

Quasi Normal Modes of Black Holes and Detection in Ringdown Process

Yuqian Zhao^{1,2}, Bing Sun³, Zhan-Feng Mai⁴ and Zhoujian Cao^{1,2,*}

¹*Institute for Frontiers in Astronomy and Astrophysics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 102206, China*

²*Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China*

³*CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

⁴*Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

Correspondence*:
Zhoujian Cao
zjcao@amt.ac.cn

ABSTRACT

Quasi-normal modes (QNMs) of a black hole (BH) are the eigen modes describing the dissipative oscillation of various fields in that spacetime, which can be intrinsically produced by the linear perturbation theory. With the discovery of the first gravitational waves (GWs) event, GW150914, a new window into the universe has been opened, allowing for the detection of QNMs associated to the ringdown process, which will enable more accurate measurements of the BHs parameters as well as further testing of general relativity. This article discusses the linear perturbation theory of BHs and provides review of several QNMs calculation methods including the newly developed methods. We will also focus on the connection between QNMs and the detection of GWs as well as some recent advancements in this area.

Keywords: Quasi normal modes (QNMs), Gravitational waves (GWs), Ringdown, Black holes (BHs), General relativity (GR)

1 INTRODUCTION

Black holes (BHs); Einstein (1915) and gravitational waves (GWs); Einstein (1916) are proposed in the 20th century as the significant ingredients predicted by general relativity (GR). In the next several decades, people are attempt to find out and explore their existent evidences and characteristics; Askar et al. (2019). Since 2015, several GWs events, such as GW150914; Abbott et al. (2016) and GW170817A; Abbott et al. (2017), from the binary black holes (BBHs) of the stellar mass and the binary Neutron stars (BNSs) respectively, have been detected; GWO (2022); Abbott et al. (2019, 2021a,b,c), while the optical observations for the shadow from M87 also provided further indirect evidence for supermassive BHs (SMBHs); Collaboration et al. (2019).

BHs own a natural distinguishable feature — an event horizon. This surface, as a one-way causal boundary, separates the communication of information in a classical level and brings a huge obstacle for us to observe the interior; Schwarzschild (1916). Therefore, the BHs, which are the vacuum solutions can only determined by several parameters without the complicated equations of state like stellar or neutron stars; Kokkotas and Schmidt (1999); Nollert (1999), such as Schwarzschild and Kerr BHs, are

intrinsically among the simplest objects in the GR or other metric gravity theory. However, practically, there almost does not exist an isolated black hole because the astronomical environment like dark matter spike and accretion disk near a BH is complex and changeable; Nampalliwar et al. (2021); Xu et al. (2021). Nevertheless, compared with the astrophysical BHs with huge mass, the objects around these BHs own less mass. Interacting with these various objects, the BH is thus perturbed. As one of observable evidences for such perturbation, the GWs then will be generated and reach our detector in the solar system; Flanagan and Hughes (2005). Note that the ringdown phase of a binary system could be depicted in a similar scenario. Exploring the BH perturbation theory naturally gives rise to the topic of quasi-normal modes (QNMs).

QNMs of BHs as the eigen modes describing the dissipative oscillation of various fields in corresponding perturbed spacetime; Berti et al. (2009), have been discussed for decades since it was initially proposed by Regge and Wheeler during the analysis of the stability of a Schwarzschild BH; Regge and Wheeler (1957). Specifically, at linear perturbation level, the perturbed metric results in a set of homogeneous second order differential equations with the discrete complex eigen value ω called QNMs frequency, only if we set the incoming boundary behavior near horizon and outgoing at spatial infinity with more detailed definition in Sec.2. In addition to the stability of BHs, the QNMs usually produce GWs with the combination of discrete modes in the frequency domain corresponding to the ringdown stage of a binary BH merger GW event, and uniquely is determined by the parameters of the BH, similar to the spectrum of hydrogen atoms in quantum mechanics or the "sound" of BHs, they are therefore also called the BHs spectroscopy or overtone Berti et al. (2006a); Nollert (1999). The precise measurements of such a GW signal allow us to precisely determine the parameters of BHs and test no-hair theorem or further GR properties; Isi et al. (2019); Abbott et al. (2021d). After decades of development, the QNMs has been extended from the original stability analysis to include properties itself, calculating methods, the GWs, etc.

The high precision measurement also requires accurately calculating the QNMs. Methods for the exact calculation of QNMs have also been developed for decades, and the most commonly used are the WKB method and the continued fraction method; Schutz and Will (1985); Leaver (1985). Thoughts on the difficulties of calculation of QNMs and some methods will be reviewed in Sec.3. In general, the linear perturbation theory is also sometimes used for exploring the generation of GWs in other cases such as the extreme mass ratio inspirals; Piovano et al. (2020), where the inhomogeneous equations are introduced with the Green function method; Poisson et al. (2011). The latter problem will not be involved, however, due to the natural association between homogeneous and inhomogeneous solutions through Green functions; Leaver (1986a); Mino et al. (1997), some of the applications for latter case will also be mentioned.

With the discovery of the first GW event GW150914; Abbott et al. (2016), who opened a new window into the universe, the data-driven exploration of BHs is now possible, allowing for accurate measurements of the BHs parameters as well as additional testing of general relativity; Cai et al. (2017). However, the remnant of BHs just after merge stage is highly nonlinear which is not applicable for linear perturbation theory, thus how to connect ringdown waveform determined by QNMs is still under discussion as reviewed in Sec.4. Generally speaking, due to the no-hair theorem, we believe that the properties of black holes are determined by mass of BH M , spin $a = \frac{J}{M}$ with J the angular momentum, and charge Q ; Penrose (1969); Carter (1971); Hansen (1974); Gürlebeck (2015). However, it has been shown that the charge of a BH have no detectable effect on the ringdown waveform; Carullo et al. (2022), hence, the charged BHs will not be involved while we discuss the rotating BHs of Kerr case.

In this article, we will review the theory of QNMs with their contribution to the ringdown stage of the GWs events. There have been several excellent reviews for QNMs; Nollert (1999); Kokkotas and

Schmidt (1999); Berti et al. (2009); Konoplya and Zhidenko (2011). These articles provide a comprehensive exploration of this topic from the aspects of theory, method, detection, etc. However, with the development of the past decade, there have been significant advancements in all aspects:

Initially, QNMs equations in more different spacetime for tensor cases associated to GWs were explored, while the reconstruction of metric was developed. In the meanwhile, further methods for calculating QNMs or related eigen functions have been proposed with several available programs. Furthermore, with the successful detection of GWs events; Abbott et al. (2016), the analyses for the detection of GWs during the ringdown process are now under discussion. We will focus on these advancements and review these aspects as follows:

We review the QNMs produced by linear perturbation theory in Sec.2 and the method to calculate the QNMs in Sec.3. At the end of the article in Sec.4, we review the detection advancements based on the detected data from LIGO; Aasi et al. (2015), VIRGO; Acernese et al. (2014) and KAGRA; Akutsu et al. (2021). Without further explication, we'll use the units $\hbar = c = G = 1$.

2 LINEAR PERTURBATION THEORY AND MASTER EQUATIONS OF QNMS

In general, the perturbations of a BH can result from either an additional field injected into spacetime (such as a particle with the mass $m \ll M_{BH}$ falling into a BH; Davis et al. (1972)) or directly from the perturbing metric (such as the ringdown process at the end of a binary BH merger event). Within general relativity (as well as several other gravity theories), the linear perturbation theory requests us to focus on the first order of perturbation and ignore the reaction to the background. Fields or gravitational radiation will propagate in spacetime in the form of damping oscillations, the characteristics of which are typically governed by a set of radial Schrödinger-like equations in frequency domain with the corresponding angular equations

There are two methods to study the linear perturbations in the background spacetime: Firstly, one can parameterize the perturbations as the variation of the coefficients of metric directly and insert them into the Einstein equation or Maxwell equation in the curved spacetime. Or, we can also study them in the form of Newman-Penrose (N-P) formalism; Newman and Penrose (1962) via the N-P equations. Both of the two methods in several cases has been summarizes in the monograph from Chandrasekhar; Chandrasekhar and Thorne (1985).

In this section, we give a general review of the Schrödinger-like equations that govern a BH's quasi-normal modes, specifically for Schwarzschild and Kerr BHs. The master equations governing the propagation of fields or gravitational radiation will be our starting point for discussion.

- **Scalar Field in Background Spacetime (Scalar Perturbations).** The motion of a massless scalar field Φ in the background spacetime can be obtained from Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \Phi = 0 \quad (1)$$

where ∇_μ is the covariant derivative. The equation mentioned above may be formally rewritten as:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \Phi) = 0 \quad (2)$$

with g the determinant of the background metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

- **Maxwell Field in Background Spacetime (Vector Perturbations).** In this case, the Maxwell equations govern the propagation of a massless vector field A_μ in background spacetime:

$$\nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \tag{3}$$

which can be rewritten in a more explicit form in the curved background spacetime as:

$$\partial_\nu [(\partial_\alpha A_\sigma - \partial_\sigma A_\alpha) g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\sigma\nu} \sqrt{-g}] = 0 \tag{4}$$

- **Linear Gravitational Perturbation in Background Spacetime (Tensor Perturbations).** Within a gravity theory, "gravitational perturbation" refers to the perturbation of spacetime itself. For metric perturbations, the metric can be expressed explicitly as:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \mathring{g}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu} + O(\delta g_{\mu\nu}^2) \tag{5}$$

where $\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of background spacetime, $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ is the linear perturbation term, the terms of two order perturbations $\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}^2$ and higher orders are disregarded due of their little impact in comparison to $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$. And the governing equations are well known Einstein equations provided by:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{6}$$

After applying the separation of variables to a decoupled master equation, the radial Schrödinger-like equations can be obtained in the form of:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (\omega^2 - V_{\text{eff}}) \right) \Psi = 0 \tag{7}$$

where ω is the eigen frequency coming from the separation of t under the Fourier transform, and V_{eff} is the effective potential determined by the background metric with in general the asymptotic boundary behaviors at infinity as (for example, we show the effective potential in Schwarzschild case in Figure.1):

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \begin{cases} 0 & x \rightarrow -\infty \\ 0 & x \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

leading to the asymptotic boundary behaviors of eigen function Ψ at infinity determined by the solutions of:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \omega^2 \right) \Psi = 0, \quad x \rightarrow \pm\infty \tag{9}$$

The general solutions near boundaries can be written in the combination of $e^{+i\omega x}$ and $e^{-i\omega x}$ describing *outgoing* and *incoming waves* respectively. And the different combination of the both solutions usually results in three different directions:

- $\Psi \rightarrow e^{-i\omega x}$ (**incoming**) at $-\infty$ and $\Psi \rightarrow e^{+i\omega x}$ (**outgoing**) at $+\infty$. This will result in the most fundamental field of *quasi-normal modes (QNMs)*, which is the subject of this article. In this case the imaginary component of ω is often negative due to the stability; Regge and Wheeler (1957).

- $\Psi \rightarrow e^{-i\omega x}$ (**incoming**) at $-\infty$ and $\Psi \rightarrow e^{-kx}$ at $x \rightarrow +\infty$. This will give rise to a new discipline referred to as *quasi-bound states (QBS)* after considering the mass of fields. $k \equiv \sqrt{m_p^2 - \omega^2}$ where m_p denotes the mass of the massive scalar perturbation. The QBS's often employed to explore *superradiant instability* Brito et al. (2020), which is not involved in this article.
- $\Psi \rightarrow Ae^{-i\omega x} + Be^{i\omega x}$ at $-\infty$ and $\Psi \rightarrow e^{-i\omega x}$ (**outgoing**) at $+\infty$ with $B \neq 0$. This extends a series of studies on *exotic compact objects (ECOs)*; Cardoso et al. (2019); Maggio et al. (2021); Sago and Tanaka (2021); Cardoso and Pani (2019), which will not be taken in our consideration.

For scalar case, there is just one component equation, which is inherently decoupled. However, it is challenging to obtain a decoupled equation in other cases since a Maxwell field is governed by six coupled component equations (Eq.(4)) whereas gravitational perturbations are governed by ten (Eq.(6)). Actually, avenue to the decoupled equation must take the symmetry of background spacetime corresponding to the gauge-invariant variables into account with expressing the master equation in terms of them; Kodama et al. (2000), or N-P formalism may be also helpful; Newman and Penrose (1962). We will discuss how to address this problem in some situations, properly speaking in the Schwarzschild and Kerr cases. At the end of this section, we also summarize several publications including the QNMs equations for tensor perturbation case.

2.1 Perturbations in Schwarzschild Spacetime

With **the effect of a scalar field in vacuum**, we start our discussion in Schwarzschild spacetime. The static spherical BH solution is the well known Schwarzschild metric given by:

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \tag{10}$$

where M is the mass of BH. As is mentioned above, Eq.(2) is an inherently decoupled equation with Φ now the function of (t, r, θ, ϕ) . Similar to the technique taken to solve the hydrogen atom problem in quantum mechanics, by substituting the background metric provided by Eq.(10) into the master equation and applying the separation of variables:

$$\Phi(t, r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{m=\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) R(t, r)/r \tag{11}$$

the QNMs governing equation can be obtained in time domain:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} - \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - V_{\text{scalar}} \right) R(t, r) = 0 \tag{12}$$

where the effective potential is given by:

$$V_{\text{scalar}}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left(\frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{r^2} + \frac{2M(1 - s^2)}{r^3} \right), \quad \text{with } s = 0 \tag{13}$$

where $\ell(\ell + 1)$ is the angular separation constant arising from the separation of angular part and the angular equations are:

$$\gamma^{cd} \nabla_d \nabla_c Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) = -\ell(\ell + 1) Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) \tag{14}$$

with $\gamma = \text{diag}(1, \sin^2 \theta)$ the metric of the unit sphere surface $S^2(\theta, \phi)$ and $Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$ the scalar spherical harmonics.

Suppose that the solution of the perturbation equation with the time dependence $R(t, r) = e^{i\omega t} R(\omega, r)$ corresponding to the Fourier transformation in the standard procedure of the normal modes analysis; Nollert (1999); Kokkotas and Schmidt (1999), and substituting it into Eq.(7) yields the radial QNMs equations:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - V_{\text{scalar}}) \right) R = 0 \tag{15}$$

with r_* the tortoise coordinate defined by:

$$\frac{dr_*}{dr} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1} \tag{16}$$

The gravitational perturbation in Schwarzschild spacetime is then taken into consideration, beginning with the symmetry of the Schwarzschild geometry corresponding to gauge-invariant variables; Thompson et al. (2017); Nagar and Rezzolla (2005); Martel and Poisson (2005); Sarbach and Tiglio (2001). Because of the static spherical symmetry of the background manifold $\mathcal{M}^4(t, r, \theta, \phi)$, it can be regard as the product of a Lorentzian 2-dimension manifold $M^2(t, r)$ and a 2-dimension unit sphere surface manifold $S^2(\theta, \phi)$ with the metric $\gamma = \text{diag}(1, \sin^2 \theta)$ as is mentioned in the scalar case. By taking advantage of this, the metric perturbations $h_{\mu\nu} \equiv \delta g_{\mu\nu}$ can be decomposed in multipoles known as odd-parity or even-parity depending on their transformation features under parity. The definitions of both multipoles are as follows: under a parity transformation $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (\pi - \theta, \pi + \phi)$, the *odd* (or *axial*) parity part transforms as $(-1)^{\ell+1}$ while the *even* (or *polar*) parity transforms as $(-1)^{\ell+1}$. Thus, the metric perturbations $h_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq.(5) can be expressed as:

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{m=\ell} \left[\left(\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\ell m} \right)^{(\text{odd})} + \left(\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\ell m} \right)^{(\text{even})} \right] \tag{17}$$

Similar to the scalar case, the following step involves the separation of the variables. To do this, it is necessary to introduce the vector spherical harmonics; Nollert (1999); Dewitt and Dewitt (1973); Edmonds (1996) and the tensor spherical harmonics; Thompson et al. (2017); Nagar and Rezzolla (2005); Thorne (1980); Zerilli (1970a); Mathews (1962); Regge and Wheeler (1957) as the angular dependence. And the perturbation of the odd parity could be described as:

$$\left(\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\ell m} \right)^{(\text{odd})} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & h_0(r) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1(r) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h_0(r) & h_1(r) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) Y_{l0}(\theta) e^{i\omega t} \tag{18}$$

while that of the even parity is:

$$\left(\delta g_{\mu\nu}^{\ell m} \right)^{(\text{even})} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0(r) \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) & H_1(r) & 0 & 0 \\ H_1(r) & H_2(r) \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 K(r) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 K(r) \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix} Y_{l0}(\theta) e^{i\omega t} \tag{19}$$

with h_0, h_1, H_0, H_1, H_2 and K the parameterization coefficients of the perturbation metric as for the function of r , and the Fourier transformation has been employed. The above formalism is derived from the work of Vishveshwara (1970) by using spherical symmetry resulting to $m = 0$ under the Regge-Wheeler gauge; Kokkotas and Schmidt (1999); Nollert (1999); Nagar and Rezzolla (2005); Regge and Wheeler (1957); Thompson et al. (2017). After substituting the parameterized metric formalism into the Einstein equation provided in Eq.(6), ten coupled two-order differential equations governing gravitational perturbations will be obtained, with three for odd parity and seven for even; Berti et al. (2009).

In order to obtain a decoupled master equation, specific parameterization coefficient combinations must be introduced. However finding the specific combination is challenging, fortunately that of odd parity was first found in Regge and Wheeler (1957) with some mistakes, and rectified by Edelstein and Vishveshwara (1970). Then the decoupled QNMs equation in the form of Eq.(7) can be obtained:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - V_{\text{tensor}}) \right) R = 0 \tag{20}$$

where r_* is the defined by Eq.(16) and the effective potential is given by:

$$V_{\text{tensor}}^{(\text{odd})}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \left[\frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{r^2} + \frac{2M(1 - s^2)}{r^3} \right], \quad \text{with } s = 2 \tag{21}$$

which is identical to the scalar case in Eq.(13) except of the value of s . The QNMs governing equation above for the odd parity called *Regge-Wheeler equation*.

Meanwhile there is also a decoupled equation for even parity with the effective potential given by:

$$V_{\text{tensor}}^{(\text{even})}(r) = \left(\frac{1 - 2M}{r} \right) \frac{2\Lambda^2(\Lambda + 1)r^3 + 6\Lambda^2Mr^2 + 18\Lambda M^2r + 18M^3}{r^3(\Lambda r + 3M)^2} \tag{22}$$

with $\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 1)(\ell + 2)$ and the corresponding governing equation for even parity are called *Zerilli equation* originally derived by Zerilli (1970b,a), with the corrected version can be found in the Appendix A of Sago et al. (2003).

After that, by introducing gauge-invariant variables, which were initially proposed by Moncrief (1974), a set of normative and efficient procedures for gravitational perturbations in Schwarzschild spacetime was constructed from the following research can be found in Thompson et al. (2017); Nagar and Rezzolla (2005); Martel and Poisson (2005); Sarbach and Tiglio (2001); Gerlach and Sengupta (1979, 1980).

The research for both of the multipoles above yields a significant property called *isospectral* first discovered by Chandrasekhar in his book; Chandrasekhar and Thorne (1985) with some discussion can be found in Appendix A of Berti et al. (2009) and the recent research in Jaramillo et al. (2022), indicating that various multipoles may generate the same characteristic spectrum. That implies it is sufficient to analyze either of the situation for simplification.

Along the same avenue as before, **the Maxwell field in Schwarzschild spacetime** can be considered by expressing the Maxwell equations Eq.(4) into the vector harmonics and decoupled into the QNMs equation in the form of Eq.(7):

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - V_{\text{vector}}) \right) R = 0 \tag{23}$$

where r_* has the same definition as Eq.(16) and the effective potential is given by:

$$V_{\text{vector}}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left[\frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{r^2} + \frac{2M(1 - s^2)}{r^3} \right], \quad \text{with } s = 1 \quad (24)$$

For a concise summary, the QNMs equation in Schwarzschild spacetime is provided by:

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - V_{\text{Sch}}) \right] R = 0 \quad (25)$$

with r_* the tortoise coordinate defined the same as Eq.(16):

$$\frac{dr_*}{dr} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} \quad (26)$$

who maps r from the region $(2M, +\infty)$ to the region $(-\infty, +\infty)$ with $2M$ the horizon of Schwarzschild, and the effective potentials are:

$$V_{\text{Sch}}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left[\frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{r^2} + \frac{2M(1 - s^2)}{r^3} \right] \quad (27)$$

with the value of s corresponding to the perturbation types:

$$s = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{scalar perturbations} \\ 1, & \text{vector perturbations} \\ 2, & \text{tensor perturbations} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Now, we can investigate the boundary behaviors of the eigen function by solving the equations as Eq.(9), and the boundary condition for QNMs are:

$$R \rightarrow \begin{cases} e^{-i\omega r_*} & r_* \rightarrow -\infty (r \rightarrow 2M) \\ e^{+i\omega r_*} & r_* \rightarrow +\infty (r \rightarrow +\infty) \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

with $e^{+i\omega r_*}$ and $e^{-i\omega r_*}$ denoting outgoing and incoming waves respectively.

There is a thorough discussion of the Schwarzschild spacetime in Dewitt and Dewitt (1973) with two further reviews; Nollert (1999); Kokkotas and Schmidt (1999). Methods for calculating equations with QNMs are discussed in Sec.3 and the reconstruction of the metric from the eigen function R in Eq.(25) can be found in Berti et al. (2009). The identical result can be obtained by using N-P formalism; Newman and Penrose (1962) (see details in Chandrasekhar (1975, 1984) and Chandrasekhar and Thorne (1985) with the relationship between both of the multipoles for tensor perturbations can also be found).

2.2 Perturbations in Kerr Spacetime

Then, we discuss the perturbations of a static rotating axisymmetric BH as characterized generally by the Kerr solution; Kerr (1963) in terms of the Boyer–Lindquist coordinate; Boyer and Lindquist (1967):

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma} \right) dt^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 + \Sigma d\theta^2 + \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2a^2Mr}{\Sigma} \sin^2 \theta \right) \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 - \frac{4aMr \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} dt d\varphi \tag{30}$$

where $\Sigma \equiv r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$, $\Delta \equiv r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$ and $a = \frac{J}{M}$ is the parameter describing the rotating property with J the angular momentum.

As with the difficulty of finding specific combinations of metric coefficients in Schwarzschild case, it is challenging to deal with relevant problems by using the metric perturbations methods. The metric in Kerr spacetime is determined by two parameters M and a in contrast with Schwarzschild case only M remaining, which further complicates the problem. Separation of the dependence of t and ϕ is obviously available due to the symmetry from stationarity and axisymmetry respectively; Teukolsky (2015), meanwhile the discovery of the separability of r and θ in scalar case brought hope for dealing with this problem; Carter (1968).

However, it is also difficult to use metric perturbations methods to obtain a decoupled equation for Kerr case, fortunately the development of another method based on the N-P formalism; Newman and Penrose (1962) has proven its advantages for dealing with this problem in Schwarzschild case; Price (1972); Bardeen and Press (1973), thereby providing a superior method for analyzing such problems in Kerr case.

In N-P formalism, one chooses four normalized orthogonal null vectors l, n, m, m^* as the basis of a tetrad with the first two of those real and the remaining two being complex and conjugated with each other. The components of those in Boyer–Lindquist coordinate are given by; Teukolsky (1973); Chandrasekhar and Thorne (1985):

$$\begin{aligned} l^a &= \frac{1}{\Delta} (r^2 + a^2, +\Delta, 0, a) \\ n^a &= \frac{1}{2\Sigma} (r^2 + a^2, -\Delta, 0, a) \\ m^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(r + ia \cos \theta)} (ia \sin \theta, 0, 1, i \operatorname{cosec} \theta) \\ (m^*)^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(r - ia \cos \theta)} (-ia \sin \theta, 0, 1, -i \operatorname{cosec} \theta) \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Following that, one may express the master equations and field quantities on N-P tetrad. For vector perturbations with the master equations given by Eq.(4), electromagnetic field tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ can be donated in three independent complex scalar quantities ϕ_0, ϕ_1, ϕ_2 , where ϕ_0 and ϕ_2 describing the perturbations of a Maxwell field are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 &= F_{13} = F_{\mu\nu} l^\mu m^\nu \\ \phi_2 &= F_{42} = F_{\mu\nu} (m^*)^\mu n^\nu \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

while the vacuum Maxwell equations Eq.(4) can be denoted in four equations.

Similarly, the equations representing tensor perturbations in Kerr spacetime Eq.(6) become 18 N-P equations provided by Ricci identities and 8 complex equations derived from Bianchi identities. Meanwhile, Weyl tensors $C_{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ turn to five N-P quantities $\Psi_0, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3, \Psi_4$, and Ricci tensors are transformed into ten (including Ricci scalar), where Ψ_0 and Ψ_4 describing the incoming and outgoing gravitational radiation respectively are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0 &= -C_{1313} = -C_{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} l^\mu m^\nu \bar{l}^\sigma \bar{m}^\lambda \\ \Psi_4 &= -C_{2424} = -C_{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} n^\mu (m^*)^\nu n^\sigma (m^*)^\lambda \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

By applying the separation of variables to the field with spin s :

$$\psi(t, r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\ell=|s|}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} e^{im\phi} {}_s S_{\ell m}(\theta) R_{\ell m}(r) d\omega \tag{34}$$

with the specific form of the fields associated to different spin s in Eq.(34) called Teukolsky function shown in Table 1, one may obtain the decoupled equations for r and θ respectively given by:

$$\left[\Delta^{-s} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\Delta^{s+1} \frac{d}{dr} \right) + \frac{K^2 - 2is(r - M)K}{\Delta} + 4is\omega r - {}_s \lambda_{\ell m} \right] R_{\ell m} = 0 \tag{35}$$

and

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{d}{d\theta} \right) + a^2 \omega^2 \cos^2 \theta - 2a\omega s \cos \theta - \frac{(m + s \cos \theta)^2}{\sin^2 \theta} + s + {}_s A_{\ell m} \right] {}_s S_{\ell m} = 0 \tag{36}$$

with $K \equiv (r^2 + a^2) \omega - am$, ${}_s \lambda_{\ell m} \equiv {}_s A_{\ell m} + a^2 \omega^2 - 2am\omega$ and ${}_s A_{\ell m}$ the eigen value determined by the angular part equation Eq.(36) produced from the separation of the dependence of θ .

The above decoupled equations are known as the **Teukolsky equations** and were first proposed and discussed by Teukolsky; Teukolsky (1972, 1973); Press and Teukolsky (1973), whose reasoning process can be seen in Teukolsky (2015), where he stressed the significance of a N-P variable $\tilde{\rho}$ written in Boyer–Lindquist coordinate as:

$$\tilde{\rho} = -\frac{1}{r - ia \cos \theta} \tag{37}$$

whose real and imaginary parts represent the divergence and curl of the outgoing principal null respectively. And the derivation process can be found from the Teukolsky’s original essays as mentioned above, or from Chandrasekhar and Thorne (1985).

The separation of the dependence of θ leads to the angular part equations Eq.(36) with the eigen function ${}_s S_{\ell m}$ called **spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics (SWSH)** determined by the value of s, ℓ, m and $a\omega$. For $a\omega = 0$ and $s = 0$, it reduces to Schwarzschild case with ${}_s A_{\ell m} = \ell(\ell + 1)$ and ${}_s S_{\ell m}$ becoming the scalar spherical harmonics as defined in Eq.(14). When $a\omega = 0$ and $s \neq 0$, the eigen functions turn to spin-weighted spherical harmonics; Goldberg et al. (1967) with the eigen value ${}_s A_{\ell m} = \ell(\ell + 1) - s(s + 1)$. However, there is still no analytical solution for SWSH, therefore the determination of eigen values inevitably becomes a numerical problem; Press and Teukolsky (1973); Leaver (1985); Seidel (1989); Berti et al. (2006b) with the fully asymptotically behavior analysis can be found in Hod (2015).

The radial Teukolsky equations Eq.(35) governing the QNMs in Kerr spacetime does not seem to have the same form as Eq.(7). However, under the transformation given by Detweiler (1977) with the tensor case corrected in the Appendix B of Maggio et al. (2021), those can be transformed into the form of Eq.(7). Along the same approach as in the Schwarzschild case, we can derive the following boundary asymptotic behavior; Teukolsky and Press (1974) at spatial infinity:

$$R_{\ell m} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-i\omega r_*}}{r} & \text{incoming} \\ \frac{e^{+i\omega r_*}}{r^{(2s+1)}} & \text{outgoing} \end{cases} \quad r_* \rightarrow +\infty (r \rightarrow +\infty) \quad (38)$$

and near horizon:

$$R_{\ell m} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-ikr_*}}{\Delta^s} & \text{incoming} \\ e^{+ikr_*} & \text{outgoing} \end{cases} \quad r_* \rightarrow -\infty (r \rightarrow r_+) \quad (39)$$

with $k = \omega - m\omega_+$, $\omega_+ = \frac{a}{2Mr_+}$ and r_+ the large root of $\Delta = 0$ corresponds to the event horizon. In the case of QNMs of the BHs, the boundary condition should be chosen that $R_{\ell m}$ behaves incoming near horizon and outgoing at spatial infinity. Meanwhile, r_* is the tortoise coordinate in Kerr spacetime defined as:

$$\frac{dr_*}{dr} = \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta} \quad (40)$$

It is still challenging to reconstruct the metric coefficients, due to the difficulties to derive the QNMs equations in Kerr spacetime through another way, namely the metric perturbation method, who similarly prevents a direct relationship between the metric coefficients and the eigen functions of the QNMs equations. The only remaining option is to attempt to reconstruct the metric using Weyl tensors with Ψ_0 and Ψ_4 associated with the eigen functions of Teukolsky equations Eq.(34) for $s = \pm 2$. However, because of the value of spin weight $s = \pm 2$, information on $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ associated with the perturbations of mass and angular momentum respectively are lost which must be provided by the rest of the N-P equations. Chandrasekhar attempted the construction and gave a set of methods in Chandrasekhar and Thorne (1985), but it was too complex for application in actual research.

Another method called CCK procedure is based on a key result first proposed by Chrzanowski (1975) and developed by Wald (1978); Stewart (1979); Kegeles and Cohen (1979) where they reconstructed the metric perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ from a spin-2 scalar *Hertz potential* with the adoption of radiation gauge; Barack and Ori (2001). The first case of metric reconstruction for the nonvacuum situation is given by Ori (2003) with some other applications can be found in Yunes and González (2006); Sano and Tagoshi (2014); Merlin et al. (2016) and a relatively thorough overview of the procedure can be found in van De Meent (2017); Toomani et al. (2021). Furthermore, a recent research seeks to expand the method to the general Lorentzian gauge in order to address the singularity problem in nonvacuum situations; Dolan et al. (2022). Meanwhile, Loutrel et al. (2021) attempt to develop a new method to reconstruct the first-order metric perturbation just from the solution of the first-order Teukolsky equation, without the requirement for Hertz potentials.

It is worth mentioned that the solutions of the homogeneous QNMs equations will exponentially diverge near infinity for example in Schwarzschild and Kerr cases as shown in Fig.2, which brings more difficulties in calculation. Fortunately, another equivalent form to Teukolsky equation Eq.(35) which is more friendly to numeral calculation was developed in Sasaki and Nakamura (1982).

2.3 Other Cases

We summarize the publications where the formalism of the QNMs governing equations for gravitational perturbation can be found.

- The QNMs governing equation of the axial gravitational perturbation in general spherical symmetric spacetime can be found in Zhang et al. (2021), while those of polar parity can be found in Liu et al. (2022).
- The perturbation equations for tensor case was discussed in Kerr-Newman-de Sitter spacetime ; Suzuki et al. (1998).
- Extension to the anti-de Sitter spacetime for Schwarzschild case can be found in Cardoso and Lemos (2001), while those of Kerr can be found in Tattersall (2018) under the slow rotation limit.
- Extension to higher dimensions cases can be found in Kodama and Ishibashi (2003); Ishibashi and Kodama (2003); Kodama and Ishibashi (2004).
- The discussion for the linear tensor perturbations under several modified gravity theories can be found in Moulin et al. (2019).

3 METHODS FOR CALCULATING QNMS

In the previous section, we reviewed linear perturbation theory and the related QNMs equations. We have turned the problem of the perturbations in the curved spacetime into a set of Schrodinger-like equations Eq.(7) with the corresponding boundary conditions, specifically incoming at the horizon and outgoing at spatial infinity. In this section, we will discuss how to solve these equations and obtain the accurate eigenvalues or QNMs.

This seems to be a straightforward eigen value problem: directly integrate from one boundary to the other and use the shooting method (like Chandrasekhar and Detweiler (1975)) to obtain the appropriate eigen values. However, when one does so, the exponentially diverging asymptotic behaviors on the boundaries cause the numerical error to increase exponentially, which contradicts the requirement of the common shooting method to increase the value of r_* (or r) as large as possible to match the asymptotic behavior at infinity, making it difficult to find accurate QNMs directly using numerical integration. In other words, singularities at the horizon and spatial infinity bring the difficulties of direct integration significantly by using shooting method. Fig.2a and Fig.2b depict the boundary behaviors of Schwarzschild case for example to illustrate the asymptotic behaviors of exponential growth at the boundary.

The analysis of the challenge of numerically of locating accurate QNMs producing from asymptotic boundary behavior can be found in Nollert and Schmidt (1992) who also introduced Green function methods to deal with the inhomogeneous equations resulting in a series of studies in nonvacuum case, with a thorough discussion can be found in Poisson et al. (2011).

In fact, the employment of analytical or semi-analytical methods to supplement purely numerical methods may simplify and improve the processing of related problems. We will illustrate the general idea of solving this problem by discussing two well known methods: WKB approximation methods and continue fraction methods. Meanwhile, some other methods are listed at the end of this section.

3.1 WKB Approximation Methods

WKB (also known as JWKB) approximation methods were first proposed by Jeffreys (1925) with a general method of employing approximate solutions to solve linear second order differential equations including the Schrödinger equation, and developed by Wentzel (1926); Kramers (1926); Brillouin (1926) with the treatment of the turning points to address specific problems in quantum mechanics. Meanwhile, the fundamental concepts of the WKB method are usually summarized in almost every quantum mechanics literature; Fröman and Fröman (1965); Hall (2013).

Since its first application in the perturbations problem of a BH; Schutz and Will (1985), this method has undergone constant development, and it remains one of the most effective methods for exploring related problems. For convenience, we rewrite the QNMs governing equation Eq.(7) in another form:

$$\left(\epsilon^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + Q(x)\right) \Psi(x) = 0 \tag{41}$$

where $Q(x) = \omega^2 - V_{\text{eff}}$ and ϵ is a small parameter to track the order of WKB approximation first introduced by Iyer and Will (1987) during his research for third order WKB method. After setting $\epsilon = 1$, Eq.(41) returns to its original form Eq.(7).

The WKB approximation retains high precision only in the so-called classically allowed region defined by $Q(x) > 0$. Considering that $Q(x)$ (or V_{eff}) is usually unimodal, $Q(x) \sim 0$ produces two turning points and divides the whole integration domain into three regions as shown in Fig.3. In regions I and III, the WKB approximation is introduced by assuming the solution in the form of the asymptotic series expansion of ϵ as:

$$\Psi \sim \exp \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_n(x)\epsilon^n}{\epsilon} \right] \tag{42}$$

by substituting the ansatz Eq.(42) into Eq.(41) and equating the same powers of ϵ , the specific form of S_n can be solved order by order. For example, the fundamental and the first order solutions can be solved as:

$$S_0(x) = \pm i \int^x \sqrt{Q(\eta)} d\eta \tag{43}$$

and

$$S_1(x) = -\frac{1}{4} \ln Q(x) \tag{44}$$

with the sign of Eq.(43) determined by the asymptotic behavior taken at both of the boundaries. Under the consideration of only the fundamental solution with $\Psi \sim e^{S_0}$, the boundary behavior of $\Psi \sim e^{\pm i\omega x}$ corresponds to $S_0 \sim \pm i\omega x$. Thus, after introducing the four solutions $\Psi_+^I, \Psi_-^I, \Psi_+^{III}$ and Ψ_-^{III} to denote the corresponding signs in regions I and III respectively with the boundary behaviors in region I (spatial infinity) of Fig.3 as:

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_-^I \sim e^{-i\omega x} & \text{in} \\ \Psi_+^I \sim e^{+i\omega x} & \text{out} \end{cases} \quad \text{region I } (x \rightarrow +\infty) \tag{45}$$

and in region III (horizon):

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_-^{III} \sim e^{-i\omega x} & \text{out} \\ \Psi_+^{III} \sim e^{+i\omega x} & \text{in} \end{cases} \quad \text{region III } (x \rightarrow -\infty) \tag{46}$$

where the above "in" and "out" represent the waves incident from region I (or region III) to region II and the waves emitted from region II to region I (or region III) respectively (not the incoming and outgoing waves). We then obtain the general solutions in regions I and III given as:

$$\Psi \sim \begin{cases} Z_{in}^I \Psi_-^I + Z_{out}^I \Psi_+^I & \text{region I} \\ Z_{in}^{III} \Psi_+^{III} + Z_{out}^{III} \Psi_-^{III} & \text{region III} \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

And the amplitudes in region I are associated with those in region III through the linear matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{out}^{III} \\ Z_{in}^{III} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{out}^I \\ Z_{in}^I \end{pmatrix} \quad (48)$$

where M_{11} , M_{12} , M_{21} and M_{22} are the coefficients determined by the matching of WKB solutions Eq.(47) in regions I and III with the solution in region II respectively.

The determination of the elements of the matrix in Eq.(48) needs to consider the solution in region II by approximating $Q(x)$ in the form of Taylor series at the peak of $Q(x)$ as:

$$Q(x) = Q_0 + \frac{1}{2}Q_0''(x - x_0)^2 + O\left((x - x_0)^3\right) \quad (49)$$

with x_0 the point of the maximum of $Q(x)$, $Q_0 = Q(x_0)$ and Q_0'' the second derivative with respect to x at the point $x = x_0$. And the above Taylor expansion approximation is valid under the assumption that $|x - x_0|$ is a small value, or to be exact considering the scope of region II given by:

$$|x - x_0| < \sqrt{\frac{-2Q_0}{Q_0''}} \approx \sqrt{\epsilon} \quad (50)$$

with ϵ a small value which also gives the validity of the approximation. After that Eq.(41) can be rewritten in the form of parabolic cylinder equation; Bender (1978); Olver et al. (2010):

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \nu + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}t^2\right) \Psi = 0 \quad (51)$$

with the substitution as; Iyer and Will (1987):

$$k = \frac{1}{2}Q_0'', \quad t = (4k)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{\frac{-i\pi}{4}} (x - x_0) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \quad (52)$$

$$z_0^2 = \frac{-2Q_0}{Q_0''}, \quad \nu + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{-i\sqrt{k}z_0^2}{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \quad (53)$$

And the general solution of this equation can be denoted as the linear combination of the parabolic cylinder functions as:

$$\Psi = AD_\nu(t) + BD_{-\nu-1}(it) \quad (54)$$

Under the asymptotic behavior of the parabolic cylinder functions, the solutions become:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \sim & B e^{-\frac{3i\pi(\nu+1)}{4}} (4k)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{4}} (x-x_0)^{-(\nu+1)} e^{\frac{i\sqrt{k}(x-x_0)^2}{2}} \\ & + \left(A + B \frac{(2\pi)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu\pi/2}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \right) \\ & \times e^{\frac{i\pi\nu}{4}} (4k)^{\frac{\nu}{4}} (x-x_0)^\nu e^{-\frac{i\sqrt{k}(x-x_0)^2}{2}}, \quad x \gg x_2, \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \sim & A e^{-3i\pi\nu/4} (4k)^{\nu/4} (x-x_0)^\nu e^{-i\sqrt{k}(x-x_0)^2/2} \\ & + \left(\frac{B - iA(2\pi)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu\pi/2}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \right) e^{\frac{i\pi(\nu+1)}{4}} (4k)^{-\frac{(\nu+1)}{4}} \\ & \times (x-x_0)^{-(\nu+1)} e^{i\sqrt{k}(x-x_0)^2/2}, \quad x \ll x_1 \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where x_1 is the smaller turning point and x_2 is the bigger one as shown in Fig.3.

Now that we have calculated the asymptotic solutions close to both of the turning points on both sides, we must match them. Specifically, around the bigger turning point that serves as the dividing point between regions I and II, we must match the coefficients of Eq.(55) with those of Eq.(47) in region I, while we do the same thing near another turning point by matching the coefficients of Eq.(56) with those of Eq.(47) in region III. And after eliminating the coefficients A and B , we obtain the elements of the matrix in Eq.(48) only based on ν :

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{\text{out}}^{\text{III}} \\ Z_{\text{in}}^{\text{III}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi\nu} & \frac{iR^2 e^{i\pi\nu} (2\pi)^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \\ \frac{R^{-2} (2\pi)^{1/2}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} & -e^{i\pi\nu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\text{out}}^{\text{I}} \\ Z_{\text{in}}^{\text{I}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{57}$$

with

$$R = \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\nu + \frac{1}{2}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \tag{58}$$

For QNMs case of a BH, the condition of the normal modes limits the coefficients in region I with $Z_{\text{in}}^{\text{I}} = 0$, while the BHs indicate that there is no wave reflected from the horizon with $Z_{\text{in}}^{\text{III}} = 0$. By applying the above conditions to Eq.(57), we obtain the limitation:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} = 0 \tag{59}$$

and ν must be an integer corresponding to the overtone number n . After considering Eq.(53), we obtain the QNMs under the first order of WKB approximation determined by:

$$n + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{i(\omega^2 - V_0)}{\sqrt{2Q_0''}}, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \tag{60}$$

where V_0 is the peak of the effective potential V_{eff} and the signs of n denote the real part of ω as:

$$n = \begin{cases} 0, 1, 2, \dots, & \text{Re } \omega > 0 \\ -1, -2, \dots, & \text{Re } \omega < 0 \end{cases} \tag{61}$$

For a concise summary, to explore such problems using the WKB methods, the following stages are usually taken:

- The whole integration domain is divided into several regions by the turning points determined by $Q(x) \sim 0$, as the unimodal potential shown in Fig.3.
- By applying WKB approximation Eq.(42) to the QNMs governing equations Eq.(41) in the regions I and III determined by $Q(x) > 0$, we then obtain general solution in these regions as Eq.(47).
- By approximating $Q(x)$ in region II using Taylor expansion and rewriting the equation into analytical parabolic cylinder equation, we obtain the general solutions in the form of the linear combination of parabolic cylinder functions Eq.(54) with the asymptotic behaviors near the turning points as Eq.(55) and Eq.(56).
- By matching the corresponding coefficients and eliminating the A and B near different turning points, we obtain the matrix in Eq.(57).
- By considering the substitution Eq.(53) and applying the corresponding coefficients according to the specific physical problem such as $Z_{\text{in}}^{\text{I}} = Z_{\text{in}}^{\text{III}} = 0$, we then obtain the first WKB order estimate values of QNMs determined by Eq.(60).

The higher WKB approximation methods lead to the same form of Eq.(57), with the modified expression for R in Eq.(58) still only based on ν . In the meanwhile, the higher order Taylor expansion series result in the different substitution of ν in Eq.(53) that leads to QNMs determined by:

$$n + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{i(\omega^2 - V_0)}{\sqrt{2Q_0''}} - \sum_{i=2} \Lambda_i, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (62)$$

where Λ_i are the functions of the values of the effective potential and the derivatives (up to the i -th order) at the maximum of the effective potential. The explicit modified terms Λ_2, Λ_3 of third WKB order approximation methods can be found in Iyer and Will (1987) with the calculation of QNMs; Iyer (1987); Kokkotas and Schutz (1988); Seidel and Iyer (1990). Meanwhile, Λ_4, Λ_5 and Λ_6 for sixth WKB order approximation can be found in Konoplya (2003, 2004). And those of the thirteenth WKB order approximation were provided by Matyjasek and Opala (2017), with introducing Padé approximation instead of the Taylor series, leading to more accurate results than those of the sixth WKB order in several cases; Konoplya et al. (2019).

3.2 Continued Fraction Methods (Leaver's Methods)

The study of continued fraction in mathematics goes back hundreds of years, however, it was not introduced in the eigen value problems until 1934 by Jaffé (1934) where the bound state of the hydrogen molecule ion; Hylleraas (1931) was studied and he obtained a solution with the proof of convergence, while the same discovery was made by Baber and Hassé (1935) independently. Meanwhile, the early related works were reviewed in Leaver (1986b).

With the observation; Leaver (1986b) that the Teukolsky equations are the subclass of spheroidal wave equations arising during the process of Jaffé (1934); Baber and Hassé (1935), Leaver first introduced the continue fraction into the linear perturbation problems and calculated the QNMs in Schwarzschild and Kerr spacetime in Leaver (1985). After decades of development, this method is one of the most effective ways for estimating QNMs and can provide almost the most accurate value of QNMs. We will illustrate the general thought in Kerr spacetime with the unit $c = G = 2M = 1$ the same as Leaver (1985):

Instead of transforming the equations into the form of Eq.(7) in the tortoise coordinate, we often discuss the Teukolsky equations Eq.(35) directly in r coordinates with the boundary conditions for QNMs of a Kerr BH given as:

$$R_{\ell m} \sim \begin{cases} (r - r_+)^{-s-i\sigma_+} & r \rightarrow r_+ \\ r^{-1-2s+i\omega} e^{i\omega r} & r \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases} \tag{63}$$

with $\sigma_+ = \frac{\omega r_+ - am}{\sqrt{1-4a^2}}$. Following the Leaver’s approach, we assume the expression of the Teukolsky functions being finite at the regular singular points or the boundaries as:

$$R_{\ell m} = e^{i\omega r} (r - r_-)^{-1-s+i\omega+i\sigma_+} (r - r_+)^{-s-i\sigma_+} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^r \left(\frac{r - r_+}{r - r_-} \right)^k \tag{64}$$

By substituting the above ansatz into the radial Teukolsky equations Eq.(35) and equating the coefficients of each orders to zero, the expression coefficients satisfy the following three-term recursion relation:

$$\alpha_0^r a_1^r + \beta_0^r a_0^r = 0 \tag{65}$$

and

$$\alpha_k^r a_{k+1}^r + \beta_k^r a_k^r + \gamma_k^r a_{k-1}^r = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{66}$$

where α_k^r, β_k^r and γ_k^r are the recursion coefficients functions of k, ω and $a, s, m, A_{\ell m}$ as for the parameters of the QNMs governing equations Eq.(35), with the specific formalism can be found in Leaver (1985). Then, it turns to the problem of dealing with the three-term recursion relation whose properties explored by Gautschi (1967). Eq.(66) leads to the continued fraction which determines the values of QNMs ω as:

$$R_k = -\frac{a_{k+1}^r}{a_k^r} = \frac{\gamma_{k+1}}{\beta_{k+1}^-} \frac{\alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{k+2}}{\beta_{k+2}^-} \frac{\alpha_{k+2} \gamma_{k+3}}{\beta_{k+3}^-} \dots \tag{67}$$

where the continued fraction R_k can be regarded as the function of ω for given a, s, m and $A_{\ell m}$ with the boundary conditions as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $k = 0$. The analysis of the convergence of the expansion coefficients as $k \rightarrow \infty$ indicates; Gautschi (1967):

$$-R_k = \frac{a_{k+1}^r}{a_k^r} \rightarrow 1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{-2i\omega}{k} - \frac{8i\omega + 3}{4k} + \dots} \rightarrow 1, \quad k \rightarrow \infty \tag{68}$$

Meanwhile, boundary condition at $k = 0$ are given from Eq.(65). By substituting it into the continued fraction for R_0 , we obtained the characteristic equation determining the QNMs as:

$$0 = \beta_0 - \frac{\alpha_0 \gamma_1}{\beta_1^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \gamma_2}{\beta_2^-} \frac{\alpha_2 \gamma_3}{\beta_3^-} \dots \tag{69}$$

with the equivalent formalism by inverting an arbitrary number of times k given as:

$$\beta_k - \frac{\alpha_{k-1} \gamma_k}{\beta_{k-1}^-} \frac{\alpha_{k-2} \gamma_{k-1}}{\beta_{k-2}^-} \dots - \frac{\alpha_0 \gamma_1}{\beta_0} = \frac{\alpha_k \gamma_{k+1}}{\beta_{k+1}^-} \frac{\alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{k+2}}{\beta_{k+2}^-} \frac{\alpha_{k+2} \gamma_{k+3}}{\beta_{k+3}^-} \dots \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \tag{70}$$

For given $a, s, m, A_{\ell m}$, and by setting $k = k_c$ in a large cutoff value with $R_{k_c} = 1$ due to the boundary condition from Eq.(68), QNMs ω now become the roots of Eq.(69) or Eq.(70) and can be obtained through a numeral method.

However, the determination of $A_{\ell m}$ may be a nontrivial problem as is mentioned in Sec.2.2. Following the same approach, the angular Teukolsky equations Eq.(36) can be solved by supposing the series solution for the angular eigen functions as:

$$S_{\ell m}(u) = e^{a\omega u} (1+u)^{\frac{1}{2}|m-s|} (1-u)^{\frac{1}{2}|m+s|} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{\theta} (1+u)^k \quad (71)$$

with $u = \cos \theta$. And it turns to the similar three-term recursion relation as:

$$\alpha_0^{\theta} a_1^{\theta} + \beta_0^{\theta} a_0^{\theta} = 0 \quad (72)$$

$$\alpha_k^{\theta} a_{k+1}^{\theta} + \beta_k^{\theta} a_k^{\theta} + \gamma_k^{\theta} a_{k-1}^{\theta} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (73)$$

where the form of the corresponding coefficients α_k^{θ} , β_k^{θ} and γ_k^{θ} can be found in Leaver (1985). And the eigen value $A_{\ell m}$ for given a , s and m can be obtained from the above method.

As the overtone value n increases, the convergence of continued fraction worsens as well Starinets (2002) which leads to the calculation for higher overtone requiring larger cutoff value k_c with more computing power. Based on this difficulty, a method applicable to higher overtone QNMs was generalized by expand continued fraction R_k in the series of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$; Nollert (1993); Zhidenko (2006).

Meanwhile, the continued fraction from the Frobenius series can be found in Konoplya and Zhidenko (2011). And the application of this method in several cases can be found in Leaver (1985); Onozawa (1997); Berti et al. (2004) for Kerr BHs, in Leaver (1990) for Reissner-Nordström BHs, in Berti and Kokkotas (2005) for Kerr-Newman BHs.

3.3 Other Methods

Due to the complexity of the gravity theories and the resulting spacetime geometries, in many cases, we must deal with the corresponding perturbation problems case by case. We summarize some publications that employ additional methods and asymptotic formalism.

- **Shooting Method and Its Extension.** For this kind of eigenvalue problem, the obvious option is to integrate directly and use the shooting method. The most straightforward strategy is to integrate directly from horizon to a large cutoff value with equating the coefficient of the outgoing wave to zero; Press and Teukolsky (1973).

The effective extension of this method can be found in Chandrasekhar and Detweiler (1975), where he applied Taylor series expansion at the horizon and spatial infinity respectively, before integrating to a specific intermediate point and matching the both solutions by equating the Wronskian of them to zero, while the details and extension in a matrix formalism can be found in Molina et al. (2010). An interesting example using this method can be found in Mai et al. (2022) where the unstable QNMs in a special gravity theory were found.

- **The ‘Phase–amplitude’ Method** This method try to deal directly with singularities that appear with $r \rightarrow +\infty$ by choosing a specific integral curve in the complex plane to make numerical integration methods possible; Fröman et al. (1992), while Andersson (1992) use this method to calculate QNMs in Schwarzschild case.
- **Exact Solutions for Special Potentials** Even though it is challenging to obtain exact solutions for the actual BHs QNMs equations, there are always Schrodinger-like equations with special potentials

associated to analytic solutions. The researches in Blome and Mashhoon (1984) and Ferrari and Mashhoon (1984) analyzed the connection between QNMs and bound states of the inverted effective potential while used inverted *Pöschl-Teller potential* to approximate the actual BHs effective potentials in Schwarzschild, Kerr and Reissner-Nordström cases. Some of the other useful potentials can be found in Boonserm and Visser (2011).

- **Exact Solutions of Heun Equation** The Heun equation is a generalization of the hypergeometric equation while the radial and angular perturbation equations can be rewritten in the form of confluent Heun equations; Arscott et al. (1995); Baumann et al. (2019), with related researches in Fiziev (2006) for Schwarzschild case and in Borissov and Fiziev (2009); Fiziev (2009) for Kerr case. However, accurate and fast calculation of the Heun functions are also the limitation of this method, fortunately the implement of the Heun functions in the *Mathematica* 12.1 make it possible to obtain a precise solution in a few seconds; Hatsuda (2020).
- **Post-Newtonian (PN) Expansion Method.** This method based on post-Newtonian expansion is extensively employed in the calculation of the GWs waveform for a binary system; Cai et al. (2017); Futamase and Itoh (2007); Cho et al. (2022), thus the applicability to perturbation problems seems evident. The details of this method can be found in Mino et al. (1997); Sasaki and Tagoshi (2003). The examples for Schwarzschild case can be found in Fujita (2012) with 22PN expansion and for Kerr in Fujita (2015) with 11PN expansion. However, such accuracy is still not enough for GWs detection; Sago et al. (2016).
- **Asymptotic Iteration Method (AIM)** This method is based on a mathematical theorem resulting in a equivalently condition for the linear homogeneous second order ordinary differential equations, including the QNMs equations. By dealing with the above equivalently condition in numeral methods, the accurate QNMs can be found. The details are reviewed in Ciftci et al. (2003); Cho et al. (2012) with the calculation of QNMs can be found in Mamani et al. (2022) for Schwarzschild and the available *Julia* package in Sanches (2022).
- **The Pseudo-spectral Method** In this method, the continuous independent variables (radial coordinate for QNMs equations) are replaced by a discrete set of points called the grid, thus the eigen function can be approximated by a series of cardinal functions corresponding to the grid; Jansen (2017). Then, the coefficients of each order of eigen functions can be expanded as the series of ω which results in a matrix governing the eigenvalue problem. The calculation of QNMs can be found in Mamani et al. (2022) for Schwarzschild and the details with available *Mathematica* package in Jansen (2017),
- **MST Method** This method is based on the formalism developed by Mano, Suzuki and Takasugi; Mano et al. (1996). The homogeneous radial Teukolsky solutions in Eq.(35) are expanded in the series of hypergeometric functions near horizon and Coulomb wave functions at spatial infinity, resulting in the three term recurrence relation for their expansion coefficients respectively, which is similar to Leaver's method; Leaver (1986b). However, the both three term recurrence relations among the expansion coefficients are the same which makes the analytically match possible; Fujita and Tagoshi (2004). The details can be found in Fujita and Tagoshi (2004); Fujita et al. (2009) with the program implemented in BHP (2022) and an example can be found in Piovano et al. (2020).

4 BLACK HOLE SPECTROSCOPY AND DETECTION ADVANCEMENTS

In the previous sections, we reviewed linear perturbation theory leading to the related QNMs equations in Sec.2 and the calculation of QNMs in Sec.3. Then, we wonder what the perturbed BHs at a great distance

may appear like on our detectors, accurately speaking, they are the GWs waveform during the ringdown stage, which leads to the detection of BHs spectroscopy; Berti et al. (2006a, 2007a, 2005).

One of the most common states of a perturbed BH is the remnant of the final ringdown stage of a binary BH merger event, which can be regarded as the perturbation of a rotating black problem described by the Teukolsky equations Eq.(36) and Eq.(35). Meanwhile the charges of a BH have been proven to have no detectable effect on the ringdown waveform; Carullo et al. (2022). Due to the difficulties of reconstructing the metric in terms of Teukolsky functions as is mentioned at the end of Sec.2.2, it is difficult to obtain the GWs waveform by directly applying TT gauge; Flanagan and Hughes (2005). However, the asymptotic behavior of Ψ_4 at infinity is naturally associated with the both polarization modes of the outgoing GWs; Teukolsky (1973):

$$\Psi_4 = -\frac{1}{2}(\ddot{h}_+ + i\ddot{h}_\times) = -\frac{1}{2}\omega^2(h_+ + ih_\times), \quad r \sim +\infty \tag{74}$$

where $h_+ = h_{\theta\theta}$ and $h_\times = h_{\theta\phi}$ are the both polarization modes and the dots on the top denote the derivative with respect to time t . With the relation between Ψ_4 and the Teukolsky functions Eq.(34) provided in Table 1, the GWs waveform at infinity can be written in; Berti et al. (2006a):

$$h_+ + ih_\times = -\frac{2}{r^4} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2} e^{i\omega t} \sum_{\ell m} -2S_{\ell m}(\iota, \varphi_0) R_{\ell mn}(\omega, r) \tag{75}$$

where the overtone index n is now introduced to denote the eigen functions with different QNMs ω , ι is the angle between the angular momentum vector and the line-of-sight vector while φ_0 is the phase angle based on source frame; Varma et al. (2014). It should be noted that this formalism of reconstruction into the linear superposition of different modes is incompleteness, but the numerical simulation shows the applicability of this formalism at intermediate times; Berti et al. (2006a); Szpak (2004); Beyer (1999, 2001).

Then, by separating the real and imaginary parts of QNMs $\omega = \omega_{\ell mn} + \frac{i}{\tau_{\ell mn}}$ and substituting the outgoing boundary behavior given from Eq.(38) as $R_{\ell mn} = r^3 Z_{\ell m\omega}^{\text{out}} e^{-i\omega r}$ with $Z_{\ell m\omega}^{\text{out}} = M \mathcal{A}_{\ell mn} e^{i\phi_{\ell mn}}$, the polarization amplitudes can be obtained as:

$$h_+ = \frac{M}{r} \text{Re} \left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell mn}^+ e^{i(\omega_{\ell mn} t + \phi_{\ell mn}^+)} e^{-t/\tau_{\ell mn}} S_{\ell mn}(\iota, \varphi_0) \right] \tag{76}$$

$$h_\times = \frac{M}{r} \text{Im} \left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell mn}^\times e^{i(\omega_{\ell mn} t + \phi_{\ell mn}^\times)} e^{-t/\tau_{\ell mn}} S_{\ell mn}(\iota, \varphi_0) \right] \tag{77}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\ell mn}^{+, \times}$ and $\phi_{\ell mn}^{+, \times}$ are the amplitude and the original phase respectively in general regarded as the free parameters or determined by the previous stage; London et al. (2014); Taracchini et al. (2012). Meanwhile, $\omega_{\ell mn} = 2\pi f_{\ell mn}$ is the QNMs' real part with $f_{\ell mn}$ the frequency of the oscillation, and $\tau_{\ell mn}$ is damping time given from the values of QNMs; Berti et al. (2009). For the fundamental mode of Schwarzschild case with $m = 0, l = 2$ and $\omega = 0.747343 - 0.177925i$ under the unit $c = G = 2M = 1$, it turns out:

$$f_{200} = \pm 1.207 \cdot 10^{-2} \left(\frac{10^6 M_\odot}{M} \right) \text{ Hz} \tag{78}$$

$$\tau_{200} = 55.37 \left(\frac{M}{10^6 M_\odot} \right) \text{ s} \tag{79}$$

In general, the ringdown waveform is dominated by the mode with $\ell = |m| = 2$ for Kerr case, while the other multipoles are subdominant; Berti et al. (2007b); Buonanno et al. (2007). And the QNMs for given (ℓ, m) are sorted by the damping time $\tau_{\ell mn}$, where the fundamental mode noted by $n = 0$ has the longest damping time with the integer overtone index $n > 0$ labeling the other modes with shorter damping time. And the mode with $(\ell, m, n) = (2, 2, 0)$ or noted as $(2, 2, 0)$ mode is usually the fundamental mode for Kerr case. Meanwhile the measurement of a detector is given by:

$$h = h_+ F_+ (\theta_S, \phi_S, \psi_S) + h_\times F_\times (\theta_S, \phi_S, \psi_S) \tag{80}$$

with the pattern functions given as:

$$F_+ (\theta_S, \phi_S, \psi_S) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2 \theta_S) \cos 2\phi_S \cos 2\psi_S - \cos \theta_S \sin 2\phi_S \sin 2\psi_S \tag{81}$$

$$F_\times (\theta_S, \phi_S, \psi_S) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2 \theta_S) \cos 2\phi_S \sin 2\psi_S + \cos \theta_S \sin 2\phi_S \cos 2\psi_S. \tag{82}$$

Where θ_S and ϕ_S denote the polar and azimuth angles of the source in the sky based on detector frame, while ψ_S is the azimuth angles of the angular momentum vector based on radiation frame; Varma et al. (2014). Besides the parameters of the source directly determining the waveform generally including the mass M and the spin parameter a , another critical parameter associated to the detectability and measurability is the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) ρ defined as Finn (1992); Flanagan and Hughes (1998a,b):

$$\rho^2 = 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{h}^*(f)\tilde{h}(f)}{S_h(f)} df \tag{83}$$

where $S_h(f)$ is the noise power spectral density (PSD) or sensitivity curve of different detectors; Aasi et al. (2015); Acernese et al. (2014); Akutsu et al. (2021); Robson et al. (2019); Lu et al. (2019); Wang et al. (2022); Lu et al. (2019). In general, SNR is the threshold to determine if a signal has been detected. When the SNR exceeds a certain threshold, such as $\rho > 2.5$ in Cabero et al. (2020), we consider the signal have been detected. Another method of applying the Bayesian model to examine the likelihood of detecting QNMs will yield the Bayes factor defined as:

$$\mathcal{B}_{AB} = \frac{p(d | H_A)}{p(d | H_B)} \tag{84}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{AB} > 3.2$ denotes "substantial" support for H_A over H_B , $\mathcal{B}_{AB} > 10$ denotes "strong" support and $\mathcal{B}_{AB} > 100$ is "decisive"; Kass and Raftery (1995); Cabero et al. (2020).

Before the first detected GW event GW150914; Abbott et al. (2016), there have been several studies to predict the range of measurable sources, such as the results of Flanagan and Hughes (1998a):

$$\begin{cases} 60M_\odot \lesssim M \lesssim 1000M_\odot & \text{LIGO initial} \\ 200M_\odot \lesssim M \lesssim 3000M_\odot & \text{Advanced LIGO} \\ 10^7 M_\odot \lesssim M \lesssim 10^9 M_\odot & \text{LISA} \end{cases} \tag{85}$$

As Earth-based GWs detectors continuously probe GWs, data-driven searches for the existence or the accurate detection of QNMs (or overtone) are taking a new direction. Meanwhile, the sources of some

detected GWs events are just within the range predicted by Eq.(85); GWO (2022); Abbott et al. (2019, 2021a,b,c), and we summarize some recent advancements in this subject:

- **Detection of Fundamental Mode and Higher Overtone.** Using a waveform model with ringdown process has shown obvious advancements in the parameter estimates of mass M and spin a contrast with that without ringdown process; Baibhav et al. (2018); Isi et al. (2019). However, due to the short damping time of the QNMs, it is difficult to identify if the QNMs included in the data and when they happened; London et al. (2014, 2016). Therefore, the standard QNMs tests only take the fundamental $(2, 2, 0)$ mode into consideration.

However, the fundamental mode alone is not enough to estimate the accurate values of mass M and spin a because of lack of the information when the ringdown process happens. The research from Giesler et al. (2019) tried to consider a model including overtones up to $n = 7$ and claimed that the spacetime can be well described as a linear perturbed BH directly after the peak. This means that extending the ringdown process directly after the peak by introducing higher overtones may be feasible, with the great significance for how to connect the ringdown waveform after the previous waveform and has led to a series of researches in Bhagwat et al. (2020); Jiménez Forteza et al. (2020); Mourier et al. (2021); Cook (2020); Dhani (2021); Finch and Moore (2021); Magaña Zertuche et al. (2022); Jaramillo et al. (2022).

Meanwhile the revisiting for the first detected GW GW150914 brought a contradict problem. As is pointed in Cotesta et al. (2022), both of the researches in Bustillo et al. (2021); Abbott et al. (2021e) provided a weak evidence in favor of "overtone model" with \log_{10} -Bayes factor ~ 0.6 , contradicting with the research in Isi et al. (2019) who claimed at least one of overtones detected with 3.6σ confidence. As this problem is under further exploration, a startling new point appears that the overtones already detected may be noise-dominated because of the low Bayes factors! And some of the related researches can be found in Cotesta et al. (2022); Isi and Farr (2022).

In general, introducing overtones into waveform model indeed brings better effects. However, how to take them into a waveform model and if the overtones detected are noise-dominated may require further exploration and more accurate detection.

- **Detection of Higher Angular Modes.** In general, the $(2, 2, 0)$ mode is indeed the dominant mode, while the sub-dominant mode is sometimes not the $(2, 2, 1)$ mode but the modes with $\ell > 2$ or $m > 2$ called higher angular modes. And some related publications are Capano et al. (2022); Dhani and Sathyaprakash (2021); Jiménez Forteza et al. (2020,?); Finch and Moore (2021); Magaña Zertuche et al. (2022).
- **Detection of Nonlinear QNMs.** We have already known that under the inclusion of overtones, the waveform can be well-modelled. However, a binary BH merger is a highly nonlinear system, and we don't know if this nonlinear behavior propagates to infinity and contribute to the waveform on our detectors. In Sec.2, the QNMs are produced under the linear perturbations. When we take the second or higher order terms in Eq.(5) into consideration, the nonlinear QNMs can be calculated with the details in Brizuela et al. (2009) for Schwarzschild case and in Loutrel et al. (2021); Ripley et al. (2021) for Kerr. In the meanwhile, the current detectability research revealed that the detection of nonlinear QNMs requires more precise detectors, which may be available in the next generation; Cheung et al. (2022); Mitman et al. (2022).

Perturbation Type	Scalar	Vector	Tensor
s	0	+1 or -1	+2 or -2
ψ	Φ	ψ_0 or $\tilde{\rho}^{-2}\psi_2$	Ψ_0 or $\tilde{\rho}^{-4}\Psi_4$

Table 1. Table lists the specific form of Teukolsky function for different value of s corresponds to the different type of perturbations in Kerr spacetime, where Φ is the wave function of the scalar master equation Eq.(2), ψ_0 and ψ_2 are the Newman-Penrose (N-P) quantities of Maxwell field defined by Eq.(32) while Ψ_0 and Ψ_4 describe gravitational radiation defined by Eq.(33). And for Kerr case, $\tilde{\rho} = -\frac{1}{r-ia\cos\theta}$ is a variable in N-P formalism as defined in Eq.(37).

5 DISCUSSION

We review the QNMs produced by linear perturbation theory in Sec.2, where we discuss the difficulties of reconstruction of metric and summarize some publications including the formalism of QNMs equations for tensor perturbations. The method to calculate the QNMs are reviewed in Sec.3 including the newly developed methods in the past few decades. At the end of the article in Sec.4, we review the detection advancements and highlight the current difficulties in detection of overtones.

5.1 Tables

FIGURE CAPTIONS




Figure 1. This figure shows the tendency of effective potentials asymptotically approach to 0 at horizon and spatial infinity, where we choose the Schwarzschild case with $\ell = 2$ and $c = G = 2M = 1$ as defined in Eq.(27)

REFERENCES

Einstein A. Sitzungsberichte der königlich preussischen akademie der wissenschaften. *Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Berlin* (1915) 844.

Einstein A. Approximative integration of the field equations of gravitation. *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys.)* **1916** (1916) 1.




Figure 2a. behavior near horizon under tortoise coordinate




Figure 2b. behavior at infinity under tortoise coordinate

Figure 2. Fig.2a describes the exponentially diverging asymptotic behavior near horizon under the tortoise coordinate while Fig.2b pictures that of spatial infinity, where we use the unit $c=G=2M=1$ and the fundamental one of QNMs in Schwarzschild case with $\ell = 2$ and $\omega = 0.747343 - 0.177925i$.




Figure 3. This is the schematic diagram of WKB method where two turning points x_1 and x_2 are determined by $\omega^2 \sim V_{\text{eff}}$, which make the whole integration domain divided into three regions.

- Askar A, Belczynski C, Bertone G, Bon E, Blas D, Brito R, et al. Black holes, gravitational waves and fundamental physics: a roadmap. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **36** (2019) 143001. doi:10.1088/1361-6382/ab0587.
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abernathy MR, Acernese F, Ackley K, et al. Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 061102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102.
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams C, et al. Gw170817: Observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 161101. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101.
- [Dataset] Gravitational wave open science center. <https://www.gw-openscience.org/eventapi/html/allevnts/> (2022).
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abraham S, Acernese F, Ackley K, et al. Gwtc-1: A gravitational-wave transient catalog of compact binary mergers observed by ligo and virgo during the first and second observing runs. *Phys. Rev. X* **9** (2019) 031040. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031040.
- Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abraham S, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams A, et al. Gwtc-2: Compact binary coalescences observed by ligo and virgo during the first half of the third observing run. *Phys. Rev. X* **11** (2021a) 021053. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.11.021053.
- Abbott R, Abbott T, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams C, Adhikari N, et al. Gwtc-2.1: Deep extended catalog of compact binary coalescences observed by ligo and virgo during the first half of the third observing run. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.01045* (2021b).
- Abbott R, Abbott T, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams C, Adhikari N, et al. Gwtc-3: compact binary coalescences observed by ligo and virgo during the second part of the third observing run. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.03606* (2021c).
- Collaboration EHT, Akiyama K, Alberdi A, Alef W, Asada K, AZULY R, et al. First m87 event horizon telescope results. i. the shadow of the supermassive black hole. *Astrophys. J. Lett* **875** (2019) L1.
- Schwarzschild K. Über das Gravitationsfeld einer Kugel aus inkompressibler Flüssigkeit nach der Einsteinschen Theorie. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* (1916), 424–434.
- Kokkotas KD, Schmidt BG. Quasi-normal modes of stars and black holes. *Living Reviews in Relativity* **2** (1999) 1–72.
- Nollert HP. Quasinormal modes: the characteristicsound'of black holes and neutron stars. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **16** (1999) R159.
- Nampalliwar S, Kumar S, Jusufi K, Wu Q, Jamil M, Salucci P. Modeling the sgr a* black hole immersed in a dark matter spike. *The Astrophysical Journal* **916** (2021) 116. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ac05cc.
- Xu Z, Wang J, Tang M. Deformed black hole immersed in dark matter spike. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2021** (2021) 007. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/09/007.
- Flanagan ÉÉ, Hughes SA. The basics of gravitational wave theory. *New Journal of Physics* **7** (2005) 204–204. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/7/1/204.
- Berti E, Cardoso V, Starinets AO. Quasinormal modes of black holes and black branes. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **26** (2009) 163001.
- Regge T, Wheeler JA. Stability of a schwarzschild singularity. *Phys. Rev.* **108** (1957) 1063–1069. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.108.1063.
- Berti E, Cardoso V, Will CM. Gravitational-wave spectroscopy of massive black holes with the space interferometer lisa. *Physical Review D* **73** (2006a) 064030.

- Isi M, Giesler M, Farr WM, Scheel MA, Teukolsky SA. Testing the no-hair theorem with gw150914. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019) 111102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.111102.
- Abbott R, Abe H, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adhikari N, Adhikari R, et al. Tests of general relativity with gwtc-3. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.06861* (2021d).
- Schutz BF, Will CM. Black hole normal modes: a semianalytic approach. *The Astrophysical Journal* **291** (1985) L33–L36.
- Leaver EW. An analytic representation for the quasi-normal modes of kerr black holes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **402** (1985) 285–298.
- Piovano GA, Maselli A, Pani P. Extreme mass ratio inspirals with spinning secondary: A detailed study of equatorial circular motion. *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 024041. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024041.
- Poisson E, Pound A, Vega I. The motion of point particles in curved spacetime. *Living Reviews in Relativity* **14** (2011) 1–190.
- Leaver EW. Spectral decomposition of the perturbation response of the schwarzschild geometry. *Phys. Rev. D* **34** (1986a) 384–408. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.34.384.
- Mino Y, Sasaki M, Shibata M, Tagoshi H, Tanaka T. Chapter 1. Black Hole Perturbation. *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement* **128** (1997) 1–121. doi:10.1143/PTPS.128.1.
- Cai RG, Cao Z, Guo ZK, Wang SJ, Yang T. The gravitational-wave physics. *National Science Review* **4** (2017) 687–706. doi:10.1093/nsr/nwx029.
- Penrose R. Gravitational collapse: The role of general relativity. *Nuovo Cimento Rivista Serie* **1** (1969) 252.
- Carter B. Axisymmetric black hole has only two degrees of freedom. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** (1971) 331–333. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.26.331.
- Hansen RO. Multipole moments of stationary space-times. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **15** (1974) 46–52.
- Gürlebeck N. No-hair theorem for black holes in astrophysical environments. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 151102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.151102.
- Carullo G, Laghi D, Johnson-McDaniel NK, Del Pozzo W, Dias OJC, Godazgar M, et al. Constraints on kerr-newman black holes from merger-ringdown gravitational-wave observations. *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 062009. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.062009.
- Konoplya R, Zhidenko A. Quasinormal modes of black holes: From astrophysics to string theory. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **83** (2011) 793.
- Aasi J, Abadie J, Abbott B, Abbott R, Abbott T, Abernathy M, et al. Characterization of the ligo detectors during their sixth science run. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **32** (2015) 115012.
- Acernese Fa, Agathos M, Agatsuma K, Aisa D, Allemandou N, Allocca A, et al. Advanced virgo: a second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **32** (2014) 024001.
- Akutsu T, Ando M, Arai K, Arai Y, Araki S, Araya A, et al. Overview of kagra: Detector design and construction history. *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2021** (2021) 05A101.
- Davis M, Ruffini R, Tiomno J. Pulses of gravitational radiation of a particle falling radially into a schwarzschild black hole. *Phys. Rev. D* **5** (1972) 2932–2935. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.5.2932.
- Newman E, Penrose R. An approach to gravitational radiation by a method of spin coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **3** (1962) 566–578.
- [Dataset] Chandrasekhar S, Thorne KS. The mathematical theory of black holes (1985).
- Brito R, Cardoso V, Pani P. *Superradiance* (Springer) (2020).

- Cardoso V, del Rio A, Kimura M. Distinguishing black holes from horizonless objects through the excitation of resonances during inspiral. *Physical Review D* **100** (2019) 084046.
- Maggio E, van de Meent M, Pani P. Extreme mass-ratio inspirals around a spinning horizonless compact object. *Physical Review D* **104** (2021) 104026.
- Sago N, Tanaka T. Oscillations in the extreme mass-ratio inspiral gravitational wave phase correction as a probe of a reflective boundary of the central black hole. *Physical Review D* **104** (2021) 064009.
- Cardoso V, Pani P. Testing the nature of dark compact objects: a status report. *Living Reviews in Relativity* **22** (2019) 1–104.
- Kodama H, Ishibashi A, Seto O. Brane world cosmology: Gauge-invariant formalism for perturbation. *Physical Review D* **62** (2000) 064022.
- Thompson JE, Chen H, Whiting BF. Gauge invariant perturbations of the schwarzschild spacetime. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **34** (2017) 174001.
- Nagar A, Rezzolla L. Gauge-invariant non-spherical metric perturbations of schwarzschild black-hole spacetimes. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **22** (2005) R167.
- Martel K, Poisson E. Gravitational perturbations of the schwarzschild spacetime: a practical covariant and gauge-invariant formalism. *Physical Review D* **71** (2005) 104003.
- Sarbach O, Tiglio M. Gauge-invariant perturbations of schwarzschild black holes in horizon-penetrating coordinates. *Physical Review D* **64** (2001) 084016.
- Dewitt C, Dewitt BS. Black holes (les astres occlus). *Black Holes (Les Astres Occlus)* (1973).
- Edmonds AR. *Angular momentum in quantum mechanics* (Princeton university press) (1996).
- Thorne KS. Multipole expansions of gravitational radiation. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **52** (1980) 299.
- Zerilli FJ. Gravitational field of a particle falling in a schwarzschild geometry analyzed in tensor harmonics. *Physical Review D* **2** (1970a) 2141.
- Mathews J. Gravitational multipole radiation. *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics* **10** (1962) 768–780.
- Vishveshwara C. Stability of the schwarzschild metric. *Physical Review D* **1** (1970) 2870.
- Edelstein LA, Vishveshwara CV. Differential equations for perturbations on the schwarzschild metric. *Phys. Rev. D* **1** (1970) 3514–3517. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.1.3514.
- Zerilli FJ. Effective potential for even-parity regge-wheeler gravitational perturbation equations. *Physical Review Letters* **24** (1970b) 737.
- Sago N, Nakano H, Sasaki M. Gauge problem in the gravitational self-force: Harmonic gauge approach in the schwarzschild background. *Physical Review D* **67** (2003) 104017.
- Moncrief V. Gravitational perturbations of spherically symmetric systems. i. the exterior problem. *Annals of Physics* **88** (1974) 323–342.
- Gerlach UH, Sengupta UK. Gauge-invariant perturbations on most general spherically symmetric space-times. *Physical Review D* **19** (1979) 2268.
- Gerlach UH, Sengupta UK. Gauge-invariant coupled gravitational, acoustical, and electromagnetic modes on most general spherical space-times. *Physical Review D* **22** (1980) 1300.
- Jaramillo JL, Macedo RP, Al Sheikh L. Gravitational wave signatures of black hole quasinormal mode instability. *Physical Review Letters* **128** (2022) 211102.
- Chandrasekhar S. On the equations governing the perturbations of the schwarzschild black hole. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **343** (1975) 289–298.
- Chandrasekhar S. On algebraically special perturbations of black holes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **392** (1984) 1–13.

- Kerr RP. Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics. *Physical review letters* **11** (1963) 237.
- Boyer RH, Lindquist RW. Maximal analytic extension of the kerr metric. *Journal of mathematical physics* **8** (1967) 265–281.
- Teukolsky SA. The kerr metric. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **32** (2015) 124006.
- Carter B. Hamilton-jacobi and schrodinger separable solutions of einstein's equations. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **10** (1968) 280–310.
- Price RH. Nonspherical perturbations of relativistic gravitational collapse. i. scalar and gravitational perturbations. *Phys. Rev. D* **5** (1972) 2419–2438. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.5.2419.
- Bardeen JM, Press WH. Radiation fields in the schwarzschild background. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **14** (1973) 7–19. doi:10.1063/1.1666175.
- Teukolsky SA. Perturbations of a rotating black hole. i. fundamental equations for gravitational, electromagnetic, and neutrino-field perturbations. *The Astrophysical Journal* **185** (1973) 635–648.
- Teukolsky SA. Rotating black holes: Separable wave equations for gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations. *Physical Review Letters* **29** (1972) 1114.
- Press WH, Teukolsky SA. Perturbations of a rotating black hole. ii. dynamical stability of the kerr metric. *The Astrophysical Journal* **185** (1973) 649–674.
- Goldberg JN, MacFarlane AJ, Newman ET, Rohrlich F, Sudarshan EG. Spin-s spherical harmonics and δ . *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **8** (1967) 2155–2161.
- Seidel E. A comment on the eigenvalues of spin-weighted spheroidal functions. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **6** (1989) 1057.
- Berti E, Cardoso V, Casals M. Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics in four and higher dimensions. *Physical Review D* **73** (2006b) 024013.
- Hod S. Eigenvalue spectrum of the spheroidal harmonics: a uniform asymptotic analysis. *Physics Letters B* **746** (2015) 365–367.
- Detweiler S. On resonant oscillations of a rapidly rotating black hole. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **352** (1977) 381–395.
- Teukolsky SA, Press W. Perturbations of a rotating black hole. iii-interaction of the hole with gravitational and electromagnetic radiation. *The Astrophysical Journal* **193** (1974) 443–461.
- Chrzanowski PL. Vector potential and metric perturbations of a rotating black hole. *Physical Review D* **11** (1975) 2042.
- Wald RM. Construction of solutions of gravitational, electromagnetic, or other perturbation equations from solutions of decoupled equations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41** (1978) 203–206. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.41.203.
- Stewart JM. Hertz—bromwich—debye—whittaker—penrose potentials in general relativity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **367** (1979) 527–538.
- Kegeles LS, Cohen JM. Constructive procedure for perturbations of spacetimes. *Phys. Rev. D* **19** (1979) 1641–1664. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.19.1641.
- Barack L, Ori A. Gravitational self-force and gauge transformations. *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 124003. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.64.124003.
- Ori A. Reconstruction of inhomogeneous metric perturbations and electromagnetic four-potential in kerr spacetime. *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 124010. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.67.124010.
- Yunes N, González JA. Metric of a tidally perturbed spinning black hole. *Physical Review D* **73** (2006) 024010.
- Sano Y, Tagoshi H. Gravitational field of a schwarzschild black hole and a rotating mass ring. *Physical Review D* **90** (2014) 044043.

- Merlin C, Ori A, Barack L, Pound A, van de Meent M. Completion of metric reconstruction for a particle orbiting a kerr black hole. *Physical Review D* **94** (2016) 104066.
- van De Meent M. The mass and angular momentum of reconstructed metric perturbations. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **34** (2017) 124003.
- Toomani V, Zimmerman P, Spiers A, Hollands S, Pound A, Green SR. New metric reconstruction scheme for gravitational self-force calculations. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **39** (2021) 015019.
- Dolan SR, Kavanagh C, Wardell B. Gravitational perturbations of rotating black holes in lorenz gauge. *Physical Review Letters* **128** (2022) 151101.
- Loutrel N, Ripley JL, Giorgi E, Pretorius F. Second-order perturbations of kerr black holes: Formalism and reconstruction of the first-order metric. *Physical Review D* **103** (2021) 104017.
- Sasaki M, Nakamura T. Gravitational radiation from a kerr black hole. i. formulation and a method for numerical analysis. *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **67** (1982) 1788–1809.
- Zhang C, Zhu T, Wang A. Gravitational axial perturbations of schwarzschild-like black holes in dark matter halos. *Physical Review D* **104** (2021) 124082.
- Liu W, Fang X, Jing J, Wang A. Gauge invariant perturbations of general spherically symmetric spacetimes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.01259* (2022).
- Suzuki H, Takasugi E, Umetsu H. Perturbations of kerr-de sitter black holes and heun's equations. *Progress of theoretical physics* **100** (1998) 491–505.
- Cardoso V, Lemos JP. Quasinormal modes of schwarzschild–anti-de sitter black holes: Electromagnetic and gravitational perturbations. *Physical Review D* **64** (2001) 084017.
- Tattersall OJ. Kerr–(anti–) de sitter black holes: Perturbations and quasinormal modes in the slow rotation limit. *Physical Review D* **98** (2018) 104013.
- Kodama H, Ishibashi A. A master equation for gravitational perturbations of maximally symmetric black holes in higher dimensions. *Progress of theoretical physics* **110** (2003) 701–722.
- Ishibashi A, Kodama H. Stability of higher-dimensional schwarzschild black holes. *Progress of theoretical physics* **110** (2003) 901–919.
- Kodama H, Ishibashi A. Master equations for perturbations of generalised static black holes with charge in higher dimensions. *Progress of theoretical physics* **111** (2004) 29–73.
- Moulin F, Barrau A, Martineau K. An overview of quasinormal modes in modified and extended gravity. *Universe* **5** (2019) 202.
- Chandrasekhar S, Detweiler S. The quasi-normal modes of the schwarzschild black hole. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **344** (1975) 441–452.
- Nollert HP, Schmidt BG. Quasinormal modes of schwarzschild black holes: Defined and calculated via laplace transformation. *Physical Review D* **45** (1992) 2617.
- Jeffreys H. On certain approximate solutions of lineae differential equations of the second order. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **2** (1925) 428–436.
- Wentzel G. Eine verallgemeinerung der quantenbedingungen für die zwecke der wellenmechanik. *Zeitschrift für Physik* **38** (1926) 518–529.
- Kramers HA. Wellenmechanik und halbzahlige quantisierung. *Zeitschrift für Physik* **39** (1926) 828–840.
- Brillouin L. La mécanique ondulatoire de schrödinger: une méthode générale de resolution par approximations successives", *comptes rendus de l'academie des sciences* 183, 24 u26 (1926) ha kramers. *Wellenmechanik und halbzahlige Quantisierung", Zeit. f. Phys* **39** (1926) U840.
- Fröman N, Fröman PO. *JWKB approximation* (North-Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam) (1965).
- Hall BC. *Quantum theory for mathematicians*, vol. 267 (Springer) (2013).

- Iyer S, Will CM. Black-hole normal modes: A wkb approach. i. foundations and application of a higher-order wkb analysis of potential-barrier scattering. *Physical Review D* **35** (1987) 3621.
- Bender CM. Sa orszag advanced mathematical methods for scientists and engineers. *McGraw-Hill, New York* **1** (1978) 14.
- Olver FW, Lozier DW, Boisvert RF, Clark CW. *NIST handbook of mathematical functions hardback and CD-ROM* (Cambridge university press) (2010).
- Iyer S. Black-hole normal modes: A wkb approach. ii. schwarzschild black holes. *Physical Review D* **35** (1987) 3632.
- Kokkotas KD, Schutz BF. Black-hole normal modes: A wkb approach. iii. the reissner-nordström black hole. *Physical Review D* **37** (1988) 3378.
- Seidel E, Iyer S. Black-hole normal modes: A wkb approach. iv. kerr black holes. *Physical Review D* **41** (1990) 374.
- Konoplya R. Quasinormal behavior of the d-dimensional schwarzschild black hole and the higher order wkb approach. *Physical Review D* **68** (2003) 024018.
- Konoplya R. Quasinormal modes of the schwarzschild black hole and higher order wkb approach. *J. Phys. Stud* **8** (2004) 93.
- Matyjasek J, Opala M. Quasinormal modes of black holes: The improved semianalytic approach. *Physical Review D* **96** (2017) 024011.
- Konoplya R, Zhidenko A, Zinhailo A. Higher order wkb formula for quasinormal modes and grey-body factors: recipes for quick and accurate calculations. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **36** (2019) 155002.
- Jaffé G. Zur theorie des wasserstoffmolekülions. *Zeitschrift für Physik* **87** (1934) 535–544.
- Hylleraas EA. über die elektronenterme des wasserstoffmoleküls. *Zeitschrift für Physik* **71** (1931) 739–763.
- Baber W, Hassé H. The two centre problem in wave mechanics. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* (Cambridge University Press) (1935), vol. 31, 564–581.
- Leaver EW. Solutions to a generalized spheroidal wave equation: Teukolsky's equations in general relativity, and the two-center problem in molecular quantum mechanics. *Journal of mathematical physics* **27** (1986b) 1238–1265.
- Gautschi W. Computational aspects of three-term recurrence relations. *SIAM review* **9** (1967) 24–82.
- Starinets AO. Quasinormal modes of near extremal black branes. *Physical Review D* **66** (2002) 124013.
- Nollert HP. Quasinormal modes of schwarzschild black holes: The determination of quasinormal frequencies with very large imaginary parts. *Physical Review D* **47** (1993) 5253.
- Zhidenko A. Massive scalar field quasinormal modes of higher dimensional black holes. *Physical Review D* **74** (2006) 064017.
- Onozawa H. Detailed study of quasinormal frequencies of the kerr black hole. *Physical Review D* **55** (1997) 3593.
- Berti E, Cardoso V, Yoshida S. Highly damped quasinormal modes of kerr black holes: a complete numerical investigation. *Physical Review D* **69** (2004) 124018.
- Leaver EW. Quasinormal modes of reissner-nordström black holes. *Physical Review D* **41** (1990) 2986.
- Berti E, Kokkotas KD. Quasinormal modes of kerr-newman black holes: Coupling of electromagnetic and gravitational perturbations. *Physical Review D* **71** (2005) 124008.
- Molina C, Pani P, Cardoso V, Gualtieri L. Gravitational signature of schwarzschild black holes in dynamical chern-simons gravity. *Physical Review D* **81** (2010) 124021.
- Mai ZF, Yang RQ, Lü H. Extremal charged black holes and superradiantly unstable quasinormal modes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.11138* (2022).

- Fröman N, Fröman PO, Andersson N, Hökback A. Black-hole normal modes: phase-integral treatment. *Physical Review D* **45** (1992) 2609.
- Andersson N. A numerically accurate investigation of black-hole normal modes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **439** (1992) 47–58.
- Blome HJ, Mashhoon B. Quasi-normal oscillations of a schwarzschild black hole. *Physics Letters A* **100** (1984) 231–234. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(84)90769-2.
- Ferrari V, Mashhoon B. Oscillations of a black hole. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** (1984) 1361–1364. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1361.
- Boonserm P, Visser M. Quasi-normal frequencies: Key analytic results. *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2011** (2011) 1–28.
- Arscott FM, Slavyanov SY, Schmidt D, Wolf G, Maroni P, Duval A. *Heun's Differential Equations* (Clarendon Press) (1995).
- Baumann D, Chia HS, Stout J, ter Haar L. The spectra of gravitational atoms. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2019** (2019) 006.
- Fiziev PP. Exact solutions of regge–wheeler equation and quasi-normal modes of compact objects. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **23** (2006) 2447.
- Borissov RS, Fiziev PP. Exact solutions of teukolsky master equation with continuous spectrum. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0903.3617* (2009).
- Fiziev PP. Teukolsky-starobinsky identities: A novel derivation and generalizations. *Physical Review D* **80** (2009) 124001.
- Hatsuda Y. Quasinormal modes of kerr–de sitter black holes via the heun function. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **38** (2020) 025015.
- Futamase T, Itoh Y. The post-newtonian approximation for relativistic compact binaries. *Living Reviews in Relativity* **10** (2007) 1–81.
- Cho G, Dandapat S, Gopakumar A. Third order post-newtonian gravitational radiation from two-body scattering: Instantaneous energy and angular momentum radiation. *Physical Review D* **105** (2022) 084018.
- Sasaki M, Tagoshi H. Analytic black hole perturbation approach to gravitational radiation. *Living Reviews in Relativity* **6** (2003) 1–60.
- Fujita R. Gravitational waves from a particle in circular orbits around a schwarzschild black hole to the 22nd post-newtonian order. *Progress of theoretical physics* **128** (2012) 971–992.
- Fujita R. Gravitational waves from a particle in circular orbits around a rotating black hole to the 11th post-newtonian order. *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2015** (2015) 033E01.
- Sago N, Fujita R, Nakano H. Accuracy of the post-newtonian approximation for extreme mass ratio inspirals from a black-hole perturbation approach. *Physical Review D* **93** (2016) 104023.
- Ciftci H, Hall RL, Saad N. Asymptotic iteration method for eigenvalue problems. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **36** (2003) 11807.
- Cho H, Cornell A, Doukas J, Huang TR, Naylor W. A new approach to black hole quasinormal modes: a review of the asymptotic iteration method. *Advances in Mathematical Physics* **2012** (2012).
- Mamani LA, Masa AD, Sanches LT, Zanchin VT. Revisiting the quasinormal modes of the schwarzschild black hole: Numerical analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.03512* (2022).
- Sanches LT. Quasinormalmodes. jl: A julia package for computing discrete eigenvalues of second order odes. *Journal of Open Source Software* **7** (2022) 4077.
- Jansen A. Overdamped modes in schwarzschild-de sitter and a mathematica package for the numerical computation of quasinormal modes. *The European Physical Journal Plus* **132** (2017) 1–22.

- Mano S, Suzuki H, Takasugi E. Analytic Solutions of the Teukolsky Equation and Their Low Frequency Expansions. *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **95** (1996) 1079–1096. doi:10.1143/PTP.95.1079.
- Fujita R, Tagoshi H. New Numerical Methods to Evaluate Homogeneous Solutions of the Teukolsky Equation. *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **112** (2004) 415–450. doi:10.1143/PTP.112.415.
- Fujita R, Hikida W, Tagoshi H. An Efficient Numerical Method for Computing Gravitational Waves Induced by a Particle Moving on Eccentric Inclined Orbits around a Kerr Black Hole. *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **121** (2009) 843–874. doi:10.1143/PTP.121.843.
- [Dataset] Black Hole Perturbation Toolkit. (bhptoolkit.org) (2022).
- Berti E, Cardoso J, Cardoso V, Cavaglia M. Matched filtering and parameter estimation of ringdown waveforms. *Physical Review D* **76** (2007a) 104044.
- Berti E, Buonanno A, Will CM. Estimating spinning binary parameters and testing alternative theories of gravity with lisa. *Physical Review D* **71** (2005) 084025.
- Varma V, Ajith P, Husa S, Bustillo JC, Hannam M, Pürrer M. Gravitational-wave observations of binary black holes: Effect of nonquadrupole modes. *Physical Review D* **90** (2014) 124004.
- Szpak N. Quasinormal mode expansion and the exact solution of the cauchy problem for wave equations. *arXiv preprint gr-qc/0411050* (2004).
- Beyer HR. On the completeness of the quasinormal modes of the pöschl–teller potential. *Communications in mathematical physics* **204** (1999) 397–423.
- Beyer HR. On the stability of the kerr metric. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **221** (2001) 659–676.
- London L, Shoemaker D, Healy J. Modeling ringdown: Beyond the fundamental quasinormal modes. *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 124032. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124032.
- Taracchini A, Pan Y, Buonanno A, Barausse E, Boyle M, Chu T, et al. Prototype effective-one-body model for nonprecessing spinning inspiral-merger-ringdown waveforms. *Physical Review D* **86** (2012) 024011.
- Berti E, Cardoso V, Gonzalez JA, Sperhake U, Hannam M, Husa S, et al. Inspiral, merger, and ringdown of unequal mass black hole binaries: A multipolar analysis. *Physical Review D* **76** (2007b) 064034.
- Buonanno A, Cook GB, Pretorius F. Inspiral, merger, and ring-down of equal-mass black-hole binaries. *Physical Review D* **75** (2007) 124018.
- Finn LS. Detection, measurement, and gravitational radiation. *Physical Review D* **46** (1992) 5236.
- Flanagan ÉÉ, Hughes SA. Measuring gravitational waves from binary black hole coalescences. i. signal to noise for inspiral, merger, and ringdown. *Physical Review D* **57** (1998a) 4535.
- Flanagan EE, Hughes SA. Measuring gravitational waves from binary black hole coalescences. ii. the waves' information and its extraction, with and without templates. *Physical Review D* **57** (1998b) 4566.
- Robson T, Cornish NJ, Liu C. The construction and use of lisa sensitivity curves. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **36** (2019) 105011. doi:10.1088/1361-6382/ab1101.
- Lu XY, Tan YJ, Shao CG. Sensitivity functions for space-borne gravitational wave detectors. *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 044042. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.044042.
- Wang R, Ruan WH, Yang Q, Guo ZK, Cai RG, Hu B. Hubble parameter estimation via dark sirens with the lisa-taiji network. *National science review* **9** (2022) nwab054.
- Cabero M, Westerweck J, Capano CD, Kumar S, Nielsen AB, Krishnan B. Black hole spectroscopy in the next decade. *Physical Review D* **101** (2020) 064044.
- Kass RE, Raftery AE. Bayes factors. *Journal of the american statistical association* **90** (1995) 773–795.
- Baibhav V, Berti E, Cardoso V, Khanna G. Black hole spectroscopy: systematic errors and ringdown energy estimates. *Physical Review D* **97** (2018) 044048.

- London LT, Healy J, Shoemaker D. Erratum: Modeling ringdown: Beyond the fundamental quasinormal modes [phys. rev. d 90, 124032 (2014)]. *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 069902. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.069902.
- Giesler M, Isi M, Scheel MA, Teukolsky SA. Black hole ringdown: the importance of overtones. *Physical Review X* **9** (2019) 041060.
- Bhagwat S, Forteza XJ, Pani P, Ferrari V. Ringdown overtones, black hole spectroscopy, and no-hair theorem tests. *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 044033. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.044033.
- Jiménez Forteza X, Bhagwat S, Pani P, Ferrari V. Spectroscopy of binary black hole ringdown using overtones and angular modes. *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 044053. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.044053.
- Mourier P, Jiménez Forteza X, Pook-Kolb D, Krishnan B, Schnetter E. Quasinormal modes and their overtones at the common horizon in a binary black hole merger. *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 044054. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.044054.
- Cook GB. Aspects of multimode kerr ringdown fitting. *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 024027. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024027.
- Dhani A. Importance of mirror modes in binary black hole ringdown waveform. *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 104048. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.104048.
- Finch E, Moore CJ. Modeling the ringdown from precessing black hole binaries. *Physical Review D* **103** (2021) 084048.
- Magaña Zertuche L, Mitman K, Khera N, Stein LC, Boyle M, Deppe N, et al. High precision ringdown modeling: Multimode fits and bms frames. *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 104015. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.104015.
- Cotesta R, Carullo G, Berti E, Cardoso V. Analysis of ringdown overtones in gw150914. *Physical Review Letters* **129** (2022) 111102.
- Bustillo JC, Lasky PD, Thrane E. Black-hole spectroscopy, the no-hair theorem, and gw150914: Kerr versus occam. *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 024041. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.024041.
- Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abraham S, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams A, et al. Tests of general relativity with binary black holes from the second ligo-virgo gravitational-wave transient catalog. *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021e) 122002. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.122002.
- Isi M, Farr WM. Revisiting the ringdown of gw150914. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.02941* (2022).
- Capano CD, Abedi J, Kasta S, Nitz AH, Westerweck J, Cabero M, et al. Statistical validation of the detection of a sub-dominant quasi-normal mode in gw190521. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.00640* (2022).
- Dhani A, Sathyaprakash B. Overtones, mirror modes, and mode-mixing in binary black hole mergers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.14195* (2021).
- Brizuela D, Martin-Garcia JM, Tiglio M. Complete gauge-invariant formalism for arbitrary second-order perturbations of a schwarzschild black hole. *Physical Review D* **80** (2009) 024021.
- Ripley JL, Loutrel N, Giorgi E, Pretorius F. Numerical computation of second-order vacuum perturbations of kerr black holes. *Physical Review D* **103** (2021) 104018.
- Cheung MHY, Baibhav V, Berti E, Cardoso V, Carullo G, Cotesta R, et al. Nonlinear effects in black hole ringdown. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.07374* (2022).
- Mitman K, Lagos M, Stein LC, Ma S, Hui L, Chen Y, et al. Nonlinearities in black hole ringdowns. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.07380* (2022).