

KOLMOGOROV ε -ENTROPY OF THE UNIFORM ATTRACTOR FOR A WAVE EQUATION

YANGMIN XIONG AND CHUNYOU SUN*

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY
LANZHOU, 730000, P.R. CHINA

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with a non-autonomous sup-cubic semilinear wave equation in a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^3 , using the introduced weak topology entropy, we obtain an upper bound for the ε -entropy of the uniform attractor for the case where the external forces are not translation-compact.

Keywords Kolmogorov ε -entropy; Uniform attractor; Non-translation compact; Wave equation; Strichartz estimate

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following non-autonomous damped wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u + \gamma \partial_t u - \Delta u + f(u) = g(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t \geq \tau, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, & (u, \partial_t u)|_{t=\tau} = (u_\tau, u'_\tau), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 with smooth boundary, $\gamma > 0$ is a fixed dissipation rate, Δ is the Laplacian with respect to variable x . The nonlinearity $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ has a sup-cubic growth rate, i.e.

$$|f'(s)| \leq C(1 + |s|^{p-1}), \quad 1 \leq p < 5, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

and satisfies the dissipative assumptions:

$$f(s)s \geq -C, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.3)$$

and there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$F(s) \leq \alpha f(s)s + C, \quad (1.4)$$

where $F(s) = \int_0^s f(r)dr$. The function $g(\cdot, s)$ is the given external force which is assumed translation bounded in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$, i.e.

$$\|g\|_{L^2_b(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|g\|_{L^2(t, t+1; L^2)} < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

The initial data $\xi_u(\tau) = (u_\tau, u'_\tau) := \xi_\tau$ is taken from the standard energy space $\mathcal{E} := H^1_0(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ with energy norm

$$\|\xi_u\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 := \|(u, \partial_t u)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\partial_t u\|^2,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35B45, 35L70.

* Corresponding author.

Email address: xiongy18@lzu.edu.cn (Y.Xiong), sunchy@lzu.edu.cn (C.Sun).

This work was supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 12271227).

here $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm.

The long-time behavior of the autonomous systems can be described by global attractors, which have been extensively studied in [1, 8, 9, 14, 25], and this attractor usually has finite fractal and Hausdorff dimension.

The study becomes more complicated for the non-autonomous equations. One of the main methods is so-called uniform attractor, which originated from the work of Haraux [15, 16] and further developed by Chepyzhov and Vishik [6, 7, 8]. By means of constructing skew product flow one can reduce the problem to an autonomous one in the extended phase space and keep the invariance, but need the hull has some compactness.

The Hausdorff and fractal dimension of the uniform attractor may be infinite [7, 8, 22]. A possible way to measure the "thickness" of infinite dimensional attractors, which has been suggested in [8], is to estimate their Kolmogorov ε -entropy. Based on the results of the existence and structure of uniform attractor \mathcal{A}_Σ , and under the assumptions that the symbol space Σ is compact in the Hausdorff topological space Ξ endowed with the local uniform convergence topology, the family of processes $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to symbols and is uniformly quasidifferentiable, the authors in [8] proved that the upper estimate of ε -entropy of uniform attractor has the following form

$$\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma; \mathcal{E}) \leq D \log_2 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon} + \mathbb{H}_{\lambda\varepsilon}(\Sigma_{0, L \log_2 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon}}; \Xi_{0, L \log_2 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon}}), \quad \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$$

provided that the behavior of entropy of $\Pi_{0,l}\Sigma = \Sigma_{0,l}$ in $\Xi_{0,l}$ is known. Here the positive constants D , λ , L and ε_0 depend only on the parameters of equation and the topological space Ξ where the symbol space Σ live in, but are independent of ε .

With the progress on the wave equations obtained in recent years, there are some results show that one can still obtain the existence and structure of the strong uniform attractor for the system with more general external forces which are not translation compact, see e.g. [19, 21, 23, 28]. Meanwhile, based on the recent extension of the Strichartz type estimates for the bounded domains in [2, 4], the attractor theory has been developed for semilinear wave equations concerning sup-cubic nonlinearities in both autonomous and non-autonomous cases, see [17, 20, 21, 23].

Based on the above existing results, it is natural to study the Kolmogorov ε -entropy of the uniform attractors for equation (1.1) with sup-cubic nonlinearity and more general external forces. Since for the quintic wave equations, it is still not clear the behavior of the energy-to-Strichartz estimate as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (crucial for the attractor theory) in bounded domain with Dirichlet boundary conditions, so we just consider the sub-quintic case in this paper. And in particular, we consider the following two typical cases of extra regularity for g :

$$g \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega)) \tag{1.6}$$

or

$$g \in L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\Omega)), \tag{1.7}$$

which belong to the so-called time regular or space regular functions in $L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ respectively, see [28].

As we know, the value of the ε -entropy may depend on the topology chosen. In contrast to the translation compact case, it would brings some technical difficulties in our case. We note that the

Lipschitz condition with respect to symbols proposed in [8] or the derivation of some estimates for difference between solutions [11, 27] is of fundamental significance for estimate the ε -entropy, however, is no longer suitable in our case, at least it hard to verify in a straightforward way. Moreover, the fact that in weak topology the distance between two symbols on each subinterval cannot be controlled by the whole interval, which leads us cannot use the method of iterated.

To this end, we introduce a new number $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^w(A, X; B, Y)$, the weak topology entropy of the set B in Y corresponding to the set A in X , which depends only on the spaces X, Y and the sizes of A and B , for our application turns to depend only the bounds of symbols and the parameters of equation (1.1). This new definition is based on an observation that by some asymptotic smoothing property of solutions to verify that the smoothness of uniform attractors, then the collection of the second ingredient of the difference of solutions (with same initial data and different symbols $h_1, h_2 \in \Sigma$) is uniformly bounded in $X := L^\infty(iT, (i+1)T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap H^1(iT, (i+1)T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and for some $T > 0$. Moreover, X can be compactly embedded into Y^* , and $Y = L^2(iT, (i+1)T; L^2(\Omega))$ is the space where the symbol space $\Sigma_{[iT, (i+1)T]}$ lives in. Based on this, a special cover of $\Sigma_{[T, (l+1)T]}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is defined and we obtain an upper bound of the number of this cover. Meanwhile, we construct an intermediate object based on the non-autonomous exponential attractor proposed in [10, 12], which has finite fractal dimension and satisfies uniform forward (and also pullback) exponential attracting, and the most important is that these two properties are independent of the specified choice of symbols that obtained by time translations of the initial symbol.

As an application, for the uniform attractor \mathcal{A}_Σ of equation (1.1), under the assumptions (1.2)-(1.4) and g satisfies either (1.6) or (1.7), we obtain the following estimate of its ε -entropy

$$\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma; \mathcal{E}) \leq D' \log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + L' \log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{H}_{\lambda' \varepsilon^\ell}^w(r, X; \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}, Y),$$

where the positive constants D', L', λ', ℓ and r depend only on the spaces X, Y and the parameters of equation (1.1), but are independent of ε . We remark that the space $H_b^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ or $L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\Omega))$ is much better than $L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$, however, a function just belongs to such more regular spaces still not good enough to deduce its hull is translation compact in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ (e.g., see [8, 28]). Here, in this paper, we assume $g \in H_b^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ or $L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\Omega))$ mainly for simplifying the calculations and presenting some examples to illustrate how to using the weak topology entropy to give an upper bound about the ε -entropy of the considered uniform attractor.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the symbol spaces and recall briefly the results about uniform attractors. In Section 3, we introduce an entropy $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^w(A, X; B, Y)$ with respect to the weak topology of Y for the subsets-spaces pair $(A, X; B, Y)$. In Section 4, we verify that the uniform attractor of equation (1.1) is more regular if the external force $g \in L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ is more regular. In Section 5, we derive some asymptotic smoothing estimates for difference of solutions of (1.1) that allows us to construct a family of discrete exponential attractor, which has uniform finite fractal dimension and enjoys uniform exponential attracting property. Finally, an upper bound of the entropy of the attractor for equation (1.1) is given in Section 6 by using the new defined weak topology entropy.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let Ξ be a Hausdorff topological space and let $\{T(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the continuous translation operators on Ξ :

$$T(t)h(s) := h(s + t), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let all time-dependent coefficients of the considered equations belonging to a set Σ with topology from Ξ . The set Σ is called the symbol space and $h \in \Sigma$ is the symbol.

Let us consider a typical symbol space Σ which only contains time-dependent external forces. Let H be a reflexive Banach space and we consider functions $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$. Assume that $g \in L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Consider the following set

$$\Sigma_0 := \{T(t)g(s); t \in \mathbb{R}\} := \{g(t + s); t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and the closure in Ξ of the set Σ_0 . This closure is said to be the hull of the function $g(s)$ in Ξ and is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(g)$.

For $g \in L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$, $1 < p < \infty$, due to the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the hull

$$\mathcal{H}(g) := [\{T(t)g, t \in \mathbb{R}\}]_{L_{loc}^{p,w}(\mathbb{R}; H)} \quad (2.1)$$

is compact in $L_{loc}^{p,w}(\mathbb{R}; H)$. We denote by $L_{loc}^{p,w}(\mathbb{R}; H)$ the space $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$ endowed with local weak convergence topology, which means a sequence h_n converges to h as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $L_{loc}^{p,w}(\mathbb{R}; H)$ if and only if

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle v(s), h_n(s) - h(s) \rangle ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for each bounded interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and any $v \in L^q(t_1, t_2; H^*)$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$. We know that $\mathcal{H}(g)$ is compact in $L_{loc}^{p,w}(\mathbb{R}; H)$ and the translation group $\{T(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is continuous in the topology of $L_{loc}^{p,w}(\mathbb{R}; H)$. It is also not difficult to see that

$$\|h\|_{L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H)} \leq \|g\|_{L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H)}, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}(g).$$

Since translation boundedness is not sufficient to gain the existence of a strong uniform attractor, the most natural and most studied is the class of translation-compact (tr.c.) external forces introduced by Vishik and Chepyzhov, see [8]. We recall that $g \in L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$ is translation-compact if the set Σ_0 is precompact in $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$. In [28], the author proposed several more general classes of external forces which are not translation compact but can still establish the existence of strong uniform attractors. Here, we are mainly interested in the following two classes of external forces.

Definition 2.1 ([28]). Let H be a reflexive Banach space and $1 < p < \infty$. A function $g \in L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$ is space regular if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $H_\varepsilon \subset H$, $\dim H_\varepsilon < \infty$ and a function $g_\varepsilon \in L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H_\varepsilon)$ such that $\|g - g_\varepsilon\|_{L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H)} \leq \varepsilon$. Analogously, a function $g \in L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H)$ is time regular if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $g_\varepsilon \in H_b^k(\mathbb{R}; H)$ for all $k > 0$ such that $\|g - g_\varepsilon\|_{L_b^p(\mathbb{R}; H)} \leq \varepsilon$.

Now, let us recall some concepts and results related to the uniform attractor theory, see [8, 23, 28].

Let \mathcal{E} be a Hausdorff topological space. Let $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$ be a family of dynamical processes on \mathcal{E} , that is, for each $h \in \Sigma$, the two parameter family of operators $U_h(t, \tau)$ from \mathcal{E}

to \mathcal{E} satisfy

$$U_h(\tau, \tau) = \text{Id}, \quad U_h(t, \tau) = U_h(t, s) \circ U_h(s, \tau), \quad t \geq s \geq \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Also, let \mathbb{B} be a family of sets $B \subset \mathcal{E}$ such that if $B \in \mathbb{B}$ and $B_1 \subset B$, then $B_1 \in \mathbb{B}$. The sets $B \in \mathbb{B}$ are said to be bounded.

Definition 2.2 ([8]). A set $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma \subset \mathcal{E}$ is called a uniform attractor for the family of processes $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$ if:

- 1) \mathcal{A}_Σ is compact and bounded in \mathcal{E} ;
- 2) \mathcal{A}_Σ is a uniformly attracting set for the processes $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$, that means, for every $B \in \mathbb{B}$ and every neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma)$, there exists a time $T = T(\mathcal{O}, B)$ such that

$$\cup_{h \in \Sigma} U_h(t, \tau)B \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma), \quad t - \tau \geq T, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R};$$

- 3) \mathcal{A}_Σ is a minimal set with the properties 1) and 2).

Below, \mathcal{E} will usually be a Banach space or even a Hilbert space endowed with either the strong or the weak topology. The associated uniform attractor will be referred to as a strong or a weak uniform attractor respectively. In both cases \mathbb{B} consists of all bounded sets in the Banach space under consideration.

We recall the following standard existence theorem, see [8] for details.

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathcal{E} and Ξ be Hausdorff topological spaces and let Σ be a compact set in the space Ξ . Let the family of processes $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$ acting on \mathcal{E} possesses a uniformly absorbing set $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{B}$ and is uniformly asymptotically compact on \mathcal{B} . Then this family of processes has a uniform attractor $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma \subset \mathcal{B}$.*

Assume, in addition, that the map $(\xi, h) \rightarrow (U_h(t, \tau)\xi, T(t)h)$ is continuous for every fixed t and τ . Then \mathcal{A}_Σ possesses the following description:

$$\mathcal{A}_\Sigma := \cup_{h \in \Sigma} \mathcal{K}_h, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_h := \{u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad U_h(t, \tau)u(\tau) = u(t), \quad t \geq \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is a set of all complete bounded trajectories of the process $U_h(t, \tau)$ (the so-called kernel of $U_h(t, \tau)$ in the terminology of Vishik and Chepyzhov, see [8]).

We now turn to the damped wave equation (1.1). Recall some known results related with the non-autonomous system (1.1) and the corresponding uniform attractors, see e.g., [21, 23, 28].

We start with the following linear wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 v + \gamma \partial_t v - \Delta v = G(t), \quad \xi_v|_{t=\tau} = \xi_\tau, \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Theorem 2.4 ([23]). *Let the initial data $\xi_\tau \in \mathcal{E}^\alpha$ and $G \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{E}^\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist a unique solution $\xi_v \in C_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{E}^\alpha)$ of the problem (2.3). Also, the solution v belongs to the space $L_{loc}^4(\mathbb{R}; H^{\alpha, 12}(\Omega))$. Moreover, the following estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_v(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha} + \left(\int_\tau^t e^{-4\beta(t-s)} \|v(s)\|_{H^{\alpha, 12}}^4 ds \right)^{1/4} &\leq \\ &\leq C \|\xi_\tau\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + C \int_\tau^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} \|G(s)\|_{H^\alpha} ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where the positive constants C and β are independent of $t \geq \tau$, G and ξ_τ .

The well-posedness and dissipativity of problem (1.1) is verified in [21, 23] in the class of the so-called Shatah-Struwe (SS) solutions, see [2, 4, 24]. We recall that $u(t)$ is a SS-solution of problem (1.1) if $\xi_u \in C([\tau, T], \mathcal{E})$ and

$$u \in L^4(\tau, T; L^{12}(\Omega)) \quad \text{for all } T > \tau$$

and if it satisfies equation (1.1) in the sense of distributions.

Theorem 2.5 ([21, 23]). *Under the assumptions (1.2)-(1.5), for any $\xi_\tau \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists a unique Shatah-Struwe solution of problem (1.1) defined for all $t > \tau$. Moreover, this solution possesses the following dissipative estimate*

$$\|\xi_u(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} + \|u\|_{L^4(t, t+1; L^{12}(\Omega))} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_{\mathcal{E}})e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_{L^2_b(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}), \quad (2.5)$$

where the positive constant β and the monotone increasing function Q are independent of t, τ , ξ_τ and g .

According to the general scheme of [8] to construct the uniform attractor, we consider a family of equations with symbols $h \in \Sigma = \mathcal{H}(g)$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u + \gamma \partial_t u - \Delta u + f(u) = h(t), \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \xi_u|_{t=\tau} = \xi_\tau. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Problem (2.6) is well-posed for each $h \in \Sigma$ and then generate a family of dynamical processes $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$ in the energy phase space \mathcal{E} which satisfy the translation identity

$$U_h(t+s, \tau+s) = U_{T(s)h}(t, \tau), \quad t \geq \tau, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, estimate (2.5) implies that the family of processes $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$ corresponding to (2.6) has a bounded uniformly absorbing set \mathcal{B}_0 in \mathcal{E} . Since \mathcal{E} is a reflexive Banach space, the absorbing set \mathcal{B}_0 is compact and metrizable in the weak topology of \mathcal{E} . It is straightforward to verify the maps $(\xi, h) \rightarrow U_h(t, \tau)\xi$ are weakly continuous from $\mathcal{E} \times \Sigma$ to \mathcal{E} for every fixed t and τ . Then, the family of processes $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$ possesses a weak uniform attractor \mathcal{A}_Σ^w which satisfies (2.2).

Theorem 2.6 ([21, 23]). *Let the assumptions (1.2)-(1.5) hold, and in addition let the external force g be space or time regular. Then there exists a uniform attractor \mathcal{A}_Σ for equation (1.1) in the strong topology of the energy space \mathcal{E} and it coincides with the weak attractor \mathcal{A}_Σ^w constructed above.*

Let us recall the definition of the Kolmogorov ε -entropy of a (pre)compact set K in a metric space X . For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $N_\varepsilon(K; X) = N_\varepsilon(K)$ be the minimal number of ε -balls in X which is necessary to cover K . Since K is (pre)compact, the number $N_\varepsilon(K) < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ due to Hausdorff's criteria.

Definition 2.7 ([8, 18]). The Kolmogorov ε -entropy of the set K in the space X is the number

$$\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon(K; X) = \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon(K) = \log_2 N_\varepsilon(K).$$

3. THE WEAK TOPOLOGY ENTROPY $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^w(A, X; B, Y)$

Let X and Y be two Banach spaces with the embedding X to Y^* is compact (w.r.t. the strong topology of Y^*) and Y is reflexive.

In the following, for the bounded subsets $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$, we will define an entropy $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^w(A, X; B, Y)$ with respect to the weak topology of Y for the subsets-spaces pair $(A, X; B, Y)$.

Definition 3.1. Let $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. An $(A, X; B, Y; \varepsilon)$ -weak partition of B is a partition $\cup_{i \in \Lambda} V_i$ which satisfies $B \subset \cup_{i \in \Lambda} V_i$ and for each V_i ($i \in \Lambda$), the following estimate hold:

$$|\langle f, v - \bar{v} \rangle| < \varepsilon \quad \forall f \in A, v, \bar{v} \in B \cap V_i.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $N_\varepsilon^w(A, X; B, Y)$ be the minimal number of the cardinality of Λ with $\cup_{i \in \Lambda} V_i$ is an $(A, X; B, Y; \varepsilon)$ -weak partition of B .

If A is bounded in X and B is bounded in Y , then by the compactness of the embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y^*$ and reflexive property of Y , we have that the number $N_\varepsilon^w(A, X; B, Y) < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Indeed, we will construct a special weak partition of B that each V_i , $i \in \Lambda$ can be presented as the form of a neighborhood in weak topology. So let us first recall that for any $v_0 \in Y$, given $\eta > 0$ and a finite set $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k\} \subset Y^*$, the set

$$V_{v_0} = V(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k; \eta) := \{v \in Y : |\langle f_i, v - v_0 \rangle| < \eta, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \quad (3.1)$$

is an open neighborhood of v_0 for the weak topology of Y , and we can obtain a basis of neighborhoods (w.r.t. weak topology of Y) of v_0 by varying η , k and the f_i 's in Y^* . Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the dual product of Y^* and Y .

Since for any $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$, A has finite $\bar{\varepsilon}$ -net $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ in Y^* , that is, for every $f \in A$ there exists f_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$\|f - f_i\|_{Y^*} \leq \bar{\varepsilon}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, for each $v_0 \in B$ and $\eta > 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{O}_{v_0} = \mathcal{O}_{v_0}(f_1, \dots, f_m; \eta) = \{v \in Y : |\langle f_i, v - v_0 \rangle| < \eta, i = 1, \dots, m\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Clearly, \mathcal{O}_{v_0} is a neighborhood of v_0 for the weak topology of Y . By the reflexive property of Y we know that B is weak compact, thus, there exist finite $v_1, \dots, v_M \in B$ such that

$$B \subset \cup_{j=1}^M \mathcal{O}_{v_j}. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that, for any $f \in A$ and any $v, \bar{v} \in \mathcal{O}_{v_j} \cap B$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, v - \bar{v} \rangle| &\leq |\langle f - f_i, v - \bar{v} \rangle| + |\langle f_i, v - \bar{v} \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle f - f_i, v - \bar{v} \rangle| + |\langle f_i, v - v_j \rangle| + |\langle f_i, v_j - \bar{v} \rangle| \\ &\leq 2\|B\|_Y \bar{\varepsilon} + 2\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\|B\|_Y$ is the bound of B in Y . Then, by taking first $\bar{\varepsilon}$ small, e.g., $\bar{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon/4\|B\|_Y$, to find f_1, \dots, f_m , then taking η small enough, e.g., $\eta < \varepsilon/4$, we can find that the special partition defined in (3.4) is an $(A, X; B, Y; \varepsilon)$ -weak partition of B with finite neighborhoods.

Definition 3.2. Let $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. The $(A, X; B, Y; \varepsilon)$ -weak topology entropy $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^w(A, X; B, Y)$ of the set B in Y corresponding to set A in X is the number

$$\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^w(A, X; B, Y) = \log_2 N_\varepsilon^w(A, X; B, Y).$$

Remark 3.3. The definitions proposed above still valid if only know $A \subset Y^*$ is compact. Here, we involve the additional space X is just designed for our application in Sections 4 and 5, and we will estimate this bound explicitly and to make clear its dependencies for system (1.1). For example, if A and B are some balls in X and Y respectively, e.g., for given $r, s \geq 0$, denote

$$A_r := \{u \in X : \|u\|_X \leq r\} \quad \text{and} \quad B_s := \{v \in Y : \|v\|_Y \leq s\},$$

then the $(A_r, X; B_s, Y; \varepsilon)$ -weak topology entropy $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^w(A_r, X; B_s, Y)$ will depends only on the spaces X and Y and the size r, s . For this situation, we will denote $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^w(A_r, X; B_s, Y)$ by $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^w(r, X; s, Y)$.

4. SMOOTHNESS OF UNIFORM ATTRACTORS

Proposition 4.1. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 hold, and in addition, let the external force g satisfies (1.6) or (1.7). Then, if R is large enough, the closed ball \mathcal{B}_1 of radius R in the space $\mathcal{E}^1 := [H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)] \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ is a uniformly attracting set for the process $U_g(t, \tau)$ associated with equation (1.1). Namely, there are positive constant β and a monotone increasing function Q such that, for any bounded set $B \subset \mathcal{E}$,*

$$\text{dist}_\mathcal{E}(U_g(t + \tau, \tau)B, \mathcal{B}_1) \leq Q(\|B\|_\mathcal{E})e^{-\beta t}, \quad t \geq 0$$

holds uniformly with respect to $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We split the solution $u(t)$ as $u(t) = v(t) + w(t)$, where $v(t)$ solves the linear problem

$$\partial_t^2 v + \gamma \partial_t v - \Delta v = 0, \quad \xi_v|_{t=\tau} = \xi_\tau, \quad (4.1)$$

the reminder w satisfies

$$\partial_t^2 w + \gamma \partial_t w - \Delta w + f(u) = g, \quad \xi_w|_{t=\tau} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Multiplying (4.1) by $\partial_t v + \beta v$ with sufficiently small $\beta > 0$, we obtain that the solution $v(t)$ satisfies the following estimate

$$\|\xi_v(t)\|_\mathcal{E} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_\mathcal{E})e^{-\beta(t-\tau)}, \quad t \geq \tau, \quad (4.3)$$

where the positive constant β and the monotone increasing function Q are independent of t, τ and ξ_τ .

Now, we turn to the equation (4.2). In the case of (1.7), using the standard \mathcal{E}^α -energy estimate (2.4), we have

$$\|\xi_w(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha} \leq C \int_\tau^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} (\|f(u)\|_{H^\alpha} + \|g(s)\|_{H^\alpha}) ds \leq C (\|f(u)\|_{L_b^1(\mathbb{R}; H^\alpha)} + \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; H^\alpha)}).$$

Using the Hölder inequality, the growth condition of f and estimate (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u)\|_{L^1(t,t+1;W^{1,\kappa})} &\leq C\|f'(u)\nabla u\|_{L^1(t,t+1;L^\kappa)} \\ &\leq C(1 + \|u\|_{L^4(t,t+1;L^{12})}^4)\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(t,t+1;L^2)} \\ &\leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_\varepsilon)e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R};L^2)}), \quad t \geq \tau, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p-1}{12}$. Using the embedding

$$W^{1,\kappa} \subset H^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{\kappa} - \frac{1-\alpha}{3},$$

i.e.

$$\alpha = \frac{5-p}{4} > 0,$$

we obtain that

$$\|f(u)\|_{L^1(t,t+1;H^\alpha)} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_\varepsilon)e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R};L^2)}).$$

Finally, we arrive at the estimate

$$\|\xi_w(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_\varepsilon)e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R};H^\alpha)}), \quad (4.5)$$

where the constant β and the monotone function Q are independent of t , τ , g and ξ_τ .

Now let (1.6) be satisfied. We split the solution $w(t)$ of equation (4.2) as $w(t) = y(t) + z(t)$, where $z(t)$ solves the linear wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 z + \gamma \partial_t z - \Delta z = g, \quad \xi_z|_{t=\tau} = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

and the function $y(t)$ solves

$$\partial_t^2 y + \gamma \partial_t y - \Delta y + f(u) = 0, \quad \xi_y|_{t=\tau} = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Similar to the proof of estimate (4.5), we have

$$\|\xi_y(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_\varepsilon)e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R};L^2)}). \quad (4.8)$$

Then by differentiating (4.6) with respect to time and writing $\bar{z} := \partial_t z$, we get that

$$\partial_t^2 \bar{z} + \gamma \partial_t \bar{z} - \Delta \bar{z} = g'(t), \quad \xi_{\bar{z}}|_{t=\tau} = (0, g(\tau)).$$

Using the standard energy estimate and together with the Sobolev embedding $H_b^1(\mathbb{R};L^2(\Omega)) \subset L_b^\infty(\mathbb{R};L^2(\Omega))$, we have

$$\|\xi_{\bar{z}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq C\|g\|_{H_b^1(\mathbb{R};L^2)}, \quad t \geq \tau. \quad (4.9)$$

Expressing the term $\Delta z(t)$ from equation (4.6) and taking the L^2 -norm from the both sides of the equation obtained, and combining with (4.9) we have that, for all $t \geq \tau$

$$\|z(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C\|g\|_{H_b^1(\mathbb{R};L^2(\Omega))}. \quad (4.10)$$

Combining (4.9) and (4.10), we obtain that

$$\|\xi_z(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^1} \leq C\|g\|_{H_b^1(\mathbb{R};L^2(\Omega))}. \quad (4.11)$$

From (4.5), (4.8) and (4.11), we conclude that

$$\|\xi_w(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_\varepsilon)e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_W), \quad (4.12)$$

where the symbol W means the space $H_b^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ if (1.6) is satisfied or $L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\Omega))$ if (1.7) is satisfied, the positive constant β and the monotone increasing function Q are independent of t, τ, g and u . According to the estimates (4.3) and (4.12), the set

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha := \{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^\alpha; \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha} \leq R\}$$

is a compact uniformly (w.r.t. $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$) attracting set for the process $U_g(t, \tau)$ in \mathcal{E} if R is large enough.

In order to get the higher regularity, we will use standard bootstrap arguments. If we take $\xi_\tau \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ from the very beginning, we have

$$\|\xi_v(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha})e^{-\beta(t-\tau)}, \quad t \geq \tau,$$

which together with (4.12) shows that the dynamical process $U_g(t, \tau)$ is well defined and dissipative in the higher energy space \mathcal{E}^α as well. Due to that $H^\alpha \subset L^{\frac{6}{3-2\alpha}}$, we can improve estimate (4.4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u)\|_{L^1(t, t+1; W^{1, \kappa_1})} &\leq C(1 + \|u\|_{L^4(t, t+1; L^{12})}^4) \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(t, t+1; L^{\frac{6}{3-2\alpha}})} \\ &\leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha})e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_W), \quad t \geq \tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{\kappa_1} = \frac{3-2\alpha}{6} + \frac{p-1}{12}$. Using the embedding $W^{1, \kappa_1} \subset H^{\alpha_1}$ with $\alpha_1 = \frac{5-p}{4} + \alpha$, we have

$$\|f(u)\|_{L^1(t, t+1; H^{\alpha_1})} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha})e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_W).$$

Thus, we get

$$\|\xi_w(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_1}} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_{\mathcal{E}^\alpha})e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_W). \quad (4.13)$$

By the transitivity of an exponential attraction established in [13], the dynamical process $U_g(t, \tau)$ on \mathcal{B}_α has an exponentially attracting set $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha_1} \subset \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_1}$. Then iterating the above procedure in finitely many steps, we get the exponentially attracting ball \mathcal{B}_1 in the space \mathcal{E}^1 . \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let the assumptions of Proposition 4.1 hold, and in addition let the initial data $\xi_\tau \in \mathcal{E}^1$. Then the solution u of problem (1.1) satisfies $\xi_u(t) \in \mathcal{E}^1$ for all $t \geq \tau$ and the following estimate holds:*

$$\|\xi_u(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^1} \leq Q(\|\xi_\tau\|_{\mathcal{E}^1})e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} + Q(\|g\|_W), \quad (4.14)$$

where the symbol W means the space $H_b^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ if (1.6) is satisfied or $L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\Omega))$ if (1.7) is satisfied, the positive constant β and the monotone increasing function Q are independent of t, τ, g and u .

The proof of estimate (4.14) can be verified analogously to the proof of the estimation of w -component in the higher energy space in Proposition 4.1.

Then, we will prove that \mathcal{B}_1 is actually a compact uniformly (w.r.t. $h \in \Sigma$) attracting set in \mathcal{E} for the family of processes $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let the family of processes $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$ satisfies translation identity (2.7) and be weakly continuous. Then any compact uniformly (w.r.t. $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$) attracting set for the process $U_g(t, \tau)$ is a simultaneously compact uniformly (w.r.t. $h \in \Sigma$) attracting set for the family $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma\}$.*

Proof. Due to the translation identity (2.7), any compact uniformly (w.r.t. $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$) attracting set for the process $U_g(t, \tau)$ is a simultaneously compact uniformly (w.r.t. $h \in \Sigma_0$) attracting set for the family $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma_0\}$.

If P is a compact uniformly (w.r.t. $h \in \Sigma_0$) attracting set for the family $\{U_h(t, \tau), h \in \Sigma_0\}$, that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every bounded set $B \subset \mathcal{E}$, there exists $T_0 = T_0(B, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\cup_{h \in \Sigma_0} U_h(t, 0)B \subset \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/2}(P), \quad \forall t \geq T_0. \quad (4.15)$$

We will prove that

$$\cup_{h \in \Sigma} U_h(t, 0)B \subset \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(P), \quad \forall t \geq T_0. \quad (4.16)$$

For any $y \in \cup_{t \geq T_0} \cup_{h \in \Sigma} U_h(t, 0)B$, there exist $t \geq T_0$, $h \in \Sigma$ and $x \in B$ such that $y = U_h(t, 0)x$. For such $h \in \Sigma$, there exists $\{h_n\} \in \Sigma_0$ such that $h_n \rightarrow h$ in the weak topology of $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; H)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the weak continuity of processes implies that

$$U_{h_n}(t, 0)x \rightharpoonup U_h(t, 0)x \text{ in } \mathcal{E} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.17)$$

For every $\eta > 0$, we have $P \subset \cup_{x \in P} B(x, \eta)$. Since P is a compact set in \mathcal{E} , we have a finite subcovering such that $P \subset \cup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, \eta)$, $x_i \in P$. Then, $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/2}(P) \subset \cup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, \eta + \varepsilon/2)$. Due to that $\{U_{h_n}(t, 0)x\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/2}(P)$ if $t \geq T_0$, there exists a subsequence of $\{U_{h_n}(t, 0)x\}$ belongs to some ball $B(x_i, \eta + \varepsilon/2)$. By Mazur theorem, we know that its weak limit

$$U_h(t, 0)x = y \in [B(x_i, \eta + \varepsilon/2)]\mathcal{E} \subset [\mathcal{O}_{\eta+\varepsilon/2}(P)]\mathcal{E}.$$

Let $\eta \rightarrow 0$, we have that $y \in [\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/2}(P)]\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(P)$. This means that (4.16) holds, thus P is a compact uniformly (w.r.t. $h \in \Sigma$) attracting set. On the other hand, (4.16) always implies (4.15) since $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma$. \square

Then by the minimality of the uniform attractor among closed uniformly attracting sets we know that $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma \subset \mathcal{B}_1$ and is bounded in the space \mathcal{E}^1 . Moreover, by Corollary 4.2 we have

$$\cup_{h \in \Sigma} U_h(t, 0)\mathcal{A}_\Sigma \subset \cup_{h \in \Sigma} U_h(t, 0)\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_1, \quad t \geq T_0. \quad (4.18)$$

5. UNIFORM EXPONENTIAL ATTRACTING SETS

In this section, we will construct a family of sets which enjoys uniform exponential attracting property and has uniform finite fractal dimension.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X_0 and X_1 be two Banach spaces such that X_1 is compactly embedded into X_0 and \mathbb{B} be a bounded subset of X_0 . For any fixed $h \in \Sigma$, let $U_h(n) := U_h(n+1, n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a family of discrete dynamical processes on X_0 satisfying the following properties:*

- (a) *for every $h \in \Sigma$, the process $U_h(n) : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$;*
- (b) *the process $U_h(n)$ admits a decomposition of the form*

$$U_h(n) = \mathcal{C}_h(n) + \mathcal{L}_h(n),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_h(n) : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow X_0$ satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{L}_h(n)\xi_1 - \mathcal{L}_h(n)\xi_2\|_{X_0} \leq \kappa \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|_{X_0}, \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{B} \quad (5.1)$$

for some $\kappa < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathcal{C}_h(n) : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow X_1$ satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{C}_h(n)\xi_1 - \mathcal{C}_h(n)\xi_2\|_{X_1} \leq L \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|_{X_0}, \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{B} \quad (5.2)$$

for some $L > 0$.

Then, there exists a family of time-dependent sets $\mathcal{M}_h(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which satisfy

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_h(n) \subset \mathbb{B}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and their fractal dimension is uniformly bounded

$$\dim_F(\mathcal{M}_h(n); X_0) \leq C_1, \quad (5.3)$$

where the constant C_1 is independent of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;

- (2) the uniform exponential attraction property:

$$\text{dist}_{X_0}(U_h(n+k, n)\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{M}_h(n+k)) \leq C_2 e^{-\sigma k}, \quad (5.4)$$

where the positive constants C_2 and σ are independent of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to [10, 12]. For the convenience of the reader, we present the details below. Since \mathbb{B} is bounded in X_0 , there exists a ball $B(x_0, R; X_0)$ of radius R centered at $x_0 \in \mathbb{B}$ in X_0 such that $\mathbb{B} \subset B(x_0, R; X_0)$. We set $V_0 := \{x_0\} \subset \mathbb{B}$. We also fix an arbitrary dynamical process U_h satisfying the above assumptions (a) and (b).

Now we construct a family of the sets $V_k(n) \subset \mathbb{B}$ by induction with respect to k such that $V_k(n)$ is an $R_k := R(\kappa + \frac{1}{2})^k$ -net of $U_h(n, n-k)\mathbb{B}$. Assume that the required sets are already been constructed for some $k = l$, then we construct the next set $V_{l+1}(n+1)$ preserving these properties.

It follows from (5.2) that

$$\mathcal{C}_h(n)(U_h(n, n-l)\mathbb{B}) \subset \cup_{x \in V_l(n)} B(\mathcal{C}_h(n)x, LR_l; X_1).$$

Since the embedding $X_1 \subset X_0$ is compact, we cover this ball by a finite number of $\frac{1-2\kappa}{4}R_l$ -balls in X_0 with centers y_i and the minimal number of balls in this covering can be estimated as follows:

$$N_{\frac{1-2\kappa}{4}R_l}(B(\mathcal{C}_h(n)x, LR_l; X_1); X_0) = N_{\frac{1-2\kappa}{4L}}(B(0, 1; X_1); X_0) := N.$$

Crucial for us that the number N is independent of l , R , κ and L . It follows from (5.1) that the family of balls with centers $\mathcal{L}_h(n)x$, $x \in V_l(n)$ and with radius κR_l covers $\mathcal{L}_h(n)(U_h(n, n-l)\mathbb{B})$. Consequently, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} U_h(n+1, n-l)\mathbb{B} &= U_h(n)U_h(n, n-l)\mathbb{B} \\ &\subset \cup_{x \in V_l(n)} B(y_i + \mathcal{L}_h(n)x, \frac{1-2\kappa}{4}R_l + \kappa R_l; X_0) \\ &= \cup_{x \in V_l(n)} B(y_i + \mathcal{L}_h(n)x, \frac{1+2\kappa}{4}R_l; X_0), \end{aligned}$$

and the number of balls in this system is not greater than $N \cdot \#V_l(n)$.

Increasing the radius of every ball in this covering by a factor of two, we can assume that the centres of this covering belong to $U_h(n+1, n-l)\mathbb{B}$. We denote by $V_{l+1}(n+1)$ the new centres of this covering and condition (a) for $U_h(n)$ guarantees that $V_{l+1}(n+1) \subset \mathbb{B}$, we also note that $R_{l+1} = \frac{1+2\kappa}{2}R_l = R(\kappa + \frac{1}{2})^{l+1}$. Thus, the required sets $V_k(n)$ are constructed for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

According to the above construction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#V_k(n) &\leq N^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \text{dist}_{X_0}(U_h(n, n-k)\mathbb{B}, V_k(n)) &\leq R(\kappa + \frac{1}{2})^k. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

We define the sets $E_k(n) = E_k^h(n)$ by

$$E_1(n) := V_1(n), \quad E_{k+1}(n+1) := V_{k+1}(n+1) \cup U_h(n)E_k(n), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.6)$$

From (5.5) and (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#E_k(n) &\leq kN^k, \\ \text{dist}_{X_0}(U_h(n, n-k)\mathbb{B}, E_k(n)) &\leq R(\kappa + \frac{1}{2})^k. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

The required family of sets $\mathcal{M}_h(n)$ can be defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_h(n) := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.8)$$

Let us verify that $\mathcal{M}_h(n)$ defined by (5.8) satisfies (5.3) and (5.4).

Indeed, since $E_k(n) \subset \mathcal{M}_h(n)$ and $\kappa + \frac{1}{2} < 1$, the uniform exponential attraction property follows from (5.7):

$$\text{dist}_{X_0}(U_h(n, n-k)\mathbb{B}, \mathcal{M}_h(n)) \leq R(\kappa + \frac{1}{2})^k = R e^{-k \ln \frac{1}{\kappa + \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Now, there remains to verify the finite dimensionality of $\mathcal{M}_h(n)$. We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose the smallest integer $k = k(\varepsilon)$ such that $R(\kappa + \frac{1}{2})^k \leq \varepsilon$. Since, by definition (5.6),

$$E_k(n) = \bigcup_{l=0}^{k-1} U_h(n, n-l)V_{k-l}(n-l)$$

and from the construction of $V_k(n)$, we have $V_{k-l}(n-l) \subset U_h(n-l, n-k)\mathbb{B}$. Then, by (5.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k \geq k(\varepsilon)} E_k(n) &\subset \bigcup_{k \geq k(\varepsilon)} \bigcup_{l=0}^{k-1} U_h(n, n-l)U_h(n-l, n-k)\mathbb{B} \\ &= \bigcup_{k \geq k(\varepsilon)} U_h(n, n-k)\mathbb{B} \\ &\subset \bigcup_{v \in V_{k(\varepsilon)}(n)} B(v, \varepsilon; X_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}_h(n); X_0) &\leq N_\varepsilon(\bigcup_{k \leq k(\varepsilon)} E_k(n)) + N_\varepsilon(\bigcup_{k > k(\varepsilon)} E_k(n)) \\ &\leq \sum_{k \leq k(\varepsilon)} \#E_k(n) + \#V_{k(\varepsilon)+1}(n) \\ &\leq (k(\varepsilon) + 1)^2 N^{k(\varepsilon)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\dim_F(\mathcal{M}_h(n); X_0) := \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log_2 N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}_h(n); X_0)}{\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \leq (\log_2 \frac{1}{\kappa + \frac{1}{2}})^{-1} \log_2 N.$$

□

Then, we will apply Lemma 5.1 to problem (1.1).

Lemma 5.2. *Let assumptions (1.2)-(1.5) hold. Then, there exists some $T > 0$ such that, for any arbitrary fixed $h \in \Sigma$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the family of discrete dynamical processes $U_h^\tau(m, n) := U_h(\tau + mT, \tau + nT)$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq n$ possesses a family sets $\mathcal{M}_h^\tau(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfies*

$$\text{dist}_{\mathcal{E}}(U_h^\tau(m, n)\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{M}_h^\tau(m)) \leq \nu e^{-\sigma(m-n)T}, \quad (5.9)$$

where the positive constants ν and σ are independent of $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq n$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. And for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}_h^\tau(n); \mathcal{E}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\mathcal{N}+\delta}, \quad \text{for all } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0, \quad (5.10)$$

where the positive constant \mathcal{N} is independent of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Thanks to the translation identity (2.7), we know that for any fixed $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in \Sigma$, we can find $h' \in \Sigma$ such that

$$U_{h'}(t + \tau, \tau)x = U_h(t, 0)x, \quad \forall t \geq 0, x \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Therefore, we set $\tau = 0$ for simplicity.

Let $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$ be two solutions of (1.1) with different initial data $\xi_0^1, \xi_0^2 \in \mathcal{B}_1$ starting at $t = 0$ and with the same external force $h \in \Sigma$. Let $\theta(t) = u_1(t) - u_2(t)$, then this function solves

$$\partial_t^2 \theta + \gamma \partial_t \theta - \Delta_x \theta + l(t)\theta = 0, \quad \xi_\theta|_{t=0} = \xi_0^1 - \xi_0^2, \quad (5.11)$$

where $l(t) := \int_0^1 f'(su_1(t) + (1-s)u_2(t)) ds$. We split the solution $\theta(t) = v(t) + w(t)$, where $v(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t^2 v + \gamma \partial_t v - \Delta v = 0, \quad v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \xi_v|_{t=0} = \xi_0^1 - \xi_0^2 \quad (5.12)$$

and the function $w(t)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t^2 w + \gamma \partial_t w - \Delta w + l(t)\theta = 0, \quad w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \xi_w|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

For the linear equation (5.12), we get

$$\|\xi_v(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq C \|\xi_0^1 - \xi_0^2\|_{\mathcal{E}} e^{-\beta t}, \quad (5.14)$$

where the positive constants C and β are independent of t, g and ξ_0^1, ξ_0^2 . From Corollary 4.2, we know that

$$\|\xi_{u_i}(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^1} \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq \tau, i = 1, 2,$$

where the constant C is independent of t, u_i and h . Using the embedding $H^2 \subset C$, we find that

$$\|\xi_w(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^1} \leq C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} \|l(t)\theta\|_{H_0^1} ds \leq C \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^2)} \leq C \|\xi_\theta\|_{L^\infty(0,t;\mathcal{E})}. \quad (5.15)$$

Next, we estimate the term $\|\xi_\theta\|_{L^\infty(0,t;\mathcal{E})}$. Multiplying (5.11) by $\partial_t \theta$ and integrating over Ω , we end up with

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_\theta(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 + 2\gamma \|\partial_t \theta\|^2 = 2(l(t)\theta, \partial_t \theta).$$

Using the growth condition (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2|(l(t)\theta, \partial_t \theta)| &\leq C((1 + |u_1|^{p-1} + |u_2|^{p-1})|\theta|, |\partial_t \theta|) \\ &\leq C \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2} \|\theta\|_{L^6} (1 + \|u_1\|_{L^{12}}^4 + \|u_2\|_{L^{12}}^4) \\ &\leq C \|\xi_\theta\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 (1 + \|u_1\|_{L^{12}}^4 + \|u_2\|_{L^{12}}^4), \end{aligned}$$

By applying the Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\|\xi_\theta(t)\|_\mathcal{E} \leq Ce^{Kt} \|\xi_0^1 - \xi_0^2\|_\mathcal{E}.$$

Inserting this into estimate (5.15), we have

$$\|\xi_w(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}^1} \leq Ce^{Kt} \|\xi_0^1 - \xi_0^2\|_\mathcal{E},$$

where the positive constants C and K are independent of t , h , ξ_0^1 and ξ_0^2 .

Taking $T_1 > 0$ such that $T_1 = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(4C)$ and fixing

$$T := \max\{T_0, T_1\}, \quad (5.16)$$

then the relation

$$\cup_{h \in \Sigma} U_h(t, 0) \mathcal{A}_\Sigma \subset \cup_{h \in \Sigma} U_h(t, 0) \mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_1, \quad t \geq T \quad (5.17)$$

together with estimates

$$\|\xi_v(T)\|_\mathcal{E} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\xi_0^1 - \xi_0^2\|_\mathcal{E}$$

and

$$\|\xi_w(T)\|_{\mathcal{E}^1} \leq Ce^{KT} \|\xi_0^1 - \xi_0^2\|_\mathcal{E}$$

implies that $U_h(T, 0)$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 with $\kappa = \frac{1}{4}$ and $L = Ce^{KT}$. Thus, we can apply Lemma 5.1 to the family of discrete dynamical processes $U_h^0(m, n)$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq n$, which implies that these processes possess a family of sets $\mathcal{M}_h^0(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies (5.9) and (5.10) with $\tau = 0$. \square

Remark 5.3. We have the following translation invariance:

$$\mathcal{M}_{T(s)h}^0(l) = \mathcal{M}_h^s(l) \quad (5.18)$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, the estimates (5.9) and (5.10) are independent of the specific choice of $h \in \Sigma_0$.

6. ESTIMATES OF THE ε -ENTROPY

First, we will obtain a weak partition of $\Sigma_{iT, (i+1)T}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

let $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$ be two solutions of (2.6) starting from the same initial data $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\Sigma$ at $t = 0$, but with different external forces $h, h' \in \Sigma$. Then the function $\theta(t) = u_1(t) - u_2(t)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t^2 \theta + \gamma \partial_t \theta - \Delta \theta + l(t)\theta = h - h', \quad \xi_\theta|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

Then we have the following result which is immediately from (5.17).

Corollary 6.1. *Let the assumptions of Proposition 4.1 hold. Then the second ingredient $\partial_t \theta$ of the solution ξ_θ for equation (6.1) satisfying that*

$$\partial_t \theta \in L_b^\infty(T, +\infty; H_0^1) \cap H_b^1(T, +\infty; H^{-1})$$

and

$$\|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_b^\infty(T, +\infty; H_0^1) \cap H_b^1(T, +\infty; H^{-1})} \leq r, \quad (6.2)$$

where T is defined in (5.16) and the positive constant r only depends on $\|g\|_W$.

Denote the set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix} = \{\xi_\theta | \xi_\theta(s), s > 0 \text{ satisfies (6.1) with } \xi_\theta(0) = 0, h, h' \in \Sigma\}.$$

According to Corollary 6.1, we infer that $A \subset L_b^\infty(T, +\infty; \mathcal{E}^1)$. From equation (6.1) we know that $\{\partial_t^2 \theta | \partial_t \theta \in A_2\} \subset L_b^2(T, +\infty; H^{-1}(\Omega))$. That is,

$$A_2 \subset L_b^\infty(T, +\infty; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap H_b^1(T, +\infty; H^{-1}(\Omega)).$$

Denote by

$$X := L^\infty(iT, (i+1)T; H_0^1) \cap H^1(iT, (i+1)T; H^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad Y := L^2(iT, (i+1)T; L^2). \quad (6.3)$$

Then for any $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$A_2|_{[iT, (i+1)T]} \subset B_r := \{u \in X; \|u\|_X \leq r\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{[iT, (i+1)T]} \subset B_s := \{v \in Y; \|v\|_Y \leq s\},$$

where the positive constants r given in (6.2) and $s = \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}$.

Thus, from Section 3, for any given $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$, we obtain a $(r, X; \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}, Y; \tilde{\varepsilon})$ -weak partition of $\Sigma_{[iT, (i+1)T]}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Here we denote by $\Sigma_{[iT, (i+1)T]} \subset \cup_{n \in \Lambda} V_n^{(i)}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $N_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^w(r, X; \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}, Y)$ be the minimal number of the cardinality of Λ . Then, for any given $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we will construct a special cover of $\Sigma_{T, (l+1)T}$ in the following way such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{[T, (l+1)T]} &\subset \cup_{j=1}^M W_j; \\ \Sigma_{[iT, (i+1)T]} \cap W_j|_{[iT, (i+1)T]} &\subset \cup_{n \in \Lambda} V_n^{(i)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M, \quad i = 1, \dots, l. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

By combination the partitions on each subinterval $[iT, (i+1)T]$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, we obtain a cover of set $\Sigma_{[T, (l+1)T]}$, and the upper bound of the number of such cover can be estimated by

$$M \leq (N_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^w(r, X; \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}, Y))^l. \quad (6.5)$$

Lemma 6.2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and any given $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$, for the cover of $\Sigma_{[T, (l+1)T]}$ constructed in (6.4), we have that if $\tilde{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon^2/32e^{l(K+1)}$, then for any $h, h' \in W_j$, $j = 1, \dots, M$,*

$$\text{dist}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{K}_h((l+1)T), U_{h'}((l+1)T, T)\mathcal{K}_h(T)) \leq \varepsilon/4. \quad (6.6)$$

Proof. If $y \in \mathcal{K}_h((l+1)T)$, then we have $y = \xi((l+1)T) = U_h((l+1)T, T)\xi(T)$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{K}_h$. Consider

$$\|U_h((l+1)T, T)\xi(T) - U_{h'}((l+1)T, T)\xi(T)\|_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Let $\xi_{u_1}(t) = U_h(t, T)\xi(T)$ and $\xi_{u_2}(t) = U_{h'}(t, T)\xi(T)$ for $t \geq T$. Then $\theta = u_1 - u_2$ satisfying the equation (6.1). Multiplying (6.1) by $\partial_t \theta$, using (1.2) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_\theta(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 + 2\gamma \|\partial_t \theta(t)\|^2 \leq C \|\xi_\theta\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 (1 + \|u_1\|_{L^{12}}^4 + \|u_2\|_{L^{12}}^4) + 2(h - h', \partial_t \theta).$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality and let $t = (l + 1)T$, from (6.4) and estimate (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_\theta((l + 1)T)\|_\varepsilon^2 &\leq 2 \int_T^{(l+1)T} e^{C \int_s^{(l+1)T} (1 + \|u_1\|_{L^{12}}^4 + \|u_2\|_{L^{12}}^4) dr} (h - h', \partial_t \theta) ds \\ &\leq 2e^{C \int_T^{(l+1)T} (1 + \|u_1\|_{L^{12}}^4 + \|u_2\|_{L^{12}}^4) dr} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} (h - h', \partial_t \theta) ds \\ &\leq 2e^{lKT} l \tilde{\varepsilon} \leq 2e^{l(K+1)T} \tilde{\varepsilon} \leq (\varepsilon/4)^2 \end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{32e^{l(K+1)T}},$$

where

$$K := C \int_t^{t+1} (1 + \|u_1(s)\|_{L^{12}}^4 + \|u_2(s)\|_{L^{12}}^4) ds \leq Q(\|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}). \quad (6.7)$$

□

Remark 6.3. Note that we find an upper bound for the number of a partition that covers the set $\Sigma_{[T, (l+1)T]}$, which may not be a sharp upper bound, but it depends only on the spaces X , Y and the size r given in (6.2) and $s = \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}$.

Finally, we obtain the following theorem which is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 6.4. *Let the assumptions (1.2)-(1.4) be valid and g satisfy either (1.6) or (1.7). Then the process generated by (1.1) has a compact uniform attractor \mathcal{A}_Σ , and for any arbitrary and fixed $\delta > 0$, there exist $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma) \leq (\mathcal{N} + \delta) \log_2 \frac{2}{\varepsilon} + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \ln \frac{4\nu}{\varepsilon} + T \right) \mathbb{H}^w \frac{\varepsilon^{[2+(K+1)/\sigma]}}{32e^{T(K+1)(4\nu)^{(K+1)/\sigma+1}}}(r, X; \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}, Y)$$

for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, where \mathcal{N} , ν and $\sigma > 0$ satisfying (5.9) and (5.10), the positive constants r and K are given in (6.2) and (6.7) respectively, and all of them depend only on the parameters of equation (1.1) and $\|g\|_W$; the spaces X and Y are defined in (6.3).

Proof. According to (6.4), we set

$$\Sigma_j := \Sigma \cap W_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, M.$$

The representation (2.2) can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}_\Sigma = \cup_{j=1}^M \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_j}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.8)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_j} := \cup_{h \in \Sigma_j} \mathcal{K}_h$.

Since the estimates (5.9) and (5.10) is uniformly for $h \in \Sigma_0$, then there exists $k = k(\varepsilon) > \frac{1}{\sigma T} \ln \frac{4\nu}{\varepsilon}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, here T is given in (5.16) and we take

$$k = \left[\frac{1}{\sigma T} \ln \frac{4\nu}{\varepsilon} \right] + 1 \quad (6.9)$$

such that for any $h_j \in \Sigma_0 \cap \Sigma_j$,

$$\text{dist}_\varepsilon(U_{h_j}((k+1)T, T)\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{M}_{h_j}^0(k+1)) \leq \nu e^{-\sigma k T} < \varepsilon/4, \quad (6.10)$$

and for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$N_{\varepsilon/2}(\mathcal{M}_{h_j}^0(k+1); \mathcal{E}) \leq (2/\varepsilon)^{\mathcal{N}+\delta}, \quad \text{for all } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0. \quad (6.11)$$

Combining with the estimates (6.6) for $l = k$ and (6.10), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{K}_h((k+1)T), \mathcal{M}_{h_j}^0(k+1)) &\leq \text{dist}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{K}_h((k+1)T), U_{h_j}((k+1)T, T)\mathcal{K}_h(T)) \\ &\quad + \text{dist}_{\mathcal{E}}(U_{h_j}((k+1)T, T)\mathcal{K}_h(T), \mathcal{M}_{h_j}^0(k+1)) \\ &\leq \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 = \varepsilon/2, \quad \forall h \in \Sigma_j. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\mathcal{K}_h((k+1)T) \subset \mathbb{O}_{\varepsilon/2}(\mathcal{M}_{h_j}^0(k+1); \mathcal{E}), \quad \forall h \in \Sigma_j. \quad (6.12)$$

Thus from (6.11) and (6.12), for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, we have

$$N_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_j}((k+1)T); \mathcal{E}) \leq N_{\varepsilon}(\mathbb{O}_{\varepsilon/2}(\mathcal{M}_{h_j}^0(k+1); \mathcal{E}); \mathcal{E}) = N_{\varepsilon/2}(\mathcal{M}_{h_j}^0(k+1); \mathcal{E}) \leq (2/\varepsilon)^{\mathcal{N}+\delta}.$$

Using (6.8) for $t = (k+1)T$, let $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{32e^{kT(K+1)+1}}$ and from (6.5), (6.9), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}; \mathcal{E}) &\leq N_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_j}((k+1)T); \mathcal{E})M \\ &\leq (2/\varepsilon)^{\mathcal{N}+\delta} \cdot (N_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^w_{\varepsilon^2/(32e^{kT(K+1)+1})}(r, X; \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}, Y))^k, \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Kolmogorov ε -entropy $\mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}) = \log_2 N_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}; \mathcal{E})$ of \mathcal{A}_{Σ} satisfies the estimate

$$\mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}) \leq (\mathcal{N} + \delta) \log_2 \frac{2}{\varepsilon} + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \ln \frac{4\nu}{\varepsilon} + T \right) \mathbb{H}^w_{\frac{\varepsilon^{[2+(K+1)/\sigma]}}{32e^{T(K+1)(4\nu)^{(K+1)/\sigma+1}}}}(r, X; \|g\|_{L_b^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))}, Y).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] A.V. Babin and M.I. Vishik, *Attractors of Evolution Equations*, NorthHolland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [2] M. Blair, H. Smith, and C. Sogge, *Strichartz estimates for the wave equation on manifolds with boundary*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN, **26** (2009) 1817-1829.
- [3] H. Brezis, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, 2011.
- [4] N. Burq, G. Lebeau, and F. Planchon, *Global existence for energy critical waves in 3-D domains*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **21**(3) (2008) 831-845.
- [5] D.N. Cheban, P.E. Kloeden, and B. Schmalfuss, *The relationship between pullback, forwards and global attractors of nonautonomous dynamical systems*, Nonlin. Dynam. Syst. Theory, **2** (2002) 9-28.
- [6] V.V. Chepyzhov, *On uniform attractors of dynamic processes and nonautonomous equations of mathematical physics*, Russian Math. Surveys, **68** (2013), 349-382.
- [7] V.V. Chepyzhov and M.I. Vishik, *Attractors of nonautonomous dynamical systems and their dimension*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **73** (1994), 279-333.
- [8] V.V. Chepyzhov and M.I. Vishik, *Attractors for Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 49. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [9] I. Chueshov, *Dynamics of Quasi-Stable Dissipative Systems*, Springer, 2015.
- [10] M. Efendiev, A. Miranville, and S.V. Zelik, *Exponential attractors for a nonlinear reaction-diffusion system in \mathbb{R}^3* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér., I **330** (2000) 713-718.
- [11] M. Efendiev, A. Miranville and S.V. Zelik, *Infinite dimensional attractors for a non-autonomous reaction-diffusion system*, Math. Nachr., **248/249** (2003) 72-96.
- [12] M. Efendiev, A. Miranville, and S.V. Zelik, *Exponential attractors and finite-dimensional reduction of non-autonomous dynamical systems*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, **135A** (2005) 703-730.
- [13] P. Fabrie, C. Galushinski, A. Miranville and S. Zelik, *Uniform exponential attractors for a singular perturbed damped wave equation*, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys., 10 (2004), no. 1-2, 211-238.
- [14] J.K. Hale, *Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems*, Math. Surveys and Mon., **25**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

- [15] A. Haraux, *Attractors of asymptotically compact process and applications to nonlinear partial differential equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **13**(11) (1988) 1383-1414.
- [16] A. Haraux, *Systèmes Dynamiques Dissipatifs et Applications*, Masson, Paris, 1991.
- [17] V. Kalantarov, A. Savostianov, and S.V. Zelik, *Attractors for damped quintic wave equations in bounded domains*, Ann. Henri Poincaré, **17**(9) (2016) 2555-2584.
- [18] A.N. Kolmogorov and V.M. Tikhomirov, *ε -entropy and ε -capacity of sets in functional spaces*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., **14**(2) (1959) 3-86.
- [19] S. Ma and C. Zhong, *The attractors for weakly damped non-autonomous hyperbolic equations with a new class of external forces*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **18**(1) (2007), 53-70.
- [20] X. Mei, A. Savostianov, C. Sun, and S.V. Zelik, *Infinite energy solutions for weakly damped quintic wave equations in \mathbb{R}^3* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **374**(5) (2021) 3093-3129.
- [21] X. Mei and C. Sun, *Uniform attractors for a weakly damped wave equation with sup-cubic nonlinearity*, Appl. Math. Lett., **95** (2019) 179-185.
- [22] A. Miranville and S.V. Zelik, *Attractors for Dissipative Partial Differential Equations in Bounded and Unbounded Domains*. Handbook of differential equations, Evolutionary Equations, Vol. 4, C. Dafermos and M. Pokorný, eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam 2008.
- [23] A. Savostianov and S.V. Zelik, *Uniform attractors for measure-driven quintic wave equation with periodic boundary conditions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **75**, no. 2 (452) (2020) 61-132.
- [24] J. Shatah and M. Struwe, *Regularity results for nonlinear wave equations*, Ann. of Math., (2) **138**(3) (1993), 503-518.
- [25] R. Temam, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [26] M.I. Vishik and V.V. Chepyzhov, *Kolmogorov ε -entropy in problems of global attractors of evolution equations in mathematical physics*, (Russian) Problemy Peredachi Informatsii, **39** (2003), no. 1, 4-23; translation in Probl. Inf. Transm. **39** (2003), no. 1, 2-20.
- [27] S.V. Zelik, *The attractor for a nonlinear hyperbolic equation in the unbounded domain*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **7** (2001) 593-641.
- [28] S.V. Zelik, *Strong uniform attractors for non-autonomous dissipative PDEs with non translation-compact external forces*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. B, **20**(3) (2015) 781-810.