

# Parameterizations of Immiscible Two-Phase Flow in Porous Media

Håkon Pedersen and Alex Hansen

*PoreLab, Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

(Dated: December 15, 2022)

A fundamental variable characterizing immiscible two-phase flow in porous media is the wetting saturation, which is the ratio between the pore volume filled with wetting fluid and the total pore volume. More generally, this variable comes from a specific choice of coordinates on some underlying space, the domain of variables that can be used to express the volumetric flow rate. The underlying mathematical structure allows for the introduction of other variables containing the same information, but which are more convenient from a theoretical point of view. We introduce along these lines polar coordinates on this underlying space, where the angle plays a role similar to the wetting saturation. We derive relations between these new variables based on the Euler homogeneity theorem. We formulate these relations in a coordinate-free fashion using differential forms. Lastly, we discuss and interpret the co-moving velocity in terms of this coordinate-free representation.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

Flow of immiscible fluids in porous media [1–4] is a problem that has been in the hands of engineers for a long time. This has resulted in a schism between the physics at the pore scale and the description of the flow at scales where the porous medium may be seen as a continuum, also known as the Darcy scale. This fact has led to the phenomenological *relative permeability* equations proposed by Wycoff and Botset in 1936 [5] with the inclusion by Leverett of the concept of capillary pressure in 1940 [6], still today being the unchallenged approach to calculate flow in porous media at large scales. The basic ideas of the theory are easy to grasp. Seen from the viewpoint of one of the immiscible fluids, the solid matrix and the other fluid together reduce the pore space in which that fluid can move. Hence, the effective permeability as seen by the fluid is reduced, and the permeability reduction factor of each fluid is their relative permeability. The capillary pressure models the interfacial tension at the interfaces between the immiscible fluids by assuming that there is a pressure difference between the pressure field in each fluid. The central variables of the theory, the relative permeabilities and the capillary pressure curves, are determined routinely in the laboratory under the name *special core analysis*, and then used as input in reservoir simulators [3, 7]. A key assumption in the theory is that the relative permeabilities and the capillary pressure are functions of the saturation alone. This simplifies the theory tremendously, but it also distances the theory from realism.

Some progress has been made in order to improve on relative permeability theory. Barenblatt et al. [8] recognized that a key assumption in relative permeability theory is that the flow is *locally* in a steady state, even if the flow as a whole is developing. This has as an implication that the central variables of that theory, the relative permeabilities and the capillary pressure, are functions of the saturation alone. They then go on to generalize the theory to flow which is locally out of equilibrium, explor-

ing how the central variables change. Wang et al. [9] go further by introducing dynamic length scales due to the mixing zone variations, over which the spatial averaging is done.

The relative permeability approach is phenomenological. Going beyond relative permeability theory means making a connection between the physics at the pore scale with the Darcy scale description of the flow. The attempts at constructing a connection between these two scales — which may stretch from micrometers to kilometers — have mainly been focused on homogenization. That, is replacing the original porous medium by an equivalent spatially structure-less one.

The most famous approach to the scale-up problem along these lines is *Thermodynamically Constrained Averaging Theory* (TCAT) [10–14], based on thermodynamically consistent definitions made at the continuum scale based on volume averages of pore-scale thermodynamic quantities, combined with closure relations based on homogenization [15]. All variables in TCAT are defined in terms of pore-scale variables. However, this results in many variables and complicated assumptions are needed to derive useful results.

Another homogenization-approach based on non-equilibrium thermodynamics uses Euler homogeneity to define the up-scaled pressure. From this, Kjelstrup et al. derive constitutive equations for the flow while keeping the number of variables down [16–18].

There is also an ongoing effort in constructing a scaled-up theory based on geometrical properties of pore space by using Hadwiger theorem [19–21]. The theorem implies that we can express the properties of the spatial geometry of the three-dimensional porous medium as a linear combination of four Minkowski functionals: volume, surface area, mean curvature, and Gaussian curvature. These are the only four numbers required to characterize the geometric state of the porous medium. The connectivity of the fluids is described by the Euler characteristic, which by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem can be computed from the total curvature.

A different class of theories are based on detailed and specific assumptions concerning the physics involved. An example is *Local Porosity Theory* [22–27]. Another example is the *Decomposition in Prototype Flow (DeProf) theory* which is a fluid mechanical model combined with non-equilibrium statistical mechanics based on a classification scheme of fluid configurations at the pore level [28–30]. A third example is that of Xu and Louge [31] who introduce a simple model based on Ising-like statistical mechanics to mimic the motion of the immiscible fluids at the pore scale, and then scale up by calculating the mean field behavior of the model. In this way they obtain the wetting fluid retention curve.

The approach we take in this paper is build on the approach to the upscaling problem found in References [32–36]. The underlying idea is to map the flow of immiscible fluids in porous media, a dissipative and therefore out-of-equilibrium system, onto a system which is in equilibrium. The *Jaynes principle of maximum entropy* [37] may then be invoked and the scale-up problem is transformed into that of calculating a partition function [35].

In order to sketch this approach, we need to establish the concept of *steady-state flow*. In the field of porous media, “steady-state flow” has two meanings. The traditional one is to define it as flow where all fluid interfaces remain static [38]. The other one, which we adopt here, is to state that it is flow where the macroscopic variables remain fixed or fluctuate around well-defined averages. The fluid interfaces will move and on larger scales than the pore scale one will see fluid clusters breaking up and merging. If the porous medium is statistically homogeneous, we will see the same local statistics describing the fluids everywhere in the porous medium [36].

Imagine a porous plug as shown in Figure 1. There is a mixture of two immiscible fluids flowing through it in the direction of the cylinder axis under steady-state conditions.

A central concept in the following is the *Representative Elementary Area* (REA) [34, 35, 39]. We pick a point in the porous plug. In a neighbourhood of this point, there will a set of streamlines associated with the velocity field  $\vec{v}_p$ . The overall flow direction is then defined by the tangent vectors to the streamlines. We place an imaginary disk of area  $A$  at the point, with orientation such that  $A$  is orthogonal to the overall flow direction. We illustrate this as the lower disk in Figure 1. We assume that the disk is small enough for the porous medium to be homogeneous over the size of the plane with respect to porosity and permeability. This disk is an REA.

The REA will contain different fluid configurations. By “fluid configuration”, we mean the spatial distributions of the two fluids in the disk and their scalar velocity fields. We also show a second disk in Figure 1, which represents another REA placed further along the average flow. Since the flow is incompressible, we can imagine the  $z$ -axis as corresponding to a pseudo-time axis. We may state that the fluid configuration in the lower disk of Fig-




FIG. 1: A porous plug in the form of a cylinder. There is a mixture of two immiscible fluids flowing through it in the direction of the cylinder axis under steady-state conditions. We furthermore imagine two disks orthogonal to the cylinder axis. These are REAs.

ure 1 evolves into the fluid configuration in the upper disk under pseudo-time-translation. We now associate an entropy to the fluid configurations in the sense of Shannon [40]. Even though the system is producing molecular entropy through dissipation, it is *not* producing Shannon entropy along the  $z$ -axis. This allows us to use the Jaynes maximum entropy principle, and a statistical mechanics based on Shannon entropy ensues [35].

This statistical mechanics scales up the pore-scale physics, represented by the fluid configurations to the Darcy scale, which then is represented by a thermodynamics-like formalism involving averaged velocities.

Rudiments of this thermodynamics-like formalism was first studied by Hansen et al. [32], who used extensivity to derive a set of equations that relate the seepage velocity of each of two immiscible fluids flowing under steady state conditions through a representative volume element (REV). They introduced a *co-moving velocity* that together with the average seepage velocity  $v$  contained the necessary information to determine the seepage velocities of the more wetting and the less wetting fluids,  $v_w$  and  $v_n$  respectively. Stated in a different way, these equations made it possible to make the transformation

$$(v, v_m) \Leftrightarrow (v_w, v_n) , \quad (1)$$

where  $S_w$  and  $S_n$  are the wetting and non-wetting saturations respectively.

It is the aim of this paper to present a *geometrical interpretation* of the transformation in equation 1. We wish to provide an *intuitive* understanding of precisely what the transformation is doing and determine the role of the co-moving velocity. Our aim is not to develop the theory presented in [32] further by including new results, but rather consolidate and amend the existing theory with a deeper understanding.

Our geometrical interpretation will rest upon the definition of a space spanned by two central variables: *the wetting and non-wetting transversal pore areas*. These areas are defined as the area of REAs covered by the wetting and non-wetting fluids respectively.

Instead of using the two extensive areas directly, one often work with coordinates where one is the (wetting) saturation. If the pore area of the REA is fixed, it is often convenient to let the other variable be this area. A third way — which is new — is to use *polar coordinates*. This, as we shall see, simplify the theory considerably.

In Section II we describe the REA and the relevant variables. We define intensive and extensive variables, tying them to how they scale under scaling of the size of the REV. We also review the central results of Hansen et al. [32] here: the introduction of the auxiliary *thermodynamic velocities* and the *co-moving velocity*.

The central concept in our approach to the immiscible two-fluid flow problem is that of the pore areas. In Section III we introduce *area space*, a space that simplifies the analysis considerably. We showcase different coordinate systems on this space, focusing on polar coordinates.

Section IV expresses the central results of Hansen et al. [32] in polar coordinates. Expressed in this coordinate system, the geometrical structure implied by the extensivity versus intensivity conditions impose restrictions that simplifies the equations. The section goes on to express the total pore velocity, seepage velocities, thermodynamic velocities and co-moving velocity in terms of polar coordinates. This provides a clear geometrical interpretation of the co-moving velocity.

Section V focus on relations between the different velocities that can be expressed without referring to any coordinate system. We do this by invoking *differential forms* and *exterior algebra* [41, 42]. Differential forms constitute the generalization of the infinitesimal line, area or volume elements used in integrals and exterior calculus is the algebra that makes it possible to handle them. Flanders predicted in the early sixties [41] that within short they would become important tools in engineering. This did not happen, and they have remained primarily within mathematics and theoretical physics. Such objects are also especially prevalent in thermodynamics, and we will here show that the computational rules of differential forms provide a simple way of expressing the relations between the velocities in the system at hand.

Section VI focuses the discussion on the co-moving velocity by constructing a coordinate-independent expression that defines it.

In the last Section VII, we summarize our main results which may be stated through three equations, (58), (69) and (98). These equations formulate in a coordinate-free way the three relations that exist between the average seepage velocity and the co-moving velocity.

## II. REPRESENTATIVE ELEMENTARY AREA

We will now elaborate on the definition of the Representative Elementary Area (REA) presented in Section I.

We define the *transversal pore area*  $A_p$  as previously, which defines a porosity

$$\phi = \frac{A_p}{A} . \quad (2)$$

The pore volume contains two immiscible fluids; the more wetting fluid (to be referred to as the *wetting fluid*) or the less wetting fluid (to be referred to as the *non-wetting fluid*). The transversal pore area  $A_p$  may therefore be split into the area of the REA disk cutting through wetting fluid  $A_w$ , or cutting through the non-wetting fluid  $A_n$ , so that we have

$$A_p = A_w + A_n . \quad (3)$$

We also define the saturations

$$S_w = \frac{A_w}{A_p} , \quad (4)$$

$$S_n = \frac{A_n}{A_p} , \quad (5)$$

so that

$$S_w + S_n = 1 . \quad (6)$$

We note that the transversal pore areas  $A_p$ ,  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  are *extensive* in the REA area  $A$ . That is  $A_p \rightarrow \lambda A_p$ ,  $A_w \rightarrow \lambda A_w$  and  $A_n \rightarrow \lambda A_n$  when  $A \rightarrow \lambda A$ . The porosity  $\phi$  and the two saturations  $S_w$  and  $S_n$  are *intensive* in the REA area  $A$ :  $\phi \rightarrow \lambda^0 \phi$ ,  $S_w \rightarrow \lambda^0 S_w$  and  $S_n \rightarrow \lambda^0 S_n$  when  $A \rightarrow \lambda A$ .

There is a time averaged volumetric flow rate  $Q$  through the REA. The volumetric flow rate consists of two components,  $Q_w$  and  $Q_n$ , which are the volumetric flow rates of the wetting and the non-wetting fluids. We have

$$Q = Q_w + Q_n . \quad (7)$$

We define the total, wetting and non-wetting *seepage velocities* respectively as

$$v = \frac{Q}{A_p} , \quad (8)$$

$$v_w = \frac{Q_w}{A_w} , \quad (9)$$

$$v_n = \frac{Q_n}{A_n} . \quad (10)$$

Using equations (7) to (10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \frac{Q}{A_p} = \frac{A_w}{A_p} \frac{Q_w}{A_w} + \frac{A_n}{A_p} \frac{Q_n}{A_n} \\ &= S_w v_w + S_n v_n . \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The volumetric flow rates  $Q$ ,  $Q_w$  and  $Q_n$  are extensive in the REA area  $A$  and the velocities  $v$ ,  $v_w$  and  $v_n$  are intensive in the area  $A$ .

### A. Euler homogeneity

As the areas  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  and the volumetric flow rate  $Q$  are extensive in the REA area  $A$ , we have that

$$Q(\lambda A_w, \lambda A_n) = \lambda Q(A_w, A_n). \quad (12)$$

This scaling was the basis for the theory presented in [32], which we now summarize.

We now apply the *Euler homogeneous function theorem* to  $Q$ . We take the derivative with respect to  $\lambda$  on both sides of (12) and set  $\lambda = 1$ . This gives

$$Q(A_w, A_n) = A_w \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} + A_n \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_n} \right)_{A_w}. \quad (13)$$

We note here that we assume that  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  are our independent control variables. This makes  $A_p$ ,  $S_w$  and  $S_n$  as dependent variables. More precisely, equation (13) is the Euler theorem for homogeneous functions applied to a degree-1 homogeneous function  $Q$ . By dividing this equation by  $A_p$ , we have

$$v = S_w \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} + S_n \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_n} \right)_{A_w}, \quad (14)$$

where we have used equations (4) and (5). We define the two *thermodynamic velocities*  $\hat{v}_w$  and  $\hat{v}_n$  as

$$\hat{v}_w = \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\hat{v}_n = \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_n} \right)_{A_w}, \quad (16)$$

so that we may write (14) as

$$v = S_w \hat{v}_w + S_n \hat{v}_n. \quad (17)$$

The thermodynamic velocities  $\hat{v}_w$  and  $\hat{v}_n$  are *not* the physical velocities  $v_w$  and  $v_n$ . Rather, the *most general relation* between  $v_w$  and  $\hat{v}_w$ , and  $v_n$  and  $\hat{v}_n$ , that fulfills both equations (11) and (17),

$$v = S_w \hat{v}_w + S_n \hat{v}_n = S_w v_w + S_n v_n, \quad (18)$$

can be expressed as

$$\hat{v}_w = v_w + S_n v_m \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{v}_n = v_n - S_w v_m. \quad (20)$$

This *defines* the *co-moving velocity*,  $v_m$ , which relate the thermodynamic and the physical velocities.

We have up to now used  $(A_w, A_n)$  as our control variables. If we now consider the coordinates  $(S_w, A_p)$  instead, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}_w &= \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} = \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial S_w} \right)_{A_p} \left( \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} + \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_p} \right)_{S_w} \\ &= \left( \frac{dv}{dS_w} \right) S_n + v, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where we have used that

$$\left( \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} \left( \frac{A_w}{A_w + A_n} \right) = \frac{S_n}{A_p}. \quad (22)$$

Likewise, we find that

$$\hat{v}_n = \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_n} \right)_{A_w} = - \left( \frac{dv}{dS_w} \right) S_w + v. \quad (23)$$

We combine equations (21) and (23) with equations (19) and (20) to find

$$v_w = v + S_n \left( \frac{dv}{dS_w} - v_m \right), \quad (24)$$

$$v_n = v - S_w \left( \frac{dv}{dS_w} - v_m \right), \quad (25)$$

We see that these two equations gives the map  $(v, v_m) \rightarrow (v_w, v_n)$ .

We now subtract equation (25) from (24), finding

$$\frac{dv}{dS_w} = v_w - v_n + v_m. \quad (26)$$

We now differentiate equation (11) with respect to  $S_w$ ,

$$\frac{dv}{dS_w} = v_w - v_n + S_w \frac{dv_w}{dS_w} + S_n \frac{dv_n}{dS_w}. \quad (27)$$

We compare equations (26) and (27), finding

$$v_m = S_w \frac{dv_w}{dS_w} + S_n \frac{dv_n}{dS_w}. \quad (28)$$

Equations (11) and (28) constitute the inverse mapping  $(v_w, v_n) \rightarrow (v, v_m)$ .

The mapping  $(v, v_m) \rightarrow (v_w, v_n)$  tells us that given the constitutive equations for  $v$  and  $v_m$ , we also have the constitutive equations for  $v_w$  and  $v_n$ . It turns out that the constitutive equation for  $v_m$  is surprisingly simple [34]

$$v_m = a + b \frac{dv}{dS_w}, \quad (29)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are coefficients depending on the entropy associated with the fluid configurations [35].

The equations we have used in Section II hint at an underlying mathematical structure which may seem complex. As we will now show, it is in fact quite the opposite.

### III. COORDINATE SYSTEMS IN AREA SPACE

The transversal pore areas  $A_w \geq 0$  and  $A_n \geq 0$  parametrize the first quadrant of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This serves as the “area space” mentioned earlier, which we will continue to denote as such. It is important to realize that this space is *not* physical space. A value of the transversal pore area  $A_p$  corresponds to a point  $(A_w, A_n)$  in this space. If we consider the entire plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as a whole and simply restrict our attention to the first quadrant, we may treat the area space as a vector space. The point  $(A_w, A_n)$  may then equivalently be described by a vector,

$$\vec{A} = A_w \vec{e}_w + A_n \vec{e}_n, \quad (30)$$

where  $\vec{e}_w$  and  $\vec{e}_n$  form an orthonormal basis set, see the upper figure in Figure 2. Note that in this picture, the bases are shown as attached to the point  $(A_w, A_n)$ . We reiterate that both  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  are extensive.

Every point in the transversal area space corresponds to a given saturation  $S_w$  and a transversal pore area  $A_p$  and we may view the map  $(A_w, A_n) \rightarrow (A_p, S_w)$  given by equation (3) and (4) as a coordinate transformation. This is a more natural coordinate system to work with since  $S_w$  is an intensive variable and  $A_p$  is in practice kept constant. We name this system the *saturation coordinate system*. We show the normalized basis vector set in the middle figure in Figure 2. We calculate

$$\vec{u}_p = \left( \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial A_p} \right)_{S_w} = S_w \vec{e}_w + (1 - S_w) \vec{e}_n, \quad (31)$$

$$\vec{u}_s = \left( \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial S_w} \right)_{A_p} = A_p \vec{e}_w - A_p \vec{e}_n. \quad (32)$$

We normalize the two vectors  $\vec{u}_p$  and  $\vec{u}_s$  to find

$$\vec{e}_p = \frac{S_w \vec{e}_w + (1 - S_w) \vec{e}_n}{[1 - 2S_w(1 - S_w)]^{1/2}}, \quad (33)$$

$$\vec{e}_s = \frac{\vec{e}_w - \vec{e}_n}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (34)$$

This basis set is not orthonormal, as illustrated in Figure 2. By expressing  $\vec{A}$  in this coordinate system, we find

$$\vec{A} = A_p [1 - 2S_w(1 - S_w)]^{1/2} \vec{e}_p. \quad (35)$$

As will become apparent, the most convenient coordinate system to work with from a theoretical point of view is *polar coordinates*  $(A_r, \phi)$ , given by

$$A_r = \sqrt{A_w^2 + A_n^2}, \quad (36)$$

$$\phi = \arctan\left(\frac{A_n}{A_w}\right), \quad (37)$$

or *vice versa*

$$A_w = A_r \cos \phi, \quad (38)$$

$$A_n = A_r \sin \phi. \quad (39)$$




FIG. 2: We illustrate the three coordinate systems we use to parametrize the transversal pore area. The *top figure* shows the Cartesian coordinate system  $(A_w, A_n)$ . A curve of constant  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  is indicated as  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  respectively. Both  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  are extensive. The orthonormal basis set  $(\vec{e}_w, \vec{e}_n)$  is shown as attached to the point  $(A_w, A_n)$ . We show in the *middle figure* the saturation coordinate system  $(A_p, S_w)$ . We indicate a curve of constant  $A_p$  and  $S_w$  as  $A_p$  and  $S_w$  in the figure.  $A_p$  is extensive and  $S_w$  is intensive. We show the basis set  $(\vec{e}_p, \vec{e}_s)$ , which is not orthonormal. In the *lower figure* we show the polar coordinate system, with curves of constant  $A_r$  and  $\phi$  denoted  $A_r$  and  $\phi$  respectively.  $A_r$  is extensive and  $\phi$  is intensive. The basis set  $(\vec{e}_r, \vec{e}_\phi)$  is orthonormal. In all three figures, we show the transversal pore area as a vector  $\vec{A}$ .

We note that  $\phi$  is intensive and  $A_r$  is extensive. We show the polar coordinate system in the lower figure in Figure 2.

The basis vector set  $(\vec{e}_r, \vec{e}_\phi)$  is orthonormal, where

$$\vec{e}_r = \cos \phi \vec{e}_w + \sin \phi \vec{e}_n = \vec{e}_p, \quad (40)$$

$$\vec{e}_\phi = -\sin \phi \vec{e}_w + \cos \phi \vec{e}_n. \quad (41)$$

As for the saturation coordinate system, there is one intensive variable,  $\phi$ , and one extensive variable,  $A_r$ . However, in contrast to the saturation coordinate system, both variables are varied in practical situations.

We have that

$$\vec{A} = A_r \vec{e}_r. \quad (42)$$

We see that this is consistent with equation (35) since

$$A_r = A_p [1 - 2S_w(1 - S_w)]^{1/2} \quad (43)$$

and  $\vec{e}_p = \vec{e}_r$ .

#### IV. EULER HOMOGENEITY IN POLAR COORDINATES

We now focus on equation (12) which we repeat here,

$$Q(\lambda A_w, \lambda A_n) = \lambda Q(A_w, A_n).$$

We may interpret this equation geometrically. If we follow the value of  $Q$  along a ray passing through the origin of the two-dimensional space spanned by  $(A_w, A_n)$  keeping the ratio  $A_n/A_w$  constant, it grows linearly with the distance from the origin.

In *polar coordinates*, this means

$$Q(A_r, \phi) = \hat{v}_r A_r, \quad (44)$$

where

$$\hat{v}_r = \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_r} \right)_\phi = \hat{v}_r(\phi). \quad (45)$$

The important point here is that  $\hat{v}_r$  is *not* a function of  $A_r$ .

We may derive equation (44) from equation (12) as follows. First, write  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  in terms of  $A_r$  and  $\phi$  in equation (12) so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda} Q(\lambda A_r \cos \phi, \lambda A_r \sin \phi) \\ &= Q(A_r \cos \phi, A_r \sin \phi) = Q(A_r, \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Next, set  $\lambda = 1/A_r$  to find

$$A_r Q(\cos \phi, \sin \phi) = A_r \hat{v}_r(\phi) = Q(A_r, \phi), \quad (47)$$

where

$$\hat{v}_r(\phi) \equiv Q(\cos \phi, \sin \phi) \quad (48)$$




FIG. 3: We illustrate here the geometrical meaning of  $Q$  being Euler homogeneous, i.e., fulfilling equation (12): Moving along a ray in the space spanned by the  $Q$ ,  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  directions,  $Q$  increases linearly with the distance from the origin  $(Q, A_w, A_n) = (0, 0, 0)$ . This demonstrates that  $Q$  appears as a “crumpled” cone originating at the origin. Hence, polar coordinates  $(A_r, \phi)$  are the most convenient to use compared to either the  $(A_w, A_n)$  or  $(A_p, S_w)$  coordinate systems.

— and we are done. We illustrate in Figure 3 the geometrical meaning of equation (12), i.e., Euler homogeneity. The graph of the volumetric flow rate  $Q$  takes the shape of a “crumpled” cone in the space spanned by  $(Q, A_w, A_n)$ .

We now express the different fluid velocities, namely the pore velocity, the seepage velocities, the thermodynamic velocities and the co-moving velocity, in terms of polar coordinates.

##### A. Seepage velocities

The area space spanned by  $(A_w, A_n)$  is simply (a subset of) the real plane. We can therefore identify it with the *tangent space* of velocities, which is the same Cartesian plane as that of the areas  $(A_w, A_n)$ . We can therefore regard the seepage velocity as a vector in the area space,

$$\vec{v} = v_w \vec{e}_w + v_n \vec{e}_n. \quad (49)$$

The volumetric flow rate  $Q$  is then given by the scalar product

$$Q = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{A} = v_w A_w + v_n A_n. \quad (50)$$

In polar coordinates, the seepage velocity is given by

$$\vec{v} = v_r \vec{e}_r + v_\phi \vec{e}_\phi. \quad (51)$$

Hence, the volumetric flow rate in polar coordinates is given by

$$Q = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{A} = (v_r \vec{e}_r + v_\phi \vec{e}_\phi) \cdot (A_r \vec{e}_r) = v_r A_r. \quad (52)$$

Comparing with equation (44), we find

$$v_r = \hat{v}_r = \hat{v}_r(\phi). \quad (53)$$

By using equations (49) and (51) combined with equations (40) and (41), we find

$$\begin{aligned} v_r &= v_w \cos \phi + v_n \sin \phi \\ &= \frac{S_w v_w + (1 - S_w) v_n}{[1 - 2S_w(1 - S_w)]^{1/2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_\phi &= -v_w \sin \phi + v_n \cos \phi \\ &= \frac{-(1 - S_w) v_w + S_w v_n}{[1 - 2S_w(1 - S_w)]^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

We have here expressed  $\cos \phi$  and  $\sin \phi$  in terms of  $S_w$ .

We now compare equation (44) with equation (8), rewritten as

$$Q = v A_p. \quad (56)$$

Using equation (43), we find that their equality demands

$$v = \frac{\hat{v}_r}{[1 - 2S_w(1 - S_w)]^{1/2}}. \quad (57)$$

Hence,  $v$  is *not* the norm of  $\vec{v}$ .

## B. Thermodynamic velocities

We may write equation (13) as

$$Q = \hat{v}_w A_w + \hat{v}_n A_n = \hat{\vec{v}} \cdot \vec{A}, \quad (58)$$

where we have defined, in the same manner as for equation (49),

$$\hat{\vec{v}} = \hat{v}_w \vec{e}_w + \hat{v}_n \vec{e}_n. \quad (59)$$

We use equations (40) and (41) to express this equation in polar coordinates,

$$\hat{\vec{v}} = \hat{v}_r \vec{e}_r + \hat{v}_\phi \vec{e}_\phi, \quad (60)$$

where

$$\hat{v}_r = \hat{v}_w \cos \phi + \hat{v}_n \sin \phi, \quad (61)$$

$$\hat{v}_\phi = -\hat{v}_w \sin \phi + \hat{v}_n \cos \phi. \quad (62)$$

## C. Co-moving velocity

We defined the co-moving velocity  $v_m$  as the velocity function that relates the physical seepage velocities




FIG. 4: We illustrate here equations (52) and (58),  $Q = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{A} = \hat{\vec{v}} \cdot \vec{A} = \hat{v}_r A_r$ , where  $A_r = |\vec{A}|$ . The difference in the vectors  $\hat{\vec{v}}$  and  $\vec{v}$ ,  $\hat{v}_m$ , is orthogonal to  $\vec{A}$ , and cannot be detected by properties of  $\vec{A}$  alone.

and the thermodynamic velocities, see equations (19) and (20). We use these two equations together with equations (49) and (59) to form the vector

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\vec{v}} - \vec{v} &= (\hat{v}_w - v_w) \vec{e}_w + (\hat{v}_n - v_n) \vec{e}_n \\ &= \frac{v_m}{A_p} (A_n \vec{e}_w - A_w \vec{e}_n) \\ &= \frac{v_m}{A_p} (A_r \sin \phi \vec{e}_w - A_r \cos \phi \vec{e}_n), \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where we have used that  $S_w = A_w/A_p$  and equations (38) and (39). We rewrite this equation in terms of  $\vec{e}_\phi$ , equation (41), finding

$$\hat{\vec{v}} - \vec{v} = -\frac{v_m}{\cos \phi + \sin \phi} \vec{e}_\phi. \quad (64)$$

We define

$$\hat{v}_m = \frac{v_m}{\cos \phi + \sin \phi} \quad (65)$$

so that equation (63) may be written

$$\vec{v} = \hat{\vec{v}} + \hat{v}_m, \quad (66)$$

where

$$\hat{v}_m = \hat{v}_m \vec{e}_\phi. \quad (67)$$

In polar coordinates, the relation in equation (66) is isolated to the  $\phi$ -coordinate. Using equations (51) and (62), we can express the relation between the vector components  $\hat{v}_\phi$  and  $v_\phi$  as

$$v_\phi = \hat{v}_\phi + \hat{v}_m. \quad (68)$$

We illustrate this relation in Figure 4. This figure also demonstrates why  $Q = \hat{\vec{v}} \cdot \vec{A} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}$ ; it is due to  $(\vec{v} - \hat{\vec{v}}) = \hat{v}_m \perp \vec{A}$ . Hence, we have that

$$\hat{v}_m \cdot \vec{A} = 0. \quad (69)$$

## V. COORDINATE-FREE REPRESENTATION

Since the difference between the thermodynamic- and seepage velocities in the previous section was shown to sit in the *tangent vector components*, we can benefit from a examining the problem from a position where these components are easier to work with. In this section, we therefore introduce *differential forms* and *exterior algebra* [41, 42] to make this treatment more economic. In addition, this makes it easier to formulate the equations met so far in a way that does not depend on the coordinate system used.

Equation (44),  $Q = \hat{v}_r A_r$ , may be differentiated to give

$$dQ = \hat{v}_r dA_r + d\hat{v}_r A_r = \hat{v}_r dA_r + \hat{v}'_r A_r d\phi, \quad (70)$$

where  $\hat{v}'_r = d\hat{v}_r/d\phi$ . Here,  $dQ$ ,  $dA_r$  and  $d\phi$  are one-forms. We furthermore have that

$$\begin{aligned} dQ &= \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial A_r} \right)_\phi dA_r + \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \phi} \right)_{A_r} d\phi \\ &= \hat{v}_r dA_r + \hat{v}_\phi A_r d\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Comparing equations (70) and (71) gives

$$\hat{v}_\phi = \hat{v}'_r. \quad (72)$$

We do the same using the coordinate system  $(A_w, A_n)$ . From equation (50), we find

$$\begin{aligned} dQ &= \hat{v}_w dA_w + \hat{v}_n dA_n + A_w d\hat{v}_w + A_n d\hat{v}_n \\ &= \hat{v}_w dA_w + \hat{v}_n dA_n. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

This implies the relation

$$A_w d\hat{v}_w + A_n d\hat{v}_n = 0. \quad (74)$$

This equation corresponds to the *Gibbs-Duhem* equation in thermodynamics, which is a statement of the dependency amongst the intensive variables.

We express  $\hat{v}_w$  and  $\hat{v}_n$  in terms of  $\hat{v}_r$  and  $\hat{v}_\phi$  by inverting equations (61) and (62) to find

$$\hat{v}_w = \hat{v}_r \cos \phi - \hat{v}_\phi \sin \phi, \quad (75)$$

$$\hat{v}_n = \hat{v}_r \sin \phi + \hat{v}_\phi \cos \phi. \quad (76)$$

By applying the exterior derivative, we get the relations

$$d\hat{v}_w = \cos \phi d\hat{v}_r - \sin \phi d\hat{v}_\phi - \hat{v}_n d\phi, \quad (77)$$

$$d\hat{v}_n = \sin \phi d\hat{v}_r + \cos \phi d\hat{v}_\phi + \hat{v}_w d\phi. \quad (78)$$

Combining equations (75) to (78) with the Gibbs-Duhem equation (74) gives

$$\frac{d\hat{v}_r}{d\phi} = \hat{v}_\phi, \quad (79)$$

which is identical to equation (72). Hence, this is the Gibbs-Duhem equation in polar coordinates.

The thermodynamic velocity, equation (59), is therefore given by

$$\hat{v} = \hat{v}_r \vec{e}_r + \hat{v}'_r \vec{e}_\phi. \quad (80)$$

We now have one of the main results of Hansen et al. [32] in a very compact form,

$$\vec{v} = \hat{v}_r \vec{e}_r + (\hat{v}'_r + \hat{v}_m) \vec{e}_\phi. \quad (81)$$

We note that since  $\hat{v}_r$  only depends on  $\phi$ , the same must be true for  $\hat{v}_m$ . Hence,  $\hat{v}_m = \hat{v}_m(\phi)$ . We here see that the relation between the thermodynamic- and seepage velocities are not captured in the extensive structure tied to the radial coordinate, but instead in the intensive quantities which only has a dependency on  $\phi$ .

We now differentiate equation (56),  $Q = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}$  written in the saturation coordinate system, to find

$$dQ = v dA_p + \frac{dv}{dS_w} A_p dS_w. \quad (82)$$

We note that

$$dA_p = dA_w + dA_n, \quad (83)$$

from differentiating equation (3). Likewise, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} dS_w &= \left( \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} dA_w + \left( \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial A_n} \right)_{A_w} dA_n \\ &= \frac{1}{A_p^2} (A_n dA_w - A_w dA_n), \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

using equation (4). We combine equations (82) to (84) and find

$$dQ = \left( v + (1 - S_w) \frac{dv}{dS_w} \right) dA_w + \left( v - S_w \frac{dv}{dS_w} \right) dA_n. \quad (85)$$

By using equation (13), definitions (15) and (16), and the transformations (19) and (20), we may write

$$\begin{aligned} dQ &= \hat{v}_w dA_w + \hat{v}_n dA_n = (v_w - (1 - S_w)v_m) dA_w \\ &\quad + (v_n + S_w v_m) dA_n. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Equating (85) and (86) gives equations (24) and (25).

Let us now rewrite the differential  $dQ$  as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} dQ &= d[A_p v] = d[A_p (S_w \hat{v}_w + (1 - S_w) \hat{v}_n)] \\ &= A_p (\hat{v}_w - \hat{v}_n) dS_w + v dA_p, \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where we have used equation (74). We now combine this equation with equation (82) to get

$$\frac{dv}{dS_w} = \hat{v}_w - \hat{v}_n. \quad (88)$$

By using equations (19) and (20), we may rewrite this equation in terms of the seepage velocities, resulting in equation (26).

We differentiate  $Q$  a second time, which is zero according to the Poincaré lemma [41, 42]. We see that this is indeed so by using equation (70),

$$\begin{aligned} d^2Q &= d(\hat{v}_r dA_r + \hat{v}'_r A_r d\phi) \\ &= \hat{v}'_r (d\phi \wedge dA_r) + \hat{v}_r' (dA_r \wedge d\phi) \\ &= (\hat{v}'_r - \hat{v}_r') d\phi \wedge dA_r = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

where the wedge  $\wedge$  signifies the antisymmetric exterior product.

The same equation expressed in the coordinate system  $(A_w, A_n)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} d^2Q &= d(\hat{v}_w dA_w + \hat{v}_n dA_n) \\ &= \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \hat{v}_n}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} - \left( \frac{\partial \hat{v}_w}{\partial A_n} \right)_{A_n} \right] dA_w \wedge dA_n \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

We rewrite the coefficient in terms of the saturation coordinate system,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{v}_n}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} - \left( \frac{\partial \hat{v}_w}{\partial A_n} \right)_{A_n} &= \\ \frac{d\hat{v}_n}{dS_w} \left( \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial A_w} \right)_{A_n} - \frac{d\hat{v}_w}{dS_w} \left( \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial A_n} \right)_{A_n} &= \\ S_w \frac{d\hat{v}_w}{dS_w} + (1 - S_w) \frac{d\hat{v}_n}{dS_w} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

which is nothing but the Gibbs-Duhem equation yet again. Writing this equation in terms of the seepage velocities gives us equation (28).

We see that the different equations relating the velocities can all be found from the differential geometric structure of the space spanned by the areas, with the addition to Euler homogeneity, see Figure 3. All relations are either a consequence of writing  $dQ$  using different coordinate systems, or a consequence of the Poincaré lemma, expressed as  $d^2Q = 0$ .

## VI. THE CO-MOVING VELOCITY IN COORDINATE-FREE REPRESENTATION

The co-moving velocity appears in several equations above. Common to all of them is that they all are written out in terms of a given coordinate system. We may write the representation of the co-moving velocity in the saturation coordinate system, equation (28), as

$$A_p v_m = \vec{A} \cdot \frac{d\vec{v}}{dS_w}. \quad (92)$$

We may write equation (65) in polar coordinates as

$$A_r \hat{v}_m = -\vec{A} \cdot \frac{d\vec{v}}{d\phi}. \quad (93)$$

Expressed in terms of the seepage velocities, we have that

$$dQ = v_w dA_w + v_n dA_n + A_w dv_w + A_n dv_n. \quad (94)$$

In order to obtain an expression for the co-moving velocity which is independent of coordinate system, we construct

$$\begin{aligned} A_w dv_w + A_n dv_n &= v_m \frac{A_n dA_w - A_w dA_n}{A_w + A_n} \\ &= v_m A_p dS_w = -\hat{v}_m A_r d\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

where the second line only reflects equations (92) and (93).

We write  $dQ$  as

$$dQ = d[\vec{A} \cdot \hat{v}] = \hat{v}^i dA_i, \quad (96)$$

using equation (74). Here, Einstein summation convention has been applied, with the index  $i$  running over  $\{w, n\}$  in the basis  $(A_w, A_n)$ . In terms of the seepage velocities, this becomes

$$dQ = d[\vec{A} \cdot \vec{v}] = v^i dA_i + A^i dv_i. \quad (97)$$

Combining equation (96), (97) and (66) gives us

$$\hat{v}_m^i dA_i + A^i dv_i = 0 \quad (98)$$

where  $\hat{v}_m^i$  denotes the components of  $\hat{v}_m$ . This holds in any coordinate system, since it is just obtained by differentiating a function, namely  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{v}$ . We have therefore obtained an expression for the co-moving velocity which is independent of the chosen coordinate system.

We can introduce *vector-valued differential forms* [42] to make a connection between the interpretation in terms of (tangent) vectors used up until now and the language of differential forms. Let  $\{\vec{e}_i\}$  be an arbitrary basis for vectors on the area space as we have discussed until now, be it area-, saturation- or polar coordinates. We now take  $\vec{A}$  as an example. We write

$$A = \beta^i \vec{e}_i, \quad (99)$$

where  $A$  is now regarded as a vector-valued differential form (thus seeing  $\vec{e}_i$  as components written out in the  $\beta^i$  basis, i.e., a reversal of view point), the  $\beta_i$  are 0-forms, just functions, and the index  $i$  runs over the coordinate indices. We can still treat  $A$  as a vector, but the difference in viewpoints is apparent when we apply the exterior derivative  $d$  to  $A$ , which gives

$$dA = \sum_i (\vec{e}_i \otimes d\beta_i) = (d\beta^i) \vec{e}_i. \quad (100)$$

where we in the second equality apply the summation convention and have suppressed the tensor product of the forms and basis vectors since we are working over a vector space, as is standard notation in e.g. [42]. Strictly speaking, the exterior derivative  $d$  should be replaced by

the *exterior covariant derivative* in this setting, a generalization of  $d$  which is defined on both tangent vectors and forms (there is currently no term in equation (100) that reflect the changes in the basis  $\vec{e}_i$ ). The terms  $A^i dv_i$  are then possible to interpret in terms of *connection forms*. However, this is outside the scope of the current discussion, and we leave this topic for future work.

If we write out equation (100) in the coordinate system  $(A_w, A_n)$ , we get

$$dA = dA_w \vec{e}_w + dA_n \vec{e}_n . \quad (101)$$

With this formalism in mind, we now return to polar coordinates. Equation (98) may be written in these coordinates by using equation (40) and (41) to define the vector valued 0-forms

$$\omega_r = \cos \phi \vec{e}_w + \sin \phi \vec{e}_n , \quad (102)$$

$$d\omega_\phi = -\sin \phi \vec{e}_w + \cos \phi \vec{e}_n , \quad (103)$$

which are equivalent to the corresponding basis vectors in equation (40) and (41), but interpreted as 0-forms. We then compute

$$d\omega_r = \vec{e}_\phi d\phi , \quad (104)$$

$$d\omega_\phi = -\omega_r d\phi , \quad (105)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} dA &= d(A_r \omega_r) = dA_r \omega_r + A_r d\omega_r \\ &= dA_r \vec{e}_r + A_r \vec{e}_\phi d\phi , \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

where we in the second equality has used that  $\omega_r$  is just another way of writing the basis vector  $\vec{e}_r$  that emphasizes its role as a form.

We can similarly define a vector valued 1-forms  $d\hat{v}$  and  $dv$  from equation (59) and (49) respectively. We then straightforwardly obtain

$$d\hat{v} = d\hat{v}_w \vec{e}_w + d\hat{v}_n \vec{e}_n , \quad (107)$$

$$dv = dv_w \vec{e}_w + dv_n \vec{e}_n . \quad (108)$$

We express  $dv$  in polar coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} dv &= v'_r \vec{e}_r d\phi + v_r \vec{e}_\phi d\phi + v'_\phi \vec{e}_\phi d\phi - v_\phi \vec{e}_r d\phi \\ &= [(v'_r - v_\phi) \vec{e}_r + (v_r + v'_\phi) \vec{e}_\phi] d\phi , \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

giving

$$A_r \hat{v}_m d\phi = A_r (v_\phi - v'_r) d\phi , \quad (110)$$

or

$$\hat{v}_m = v_\phi - v'_r . \quad (111)$$

By combining (54), (68) and (72), we obtain the same equation. Equation (98) written in the saturation coordinate system yields equation (28).

## VII. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

The aim of this work has been to formulate the immiscible two-phase flow in porous media problem as a *geometrical problem* in area space. We did this by

- defining the two pore area variables  $A_w$  and  $A_n$  and consider the space they span,
- endow this space with different coordinate systems,  $(A_w, A_n)$ ,  $(A_p, S_w)$  and  $(A_r, \phi)$ , pointing out that expressions that using the polar coordinate system simplifies the discussion considerably,
- recognizing the meaning of the volumetric flow rate being a degree-1 homogeneous function when expressing it in polar coordinates, and deriving a number of properties related to the different velocities in the problem; the seepage velocities, the thermodynamic velocities and the co-moving velocity,
- using differential forms to derive relations between the different velocities, and lastly,
- formulate an expression for the co-moving velocity which is independent of the coordinates on the underlying space.

We remind the reader of the following equations. The difference between the thermodynamic and seepage velocities may be expressed by combining equations (96) and (97),

$$dQ = \hat{v}^i dA_i = A^i dv_i + v^i dA_i . \quad (112)$$

The co-moving velocity is given by equation (66),

$$\vec{v} = \hat{v} + \hat{v}_m .$$

These equations lead us to the three central equations summarizing the central results of this paper. They are equation (58),

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{A} = Q ,$$

equation (69),

$$\hat{v}_m \cdot \vec{A} = 0 ,$$

and equation (98),

$$\hat{v}_m^i dA_i + A^i dv_i = 0$$

It is clear from this discussion that the co-moving velocity,  $v_m$ , which together with the average seepage velocity  $v$ , determines  $v_w$  and  $v_n$  through the transformations (24) and (25), cannot be found by any measurement of the volumetric flow rate  $Q$  or any expression gotten from it and it alone such as  $dQ$ . The fundamental question, which remains open is the following: is it possible

to measure the co-moving velocity  $v_m$  *without* explicitly measuring  $v_w$  and  $v_n$ ?

The authors thank Dick Bedeaux, Carl Fredrik Berg, Eirik Grude Flekkøy, Magnus Aa. Gjennestad, Signe

Kjelstrup, Marcel Moura, Knut Jørgen Måløy, Santanu Sinha, Per Arne Slotte and Ole Torsæter for interesting discussions. This work was partly supported by the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence funding scheme, project number 262644.

- 
- [1] J. Bear, *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media* (Dover, Mineola, 1988); doi.org/10.1097/00010694-197508000-00022.
- [2] M. Sahimi, *Flow and Transport in Porous Media and Fractured Rock: from Classical Methods to Modern Approaches*, (Wiley, New York, 2011); doi.org/10.1002/9783527636693.
- [3] M. J. Blunt, *Multiphase Flow in Permeable Media* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2017); doi.org/10.1017/9781316145098.
- [4] J. Feder, E. G. Flekkøy and A. Hansen, *Physics of Flow in Porous Media*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2022); doi.org/10.1017/9781009100717.
- [5] R. D. Wyckoff and H. G. Botset, *The flow of gas-liquid mixtures through unconsolidated sands*, *Physics* **7**, 325 (1936); doi.org/10.1063/1.1745402.
- [6] M. C. Leverett, Capillary behavior in porous sands, *Trans. AIMME*, **12**, 152 (1940).
- [7] A. y. Dandekar, *Petroleum Reservoir Rock and Fluid Properties*, 2. ed. (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2013).
- [8] G. I. Barenblatt, T. W. Patzek and B. B. Silin, “The mathematical model of non-equilibrium effects in water-oil displacement,” SPE-75169-MS (2002); doi.org/10.2118/75169-MS.
- [9] Y. Wang, S. A. Aryana and M. B. Allen, “An extension of Darcy’s law incorporating dynamic length scales,” *Adv. Water Res.*, **129**, 70 (2019); doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2019.05.010.
- [10] S. M. Hassanizadeh and W. G. Gray, *Mechanics and thermodynamics of multiphase flow in porous media including interphase boundaries*, *Adv. Water Res.* **13**, 169 (1990); doi.org/10.1016/0309-1708(90)90040-B.
- [11] S. M. Hassanizadeh and W. G. Gray, *Towards an improved description of the physics of two-phase flow*, *Adv. Water Res.* **16**, 53 (1993); https://doi.org/10.1016/0309-1708(93)90029-F.
- [12] S. M. Hassanizadeh and W. G. Gray, *Thermodynamic basis of capillary pressure in porous media*, *Water Resour. Res.* **29**, 3389 (1993); doi.org/10.1029/93WR01495.
- [13] J. Niessner, S. Berg and S. M. Hassanizadeh, *Comparison of two-phase Darcy’s law with a thermodynamically consistent approach*, *Transp. Por. Med.* **88**, 133 (2011); doi.org/10.1007/s11242-011-9730-0.
- [14] W. G. Gray and C. T. Miller, *Introduction to the Thermodynamically Constrained Averaging Theory for Porous Medium Systems* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2014); doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-04010-3.
- [15] S. Whitaker, *Flow in porous media II: The governing equations for immiscible, two-phase flow*, *Transp. Por. Med.* **1**, 105 (1986); doi.org/10.1007/BF00714688.
- [16] S. Kjelstrup, D. Bedeaux, A. Hansen, B. Hafskjold and O. Galteland, *Non-isothermal transport of multi-phase fluids in porous media. the entropy production*, *Front. Phys.* **6**, 126 (2018); doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2018.00126.
- [17] S. Kjelstrup, D. Bedeaux, A. Hansen, B. Hafskjold and O. Galteland, *Non-isothermal transport of multi-phase fluids in porous media. Constitutive equations*, *Front. Phys.* **6**, 150 (2019); doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2018.00150.
- [18] D. Bedeaux and S. Kjelstrup, *Fluctuation-dissipation theorems for multiphase flow in porous media*, *Entropy*, **24**, 46 (2022); doi.org/10.3390/e24010046.
- [19] J. E. McClure, R. T. Armstrong, M. A. Berrill, S. Schlüter, S. Berg, W. G. Gray, and C. T. Miller, *Geometric state function for two-fluid flow in porous media*, *Phys. Rev. Fluids*, **3**, 084306 (2018); doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.3.08430.
- [20] R. T. Armstrong, J. E. McClure, V. Robins, Z. Liu, C. H. Arns, S. Schlüter and S. Berg, *Porous media characterization using Minkowski functionals: theories, applications and future directions*, *Transp. Porous Media*, **130**, 305 (2019); doi.org/10.1007/s11242-018-1201-4.
- [21] J. E. McClure, R. T. Armstrong and S. Berg, *Geometric evolution as a source of discontinuous behavior in soft condensed matter*, arXiv:1906.04073; doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1906.04073.
- [22] R. Hilfer and H. Besserer, *Macroscopic two-phase flow in porous media*, *Physica B*, **279**, 125 (2000); doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4526(99)00694-8.
- [23] R. Hilfer, *Capillary pressure, hysteresis and residual saturation in porous media*, *Physica A*, **359**, 119 (2006); doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2005.05.086.
- [24] R. Hilfer, *Macroscopic capillarity and hysteresis for flow in porous media*, *Phys. Rev. E*, **73**, 016307 (2006); doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.016307.
- [25] R. Hilfer, *Macroscopic capillarity without a constitutive capillary pressure function*, *Physica A*, **371**, 209 (2006); doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2006.04.051.
- [26] R. Hilfer and F. Döster, *Percolation as a basic concept for capillarity*, *Transp. Por. Med.* **82**, 507 (2010); doi.org/10.1007/s11242-009-9395-0.
- [27] F. Döster, O. Höning and R. Hilfer, *Horizontal flow and capillarity-driven redistribution in porous media*, *Phys. Rev. E*, **86**, 016317 (2012); doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.86.016317.
- [28] M. S. Valavanides, G. N. Constantinides and A. C. Payatakes, *Mechanistic Model of steady-state two-phase flow in porous media based on ganglion dynamics*, *Transp. Por. Med.* **30**, 267 (1998); doi.org/10.1023/A:1006558121674.
- [29] M. S. Valavanides, *Steady-state two-phase flow in porous media: review of progress in the development of the DeProF theory bridging pore- to statistical thermodynamics-scales*, *Oil Gas Sci. Technol.* **67**, 787 (2012); doi.org/10.2516/ogst/2012056.
- [30] M. S. Valavanides, *Review of steady-state two-phase flow in porous media: independent variables, universal energy efficiency map, critical flow conditions, effective characterization of flow and pore network*, *Transp. Porous Me-*

- dia, **123**, 45 (2018); doi.org/10.1007/s11242-018-1026-1.
- [31] J. Xu and M. Y. Louge, *Statistical mechanics of unsaturated porous media*, Phys. Rev. E, **92**, 062405 (2015); doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.92.062405.
- [32] A. Hansen, S. Sinha, D. Bedeaux, S. Kjelstrup, M. A. Gjennestad and M. Vassvik, *Relations between seepage velocities in immiscible, incompressible two-phase flow in porous media*, Transp. Porous Media **125**, 565 (2018); doi.org/10.1007/s11242-018-1139-6.
- [33] S. Roy, S. Sinha and A. Hansen, *Flow-area relations in immiscible two-phase flow in porous media*, Front. Phys. **8**, 4 (2020); doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2020.00004.
- [34] S. Roy, H. Pedersen, S. Sinha and A. Hansen, *The Co-moving Velocity in immiscible two-phase flow in porous media*, Transp. Porous Media **143**, 69 (2022); doi.org/10.1007/s11242-022-01783-7.
- [35] A. Hansen, E. G. Flekkøy, S. Sinha and P. A. Slotte, *A statistical mechanics framework for immiscible and incompressible two-phase flow in porous media*, Adv. Water Res. **171**, 104336 (2022); doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2022.104336.
- [36] H. Fyhn, S. Sinha and A. Hansen, *Local statistics of immiscible and incompressible two-phase flow in porous media*, arXiv:2209.00030; doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2209.00030.
- [37] E. T. Jaynes, *Information theory of statistical mechanics*, Phys. Rev. **106**, 620 (1957); doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.106.620.
- [38] S. Ekrann and J. O. Aasen, *Steady-state up-scaling*, Transp. Porous Media, **41**, 245 (2000); doi.org/10.1023/A:10067654.
- [39] J. Bear and Y. Bachmat, *Introduction to modeling of transport phenomena in porous media*, (Springer, Berlin, 2012); doi:10.1007/978-94-009-1926-6.
- [40] C. E. Shannon, *A Mathematical theory of communication*, The Bell System Technical Journal, **27**, 379 (1948); doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x.
- [41] H. Flanders, *Differential Forms* (Academic Press, New York, 1963).
- [42] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2017).