

Chaos and complexity in the dynamics of nonlinear Alfvén waves in a magnetoplasma

Subhrajit Roy,^{1,*} Animesh Roy,^{2,†} and Amar P. Misra^{2,‡}

¹*Department of Mathematics, Siksha Bhavana, Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan-731 235, India*

²*Department of Mathematics, Siksha Bhavana, Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan-731 235, West Bengal, India*

(Dated: December 16, 2022)

The nonlinear dynamics of circularly polarized dispersive Alfvén wave (AW) envelopes coupled to the driven ion sound waves of plasma slow response is studied in a uniform magnetoplasma. By restricting the wave dynamics to a few number of harmonic modes, a low-dimensional dynamical model is proposed to describe the nonlinear wave-wave interactions. It is found that two subintervals of the wave number of modulation k of AW envelope exists, namely $(3/4)k_c < k < k_c$ and $0 < k < (3/4)k_c$, where k_c is the critical value of k below which the modulational instability (MI) occurs. In the former, where the MI growth rate is low, the periodic and/or quasi-periodic states are shown to occur, whereas the latter, where the MI growth is high, brings about the chaotic states. The existence of these states is established by the analyses of Lyapunov exponent spectra together with the bifurcation diagram and phase-space portraits of dynamical variables. Furthermore, the complexities of chaotic phase spaces in the nonlinear motion are measured by the estimations of the correlation dimension (CD) as well as the approximate entropy (ApEn), and compared with those for the known Hénon map and the Lorentz system in which a good qualitative agreement is noted. The chaotic motion thus predicted in a low-dimensional model can be a prerequisite for the onset of Alfvénic wave turbulence to be observed in a higher dimensional model that and is relevant in the Earth's ionosphere and magnetosphere.

I. INTRODUCTION

Alfvén waves are typical magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves that travel along the magnetic field lines and can be excited in any electrically conducting fluid permeated by a magnetic field. However, in an electron-ion magnetoplasma, they can be dispersive either due to the effects of finite ion Larmor radius and the electron pressure gradient force (in warm plasmas) or due to finite values of the wave frequency (in comparison with the ion cyclotron frequency) and the electron inertial force (in cold plasmas). Since the theoretical description of their existence by Alfvén in 1942 [1] and experimental verification by Lundquist in 1949 [8], the Alfvén waves (especially with large amplitude) have been known to play significant roles in transporting energy and momentum in many geophysical and astrophysical MHD flows including the solar corona and the solar wind. They have also been observed in Earth's magnetosphere [22], in interplanetary plasmas [21], and in solar photosphere [14], and proposed as the origin of geomagnetic jerks [3]. Furthermore, the dispersive Alfvén waves (DAWs) can have a wide range of applications in laboratory and space plasmas [5, 20].

Large amplitude Alfvén waves interacting with plasmas can give rise to different nonlinear effects including the parametric decay of three-wave interactions [19], stimulated Raman and Brillouin scattering [6], modulational instability (MI) of wave envelopes [24], plasma background density modification due to the Alfvén wave ponderomotive force, as well as the formation of Alfvén

vortices [17]. Furthermore, the formation of envelope solitons associated with the modulational instability due to the nonlinear interaction of high-frequency wave electric field and low-frequency ion density perturbations has been known to be one of the most important features in the context of chaos and wave turbulence in plasmas [2, 12]. When the electric field intensity is so high that the wave number of modulation exceeds its threshold value, the envelopes are essentially trapped by the density cavities of plasma slow response and the interactions result into chaos. As this chaotic process develops in a low-dimensional dynamical system, the rate of transfer (or redistribution) of energy from lower to higher harmonic modes (from large to small spatial length scales) becomes faster, leading to strong wave turbulence. Such scenarios have been reported in different contexts by means of Zakharov-like equations in plasmas [2, 9, 12, 25].

The nonlinear coupling of circularly polarized dispersive Alfvén waves and ion density perturbations associated with plasma slow motion has been studied by Shukla *et al.* [20] in a uniform magnetoplasma. They proposed a set of coupled nonlinear equations for the wave electric field and the plasma density perturbation which admits a localized DAW envelope accompanied by a plasma density depression. However, the theory of nonlinear wave-wave interactions associated with the DAWs has not been studied yet. The purpose of the present work is to reconsider this model equations and to study the dynamical features of nonlinear three-wave interactions numerically in a low-dimensional dynamical model. We show that the transition from order to chaos is indeed possible when the wave number of modulation is within the domain of the excitation of three wave modes. The existence of periodic, quasiperiodic and chaotic states is confirmed by inspecting the Lyapunov exponent spectra, the bifur-

* suvo.math88@gmail.com

† aroyiitd@gmail.com

‡ apmisra@visva-bharati.ac.in

cation diagram, and phase-space portraits of dynamical variables. The complexities of chaotic phase spaces are also examined by the estimations of correlation dimension (CD) and the approximate entropy (ApEn), and the obtained results are compared with those for the Hénon map and the Lorentz system. A good qualitative agreements of the results are noticed.

The manuscript is organized as follows: In Sec. II, the modulational instability of AW envelopes is studied and the construction of a low-dimensional dynamical model from a higher dimensional system is shown. The basic dynamical properties of the low-dimensional system is studied and the existence of periodic, quasi-periodic or chaotic states is shown in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, the complexities of chaotic phase spaces are measured and compared with those for the Lorenz system and Hénon map. Finally, the results are concluded in Sec. V.

II. LOW-DIMENSIONAL MODEL

The nonlinear interactions of circularly polarized dispersive Alfvén wave envelope and the slowly varying electron/ion density fluctuations that are driven by the Alfvén wave ponderomotive force can be described by the following set of coupled equations [20].

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + V_A \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) E_{\perp} - \frac{V_A^2}{2n_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (n_1 E_{\perp}) \pm i \frac{V_A^2}{2\omega_{ci}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} E_{\perp} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - C_s^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right) n_1 = -\frac{n_0 V_A^2}{B_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} |E_{\perp}|^2, \quad (2)$$

where $E_{\perp}$ is the perpendicular (to $\hat{z}$) component of the wave electric field, n_1 is the plasma number density perturbation (with n_0 denoting the equilibrium value), $V_A = B_0/\sqrt{4\pi n_0 m_i}$ is the Alfvén velocity with $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0 \hat{z}$ denoting the constant magnetic field, and $\omega_{ci} = eB_0/cm_i$ is the ion cyclotron frequency with e denoting the elementary charge, c the speed of light in vacuum, and m_i the ion mass. Also, $C_s = \sqrt{T_e/m_i}$ is the ion-sound speed with T_e denoting the electron thermal energy.

By defining the dimensionless quantities according to $t \rightarrow t\omega_{ci}$, $z \rightarrow z\omega_{ci}/C_s$, $n \rightarrow n_1/n_0$, and $E \rightarrow cE_{\perp}/C_s B_0$, Eqs. (1) and (2) can be reproduced as

$$\frac{\partial^2 n}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 n}{\partial z^2} = -\alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} |E|^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \beta \frac{\partial E}{\partial z} - \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (nE) + i\gamma \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial z^2} = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha = V_A/c$, $\beta = V_A/C_s$, and $\gamma = \pm\beta^2/2$. Here, the $\pm$ sign in γ corresponds to the right- and left-circularly polarized AWs.

Looking for the modulation of the AW amplitude and thereby making the ansatz,

$$\begin{aligned} E(z, t) &= E_1(z, t) \exp[i\theta(z, t)], \\ n(z, t) &= \tilde{n}(z, t) \exp(ikz - i\omega t) + \text{c.c.}, \\ E_1(z, t) &= E_0 + \tilde{E} \exp(ikz - i\omega t) + \text{c.c.}, \\ \theta(z, t) &= \theta_0 + \tilde{\theta} \exp(ikz - i\omega t) + \text{c.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where E_1 and θ are slowly varying functions of z and t , and $\tilde{E} \ll E_0$, $\tilde{\theta} \ll \theta_0$, we obtain from Eqs. (3) and (4) the following linear dispersion relation for the modulated DAW envelope.

$$(\omega^2 - k^2) \left[(\omega - \beta k)^2 - \gamma^2 k^4 \right] + \alpha^2 \beta \gamma k^5 |E_0|^2 = 0. \quad (6)$$

For the modulational instability, we assume $\omega \approx \beta k + i\Gamma$ with $\beta k \gg \Gamma$. The instability growth rate is then obtained as

$$\Gamma = \sqrt{\gamma k^3 \left(\frac{\beta \alpha^2 |E_0|^2}{\beta^2 - 1} - \gamma k \right)}. \quad (7)$$

Thus, the modulational instability sets in for $0 < k < k_c$, where $k_c \equiv 2\alpha^2 |E_0|^2 / \beta |(\beta^2 - 1)|$ is the critical wave number, and the maximum growth rate is attained at $k = (3/4)k_c$. From the expression of Γ we find that the growth rate increases in the interval $0 \lesssim k \lesssim (3/4)k_c$, reaches maximum at $k = (3/4)k_c$, and then decreases with k with a cutoff at $k = k_c$. However, if the electric field intensity is so high that the MI threshold is outstripped, the DAWs may be trapped by the ion-sound density perturbations. In this case, the interaction between the circularly polarized DAWs and the ion-sound waves may result into a turbulence in which the transfer or redistribution of wave energy among different modes can take place [9, 10, 12]. On the other hand, in the adiabatic limit, i.e., the quasi-stationary response of density fluctuations, the second order time derivative in Eq. (3) can be disregarded. The resulting equation is then the derivative NLS (DNLS) equation, given by,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) E - \frac{1}{2} \beta \alpha^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (|E|^2 E) + i\gamma \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial z^2} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) is clearly integrable and hence nonchaotic. So, it can have a localized solution for the wave electric field envelopes.

Equations (3) and (4) are, in general, multidimensional, and can describe the evolution of an infinite number of wave modes. However, a few number modes may be assumed to participate actively in the nonlinear wave-wave interactions. Such cases are not only common in the Alfvénic wave turbulence, but also occur in the parametric instabilities of high- and low-frequency wave interactions close to the instability threshold. In this situation, a low-dimensional model with a few truncated modes is well applicable to study the basic features of the full wave dynamics of Eqs. (3) and (4). Here, one must note that

the specific details of the low-dimensional model strongly depends on the range of the wave number of modulation k . So, considering the nonlinear dynamics among a few number of wave modes, we expand the electric field envelope $E(z, t)$ and the density perturbation $n(z, t)$ as

$$E(z, t) = \sum_{m=-M/2}^{+M/2} E_m(t) e^{imkz} = \sum_{m=-M/2}^{+M/2} \rho_m(t) e^{\theta_m(t)} e^{imkz},$$

$$= E_0(t) + E_{-1}(t) e^{-ikz} + E_1(t) e^{ikz}, \quad (9)$$

$$n(z, t) = \sum_{m=-M/2}^{+M/2} n_m(t) e^{imkz},$$

$$= n_0(t) + n_1(t) e^{ikz} + n_{-1}(t) e^{-ikz}, \quad (10)$$

where $M = [k^{-1}]$ denotes the number of modes to be selected in the interactions, $E_{-m} = E_m$, and $n_{-m} = n_m$. For three-wave interactions, we choose $M = 2$ and following the same approach as in Refs. [10, 11], we obtain from Eqs. (3) and (4) the following set of reduced equations.

$$\ddot{n}_1 - k^2 n_1 = \alpha^2 k^2 n_0 \sin \psi \cos \phi, \quad (11)$$

$$\dot{\psi} = \beta k n_1 \sin \phi, \quad (12)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = k(\beta - \gamma k) - \frac{1}{2} \beta k n_1 \tan \frac{\psi}{2} \cos \phi, \quad (13)$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to t , $\phi = \theta_0 - \theta_1$, $\psi = 2z$, and $n_0 = |E_{-1}|^2 + |E_1|^2 + |E_0|^2$ is the conserved plasmon number. The system of Eqs. (11) to (13) can be recast as an autonomous system:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \beta_0 x_2 \sin x_4, \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_3, \\ \dot{x}_3 &= -k^2 x_2 + \alpha_0^2 \sin x_1 \cos x_4, \\ \dot{x}_4 &= \gamma_0 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 x_2 \tan \frac{x_1}{2} \cos x_4, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\beta_0 = \beta k$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha k \sqrt{n_0}$, and $\gamma_0 = k(\beta - \gamma k)$ of which the key parameters are α , β and k . Also, for the sake of convenience, we have redefined the variables as $\psi = x_1$, $n_1 = x_2$, $\dot{n}_1 = x_3$, and $\phi = x_4$.

III. DYNAMICAL PROPERTIES

In this section, we numerically study the linear stability analysis of Eq. (14) and look for different parameter regimes for the existence of periodic, quasiperiodic and chaotic states on the basis of lyapunov exponent spectra, bifurcation diagram, and phase-space portraits.

A. Equilibrium points and eigenvalues

As a starting point, we calculate the equilibrium points by equating the right-hand sides of Eq. (14) to zero and finding solutions for x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 as $(x_{10}, x_{20}, 0, 0)$, where $x_{10} = 2 \sin^{-1} \left(\pm \sqrt{\gamma_0 k^2 / \alpha_0^2 \beta_0} \right)$ and $x_{20} = \pm (2k/\beta_0) \sqrt{(\beta - \gamma k)(\alpha^2 n_0 \beta - \beta + \gamma k)}$. Thus, there are primarily four equilibrium points, namely $P_1 \equiv (x_{10}^+, x_{20}^+, 0, 0)$, $P_2 \equiv (x_{10}^-, x_{20}^-, 0, 0)$, $P_3 \equiv (x_{10}^+, x_{20}^-, 0, 0)$, and $P_4 \equiv (x_{10}^-, x_{20}^+, 0, 0)$, where $x_{10}^\pm$ ($x_{20}^\pm$) are the values corresponding to the $\pm$ signs in x_{10} (x_{20}) [Here, we are not considering any sign convention in γ applicable to right- or left-circularly polarized AWs]. We note that $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ is not an equilibrium point since for $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ to be an equilibrium point, one must have $k \sim 2/\beta$ which may not satisfy the restriction $k < k_c$ for some typical parameter regimes with $\alpha \ll 1$, $E_0 > 1$, and $\beta > 1$. Furthermore, for real values of x_{10} and x_{20} , one must have $\alpha^2 n_0 \lesssim 1$ and $(2/\beta)(1 - \alpha^2 n_0) \lesssim k \lesssim (2/\beta)$. Next, applying the transformation around the equilibrium point, i.e., $x'_1 = x_1 - x_{10}$, $x'_2 = x_2 - x_{20}$, $x'_3 = x_3 - x_{30}$ and $x'_4 = x_4 - x_{40}$, we obtain a linearized system of the form: $dX'/dt = JX'$ where J is the Jacobian matrix and $X' = (x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4)$. The eigenvalues (λ) corresponding to each of these equilibrium points can be obtained from the relation $JX' = \lambda X'$ and then the stability of the system (14) can be studied by the nature of these eigenvalues. The Jacobian matrix at the equilibrium point $(x_{10}, x_{20}, 0, 0)$ is given by

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_0 x_{20} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_0^2 \cos x_{10} & -k^2 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} \beta_0 x_{20} \sec^2 \left(\frac{x_{10}}{2} \right) & -\frac{1}{2} \beta_0 \tan \left(\frac{x_{10}}{2} \right) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

The characteristic equation for the matrix J is

$$\lambda^4 + \left[k^2 - \frac{1}{4} \beta_0^2 x_{20}^2 \sec^2 \left(\frac{x_{10}}{2} \right) \right] \lambda^2 - \delta = 0, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\delta = \beta_0 x_{20} \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha_0^2 \beta_0 \cos(x_{10}) \tan \left(\frac{x_{10}}{2} \right) + \frac{k^2}{4} \beta_0 x_{20} \sec^2 \left(\frac{x_{10}}{2} \right) \right]. \quad (17)$$

We numerically examine the roots of Eq. (16) within the domain $(2/\beta)(1 - \alpha^2 n_0) \lesssim k \lesssim (2/\beta)$ for some fixed values of the other parameters, namely $\beta = 8$, $\alpha = 0.15$, $n_0 = 10$. Note that the qualitative features will remain the same for some other set of parameter values fulfilling the restrictions for α , n_0 and k stated before. The real parts of the eigenvalues corresponding to P_1 and P_3 are displayed in the subplots (a) and (b) of Fig.1. Note that the real eigenvalues corresponding to P_2 and P_4 will remain the same as for P_1 and P_3 respectively. Also, of four eigenvalues only two distinct are shown for P_1 and P_3 . It is noted that depending on the ranges of values of




FIG. 1. The real parts of the eigenvalues (λ) are shown against the parameter k . The fixed parameter values are $\beta = 8$, $\alpha = 0.15$, and $n_0 = 10$. The bifurcations indicate that the system [Eq. (14)] can be stable with $\Re\lambda < 0$ or unstable with $\Re\lambda > 0$ around the fixed point P_1 or P_3 in a finite domain of k .

k , the eigenvalues can assume zero, negative and positive values, indicating that the system can be stable (when $\Re\lambda$ is zero or negative) or unstable (when $\Re\lambda > 0$) about the equilibrium points. From the subplots (a) and (b), it is also seen that a critical value of k exists near $k = 0.22$, below or above which the system's stability may break down before it again reaches a steady state with a zero or a negative eigenvalue. Since we have seen that the modulational instability of DAWs takes place in $0 < k < k_c$, the domain of k in subplot (b) may provide an initial guess for the existence of chaos and periodicity in the

wave-wave interactions.

B. Lyapunov exponents, bifurcation diagram and phase-space portraits

Having predicted the stable and unstable regions of the dynamical system (14) in the domains of the wave number of modulation k as in Sec. III, we proceed to establish the ranges of values of the parameters k , α , and β in which the periodic, quasiperiodic or chaotic states of plasma waves can exist. To this end, we first calculate the Lyapunov exponents Λ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, for the dynamical system [Eq. (14)], to be written in the form $\dot{x}_i = f_i(X)$, with the initial condition: $X(0) = [x_1(0), x_2(0), x_3(0), x_4(0)]$. We are interested in the evolution of attractors and depending on the initial condition, these attractors will be associated with different sets of exponents. The latter, however, describe the behaviors of $X(t)$ in the tangent space of the phase-space and are defined by the Jacobian matrix, given by,

$$J_{ij}(t) = \left. \frac{df_i}{dx_j} \right|_{X(t)}. \quad (18)$$

The evolution of the tangent vectors can then be defined by the matrix A via the following relation

$$\dot{A} = JA, \quad (19)$$

together with the initial condition $A_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$. Here, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta and the matrix A characterizes how a small change of separation distance between two trajectories in phase space develops from the starting point $X(0)$ to the final point $X(t)$. Nonetheless, the matrix A is given by

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_0 \sin x_4 & 0 & px_2 \cos x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\alpha_0^2 \cos x_1 \cos x_4 & -k^2 & 0 & -\alpha_0^2 \sin x_1 \sin x_4 \\ -\frac{1}{4}\beta_0 x_2 \sec^2(x_1/2) \cos x_4 & -\frac{1}{2}\beta_0 \tan(x_1/2) \cos x_4 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}x_2 \tan(x_1/2) \sin x_4 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The Lyapunov exponents Λ_i are thus obtained as the eigenvalues of the following matrix.

$$\Lambda = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \log [A(t)A^T(t)], \quad (21)$$

where A^T denotes the transposed matrix of A . Given an initial condition $X(0)$, the separation distance between two trajectories in phase space or the change of particle's orbit can be obtained by the Liouville's formula: $\delta X(t) = \text{tr}(J(t))|A(t)|$, where $|A(t)| \equiv \det A(t)$ and $\det A(0) = 1 > 0$. Thus, for the dynamical system (14), one obtains $\det A(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \text{tr}(J(t)) dt\right) = 1 > 0$. It follows that at least one $\Lambda_i > 0$, implying the existence of a chaotic

state in a given time interval $[0, t]$.

Before proceeding further to the analyses of Lyapunov exponent spectra and the bifurcation diagram together with the phase-space portraits, we recapitulate that the MI of Alfvén wave envelopes sets in for $0 < k < k_c$. The growth rate of instability tends to become higher in the interval $0 < k < (3/4)k_c$ and lower in $(3/4)k_c < k < k_c$ with a cut-off at $k = k_c$ at which the pitchfork bifurcation occurs. It follows that the nonlinear dynamics of wave-wave interactions is subsonic in the interval $(3/4)k_c < k < k_c$. However, as k decreases from $(3/4)k_c$, many more unstable wave modes can be excited due to a selection of modes with $M = [k^{-1}]$ and the dynamics may no longer be subsonic. In this situation, a descrip-




FIG. 2. The maximum Lyapunov exponent [subplot (a)] and the bifurcation diagram [subplot (b)] are shown against the wave number k . The fixed parameter values are the same as in Fig. 1.

tion of nonlinear interactions with three wave modes may be relatively correct. Thus, one may assume that one ($|m| = 1$) Alfvén wave mode is unstable (i.e., the Alfvén waves with $|m| > 1$ are stable) and two driven ion-sound waves of plasma slow response (already excited by the unstable Alfvén mode) remain as they are. This leads to the autonomous system (14). We will investigate how the system behaves as the values of k is successively increased from $(3/4)k_c$ to k_c in the subsonic region and as k reduces from $(3/4)k_c$ to a value so that the three-wave interaction model remains valid (since smaller the values of k larger is the number of modes M).

In what follows, we calculate the maximum Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_i^{\max}$ for Eq. (14) using the algorithm as stated above in a finite domain of k , i.e., $0 < k < k_c < 1$ and numerically solve Eq. (14) using the fourth order Runge-Kutta scheme with a time step $dt = 10^{-3}$ to obtain the bifurcation diagram of a state variable x_1 and phase-space portraits with the same set of fixed parameter values $\beta = 8$, $\alpha = 0.15$, and $n_0 = 10$ as in Fig. 1. The results are displayed in Figs. 2 and 3. It is noted that, similar to subplot (b) of Fig. 1, two sub-intervals of k exist, namely $0.2 \lesssim k \lesssim k_1 \approx 0.42$ and $k_1 < k \lesssim 1$. In the former $\lambda_i^{\max} > 0$, while in the latter it is close to zero, implying that the system may exhibit chaotic states in $0.2 \lesssim k \lesssim k_1$ and quasiperiodic and/or limit cycles in the other sub-interval [See subplot (a) of Fig. 2]. Physically, since lower (higher) values of k ($< k_1$) correspond to a large (small) number of wave modes ($[k^{-1}]$) to participate in the nonlinear wave dynamics, the wave-wave interactions may result into chaos (limit cycles or steady states) by the influence of the nonlinearity associated with the Alfvén wave ponderomotive force (proportional to α) and the nonlinear interactions between the fields (proportional to β). These features can also be




FIG. 3. Different phase-space portraits, formed in the dynamical evolution of Eq. (14), are shown for different values of the wave number of modulation k : (a) $k = 0.21$, (b) $k = 0.42$, (c) $k = 0.45$, and (d) $k = 0.51$. Subplots (a) and (b) show the chaotic states while subplots (c) and (d) exhibit the quasiperiodic and periodic states respectively. The fixed parameter values are the same as in Fig. 1, i.e., $\beta = 8$, $\alpha = 0.15$ and $n_0 = 10$.

verified from the bifurcation diagram of a state variable, e.g., x_1 with respect to the parameter k [See subplot (b) of Fig. 2]. Here, it is seen that as the value of k increases within the domain, a transition from chaotic (dense region) to a periodic or steady (straight line) state can occur. However, the values of k smaller than $k = 0.2$ may not be admissible as those correspond to a larger number of wave modes and their interactions can not be described by the low-dimensional system (14) but by the full system of equations (3) and (4). An investigation of the latter is, however, out of scope of the present work.

In order to further verify the dynamical features so predicted for ranges of values of k and for illustration purpose, different phase-space portraits are also obtained by solving Eq. (14) numerically. From Fig. 3 it is evident that as the values of k increase from smaller [subplots (a) and (b)] to larger ones [subplots (c) and (d)], the chaotic states of AWs transit into quasiperiodic [subplot (c)] and periodic [subplot (d)] states. These features are in agreement with the Lyapunov exponent and the bifurcation diagram shown in Fig. 2.

Thus, it is noted that the nonlinear interaction of a few wave modes of dispersive Alfvén waves and low-frequency plasma density perturbations can exhibit periodic, quasiperiodic and chaotic states in finite domains of the wave number of modulation due to the finite effects of the nonlinearities associated with the wave electric field driven ponderomotive force and the interactions of the electric field and the plasma density fluctuations. The existence of these states is established by the analyses of Lyapunov exponents, the bifurcation diagram and the phase-space portraits.

IV. CHARACTERIZATION OF CHAOS: MEASURE OF CHAOS COMPLEXITY

In this section, we study the complexity of the dynamics of wave-wave interactions and thereby measure quantitatively the characteristics of chaotic behaviors of the state variables relating to plasma density or wave electric field perturbations. Although several formulas have been developed in the literature to characterize chaos, we focus mainly on the measures of embedding dimension estimation [23], correlation dimension [18], and the approximate entropy [4, 15, 16].

A. Estimation of Embedding parameters

Many well known and efficient techniques, e.g., Recurrence quantification technique for the analysis of nonlinear time series require the construction of phase-space profiles of the time series, since those techniques are applicable to the phase-space profiles but not to the time series themselves. The method of embedding dimension estimation is one such which also requires the reconstruction of successive phase spaces of chaotic processes with the effects of time delay.

Phase space reconstruction

Reconstruction of phase space has become useful to extract information of a chaotic time series in nonlinear dynamical systems. Let $X = [x(1), x(2), \dots, x(n)]^T$ represent a uniformly sampled univariate time signal, i.e., an observed sequence of the chaotic state variable $x(t)$ (which may be any one of x_1, x_2, x_3 , and x_4) with $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then to reconstruct a phase space by embedding the dimension m , we construct a time series $Y(t)$ of length m (i.e., m -dimensional points) from the original time series $X(t)$ by considering an appropriate time delay τ as

$$Y(t) = \{X(t), X(t + \tau), \dots, X[t + (m - 1)\tau]\}^T, \quad (22)$$

where $t = 1, 2, \dots, n - (m - 1)\tau$. Thus, the phase spaces of $M = n - (m - 1)\tau$ state variables are reconstructed. Generalizing this result, one can reconstruct the phase spaces for multivariate time signals. So, in order to perform the phase-space reconstruction, one must know the two embedding parameters, namely the time delay parameter τ , which is the lag at which the time series has to be plotted against itself, and the embedding dimension parameter m , where $m - 1$ is the number of times that the time series has to be plotted against itself using the delay τ . Having known these two parameters, one can then reconstruct an approximate phase-space of the original one from a given time series. In the following two subsections, we estimate these two parameters by the methods of computing the two functions, namely the

Average mutual information (AMI) and the False nearest neighbors (FNN) [23] in which the first local minima (or the points of cut-off) of these functions can be estimated as the time delay and the embedding dimension respectively.

Average mutual information (AMI): Estimation of time delay

In AMI, the mutual information is computed between the original time series of a state variable $X(t)$ and a time shifted version of the same time series, i.e., $X(t + \tau)$. This average or auto mutual information can be considered as a nonlinear generalization of the auto correlation function, given by,

$$I[X(t), X(t + \tau)] = \sum_{i,j} p_{ij}(\tau) \log \left(\frac{p_{ij}(\tau)}{p_i p_j} \right), \quad (23)$$

where p_i is the probability that $X(t)$ is in the i th rectangle of the histogram to be constructed from the data points of $X(t)$ and p_{ij} is the probability that $X(t)$ is in the i th rectangle and $X(t + \tau)$ in the j th rectangle.

False nearest neighbors (FNN): Estimation of embedding dimension

Typically, the embedding dimension m for phase-space reconstruction is estimated by inspecting the change in distance between two nearest points in phase-space as one gradually embeds the original time series $X(t)$ into higher dimensional ones $Y(t)$. The use of FNN, as prescribed by Kennel *et al.* [7], is based on the following logic: Initially, we have the one-dimensional time series $X(t)$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and the distance between two of its neighboring points are noted. Then we embed $X(t)$ into two dimensions $Y(t) = \{X(t), X(t + \tau)\}$ with some time delay τ and examine whether there is any considerable change in the distance between any two neighboring data points of $Y(t)$. If so, these data points are said to be false neighbors, and the data points need to be embedded further. Otherwise, if the change is not significant, the data points are called true neighbors and the embedding retains the shape of the phase-space attractor, implying that the present embedding dimension is sufficient. This process of successively increasing the embedding dimension m can be continued until the number of FNN reduces to zero, or the subsequent embedding does not alter the number of FNNs, or the number of FNNs starts to increase again. A working algorithm for calculating FNN for our system can be stated below.

- 1 Identify the nearest point in the Euclidean sense to a given point of the time-delay coordinates. That is, for a given time series $Y(t) = \{X(t), X(t + \tau), \dots, X[t + (m - 1)\tau]\}^T$,

find a point Y_i in the data set such that the distance $m = \|Y_i - Y_j\|_2$ is minimized, where Y_i and Y_j denote the nearest neighboring data points of $Y(t)$.

- 2 Determine whether the following expression is true or false:

$$\frac{|X_i - X_j|}{\|Y_i - Y_j\|} \leq \text{Distance threshold (R)}, \quad (24)$$

where X_i and X_j denote the nearest neighboring data points of $X(t)$. If the condition in Eq. (24) is satisfied, then the neighbors are true nearest neighbors, otherwise they are false nearest neighbors.

- 3 Perform the step 2 for all points i in the data set and calculate the percentage of points in the data set that have false nearest neighbors.
- 4 Increase embedding the dimension until the percentage of false nearest neighbors drops to zero or an admissible small number.

Following Ref. [23] and using MATLAB, we estimate the embedding parameters, namely the time lag τ and the embedding dimension m for the four-dimensional time series (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) formed by all the four variables of the system (14). The results are shown in Fig. 4. From subplot (a), we find that all the auto mutual information (AMI) curves, obtained for different time series, cut the threshold line at different values of τ . It is seen that for these curves, the AMI first drops below the threshold value ($1/e$) after the time lags $\tau = 4.25, 5.75, 13.1$, and 19.66 , and we have considered the maximum time delay as $\tau = 25$. Thus, a mean value of τ for each dimension can be obtained as $\tau = (4.25 + 5.75 + 13.1 + 19.66)/4 = 10.7$ for which we obtain an estimate for τ as $\tau = 11$. On the other hand, subplot (b) displays the FNN function against the embedding dimension m . It is clear that the available four-dimensional time series is sufficient and no further time-delayed embedding of dimension is required. Next, having estimated the time delay $\tau = 11$ and the embedding dimension $m > 2$ a reconstruction of phase space is shown in Fig. 5 for all the variables x_1, x_2, x_3 , and x_4 of Eq. (14). Here, the time series for the variables are plotted against each other with the time lag $\tau = 11$. It is seen that the resulting phase space with the time lag is approximately the same as the original one.

B. Correlation dimension estimation

One of the most important measures of complexity of chaotic attractors is the correlation (or fractal) dimension. It has been shown by many researchers that the correlation dimension is more pertinent to experimental data than the capacity dimension as it simply calibrates the geometrical structure of an attractor and is insufficient for higher dimensional systems. Moreover,




FIG. 4. The graphical output(s) of the average mutual information (AMI) function [Subplot (a)] and percentage of false nearest neighborhoods (FNN) function [Subplot (b)] are shown against the time lag τ and the embedding dimension m respectively for the four-dimensional time series taken from Eq. (14). In the upper panel, the default threshold value ($1/e$) is shown by the horizontal line. The dashed line in the lower panel shows an immediate drop-off of the percentage of false-nearest neighbors to zero, indicating that no additional embedding is necessary for the time series.

the correlation dimension is a (or close to the) lower bound on the Hausdorff fractal dimension, which is infinite for noise; positive and finite for a deterministic system; and non-integer for a chaotic deterministic system. The derivation of the correlation dimension also requires the reconstruction of vectors from the time series $X(t)$,




FIG. 5. Reconstruction of phase-space with the time lag, $\tau = 11$ and embedding dimension, $m = 4$ from the chaotic time series of Eq. (14).

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1(t) &= \{X(t), X(t+\tau), \dots, X[t+(m-1)\tau]\}^T, \\ Y_2(t) &= \{X(t+p), \dots, X[t+p+(m-1)\tau]\}^T, \\ &\vdots \\ Y_M(t) &= \{X(t+Mp), \dots, X[t+Mp+(m-1)\tau]\}^T, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where p and τ , respectively, stand for the inter-vector and intra-vector spacing.

After the reconstruction of phase space of a chaotic signal $X(t)$ with M vectors and computing the correlated vector pairs, its proportion in all possible pairs in M^2 is the correlation integral $C(l)$, given by,

$$C(l) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{2}{M^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M-k} \sum_{j=i+k}^M \Theta(l - |X(i) - X(j)|) \right], \quad (26)$$

where $X(i)$ and $X(j)$ are the position vectors of points on an attractor, l is the distance under inspection, k is the summation offset used to prevent proximate vectors being counted, and $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function, defined by,

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ 1, & x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

The correlation dimension d is then calculated from the correlation integral as

$$d = \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log C(l)}{\log l}. \quad (28)$$

Next, using Eq. (28) we plot a graph of $\log C(l)$ vs $\log l$ for the time series $X(t)$ of the dynamical system (14) with a fixed embedding dimension $m = 4$ and time delay $\tau = 11$. The system is turned to be higher dimensional by the method described above. As a comparison we have also obtained graphs of the correlation integrals for the Hénon map with the embedding dimension $m = 2$ and the Lorenz system with $m = 3$. The results are displayed in Fig. 6. The fixed parameter values considered here are the same as Fig. 1, i.e., $\alpha = 0.15$, $\beta = 8$, and $n_0 = 10$. The slopes of the straight-line portions (obtained using the least-square curve fitting) of the graphs represent the correlation dimensions. For the present system [Eq. (14)] the results as in subplot (a) appear similar to those for the Hénon map [subplot (b)] and the Lorenz system [subplot (c)]. However, the correlation dimension obtained for our system is $d = 1.0776$, while for the Hénon map and the Lorenz system are $d = 1.25$ and $d = 2.06$ respectively. It follows that the system (14) is chaotic and possesses a strange attractor characterized by $d = 1.0776$.

In Fig. 7, we plot $\log C(l)$ vs $\log l$ for increasing values of the embedding dimension, namely $m = 8, 12, 16$, and 20 . The time series is taken to be consisted of 10000 points separated by the time lag $\tau = 11$. One can then obtain the correlation dimensions as $d = 1.0777, 1.0781, 1.0788$ respectively. Thus, a series of straight lines indeed exist with slopes $d \approx 1.07 \pm 0.01$ and $\log C(l)/\log l$ is nearly a constant value for large m .




FIG. 6. Plots of the log-correlation integral $[\log C(l)]$ vs the log-correlation dimension $(\log l)$ are shown for (a) the present model [Eq. 14], (b) for the Hénon map, (c) for the Lorenz system. The correlation dimensions obtained for the subplots (a), (b), and (c) are $d = 1.0776$, 1.25 , and 2.06 respectively.




FIG. 7. Plot of the log-correlation integral $[\log C(l)]$ vs the log-correlation dimension $(\log l)$ with different embedding dimensions: $m = 4, 8, 12, 16, 20$ and 10,000 observations is shown for Eq.(14). The parameter values are the same as Fig. 1, i.e., $\beta = 8$, $\alpha = 0.15$, and $n_0 = 10$ together with $k = 0.35$.

In what follows, we calculate the correlation dimension (d) and the Hausdroff fractal dimension (D) [For details, see, e.g., Ref. [13]] of a time series of Eq. (14) with different values of the control parameter k and the embedding dimension m . The results are compared with those of the Hénon map and the Lorenz system. A summary of the results is presented in Table I. It is noted that even with an increasing value of the embedding dimension and a change of value of the parameter k , the correlation dimension d converges to a constant value. The bounds for the Hausdroff dimension of the chaotic time series are

also calculated. It is seen that the correlation dimension lies within the bounds of the Hausdroff dimension.

C. Approximate entropy (ApEn)

Although a number of techniques are used to measure the complexity of a chaotic system, not all are applicable to limited, noisy and stochastically derived time series. For example, the Kolmogorov-Sinai (KS) entropy works well for real dynamical systems but not for sys-

Model	Control parameter	Correlation dimension(d) for different values of k and m					Hausdorff fractal dimension (D)
		d ($m=4$)	d ($m=8$)	d ($m=12$)	d ($m=16$)	d ($m=20$)	
Our Dynamical Model	$k=0.13$	1.0776	1.0777	1.0781	1.0788	1.0811	1.0646 ± 0.013997
	$k=0.23$	1.0453	1.0465	1.0473	1.0483	1.0535	
	$k=0.28$	1.0718	1.0724	1.0733	1.0740	1.0747	
	$k=0.33$	1.0720	1.0705	1.0694	1.0686	1.0767	
	$k=0.38$	1.0721	1.0706	1.0696	1.0684	1.0677	
	$k=0.5$	1.00069	1.00068	1.00065	1.00063	1.00061	1.00047 ± 0.00068262
Henon map	$a=1.4$	1.2541	1.4850	1.6445	2.0881	3.1845	1.260303 ± 0.003
	$a=1.3$	1.2510	1.4516	1.6565	2.1834	2.6294	
	$a=1.25$	1.2506	1.4615	1.6756	2.1225	2.6252	
	$a=1.2$	1.2501	1.4589	1.6946	2.1045	2.6052	
	$a=1.15$	1.2408	1.4568	1.6725	2.0768	2.6466	
	$a=1.1$	1.2659	1.4588	1.6767	2.04794	2.6246	
Lorentz system	$\rho = 28$	2.0866	2.7763	3.0121	3.1246	3.3623	2.06 ± 0.01
	$\rho = 26$	2.1670	2.3767	2.5679	2.6844	2.8563	
	$\rho = 24$	1.8288	2.0223	1.9516	2.1235	2.4874	
	$\rho = 22$	2.1999	2.0413	1.9608	2.0915	2.4599	
	$\rho = 20$	0.01047	0.0779	0.0478	0.0148	0.0048	2.0002 ± 0.00048023
	$\rho = 15$	0.0079	0.0076	0.0075	0.0072	0.0071	

TABLE I. Estimations for the correlation dimension (d) and the Hausdorff fractal dimension (D) are shown for the present model, the Hénon map and the Lorenz system with different values of m and the control parameter k and with a fixed 10000 observations.

tems with noise [4]. Also, the finite correlation dimension value discussed before can not guarantee that the system under consideration is deterministic. Furthermore, the Pincus technique fails for systems dealing with stochastic components. In this situation, the Approximate Entropy (ApEn) is more applicable to measure the system's complexity compared to others in which the statistical precision is compromised [4]. The ApEn estimates uniformly sampled time-domain signals through phase space reconstruction and then measures the amount of regularity and unpredictability of fluctuations in a time series. For a N given data points together with the embedding dimension m and the correlation integral $C(l)$, the ApEn is defined by

$$\text{ApEn}(m, l, N) = \Phi^m(l) - \Phi^{m+1}(l), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\Phi^m(l) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N-m+1} \log C_i^m(l)}{N-m+1}. \quad (30)$$

We have calculated the ApEn against the controlling parameter k (The other parameter values are the same as




FIG. 8. Approximate entropy (ApEn) is shown against the parameter k for Eq. 14 with $m = 4$, $l = 0.1$, and $N = 5000$. The other parameter values are the same as Fig. 1, i.e., $\beta = 8$, $\alpha = 0.15$, and $n_0 = 10$.

Fig. 1, i.e., $\beta = 8$, $\alpha = 0.15$, and $n_0 = 10$) and for a given set of values, namely $m = 4$ and $l = 0.1$ together with 5000 data points. The results are shown graphically in Fig. 8. It is noted that while the ApEn assumes high values in the subdomain $0.275 \lesssim k \lesssim 0.4$ in which the Lyapunov exponent is found to be positive (cf. Fig. 2) its values are low in rest of the domain where the Lyapunov exponents are close to zero. Thus, low values of ApEn predict that the system is steady, tedious and predictive, while high values imply the independence between the data, a low number of repeated patterns, and randomness.

V. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the dynamical properties of dispersive Alfvén waves coupled to plasma slow response of electron and ion density perturbations in a uniform magnetoplasma. By restricting the nonlinear wave-wave interactions to a few number of active wave modes, a low-dimensional autonomous system is constructed which is shown to exhibit periodic, quasiperiodic and chaotic states by means of the analyses of Lyapunov exponent spectra, bifurcation diagram, and phase-space portraits. The low-dimensional autonomous system can be a good approximation for the nonlinear interaction of Alfvén waves coupled to driven ion-sound waves associated with plasma slow response of density fluctuations in the stable or plane wave region $(3/4)k_c < k < k_c < 1$ where the modulational instability growth rate of Alfvén wave envelopes is low. The model can be relatively accurate in the region $0.2 \lesssim k < (3/4)k_c$ (in which the condition for the subsonic region is relaxed and the instability growth rate is relatively high) where the low-dimensional model exhibits chaos for given values of the the pump electric field E_0 as well as the parameters α , β , and n_0 , associated with the relative speeds of the Alfvén waves compared to the speed of light in vacuum and the ion-sound speed, and the conserved plasmon number respectively. However, for values of $k < 0.2$, the low-dimensional model

will no longer be valid for the description of wave-wave interactions as smaller values of k correspond to the excitation of a large number of unstable modes.

The complexity of chaotic phase-space structures of chaotic time series is also measured quantitatively by means of the correlation dimension and the approximate entropy through the reconstruction of phase spaces and estimation of embedding parameters, namely the time lag and the embedding dimension. It is found that even with an increasing value of the embedding dimension and with a slightly different set of values of the parameters α , β , n_0 , and k , the correlation dimension converges to a constant value. The bounds for the Hausdroff fractal dimension of the chaotic time series are also calculated to show that the correlation dimension lies in between the bounds. Furthermore, the results are shown to be a good qualitative agreement with those for the Hénon map and the Lorenz system.

To conclude, the existence of chaos and its complexity in the low-dimensional interaction model can be a good signature for the emergence of spatiotemporal chaos in the full system of equations (1) and (2) where the participation of many more wave modes (than three) in the nonlinear interactions can be possible. Such chaotic aspects of Alfvén waves can be relevant for the onset of turbulence due to flow of energy from lower to higher harmonic modes (i.e., with large to small spatial length scales) in the Earth's ionosphere and magnetosphere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A. Roy and A. P. Misra wish to thank SERB (Government of India) for support through a research project with sanction order no. CRG/2018/004475.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

-
- [1] Alfvén, H. (1942). Existence of electromagnetic-hydrodynamic waves. *Nature*, 150(3805):405–406.
 - [2] Banerjee, S., Misra, A. P., Shukla, P. K., and Rondoni, L. (2010). Spatiotemporal chaos and the dynamics of coupled langmuir and ion-acoustic waves in plasmas. *Phys. Rev. E*, 81:046405.
 - [3] Bloxham, J., Zatman, S., and Dumberry, M. (2002). The origin of geomagnetic jerks. *Nature*, 420(6911):65–68.
 - [4] Delgado-Bonal, A. and Marshak, A. (2019). Approximate entropy and sample entropy: A comprehensive tutorial. *Entropy*, 21(6):541.
 - [5] Gekelman, W. (1999). Review of laboratory experiments on alfvén waves and their relationship to space observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 104(A7):14417–14435.
 - [6] Jain, K. M., Bose, M., Guha, S., and Tewari, D. P. (1986). Stimulated brillouin scattering of an alfvén wave in low-density plasmas. *Journal of Plasma Physics*, 36(2):189–193.
 - [7] Kennel, M. B., Brown, R., and Abarbanel, H. D. I. (1992). Determining embedding dimension for phase-space reconstruction using a geometrical construction. *Phys. Rev. A*, 45:3403–3411.
 - [8] Lundquist, S. (1949). Experimental investigations of magnetohydrodynamic waves. *Physical Review*, 76(12):1805.

- [9] Misra, A. P. and Banerjee, S. (2011). Upper-hybrid wave-driven alfvénic turbulence in magnetized dusty plasmas. *Phys. Rev. E*, 83:037401.
- [10] Misra, A. P., Banerjee, S., Haas, F., Shukla, P. K., and Assis, L. P. G. (2010a). Temporal dynamics in the one-dimensional quantum zakharov equations for plasmas. *Physics of Plasmas*, 17(3):032307.
- [11] Misra, A. P., Banerjee, S., Haas, F., Shukla, P. K., and Assis, L. P. G. (2010b). Temporal dynamics in the one-dimensional quantum zakharov equations for plasmas. *Physics of Plasmas*, 17(3):032307.
- [12] Misra, A. P. and Shukla, P. K. (2009). Pattern dynamics and spatiotemporal chaos in the quantum zakharov equations. *Phys. Rev. E*, 79:056401.
- [13] Mori, H. (1980). Fractal dimensions of chaotic flows of autonomous dissipative systems. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 63(3):1044–1047.
- [14] Nakariakov, V., Ofman, L., Deluca, E., Roberts, B., and Davila, J. (1999). Trace observation of damped coronal loop oscillations: Implications for coronal heating. *Science*, 285(5429):862–864.
- [15] Pincus, S. (1995). Approximate entropy (apen) as a complexity measure. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 5(1):110–117.
- [16] Pincus, S. M. (1991). Approximate entropy as a measure of system complexity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 88(6):2297–2301.
- [17] Roberts, O. W., Li, X., Alexandrova, O., and Li, B. (2016). Observation of an mhd alfvén vortex in the slow solar wind. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 121(5):3870–3881.
- [18] She, C., Cheng, X., and Wang, J. (2019). Study on chaotic characteristics of heart sound based on correlation dimension and k entropy. *AIP Advances*, 9(7):075021.
- [19] Shi, M., Li, H., Xiao, C., and Wang, X. (2017). The parametric decay instability of alfvén waves in turbulent plasmas and the applications in the solar wind. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 842(1):63.
- [20] Shukla, P. K., Stenflo, L., Bingham, R., and Eliasson, B. (2004). Nonlinear effects associated with dispersive alfvén waves in plasmas. *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion*, 46(12B):B349–B358.
- [21] Tsurutani, B. T. and Ho, C. M. (1999). A review of discontinuities and alfvén waves in interplanetary space: Ulysses results. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 37(4):517–541.
- [22] Vogt, J. (2002). Alfvén wave coupling in the auroral current circuit. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 23(4):335–377.
- [23] Wallot, S. (2018). Calculation of average mutual information (ami) and false-nearest neighbors (fnn) for the estimation of embedding parameters of multidimensional time series in matlab. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9.
- [24] WANG, F., , HAN, J., and DUAN, W. (2020). Modulational instability of the coupled waves between fast magnetosonic wave and slow alfvén wave in the laser–plasma interaction. *Plasma Science and Technology*, 23(1):015002.
- [25] Zakharov, V. E., Mastryukov, A. F., and Synakh, V. S. (1975). On the dynamics of collapse of langmuir waves in hot plasma. *Fizika Plazmy*, 1(4):614–622.