

# ON THE CONVERGENCE OF AN INERTIAL PROXIMAL ALGORITHM WITH A TIKHONOV REGULARIZATION TERM

SZILÁRD CSABA LÁSZLÓ

**ABSTRACT.** This paper deals with an inertial proximal algorithm that contains a Tikhonov regularization term, in connection to the minimization problem of a convex lower semicontinuous function  $f$ . We show that for appropriate Tikhonov regularization parameters the value of the objective function in the sequences generated by our algorithm converges fast (with arbitrary rate) to the global minimum of the objective function and the generated sequences converges weakly to a minimizer of the objective function. We also obtain the fast convergence of the discrete velocities towards zero and some sum estimates. Nevertheless, our main goal is to obtain strong convergence results and also pointwise and sum estimates for the same constellation of the parameters involved. Our analysis reveals that the extrapolation coefficient and the Tikhonov regularization coefficient are strongly correlated and there is a critical setting of the parameters that separates the cases when strong convergence results or weak convergence results can be obtained.

**Key Words.** convex optimization, inertial proximal algorithm, Tikhonov regularization, strong convergence, convergence rate

**AMS subject classification.** 46N10, 65K05, 65K10, 90C25, 90C30

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the minimization problem

$$(P) \quad \inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is a convex proper lower semicontinuous function whose solution set  $\operatorname{argmin} f$  is nonempty. We associate to (P) the following inertial proximal algorithm: for all  $k \geq 1$

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H} \\ y_k = x_k + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) (x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ x_{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda_k f} \left(y_k - \frac{c}{k^p} x_k\right), \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha, q, c, p > 0$  and  $(\lambda_k)$  is a sequence of positive real numbers. Further,  $\operatorname{prox}_{sf} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\operatorname{prox}_{sf}(x) = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in \mathcal{H}} (f(y) + \frac{1}{2s} \|y - x\|^2)$ , denotes the proximal point operator of the convex function  $sf$ . By rewriting  $\operatorname{prox}_{sf}$  as the resolvent operator of the subdifferential of the convex function  $sf$ , that is  $\operatorname{prox}_{sf}(x) = (I + s\partial f)^{-1}(x)$ , algorithm (1) can be reformulated as

$$(2) \quad x_{k+1} \in \alpha_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) - \lambda_k \partial f(x_{k+1}) + (1 - c_k) x_k, \text{ for all } k \geq 1,$$

where we denote  $\alpha_k = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}$  and  $c_k = \frac{c}{k^p}$ . For a better insight in inertial proximal algorithms we refer to [13, 14, 16, 19, 24, 26, 27, 28]

Note that  $c_k x_k$  is a Tikhonov regularization term, which may assure the strong convergence of a generated sequence to the minimizer of minimal norm of the objective function  $f$ , (see [1, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 15, 18, 20, 23, 25, 31, 32]).

We emphasize that in case  $q = 1$  algorithm (1) is the Tikhonov regularized version of the inertial proximal algorithm (IPA) studied in [7] (see also [3, 5, 12]). Further, for constant  $\lambda_k$  algorithm (1) is an implicit

---

Corresponding Author: Szilárd Csaba László.

Affiliation: Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Department of Mathematics, Str. Memorandumului nr. 28, 400114 Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

e-mail: szilard.laszlo@math.utcluj.ro.

This work was supported by a grant of the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2021-0138, within PNCDI III.

discretization of the dynamical system studied in [25], that is

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{x}(t) + \frac{\alpha}{t^q} \dot{x}(t) + \nabla f(x(t)) + \frac{c}{t^p} x(t) = 0 \\ x(t_0) = u_0, \dot{x}(t_0) = v_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $t_0 > 0$ ,  $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ . However, in our algorithm we do not assume that the objective function is smooth, moreover, throughout this paper we assume that the stepsize parameter has the form  $\lambda_k = \lambda k^\delta$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The strong convergence of the trajectories of second order continuous dynamical systems with a Tikhonov regularization term to a minimizer of minimum norm of a smooth convex function, were the subject of many recent investigations, (see [1, 2, 6, 8, 11, 15, 17, 25]). These dynamical systems via explicit/implicit discretizations lead to inertial algorithms with a Tikhonov regularization term. However, concerning the discrete case, there are only few results in the literature (see [11]).

As it was expected, the most important features of a trajectory generated by the dynamical system (3) are inherited by the sequences generated by algorithm (1). This underlines again the importance of the study of the continuous case (see [7, 20]), when one ought to design an optimization algorithm with desirable properties.

Our analysis reveals that the inertial coefficient  $\alpha_k$  and the Tikhonov regularization coefficient  $c_k$  are strongly correlated. More precisely, if  $q+1 < p$ , (and  $\delta \geq 0$ ), then the sequence  $(x_k)$  generated by algorithm (1) converges weakly to a minimizer of our objective function  $f$ , (see Theorem 2.4). Further, fast convergence of the potential energy  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$  and discrete velocity  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$  to zero is assured, (see Theorem 2.1). Our results, (for this setting of parameters), are in concordance with the results obtained by Güler in [22], (see also [21]), but our parameters have a much simpler form. Further, in case  $q = 1$  we reobtain the results from [7]. However, we show that the best choice of  $q$  in the inertial parameter  $\alpha_k$  is not  $q = 1$ , but rather  $0 < q < 1$ . Indeed, in the case  $0 < q < 1$ , according to Theorem 2.1, arbitrary large convergence rate for the potential energy  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$  can be obtained, for a fixed inertial parameter  $\alpha > 0$ . Note that this result does not hold in case  $q = 1$  (see [7] or Theorem 2.1), since in this case the inertial parameter  $\alpha_k$  and the stepsize parameter  $\lambda_k$  are correlated. In other words, for obtaining a desired convergence rate, beside the stepsize one must also change the inertial parameter. Because of the fact that for a fixed inertial parameter one can obtain arbitrary large convergence rates is an important and new feature of our algorithm, let us give some details in what follows. In one hand, in [7] and also in Theorem 2.1, for the constellation  $q = 1$ ,  $\alpha > 3$  and  $\lambda_k = \mathcal{O}(k^\delta)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , for  $\delta < \alpha - 3$  is obtained the rate  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = o(k^{-2-\delta})$ , as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . This means that for a fixed  $\alpha$  one can obtain at most  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{1-\alpha})$ , as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . On the other hand, according to Theorem 2.1, for  $0 < q < 1$  and  $q+1 < p \leq 2$  one has  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-q-\delta-1})$ , as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , which indeed can be arbitrary large. Even more, our proof works also when  $c = 0$ , that is, we do not have Tikhonov regularization, and of course then the assumption  $q+1 < p \leq 2$  can be dropped.

Further, if  $1 < p < q+1$ , (and  $\delta \leq 0$ ), then the strong convergence result  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$ , where  $x^*$  is the minimum norm minimizer of the objective function  $f$ , is obtained, (see Theorem 3.2). According to Theorem 3.1 also in this case fast convergence of the potential energy  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$  and discrete velocity  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$  to zero is assured. Due to our best knowledge, similar results were obtained only in [11], for the case  $q = 1$  and  $p = 2$ , which is not covered by our analysis. However, as we mentioned before  $q = 1$  is not an optimal choice for our algorithm since in case  $q < 1$  improved convergence rates can be obtained.

We emphasize that the greatest strength of our paper is that for the case  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $1 < p < q+1$  and  $\lambda_k \equiv 1$  we are able to obtain full strong convergence to the minimal norm solution  $x^*$ , that is,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$ . This results can be considered somehow natural, since  $\lambda_k \equiv 1$  is the case when algorithm (1) can be obtained from the dynamical system (3) via natural implicit discretization, however in order to obtain this result some new techniques have been developed. Also in this case we were able to obtain fast convergence of the potential energy  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$  and discrete velocity  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$  to zero and even some sum estimates, which makes our result to be the first result of this type in literature, (see Theorem 3.3).

Concerning the case  $p = q+1$ , we underline that this case is critical in the sense that neither weak nor strong convergence of the generated sequences cannot be obtained. Nevertheless, convergence rates for the potential energy  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$  and discrete velocity  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$  can be provided (see Theorem 2.1).

The main contributions of the paper to the state of the art can be summarized in the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $0 < q < 1$  and let  $(x_k)$  be a sequence generated by (1). For every  $k \geq 2$  let us denote  $u_k$  the element from  $\partial f(x_k)$  that satisfies (2) with equality, that is,  $x_k = \alpha_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - x_{k-2}) - \lambda_{k-1}u_k + (1 - c_{k-1})x_{k-1}$ .*

- (i) If  $q+1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$  and for  $p = 2$  one has  $c > q(1-q)$ , then  $(x_n)$  converges weakly to a minimizer of  $f$ . Further,  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-q-\delta-1})$ ,  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{q+1}{2}})$  and  $\|u_k\| = o(k^{-\frac{q+1}{2}-\delta})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover,  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{q+\delta}(f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f) < +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} k^{q+2\delta+1}\|u_k\|^2 < +\infty$ .
- (ii) If  $p = q+1$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ , then for all  $s \in ]\frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}[$  one has  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = o(k^{-2s-\delta})$ ,  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = o(k^{-s})$  and  $\|u_k\| = o(k^{-s-\delta})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Further,  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s+\delta-1}(f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f) < +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s-q}\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} k^{2s+2\delta}\|u_k\|^2 < +\infty$ .
- (iii) If  $1 < p < q+1$  and  $p-q-1 < \delta < 0$  or  $\delta = 0$  and  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$ , then  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$ , where  $x^*$  is the minimal norm element from  $\operatorname{argmin} f$ . Further,  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p-\delta})$ ,  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{p}{2}})$  and  $\|u_k\| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{p}{2}-\delta})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Additionally, for all  $s \in ]\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p}{2}[$  one has  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s+\delta-1}(f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f) < +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s-q}\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} k^{2s+2\delta}\|u_k\|^2 < +\infty$ .
- (iv) If  $1 < p < q+1$  and  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$ , where  $x^*$  is the minimal norm element from  $\operatorname{argmin} f$ .

Further, if  $1 < p \leq 2q$  then  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2, \|u_k\|^2 \in \mathcal{O}(k^{q-p-1})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

If  $2q < p \leq \frac{3q+1}{2}$  then  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2, \|u_k\|^2 \in \mathcal{O}(k^{-q-1})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

If  $\frac{3q+1}{2} < p < q+1$ , then  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2, \|u_k\|^2 \in \mathcal{O}(k^{2p-4q-2})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Additionally, if  $\frac{3q+1}{2} < p < \frac{4q+2}{3}$ , then  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and if  $\frac{4q+2}{3} \leq p < q+1$ , then  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{2p-4q-2})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Moreover, if  $2q < p$  then  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2q}\|u_k\|^2 < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^q\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 < +\infty$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we treat the case  $q+1 \leq p$  in order to obtain fast convergence rates for the function values in the sequence generated by algorithm (1) but also for the discrete velocity and subgradient. Further, if  $q+1 < p$  then the weak convergence of the generated sequences to a minimizer of the objective function is also obtained. In section 3 we deal with the case  $1 < p \leq q+1$ . We obtain fast convergence results concerning the potential energy, discrete velocity and subgradient. Moreover, if  $1 < p < q+1$  strong convergence results for the sequence generated by (1) to the minimum norm minimizer of the objective function is shown. Further, in case the stepsize parameter  $\lambda_k \equiv 1$  we obtain full strong convergence of the sequences generated by Algorithm (1) and improved convergence rates for the function values and velocity. Finally we conclude our paper by underlying some possible further researches.

## 2. CONVERGENCE RATES AND WEAK CONVERGENCE FOR THE CASE $q+1 \leq p$

In this section we analyze the weak convergence properties of the sequence generated by the algorithm (1). We obtain fast convergence to zero of the discrete velocity and subgradient. We also show that the function values in the generated sequences converge to the global minimum of the objective function  $f$ . Even more, the variable stepsize parameter  $\lambda_k = \lambda k^\delta$ ,  $\lambda, \delta > 0$  allows to obtain the estimate of order  $\mathcal{O}(k^{-q-\delta-1})$  for the decay  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$  which can be arbitrary large, depending on parameter  $\delta$ .

**2.1. Convergence rates.** Concerning fast convergence of the function values, discrete velocity and subgradient, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that  $0 < q \leq 1$ ,  $q+1 \leq p$ ,  $\lambda_k = \lambda k^\delta$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$  and let  $(x_k)$  be a sequence generated by (1). For every  $k \geq 2$  let us denote  $u_k$  the element from  $\partial f(x_k)$  that satisfies (2) with equality, i.e.,

$$x_k = \alpha_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - x_{k-2}) - \lambda_{k-1}u_k + (1 - c_{k-1})x_{k-1}.$$

Then the following results are valid.

- (i) If  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $q+1 < p \leq 2$  and for  $p = 2$  one has  $c > q(1-q)$ , or  $\alpha > 3$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < \alpha - 3$ ,  $q = 1$  and  $p > 2$  then

$$f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-q-\delta-1}), \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{q+1}{2}}) \text{ and } \|u_k\| = o(k^{-\frac{q+1}{2}-\delta}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Further,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{q+\delta}(f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f) < +\infty, \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} k^{q+2\delta+1}\|u_k\|^2 < +\infty.$$

- (ii) If  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$  and  $q + 1 \leq p$ , or  $\alpha > 3$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < \alpha - 3$ ,  $q = 1$  and  $p \geq 2$  then for all  $s \in ]\frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}[$  one has

$$f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = o(k^{-2s-\delta}), \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = o(k^{-s}) \text{ and } \|u_k\| = o(k^{-s-\delta}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Further,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s+\delta-1} (f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f) < +\infty, \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s-q} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} k^{2s+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* Given  $x^* \in \operatorname{argmin} f$ , set  $f^* = f(x^*) = \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$ .

For  $k \geq 2$ , consider the discrete energy

$$(4) \quad E_k = \mu_{k-1} (f(x_{k-1}) - f^*) + \|a_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - x^*) + b_{k-1}(x_k - x_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-1}u_k)\|^2 \\ + \nu_{k-1} \|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2 + \sigma_{k-1} \|x_{k-1}\|^2,$$

where  $a_k = ak^{r-1}$ ,  $b_k = k^r$ ,  $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}]$ ,  $2r + \delta < a$ ,  $\mu_k := (2b_k^2 - 2a_k b_k)\lambda_k$ ,  $\nu_k := -\alpha_{k+1}a_{k+1}b_{k+1} - a_k^2 + a_k b_k$  and  $\sigma_k = \alpha_{k+1}b_{k+1}^2 c_{k+1}$ , for all  $k \geq 1$ .

If  $q = 1$ , hence  $\alpha > 3$ , we also assume that  $a < \alpha - 1$ , hence  $\delta < \alpha - 1 - 2r$ .

Let us develop  $E_k$ . We show first, that there exists  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that the coefficients  $\mu_k, \nu_k$  and  $\sigma_k$  are nonnegative for all  $k \geq k_0$ .

According to the form of  $(a_k)$  and  $(b_k)$ , there exists  $k_1 \geq 1$  such that  $b_k \geq a_k$  for all  $k \geq k_1$ , hence

$$(5) \quad \mu_k = (2b_k^2 - 2a_k b_k)\lambda_k \geq 0 \text{ for all } k \geq k_1 \text{ and } \mu_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r+\delta}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Obviously  $\nu_k = -\alpha_{k+1}a_{k+1}b_{k+1} - a_k^2 + a_k b_k = -a(k+1)^{2r-1} + \alpha a(k+1)^{2r-1-q} - a^2 k^{2r-2} + a k^{2r-1}$  and we show that  $\phi(x, r) = -a(x+1)^{2r-1} + \alpha a(x+1)^{2r-1-q} - a^2 x^{2r-2} + a x^{2r-1} \geq 0$  for  $x$  big enough and that  $\phi(x, r) = \mathcal{O}(x^{2r-1-q})$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Indeed, one has

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi(x, r)}{x^{2r-1-q}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{ax^{2r-1} - a(x+1)^{2r-1} + \alpha a(x+1)^{2r-1-q} - a^2 x^{2r-2}}{x^{2r-1-q}} \\ = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{a - a(1 + \frac{1}{x})^{2r-1}}{x^{-q}} + \alpha a \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2r-1-q} - a^2 x^{q-1} \right) \\ = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left( -\frac{a(2r-1)(1 + \frac{1}{x})^{2r-2}}{q} + \alpha a - a^2 x^{q-1} \right) = L,$$

where  $L = \alpha a > 0$  if  $q < 1$  and  $L = -a(2r-1) + \alpha a - a^2$  if  $q = 1$ . However, if  $q = 1$  one has  $a < \alpha - 1$  and consequently  $0 < \alpha + 1 - a - 2r$  hence  $L > 0$  also in this case.

Hence, there exists  $k_2 \geq 1$  such that for all  $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has

$$(6) \quad \nu_k = -\alpha_{k+1}a_{k+1}b_{k+1} - a_k^2 + a_k b_k \geq 0, \text{ for all } k \geq k_2 \text{ and } \nu_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-q}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Finally, it is obvious that there exists  $k_3 \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha_{k+1}b_{k+1}^2 c_{k+1} = c \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{(k+1)^q}\right) (k+1)^{2r-p} \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq k_3$ , hence for all  $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has

$$(7) \quad \sigma_k = \alpha_{k+1}b_{k+1}^2 c_{k+1} \geq 0 \text{ for all } k \geq k_3 \text{ and } \sigma_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-p}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Now, take  $k_0 = \max(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  and one has  $\mu_k, \nu_k, \sigma_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ .

For simplicity let us denote  $v_k = \|a_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - x^*) + b_{k-1}(x_k - x_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-1}u_k)\|^2$ . Then,

$$(8) \quad v_k = a_{k-1}^2 \|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2 + b_{k-1}^2 \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 + 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1} \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_{k-1} - x^* \rangle \\ + 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_{k-1} - x^* \rangle + 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_k - x_{k-1} \rangle.$$

Further

$$2a_{k-1}b_{k-1} \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_{k-1} - x^* \rangle = a_{k-1}b_{k-1} (\|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 - \|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2)$$

and

$$2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_{k-1} - x^* \rangle = 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_k - x^* \rangle - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_k - x_{k-1} \rangle.$$

Consequently, (8) becomes

$$(9) \quad v_k = a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|x_k - x^*\|^2 + (a_{k-1}^2 - a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2 + (b_{k-1}^2 - a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\ + b_{k-1}^2\lambda_{k-1}^2\|u_k\|^2 + 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\lambda_{k-1}\langle u_k, x_k - x^* \rangle + (2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\lambda_{k-1}\langle u_k, x_k - x_{k-1} \rangle.$$

Let us proceed similarly with  $v_{k+1}$ . First notice that from (2) we have

$$v_{k+1} = \|a_k(x_k - x^*) + b_k(\alpha_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) - c_k x_k)\|^2.$$

Therefore, after development we get

$$(10) \quad v_{k+1} = a_k^2\|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_k^2 b_k^2\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + b_k^2 c_k^2\|x_k\|^2 + 2\alpha_k a_k b_k \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k - x^* \rangle \\ - 2\alpha_k b_k^2 c_k \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k \rangle - 2a_k b_k c_k \langle x_k, x_k - x^* \rangle.$$

Further,

$$2\alpha_k a_k b_k \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k - x^* \rangle = -\alpha_k a_k b_k (\|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2 - \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 - \|x_k - x^*\|^2) \\ - 2\alpha_k b_k^2 c_k \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k \rangle = \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k (\|x_{k-1}\|^2 - \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2) \\ - 2a_k b_k c_k \langle x_k, x_k - x^* \rangle = a_k b_k c_k (\|x^*\|^2 - \|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2).$$

Hence, (10) yields

$$(11) \quad v_{k+1} = (a_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k)\|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k \|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2 \\ + (\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k)\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + (b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k)\|x_k\|^2 \\ + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 + a_k b_k c_k \|x^*\|^2.$$

Hence, (11) and (9) lead to

$$(12) \quad v_{k+1} - v_k = (a_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k - a_{k-1}^2 - a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\|x_k - x^*\|^2 \\ + (-\alpha_k a_k b_k - a_{k-1}^2 + a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2 \\ + (\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - b_{k-1}^2 + a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\ + (b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k)\|x_k\|^2 + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 \\ + 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\lambda_{k-1}\langle u_k, x^* - x_k \rangle + (2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\lambda_{k-1}\langle u_k, x_{k-1} - x_k \rangle \\ + a_k b_k c_k \|x^*\|^2.$$

From the subgradient inequality we have

$$\langle u_k, x^* - x_k \rangle \leq f^* - f(x_k) \text{ and } \langle u_k, x_{k-1} - x_k \rangle \leq f(x_{k-1}) - f(x_k).$$

Consequently, we get for all  $k > k_0$  that

$$(13) \quad 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\lambda_{k-1}\langle u_k, x^* - x_k \rangle + (2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\lambda_{k-1}\langle u_k, x_{k-1} - x_k \rangle \\ \leq (2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\lambda_{k-1}(f(x_{k-1}) - f^*) - 2b_{k-1}^2\lambda_{k-1}(f(x_k) - f^*) \\ = \mu_{k-1}(f(x_{k-1}) - f^*) - (\mu_k + (2b_{k-1}^2\lambda_{k-1} - 2b_k^2\lambda_k + 2a_k b_k \lambda_k))(f(x_k) - f^*).$$

Let us denote  $m_k := 2b_{k-1}^2\lambda_{k-1} - 2b_k^2\lambda_k + 2a_k b_k \lambda_k$  and let us show that for all  $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has  $2b_{k-1}^2\lambda_{k-1} - 2b_k^2\lambda_k + 2a_k b_k \lambda_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . We can write equivalently as  $k^{2r+\delta} - ak^{2r+\delta-1} - (k-1)^{2r+\delta} \leq 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $2r+\delta < a$ , by convexity of the function  $x \mapsto x^{2r+\delta}$ , the gradient differential inequality gives

$$(x-1)^{2r+\delta} \geq x^{2r+\delta} - (2r+\delta)x^{2r+\delta-1} \geq x^{2r+\delta} - ax^{2r+\delta-1}$$

and the claim follows. Hence,

$$(14) \quad m_k \geq 0 \text{ for all } k \geq k_0 \text{ and observe that } m_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r+\delta-1}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Combining (12) and (13) we get for all  $k \geq k_0$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad & v_{k+1} - v_k + \mu_k(f(x_k) - f^*) - \mu_{k-1}(f(x_{k-1}) - f^*) + m_k(f(x_k) - f^*) \leq \\
 & (a_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k - a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_k - x^*\|^2 \\
 & + (-\alpha_k a_k b_k - a_{k-1}^2 + a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2 \\
 & + (\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - b_{k-1}^2 + a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
 & + (b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k) \|x_k\|^2 + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 \\
 & + a_k b_k c_k \|x^*\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us analyze now the sign of the coefficients of the right hand side of (15). We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} &= (\alpha_{k+1} a_{k+1} b_{k+1} + a_k^2 - a_k b_k) \\
 &+ (\alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} - \alpha_{k+1} a_{k+1} b_{k+1} + a_k b_k) \\
 &= -\nu_k - n_k,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $n_k := -(\alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} - \alpha_{k+1} a_{k+1} b_{k+1} + a_k b_k)$ .

Now, one has

$$n_k = -(2ak^{2r-1} - \alpha ak^{2r-1-q} - ack^{2r-1-p} - a(k-1)^{2r-1} - a(k+1)^{2r-1} + \alpha a(k+1)^{2r-1-q}).$$

We show that for all  $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has

$$\phi(x, r) = -2ax^{2r-1} + \alpha ax^{2r-1-q} + acx^{2r-1-p} + a(x-1)^{2r-1} + a(x+1)^{2r-1} - \alpha a(x+1)^{2r-1-q} \geq 0$$

for  $x$  big enough.

Indeed, if  $q = 1$  then one can take  $r = 1$  and we have  $\phi(x, 1) = acx^{1-p} > 0$ . Otherwise, for  $\frac{1}{2} < r < 1$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad & \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a(x-1)^{2r-1} + a(x+1)^{2r-1} - 2ax^{2r-1}}{x^{2r-3}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a(1 - \frac{1}{x})^{2r-1} + a(1 + \frac{1}{x})^{2r-1} - 2a}{x^{-2}} \\
 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a(2r-1) \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{x})^{2r-2} - (1 + \frac{1}{x})^{2r-2}}{-2x^{-3}}}{x^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a(2r-1) \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{x})^{2r-2} - (1 + \frac{1}{x})^{2r-2}}{-2}}{x^{-1}} \\
 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a(2r-1)(2r-2) \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{x})^{2r-3} + (1 + \frac{1}{x})^{2r-3}}{-2x^2}}{-x^{-2}} = a(2r-1)(2r-2) < 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$(17) \quad a(x-1)^{2r-1} + a(x+1)^{2r-1} - 2ax^{2r-1} \geq -C_1 x^{2r-3} \text{ for } x \text{ big enough.}$$

Further, if  $r = \frac{q+1}{2}$ , then  $\alpha ax^{2r-1-q} - \alpha a(x+1)^{2r-1-q} = 0$ , otherwise

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad & \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha ax^{2r-1-q} - \alpha a(x+1)^{2r-1-q}}{x^{2r-2-q}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha a \frac{1 - (1 + \frac{1}{x})^{2r-1-q}}{x^{-1}} \\
 &= -\alpha a \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (2r-1-q) \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2r-2-q} = \alpha a(1+q-2r) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$(19) \quad \alpha ax^{2r-1-q} - \alpha a(x+1)^{2r-1-q} \geq C_2 x^{2r-2-q} \text{ for } x \text{ big enough.}$$

From the above relations one can deduce the following:

- (N1) If  $q = 1$  and  $r = 1$  we have  $p > 2$  and  $\phi(x, r) = acx^{1-p} > 0$ , hence  $\phi(x, r) = \mathcal{O}(x^{1-p})$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .
- (N2) If  $q = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < r < 1$  then  $p \geq 2$  and according to (16) and (18) and the fact that  $\alpha > 3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(a(x-1)^{2r-1} + a(x+1)^{2r-1} - 2ax^{2r-1}) + (\alpha ax^{2r-1-q} - \alpha a(x+1)^{2r-1-q})}{x^{2r-3}} \\
 &= a(2r-1)(2r-2) + \alpha a(2-2r) = a(2-2r)(\alpha + 1 - 2r) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\phi(x, r) \geq Cx^{2r-3} + acx^{2r-1-p}$  for some  $C > 0$  and for  $x$  big enough. Consequently, also in this case  $\phi(x, r) > 0$  if  $x$  is big enough and since  $p \geq 2$  one has  $\phi(x, r) = \mathcal{O}(x^{2r-3})$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

(N3) If  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $r = \frac{q+1}{2}$  then  $q+1 < p \leq 2$  and according to (17) one has

$$\begin{aligned} & (a(x-1)^{2r-1} + a(x+1)^{2r-1} - 2ax^{2r-1}) + (\alpha ax^{2r-1-q} - \alpha a(x+1)^{2r-1-q}) \\ & \geq -C_1 x^{q-2} \text{ for } x \text{ big enough.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\phi(x, r) \geq acx^{q-p} - C_1 x^{q-2}$  for  $x$  big enough. Obviously  $\phi(x, r) > 0$  if  $p < 2$  and  $x$  is big enough. Further, if  $p = 2$  then (16) gives  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(x, r)}{x^{q-2}} = a(c + q(q-1)) > 0$ , hence one has  $\phi(x, r) > 0$  if  $x$  is big enough.

Observe that in this case one has  $\phi(x, r) = \mathcal{O}(x^{q-p})$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

(N4) If  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} < r < \frac{q+1}{2}$  then  $q+1 \leq p$  and according to (17) and (19) one has

$$\phi(x, r) \geq -C_1 x^{2r-3} + C_2 x^{2r-2-q} + acx^{2r-1-p} \geq Cx^{2r-2-q} \text{ for some } C > 0 \text{ and for } x \text{ big enough.}$$

Consequently, also in this case  $\phi(x, r) > 0$  if  $x$  is big enough and observe that  $\phi(x, r) = \mathcal{O}(x^{2r-2-q})$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We conclude that there exist  $K_1 \geq k_0$  such that for all  $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has

(20)  $n_k \geq 0$ , for all  $k \geq K_1$  and the appropriate estimates emphasized at (N1)-(N4) hold.

For the coefficient of discrete velocity  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k^2 b_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - b_{k-1}^2 + a_{k-1} b_{k-1} &= k^{2r} - (k-1)^{2r} - 2\alpha k^{2r-q} + ak^{2r-1} \\ &+ a(k-1)^{2r-1} + \alpha^2 k^{2r-2q} - \alpha ak^{2r-q-1} - ck^{2r-p} \\ &+ \alpha ck^{2r-q-p}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that for all  $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, r) &= (x-1)^{2r} - x^{2r} + 2\alpha x^{2r-q} - ax^{2r-1} - a(x-1)^{2r-1} - \alpha^2 x^{2r-2q} + \alpha ax^{2r-q-1} + cx^{2r-p} \\ &- \alpha cx^{2r-q-p} \geq 0, \text{ if } x \text{ is big enough.} \end{aligned}$$

Even more,  $\phi(x, r) = \mathcal{O}(x^{2r-q})$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(x-1)^{2r} - x^{2r} + 2\alpha x^{2r-q} - ax^{2r-1} - a(x-1)^{2r-1} - \alpha^2 x^{2r-2q} + \alpha ax^{2r-q-1} - \alpha cx^{2r-q-p}}{x^{2r-q}} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2r} - 1 - ax^{-1} - \frac{a}{x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2r-1}}{x^{-q}} + 2\alpha \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\frac{2r}{x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2r-1} - \frac{a}{x} - \frac{a}{x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2r-1}}{x^{1-q}} + 2\alpha = L. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $L = 2\alpha > 0$  if  $q < 1$  and  $L = -2r - 2a + 2\alpha$  if  $q = 1$ . But then  $\alpha > 3$ ,  $a < \alpha - 1$  and  $r \leq 1$ , hence also in this case  $L = -2r - 2a + 2\alpha > 0$ . Consequently, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\phi(x, r) \geq Cx^{2r-q} + cx^{2r-p} > 0 \text{ if } x \text{ is big enough}$$

and since  $p > 1$  one has

$$\phi(x, r) = \mathcal{O}(x^{2r-q}) \text{ as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We conclude that there exist  $K_2 \geq k_0$  such that for all  $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has

(21)  $\eta_k \geq 0$ , for all  $k \geq K_2$  and  $\eta_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-q})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

where  $\eta_k := -\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k + b_{k-1}^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1}$ .

The coefficient of  $\|x_{k-1}\|^2$  is  $\sigma_{k-1} = \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k$ , hence we write the coefficient of  $\|x_k\|^2$  as

$$b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k = -\sigma_k + (b_k^2 c_k^2 + \alpha_{k+1} b_{k+1}^2 c_{k+1} - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} b_k^2 c_k^2 + \alpha_{k+1} b_{k+1}^2 c_{k+1} - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k &= c^2 k^{2r-2p} + c(k+1)^{2r-p} - \alpha c(k+1)^{2r-p-q} \\ &- ck^{2r-p} + \alpha ck^{2r-p-q} - ack^{2r-1-p}. \end{aligned}$$



We show that for all  $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has

$$\phi(x, r) = c(x+1)^{2r-p} - cx^{2r-p} - \alpha c(x+1)^{2r-p-q} + \alpha cx^{2r-p-q} - acx^{2r-1-p} + c^2x^{2r-2p} \leq 0$$

for  $x$  big enough. Even more,  $\phi(x, r) = \mathcal{O}(x^{2r-p-1})$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Indeed, since  $1 < 2r \leq q+1 \leq p$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{c(x+1)^{2r-p} - cx^{2r-p} - \alpha c(x+1)^{2r-p-q} + \alpha cx^{2r-p-q} - acx^{2r-1-p}}{x^{2r-p-1}} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{c \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2r-p} - c}{x^{-1}} + \alpha c \frac{-\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2r-p-q} + 1}{x^{q-1}} \right) - ac \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{c(2r-p)x^{-2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{2r-p-1}}{x^{-2}} - ac = c(2r-p-a) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$c(x+1)^{2r-p} - cx^{2r-p} - \alpha c(x+1)^{2r-p-q} + \alpha cx^{2r-p-q} - acx^{2r-1-p} \leq -Cx^{2r-p-1}$$

for  $x$  big enough, and from the fact that  $p > 1$  we get that  $\phi(x, r) \leq 0$  for  $x$  big enough.

We conclude that there exist  $K_3 \geq k_0$  such that for all  $\frac{1}{2} < r \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has

$$(22) \quad s_k \geq 0 \text{ for all } k \geq K_3 \text{ and } s_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-p-1}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where  $s_k := -(b_k^2 c_k^2 + \alpha_{k+1} b_{k+1}^2 c_{k+1} - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k)$ . Let  $K_0 = \max(K_1, K_2, K_3)$ .

Combining (15), (20), (21) and (22) we obtain that for all  $k \geq K_0$  and  $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}]$  it holds

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad v_{k+1} - v_k &\leq -(\mu_k(f(x_k) - f^*) - \mu_{k-1}(f(x_{k-1}) - f^*)) - m_k(f(x_k) - f^*) \\ &\quad - (\nu_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \nu_{k-1} \|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2) - n_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad - (\sigma_k \|x_k\|^2 - \sigma_{k-1} \|x_{k-1}\|^2) - s_k \|x_k\|^2 \\ &\quad - \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 + a_k b_k c_k \|x^*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} (24) \quad E_{k+1} - E_k + m_k(f(x_k) - f^*) + \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 + n_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + s_k \|x_k\|^2 \\ \leq a_k b_k c_k \|x^*\|^2 = ac \|x^*\|^2 k^{2r-1-p}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \geq K_0$ .

Now in concordance to the hypotheses of the theorem we take  $r < \frac{q+1}{2}$  if  $p = q+1$ , consequently one has  $2r-1-p < -1$ , hence

$$ac \|x^*\|^2 \sum_{k \geq K_0} k^{2r-1-p} < +\infty.$$

By summing up (24) from  $k = K_0$  to  $k = n > K_0$ , we obtain that there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$E_{n+1} \leq C_1,$$

consequently

$$\mu_n(f(x_n) - f^*) \leq C_1, \text{ hence } f(x_n) - f^* = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2r-\delta}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\nu_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \leq C_1, \text{ hence } \|x_n - x^*\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{q+1-2r}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\sigma_n \|x_n\|^2 \leq C_1, \text{ hence } \|x_n\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{p-2r}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \|an^{r-1}(x_n - x^*) + n^r(x_{n+1} - x_n + \lambda n^\delta u_{n+1})\| < +\infty.$$



Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=K_0}^n m_k(f(x_k) - f^*) &\leq C_1, \text{ hence according to (14) one has } \sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r+\delta-1}(f(x_k) - f^*) < +\infty, \\ \sum_{k=K_0}^n \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 &\leq C_1, \text{ hence according to (21) one has } \sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r-q} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty, \\ \sum_{k=K_0}^n b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 &\leq C_1, \text{ hence one has } \sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty, \\ \sum_{k=K_0}^n s_k \|x_k\|^2 &\leq C_1, \text{ hence according to (22) one has } \sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r-p-1} \|x_k\|^2 < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\sum_{k=K_0}^n n_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2 \leq C_1$ , hence according to (20) one has

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} k^{q-p} \|x_k - x^*\|^2 < +\infty, \text{ if } r = \frac{q+1}{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r-2-q} \|x_k - x^*\|^2 < +\infty, \text{ if } r < \frac{q+1}{2}.$$

Since  $\sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty$  one has  $\|u_n\| = o(n^{-r-\delta})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  which yields

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \|an^{r-1}(x_n - x^*) + n^r(x_{n+1} - x_n)\| < +\infty.$$

Combining the latter relation with the facts that  $\|x_n - x^*\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{q+1-2r})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $n^{r-1} \leq n^{\frac{2r-q-1}{2}}$  we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-r}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let us show now, that for  $\frac{1}{2} < r < \frac{q+1}{2}$  one has  $f(x_n) - f^* = o(n^{-2r-\delta})$  and  $\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| = o(n^{-r})$ .

From (24) we get

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} [(E_{k+1} - E_k)_+] < +\infty, \text{ where } [s]_+ = \max(s, 0).$$

Therefore, the following limit exists

$$(25) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (\|ak^{r-1}(x_k - x^*) + k^r(x_{k+1} - x_k + \lambda k^\delta u_{k+1})\|^2 + \sigma_k \|x_k\|^2 + \mu_k(f(x_k) - f^*) + \nu_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2).$$

Note that according to (7), (5) and (6) one has  $\sigma_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-p})$ ,  $\mu_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r+\delta})$  and  $\nu_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-q})$ , respectively.

Further, we have  $\sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r-2-q} \|x_k - x^*\|^2 < +\infty$ , if  $r < \frac{q+1}{2}$ ,  $\sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r-q} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r+\delta-1}(f(x_k) - f^*) < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r-1-p} \|x_k\|^2 < +\infty$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} (26) \quad &\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} (\|ak^{r-1}(x_k - x^*) + k^r(x_{k+1} - x_k + \lambda k^\delta u_{k+1})\|^2 + \sigma_k \|x_k\|^2 + \mu_k(f(x_k) - f^*) + \nu_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2) \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq 1} 2a^2 k^{2r-3} \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{k \geq 1} 4k^{2r-1} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + \sum_{k \geq 1} 4\lambda^2 (k+1)^{2r+2\delta-1} \|u_{k+1}\|^2 \\ &\quad + C \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r-p-1} \|x_k\|^2 + \sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r+\delta-1} (f(x_k) - f^*) + \sum_{k \geq 1} k^{2r-2-q} \|x_k - x^*\|^2 \right) < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ .

Combining the facts that  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} = +\infty$  and  $\|u_n\| = o(n^{-r-\delta})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  with (26) and (25) we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (\|ak^{r-1}(x_k - x^*) + k^r(x_{k+1} - x_k + \lambda k^\delta u_{k+1})\|^2 + \sigma_k \|x_k\|^2 + \mu_k(f(x_k) - f^*) + \nu_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2) = 0$$

and the claim follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that our analysis also works in case  $c = 0$ . In that case we do not have Tikhonov regularization, hence one does not have to impose any assumption on  $p$  in the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and the conclusion of the theorem remains valid.

**2.2. On weak convergence and boundedness of the generated sequences.** In this section we provide sufficient conditions that assure that the sequence  $(x_k)$  generated by the algorithm (1) converges weakly to a minimizer of  $f$ . In order to continue our analysis we need the following lemma, which is an extension of Lemma 8.3 from [7].

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume that  $(a_k)_{k \geq 1}, (\omega_k)_{k \geq 1}$  are nonnegative real sequences that after an index  $k_0$  satisfy

$$a_{k+1} \leq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) a_k + \omega_k, \text{ for all } k \geq k_0,$$

where  $q \in ]0, 1]$  and for  $q = 1$  one has  $\alpha > 1$ . Assume further, that  $\sum_{k \geq k_0} k^q \omega_k < +\infty$ . Then,

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} a_k < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* We have  $k^q a_{k+1} - k^q a_k + \alpha a_k \leq k^q \omega_k$ , for all  $k \geq k_0$ . If  $q = 1$  then  $\alpha > 1$  hence we have for all  $k \geq k_0$  that  $ka_{k+1} - ka_k + \alpha a_k = ka_{k+1} - (k-1)a_k + (\alpha-1)a_k$ , consequently

$$ka_{k+1} - (k-1)a_k + (\alpha-1)a_k \leq k\omega_k, \text{ for all } k \geq k_0.$$

By summing up the latter relation from  $k = k_0$  to  $k = n > k_0$  we get

$$na_{n+1} + (\alpha-1) \sum_{k=k_0}^n a_k \leq (k_0-1)a_{k_0} + \sum_{k=k_0}^n k\omega_k.$$

Now, we omit the term  $na_{n+1}$  and we take the limit  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  in order to show that

$$\sum_{k=k_0}^{+\infty} a_k \leq \frac{(k_0-1)a_{k_0}}{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=k_0}^{+\infty} k\omega_k < +\infty.$$

If  $q < 1$  then, since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{k^q - (k-1)^q}{k^{q-1}} = q > 0$ , we conclude that there exists  $C > 0$  and  $k_1 \geq k_0$  such that  $k^q - (k-1)^q \leq Ck^{q-1}$  for all  $k \geq k_1$ .

Hence, there exists  $k_2 \geq k_1$  such that for all  $k \geq k_2$  one has

$$-k^q \geq -(k-1)^q - Ck^{q-1} \geq -(k-1)^q - \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Consequently  $k^q a_{k+1} - (k-1)^q a_k + \frac{\alpha}{2} a_k \leq k^q \omega_k$ , for all  $k \geq k_2$ . By summing up the latter relation from  $k = k_2$  to  $k = n > k_2$  we get

$$n^q a_{n+1} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{k=k_2}^n a_k \leq (k_2-1)^q a_{k_2} + \sum_{k=k_2}^n k^q \omega_k$$

and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Now we can prove the weak convergence of the sequences generated by algorithm (1) to a minimizer of the objective function  $f$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Assume that  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta$ ,  $q+1 < p \leq 2$  and for  $p = 2$  one has  $c > q(1-q)$ , or  $q = 1$ ,  $p > 2$ ,  $\alpha > 3$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < \alpha - 3$ . Then the sequence  $(x_n)$  generated by (1) converges weakly to a minimizer of  $f$ .

*Proof.* We use the Opial lemma (see [30]). To this purpose first we show that for all  $x^* \in \operatorname{argmin} f$  the limit  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\|$  exists. Let  $x^* \in \operatorname{argmin} f$  and for all  $k \geq 1$  consider the sequence  $h_k = \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x^*\|^2$ . Then, by using (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad h_{k+1} - h_k &= \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + \langle x_{k+1} - x_k, x_k - x^* \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + \langle \alpha_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) - \lambda_k u_{k+1} - c_k x_k, x_k - x^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Further, one has

$$\langle \alpha_k(x_k - x_{k-1}), x_k - x^* \rangle = \frac{\alpha_k}{2} (\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + \|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{k-1} - x^*\|^2),$$

$$\langle -\lambda_k u_{k+1}, x_k - x^* \rangle \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} (k^{1-q} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + k^{q+2\delta-1} \|u_{k+1}\|^2)$$

and

$$\langle -c_k x_k, x_k - x^* \rangle = \frac{c_k}{2} (\|x^*\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2 - \|x_k - x^*\|^2).$$

Consequently, (27) leads to

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{k+1} - h_k &\leq \alpha_k (h_k - h_{k-1}) + \frac{\alpha_k}{2} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} k^{1-q} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} k^{q+2\delta-1} \|u_{k+1}\|^2 + \frac{c_k}{2} \|x^*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 2.3 with  $a_k = [h_k - h_{k-1}]_+$  and  $\omega_k = \frac{\alpha_k}{2} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} k^{1-q} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} k^{q+2\delta-1} \|u_{k+1}\|^2 + \frac{c_k}{2} \|x^*\|^2$ . Hence, we need to show that  $\sum_{k \geq 1} k^q \omega_k < +\infty$ .

According to Theorem 2.1 (i) and the fact that  $p > q + 1$  we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty, \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{q+2\delta+1} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty \text{ and } \sum_{k \geq 1} k^q c_k = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{c}{k^{p-q}} < +\infty.$$

Now, it is obvious that  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2q+2\delta-1} \|u_{k+1}\|^2 < +\infty$ . Consequently,  $\sum_{k \geq 1} k^q \omega_k < +\infty$  and by Lemma 2.3 we get that

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} [h_k - h_{k-1}]_+ < +\infty,$$

which shows that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\|$  exists.

Next we show that every weak sequential cluster point of  $(x_k)$  belongs to  $\operatorname{argmin} f$ . Indeed, let  $x^*$  a weak sequential cluster point of  $(x_k)$ . Then there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers  $(k_n)$  with  $k_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , such that  $x_{k_n} \rightharpoonup x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , where " $\rightharpoonup$ " denotes the convergence with respect of weak topology of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $f$  is convex and lower semicontinuous it is also lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak topology of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Further, according to Theorem 2.1 one has  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(x_{k_n}) = \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$ , hence

$$f(x^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(x_{k_n}) = \min_{\mathcal{H}} f,$$

which shows that  $x^* \in \operatorname{argmin} f$ .

Consequently, Opial's lemma yields that the sequence  $(x_n)$  converges weakly to a minimizer of our objective function  $f$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** Also here our analysis remains valid in case  $c = 0$ , hence in that case one may obtain the weak convergence of the sequences generated by Algorithm (1) without any restriction imposed on the parameter  $p$ .

According to Theorem 2.4 in case  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta$ ,  $q + 1 < p \leq 2$  the sequence  $(x_n)$  generated by (1) is bounded. We show next that this result also holds in case  $1 < p < q + 1$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Assume that  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta$ ,  $1 < p < q + 1$ . Then the sequence  $(x_n)$  generated by (1) is bounded.

*Proof.* We use the energy functional and notations from the proof of Theorem 2.1 but we assume that  $\frac{p}{2} < r < \frac{q+1}{2}$ . Note that all the estimates from the proof of Theorem 2.1 concerning the coefficients  $\mu_k, \nu_k, \sigma_k, \mu_k, \eta_k$  remains valid.

Let us compute the order of  $n_k$ . We have  $n_k = -(\alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} - \alpha_{k+1} a_{k+1} b_{k+1} + a_k b_k)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} n_k &= a((k+1)^{2r-1} + (k-1)^{2r-1} - 2k^{2r-1}) - a\alpha((k+1)^{2r-1-q} - k^{2r-1-q}) + ack^{2r-1-p} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-3}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-2-q}) + ack^{2r-1-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $n_k > 0$  for  $k$  big enough and  $n_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Further, we have  $s_k = -(b_k^2 c_k^2 + \alpha_{k+1} b_{k+1}^2 c_{k+1} - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - \alpha_k b_k c_k)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} s_k &= a c k^{2r-1-p} + c(k^{2r-p} - (k+1)^{2r-p}) + \alpha c((k+1)^{2r-q-p} - k^{2r-q-p}) + c^2 k^{2r-2p} \\ &= a c k^{2r-1-p} - c(2r-p) \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p}) - \alpha c(2r-q-p) \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-q-p}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-2p}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a > 2r + \delta > 2r - p$  we conclude that  $s_k > 0$  for  $k$  big enough and  $s_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Consequently (24) holds with these coefficients after an index  $K_0$  big enough. By neglecting the nonnegative term  $m_k(f(x_k) - f^*) + \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 + n_k \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + s_k \|x_k\|^2$  in (24) we get

$$(29) \quad E_{k+1} - E_k \leq a c \|x^*\|^2 k^{2r-1-p}, \text{ for all } k \geq K_0.$$

By summing up (29) from  $k = K_0$  to  $k = n > K_0$ , we obtain that

$$E_{n+1} \leq a c \|x^*\|^2 \sum_{k=K_0}^n k^{2r-1-p} + E_{K_0},$$

and since  $\sum_{k=K_0}^n k^{2r-1-p} = \mathcal{O}(n^{2r-p})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we conclude that there exists  $C_0 > 0$  such that  $E_{n+1} \leq C_0 n^{2r-p}$ . In particular we have  $\sigma_n \|x_n\|^2 \leq C_0 n^{2r-p}$  and according to (7)  $\sigma(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{2r-p})$ , hence  $x_n$  is bounded.  $\square$

### 3. CONVERGENCE RATES AND STRONG CONVERGENCE RESULTS FOR THE CASE $p \leq q + 1$

We continue the present section by emphasizing the main idea behind the Tikhonov regularization, which will assure strong convergence results for the sequence generated our algorithm (1) to a minimizer of the objective function of minimal norm. By  $\bar{x}_k$  we denote the unique solution of the strongly convex minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \left( f(x) + \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x\|^2 \right).$$

We know, (see for instance [9]), that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{x}_k = x^*$ , where  $x^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \operatorname{argmin} f} \|x\|$  is the minimal norm element from the set  $\operatorname{argmin} f$ . Obviously,  $\{x^*\} = \operatorname{pr}_{\operatorname{argmin} f} 0$  and we have the inequality  $\|\bar{x}_k\| \leq \|x^*\|$  (see [15]).

Since  $\bar{x}_k$  is the unique minimum of the strongly convex function  $f_k(x) = f(x) + \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x\|^2$ , obviously one has

$$(30) \quad \partial f_k(\bar{x}_k) = \partial f(\bar{x}_k) + \frac{c}{k^p} \bar{x}_k \ni 0.$$

Further, Lemma A.1 c) leads to the following. For every  $p_1 > p$  there exists  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$(31) \quad \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\| \leq \min \left( \frac{p_1}{k} \|\bar{x}_k\|, \frac{p_1}{k+1} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\| \right) \text{ for every } k \geq k_0.$$

Note that since  $f_k$  is strongly convex, from the subgradient inequality we have

$$(32) \quad f_k(y) - f_k(x) \geq \langle u_k, y - x \rangle + \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x - y\|^2, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } u_k \in \partial f_k(x).$$

In particular

$$(33) \quad f_k(x) - f_k(\bar{x}_k) \geq \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x - \bar{x}_k\|^2, \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Finally, observe that for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , one has

$$(34) \quad f(x) - f(y) = (f_k(x) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) + (f_k(\bar{x}_k) - f_k(y)) + \frac{c}{2k^p} (\|y\|^2 - \|x\|^2) \leq f_k(x) - f_k(\bar{x}_k) + \frac{c}{2k^p} \|y\|^2.$$

**3.1. Convergence rates.** Concerning convergence rates for the function values, discrete velocity and subgradient even for this restrictive case we obtain some results that are comparable to the convergence rates obtained for the famous Nesterov algorithm [29].

The main result of the present section is the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $1 < p \leq q + 1$ ,  $\lambda_k = \lambda k^\delta$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\delta \leq 0$  and if  $\delta = 0$  then  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$ . Let  $(x_k)$  be a sequence generated by (1). For every  $k \geq 2$  let us denote by  $u_k$  the element from  $\partial f(x_k)$  that satisfies (2) with equality, i.e.,*

$$x_k = \alpha_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - x_{k-2}) - \lambda_{k-1} u_k + (1 - c_{k-1}) x_{k-1}.$$

*Then the following results are valid.*

(i) If  $p < q + 1$  then  $(x_k)$  is bounded and

$$f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p-\delta}), \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{p}{2}}) \text{ and } \|u_k\| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{p}{2}-\delta}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Further, for all  $s \in ]\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p}{2}[$  one has

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s+\delta-1} (f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f) < +\infty, \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s-q} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} k^{2s+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Moreover, the following ergodic type convergence results hold.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+\delta} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}))}{n^{q+1-p}} < +\infty, \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2}{n^{q+1-p}} < +\infty$$

$$\text{and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+1+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2}{n^{q+1-p}} < +\infty.$$

(ii) If  $p = q + 1$  then

$$f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p-\delta} \ln k), \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{p}{2}} \sqrt{\ln k}) \text{ and } \|u_k\| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{p}{2}-\delta} \sqrt{\ln k}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Further, for all  $s \in ]\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p}{2}[$  one has

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s+\delta-1} (f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f) < +\infty, \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2s-q} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} k^{2s+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Moreover, the following ergodic type convergence results hold.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+\delta} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}))}{\ln n} < +\infty, \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2}{\ln n} < +\infty$$

$$\text{and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+1+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2}{\ln n} < +\infty.$$

Additionally, if  $\delta < 0$  one has

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+\delta} (f(x_{k-1}) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f)}{\ln n} < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* Consider first  $a_k = ak^u$ ,  $b_k = k^v$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $u + 1 \geq v \geq u + q$  and define, for every  $k \geq 2$ , the following discrete energy functional.

$$(35) \quad E_k = \mu_{k-1} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + \|a_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}) + b_{k-1}(x_k - x_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-1}u_k)\|^2$$

$$+ \nu_{k-1} \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + \sigma_{k-1} \|x_{k-1}\|^2,$$

where the sequences  $(\mu_k)$ ,  $(\nu_k)$  and  $(\sigma_k)$  will be specified lather.

### I. Lyapunov analysis

All the following estimates hold after an index  $k$  big enough. Now, if we denote  $v_k = \|a_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}) + b_{k-1}(x_k - x_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-1}u_k)\|^2$  then proceeding as in the proof of Theorem (2.1) we obtain

$$(36) \quad v_k = a_{k-1}b_{k-1} \|x_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + (a_{k-1}^2 - a_{k-1}b_{k-1}) \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + (b_{k-1}^2 - a_{k-1}b_{k-1}) \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2$$

$$+ b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 + 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_k - \bar{x}_{k-1} \rangle$$

$$+ (2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_k - x_{k-1} \rangle.$$

Further, from (4) we have

$$v_{k+1} = \|a_k(x_k - \bar{x}_k) + b_k(\alpha_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) - c_k x_k)\|^2.$$

Therefore, after development we get

$$(37) \quad v_{k+1} = a_k^2 \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 + \alpha_k^2 b_k^2 \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + b_k^2 c_k^2 \|x_k\|^2 + 2\alpha_k a_k b_k \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle$$

$$- 2\alpha_k b_k^2 c_k \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k \rangle - 2a_k b_k c_k \langle x_k, x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha_k a_k b_k \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle &= -\alpha_k a_k b_k (\|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k\| - \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 - \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2) \\ -2\alpha_k b_k^2 c_k \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k \rangle &= \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k (\|x_{k-1}\|^2 - \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2) \\ -2a_k b_k c_k \langle x_k, x_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle &= a_k b_k c_k (\|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (37) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (38) \quad v_{k+1} &= (a_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k) \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + (b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k) \|x_k\|^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 + a_k b_k c_k \|\bar{x}_k\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (39) \quad v_{k+1} - v_k &= (a_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \|x_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha_k a_k b_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - (a_{k-1}^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - b_{k-1}^2 + a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\ &\quad + (b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k) \|x_k\|^2 + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 \\ &\quad + (2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_{k-1} - x_k \rangle \\ &\quad + 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, \bar{x}_{k-1} - x_k \rangle + a_k b_k c_k \|\bar{x}_k\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using the sub-gradient inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} (40) \quad &(2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_{k-1} - x_k \rangle + 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, \bar{x}_{k-1} - x_k \rangle \\ &\leq -2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} f(x_k) + (2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \lambda_{k-1} f(x_{k-1}) + 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} f(\bar{x}_{k-1}) \\ &= -2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (f_k(x_k) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) + 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\ &\quad + [(2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \lambda_{k-1} - 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2}] (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\ &\quad + 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) \\ &\quad + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_k \|x_k\|^2 + (a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1}) \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \\ &\quad - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Further, according to (30) one has  $f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_k) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) \geq \frac{c_{k-1}}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2$  hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) &= 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} \left( f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_k) + \frac{c_{k-1} - c_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} \left( -\frac{c_{k-1}}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{c_{k-1} - c_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

hence (40) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (41) \quad &(2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, x_{k-1} - x_k \rangle + 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} \langle u_k, \bar{x}_{k-1} - x_k \rangle \\ &\leq -2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (f_k(x_k) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) + 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\ &\quad + [(2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \lambda_{k-1} - 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2}] (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\ &\quad + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_k \|x_k\|^2 + (a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1}) \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \\ &\quad + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (39) and (41) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(42) \quad & v_{k+1} - v_k + 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (f_k(x_k) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) - 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\
& - [(2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\lambda_{k-1} - 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2}] (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 \\
& \leq (a_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \|x_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& - \alpha_k a_k b_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - (a_{k-1}^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + (\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - b_{k-1}^2 + a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + (b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_k) \|x_k\|^2 \\
& + (a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k) \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + [b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k] \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate in what follows the entities  $-a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|x_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2$  and  $-\alpha_k a_k b_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2$ . Using the straightforward inequality  $\pm 2\langle a, b \rangle \leq \frac{1}{s}\|a\|^2 + s\|b\|^2$  for all  $s > 0$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
(43) \quad & -a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|x_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 = -a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|(x_k - \bar{x}_k) + (\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1})\|^2 = -a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \\
& - a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\langle x_k - x_{k-1}, \bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1} \rangle \\
& - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}, \bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1} \rangle + 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\langle \bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}, \bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1} \rangle \\
& \leq -a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 + 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}, \bar{x}_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
(44) \quad & -\alpha_k a_k b_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 = -\alpha_k a_k b_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k \|\bar{x}_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \\
& - 2\alpha_k a_k b_k \langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}, \bar{x}_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and for  $s_{k-1} = \frac{s}{(k-1)^{p-q}}$  with  $s < \frac{c}{\alpha}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(45) \quad & (2a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - 2\alpha_k a_k b_k) \langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}, \bar{x}_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle \leq \\
& (a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) \left( s_{k-1} \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{1}{s_{k-1}} \|\bar{x}_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, combining (43) and (44) and (45) it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(46) \quad & -a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|x_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \leq -a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \\
& + 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1}\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + (-\alpha_k a_k b_k + (a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k)s_{k-1})\|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + \left( \left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{k-1}}\right) (a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) + \frac{a_{k-1}b_{k-1}}{2} \right) \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Injecting (46) in (42) we get



$$\begin{aligned}
(47) \quad & v_{k+1} - v_k + 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (f_k(x_k) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) - 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\
& - [(2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\lambda_{k-1} - 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2}] (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 \\
& \leq (a_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - a_k b_k c_k - a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \\
& + (-a_{k-1}^2 + (1 + s_{k-1})(a_{k-1} b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k)) \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + (\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - b_{k-1}^2 + 3a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + (b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_k) \|x_k\|^2 \\
& + (a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k) \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + (b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k) \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + \left( \left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{k-1}}\right) (a_{k-1} b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) + \frac{a_{k-1} b_{k-1}}{2} - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \right) \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider now  $u = r - 1$ ,  $v = r$  and assume that  $a > 1 + q$ ,  $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}]$ . Further, let  $\mu_k = 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}$ ,  $\nu_k = -a_k^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k + a_k b_k c_k + a_{k-1} b_{k-1}$  and  $\sigma_k = -b_k^2 c_k^2 + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k + a_k b_k c_k - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Next we show that all the sequences defined above are positive after an index  $K_0$  big enough. For an easier readability we emphasize that by  $h_k - \mathcal{O}(k^l)$  we understand the difference of a sequence  $h_k$  and a positive sequence of order  $\mathcal{O}(k^l)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Similarly, by  $h_k + \mathcal{O}(k^l)$  we understand the sum of a sequence  $h_k$  and a positive sequence of order  $\mathcal{O}(k^l)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Further, by  $s\mathcal{O}(k^l)$ ,  $s > 0$  we understand the positive sequences  $u_k$  that after an index satisfy  $u_k \leq sk^l$ . All the estimates bellow hold after an index  $K_0$  big enough.

Obviously, one has

$$(48) \quad \mu_k = 2\lambda(k-1)^{2r+\delta} > 0 \text{ and } \mu_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r+\delta}).$$

Further, since  $q < 1 < p$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(49) \quad \nu_k &= -a^2 k^{2r-2} - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) a k^{2r-1} + a c k^{2r-1-p} + a(k-1)^{2r-1} \\
&= a \alpha k^{2r-1-q} - \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-2}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p}) > 0 \text{ and } \nu_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-q}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $\lambda_k = \lambda k^\delta < 1$ , for  $k$  big enough, i.e.  $\delta \leq 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  if  $\delta = 0$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(50) \quad \sigma_k &= -c^2 k^{2r-2p} + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) c k^{2r-p} + a c k^{2r-1-p} - \lambda c (k-1)^{2r+\delta} k^{-p} \\
&= c k^{-p} (k^{2r} - \lambda (k-1)^{2r+\delta}) + a c k^{2r-1-p} - \alpha c k^{2r-q-p} - c^2 k^{2r-2p} \\
&= c k^{-p} (k^{2r} - \lambda (k-1)^{2r+\delta}) + \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p}) - \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-q-p}) - \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-2p}) > 0 \text{ and } \sigma_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-p}).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $E_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq K_0$ .

In other words (47) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
(51) \quad & E_{k+1} - E_k + (-\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k + b_{k-1}^2 - 3a_{k-1} b_{k-1}) \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \|u_k\|^2 + (2a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} + 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} - 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}) (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\
& + (-\alpha_{k-1} a_{k-1} b_{k-1} + a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1} + a_{k-2} b_{k-2} - (1 + s_{k-1})(a_{k-1} b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k)) \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + (-b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1}^2 + \alpha_{k-1} b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1} + a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1} - b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} c_{k-1} - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} + \\
& + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k) \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& \leq (b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k) \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + \left( \left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{k-1}}\right) (a_{k-1} b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) + \frac{a_{k-1} b_{k-1}}{2} - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \right) \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_k &= b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1}^2 \\
 m_k &= 2a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} + 2b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} - 2b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} \\
 n_k &= -\alpha_{k-1} a_{k-1} b_{k-1} + a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1} + a_{k-2} b_{k-2} - (1 + s_{k-1})(a_{k-1} b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) \\
 \eta_k &= -\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k + b_{k-1}^2 - 3a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \\
 t_k &= -b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1}^2 + \alpha_{k-1} b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1} + a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1} - b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} c_{k-1} - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} + \\
 &\quad + b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k,
 \end{aligned}$$

and we show that all the sequences above are positive after an index  $K_1 \geq K_0$  big enough.

First one has

$$(52) \quad \xi_k = \lambda^2 (k-1)^{2r+2\delta} > 0 \text{ and } \xi_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r+2\delta}).$$

Obviously, since  $a > 1 + q \geq 2r$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (53) \quad m_k &= 2a\lambda(k-1)^{2r-1+\delta} + 2\lambda((k-2)^{2r+\delta} - (k-1)^{2r+\delta}) \\
 &= 2a\lambda(k-1)^{2r-1+\delta} - 2\lambda(2r+\delta)\mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1+\delta}) > 0 \text{ and } m_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1+\delta}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $q < 1$ ,  $1 + q > p > 1$ , by taking into account that  $(k-1)^{2r-1-q} - k^{2r-1-q} = 0$  if  $r = \frac{q+1}{2}$  and  $(k-1)^{2r-1-q} - k^{2r-1-q} = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-2-q})$  if  $r < \frac{q+1}{2}$  and  $s < \frac{c}{\alpha}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (54) \quad n_k &= -\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{(k-1)^q}\right) a(k-1)^{2r-1} + ac(k-1)^{2r-1-p} + a(k-2)^{2r-1} \\
 &\quad - \left(1 + \frac{s}{(k-1)^{p-q}}\right) \left(a(k-1)^{2r-1} - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) ak^{2r-1}\right) \\
 &= ac(k-1)^{2r-1-p} + a((k-2)^{2r-1} + k^{2r-1} - 2(k-1)^{2r-1}) \\
 &\quad - \frac{as}{(k-1)^{p-q}}((k-1)^{2r-1} - k^{2r-1} + \alpha k^{2r-1-q}) + a\alpha((k-1)^{2r-1-q} - k^{2r-1-q}) \\
 &= ac(k-1)^{2r-1-p} - \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-3}) - as\alpha\mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p}) + a\alpha\mathcal{O}((k-1)^{2r-1-q} - k^{2r-1-q}) > 0 \\
 &\text{and } n_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $q < 1$ ,  $1 + q = p$  then  $s_k = \frac{s}{k}$  and by taking into account that  $(k-1)^{2r-1-q} - k^{2r-1-q} = 0$ , if  $r = \frac{q+1}{2}$  and  $(k-1)^{2r-1-q} - k^{2r-1-q} = (1+q-2r)\mathcal{O}(k^{2r-2-q})$  if  $r < \frac{q+1}{2}$  and  $s < \frac{c}{\alpha}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (55) \quad n_k &= ac(k-1)^{2r-2-q} + a((k-2)^{2r-1} + k^{2r-1} - 2(k-1)^{2r-1}) \\
 &\quad - \frac{as}{k-1}((k-1)^{2r-1} - k^{2r-1} + \alpha k^{2r-1-q}) + a\alpha((k-1)^{2r-1-q} - k^{2r-1-q}) \\
 &= ac(k-1)^{2r-2-q} - \frac{as\alpha}{k-1}k^{2r-1-q} + \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-3}) - \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-3}) \\
 &\quad + a\alpha\mathcal{O}((k-1)^{2r-1-q} - k^{2r-1-q}) > 0 \text{ and } n_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-2-q}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Concerning  $\eta_k$ , since  $p > 1 > q$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (56) \quad \eta_k &= -\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right)^2 k^{2r} - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) ak^{2r-1} + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) ck^{2r-p} + (k-1)^{2r} - 3a(k-1)^{2r-1} \\
 &= 2\alpha k^{2r-q} + ((k-1)^{2r} - k^{2r}) - \alpha^2 k^{2r-2q} - ak^{2r-1} + \alpha ak^{2r-1-q} + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) ck^{2r-p} \\
 &\quad - 3a(k-1)^{2r-1} = 2\alpha k^{2r-q} - \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1}) > 0 \text{ and } \eta_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-q}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $\lambda_k = \lambda k^\delta \leq 1$ , for  $k$  big enough, i.e.  $\delta \leq 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  if  $\delta = 0$ , further  $a > 1 + q \geq 2r$ , hence  $a > |2r - p|$  if  $\delta < 0$  and if  $\delta = 0$  then  $a > \frac{(2r-p)-2\lambda r}{1-\lambda}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (57) \quad t_k &= -c^2(k-1)^{2r-2p} + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{(k-1)^q}\right) c(k-1)^{2r-p} + ac(k-1)^{2r-1-p} - \lambda c(k-2)^{2r+\delta}(k-1)^{-p} \\
 &\quad - a\lambda c(k-1)^{2r-1+\delta-p} + \lambda c(k-1)^{2r+\delta-p} - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) ck^{2r-p} \\
 &= (ac(k-1)^{2r-1-p} - a\lambda c(k-1)^{2r-1+\delta-p}) + c((k-1)^{2r-p} - k^{2r-p}) \\
 &\quad + \lambda c(k-1)^{-p}((k-1)^{2r+\delta} - (k-2)^{2r+\delta}) + \alpha c(k^{2r-q-p} - (k-1)^{2r-q-p}) - c^2(k-1)^{2r-2p} \\
 &= (ac(k-1)^{2r-1-p} - a\lambda c(k-1)^{2r-1+\delta-p}) - c(2r-p)\mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p}) + \lambda c(2r+\delta)\mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p+\delta}) \\
 &\quad - \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-q-1-p}) - \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-2p}) > 0 \text{ and } t_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the right hand side of (51), in what follows we show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left( \left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{k-1}}\right) (a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) + \frac{a_{k-1}b_{k-1}}{2} \right) \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Let us denote

$$(58) \quad S_k := \left( \left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{k-1}}\right) (a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) + \frac{a_{k-1}b_{k-1}}{2} \right) \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2.$$

Note that according to (31) one has  $\|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\| \leq \frac{p_1}{k} \|\bar{x}_k\|$  for some  $p_1 > p$  and all  $k$  big enough. Further  $\|\bar{x}_k\|^2 \leq \|x^*\|^2$ , hence we have

$$\|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \leq \frac{p_1^2}{k^2} \|x^*\|^2.$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that  $\left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{k-1}}\right) (a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) + \frac{a_{k-1}b_{k-1}}{2} = \mathcal{O}(k^l)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , with  $l < 1$ .

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{k-1}}\right) (a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) + \frac{a_{k-1}b_{k-1}}{2} = \left(1 + \frac{(k-1)^{p-q}}{s}\right) \left(a(k-1)^{2r-1} - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q}\right) ak^{2r-1}\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{a}{2}(k-1)^{2r-1} \leq C_1(k-1)^{\max(2r-1-2q+p, 2r-1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that by assumption  $q < 1$  and  $2r \leq q+1$  if  $p < q+1$ , hence one can take  $l = \max(2r-1-q+s, 2r-1) < 1$  and we obtain that  $(S_k)$  is summable.

Further, for  $p = q+1$  if  $2r < q+1$  we obtain that  $l = \max(2r-1-2q+p, 2r-1) < 1$ , so also in this case  $(S_k)$  is summable.

However, in case  $p = q+1$  and  $2r = q+1$  one has  $l = 1$ , hence  $S_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$ .

Now, since  $\|\bar{x}_k\|^2 \leq \|x^*\|^2$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k &= c\lambda(k-1)^{2r+\delta}((k-1)^{-p} - k^{-p}) + ack^{2r-1-p} \\
 &= \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-1-p}),
 \end{aligned}$$

the right hand side of (59) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k) \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
 &\quad - b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + S_k \leq C_2 k^{2r-1-p} + S_k \text{ for some } C_2 > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (51) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (59) \quad E_{k+1} - E_k + \xi_k \|u_k\|^2 + m_k (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + n_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
 + \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + t_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \leq C_2 k^{2r-1-p} + S_k \text{ for all } k \geq K_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing up (59) from  $k = K_1$  to  $k = n \geq K_1$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (60) \quad & E_{n+1} + \sum_{k=K_1}^n \xi_k \|u_k\|^2 + \sum_{k=K_1}^n m_k (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + \sum_{k=K_1}^n n_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
 & + \sum_{k=K_1}^n \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + \sum_{k=K_1}^n t_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \leq C_2 \sum_{k=K_1}^n k^{2r-1-p} + \sum_{k=K_1}^n S_k + E_{K_1} \\
 & \leq C_2 \sum_{k=K_1}^n k^{2r-1-p} + C \text{ for some } C > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

## II. Rates

In what follows  $x^*$  denotes the element of minimum norm from the set  $\operatorname{argmin} f$ .

We treat first the case  $p < q + 1$ .

Now, if  $2r - 1 - p > -1$ , that is  $r \in (\frac{p}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}]$ , it is obvious that  $\sum_{k=K_1}^n k^{2r-1-p} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . However, easily can be seen that  $\sum_{k=K_1}^n k^{2r-1-p} = \mathcal{O}(n^{2r-p})$ .

Hence, dividing (60) with  $n^{2r-p}$  we obtain at once that there exists  $L > 0$  such that  $\frac{E_{n+1}}{n^{2r-p}} < L$ , consequently

$$\frac{\mu_n}{n^{2r-p}} (f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n)) \leq L \text{ and } \frac{\sigma_n}{n^{2r-p}} \|x_n\|^2 \leq L \text{ for all } n \geq K_1.$$

But according to (50) one has  $\sigma_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{2r-p})$  consequently  $(x_n)$  is bounded.

From (48) we have  $\mu_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{2r+\delta})$ , hence

$$f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p-\delta}).$$

Consequently, for every  $\rho < p + \delta - 1$  one has

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^\rho (f_k(x_k) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) < +\infty.$$

Now, according to (34) one has  $f(x_n) - f(x^*) \leq f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n) + \frac{c}{2n^p} \|x^*\|^2$  hence, since  $\delta \leq 0$  we obtain

$$f(x_n) - f(x^*) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p-\delta}).$$

Further, one has  $\frac{v_{n+1}}{n^{2r-p}} < L$ , hence

$$\frac{\|a_n(x_n - \bar{x}_n) + b_n(\alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) - c_n x_n)\|^2}{n^{2r-p}} < L \text{ for all } n \geq K_1.$$

Consequently,  $\|an^{\frac{p}{2}-1}(x_n - \bar{x}_n) + n^{\frac{p}{2}}(\alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) - cn^{-p}x_n)\|^2$  is bounded. But  $(x_n)$  is bounded and  $p < 2$ , hence  $an^{\frac{p}{2}-1}(x_n - \bar{x}_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $-cn^{-\frac{p}{2}}x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , consequently  $\|n^{\frac{p}{2}}\alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1})\|^2$  is bounded. In other words

$$\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p}).$$

Hence, for every  $\rho < p - 1$  one has

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^\rho \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Now, using the definition of  $u_n$  we have  $\lambda_{n-1}u_n = (x_n - x_{n-1}) - \alpha_{n-1}(x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}) + c_{n-1}x_{n-1}$  hence

$$\|\lambda(n-1)^\delta u_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \alpha_{n-1}\|x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}\| + c_{n-1}\|x_{n-1}\| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{p}{2}}).$$

Consequently,  $\|u_n\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p-2\delta})$  and for every  $\rho < p + 2\delta - 1$  one has

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^\rho \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Further, by taking  $r = \frac{q+1}{2}$  we obtain the following ergodic convergence results.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n m_k (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}))}{n^{q+1-p}} < +\infty.$$

But according to (53) we have  $m_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{q+\delta})$ , hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+\delta} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}))}{n^{q+1-p}} < +\infty.$$

Similarly, according to (56) one has  $\eta_k = \mathcal{O}(k^1)$ , hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2}{n^{q+1-p}} < +\infty.$$

Finally, according to (52) one has  $\xi_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{q+1+2\delta})$ , hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+1+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2}{n^{q+1-p}} < +\infty.$$

Now, if  $2r - 1 - p < -1$ , that is  $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p}{2})$ , then the right hand side of (60) is finite, hence there exists  $C_3 > 0$  such that

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{n+1} + \sum_{k=K_1}^n \xi_k \|u_k\|^2 + \sum_{k=K_1}^n m_k (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + \sum_{k=K_1}^n n_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\ + \sum_{k=K_1}^n \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + \sum_{k=K_1}^n t_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \leq C_2 \sum_{k=K_1}^n k^{2r-1-p} + \sum_{k=K_1}^n S_k + E_{K_1} \leq C_3. \end{aligned}$$

From (61) by using (52), (53) and (56) we obtain the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r-1+\delta} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r-q} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty.$$

But according to (34) we have  $f(x_{k-1}) - f(x^*) \leq f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) + \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x^*\|^2$ .

Further  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r-1+\delta} \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x^*\|^2 < +\infty$  therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r-1+\delta} (f(x_{k-1}) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f) < +\infty.$$

In case  $p = q + 1$  we have seen earlier, that  $S_k$  defined by (58) is summable provided  $2r < q + 1$ . Further, for  $2r = q + 1$  one has  $S_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$ . Consequently, the right hand side of (60), that is  $C_2 \sum_{k=K_1}^n k^{2r-1-p} + \sum_{k=K_1}^n S_k + E_{K_1}$  is finite for  $2r < q + 1$  and is of order  $\mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$  for  $2r = q + 1$ .

So assume first that  $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2})$ . Then (60) becomes:

$$(62) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{n+1} + \sum_{k=K_1}^n \xi_k \|u_k\|^2 + \sum_{k=K_1}^n m_k (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + \sum_{k=K_1}^n n_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\ + \sum_{k=K_1}^n \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + \sum_{k=K_1}^n t_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \leq C, \text{ for some } C > 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (62), for all  $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2})$  we obtain at once the following estimates:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r-1+\delta} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) < +\infty \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r-q} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 < +\infty.$$

But according to (34) we have  $f(x_{k-1}) - f(x^*) \leq f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) + \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x^*\|^2$ . Further  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r-1+\delta} \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x^*\|^2 < +\infty$  therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2r-1+\delta} (f(x_{k-1}) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f) < +\infty.$$

Assume now that  $r = \frac{q+1}{2}$ . Then (60) becomes:

$$(63) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{n+1} &+ \sum_{k=K_1}^n \xi_k \|u_k\|^2 + \sum_{k=K_1}^n m_k (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + \sum_{k=K_1}^n n_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\ &+ \sum_{k=K_1}^n \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + \sum_{k=K_1}^n t_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \leq C \sum_{k=K_1}^n \frac{1}{k}, \text{ for some } C > 0. \end{aligned}$$

But  $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} = \mathcal{O}(\ln n)$ , hence by dividing (63) with  $\ln n$  we get at once that there exists  $L > 0$  such that  $\frac{E_{n+1}}{\ln n} < L$ . Consequently by arguing analogously as in the case  $p < q + 1$  we have

$$f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p-\delta} \ln n)$$

and

$$f(x_n) - f(x^*) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p-\delta} \ln n).$$

Further, in this case  $\nu_n = \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $\sigma_n = \mathcal{O}(1)$  hence  $\frac{1}{\ln n} \|x_n - \bar{x}_n\|^2 < L$  and  $\frac{1}{\ln n} \|x_n\|^2 < L$ . Combining the latter relations with the fact that  $\frac{v_{n+1}}{\ln n} < L$  we obtain that

$$\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p} \ln n).$$

Now, using the definition of  $u_n$  we have

$$\|u_n\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p-2\delta} \ln n).$$

Finally, also here the following average convergence results hold.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+\delta} (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}))}{\ln n} < +\infty,$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2}{\ln n} < +\infty$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+1+2\delta} \|u_k\|^2}{\ln n} < +\infty.$$

Also here, for  $\delta < 0$  it holds  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{q+\delta} \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x^*\|^2 < +\infty$ , hence according to (34) one has

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{q+\delta} (f(x_{k-1}) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f)}{\ln n} < +\infty.$$

□

**3.2. Strong convergence results.** Now, in order to show the strong convergence of the sequences generated by (1) to an element of minimum norm of the nonempty, convex and closed set  $\operatorname{argmin} f$ , we state the following results.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $1 < p < q + 1$  and  $\lambda_k = \lambda k^\delta$  with  $p - q - 1 < \delta < 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  or  $\delta = 0$  and  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$ . Let  $(x_k)$  be a sequence generated by (1). Let  $x^*$  be the minimal norm element from  $\operatorname{argmin} f$ . Then,  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$ . Further,  $(x_k)$  converges strongly to  $x^*$  whenever  $(x_k)$  is in the interior or the complement of the ball  $B(0, \|x^*\|)$  for  $k$  big enough.*

*Proof.* We will use the notations and the energy functional  $E_k$  used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Case I.** Assume that  $\|x_k\| \geq \|x^*\|$  for all  $k \geq K_2$ , where  $K_2 \geq K_1$  and  $K_1$  was defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let us add  $-\sigma_k \|x^*\|^2 + \sigma_{k-1} \|x^*\|^2$  to the both side of (51). Note that  $E_k - \sigma_{k-1} \|x^*\|^2 \geq 0$  for

all  $k > K_2$ . Further, since  $\|\bar{x}_k\| \leq \|x^*\|$ , we get that  $\|x_k\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq K_2$ . Then we obtain for all  $k > K_2$  that

$$(64) \quad \begin{aligned} & (E_{k+1} - \sigma_k \|x^*\|^2) - (E_k - \sigma_{k-1} \|x^*\|^2) + \xi_k \|u_k\|^2 + m_k(f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\ & + n_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + t_k \|x_{k-1}\|^2 \\ & \leq (b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k) \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + (-\sigma_k + \sigma_{k-1}) \|x^*\|^2 + S_k. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (64) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k) \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + (-\sigma_k + \sigma_{k-1}) \|x^*\|^2 + S_k \\ & = ((b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k) \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - (b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (c_{k-2} - c_{k-1}) + a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1})) \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\ & + (b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (c_{k-2} - c_{k-1}) + (1 - \lambda_{k-1}) a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1}) \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + (-\sigma_k + \sigma_{k-1}) \|x^*\|^2 + S_k, \end{aligned}$$

hence (64) becomes

$$(65) \quad \begin{aligned} & (E_{k+1} - \sigma_k \|x^*\|^2) - (E_k - \sigma_{k-1} \|x^*\|^2) + \xi_k \|u_k\|^2 + m_k(f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\ & + n_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + t_k (\|x_{k-1}\|^2 - \|x^*\|^2) \\ & \leq ((b_{k-1}^2 \lambda_{k-1} (c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k) \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - (b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (c_{k-2} - c_{k-1}) + a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1})) \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\ & + (b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (c_{k-2} - c_{k-1}) + (1 - \lambda_{k-1}) a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1} - \sigma_k + \sigma_{k-1} - t_k) \|x^*\|^2 + S_k. \end{aligned}$$

Now, according to (57), (50) and the form of  $a_k$ ,  $b_k$ ,  $c_k$  and  $\lambda_k$  we deduce that there exists  $K_3 > K_2$  such that

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} & b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (c_{k-2} - c_{k-1}) + (1 - \lambda_{k-1}) a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1} - \sigma_k + \sigma_{k-1} - t_k \\ & = \lambda c(k-2)^{2r+\delta} ((k-2)^{-p} - (k-1)^{-p}) + (1 - \lambda(k-1)^\delta) a c(k-1)^{2r-1-p} \\ & - c k^{-p} (k^{2r} - \lambda(k-1)^{2r+\delta}) - a c k^{2r-1-p} + \alpha c k^{2r-q-p} + c^2 k^{2r-2p} \\ & + c(k-1)^{-p} ((k-1)^{2r} - \lambda(k-2)^{2r+\delta}) + a c(k-1)^{2r-1-p} - \alpha c(k-1)^{2r-q-p} - c^2(k-1)^{2r-2p} \\ & - (a c(k-1)^{2r-1-p} - a \lambda c(k-1)^{2r-1+\delta-p}) - c((k-1)^{2r-p} - k^{2r-p}) \\ & - \lambda c(k-1)^{-p} ((k-1)^{2r+\delta} - (k-2)^{2r+\delta}) - \alpha c(k^{2r-q-p} - (k-1)^{2r-q-p}) + c^2(k-1)^{2r-2p} \\ & = \lambda c((k-2)^{2r+\delta-p} - (k-1)^{2r+\delta-p} + k^{-p}(k-1)^{2r+\delta} - (k-1)^{-p}(k-2)^{2r+\delta}) \\ & + a c((k-1)^{2r-1-p} - k^{2r-1-p}) + c^2 k^{2r-2p} = c^2 k^{2r-2p} + \mathcal{O}(k^{2r-2-p}) < C k^{2r-2p} \text{ for some } C > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\sum_{k=K_3}^{+\infty} (b_{k-2}^2 \lambda_{k-2} (c_{k-2} - c_{k-1}) + (1 - \lambda_{k-1}) a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1} - \sigma_k + \sigma_{k-1} - t_k) \|x^*\|^2 < +\infty$ , provided  $2r - 2p < -1$ . So in what follows we assume that  $\max(p - \delta, 1) < 2r < \min(q + 1, 2p - 1)$ . Then, by summing (65) by  $k = K_3$  to  $k = n > K_3$  we obtain that there exists  $L > 0$  such that

$$\mu_n(f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n)) \leq L, \text{ for all } n > K_3.$$

Now, by (33) we get

$$\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\|^2 < L \frac{2n^p}{c\mu_n} = \frac{L}{\lambda} \frac{n^p}{(n-1)^{2r+\delta}} \text{ for all } n > K_3.$$

Consequently,  $\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  which combined with the fact that  $\bar{x}_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  lead to

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

## Case II.

Assume that there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|x_n\| < \|x^*\|$  for all  $n \geq k_0$ .

Now, we take  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{H}$  a weak sequential cluster point of  $(x_n)$ , which exists since  $(x_n)$  is bounded. This means that there exists a sequence  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq [k_0, +\infty) \cap \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $x_{k_n}$  converges weakly to  $\bar{x}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . According to Theorem 3.1 and the fact that  $f$  is lower semicontinuous one has

$$f(\bar{x}) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(x_{k_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(x_{k_n}) = \min_{\mathcal{H}} f,$$

hence  $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{argmin} f$ . Now, since the norm is weakly lower semicontinuous one has that

$$\|\bar{x}\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_{k_n}\| \leq \|x^*\|$$



which, from the definition of  $x^*$ , implies that  $\bar{x} = x^*$ . This shows that  $(x_n)$  converges weakly to  $x^*$ . So

$$\|x^*\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n\| \leq \|x^*\|,$$

hence we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n\| = \|x^*\|.$$

From the previous relation and the fact that  $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain the strong convergence, that is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x^*.$$

**Case III.** We suppose that there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n \geq k_0$  there exists  $l \geq n$  such that  $\|x^*\| > \|x_l\|$  and also there exists  $m \geq n$  such that  $\|x^*\| \leq \|x_m\|$ .

So let  $k_1 \geq k_0$  and  $l_1 \geq k_1$  such that  $\|x^*\| > \|x_{l_1}\|$ . Let  $k_2 > l_1$  and  $l_2 \geq k_2$  such that  $\|x^*\| > \|x_{l_2}\|$ . Continuing the procedure we obtain  $(x_{l_n})$ , a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  with the property that  $\|x_{l_n}\| < \|x^*\|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now reasoning as in **Case II** we obtain that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_{l_n} = x^*$ . Consequently,

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0.$$

□

**3.3. Full strong convergence for the case  $\delta = 0, \lambda = 1$ .** Now we are able to show that in case  $\lambda = 1$  the sequences generated by Algorithm 1 converges strongly to the minimum norm minimizer of the objective function  $f$ . The following result is our main result of the present section.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $1 < p < q + 1$ ,  $\lambda_k \equiv 1$ . Let  $(x_k)$  be a sequence generated by (1). For every  $k \geq 2$  let us denote by  $u_k$  the element from  $\partial f(x_k)$  that satisfies (2) with equality, i.e.,*

$$x_k = \alpha_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - x_{k-2}) - u_k + (1 - c_{k-1})x_{k-1}.$$

*Then the following results are valid.*

- (i) *If  $p \leq 2q$  then  $\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\| = \mathcal{O}(n^{\frac{q-1}{2}})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x^*$ . Further,  $\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2, \|u_n\|^2 \in \mathcal{O}(n^{q-p-1})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .*
- (ii) *If  $2q < p \leq \frac{3q+1}{2}$  then  $\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\| = \mathcal{O}(n^{\frac{q-1}{2}})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x^*$ . Further,  $f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n), \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2, \|u_n\|^2 \in \mathcal{O}(n^{-q-1})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . The following sum estimates also hold.  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^q (f_k(x_k) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) < +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2q} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^q \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 < +\infty$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\frac{3q+1}{2} < p < q + 1$ , then  $\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\| = \mathcal{O}(n^{p-q-1})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x^*$ . Further,  $f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n), \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2, \|u_n\|^2 \in \mathcal{O}(n^{2p-4q-2})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Additionally, if  $\frac{3q+1}{2} < p < \frac{4q+2}{3}$ , then  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and if  $\frac{4q+2}{3} \leq p < q + 1$ , then  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(n^{2p-4q-2})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover,  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^q (f_k(x_k) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) < +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2q} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^q \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 < +\infty$ .*

*Proof.* We use the notations from the proof of Theorem 3.1. Then, for  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\delta = 0$  (47) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(67) \quad & v_{k+1} - v_k + 2b_{k-1}^2(f_k(x_k) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) - 2b_{k-2}^2(f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) \\
& - (2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - 2b_{k-2}^2)(f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + b_{k-1}^2\|u_k\|^2 \\
& + (-a_k^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k + a_k b_k c_k + a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\|x_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \\
& - (-a_{k-1}^2 - \alpha_{k-1}a_{k-1}b_{k-1} + a_{k-1}b_{k-1}c_{k-1} + a_{k-2}b_{k-2})\|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + (-\alpha_{k-1}a_{k-1}b_{k-1} + a_{k-1}b_{k-1}c_{k-1} + a_{k-2}b_{k-2} - (1 + s_{k-1})(a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k))\|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& - (\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 + \alpha_k a_k b_k - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - b_{k-1}^2 + 3a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& \leq (b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k + b_{k-1}^2 c_k)\|x_k\|^2 \\
& - (b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1}^2 - \alpha_{k-1}b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1} - a_{k-1}b_{k-1}c_{k-1} + b_{k-2}^2 c_{k-1})\|x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + (b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1}^2 + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - \alpha_{k-1}b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1} + b_{k-2}^2 c_{k-1} - b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1})\|x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + (b_{k-1}^2(c_{k-1} - c_k) + a_k b_k c_k)\|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1}b_{k-1}c_{k-1}\|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + \left( \left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{k-1}}\right) (a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) + \frac{a_{k-1}b_{k-1}}{2} - b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1} \right) \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We will assume from now on that  $a_k \equiv a$ ,  $\alpha > a > 0$  and  $b_k = k^q$ . Then, concerning the right hand side of (67) we conclude the following.

$$-\sigma_k = b_k^2 c_k^2 - \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - a_k b_k c_k + b_{k-1}^2 c_k \geq 0 \text{ after an index } k \text{ big enough.}$$

Further,  $-\sigma_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{q-p})$ . Note that for  $k$  big enough one has

$$-t_k = b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1}^2 + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k - \alpha_{k-1}b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1} + b_{k-2}^2 c_{k-1} - b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1} \leq 0.$$

Now, since  $\|\bar{x}_k\| \leq \|x^*\|$  we conclude that there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$b_{k-1}^2(c_{k-1} - c_k)\|\bar{x}_k\|^2 \leq C_1 k^{2q-p-1} \text{ for } k \text{ big enough.}$$

We recall that  $S_k = \left( \left(1 + \frac{(k-1)^{p-q}}{s}\right) (a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) + \frac{a_{k-1}b_{k-1}}{2} \right) \|\bar{x}_k - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2$  and by using (31) we conclude that there exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that for  $k$  big enough one has

$$S_k \leq C_2 k^{\max(p-q-2, q-2)}.$$

Consider now the energy functional  $e_k = \mu_{k-1}(f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + v_k + \nu_{k-1}\|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2$ . Obviously for our setting, one has  $\mu_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2q})$  and  $\nu_k = \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Then, (67) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(68) \quad & e_{k+1} - e_k + m_k(f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + \xi_k\|u_k\|^2 + n_k\|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + \eta_k\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& \leq -\sigma_k\|x_k\|^2 + \sigma_{k-1}\|x_{k-1}\|^2 + a_k b_k c_k \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1}b_{k-1}c_{k-1}\|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + C_1 k^{2q-p-1} + C_2 k^{\max(p-q-2, q-2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $k$  big enough one has  $m_k = -(2b_{k-1}^2 - 2a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - 2b_{k-2}^2) \geq 0$  and  $m_k = \mathcal{O}(k^q)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $n_k = -\alpha_{k-1}a_{k-1}b_{k-1} + a_{k-1}b_{k-1}c_{k-1} + a_{k-2}b_{k-2} - (1 + s_{k-1})(a_{k-1}b_{k-1} - \alpha_k a_k b_k) \geq 0$  and  $n_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{q-p})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\xi_k = b_{k-1}^2 = (k-1)^{2q} \geq 0$  and  $\xi_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{2q})$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , further  $\eta_k = -\alpha_k^2 b_k^2 - \alpha_k a_k b_k + \alpha_k b_k^2 c_k + b_{k-1}^2 - 3a_{k-1}b_{k-1} \geq 0$  and  $\eta_k = \mathcal{O}(k^q)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . By using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
v_k &= \|a_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}) + b_{k-1}(x_k - x_{k-1} + u_k)\|^2 \\
&\leq 2a^2\|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + 4(k-1)^{2q}\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 + 4(k-1)^{2q}\|u_k\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we deduce that there exists  $H > 0$  such that

$$\frac{H}{k^\beta} e_k \leq m_k(f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + \xi_k\|u_k\|^2 + n_k\|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 + \eta_k\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2,$$

where  $\beta = \max(q, p - q) < 1$ .

Consequently, according to (68) there exists an index  $K_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k > K_0$  it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(69) \quad & e_{k+1} - e_k + \frac{H}{k^\beta} e_k \leq -\sigma_k\|x_k\|^2 + \sigma_{k-1}\|x_{k-1}\|^2 + a_k b_k c_k \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1}b_{k-1}c_{k-1}\|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& + C_1 k^{2q-p-1} + C_2 k^{\max(p-q-2, q-2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by multiplying (69) with  $\pi_k = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=K_0}^k (1 - \frac{H}{i^\beta})}$  we obtain

$$(70) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_k e_{k+1} - \pi_{k-1} e_k &\leq \pi_k ((-\sigma_k) \|x_k\|^2 - (-\sigma_{k-1}) \|x_{k-1}\|^2) \\ &\quad + \pi_k (a_k b_k c_k \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - a_{k-1} b_{k-1} c_{k-1} \|\bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2) \\ &\quad + C_1 \pi_k k^{2q-p-1} + C_2 \pi_k k^{\max(p-q-2, q-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by summing (70) from  $k = K_0 + 1$  to  $n > K_0 + 1$  big enough and using Lemma A.2 we obtain that there exist some positive constants still denoted by  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  such that

$$\pi_n e_{n+1} \leq \pi_n (-\sigma_n) \|x_n\|^2 + \pi_n a_n b_n c_n \|\bar{x}_n\|^2 + C_1 \pi_n n^{2q-p-1+\beta} + C_2 \pi_n n^{\max(p-q-2+\beta, q-2+\beta)} + C_3.$$

Now, taking into account that  $(-\sigma_n), (a_n b_n c_n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{q-p})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and according to Theorem 2.6  $(x_n)$  is bounded and  $\|\bar{x}_n\| \leq \|x^*\|$ , the above relation leads to

$$(71) \quad e_{n+1} \leq C_0 n^{q-p} + C_1 n^{2q-p-1+\beta} + C_2 n^{\max(p-q-2+\beta, q-2+\beta)} + \frac{C_3}{\pi_n} < C(n^{q-p} + n^{2q-p-1+\beta} + n^{\max(p-q-2+\beta, q-2+\beta)}),$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ .

Let us discuss the order of the right hand side of (71).

If  $\max(p - q - 2 + \beta, q - 2 + \beta) = q - 2 + \beta$ , that is,  $p \leq 2q$  then  $\beta = \max(p - q, q) = q$ , hence  $\max(p - q - 2 + \beta, q - 2 + \beta) = 2q - 2$ . Obviously by assumption  $2q - p - 1 + \beta = 3q - p - 1 > 2q - 2$ , further, since  $q \geq \frac{p}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$  one has  $3q - p - 1 > q - p$  so the right hand side of (71) is less than  $Cn^{3q-p-1}$  for a constant  $C > 0$  appropriately chosen.

If  $\max(p - q - 2 + \beta, q - 2 + \beta) = p - q - 2 + \beta$ , that is,  $p \geq 2q$  then  $\beta = \max(p - q, q) = p - q$ , hence  $\max(p - q - 2 + \beta, q - 2 + \beta) = 2p - 2q - 2$ . Obviously, the  $2q - p - 1 + \beta = q - 1 > q - p$ , hence the right hand side of (71) is less than  $Cn^{q-1}$  provided  $2q \leq p \leq \frac{3q+1}{2}$  and the right hand side of (71) is less than  $Cn^{2p-2q-2}$  provided  $\frac{3q+1}{2} < p < q + 1$  for a constant  $C > 0$  appropriately chosen.

So using (33), (71) and the form of  $e_{n+1}$  we conclude the following.

**a.** If  $p \leq 2q$  then for some  $C' > 0$  it holds

$$\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\|^2 \leq \frac{2n^p}{c} (f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n)) \leq \frac{2n^p}{c\mu_n} e_{n+1} \leq C' n^{q-1}.$$

Consequently,  $\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\| = \mathcal{O}(n^{\frac{q-1}{2}})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $\bar{x}_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain in particular that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x^*$ .

Further,  $f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n) \leq \frac{1}{c\mu_n} e_{n+1}$  and  $v_{n+1} \leq e_{n+1}$ , hence

$$f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n), \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2, \|u_n\|^2 \in \mathcal{O}(n^{q-p-1}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

According to (34) we have  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f \leq f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n) + \frac{c}{2n^p} \|x^*\|^2$ , and since  $q - p - 1 < -p$ , we obtain that  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**b.** If  $2q < p \leq \frac{3q+1}{2}$  then by using the fact that  $\nu_n = \mathcal{O}(1)$  we obtain from (71) that

$$\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu_n} e_{n+1} \leq C' n^{q-1}, \text{ for some } C' > 0.$$

Consequently,  $\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\| = \mathcal{O}(n^{\frac{q-1}{2}})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and since  $q < 1$  we obtain in particular that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x^*$ . Analogously to the previous case, one can deduce that

$$f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n), \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2, \|u_n\|^2 \in \mathcal{O}(n^{-q-1}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

and  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**c.** If  $\frac{3q+1}{2} < p < q + 1$ , then by the same argument as in the previous case we deduce that  $\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\| = \mathcal{O}(n^{p-q-1})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x^*$ . Further, one has

$$f_n(x_n) - f_n(\bar{x}_n), \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2, \|u_n\|^2 \in \mathcal{O}(n^{2p-4q-2}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Here, by using (34), concerning the rate of the potential energy  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$  we conclude the following.

In one hand, if  $-p > 2p - 4q - 2$ , that is  $2q < p < \frac{4q+2}{3}$ , then  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

On the other hand, if  $\frac{4q+2}{3} \leq p < q + 1$ , then  $f(x_n) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f = \mathcal{O}(n^{2p-4q-2})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

In order to obtain sum estimates, let us return to (68) which holds from an index  $K_0$  big enough. By summing (68) from  $k = K_0$  to  $k = n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(72) \quad e_{n+1} &+ \sum_{k=K_0}^n m_k (f_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) - f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1})) + \sum_{k=K_0}^n \xi_k \|u_k\|^2 + \sum_{k=K_0}^n n_k \|x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&+ \sum_{k=K_0}^n \eta_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|^2 \leq -\sigma_n \|x_n\|^2 + a_n b_n c_n \|\bar{x}_n\|^2 + C_1 \sum_{k=K_0}^n k^{2q-p-1} \\
&+ C_2 \sum_{k=K_0}^n k^{\max(p-q-2, q-2)} + C_3, \text{ for some } C_3 > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $(x_n), (\bar{x}_n)$  are bounded and  $\sigma_n, a_n b_n c_n \in \mathcal{O}(n^{q-p})$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , further  $q < 1 < p < 1 + q$ , we deduce that for  $p > 2q$  the right hand side of (72) is finite. So taking into account the form of  $m_k, \eta_k$  and  $\xi_k$  we obtain that  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^q (f_k(x_k) - f_k(\bar{x}_k)) < +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2q} \|u_k\|^2 < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^q \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 < +\infty$ .  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS, PERSPECTIVES

In the present paper we showed that the constellation  $q = 1, \lambda_k \equiv 1$  is not necessarily the best choice for Algorithm (1) since in case  $0 < q < 1$  the control on the stepsize parameter  $\lambda_k$  allows us to obtain arbitrary rate for the potential energy  $f(x_k) - \min_{\mathcal{H}} f$ . Further, our analysis reveals that the inertial parameter  $\alpha_k$ , the stepsize  $\lambda_k$  and the Tikhonov regularization parameter  $c_k$  are strongly correlated: in case  $q + 1 < p, \delta \geq 0$  weak convergence of the generated sequences and fast convergence of the function values can be obtained, meanwhile in case  $p < q + 1, \delta \leq 0$  strong convergence results for the generated sequences and fast convergence of the function values can be provided.

Another important achievement of the present paper is that for the case  $\lambda_k \equiv 1, p < q + 1$  we succeeded to obtain "full" strong convergence of the generated sequences to the minimal norm solution  $x^*$ , that is  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$ . For the same constellation of parameters, we also obtained fast convergence of the function values and velocity and some sum estimates. Due to our best knowledge this is the first result of this type in the literature concerning discrete dynamical systems, however in continuous case some similar results have already been obtained in the recent papers [2, 25, 17]. Nevertheless, in order to obtain strong convergence we had to develop some original new techniques.

In our context, one can observe that the case  $p = q + 1$  is critical in the sense that separates the two cases: the case when we obtain fast convergence of the function values and weak convergence of the generated sequences to a minimizer and the case when the strong convergence of the generated sequences to a minimizer of minimum norm is assured. However, even in this case we can obtain fast convergence of the function values and velocity and also sum estimates, both for the case  $\delta \geq 0$  and  $\delta < 0$ . These facts are in concordance with the results obtained for continuous dynamics in [6], [15] and [1].

Some other subjects for future investigations are the gradient type algorithms obtained via explicit discretization from (3) and the dynamical systems studied in the papers mentioned above.

#### APPENDIX A. AUXILIARY RESULTS

The following lemma summarizes several important results which are behind the Tikhonov regularization techniques and are used in our proofs.

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  a proper, convex and lsc function and let  $(\varepsilon_k)$  a positive non-increasing sequence that converges to 0. By  $\bar{x}_k$  we denote the unique solution of the strongly convex minimization problem*

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \left( f(x) + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \|x\|^2 \right).$$

*Then, for all  $k \geq 1$  one has*

$$\frac{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \langle \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2$$

*and*

$$\frac{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_k} \langle \bar{x}_{k+1}, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2.$$

Consequently, the sequence  $(\|\bar{x}_k\|)_{k \geq 1}$  is non-decreasing and one has  $\langle \bar{x}_{k+1}, \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Additionally, the following statements hold for all  $k \geq 1$ .

- a)  $\|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2$ .
- b)  $\|\bar{x}_k\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \leq \langle \bar{x}_{k+1}, \bar{x}_k \rangle \leq \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2$ .
- c)  $\|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\| \leq \min \left( \frac{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \|\bar{x}_k\|, \frac{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_k} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\| \right)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\bar{x}_k$  is the unique minimum of the strongly convex function  $f_k(x) = f(x) + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \|x\|^2$ , obviously one has

$$(73) \quad \partial f_k(\bar{x}_k) = \partial f(\bar{x}_k) + \varepsilon_k \bar{x}_k \ni 0.$$

Hence, we have  $-\varepsilon_k \bar{x}_k \in \partial f(\bar{x}_k)$  and  $-\varepsilon_{k+1} \bar{x}_{k+1} \in \partial f(\bar{x}_{k+1})$  and by using the monotonicity of  $\partial f$  we get

$$\langle -\varepsilon_{k+1} \bar{x}_{k+1} + \varepsilon_k \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq 0.$$

In other words

$$-\varepsilon_{k+1} \langle \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle + (\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}) \langle \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq 0$$

or, equivalently

$$(74) \quad \frac{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \langle \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2.$$

But,  $\langle \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle = -\|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 + \langle \bar{x}_{k+1}, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle$  hence

$$\frac{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \langle \bar{x}_{k+1}, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq \frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2.$$

Equivalently, we can write

$$(75) \quad \frac{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_k} \langle \bar{x}_{k+1}, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2.$$

In order to prove a) note that  $\langle \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2)$ , hence (74) leads to

$$(76) \quad \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_{k+1}}{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2.$$

Observe that b) is actually equivalent to (74) and (75).

For proving c) we simply use in (74) and (75) the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and simplify with  $\|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|$ .

Finally, note that a) implies that the sequence  $(\|\bar{x}_k\|)_{k \geq 1}$  is non-decreasing and b) implies that  $\langle \bar{x}_{k+1}, \bar{x}_k \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .  $\square$

The following result is used in the proofs of our strong convergence results.

**Lemma A.2.** Let  $H > 0$ ,  $0 < \beta$  and for  $K_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K_0 > H^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$  consider the sequence  $\pi_k = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=K_0}^k (1 - \frac{H}{i^\beta})}$ . Then obviously  $(\pi_k)$  is a positive non-decreasing sequence and has the following properties.

- a) If  $\beta \in ]0, 1[$  then there exists  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that after an index  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  it holds

$$e^{C_1 n^{1-\beta}} \leq \pi_n \leq e^{C_2 n^{1-\beta}}, \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Further, if  $\beta = 1$  then  $\pi_n = \mathcal{O}(n^H)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

- b) If  $\beta \in ]0, 1[$  then for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n$  big enough, one has

$$C_1 n^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_n \leq \sum_{k=K_0}^n k^\gamma \pi_k \leq C_2 n^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_n, \text{ for some } C_1, C_2 > 0.$$

- c) For every nonnegative sequence  $(a_k)$  one has

$$\sum_{k=K_0+1}^n \pi_k (a_k - a_{k-1}) \leq a_n \pi_n.$$

*Proof.* In case  $\beta \in ]0, 1[$ , by applying the Cesàro-Stolz theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \pi_n}{n^{1-\beta}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \frac{\pi_{n+1}}{\pi_n}}{(n+1)^{1-\beta} - n^{1-\beta}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\frac{H}{(n+1)^\beta} \ln \left(1 - \frac{H}{(n+1)^\beta}\right)^{-\frac{(n+1)^\beta}{H}}}{(n+1)^{1-\beta} - n^{1-\beta}}$$

But  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\frac{H}{(n+1)^\beta}}{(n+1)^{1-\beta} - n^{1-\beta}} = \frac{H}{1-\beta}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln \left(1 - \frac{H}{(n+1)^\beta}\right)^{-\frac{(n+1)^\beta}{H}} = 1$ , hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \pi_n}{n^{1-\beta}} = \frac{H}{1-\beta}.$$

In other words, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  one has

$$e^{(\frac{H}{1-\beta} - \varepsilon)n^{1-\beta}} \leq \pi_n \leq e^{(\frac{H}{1-\beta} + \varepsilon)n^{1-\beta}}$$

and the conclusion follows.

In case  $\beta = 1$ , by applying the Cesàro-Stolz theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \pi_n}{\ln n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\frac{H}{n+1} \ln \left(1 - \frac{H}{n+1}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{H}}}{\frac{1}{n} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n} = H$$

and the conclusion follows.

b) Note that it is enough to show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=K_0+1}^n k^\gamma \pi_k}{n^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_n}$  exists and is finite. Observe that according to a) one has  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_n = +\infty$  for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Further, for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  one has  $\frac{(n+1)^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_{n+1}}{n^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_n} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\gamma+\beta} \frac{(n+1)^\beta}{(n+1)^\beta - H} > 1$ , hence the sequence  $(n^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_n)$  is increasing. Consequently Cesàro-Stolz theorem can be applied in order to find the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=K_0+1}^n k^\gamma \pi_k}{n^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_n}$ . We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=K_0+1}^n k^\gamma \pi_k}{n^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(n+1)^\gamma \pi_{n+1}}{(n+1)^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_{n+1} - n^{\gamma+\beta} \pi_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(n+1)^\gamma}{(n+1)^{\gamma+\beta} - n^{\gamma+\beta} \frac{\pi_n}{\pi_{n+1}}}.$$

Further,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(n+1)^\gamma}{(n+1)^{\gamma+\beta} - n^{\gamma+\beta} \frac{\pi_n}{\pi_{n+1}}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{(n+1)^{\gamma+\beta} - n^{\gamma+\beta}}{(n+1)^\gamma} + \frac{H n^{\gamma+\beta}}{(n+1)^{\gamma+\beta}}} = \frac{1}{H}.$$

c) We have  $\pi_k a_{k-1} = \pi_{k-1} a_{k-1} + \frac{H}{k^\beta - H} \pi_{k-1} a_{k-1}$ , hence

$$\sum_{k=K_0+1}^n \pi_k (a_k - a_{k-1}) \leq \sum_{k=K_0+1}^n (\pi_k a_k - \pi_{k-1} a_{k-1}) \leq a_n \pi_n.$$

□

**Conflicts of interests.** We have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.D. ALECSA, S.C. LÁSZLÓ, *Tikhonov regularization of a perturbed heavy ball system with vanishing damping*, SIAM J. OPTIM. 31(4), 2921-2954 (2021)
- [2] H. ATTOUCH, A. BALHAG, Z. CHBANI, H. RIAHI, *Damped inertial dynamics with vanishing Tikhonov regularization: Strong asymptotic convergence towards the minimum norm solution*, Journal of Differential Equations 311, 29-58 (2022)
- [3] H. ATTOUCH, R.I. BOŢ, E.R. CSETNEK, *Fast optimization via inertial dynamics with closed-loop damping*, Journal of the European Mathematical Society (2022), DOI 10.4171/JEMS/1231
- [4] H. ATTOUCH, L.M. BRICEÑO-ARIAS, P.L. COMBETTES, *A strongly convergent primal-dual method for nonoverlapping domain decomposition*, Numerische Mathematik 133(3), 443-470 (2016)
- [5] H. ATTOUCH, Z. CHBANI, J. PEYPOUQUET, P. REDONT, *Fast convergence of inertial dynamics and algorithms with asymptotic vanishing viscosity*, Mathematical Programming 168 (1-2), 123-175 (2018)
- [6] H. ATTOUCH, Z. CHBANI, H. RIAHI, *Combining fast inertial dynamics for convex optimization with Tikhonov regularization*, J. Math. Anal. Appl 457, 1065-1094 (2018)
- [7] H. ATTOUCH, Z. CHBANI, H. RIAHI, *Fast proximal methods via time scaling of damped inertial dynamics*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 29(3), 2227-2256 (2019)
- [8] H. ATTOUCH, Z. CHBANI, H. RIAHI, *Accelerated gradient methods with strong convergence to the minimum norm minimizer: a dynamic approach combining time scaling, averaging, and Tikhonov regularization*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2211.10140.pdf> (2022)

- [9] H. ATTOUCH, R. COMINETTI, *A dynamical approach to convex minimization coupling approximation with the steepest descent method*, Journal of Differential Equations 128(2), 519-540 (1996)
- [10] H. ATTOUCH, M.-O. CZARNECKI, *Asymptotic Control and Stabilization of Nonlinear Oscillators with Non-isolated Equilibria*, J. Differential Equations 179, 278-310 (2002)
- [11] H. ATTOUCH, S. LÁSZLÓ, *Convex optimization via inertial algorithms with vanishing Tikhonov regularization: fast convergence to the minimum norm solution*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.11987> (2021)
- [12] H. ATTOUCH, J. PEYPOUQUET, *Convergence of inertial dynamics and proximal algorithms governed by maximally monotone operators*, Math. Program. 174, 391-432 (2019)
- [13] H. ATTOUCH, J. PEYPOUQUET, P. REDONT, *A dynamical approach to an inertial forward-backward algorithm for convex minimization*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 24(1), 232-256 (2014)
- [14] A. BECK, M. TEBoulLE, *A fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems*, SIAM J. Img. Sci. 2(1), 183-202 (2009)
- [15] R. I. BOŢ, E. R. CSETNEK, S.C. LÁSZLÓ, *Tikhonov regularization of a second order dynamical system with Hessian damping*, Math. Program. 189, 151-186 (2021)
- [16] R.I. BOŢ, E.R. CSETNEK, S.C. LÁSZLÓ, *An inertial forward-backward algorithm for the minimization of the sum of two nonconvex functions*, EURO Journal on Computational Optimization 4, 3-25 (2016)
- [17] R. I. BOŢ, E. R. CSETNEK, S.C. LÁSZLÓ, *On the strong convergence of continuous Newton-like inertial dynamics with Tikhonov regularization for monotone inclusions*, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369118075>, (2023)
- [18] R.I. BOŢ, S.M. GRAD, D. MEIER, M. STAUDIGL, *Inducing strong convergence of trajectories in dynamical systems associated to monotone inclusions with composite structure*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 10, 450-476 (2021)
- [19] A. CHAMBOLLE, C. DOSSAL, *On the convergence of the iterates of the fast iterative shrinkage/thresholding algorithm*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 166, 968-982 (2015)
- [20] R. COMINETTI, J. PEYPOUQUET, S. SORIN, *Strong asymptotic convergence of evolution equations governed by maximal monotone operators with Tikhonov regularization*, J. Differential Equations 245, 3753-3763 (2008)
- [21] O. GÜLER, *On the convergence of the proximal point algorithm for convex optimization*, SIAM J. Control Optim. 29, 403-419 (1991)
- [22] O. GÜLER, *New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 2(4), 649-664 (1992)
- [23] M.A. JENDOUBI, R. MAY, *On an asymptotically autonomous system with Tikhonov type regularizing term*, Archiv der Mathematik 95 (4), 389-399 (2010)
- [24] P.R. JOHNSTONE, P. MOULIN, *Local and global convergence of a general inertial proximal splitting scheme for minimizing composite functions*, Comput Optim Appl 67, 259-292 (2017)
- [25] S.C. LÁSZLÓ, *On the strong convergence of the trajectories of a Tikhonov regularized second order dynamical system with asymptotically vanishing damping*, Journal of Differential Equations 362, 355-381 (2023)
- [26] D.A. LORENZ, T. POCK, *An inertial forward-backward algorithm for monotone inclusions*, Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision 51, 311-325 (2015)
- [27] P.E. MAINGÉ, A. MOUDAFI, *Convergence of new inertial proximal methods for DC programming* SIAM J. Optim. 19, 397-413 (2008)
- [28] A. MOUDAFI, M. OLINY, *Convergence of a splitting inertial proximal method for monotone operators*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 155(2), 447-454 (2003)
- [29] Y. NESTEROV, *A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate  $O(1/k^2)$* , Soviet Math. Dokl. 27, 372-376 (1983)
- [30] Z. OPIAL, *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 73(4), 591-597 (1967)
- [31] A. N. TIKHONOV, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR* 151 (1963) 501-504, (Translated in "Solution of incorrectly formulated problems and the regularization method", Soviet Mathematics 4 (1963) 1035-1038)
- [32] A. N. TIKHONOV, V. Y. ARSENIN, *Solutions of Ill-Posed Problems*, Winston, New York, (1977)