The Classical Aharonov-Bohm Interaction as a Relativity Paradox

Timothy H. Boyer

Department of Physics, City College of the City University of New York, New York, New York 10031, USA

Abstract

The situation of a charged particle passing down the symmetry axis through a magnetic toroid presents a relativity paradox; different inertial frames suggest different forces on the charge and on the toroid due to the unperturbed systems. We review the charge-toroid interaction and suggest that the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm situation is misunderstood because of unfamiliarity with the acceleration fields following from the Darwin Lagrangian, which go unmentioned in recent textbooks of classical electromagnetism.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. The Aharonov-Bohm Situation

The magnetic Aharonov-Bohm phase shift involving electrons passing a long solenoid has attracted great attention because it is claimed to be an effect of the vector potential involving no forces on the passing electrons and having no classical analogue.[1][2] This interpretation of the observed phenomenon is pervasive in the physics literature.[3][4][5] In contradiction[6] to such views, it is suggested here that the classical electromagnetic interaction of a charge particle and a solenoid should be regarded as yet another example of a relativity paradox where the outcome is easily understood in one interial frame but is disguised in another.

B. Relativity Paradoxes

The appearance of relativity paradoxes is familiar to any instructor who has taught special relativity. Perhaps the most famous example is the pole-and-the-barn paradox where the barn has one open door and a sturdy back wall.[7] The description in the inertial frame of the barn is clear. The farmer claims that the fast-moving pole is Lorentz contracted and so easily fits inside the barn before he closes the door. The account in the rest-frame of the pole is misleading, because the physics in this frame requires new forces which are not mentioned in the original description of the unperturbed motions of the pole and of the barn. Similarly, the Aharonov-Bohm situation involves two unperturbed systems in relative motion, in this case a point charge and a solenoid. The description is misleading in the inertial frame where the solenoid is at rest. Conservation of energy in this inertial frame requires forces arising from particle accelerations which are not mentioned in the original description of the moving charge and constant-current solenoid.

C. Aharonov-Bohm Situation as Relativity Paradox

The classical Aharonov-Bohm situation involves the electromagnetic interaction of a charged particle and a magnet at the relativistic $1/c^2$ -level, though this *relativity* aspect is rarely mentioned in the literature. The interaction between the charged particle and the solenoid is calculated in the approximation that each continues its unperturbed behavior

during the interaction. The interaction is much more easily understood in the interial frame where the charged particle is at rest and the solenoid is moving, because in this inertial frame, the physics requires no new forces beyond those arising from the original descriptions of the unperturbed parts of the interacting system. Indeed, in this inertial frame where the charge is at rest, it is easy to verify the energy conservation law based upon the equal-andopposite electric forces that the unperturbed charge and solenoid put on each other. On the other hand, in the inertial frame in which the solenoid is at rest, energy conservation is violated *unless* one introduces additional particle accelerations or external forces beyond those present in the unperturbed solenoid. If the charges of the solenoid are allowed to accelerate, they introduce back (Faraday) forces on the electron which were not included in the original description of an *unperturbed* solenoid. Alternatively, one may introduce external forces holding the solenoid particles at constant speed, and these external forces account for the required changes in energy, but such external forces were not part of the original description of the interaction of a charged particle and a solenoid as *unperturbed* systems.

D. Paradoxes Involving Particle-Magnet Interactions

The interaction of charges and magnets occurs at the relativistic $1/c^2$ -level of energy and momentum. Because the interaction of charges and magnets at the relativistic level is poorly understood in classical electrodynamics, it has given rise to a whole class of "paradoxes," including the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift,[1] the Aharonov-Casher phase shift,[8] the Shockley-James paradox,[9] "hidden momentum in magnets," [10] and Mansuripur's erroneous claim.[11] All of these effects involve relativistic interactions where our familiar experience with nonrelativistic mechanics, or with electrostatics, or with magnetostatics may not be adequate. These interactions can all be treated at the level of the Darwin Lagrangian[12] which describes quasi-static classical electrodynamics which excludes radiation.

In this article, we will treat only the energy conservation aspects of the interaction between a point charge and a toroid. A more complete description of the interaction between a point charge and magnet will be published elsewhere.[13]

II. INTERACTION OF A POINT CHARGE AND A MAGNETIC MOMENT

A. Magnetic Dipole Moment

At its basic level, the problem of the classical Aharonov-Bohm situation involves the interaction of a magnetic moment \mathbf{m} and a point charge e. We will picture the magnetic moment in its own $S_{\mathbf{m}}$ rest frame as an electrically-neutral circular current loop of radius b and current I. The magnetic moment of this current loop (in Gaussian units) is

$$\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{n}\pi b^2 I/c,\tag{1}$$

where the direction **n** is normal to the plane of the current loop and is connected to the direction of the current I by the right-hand rule. If the center of the current loop is at $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}$, we assume that the point charge e at \mathbf{r}_{e} is sufficiently far away that the separation is large compared to the radius $b, b \ll |\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}|$, and so the magnetic dipole approximation is adequate.

B. Interaction in the Inertial Frame where the Magnetic Moment is at Rest

In the $S_{\mathbf{m}}$ inertial frame where the magnetic moment is at rest and the charge e is moving with *constant* velocity $\mathbf{v}_e = \mathbf{v}$, the charge e carries (through order $1/c^2$) both an electric field

$$\mathbf{E}_{e}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = e\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{e}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{e}\right|^{3}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}} - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{e}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{e}\right|c}\right)^{2}\right]$$
(2)

and a magnetic field

$$\mathbf{B}_{e}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = e\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c}\right) \times \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{e}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{e}\right|^{3}},\tag{3}$$

so that the charge e has an interaction energy with the magnetic moment given by the *magnetic* field energy[14]

$$\Delta U^{(B)} = -\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}_e \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, t \right) \approx -\mathbf{m} \cdot \left[e \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \right) \times \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_e \right)}{\left| \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_e \right|^3} \right].$$
(4)

In this inertial frame, the magnetic moment experiences a *magnetic* force

$$\mathbf{F}_{on\mathbf{m}}^{(B)} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \left[-\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{e} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, t \right) \right] = \nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \left\{ \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[e \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \right) \times \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e})}{|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}|^{3}} \right] \right\}$$
$$= \nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \left\{ \left[\mathbf{m} \times e \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e})}{|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}|^{3}} \right\}$$
$$= \left[\mathbf{m} \times e \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \right) \right] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \left(\frac{(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e})}{|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}|^{3}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{-3 \left[\mathbf{m} \times e \left(\mathbf{v}/c \right) \right] \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}) (\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e})}{|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}|^{5}} + \frac{\left[\mathbf{m} \times e \left(\mathbf{v}/c \right) \right]}{|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}|^{3}}, \tag{5}$$

while the charge e experiences a (deflecting) magnetic force due to the magnetic dipole

$$\mathbf{F}_{on\mathbf{e}}^{(B)} = e\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{m}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{e}, t\right) = e\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \left[\frac{3\mathbf{m} \cdot \left(\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}\right) \left(\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}\right|^{5}} - \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}\right|^{3}}\right].$$
 (6)

In this inertial frame, the forces between the magnetic moment and the charge are not equal in magnitude and opposite in direction; Eq. (5) involves a term in the direction $(\mathbf{r_m}-\mathbf{r}_e)$ whereas Eq. (6) involves a term in the direction $\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{r}_e - \mathbf{r_m})$.

C. Interaction in the Inertial Frame where the Charge e is at Rest

On the other hand, in the S_e inertial frame where the charge particle e is at rest and the magnetic moment is moving with velocity $\mathbf{v_m} = -\mathbf{v}$, the interaction between the charge and the magnetic moment involves energy in the *electric* fields because, in this frame where it is moving, the magnetic moment has an *electric* dipole moment[15]

$$\mathbf{p_m} \cong \left(\frac{-\mathbf{v}}{c}\right) \times \mathbf{m}.$$
 (7)

In this S_e inertial frame, the electric interaction energy is [16]

$$\Delta U^{(E)} = -\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{e} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, t \right) = -\left[\frac{-\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{m} \right] \cdot \left(e \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e})}{|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}|^{3}} \right), \tag{8}$$

which is the same as the *magnetic* energy given in Eq. (4). The *electric* force on the magnetic moment is accordingly

$$\mathbf{F}_{on\mathbf{m}}^{(E)} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \left[-\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{e} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, t \right) \right] = \left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{E}_{e} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, t \right)$$
$$= \left\{ \left[\frac{-\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{m} \right] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \right\} \left(e \frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e} \right)}{|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}|^{3}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{-3 \left[\left(-\mathbf{v}/\mathbf{c} \right) \times \mathbf{m} \right] \cdot \left(\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} \right) \left(\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} \right)}{|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}|^{5}} + \frac{\left[\left(-\mathbf{v}/\mathbf{c} \right) \times \mathbf{m} \right]}{|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_{e}|^{3}}. \tag{9}$$

Noting the reversals of sign connected with the order in the cross products, one finds that this electric force on the magnetic dipole in Eq. (9) is the same as the magnetic force on the magnetic dipole appearing in Eq. (5). Also, the *electric* force on the charge e is just the negative of this expression,

$$\mathbf{F}_{on\mathbf{e}}^{(E)} = e\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{m}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{e}, t\right) = e\left\{\frac{3\left[\left(-\mathbf{v}/\mathbf{c}\right) \times \mathbf{m}\right] \cdot \left(\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}\right|^{5}} - \frac{\left[\left(-\mathbf{v}/\mathbf{c}\right) \times \mathbf{m}\right]}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{e} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}\right|^{3}}\right\}.$$
 (10)

Through order $1/c^2$ in this S_e inertial frame, the electric forces that the magnetic moment and charge place on each other are equal in magnitude and opposite in direction. The change in electric field energy is accounted for by the work done by the electric force $\mathbf{F}_{onm}^{(E)}$ on the moving magnetic moment.

III. TRANSITION TO A POINT CHARGE AND TOROID

A. Forming a Toroid from Magnetic Dipoles

Although the equations which we have listed already record the basic paradox, the situation becomes far more vivid, and also simpler calculationally, if we imagine many magnetic moments arranged so as to form a toroid. And indeed, a toroid can be pictured as a solenoid (a stack of current loops) which is bent into a circular shape and so brings us to the Aharonov-Bohm situation where electrons pass a long solenoid.

Thus, we picture the magnetic moments (which are simply circular current loops of radius b) arranged in a circular pattern of (average) radius R around the z-axis so as to form a toroid located along the z-axis at z_T . Each current loop lies in the plane formed by the z-axis and the displacement from the z-axis to the center of the current loop. We assume that there are N current loops, each carrying current I and that the (average) radius R of the toroid is much larger than the radius b of each current loop, $b \ll R$. The average magnetic field inside the toroid is

$$\mathbf{B}_T = \hat{\phi} \frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{NI}{2\pi R} = \hat{\phi} \frac{2NI}{cR},\tag{11}$$

and the magnetic flux through each current loop of the toroid is

$$\Phi = \pi b^2 B_T = \frac{2\pi b^2 NI}{cR}.$$
(12)

For the electrically-neutral toroidal situation, there are no toroidal electric fields, and all the magnetic fields are confined to the interior of the toroid.

B. Interaction of a Charge and a Toroid

We consider a charged particle e moving with velocity $\mathbf{v}_e = \hat{z}v$ along the z-axis, which is the axis of symmetry of the toroid. We want to obtain the lowest non-vanishing approximation for the interaction between the charge e and the toroid. This "lowest-nonvanishinginteraction" approximation suggests that we consider the toroid and the charge e as continuing their *unperturbed* motions despite their mutual interaction. Thus we consider the currents carried by the charge carriers of the toroid as constant. We also consider the velocity \mathbf{v}_e of the charge e as constant. With these assumptions, we wish to determine the forces on the charge e and on the toroid due to the toroid and the charge e respectively through order $1/c^2$.

C. Toroid at Rest

In the S_T inertial frame where the toroid is at rest and the charge e is moving with velocity $\mathbf{v}_e = \hat{z}v$, it appears that the passing charge puts a magnetic force (corresponding to Eq. (5)) on each magnetic dipole moment (circular current loop) of the toroid. By symmetry, the z-components of the forces add while the radial components cancel. The magnetic field of the charge e (assumed positive) is in the same circular pattern as that of the toroid. Taking the negative derivative of the $-\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ contributions gives a total magnetic force on the toroid

$$\mathbf{F}_{onT}^{(B)} = -\widehat{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_T} \left(-N\frac{\pi b^2 I}{c} B_e(z_T, t) \right)$$
$$= \widehat{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_T} \left(\left(\frac{N\pi b^2 I}{c}\right) e^{\frac{v}{c}} \frac{R}{\left|(z_T - z_e)^2 + R^2\right|^{3/2}} \right)$$
$$= \widehat{z} \left(\frac{N\pi b^2 I}{c}\right) e^{\frac{v}{c}} \frac{R\left[-3\left(z_T - z_e\right)\right]}{\left|(z_T - z_e)^2 + R^2\right|^{5/2}}.$$
(13)

Since the toroid is electrically neutral and all the magnetic fields of the toroid are confined to the interior of the toroid, there appears to be no force back of the *unperturbed* toroid on the charge e.

Since the charge particle e experiences no forces, there is no change in its kinetic energy. Since the toroid is electrically neutral, there is no change in the electric energy as the charge e and the toroid interact. However, there is a change in the system magnetic energy associated with the overlap of the magnetic field of the charge with the magnetic field of the toroid in the volume of the toroid,

$$\Delta U_{overlap}^{(B)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3 r \mathbf{B}_e \cdot \mathbf{B}_T = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{evR}{c \left[(z_T - z_e)^2 + R^2 \right]^{3/2}} \right) \left(\frac{2NI}{cR} \right) \left[2\pi R\pi b^2 \right].$$
(14)

In this inertial frame, it may appear that the relativistic conservation law of energy is violated, since there is apparently no force on the moving charge e and the currents of the toroid are assumed unperturbed.

D. Charge e at Rest

On the other hand, in the S_e inertial frame in which the charge e is at rest while the unperturbed toroid is moving with velocity $\mathbf{v}_T = -\hat{z}v$, there are *electric* forces between the charged particle and the toroid. In an inertial frame in which it is moving with velocity $-\mathbf{v}$, an unperturbed magnetic moment \mathbf{m} has an *electric* dipole moment $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{m}} = (-\mathbf{v}/c) \times \mathbf{m}$ as given in Eq. (7). Thus in the S_e inertial frame in which it is moving with velocity $-\mathbf{v}$, the *unperturbed* toroid has a ring of *electric* dipoles which produce a net z-component of electric force on the charge e which is N times larger than the z-component of force produced by a single electric dipole in Eq. (10),

$$\mathbf{F}_{one}^{(E)} = e\mathbf{E}_T\left(\mathbf{r}_e, t\right) = \hat{z}e\frac{N3R\left(z_T - z_e\right)}{c\left[\left(z_T - z_e\right)^2 + R^2\right]^{5/2}} \left(\frac{v\pi b^2 I}{c}\right).$$
(15)

Also, the charge e will place an *electric* force on each electric dipole of the moving toroid, giving a net force on the toroid

$$\mathbf{F}_{onT}^{(E)} = \hat{z} \left\{ N \hat{z} \cdot \left[\left(\mathbf{p_m} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{E}_e \left(\mathbf{r_m}, t \right) \right] \right\} \\ = \hat{z} N \hat{z} \cdot \left[\left(p_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) e \frac{\hat{r}r + \hat{z} \left(z_T - z_e \right)}{\left[\left(z_T - z_e \right)^2 + r^2 \right]^{3/2}} \right]_{r=R} \\ = \hat{z} N \left[p_{\mathbf{m}} e \frac{-3r \left(z_T - z_e \right)}{\left[\left(z_T - z_e \right)^2 + r^2 \right]^{5/2}} \right]_{r=R} \\ = \hat{z} N \left[\left(\frac{v \pi b^2 I}{c} \right) e \frac{-3R \left(z_T - z_e \right)}{\left[\left(z_T - z_e \right)^2 + R^2 \right]^{5/2}} \right].$$
(16)

This *electric* force on the toroid in Eq. (16) is exactly the same as the *magnetic* force as found in Eq. (13) for the previous inertial frame where the toroid was at rest and the charge e was moving. However, here in the S_e inertial frame where the charge e is at rest and the

toroid is moving, the electric forces on the charge e and on the toroid are equal in magnitude and and opposite in direction.

During the interaction, there is no energy change in the magnetic field energy, since the charge e is at rest and so has no magnetic field. However, during the interaction, there is a change in the electric field energy given by

$$\Delta U^{(E)} = -N\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{e} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, t\right)$$

$$= -N \left(\frac{v}{c} \frac{\pi b^{2} I}{c}\right) E_{er} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, t\right)$$

$$= -N \left(\frac{v}{c} \frac{\pi b^{2} I}{c}\right) e \frac{R}{\left[\left(z_{T} - z_{e}\right)^{2} + r^{2}\right]^{3/2}}.$$
(17)

The electric energy change $\Delta U^{(E)}$ in Eq. (17) is accounted for by the electric force $\mathbf{F}_{onT}^{(E)}$ on the moving toroid,

$$\Delta U^{(E)} = -\int_{\infty}^{z_{\mathbf{m}}} \mathbf{F}_T^{(E)} \cdot \hat{z} dz_T.$$
(18)

Thus energy conservation involving the unperturbed parts of the system indeed holds in the S_e inertial frame in which the toroid is moving with velocity $-\mathbf{v}$ and the charge e is at rest.

On the other hand, the change in *electric* energy $\Delta U^{(E)}$ in Eq. (17) is exactly equal to the *negative* of the change in *magnetic* energy $\Delta U^{(B)}_{overlap}$ in Eq. (14) due to the overlap of the magnetic field of the charge e and the magnetic field of the toroid.

IV. DISCUSSION OF THE RELATIVITY PARADOX

A. Contrast in Forces Between Different Inertial Frames

Thus we have our relativity "paradox." In both inertial frames, all the forces are of order $1/c^2$ and so the forces cannot change in leading order in v/c when viewed from a different inertial frame. Nevertheless, different inertial frames claim that different forces appear. When described in the S_T rest frame of the toroid, there is a magnetic force on the toroid, but apparently no force on the moving charge e. However, when described in the S_e rest frame of the charge e, there are electric forces on the charge e and also on the toroid. Indeed, in the rest frame of the charge e, one finds exactly the same force on the toroid (now an electric force) as was found as a magnetic force in the inertial frame where

the toroid is at rest, but now one also finds its partner in the *electric* force of the toroid on the charge e.

B. The Inertial Frame with the Unreliable Description

Just as in the relativity paradox of the pole and the barn, one must make a choice. Which description should one trust as representing accurate physics? We suggest that in each case, the accurate description involves the inertial frame in which the physics does not require the introduction of external forces and/or accelerations which were not part of the original account of the *unperturbed* motion. For the pole and the barn, the unreliable description involves the inertial frame in which the barn is moving, and so is Lorentz contracted; this inertial frame requires the introduction of new forces when the front of the pole encounters the back wall of the barn, before the barn door is closed.[7] These external forces alter the account given for the *unperturbed* motion of the pole.

In the situation of the classical Aharonov-Bohm interaction of a charged particle and a magnet, the situation involves the same basic idea. In which inertial frame does the physics require the introduction of new forces and/or accelerations which were not part of the original account of unperturbed motion? The answer is that the S_T inertial frame in which the toroid is at rest is less satisfactory; specifically, the changes in magnetic energy associated with the overlap of the magnetic field of the charge e and the magnetic field of the toroid have not been accounted for satisfactorily.

C. Problems Involving Magnetic Energy Changes

Indeed, changes in magnetic energy often present problems. They are the basis of the present paradox. *Electric* energy changes involve work done directly by the *electric* forces, as is evident in the second description given for our charge-magnetic interaction where the charge *e* is at rest and the toroid is moving. In contrast, *magnetic* forces do no work. Therefore *magnetic* energy changes require work being done by separate *electric or external* forces. *Magnetic energy balance in quasistatic systems requires the existence of electric* forces associated with the accelerations involving changing speeds of charge particles. Such accelerations are not contained in the description of the *unperturbed* toroid.

D. Balancing Magnetic Energy Changes for the Toroid at Rest

The energy balance for the system of the charge e and the toroid involves three different contributions, mechanical kinetic energy, electric energy, and magnetic energy

$$\Delta U = \Delta U^{(M)} + \Delta U^{(E)} + \Delta U^{(B)}.$$

The troublesome aspect, as usual, involves the magnetic energy $\Delta U^{(B)}$. Although the $1/c^2$ -force on the toroid (given in Eqs. (13) and (16)) is exactly the same in either inertial frame, the $1/c^2$ -energy change of the system given in Eqs. (14) and (17) is not the same, but indeed involves a relative minus sign. The difficulty here involves the same aspect which appears in any discussion of magnetic energy changes for quasistatic systems.[17][18] There is a sharp contrast between electric and magnetic energy changes. Electric energies involve only the relative positions between charged particles. However, quasistatic magnetic energies involve moving charges. Therefore magnetic energy changes can involve changes in 1) the relative positions of the current carriers and/or in 2) the speeds of the charge carriers.

For our charge-toroid example in the S_T inertial frame in which the toroid is at rest and the charge e is moving, we have both aspects of magnetic energy change,

$$\Delta U^{(B)} = \Delta U^{(B)}_{overlap} + \Delta U^{(B)}_{toroid\ currents}$$

There is a positive magnetic energy change $\Delta U_{overlap}^{(B)}$ associated with the overlap of the magnetic field of the charge e with the magnetic field of the toroid. However, the electric fields of the charge e act on the current carriers of the toroid. The zero-order electrostatic field of the charge e has no emf and so does not deliver net energy to the toroid currents. It is the terms of order v^2/c^2 in Eq. (2) which do indeed produce an emf and deliver net energy to (or remove magnetic energy from) the toroid currents . The toroid responds to the effort to change the speeds of the current carriers[19] in the fashion typical of a solenoid. The (small) accelerations of the (many) toroid current carriers produce a back (Faraday) acceleration electric field acting on the agent causing the original emf, in this case on the charge e. The magnetic energy change due to the changing toroid currents involves \mathbf{B}_T^2 and so is twice as large and of opposite sign as the overlap magnetic energy change which involves only the first power of \mathbf{B}_T . It is the back (Faraday) acceleration electric field of the specified carriers which places a force on the charge e in the S_T inertial

frame where the toroid is at rest and the charge e is moving. The energy-balancing back force is or order $1/c^2$.

The electric force on the charge e appears immediately in the *unperturbed*-motion discussion in the S_e inertial frame in which the toroid is moving and so (according to the *relativistic* description of the *unperturbed* toroid motion) has an electric dipole moment. In the S_T rest frame of the toroid, the basis for the back field on the charge e involves particle accelerations which are not part of the description of the *unperturbed* toroid. Thus the *unperturbed* description in the S_T restframe of the toroid, which does not mention the fields arising from the accelerations of the current carriers, is indeed the less reliable description of the relativity paradox.

E. Absence of Quasistatic Acceleration Terms in Recent Textbooks

The back (Faraday) acceleration fields (which are unfamiliar in the interaction of a charge e and a toroid) are thoroughly familiar in the case of a solenoid with increasing currents. The back emf appearing in a solenoid when the currents are increasing is caused by these same back (Faraday) acceleration fields of the accelerating current carriers of the solenoid.[19] However, in the current textbooks of classical electromagnetism, the solenoid's back emf is calculated from a changing magnetic flux for a highly-symmetric solenoid, not from the accelerations of the current carriers.

Acceleration electric fields appear immediately from the Darwin Lagrangian. Thus, at the quasistatic $1/c^2$ -level, the electric field of an *accelerating* charge *e* is *not* that given in Eq. (2) for a *constant-velocity* charge *e*, but rather includes additional acceleration-dependent terms,[20][21]

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{a} \frac{q_{a} \left(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{a}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{a}\right|^{3}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{a}}{c}\right)^{2} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{a} \cdot \left(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{a}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{a}\right| c}\right)^{2}\right] - \sum_{a} \frac{q_{a}}{2c^{2}} \left[\frac{\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{a}}{\left|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{a}\right|} + \frac{\left(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{a}\right)\left[\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{a} \cdot \left(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{a}\right)\right]}{\left|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{a}\right|^{3}}\right].$$
(19)

However, even as the Darwin Lagrangian, is barely mentioned in the recent textbooks of classical electromagnetism, the local (Faraday) acceleration fields in Eq. (19) for an accelerating charge are never mentioned. Fields due to accelerating charges appear only in the sections on radiation leading to Larmor's formula.

F. Classical Counterpart to the Aharonov-Bohm Effect

The interaction between a charged particle and a magnet is a relativistic effect of order $1/c^2$ to lowest order. Therefore the interaction is adequately described by the Darwin Lagrangian which reproduces classical electrodynamics through order $1/c^2$ but excludes radiation. We expect that the same basic interaction continues to hold for full classical electrodynamics, where we have the additional complications of retarded times and (very small) radiation effects.

It seems widely accepted that there is "no classical analogue to the Aharonov-Bohm effect." Statements of this sort appear in many textbooks of quantum theory[22] and in some textbooks of classical electromagnetism.[4][5] The usual argument for this no-classicalanalogue statement notes that the magnetic field vanishes outside a very long solenoid or toroid where the currents are constant, and hence concludes that there is no force on a passing charged particle. However, such unsophisticated views based upon magnetostatics do not do justice to the subtleties of classical electrodynamics. Because physicists are unfamiliar with the idea of quasistatic accelerating charges producing the electric fields associated with an emf, the claims associated with the classical Aharonov-Bohm situation have been rarely challenged.[23]

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The reanalysis here of the classical interaction of a charged particle and a magnet was stimulated by a manuscript of Dr. Hanno Essén, "A classical Aharonov-Bohm effet arises when one goes beyond the test particle approximation." I wish to thank Dr. Essén for alerting me to the work included in reference 21,

Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, "Significance of electromagnetic potentials in quantum theory," Phys. Rev. 115, 485-491 (1959).

^[2] For reviews of the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift, see for example, S. Olariu and I. Iovitzu Popescu, "The Quantum Effects of Electromagnetic Fluxes," Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 339-436

(1985), and H. Batelaan and A. Tonomura, "The Aharonov-Bohm effects of electromagnetic fluxes," Physics Today, September 2009, pp. 38-43.

- [3] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA., 1964), Vol. II, Sect. 15-5. For a correction, see T. H. Boyer, "Misinterpretation of the Aharonov-Bohm Effect," Am. J. Phys. 40, 56-59, (1972). Feynman agreed that his description in the *Lectures* was in error. T. H. Boyer, private correspondence. The *Lectures* are now freely available to read on the internet. However, they are published without any changes, and so Section 15.5 still contains the same errors.
- [4] A. Shadowitz, *The Electromagnetic Field* (Dover, New York, 1988), pp. 197, 208-209, 517-522.
- [5] A. Garg, Classical Electromagnetism in a Nutshell (Princeton U. Press, Princeton, NJ 08450, 2012), pp. 107-108.
- [6] A dissenting view is given by T. H. Boyer, "Classical electromagnetic deflections and lag effects associated with quantum interference pattern shifts: considerations related to the Aharonov-Bohm effect," Phys. Rev. D 8, 1679-1693 (1973); "The Aharonov-Bohm effect as a classical electromagnetic lag effect: an electrostatic analogue and possible experimental test," Il Nuovo Cimento 100, 685-701 (1987); "Does the Aharonov-Bohm effect exist?" Found. Phys. 30, 893-905 (2000); "Classical electromagnetism and the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift," Found. Phys. 30, 907-932 (2000); "Darwin-Lagrangian analysis for the interaction of a point charge and a magnet: Considerations related to the controversy regarding the Aharonov-Bohm and Aharonov-Casher phase shifts," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39, 3455-3477 (2006).
- [7] See, for example, D. J. Griffiths, Introduction to Electrodynamics 4th ed (Pearson, New York 2013), p. 516-517 or B. F. Schutz, A First Course in General Relativity (Cambridge U. Press 1986), p. 34, or E. F. Taylor and J. A. Wheeler, Spacetime Physics: Introduction to Special Relativity; 2nd ed (Freeman, New York 1992), p. 166. If a spacetime event occurs in one inertial frame, the spacetime event will occur in any other inertial frame. Only the time order of spacetime events with a spacelike separation can be different in two different inertial frames.
- [8] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, "Topological quantum effects for neutral particles," Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 319-321 (1984).
- [9] W. Shockley and R. P. James, "'Try simplest cases' discovery of 'hidden momentum' forces on 'magnetic currents," Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 876-879 (1967).

- [10] See for example, D. J. Griffiths, Introduction to Electrodynamics 3rd edn (Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ 1999), pp. 357, 361, 520-521; J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics 3rd ed (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999), pp. 189, 618; A. Zangwill, Modern Electrodynamics (Cambridge U. Press, 2013), pp. 521-522. See also, T. H. Boyer, "Classical interaction of a magnet and a point charge: The Shockley-James Paradox," Phys. Rev. E 91, 013201(11) (2015); "Interaction of a magnet and a point charge: Unrecognized internal electromagnetic momentum," Am. J. Phys. 83, 433-442 (2015).
- [11] M. Mansuripur, "Trouble with the Lorentz law of force: Incompatibility with special relativity and momentum conservation," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 193901 (2012). One reply to Mansuripur which does not invoke hidden momentum is given by T. H. Boyer, "Examples and comments related to relativity controversies," Am. J. Phys. 80, 962-971 (2012).
- [12] J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics 2nd ed (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975), pp. 593-595.
- [13] T. H. Boyer, "Concerning Classical Forces, Energies, and Potentials for Accelerated Point Charges," Am. J. Phys. to be published; and "A Classical Electromagnetic Basis for the Aharonov-Bohm Phase Shift," submitted for publication.
- [14] See, for example, Griffiths in Ref. 7, p. 291.
- [15] J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1962), p. 389.
- [16] See, for example, ref. [12], p. 172.
- [17] T. H. Boyer, "Concerning Classical Forces, Energies, and Potentials for Accelerating Point Charges," Am. J. Phys. 91, 74-78 (2023).
- [18] T. H. Boyer, "Electric and magnetic forces and energies for a parallel-plate capacitor and a flattened, slip-joint solenoid," Am J. Phys. 69, 1277-1279 (2001).
- [19] T. H. Boyer, "Faraday induction and the current carriers in a circuit," Am. J. Phys. 83, 263-271 (2015).
- [20] See the older text by L. Page and N. I. Adams, *Electrodynamics* (Van Nostrand, New York, 1940), p. 175. See also, L. Page and N. I. Adams, "Action and reaction between moving charges," Am. J. Phys. 13, 141–147 (1945).
- [21] See also, B. Podolsky and K. S. Kunz, Fundamentals of Electrodynamics (Marcel Dekker, New York 1969); and H. Primakoff and T. Holstein, "Many-Body Interactions in Atomic and Nuclear Systems," Phys. Rev. 1218-1234 (1939).

- [22] See for example, D. J. Griffiths, Introduction to Quantum Mechanics 2nd ed. (Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ 2005), pp. 384-391 or L. E. Balentine, Quantum Mechanics (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632, 1990), pp. 220-223.
- [23] A full discussion of the forces and energy changes is given in reference [13].

February 3 AB-asParadox4.tex