

Thermal Larmor radiation

Evgenii Ievlev^{1,2,*} and Michael R.R. Good^{1,3,†}

¹*Physics Department & Energetic Cosmos Laboratory, Nazarbayev University,
Astana 010000, Qazaqstan*

²*Theoretical and Nuclear Physics Department, al-Farabi Qazaq National University,
Almaty 050040, Qazaqstan*

³*Leung Center for Cosmology and Particle Astrophysics, National Taiwan University,
Taipei 10617, Taiwan*

(Dated: March 8, 2023)

Thermal radiation from a moving point charge is found. The calculation is entirely from a classical point of view, but is shown to have an immediate connection to quantum field theory.

PACS numbers: 41.60.-m (Radiation by moving charges), 05.70.-a (Thermodynamics)

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	1
A. Motivation	1
B. Orientation	2
C. Discussion	2
II. Larmor Acceleration and Temperature	3
A. Prelude to Larmor Equilibrium	3
B. Classical Thermal Spectrum Derivation	3
C. A Tale of Two Thermometers	4
III. Electron-mirror connection	5
A. Setup of the Moving Point Charge	5
B. Connection to the Moving Mirror	5
C. Application: specific trajectory	6
IV. Relevant Regimes	7
A. Thermal Limit	7
B. Infrared Limit	8
C. Global Limit	8
V. Conclusions	8
Acknowledgments	9
A. Technicalities of the electron-mirror correspondence	9
B. Further examples of the electron-mirror correspondence	9
C. Calculation of Fourier integrals	10
References	10

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Motivation

Thermal Larmor radiation is a fundamental classical phenomenon in which a single accelerating electron emits electromagnetic radiation in accordance with a Planck curve. As such, this process, which to our knowledge has not been derived before, is of paramount importance for understanding a wide range of physical phenomena to which black body emission applies: from the behavior of single moving point charges to the thermal properties of fluctuation-dissipation in the unavoidable white noise of a resistor.

With its far-reaching implications, such as the connection to the quantum understanding of the acceleration-temperature relation, studying thermal Larmor radiation promises to yield new experimental insights into the nature of black hole evaporation [1], moving mirrors [2–4] and acceleration radiation [5–7]. This simple system is an endeavor with important observational implications that offers an exciting opportunity for the research community to further the collective knowledge of the fundamental origin of the link between acceleration and temperature.

The blackbody energy spectrum for thermal radiation is significant in the history of physics not because of its own appeal, but because of its major effect in exposing the inadequacy of classical theory and in presenting the formulation of quantum mechanics. However, quantum theory need not always be employed in regimes where classical theory can do the trick. In the case of the Planck radiation law we contend that classical theory alone provides insight into the connection between acceleration and temperature via a direct derivation of moving point charge thermal electromagnetic radiation. Our computation complements Boyer’s classical derivation of the black body spectrum [8]. However, presented here, we require no assumption of zero-point radiation, dipole oscillators, or equal partition. Our classical radiation result is an interesting illustration that even a moving point charge can radiate like a black body without any notion of discrete or discontinuous processes.

* evgenii.ievlev@nu.edu.kz; On leave of absence from National Research Center “Kurchatov Institute”, Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg 188300, Russia

† michael.good@nu.edu.kz

B. Orientation

We will classically compute the temperature, T , of the radiation from an accelerating electron, along with the spectral angular distribution $dI(\omega)/d\Omega$, and the spectrum $I(\omega) = dE/d\omega$. All together the results, in natural units, $\hbar = \mu_0 = c = 1$, with charge e , are:

$$T_{\text{electron}} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} = \frac{e^2 s^2 \sin^2 \theta}{16\pi^3 (1 - s \cos \theta)^2} \frac{2\pi\omega/\kappa}{e^{2\pi\omega/\kappa} - 1}, \quad (2)$$

$$I(\omega) = \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{\eta}{s} - 1 \right) \frac{2\pi\omega/\kappa}{e^{2\pi\omega/\kappa} - 1}. \quad (3)$$

Here $\kappa > 0$ is the acceleration parameter defining the trajectory of the electron; it is not the proper acceleration (more on this later). The final speed of the electron is $0 < s < 1$, ω is the frequency of the light, and $\eta = \tanh^{-1} s$ is the final rapidity of the electron. The polar angle is $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$; while the electron travels rectilinearly in the radial \hat{r} direction. It should be noted, in these units, that $e^2 = 4\pi\alpha$ where α is the fine structure constant.

C. Discussion

There have been several studies investigating the classical connections between acceleration and temperature; most of which deal with uniform proper accelerated trajectories. For instance, Cozzella et al [9] claim the observation of classical Larmor radiation is a signal of the quantum Davies-Fulling-Unruh effect, suggesting that a quantum effect can be verified through a classical computation. They use a uniformly accelerated charge and argue the Unruh thermal bath is codified in the Larmor radiation [10] emitted from the accelerated charge.

Leonhardt et al [11] developed a water-wave model with the boundary of the container acting like a mirror, revealing a classical notion of the Unruh effect as the correlation of noise in space and time. There they replaced \hbar by the strength of classical noise and c by the speed of the waves involved in the effect. They suggest the use of non-uniform accelerated trajectories could help extend the idea to the quantum regime.

Recently, Hegelich et al [12] relate the model detector of the Davies-Fulling-Unruh temperature to experiment by considering a point-like electron in its place. Since the electron has no internal degree of freedom, thermalization of this uniformly accelerated ‘detector’ occurs in a unexpected way: fluctuations in the plane transverse to the acceleration are amplified into radiated particles.

In fact, when considering accelerated detectors with sufficient thermalization time in the limit of no internal structure [10], the Davies-Fulling-Unruh power reduces

to the classical Larmor power formula for accelerated electrons, [13]; see also the Multi-Petawatt Physics Prioritization (MP3) Workshop Report [14]. This is a particularly suggestive result connecting Larmor radiation with the Davies-Fulling-Unruh effect.

In an expanded version of the Gregori et al [15] presentation at the MP3 workshop [14], it is emphasized that the Davies-Fulling-Unruh effect is a very general process, associated with all accelerated bodies, regardless of the presence of an event horizon; supporting the importance of studying horizonless and asymptotic inertial trajectories. In particular, Gregori et al. stress that to confirm the Unruh effect, a framework is needed where the lab acceleration is not constant. This is the approach we take with an electron which has a non-uniform proper acceleration.

Moreover, Gregori et al [15] use a heuristic derivation of the Unruh effect whose realization is dependent on quantum discreteness. They highlight the use of the electron as a detector with no internal structure; calling careful attention to how to define and register a change of state. Specifically they note that this issue is seldom discussed and how controversial [16] the issues are in distinguishing the Unruh effect from other classical and quantum radiation processes when involving accelerating moving point charges. Our classical approach confronts these important points without the need for discrete energy levels.

Others argue similarly; for example, Pauri and Vallisneri [17] contend that the Unruh effect is deeply rooted at the classical level and could have been predicted earlier and by a different route, drawing from the analogy of radiation in classical electromagnetism. Lin [18] found the vacuum expectation value of the energy density for a point-like electron is identical to its classical self-energy density, pushing for a classical correspondence of the Unruh effect.

Beyond the classical connections, such as those found by Boyer [19] and Cole [20], who discussed the thermal effects of acceleration within classical theory including classical zero-point radiation, there is good motivation for studying non-uniformly accelerated motions. Boyer found it seemingly discouraging that in the classical electromagnetic case, an observer undergoing uniform acceleration [21], through classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation, detects field correlation functions corresponding to a spectrum different from Planck’s spectrum.

In much the same way, the present authors are not alone in being perplexed by the non-Planckian spectrum resulting from straightforward assumption of proper uniform acceleration, e.g. [22]. In our view, the fact that a constant proper accelerated moving charge does not emit thermal radiation underscores the importance of studying alternative trajectories and potential connections to the Planck spectrum.

The aforementioned moving mirror model is well-suited to non-uniform motions and wide variety of applications. For instance, the moving mirror has recently been applied to address finite-size [23, 24] and model en-

tanglement [25, 26]. By applying this simple conceptual analog to the study of radiation emitted by an electron, we develop a connection between the electron and mirror.

An early clue this correspondence existed was perhaps first recognized via radiation reaction by Ford-Vilenkin [27] and substantially established by Nikishov-Ritus [28]. Further development on Bogolyubov-current connection occurs in Ritus [29–32]. The correspondence to Larmor power was derived in Zhakenuly et al [33]. The connection and recipe we develop here is general enough to be applied to any integrable classical trajectory that emits finite radiation energy but also precise enough to directly calculate the relevant integrable spectra for specified electron accelerations. We explicitly do this and show that the approach is consistent. In this analog, the electron is treated as a moving mirror, similar to the way black holes are treated as moving mirrors, e.g. Schwarzschild [34], Reissner–Nordström [35], and Kerr [36], but with limited acceleration; i.e. asymptotic inertia characterized by a proper acceleration $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0$. The specific solution we focus on behaves as a remnant presciently described in Wilczek [37]. The generality in this paper reveals a previously unknown thermal electron acceleration radiation spectra, which can help to establish links between acceleration, gravity, and thermodynamics in a precisely applied manner.

There is good reason to study the one-channel Planck curve, Eq. (3). It is important because its fundamental thermal radiation is closely connected to Johnson-Nyquist (white) noise [38]. A hot resistor produces electromagnetic waves along its single mode, transmitted in one spatial dimension along the line just as a hot body will produce electromagnetic waves isotropically in free space, see e.g. [39]. One dimensional white noise power from a resistor is equal to the power picked up by an antenna pointed at a three dimensional blackbody at the same temperature [40]. Beyond basic thermodynamics, white noise has applications in applied electronics, metrology, material science, signal processing, and telecommunications. For instance, in microwave photonics, the one-channel Planck curve provides a theoretical framework for understanding and mitigating the impact of thermal noise on electronic systems [41]; in particular at high frequencies or low temperatures due to quantum effects.

II. LARMOR ACCELERATION AND TEMPERATURE

A. Prelude to Larmor Equilibrium

Larmor [42] found the non-relativistic formula for the total power emitted by an accelerating point charge. Written in SI units [43],

$$P = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^3} a^2 = \frac{\mu_0 e^2}{6\pi c} a^2, \quad (4)$$

where the vacuum magnetic permeability, μ_0 , and the vacuum electric permittivity, ϵ_0 , are related to the speed of light by $\mu_0\epsilon_0 = 1/c^2$. Here e is the electric charge and $a = \dot{v}$ is the non-relativistic acceleration.

At low speeds, and for limited motions with asymptotic inertia, the total energy emitted is

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P dt. \quad (5)$$

In equilibrium, a uniformly accelerated point charge might be expected to radiate thermally with constant power. However, one can see eternal constant acceleration will not give a global finite energy.

Recently, it has been shown that a specific relativistic trajectory [44] with inverse velocity, v , final speed s , and free parameter κ (with units of acceleration),

$$\frac{1}{v} = \frac{c}{\kappa z} + \frac{1}{s}, \quad (6)$$

emits a total finite energy [45],

$$E = \frac{\mu_0 e^2 \kappa}{24\pi} \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} s/c}{s/c} - 1 \right), \quad (7)$$

consistent with a finite period of constant power [46],

$$\bar{P}_c = \frac{\mu_0 e^2 \kappa^2}{48\pi c}. \quad (8)$$

In turn, this equilibrium emission is associated with uniform local¹ acceleration [49],

$$\bar{\kappa}(u) = \frac{v''(u)}{v'(u)} \rightarrow \kappa, \quad (9)$$

where $v(u)$ is the advanced trajectory, $v = t + r$, in terms of retarded time, $u = t - r$, using light-cone coordinates. In the following section, we demonstrate this trajectory emits a thermal radiation spectrum throughout its motion.

B. Classical Thermal Spectrum Derivation

A classical spectrum is obtained for the radiation emitted by the moving point charge, demonstrating a Planck distribution. This computation will result in the spectrum, $I(\omega) = dE/d\omega$ by first computing the angular distribution, $dI(\omega)/d\Omega \equiv d^2E/d\omega d\Omega$.

For clarity, SI units are employed and we start with the general radiation spectrum of a moving point charge,

¹ This is also called the ‘peeling function’, see e.g. [47, 48]. We will call it the peel acceleration or ‘peel’ for short.

(see, SI units e.g. Eq. 23.89 p. (911) of Zangwill [50] or Gaussian units Eq. (14.67) p. (701) of Jackson [51]):

$$\frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} = \frac{\mu_0 e^2 \omega^2}{16\pi^3 c} \left| \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \beta(t) e^{i\phi} \right|^2. \quad (10)$$

where $\phi = \omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}(t)$, while $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the direction of \mathbf{k} . The trajectory function is

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \frac{sc}{\kappa} W(e^{\kappa t/c}) \hat{\mathbf{r}}, \quad (11)$$

where W is the Lambert product logarithm, and $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is a unit vector in the movement direction (we choose it to be along the z -axis). The dimensionful quantity $0 < s < c$ is the final speed of the electron. Using $\mathbf{k} = (\omega/c)\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and simplifying the cross product,

$$\frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} = \frac{\mu_0 e^2 \omega^2}{16\pi^3 c} \left| \sin \theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \dot{z}(t) e^{i\phi} \right|^2, \quad (12)$$

where $\phi = \omega(t - [z(t)/c] \cos \theta)$. Inverting the trajectory to remove the productlog gives

$$t(z) = \frac{c}{\kappa} \ln \left(\frac{\kappa z}{sc} \right) + \frac{z}{s}, \quad (13)$$

and integrating over z rather than t we obtain

$$\frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} = \frac{\mu_0 e^2 \omega^2}{16\pi^3 c} \left| \sin \theta \int_0^{\infty} dz e^{i\phi(z)} \right|^2, \quad (14)$$

with

$$e^{i\phi(z)} = \left(\frac{\kappa z}{cs} \right)^{\frac{ic\omega}{\kappa}} e^{i \frac{z\omega}{s} (1 - \frac{s}{c} \cos \theta)}. \quad (15)$$

The integral here is computed in Appendix C, the result is

$$\frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} = \frac{\mu_0 c e^2 s^2 \sin^2 \theta}{16\pi^3 (c - s \cos \theta)^2} \frac{2\pi c \omega / \kappa}{e^{2\pi c \omega / \kappa} - 1}. \quad (16)$$

This is the SI version of Eq. (2). See Figure 1 for a spherical 3D plot of the distribution Eq. (16). Integration over $d\Omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$ gives

$$I(\omega) = \frac{\mu_0 c e^2}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{c\eta}{s} - 1 \right) \frac{2\pi c \omega / \kappa}{e^{2\pi c \omega / \kappa} - 1}. \quad (17)$$

This is exactly Eq. (3) in SI units. Here $\eta = \tanh^{-1} s/c$. This is the SI version of Eq. (3). See Figure 2 for a plot of the black body curve of $I(\omega)$, Eq. (17).

The spectral density Eq. (17) is very similar to the Planck distribution for a black body in 1+1 dimensions. Indeed, Eq. (17) corresponds to a radiation at a certain temperature. We present the formula for the temperature in Eq. (1), see Sec. II C for more details.

We emphasize that our derivation here was purely classical, and that the spectral density Eq. (17) suggests that the radiation is legitimately thermal [8, 39]. This means that we see a genuine temperature effect as a result of an acceleration, i.e. the Davies-Fulling-Unruh effect, without any appeal to quantum.




FIG. 1. A plot of Eq. (16), the distribution, $dI/d\Omega$. Here we use unit charge, natural units and $\omega = \kappa = 1$. The final speed of the electron is $s = 0.9$. The spectral distribution is that of rectilinear allocation peaking about the forward direction but not actually in the forward direction.




FIG. 2. A plot of Eq. (17), the spectrum, $I(\omega)$. Here we use unit charge, natural units and $\kappa = 1$. The final speed of the electron is $s = 0.9$, $s = 0.99$, and $s = 0.999$ for green, red, and blue, respectively. This plot illustrates the shape of 1-D black body radiation with peak deep infrared color, $\omega = 0$

C. A Tale of Two Thermometers

We are left with the task of defining a temperature T to conform with the one spatial dimensional blackbody Planck distribution of Eq. (17),

$$I(\omega) \sim \frac{2\pi c \omega / \kappa}{e^{2\pi c \omega / \kappa} - 1}. \quad (18)$$

Do we use the pre-existing [52], classical scale of the problem: $\mu_0 c e^2$?

$$\frac{2\pi c \omega}{\kappa} \stackrel{?}{\leftrightarrow} \frac{\mu_0 c e^2 \omega}{k_B T}. \quad (19)$$

Or do we introduce an additional scale, by route of \hbar , which is typically associated with quantum theory?

$$\frac{2\pi c \omega}{\kappa} \stackrel{?}{\leftrightarrow} \frac{\hbar \omega}{k_B T}. \quad (20)$$

The former could be called the Stoney scale² [53] while the latter is the Planck scale with unit of temperature Kelvin. Using the latter, quantum-traditional definition of temperature associated with Planck's constant, Eq. (20), we find that the classical Larmor radiation is the quantum Davies-Fulling-Unruh effect, with Planck-distributed emission at temperature,

$$T = \frac{\hbar\kappa}{2\pi ck_B}. \quad (21)$$

Notice κ is the acceleration parameter of the local-uniformly accelerated electron, whose trajectory is Eq. (6). The dynamical meaning of the constant κ is the late retarded time $u \rightarrow \infty$, high-speed $s \rightarrow c$ double limit of the peel [54]: $\kappa(u) = v''(u)/v'(u) = 2\mathcal{A}e^\eta = 2d\eta(u)/du$, that is

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow c} \bar{\kappa}(u) = \kappa. \quad (22)$$

Here $\mathcal{A}(u)$ is the proper acceleration, $\eta(u)$ is rapidity, and $\bar{\kappa}(u)$ is the peel acceleration [47, 48].

III. ELECTRON-MIRROR CONNECTION

In this section we are going to relate two systems that are seemingly very different in their nature. One is a point charge moving along some rectilinear trajectory in 3+1 Minkowski spacetime. The other is a mirror that moves in 1+1 dimensions. It turns out that the radiation spectra in these systems are the same, which signifies a deep underlying connection between the two.

A. Setup of the Moving Point Charge

We consider a point charge with four-current³ (see, e.g. Jackson Sec. 11.9 [51])

$$j_\mu = (\rho, \mathbf{j}) \quad (23)$$

with the charge and current densities

$$\rho = e\delta^3(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}(t)), \quad \mathbf{j} = e\mathbf{v}(t)\delta^3(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}(t)). \quad (24)$$

² If the Stoney scale, Eq. (19), were used, α being the fine structure constant, and $\hbar = \mu_0 c e^2 / 4\pi\alpha$, the temperature is written

$$T = \frac{\mu_0 e^2 \kappa}{2\pi k_B} = 2\alpha \frac{\hbar\kappa}{ck_B} \rightarrow T = 2\alpha\kappa.$$

The arrow indicating natural units set $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$.

³ From this point on we use natural units and set the speed of light c , the Planck's constant \hbar , the vacuum magnetic permeability μ_0 and the Boltzmann's constant k_B to 1. In these units the vacuum permittivity $\epsilon_0 = 1$, while electron charge is a dimensionless number $e^2 = 4\pi\alpha \approx 0.092$. Our choice for the spacetime metric signature is $(+, -, -, -)$, the same as in Jackson [51].

Moreover, we are going to focus on the case of a *rectilinear* motion along the z axis, so that

$$j_x = j_y = 0, \quad (25)$$

In this case we have the following formula for the radiated energy spectral density (cf. Eq. (10), see also Eq. (14.70) of [51]):

$$\frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} = \frac{\omega^2}{16\pi^3} \sin^2\theta |j_z(\omega, k_z)|^2, \quad (26)$$

where $k_z = \omega \cos\theta$ (for such a rectilinear motion the energy distribution does not depend on the k_x, k_y components of the wavevector). The total radiated energy is evaluated as an integral of the density:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{tot}} &= \int_0^\infty d\omega \int d\Omega \frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int d\Omega \omega^2 \sin^2\theta |j_z(\omega, k_z)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

B. Connection to the Moving Mirror

Now consider a 1+1-dimensional moving mirror [2–4]. We take the mirror moving along the same trajectory as the point charge from the previous subsection. This is possible since the point charge is in rectilinear motion.

The 1+1 setup can be roughly described as follows, e.g. [55]. Consider a massless scalar with the standard Lagrangian. In a trivial Minkowski vacuum the spacetime is homogeneous, and there is no radiation. However when one introduces a moving mirror (dynamical Casimir effect), the vacuum is perturbed as there are non-trivial boundary conditions now [56]. The mirror causes creation of scalar field quanta which are seen as radiation. Total radiated energy for a mirror is given by the formula, e.g. [57],

$$E_{\text{tot}}^\beta = \int_0^\infty dp \int_0^\infty dq p |\beta_{pq}|^2, \quad (28)$$

where β_{pq} is the beta Bogolubov coefficient [58]; with frequency modes q for incoming plane wave form and p for outgoing plane wave form, see e.g. [59]. Here the beta coefficient accounts is the sum of the squares for each side of the perfectly reflecting mirror [33, 60, 61].

Following Nikishov-Ritus [28], we want to relate $|\beta_{pq}|^2$ to some quantity characteristic to the moving point charge, see also [29–32]. Since $|\beta_{pq}|^2$ corresponds to a one-dimensional system, we can expect that the corresponding quantity in the 3+1 system should be a Lorentz scalar. From the four-current j_μ we build a scalar $-j_\mu j^\mu = \mathbf{j}^2 - \rho^2$. In the Fourier space we consider the corresponding quantity

$$|j_\mu(\omega, k_z)|^2 \equiv |\mathbf{j}(\omega, k_z)|^2 - |\rho(\omega, k_z)|^2. \quad (29)$$

In Eq. (29), ω is understood as a Fourier transform parameter and can take positive as well as negative values. It is customary to take the physical frequency ω as a positive quantity since the sign of the frequency has no physical meaning. This is also motivated by the fact that in calculating the total energy we have to integrate over all frequencies, and we can just as well take the even part of the distribution and integrate over non-negative frequencies only, cf. Sec. 14.5 of [51].

To follow this convention instead of $|j_\mu(\omega, k_z)|^2$ we should consider the sum

$$|j_\mu(\omega, k_z)|^2 + |j_\mu(-\omega, k_z)|^2. \quad (30)$$

In this section we are going to check that Eq. (30) and $|\beta_{pq}|^2$ are indeed related to each other. In fact, they turn out to be proportional to each other. We present the following formula for this correspondence:

$$\frac{|j_\mu(\omega, k_z)|^2 + |j_\mu(-\omega, k_z)|^2}{(2\pi)^2 e^2} = |\beta_{pq}|^2. \quad (31)$$

Note that the proportionality coefficient depends on the conventions for the Fourier transform. For our convention see Eq. (38) below.

To support this proposed formula we are going to check that the formulas for the radiated energy in the point particle case and for the moving mirror give the same result. We will start from the total energy, see Eq. (27) and Eq. (28).

As it turns out the integrals in Eq. (27) and Eq. (28) are transformed into one another by a change of integration variables. We show this by transforming the integral in Eq. (28). First let us pass to the light-cone coordinates in frequency space:

$$2p = \omega + k_z, \quad 2q = \omega - k_z, \quad (32)$$

The Jacobian is 1/2, and we have (note the integration limits)

$$\begin{aligned} e^2 E_{\text{tot}}^\beta &= \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_{-\omega}^{+\omega} dk_z \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega + k_z}{2} \frac{|j_\mu(\omega, k_z)|^2 + |j_\mu(-\omega, k_z)|^2}{(2\pi)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_{-\omega}^{+\omega} dk_z \omega (|j_\mu(\omega, k_z)|^2 + |j_\mu(\omega, -k_z)|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_{-\omega}^{+\omega} dk_z \omega |j_\mu(\omega, k_z)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In the second line we used $j_\mu(-\omega, k_z) = j_\mu(\omega, -k_z)^*$, which follows from the fact that the four-current in the coordinate space is real-valued. Next we can compare to the point charge formula Eq. (27). In the latter equation only waves with $|\mathbf{k}| = \omega$ contribute to the integral. This helps us to figure out the next change of variables: in

Eq. (33) we pass from ω, k_z to ω, θ according to $k_z = |\mathbf{k}| \cos \theta = \omega \cos \theta$. The Jacobian is $\omega \sin \theta$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^2 E_{\text{tot}}^\beta &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_{-1}^{+1} d(\cos \theta) \omega^2 |j_\mu(\omega, \omega \cos \theta)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int d\Omega \omega^2 \sin^2 \theta |j_z(\omega, \omega \cos \theta)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Here we used the charge conservation law

$$\omega \rho(\omega, \mathbf{k}) - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{j}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad (35)$$

which together with Eq. (25) allows us to express our Lorentz scalar from Eq. (29) as

$$|j_\mu|^2 = \left(1 - \frac{k_z^2}{\omega^2}\right) |j_z|^2 = \sin^2 \theta |j_z|^2. \quad (36)$$

As we can see, the last line in Eq. (34) is exactly the formula Eq. (27) for the point charge,

$$E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{pt}} = e^2 E_{\text{tot}}^\beta. \quad (37)$$

Moreover, since this equality holds for *any* rectilinear trajectory, we must have the correspondence not just between the energies but between the energy densities. This correspondence can be read off from the derivation that we just presented, see Appendix A.

C. Application: specific trajectory

Now let us see how this general correspondence works in particular case with the trajectory from Eq. (13). We employ the following convention for the Fourier transform:

$$j_\mu(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \int d^4x j_\mu(t, \mathbf{x}) e^{-ik \cdot x}, \quad (38)$$

where $x_\mu = (t, \mathbf{r})$, $k_\mu = (\omega, \mathbf{k})$ and $k \cdot x = k_\mu x^\mu = \omega t - (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$.

a. Fourier transforms Let us start from the Fourier transform of the three dimensional part of the current \mathbf{j} . The delta functions allows us to perform the spatial integration, and arrive at

$$\mathbf{j}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \mathbf{v}(t) e^{-i(\omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}(t))}. \quad (39)$$

On our trajectory Eq. (13)

$$\begin{aligned} j_z(\omega, k_z) &= e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \frac{dz}{dt} e^{-i(\omega t - k_z z(t))} \\ &= e \int_0^\infty dz e^{-i(\omega t(z) - k_z z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Note the dr integration in the second line, and the fact that for such rectilinear trajectory the current does not depend on k_x, k_y . Substituting the trajectory from Eq. (13) we arrive at

$$j_z(\omega, k_z) = e \int_0^\infty dz \left(\frac{\kappa z}{s}\right)^{-i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}} e^{-iz(\frac{\omega}{s} - k_z)}. \quad (41)$$

This integral is computed in Appendix C, the result is

$$j_z(\omega, k_z) = e \left(\frac{\kappa}{\omega - k_z s}\right)^{-i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}} \frac{s e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi\omega}{2\kappa}}}{\omega - k_z s} \Gamma\left(1 - i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right). \quad (42)$$

The absolute value squared is easily computed, see also Eq. (C7),

$$|j_z(\omega, k_z)|^2 = \frac{2\pi s^2 e^2}{(\omega - k_z s)^2} \frac{|\omega|/\kappa}{e^{\frac{2\pi|\omega|}{\kappa}} - 1}. \quad (43)$$

This formula is valid for $|\omega| \geq |k_z|$ (this is the case of interest, cf. the discussion above).

The Fourier transform of the charge density can be computed in a similar fashion:

$$\rho(\omega, k_z) = e \left(\frac{\kappa}{\omega - k_z s}\right)^{-i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}} \frac{k_z s e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi\omega}{2\kappa}}}{\omega(\omega - k_z s)} \Gamma\left(1 - i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right). \quad (44)$$

The absolute value is

$$|\rho(\omega, k_z)|^2 = \frac{k_z^2}{\omega^2} \frac{2\pi s^2 e^2}{(\omega - k_z s)^2} \frac{|\omega|/\kappa}{e^{\frac{2\pi|\omega|}{\kappa}} - 1}. \quad (45)$$

Note that Eq. (42) and Eq. (44) satisfy the charge conservation law Eq. (35), which is a good check. Using our Fourier transforms from Eq. (43) and Eq. (45) we can write down the scalar Eq. (30):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|j_\mu(\omega, k_z)|^2 + |j_\mu(-\omega, k_z)|^2}{(2\pi)^2 e^2} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{k_z^2}{\omega^2}\right) \frac{4\pi s^2 (\omega^2 + k_z^2 s^2)}{(\omega^2 - k_z^2 s^2)^2} \frac{\omega/\kappa}{e^{\frac{2\pi\omega}{\kappa}} - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Note that in this formula $\omega > 0$ is the physical frequency.

b. Connecting to the mirror Now we want to make a connection to the mirror moving in 1+1. The beta Bogolubov coefficient for the corresponding mirror [45] is

$$|\beta_{pq}|^2 = \frac{2s^2 pq}{\pi\kappa(p+q)} \frac{a^{-2} + b^{-2}}{e^{2\pi(p+q)/\kappa} - 1}, \quad (47)$$

where $a = p(1+s) + q(1-s)$, and $b = p(1-s) + q(1+s)$.

It can be easily checked that the change of variables Eq. (32) brings this beta Eq. (47) to the absolute squared current Eq. (46), thereby confirming our general formula Eq. (31). By doing explicit integrations we verified that the total radiated energies coincide, see Eq. (37). We also checked that the algorithms presented in Appendix A are consistent.

We checked this correspondence explicitly for several other trajectories as well. Two important examples — Schwarzschild and Carlitz-Willey — are described in Appendix B.

c. An Energy-Zeta Function To relate the point charge and the mirror we used the light cone coordinates above, see Eq. (32). However there is another interesting set of coordinates which is also useful. Namely, consider new variables m, ζ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} 2p &= \omega(1 + \cos\theta) = m e^\zeta, \\ 2q &= \omega(1 - \cos\theta) = m e^{-\zeta}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

This transformation can be equivalently represented as

$$\omega = p + q = m \cosh \zeta, \quad k_z = p - q = m \sinh \zeta \quad (49)$$

or as

$$\zeta = -\ln \tan \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad m = \omega \sin \theta. \quad (50)$$

These variables are not new, see e.g. Eq. (17) of [31], but we believe their usefulness might be underestimated in the literature.

An analytic “half-way” result is possible with this change of coordinates. The total energy emitted can be expressed as

$$E = \int p |\beta_{pq}|^2 dp dq, \quad (51)$$

and using $dp dq = (m/2) dm d\zeta = (1/4) dm^2 d\zeta$, we can integrate over m from 0 to ∞ , using $\kappa = \mu_0 = c = 1$,

$$E(\zeta) = \frac{s^2 e^\zeta \operatorname{sech}^3 \zeta}{48\pi} \frac{((1+s^2) \cosh(2\zeta) + 1 - s^2)}{((1-s^2) \cosh(2\zeta) + 1 + s^2)^2}, \quad (52)$$

and then integrating over ζ gives

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad (53)$$

resulting in Eq. (60). It is fortunate to have an analytic result for $E(\zeta)$, as opposed to the intractability of e.g. $E(p)$, $E(m)$, or $E(q)$. A plot demonstrating behavior indicative of thermal emission via a flatten energy plateau is illustrated in Figure 3.

IV. RELEVANT REGIMES

A. Thermal Limit

In natural units, notice that our 1-D black body spectrum, Eq. (17),

$$I(\omega) = \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{\eta}{s} - 1\right) \frac{2\pi\omega/\kappa}{e^{2\pi\omega/\kappa} - 1}, \quad (54)$$

is identically thermal in frequency ω . How can this be seen using the quantum analog moving mirror? or,




FIG. 3. A plot of Eq. (52), the energy emitted by the mirror/electron as a function of ζ , where $\kappa = 1$ and ultrarelativistic final speed $s = 0.999,999,994$. The final speed is chosen so that the maximum $E_{\max} = 0.999$ for the plateau which occurs at approximately $\zeta_0 = 5.00$; here $E(\zeta)$ is scaled by 24π . The plateau is indicative of constant energy emission confirming a period of equilibrium radiation commensurate with Planck-distributed particles.

namely using mode frequencies p and q ? First we look at the limit of Eq. (47) at high speeds,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} |\beta_{pq}|^2 = \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2\pi\kappa pq (e^{2\pi(p+q)/\kappa} - 1) (p+q)}. \quad (55)$$

Then, we follow Hawking [1] and take what he called the ‘high frequency limit’ of Eq. (47), which is $q \ll p$. To lowest order in small $q = (\omega - k_z)/2$, the usual thermal result is obtained, e.g. Eq. 1 of Fulling [62]

$$|\beta_{pq}|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa q} \frac{1}{e^{2\pi p/\kappa} - 1}. \quad (56)$$

This formula is well-known as the thermal Planck distribution associated with eternal black body emission, e.g. Carlitz-Willey [54] or the DeSitter mirror [63]. It also appears at late-times for the aforementioned Schwarzschild [34], Reissner–Nordström [35], and Kerr [36] black hole mirror analogies. Thus our result confirms thermal radiation in the ultra-relativistic limit, $s \rightarrow 1$ and the high frequency regime, $q \ll p$.

Interpretation We can offer the following interpretation in terms of the 3+1 electron. As can be seen from Eq. (2), the angular distribution is peaked at $\theta = \cos^{-1}(s)$, and in the ultrarelativistic limit $s \rightarrow 1$ most of the energy is radiated almost forward at small angles $\theta \sim \sqrt{2(1-s)}$.

To obtain the radiation spectrum $I(\omega)$ one must integrate the angular distribution over the solid angle. However at high final speeds the integral is saturated at small angles θ , so that

$$\left. \frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} \right|_{\theta \sim 0} \sim I(\omega) \quad (57)$$

up to a coefficient.

Now let us look back at the mirror. In terms of ω and θ (see Eq. (48)) the condition $q \ll p$ becomes simply $\theta \ll 1$. Therefore the ultrarelativistic mirror corresponds to a forward radiation of the ultrarelativistic electron. Since $|\beta_{pq}|^2$ is directly related to $dI/d\Omega$ (see Appendix A 0 b), Eq. (57) tells us that $|\beta_{pq}|^2$ is proportional to $I(\omega)$ in this limit, i.e. it gives the thermal Planck distribution.

B. Infrared Limit

Our electron emits soft particles which have long wavelengths that lack the capability to probe the internal structure of their source [64]. Is the spectrum, $I(\omega)$, Eq. (3), consistent with the deep infrared?

In the deep infrared limit of $\omega \rightarrow 0$, the spectrum, $I(\omega)$, Eq. (17), in SI units, becomes

$$I_{\text{infra}} = \frac{\mu_0 c e^2}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} s/c}{s/c} - 1 \right), \quad (58)$$

independent of frequency ω . This agrees with the well-known frequency-independent deep IR result and characterizes the step-function trajectory, see e.g. Prob. 23.16 of Zangwell [50], Nikishov–Ritus Sec. 2 [28], or Jackson Sec. 15.1A [51].

C. Global Limit

The total energy of the spectrum $I(\omega)$ is expected to be finite. In the global limit, considering all the colors emitted, the total energy can be found by integrating $I(\omega)$ of Eq. (17) over ω ,

$$E = \int_0^\infty I(\omega) d\omega, \quad (59)$$

which gives, in SI units,

$$E = \frac{\mu_0 e^2 \kappa}{24\pi} \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1}(s/c)}{s/c} - 1 \right). \quad (60)$$

This is Eq. (7) and it agrees with the integration of the relativistic Larmor power over time [46] see also [45]. This total energy has been experimentally confirmed; e.g. [65] and has long been associated with beta decay [66]. It is also the energy from the instantaneous collision formalism [67, 68].

V. CONCLUSIONS

A classical computation reveals a moving point charge can emit identically thermal radiation. The process reveals the temperature is proportional to the acceleration. The relevance to the Davies-Fulling-Unruh effect in quantum field theory reveals a correspondence between the electron and moving mirror.

Compelling experimental confirmation is possible. In particular; three prominent future avenues stand out:

- Extreme accelerations experienced by an electron during the process of radiative free neutron beta decay [69], in the RDKII collaboration experiment [70] provides an ideal system to examine the trajectory of this particular electron-mirror, see the recent analysis [71].
- More generally, the Analog Black Hole Evaporation via Lasers (AnaBHEL) experiment [72] demonstrates that the relativistic trajectory [73] of the moving mirror is an important probe for the spectral physics of quantum vacuum radiation, via an accelerated plasma [74].
- Moving mirror inspired metrics, e.g. [75] from [76], enable experimental investigation and can provide high-resolution observational data using optical analogues via a continuous-wave a light field pulse filling the fibre [77].

On the theory side, one potentially interesting future study would be the application of the above recipe to non-thermal asymptotic resting motions with finite particle count; e.g. [78].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank V. Ritus, who at the age of 95, generously shared (solicited by us) several related papers. We are grateful for his contributions to the field and his willingness to provide his knowledge and expertise. Funding comes in part from the FY2021-SGP-1-STMM Faculty Development Competitive Research Grant No. 021220FD3951 at Nazarbayev University.

Appendix A: Technicalities of the electron-mirror correspondence

In this Appendix we present two algorithms for passing between a 1+1 mirror and a 3+1 point charge in a rectilinear motion. These instruction manuals can be read off the derivation presented in Sec. III B.

a. Recipe: from $|\beta_{pq}|^2$ to $I(\omega)$

1. On the mirror side take $|\beta_{pq}|^2$ and substitute $p = \frac{\omega}{2}(1 + \cos \theta)$, $q = \frac{\omega}{2}(1 - \cos \theta)$.
2. Integrate $\int_{-1}^{+1} d(\cos \theta)$.
3. Multiply by $e^2 \omega^2 / 4$. The result is $I(\omega)$ — radiated energy density, the ‘spectrum’, from the accelerated electron.

b. Recipe: from $dI/d\Omega$ to $|\beta_{pq}|^2$ Use the following formula:

$$|\beta_{pq}|^2 = \frac{4\pi}{e^2 \omega^2} \left[\frac{dI}{d\Omega}(\omega, \cos \theta) + \frac{dI}{d\Omega}(\omega, -\cos \theta) \right], \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $p + q = \omega$ and $p - q = \omega \cos \theta$.

Appendix B: Further examples of the electron-mirror correspondence

In this Appendix we present two further examples of this correspondence, the Schwarzschild and Carlitz-Willey trajectories. These examples are qualitatively different from the trajectory in Eq. (13) in that the electron now approaches the speed of light. (On the mirror side the 1+1 spacetime develops a horizon.)

The electron radiation flux becomes singular at certain angles. The spectral distribution $dI(\omega)/d\Omega$ exists, but the integrated quantity $I(\omega)$ is in fact divergent. Still, we can investigate the angle-dependent spectral distribution.

We find that in the receding-redshift limit $dI(\omega)/d\Omega$ is thermal with temperature given by the same Eq. (1). This means that the observer behind the electron will see a redshifted thermal radiation.

a. Schwarzschild trajectory The so-called Schwarzschild mirror investigated in [34] is a special case of a moving mirror. The spacetime with such a mirror develops a horizon at late times. This system is directly related to the Schwarzschild black hole, see e.g. [37, 79, 80].

The mirror’s trajectory for this case can be cast in the form (late-time motion defined to be in the $+\hat{z}$ direction)

$$t(z) = z - 4M e^{-z/2M}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

The Fourier transform of the current Eq. (40) can be calculated by passing to the variable $h = e^{-z/2M}$ and then applying the result of Appendix C. The angular power distribution is calculated using Eq. (26):

$$\frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} = \frac{\omega(1 + \cos \theta)}{16\pi^2 \kappa} \frac{1}{e^{\pi\omega(1 - \cos \theta)/\kappa} - 1}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

where $\kappa = 1/4M$ (the analog of “surface gravity”). In the receding-redshift limit $\theta \sim \pi$ the distribution Eq. (B2) reduces to a 1+1 Planck distribution. The temperature in terms of the parameter κ is given by exactly the same expression as Eq. (1).

The recipe from Appendix A indeed gives the beta Bogolubov for the Schwarzschild mirror (both sides of the mirror)

$$|\beta_{pq}|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa(p+q)^2} \left(\frac{q}{e^{2\pi p/\kappa} - 1} + \frac{p}{e^{2\pi q/\kappa} - 1} \right). \quad (\text{B3})$$

For the background on this mirror see [81].

b. Carlitz-Willey trajectory Another case of interest is the trajectory first studied by Carlitz-Willey [49, 54],

$$z(t) = t + \frac{1}{\kappa} W(e^{-2\kappa t}), \quad (\text{B4})$$

where W is the Lambert product logarithm, with the above transcendental form introduced in [82] and studied, e.g. in [83–86]. Late-time motion is in the $+\hat{z}$ direction.

The Fourier integral in Eq. (40) is computed with the help of the variable $h = W(e^{-2\kappa t})$ and Appendix C. The result for the angular power distribution from Eq. (26) is

$$\frac{dI(\omega)}{d\Omega} = \frac{\omega}{4\pi^2\kappa(1+\cos\theta)} \frac{1}{e^{\pi\omega(1-\cos\theta)/\kappa} - 1}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

In the receding-redshift limit $\theta \sim \pi$ the distribution Eq. (B2) reduces to 1+1 Planck. The temperature in terms of the parameter κ is given by exactly the same expression as Eq. (1).

The recipe from Appendix A indeed gives the beta Bogolubov for the Carlitz-Willey mirror (both sides)

$$|\beta_{pq}|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \left(\frac{q^{-1}}{e^{\frac{2\pi p}{\kappa}} - 1} + \frac{p^{-1}}{e^{\frac{2\pi q}{\kappa}} - 1} \right). \quad (\text{B6})$$

Appendix C: Calculation of Fourier integrals

In this section we will derive a general formula for Fourier transforms that are used in this paper,

$$A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \int_0^\infty z^{i\alpha+\beta} e^{i\gamma z} dz \quad (\text{C1})$$

with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \cdot \gamma > 0$. For the complex multi-valued function $z^{i\alpha+\beta}$ we choose the standard Riemann sheet with $1^{i\alpha+\beta} = 1$ and the cut going from 0 to $-\infty$.

To calculate this integral we make a change of variables from z to ρ

$$z = \rho \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2} \text{sign}(\gamma)}}{|\gamma|} \quad (\text{C2})$$

and rotate the contour of ρ -integration to the real axis, which yields

$$A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{e^{-|\alpha|\frac{\pi}{2} + i\frac{\pi}{2}(\beta+1)\text{sign}(\gamma)}}{|\gamma|^{i\alpha+(\beta+1)}} \int_0^\infty d\rho \rho^{i\alpha+\beta} e^{-\rho}. \quad (\text{C3})$$

This is an integral representation of the Γ -function, so that

$$A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{e^{-|\alpha|\frac{\pi}{2} + i\frac{\pi}{2}(\beta+1)\text{sign}(\gamma)}}{|\gamma|^{i\alpha+(\beta+1)}} \Gamma(i\alpha+(\beta+1)). \quad (\text{C4})$$

Using the identity

$$\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z} \quad (\text{C5})$$

we can compute

$$|\Gamma(i\alpha)|^2 = \frac{\pi}{\alpha \sinh \pi\alpha}, \quad |\Gamma(i\alpha+1)|^2 = \frac{\pi\alpha}{\sinh \pi\alpha}. \quad (\text{C6})$$

This gives two important cases:

$$\left| \int_0^\infty z^{i\alpha-1} e^{i\gamma z} dz \right|^2 = \frac{2\pi}{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{e^{2|\alpha|\pi} - 1}, \quad (\text{C7})$$

$$\left| \int_0^\infty z^{i\alpha} e^{i\gamma z} dz \right|^2 = \frac{2\pi|\alpha|}{\gamma^2} \frac{1}{e^{2|\alpha|\pi} - 1}.$$

Note that the first expression does not actually depend on γ .

-
- [1] S.W. Hawking, “Particle Creation by Black Holes,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199–220 (1975).
- [2] Bryce S. DeWitt, “Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-Time,” *Phys. Rept.* **19**, 295–357 (1975).
- [3] S. A. Fulling and P. C. W. Davies, “Radiation from a moving mirror in two dimensional space-time: conformal anomaly,” *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **348**, 393–414 (1976).
- [4] P.C.W. Davies and S.A. Fulling, “Radiation from Moving Mirrors and from Black Holes,” *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **A356**, 237–257 (1977).
- [5] Stephen A. Fulling, “Nonuniqueness of canonical field quantization in Riemannian space-time,” *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2850–2862 (1973).
- [6] P. C. W. Davies, “Scalar particle production in Schwarzschild and Rindler metrics,” *J. Phys. A* **8**, 609–616 (1975).
- [7] W. G. Unruh, “Notes on black-hole evaporation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 870–892 (1976).
- [8] Timothy H. Boyer, “Derivation of the Blackbody Radiation Spectrum without Quantum Assumptions,” *Phys. Rev.* **182**, 1374–1383 (1969).
- [9] Gabriel Cozzella, André G. S. Landulfo, George E. A. Matsas, and Daniel A. T. Vanzella, “Proposal for Observing the Unruh Effect using Classical Electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 161102 (2017), [arXiv:1701.03446 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [10] Gabriel Cozzella, Stephen A. Fulling, André G. S. Landulfo, and George E. A. Matsas, “Uniformly accelerated classical sources as limits of Unruh-DeWitt detectors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 105016 (2020), [arXiv:2009.13246 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] Ulf Leonhardt, Itay Griniasty, Sander Wildeman, Emmanuel Fort, and Mathias Fink, “Classical analog of the Unruh effect,” *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 022118 (2018), [arXiv:1709.02200 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [12] B. M. Hegelich, L. Labun, O. Z. Labun, G. Torrieri, and H. Truran, “Electron response to radiation under linear acceleration: Classical, QED, and accelerated frame predictions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 096034 (2022), [arXiv:2201.10457 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] Morgan H. Lynch, Eliahu Cohen, Yaron Hadad, and Ido Kaminer, “Experimental observation of acceleration-induced thermality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 025015 (2021), [arXiv:1903.00043 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [14] A. Di Piazza, L. Willingale, and J. D. Zuegel, “Multi-Petawatt Physics Prioritization (MP3) Workshop Report,” (2022), [arXiv:2211.13187 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] Gianluca Gregori, Giacomo Marocco, Subir Sarkar, Robert Bingham, and Charles Wang, “Measuring Unruh radiation from accelerated electrons,” (2023), [arXiv:2301.06772 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [16] G. W. Ford and R. F. O’Connell, “Is there Unruh radiation?” *Phys. Lett. A* **350**, 17–26 (2006), [arXiv:quant-ph/0509151](#).
- [17] Massimo Pauri and Michele Vallisneri, “Classical roots of the Unruh and Hawking effects,” *Found. Phys.* **29**, 1499–1520 (1999), [arXiv:gr-qc/9903052](#).
- [18] Shih-Yuin Lin, “Classical correspondence of Unruh effect,” (2001), [arXiv:gr-qc/0105051](#).
- [19] Timothy H. Boyer, “Thermal effects of acceleration for a classical dipole oscillator in classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 1089 (1984).
- [20] D. C. Cole, “Thermal Effects of Acceleration for a Spatially Extended Electromagnetic System in Classical Electromagnetic Zero Point Radiation: Transversely Positioned Classical Oscillators,” *Phys. Rev. D* **35**, 562–583 (1987).
- [21] T. H. Boyer, “Thermal effects of acceleration through random classical radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 2137–2148 (1980).
- [22] Michael R.R. Good, “Extremal Hawking radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 104050 (2020), [arXiv:2003.07016 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [23] Kuan-Nan Lin and Pisin Chen, “Particle production by a relativistic semitransparent mirror of finite size and thickness,” (2021), [arXiv:2107.09033 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [24] Kuan-Nan Lin and Pisin Chen, “Reflections on reflections,” (2022), [arXiv:2212.00550 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [25] Ignacio A. Reyes, “Moving Mirrors, Page Curves, and Bulk Entropies in AdS₂,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 051602 (2021), [arXiv:2103.01230 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] Ibrahim Akal, Yuya Kusuki, Noburo Shiba, Tadashi Takayanagi, and Zixia Wei, “Entanglement Entropy in a Holographic Moving Mirror and the Page Curve,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 061604 (2021), [arXiv:2011.12005 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] L.H. Ford and Alexander Vilenkin, “Quantum radiation by moving mirrors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **25**, 2569 (1982).
- [28] A.I. Nikishov and V.I. Ritus, “Emission of scalar photons by an accelerated mirror in (1+1) space and its relation to the radiation from an electrical charge in classical electrodynamics,” *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **81**, 615–624 (1995).
- [29] V.I. Ritus, “Symmetries and causes of the coincidence of the radiation spectra of mirrors and charges in (1+1) and (3+1) spaces,” *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **87**, 25–34 (1998), [arXiv:hep-th/9903083](#).
- [30] V.I. Ritus, “Vacuum-vacuum amplitudes in the theory of quantum radiation by mirrors in 1+1-space and charges in 3+1-space,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **17**, 1033–1040 (2002).
- [31] V.I. Ritus, “The Symmetry, inferable from Bogoliubov transformation, between the processes induced by the mirror in two-dimensional and the charge in four-dimensional space-time,” *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **97**, 10–23 (2003), [arXiv:hep-th/0309181](#).
- [32] V. I. Ritus, “Finite value of the bare charge and the relation of the fine structure constant ratio for physical and bare charges to zero-point oscillations of the electromagnetic field in the vacuum,” *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **192**, 507–526 (2022).
- [33] Abay Zhakenuly, Maksat Temirkhan, Michael R. R. Good, and Pisin Chen, “Quantum power distribution of relativistic acceleration radiation: classical electrodynamic analogies with perfectly reflecting moving mirrors,” *Symmetry* **13**, 653 (2021), [arXiv:2101.02511 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [34] Michael R. R. Good, Paul R. Anderson, and Charles R. Evans, “Mirror Reflections of a Black Hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 065010 (2016), [arXiv:1605.06635 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [35] Michael R.R. Good and Yen Chin Ong, “Particle spectrum of the Reissner–Nordström black hole,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 1169 (2020), [arXiv:2004.03916 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [36] Michael R. R. Good, Joshua Foo, and Eric V. Linder, “Accelerating boundary analog of a Kerr black hole,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38**, 085011 (2021), [arXiv:2006.01349 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [37] Frank Wilczek, “Quantum purity at a small price: Easing a black hole paradox,” in *International Symposium on Black holes, Membranes, Wormholes and Superstrings* (1993) pp. 1–21, [arXiv:hep-th/9302096](#).
- [38] H. Nyquist, “Thermal agitation of electric charge in conductors,” *Phys. Rev.* **32**, 110–113 (1928).
- [39] B.M. Oliver, “Thermal and quantum noise,” *Proceedings of the IEEE* **53**, 436–454 (1965).
- [40] R. H. Dicke, “The measurement of thermal radiation at microwave frequencies,” *Review of Scientific Instruments* **17**, 268–275 (1946).
- [41] V. J. Urlick, Keith J. Williams, and Jason D. McKinney, *Fundamentals of Microwave Photonics* (Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2021).
- [42] J. Larmor D.Sc. F.R.S., “Lxiii. on the theory of the magnetic influence on spectra; and on the radiation from moving ions,” *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* **44**, 503–512 (1897).
- [43] David J Griffiths, *Introduction to electrodynamics; 4th ed.* (Pearson, Boston, MA, 2013) re-published by Cambridge University Press in 2017.
- [44] Michael R. R. Good, *Reflecting at the Speed of Light* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2017).
- [45] Michael R. R. Good and Paul C. W. Davies, “Infrared acceleration radiation,” (2023), [arXiv:2206.07291 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [46] Michael R. R. Good, “On the temperature of lowest order inner bremsstrahlung,” (2023), [arXiv:2211.00946 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [47] Eugenio Bianchi and Matteo Smerlak, “Entanglement entropy and negative energy in two dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 041904 (2014), [arXiv:1404.0602 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [48] Carlos Barcelo, Stefano Liberati, Sebastiano Sonogo, and Matt Visser, “Minimal conditions for the existence of a Hawking-like flux,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 041501 (2011), [arXiv:1011.5593 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [49] Robert D. Carlitz and Raymond S. Willey, “Lifetime of a black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **36**, 2336–2341 (1987).

- [50] Andrew Zangwill, *Modern electrodynamics* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2013).
- [51] John David Jackson, *Classical electrodynamics; 3rd ed.* (Wiley, New York, NY, 1999).
- [52] J. D. Barrow, “Natural Units Before Planck,” *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society* **24**, 24–26 (1983).
- [53] George Johnstone Stoney, “On the physical units of nature,” *Scientific Proceedings of the Royal Dublin Society* **3**, 51–60 (1881).
- [54] Robert D. Carlitz and Raymond S. Willey, “Reflections on moving mirrors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **36**, 2327–2335 (1987).
- [55] N.D. Birrell and P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1984).
- [56] Michael R. R. Good, Alessio Lapponi, Orlando Luongo, and Stefano Mancini, “Quantum communication through a partially reflecting accelerating mirror,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 105020 (2021), arXiv:2103.07374 [gr-qc].
- [57] W. R. Walker, “Particle and energy creation by moving mirrors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **31**, 767–774 (1985).
- [58] Leonard E. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: Quantized Field and Gravity*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- [59] Alessandro Fabbri and José Navarro-Salas, *Modeling Black Hole Evaporation* (Imperial College Press, 2005).
- [60] Michael R. R. Good, Chiranjeeb Singha, and Vasilios Zarikas, “Extreme Electron Acceleration with Fixed Radiation Energy,” *Entropy* **24**, 1570 (2022), arXiv:2211.00264 [gr-qc].
- [61] Michael R. R. Good and Yen Chin Ong, “Electron as a Tiny Mirror: Radiation From a Worldline With Asymptotic Inertia,” *Physics* **5**, 131–139 (2023), arXiv:2302.00266 [gr-qc].
- [62] S. A. Fulling, “Review of some recent work on acceleration radiation,” *J. of Mod. Optics* **52**, 2207–2213 (2005).
- [63] Michael R.R. Good, Abay Zhakenuly, and Eric V. Linder, “Mirror at the edge of the universe: Reflections on an accelerated boundary correspondence with de Sitter cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 045020 (2020), arXiv:2005.03850 [gr-qc].
- [64] Andrew Strominger, “Les Houches lectures on black holes,” in *NATO Advanced Study Institute: Les Houches Summer School, Session 62: Fluctuating Geometries in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory* (1994) arXiv:hep-th/9501071.
- [65] H. C. Ballagh *et al.*, “Observation of muon inner bremsstrahlung in deep inelastic neutrino scattering,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1963–1966 (1983).
- [66] C. S. Wang Chang and D. L. Falkoff, “On the continuous gamma-radiation accompanying the beta-decay of nuclei,” *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 365–371 (1949).
- [67] Vitor Cardoso, Oscar J. C. Dias, and Jose P. S. Lemos, “Gravitational radiation in D-dimensional space-times,” *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 064026 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0212168.
- [68] Vitor Cardoso, Jose P. S. Lemos, and Shijun Yoshida, “Electromagnetic radiation from collisions at almost the speed of light: An Extremely relativistic charged particle falling into a Schwarzschild black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 084011 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0307104.
- [69] J.S. Nico *et al.*, “Observation of the radioactive decay mode of the free neutron,” *Nature* **444**, 1059 (2006).
- [70] M. J. Bales *et al.* (RDK II), “Precision Measurement of the Radiative β Decay of the Free Neutron,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 242501 (2016), arXiv:1603.00243 [nucl-ex].
- [71] Morgan H. Lynch and Michael R. R. Good, “Experimental observation of a moving mirror,” (2022), arXiv:2211.14774 [nucl-ex].
- [72] Pisin Chen *et al.* (AnaBHEL), “AnaBHEL (Analog Black Hole Evaporation via Lasers) Experiment: Concept, Design, and Status,” *Photon.* **9**, 1003 (2022), arXiv:2205.12195 [gr-qc].
- [73] Pisin Chen and Gerard Mourou, “Accelerating Plasma Mirrors to Investigate Black Hole Information Loss Paradox,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 045001 (2017), arXiv:1512.04064 [gr-qc].
- [74] Pisin Chen and Gerard Mourou, “Trajectory of a flying plasma mirror traversing a target with density gradient,” *Phys. Plasmas* **27**, 123106 (2020), arXiv:2004.10615 [physics.plasm-ph].
- [75] Michael R. R. Good and Eric V. Linder, “Modified Schwarzschild metric from a unitary accelerating mirror analog,” *New J. Phys.* **23**, 043007 (2021), arXiv:2003.01333 [gr-qc].
- [76] Michael R.R. Good, Eric V. Linder, and Frank Wilczek, “Moving mirror model for quasithermal radiation fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 025012 (2020), arXiv:1909.01129 [gr-qc].
- [77] Alhan Moreno-Ruiz and David Bermudez, “Optical analogue of the Schwarzschild–Planck metric,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **39**, 145001 (2022), arXiv:2112.00194 [gr-qc].
- [78] W R Walker and P C W Davies, “An exactly soluble moving-mirror problem,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **15**, L477–L480 (1982).
- [79] Michael R.R. Good, “Spacetime Continuity and Quantum Information Loss,” *Universe* **4**, 122 (2018).
- [80] Wan Cong, Erickson Tjoa, and Robert B. Mann, “Entanglement Harvesting with Moving Mirrors,” *JHEP* **06**, 021 (2019), [Erratum: JHEP 07, 051 (2019)], arXiv:1810.07359 [quant-ph].
- [81] Michael R. R. Good, “Reflections on a Black Mirror,” in *2nd LeCosPA Symposium: Everything about Gravity, Celebrating the Centenary of Einstein’s General Relativity* (2016) arXiv:1602.00683 [gr-qc].
- [82] Michael R.R. Good, “On Spin-Statistics and Bogoliubov Transformations in Flat Spacetime With Acceleration Conditions,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28**, 1350008 (2013), arXiv:1205.0881 [gr-qc].
- [83] Michael R. R. Good, Paul R. Anderson, and Charles R. Evans, “Time dependence of particle creation from accelerating mirrors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 025023 (2013), arXiv:1303.6756 [gr-qc].
- [84] Ibrahim Akal, Yuya Kusuki, Noburo Shiba, Tadashi Takayanagi, and Zixia Wei, “Holographic moving mirrors,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38**, 224001 (2021), arXiv:2106.11179 [hep-th].
- [85] Michael R. R. Good, Alessio Lapponi, Orlando Luongo, and Stefano Mancini, “Modeling black hole evaporative mass evolution via radiation from moving mirrors,” (2023), arXiv:2210.09744 [gr-qc].
- [86] Ibrahim Akal, Taishi Kawamoto, Shan-Ming Ruan, Tadashi Takayanagi, and Zixia Wei, “Zoo of holographic moving mirrors,” *JHEP* **08**, 296 (2022), arXiv:2205.02663 [hep-th].