

FACERNET: A FACIAL EXPRESSION INTENSITY ESTIMATION NETWORK

Dimitrios Kollias*

Andreas Psaroudakis[†]

Anastasios Arsenos[†]

Paraskevi Theofilou[†]

* Queen Mary University of London, UK

d.kollias@qmul.ac.uk

[†] National Technical University of Athens, Greece

ABSTRACT

This paper presents FaceRNET, a novel approach targeting dynamic multi-output Facial Expression Intensity Estimation from videos. FaceRNET tackles two issues: i) the varying input video lengths and ii) the annotation being at video-level. FaceRNET consists, at first, of a component that performs local -per frame- analysis, extracting features in the form of various emotion representations (valence-arousal, action units and basic expressions). These representations are then fed to an RNN that captures their temporal dependencies. These two components perform global (per video) analysis. The RNN features are then concatenated and fed to a Mask layer, which enables handling effectively varying input video lengths through dynamic routing. The Mask layer's output features are then fed to a dense layer that extracts high level information from them. Finally, the output layer follows that provides intensity estimates for the 7 expressions. In the experimental study, we utilize the Hume-Reaction dataset and illustrate that FaceRNET yields state-of-the-art results, even outperforming multimodal or ensemble methods.

Index Terms— expression, VA, AU, Hume-Reaction

1. INTRODUCTION

The human emotion constitutes a conscious subjective experience that can be expressed in various ways. Emotions express the psychological status and greatly affect the actions of a human being. The development in the field of Artificial Intelligence and Deep Learning has led to scientific studies of intelligent systems capable of recognizing human emotions. To describe complex emotional states, psychologists have proposed multiple emotion descriptors: sparse descriptors like facial action units (activation of facial muscles) [1], continuous descriptors like valence and arousal (valence shows how positive/negative, arousal shows how active/passive the emotional state is) [2], and discrete class descriptors like the 6 basic expressions (anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, surprise) and neutral [3]. The focus of this paper is dynamic multi-output Facial Expression Intensity Estimation in which the studied facial expressions are: Adoration, Amusement, Anxiety, Disgust, Empathic-Pain, Fear and Surprise.

Our approach tackles cases where the annotations are at video-level rather than at frame-level, i.e., there exists one annotation for the whole video (in terms of 7 expressions' intensities). Videos are 3-D signals consisting of series of frames, i.e., 2-D images. Traditional approaches handle 3-D signals using 3-D CNN architectures that give one prediction per signal; however such architectures are very complex with a large number of parameters and require to have been pre-trained with other large 3-D databases. Other traditional approaches make a hypothesis and assign the video-level label to each frame of the video and then employ CNN-RNN networks to train with the annotated frames. However, the fact that the whole video has one facial expression intensity label does not mean that each frame in the video exhibits that particular facial expression intensity; it could be the case that only some frames display that particular facial expression intensity.

Our approach further tackles cases where the input videos have variable lengths. Traditional approaches, in order to handle the different input lengths, i.e., the different number of frames that each video contains, use some ad-hoc strategies, by selecting a fixed input length and removing frames when a larger length is met (thus losing information that could be important for the final decision), or duplicating frames when the input contains a smaller number of slices (this duplication affects negatively the final decision as the model gets biased towards the repeating data). What is more, this ad-hoc way of selecting the fixed input length needs to be tuned empirically in every different database.

In this paper we propose FaceRNET, a novel deep neural architecture, for dynamic multi-output Facial Expression Intensity Estimation (FEIE). FaceRNET consists of an affect Representation Extractor Component (REC) trained for multi-task learning of: i) valence-arousal (VA); ii) basic expressions; iii) action units (AU). To avoid noisy gradients and poor convergence behavior, we incorporate a novel loss when training this component; with this loss we aim to infuse to the network prior knowledge on the relationship between these affect representations, so as to guide the generation of better and consistent predictions. In this way this component learns robust features that encapsulate all aspects of facial behavior. This component is applied to each frame of the video. The affect representations are then fed to an RNN that captures

temporal information within the frames of the same videos; the RNN’s features are then passed to a Mask layer that dynamically selects specific RNN outputs and feeds them to a fully connected layer which is followed by the output layer that performs FEIE. The Mask layer is utilized so as to handle variable input representation lengths (due to variable frames per video) when training the network.

Let us note that, to the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that the three utilized emotion descriptors (VA, AUs and basic expressions) are extracted as intermediate representations and are subsequently used for FEIE.

2. RELATED WORK

[4] presented Supervised Scoring Ensemble (SSE) for emotion recognition. A new fusion structure is presented in which class-wise scoring activations at diverse complementary feature layers are concatenated and used as inputs for second-level supervision, acting as a deep feature ensemble within a single CNN architecture. [5] proposed a deep VisualAudio Attention Network (VAANet) for video emotion recognition; VAANet integrates spatial, channel-wise, and temporal attentions into a visual 3D CNN and temporal attentions into an audio 2D CNN. A polarity-consistent cross-entropy loss is proposed for guiding the attention generation, which is based on the polarity-emotion hierarchy constraint. [6] constructed an A/V hybrid network to recognize human emotions. A VGG-Face (for extracting per-frame features) and LSTM (for correlating these features according to their temporal dependencies) architecture was used for the visual data.

[7] was the winning method of Emotional Reactions Sub-Challenge of MuSe2022. This method consists of an audio feature encoding module (based on DenseNet121 and DeepSpectrum), a visual feature encoding module (based on PosterV2-Vit), and an audio-visual modality interaction module. [8] proposed ViPER, a modality agnostic late fusion network that leverages a transformer-based model that combines video frames, audio recordings, and textual annotations for FEIE. [9] proposed a dual-branch FEIE model; the one branch (composed of Temporal CNN and Transformer encoder) handles the visual modality and the other handles the audio one; modality dropout is added for A/V feature fusion.

3. METHODOLOGY

At first all input videos are padded to have length t (i.e., each input video consists of t frames). The input videos are first fed to an affect Representation Extractor Component (REC), which performs local (per 2-D image/frame) analysis, extracting features in the form of various emotion representations from the faces. Then these representations are fed to an RNN, which is placed on top of REC, so as to capture their temporal dependencies. The REC and RNN components perform global (per video) analysis. The RNN output features are then concatenated and fed to a Mask layer. This step is essential

since we have annotations at video-level (not at frame-level) and thus we know that all frames (and not just independent frames) may convey important information for the final prediction of the network. This step is also important as it is the Mask layer that dynamically selects RNN outputs taking into account the input length, i.e., the ‘true’ number of frames of the currently analyzed video. The output of the Mask layer is then fed to a dense (i.e. fully connected) layer. Finally, the output layer follows providing the 7 expressions’ intensity estimates. In the following, we further explain in more detail each component of our proposed method. Fig. 1 gives an overview of our proposed framework, FaceRNet, for dynamic multi-output Facial Expression Intensity Estimation.

3.1. REC: affect Representation Extractor Component

The affect Representation Extractor Component (REC) is a Multi-Task Learning (MTL) CNN that takes as input static images/frames and performs in parallel: i) continuous affect estimation, in terms of valence and arousal; ii) 7 basic expression recognition; and iii) 17 action unit (AU) detection. Fig.2 shows its structure, which is based on residual units (‘bn’ stands for batch normalization). One can see that the predictions for all tasks are pooled from the same feature space.

For training REC initially, we utilize multiple in-the-wild databases (Aff-Wild2 [10], AffectNet [11] and EmotioNet [12]) annotated in terms of valence-arousal, basic expressions and actions units. However, most of these utilized databases contain non-overlapping annotations for all tasks, e.g. EmotioNet is annotated only in terms of AUs (and does not contain valence-arousal and basic expression annotations). If we just train REC using these databases and the overall loss function is the sum of each task’s loss, then REC will exhibit noisy gradients and poor convergence behavior since all loss terms will not contribute to the total loss function (i.e., at each iteration, the network will not see images from all tasks). Additionally, one task could potentially dominate the training process leading to other issues faced in MTL (e.g. negative transfer that occurs when the performance of MT model can be worse than that of at least one single-task model).

To solve this, from the 7 basic expression predictions of REC (p_{emo}) we create new AU pseudo-predictions (p'_{AU}) according to the relatedness between expressions and AUs from Table 1 of [13]. The AU pseudo-predictions are modeled as a mixture over the basic expression categories:

$$p'_{AU} = \sum_{emo} p_{emo} \cdot p_{AU|emo} \quad (1)$$

where $p_{AU|emo}$ is defined deterministically from Table 1 of [13], and is 1 for prototypical/observational AUs; 0 otherwise.

Then we match REC’s AU predictions (p_{AU}) with the AU pseudo-predictions by minimizing the binary cross entropy with soft targets loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{DM} = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{17} [-p'_{AU} \log p'_{AU}] \right] \quad (2)$$




Fig. 1: The whole FaceRNET architecture for handling variable input lengths, with the dynamic routing mechanism and the Mask layer. This architecture performs dynamic multi-output facial expression intensity estimation.




Fig. 2: The affect Representation Extractor Component (REC) that extracts: VA, AUs and Basic Expressions

With this loss we aim to infuse prior knowledge on task’s relationship (according to Table 1 of [13]) into the network, so as to guide the generation of better and consistent expression and AU predictions. For instance, if the network predicts *happy* with probability 1 and also predicts that AUs 4, 15 and 1 are activated, this is a mistake as these AUs are associated with the emotion *sad*. In this case, the AU and expression predictions are in conflict. Therefore the overall objective function (\mathcal{L}_{REC}) minimized during REC’s training is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{REC} = \mathcal{L}_{CCC} + \mathcal{L}_{CCE} + \mathcal{L}_{BCE} + \mathcal{L}_{DM} \quad (3)$$

where: $\mathcal{L}_{CCC} = 1 - 0.5 \cdot (\rho_a + \rho_v)$, $\rho_{a/v}$ is Concordance Correlation Coefficient of arousal/valence; \mathcal{L}_{CCE} is categorical cross entropy loss; \mathcal{L}_{BCE} is binary cross entropy loss.

3.2. RNN, Mask layer and Routing Components

In the way that we described above, REC learns robust features that encapsulate all aspects of facial behavior. REC is applied to each frame of the videos. Each such frame’s affect

representation is then fed to an RNN which is placed on top of REC so as to capture temporal information and dependencies between consecutive frames within the same video. The RNN analyzes the REC features of the whole video sequence, sequentially moving from frame 0 to frame t .

As shown in Figure 1, we get RNN features corresponding to each video frame, from 0 to t . We then concatenate these features since our target is to estimate the intensity of various facial expressions using the whole video, similarly to the annotations provided in the utilized database. We feed the concatenated features to the Mask layer. The original (before padding) length l of the input video is transferred from the input to the Mask layer to inform the routing process. During model training, the routing mechanism performs dynamic selection of the RNN outputs, selecting as many of them as denoted by the length l of the input video, to keep their values, while zeroing the values of the rest RNN outputs and thus routing only the selected ones into the following dense layer.

The dense layer learns to extract high level information from the concatenated RNN outputs. During training, we update only the weights that connect the dense layer neurons with the RNN outputs routed in the concatenated vector by the Mask layer. The remaining weights are updated whenever (i.e., in another input video) respective RNN outputs are selected in the concatenated vector by the Mask layer. Loss function minimization is performed, as in networks with dynamic routing, by keeping the weights that do not participate in the routing process constant, and ignoring links that correspond to non-routed RNN outputs. Finally, the output layer follows that provides intensity estimates for the 7 expressions.

The loss function that we utilized for training FaceRNET was not the typical Mean Squared Error (MSE) but a loss based on the pearson correlation since that correlation metric was the evaluation criterion for the utilized database:

$$\mathcal{L}_{total} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^7 \frac{\rho_i}{7} = 1 - \frac{1}{7} \sum_{i=1}^7 \frac{s_{i,xy}}{\sqrt{s_{i,x} \cdot s_{i,y}}} \quad (4)$$

where: i denotes the facial expression; ρ_i is the pearson cor-

Table 1: Comparison between FaceRNET and the state-of-the-art on the test set

Model	Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient (ρ)
HFUT-CVers [7]	0.473
USTC-IAT-United [9]	0.438
USTC-AC [17]	0.373
NISL-2023 [18]	0.367
ViPER [8]	0.297
FAU-Baseline [14]	0.2801
VGGface 2-Baseline [14]	0.183
Fusion-Baseline [14]	0.203
FaceRNET	0.499

relation coefficient; $s_{i,x}$ and $s_{i,y}$ are the variances of the expression labels and predicted values; $s_{i,xy}$ is their covariance.

3.3. Dataset, Pre-Processing and Implementation Details

The Hume-Reaction dataset was used as part of both the Emotional Reactions Sub-Challenge of MuSe 2022 [14] and the Emotional Reaction Intensity Estimation Challenge of the 5th ABAW Competition 2023 [15]. It consists of 25,067 videos taken from 2,222 subjects of which 15,806 constitute the training set, 4,657 the validation set and 4,604 the test set.

We used the RetinaFace detector [16] to extract, from all images, face bounding boxes and 5 facial landmarks; the latter were used for face alignment. All cropped and aligned images were resized to $112 \times 112 \times 3$ pixel resolution and their intensity values were normalized to $[-1, 1]$.

We chose batch size equal to 4, length t equal to 480, Adam optimizer with learning rate 10^{-4} when training from scratch and 10^{-5} when training in an end-to-end manner, after having initialised each subnetwork. For RNN we utilize 1-layer GRU with 128 units; dense layer consists of 32 units. Training was performed on a Tesla V100 32GB GPU; training time was 3 days. The TensorFlow platform has been used.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Comparison with the state-of-the-art At first we compare the performance of FaceRNET to that of various baseline [14] and state-of-the-art methods, such as ViPER (multi-modal method exploiting audio, visual and text information) and the best performing HFUT-CVers (ensemble and multi-modal, A/V, method). Table 1 shows that uni-modal FaceRNET outperforms all other methods by large margins (although some methods are multimodal ones or even ensembles). Let us also note that all baseline and state-of-the-art methods utilized the ad-hoc strategy of selecting fixed input length by removing or duplicating images within each video sequence.

Ablation Study Then we perform various ablation experiments. Initially, we use only single-task affect representations (extracted from REC) as input for the RNN. Afterwards, we try out combinations of two tasks (e.g. VA & AUs) and as a

Table 2: Ablation Results on REC on the validation set

Behavior task	Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient (ρ)
VA	0.461
7 Basic Expressions	0.476
17 AUs	0.480
VA & 7 Basic Expressions	0.485
VA & 17 AUs	0.491
17 AUs & 7 Basic Expressions	0.491
VA & 7 Basic Expressions & 17 AUs	0.494

last experiment we concurrently use the affect representations from all three tasks. The results can be seen in Table 2. To not clutter them, we present only the best performance for every different experiment. It is worth noticing that, even when utilizing only valence and arousal, our network outperforms all other methods, except for HFUT-CVers. When extracted representations from all three tasks are jointly used, our method achieves the highest performance.

Then to find the best architecture for our network, we experiment with using different CNN and RNN (ResNet50 instead of REC and LSTM instead of GRU), and different number of layers and units, as can be seen in Table 3. After testing a large number of combinations, we conclude that the most efficient approach is to have a single GRU layer with 128 units followed by a fully connected layer with 32 units. Finally, we assess the added value that the Mask layer and the dynamic routing bring to our method, as well as the proposed loss function (instead of the typical MSE). Table 3 illustrates that they both contribute significantly to FaceRNET.

Table 3: Further Ablation Results on the validation set

Model	Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient (ρ)
REC + GRU + FC (64)	0.480
REC + GRU + FC (16)	0.485
REC + GRU + FC (8)	0.478
REC + $2 \times$ GRU + FC (32)	0.492
ResNet50 + GRU + FC (32)	0.465
REC + LSTM + FC (32)	0.482
REC + GRU (256) + FC (32)	0.484
REC + GRU (64) + FC (32)	0.488
FaceRNET w/o Mask & Routing	0.469
FaceRNET with MSE	0.481
FaceRNET	0.494

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper we propose FaceRNET for dynamic multi-output Facial Expression Intensity Estimation from videos. FaceRNET consists of an affect Representation Extractor Component and another that tackles the issue of varying input lengths by including a RNN and a Mask layer, that dynamically selects RNN outputs taking into account the input length, i.e., the number of frames of the currently analyzed video. Excellent performance has been achieved on the Hume-Reaction dataset verifying our developments and surpassing the state-of-the-art which were ensemble or multi-modal methods.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Paul Ekman and Wallace V Friesen, “Facial action coding system,” *Environmental Psychology & Nonverbal Behavior*, 1978.
- [2] Robert Plutchik, “A psychoevolutionary theory of emotions,” 1982.
- [3] Paul Ekman, “Facial action coding system (facs),” *A human face*, 2002.
- [4] Ping Hu, Dongqi Cai, Shandong Wang, Anbang Yao, and Yurong Chen, “Learning supervised scoring ensemble for emotion recognition in the wild,” in *Proceedings of the 19th ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*, New York, NY, USA, 2017, ICMi ’17, p. 553–560, Association for Computing Machinery.
- [5] Sicheng Zhao, Yunsheng Ma, Yang Gu, Jufeng Yang, Tengfei Xing, Pengfei Xu, Runbo Hu, Hua Chai, and Kurt Keutzer, “An end-to-end visual-audio attention network for emotion recognition in user-generated videos,” 2020.
- [6] Xin Guo, Luisa F. Polanía, and Kenneth E. Barner, “Audio-video emotion recognition in the wild using deep hybrid networks,” 2020.
- [7] Jia Li, Yin Chen, Xuesong Zhang, Jiantao Nie, Yangchen Yu, Ziqiang Li, Meng Wang, and Richang Hong, “Multimodal feature extraction and fusion for emotional reaction intensity estimation and expression classification in videos with transformers,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09164*, 2023.
- [8] Lorenzo Vaiani, Moreno La Quatra, Luca Cagliero, and Paolo Garza, “Viper: Video-based perceiver for emotion recognition,” in *Proceedings of the 3rd International on Multimodal Sentiment Analysis Workshop and Challenge*, 2022, pp. 67–73.
- [9] Jun Yu, Zhongpeng Cai, Renda Li, Gongpeng Zhao, Guochen Xie, Jichao Zhu, and Wangyuan Zhu, “Exploring large-scale unlabeled faces to enhance facial expression recognition,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08617*, 2023.
- [10] Dimitrios Kollias and Stefanos Zafeiriou, “Expression, affect, action unit recognition: Aff-wild2, multi-task learning and arcface,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.04855*, 2019.
- [11] Ali Mollahosseini, Behzad Hasani, and Mohammad H Mahoor, “Affectnet: A database for facial expression, valence, and arousal computing in the wild,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.03985*, 2017.
- [12] C.F. Benitez-Quiroz, R. Srinivasan, and A.M. Martinez, “Emotionet: An accurate, real-time algorithm for the automatic annotation of a million facial expressions in the wild,” in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision & Pattern Recognition (CVPR’16)*, Las Vegas, NV, USA, June 2016.
- [13] Shichuan Du, Yong Tao, and Aleix M Martinez, “Compound facial expressions of emotion,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 111, no. 15, pp. E1454–E1462, 2014.
- [14] Lukas Christ, Shahin Amiriparian, Alice Baird, Panagiotis Tzirakis, Alexander Kathan, Niklas Müller, Lukas Stappen, Eva-Maria Meßner, Andreas König, Alan Cowen, Erik Cambria, and Björn W. Schuller, “The muse 2022 multimodal sentiment analysis challenge: Humor, emotional reactions, and stress,” in *Proceedings of the 3rd Multimodal Sentiment Analysis Challenge*, Lisbon, Portugal, 2022, Association for Computing Machinery, Workshop held at ACM Multimedia 2022, to appear.
- [15] Dimitrios Kollias, Panagiotis Tzirakis, Alice Baird, Alan Cowen, and Stefanos Zafeiriou, “Abaw: Valence-arousal estimation, expression recognition, action unit detection & emotional reaction intensity estimation challenges,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023, pp. 5888–5897.
- [16] Jiankang Deng, Jia Guo, Evangelos Ververas, Irene Kotzia, and Stefanos Zafeiriou, “Retinaface: Single-shot multi-level face localisation in the wild,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2020, pp. 5203–5212.
- [17] Shangfei Wang, Yanan Chang, Yi Wu, Xiangyu Miao, Jiaqiang Wu, Zhouan Zhu, Jiahe Wang, and Yufei Xiao, “Facial affective behavior analysis method for 5th abaw competition,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09145*, 2023.
- [18] Ziyang Zhang, Liuwei An, Zishun Cui, Tengpeng Dong, et al., “Facial affect recognition based on transformer encoder and audiovisual fusion for the abaw5 challenge,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09158*, 2023.