao, W., Feng, Y., Su, C.: Uniform error bounds of time-splitting spectral methods for the long-time dynamics of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with weak nonlinearity. *Math. Comp.* **91**, 811-842 (2022)
5. Bao, W., Zhao, X.: Comparison of numerical methods for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation in the nonrelativistic limit regime. *J. Comput. Phys.* **398**, 108886 (2019)
6. Baumstark, S., Faou, E., Schratz, K.: Uniformly accurate exponential-type integrators for Klein-Gordon equations with asymptotic convergence to classical splitting schemes in the NLS splitting. *Math. Comp.* **87**, 1227-1254 (2018)
7. Benson, D., Wheatcraft, S., Meerschaert, M.: The fractional order governing equation of levy motion. *Water Resour. Res.* **36**, 141323 (2000)
8. Cai, Y., Zhou, X.: Uniformly accurate nested picard iterative integrators for the Klein-Gordon equation in the nonrelativistic regime. *J. Sci. Comput.* **92**, 53 (2022)
9. Calvo, C. M., Schratz, K.: Uniformly accurate low regularity integrators for the Klein-Gordon equation from the classical to non-relativistic limit regime. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **60**(2), 888-912, (2022)
10. Cao, W., Guo, B.: Fourier collocation method for solving nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *J. Comput. Phys.* **108**, 296-305 (1993)
11. Deeba, E.Y., Khuri, S.A.: A decomposition method for solving the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *J. Comput. Phys.* **124**, 442-448 (1996)
12. Dehghan, M., Shokri, A.: Numerical solution of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation using radial basis functions. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **230**, 400-410 (2009)
13. Delort, J.M.: On long time existence for small solutions of semi-linear Klein-Gordon equations on the torus. *J. Anal. Math.* **107**, 161-194 (2009)
14. Delort, J.M., Szeftel, J.: Long-time existence for small data nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations on tori and spheres. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **37**, 1897-1966 (2004)
15. Dong, X., Xu, Z., Zhao, X.: On time-splitting pseudospectral discretization for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation in nonrelativistic limit regime. *Commun. Comput. Phys.* **16**, 440-466 (2014)
16. Duncan, D.: Symplectic finite difference approximations of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **34**(5), 1742-1760 (1997)
17. Fang, D., Zhang, Q.: Long-time existence for semi-linear Klein-Gordon equations on tori. *J. Differential Equations* **249**, 151-179 (2010)
18. Feng, Y.: Long time error analysis of the fourth-order compact finite difference methods for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with weak nonlinearity. *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations.* **37**, 897-914 (2021)
19. Feng, Y.: Improved error bounds of the Strang splitting method for the highly oscillatory fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Sci. Comput.* **88**, 48 (2021)
20. Germund, D., Åke, B.: *Numerical Methods in Scientific Computing*. SIAM (2008)
21. Hendy, A.S., Taha, T.R., Suragan, D., Zaky, M.A.: An energy-preserving computational approach for the semilinear space fractional damped Klein-Gordon equation with a generalized scalar potential. *Appl. Math. Model.* **108**, 512-530 (2022)
22. Huang, Y., Oberman, A.: Numerical methods for the fractional Laplacian: a finite difference-quadrature approach. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **52**, 3056-3084 (2014)
23. Jia, J., Xu, H., Jiang, X.: Fast evaluation for the two-dimensional nonlinear coupled time-space fractional Klein-Gordon-Zakharov equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **21**, 107148 (2021)
24. Kumar, D., Singh, J., Baleanu, D.: A hybrid computational approach for Klein-Gordon equations on Cantor sets. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **87**, 511-517 (2017)
25. Lindblad, H., Lührmann, J., Soffer, A.: Decay and asymptotics for the 1D Klein-Gordon equation with variable coefficient cubic nonlinearities. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **52**(6), 6379-6411 (2020)
26. Magin, R.L.: *Fractional Calculus in Bioengineering*. Begell House Publishers (2006)

27. Metzler, R., Klafter, J.: The random walks guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. *Phys. Rep.* **339**, 1-77 (2000)
28. Podlubny, I.: *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press, New York (1999)
29. Strauss, W., L. Vázquez, Numerical solution of a nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. *J. Comput. Phys.* **28**, 271-278 (1978)
30. Sun, H., Zhang, Y., Baleanu, D., Chen, W., Chen, Y.: A new collection of real world applications of fractional calculus in science and engineering. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci.* **64**, 213-231 (2018)
31. Xu, Y., Shu, C.W.: Local discontinuous Galerkin methods for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Comput. Phys.* **205**, 72-97 (2005)
32. Zeng, F., Liu, F., Li, C., Burrage, K., Turner, I., Anh, V.: A Crank-Nicolson ADI spectral method for a two-dimensional Riesz space fractional nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **52**, 2599-2622 (2014)
33. Zhai, S., Wang, D., Weng, Z., Zhao, X.: Error analysis and numerical simulations of Strang splitting method for space fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Sci. Comput.* **81**, 965-989 (2019)
34. Zhang, H., Jiang, X., Zeng, F., G.E. Karniadakis.: A stabilized semi-implicit Fourier spectral method for nonlinear space-fractional reaction-diffusion equations. *J. Comput. Phys.* **405**, 109141 (2019)