

where $\lambda' = \lambda - \frac{a_{j+1,j}}{\beta}$. We note here that in the tridiagonal matrix $A - \frac{a_{j+1,j}}{\beta}B$, one of the subdiagonal components is a zero component. We will show that GEVP in the above form can be rewritten as an EVP without subtraction by using the theory of Laurent biorthogonal polynomials [6, 17]. Furthermore, the same procedure can be introduced for the generalization of the above form. The following is an outline of the concrete forms and procedures of the GEVP treated in this paper.

In Section 2, first, we consider a simple GEVP

$$R\mathbf{p} = xL\mathbf{p}$$

of the pencil (R, L) defined by the upper bidiagonal matrix R and the lower bidiagonal matrix L as

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & 1 & & & \\ & q_1 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & q_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad L := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -e_0 & 1 & & & \\ & -e_1 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -e_{N-2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can see that each element of the vector \mathbf{p} is a polynomial of x defined by a three-term recurrence relation for the Laurent biorthogonal polynomials. The followings will be shown:

- LU factorization of the matrix RL^{-1} yields new bidiagonal matrices R^*, L^* and the associated vector (polynomials) \mathbf{p}^* , where the new GEVP $R^*\mathbf{p}^* = xL^*\mathbf{p}^*$ has the same eigenvalues as the original GEVP.
- Setting $(R^{(0)}, L^{(0)}) := (R, L)$, $\mathbf{p}^{(0)} := \mathbf{p}$ and iterating the procedure above, we obtain the matrix pencil sequence $(R^{(0)}, L^{(0)})$, $(R^{(1)}, L^{(1)})$, $(R^{(2)}, L^{(2)})$, ..., and the corresponding vector sequence $\mathbf{p}^{(0)}$, $\mathbf{p}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{p}^{(2)}$, Then, we can construct a tridiagonal matrix \hat{T} from the matrix pencil sequence and another vector $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ from the vector sequence, where $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ is the eigenvector of the EVP $\hat{T}\hat{\mathbf{p}} = x\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ which has the same eigenvalues as the original GEVP. Elements of the vector $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ are orthogonal polynomials [2] defined by the three-term recurrence relation whose coefficients are given by \hat{T} . Note that, since $L^{-1}R$ is not a tridiagonal matrix, this is not a trivial isospectral transformation.

In Section 3, the transformation in Section 2 is generalized to a matrix pencil (T, L) , where T is the tridiagonal matrix and L is the lower bidiagonal matrix of the form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & & & & \\ (1 - \epsilon_0)b_0 & 1 & & & \\ & a_1 & 1 & & \\ & (1 - \epsilon_1)b_1 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & (1 - \epsilon_{N-2})b_{N-2} & a_{N-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -\epsilon_0 e_0 & 1 & & & \\ & -\epsilon_1 e_1 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -\epsilon_{N-2} e_{N-2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-2} \in \{0, 1\}.$$

It will be shown that there is also a transformation to a tridiagonal matrix with the same eigenvalues.

In Section 4, further, the transformation in Section 3 is generalized to a matrix pencil (H, L) , where H is the

upper Hessenberg matrix and L is the lower bidiagonal matrix of the form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & \dots & a_{0,M-1} & 1 & & & \\ (1-\epsilon_0)b_0 & a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & \dots & a_{1,M-1} & 1 & & \\ & (1-\epsilon_1)b_1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & a_{N-2,1} \\ & & & & & (1-\epsilon_{N-2})b_{N-2} & & a_{N-1,0} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & \\ -\epsilon_0 e_0 & 1 & & & & & & \\ & -\epsilon_1 e_1 & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & -\epsilon_{N-2} e_{N-2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-2} \in \{0, 1\}.$$

It will be shown that there is a transformation to an upper Hessenberg matrix with the same eigenvalues.

2. Isospectral transformation between tridiagonal matrix and bidiagonal–bidiagonal matrix pencil

2.1. Sequence of bidiagonal–bidiagonal GEVPs and eigenvectors

Let N be a positive integer and $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ be monic polynomials of degree n defined by the three-term recurrence relation

$$p_{-1}(x) := 0, \quad p_0(x) := 1, \quad p_{n+1}(x) := (x - q_n)p_n(x) - x e_{n-1} p_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.1)$$

where $q_n, e_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $e_n \neq 0$. We can rewrite the three-term recurrence relation (2.1) as

$$R\mathbf{p}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x) = xL\mathbf{p}(x), \quad (2.2)$$

where R and L are bidiagonal matrices

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & 1 & & & & \\ & q_1 & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & q_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad L := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ -e_0 & 1 & & & & \\ & -e_1 & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & -e_{N-2} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\mathbf{p}(x), \mathbf{p}_N(x)$ are vectors of polynomials

$$\mathbf{p}(x) := \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ p_{N-1}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{p}_N(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ p_N(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} be the zeros of $p_N(x)$, then we have

$$R\mathbf{p}(x_i) = x_i L\mathbf{p}(x_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

i.e., x_i and $\mathbf{p}(x_i)$ are a generalized eigenvalue and eigenvector of the matrix pencil (R, L) .

Next, let us introduce new monic polynomials $\{p_n^*(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ of degree n generated from the monic polynomials $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ as

$$p_0^*(x) := 1, \quad p_N^*(x) := p_N(x), \quad p_n^*(x) := p_n(x) - e_{n-1} p_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \quad (2.4)$$

Then the three-term recurrence relation of $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ (2.1) is rewritten as

$$xp_n^*(x) = p_{n+1}(x) + q_n p_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (2.5)$$

Using the bidiagonal matrices and vectors of polynomials, the relations (2.4) and (2.5) are rewritten as

$$\mathbf{p}^*(x) = L\mathbf{p}(x), \quad x\mathbf{p}^*(x) = R\mathbf{p}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\mathbf{p}^*(x) = \begin{pmatrix} p_0^*(x) \\ p_1^*(x) \\ \vdots \\ p_{N-1}^*(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since L is a regular matrix, we obtain

$$x\mathbf{p}^*(x) = RL^{-1}\mathbf{p}^*(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x). \quad (2.7)$$

The LU factorization of RL^{-1} generates bidiagonal matrices

$$R^* := \begin{pmatrix} q_0^* & 1 & & & \\ & q_1^* & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & q_{N-1}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^* := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -e_0^* & 1 & & & \\ & -e_1^* & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -e_{N-2}^* & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfying

$$RL^{-1} = (L^*)^{-1}R^*.$$

Then, substituting this relation to (2.7), we obtain

$$R^*\mathbf{p}^*(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x) = xL^*\mathbf{p}^*(x).$$

This means that the monic polynomials $\{p_n^*(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ also satisfy three-term recurrence relation of the same form as $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$. Therefore x_i and $\mathbf{p}^*(x_i)$ are a generalized eigenvalue and eigenvector of the matrix pencil (R^*, L^*) . Such R^* and L^* are computed by the relation

$$L^*R = R^*L.$$

Each element above gives

$$q_n - e_{n-1}^* = q_n^* - e_n, \quad -q_n e_n^* = -q_{n+1}^* e_n \quad (2.8)$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, where $e_{-1} = e_{-1}^* = e_{N-1} = e_{N-1}^* = 0$. Let us introduce

$$f_n := q_n + e_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2, \quad f_{N-1} := q_{N-1}.$$

Then the relations (2.8) yield

$$f_n = q_n^* + e_{n-1}^* = q_n^* + e_{n-1} \frac{q_n^*}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{q_n^*}{q_{n-1}} (q_{n-1} + e_{n-1}) = f_{n-1} \frac{q_n^*}{q_{n-1}}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= q_n + e_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2, \quad f_{N-1} = q_{N-1}, \\ q_0^* &= f_0, \quad q_n^* = q_{n-1} \frac{f_n}{f_{n-1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \\ e_n^* &= e_n \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us iterate the procedure above. Set $p_n^{(0)}(x) := p_n(x)$, $q_n^{(0)} := q_n$ and $e_n^{(0)} := e_n$. Generate new monic polynomials $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, by

$$p_0^{(k+1)}(x) := 1, \quad p_N^{(k+1)}(x) := p_N(x), \quad p_n^{(k+1)}(x) := p_n^{(k)}(x) - e_{n-1}^{(k)} p_{n-1}^{(k)}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\{q_n^{(k)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ and $\{e_n^{(k)}\}_{n=0}^{N-2}$ are computed by

$$f_n^{(k)} = q_n^{(k)} + e_n^{(k)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2, \quad f_{N-1}^{(k)} = q_{N-1}^{(k)}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$q_0^{(k+1)} = f_0^{(k)}, \quad q_n^{(k+1)} = q_{n-1}^{(k)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{f_{n-1}^{(k)}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.11)$$

$$e_n^{(k+1)} = e_n^{(k)} \frac{f_{n+1}^{(k)}}{f_n^{(k)}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2. \quad (2.12)$$

The equations (2.10)–(2.12) are called the *discrete relativistic Toda lattice*. One can see that this system is a variation of the differential qd (dqd) algorithm [4, 12], which is the subtraction-free version of the quotient-difference algorithm used to compute the eigenvalues of a tridiagonal matrix.

Then $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfy the relation

$$x p_n^{(k+1)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) + q_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.13)$$

and the three-term recurrence relation

$$p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) = (x - q_n^{(k)}) p_n^{(k)}(x) - x e_{n-1}^{(k)} p_{n-1}^{(k)}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1.$$

2.2. Isospectral transformation to a tridiagonal matrix

Subtraction of (2.9) with $n \rightarrow n+1$ from (2.13) and $k \rightarrow k+n-1$ yield

$$x p_n^{(k+n)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k+n)}(x) + f_n^{(k+n-1)} p_n^{(k+n-1)}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (2.14)$$

Let us introduce variables

$$\hat{q}_n^{(k)} := f_n^{(k+n-1)}, \quad \hat{e}_n^{(k)} := e_n^{(k+n)},$$

bidagonal matrices

$$\hat{R}^{(k)} := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_0^{(k)} & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & \hat{q}_1^{(k)} & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & & & \hat{q}_{N-1}^{(k)} & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{L}^{(k)} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ \hat{e}_0^{(k)} & 1 & & & & \\ & \hat{e}_1^{(k)} & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \hat{e}_{N-2}^{(k)} & \ddots & \\ & & & & \hat{e}_{N-1}^{(k)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.15)$$

and vectors of polynomials

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_0^{(k)}(x) \\ \hat{p}_1^{(k)}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{p}_{N-1}^{(k)}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x) := p_n^{(k+n-1)}(x).$$

Then the relations (2.9) and (2.14) yield

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k-1)}(x) = \hat{L}^{(k-1)} \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x), \quad x \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k+1)}(x) = \hat{R}^{(k)} \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x). \quad (2.17)$$

Hence, $\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x)$ satisfies

$$\hat{T}^{(k)} \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x) = x \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x), \quad (2.18)$$

where $\hat{T}^{(k)}$ is a tridiagonal matrix

$$\hat{T}^{(k)} := \hat{L}^{(k)} \hat{R}^{(k)} = \hat{R}^{(k-1)} \hat{L}^{(k-1)}.$$

Therefore, Favard's theorem says that there exists a linear functional $\mathcal{L}^{(k)} : \mathbb{C}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the orthogonality condition

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[\hat{p}_m^{(k)}(x) \hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x)] = \hat{h}_n^{(k)} \delta_{m,n}, \quad \hat{h}_n^{(k)} \neq 0, \quad m, n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where $\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker delta, and the terminating condition

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[p_N(x) \pi(x)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } \pi(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x].$$

Note that the orthogonality relation is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^m \hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x)] = h_n^{(k)} \delta_{m,n}, \quad h_n^{(k)} \neq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.19)$$

The linear functional $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ is concretely given by [1]

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[\pi(x)] = \mathbf{e}_0^T \pi(\hat{T}^{(k)}) \mathbf{e}_0 \quad \text{for all } \pi(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x], \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

Hence, if the eigenvalues x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} of $\hat{T}^{(k)}$, which are the zeros of $p_N(x)$, are all simple, then there exist some constants $w_0^{(k)}, w_1^{(k)}, \dots, w_{N-1}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[\pi(x)] = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \pi(x_i) w_i^{(k)} \quad \text{for all } \pi(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x].$$

This means that $\{\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ is the *monic discrete orthogonal polynomial sequence* with respect to $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$.

In the theory of orthogonal polynomials, the relations (2.14) and (2.9) are called *Christoffel transformation* and *Geronimus transformation*, respectively [14], and the relation

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k+1)}[\pi(x)] = \mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x\pi(x)] \quad \text{for all } \pi(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x],$$

is shown. Let us introduce the moment of the linear functional $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ as

$$\mu_{k+m} := \mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^m] = \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[x^{k+m}]. \quad (2.21)$$

Then, from the orthogonality relation (2.19), the determinant expression of the orthogonal polynomials $\{\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ is given by

$$\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau_n^{(k)}} \begin{vmatrix} \mu_k & \mu_{k+1} & \dots & \mu_{k+n-1} & \mu_{k+n} \\ \mu_{k+1} & \mu_{k+2} & \dots & \mu_{k+n} & \mu_{k+n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_{k+n-1} & \mu_{k+n} & \dots & \mu_{k+2n-2} & \mu_{k+2n-1} \\ 1 & x & \dots & x^{n-1} & x^n \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where $\tau_n^{(k)}$ is the Hankel determinant of the moments

$$\tau_0^{(k)} := 1, \quad \tau_n^{(k)} := |\mu_{k+i+j}|_{i,j=0}^{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Next, we consider the determinant expression of $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$:

$$p_n^{(k)}(x) = \hat{p}_n^{(k-n+1)}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau_n^{(k-n+1)}} \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{k-n+1} & \mu_{k-n+2} & \cdots & \mu_k & \mu_{k+1} \\ \mu_{k-n+2} & \mu_{k-n+3} & \cdots & \mu_{k+1} & \mu_{k+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_k & \mu_{k+1} & \cdots & \mu_{k+n-1} & \mu_{k+n} \\ 1 & x & \cdots & x^{n-1} & x^n \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Since we can extend the domain of the linear functional $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ for $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$ by (2.20), this determinant expression leads to the biorthogonality relation

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{-m} p_n^{(k)}(x)] = (-1)^n \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-n)}}{\tau_n^{(k-n+1)}} \delta_{m,n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

The monic polynomials $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ are known as the *Laurent biorthogonal polynomials* with respect to $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$. Then, the relations (2.13), (2.9), (2.14) and

$$p_n^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^n \frac{\tau_n^{(k-n+2)}}{\tau_n^{(k-n+1)}}$$

give

$$\begin{aligned} q_n^{(k)} &= -\frac{p_{n+1}^{(k)}(0)}{p_n^{(k)}(0)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-n+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-n+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-n+2)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-n)}}, \\ e_n^{(k)} &= -\frac{\mathcal{L}^{(k+1)}[x^{-n-1} p_{n+1}^{(k+1)}(x)]}{\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{-n} p_n^{(k)}(x)]} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-n+1)} \tau_{n+2}^{(k-n)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-n)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-n+1)}}, \\ f_n^{(k)} &= -\frac{p_{n+1}^{(k+1)}(0)}{p_n^{(k)}(0)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-n+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-n+2)}}{\tau_n^{(k-n+2)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-n+1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{q}_n^{(k)} = f_n^{(k+n-1)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k+1)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k)}}, \quad \hat{e}_n^{(k)} = e_n^{(k+n)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k+1)} \tau_{n+2}^{(k)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k+1)}}.$$

Summarizing the above, we obtain Algorithm 1 of the isospectral transformation.

2.3. Numerical example

Let us consider

$$R^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -7 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -8 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -9 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, Algorithm 1 yields

$$\hat{R}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{620}{63} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{41949}{5890} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5722439}{7639379} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{98340}{301181} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{L}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{54}{7} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{931}{90} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4720320}{2745329} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{90306875}{493635659} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Algorithm 1 Isospectral transformation from bidiagonal–bidiagonal matrix pencil to tridiagonal matrix

Input: $\{q_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ and $\{e_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-2}$ (or bidiagonal matrices $R^{(0)}$ and $L^{(0)}$)

for $k = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

for $n = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

if $n < N - 1$ **then** $f_n^{(k)} \leftarrow q_n^{(k)} + e_n^{(k)}$ **else** $f_n^{(k)} \leftarrow q_n^{(k)}$

end for

for $n = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

if $n = 0$ **then** $q_n^{(k+1)} \leftarrow f_n^{(k)}$ **else** $q_n^{(k+1)} \leftarrow q_{n-1}^{(k)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{f_{n-1}^{(k)}}$

if $n < N - 1$ **then** $e_n^{(k+1)} \leftarrow e_n^{(k)} \frac{f_{n+1}^{(k)}}{f_n^{(k)}}$

end for

end for

for $n = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

$\hat{q}_n^{(1)} \leftarrow f_n^{(n)}$

if $n < N - 1$ **then** $\hat{e}_n^{(1)} \leftarrow e_n^{(n+1)}$

end for

Output: $\{\hat{q}_n^{(1)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ and $\{\hat{e}_n^{(1)}\}_{n=0}^{N-2}$ (or tridiagonal matrix $\hat{T}^{(1)} = \hat{L}^{(1)}\hat{R}^{(1)}$)

and

$$\hat{T}^{(1)} = \hat{L}^{(1)}\hat{R}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 54 & \frac{158}{9} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{8246}{81} & \frac{92590}{5301} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4248288}{346921} & \frac{2382991}{965371} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{368125}{2686321} & \frac{835}{1639} \end{pmatrix}$$

The computation above was executed by SymPy (a symbolic computation library for Python). The eigenvalues of both $(R^{(0)}, L^{(0)})$ and $\hat{T}^{(1)}$ are 29.10515103, 12.22484344, 2.82190399, 0.17848385 and 0.66961769, which are verified by `numpy.linalg.eig()`.

3. Isospectral transformation between tridiagonal matrix and tridiagonal–bidiagonal matrix pencil

3.1. Sequence of tridiagonal–bidiagonal GEVPs and eigenvectors

We first fix a vector $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{N-2}) \in \{0, 1\}^{N-1}$. Let $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ be monic polynomials of degree n defined by the three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} p_{-1}^{(k)}(x) &:= 0, & p_0^{(k)}(x) &:= 1, \\ p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) &:= (x - q_n^{(k)} - (1 - \varepsilon_{n-1})e_{n-1}^{(k)})p_n^{(k)}(x) - (\varepsilon_{n-1}x + (1 - \varepsilon_{n-1})q_{n-1}^{(k)})e_{n-1}^{(k)}p_{n-1}^{(k)}(x), \\ & & & n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $e_{-1} := 0$. Let us consider lower bidiagonal matrices

$$L_{(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{N-2})}^{(k)} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -\xi_0 e_0^{(k)} & 1 & & & \\ & -\xi_1 e_1^{(k)} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -\xi_{N-2} e_{N-2}^{(k)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

upper bidiagonal matrices

$$R^{(k)} := \begin{pmatrix} q_0^{(k)} & 1 & & & \\ & q_1^{(k)} & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & q_{N-1}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix},$$

and vectors of polynomials which are the same as (2.3). Then we can rewrite the three-term recurrence relation (3.1) as

$$L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} R^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x) = x L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\varepsilon^* := \varepsilon - (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Example. When $N = 5$, $\varepsilon = (1, 1, 0, 1)$, we obtain

$$L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & e_2^{(k)} & 1 & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ -e_0^{(k)} & 1 & & & \\ & -e_1^{(k)} & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & -e_3^{(k)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

- if $\varepsilon = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, then (3.3) becomes the three-term recurrence relation of monic orthogonal polynomials (see (2.18));
- if $\varepsilon = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, then (3.3) becomes the three-term recurrence relation of monic Laurent biorthogonal polynomials (see (2.2)).

Hence the monic polynomial sequence $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ is a generalization of both the orthogonal polynomials and the Laurent biorthogonal polynomials. We therefore use the notation that is almost the same as that of the previous section.

Let us introduce new monic polynomials $\{p_n^{(k+1)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ of degree n generated from the monic polynomials $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ by

$$\mathbf{p}^{(k+1)}(x) := \left(L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)}\right)^{-1} L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x).$$

Substituting $\mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x) = \left(L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}\right)^{-1} L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k+1)}(x)$ into (3.3), we obtain

$$L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k+1)}(x) = L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x), \quad R^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x) = x \mathbf{p}^{(k+1)}(x). \quad (3.4)$$

This is a generalization of (2.6) and (2.17). Elimination of $\mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x)$ yields

$$R^{(k)} \left(L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}\right)^{-1} L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k+1)}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x) = x \mathbf{p}^{(k+1)}(x).$$

The LU factorization generates bidiagonal matrices $L_{\varepsilon}^{(k+1)}$, $L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k+1)}$, $\tilde{R}^{(k)}$ and $R^{(k+1)}$ satisfying

$$L_{\varepsilon}^{(k+1)} R^{(k)} = \tilde{R}^{(k)} L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}, \quad \tilde{R}^{(k)} L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} = L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k+1)} R^{(k+1)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Then, we obtain

$$L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k+1)} R^{(k+1)} \mathbf{p}^{(k+1)}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x) = x L_{\varepsilon}^{(k+1)} \mathbf{p}^{(k+1)}(x).$$

Each element of (3.5) give the relations

$$q_n^{(k)} - \epsilon_{n-1}e_{n-1}^{(k+1)} = \tilde{q}_n^{(k)} - \epsilon_n e_n^{(k)}, \quad \tilde{q}_n^{(k)} + (1 - \epsilon_n)e_n^{(k)} = q_n^{(k+1)} + (1 - \epsilon_{n-1})e_{n-1}^{(k+1)}$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} q_n^{(k)} e_n^{(k+1)} &= \tilde{q}_{n+1}^{(k)} e_n^{(k)} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1, \\ \tilde{q}_{n+1}^{(k)} e_n^{(k)} &= q_n^{(k+1)} e_n^{(k+1)} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 2$. Elimination of $\tilde{q}_n^{(k)}$ yields

$$q_n^{(k)} + e_n^{(k)} = q_n^{(k+1)} + e_{n-1}^{(k+1)}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$q_n^{(k)} e_n^{(k+1)} = (q_{n+1}^{(k+1)} - (1 - \epsilon_{n+1})e_{n+1}^{(k)})e_n^{(k)} \quad \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1, \quad (3.7)$$

$$(q_{n+1}^{(k)} + \epsilon_{n+1}e_{n+1}^{(k)})e_n^{(k)} = q_n^{(k+1)} e_n^{(k+1)} \quad \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Further, substituting (3.6) to (3.7) and (3.8), we can see that

$$(q_n^{(k)} - (1 - \epsilon_n)e_{n-1}^{(k+1)})e_n^{(k+1)} = (q_{n+1}^{(k+1)} - (1 - \epsilon_{n+1})e_{n+1}^{(k)})e_n^{(k)}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$(q_n^{(k+1)} + \epsilon_n e_{n-1}^{(k+1)})e_n^{(k+1)} = (q_{n+1}^{(k)} + \epsilon_{n+1}e_{n+1}^{(k)})e_n^{(k)} \quad (3.10)$$

hold in any case. Let us introduce

$$\begin{aligned} d_0^{(k+1)} &:= q_0^{(k)} + \epsilon_0 e_0^{(k)}, & d_n^{(k+1)} &:= q_n^{(k+1)} - (1 - \epsilon_n)e_n^{(k)}, & n = 1, \dots, N - 1, \\ f_n^{(k)} &:= q_n^{(k)} + \epsilon_n e_n^{(k)}, & n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 2, & & f_{N-1}^{(k)} &:= q_{N-1}^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (3.6), (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^{(k+1)} &= q_n^{(k)} + \epsilon_n e_n^{(k)} - e_{n-1}^{(k+1)} \\ &= \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{q_{n-1}^{(k+1)} + \epsilon_{n-1}e_{n-2}^{(k+1)}} (q_{n-1}^{(k+1)} + \epsilon_{n-1}e_{n-2}^{(k+1)} - e_{n-1}^{(k)}) \\ &= \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{q_{n-1}^{(k+1)} + \epsilon_{n-1}e_{n-2}^{(k+1)}} (d_{n-1}^{(k+1)} + \epsilon_{n-1}(e_{n-2}^{(k+1)} - e_{n-1}^{(k)})), \\ f_n^{(k)} &= q_n^{(k+1)} - (1 - \epsilon_n)e_n^{(k)} + e_{n-1}^{(k+1)} \\ &= \frac{d_n^{(k+1)}}{q_{n-1}^{(k)} - (1 - \epsilon_{n-1})e_{n-2}^{(k+1)}} (q_{n-1}^{(k)} - (1 - \epsilon_{n-1})e_{n-2}^{(k+1)} + e_{n-1}^{(k)}) \\ &= \frac{d_n^{(k+1)}}{q_{n-1}^{(k)} - (1 - \epsilon_{n-1})e_{n-2}^{(k+1)}} (f_{n-1}^{(k)} - (1 - \epsilon_{n-1})(e_{n-2}^{(k+1)} - e_{n-1}^{(k)})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can compute $\{q_n^{(k+1)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ and $\{e_n^{(k+1)}\}_{n=0}^{N-2}$ from $\{q_n^{(k)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ and $\{e_n^{(k)}\}_{n=0}^{N-2}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{(k)} &= q_n^{(k)} + \epsilon_n e_n^{(k)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2, \quad f_{N-1}^{(k)} = q_{N-1}^{(k)}, \\ d_0^{(k+1)} &= f_0^{(k)}, \quad d_n^{(k+1)} = \begin{cases} d_{n-1}^{(k+1)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{q_{n-1}^{(k+1)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_{n-1} = 0, \\ q_{n-1}^{(k)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{f_{n-1}^{(k)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_{n-1} = 1, \end{cases} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \\ q_n^{(k+1)} &= d_n^{(k+1)} + (1 - \epsilon_n) e_n^{(k)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \\ e_n^{(k+1)} &= e_n^{(k)} \frac{f_{n+1}^{(k)}}{q_n^{(k+1)} + \epsilon_n e_{n-1}^{(k+1)}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2. \end{aligned}$$

We call this system the *discrete elementary Toda orbits* [7].

3.2. Isospectral transformation to a tridiagonal matrix

Each element of (3.4) gives

$$x p_n^{(k+1)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) + q_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x), \quad (3.11)$$

$$p_{n+1}^{(k-1)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) + e_n^{(k-1)} p_n^{(k)}(x) \quad \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

$$p_{n+1}^{(k+1)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) - e_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x) \quad \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$x p_n^{(k+1)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k-1)}(x) + d_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x) \quad \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

$$x p_n^{(k+1)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k+1)}(x) + f_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x) \quad \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1. \quad (3.15)$$

Since $d_n^{(k)} = q_n^{(k)}$ if $\epsilon_n = 1$ and $f_n^{(k)} = q_n^{(k)}$ if $\epsilon_n = 0$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} x p_n^{(k+1)}(x) &= p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n-1)}(x) + d_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x), \\ x p_n^{(k+1)}(x) &= p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n)}(x) + f_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

hold in any case. It also holds in any case that

$$p_n^{(k)}(x) = p_n^{(k+1)}(x) + e_{n-1}^{(k)} p_{n-1}^{(k+1-\epsilon_{n-1})}(x).$$

These relations lead to the three-term recurrence relation

$$x p_n^{(k)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n)}(x) + (f_n^{(k)} + e_{n-1}^{(k)}) p_n^{(k)}(x) + f_{n-1}^{(k-\epsilon_{n-1})} e_{n-1}^{(k)} p_{n-1}^{(k-\epsilon_{n-1})}(x). \quad (3.16)$$

Let us introduce $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{N-1})$ by

$$\eta_0 := 0, \quad \eta_n := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_j, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1,$$

variables

$$\hat{q}_n^{(k)} := f_n^{(k+\eta_n)}, \quad \hat{e}_n^{(k)} := e_n^{(k+\eta_{n+1})},$$

and polynomials

$$\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x) := p_n^{(k+\eta_n)}(x).$$

Then the relation (3.16) yields

$$\hat{T}^{(k)} \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N(x) = x \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x),$$

that is the same as (2.18); the monic polynomial sequence $\{\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ is the orthogonal polynomial sequence with respect to the linear functional $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ defined by (2.20). This fact gives the determinant expression of $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$:

$$p_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n)}} \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{k-\eta_n} & \mu_{k-\eta_n+1} & \cdots & \mu_{k-\eta_n+n-1} & \mu_{k-\eta_n+n} \\ \mu_{k-\eta_n+1} & \mu_{k-\eta_n+2} & \cdots & \mu_{k-\eta_n+n} & \mu_{k-\eta_n+n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_{k-\eta_n+n-1} & \mu_{k-\eta_n+n} & \cdots & \mu_{k-\eta_n+2n-2} & \mu_{k-\eta_n+2n-1} \\ 1 & x & \cdots & x^{n-1} & x^n \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Hence, $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfies the ϵ -biorthogonality relation for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^m p_n^{(k)}(x)] = 0, \quad m = -\eta_n, -\eta_n + 1, \dots, -\eta_n + n - 1,$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{-\eta_n+n-\epsilon_n(n+1)} p_n^{(k)}(x)] = (1 - 2\epsilon_n)^n \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1})}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n)}},$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{-\eta_n+n} p_n^{(k)}(x)] = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n)}}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{-\eta_n-1} p_n^{(k)}(x)] = (-1)^n \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n-1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n)}}.$$

The monic polynomial sequence $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ is called the ϵ -biorthogonal Laurent polynomials [3]. Then, the relations (3.11)–(3.15) and

$$p_n^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^n \frac{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n)}}, \quad p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n)}(0) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}+\epsilon_n+1)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}+\epsilon_n)}} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n+1)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n)}}$$

give

$$\begin{aligned} q_n^{(k)} &= -\frac{p_{n+1}^{(k)}(0)}{p_n^{(k)}(0)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1})}}, \\ d_n^{(k)} &= -\frac{p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n-1)}(0)}{p_n^{(k)}(0)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n-1)}}, \\ f_n^{(k)} &= -\frac{p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n)}(0)}{p_n^{(k)}(0)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n)}} \end{aligned}$$

and, since $\mathcal{L}^{(k+1)}[x^{-\eta_{n+1}+n-\epsilon_n(n+1)} \pi(x)] = \mathcal{L}^{(k+1-\epsilon_n)}[x^{-\eta_n+n-\epsilon_n(n+1)} \pi(x)] = \mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{-\eta_{n+1}+n+1-\epsilon_n(n+1)} \pi(x)]$ for all

Algorithm 2 Isospectral transformation from tridiagonal–bidiagonal matrix pencil to tridiagonal matrix

Input: $\{q_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$, $\{e_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-2}$ and $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^{N-1}$ (or bidiagonal matrices $R^{(0)}$, $L_{\epsilon^*}^{(0)}$ and $L_\epsilon^{(0)}$)

$\eta_0 \leftarrow 0$

for $n = 1$ to $N - 1$ **do**

$\eta_n \leftarrow \eta_{n-1} + \epsilon_{n-1}$

end for

for $k = 0$ to η_{N-1} **do**

for $n = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

if $n < N - 1$ **then** $f_n^{(k)} \leftarrow q_n^{(k)} + \epsilon_n e_n^{(k)}$ **else** $f_n^{(k)} \leftarrow q_n^{(k)}$

end for

for $n = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

if $n = 0$ **then** $d_n^{(k+1)} \leftarrow f_n^{(k)}$ **else if** $\epsilon_{n-1} = 0$ **then** $d_n^{(k+1)} \leftarrow d_{n-1}^{(k+1)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{q_{n-1}^{(k+1)}}$ **else** $d_n^{(k+1)} \leftarrow q_{n-1}^{(k)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{f_{n-1}^{(k)}}$

$q_n^{(k+1)} \leftarrow d_n^{(k+1)} + (1 - \epsilon_n) e_n^{(k)}$

if $n < N - 1$ **then** $e_n^{(k+1)} \leftarrow e_n^{(k)} \frac{f_{n+1}^{(k)}}{q_n^{(k+1)} + \epsilon_n e_{n-1}^{(k+1)}}$

end for

end for

for $n = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

$\hat{q}_n^{(0)} \leftarrow f_n^{(\eta_n)}$

if $n < N - 1$ **then** $\hat{e}_n^{(0)} \leftarrow e_n^{(\eta_{n+1})}$

end for

Output: $\{\hat{q}_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ and $\{\hat{e}_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-2}$ (or tridiagonal matrix $\hat{T}^{(0)} = \hat{L}^{(0)} \hat{R}^{(0)}$)

$\pi(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{-\eta_{n+1}+n+1-\epsilon_n(n+1)} p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x)] = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_{n+2}^{(k-\eta_{n+1})}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1})}} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k+1)}[x^{-\eta_{n+1}+n-\epsilon_n(n+1)} p_{n+1}^{(k+1)}(x)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0, \\ (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\tau_{n+2}^{(k-\eta_{n+1})}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}+1)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k+1-\epsilon_n)}[x^{-\eta_n+n-\epsilon_n(n+1)} p_n^{(k+1-\epsilon_n)}(x)] = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n+1)}} = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_{n+1}+1)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0, \\ (-1)^n \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n-1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n)}} = (-1)^n \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1})}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_{n+1}+1)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1 \end{cases}$$

hold,

$$e_n^{(k)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{-\eta_{n+1}+n+1-\epsilon_n(n+1)} p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x)] - \mathcal{L}^{(k+1)}[x^{-\eta_{n+1}+n-\epsilon_n(n+1)} p_{n+1}^{(k+1)}(x)]}{\mathcal{L}^{(k+1-\epsilon_n)}[x^{-\eta_n+n-\epsilon_n(n+1)} p_n^{(k+1-\epsilon_n)}(x)]} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_{n+1}+1)} \tau_{n+2}^{(k-\eta_{n+1})}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1})}}.$$

Summarizing the above, we obtain Algorithm 2 of the isospectral transformation.

3.3. Numerical example

Let us consider

$$L_{\epsilon^*}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 11 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e.,

$$L_{\epsilon^*}^{(0)} R^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 40 & 15 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 55 & 17 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$L_{\epsilon}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, Algorithm 2 yields

$$\hat{R}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{217}{20} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{13150}{1953} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3924423}{614105} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2596480772}{1515844721} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{156435}{1389979} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{L}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{35}{4} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{5184}{1085} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{101339}{11835} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{685706750}{67877983} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{119912925}{14496090991} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\hat{T}^{(0)} = \hat{L}^{(0)} \hat{R}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 70 & \frac{98}{5} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1296}{25} & \frac{518}{45} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4670}{81} & \frac{62848}{4203} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{14079150}{218089} & \frac{57542826}{4870343} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1541100}{108764041} & \frac{1260}{10429} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of both $(L_{\epsilon^*}^{(0)} R^{(0)})$, $L_{\epsilon}^{(0)}$ and $\hat{T}^{(0)}$ are 28.1051142, 22.50730913, 10.85981143, 4.18583949, 0.23568694 and 0.10623881, which are verified by `numpy.linalg.eig()`.

4. Isospectral transformation between Hessenberg matrix and Hessenberg–bidiagonal matrix pencil

4.1. Sequence of Hessenberg–bidiagonal GEVPs and eigenvectors

As a generalization, let us consider a Hessenberg–bidiagonal matrix pencil, i.e., the Hessenberg matrix of the form

$$H^{(k)} := L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} R^{(k+M-1)} R^{(k+M-2)} \dots R^{(k)},$$

where M is a positive integer, and the bidiagonal matrix $L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}$ defined by (3.2). To obtain the polynomial sequence related to the matrix pencil, let us consider polynomial sequences $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfying the relations

$$L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k+M)}(x) = L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x), \quad R^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N^{(k)}(x) = x \mathbf{p}^{(k+1)}(x),$$

and

$$p_N^{(k+M)}(x) = p_N^{(k)}(x)$$

for all integer k . Note that $p_N^{(k+1)}(x) = p_N^{(k)}(x)$ does not hold in general. Then the matrix equation (3.5) is generalized as

$$L_{\varepsilon}^{(k+1)} R^{(k)} = \tilde{R}^{(k)} L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}, \quad \tilde{R}^{(k)} L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} = L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k+1)} R^{(k+M)},$$

and it is shown that $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfies the $(M+2)$ -terms recurrence relation

$$H^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} R^{(k+M-1)} R^{(k+M-2)} \dots R^{(k+j+1)} x^j \mathbf{p}_N^{(k+j)}(x) = x^M L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x),$$

Substituting $x = xe^{-2\pi i \nu / M}$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$, and taking a linear combination, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(k)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{M-1} w_{\nu} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(xe^{-2\pi i \nu / M}) + \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} L_{\varepsilon^*}^{(k)} R^{(k+M-1)} R^{(k+M-2)} \dots R^{(k+j+1)} x^j \sum_{\nu=0}^{M-1} w_{\nu} e^{-2\pi i \nu j / M} \mathbf{p}_N^{(k+j)}(xe^{-2\pi i \nu / M}) \\ = x^M L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \sum_{\nu=0}^M w_{\nu} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(xe^{-2\pi i \nu / M}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{M-1} are some constants. If there exist some values x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} and constants $w_{r,0}^{(k)}, w_{r,1}^{(k)}, \dots, w_{r,M-1}^{(k)}$ for $r = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ satisfying

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{M-1} w_{r,\nu}^{(k)} e^{-2\pi i \nu j / M} p_N^{(k+j)}(x_r e^{-2\pi i \nu / M}) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, M-1,$$

then (4.1) yields

$$H^{(k)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{M-1} w_{r,\nu}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x_r e^{-2\pi i \nu / M}) = x_r^M L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{M-1} w_{r,\nu}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x_r e^{-2\pi i \nu / M}),$$

i.e., x_r^M and $\sum_{\nu=0}^{M-1} w_{r,\nu}^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}(x_r e^{-2\pi i \nu / M})$ are an eigenvalue and an eigenvector of $(H^{(k)}, L_{\varepsilon}^{(k)})$.

Let us introduce the variables

$$\begin{aligned} d_0^{(k+M)} &:= q_0^{(k)} + \varepsilon_0 e_0^{(k)}, & d_n^{(k+M)} &:= q_n^{(k+M)} - (1 - \varepsilon_n) e_n^{(k)}, & n &= 1, \dots, N-1, \\ f_n^{(k)} &:= q_n^{(k)} + \varepsilon_n e_n^{(k)}, & & & f_{N-1}^{(k)} &:= q_{N-1}^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, in the same way as in the previous section, we obtain

$$f_n^{(k)} = q_n^{(k)} + \epsilon_n e_n^{(k)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2, \quad f_{N-1}^{(k)} = q_{N-1}^{(k)}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$d_0^{(k+M)} = f_0^{(k)}, \quad d_n^{(k+M)} = \begin{cases} d_{n-1}^{(k+M)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{q_{n-1}^{(k+M)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_{n-1} = 0, \\ q_{n-1}^{(k)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{f_{n-1}^{(k)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_{n-1} = 1, \end{cases} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \quad (4.3)$$

$$q_n^{(k+M)} = d_n^{(k+M)} + (1 - \epsilon_n) e_n^{(k)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (4.4)$$

$$e_n^{(k+1)} = e_n^{(k)} \frac{f_{n+1}^{(k)}}{q_n^{(k+M)} + \epsilon_n e_{n-1}^{(k+1)}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2. \quad (4.5)$$

We call this system the *discrete hungry elementary Toda orbits* [8].

4.2. Isospectral transformation to an upper Hessenberg matrix

In the same manner as in the previous section, we can derive the relations

$$x p_n^{(k+1)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) + q_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x), \quad (4.6)$$

$$x p_n^{(k+1)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n-1)M}(x) + d_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x), \quad (4.7)$$

$$x p_n^{(k+1)}(x) = p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n M)}(x) + f_n^{(k)} p_n^{(k)}(x), \quad (4.8)$$

$$p_n^{(k)}(x) = p_n^{(k+M)}(x) + e_{n-1}^{(k)} p_{n-1}^{(k+(1-\epsilon_{n-1})M)}(x). \quad (4.9)$$

Let us introduce the variables

$$\hat{q}_n^{(k)} := f_n^{(k+\eta_n M)}, \quad \hat{e}_n^{(k)} := e_n^{(k+\eta_{n+1} M)},$$

polynomials

$$\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x) := p_n^{(k+\eta_n M)}(x),$$

and the bidiagonal matrices and the vectors of polynomials same as (2.15) and (2.16). Then, the relations (4.8) and (4.9) are rewritten as

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k-M)}(x) = \hat{L}^{(k-M)} \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x), \quad x \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k+1)}(x) = \hat{R}^{(k)} \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x) + \mathbf{p}_N^{(k)}(x).$$

Hence, $\{\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfies the $(M+2)$ -terms recurrence relation

$$\hat{H}^{(k)} \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \hat{L}^{(k)} \hat{R}^{(k+M-1)} \hat{R}^{(k+M-2)} \dots \hat{R}^{(k+j+1)} x^j \mathbf{p}_N^{(k+j)}(x) = x^M \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}(x),$$

where

$$\hat{H}^{(k)} := \hat{L}^{(k)} \hat{R}^{(k+M-1)} \hat{R}^{(k+M-2)} \dots \hat{R}^{(k)}.$$

It is known that the polynomial sequence satisfying this relation becomes the $(M, 1)$ -biorthogonal polynomials [10]; there exists a linear functional $\mathcal{L}^{(k)} : \mathbb{C}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the $(M, 1)$ -biorthogonality condition

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{mM} \hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x)] = h_n^{(k)} \delta_{m,n}, \quad h_n^{(k)} \neq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Let μ_{k+m} be the moment of this linear functional which is the same definition as (2.21). Then, the determinant expression of $\{\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ is given by

$$\hat{p}_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau_n^{(k)}} \begin{vmatrix} \mu_k & \mu_{k+1} & \cdots & \mu_{k+n-1} & \mu_{k+n} \\ \mu_{k+M} & \mu_{k+M+1} & \cdots & \mu_{k+M+n-1} & \mu_{k+M+n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_{k+(n-1)M} & \mu_{k+(n-1)M+1} & \cdots & \mu_{k+(n-1)M+n-1} & \mu_{k+(n-1)M+n} \\ 1 & x & \cdots & x^{n-1} & x^n \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where $\tau_n^{(k)}$ is the block Hankel determinant of the moments

$$\tau_0^{(k)} := 1, \quad \tau_n^{(k)} := |\mu_{k+iM+j}|_{i,j=0}^{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Next, we consider the determinant expression of $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$:

$$p_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M)}} \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{k-\eta_n M} & \mu_{k-\eta_n M+1} & \cdots & \mu_{k-\eta_n M+n-1} & \mu_{k-\eta_n M+n} \\ \mu_{k+(-\eta_n+1)M} & \mu_{k+(-\eta_n+1)M+1} & \cdots & \mu_{k+(-\eta_n+1)M+n-1} & \mu_{k+(-\eta_n+1)M+n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_{k+(-\eta_n+n-1)M} & \mu_{k+(-\eta_n+n-1)M+1} & \cdots & \mu_{k+(-\eta_n+n-1)M+n-1} & \mu_{k+(-\eta_n+n-1)M+n} \\ 1 & x & \cdots & x^{n-1} & x^n \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Hence, $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ satisfies the (ϵ, M) -biorthogonality relation for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^m p_n^{(k)}(x)] = 0, \quad m = -\eta_n, -\eta_n + 1, \dots, -\eta_n + n - 1,$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{(-\eta_n+n-\epsilon_n(n+1))M} p_n^{(k)}(x)] = (1 - 2\epsilon_n)^n \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}M)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M)}},$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{(-\eta_n+n)M} p_n^{(k)}(x)] = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n M)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M)}}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{(-\eta_n-1)M} p_n^{(k)}(x)] = (-1)^n \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_n-1)M)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M)}}.$$

The monic polynomial sequence $\{p_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ is called the (ϵ, M) -biorthogonal Laurent polynomials. Then, the relations (4.6)–(4.9) and

$$p_n^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^n \frac{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M)}}, \quad p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n M)} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_{n+1}+\epsilon_n)M+1)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_{n+1}+\epsilon_n)M)}} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n M+1)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n M)}}$$

give

$$\begin{aligned} q_n^{(k)} &= -\frac{p_{n+1}^{(k)}(0)}{p_n^{(k)}(0)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}M+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}M)}}, \\ d_n^{(k)} &= -\frac{p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n M)}(0)}{p_n^{(k)}(0)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_n-1)M+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_n-1)M)}}, \\ f_n^{(k)} &= -\frac{p_{n+1}^{(k+\epsilon_n M)}(0)}{p_n^{(k)}(0)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n M+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_n M)}}, \end{aligned}$$

and, since

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{(-\eta_{n+1}+n+1-\epsilon_n(n+1))M} p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x)] = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_{n+2}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}M)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}M)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k+M)}[x^{(-\eta_{n+1}+n-\epsilon_n(n+1))M} p_{n+1}^{(k+M)}(x)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0, \\ (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\tau_{n+2}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}M)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_{n+1}+1)M)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k+(1-\epsilon_n)M)}[x^{(-\eta_n+n-\epsilon_n(n+1))M} p_n^{(k+(1-\epsilon_n)M)}(x)] = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_n+1)M)}}{\tau_n^{(k+(-\eta_n+1)M)}} = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_{n+1}+1)M)}}{\tau_n^{(k+(-\eta_{n+1}+1)M)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 0, \\ (-1)^n \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_n-1)M)}}{\tau_n^{(k-\eta_n M)}} = (-1)^n \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}M)}}{\tau_n^{(k+(-\eta_{n+1}+1)M)}} & \text{if } \epsilon_n = 1 \end{cases}$$

hold,

$$e_n^{(k)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[x^{(-\eta_{n+1}+n+1-\epsilon_n(n+1))M} p_{n+1}^{(k)}(x)] - \mathcal{L}^{(k+M)}[x^{(-\eta_{n+1}+n-\epsilon_n(n+1))M} p_{n+1}^{(k+M)}(x)]}{\mathcal{L}^{(k+(1-\epsilon_n)M)}[x^{(-\eta_n+n-\epsilon_n(n+1))M} p_n^{(k+(1-\epsilon_n)M)}(x)]} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k+(-\eta_{n+1}+1)M)} \tau_{n+2}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}M)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+(-\eta_{n+1}+1)M)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k-\eta_{n+1}M)}}.$$

Further, we have

$$\hat{q}_n^{(k)} = f_n^{(k+\eta_n M)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k+1)}}{\tau_n^{(k+1)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k)}}, \quad \hat{e}_n^{(k)} = e_n^{(k+\eta_{n+1} M)} = \frac{\tau_n^{(k+M)} \tau_{n+2}^{(k)}}{\tau_{n+1}^{(k+M)} \tau_{n+1}^{(k)}}.$$

Summarizing the above, we obtain Algorithm 3 of the isospectral transformation.

4.3. Numerical example

Let us consider

$$L_{\epsilon^*}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 11 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e.,

$$L_{\epsilon^*}^{(0)} R^{(2)} R^{(1)} R^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 188 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 24 & 36 & 12 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 60 & 60 & 15 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 120 & 90 & 18 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1200 & 1110 & 306 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2310 & 1722 \end{pmatrix},$$

Algorithm 3 Isospectral transformation from Hessenberg–bidiagonal matrix pencil to Hessenberg matrix

Input: $\{q_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$, $\{q_n^{(1)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$, ..., $\{q_n^{(M-1)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$, $\{e_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-2}$ and $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^{N-1}$ (or bidiagonal matrices $R^{(0)}$, $R^{(1)}$, ..., $R^{(M-1)}$, $L_{\epsilon^*}^{(0)}$ and $L_\epsilon^{(0)}$)

$\eta_0 \leftarrow 0$

for $n = 1$ to $N - 1$ **do**

$\eta_n \leftarrow \eta_{n-1} + \epsilon_{n-1}$

end for

for $k = 0$ to $(\eta_{N-1} + 1)M - 1$ **do**

for $n = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

if $n < N - 1$ **then** $f_n^{(k)} \leftarrow q_n^{(k)} + \epsilon_n e_n^{(k)}$ **else** $f_n^{(k)} \leftarrow q_n^{(k)}$

end for

for $n = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

if $n = 0$ **then** $d_n^{(k+M)} \leftarrow f_n^{(k)}$ **else if** $\epsilon_{n-1} = 0$ **then** $d_n^{(k+M)} \leftarrow d_{n-1}^{(k+M)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{q_{n-1}^{(k+M)}}$ **else** $d_n^{(k+M)} \leftarrow q_{n-1}^{(k)} \frac{f_n^{(k)}}{f_{n-1}^{(k)}}$

$q_n^{(k+M)} \leftarrow d_n^{(k+M)} + (1 - \epsilon_n) e_n^{(k)}$

if $n < N - 1$ **then** $e_n^{(k+1)} \leftarrow e_n^{(k)} \frac{f_{n+1}^{(k)}}{q_n^{(k+M)} + \epsilon_n e_{n-1}^{(k+1)}}$

end for

end for

for $n = 0$ to $N - 1$ **do**

for $k = 0$ to $M - 1$ **do**

$\hat{q}_n^{(k)} \leftarrow f_n^{(k+\eta_n M)}$

end for

if $n < N - 1$ **then** $\hat{e}_n^{(0)} \leftarrow e_n^{(\eta_{n+1} M)}$

end for

Output: $\{\hat{q}_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$, $\{\hat{q}_n^{(1)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$, ..., $\{\hat{q}_n^{(M-1)}\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ and $\{\hat{e}_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{N-2}$ (or Hessenberg matrix $\hat{H}^{(0)} = \hat{L}^{(0)} \hat{R}^{(M-1)} \dots \hat{R}^{(0)}$)

and

$$L_\epsilon^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, Algorithm 3 yields

$$\hat{R}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1045}{196} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{11783226}{1951015} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{11202591839}{1537751072} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1793288934976}{673133562011} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3365490}{23369591} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{R}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{43}{4} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{249816}{44935} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4459329545}{417182311} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{281563249429787}{25605158734417} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{61342417293160530}{164176201497170723} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{654348548}{340773203} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{R}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{570}{43} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{5738006}{988855} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2131337471900}{284718590021} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{417593915190317388}{71923747531523615} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5065558609120017904}{2778977782301483047} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{340773203}{103007824} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{L}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{686}{95} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{17736500}{3269329} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{92158247808}{19114261985} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{393943905477395}{312887922561632} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{448520531195}{11555726719792} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\hat{H}^{(0)} = \hat{L}^{(0)} \hat{R}^{(2)} \hat{R}^{(1)} \hat{R}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1140 & \frac{11898}{49} & \frac{46404}{1867} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 8232 & \frac{94344}{49} & \frac{581274}{1867} & \frac{1189329}{38368} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2240400}{2401} & \frac{109654800}{91483} & \frac{646077099}{1880032} & \frac{146061709}{5496967} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{8121738240}{3485689} & \frac{26863943637}{17908264} & \frac{2245552524}{12320333} & \frac{20808}{1867} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{215519585169}{368025856} & \frac{110756457399}{1076059336} & \frac{44037}{4796} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{886300800}{12585025489} & \frac{107940}{112183} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of both $(L_{\epsilon^*}^{(0)} R^{(2)} R^{(1)} R^{(0)}, L_{\epsilon}^{(0)})$ and $\hat{H}^{(0)}$ are 3188.27018, 2167.22313, 485.176601, 25.4359335, 1.14466512 and 0.749495172, which are verified by `numpy.linalg.eig()`.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have constructed an isospectral transformation from a Hessenberg–bidiagonal matrix pencil to a Hessenberg matrix. Since the obtained algorithms do not contain any subtractions, if all the input values are positive, then all computations can be performed without loss of digits for floating point arithmetic.

As a future work, we are interested in an isospectral transformation from a tridiagonal–tridiagonal matrix pencil to some type of sparse matrix. The GEVP of tridiagonal–tridiagonal matrix pencils has attracted much attention [9, 16]. It is known that this GEVP defines so-called R_{II} polynomials [5] and its associated discrete integrable systems are R_{II} chain [11, 15] and FST chain [13]. We will be able to derive the isospectral transformation by using the relation between these two discrete integrable systems.

Declaration of competing interest

There is no competing interest.

Data availability

No data was used for research described in the article.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19J23445, JP21K13837 and JP19H01792. This work was partially supported by the joint project “Advanced Mathematical Science for Mobility Society” of Kyoto University and Toyota Motor Corporation.

References

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer, *The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis*, Oliver and Boyd Ltd., Edinburgh, London, 1965, <https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611976397> (republication by SIAM).
- [2] T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, in: Mathematics and its Applications vol. 13, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1978.
- [3] L. Faybusovich and M. Gekhtman, *Inverse moment problem for elementary co-adjoint orbits*, *Inverse Problems* **17** (2001) 1295–1306, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/17/5/304>
- [4] K. V. Fernando and B. N. Parlett, *Accurate singular values and differential qd algorithms*, *Numer. Math.* **67** (1994) 191–229, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002110050024>.
- [5] M. E. H. Ismail and D. R. Masson, *Generalized orthogonality and continued fractions*, *J. Approx. Theory* **83** (1995) 1–40, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jath.1995.1106>.
- [6] S. Kharchev, A. Mironov and A. Zhedanov, *Faces of Relativistic Toda chain*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **12** (1997) 2675–2724, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X97001493>.
- [7] K. Kobayashi, *Nonautonomous discrete elementary Toda orbits and their ultradiscretization*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **54** (2021) 455203, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ac2cff>.
- [8] K. Kobayashi and S. Tsujimoto, *Generalization of the ϵ -BBS and the Schensted insertion algorithm*, arXiv:2202.09094, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2202.09094>.
- [9] K. Li, T.-Y. Li and Z. Zeng, *An algorithm for the generalized symmetric tridiagonal eigenvalue problem*, *Numer. Algorithms* **8** (1994) 269–291, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02142694>.
- [10] K. Maeda, *Nonautonomous ultradiscrete hungry Toda lattice and a generalized box–ball system*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **50** (2017) 365204, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aa8204>.
- [11] K. Maeda and S. Tsujimoto, *A generalized eigenvalue algorithm for tridiagonal matrix pencils based on a nonautonomous discrete integrable system*, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **300** (2016) 134–154, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2015.12.032>.
- [12] H. Rutishauser, *Lectures on Numerical Mathematics*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-3468-5>.
- [13] V. P. Spiridonov, S. Tsujimoto and A. S. Zhedanov, *Integrable discrete time chains for the Frobenius–Stickelberger–Thiele polynomials*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **272** (2007) 139–165, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-007-0219-1>.
- [14] V. Spiridonov and A. Zhedanov, *Discrete Darboux transformations, the discrete-time Toda lattice, and the Askey–Wilson polynomials*, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **2** (1995) 369–398, <https://dx.doi.org/10.4310/MAA.1995.v2.n4.a1>.
- [15] V. Spiridonov and A. Zhedanov, *Spectral transformation chains and some new biorthogonal rational functions*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **210** (2000) 49–83, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050772>.
- [16] R. Vandebril, G. Golub and M. Van Barel, *A quasi-separable approach to solve the symmetric definite tridiagonal generalized eigenvalue problem*, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **31** (2009) 154–174, <https://doi.org/10.1137/070679491>.
- [17] A. Zhedanov, *The “classical” Laurent biorthogonal polynomials*, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **98** (1998) 121–147, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427\(98\)00118-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(98)00118-6).