

The role of damping rate amplitude in the synchronization of two coupled oscillators

A. Hamadeh,^{1,*} A. Koujok,¹ I. Medlej,² P. Pirro,¹ and S. Petit³

¹*Fachbereich Physik, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, Kaiserslautern, Germany*

²*Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China*

³*Institut Jean Lamour, Université de Lorraine, UMR 7198 CNRS, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France*

(Dated: December 23, 2022)

We investigate the synchronization phenomenon between two Spin-transfer Torque Nano-Oscillators (STNOs) of different frequencies in two pillar systems under vortex configuration detunings or driving frequencies. The oscillators' mutual synchronization occurs through magnetic dipolar interaction. Our micromagnetic simulations show that an amplitude fluctuation referred to as Γ_p has a significant impact on determining the synchronization frequency. The evolution of frequency and amplitude fluctuation rate in two different oscillator sizes versus external perpendicular field are compared and discussed. Our results reveal that the oscillator with lower Γ_p , referred to as the "Leader" oscillator, leads the synchronization process. As such, the "follower" oscillator adjusts its frequency as to that of the "Leader", thus achieving synchronization. We believe that taking Γ_p into consideration can help in controlling synchronization frequencies in future building blocks of any network multi-array spintronics' devices.

Keywords: synchronization, dipolar coupling, nano-pillar oscillator, micromagnetic simulations.

Synchronization is one valuable yet common phenomenon in non-linear oscillatory systems. Synchronization of biological, chemical and physical systems constitute vastly researched topics. For instance, these range from the synchronous behaviors observed in biological systems such as fireflies [1], to the synchronization of pendulum clocks [2–4], all the way to the phase-locking of Spin-transfer Torque Nano-Oscillators (STNOs) studied by Kaka [5], and Mancoff [6]. That stated, the synchronization of STNOs itself draws much attention in various fields such as bio-inspired oscillatory computing [7, 8], neuromorphic computing [9], vowel recognition [10] and neural networks [11–13]. STNOs norm extensive scientific attention, as they constitute promising candidates for realization of physical oscillatory network arrays meeting all technical requirements such as room temperature operation, integration and scaling [14, 15].

Basically, we control the dynamics of the magnetic layers in STNOs via the spin transfer torque effect (STT) proposed by Slonczewski [16] and Berger [17]. STNOs are composed of a thick magnetic layer (polarizing layer) with static direction of magnetization, a nonmagnetic spacer, then a thin magnetic layer (free layer). Magnetization oscillations in STNOs can be converted into microwave signals either through tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR), or giant magnetoresistance (GMR) [18]. Synchronization of STNOs can be achieved by various physical mechanisms such as electrical connection in series [19–21], spin wave propagation [5, 6] and magnetodipolar interaction [22–26].

It is well known that when two oscillators are synchronized, their oscillation frequencies are tuned. Hence, it is quite necessary to question the causes and key parameter(s) that determine their synchronization frequency. In other words, it is crucial to investigate the

effect of coupling on the determination of this synchronization frequency. In this work, we study the synchronization of two dipolarly coupled circular pillar STNOs with magnetic vortex configurations in the upper (free) layers. Such synchronization process has been formerly shown to be efficient and inherent as emphasized in these works [22, 23, 25]. Indeed, it is possible to excite different modes in STNOs by changing their geometrical and magnetic parameters, and tuning the bias magnetic field conditions. We will consider only the case of vortices with opposite polarities and chiralities, which has already demonstrated experimentally the possibility of observing synchronization [25, 26]. More specifically, the role of vortex polarity in the synchronization of nano-oscillators has been studied, reported and shown to be more efficient when polarities are opposite [25].




FIG. 1. (Color online). Geometry of the studied system. The system is composed of two oscillators, each one is in a magnetic vortex configuration. The thickness and the separation are kept constant, while the discs' diameters are switched between $D_i=200$ nm and $D_i=400$ nm (with $i=1,2$). Polarities and chiralities are opposite in the two disks (See red/blue arrows for vortex polarities). Current density of same magnitude ($J = 1.475 \times 10^{11}$ A/m²) but opposite direction has been injected through each oscillator.

Adopting the above mentioned efficient case, we show that changing the size parameters of the vortex-based STNOs, and tuning their frequencies with bias external perpendicular field will strongly modify their synchronization behavior. We investigate numerically the synchronization properties for the selected combinations of vortex parameters, aiming to sort the best explanation as to achieving this synchronization.

In figure 1 we present our two pillar system. Each pillar consists of a free magnetic layer of (Py=Ni₈₀Fe₂₀) with thickness of 10 nm, a non-magnetic spacer layer, and a fixed polarizer (which produces a spin polarization). The circular multilayer stack was considered for two different sizes (200 nm and 400 nm).

The computational studies are performed by means of micromagnetic simulations using the GPU (Graphics Processing Unit) based micromagnetic code Mumax [27], in addition to the software platform Aithericon [28]. The physical parameters of Py layers are: saturation magnetization $M_s = 825\,000$ A/m, exchange constant $A_{ex} = 2.6 \times 10^{-11}$ J/m, and damping $\alpha = 0.01$. The vortices' parameters in each ferromagnetic nanopillar are referred to as $P_{1,2}$ (the vortex core (VC) polarity by vector, where \uparrow stands for up and \downarrow for down), and $C_{1,2}$ (the vortex Chirality by vector, where \odot stands for anticlockwise and \ominus for clockwise). We consider two different sizes of diameters with the same configurations for which self-sustained oscillations are achieved in both pillars. These configurations are of opposite polarities, with the cores' configurations corresponding to vortices moving in the opposite directions. In this case, the electrical connection is adapted according to the relative vortex core polarities in order to ensure self-sustained oscillations. Hereby, in order to ensure an opposite current sign in both pillars, we alimented these opposite polarity configurations using the parallel connection. The sweeping of the external perpendicular magnetic field (H_{\perp}) has an important role to tune the frequency. If the vortices have opposite polarities, then the variations of the frequency over H_{\perp} given as dF/dH will have even opposite signs which makes it ideal. The sweeping allows us to easily change the gap (ΔF) between the two frequencies. The key idea is to take advantage of the slope dF/dH allowing us to work on this difference in frequency.

First, we investigate the evolution of frequency peaks extracted from the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of M_x dynamics as a function of H_{\perp} (see Fig.2). The ground state of each disk is a vortex state. More specifically, the two vortices have been considered to have opposite polarities ($P_1 = \uparrow$ and $P_2 = \downarrow$) and chiralities. This simulation is displayed using the color scale indicating the amplitude integrated power generated by the system. Three different regions can be distinguished, namely (a), (b) and (c). Starting with the case of discs with diameters $D_i=400$ nm (see Fig.2 (a)), as the magnetic field decreases from 50mT to 20 mT (region (a)), two signals are observed (see

Fig. 2,a). The frequency of each oscillator changes with H_{\perp} , that is the frequency of the vortex with polarity \downarrow (\uparrow) increases (decreases) as H_{\perp} decreases. This region is called the subcritical region where the coupling strength is not yet sufficient to synchronize the oscillators. As ΔF is reaching a threshold value of about 40 MHz at $H_{\perp} = 20$ mT, the dynamical dipolar interaction leads to the synchronization of the vortex oscillations. In particular only one large amplitude peak subsists (see region (b)), and reaches its maximum at $H_{\perp}=0$. The synchronization frequency here is called a "higher branch" (HB) synchronization frequency. After synchronization, as H_{\perp} increases from -35 mT to -70 mT, the two signals reappear announcing the ending of the synchronization. Now we take the case of two discs with $D_i=200$ nm (see Fig.2 (b)), it is clear that the synchronization occurs on the "lower branch" (LB). To understand the reason as to why the switching between HB/LB took place, we need to find the physical factor that controls the STNOs' synchronization frequencies in both cases. Hence, it is necessary that magnetization oscillations should be considered and studied using the general model for nonlinear auto-oscillators [29]. The dynamics of a nonlinear oscillator can be described by the equation of the complex amplitude (well described in ref. [29]). In the framework of the universal oscillator model [30], it has been shown that the response to small amplitude perturbation of the vortex around its stable trajectory can be described by the damping rate for small power deviations from the stationary solution of the equation of the amplitude. This gives us the rate at which the system returns to the stable trajectory after perturbation.

In Fig. 3 we present the method of extracting the damping rate Γ_p from simulation. In Fig. 3(a) we have represented the VC's position in the x-y plane for a disc of diameter 200 nm. The figure indicates that the VC is shifted from the center of the disc by a distance of $(r_f - r_i)$. Fig. 3(b) shows the results of the simulation as to how the VC's position changes with time. The vortex core continues to displace for a long distance from r_i to reach r_f , at which it acquires a stable trajectory. Following the perturbation, the gyrotropic mode of the vortex relaxes back towards its equilibrium position with an amplitude relaxation rate Γ_p . The term $1/2\Gamma_p$ is the characteristic time of the relaxation of the amplitude and is given by :

$$\delta q(t) = \delta q_i e^{-2\Gamma_p t} \quad (1)$$

with δq being the small amplitude deviations. The predicted results for a perturbation affecting the magnetization dynamics of the vortex core are presented in Fig. 4. We first concentrate on the quantitative analysis in Fig. 4(a) for the dependence of $1/2\Gamma_p$ on H_{\perp} corresponding to $D_i=400$ nm. Here we introduce two types of oscillators, the first one is called a "leader" oscillator, and the other is the "follower". These nominations can be interpreted by the fact that the "follower" has a stronger ability to




FIG. 2. (Color online). Plots of the coupled STNOs' frequency dependence on the sweeping perpendicular applied field H_{\perp} between three regions, namely (a), (b) and (c). The plots resemble discs of diameters (a) 400 nm and (b) 200 nm, respectively.




FIG. 3. (Color online). (a) vortex core dynamics affected by amplitude perturbation δq . (b) Core position as a function of time after perturbation, and the fit function that extracts the rate at which the system goes back to the stable trajectory.




FIG. 4. (Color online). Plots of $1/(2\Gamma_p)$ as a function of the perpendicular applied magnetic field in regions (a), (b) and (c) for discs of diameters (a) 400 nm and (b) 200 nm, respectively.

adapt its frequency to the "leader". Focusing on the region (b) which corresponds to the onset of the "HB" synchronization, the oscillator with polarity \uparrow follows the one with polarity \downarrow for H_{\perp} between 20 mT and 0 mT (Γ_p

(\uparrow) $>$ Γ_p (\downarrow). But for H_{\perp} between 0 mT and -20 mT the Γ_p 's dependence on perpendicular field is reversed. This can be interpreted by the fact that the vortex with higher (Γ_p) has the ability to adapt its own frequency to the one with lower (Γ_p). To gain further insight in our interpretation, we performed a similar study on discs with diameter size of 200nm. Here, the synchronization occurs on the lower branch "LB". From Fig. 4(b), it is easy to conclude that the results oppose those of the first case. In other words, the roles between the "follower" and "leader" have been switched based on the behavior of Γ_p 's dependence on perpendicular field.

Through micromagnetic simulations, we have unambiguously identified the role of the damping rate amplitude in the synchronization of two dipolarly coupled vortex-based STNOs. The oscillator with lower Γ_p leads the synchronization process, while the second follows due to its ability to adjust its frequency to that of the first. This synchronization depends on the discs' geometries, polarities and applied magnetic field. We believe that this finding might be very important for future designing strategies to select the synchronization frequency of arrays of STNOs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the European Research Council within the Starting Grant No. 101042439 "CoSpiN" and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) within the Transregional Collaborative Research Center—TRR 173–268565370 "Spin + X" (project B01).

REFERENCES

- * hamadeh@rhrk.uni-kl.de
- [1] B. Ermentrout, “An adaptive model for synchrony in the firefly *pteroptyx malaccae*,” *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 571–585, 1991.
 - [2] M. Rosenblum and A. Pikovsky, “Synchronization: from pendulum clocks to chaotic lasers and chemical oscillators,” *Contemporary Physics*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 401–416, 2003.
 - [3] M. Kapitaniak, K. Czolczynski, P. Perlikowski, A. Stefanski, and T. Kapitaniak, “Synchronization of clocks,” *Physics Reports*, vol. 517, no. 1-2, pp. 1–69, 2012.
 - [4] H. M. Oliveira and L. V. Melo, “Huygens synchronization of two clocks,” *Scientific reports*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2015.
 - [5] S. Kaka, M. R. Pufall, W. H. Rippard, T. J. Silva, S. E. Russek, and J. A. Katine, “Mutual phase-locking of microwave spin torque nano-oscillators,” *Nature*, vol. 437, no. 7057, pp. 389–392, 2005.
 - [6] F. Mancoff, N. Rizzo, B. Engel, and S. Tehrani, “Phase-locking in double-point-contact spin-transfer devices,” *Nature*, vol. 437, no. 7057, pp. 393–395, 2005.
 - [7] J. Grollier, D. Querlioz, and M. D. Stiles, “Spintronic nanodevices for bioinspired computing,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 104, no. 10, pp. 2024–2039, 2016.
 - [8] N. Locatelli, V. Cros, and J. Grollier, “Spin-torque building blocks,” *Nature materials*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 11–20, 2014.
 - [9] J. Torrejon, M. Riou, F. A. Araujo, S. Tsunegi, G. Khalsa, D. Querlioz, P. Bortolotti, V. Cros, K. Yakushiji, A. Fukushima, *et al.*, “Neuromorphic computing with nanoscale spintronic oscillators,” *Nature*, vol. 547, no. 7664, pp. 428–431, 2017.
 - [10] M. Romera, P. Talatchian, S. Tsunegi, F. A. Araujo, V. Cros, P. Bortolotti, J. Trastoy, K. Yakushiji, A. Fukushima, H. Kubota, *et al.*, “Vowel recognition with four coupled spin-torque nano-oscillators,” *Nature*, vol. 563, no. 7730, pp. 230–234, 2018.
 - [11] J. Cao and R. Li, “Fixed-time synchronization of delayed memristor-based recurrent neural networks,” *Science China Information Sciences*, vol. 60, no. 3, p. 032201, 2017.
 - [12] M. J. Leone, B. N. Schurter, B. Letson, V. Booth, M. Zochowski, and C. G. Fink, “Synchronization properties of heterogeneous neuronal networks with mixed excitability type,” *Physical Review E*, vol. 91, no. 3, p. 032813, 2015.
 - [13] G. Tang, K. Xu, and L. Jiang, “Synchronization in a chaotic neural network with time delay depending on the spatial distance between neurons,” *Physical Review E*, vol. 84, no. 4, p. 046207, 2011.
 - [14] G. Csaba and W. Porod, “Computational study of spin-torque oscillator interactions for non-boolean computing applications,” *IEEE Transactions on Magnetism*, vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 4447–4451, 2013.
 - [15] T. Chen, R. K. Dumas, A. Eklund, P. K. Muduli, A. Houshang, A. A. Awad, P. Dürrenfeld, B. G. Malm, A. Rusu, and J. Åkerman, “Spin-torque and spin-hall nano-oscillators,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 104, no. 10, pp. 1919–1945, 2016.
 - [16] J. C. Slonczewski, “Current-driven excitation of magnetic multilayers,” *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, vol. 159, no. 1-2, pp. L1–L7, 1996.
 - [17] L. Berger, “Emission of spin waves by a magnetic multilayer traversed by a current,” *Physical Review B*, vol. 54, no. 13, p. 9353, 1996.
 - [18] C. Reig and M.-D. Cubells-Beltrán, “Giant (gmr) and tunnel (tmr) magnetoresistance sensors: From phenomena to applications,” *Magnetic Sensors and Devices: Technologies and Applications*, p. 35, 2017.
 - [19] J. Grollier, V. Cros, and A. Fert, “Synchronization of spin-transfer oscillators driven by stimulated microwave currents,” *Physical Review B*, vol. 73, no. 6, p. 060409, 2006.
 - [20] D. Li, Y. Zhou, C. Zhou, and B. Hu, “Global attractors and the difficulty of synchronizing serial spin-torque oscillators,” *Physical Review B*, vol. 82, no. 14, p. 140407, 2010.
 - [21] R. Lebrun, S. Tsunegi, P. Bortolotti, H. Kubota, A. Jenkins, M. Romera, K. Yakushiji, A. Fukushima, J. Grollier, S. Yuasa, *et al.*, “Mutual synchronization of spin torque nano-oscillators through a long-range and tunable electrical coupling scheme,” *Nature communications*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2017.
 - [22] A. D. Belanovsky, N. Locatelli, P. Skirdkov, F. A. Araujo, J. Grollier, K. A. Zvezdin, V. Cros, and A. K. Zvezdin, “Phase locking dynamics of dipolarly coupled vortex-based spin transfer oscillators,” *Physical Review B*, vol. 85, no. 10, p. 100409, 2012.
 - [23] F. A. Araujo, A. Belanovsky, P. Skirdkov, K. Zvezdin, A. Zvezdin, N. Locatelli, R. Lebrun, J. Grollier, V. Cros, G. De Loubens, *et al.*, “Optimizing magnetodipolar interactions for synchronizing vortex based spin-torque nano-oscillators,” *Physical Review B*, vol. 92, no. 4, p. 045419, 2015.
 - [24] A. Hamadeh, N. Locatelli, V. Naletov, R. Lebrun, G. De Loubens, J. Grollier, O. Klein, and V. Cros, “Perfect and robust phase-locking of a spin transfer vortex nano-oscillator to an external microwave source,” *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 104, no. 2, p. 022408, 2014.
 - [25] N. Locatelli, A. Hamadeh, F. A. Araujo, A. D. Belanovsky, P. N. Skirdkov, R. Lebrun, V. V. Naletov, K. A. Zvezdin, M. Muñoz, J. Grollier, *et al.*, “Efficient synchronization of dipolarly coupled vortex-based spin transfer nano-oscillators,” *Scientific reports*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2015.
 - [26] Y. Li, X. de Milly, O. Klein, V. Cros, J. Grollier, and G. de Loubens, “Selective control of vortex polarities by microwave field in two robustly synchronized spin-torque nano-oscillators,” *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 112, no. 2, p. 022405, 2018.
 - [27] A. Vansteenkiste, J. Leliaert, M. Dvornik, M. Helsen, F. Garcia-Sanchez, and B. Van Waeyenberge, “The design and verification of mumax3,” *AIP advances*, vol. 4, no. 10, p. 107133, 2014.
 - [28] See www.aithericon.com for further information and details.
 - [29] A. Slavin and V. Tiberkevich, “Nonlinear auto-oscillator theory of microwave generation by spin-polarized current,” *IEEE Transactions on Magnetism*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1875–1918, 2009.
 - [30] E. Grimaldi, A. Dussaux, P. Bortolotti, J. Grollier, G. Pillet, A. Fukushima, H. Kubota, K. Yakushiji, S. Yuasa, and V. Cros, “Response to noise of a vortex based spin transfer nano-oscillator,” *Physical Review B*, vol. 89, no. 10, p. 104404, 2014.