

Can a pure neutron state of matter exist?

Furong Xu

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Atomic nucleus is a self-organized system composed of protons and neutrons through the short-range attractive nuclear force. For protons there is a long-range Coulomb repulsion, while no Coulomb interaction exists between neutrons. Then, a naive question arises: can a pure neutron system exist? A pure neutron system seems to be more bound because there is no Coulomb repulsion between neutrons. However, no bound pure neutron system has been found except in neutron stars where neutrons are squeezed together by the huge gravitational force.

From a simple phenomenological macroscopic point of view, an atomic nucleus can be considered as a droplet with volume, surface and Coulomb energies. Indeed, the Coulomb repulsion reduces the binding energy of the nucleus, which means that the Coulomb energy is not conducive to the binding of the nucleus. However, there exists a quantum term, called the symmetry energy proposed by Wigner, which arises from the Pauli exclusion of identical particles. In the symmetry energy term, the asymmetry in the number of neutrons and protons results in a decrease in the binding energy of the nucleus, which means that the symmetry energy prefers similar numbers of neutrons and protons to very different numbers. Therefore, according to the phenomenological liquid drop model, the Coulomb energy and symmetry energy compete to balance the numbers of neutrons and protons to make the nucleus lower in energy.

In a microscopic framework of the shell model, neutrons and protons occupy their respective single-particle levels, and prefer their Fermi surfaces close to each other making the A-body nucleon system lower in energy. A nucleus can balance its neutron and proton numbers through the β transition in which a neutron (proton) is converted into a proton (neutron) by the emission of an electron (positron) accompanied by an antineutrino (neutrino). The neutron and proton can be approximately treated as the same type of Fermions by introducing an isospin quantum number, e.g., defining the isospin projection $t_z = 1/2$ for neutron and $-1/2$ for proton. Then, the nucleus appears with an isospin quantum number as well, similarly to the spin number of its angular momentum, with an isospin projection $T_z = \frac{1}{2}(N - Z)$ measuring the difference in the number of neutrons and protons.

Figure 1 plots the ground-state energies of $A = 4$ nuclear systems. We see that the $A = 4$ energy is minimized at $T_z = 0$, i.e., at the ${}^4\text{He}$ nucleus in which the neutron number is equal to the proton number. While the $T_z = 0$ ${}^4\text{He}$ is well bound, the $T_z = -1$ ${}^4\text{Li}$ and $T_z = +1$ ${}^4\text{H}$ are unbound resonances which are above the ${}^3\text{He}+p$ and ${}^3\text{H}+n$ breakup thresholds, respectively.

Therefore, a pure neutron system is not favored, though the Coulomb interaction between protons is repulsive. The many-body correlations of a nuclear system favor a certain balance in the number of neutrons and protons.

Nevertheless, it is still possible that pure neutron systems might exist in the form of resonances. The first pure neutron system that comes to mind may be the dineutron with two neutrons ($2n$), which is unbound by only about 100 keV. However, the two neutrons stay in the $l = 0$ s-wave with zero centrifugal barrier, and therefore no $2n$ resonance is possible. However, a pure four-neutron ($4n$) system, called the tetra-neutron, was speculated about 60 years ago, and has been sought ever since. Unfortunately, no firm evidence for a bound $4n$ state has been obtained. Whether can the $4n$ system exist in the form of resonance? Indeed, an experiment performed in 2016 at RIKEN, using the double-charge-exchange (DCX) reaction ${}^4\text{He}({}^8\text{He}, {}^8\text{Be})$ [1], indicates the possibility of the existence of the tetra-neutron resonance, though the conclusion cannot be definitely made due to the large experimental uncertainty. Many theoretical attempts have also been made to investigate possible multineutron systems. Most theoretical investigations do not support bound multineutrons [2, 3, 4], instead suggesting multineutron resonances [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Among the theories, first-principles calculations based on realistic nuclear forces are fascinating. However, one also needs to well treat the neutron correlations including the coupling to the continuum [9].

After the DCX reaction ${}^4\text{He}({}^8\text{He}, {}^8\text{Be})$ experiment done in 2016 [1], an international collaboration team performed another fascinating experiment using the knockout reaction ${}^8\text{He}(p, p^4\text{He})$, published in Nature recently [11]. In the experiment, the high-energy ${}^8\text{He}$ secondary beam was projected onto a target of protons. The ${}^8\text{He}$ nucleus has a structure of four valence neutrons outside the α core (i.e., ${}^4\text{He}$). In the quasi-elastic knockout reaction, the α core was removed from ${}^8\text{He}$ by a target proton, leaving a $4n$ system moving on. The experiment [11] did not directly measure the $4n$ system, but detected the knocked-out α -particle and the scattered proton. By the missing mass reconstruction, the energy spectrum of the $4n$ system can be obtained, which gives a well pronounced peak at an energy of 2.37 ± 0.38 (statistical error) ± 0.44 (systematic error) MeV near the $4n$ threshold, suggesting a resonance-like structure of the $4n$ system [11]. This suggestion of a $4n$ resonance is in agreement with the DCX reaction $4\text{He}(8\text{He}, 8\text{Be})$ experiment [1], but with much higher experimental precisions. A resonance width was extracted, $\Gamma = 1.75 \pm 0.22$ (stat.) ± 0.30 (sys.) MeV, which corresponds to a lifetime of only $(3.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-22}$ seconds [11].

*frxu@pku.edu.cn




Figure 1: The ground-state energies of $A = 4$ nuclear systems as a function of the isospin projection T_z . The calculated energies and resonance widths from [10] are adopted because available experimental data for ${}^4\text{Li}$ and ${}^4\text{H}$ can be significantly different from one experiment to another (see Ref. [10]). The blue dashed line indicates the breakup threshold.

Certainly, more experimental and theoretical studies are necessary to further understand the nature of the observed peak in the missing mass spectrum [11] and also to exclude other possibilities, e.g., the peak manifesting itself as a possible coupling among the $4n$ and other ingredients surrounding the reaction channel, as commented in Refs. [12, 13]. A direct detection of the $4n$ system should be of most value. The measurement of the momentum correlation of the four neutrons can give more insights into the structure of the $4n$ system. Choosing different reaction mechanisms would provide additional information about the properties of the $4n$ system. Nevertheless, combined with the recent state-of-the-art first-principles calculations [6-10], a tetraneutron resonance is highly likely. Figure 2 displays the *ab initio* predictions based on no-core shell model (NCSM) [5, 6] and no-core Gamow shell model (NCGSM) [9], along with the energies and widths of the $4n$ peaks obtained in the experiments [1, 11]. We see that the newest experimental result published in Nature [11] is in excellent agreement with the theoretical prediction made by the elaborated *ab initio* NCGSM [9]. Starting from a fundamental chiral nuclear force, the NCGSM [9] treated well the coupling to the continuum by using the complex-momentum Berggren ensemble. The continuum effect is important in the calculation for a resonant state. The use of natural orbitals includes more correlations [9].

The *ab initio* calculations [7, 9] have even showed that a three-neutron ($3n$) resonance is possible with a lower energy and narrower width than the $4n$ resonance. Though it has been commented that the $3n$ resonance may be less likely to exist due to the odd number of nucleons and thus weaker binding [11], one probably should not consider it with the pairing mechanism of even-number nucleon systems. One might treat it as a whole with full three-body correlations and three-nucleon force. For




Figure 2: Experimental [1, 11] and predicted [5, 6, 9] resonance energy and width of the $4n$ system, with the horizontal axis indicating the year when the experimental or calculated result was published. In the year-2022 experimental data [11] the narrower green shadow indicates the experimental error in the width [11], while the year-2016 experiment [1] only estimated an upper limit on the width, indicated by blue arrows. JISP16, DaeJ16 and N3LO indicate the realistic nuclear forces used in NCSM [5, 6] and NCGSM calculations [9].

the $4n$ system, a realistic four-nucleon force may also need to be considered.

Multineutron resonances provide a unique playground for the studies of neutron-neutron interaction and many-body correlations of pure neutron systems. One can study neutron-proton and proton-proton interactions by neutron-proton and proton-proton scatterings, respectively, but neutron-neutron scattering is not an idea to study the neutron-neutron interaction because no pure neutron target is available. Further studies of the pure neutron states of matter are necessary to answer the fundamental questions of nuclear physics and the impacts, e.g., on the nuclear processes of nuclear astrophysics.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Jianguo Li at Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, is acknowledged for preparing the figures and useful discussions. The author thanks the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11835001, 11921006, and 12035001).

- [1] K. Kisamori, et al. [Candidate resonant tetraneutron state populated by the \${}^4\text{He}\({}^8\text{He}, {}^8\text{Be}\)\$ reaction](#). Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) 052501.
- [2] N. K. Timofeyuk. [Do multineutrons exist?](#) J. Phys. G 29 (2) (2003) L9.
- [3] C. A. Bertulani, V. Zelevinsky. [Is the tetraneutron a bound dineutron-dineutron molecule?](#) J. Phys. G 29 (10) (2003) 2431.
- [4] S. C. Pieper. [Can modern nuclear hamiltonians tolerate a bound tetraneutron?](#) Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 252501.
- [5] A. M. Shirokov, G. Papadimitriou, A. I. Mazur, I. A. Mazur, R. Roth, J. P. Vary. [Prediction for a four-neutron resonance](#). Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 182502.
- [6] A. M. Shirokov, Y. Kim, A. I. Mazur, I. A. Mazur, I. J. Shin, J. P.

- Vary. [Tetraneutron resonance: Theory](#). AIP Conf. Proc. 2038 (1) (2018) 020038.
- [7] S. Gandolfi, H.-W. Hammer, P. Klos, J. E. Lynn, A. Schwenk. [Is a trineutron resonance lower in energy than a tetraneutron resonance?](#) Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2017) 232501.
- [8] K. Fossez, J. Rotureau, N. Michel, M. Płoszajczak. [Can tetraneutron be a narrow resonance?](#) Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 032501.
- [9] J. G. Li, N. Michel, B. S. Hu, W. Zuo, F. R. Xu. [Ab initio no-core gamow shell-model calculations of multineutron systems](#). Phys. Rev. C 100 (2019) 054313.
- [10] J. G. Li, N. Michel, W. Zuo, F. R. Xu. [Resonances of \$A = 4\$ \$T = 1\$ isospin triplet states within the ab initio no-core Gamow shell model](#). Phys. Rev. C 104 (2021) 024319.
- [11] M. Duer, et al. [Observation of a correlated free four-neutron system](#). Nature 606 (2022) 678.
- [12] L. G. Sobotka, M. Piarulli. [Four neutrons might form a transient isolated entity](#). Nature 606 (2022) 656.
- [13] R. Lazauskas, E. Hiyama, J. Carbonell. [Low energy structures in nuclear reactions with \$4n\$ in the final state](#). arXiv: 2207.07575.