

Supercloseness of finite element method for a singularly perturbed convection-diffusion problem on Bakhvalov-type mesh in 2D ^{*}

Chunxiao Zhang^{a,1}, Jin Zhang^{a,*}

^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250014, China*

Abstract

For singularly perturbed convection-diffusion problems, supercloseness analysis of finite element method is still open on Bakhvalov-type meshes, especially in the case of 2D. The difficulties arise from the width of the mesh in the layer adjacent to the transition point, resulting in a suboptimal estimate for convergence. Existing analysis techniques cannot handle these difficulties well. To fill this gap, a novel interpolation is designed delicately for the first time for the smooth part of the solution, bringing about the optimal supercloseness result of almost order 2 under an energy norm for finite element method. Our theoretical result is uniformly in the singular perturbation parameter ε and is supported by the numerical experiments.

Keywords: Singularly perturbed, Convection–diffusion, Bakhvalov-type mesh, Finite element method, Supercloseness.

2000 MSC: 65N12, 65N30

^{*}The current research was partly supported by NSFC (11771257), Shandong Provincial NSF (ZR2021MA004).

^{*}Email address: jinzhangalex@sdu.edu.cn

¹Email address: chunxiaozhangang@outlook.com

1. Introduction

The following singularly perturbed convection-diffusion equation is taken into consideration:

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon\Delta u - bu_x + cu &= f & \text{in } \Omega = (0, 1)^2, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ represents the perturbation parameter, and b , c , and f are bivariate functions with sufficient smoothness. The following assumptions also hold:

$$b \geq \beta > 0, \quad c + \frac{1}{2}b_x \geq \gamma > 0 \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega},$$

where β , γ are constants. According to the above assumptions, solution to problem (1) exists and is unique in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ for each $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ (see [16]). Besides, this solution has two parabolic layers at $y = 0$, $y = 1$ of width $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ and an exponential layer at $x = 0$ of width $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$. Problems with characteristic layers like (1) are crucial. They can serve as mathematical models for dealing with practical problems, for example, the flow past a surface with a no-slip border condition. It is well known that the existence of boundary layers makes standard numerical methods unstable. A large number of viewpoints are proposed to deal with layers. Among them, applying finite element method to layer-adapted meshes is an essential subject [17, 18, 9, 10, 4].

For finite element method (FEM), supercloseness is a crucial property of convergence. Supercloseness here means that the convergence property of $\Pi u - u^N$ is higher than that of $u - u^N$ in a certain norm, where u is the exact solution, Πu is a certain interpolation of u and u^N is the finite element solution. Supercloseness property can be employed in various estimates, such as L^2 estimates [19] and L^∞ estimates [13], to evaluate the accuracy of the numerical solutions.

Up to now, there have been numerous studies focusing on supercloseness of FEM on Shishkin-type meshes, one of the layer-adapted meshes [3, 22, 12, 8]. Bakhvalov-type meshes are another widely used layer-adapted meshes [11]. Compared to Shishkin-type

meshes, Bakhvalov-type meshes perform numerically better in certain cases, and their transition points are not impacted by the mesh parameter N . Nevertheless, little progress has been achieved in the supercloseness analysis on these meshes. The main difficulty arises from the width of the last element mesh in the layer domain, resulting in a sub-optimal estimate when applying the standard Lagrange interpolation to Bakhvalov-type meshes (refer to [15, Question 4.1] and [26] for more information). To optimize the convergence order on Bakhvalov-type meshes, Zhang and Liu proposed a special interpolation in [20] for the exponential layer of the solution, which opens up a new direction for the convergence analysis. Subsequently, they created another special interpolation for the smooth part of the solution in [21] to improve its convergence accuracy. Combined with the two interpolations, supercloseness of optimal order 2 can be obtained directly in the one-dimensional case.

As we learned, however, almost all supercloseness analysis on Bakhvalov-type meshes are carried out in 1D [21, 25]. So far, no article has made supercloseness analysis on Bakhvalov-type meshes in 2D. We find that getting a sharp estimate for the smooth part of the solution faces a lot of challenges in a two-dimensional setting. Existing analysis techniques cannot deal with these difficulties well. Specifically, the interpolation proposed in [21] for the smooth part cannot be generalized to a two-dimensional case, indicating that constructing an interpolation that is both continuous and capable of optimizing the estimate of the smooth part is a very challenging task.

To fill this gap, we construct a novel interpolation according to the characteristics of the smooth part and the structures of Bakhvalov-type meshes in 2D for the first time. The new interpolation can improve the supercloseness accuracy of the smooth part by eliminating estimates of some intractable terms, providing a powerful tool for future supercloseness analysis on Bakhvalov-type meshes in 2D. In addition, we extend another special interpolation in [20] to a two-dimensional case for the exponential layer of the solution. Note that some boundary corrections are necessary to ensure the interpolation

in 2D satisfies homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then we can draw the main conclusion of this paper: for problem (1), the convergence order of supercloseness under an energy norm can attain to almost 2 on a Bakhvalov-type mesh in 2D. Our result is optimal and is uniformly in the singular perturbation parameter ε .

Then we will introduce the structure of our article. In Section 2 we state some information about the solution u , construct a Bakhvalov-type mesh in 2D, and present the corresponding bilinear FEM. In Section 3, the novel interpolation is constructed for our supercloseness arguments. In addition, some preliminary conclusions are derived. We thoroughly demonstrate supercloseness property on the Bakhvalov-type mesh in Section 4. Finally, some numerical results are shown in Section 5 to support our theoretical conclusion.

Throughout this article, the standard Sobolev spaces $W^{m,p}(D)$ will be used, where m are nonnegative integers, domain $D \subset \Omega$ and $H^m(D) = W^{m,2}(D)$. On $H^m(D)$, $|\cdot|_{m,D}$ is semi-norm and $\|\cdot\|_{m,D}$ is norm. In addition, $L^p(D)$ is Lebesgue space and $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(D)}$ represents norms in this space. When $p = 2$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(D)}$ is denoted as $\|\cdot\|_D$. We shall remove D from these notations if $D = \Omega$. Additionally, all constants in this paper, including generic constants C and fixed constants C_i , are unaffected by ε and the mesh parameter N .

2. Regularity results, Bakhvalov-type mesh and FEM

2.1. Regularity results

Assumption 1. *We can decompose the solution to (1) into the following parts:*

$$u = S + E_1 + E_2 + E_{12}, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad (2)$$

where S is the smooth part, E_1 is the exponential layer at $x = 0$, E_2 are the parabolic layers at $y = 0$ and $y = 1$, E_{12} is the corner layer.

Furthermore, for any $(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega}$, the following inequalities hold:

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m+n} S}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x, y) \right| \leq C, \quad (3a)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m+n} E_1}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x, y) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-m} e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\varepsilon}}, \quad (3b)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m+n} E_2}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x, y) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-\frac{n}{2}} (e^{-\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} + e^{-\frac{1-y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}), \quad (3c)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m+n} E_{12}}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x, y) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-(m+\frac{n}{2})} e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\varepsilon}} (e^{-\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} + e^{-\frac{1-y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}), \quad (3d)$$

where m, n are nonnegative integers and $0 \leq m + n \leq 3$.

More details about Assumption 1 can be found in [6] and [7].

2.2. Bakhvalov-type mesh

Different Bakhvalov-type meshes are proposed as approximations of Bakhvalov meshes (see [11]). In this paper, we shall analyze a Bakhvalov-type mesh in 2D introduced in [14].

Assume that $N \geq 4$ is a positive integer and is even, x_i ($0 \leq i \leq N$) and y_j ($0 \leq j \leq N$) are mesh nodes satisfying

$$0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_N = 1, \quad 0 = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_N = 1.$$

Then, the Bakhvalov-type mesh is defined by

$$x_i = \phi\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{\beta} \ln(1 - 2(1 - \varepsilon)\frac{i}{N}) & i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2}, \\ 1 - (1 - x_{\frac{N}{2}})\frac{2(N-i)}{N} & i = \frac{N}{2} + 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \quad (4a)$$

$$y_j = \varphi\left(\frac{j}{N}\right) = \begin{cases} -\sigma\sqrt{\varepsilon} \ln(1 - 4(1 - \varepsilon)\frac{j}{N}) & j = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4}, \\ d_1\left(\frac{j}{N} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + d_2\left(\frac{j}{N} - \frac{3}{4}\right) & j = \frac{N}{4} + 1, \dots, \frac{3N}{4} - 1, \\ 1 + \sigma\sqrt{\varepsilon} \ln(1 - 4(1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \frac{j}{N})) & j = \frac{3N}{4}, \dots, N, \end{cases} \quad (4b)$$

where d_1, d_2 are used to ensure the continuity of the function ψ at the transition points $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$.

Ω is split into rectangular meshes by connecting the mesh nodes with lines that are parallel to the x -axis and y -axis. These meshes are written as $K_{i,j} = [x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}]$, and the notation K denotes a generic rectangular mesh. Then we get a tensor-product rectangular mesh \mathcal{T}_N with mesh points (x_i, y_j) . See Figure 1




Figure 1: Rectangulation \mathcal{T}_N

Assumption 2. Suppose that $\sigma = \frac{5}{2}$ and $\varepsilon \leq N^{-1}$ in our analysis. Additionally, we assume that

$$\frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{\beta} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma\sqrt{\varepsilon} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

For convenience, let $h_{x,i} = x_{i+1} - x_i$, $h_{y,j} = y_{j+1} - y_j$, and when $j = \frac{N}{4}, \dots, \frac{3N}{4} - 1$, set $h_{y,j} =: h$; when $i = \frac{N}{2}, \dots, N - 1$, set $h_{x,i} =: H$.

Lemma 1. *If Assumption 2 holds, then for the Bakhvalov-type mesh (4), we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
N^{-1} &\leq H \leq 2N^{-1}, \\
\frac{1}{2}\sigma\varepsilon &\leq h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} \leq 2\sigma N^{-1}, \\
h_{x,0} &\leq h_{x,1} \leq \cdots \leq h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-2} \leq \sigma\varepsilon, \\
C_1\varepsilon N^{-1} &\leq h_{x,0} \leq C_2\varepsilon N^{-1}, \\
C_3\sigma\varepsilon \ln N &\leq x_{\frac{N}{2}-1} \leq C_4\sigma\varepsilon \ln N, \quad x_{\frac{N}{2}} \geq C\sigma\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|, \\
h_{x,i}^\mu e^{\frac{-\beta x_i}{\varepsilon}} &\leq C\varepsilon^\mu N^{-\mu}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq \frac{N}{2} - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma, \\
|E_1(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y)| &\leq C\varepsilon^\sigma, \quad |E_1(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y)| \leq CN^{-\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning $h_{y,j}$, $0 \leq j \leq N-1$, except that ε is replaced by $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, other properties can be derived similarly.

Furthermore, we also need the following property for our subsequent analysis.

Lemma 2. *If Assumption 2 holds, we can draw the following conclusions:*

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} &\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} N^{-\alpha}, \\
h_{y, \frac{N}{4}-1} &= h_{y, \frac{3N}{4}} \leq C(\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{1-\alpha} N^{-\alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. According to (4), we can derive that

$$h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} = \frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{\beta} \ln \frac{1 - 2(1-\varepsilon)(\frac{1}{2} - N^{-1})}{1 - 2(1-\varepsilon)\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\sigma\varepsilon}{\beta} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\varepsilon + 2(1-\varepsilon)N^{-1}}{\varepsilon} \right)^\alpha \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} N^{-\alpha}, \tag{6}$$

here we use the standard arguments $\ln x \leq \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha}$ $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. $h_{y, \frac{N}{4}-1}$ and $h_{y, \frac{3N}{4}}$ can be proved in a similar way. \square

Then, we divide Ω into four subdomains:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_s &:= \left[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, 1 \right] \times \left[y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1} \right], & \Omega_y &:= \left[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, 1 \right] \times \left(\left[0, y_{\frac{N}{4}-1} \right] \cup \left[y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}, 1 \right] \right), \\
\Omega_x &:= \left[0, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1} \right] \times \left[y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1} \right], & \Omega_{xy} &:= \left[0, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1} \right] \times \left(\left[0, y_{\frac{N}{4}-1} \right] \cup \left[y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}, 1 \right] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, we define Ω_0 as $\left[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}} \right] \times [0, 1]$ for convenience.

2.3. FEM

Suppose that $V^N \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the piecewise bilinear finite element space of (1), whose definition is

$$V^N = \{v \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : v|_{\partial\Omega=0} \text{ and } v|_K \in \mathcal{Q}_1(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_N\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}_1(K) = \text{span} \{x^i y^j : 0 \leq i, j \leq 1\}.$$

Then the corresponding FEM is: Find $u^N \in V^N$ satisfying $a(u^N, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in V^N$, where

$$a(u^N, v) := \varepsilon(\nabla u^N, \nabla v) + (-bu_x^N, v) + (cu^N, v) \quad \forall v \in V^N. \quad (7)$$

According to the conditions of (1), the following coercivity holds

$$a(v, v) \geq C\|v\|_\varepsilon^2, \quad \forall v \in V^N, \quad (8)$$

where C is independent of ε and N , and the energy norm is defined as

$$\|v\|_\varepsilon^2 := \varepsilon|v|_1^2 + \|v\|^2.$$

It follows that u^N is well defined by (7) (see [2] and references therein).

In this paper, we will analyze supercloseness property under the energy norm. Let $v = u^N - \Pi u$, where u^N is the finite element solution and Πu is the interpolation of u . From (8) and the Galerkin orthogonality property $a(u^N - u, v) = 0, \forall v \in V^N$ one has

$$C\|v\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq a(v, v) = a(u - \Pi u, v) = \varepsilon(\nabla(u - \Pi u), \nabla v) + (-b(u - \Pi u)_x, v) + (c(u - \Pi u), v). \quad (9)$$

3. Interpolation errors and new interpolation

In this section, we will give some standard interpolation errors and construct a new interpolation for the smooth part of the solution. Additionally, some preliminary conclusions will be presented.

3.1. Interpolation errors

The following lemma can refer to [5] for more information.

Lemma 3. *Let $K \in \mathcal{T}_N$ and suppose that K is $K_{i,j}$. Assume that $w \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ and denote by w^I the standard Lagrange interpolation of w at the vertices of K . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|w - w^I\|_K &\leq C \sum_{s+t=2} h_{x,i}^s h_{y,j}^t \left\| \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^s \partial y^t} \right\|_K, \\ \|(w - w^I)_x\|_K &\leq C \sum_{s+t=1} h_{x,i}^s h_{y,j}^t \left\| \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^{s+1} \partial y^t} \right\|_K, \\ \|(w - w^I)_y\|_K &\leq C \sum_{s+t=1} h_{x,i}^s h_{y,j}^t \left\| \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^s \partial y^{t+1}} \right\|_K, \end{aligned}$$

where s and t are non-negative integers.

3.2. New interpolation

In this subsection, we design a new interpolation ΠS for the smooth part S . Besides, for the exponential layer E_1 , another interpolation πE_1 is constructed based on [20].

Let $\theta_{i,j}(x, y)$ be the standard nodal basis functions with respect to the node (x_i, y_j) in the finite element space V^N . For any $v \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ its Lagrange interpolation $v^I \in V^N$ is defined by

$$v^I(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^N v(x_i, y_j) \theta_{i,j}(x, y).$$

In the subsequent analysis, we will drop $dxdy$ from $\int_{\square \times \square} \cdot dxdy$ and let $\theta_{i,j}(x, y) = \theta_{i,j}$ for simplicity.

For the solution u to (1), recall (2) and then define the interpolation Πu to u by

$$\Pi u = \Pi S + \pi E_1 + E_2^I + E_{12}^I. \quad (10)$$

Define

$$\Pi S = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}S & (x, y) \in [x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}], \\ \mathcal{L}S & (x, y) \in [x_{\frac{N}{2}-2}, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}], \\ S^I & \text{other,} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{P}S = \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}-1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{j=\frac{3N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}} \mathcal{P}S(x_i, y_j) \theta_{i,j}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j-1}} (S - \mathcal{P}S)_x \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j} + \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j}} (S - \mathcal{P}S)_x \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j} \\ &= -\frac{H^2}{12} \left[\int_{y_{j-1}}^{y_j} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j}(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy + \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j}(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy \right] \quad j = \frac{N}{4} + 1, \dots, \frac{3N}{4} - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}S(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) = S(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) \quad j = \frac{N}{4}, \frac{3N}{4} \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{P}S(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_j) = S(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_j) \quad j = \frac{N}{4}, \frac{N}{4} + 1, \dots, \frac{3N}{4}, \quad (14)$$

$\mathcal{L}S$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}S(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) = PS(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) & j = \frac{N}{4}, \dots, \frac{3N}{4}, \\ \mathcal{L}S(x_{\frac{N}{2}-2}, y_j) = S(x_{\frac{N}{2}-2}, y_j) & j = \frac{N}{4}, \dots, \frac{3N}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Refer to [20], we define πE_1 as

$$\pi E_1 = E_1^I - \mathcal{Q}E_1 + \mathcal{B}E_1, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}E_1 = \sum_{j=0}^N E_1(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j}, \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{B}E_1 = \sum_{j=0, N} E_1(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j}, \quad (18)$$

are boundary corrections to ensure the interpolation in 2D satisfies homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. In addition, E_2^I and E_{12}^I are the standard Lagrange interpolations of E_2 and E_{12} , respectively.

Remark 1. For the supercloseness analysis of the smooth part, the diffusion term $\varepsilon(\nabla(S - S^I), \nabla v)$ can reach almost order 2 on Ω using the integral identities (55); the convection term $(-b(S - S^I)_x, v)$ can achieve almost order 2 on most regions with the method utilized in [23], while on the region $[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}+1}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}]$, some terms cannot be better

estimated with standard arguments in previous studies. Thus, we consider designing a new interpolation ΠS for sharper estimates for the tough terms: (85) and (92). In the one-dimensional case [21], intractable terms can cancel each other out with the special designed interpolation. But this idea cannot be generalized to 2D, indicating that constructing an interpolation that is both continuous and capable of optimizing the estimate of the tough terms is a very challenging task in 2D. With deep consideration, the new interpolation (11) is designed: Condition (12) is used for sharper estimates for (85) and (92); Condition (13), (14) and (15) are employed to ensure the continuity. In addition, existence and uniqueness of ΠS is proved below.

Remark 2. On subdomain $[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}]$, let $S - \mathcal{P}S = S - S^I + S^I - \mathcal{P}S$. Then, for $j = \frac{N}{4} + 1, \dots, \frac{3N}{4} - 1$, we rewrite (12) to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j-1}} \left[(S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_{j-1}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j-1} + (S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j} \right]_x \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j} \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j}} \left[(S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j} + (S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_{j+1}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j+1} \right]_x \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j} \right\} \\ & = -\tau_j, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j &= \ell_{1,j} + \ell_{2,j} + \ell_{3,j}, \\ \ell_{1,j} &=: \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \frac{H^2}{12} \left[\int_{y_{j-1}}^{y_j} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j}(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy + \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j}(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy \right] \right\}, \\ \ell_{2,j} &=: \frac{1}{h} \left[\int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j-1}} (S - S^I)_x \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j} + \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j}} (S - S^I)_x \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, j} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\ell_{3,j} =: \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j-1}} \left[(S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_{j-1}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j-1} + (S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j} \right]_x \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j} \right. \\ \left. + \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}} \left[(S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j} + (S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_{j+1}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j+1} \right]_x \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j} \right\}.$$

Recall (14), we have

$$\ell_{3,j} = 0.$$

And we can obtain

$$\tau_j = \ell_{1,j} + \ell_{2,j} = \mathcal{O}(N^{-2}).$$

by the standard approximation theory.

For convenience, denote $(S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) =: \beta_j$ ($j = \frac{N}{4} + 1, \dots, \frac{3N}{4} - 1$). Then, let us look directly at the discrete system (19) which we will rewrite in the form

$$Db = -\tau, \tag{20}$$

where

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}_{(\frac{N}{2}-1) \times (\frac{N}{2}-1)} \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{\frac{N}{4}+1} \\ \beta_{\frac{N}{4}+2} \\ \dots \\ \beta_{\frac{3N}{4}-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\frac{N}{4}+1} \\ \tau_{\frac{N}{4}+2} \\ \dots \\ \tau_{\frac{3N}{4}-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Apparently, the determinant of tridiagonal matrix D is not zero, so the solution to the system of linear equations (20) exists and is unique. This, combined with (14) and (13), indicates that interpolation $\mathcal{P}S$ exists and is unique.

Besides, see that the max-norm of b is

$$\|b\|_{\infty} = \max_{\frac{N}{4}+1 \leq j \leq \frac{3N}{4}-1} |\beta_j| = |\beta_{j^*}|,$$

According to (20), we find that

$$\begin{cases} 3|\beta_{j^*}| \leq |4\beta_{j^*} + \beta_{j^*+1}| = |\tau_{j^*}| = \mathcal{O}(N^{-2}) & \text{if } j^* = \frac{N}{4} + 1, \\ 2|\beta_{j^*}| \leq |\beta_{j^*-1} + 4\beta_{j^*} + \beta_{j^*+1}| = |\tau_{j^*}| = \mathcal{O}(N^{-2}) & \text{if } \frac{N}{4} + 2 \leq j^* \leq \frac{3N}{4} - 2, \\ 3|\beta_{j^*}| \leq |\beta_{j^*-1} + 4\beta_{j^*}| = |\tau_{j^*}| = \mathcal{O}(N^{-2}) & \text{if } j^* = \frac{3N}{4} - 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can conclude that

$$\|b\|_\infty \leq CN^{-2} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad |(S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j)| \leq CN^{-2}, \quad j = \frac{N}{4} + 1, \dots, \frac{3N}{4} - 1. \quad (21)$$

We need the following interpolation bound for our later analysis.

Lemma 4. *Let $K \in \mathcal{T}_N$, Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. ΠS denotes the new interpolation of S . Then there exists a constant C such that the following inequality holds:*

$$\|S - \Pi S\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq CN^{-2}.$$

Proof. Triangle inequality generates

$$\|S - \Pi S\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq \|S - S^I\|_{L^\infty(K)} + \|S^I - \Pi S\|_{L^\infty(K)}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\|S - S^I\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq CN^{-2}, \quad (23)$$

is direct with Lemma 3. And using (21), we can derive that

$$\|S^I - \Pi S\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq CN^{-2}. \quad (24)$$

Thus we have done. \square

The following stability of Lagrange interpolation will be frequently used later: $\forall K \in \mathcal{T}_N$ and $v \in C^1(K)$, the Lagrange interpolation v^I satisfies

$$|v^I|_{1, L^\infty(K)} \leq C|v|_{1, L^\infty(K)}. \quad (25)$$

Lemma 5. *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. E_i^I denote the standard Lagrange interpolations of E_i , $i = 1, 2, 12$, respectively. Then there exists a constant C such that the following inequalities hold:*

$$\|E_1 - E_1^I\| + \|E_2 - E_2^I\| + \|E_{12} - E_{12}^I\| \leq CN^{-\sigma}, \quad (26)$$

$$\|E_1 - E_1^I\|_\varepsilon + \|E_2 - E_2^I\|_\varepsilon + \|E_{12} - E_{12}^I\|_\varepsilon \leq CN^{-1}, \quad (27)$$

$$\|QE_1\|_\varepsilon + \|BE_1\|_\varepsilon \leq C(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}N^{\frac{1}{2}})N^{-\sigma}. \quad (28)$$

Proof. (a) Consider $\|E_1 - E_1^I\|_\varepsilon$. We split $\|E_1 - E_1^I\|$ into

$$\|E_1 - E_1^I\|^2 = \|E_1 - E_1^I\|_{[0, x_{\frac{N-1}{2}}] \times [0, 1]}^2 + \|E_1 - E_1^I\|_{\Omega_0}^2 + \|E_1 - E_1^I\|_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]}^2.$$

Use Lemma 3, Assumption 1 and Lemma 1 to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_1 - E_1^I\|_{[0, x_{\frac{N-1}{2}}] \times [0, 1]}^2 &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{s+t=2} h_{x,i}^{2s} h_{y,j}^{2t} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 E_1}{\partial x^s \partial y^t} \right\|_{K_{i,j}}^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{s+t=2} h_{x,i}^{2s} h_{y,j}^{2t} (\varepsilon^{-s} e^{-\frac{\beta x_i}{\varepsilon}})^2 h_{x,i} h_{y,j} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon N^{-4}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Triangular inequality, Assumption 1, Lemma 1 and (25) yield

$$\|E_1 - E_1^I\|_{\Omega_0}^2 \leq \|E_1\|_{\Omega_0}^2 + \|E_1^I\|_{\Omega_0}^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_1\|_{\Omega_0}^2 &\leq C \int_{\Omega_0} (e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\varepsilon}})^2 \leq C\varepsilon N^{-2\sigma}, \\ \|E_1^I\|_{\Omega_0}^2 &\leq C \int_{\Omega_0} (N^{-\sigma})^2 \leq CN^{-2\sigma-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|E_1 - E_1^I\|_{\Omega_0}^2 \leq CN^{-2\sigma-1}. \quad (30)$$

Follow the same argument as for $\|E_1 - E_1^I\|_{\Omega_0}^2$, we have

$$\|E_1 - E_1^I\|_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{2\sigma}. \quad (31)$$

$\|(E_1 - E_1^I)_x\|$ can be decomposed as

$$\|(E_1 - E_1^I)_x\|^2 = \|(E_1 - E_1^I)_x\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}}^2 + \|(E_1 - E_1^I)_x\|_{\Omega_s \cup \Omega_y}^2.$$

Similar to (29), one has

$$\|(E_1 - E_1^I)_x\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}N^{-2}. \quad (32)$$

Triangular inequality, inverse inequality, Assumption 1, Lemma 1 and (25) yield

$$\|(E_1 - E_1^I)_x\|_{\Omega_s \cup \Omega_y}^2 \leq C(\|(E_1)_x\|_{\Omega_s \cup \Omega_y}^2 + \|(E_1^I)_x\|_{\Omega_0}^2 + \|(E_1^I)_x\|_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]}^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|(E_1)_x\|_{\Omega_s \cup \Omega_y}^2 &\leq C\varepsilon^{-1}N^{-2\sigma}, \\ \|(E_1^I)_x\|_{\Omega_0}^2 &\leq Ch_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1}^{-2}N^{-2\sigma}h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}N^{-2\sigma}, \\ \|(E_1^I)_x\|_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]}^2 &\leq C\varepsilon^{2\sigma-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|(E_1 - E_1^I)_x\|_{\Omega_s \cup \Omega_y}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}N^{-2\sigma}. \quad (33)$$

The argument for $\|(E_1 - E_1^I)_y\|$ is similar to $\|(E_1 - E_1^I)_x\|$, hence

$$\|(E_1 - E_1^I)_y\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}}^2 \leq C\varepsilon N^{-2}. \quad (34)$$

$$\|(E_1 - E_1^I)_y\|_{\Omega_s \cup \Omega_y}^2 \leq CN^{-2\sigma}. \quad (35)$$

(b) Consider $\|E_2 - E_2^I\|_\varepsilon$ and $\|E_{12} - E_{12}^I\|_\varepsilon$. Their proofs are analogous to $\|E_1 - E_1^I\|_\varepsilon$, therefore are omitted. Here we just give the main conclusions that will be used in our

later analysis.

$$\|E_2 - E_2^I\|_{\Omega_y \cup \Omega_{xy}}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{-4}, \quad (36)$$

$$\|E_2 - E_2^I\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_s}^2 \leq CN^{-2\sigma}, \quad (37)$$

$$\|(E_2 - E_2^I)_x\|_{\Omega_y \cup \Omega_{xy}}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{-2}, \quad (38)$$

$$\|(E_2 - E_2^I)_x\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_s}^2 \leq CN^{-2\sigma}, \quad (39)$$

$$\|(E_2 - E_2^I)_y\|_{\Omega_y \cup \Omega_{xy}}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^{-2}, \quad (40)$$

$$\|(E_2 - E_2^I)_y\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_s}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^{-2\sigma}, \quad (41)$$

and

$$\|E_{12} - E_{12}^I\|_{\Omega_{xy}}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}N^{-4}, \quad (42)$$

$$\|E_{12} - E_{12}^I\|_{\Omega_m \Omega_{xy}}^2 \leq CN^{-2\sigma}, \quad (43)$$

$$\|(E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_x\|_{\Omega_{xy}}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^{-2}, \quad (44)$$

$$\|(E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_x\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_y}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}N^{-2\sigma}, \quad (45)$$

$$\|(E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_x\|_{\Omega_s}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}N^{-4\sigma}, \quad (46)$$

$$\|(E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_y\|_{\Omega_{xy}}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{-2}, \quad (47)$$

$$\|(E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_y\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_y}^2 \leq CN^{-2\sigma}, \quad (48)$$

$$\|(E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_y\|_{\Omega_s}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}N^{-4\sigma}. \quad (49)$$

The above inequalities give the estimates for (26) and (27).

(c) Consider $\|QE_1\|_\varepsilon$ and $\|BE_1\|_\varepsilon$. (17), (18), Lemma 1 and inverse inequality generate

$$\begin{aligned} \|QE_1\|_\varepsilon^2 &\leq CN^{-2\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^N \|\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}\|_\varepsilon^2 \\ &\leq CN^{-2\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^N (\varepsilon h_{x,\frac{N}{2}-1}^{-2} h_{x,\frac{N}{2}-1} h_{y,j} + \varepsilon h_{y,j}^{-2} h_{x,\frac{N}{2}-1} h_{y,j} + h_{x,\frac{N}{2}-1} h_{y,j}) \\ &\leq CN^{-2\sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

and similarly,

$$\|BE_1\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{-2\sigma}. \quad (51)$$

Thus we have done. \square

4. Supercloseness property on a Bakhvalov-type mesh

In this section, supercloseness $\|u^N - \Pi u\|_\varepsilon$ will be analyzed in detail. (9) gives

$$C\|v\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq a(v, v) = a(u - \Pi u, v) = \varepsilon(\nabla(u - \Pi u), \nabla v) + (-b(u - \Pi u)_x, v) + (c(u - \Pi u), v).$$

Lemma 6. *Let u be the solution to (1) satisfying Assumptions 1 and 2, Πu be the new interpolation of u . For any $v \in V^N$, one has*

$$|\varepsilon(\nabla(u - \Pi u), \nabla v) + (c(u - \Pi u), v)| \leq CN^{-2}\|v\|_\varepsilon.$$

Proof.

$$\varepsilon(\nabla(u - \Pi u), \nabla v) = \varepsilon(\nabla(E_1 + E_2 + E_{12} - \pi E_1 - E_2^I - E_{12}^I), \nabla v) + \varepsilon(\nabla(S - \Pi S), \nabla v).$$

(a) Consider $\varepsilon(\nabla(E_1 + E_2 + E_{12} - \pi E_1 - E_2^I - E_{12}^I), \nabla v)$. The following estimates are straightforward:

$$|\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_y \cup \Omega_s} (E_1 - \pi E_1)_x v_x + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (E_1 - \pi E_1)_y v_y| \leq CN^{-\sigma} \|v\|_\varepsilon, \quad (52)$$

$$|\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (E_2 - E_2^I)_x v_x + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_s} (E_2 - E_2^I)_y v_y| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\sigma} \|v\|_\varepsilon, \quad (53)$$

$$|\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_n \Omega_{xy}} (E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_x v_x + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_n \Omega_{xy}} (E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_y v_y| \leq CN^{-\sigma} \|v\|_\varepsilon, \quad (54)$$

where we have used some conclusions in Lemma 5.

To analyze the remaining terms, we introduce two integral identities from [24] that have been detailed demonstrated in [23, Th 4.3.]:

$$\int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (w - w^I) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \int_K \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial y^2} F(y) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{2}{3} (y - y_K) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \right), \quad (55a)$$

$$\int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (w - w^I) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \int_K \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y} E(x) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{2}{3} (x - x_K) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \right), \quad (55b)$$

where

$$F(y) = \frac{(y - y_K)^2 - \bar{h}_K^2}{2},$$

$$E(x) = \frac{(x - x_K)^2 - h_K^2}{2},$$

$K \in \mathcal{T}_N$, h_K denotes half the length of K in the x direction, \bar{h}_K denotes half the width of K in the y direction, (x_K, y_K) denotes the center of K , and K can be denoted as $(x_K - h_K, x_K + h_K) \times (y_K - \bar{h}_K, y_K + \bar{h}_K)$.

For term $\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} (E_1 - \pi E_1)_x v_x$, we first split it into

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} (E_1 - \pi E_1)_x v_x = \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} (E_1 - E_1^I)_x v_x + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} (E_1^I - \pi E_1)_x v_x.$$

Use (55a) to derive

$$|\varepsilon \int_{K \subset \Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} (E_1 - E_1^I)_x v_x| \leq C \int_K e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\varepsilon}} |F(y)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right| \leq CN^{-2} \|e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\varepsilon}}\|_K \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right\|_K,$$

summing over $K \subset \Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}$ and applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$|\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} (E_1 - E_1^I)_x v_x| \leq CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (56)$$

(16)-(18) combined with inverse inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} (E_1^I - \pi E_1)_x v_x| &= |\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (E_1(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j})_x v_x| \\ &\leq C \varepsilon N^{-\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \|(\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j})_x\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} \|v_x\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon N^{-\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-2}^{-1} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-2}^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{y, j}^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} \\ &\leq CN^{-\sigma + \frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Similar to $\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_{xy}} (E_1 - E_1^I)_x v_x$, except that (55b) is used, we can obtain

$$|\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_y \cup \Omega_{xy}} (E_2 - E_2^I)_y v_y| \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (58)$$

Lemma 1 combined with (55a) generates

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varepsilon \int_{K \subset \Omega_{xy}} (E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_x v_x| &\leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \int_K \left[e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\varepsilon}} (e^{-\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} + e^{-\frac{1-y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}) \right] |F(y)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right| \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \left[h_{y,j}^2 \max(e^{-\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} + e^{-\frac{1-y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}) \right] \|e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\varepsilon}}\|_K \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right\|_K \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{-1} (\sqrt{\varepsilon} N^{-1})^2 \|e^{-\frac{\beta x}{\varepsilon}}\|_K \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right\|_K,
\end{aligned}$$

then summing up:

$$|\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{xy}} (E_{12}^I - E_{12})_x v_x| \leq CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (59)$$

Similar to $\varepsilon \int_{K \subset \Omega_{xy}} (E_{12} - E_{12}^I)_x v_x$, just substituting (55a) to (55b), we have

$$|\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{xy}} (E_{12}^I - E_{12})_y v_y| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (60)$$

Collect (52)-(54) and (56)-(60), one has

$$|\varepsilon (\nabla(E_1 + E_2 + E_{12} - \pi E_1 - E_2^I - E_{12}^I), \nabla v)| \leq CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (61)$$

(b) Consider $\varepsilon (\nabla(S - \Pi S), \nabla v)$. It can be split into

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon (\nabla(S - \Pi S), \nabla v) \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\Omega n([x_{\frac{N}{2}-2}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}])} (\nabla(S - S^I), \nabla v) + \varepsilon \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-2}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}]} (\nabla(S - \Pi S), \nabla v).
\end{aligned}$$

Use the two integral identities (55a) and (55b) to obtain

$$|\varepsilon \int_K (\nabla(S - S^I), \nabla v)| \leq C\varepsilon N^{-3} \|\nabla v\|_K,$$

summing over $K \subset \Omega n([x_{\frac{N}{2}-2}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}])$ and taking use of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one has

$$|\varepsilon \int_{\Omega n([x_{\frac{N}{2}-2}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}])} (\nabla(S - S^I), \nabla v)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (62)$$

Let $S - \Pi S = S - S^I + S^I - \Pi S$,

$$|\varepsilon \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-2}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}]} (\nabla(S - S^I), \nabla v)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (63)$$

is straightforward with Lemma 3. Apply (24), inverse inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\varepsilon \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-2}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}]} (\nabla(S^I - \Pi S), \nabla v)| \\
& \leq C\varepsilon \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}-2}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} h_{x,i}^{-1} \|S^I - \Pi S\|_{L^\infty(K_{i,j})} (h_{x,i} h_{y,j})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v\|_{K_{i,j}} \\
& \leq CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Collect (62)-(64), one can obtain

$$|\varepsilon (\nabla(S - \Pi S), \nabla v)| \leq CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \tag{65}$$

(c) Consider $(c(u - \Pi u), v)$. Recall Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, we have

$$|(c(u - \Pi u), v)| \leq CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \tag{66}$$

Then, with (61), (65) and (66), we establish the assertion for Lemma 6. \square

Lemma 7. *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold, πE_1 be the interpolation of E_1 defined in (16), E_2^I and E_{12}^I be the standard Lagrange interpolations of E_2 and E_{12} , respectively. For any $v \in V^N$, one has*

$$|(-b(E_1 + E_2 + E_{12} - \pi E_1 - E_2^I - E_{12}^I)_x, v)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|v\|_\varepsilon + CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon.$$

Proof. Green's formula generates

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-b(E_1 + E_2 + E_{12} - \pi E_1 - E_2^I - E_{12}^I)_x, v) \\
& = \int_\Omega b(E_1 - \pi E_1) v_x + \int_\Omega b(E_{12} - E_{12}^I) v_x + \int_\Omega b_x [(E_1 - \pi E_1) + (E_{12} - E_{12}^I)] v - \int_\Omega b(E_2 - E_2^I) v_x.
\end{aligned}$$

(a) Consider $\int_\Omega b(E_1 - \pi E_1) v_x$. It can be decomposed as

$$\int_\Omega b(E_1 - \pi E_1) v_x = \int_{\Omega_n \Omega_0} b(E_1 - E_1^I) v_x + \int_{\Omega_n \Omega_0} b \mathcal{Q} E_1 v_x - \int_\Omega b \mathcal{B} E_1 v_x + \int_{\Omega_0} b [E_1 - (E_1^I - \mathcal{Q} E_1)] v_x.$$

Use Hölder inequality, (29) and (31) to obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_n \Omega_0} b(E_1 - E_1^I)v_x \right| \leq C(N^{-2} + C\varepsilon^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}})\|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (67)$$

From (17), we know that $\mathcal{Q}E_1|_{\Omega_n \Omega_0} = \sum_{j=0}^N E_1(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j)\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_n \Omega_0} b\mathcal{Q}E_1v_x \right| &\leq C\|\mathcal{Q}E_1\|_{\Omega_n \Omega_0}\|v_x\|_{\Omega_n \Omega_0} \\ &\leq CN^{-\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^N \|\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}\|_{\Omega_n \Omega_0}\|v_x\|_{\Omega_n \Omega_0} \\ &\leq CN^{-\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (h_{x,\frac{N}{2}-2}h_{y,j})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v_x\|_{\Omega_n \Omega_0} \\ &\leq CN^{-\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

By (18), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| - \int_{\Omega} b\mathcal{B}E_1v_x \right| &\leq CN^{-\sigma} \sum_{j=0,N} \|\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}\|_{\Omega}\|v_x\|_{\Omega} \\ &\leq CN^{-\sigma} \sum_{j=0,N-1} (h_{x,\frac{N}{2}-1}h_{y,j})^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_\varepsilon \\ &\leq CN^{-\sigma}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_\varepsilon \\ &\leq CN^{-\sigma-\frac{1}{4}}\|v\|_\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

here we have used $h_{x,\frac{N}{2}-1} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ from Lemma 2.

For $\int_{\Omega_0} b[E_1 - (E_1^I - \mathcal{Q}E_1)]v_x$, according to (17) and triangle inequality, we can see that

$$\|E_1 - (E_1^I - \mathcal{Q}E_1)\|_{\Omega_0}^2 \leq \|E_1\|_{\Omega_0}^2 + \|E_1^I - \mathcal{Q}E_1\|_{\Omega_0}^2, \quad E_1^I - \mathcal{Q}E_1|_{\Omega_0} = \sum_{j=0}^N E_1(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_j)\theta_{\frac{N}{2},j},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_1\|_{\Omega_0}^2 &\leq C\varepsilon N^{-2\sigma}, \\ \|E_1^I - \mathcal{Q}E_1\|_{\Omega_0}^2 &\leq C\varepsilon^{2\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^N \|\theta_{\frac{N}{2},j}\|_{\Omega_0}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{2\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (h_{x,\frac{N}{2}-1}h_{y,j}) \leq C\varepsilon^{2\sigma}N^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_0} b [E_1 - (E_1^I - \mathcal{Q}E_1)] v_x \right| \leq CN^{-\sigma} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (70)$$

Collect (67)-(70), we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} b(E_1 - \pi E_1) v_x \right| \leq CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (71)$$

(b) Consider $\int_{\Omega} b(E_{12} - E_{12}^I) v_x$. It will be separated into the six cases Ω_{xy} , Ω_x , $[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([0, y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}, 1])$, $[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}, y_{\frac{N}{4}}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}])$, $[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}]$, and $[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_{xy}} b(E_{12} - E_{12}^I) v_x \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon,$$

can be deduced by (42). Furthermore, use Hölder inequality, Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and (25) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_x} b(E_{12} - E_{12}^I) v_x \right| &\leq C \|E_{12}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_x)} (\text{meas}\Omega_x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_x\|_{\Omega_x} \leq CN^{-\sigma} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_\varepsilon, \\ \left| \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([0, y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}, 1])} b(E_{12} - E_{12}^I) v_x \right| &\leq CN^{-\sigma} (\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_x\|_\varepsilon \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} N^{-\sigma - \frac{1}{8}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_\varepsilon, \\ \left| \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}, y_{\frac{N}{4}}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1})} b(E_{12} - E_{12}^I) v_x \right| &\leq CN^{-\sigma} (\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_x\|_\varepsilon \leq CN^{-\sigma - \frac{3}{8}} \|v\|_\varepsilon, \\ \left| \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}]} b(E_{12} - E_{12}^I) v_x \right| &\leq C\varepsilon^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} N^{-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma} \|v\|_\varepsilon, \\ \left| \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]} b(E_{12} - E_{12}^I) v_x \right| &\leq C\varepsilon^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ and $h_{y, \frac{N}{4}-1} = h_{y, \frac{3N}{4}} \leq C(\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are used and they can be deduced by Lemma 2.

In conclusion,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} b(E_{12} - E_{12}^I) v_x \right| \leq CN^{-\sigma} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_\varepsilon + C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (72)$$

(c) Consider $\int_{\Omega} b_x [(E_1 - \pi E_1) + (E_{12} - E_{12}^I)] v$. Lemma 5 generates

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} b_x [(E_1 - \pi E_1) + (E_{12} - E_{12}^I)] v \right| \leq CN^{-\sigma} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (73)$$

(d) Consider $\int_{\Omega} b(E_2 - E_2^I)_x v$. We separate the discussion into the cases of $\Omega_x \cup \Omega_s$ and $\Omega_{xy} \cup \Omega_y$. Recall (39), one has

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_x \cup \Omega_s} b(E_2 - E_2^I)_x v \right| \leq CN^{-\sigma} \|v\|_{\varepsilon}.$$

Green's formula generates

$$\int_{\Omega_{xy} \cup \Omega_y} b(E_2 - E_2^I)_x v = - \int_{\Omega_{xy} \cup \Omega_y} (b_x v + b v_x)(E_2 - E_2^I).$$

Then, we split $\Omega_{xy} \cup \Omega_y$ into Ω_{xy} , $[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([0, y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}, 1])$ and $[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times ([0, y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}, 1])$. In a similar way to (29), one can obtain

$$\left| - \int_{\Omega_{xy}} (b_x v + b v_x)(E_2 - E_2^I) \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|v\|_{\varepsilon}. \quad (74)$$

Assumption 1, Lemma 1, Lemma 2, Hölder inequality, triangle inequality and (25) generate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([0, y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}, 1])} (b_x v + b v_x)(E_2 - E_2^I) \right| \leq CN^{-\sigma-\frac{1}{4}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{\varepsilon}, \\ & \left| \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times ([0, y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}, 1])} (b_x v + b v_x)(E_2 - E_2^I) \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{\sigma-\frac{1}{4}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} b(E_2 - E_2^I)_x v \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|v\|_{\varepsilon}. \quad (75)$$

From (71)-(75), we give the proof of Lemma 7. \square

Lemma 8. *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold, ΠS be the new interpolation of S . For any $v \in V^N$, one has*

$$\left| (-b(S - \Pi S)_x, v) \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{\varepsilon} + CN^{-2} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. We decompose $(-b(S - \Pi S)_x, v)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & (b(S - \Pi S)_x, v) \\ &= \int_{[0, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}] \times [0, 1]} b(S - \Pi S)_x v + \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [0, 1]} b(S - \Pi S)_x v + \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]} b(S - S^I)_x v. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Apply Green's formula to the first term on the right-hand side of (76), we can get

$$\int_{[0, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}] \times [0, 1]} b(S - \Pi S)_x v = - \int_{[0, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}] \times [0, 1]} (b_x v + b v_x)(S - \Pi S) + \int_0^1 b(S - \Pi S)v(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y) dy.$$

Lemma 4 and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left| - \int_{[0, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}] \times [0, 1]} (b_x v + b v_x)(S - \Pi S) \right| &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|S - \Pi S\|_{K_{i,j}} \|v_x\|_{K_{i,j}} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} N^{-2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h_{x,i} h_{y,j} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|v\|_{K_{i,j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C N^{-2} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 b(S - \Pi S)v(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y) dy \right| &\leq C N^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \left(\int_0^{x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}} v_x(x, y) dx \right) dy \\ &\leq C N^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \|v_x\|_{L^1(K_{i,j})} \\ &\leq C N^{-2} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Before the estimate of the remained two terms of (76), we first define $\Pi^N b$, the discrete L_2 -projection of b , as

$$b^{K_{i,j}} = \Pi^N b|_{K_{i,j}} = \frac{1}{h_{x,i} h_{y,j}} \int_{K_{i,j}} b.$$

See that $\Pi^N b$ is a piecewise constant vector function. It is a standard result that

$$\|b - \Pi^N b\|_{\infty} \leq C N^{-1} |b|_{1, \infty}. \quad (77)$$

Furthermore, we will frequently make use of the following estimates in the subsequent analysis. For any $v \in V^N$ and $j = \frac{N}{4}, \dots, \frac{3N}{4} - 1$:

$$|v(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j)| \leq C h^{-1} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \left(\int_0^{x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}} v_x(x, y) dx \right) dy \leq C h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{[0, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}]}, \quad (78)$$

$$|v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_j)| \leq C \|v\|_{L^\infty(K_{\frac{N}{2}, j})} \leq C (h_{x, \frac{N}{2}} h_{y, j})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{K_{\frac{N}{2}, j}} \leq C N \|v\|_{K_{\frac{N}{2}, j}}, \quad (79)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(S - \mathcal{P}S)_x\|_{L^\infty(K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j})} &\leq \|(S - S^I)_x\|_{L^\infty(K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j})} + \|(S^I - \mathcal{P}S)_x\|_{L^\infty(K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j})} \\
&\leq CN^{-1} + Ch_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1}^{-1} \|S^I - \mathcal{P}S\|_{L^\infty(K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j})} \\
&\leq Ch_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1}^{-1} N^{-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Now, we decompose the second term of (76) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [0, 1]} b(S - \Pi S)_x v \\
&= \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([0, y_{\frac{N}{4}}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}}, 1])} b(S - S^I)_x v + \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times [y_{\frac{N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}]} b(S - \mathcal{P}S)_x v \\
&= I + II + III + IV,
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

where

$$I =: \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([0, y_{\frac{N}{4}}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}}, 1])} b(S - S^I)_x v, \tag{82}$$

$$II =: \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}} b^{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}} (S - \mathcal{P}S)_x \left[v(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j} + v(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_{j+1}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j+1} \right], \tag{83}$$

$$III =: \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}} (b^{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}} - b^{K_{\frac{N}{2},j}}) (S - \mathcal{P}S)_x \left[v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j} + v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_{j+1}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j+1} \right], \tag{84}$$

$$IV =: \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1,j}} b^{K_{\frac{N}{2},j}} (S - \mathcal{P}S)_x \left[v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j} + v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_{j+1}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2},j+1} \right]. \tag{85}$$

Take use of the integral identity from [24]: Let $K \in \mathcal{T}_N$ and suppose that K is $K_{i,j}$, (x_K, y_K) is the center of K , then

$$\int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (S - S^I) v = \int_K R(S, v) + \frac{h_{x,i}^2}{12} \left[\int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{i+1}, y) dy - \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_i, y) dy \right], \tag{86}$$

where

$$R(S, v) = \frac{1}{3}E(x)(x - x_K) \frac{\partial^3 S}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{h_{x,i}^2}{12} \frac{\partial^3 S}{\partial x^3} v + F(y) \frac{\partial^3 S}{\partial x \partial y^2} \cdot \left[v - (x - x_K) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{2}{3}(y - y_K) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{2}{3}(x - x_K)(y - y_K) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \right],$$

and refer to [23, (4.24)-(4.31)] for detailed information, the last term of (76) can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]} b(S - S^I)_x v \\ &= \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]} (b - \Pi^N b)(S - S^I)_x v + \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]} \Pi^N b(S - S^I)_x v \quad (87) \\ &= V + VI + VII + VIII + IX, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$V =: \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]} (b - \Pi^N b)(S - S^I)_x v, \quad (88)$$

$$VI =: \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]} \Pi^N b R(S, v), \quad (89)$$

$$VII =: \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}}^{N-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{H^2}{12} (b^{K_{i,j}} - b^{K_{i+1,j}}) \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{i+1}, y) dy, \quad (90)$$

$$VIII =: \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} -b^{K_{\frac{N}{2},j}} \frac{H^2}{12} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy + \sum_{j=\frac{3N}{4}}^{N-1} -b^{K_{\frac{N}{2},j}} \frac{H^2}{12} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy, \quad (91)$$

$$IX =: \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} -b^{K_{\frac{N}{2},j}} \frac{H^2}{12} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy. \quad (92)$$

Then we give the estimate of $I - IX$. Recall Lemma 3 and triangle inequality, one

has

$$\begin{aligned}
|I| &\leq \left| \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([0, y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}, 1])} b(S - S^I)_x v \right| + \left| \int_{[x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, x_{\frac{N}{2}}] \times ([y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}, y_{\frac{N}{4}}] \cup [y_{\frac{3N}{4}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}+1}])} b(S - S^I)_x v \right| \\
&\leq CN^{-1} \left[(h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} h_{y, \frac{N}{4}-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \|v\|_{\varepsilon} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|v\|_{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Apply (78), (80) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|II| &\leq CN^{-2} \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1}^{-1} h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{[0, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}]} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} h \\
&\leq CN^{-2} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \left(\sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} h \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} \|v\|_{[0, x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}]}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq CN^{-2} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

Estimate of III can be easily obtained by (77), (79), (80) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}
|III| &\leq C \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} N^{-3} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1}^{-1} N \|v\|_{K_{\frac{N}{2}, j}} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} h \\
&\leq CN^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|v\|_{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

Identity (12) gives rise to

$$IV + IX = i + ii + iii + iv, \tag{96}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
i &=: -b^{K_{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{4}}} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}}^2 \int_{y_{\frac{N}{4}}}^{y_{\frac{N}{4}+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_{\frac{N}{4}}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{4}}(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy, \\
ii &=: -b^{K_{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{3N}{4}-1}} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}}^2 \int_{y_{\frac{3N}{4}-1}}^{y_{\frac{3N}{4}}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{3N}{4}}(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy, \\
iii &=: b^{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, \frac{N}{4}}} \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, \frac{N}{4}}} (S - \mathcal{P}S)_x v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_{\frac{N}{4}}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{4}}, \\
iv &=: b^{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, \frac{3N}{4}-1}} \int_{K_{\frac{N}{2}-1, \frac{3N}{4}-1}} (S - \mathcal{P}S)_x v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y_{\frac{3N}{4}}) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}, \frac{3N}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have, from the standard approximation theory and (79)

$$|i + ii| \leq CN^{-2}\|v\|_\varepsilon, \quad (97)$$

and from (79) as well as (80)

$$|iii + iv| \leq CN^{-2}\|v\|_\varepsilon, \quad (98)$$

Recall (77) and Lemma 3, one obtains

$$|V| \leq CN^{-2}\|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (99)$$

For VI, inverse inequality and Hölder inequality yield

$$\left| \int_{K \subset [x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]} \Pi^N bR(S, v) \right| \leq CN^{-1} \|R(S, v)\|_K \leq CN^{-3} \|v\|_K$$

Summing over $K \subset [x_{\frac{N}{2}}, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$|VI| \leq CN^{-3} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|v\|_{K_{i,j}} \leq CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \quad (100)$$

Trace inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} |VII| &\leq CN^{-3} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}}^{N-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{-1} \|v\|_{L^1(K_{i,j})} \\ &\leq CN^{-3} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}}^{N-2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{-\frac{1}{2}} h^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{K_{i,j}} \\ &\leq CN^{-2} \|v\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

For VIII, it is suffice to discuss the first term on the right-hand side, since the situation on the second term is the same as the first term. Triangle inequality, Trace inequality

and Cauchy-Shwarz inequality give us

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} -b^{K_{\frac{N}{2},j}} \frac{H^2}{12} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy \right| \\
& \leq \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-2} -b^{K_{\frac{N}{2},j}} \frac{H^2}{12} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy \right| + \left| -b^{K_{\frac{N}{2},\frac{N}{4}-1}} \frac{H^2}{12} \int_{y_{\frac{N}{4}-1}}^{y_{\frac{N}{4}}} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} v(x_{\frac{N}{2}}, y) dy \right| \\
& \leq CN^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-2} H^{-1} \|v\|_{L^1(K_{\frac{N}{2},j})} + CN^{-2} H^{-1} \|v\|_{L^1(K_{\frac{N}{2},\frac{N}{4}-1})} \\
& \leq CN^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-2} h_{y,j} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-2} \|v\|_{K_{i,j}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + CN^{-2} \|v\|_{K_{\frac{N}{2},\frac{N}{4}-1}} \\
& \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \|v\|_{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

Collect (93)-(102), we are done. \square

Now we give the main theorem.

Theorem 1. *Under the conditions that both Assumptions 1 and 2 are true. Suppose that u is the exact solution to (1), u^I is the standard Lagrange interpolation of u , and u^N is the corresponding finite element solution. Then, one has*

$$\|u^I - u^N\|_{\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N + CN^{-2} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N.$$

Proof. Triangle inequality yields

$$\|u^I - u^N\|_{\varepsilon} \leq \|u^I - \Pi u\|_{\varepsilon} + \|\Pi u - u^N\|_{\varepsilon}. \tag{103}$$

Collecting Lemma 6, Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we have

$$\|\Pi u - u^N\|_{\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\frac{3}{2}} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N + CN^{-2} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N. \tag{104}$$

Then, for $\|u^I - \Pi u\|_{\varepsilon}$,

$$\|u^I - \Pi u\|_{\varepsilon} \leq \|E_1^I - \pi E_1\|_{\varepsilon} + \|S^I - \Pi S\|_{\varepsilon}.$$

Lemma 5 yields

$$\|E_1^I - \pi E_1\|_\varepsilon = \|\mathcal{Q}E_1 - \mathcal{B}E_1\|_\varepsilon \leq C(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}N^{\frac{1}{2}})N^{-\sigma}. \quad (105)$$

(21) and inverse inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^I - \Pi S\|_\varepsilon &= \left\| \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}+1}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} (S^I - \mathcal{P}S)(x_{\frac{N}{2}-1}, y_j) \theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j} \right\|_\varepsilon \\ &\leq CN^{-2} \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}+1}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} \|\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-1, j}\|_\varepsilon \\ &\leq CN^{-2} \sum_{j=\frac{N}{4}+1}^{\frac{3N}{4}-1} (h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1}^{-1} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} h + h^{-1} h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} h + h_{x, \frac{N}{2}-1} h) \\ &\leq CN^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

Collecting (104), (105) and (106), we are done. \square

5. Numerical results

Here we will do some numerical experiments to support our theoretical results. Calculations are performed by Intel Visual Fortran 11, and we can refer to [1] for the discrete problems.

Consider the following test problem of (1):

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \Delta u - (3 - x - y)u_x + 2u &= f(x, y) && \text{in } \Omega = (0, 1)^2, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $f(x, y)$ such that

$$u(x, y) = \left(\cos \frac{\pi x}{2} - \frac{e^{-\frac{x}{\varepsilon}} - e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}} \right) \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}})(1 - e^{-\frac{1-y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}})}{1 - e^{-\frac{y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}}} \right).$$

is the exact solution to (1).

In our investigation, we use the bilinear FEM and assume that $\varepsilon \leq N^{-1}$. Numerical results can be found in Table 1, which lists errors and convergence order under the energy norm $\|u^I - u^N\|_\varepsilon$ in the case of $\varepsilon = 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, \dots, 10^{-8}$ and $N = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, , 256$.

Table 1 indicates that $\|u^I - u^N\|_\varepsilon$ converges at a rate of almost $\mathcal{O}(N^{-2})$, verifying Theorem 1.

Table 1: Errors of $\|u^I - u^N\|_\varepsilon$ and convergence order

ε	N					
	8	16	32	64	128	256
10^{-2}	0.132E-01	0.167E-02	0.209E-03	0.264E-04	0.334E-05	0.426E-06
	2.99	2.99	2.99	2.98	2.97	—
10^{-3}	0.223E-01	0.336E-02	0.386E-03	0.439E-04	0.525E-05	0.647E-06
	2.73	3.12	3.14	3.06	3.02	—
10^{-4}	0.281E-01	0.295E-02	0.353E-03	0.498E-04	0.801E-05	0.117E-05
	3.25	3.07	2.82	2.64	2.77	—
10^{-5}	0.235E-01	0.266E-02	0.339E-03	0.508E-04	0.941E-05	0.199E-05
	3.14	2.98	2.74	2.43	2.24	—
10^{-6}	0.208E-01	0.249E-02	0.329E-03	0.504E-04	0.952E-05	0.212E-05
	3.06	2.92	2.71	2.40	2.17	—
10^{-7}	0.195E-01	0.241E-02	0.324E-03	0.501E-04	0.952E-05	0.213E-05
	3.02	2.90	2.69	2.40	2.16	—
10^{-8}	0.191E-01	0.239E-02	0.323E-03	0.501E-04	0.953E-05	0.213E-05
	3.00	2.89	2.69	2.39	2.16	—

6. Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

7. Bibliography

References

- [1] M. Benzi, G. H. Golub, and J. Liesen. Numerical solution of saddle point problems. *Acta Numer.*, 14:1–137, 2005.
- [2] S. C. Brenner and L. . Scott. *The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2008.
- [3] S. Franz and T. Linß. Superconvergence analysis of the Galerkin FEM for a singularly perturbed convection-diffusion problem with characteristic layers. *Numer. Meth. Partial Differential Equations*, 24(1):144–164, 2008.
- [4] J. L. Gracia, F. J. Lisbona, and E. O’Riordan. A coupled system of singularly perturbed parabolic reaction-diffusion equations. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 32(1):43–61, 2010.
- [5] W. Guo and M. Stynes. Pointwise error estimates for a streamline diffusion scheme on a Shishkin mesh for a convection-diffusion problem. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 17(1):29–59, 1997.
- [6] R. B. Kellogg and M. Stynes. Corner singularities and boundary layers in a simple convection-diffusion problem. *J. Differential Equations*, 213(1):81–120, 2005.
- [7] R. B. Kellogg and M. Stynes. Sharpened bounds for corner singularities and boundary layers in a simple convection-diffusion problem. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 20(5):539–544, 2007.
- [8] J. Li. Convergence and superconvergence analysis of finite element methods on highly nonuniform anisotropic meshes for singularly perturbed reaction-diffusion problems. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 36(2-3):129–154, 2001.

- [9] T. Linß. Layer-adapted meshes for convection-diffusion problems. *Comput. Methods in Appl. Mechanics and Engineering*, 192(9-10):1061–1105, 2003.
- [10] T. Linß. Analysis of a FEM for a coupled system of singularly perturbed reaction-diffusion equations. *Numer. Algorithms*, 50(3):283–291, 2009.
- [11] T. Linß. *Layer-adapted Meshes for Reaction-convection-diffusion Problems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [12] X. Liu, M. Stynes, and J. Zhang. Supercloseness of edge stabilization on Shishkin rectangular meshes for convection-diffusion problems with exponential layers. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 38(4):2105–2122, 2018.
- [13] X. Liu and J. Zhang. Pointwise estimates of SDFEM on Shishkin triangular meshes for problems with characteristic layers. *Numer. Algorithms*, 78(2):465–483, 2018.
- [14] H-G. Roos. Error estimates for linear finite elements on Bakhvalov-type meshes. *Appl. Math.*, 51(1):63–72, 2006.
- [15] H-G. Roos and M. Stynes. Some open questions in the numerical analysis of singularly perturbed differential equations. *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.*, 15(4):531–550, 2015.
- [16] H-G. Roos, M. Stynes, and L. Tobiska. *Robust Numerical Methods for Singularly Perturbed Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2008.
- [17] H-G. Roos. Layer-adapted grids for singular perturbation problems. *ZAMM*, 78(5):291–309, 1998.
- [18] H-G. Roos and T. Linß. Sufficient conditions for uniform convergence on layer-adapted grids. *Comput.*, 63(1):27–45, 1999.
- [19] M. Stynes and L. Tobiska. The SDFEM for a convection-diffusion problem with a boundary layer: optimal error analysis and enhancement of accuracy. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 41(5):1620–1642, 2003.

- [20] J. Zhang and X. Liu. Optimal order of uniform convergence for finite element method on Bakhvalov-type meshes. *J. Sci. Comput.*, 85(1):Paper No. 2, 14, 2020.
- [21] J. Zhang and X. Liu. Supercloseness and postprocessing for linear finite element method on Bakhvalov-type meshes. *Numer. Algorithms*, 92(3):1553–1570, 2023.
- [22] J. Zhang and M. Stynes. Supercloseness of continuous interior penalty method for convection-diffusion problems with characteristic layers. *Comput. Methods in Appl. Mechanics and Engineering*, 319:549–566, 2017.
- [23] Z. Zhang. Finite element superconvergence on Shishkin mesh for 2-D convection-diffusion problems. *Math. Comp.*, 72(243):1147–1177, 2003.
- [24] Q. Lin and N. Yan. *Construction and Analysis of High Efficient Finite Elements*. Hebei University Press, P.R. China, 1996.
- [25] J. Zhang and Y. Lv. Supercloseness of finite element method on a Bakhvalov-type mesh for a singularly perturbed problem with two parameters. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 171:329–352, 2022.
- [26] J. Zhang and X. Liu. Supercloseness of linear finite element method on Bakhvalov-type meshes for singularly perturbed convection-diffusion equation in 1D. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 111:Paper No. 106624, 7, 2021.