

# Analyticity of stationary spacetimes from maximal hypersurfaces\*

Piotr T. Chruściel<sup>†</sup>

Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna

Marc Mars<sup>‡</sup>

Faculty of Sciences, University of Salamanca

## Abstract

The standard method of proving analyticity of stationary vacuum metrics invokes the quotient-space version of Einstein equations. We verify that the same conclusion can be obtained using the KID equations on maximal surfaces.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>ADM notation and Killing vector fields</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Einstein equations</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Maximal surfaces</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Analyticity</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>pp-waves</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Einstein-Rosen waves</b>	<b>9</b>

## 1 Introduction

A classical theorem of Morrey [15] asserts that solutions of a class of elliptic PDEs are real-analytic. We verify that this theorem applies to vacuum Lorentzian metrics

---

\*Vienna preprint UWThPh 2022-9

<sup>†</sup>Email [piotr.chrusciel@univie.ac.at](mailto:piotr.chrusciel@univie.ac.at), URL <http://homepage.univie.ac.at/piotr.chrusciel/>

<sup>‡</sup>Email [marc@usal.es](mailto:marc@usal.es)

with a Killing vector field which is transverse to a maximal surface if and only if the Killing vector is timelike. This provides another example of usefulness of maximal hypersurfaces in general relativity.

For definiteness we restrict attention to the vacuum Einstein equations with cosmological constant, but the argument applies as is to all matter models where the field equations do not involve second derivatives of the metric and become elliptic when stationarity is assumed.

We note that from the point of view of general relativistic applications, e.g. to black hole uniqueness theorems, the usefulness of this result is unique continuation of solutions, which follows from analyticity, even though analyticity may not be necessary in some cases to obtain unique continuation; see [7] and references therein.

## 2 ADM notation and Killing vector fields

Any Lorentzian metric  $\mathbf{g}$  can be locally written in the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner form (cf., e.g., [13, Equation (21.40), p. 507])

$$\mathbf{g} = -N^2 dt^2 + g_{ij}(dx^i + Y^i dt)(dx^j + Y^j dt), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$  is a family of possibly  $t$ -dependent Riemannian metrics on a manifold  $M$ ,  $N$  is a function on spacetime and  $Y = Y^i \partial_i$  is a family of possibly  $t$ -dependent vector fields on  $M$ .

Let  $T$  be the field of unit normals to the level sets of  $t$ , one finds

$$T = N^{-1}(\partial_t - Y^i \partial_i) \quad \iff \quad \partial_t = NT + Y. \quad (2.2)$$

Since  $Y$  is tangent to  $\mathcal{S}$ , the vector  $\partial_t$  is transverse to  $\mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $N$  has no zeros.

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\mathbf{g}_{tt} \equiv \mathbf{g}(\partial_t, \partial_t) = -N^2 + g_{ij}Y^i Y^j \equiv -N^2 + g(Y, Y), \quad (2.3)$$

which shows that  $\partial_t$  is spacelike if and only if the  $g$ -length of  $Y$  is larger than  $N$ .

We have

$$\det \mathbf{g} = -N^2 \det g, \quad (2.4)$$

which shows that the metric (2.1) is manifestly Lorentzian in the coordinate system above when  $N$  has no zeros and  $g$  is Riemannian. This holds regardless of the  $g$ -length of  $Y$ .

The extrinsic curvature tensor (second fundamental form) of the level sets of  $t$  is given by

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_T g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} N^{-1} (\partial_t g_{ij} - \mathcal{L}_Y g_{ij}), \quad (2.5)$$

and we note that sometimes an opposite sign convention is used in (2.5).

If all the functions appearing in (2.1) are  $t$ -independent the vector field  $\partial_t$  is a Killing vector field:

$$0 = \partial_t \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.6)$$

In this case (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_Y g_{ij} = -2NK_{ij} \iff D_{(i}Y_{j)} = -NK_{ij}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $D$  is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative associate with the Riemannian metric  $g = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$ , and where parentheses over indices denote symmetrisation.

Given a Lorentzian metric  $\mathbf{g}$  with a Killing vector field  $X$  one can construct local coordinates satisfying (2.1) and the first equation in (2.6), this proceeds as follows: Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be any spacelike hypersurface which is transverse to  $X$ . Let  $t$  be a function defined near  $\mathcal{S}$  by solving the problem

$$\mathcal{L}_X t = 1, \quad t|_{\mathcal{S}} = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Let  $y^i$  be any local coordinates on a coordinate patch  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{S}$ , we can define functions  $x^i$  near  $\mathcal{O}$  by solving the equations

$$\mathcal{L}_X x^i = 0, \quad x^i|_{\mathcal{S}} = y^i. \quad (2.9)$$

One checks that in these coordinates the metric can be written in the form (2.1). We have  $X^t = X(t) = \mathcal{L}_X t = 1$  and  $X^i = X(x^i) = \mathcal{L}_X x^i = 0$ , which shows that  $X = \partial_t$ . Transversality of  $X$  to  $\mathcal{O}$  is equivalent to the condition that  $N$  has no zeros on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Since the problem addressed is purely local, for our purposes here we can without loss of generality assume that  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{S}$ , and that the coordinate system above is global on  $I \times \mathcal{S}$ , where  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval containing 0.

### 3 Einstein equations

When  $\partial_t$  is a Killing vector, so that  $\partial_t g_{ij} = 0 = \partial_t K_{ij}$ , the vacuum Einstein equations for the metric (2.1) imply the following set of equations [4, 8, 12–14]:<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} D_i D_j N &= \left( \text{Ric}(g)_{ij} - \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} g_{ij} - 2K^\ell{}_i K_{j\ell} + \text{tr}_g K K_{ij} \right) N \\ &\quad - D_\ell K_{ij} Y^\ell - 2K^\ell{}_{(i} D_{j)} Y_\ell, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$D_{(i} Y_{j)} = -K_{ij} N. \quad (3.2)$$

Here  $\text{Ric}(g)_{ij}$  the Ricci tensor of  $g$  and  $R$  its trace,  $\Lambda$  the cosmological constant and  $n$  is the spacetime dimension. These equations should be complemented by the vacuum constraint equations [3, 6],

$$R + (\text{tr}_g K)^2 - K^{ij} K_{ij} = 2\Lambda, \quad (3.3)$$

$$D^p K_{\ell p} - D_\ell \text{tr}_g K = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The explicit form of these equations differs across references by conventions on signs; we follow [4]. Our equations (3.1)-(3.2) coincide with those of [12], with our  $Y$  denoted by  $X$  there, after taking into account the constraint equations (3.3)-(3.4).

Summarising: Let the  $t$ -independent fields  $(N, Y, g)$  parameterise the spacetime metric  $\mathbf{g}$  as

$$\mathbf{g} = -N^2 dt^2 + g_{ij}(dx^i + Y^i dt)(dx^j + Y^j dt). \quad (3.5)$$

Then the metric  $\mathbf{g}$  has a Killing vector  $X = \partial_t$  and satisfies the vacuum Einstein equations if and only if (3.1)-(3.4) hold. The function  $N$  will have no zeros on  $\mathcal{S} = \{t = 0\}$  if the Killing vector is transverse to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

In the situation just described we can use (3.2) to write  $K_{ij}$  as

$$K_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2N} (D_i Y_j + D_j Y_i)$$

and insert this into equations (3.1) and (3.4). A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(g)_{ij} = & \frac{1}{N} \left( D_i D_j N - Y^\ell D_\ell (N^{-1} D_{(i} Y_{j)}) + \frac{1}{2N} (D^\ell Y_i D_\ell Y_j - D_i Y^\ell D_j Y_\ell) \right) \\ & - \frac{D^\ell Y_\ell}{N^2} D_{(i} Y_{j)} + \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} g_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$D^i D_{(i} Y_{j)} = N D_j \left( \frac{D_i Y^i}{N} \right) + D_{(i} Y_{j)} D^i \ln |N|. \quad (3.7)$$

The trace of (3.6) gives, after using the constraint (3.3),

$$\Delta_g N = \frac{1}{N} D_i Y_j D^{(i} Y^{j)} + Y^i D_i \left( \frac{D_j Y^j}{N} \right) - \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} N. \quad (3.8)$$

## 4 Maximal surfaces

In the setting above, we claim that we can find in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}$  a spacelike hypersurface, say  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ , on which it holds

$$\text{tr}_g K = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

and which is transverse to the Killing vector  $X$ . (While eventually we will be interested in timelike Killing vectors, we do not make the assumption that  $X$  is timelike here.) While this should be clear to those which are familiar with the results of [2], in order to dispell any doubts we give a formal proof: Let  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  and consider a small coordinate ball  $B(0, r)$  of radius  $r$ , centered at  $p$ , within  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $\phi$  be any smooth function on  $S(0, r)$  satisfying

$$|\phi| + |d\phi|_g < \epsilon. \quad (4.2)$$

There exists  $r$  small enough and  $\epsilon$  small enough so that for all functions  $\phi$  satisfying (4.2) we can find a spacelike hypersurface  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  such that:

1.  $\partial \hat{\mathcal{S}} := \overline{\hat{\mathcal{S}}} \setminus \hat{\mathcal{S}}$  is the graph  $\{t = \phi(p), p \in \partial B(0, r)\}$ ;
2. the domain of dependence  $\mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathcal{S}})$  of  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  is globally hyperbolic;

3. and  $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}})$  has compact closure.

By [2, Theorem 4.2] there exists in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}$  a spacelike hypersurface, say  $\mathcal{S}_\phi$  spanned on  $\partial\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$  and satisfying (4.1). Clearly  $X$  cannot be tangent to all the hypersurfaces  $\mathcal{S}_\phi$  obtained in this way. Hence there exists a function  $\phi$  such that  $X$  will be transverse to  $\mathcal{S}_\phi$  somewhere. We define  $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}$  to be any open subset of  $\mathcal{S}_\phi$  to which  $X$  is transverse.

Let us use again the symbol  $g$  for the metric induced by  $\mathbf{g}$  on  $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}$ . We can carry-out the construction, described around (2.8)-(2.9), of a new coordinate system, still denoted by  $(t, x^i)$ , by requiring that the new function  $t$  vanishes on  $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}$ . The metric  $\mathbf{g}$  expressed in these new coordinates takes again the form (3.5), with  $t$  equal to zero on  $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}$ , with new time-independent function  $N$  and vector field  $Y$  and metric  $g$ , and with a Killing vector  $\partial_t$ . Moreover (4.1) holds.

The vacuum KID equations (3.1)-(3.2) and the vacuum constraint equations (3.3)-(3.4) continue to hold on  $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}$ . Inserting  $D_i Y^i = 0$  into (3.6)-(3.8) yields

$$\Delta_g N - \frac{1}{N} D_i Y_j D^{(i} Y^{j)} + \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} N = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

$$D^i D_{(i} Y_{j)} - D_{(i} Y_{j)} D^i \ln |N| = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(g)_{ij} - \frac{1}{N} \left( D_i D_j N - Y^\ell D_\ell (N^{-1} D_{(i} Y_{j)}) + \frac{1}{2N} (D^\ell Y_i D_\ell Y_j - D_i Y^\ell D_j Y_\ell) \right) \\ = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} g_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

## 5 Analyticity

In harmonic coordinates the system (4.3)-(4.5) forms an elliptic system for  $(N, Y^i, g_{ij})$  if and only if  $N^2 > |Y|_g^2$ , as we explicitly show next. In the notation of [15], the principal symbol  $L(x, \lambda)$  of the system (4.3)-(4.5) is obtained by linearising the equations around the solution  $(N, Y, g)$ : for  $\lambda \in T^*\mathring{\mathcal{S}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \delta N \\ \delta Y \\ \delta g \end{pmatrix} = \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} g^{k\ell} \lambda_k \lambda_\ell \delta N \\ \frac{1}{2} \lambda^i (\lambda_i \delta Y_j + \lambda_j \delta Y_i + \lambda^k Y_k \delta g_{ij} - \lambda_i \delta g_{jk} Y^k - \delta g_{ik} Y^k \lambda_j) \\ -\frac{1}{2} g^{k\ell} \lambda_k \lambda_\ell \delta g_{ij} - \frac{1}{N} \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta N + \frac{Y^\ell}{2N^2} \left( \lambda_\ell (\lambda_i \delta Y_j + \lambda_j \delta Y_i) + Y^k \lambda_\ell (\lambda_k \delta g_{ij} - \lambda_i \delta g_{jk} - \lambda_j \delta g_{ik}) \right) \end{array} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Ellipticity for this system is defined in [11, 16] as the condition that the determinant of the principal symbol is non-zero. This is equivalent to the requirement that the equation

$$L(x, \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \delta N \\ \delta Y \\ \delta g \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

has no non-trivial solutions unless  $\lambda \equiv 0$ . So suppose that  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then the equation

$$g^{ij}\lambda_i\lambda_j\delta N = 0$$

gives  $\delta N \equiv 0$ , since  $g$  is Riemannian.

Next, consider the equation

$$\lambda^i(\lambda_i\delta Y_j + \lambda_j\delta Y_i + \lambda^k Y_k \delta g_{ij} - \lambda_i \delta g_{jk} Y^k - \lambda_j \delta g_{ik} Y^k) = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Contracting with  $\lambda^j$  gives

$$0 = 2|\lambda|_g^2 (\lambda^j \delta Y_j - \delta g_{jk} \lambda^j Y^k) + \lambda^k Y_k \delta g_{ij} \lambda^i \lambda^j,$$

which for non-zero  $\lambda$  (which we assume from now on) implies

$$\lambda^j \delta Y_j = \delta g_{ij} \lambda^i Y^j - \frac{\lambda^k Y_k}{2|\lambda|_g^2} \delta g_{ij} \lambda^i \lambda^j. \quad (5.4)$$

Inserting this into (5.3) gives

$$\delta Y_j = Y^i \delta g_{ij} + \frac{\lambda^k Y_k}{|\lambda|_g^2} \left( -\lambda^i \delta g_{ij} + \frac{1}{2|\lambda|_g^2} \lambda_j \lambda^i \lambda^k \delta g_{ik} \right). \quad (5.5)$$

which is compatible with (5.4). Replacing  $\delta N = 0$  and (5.5) into the third line of (5.2) gives, after a simple manipulation,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( -|\lambda|_g^2 + \frac{(\lambda^k Y_k)^2}{N^2} \right) \delta g_{ij} + \frac{(\lambda^k Y_k)^2}{2N^2 |\lambda|_g^2} \left( -\lambda_i \lambda^k \delta g_{jk} - \lambda_j \lambda^k \delta g_{ik} + \frac{1}{|\lambda|_g^2} \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta g_{kl} \lambda^k \lambda^l \right) = 0.$$

Contracting with  $\lambda^j$  one gets

$$\lambda^j \delta g_{ij} = 0, \quad (5.6)$$

which inserted back into the equation yields

$$\left( -|\lambda|_g^2 + \frac{(\lambda^k Y_k)^2}{N^2} \right) \delta g_{ij} = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

If  $|Y|_g^2 < N^2$  the term in parenthesis is negative for all  $\lambda_i$ , so  $\delta g_{ij} = 0$  which inserted into (5.5) gives  $\delta Y_j = 0$  and ellipticity is established. When  $|Y|_g^2 \geq N^2$ , let  $s$  be any vector orthogonal to  $Y$  with norm

$$|s|_g^2 = |Y|_g^2 \left( \frac{|Y|_g^2}{N^2} - 1 \right) > 0.$$

Then  $\lambda_j := Y_j + s_j$  is non-zero and the parenthesis in (5.7) is identically zero. Thus, for such  $\lambda$  the only restriction on  $\delta g_{ij}$  is (5.6), which leads to a kernel of  $L(x, \lambda)$  consisting of fields  $(\delta N, \delta Y_i, \delta g_{ij})$  satisfying  $\lambda^i \delta g_{ij} = 0$ ,  $\delta N = 0$  and  $\delta Y_i = \delta g_{ij} Y^j$ . We conclude that the system is *not* elliptic whenever  $|Y|_g^2 \geq N^2$ .

Real-analyticity of solutions for  $|Y|_g^2 < N^2$  follows from [15] by choosing the indices  $s_i$  and  $r_j$  there as  $s_i = 1 = r_j$ . Alternatively one can appeal to [11].<sup>2</sup>

The result is sharp: for completeness we present families of elementary examples of non-analyticity for non-timelike Killing vectors in Appendices A and B. The reader might find some interest of its own in the reduction of the problem to a dynamical system in the setting of Appendix A.

While maximality of the level sets of  $t$  is not necessary for analyticity, a restriction on the level sets of  $t$  with an elliptic flavour is certainly necessary: indeed, given an analytic spacetime we can always change the time slicing by changing  $t$  to  $t + f$ , where  $f$  is smooth but *not analytic*, with  $df$  sufficiently small so that the level sets of the new time function are again spacelike. The resulting new data  $(N, Y, g)$  will not be analytic.

## A pp-waves

The *pp*-waves provide examples of smooth non-analytic metrics with a null Killing vector. The metric takes the form

$$\mathbf{g} = 2dvdu + H(u, x, y)du^2 + dx^2 + dy^2.$$

The vector field  $X = \partial_v$  is null, covariantly constant and nowhere zero, hence transversal to any spacelike hypersurface. We chose the orientation so that  $\partial_v$  is future. The metric  $\mathbf{g}$  is Ricci flat if and only if  $H$  satisfies [19, Equation (24.43), p. 384]

$$(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)H = 0,$$

i.e. it is harmonic in the  $x, y$  coordinates. Moreover,  $\mathbf{g}$  is locally flat if and only if  $H$  is a polynomial of degree one in the coordinates  $x, y$  [19, Equation (24.43), p. 384].

It is trivial to construct non-analytic solutions. An example to be used below is  $H = 1 + w(u)xy$ , with  $w(u) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $w(u) = 0$  in  $u \in (-\infty, 0)$ , and  $w(u) > 0$  for  $u > 0$ . The metric is Minkowski in the domain  $u < 0$  and has non-zero curvature for  $u > 0$ , so there exists no coordinate system in which the metric is analytic near the point  $u = x = y = 0$ .

Consider a hypersurface  $\mathcal{S} := \{v = f(u, x, y)\}$ . To compute the fields  $(N, Y, g)$  on  $\mathcal{S}$  we introduce adapted coordinates, as described in the main text. Let  $t = v - f(u, x, y)$  and let us write  $\mathbf{g}$  in the coordinate system  $\{t, u, x, y\}$ :

$$\mathbf{g} = 2dtdu + (H + 2\partial_u f) du^2 + 2\partial_x f dudx + 2\partial_y f dudy + dx^2 + dy^2. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The hypersurface  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\{t = 0\}$  so the induced metric (the first fundamental form) is

$$g = (H + 2\partial_u f) du^2 + 2\partial_x f dudx + 2\partial_y f dudy + dx^2 + dy^2. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Further references of interest in the context include [5, 9, 17, 18].

This is Riemannian (positive definite) provided that

$$F := H + 2\partial_u f - (\partial_x f)^2 - (\partial_y f)^2 > 0, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which we assume from now on. To compute  $N$  and  $Y$  we compare (A.1) with (2.1). The absence of a  $dt^2$  term gives  $N^2 = g_{ij}Y^iY^j$ , which is simply a restatement of the fact that  $X$  is null everywhere. The cross-terms  $dt dx^i$  give  $g_{ij}Y^j dx^i = du$ , which can be solved for  $Y$ :

$$Y = \frac{1}{F} (\partial_u - f_x \partial_x - f_y \partial_y). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The  $g$ -norm of this vector is  $g_{ij}Y^iY^j = \frac{1}{F} = N^2$ . Selecting the unit normal  $T$  to be future directed requires  $N > 0$  (cf. (2.2)). Consequently,

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F}}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

One can now check by an explicit calculation that (3.1)-(3.2) hold.

The metrics (A.1) provide an interesting example where the maximal surface equation reduces to a polynomial dynamical system with a source. Specifically, the trace of the extrinsic curvature, as defined in (2.5), is given by

$$\text{tr}_g K = -\partial_u N + \partial_x(N\partial_x f) + \partial_y(N\partial_y f).$$

As already pointed-out we choose  $H = 1 + w(u)xy$ , with  $w(u)$  as before, and look for solutions of the maximal surface equation  $\text{tr}_g K = 0$  of the form

$$f = f_0(u) + f_1(u)(x^2 + y^2) + f_2(u)xy.$$

The condition that  $\mathcal{S}$  is maximal turns out to be equivalent to the following system of ODEs, where a dot denotes a derivative with respect to  $u$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{f}_0 &= -4f_1(1 + 2\dot{f}_0), \\ \ddot{f}_1 &= 2f_2\dot{f}_2 + 2f_1(4\dot{f}_1^2 - \dot{f}_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}f_2w \\ \ddot{f}_2 &= 2f_2 \left( 4\dot{f}_1 + 4\dot{f}_1^2 - \dot{f}_2^2 \right) - 2f_1w - \frac{1}{2}\dot{w}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \leq 0$  we take  $f_0(u) = f_1(u) = f_2(u) = 0$  and for  $u \geq 0$  we take the unique solution of this system with initial data  $f_0(0) = f_1(0) = f_2(0) = \dot{f}_0(0) = \dot{f}_1(0) = \dot{f}_2(0) = 0$ . Since  $w(u)$  is  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  but not analytic, the same holds for  $f_i(u)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . It is immediate that the condition (A.3) (i.e.,  $\mathcal{S}$  being spacelike) is satisfied in a neighbourhood of the point  $u = x = y = 0$ . This provides an essentially explicit example of a maximal hypersurface with transversal null Killing vector field and non-analytic metric.



## B Einstein-Rosen waves

Consider the collection of metrics of the form

$$\mathbf{g} = e^{2(\gamma-\psi)}(-dt^2 + dr^2) + e^{-2\psi}r^2d\varphi^2 + e^{2\psi}dz^2, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where the functions  $\psi$  and  $\gamma$  depend only upon  $t$  and  $r$ . Taking  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , and viewing  $(r, \varphi)$  as polar coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we thus obtain a family of cylindrically symmetric metrics on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ . Regularity of the metric at the axis of rotation  $\{r = 0\}$  requires the vanishing of  $\gamma$  there.

The metric (B.2) will satisfy the vacuum Einstein equations (with vanishing cosmological constant) if and only if [1, 10, 19]

$$(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)\psi = 0, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\partial_t\gamma = 2r\partial_t\psi\partial_r\psi, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\partial_r\gamma = r((\partial_t\psi)^2 + (\partial_r\psi)^2). \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The key equation is (B.2): Indeed, given any rotation-invariant solution of the wave equation  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , we can integrate (B.3)-(B.4), with  $\gamma(0, 0) = 0$ , to obtain  $\gamma$ . We note that (B.2) is the integrability condition for (B.3)-(B.4).

The vanishing of  $\gamma$  at  $\{r = 0, t = 0\}$  is preserved in time by (B.3). Smooth initial data lead, by evolution, to smooth spacetime metrics by general considerations. This is clear from (B.2)-(B.3) in any case except possibly at  $r = 0$ , and can be directly verified at the axis of rotation by inspection of asymptotic expansions there.

Hence the set of smooth such metrics can be uniquely parameterised by the set of smooth rotation-invariant initial data for the  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional wave equation in Minkowski space-time.

Consider a point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^4$  at which we have

$$(-(\partial_t\psi)^2 + (\partial_r\psi)^2 - r^{-1}\partial_r\psi)|_p \neq 0. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

One checks that the metric is *not flat* at  $p$ , and hence in a spacetime neighborhood of  $p$ . (This is a sufficient, but not necessary, condition).

As an example, let us take smooth rotation invariant initial data for  $\psi$  at  $t = 0$  such that  $\psi|_{t=0} \equiv 0$ ,  $\partial_t\psi|_{t=0, r \leq 1} \equiv 0$ , and  $\partial_t\psi|_{t=0, r > 1} > 0$ . Then (B.5) holds at  $t = 0$  and  $r > 1$ . This results, by evolution, in a smooth spacetime metric with two spacelike Killing vectors,  $\partial_\varphi$  and  $\partial_z$ , with a spacetime metric which is flat in a spacetime neighborhood of the solid cylinder  $\{t = 0, r < 1\}$ , but is not flat. Unique continuation of the curvature tensor for real-analytic metrics shows that the spacetime metric cannot be real-analytic on a maximal hypersurface which is transverse to some linear combination of the spacelike Killing vectors and which intersects the cylinder  $\{t = 0, r = 1\}$ .

## References

- [1] A. Ashtekar, J. Bičák, and B.G. Schmidt, *Behavior of Einstein-Rosen waves at null infinity*, Phys. Rev. D (3) **55** (1997), no. 2, 687–694. MR 1435251

- [2] R. Bartnik, *The existence of maximal hypersurfaces in asymptotically flat spacetimes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **94** (1984), 155–175.
- [3] R. Bartnik and J. Isenberg, *The constraint equations*, The Einstein equations and the large scale behavior of gravitational fields, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, pp. 1–38. MR MR2098912 (2005j:83007)
- [4] R. Beig and P.T. Chruściel, *Killing Initial Data*, Class. Quantum Grav. **14** (1997), A83–A92, A special issue in honour of Andrzej Trautman on the occasion of his 64th Birthday, J.Tafel, editor. MR MR1691888 (2000c:83011)
- [5] S. Blatt, *On the analyticity of solutions to non-linear elliptic partial differential systems*, arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv:2009.08762.
- [6] A. Carlotto, *The general relativistic constraint equations*, Living Rev. Rel. **24** (2021), no. 1, 2.
- [7] P.T. Chruściel and E. Delay, *Unique continuation and extensions of Killing vectors at boundaries for stationary vacuum spacetimes*, Jour. Geom. Phys. **61** (2011), 1249–1257. MR 2802469 (2012h:53097)
- [8] P.T. Chruściel and E. Delay, *Exotic hyperbolic gluings*, Jour. Diff. Geom. **108** (2018), 243–293, arXiv:1511.07858 [gr-qc].
- [9] C. Cosner, *On the definition of ellipticity for systems of partial differential equations*, Jour. Math. Analysis and Appl. **158** (1991), 80–93.
- [10] A. Einstein and N. Rosen, *On gravitational waves*, Jour. Franklin Inst. **223** (1937), no. 1, 43–54. MR 3363463
- [11] A. Friedman, *On the regularity of the solutions of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic systems of partial differential equations*, Jour. Math. Mech. **7** (1958), 43–59. MR 0118970
- [12] D. Maerten, *Killing initial data revisited*, Jour. Math. Phys. **45** (2004), 2594–2599. MR MR2067575 (2005b:83009)
- [13] C.W. Misner, K. Thorne, and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Freeman, San Francisco, 1973.
- [14] V. Moncrief, *Spacetime symmetries and linearization stability of the Einstein equations I*, Jour. Math. Phys. **16** (1975), 493–498.
- [15] C.B. Morrey, Jr., *On the analyticity of the solutions of analytic non-linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations. I. Analyticity in the interior*, Amer. Jour. Math. **80** (1958), 198–218. MR 0106336
- [16] ———, *On the analyticity of the solutions of analytic non-linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations. I. Analyticity in the interior*, Amer. Jour. Math. **80** (1958), 198–218. MR 106336

- [17] ———, *On the analyticity of the solutions of analytic non-linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations. II. Analyticity at the boundary*, Amer. Jour. Math. **80** (1958), 219–237. MR 0107081
- [18] C.B. Morrey, Jr. and L. Nirenberg, *On the analyticity of the solutions of linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 271–290. MR 89334
- [19] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations*, second ed., Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. MR 2003646