

Classical Electromagnetic Interaction of a Charge with a Solenoid or Toroid

Timothy H. Boyer

*Department of Physics, City College of the City University
of New York, New York, New York 10031, USA*

Abstract

The Aharonov-Bohm phase shift in a particle interference pattern when electrons pass a long solenoid is identical in form with the optical interference pattern shift when a piece of retarding glass is introduced into one path of a two-beam optical interference pattern. The particle interference-pattern deflection is a relativistic effect of order $1/c^2$, though this *relativity* aspect is rarely mentioned in the literature. Here we give a thorough analysis of the classical electromagnetic aspects of the interaction between a solenoid or toroid and a charged particle. We point out the magnetic Lorentz force which the solenoid or toroid experiences due to a passing charge. Although analysis in the rest frame of the solenoid or toroid will involve back Faraday fields on the charge, the analysis in the inertial frame in which the charge is initially at rest involves forces due to only *electric* fields where forces are equal in magnitude and opposite in direction. The classical analysis is made using the Darwin Lagrangian. We point out that the classical analysis suggests an angular deflection independent of Planck's constant \hbar , where the deflection magnitude is identical with that given by the traditional quantum analysis, but where the deflection direction is unambiguous.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Optical Phase Shift Analogous to the Aharonov-Bohm Deflection

If the optical beam from a small source is split into two beams and then is recombined, it will, in general, produce an interference pattern (dependent upon the difference in optical path length between the two beams) inside a single-beam envelope (dependent upon path-length differences within each individual beam). This optical situation is familiar for two slits in a barrier producing an optical interference pattern on a distant screen. If a piece of glass is introduced into just one to the two beams, then there will be a deflection of the double-slit interference pattern involving both beams but not in the single-slit envelope which involves each beam separately. The direction of deflection of the optical beam is unambiguously toward the side on which the piece of glass slowed the speed of the beam. This deflection in the optical double-beam interference pattern takes exactly the same form as the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm deflection of the double-beam interference pattern involving two beams from a charged-particle source which are separated, pass around a long solenoid, and then are recombined.

B. The Magnetic Aharonov-Bohm Situation

When electrons pass around the sides of a long solenoid, they produce an interference pattern which changes as the solenoid magnetic field is altered.[1][2] A figure in the experimental report[2] of this effect shows clearly the deflection of the double-beam interference pattern with the undisplaced single-beam envelope as the current in the solenoid is increased. This Aharonov-Bohm[3] phase shift is often claimed to have no basis in classical electrodynamics because the electrons move in a region where the magnetic fields of an *unperturbed* infinite solenoid vanish. It is the magnetic flux which is said to affect the charges' behavior. Many physicists have accepted the claim that the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift represents an effect of the vector potential which has no analogue in classical electrodynamics.[4][5][6][7][8] However, when asked in which direction the double-slit interference pattern is deflected, many physicists draw a blank. Indeed, although the theoretical and experimental literature on the Aharonov-Bohm is voluminous and the *magnitude* of the phase shift is confirmed experimentally, it seems very hard to find an authoritative suggestion of the direction of

the deflection in either quantum theory or in the reports of the experiments. Furthermore, the naive discussion of magnetic energy changes suggests a relative particle lag (and hence deflection) which is in the opposite direction from that suggested by a detailed treatment of the classical electrodynamic situation. If the magnetic field of a solenoid is upwards, and charges pass around the sides of the solenoid, is the Aharonov-Bohm deflection in the same direction or in the opposite direction compared with the Lorentz-force deflection of the charge when passing through the center of the solenoid? And has this direction been confirmed experimentally?

C. Classical Electromagnetic Analysis

The Aharonov-Bohm deflection involves charged particles and solenoids which are traditional aspects of classical electromagnetic theory. Thus it seems natural to discuss the interaction of a solenoid or toroid with a passing charge from the viewpoint of classical electrodynamics. The present article is the research-oriented discussion in a series of four articles. The first article pointed out that unsymmetric magnetic energy changes are unfamiliar to many physicists who have seen only the highly symmetric magnetic energy changes of the textbook examples.[9] The second article treated the Aharonov-Bohm interaction as a relativity paradox in the style of the pole-in-the-barn paradox where analysis is easier in one inertial frame than another.[10] A short third article considered simply the direction of the double-slit deflection.[11] The full, careful analysis is the subject of the present article.

D. Outline of the Present Article

In the present article, we give a step-by-step analysis going from familiar electrostatics to unfamiliar aspects of classical electrodynamics. We start with the electrostatic interaction of a point charge passing down the axis of symmetry of a circular electric line charge, and end with a point charge passing down the symmetry axis of a magnetic toroid. First, we introduce the Darwin Lagrangian[12] which is appropriate for quasistatic electromagnetic systems, where radiation is excluded. Such a relativistic-to-order- $1/c^2$ interaction requires the use of point charges. Secondly, it is pointed out that a circular ring of many equally-spaced point charges in close proximity exhibits a large *electromagnetic* inertia which tends

to prevent changes in the motions of the discrete charges in the ring. Thirdly, this point of view is applied to an *electrostatic analogue* of the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm situation where, in the analogue, the charge passes through a ring of electric dipoles. Fourthly, the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm situation is discussed, and the forces are identified. The magnitude of the resulting classical electromagnetic lag for the passing charge is exactly what was suggested fifty years ago,[13] and is precisely what is needed to account for the observed interference pattern shift. The classical electromagnetic lag depends on the magnetic field in the toroid and so on the integral of the vector potential around the toroid. The phase shift when a solenoid is placed between the two holes of a double-hole mask involves the ratio of the classical lag to the double-hole separation. The angular deflection associated with the lag (or the fractional phase shift) is independent of Planck's constant \hbar . The direction of deflection is identified unambiguously in classical theory. Fifthly, we place the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift in the context of poorly understood interactions between charges and magnets. We point out some historical aspects regarding the understanding of the phase shift, and we note the possibility of further experimental exploration.

II. THE DARWIN LAGRANGIAN

The experimental observations of the interaction of a point charge and a solenoid generally involve relatively slowly-moving charges, both in the wires of the solenoid and for the passing charges. The natural classical electromagnetic approximation for the situation is given by the Darwin Lagrangian,[12]

$$L = \sum_a \left\{ -M_a c^2 \sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a/c)^2} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{q_a q_b}{|\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \left\{ \frac{q_a q_b}{2c^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_b}{|\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b|} + \frac{[\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a \cdot (\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b)] [\dot{\mathbf{r}}_b \cdot (\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b)]}{|\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b|^3} \right) \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where the square root $\sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a/c)^2}$ should be expanded through order $(\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a/c)^4$. The Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{r}}_a} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{r}_a} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where it is understood that each rectangular component of the vectors \mathbf{r}_a and $\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a$ is to be treated separately. The total energy of the system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= \sum_a \frac{M_a c^2}{\sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a/c)^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} q_a \Phi_b(\mathbf{r}_a, t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} q_a \frac{\mathbf{v}_a}{c} \cdot \mathbf{A}_b(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \\
&= \sum_a \frac{M_a c^2}{\sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a/c)^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{q_a q_b}{|\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b|} \\
&\quad + (1/2) \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \left\{ \frac{q_a q_b}{2c^2} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_b}{|\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b|} + \frac{[\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a \cdot (\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b)] [\dot{\mathbf{r}}_b \cdot (\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b)]}{|\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b|^3} \right\}, \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

including the particle mechanical energy involving the masses M_a , the energy in the electric field involving separations between the charges, and the energy in the magnetic field involving the velocities $\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a$ of the charges. The associated total linear momentum for the system is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} &= \sum_a \left(\frac{M_a \dot{\mathbf{r}}_a}{\sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a/c)^2}} + \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{q_a}{c} \mathbf{A}_b(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \right) \\
&= \sum_a \frac{M_a \dot{\mathbf{r}}_a}{\sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a/c)^2}} + \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} q_a \frac{q_b}{2c^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_b}{|\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b|} + \frac{(\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b) [\dot{\mathbf{r}}_b \cdot (\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b)]}{|\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b|^3} \right), \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

and includes both mechanical linear momentum and electromagnetic field momentum. The electromagnetic potentials are given by a scalar potential

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_a V_a(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_a \frac{q_a}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a|}, \quad (5)$$

and a vector potential

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_a \mathbf{A}_a(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_a \frac{q_a}{2c} \left(\frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a|} + \frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a) [\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a)]}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a|^3} \right). \quad (6)$$

If the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are rewritten in terms of electric and magnetic fields in the Lorentz force, then one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{M_a \dot{\mathbf{r}}_a}{\sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a/c)^2}} \right) &= q_a \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_a, t) + q_a \frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a}{c} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \\
&= q_a \sum_{b \neq a} \left(-\nabla_a V_b(\mathbf{r}_a, t) - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_b(\mathbf{r}_a, t)}{\partial t} \right) \\
&\quad + q_a \frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a}{c} \times \left[\nabla_a \times \sum_{b \neq a} \mathbf{A}_b(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \right], \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \sum_a \frac{q_a (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a|^3} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a}{c} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a)}{c |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a|} \right)^2 \right] \\ & - \sum_a \frac{q_a}{2c^2} \left[\frac{\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_a}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a|} + \frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a) [\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_a \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a)]}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a|^3} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_a q_a \frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}_a}{c} \times \frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a|^3}. \quad (9)$$

We draw attention particularly to the acceleration terms[14] involving $\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_a$ in the electric field in Eq. (8). It is these terms which are associated with back (Faraday) electric fields whose work balances changes in magnetic energies involving changes of speed for charged particles. The Darwin Lagrangian in Eq. (1) conserves energy, linear momentum, and angular momentum. Constant motion of the center of energy holds only through order $1/c^2$.

III. ELECTROMAGNETIC INERTIA OF A RING OF CHARGES

A. Axial Symmetry

Although the Aharonov-Bohm interaction is usually discussed with solenoids, it is far easier to deal with toroids. Thus we will be interested in the classical electromagnetic interaction of a charge e with various systems, which systems (for ease of calculation) all involve axial symmetry. We take the axis of symmetry as the z -axis. In our discussions before dealing with a magnetic toroid, all motions are parallel to the z -axis, and there are forces of constraint which prevent any motions perpendicular to the z -axis.

B. Nonrelativistic Interaction of a Charge e and a Circular Line Charge λ

We start with the *nonrelativistic* interaction of a particle of charge e and mass M_e , located on the z -axis at z_e , with a uniform circular line charge of radius R and total charge q (with charge per unit length $\lambda = q/(2\pi R)$), and mass M_q , with center located on the z -axis at z_q . The plane of the circular line charge is parallel to the xy -plane. Then, in the nonrelativistic analysis, the charges e and q experience equal-and-opposite forces and have accelerations a_e

and a_q parallel to the z -axis given by

$$a_e = \frac{eq(z_e - z_q)}{M_e |(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad a_q = \frac{qe(z_q - z_e)}{M_q |(z_q - z_e)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}}. \quad (10)$$

C. Relativistic Interaction Through Order $1/c^2$

The Darwin Lagrangian (which gives relativistic electromagnetic effects through order $1/c^2$) requires that we deal not with continuous charge distributions but rather with discrete point charges. Thus we imagine replacing the circular line charge λ by N individual point charges $q_i = q/N$ of mass $M_i = M_q/N$, spaced uniformly around the circle of radius R . We imagine that each of the charges is allowed to move along its own frictionless rod parallel to the z -axis. The rods provide forces of constraint perpendicular to the z -axis but introduce no energy.

The relativistic analysis using the Darwin Lagrangian leads to equations of motion parallel to the z -axis which include the interactions between the point charges q_i and q_j , both of which are in the ring of charges. Thus, for small particle speeds $v \ll c$, we find from the electric field in Eq. (8)

$$\begin{aligned} M_e a_e &= e \sum_i q_i \frac{(z_e - z_i)}{|\mathbf{r}_e - \mathbf{r}_i|^3} - e \sum_i \frac{q_i}{2c^2} \left[\frac{a_i}{|\mathbf{r}_e - \mathbf{r}_i|} + \frac{a_i [(z_e - z_i)^2]}{|\mathbf{r}_e - \mathbf{r}_i|^3} \right] \\ &= eq \frac{(z_e - z_q)}{|(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} - \frac{eq}{2c^2} \left[\frac{a_q}{|(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{1/2}} + \frac{a_q (z_e - z_q)^2}{|(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_i a_q &= q_i \frac{e(z_i - z_e)}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_e|^3} - q_i \frac{e}{2c^2} \left\{ \frac{a_e}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_e|} + \frac{a_e (z_i - z_e)^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_e|^3} \right\} \\ &\quad - q_i \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{q_j}{2c^2} \frac{a_j}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} \\ &= \frac{q}{N} \frac{e(z_q - z_e)}{|(z_q - z_e)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} - \frac{q}{N} \frac{e}{2c^2} \left\{ \frac{a_e}{|(z_q - z_e)^2 + R^2|^{1/2}} + \frac{a_e (z_q - z_e)^2}{|(z_q - z_e)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{q}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{q/N}{2c^2} \frac{a_q}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here we have used the fact that (because of the axial symmetry) all of the charges q_i will have the same acceleration $a_i = a_q$. However, the situation in Eqs. (11) and (12) is completely different from the nonrelativistic equations in Eq. 10).

In order to account for changes in magnetic field energy, the accelerations a_e and a_q of the charge e and of the ring of charges q_i now appear on *both* sides of the equations of motion. The equations for the accelerations a_e and a_q are coupled. The accelerations for the charge e and the ring q can be determined only after solving the coupled equations. The equations can be rewritten as

$$\frac{eq(z_e - z_q)}{|(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} = M_e a_e + \frac{eq}{2c^2} \left[\frac{1}{|(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{1/2}} + \frac{(z_e - z_q)^2}{|(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} \right] a_q \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q}{N} \frac{e(z_q - z_e)}{|(z_q - z_e)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} = & \left[\frac{eq}{N2c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{|(z_q - z_e)^2 + R^2|^{1/2}} + \frac{(z_q - z_e)^2}{|(z_q - z_e)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} \right\} \right] a_e \\ & + \left[\frac{M_q}{N} + \left(\frac{q}{N} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2c^2} \sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_j|} \right] a_q. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Except for a factor of N , the electrostatic forces on the left-hand side of Eqs. (13) and (14) are equal in magnitude and opposite in direction. The right-hand sides correspond to changes in momentum, including both particle momentum and electromagnetic field momentum.

D. Electromagnetic Inertia of Closely-Spaced Point Charges

In the last line of Eq. (14), it is crucial to note that the sum over the charges $j \neq 1$ acts like an electromagnetic inertial contribution in addition to the mass M_q/N of the charge q_i in the ring. As the number N of charges in the ring increases while the radius R of the ring remains fixed, the electromagnetic inertial contribution increases and eventually dominates the mass M_q , since $\sum_{j=2}^N (1/|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_j|)$ increases as N^2 for large N and fixed radius R . As the number N of charges q_i in the ring increases, the separations $|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ between the charges q_i and q_j becomes ever smaller and the number of charges per unit length contributing to the back field also increases. Therefore the influence of the back (Faraday) acceleration terms becomes ever larger, while the actual acceleration of each charge q_i becomes ever smaller. The charge e is some distance away from the ring, and so e does not experience an increasing contribution with N from the charges q_i all of which are at the same distance from e .

E. Simplification in the Large-Electromagnetic-Inertia Limit

For large numbers N of closely-spaced particles q_i in the ring of charges, the electromagnetic inertia of the ring can become very large and the acceleration a_q very small. Therefore in Eq. (13) the term in a_q can be neglected. But then the acceleration a_e/c^2 is small and can be neglected in Eq. (14). The mechanical mass M_q of the ring of particles is negligible compared to the electromagnetic terms when N is large. Therefore, when the second equation is multiplied by N , the equations (13) and (14) simplify to

$$\frac{eq(z_e - z_q)}{|(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} = M_e a_e \quad (15)$$

and

$$\frac{qe(z_q - z_e)}{|(z_q - z_e)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} = \left(\frac{q^2}{2c^2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_j|} \right) a_q. \quad (16)$$

In this situation of large electromagnetic inertia for the ring, the external charge e behaves as though it were interacting with a very massive ring of total charge q . The electromagnetic inertia arising from the (Faraday) acceleration terms within the ring acts to prevent changes in the velocities of the closely-spaced charges. Without the presence of the other charges, each charge q_i of small mass M_q/N would have a large acceleration due to the external charge e . However, this potential large acceleration of each particle q_i is counteracted by the acceleration electric field of the other charges of the ring. The *mechanical* linear momentum change of the charge e in Eq. (15) is balanced against the *electromagnetic* linear momentum change of the ring of charges in Eq. (16).

F. Failure of the $1/c$ Hierarchy

We notice that when the electromagnetic inertia is large, the comparison of the number of factors of $1/c$ is no longer helpful. Thus each term contributing to the *electromagnetic* inertia is of order $1/c^2$ whereas the *particle* inertial masses M_e and M_q are zero order in $1/c$. This transition in orders of $1/c$ (where the electromagnetic inertia dominates the mechanical inertia) is most familiar when dealing with the magnetic energy of a solenoid. The magnetic energy is of order $1/c^2$ whereas the kinetic energy of the charge carriers in a solenoid is zero

order in $1/c$. However, the electromagnetic energy in a solenoid is so much larger than the kinetic energy of the solenoid current carriers, that the latter energy is not even mentioned.

IV. ELECTROSTATIC ANALOGUE FOR THE MAGNETIC AHARONOV-BOHM PHASE SHIFT

A. A Solenoid as a Limit of Magnetic Dipoles

It was pointed out in 1987, that there is a classical *electrostatic* lag effect,[15] which is the analogue of the classical lag suggested as the electromagnetic basis for the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift. A long solenoid can be regarded as a line of magnetic dipoles per unit length. Thus, each turn of radius b carrying a current I of the solenoid provides a magnetic dipole of magnitude $m = \pi b^2 I/c$, and the number n of turns per unit length converts this expression into that for a line of magnetic dipoles. The magnetic dipole moment per unit length is $(\pi b^2 I/c) n = [1/(4\pi)] B_0 \pi b^2$ where we have introduced the magnetic field inside a long solenoid $B_0 = 4\pi n I/c$. The electrostatic analogue involves two line charges $\pm\lambda$ per unit length of opposite sign separated by a small perpendicular displacement \mathbf{d} from $-\lambda$ to $+\lambda$ so that the two can be described in terms of an electric dipole moment per unit length $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{d}\lambda$.

B. A Ring of Electric Dipoles

In order to simplify our calculations and take advantage of axial symmetry, we will consider a line of electric dipoles which is bent into a circular shape, analogous to a solenoid being bent into a magnetic toroid. The corresponding lines of electric charges are bent into two circular rings, each of total charge $\pm q = \pm(2\pi r_{\pm}) \lambda$, one of radius $r_+ = R + d/2$ for the plus charge, and the second of radius $r_- = R - d/2$ for the minus charge.

We imagine that these rings of charge are each made up of N individual charges $\pm q_i$ with values $\pm q_i = \pm q/N$. The individual charges are allowed to slide frictionlessly on straight rods parallel to the z -axis, where the rods themselves are arranged in a circular pattern, with the rods at radius $R + b/2$ carrying the positive charges q_i , and the rods at radius $R - b/2$ carrying the negative charges $-q_i$. The charges $\pm q_i$ are attracted to the charges in the ring

of opposite charge, both of which, initially, are assumed at rest in the xy -plane.

C. Interaction of a Charge e Initially at Rest and the Ring of Electric Dipoles

Now we introduce the charge e which slides frictionlessly on a rod along the central z -axis. When the charge e is initially at rest and is located at z_e along the z -axis, there will be electrostatic forces between the charge e and the charges $\pm q_i$ of the rings of charge. However, due to the electromagnetic inertia of the closely-spaced charges q_i in the plus ring, (as was discussed in the previous section), the charges q_i of the plus ring will have a very small common acceleration away from the (positive) charge e . Similarly, due to the electromagnetic inertia of the closely-spaced charges $-q_i$ in the minus ring, the charges of the minus ring will have a very small common acceleration towards the charge e . The accelerations of the plus and minus rings of charge will tend to polarize slightly the circle of electric dipoles, since the plus ring and the minus ring have small accelerations in opposite directions.

D. Interaction of a Moving Charge e and the Ring of Electric Dipoles

We next consider an electric situation where the charge e is initially moving with a speed v_{e0} along the z -axis when it is far away from the rings of charge located at $z_q = 0$. Since the acceleration of each ring of charge is negligible because of its large electromagnetic inertia, the ring of dipoles will become only very slightly polarized and will put electrostatic dipole forces on the charge e which passes through the center of the rings. Thus the acceleration of the charge e due to the electrostatic forces of the ring is given by Newton's second law, which for nonrelativistic speed v_{e0} is

$$\begin{aligned}
M_e \frac{dv_e}{dt} &= e \left[\frac{q(z_e - z_q)}{[(z_e - z_q)^2 + (R + b/2)^2]^{3/2}} - \frac{q(z_e - z_q)}{[(z_e - z_q)^2 + (R - b/2)^2]^{3/2}} \right]_{z_q=0} \\
&= \frac{eqz_e}{(z_e^2 + R^2)^{3/2}} \left[1 - \frac{3(Rb + b^2/4)}{2(z_e + R^2)} + \dots - \left(1 - \frac{3(-Rb + b^2/4)}{2(z_e + R^2)} + \dots \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{-3eqz_e Rb}{(z_e^2 + R^2)^{5/2}} \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

to leading order in the ratio b/R . When the value of z_e is negative, the acceleration of the (positive) charge e is positive.

Assuming that the interaction between the charge e and the rings of electric charge is small, we can calculate the change in velocity $\Delta v_e(t)$ of the charge e at time t while approximating $v_e(t) \cong v_{e0}$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (17). Thus writing $z_e \cong v_{e0}t$, we find $\Delta v_e(t) = v_e(t) - v_{e0}$ where

$$\Delta v_e(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t'=t} dt' \left(\frac{-3eq(v_{e0}t')Rb}{M_e [(v_{e0}t')^2 + R^2]^{5/2}} \right) = \frac{eqRb}{M_e v_{e0} [(v_{e0}t)^2 + R^2]^{3/2}}. \quad (18)$$

E. Conservation of Electric Energy

In this electrostatic case, it is easy to apply forces to calculate the velocity change Δv_e of the charge e . However, the calculations are easy only under the assumption that the electromagnetic inertia is so large that the rings of charge do not change their positions appreciably. Under this assumption of large electromagnetic inertia for each charge ring forming the ring of electric dipoles, we can apply energy conservation, involving both particle kinetic energy and electric field energy. The charge e starts with kinetic energy $m_e v_{e0}^2/2$ when far away from the rings of charge, and the electrostatic energy of the rings themselves is assumed essentially unchanged during the short time that the charge e is near the dipole ring. Thus energy conservation for a small velocity change of the charge e requires that the change in particle kinetic energy $M_e v_e^2/2 - M_e v_{e0}^2/2 \cong M_e v_{e0} \Delta v_e$ should be balanced by the change in electric potential energy between the charge e and the ring of dipoles,

$$\begin{aligned} M_e v_{e0} \Delta v_e &= -e \left[\frac{q}{[(z_e - z_q)^2 + (R + b/2)^2]^{1/2}} - \frac{q}{[(z_e - z_q)^2 + (R - b/2)^2]^{1/2}} \right]_{z_q=0} \\ &= \frac{eqRb}{[z_e^2 + R^2]^{3/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

to lowest order in b/R . Thus the energy conservation equation (19) gives the same velocity shift as found in Eq. (18) based on the electric forces.

F. Classical Electrostatic Lag Effect

We can integrate Eq. (18) for the change in velocity of the charge e in order to obtain a relative lag (or lead) for the charge e which passes through the ring of electric dipoles, compared to a charge which travels with constant velocity $v_e(t) = v_{e0}$. The relative lag

Δz_e (after the charge e has passed through the ring of electric dipoles) can be given through first order in the interaction between the charge e and the ring of electric dipoles as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta z_e &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \Delta v_e(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \frac{1}{M_e v_{e0}} \left(\frac{eqRb}{(z_e^2 + R^2)^{3/2}} \right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \frac{1}{M_e v_{e0}} \left(\frac{eqRb}{[(v_{e0}t)^2 + R^2]^{3/2}} \right) = \frac{eqb}{M_e v_{e0}^2} \frac{2}{R} = 4\pi \frac{eD}{M_e v_{e0}^2},\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

where we have introduced the dipole moment per unit length $D = \lambda b = [q/(2\pi R)] b$ where b is the perpendicular (radial) distance between the circles of charges.

We notice that the final expression in Eq. (20) for this *electrostatic* relative lag (between a charge with passes *through* the ring of electric dipoles and a charge e which has a constant velocity v_{e0}) is *independent of the average radius R of the ring of electric dipoles*. The lag depends upon only whether or not the charge e has passed through the ring of electric dipoles. Also, the lag is proportional to the dipole moment D per unit length. This electric lag situation is analogous to the *magnetic* lag situation where the lag depends upon the magnetic flux. In the next section when we discuss the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift, we will obtain a similar classical lag where the electric dipole moment per unit length D for a ring of *electric* dipoles is replaced by the magnetic dipole moment per unit length or magnetic flux $B_0\pi b^2$ of a toroid pictured as a ring of *magnetic* dipoles. In both cases, the classical lag is independent of the average radius R of the ring. If the radius R is taken ever larger, the rings of dipoles become straight lines; the lag is relative to which side the electron passed on but is independent of the distance between the charge e and the straight line of dipoles.

V. THE AHARONOV-BOHM PHASE SHIFT

A. Physical Arrangement

The basic lag idea, which appears for the simple model above, provides a classical electromagnetic basis for the Aharonov-Bohm interaction where a charge e interacts with a magnet. For simplicity of calculation, we consider a magnetic toroid rather than a long solenoid. We consider a charged particle e moving along the axis of symmetry of the current-carrying toroid. We will assume that the toroid has the z -axis as its axis of symmetry, and, at

average radius R , has an (average) magnetic field

$$\mathbf{B}_T = \hat{\phi} B_T = \hat{\phi} \frac{4\pi}{c} n I, \quad (21)$$

where n is the number of turns per unit length and I is the current in each turn. The magnetic field is confined to the interior of the toroidal volume, which has a small cross-sectional area πb^2 , and has volume $(2\pi R) \pi b^2$. The toroid is electrically neutral, with fixed negative charges balancing the moving positive charges which produce the magnetic field \mathbf{B}_T . Thus, in its own rest frame, the unperturbed toroid has neither electric nor magnetic fields outside the volume of the toroid.

B. Analysis in the Inertial Frame where the Toroid is at Initially at Rest

1. Magnetic Force on the Toroid Due to the Charge e

We will consider the interaction of the charge e and the toroid in the lowest order approximation, where the charge e and the toroid each continue their unperturbed motions despite the presence of the other. In this approximation when evaluated in the rest frame S_T of the toroid with the charge e moving with velocity \mathbf{v} , the charge e experiences no forces since there are no electric or magnetic fields outside the *unperturbed* toroid.

The toroid is electrically neutral and so experiences no net electric force due to the charge e . On the other hand, the magnetic field of the moving charge e does place a net magnetic force on the toroid. If we consider one turn of the toroid as a magnetic dipole

$$\mathbf{m} = \hat{\phi} \pi b^2 I / c \quad (22)$$

where πb^2 is the cross-sectional area and I is the current in the turn, then the force on the turn due to the magnetic field \mathbf{B}_e of the charge e is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{m}}^{(B)} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \{-\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}_e(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}, t)\} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{m}} \left\{ -\mathbf{m} \cdot \left[e \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \frac{\hat{r} R + \hat{z} (z_{\mathbf{m}} - z_e)}{[(z_{\mathbf{m}} - z_e)^2 + R^2]^{3/2}} \right] \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Here we can interchange the dot product and the cross product, and note that $\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{v}$ is in the direction \hat{r} . The z -component of this force in Eq. (23) is multiplied by the number $n2\pi R$ of rings around the toroid, giving a force on the toroid along the axis of symmetry

$$F_T^{(B)} = \left[(n2\pi R) \left(\frac{\pi b^2 I}{c} \right) \right] \frac{ev}{c} \frac{-3R(z_T - z_e)}{[(z_T - z_e)^2 + R^2]^{5/2}}. \quad (24)$$

It appears that the forces are not balanced, since apparently only the toroid experiences a force whereas the charge e does not.

2. Magnetic Energy Change

The analysis in this S_T inertial frame also raises questions of energy conservation. It appears that there is no change in the kinetic energy of the charge e , nor in the electric field energy during the interaction (treated in the *unperturbed* approximation), but there is a change in the magnetic energy since the magnetic field of the moving charge e overlaps the magnetic field inside the toroid. Both magnetic fields are in the $\hat{\phi}$ -direction, and the overlap energy of the magnetic fields is

$$\Delta U_{overlap}^{(B)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{B}_e \cdot \mathbf{B}_T (2\pi R\pi b^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[e \frac{v}{c} \frac{R}{[(z_{\mathbf{m}} - z_e)^2 + R^2]^{3/2}} \right] \left(\frac{4\pi}{c} nI \right) (2\pi R\pi b^2). \quad (25)$$

However, the balancing aspect for this magnetic energy change is not immediately apparent.

C. Analysis in the Inertial Frame where the Charge e is Initially at Rest

1. Change of Inertial Frame Used in the Description

For a relativistic system, a change of inertial frames may significantly change the description of the physical system. Thus, if we go to the S'_e inertial frame where the unperturbed charge e is at rest and the unperturbed toroid is moving with velocity $-\mathbf{v}$, then there are no *magnetic* forces between the charge e and the toroid, but there are *electric* forces. Since the charge e is at rest, it has only an *electrostatic* field. In this S'_e inertial frame in which it is moving with velocity $-\mathbf{v}$, the toroid now has a circle of electric dipoles which will both generate electric fields and also experience electric forces. The *electric* forces between the charge e and the toroid are now equal and opposite. Also, in this S'_e inertial frame, there is a change in energy in the electric field, and the work done by the electric forces to change the kinetic energy of the toroid accounts for the change in electric field energy. We will go through all this analysis in detail.

2. Lorentz Transformation for a Magnetic Dipole

If we take one turn of the toroid (one current loop), we can picture it as a magnetic dipole \mathbf{m} as indicated in Eq. (22). We wish to consider the appearance of this magnetic dipole in an inertial frame in which it is moving. The magnetic dipole \mathbf{m} can be pictured in its rest frame as a circle in the zx -plane of radius b with equally-spaced charges with the ring's center at the origin. The negative charges $-q_i$ are stationary at coordinates $z_{-i} = b \cos \phi_i$, $x_{-i} = b \sin \phi_i$; the moving positive charges are located at $z_{+i} = b \cos(\omega t + \phi_i)$, $x_{+i} = b \sin(\omega t + \phi_i)$ while moving in a circular orbit with speed $v = \omega b$. We are interested in the trajectories of these charges as seen in a primed inertial frame moving with velocity $\mathbf{v} = \hat{z}v$ along the z -axis, so that the magnetic dipole now has a velocity $-\mathbf{v}$.

For the small speeds $v \ll c$ which are appropriate for the Darwin Lagrangian, the Lorentz transformations involve $z = \gamma(z' + vt') \cong [1 + v^2/(2c^2)](z' + vt')$, $x = x'$, and $t = \gamma(t' + vz'/c^2) \cong [1 + v^2/(2c^2)](t' + vz'/c^2) \cong [1 + v^2/(2c^2)]t' + vz'/c^2$. Then as seen in the primed frame where the magnetic dipole is moving with velocity $-\mathbf{v}$, the locations of the negative charges are at primed coordinates

$$(z'_{-i} + vt') = +b [1 - v^2/(2c^2)] \cos \phi_i, \quad \text{and} \quad x'_{-i} = b \sin \phi_i, \quad (26)$$

corresponding to a contraction in the direction of motion.

The trajectories of the current-carrying charges are found from expanding

$$\begin{aligned} (z'_{+i} + vt') &= \frac{1}{\gamma} z_{+i} = \frac{b}{\gamma} \cos \{ \omega \gamma (t' + vz'_{+i}/c^2) + \phi_i \} \\ &\cong [1 - v^2/(2c^2)] b \cos \{ \omega [1 + v^2/(2c^2)] t' + \phi_i + \omega v z'_{+i}/c^2 \} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{+i} &= x_{+i} = b \sin \{ \omega \gamma (t' + vz'_{+i}/c^2) + \phi_i \} \\ &\cong b \sin \{ \omega [1 + v^2/(2c^2)] t' + \phi_i + \omega v z'_{+i}/c^2 \}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Expanding these expressions for small speeds, $v \ll c$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (z'_{+i} + vt') &= [1 - v^2/(2c^2)] b \cos \{ \omega [1 + v^2/(2c^2)] t' + \phi_i \} \\ &\quad - b \frac{\omega v}{c^2} \frac{b}{2} \sin \{ 2 (\omega [1 + v^2/(2c^2)] t' + \phi_i) \} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

and

$$x'_{+i} = b \sin \{ \omega [1 + v^2/(2c^2)] t' + \phi_i \} + b \frac{\omega v}{c^2} b \cos^2 \{ \omega [1 + v^2/(2c^2)] t' + \phi_i \}. \quad (30)$$

When treated in the small-source approximation, the averages over the phases ϕ_i at time t' (for both the positive charges and negative charges) agree on the average z -component location, $\langle z'_{+i} \rangle = -vt' = \langle z'_{-i} \rangle$. However, the moving positive charges now have an electric dipole moment (perpendicular to the velocity direction), since when averaged over the phases ϕ_i , we find from Eq. (30) that

$$q \langle x'_{+i} \rangle = \frac{v q \omega b^2}{c} = \frac{v}{c} m \quad (31)$$

where m is the magnetic dipole moment of the arrangement, while $\langle x'_{-i} \rangle = 0$. Our calculation is entirely in agreement with the first edition[16] of Jackson's text on classical electrodynamics and with a problem in Griffiths[17], where it is pointed out that a magnetic dipole moving with velocity \mathbf{v} has an electric dipole in lowest order given by $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{v}/c) \times \mathbf{m}$. In our case, the direction of the dipole moment is given by $(-\hat{z}) \times \hat{\phi} = \hat{r}$, where \hat{r} points from the z axis out to the location of the magnetic dipole in the xz -plane.

In the S'_e inertial frame, the electric field $\mathbf{E}'(\mathbf{r}', t')$ for a field point \mathbf{r}' in the $x'z'$ -plane can be found by substituting the components z'_{-i} , x'_{-i} , z'_{+i} , and x'_{+i} in Eqs. (26), (29) and (30) into Eq. (8). We note that the expression in Eq. (8) involves an electrostatic contribution plus additional terms in $1/c^2$. Since terms in $1/c^4$ are neglected, the only place where the $1/c^2$ terms in Eqs. (26), (29) and (30) will actually contribute to the electric field in order $1/c^2$ is through the electrostatic contribution $\mathbf{E}'(\mathbf{r}', t') = \sum_a (\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}'_a) / |\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}'_a|^3$. Thus the electric dipole moment found in Eq. (31) will contribute in a form analogous to that familiar from electrostatics.

3. Electric Forces

The toroid of our analysis involves not a single current loop forming a magnetic dipole but rather an array of such magnetic dipoles arranged in a circular pattern around the axis of symmetry (our z -axis) of the toroid. Thus, in the S'_e inertial frame in which the charge e is at rest and the toroid is moving with velocity $-\mathbf{v}$, the toroid exhibits a circular array of electric dipoles which is exactly analogous to the ring of electric dipoles discussed above

in the section involving the electrostatic analogy. However, now the electric dipoles are of order $1/c^2$, not zero-order in $1/c$. It is these electric dipoles of order $1/c^2$ which produce an *electric* force on the charge e and experience an *electric* force on the toroid.

The net force on the toroid corresponds to the sum of the z -components of the force on each of the electric dipoles and is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
F_T^{(E)} &= \sum_i \hat{z} \cdot [(\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{m}}) \mathbf{E}_e(\mathbf{r}_m, t)] \\
&= (n2\pi R) \left(\frac{v}{c} m\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial R} e \frac{(z_T - z_e)}{[(z_T - z_e)^2 + R^2]^{3/2}} \\
&= (n2\pi R) \frac{v}{c} \left(\frac{\pi b^2 I}{c}\right) e \frac{-3R(z_T - z_e)}{[(z_T - z_e)^2 + R^2]^{5/2}}. \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

This electric force in Eq. (32) is the same as the magnetic force which appears in Eq. (24). In that equation (24), the force is a *magnetic* force on the toroid appearing in the S_T inertial frame in which the toroid is at rest and the charge e is moving. In equation (32), the force is an *electric* force appearing in the S'_e inertial frame where the charge e is at rest and the toroid is moving. Since our analysis, like the Darwin Lagrangian, is accurate through order $1/c^2$, we expect these forces to be unchanged in magnitude on change of inertial frames for speeds $v \ll c$. The electric force $F_T^{(E)}$ of the charged particle on the toroid has its electric partner in the force $F_e^{(E)}$ of the toroid on the charge e , $F_e^{(E)} = -F_T^{(E)}$, which force is equal in magnitude and opposite in direction, due to the circle of electric dipoles appearing in the toroid.

4. Electric Energy

In the S'_e inertial frame, the change in the energy in the electric fields is associated with the energy of an electric dipole in the field of a point charge

$$\Delta U^{(E)} = -\sum_i \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{E} = -(n2\pi R) \left[\left(\frac{v}{c}\right) \left(\frac{\pi b^2 I}{c}\right) \right] e \frac{R}{[(z_T - z_e)^2 + R^2]^{3/2}}. \tag{33}$$

The work done by the electric forces in Eq. (32) is connected directly to changes in electrical energy in the electromagnetic fields.[9] It is easy to check that $\Delta U^{(E)} = -\int_{-\infty}^{z_T} dz F_T^{(E)}$. Thus in the S'_e inertial frame in which the charge e is at rest and the toroid is moving, we have balance between the work done and the changes in electric energy. However, we see that

the change in electric energy $\Delta U^{(E)}$ in Eq. (33) is just the *negative* of the positive magnetic overlap energy $\Delta U_{overlap}^{(B)}$ in Eq. (25).

D. Reanalysis of the Charge-Toroid Interaction in the Toroid Inertial Frame

1. Two Aspects of Magnetic Energy Change

The interaction of the unperturbed motions of the charge e and toroid seems both transparent and energy-balanced in the S'_e frame where the charge e is at rest and the toroid is moving with velocity $-\mathbf{v}$. Why does the analysis seem so different in the S_T rest frame of the toroid where the charge e is moving with velocity \mathbf{v} ? Part of the answer lies in the fact that an aspect of energy transfer between the charge e and the toroid has not yet been mentioned.

The magnetic energy change of the entire system here has two terms and takes the form

$$\Delta U^{(B)} = \Delta U_{overlap}^{(B)} + \Delta U_{toroid\ currents}^{(B)}. \quad (34)$$

Thus, in addition to the term $\Delta U_{overlap}^{(B)}$, there is a second term involving transfer of energy as the electric fields of the charge e act on the currents of the toroid. It is not the *electrostatic* field of the charge e (which has no *emf*) which transfers net energy to the toroid currents, but rather the terms in $1/c^2$ in the electric field of the charge e . These $1/c^2$ electric field terms, which are consistent with the changing magnetic field \mathbf{B}_e , cause changes in the speed Δv_i of the toroid particles q_i and hence changes in the toroid magnetic field \mathbf{B}_T .

2. Response of the Toroid to Changing Magnetic Flux

The toroid responds in the fashion of a solenoid to the changing flux due to the moving charge e . If there is no resistance and the magnetic energy dominates the kinetic energy of the current carriers, then *the magnetic flux in the toroid does not change*. Dividing out the constant cross-sectional area πb^2 of the toroid, the requirement of constant magnetic flux for the toroid gives

$$0 = \Delta B_e + \Delta B_T = B_e + (B_T - B_{T0}), \quad (35)$$

since initially the magnetic field B_e of the charge e at the position of the toroid vanishes, while the toroid is carrying currents giving an initial magnetic field B_{T0} . Thus constant flux for the toroid means that we have

$$B_T - B_{T0} = -B_e. \quad (36)$$

3. Magnetic Energy Change

The magnetic energy in the overlap integral is linear in the interaction and involves sums of the form $ev_e \sum_i q_i v_i$. On the other hand, the change in the magnetic energy of the toroid is quadratic in the interaction and involves sums of the form $\sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} q_i q_j [(v_i + \Delta v)(v_j + \Delta v) - v_i v_j] = \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} q_i q_j [v_i \Delta v + v_j \Delta v]$. Then keeping the lowest order terms, the total change of magnetic energy $\Delta U^{(B)}$ in the toroid involves a constant volume $\pi b^2 2\pi R$ of the toroid multiplying the changes in magnetic energy density

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U^{(B)} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} [B_e B_{T0} - 2B_e B_{T0}] (\pi b^2 2\pi R) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} [-B_e B_{T0}] (\pi b^2 2\pi R) = -\Delta U_{overlap}^{(B)}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Thus, the *total* change in magnetic field energy is exactly the *negative* of the *overlap* magnetic energy $\Delta U_{overlap}^{(B)}$ appearing in Eq. (25).

4. Agreement with the Electric Energy Change

The total magnetic energy change $\Delta U^{(B)}$ agrees exactly with the electric energy change found in the inertial frame S'_e and appearing in Eq. (33). The $1/c^2$ electromagnetic energy changes for the system (of the charge e and the toroid) are the same in both inertial frames. However, in the S_T frame, the electromagnetic energy change is magnetic $\Delta U^{(B)}$, while in the S'_e inertial frame, the electromagnetic energy change is electric $\Delta U^{(E)}$. The magnetic energy change is accounted for by the work done by the back (Faraday) acceleration electric fields which keep the magnetic flux in the toroid constant and also put a magnetic-energy-balancing force back on the charge e which is the agent trying to change the magnetic field in the toroid.[9] It is the speed changes of the toroid current carriers which produce a back (Faraday) electric field on the charge e in the inertial frame S_T in which the toroid is at rest.

5. *Failure of the Hierarchy of Powers of $1/c$*

In the past, it has been claimed that the electric fields of the moving charge e cannot possibly provide a force back on the passing charge which is of order $1/c^2$. It was claimed that the induction fields of the charge e will cause the current-carrying charges of the toroid to accelerate in order $1/c^2$ and hence produce back (Faraday) acceleration electric fields at the charge e of order $1/c^4$. This argument involving powers in $1/c$ is fallacious. It is false because it does not correspond to how a toroid (or solenoid) responds to an agent trying to change the speed of its current carriers. A solenoid with a large value of inductance L will try to prevent a change in the speed of its current carriers and so place a back force on the agent trying to cause the speed change. And because there are a vast number of current carriers in the solenoid, the back force does not observe the hierarchy of orders in $1/c$. For example, the charge carriers of a solenoid have masses which are zero-order in $1/c$; the back force due to the back (Faraday) acceleration field of each current carrier may be of order $1/c^2$, but the vast number of current carriers produce a back electric force which tries to stop the agent from changing the speed of the current carriers because a change in speed will lead to a change in magnetic energy. It is this same back (Faraday) acceleration electric field which acts on the passing electron to change its kinetic energy so as to achieve magnetic energy balance for the entire system of the charge e and the toroid.

6. *Unfamiliarity of the Acceleration Electric Fields in Quasistatic Electrodynamics*

In recent textbooks, the crucial (Faraday) acceleration terms appearing in the electric field of Eq. (8) are never mentioned. The textbook calculations of *emfs* are made for symmetric situations where it is easy to find the electric field from changing magnetic fluxes without ever mentioning the acceleration electric fields of charges. Indeed, usually the charge carriers in the solenoid are never mentioned at all, since their kinetic energy is miniscule compared to the energy in the magnetic fields of the solenoid. To be sure, the acceleration electric fields did appear in a textbook[14] in 1940, but they have disappeared in recent treatments.

Unfamiliarity with the acceleration fields which balance changes in magnetic energy has led to a whole class of “paradoxes” involving the interaction of charged particles and magnets.

Whenever the speeds of charged particles are changed, there will be changes in magnetic field energy. These energy changes are not accounted for by magnetic forces, which do no work. *Magnetic energy balance for quasistatic systems requires the existence of forces associated with the accelerations of charged particles.*[9] The changes in the speeds of charged particles leads to acceleration fields, as given here in the last line of Eq. (8). These acceleration fields place a force on the agent (which is producing the changes in the speeds of the charged particles) in an effort to enforce energy balance (Lenz's law).

E. Classical Lag for the Charge e in the Aharonov-Bohm Situation

1. Velocity Change for the Charge e

The energy balance in the S_T rest frame of the toroid is supplied by the change in velocity of the charge e which compensates for the change in total magnetic energy given as the negative of Eq. (25),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= M_e v_{e0} \Delta v_e + \Delta U^{(B)} \\ &= M_e v_{e0} \Delta v_e - \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[e \frac{v}{c} \frac{R}{[(z_{\mathbf{m}} - z_e)^2 + R^2]^{3/2}} \right] \left(\frac{4\pi}{c} nI \right) (2\pi R \pi b^2), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where the quantity $B_T = (4\pi/c) nI$ is the magnetic field of the toroid which is in the same $\hat{\phi}$ -direction as the magnetic field of the charge e . Then dividing out the common factor of $v_{e0} = v$, we find

$$M_e \Delta v_e = \frac{e}{2c^2} \frac{B_T \pi b^2 R^2}{|(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}}. \quad (39)$$

2. Classical Electromagnetic Lag

From the change in speed for the passing charge e appearing in Eq. (39), we can calculate the classical electromagnetic lag of the charge e compared to a particle with the same initial speed v_{e0} which did not pass through the magnetic toroid. We integrate the change in speed Δv_e over all time (just as in Eq. (20) for the electrostatic analogue) giving

$$\Delta z_e = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left(\frac{e}{2M_e c} \frac{B_0 \pi b^2 R^2}{|(z_e - z_q)^2 + R^2|^{3/2}} \right) = \frac{e B_0 \pi b^2}{M_e v_{e0} c}. \quad (40)$$

The magnitude of this expression for the classical electromagnetic lag is the same as that given several times earlier,[13][15] and leads to exactly the interference pattern shift observed experimentally.[1][2] From the present analysis, one can obtain the definite classical prediction for the direction of deflection. Assuming a positive charge, and the magnetic field of the charge aligned with the magnetic field of the toroid, the passing charge going through the center of the toroid is first accelerated and then slowed so that Δz_e is a positive displacement.

3. Phase Shift Arising from a Classical Lag

The Aharonov-Bohm phase shift is perhaps clearest in the arrangement of two small openings in a mask.[15] The traditional arrangement places a long solenoid between the two openings. In our case involving a toroid, one could place the toroid around just one of the two openings in the mask. Alternatively, one could take two toroids, each with half the flux; one forward-facing toroid is placed around one opening and the other backward-facing toroid is placed around the other opening. The shift in the double-opening interference pattern would depend upon the current in the toroid(s) which produces a relative lag (or lead, depending on the direction of the current) compared to particles passing through the other opening in the mask.

4. Phase Shift in Quantum Theory

The quantum analysis for the electron interference pattern involves a shift in the phase for the wave function $\psi(z, t) \cong \exp [i(p_z z/\hbar) + i\phi - i\omega t]$ associated with the opening through which the electron passed. The Schroedinger equation indeed suggests a phase change based upon the magnetic flux in the toroid, but does not indicate the physical basis for the phase shift; the Schroedinger equation does not indicate whether the phase shift is due to a velocity-change classical lag or is due to a new constant-particle-velocity quantum topological effect. At present, the experimental results allow either interpretation. In the lag interpretation suggested in this article, the phase difference ϕ between the two paths follows from Eq. (40) and is given by

$$\phi = \frac{p_z \Delta z_e}{\hbar} = (M_e v) \left(\frac{e B_T \pi b^2}{M_e v_e c} \right) \frac{1}{\hbar} = \frac{e \Phi}{c \hbar} \quad (41)$$

where $\Phi = B_T \pi b^2$ is the magnetic flux in the toroid. The magnitude of this phase shift is the same as predicted by Aharonov and Bohm.

5. Both the Classical Lag and the Quantum Phase Shift Give a Deflection Angle Independent of \hbar

If the separation between the two openings in the mask corresponds to a distance d , the angle of deflection[18] of the double-slit interference as the charge e passed to a distant screen would be

$$\theta \cong \frac{\Delta z_e}{d} = \frac{eB_0\pi b^2}{M_e v_{e0} c d} = \frac{e\Phi}{c p d}. \quad (42)$$

This deflection angle is simply a ratio of two lengths and has no dependence on Planck's constant \hbar . The magnitude of the predicted deflection angle θ is the same in both classical theory and in quantum theory. The angle of deflection of the double-slit interference pattern would take the same form for a wave of any wavelength, and would be observed for light which passed through a piece of glass behind only one hole of the two-hole mask.

6. Direction of the Deflection

Although the magnitude of the deflection angle θ is the same in classical and quantum theory, the predictions for the direction of deflection may not be the same. Attempts to use lag effects involving merely the overlap magnetic energy change between the toroid and the passing charge lead to a prediction of a lag, and hence a deflection angle, in the opposite direction from that given here. Conversations with the few physicists willing to suggest a direction of deflection from quantum theory suggest a quantum deflection direction opposite from that required by the present classical electromagnetic analysis.[11]

VI. DISCUSSION OF ELECTRODYNAMIC INTERACTIONS OF CHARGES AND MAGNETS

A. Problems of Charges and Magnets

The Aharonov-Bohm phase shift is one example of a class of problems involving the interactions of charges and magnets which have long troubled physicists. The class includes,

in addition to the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift,[3] the Aharonov-Casher phase shift,[19] the Shockley-James paradox,[20] the idea of “hidden mechanical momentum in magnets,”[21] and Mansuripur’s erroneous claim[22] of the inconsistency of special relativity.

B. Vector Potential Along the Symmetry Axis of the Toroid

Solenoids and toroids make for interesting classical electromagnetic systems because the magnetic fields of the current carriers combine in such a way as to tend to confine the magnetic fields to the interiors of the systems. However, even though the magnetostatic fields are confined to the interiors, changing currents lead to electromagnetic fields outside the solenoids and toroids.

Indeed, it seems interesting to note that for the toroid considered above, the vector potential \mathbf{A} can be obtained easily along the axis of the toroid by analogy with the magnetic field along the axis of a circular current loop of radius R (exploiting the analogy $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = (4\pi/c)\mathbf{J}$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$) as

$$\mathbf{A}_T(z) = \hat{z} \frac{c}{4\pi} \frac{2\pi R^2 (B_T \pi b^2)}{c(z^2 + R^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (43)$$

Magnetic energy calculations above in the inertial frame where the toroid is at rest and the charge e is moving with velocity \mathbf{v} can be made using this magnetic vector potential. On the other hand, in the inertial frame where the charged particle is at rest and the toroid has a ring of electric dipole moments $-(\mathbf{v}/c) \times \mathbf{m}$, the electric energy calculations can be made using the electrostatic potential.

C. Mutual Inductance of the Toroid and a Circuit Along the Symmetry Axis

We can consider coupling the toroid with a circuit which places a wire down the symmetry axis of the toroid and closes the circuit at a great distance from the toroid. Although the toroid has no magnetic fields outside its winding for static currents, there is a mutual inductance between the toroid and the line-current circuit given by

$$M = \frac{4\pi}{c^2} n\pi b^2. \quad (44)$$

We notice that this mutual inductance does not depend upon the average radius R of the toroid, but does depend upon the number of turns per unit length n and the cross-sectional

area πb^2 . These are the factors related to the magnetic flux in the toroid. If we integrate the vector potential along the axis of symmetry of the toroid (the z -axis), we find

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \mathbf{A}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \frac{c}{4\pi} \frac{2\pi R^2 (B_T \pi b^2)}{c(z^2 + R^2)^{3/2}} = B_T \pi b^2, \quad (45)$$

which is the magnetic flux in the toroid.

D. Localized Pulses versus Uniform Current

Although mutual inductance between circuits is familiar in classical electromagnetism, the mutual-inductance approximation assumes that the current I is *uniform* throughout each circuit. In contrast with this situation, it is the *localized-transient-pulse* aspect which is so unfamiliar in the classical analysis of the interaction of a moving charge e and a toroid (or solenoid). The exotic nature of the interaction lends itself to exotic claims.

VII. SOME HISTORICAL COMMENTS

A. The Aharonov-Bohm Phase Shift

In 1959, Aharonov and Bohm[3] suggested that the electromagnetic potentials played a new role in quantum theory as compared to their role in classical electrodynamics. In quantum theory, the potentials took on a new reality, in contrast to their subordinate role in classical physics as mere supporting auxiliaries to the force-producing fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} . The suggestion that the interference pattern involving electrons would be altered by magnetic fluxes was tested experimentally with a magnetic whisker by Chambers[23] in 1960, and then with a current-carrying solenoid by Moellenstedt and Bayh[1][2] in 1962. Moellenstedt and coworkers changed the current in a microsolenoid and showed that the double-slit interference pattern of the passing electrons moved continuously with the current of the solenoid. The shift of the electron interference pattern associated with the change in the flux in the solenoid was regarded as surprising since a long unperturbed solenoid has no magnetic field outside the solenoid.

The new experimental results, along with the interpretation provided by Aharonov and Bohm, was enthusiastically embraced by Feynman who wrote of the situation with characteristic clarity in the *Feynman Lectures*. [4] Unfortunately, Feynman misinterpreted both

the phase shift and a proposed classical analogue, since he indicated that the entire electron interference pattern was shifted rather than simply the double-slit electron pattern within the unchanged single-slit envelope. When the errors were called to his attention, Feynman agreed that he had made an error, which, had he been aware, he would have corrected when the *Lectures* were being prepared. The *Feynman Lectures* are still republished without any changes and are now available to read free of charge on the internet. However, Vol. II, Section 15.5 still contains the same errors.

The literature regarding the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift is vast. Review articles presenting the mainstream point of view are given by Olariu and Popescu[24] and by Batelaan and Tonomura.[25] Most textbooks[7][8] of quantum theory now discuss the effect.

B. Dissent from the Mainstream Interpretation

Although the interpretation given by Aharonov and Bohm permeates the mainstream physics literature today, there has been a very low undercurrent of dissent. Benjamin Liebowitz[26] suggested in 1965 that the observed phase shift might be due to a lag effect introduced by new (nonelectromagnetic) forces. There have been reanalyses of the classical electrodynamic aspects of the interaction of a point charge with a solenoid.[27] Also, it was pointed out[28] that if the currents of the solenoid were held constant, then the electromagnetic energy and electromagnetic momentum changed as a charged particle passed the solenoid since the electromagnetic fields of the charge and the solenoid overlapped. If one balanced these changes in electromagnetic energy and electromagnetic momentum with changes in the mechanical energy and momentum of the passing electron, the magnitude of the observed phase shift could be accounted for in terms of a classical lag effect.[13] Thus perhaps the observed phase shift could be understood as based upon a classical electrodynamic interaction. To counter this argument, a conducting sleeve was placed around a microsolenoid, in order to screen out the electromagnetic fields of the passing charge. The magnetic phase shift persisted despite the conducting shield. However, it was pointed out that the screening of magnetic *velocity* fields was completely different from that for electromagnetic *waves*,[29][30] and so the presence of a conducting sleeve around the solenoid did not rule out the lag-based explanation.[31][32]

As interest in the phase shift continued, it was pointed out that an electrostatic analogue

of the energy shifts of the magnetic situation was provided when the solenoid (a line of magnetic dipoles) was replaced by a line of electric dipoles.[15] In the electrostatic case, there were clear electrostatic forces giving rise to a classical lag effect which took the same form as the observed magnetic phase shift. The phase shift when electrons passed around the line of electric dipoles was confirmed experimentally by Matteucci and Pozzi.[33]

C. Optical Analogy with the Observed Phase Shift

It was emphasized that the classical-lag interpretation of the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm phase shift was analogous to the optical phase shift occurring when a piece of glass was placed behind only one slit of a double-slit mask producing a double-slit interference pattern.[15] The slowing of the electromagnetic waves while passing through the piece of glass would lead to a lag, and hence to a deflection of the double-slit interference pattern, while leaving the single-slit envelope undisplaced, in exact analogy with the observed magnetic phase shift for electrons.

The suggestions of a classical-lag basis for the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm phase shift were often rejected by the referees and editors of the leading physics journals. Neither the referees nor the editors could see a classical electromagnetic basis for a force on the passing electrons; and until such a force was identified, all lag suggestions were unacceptable. There were hints at the basis for a lag-producing force, but the solenoid was a multi-particle system which seemed very hard to analyze.[34] Lag suggestions were published in journals which were more tolerant of views outside the main stream.

D. Search for a Macroscopic Classical Lag

In 2007, Caprez, Barwick, and Batelaan[35] looked for a classical lag effect using electrons passing macroscopic magnets, and they could find no effect. However, it was suggested that the failure of this experimental search might involve a large value of the solenoid resistance which negates the energy balance ideas of the lag analysis.[36] Significant electrical resistance in the windings of a macroscopic solenoid could lead to energy absorption which would be larger than the changes in electromagnetic energy used to obtain the lag result in Eq. (40). Although the lag explanation offered here fits with the interference pattern shifts observed

for micro solenoids and toroids, no time delay has yet been measured using a macroscopic solenoid or toroid.

E. Related Charge-Magnet Problems

In 1967, Shockley and James[20] had proposed a paradox involving magnets and charges, which was addressed by Coleman and van Vleck,[37][38] Coleman and van Vleck concluded that there was hidden momentum in a magnet when there was a stationary charge outside. In a footnote, they gave an example of mechanical hidden momentum.

In 1984, Aharonov and Casher[19] suggested what they claimed was an “dual” of the magnetic phase shift. They swapped positions of the charge and the magnetic moments. They proposed that a phase shift would still be observed when the passing charge was extended to a line charge and the solenoid was shrunk to a single magnetic dipole. The phase shift for this Aharonov-Casher effect was experimentally observed[39] in 1989. The model for the magnetic dipole used by Aharonov and Casher involved two *magnetic charges*, which indeed experienced no net classical force when passing the line charge; however, when the magnetic moment was modeled as a current loop, there was an obvious classical force on the magnetic moment which would account for the observed phase shift as a classical lag effect.[40] Aharonov, Pearl, and Vaidman[41] agreed that there was a classical force on the magnetic moment treated as a current loop, but contended that the magnetic moment still moved with constant velocity (as through there were no force) because of “hidden mechanical momentum in magnets.” And so the idea of “hidden mechanical momentum in magnets” entered prominently into the physics literature.[21]

In 2012, Mansuripur[22] claimed to present “incontrovertible theoretical evidence of the incompatibility of the Lorentz [force] law with the fundamental tenets of special relativity.” Once again, the interaction of a point charge and a magnet was involved, and questions involving conservation of angular momentum were raised. There were at least a half-dozen replies to Mansuripur’s assertion, most invoking the idea of hidden mechanical momentum.[42]

F. Separating Transient and Steady-State Problems

It is clear that the interactions between charged particles and magnets involves a complicated problem which has troubled physicists for many years. It is the opinion of the present writer that the literature of the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift and of the related paradoxes is full of inaccuracies. In particular, the idea that “hidden *mechanical* momentum in magnets” should be important in an electromagnetic system with many closely-spaced charges seems absurd. One should recall that the mechanical energy of the current-carriers of a solenoid is miniscule compared to the large electromagnetic energy. Indeed, in the texts of classical electromagnetism, the tiny mechanical kinetic energy of the current carriers goes entirely unmentioned compared to the magnetic energy in the solenoid.

It seems important to separate out the transient interactions involved in the Aharonov-Bohm and Aharonov-Casher phase shifts from the essentially steady-state situations in the Shockley-James paradox, “hidden mechanical momentum” ideas, and the Mansuripur suggestion. There can be internal momentum in magnets for steady-state situations, but it is associated with electromagnetic fields, and not with “mechanical” momentum which is tiny compared with electromagnetic momentum.[38]

On the other hand, the transient effect in the Aharonov-Bohm situation seems somewhat analogous to a transient in an L/R circuit where the value of the self-inductance L is large. The essential aspect involves the back (Faraday) acceleration fields which tend to oppose the effort to change the speeds of the current carriers of the magnet. If the transient is of short duration $\Delta t \ll L/R$, then the current carriers accelerate briefly to give the back (Faraday) electric fields which balance the magnetic energy changes, but the currents associated with the magnet suffer only small changes. The unfamiliar aspect in the Aharonov-Bohm situation is the *localization* at a single charge e of the agent causing the acceleration of the current carriers. In this situation without high symmetry, it is difficult to apply the familiar calculations for changing magnetic fields in highly-symmetric solenoids. If the ideas of the present article are indeed correct regarding the basis for the phase shift, they suggest further experimental tests involving varying both the solenoid and the L/R ratio used in the Aharonov-Bohm interference-pattern shift.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we once again suggest the possibility that the experimentally-observed Aharonov-Bohm phase shift arises because of a classical electromagnetic interaction between the charge carriers of the solenoid and the electromagnetic fields of the passing charges. Here we emphasize the back (Faraday) acceleration electric fields which tend to prevent the change in motion of groups of closely-spaced charged particles and which place forces upon the agent trying to change the speed of the current carriers. We first illustrate the large-electromagnetic-inertia effect for a simple ring of point charges interacting with a single charge e . Next we consider a ring of electric dipoles. Finally, we calculated the classical lag of a charge passing through a magnetic toroid. The (many) charge carriers of the magnetic toroid experience (very small) accelerations which involve only small changes in speed while giving rise to a back (Faraday) acceleration field which acts on the passing charge. The classical electrodynamic analysis here predicts an Aharonov-Bohm phase shift where the magnitude of the angle of the deflection of the double-slit pattern is the same as that given by quantum theory. However, the direction of the deflection, while given unambiguously by the classical analysis here, may differ from that of quantum theory or of experiment.

-
- [1] G. Moellenstedt and W. Bayh, "Messung der kontinuierlichen Phasenschiebung von Elektronenwellen in kraftfeldfreien Raum durch das magnetische Vektorpotential einer Luftspule," *Naturwissenschaften* **49**, 81-82 (1962).
 - [2] W. Bayh, "Messung der kontinuierlichen Phasenschiebung von Elektronenwellen im kraftfeldfreien Raum durch das magnetische Vektorpotential einer Wolfram-Wendel," *Zeitschrift für Physik* **169**, 492-510 (1962).
 - [3] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, "Significance of electromagnetic potentials in quantum theory," *Phys. Rev.* **115**, 485-491 (1959).
 - [4] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA., 1964), Vol. II, Sect. 15-5. For a correction, see T. H. Boyer, "Misinterpretation of the Aharonov-Bohm Effect," *Am. J. Phys.* **40** 56-59, (1972). Feynman agreed that his description in the *Lectures* was in error. However, Feynman rejected the lag ideas

- suggested again in the present article. T. H. Boyer, private correspondence.
- [5] A. Shadowitz, *The Electromagnetic Field* (Dover, New York, 1988), pp. 197, 208-209, 517-522.
 - [6] A. Garg, *Classical Electromagnetism in a Nutshell* (Princeton U. Press, Princeton, NJ 08450, 2012), pp. 107-108.
 - [7] D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics* 2nd ed. (Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ 2005), pp. 384-391.
 - [8] L. E. Balentine, *Quantum Mechanics* (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632, 1990), pp. 220-223.
 - [9] T. H. Boyer, "Concerning Classical Forces, Energies, and Potentials for Accelerated Point Charges," *Am. J. Phys.* **91**, 74 (2023).
 - [10] T. H. Boyer, "The Classical Aharonov-Bohm Interaction as a Relativity Paradox," submitted for publication.
 - [11] T. H. Boyer, "Concerning the Direction of the Aharonov-Bohm Deflection," submitted for publication.
 - [12] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics 2nd ed* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975), p. 593-595.
 - [13] T. H. Boyer, "Classical electromagnetic deflections and lag effects associated with quantum interference pattern shifts: considerations related to the Aharonov-Bohm effect," *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 1679-1693 (1973).
 - [14] These acceleration terms appear in the work of L. Page and N. I. Adams, *Electrodynamics* (Van Nostrand, New York, 1940), p. 175; "Action and reaction between moving charges," *Am. J. Phys.* **13**, 141-147 (1945).
 - [15] T. H. Boyer, "The Aharonov-Bohm effect as a classical electromagnetic lag effect: an electrostatic analogue and possible experimental test," *Il Nuovo Cimento* **100**, 685-701 (1987).
 - [16] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1962), p. 389, Problem 11.9.
 - [17] D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Electrodynamics* 4th edn (Pearson, New York 2013), pp. 571-572, Problem 12.64.
 - [18] See the American Journal of Physics article in reference [4].
 - [19] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, "Topological quantum effects for neutral particles," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 319-321 (1984).

- [20] W. Shockley and R. P. James, “‘Try simplest cases’ discovery of ‘hidden momentum’ forces on ‘magnetic currents,’” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **18**, 876-879 (1967).
- [21] See for example, D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Electrodynamics* 3rd ed (Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ 1999), pp. 357, 361, 520-521; J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* 3rd ed (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999), pp. 189, 618; A. Zangwill, *Modern Electrodynamics* (Cambridge U. Press, 2013), pp. 521-522.
- [22] M. Mansuripur, “Trouble with the Lorentz law of force: Incompatibility with special relativity and momentum conservation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 193901 (2012).
- [23] R. G. Chambers, “A shift of an electron interference pattern by enclosed magnetic flux,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **5**, 3-5 (1960).
- [24] S. Olariu and I. Iovitzu Popescu, “The Quantum Effects of Electromagnetic Fluxes,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **57**, 339-436 (1985).
- [25] H. Batelaan and A. Tonomura, “The Aharonov-Bohm effect: Variations on a subtle theme,” *Physics Today* 38-43 (September 2009).
- [26] B. Liebowitz, *Nuovo Cimento* **38**, 932 (1965).
- [27] G. T. Trammel, “Aharonov-Bohm paradox,” *Phys. Rev.* **134**, B1183 (1964).
- [28] T. H. Boyer, “Classical electromagnetic interaction of a charged particle with a constant-current solenoid,” *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 1667-1679 (1973).
- [29] T. H. Boyer, “Penetration of electromagnetic velocity fields through a conducting wall of finite thickness,” *Phys. Rev. E* **53**, 6450-6459 (1996).
- [30] See ref. [12] p. 337.
- [31] T. H. Boyer, “Understanding the penetration of electromagnetic velocity fields into conductors,” *Am. J. Phys.* **67**, 954-958 (1999).
- [32] T. H. Boyer, “Does the Aharonov-Bohm effect exist?” *Found. Phys.* **30**, 893-905 (2000); “Classical electromagnetism and the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift,” *Found. Phys.* **30**, 907-932 (2000).
- [33] G. Matteucci and G. Pozzi, “New diffraction experiment on the electrostatic Aharonov-Bohm effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 2469-2470 (1985).
- [34] T. H. Boyer, “Darwin-Lagrangian analysis for the interaction of a point charge and a magnet: Considerations related to the controversy regarding the Aharonov-Bohm and Aharonov-Casher phase shifts,” *J. Phys. A:Math. Gen.* **39**, 3455-3477 (2006).

- [35] A. Caprez, B. Barwick, and H. Batelaan, "A macroscopic test of the Aharonov-Bohm effect," *Phys. Rev. Letters* **99**, 210401(1-4) (2007).
- [36] T. H. Boyer, "Proposed experimental test for the paradoxical forces associated with the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift," *Found. Phys. Lett.* **19**, 491-498 (2006).
- [37] S. Coleman and J. H. Van Vleck, "Origin of 'hidden momentum forces' on magnets," *Phys. Rev.* **171**, 1370-1375 (1968).
- [38] T. H. Boyer, "Classical interaction of a magnet and a point charge: The Shockley-James Paradox," *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 013201(11) (2015); "Interaction of a magnet and a point charge: Unrecognized internal electromagnetic momentum," *Am. J. Phys.* **83**, 433-442 (2015).
- [39] A. Cimmino, G. I. Opat, A. G. Klein, H. Kaiser, S. A. Werner, M. Arif, and R. Clothier, "Observation of the topological Aharonov-Casher phase shift by neutron interferometry," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 380-383 (1989).
- [40] T. H. Boyer, "Proposed Aharonov-Casher effect: Another example of an Aharonov-Bohm effect arising from a classical lag," *Phys. Rev. A* **36**, 5083-5086 (1987).
- [41] Y. Aharonov, P. Pearle, and L. Vaidman, "Comment on 'proposed Aharonov-Casher effect: another example of an Aharonov-Bohm effect arising from a classical lag,'" *Phys. Rev.* **115**, 485-491 (1988).
- [42] One reply to Mansuripur which does not invoke hidden momentum is given by T. H. Boyer, "Examples and comments related to relativity controversies," *Am. J. Phys.* **80**, 962-971 (2012).