

AVILA'S ACCELERATION VIA ZEROS OF DETERMINANTS, AND APPLICATIONS TO SCHRÖDINGER COCYCLES

RUI HAN AND WILHELM SCHLAG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give a characterization of Avila's quantized acceleration of the Lyapunov exponent via the number of zeros of the Dirichlet determinants in finite volume. As applications, we prove β -Hölder continuity of the integrated density of states for supercritical quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators restricted to the ℓ -th stratum, for any $\beta < (2(\ell - 1))^{-1}$ and $\ell \geq 2$. We establish Anderson localization for all Diophantine frequencies for the operator with even analytic potential function on the first supercritical stratum, which has positive measure if it is nonempty.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper studies the one-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger operator:

$$(1.1) \quad (H_{\alpha, \theta} \phi)_n = \phi_{n+1} + \phi_{n-1} + f(\theta + n\alpha) \phi_n,$$

with real-valued analytic potential $f(\theta) \in C_\eta^\omega(\mathbb{T})$, $\eta > 0$. Here α is called the frequency and θ is called the phase, and $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the one-dimensional torus, and we shall use $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ to denote the torus norm.

We study the operator in the positive Lyapunov exponent regime. The goal of this paper is to build a bridge between the large deviation estimates and avalanche principle developed in a series of papers by Bourgain-Goldstein [BG], Goldstein-Schlag [GS1, GS2] on the one hand, with Avila's quantized acceleration of the Lyapunov exponent [Av3] on the other hand. In particular, we obtain a sharp characterization of the acceleration in terms of the number of zeros of the Dirichlet determinants, see Theorem 1.2. As applications, we improve the Hölder exponent of the integrated density of states in [GS2] (see Theorem 1.3), and we prove Anderson localization of the operator on the first supercritical stratum, on which the acceleration equals 1, and for all Diophantine frequencies (see Theorem 1.6).

To be more specific, let

$$D_n(\theta, E) := \det(H_{\alpha, \theta} - E)|_{[0, n-1]}.$$

Since f is analytic, we can write $D_n(\theta, E)$ as a function of $e^{2\pi i \theta}$. Replacing $e^{2\pi i \theta}$ with a complex variable z , we shall also denote $D_n(\theta, E)$ by $D_n(z, E)$. We assume throughout that α satisfies the Diophantine condition

$$(1.2) \quad \text{DC}_{c,a} := \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{T} : \|n\alpha\|_{\mathbb{T}} \geq \frac{c}{n(\log n)^a}, \text{ for all } |n| \geq 1, \right\}$$

where $c > 0$ and $a > 1$. It is well-known that for any $a > 1$, $\cup_{c>0} \text{DC}_{c,a}$ is a full measure set.

For an energy E , let the Lyapunov exponent $L(E, \varepsilon)$ of the complexified operator $H_{\alpha, \theta + i\varepsilon}$ be defined as usual, see (2.7) below. As a corner stone of his global cocycle theory [Av3], Avila exhibited quantization of the slope of the Lyapunov exponent as a convex function of ε : the right derivative $\kappa(E, \varepsilon)$ of $L(E, \varepsilon)/(2\pi)$ in ε is always an integer, see Section 2.2. Using the quantized

R. Han is partially supported by NSF DMS-2143369. W. Schlag is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1902691. We would like to thank Michael Goldstein for his interest and encouragement, and for suggesting Remark 1.9.

acceleration, Avila stratified the spectrum $\sigma(H_{\alpha,\theta})$ into

$$\sigma(H_{\alpha,\theta}) = \bigcup_{\ell \geq 1} \mathcal{S}_\ell,$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_\ell := \sigma(H_{\alpha,\theta}) \cap \{E : \kappa(E, 0) = \ell - 1\}.$$

The set \mathcal{S}_ℓ is called the ℓ -th stratum of the spectrum.

For $E \in \mathcal{S}_1$, it is necessary that $L(E, 0) = 0$ since otherwise the corresponding Schrödinger cocycle is uniformly hyperbolic which leads to a contradiction with $E \in \sigma(H_{\alpha,\theta})$. In fact, \mathcal{S}_1 is referred to as the subcritical regime. This stratum is usually studied by KAM and reducibility methods, see e.g. [DS, E1, P, Av1, AJ, Av2].

For each $\ell \geq 2$, we further divide

$$\mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_\ell^+ \cup \mathcal{S}_\ell^0,$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\ell^+ := \mathcal{S}_\ell \cap \{E : L(E, 0) > 0\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_\ell^0 := \mathcal{S}_\ell \cap \{E : L(E, 0) = 0\}$. The energies in $\bigcup_{\ell \geq 2} \mathcal{S}_\ell^0$ are referred to as the critical energies, and those in $\bigcup_{\ell \geq 2} \mathcal{S}_\ell^+$ are supercritical.

One of the central examples of quasi-periodic Schrödinger operator is the almost Mathieu operator:

$$(H_{\lambda,\alpha,\theta}^{\text{AMO}} \phi)_n = \phi_{n+1} + \phi_{n-1} + 2\lambda \cos 2\pi(\theta + n\alpha)\phi_n.$$

The supercritical, critical and subcritical regime corresponds to the regions where the coupling constant satisfies $|\lambda| > 1$, $|\lambda| = 1$, and $0 < |\lambda| < 1$, respectively. A special feature for the almost Mathieu operator is that for $|\lambda| > 1$, $\sigma(H_{\lambda,\alpha,\theta}^{\text{AMO}}) = \mathcal{S}_2^+$, hence the entire spectrum is contained in the first supercritical stratum.

Our analysis focuses on general analytic potentials in the supercritical regime. We begin with an immediate corollary of [Av3] and [DGSV] on the Lebesgue measure of strata.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha \in \text{DC}_{c,a}$ for some $c > 0$ and $a > 1$. For any $k \geq 2$, if*

$$(1.3) \quad \bigcup_{j=2}^k \mathcal{S}_j^+ \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{then} \quad \left| \bigcup_{j=2}^k \mathcal{S}_j^+ \right| > 0$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{S}_2^+ \neq \emptyset$, then $|\mathcal{S}_2^+| > 0$.

Indeed, let E_0 be in the set in (1.3). Then by the upper semicontinuity and quantization of the acceleration [Av3, Theorem 5], as well as the continuity of the Lyapunov exponent

$$I_0 \subset \left\{ E \in \mathbb{R} : \kappa(E, 0) < k + \frac{1}{2}, L(E, 0) > 0 \right\}$$

for some interval $I_0 = (E_0 - \delta, E_0 + \delta)$. By [DGSV, Theorem H], we see that $|\sigma(H_{\alpha,\theta}) \cap I_0| > \frac{1}{2}\delta$ if δ is small enough. By [Av3, Theorem 6] one has $\kappa(E, 0) \geq 1$ on $\sigma(H_{\alpha,\theta}) \cap I_0$, hence $\sigma(H_{\alpha,\theta}) \cap I_0 = \bigcup_{j=2}^k \mathcal{S}_j^+$, whence the theorem.

We now turn to the main theorem of our work, which characterizes Avila's acceleration via the number of zeros. Throughout we denote annuli by $A_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1/r < |z| < r\}$ and

$$N_n(E, \varepsilon) := \#\{z \in \overline{A_{e^{2\pi\varepsilon}}} : D_n(z, E) = 0\}$$

is the number of zeros relative to z of a determinant in finite volume.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $L(E) \geq \tau > 0$ and let $\varepsilon \in (0, \eta)$ be such that*

$$L(E, \varepsilon) = L(E, 0) + 2\pi\kappa(E, 0)\varepsilon.$$

Then for $n > N(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha, \gamma)$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2n} N_n(E, \varepsilon/2) - \kappa(E, 0) \right| \leq \varepsilon^{-1} n^{-\gamma}.$$

This theorem gives a characterization of the acceleration $\kappa(E, 0)$ in terms of the number of zeros of $D_n(z, E)$ in a neighborhood of the unit circle. Theorem 1.2 is part of the more general Theorem 4.1 (see also Theorem 4.4), where the characterization of $\kappa(E, \varepsilon) - \kappa(E, \varepsilon^-)$, for non-zero ε , is given in terms of zeros of $D_n(z, E)$ near the circle with radius $e^{2\pi\varepsilon}$. An analogous result for the Riesz mass of $\log \|M_n(z, E)\|$, where M_n is the transfer matrix (2.5), is given in Theorem 5.1.

A key new ingredient in the proof is a Riesz representation of subharmonic function on the annulus, compared to the one on disks that were used to cover the annulus in [GS1, GS2]. It turns out the annulus version of the representation is more naturally connected to the Lyapunov exponent, hence to the acceleration. As one of the applications of Theorem 1.2, we improve on the known regularity of the integrated density of states (IDS) as a function of the energy.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume the potential function f is analytic and fix $\alpha \in \text{DC}_{c,a}$ for some $c > 0$ and $a > 1$. Then for any $\ell \geq 2$, on the open set $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{S}_j^+$ the IDS of $H_{\alpha, \theta}$ is β -Hölder continuous with any $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2(\ell-1)}$.*

This result proves the conjecture by You in [Y] that the Hölder exponent for IDS is at least $1/(2\kappa(E, 0))$ (but we cannot decide equality of the exponent to this ratio). Note that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{S}_j^+$ includes gaps in the spectrum on which the IDS is constant. But by Theorem 1.1, if this set of energies is nonempty, then it contains a positive measure subset of the spectrum. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is not self-contained. In fact, Theorem 1.3 follows by combining the proof of [GS2, Theorem 1.1] with the characterization of the acceleration (and thus the stratum) in Theorem 1.2. Also, recall from [GS2] that the IDS is Lipschitz off a zero-measure set of energies.

Remark 1.4. *In [GS2, Theorem 1.1], it was proved that for $f(\theta) = \sum_{n=-k_0}^{k_0} c_n e^{2\pi i n \theta}$, a trigonometric polynomial of degree $k_0 \geq 1$ and assuming $\omega \in \text{DC}_{c,a}$ and $L(E, 0) > 0$ the IDS is β -Hölder continuous for any $\beta < \frac{1}{2k_0}$. Since for trigonometric f one has $\kappa(E, 0) \leq k_0$, Theorem 1.3 is a refinement of [GS2, Theorem 1.1].*

Remark 1.5. *For the almost Mathieu operator and $\alpha \in \text{DC}$ (see (1.4)), the IDS was proved to be $1/2$ -Hölder if $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$ [AJ]. The $1/2$ -Hölder exponent was also proved for Diophantine α and $f = \lambda g$ with g being analytic and the coupling constant $|\lambda|$ being small, first in the perturbative regime (smallness depends on α, g) in [Am], and then in the non-perturbative regime [AJ] (with dependence on α removed). For quasi-periodic long-range operators with large trigonometric polynomial potentials and Diophantine frequencies, the Hölder exponent in [GS2] was improved recently in the perturbative regime in [GYZ]. These results are proved using the reducibility method.*

Our next application concerns Anderson localization (pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions). Anderson localization for quasi-periodic operators was first studied perturbatively [FSW, Sin, E2] where $f = \lambda g$ with large λ whose largeness depends on both α and g . The first non-perturbative Anderson localization was obtained by Jitomirskaya for the almost Mathieu operator [J1, J2] for any $|\lambda| > 1$ and all Diophantine frequencies. In [BG], Bourgain and Goldstein proved Anderson localization for the general analytic potential in the supercritical regime for an implicit full measure set of α . In this paper we show that quasi-periodic operators with even potentials in the first supercritical stratum \mathcal{S}_2^+ is always Anderson localized for all Diophantine frequencies. This result thus includes that of the supercritical almost Mathieu operator as a special case. Let

$$\Theta_{c', b} := \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{|n| \geq k} \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{T} : \|2\theta + n\alpha\|_{\mathbb{T}} < \frac{c'}{|n|^b} \right\},$$

where $c' > 0$ and $b > 1$. Clearly the complement $(\Theta_{c',b})^c$ is a full measure set.

Theorem 1.6. *Assume the potential function f is even. For $\alpha \in \text{DC}_{c,a}$ for some $c > 0$ and $a > 1$, and $\theta \in (\Theta_{c',b})^c$ for some $c' > 0$ and $b > 1$, $H_{\alpha,\theta}$ has pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions in \mathcal{S}_2^+ .*

Recall that by Theorem 1.1 if \mathcal{S}_2^+ is non-empty, then this set has to be of positive measure. As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we can prove Anderson localization for analytic perturbations of the supercritical almost Mathieu operator, which has recently been studied by Ge-Jitomirskaya-Zhao in [GJZ].

Corollary 1.7. *Let the perturbed almost Mathieu operator be defined as*

$$(H_{\lambda,\alpha,\theta,g,\varepsilon}\phi)_n = \phi_{n+1} + \phi_{n-1} + (2\lambda \cos 2\pi(\theta + n\alpha) + \varepsilon g(\theta + n\alpha))\phi_n,$$

where $g \in C_{\mathbb{T}_\eta}^\omega$ is even and real-valued. For $|\lambda| > 1$, there exists $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\lambda, \|g\|_{\mathbb{T}_\eta}) > 0$ such that if $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_1$, and $\alpha \in \text{DC}_{c,a}$ for some $c > 0$ and $a > 1$, and $\theta \in (\Theta_{c',b})^c$ for some $c' > 0$ and $b > 1$, $H_{\lambda,\alpha,\theta,g,\varepsilon}$ has pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions.

This corollary follows from combining Theorem 1.6 with the following lemma of Avila.

Lemma 1.8 (Lemma 25, [Av3]). *For $|\lambda| > 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, there exists $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\lambda, \|g\|_{\mathbb{T}_\eta}) > 0$ such that for $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_1$, $\sigma(H_{\lambda,\alpha,\theta,g,\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{S}_2^+$.*

Remark 1.9. *It is possible to prove Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.7 for α satisfying a weaker Diophantine condition:*

$$(1.4) \quad \text{DC} := \bigcup_{c>0} \bigcup_{\rho>1} \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{T} : \|n\alpha\|_{\mathbb{T}} \geq \frac{c}{|n|^\rho}, \text{ for any } n \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Replacing our $\text{DC}_{c,a}$ with the weaker condition will lead to less sharp large deviation estimates in Section 2.3, but these will still suffice for the proof of Anderson localization.

Next let us comment on the proof of Theorem 1.6. The key to prove Anderson localization is to eliminate “double resonance”, which roughly speaking occurs when both $D_n(\theta, E)$ and $D_n(\theta + k\alpha, E)$ are close to 0 for $k \simeq n^C$. Jitomirskaya’s [J1, J2] argument for the almost Mathieu operator uses crucially that the potential is cosine. She proved $D_n(\theta - \frac{n-1}{2}\alpha, E)$ is a polynomial of $\cos(2\pi\theta)$ of degree at most n , and used the Lagrange interpolation formula to eliminate double resonances. Bourgain and Goldstein [BG] developed a large deviation estimate for the set on which the norm of the transfer matrix is small, and then used semi-algebraic tools to study the complexity of this set. In order to eliminate double resonances, they then devised a “steep line” argument, which requires deleting an implicit zero measure of α ’s. In this paper, on the one hand we appeal to the large deviation estimates in [GS2] for the sub-exponentially small measure of the deviation set \mathcal{B}_n (see (6.47)), on the other hand we combine the $\kappa = 1$ case of Theorem 1.2 with a Cartan set argument to show that \mathcal{B}_n consists of at most $2n + o(n)$ many intervals. Using that the potential is even, the $2n + o(n)$ intervals form $n + o(n)$ pairs under reflection $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$. The arithmetic conditions on α, θ prevent that two points in the trajectory $\{\theta + j\alpha\}$ fall into the same pair (at the appropriate scales). This eliminates double resonances. It is worth mentioning that although the $\kappa = 1$ case of Theorem 1.2 ensures that $D_n(z, E)$ has at most $2n + o(n)$ many zeros, $D_n(\theta - \frac{n-1}{2}\alpha, E)$ is not a polynomial of degree at most $2n + o(n)$ in $\cos(2\pi\theta)$, so the Lagrange interpolation argument as in [J1, J2] does not apply.

The evenness assumption on the potential is crucial for our argument. It is not clear if one can improve on [BG] without making this symmetry assumption in the sense that there is an explicit condition on the phases θ which guarantees localization. Recently, Forman and VandenBoom [FV] proved Anderson localization perturbatively for large cosine-like potentials without evenness

assumption, thus removing the symmetry requirement from the classical work by Fröhlich, Spencer, and Wittwer [FSW]. However, while [FSW] eliminate resonant phases via an arithmetic condition, [FV] do so by an implicit procedure.

Finally, let us mention that it is an interesting question as to whether the results in Theorems 1.3 and 1.6 hold for Liouville frequencies. Related questions have been addressed for the almost Mathieu operator in e.g. [AD, Av1, AYZ, JL]. For general analytic potentials, large deviation estimates have been developed for some Liouville α 's in [YZ, HZ], leading to the proof of Hölder continuity of the IDS, albeit with non-sharp exponent. It would be interesting to see if one can combine the techniques in [YZ, HZ] with ours.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminary results; in Section 3 we develop the Riesz representation of subharmonic functions on an annulus; in Sections 4 and 5 we apply the Riesz representation to the functions u_n and v_n and establish the zero count of Theorem 1.2. Finally, Anderson localization as in Theorem 1.6 is obtained in Section 6, and Theorem 1.3 on Hölder continuity of the IDS is proved in Section 7.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Notations: Let $A_R := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1/R < |z| < R\}$ be the annulus and $\mathcal{C}_R := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}$ be the circle. For a set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $|U|$ be its Lebesgue measure.

2.1. Determinant and transfer matrix. Since $f \in C_\eta^\omega(\mathbb{T})$, the determinant $D_n(z, E)$ is holomorphic in the annulus $A_{e^{2\pi\eta}}$. Moreover, as f is real-valued for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, one has $D_n(z, E) = \overline{D_n(1/\bar{z}, E)}$ for $z \in \mathcal{C}_1$, hence

$$D_n(z, E) = \overline{D_n(1/\bar{z}, E)}, \text{ for } z \in A_{e^{2\pi\eta}}.$$

In particular, we note the following fact that will be used many time throughout the paper:

Fact 2.1. *If $w \notin \mathcal{C}_1$ is a zero of $D_n(z, E)$, then $1/\bar{w}$ is also a zero.*

Let

$$u_n(z, E) := \frac{1}{n} \log |D_n(z, E)|.$$

and as usual,

$$(2.5) \quad M(\theta, E) = \begin{pmatrix} E - f(\theta) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

denotes the transfer matrix, and

$$M_n(\theta, E) := M(\theta + (n-1)\alpha, E) \cdots M(\theta, E)$$

be the n-step transfer matrix. Let

$$v_n(z, E) := \frac{1}{n} \log \|M_n(z, E)\|.$$

Both u_n and v_n are subharmonic functions in the annulus A_R . The starting point of our study is an effective Riesz representation of subharmonic functions in A_R , which we develop in Section 3.

Recall the well-known connection between D_n and M_n , viz.

$$M_n(z, E) = \begin{pmatrix} D_n(z, E) & -D_{n-1}(ze^{2\pi i\alpha}, E) \\ D_{n-1}(z, E) & -D_{n-2}(ze^{2\pi i\alpha}, E) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$(2.6) \quad u_n(z, E) \leq v_n(z, E),$$

which will be used multiple times in this paper.

2.2. Lyapunov exponent and acceleration. Let

$$(2.7) \quad L_n(E, \varepsilon) := \int_0^1 v_n(e^{2\pi i(\theta+i\varepsilon)}, E) d\theta,$$

and

$$L(E, \varepsilon) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n(E, \varepsilon).$$

The Lyapunov exponent is even in ε due to f being real-valued, and is a convex function in ε by Hadamard's three circle theorem. We define its derivative from the right as

$$\kappa(E, \varepsilon) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L(E, \varepsilon + \delta) - L(E, \varepsilon)}{2\pi\delta}.$$

The function $\kappa(E, \varepsilon)$ is referred to as the *acceleration* of the Lyapunov exponent.

In [Av3], Avila proved the following:

Theorem 2.2. [Av3, Theorem 5] *For any irrational α and any E, ε , the acceleration $\kappa(E, \varepsilon)$ is always an integer.*

Using the quantized acceleration, Avila further introduced the stratification of the spectrum: $X_1 := \sigma(H_{\alpha, \theta})$, and X_j with $j \geq 2$ is defined as

$$X_j := \{E \in X_1 : \kappa(E, 0) \geq j - 1\}.$$

The set

$$\mathcal{S}_j := X_j \setminus X_{j+1} = \{E \in \sigma(H_{\alpha, \theta}) : \kappa(E, 0) = j - 1\}$$

is called the j -th stratum of the stratification. It is clear that $\{\mathcal{S}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ are pairwise disjoint and

$$\bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{S}_j = X_1 = \sigma(H_{\alpha, \theta}).$$

2.3. Large deviation estimates. Our analysis depends crucially on the large deviation estimates (and their consequences) for u_n and v_n developed in a series of papers [BG, GS1, GS2], in combination with the avalanche principle. We list the results that are crucial to our analysis below. Recall that the potential $f \in C^\omega(\mathbb{T}_\eta)$. The first one is a uniform upper bound for v_n , the logarithm of the norm of the transfer matrix.

Lemma 2.3. [GS2, Proposition 4.3] *Assume $L(E, 0) > \tau > 0$. Then for all $n \geq 1$, and $0 \leq |\varepsilon| < \eta/2$,*

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}} v_n(e^{2\pi i(\theta+i\varepsilon)}, E) \leq L_n(E, \varepsilon) + C \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n}.$$

for some constants $C = C(\|f\|_\eta, \eta, c, a, \tau, E)$ and $C_0 = C_0(a)$, with c, a as in (1.2).

The next is a quantitative convergence rate of $L_n(E, \varepsilon)$ to $L(E, \varepsilon)$.

Theorem 2.4. [GS1, Theorem 5.1] *If $L(E, 0) > \tau > 0$, then for $n \geq 1$, one has that for $|\varepsilon| < \eta/2$,*

$$0 \leq L_n(E, \varepsilon) - L(E, \varepsilon) \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

where $C = C(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha)$.

The next is an average lower bound for u_n .

Lemma 2.5. [GS2, Lemma 2.10] *If $L(E) > \tau > 0$, there exists a positive constant $\gamma_1 > 0$ such that for $|\varepsilon| < \eta/2$, and all $n > N(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha)$,*

$$\int_0^1 u_n(e^{2\pi i(\theta+i\varepsilon)}, E) d\theta > L_n(E, \varepsilon) - n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

The final tool is a large deviation estimate for u_n .

Lemma 2.6. [GS2, Proposition 2.11] *If $L(E) > \tau > 0$, there exists a positive constant $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that for $n > N(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha)$,*

$$\left| \{ \theta \in \mathbb{T} : u_n(e^{2\pi i \theta}, E) < L_n(E) - n^{-\gamma_2} \} \right| < e^{-n^{\gamma_2}}.$$

2.4. Eigenfunction expansion. Let ϕ be a solution to $H_{\alpha, \theta} \phi = E \phi$, where $H_{\alpha, \theta}$ is the Schrödinger operator in (1.1). Then the following eigenfunction expansion holds: let $y \in [\ell_1, \ell_2]$,

$$(2.8) \quad \phi_y = -\frac{D_{\ell_2-y}(\theta + (y+1)\alpha, E)}{D_{\ell_2-\ell_1+1}(\theta + \ell_1\alpha, E)} \phi_{\ell_1-1} - \frac{D_{y-\ell_1}(\theta + \ell_1\alpha, E)}{D_{\ell_2-\ell_1+1}(\theta + \ell_1\alpha, E)} \phi_{\ell_2+1}.$$

This identity is a consequence of Cramer's rule.

3. EFFECTIVE RIESZ REPRESENTATION FOR THE ANNULUS

This section develops some basic potential theory on annuli. We begin with the Green's function on an annulus, which is standard.

3.1. Green's function for the annulus. It is worth pointing out that we never use the explicit expression of the Green's function, rather only the fact that it can be decomposed as in (3.10) below. For the sake of completeness, we state the precise Green's kernel, which can be derived by the method of images.

Lemma 3.1. *The Green's function on the annulus A_R is given by*

$$(3.9) \quad G_R(z, w) = \frac{\log(|z|/R) \log(|w|/R)}{4\pi \log R} + K_R(z, w),$$

where

$$K_R(z, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left(|z/R - w/R| \cdot \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{R^{4k}} \frac{z}{w} \right| \cdot \left| 1 - \frac{1}{R^{4k}} \frac{w}{z} \right|}{\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{R^{4k-2}} w \bar{z} \right| \cdot \left| 1 - \frac{1}{R^{4k-2}} \frac{1}{\bar{z} w} \right|} \right).$$

The Green's function is symmetric and invariant under rotations: $G_R(z, w) = G_R(w, z)$ and $G_R(z, w) = G_R(e^{i\phi} z, e^{i\phi} w)$.

Proof. The symmetry properties are evident from the formula. Second, by inspection

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} G_R(z, w) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |z - w| + H_R(z, w), \quad z \in A_R, w \in \overline{A_R} \\ \Delta_z H_R(z, w) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

whence $\Delta_z G(z, w) = \delta_0(z - w)$. Third, if $w = R$, then

$$G_R(z, w) = K_R(z, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{|z/R - 1|}{\left| 1 - \frac{1}{R} \bar{z} \right|} \right) = 0$$

This holds for all $|w| = R$ by rotational invariance of G_R . If $|w| = R^{-1}$, then

$$G_R(z, 1/R) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log(|z|/R) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{|z/R - 1/R^2|}{\left| 1 - 1/(R\bar{z}) \right|} \right) = 0$$

and this again holds for all $|w| = R^{-1}$ by rotational invariance. The lemma follows from the uniqueness of the Green's function. \square

We evaluate the integral of the Green's function along the circle $z \in \mathcal{C}_r$ with $1/R \leq r \leq R$. These integrals will be used later in Section 4 to estimate the number of zeros of $D_n(z, E)$, and the Riesz mass of $v_n(z, E)$ in Section 5.

Lemma 3.2. For $1/R \leq r \leq R$ and $w \in A_R$, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} I(\log r, \log R, w) &:= 2\pi \int_0^1 G_R(re^{2\pi i\theta}, w) d\theta \\ &= (2 \log R)^{-1} \begin{cases} \log(rR) \log |w/R|, & \text{if } |w| \geq r \\ \log(r/R) \log |wR|, & \text{if } |w| < r. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (3.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \int_0^1 G_R(re^{2\pi i\theta}, w) d\theta &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |re^{i\theta} - w| d\theta + \int_0^{2\pi} H_R(re^{i\theta}, w) d\theta \\ &=: J_1(w) + J_2(w) \end{aligned}$$

where $J_1(w) = \log |w|$ if $|w| \geq r$ and $J_1(w) = \log r$ if $|w| \leq r$. $J_2(w)$ is harmonic in $w \in A_R$, radial, and continuous on $\overline{A_R}$. Thus, $J_2(w) = a \log |w| + b$. Setting $|w| = R$, respectively $|w| = R^{-1}$ shows that

$$J_2(w) = \frac{\log(r/R)}{2 \log R} \log(|w|/R) - \log R$$

which implies (3.11). □

3.2. Effective Riesz representation. We now turn to the basic Riesz representation of subharmonic functions. In contrast to [GS1, GS2], which analyzed supercritical cocycles by means of potential theory on small disks, here we conduct this analysis globally on annuli.

Lemma 3.3. Let v be a subharmonic function in a neighborhood of $\overline{A_R}$, and assume $v|_{\partial A_R}$ is a continuous function. Let G_R be the Green's function for A_R , as in (3.9). There exists a positive finite measure μ on A_R , and a harmonic function h_R on A_R , such that

$$v(w) = \int_{A_R} 2\pi G_R(z, w) \mu(dz) + h_R(w),$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad h_R(w) = \int_{\partial A_R} v(z) \nu(w, A_R)(dz),$$

where $\nu(w, A_R)$ is the harmonic measure of A_R with pole at w . In particular,

$$h_R(z) = v(z), \text{ for } z \in \partial A_R.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that v is smooth. If this is not the case, we convolve v with a radial nonnegative mollifier. The submean property then guarantees monotone convergence. We skip these technical details.

By Green's second identify, with the Green's function G_R defined in (3.9),

$$v(w) - \int_{A_R} G_R(z, w) \Delta v(z) m(dz) = \int_{\partial A_R} v(z) \frac{\partial G_R}{\partial n_z}(z, w) \sigma(dz),$$

where m is Lebesgue measure and σ is the (unnormalized) arclength measure on ∂A_R . Since v is smooth and subharmonic, Δv is a non-negative, continuous function, and defines a positive measure $2\pi\mu = \Delta v m$. Therefore

$$v(w) = \int_{A_R} 2\pi G_R(z, w) \mu(dz) + h_R(w),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_R(w) &:= \int_{\partial A_R} v(z) \frac{\partial G_R}{\partial n_z}(z, w) \sigma(dz) \\ &= \int_{\partial A_R} v(z) \nu(w, A_R)(dz) \end{aligned}$$

is the harmonic part. □

Remark 3.4. *By the maximum principle, we have*

$$(3.13) \quad \sup_{w \in A_R} h_R(w) \leq \max_{z \in \partial A_R} v(z).$$

The harmonic measure of the annulus A_R can be computed explicitly from G_R above, but we have no need for that. We only require a basic well-known bound on the density of the harmonic measure, namely that it is controlled by the inverse distance to ∂A_R .

4. RIESZ REPRESENTATION FOR u_n

Recall that $D_n(z, E)$ is a holomorphic function in $A_{e^{2\pi\eta}}$. For $0 \leq \varepsilon < \eta$, let

$$N_n(E, \varepsilon) := \#\{z \in \overline{A_{e^{2\pi\varepsilon}}} : D_n(z, E) = 0\},$$

in particular

$$N_n(E, 0) = \#\{z \in \mathcal{C}_1 : D_n(z, E) = 0\}.$$

4.1. Avila's acceleration via a zero count. We establish the following more general form of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 4.1. *For some energy $E \in \mathbb{R}$ assume that $L(E, 0) \geq \tau > 0$. Assume further that $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \eta$ is such that there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $[\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1] \subset [0, \eta)$, $D_n(z, E)$ is zero-free on $\partial A_{e^{2\pi i(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1)}}$, and*

$$(4.14) \quad \kappa(E, \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon) \equiv \kappa,$$

for $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_1$. Then for the constant $\gamma_1 > 0$ in Lemma 2.5, for $n > N(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha, \gamma_1)$ and some absolute constant $C_1 > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2n} N_n(E, \varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_1) - \kappa \right| \leq C_1 \varepsilon_1^{-2} n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

Remark 4.2. *Suppose that for $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \eta)$ there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that $(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1) \subset [0, \eta)$ and for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$,*

$$\kappa(E, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon) \equiv \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 \equiv \kappa(E, \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon).$$

Then Theorem 4.1 yields for $n > N(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha, \gamma_1)$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2n} \left(N_n(E, \varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_1) - N_n(E, \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_1) \right) - (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) \right| \leq C_1 \varepsilon_1^{-2} n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

Hence one can also characterize the change of slopes of the piece-wise linear function $L(E, \varepsilon)$ in terms of zero counts of $D_n(z, E)$.

Proof. In the proof we shall omit the dependence of various parameters on E for simplicity. By the assumption (4.14) and Theorem 2.4, one has for $0 \leq |\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_1$,

$$(4.15) \quad L(\varepsilon_0) + 2\pi\kappa\varepsilon \leq L(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon) \leq L_n(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon) \leq L(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon) + \frac{C}{n} = L(\varepsilon_0) + 2\pi\kappa\varepsilon + \frac{C}{n}.$$

Let $\tilde{R} := e^{2\pi(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1)}$ and $\tilde{N} := N_n(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1)$. Denote by $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\tilde{N}}$ the zeros of $D_n(z)$ in $A_{\tilde{R}}$. Define

$$G_{\tilde{R},n}(z) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{N}} G_{\tilde{R}}(z, w_k),$$

where $G_R(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Green's function in (3.9). Lemma 3.3, with $R = \tilde{R}$, applied to u_n yields

$$(4.16) \quad u_n(z) = 2\pi G_{\tilde{R},n}(z) + h_{\tilde{R},n}(z),$$

First, we estimate the harmonic part.

Lemma 4.3. *With the constant γ_1 in Lemma 2.5 and the constant C_0 in Lemma 2.3, for $z \in A_{e^{2\pi\varepsilon}}$, $0 \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1$, and $n > N(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha)$*

$$(4.17) \quad L_n(E, \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1) - \frac{C}{\tilde{R} - e^{2\pi\varepsilon}} n^{-\gamma_1} \leq h_{\tilde{R},n}(z, E) \leq L_n(E, \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1) + C \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n},$$

Proof. In the proof we shall omit the dependence on E for simplicity. Note that the harmonic part satisfies $h_{\tilde{R},n} = u_n$ on $\partial A_{\tilde{R}}$, due to (??). By Lemma 2.3 and (2.6), one has that for $r = \tilde{R}$ or $1/\tilde{R}$ and n large, uniformly in θ ,

$$h_{\tilde{R},n}(re^{2\pi i\theta}) = u_n(re^{2\pi i\theta}) \leq v_n(re^{2\pi i\theta}) \leq L_n(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1) + C \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n}.$$

Hence by the maximum principle (3.13),

$$(4.18) \quad h_{\tilde{R},n}(z) \leq L_n(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1) + C \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n}, \text{ for } z \in \overline{A_{\tilde{R}}}.$$

We also have by Lemma 2.5 that for n large enough,

$$(4.19) \quad \int_0^1 h_{\tilde{R},n}(\tilde{R}e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta = \int_0^1 u_n(\tilde{R}e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta \geq L_n(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1) - \frac{1}{n^{\gamma_1}}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{h}_{\tilde{R},n}(z) := L_n(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1) + C \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n} - h_{\tilde{R},n}(z) \geq 0,$$

where we invoked (4.18). In view of (4.19), for n large,

$$(4.20) \quad \int_0^1 \tilde{h}_{\tilde{R},n}(\tilde{R}e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta \leq \frac{2}{n^{\gamma_1}}.$$

By (3.12) and (4.20), and the well-known estimate on the harmonic measure

$$0 \leq \frac{d\nu(w, A_R)(z)}{d\sigma(z)} \leq C(\text{dist}(w, \partial A_R))^{-1},$$

with arclength measure σ , one has that for $z \in A_{e^{2\pi\varepsilon}}$, with $0 \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1$,

$$0 \leq \tilde{h}_{\tilde{R},n}(z) \leq \frac{C}{\tilde{R} - e^{2\pi\varepsilon}} \int_0^1 \tilde{h}_{\tilde{R},n}(\tilde{R}e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta \leq \frac{C}{\tilde{R} - e^{2\pi\varepsilon}} n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

This combined with (4.18) yields the claimed result. \square

Next, we evaluate the integrals of $G_{\tilde{R},n}(z)$ along circles. For $1 \leq r \leq \tilde{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(\log r, \log \tilde{R}) &:= \int_0^1 2\pi G_{\tilde{R},n}(re^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{N}} I(\log r, \log \tilde{R}, w_k), \end{aligned}$$

where $I(\log r, \log \tilde{R}, w)$ is defined as in (3.11). By (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(\log r, \log \tilde{R}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{|w_k| > r} \frac{\log r + \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log \frac{|w_k|}{\tilde{R}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{|w_k| < 1/r} \frac{\log r - \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log |w_k \tilde{R}| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1/r \leq |w_k| \leq r} \frac{\log r - \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log |w_k \tilde{R}|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Fact 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|w_k| > r} \frac{\log r + \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log \frac{|w_k|}{\tilde{R}} + \sum_{|w_k| < 1/r} \frac{\log r - \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log |w_k \tilde{R}| \\ &= \sum_{|w_k| > r} \left(\frac{\log r + \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log \frac{|w_k|}{\tilde{R}} + \frac{\log r - \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log \frac{\tilde{R}}{|w_k|} \right) \\ &= \sum_{|w_k| > r} \log \frac{|w_k|}{\tilde{R}} = - \sum_k \int_r^{\tilde{R}} \chi_{[|w_k|, \tilde{R})}(x) \frac{dx}{x} \\ (4.21) \quad &= - \int_r^{\tilde{R}} \#\{w_k : r < |w_k| \leq x\} \frac{dx}{x} \end{aligned}$$

where χ is the characteristic function. By Fact 2.1,

$$\#\{w_k : r < |w_k| \leq x\} = \frac{1}{2} \left[N_n\left(\frac{\log x}{2\pi}\right) - N_n\left(\frac{\log r}{2\pi}\right) \right].$$

Plugging this into (4.21) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|w_k| > r} \frac{\log r + \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log \frac{|w_k|}{\tilde{R}} + \sum_{|w_k| < 1/r} \frac{\log r - \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log |w_k \tilde{R}| \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \int_r^{\tilde{R}} \left(N_n\left(\frac{\log x}{2\pi}\right) - N_n\left(\frac{\log r}{2\pi}\right) \right) \frac{dx}{x} \\ (4.22) \quad &= - \pi \int_{\frac{\log r}{2\pi}}^{\frac{\log \tilde{R}}{2\pi}} \left(N_n(\varepsilon) - N_n\left(\frac{\log r}{2\pi}\right) \right) d\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1/r \leq |w_k| \leq r} \frac{\log r - \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log |w_k \tilde{R}| \\ &= \sum_{1 < |w_k| \leq r} \frac{\log r - \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log |w_k \tilde{R}| + \sum_{1/r \leq |w_k| < 1} \frac{\log r - \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log |w_k \tilde{R}| \\ &\quad + \sum_{|w_k|=1} \frac{\log r - \log \tilde{R}}{2 \log \tilde{R}} \log \tilde{R} \\ &= \sum_{1 < |w_k| \leq r} (\log r - \log \tilde{R}) + \frac{N_n(0)}{2} (\log r - \log \tilde{R}) \\ (4.23) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} (\log r - \log \tilde{R}) N_n\left(\frac{\log r}{2\pi}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combing (4.22) and (4.23) yields

$$(4.24) \quad I_n(\log r, \log \tilde{R}) = -\frac{\pi}{n} \int_{\frac{\log r}{2\pi}}^{\frac{\log \tilde{R}}{2\pi}} N_n(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon.$$

Integrating (4.16) along $z \in \mathcal{C}_{r_j}$, $1 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq \tilde{R}$, and combining with (4.24), one obtains

$$\int_0^1 u_n(r_j e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta = -\frac{\pi}{n} \int_{\frac{\log r_j}{2\pi}}^{\frac{\log \tilde{R}}{2\pi}} N_n(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon + \int_0^1 h_{\tilde{R},n}(r_j e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Taking the difference of the equations above between r_1 and r_2 , we arrive at

$$(4.25) \quad \int_0^1 u_n(r_2 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta - \int_0^1 u_n(r_1 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta = \frac{\pi}{n} \int_{\frac{\log r_1}{2\pi}}^{\frac{\log r_2}{2\pi}} N_n(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon + \int_0^1 h_{\tilde{R},n}(r_2 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta - \int_0^1 h_{\tilde{R},n}(r_1 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have for n large,

$$(4.26) \quad \int_0^1 u_n(r_j e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta \geq L_n\left(\frac{\log r_j}{2\pi}\right) - \frac{1}{n^{\gamma_1}}.$$

while it follows from Lemma 2.3 and (2.6) that for $C = C(\tau, E, f, \eta, c, a) > 0$ and $C_0 = C_0(a)$,

$$(4.27) \quad u_n(r_j e^{2\pi i\theta}) \leq v_n(r_j e^{2\pi i\theta}) \leq L_n\left(\frac{\log r_j}{2\pi}\right) + C \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n}.$$

By Lemma 4.3, one has for n large,

$$\left| \int_0^1 h_{\tilde{R},n}(r_2 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta - \int_0^1 h_{\tilde{R},n}(r_1 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta \right| \leq \frac{C}{\tilde{R} - r_2} n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

Hence plugging the estimates (4.26) and (4.27) into (4.25), one concludes that for n large,

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2n}(\log r_2 - \log r_1) N_n\left(\frac{\log r_1}{2\pi}\right) &\leq \frac{\pi}{n} \int_{\frac{\log r_1}{2\pi}}^{\frac{\log r_2}{2\pi}} N_n(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon \\ &\leq L_n\left(\frac{\log r_2}{2\pi}\right) - L_n\left(\frac{\log r_1}{2\pi}\right) + \frac{C}{\tilde{R} - r_2} n^{-\gamma_1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2n}(\log r_2 - \log r_1) N_n\left(\frac{\log r_2}{2\pi}\right) &\geq \frac{\pi}{n} \int_{\frac{\log r_1}{2\pi}}^{\frac{\log r_2}{2\pi}} N_n(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon \\ &\geq L_n\left(\frac{\log r_2}{2\pi}\right) - L_n\left(\frac{\log r_1}{2\pi}\right) - \frac{C}{\tilde{R} - r_2} n^{-\gamma_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $r_1 = \exp(2\pi(\varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_1))$ and $r_2 = \exp(2\pi(\varepsilon_0 + \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{3}))$ in (4.28) yields

$$(4.30) \quad \frac{\pi\varepsilon_1}{3n} N_n(\varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_1) \leq L_n(\varepsilon_0 + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_1) - L_n(\varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_1) + C\varepsilon_1^{-1} n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

Setting $r_1 = \exp(2\pi\varepsilon_0)$ and $r_2 = \exp(2\pi(\varepsilon_0 + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{3}))$ in (4.29) yields

$$(4.31) \quad \frac{\pi\varepsilon_1}{3n} N_n(\varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_1) \geq L_n(\varepsilon_0 + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{3}) - L_n(\varepsilon_0) - C\varepsilon_1^{-1} n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

Combining (4.30), (4.31) with (4.15), we infer that for some absolute constant $C_1 > 0$ and $n > N(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha, \gamma_1)$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2n} N_n(\varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_1) - \kappa \right| \leq C_1 \varepsilon_1^{-2} n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

This proves the claimed result. \square

4.2. Riesz representation for u_n via zeros. We can now draw the following conclusions about the structure of the logarithms of $|D_n|$ where D_n are the determinants in finite volume.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $L(E, 0) \geq \tau > 0$. Suppose $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \eta)$ satisfies*

$$L(E, \varepsilon) = L(E, 0) + 2\pi\kappa(E, 0)\varepsilon,$$

for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2$, and $D_n(z, E)$ is zero-free on $\partial A_{e^{2\pi\varepsilon_2}}$. Let $R = e^{2\pi\varepsilon_2}$ and $w_1, \dots, w_{N_n(E, \varepsilon_2)}$ be the zeros of $D_n(z, E)$ in A_R and define

$$G_{R,n}(z, E) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N_n(E, \varepsilon_2)} G_R(z, w_k),$$

where G_R is the Green's function in (3.9). Then

$$u_n(z, E) = 2\pi G_{R,n}(z, E) + h_{R,n}(z, E),$$

where the harmonic part satisfies $h_{R,n} = u_n$ on ∂A_R . Furthermore,

- with the constant γ_1 in Lemma 2.5 and the constant C_0 in Lemma 2.3, for any $z \in A_r$, $1 \leq r < R$, and $n > N(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha)$ the harmonic part satisfies

$$L_n(E, \varepsilon_2) - \frac{C}{R-r} \frac{1}{n^{\gamma_1}} \leq h_{R,n}(z, E) \leq L_n(E, \varepsilon_2) + C \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n},$$

for some constant $C = C(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha) > 0$.

- with the constant γ_1 in Lemma 2.5 and some absolute constant $C > 0$, for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_2$, and $n > N(\tau, E, f, \eta, \alpha, \gamma_1)$

$$(4.32) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2n} N_n(E, \varepsilon) - \kappa(E, 0) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-2} n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

In particular, when the acceleration $\kappa(E, 0) = 1$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2n} N_n(E, \varepsilon) - 1 \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-2} n^{-\gamma_1}.$$

Proof. Taking $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 = \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_2$, it is clear that (4.32) follows from Theorem 4.1. Taking $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_2$, (4.17) follows from Lemma 4.3. \square

5. RIESZ REPRESENTATION FOR v_n

It is natural to ask if the acceleration can be characterized by the Riesz mass of the function v_n rather than through the number of zeros of D_n , or equivalently, the Riesz mass of u_n . For future reference, we show here that this is indeed the case.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $L(E, 0) \geq \tau > 0$. Let $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \eta)$ be such that*

$$(5.33) \quad L(E, \varepsilon) = L(E, 0) + 2\pi\kappa(E, 0)\varepsilon,$$

for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2$ and set $R = e^{2\pi\varepsilon_2}$. Then

$$(5.34) \quad v_n(w, E) = \int_{A_R} 2\pi G_R(z, w) \mu_{n,E}(dz) + h_{R,n}(w, E),$$

where the harmonic part satisfies $h_{R,n} = v_n$ on ∂A_R . Furthermore, for any $1 < r < R^{1/3}$, for some constant $C > 0$, and n large,

$$|\mu_{n,E}(A_r) - 2\kappa(E, 0)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_2^{-1}}{\log r} \cdot \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n}.$$

With the constant C_0 in Lemma 2.3 and some constant $C > 0$, for any $1 \leq r < R$ and n large enough

$$(5.35) \quad L_n(E, \varepsilon_2) - \frac{C}{R-r} \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n} \leq h_{R,n}(w, E) \leq L_n(E, \varepsilon_2) + C \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n}$$

for all $w \in A_r$.

Proof. We omit the dependence on E in the proof for simplicity. The analysis of the harmonic part (5.35) is similar to that of (4.17), and we leave the details to the reader. Integrating (5.34) along $w \in C_r$ and C_{r^2} , $1 < r \leq R^{1/3}$, and subtracting one from the other yields in analogy to (4.25) that

$$(5.36) \quad \begin{aligned} L_n\left(\frac{2 \log r}{2\pi}\right) - L_n\left(\frac{\log r}{2\pi}\right) &= \int_0^1 v_n(r^2 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta - \int_0^1 v_n(r e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta \\ &= \int_{A_R} \left(\int_0^1 2\pi G_R(z, r^2 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta - \int_0^1 2\pi G_R(z, r e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right) \mu_{n,E}(dz) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 h_{R,n}(r^2 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta - \int_0^1 h_{R,n}(r e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.4 and (5.33), for large n

$$(5.37) \quad \left| L_n\left(\frac{2 \log r}{2\pi}\right) - L_n\left(\frac{\log r}{2\pi}\right) - \kappa(0) \log r \right| \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

By (5.35),

$$(5.38) \quad \left| \int_0^1 h_{R,n}(r^2 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta - \int_0^1 h_{R,n}(r e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta \right| \leq \frac{C}{R-r^2} \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n}.$$

By Lemma 3.2 and the symmetry $G_R(z, w) = G_R(w, z)$,

$$(5.39) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 2\pi G_R(z, r^2 e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta - \int_0^1 2\pi G_R(z, r e^{2\pi i\theta}) d\theta \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\log r}{2 \log R} \log \frac{|z|}{R} & \text{if } |z| \geq r^2 \\ \frac{\log r - 2 \log R}{2 \log R} \log |z| + \frac{3}{2} \log r, & \text{if } r \leq |z| < r^2 \\ \frac{\log r}{2 \log R} \log(|z|R), & \text{if } |z| < r \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_n(z) = v_n(1/\bar{z})$, and $\Delta v_n = \mu_n$, the measure μ_n exhibits reflection symmetry

$$\mu_n(dz) = \mu_n(d(1/\bar{z})).$$

In combination with (5.39) we conclude that

$$(5.40) \quad \int_{|z| \geq r^2} \frac{\log r}{2 \log R} \log \frac{|z|}{R} \mu_n(dz) + \int_{|z| \leq r^{-2}} \frac{\log r}{2 \log R} \log(|z|R) \mu_n(dz) = 0,$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{r \leq |z| < r^2} \left(\frac{\log r - 2 \log R}{2 \log R} \log |z| + \frac{3}{2} \log r \right) \mu_n(dz) \\
 & + \int_{r^{-2} < |z| \leq r^{-1}} \frac{\log r}{2 \log R} \log(|z|R) \mu_n(dz) \\
 & = \int_{r \leq |z| < r^2} \left(\frac{\log r - 2 \log R}{2 \log R} \log |z| + \frac{3}{2} \log r + \frac{\log r}{2 \log R} \log \frac{R}{|z|} \right) \mu_n(dz) \\
 (5.41) \quad & = \int_{r \leq |z| < r^2} \log \frac{r^2}{|z|} \mu_n(dz),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{1 < |z| < r} \frac{\log r}{2 \log R} \log(|z|R) \mu_n(dz) + \int_{r^{-1} < |z| < 1} \frac{\log r}{2 \log R} \log(|z|R) \mu_n(dz) + \int_{|z|=1} \frac{\log r}{2} \mu_n(dz) \\
 (5.42) \quad & = \frac{\log r}{2} \cdot \mu_n(A_r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.37), (5.38), (5.40), (5.41) and (5.42) with (5.36), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.43) \quad \kappa(0) \log r - \frac{C}{R - r^2} \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n} & \leq \frac{\log r}{2} \cdot \mu_n(A_r) + \int_{r \leq |z| < r^2} \log \frac{r^2}{|z|} \mu_n(dz) \\
 & \leq \frac{\log r}{2} \cdot \mu_n(A_r) + \log r \cdot \mu_n(r \leq |z| < r^2) \\
 & \leq \frac{\log r}{2} \cdot \mu_n(A_{r^2}),
 \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.44) \quad \kappa(0) \log r + \frac{C}{R - r^2} \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n} & \geq \frac{\log r}{2} \cdot \mu_n(A_r) + \int_{r \leq |z| < r^2} \log \frac{r^2}{|z|} \mu_n(dz) \\
 & \geq \frac{\log r}{2} \mu_n(A_r).
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (5.43) (replacing r^2 with r) and (5.44) one has

$$|\mu_n(A_r) - 2\kappa(0)| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_2^{-1}}{\log r} \cdot \frac{(\log n)^{C_0}}{n}.$$

This proves the claimed result. \square

6. ANDERSON LOCALIZATION

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.6. Let $E \in S_2^+$. Then $L(E, 0) = \tau > 0$ and there exists $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \eta)$ such that

$$(6.45) \quad L(E, \varepsilon) = L(E, 0) + 2\pi\varepsilon,$$

for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2$ and $D_n(z, E)$ is zero-free on $\partial A_{e^{2\pi\varepsilon_2}}$. Let $R = e^{2\pi\varepsilon_2}$. Note that since the potential f is assumed to be even, $f(\theta) = f(-\theta)$, and thus $D_n(\theta - \frac{n-1}{2}\alpha, E) = D_n(-\theta - \frac{n-1}{2}\alpha, E)$ as well as

$$(6.46) \quad D_n(z \cdot e^{-\pi i(n-1)\alpha}, E) = D_n(e^{-\pi i(n-1)\alpha}/z, E), \text{ for } z \in A_R.$$

We have by (6.46) the following

Fact 6.1. *If $w \in A_R$ is a zero of $D_n(z, E)$, then $e^{-2\pi i(n-1)\alpha}/w$ is also a zero.*

In the following, we shall fix an energy E and omit the dependence on E for simplicity. We shall also write $L_n(E, 0) = L_n$ and $L(E, 0) = L$.

6.1. Geometric structure of the large deviation set. Let ε be a small constant such that $0 < \varepsilon < \min(L(E, 0)/20, \varepsilon_2)$, and we set $R' := e^{2\pi\varepsilon}$ and $N' := N_n(\varepsilon)$ for simplicity. Let $w_1, \dots, w_{N'}$ be the zeros of $D_n(z)$ in $A_{R'}$. By the Riesz representation theorem, see Theorem 4.4, applied to u_n with R' instead of R ,

$$u_n(z) = G_{R',n}(z) + h_{R',n}(z).$$

We need to control the complexity of the large deviation set

$$(6.47) \quad \mathcal{B}_n := \{\theta \in \mathbb{T} : u_n(e^{2\pi i\theta}) < L_n - n^{-\gamma_2}\},$$

where $\gamma_2 > 0$ is the constant in Lemma 2.6. We are dropping E from some of the notation for simplicity.

Lemma 6.2. *For n large enough, there exists an integer $N'' \leq \frac{N'}{2} + 1$, and a collection $\mathcal{F}_n = \{U_j\}_{j=1}^{N''}$ intervals in \mathbb{T} such that*

$$\mathcal{B}_n \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N''} (U_j \cup (-(n-1)\alpha - U_j)),$$

where the notation $x - U := \{x - \theta : \theta \in U\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and $U \subseteq \mathbb{T}$. Furthermore, for each $1 \leq j \leq N''$,

$$|U_j| \leq e^{-n\gamma_2/2},$$

where $\gamma_2 > 0$ is the constant in Lemma 2.6.

Proof. This essentially follows from combining Theorem 1.2 and [GS2, Lemma 2.17]. We first recall Cartan's estimate [L, Theorem 4, Page 79] as it appears in [GS2, Lemma 2.15]

Definition (Cartan set). *For an arbitrary subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}(z_0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{D}(z_0, 1)$ is the disk, we say that $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Car}(H, K)$ if $\mathcal{P} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{k_0} \mathcal{D}(z_k, r_k)$ with $k_0 \leq K$, and*

$$(6.48) \quad \sum_j r_j < e^{-H}.$$

By Wiener's covering lemma we can assume that $\mathcal{D}(z_k, r_k)$ are pairwise disjoint, at the expense of a factor of 3 in (6.48).

Lemma 6.3. *Let φ be an analytic function defined in a disk $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(z_0, 1)$. Let $M \geq \sup_{z \in \mathcal{D}} \log |\varphi(z)|$, $m \leq \log |\varphi(z_0)|$. Given $H \gg 1$, there exists a set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Car}(H, K)$, $K = CH(M - m)$ for some absolute constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$\log |\varphi(z)| > M - CH(M - m),$$

for any $z \in \mathcal{D}(z_0, 1/6) \setminus \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. See [GS2, Lemma 2.15]. □

By Lemma 2.6, for n large enough, we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_n| \leq e^{-n\gamma_2}.$$

Hence we can find $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^{j_n}$ such that for any j , $\theta_j \notin \mathcal{B}_n$, and also $j_n \leq 20n$, $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_j (\theta_j - \frac{1}{12n}, \theta_j + \frac{1}{12n})$, and thus

$$(6.49) \quad \mathcal{C}_1 \subset \bigcup_j \mathcal{D}(e^{2\pi i\theta_j}, \frac{\pi}{6n}).$$

Let $z_{0,j} := e^{2\pi i\theta_j}$. Consider $\varphi_j(z) := D_n(\frac{2\pi}{n}(z - z_{0,j}) + z_{0,j}, E)$ as an analytic function on $\mathcal{D}_j := \mathcal{D}(z_{0,j}, 1)$. Since $\theta_j \notin \mathcal{B}_n$, we have

$$(6.50) \quad \log |\varphi_j(z_{0,j})| = n \cdot u_n(e^{2\pi i\theta_j}) \geq nL_n - n^{1-\gamma_2}.$$

Also since for $z \in \mathcal{D}_j$, we have $|\frac{2\pi}{n}(z - z_{0,j}) + z_{0,j}| \in [1 - \frac{2\pi}{n}, 1 + \frac{2\pi}{n}]$, hence

$$\frac{2\pi}{n}(z - z_{0,j}) + z_{0,j} \in A_{e^{4\pi/n}}.$$

Hence by (2.6), and Lemma 2.3, we have for $z \in \mathcal{D}_j$,

$$(6.51) \quad \log |\varphi_j(z)| \leq \sup_{w \in A_{e^{4\pi/n}}} n \cdot v_n(w) \leq \sup_{|\varepsilon| \leq 2/n} nL_n(\varepsilon) + C(\log n)^{C_0}.$$

By Theorem 2.4 and (6.45), we have

$$(6.52) \quad \sup_{|\varepsilon| \leq 2/n} L_n(\varepsilon) \leq L_n + \frac{C}{n}.$$

Hence for $z \in \mathcal{D}_j$, by (6.51) and (6.52), we have

$$(6.53) \quad \log |\varphi_j(z)| \leq nL_n + C(\log n)^{C_0}.$$

By Lemma 6.3 with $H = n^{\gamma_2/2}$ and the estimates in (6.50) and (6.53), there exists $\mathcal{P}_j \subset \mathcal{D}_j$, $\mathcal{P}_j \in \text{Car}(H, K)$, $K = CH(M - m)$ such that

$$(6.54) \quad \log |\varphi_j(z)| > nL_n + C(\log n)^{C_0} - CH(C(\log n)^{C_0} + n^{1-\gamma_2}) > nL_n - C_1 n^{1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2},$$

for some constant C_1 and any $z \in \mathcal{D}(z_{0,j}, 1/6) \setminus \mathcal{P}_j$. Next we show

Lemma 6.4. *For any θ_0 , such that*

$$(6.55) \quad u_n(e^{2\pi i\theta_0}) < L_n - C_1 n^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2},$$

there exists a zero w_ℓ of $D_n(z)$, such that

$$(6.56) \quad |e^{2\pi i\theta_0} - w_\ell| \leq \frac{4\pi}{n} e^{-n^{\gamma_2/2}}.$$

Proof. By (6.49), there exists θ_{j^*} , $1 \leq j^* \leq j_n$, such that

$$|e^{2\pi i\theta_0} - e^{2\pi i\theta_{j^*}}| \leq \frac{\pi}{6n},$$

which implies

$$(6.57) \quad z_{0,j^*} + \frac{n}{2\pi}(e^{2\pi i\theta_0} - z_{0,j^*}) \in \mathcal{D}_{j^*}(z_{0,j^*}, \frac{1}{12}).$$

Then by (6.55) and that

$$\log |\varphi_{j^*}(z_{0,j^*} + \frac{n}{2\pi}(e^{2\pi i\theta_0} - z_{0,j^*}))| = n \cdot u_n(e^{2\pi i\theta_0}),$$

whence

$$(6.58) \quad \log |\varphi_{j^*}(z_{0,j^*} + \frac{n}{2\pi}(e^{2\pi i\theta_0} - z_{0,j^*}))| < nL_n - C_1 n^{1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2}.$$

By (6.54), it is necessary that

$$z_{0,j^*} + \frac{n}{2\pi}(e^{2\pi i\theta_0} - z_{0,j^*}) \in \mathcal{P}_{j^*} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{k_*} \mathcal{D}(z_{k,j^*}, r_{k,j^*}).$$

Let k_0 be such that

$$(6.59) \quad z_{0,j^*} + \frac{n}{2\pi}(e^{2\pi i\theta_0} - z_{0,j^*}) \in \mathcal{D}_{j^*}(z_{0,j^*}, \frac{1}{12}) \cap \mathcal{D}(z_{k_0,j^*}, r_{k_0,j^*}).$$

Then since $r_{k_0, j_*} \ll 1/6$,

$$\mathcal{D}(z_{k_0, j_*}, r_{k_0, j_*}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{j_*}(z_{0, j_*}, \frac{1}{6}).$$

Hence by (6.54), we have

$$(6.60) \quad \log |\varphi_j(z)| \geq nL_n - C_1 n^{1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2}, \text{ for } z \in \partial\mathcal{D}(z_{k_0, j_*}, r_{k_0, j_*}).$$

Assume $\phi_j(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathcal{D}_{j_*}(z_{0, j_*}, \frac{1}{6})$. Then, by the maximal principle for harmonic functions, it follows from (6.60) that

$$\log |\varphi_j(z)| \geq nL_n - C_1 n^{1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2}, \text{ for } z \in \mathcal{D}(z_{k_0, j_*}, r_{k_0, j_*})$$

But this leads to a contradiction with (6.57) and (6.58). Hence

$$\varphi_j(\tilde{z}) = D_n\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}(\tilde{z} - z_{0, j_*}) + z_{0, j_*}, E\right) = 0$$

for some $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{D}(z_{k_0, j_*}, r_{k_0, j_*})$. This implies

$$(6.61) \quad \mathcal{D}(z_{k_0, j_*}, r_{k_0, j_*}) \ni \tilde{z} = z_{0, j_*} + \frac{n}{2\pi}(w_\ell - z_{0, j_*}), \text{ for some } \ell.$$

Combining (6.59) with (6.61), we have

$$\frac{n}{2\pi} \left| w_\ell - e^{2\pi i \theta_0} \right| \leq 2r_{k_0, j_*} \leq 2e^{-H} = 2e^{-n\gamma_2/2}.$$

This proves (6.56). □

By Lemma 6.4 and Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_n \subset \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N'} \{\theta \in \mathbb{T} : |e^{2\pi i \theta} - w_\ell| \leq e^{-n\gamma_2/2}\}.$$

By Fact 6.1,

$$\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N'} \{\theta \in \mathbb{T} : |e^{2\pi i \theta} - w_\ell| \leq e^{-n\gamma_2/2}\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N''} (U_j \cup (-(n-1)\alpha - U_j)),$$

for some $N'' \leq \frac{N'}{2} + 1$, as claimed by Lemma 6.2. □

6.2. Proof of Anderson localization. To prove Anderson localization, by Shnol's theorem [B, Sim, Sch], it suffices to show that any generalized eigenfunction ϕ with the property that

$$(6.62) \quad \max(|\phi_0|, |\phi_{-1}|) = 1, \text{ and } |\phi_y| \leq C|y|,$$

decays exponentially. In the following, let ϕ be a solution of $H_{\alpha, \theta}\phi = E\phi$, satisfying (6.62). Combining Theorem 4.4 (with $\kappa = 1$) with Lemma 6.2 yields

$$\mathcal{B}_n \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N''} (U_j \cup (-(n-1)\alpha - U_j)),$$

with $N'' \leq n + C\varepsilon_2^{-1}n^{1-\gamma_1}$. Furthermore, for each j , one has the measure estimate

$$(6.63) \quad |U_j| \leq e^{-n\gamma_2}.$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $[x]$ be the integer part of x .

Lemma 6.5. *For any n large enough, and any $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that¹ $n < y < 10n$, let*

$$I_1 := \left[-\left\lceil \frac{7}{8}n \right\rceil, -\left\lfloor \frac{1}{8}n \right\rfloor\right]$$

$$I_2 := \left[y - \left\lceil \frac{7}{8}n \right\rceil, y - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{8}n \right\rfloor\right]$$

There exists $\ell \in I_1 \cup I_2$ such that

$$\theta + \ell\alpha \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{N''} (U_j \cup ((n-1)\alpha - U_j)).$$

Proof. Note that the cardinality

$$\#I_1 + \#I_2 \geq \frac{3}{2}n - 2 \geq N'',$$

for n large enough. It suffices to prove each pair $U_j \cup (-(n-1)\alpha - U_j)$ consists of at most one point in $\{\theta + \ell\alpha\}_{\ell \in I_1 \cup I_2}$. We argue by contradiction, suppose there exist ℓ_1, ℓ_2 such that

$$\theta + \ell_1\alpha \in U_j, \text{ and } \theta + \ell_2\alpha \in U_j.$$

Then, since $\alpha \in \text{DC}_{c,a}$ and that $|\ell_1 - \ell_2| < 11n$,

$$|U_j| \geq \|\theta + \ell_1\alpha - (\theta + \ell_2\alpha)\|_{\mathbb{T}} = \|(\ell_1 - \ell_2)\alpha\|_{\mathbb{T}} \geq \frac{c''}{n(\log n)^a},$$

for some constant c'' depending on c . But this contradicts with (6.63). The case when

$$\theta + \ell_1\alpha \in (-(n-1)\alpha - U_j), \text{ and } \theta + \ell_2\alpha \in (-(n-1)\alpha - U_j).$$

is similar. Suppose there exist ℓ_1, ℓ_2 such that

$$\theta + \ell_1\alpha \in U_j, \text{ and } \theta + \ell_2\alpha \in (-(n-1)\alpha - U_j).$$

Since $\theta \in (\Theta_{c',b})^c$, for k large enough, one has

$$\|2\theta + k\alpha\|_{\mathbb{T}} \geq \frac{c'}{|k|^b}.$$

Using that $n/4 \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2 + n \leq 11n$, we infer that

$$|U_j| \geq \|\theta + \ell_1\alpha - (-\theta - \ell_2\alpha - (n-1)\alpha)\|_{\mathbb{T}} = \|2\theta + (\ell_1 + \ell_2 + n - 1)\alpha\|_{\mathbb{T}} \geq \frac{\tilde{c}}{n^b},$$

for some constant \tilde{c} depending on c' . This contradicts with (6.63) again. Thus the claimed results hold. \square

Next, we show the following.

Lemma 6.6. *For any $\ell \in I_1$, one has $\theta + \ell\alpha \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{N''} (U_j \cup (-(n-1)\alpha - U_j))$.*

Proof. Argue by contradiction. Suppose there exists $\ell_1 \in I_1$ such that

$$\theta + \ell_1\alpha \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{N''} (U_j \cup (-(n-1)\alpha - U_j)).$$

By Lemma 6.2, it is necessary that $\theta + \ell_1\alpha \notin \mathcal{B}_n$, which implies

$$(6.64) \quad \frac{1}{n} \log |D_n(\theta + \ell_1\alpha)| = u_n(e^{2\pi i(\theta + \ell_1\alpha)}) \geq L_n - n^{-\gamma_2/2} \geq L - \varepsilon,$$

¹The proof for negative y is analogous by symmetry.

where we used that $L_n \geq L$ due to Theorem 2.4. Let $\ell_2 := \ell_1 + n - 1$. By Lemma 2.3, Theorem 2.4 and (2.6), we see that for $k > k(\varepsilon)$ large enough

$$(6.65) \quad \frac{1}{k} \log |D_k(\theta)| = u_k(e^{i\theta}) \leq v_k(e^{i\theta}) \leq L_k + C \frac{(\log k)^{C_0}}{k} \leq L + \varepsilon.$$

Combining (6.64), (6.65) with (2.8),

$$(6.66) \quad \begin{aligned} |\phi_0| &\leq \frac{|D_{\ell_2}(\theta + \alpha)|}{|D_n(\theta + \ell_1\alpha)|} |\phi_{\ell_1-1}| + \frac{|D_{-\ell_1}(\theta + \ell_1\alpha)|}{|D_n(\theta + \ell_1\alpha)|} |\phi_{\ell_2+1}| \\ &\leq e^{\ell_1(L-20\varepsilon)} |\phi_{\ell_1-1}| + e^{-\ell_2(L-20\varepsilon)} |\phi_{\ell_2+1}| \\ &\leq C e^{\ell_1(L-20\varepsilon)} |\ell_1| + C e^{-\ell_2(L-20\varepsilon)} |\ell_2| < \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

invoking (6.62) and $\min(|\ell_1|, |\ell_2|) \geq [n/8]$. Similarly, one shows that $|\phi_{-1}| < 1/2$. Hence we arrive at a contradiction with the assumption that $\max(|\phi_0|, |\phi_{-1}|) = 1$. \square

Combining Lemmas 6.5, 6.6 with Lemma 6.2 yields

Corollary 6.7. *There exists $\ell_3 \in I_2$ such that $\theta + \ell_3\alpha \notin \mathcal{B}_n$.*

The proof of Anderson localization then follows from a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.6. Indeed, let $\ell_4 := \ell_3 + n - 1$. Similar to (6.66), we have

$$(6.67) \quad |\phi_y| \leq C e^{-(y-\ell_3)(L-20\varepsilon)} \ell_3 + C e^{-(\ell_4-y)(L-20\varepsilon)} \ell_4.$$

By construction of I_2 ,

$$\min(y - \ell_3, \ell_4 - y) \geq \left\lceil \frac{1}{8}n \right\rceil \geq \frac{1}{90}y, \text{ and } \max(\ell_3, \ell_4) \leq y + \frac{7}{8}n < 2y.$$

Plugging the above estimates into (6.67) yields

$$|\phi_y| \leq e^{-\frac{1}{100}(L-20\varepsilon)y}.$$

This proves the claimed result.

Remark 6.8. *It is possible to modify the proof to show the following asymptotics:*

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{\ln(|\phi_y|^2 + |\phi_{y-1}|^2)}{2|y|} = -L.$$

7. HÖLDER REGULARITY OF THE IDS

We now indicate the modifications needed of [GS2, Theorem 1.1] to prove Theorem 1.3. The main change occurs on page 848, in terms of the zero count of the Determinants D_N in the annulus A_R . In fact, the $2k_0N$ estimate of the total number of zeros in terms of the $k_0 = \deg V$ (where V is the potential function), is now replaced by the sharper Theorem 1.2. Thus, [GS2, Corollary 14.14] can be improved to read

$$k(\widehat{A}_{j_0}, \zeta_{j_0}, r^{(2)}) \leq 2\kappa(E, 0) \leq 2(\ell - 1)$$

for all energies $E \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{S}_j^+$. By the proof of Theorem 1.4 on page 849 of [GS2] we conclude that that theorem holds with $k_0 \leq 2\kappa(E, 0)$ for all $E \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{S}_j^+$. This in turn then improves on [GS2, Lemma 17.5], with the same k_0 . Finally, this improvement of Lemma 17.5 allows for the Hölder exponents stated in Theorem 1.3, see [GS2, Section 18].

REFERENCES

- [Am] Amor, S.H., 2009. *Hölder Continuity of the Rotation Number for Quasi-Periodic Co-Cycles in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$* . Comm. math. phys., 2(287), pp. 565–588.
- [Av1] Avila, A., 2008. *The absolutely continuous spectrum of the almost Mathieu operator*. arXiv preprint arXiv:0810.2965.
- [Av2] Avila, A., 2010. *Almost reducibility and absolute continuity I*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.0704.
- [Av3] Avila, A., 2015. *Global theory of one-frequency Schrödinger operators*. Acta Math., 215 (1), pp. 1–54.
- [AD] Avila, A. and Damanik, D., 2008. *Absolute continuity of the integrated density of states for the almost Mathieu operator with non-critical coupling*. Invent. math., 172(2), pp. 439–453.
- [AJ] Avila, A. and Jitomirskaya, S., 2009. *Almost localization and almost reducibility*. J. Eur. Math. Soc., 12(1), pp. 93–131.
- [AYZ] Avila, A., You, J. and Zhou, Q., 2017. *Sharp phase transitions for the almost Mathieu operator*. Duke Math. J., 166(14), pp. 2697–2718.
- [B] Berezanskiĭ, I.M., 1968. *Expansions in eigenfunctions of selfadjoint operators (Vol. 17)*. AMS.
- [BG] Bourgain, J. and Goldstein, M., 2000. *On nonperturbative localization with quasi-periodic potential*. Ann. of Math., 152 (3), pp. 835–879.
- [DGSV] Damanik, D., Goldstein, M., Schlag, W., Voda, M., 2018. *Homogeneity of the spectrum for quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 20, pp. 3073–3111.
- [DS] Dinaburg, E.I. and Sinai, Y.G., 1975. *The one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with a quasiperiodic potential*. Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 9, no. 4, 8–21.
- [E1] Eliasson, L.H., 1992. *Floquet solutions for the 1-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation*. Comm. math. phys., 146(3), pp. 447–482.
- [E2] Eliasson, L.H., 1997. *Discrete one-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators with pure point spectrum*. Acta Math., 179(2), pp. 153–196.
- [FV] Forman, Y. and VandenBoom, T., 2021. *Localization and Cantor spectrum for quasiperiodic discrete Schrödinger operators with asymmetric, smooth, cosine-like sampling functions*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.05461.
- [FSW] Fröhlich, J., Spencer, T. and Wittwer, P., 1990. *Localization for a class of one-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators*. Comm. math. phys., 132(1), pp. 5–25.
- [GYZ] Ge, L., You, J. and Zhao, X., 2022. *Hölder Regularity of the Integrated Density of States for Quasi-periodic Long-range Operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$* . Communications in Mathematical Physics, 392(2), pp.347–376.
- [GJZ] Ge, L., Jitomirskaya, S. and Zhao, X., 2022. *Stability of the non-critical spectral properties I: arithmetic absolute continuity of the integrated density of states*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.11000.
- [GS1] Goldstein, M. and Schlag, W., 2001. *Hölder continuity of the integrated density of states for quasi-periodic Schrödinger equations and averages of shifts of subharmonic functions*. Ann. of Math., pp. 155–203.
- [GS2] Goldstein, M. and Schlag, W., 2008. *Fine properties of the integrated density of states and a quantitative separation property of the Dirichlet eigenvalues*. Geom. Funct. Anal., 18 (3), pp. 755–869.
- [HZ] Han, R. and Zhang, S., 2022. *Large deviation estimates and Hölder regularity of the Lyapunov exponents for quasi-periodic Schrödinger cocycles*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2022(3), pp. 1666–1713.
- [J1] Jitomirskaya, S.Y., 1994. *Anderson localization for the almost Mathieu equation: a nonperturbative proof*. Comm. math. phys., 165(1), pp. 49–57.
- [J2] Jitomirskaya, S.Y., 1999. *Metal-insulator transition for the almost Mathieu operator*. Ann. of Math., pp. 1159–1175.
- [JL] Jitomirskaya, S. and Liu, W., 2018. *Universal hierarchical structure of quasiperiodic eigenfunctions*. Ann. of Math., 187 (3), pp. 721–776.
- [L] Levin, B.Y., 1996. *Lectures on entire functions (Vol. 150)*. AMS.
- [P] Puig, J., 2004. *Cantor spectrum for the almost Mathieu operator*. Comm. math. phys., 244(2), pp. 297–309.
- [Sch] Schlag, W., 2022. *An introduction to multiscale techniques in the theory of Anderson localization, Part I*. Nonlinear Anal. 220, Paper No. 112869, 55 pp.
- [Sim] Simon, B., 1982. *Schrödinger semigroups*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 7(3), pp. 447–526.
- [Sin] Sinai, Y.G., 1987. *Anderson localization for one-dimensional difference Schrödinger operator with quasiperiodic potential*. Journal stat. phys., 46 (5-6), pp. 861–909.
- [Y] You, J., 2018. *Quantitative almost reducibility and its applications*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians 2018 (pp. 2113–2135).
- [YZ] You, J. and Zhang, S., 2014. *Hölder continuity of the Lyapunov exponent for analytic quasiperiodic Schrödinger cocycle with weak Liouville frequency*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 34(4), pp. 1395–1408.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803, USA
Email address: rhan@lsu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CT 06511, USA
Email address: wilhelm.schlag@yale.edu