

Stability of Minkowski spacetime in exterior regions

Dawei Shen*

August 29, 2023

Abstract

In 1993, the global stability of Minkowski spacetime has been proven in the celebrated work of Christodoulou and Klainerman [5] in a maximal foliation. In 2003, Klainerman and Nicolò [14] gave a second proof of the stability of Minkowski in the case of the exterior of an outgoing null cone. In this paper, we give a new proof of [14]. Compared to [14], we reduce the number of derivatives needed in the proof, simplify the treatment of the last slice, and provide a unified treatment of the decay of initial data. Also, concerning the treatment of curvature estimates, we replace the vectorfield method used in [14] by the r^p -weighted estimates of Dafermos and Rodnianski [7].

Keywords: *double null foliation, geodesic foliation, Minkowski stability, Peeling properties, r^p -weighted estimates*

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Einstein vacuum equations and the Cauchy problem	3
1.2	Previous works of the stability of Minkowski spacetime	4
1.3	Minkowski Stability in [5] and [14]	4
1.4	Rough version of the main theorem	6
1.5	Structure of the paper	6
1.6	Acknowledgements	7
2	Preliminaries	7
2.1	Geometric set-up	7
2.1.1	Double null foliation	7
2.1.2	Initial layer region	9
2.1.3	Bootstrap region	10
2.2	Integral formulas	12
2.3	Hodge systems	13
2.4	Elliptic estimates for Hodge systems	14
2.5	Schematic notation Γ_g , Γ_b and Γ_a	15
2.6	Null structure equations	15
2.7	Bianchi equations	16
2.8	Commutation identities	18
2.9	Teukolsky equations	19
2.10	Sobolev inequalities	20
2.11	Evolution lemma	21

*Email adress: dawei.shen@polytechnique.edu

Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, Sorbonne Université, 75252 Paris, France

3	Main theorem	21
3.1	Fundamental norms	21
3.1.1	\mathcal{R} norms (curvature)	21
3.1.2	\mathcal{O} norms (Ricci coefficients)	23
3.1.3	$\mathcal{O}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)$ -norms and \mathfrak{R}_0 -norms (Initial data on the foliation of \mathcal{K})	24
3.1.4	$\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*)$ norms (Ricci coefficients on the last slice)	24
3.1.5	$\mathcal{O}_{(0)}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{(0)}$ norms (Initial data on the foliation of $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$)	25
3.1.6	Osc-norms (Oscillation control)	25
3.2	Main theorem	26
3.3	Main intermediate results	27
3.4	Proof of the main theorem	29
4	Curvature estimates (Theorem M1)	30
4.1	Estimates for general Bianchi pairs	31
4.2	Estimates for the Bianchi pair (α, β)	35
4.3	Estimates for the Bianchi pair $(\beta, (\check{\rho}, -\sigma))$	37
4.4	Estimates for the Bianchi pair $((\check{\rho}, \sigma), \underline{\beta})$	38
4.5	Estimates for the Bianchi pair $(\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha})$	40
4.6	Estimate for $\nabla_4 \alpha$	41
4.7	Estimate for $\nabla_3 \underline{\alpha}$	42
4.8	Estimate for $\bar{\rho}$	44
4.9	End of the proof of Theorem M1	44
5	Ricci coefficients estimates (Theorem M3)	45
5.1	Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_0(\Omega\omega)$ and $\mathcal{O}_0(\widetilde{\Omega\omega})$	45
5.2	Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widetilde{\Omega\omega})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widetilde{\Omega\omega})$	46
5.3	Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widetilde{\Omega})$ and $r \left \Omega - \frac{1}{2} \right $	47
5.4	Estimate for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi)$	48
5.5	Estimate for $\left r^2 \left(\widetilde{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right $	49
5.6	Estimate for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi})$	50
5.7	Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\underline{\eta})$	50
5.8	Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widehat{\chi})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\underline{\widehat{\chi}})$	52
5.9	Estimate for $\mathcal{O}_2(\widetilde{\Omega})$	53
5.10	Estimate for $\left r^2 \left(\widetilde{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \right $	53
6	Initialization and extension (Theorems M0, M2 and M4)	54
6.1	Preliminaries	54
6.1.1	Null frame transformations	54
6.1.2	Deformations of spheres	55
6.2	The initial hypersurface (Theorem M0)	57
6.3	The last slice (Theorem M2)	62
6.4	Extension argument (Theorem M4)	69
A	Proof of Lemma 3.4	73
B	Proof of the case $s \in (3, 4)$	74
B.1	Fundamental norms	74
B.2	Estimates for Ricci coefficients and curvature components	75
B.3	Conclusion	75

C Proof of the case $s > 6$	75
C.1 Fundamental norms	75
C.2 Optimal constant for Poincaré inequality	76
C.3 Curvature estimates	76
C.4 Peeling decay for curvature components	80
Declarations	80
References	80

1 Introduction

1.1 Einstein vacuum equations and the Cauchy problem

A Lorentzian 4-manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ is called a vacuum spacetime if it solves the Einstein vacuum equations:

$$\mathbf{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbf{Ric} denotes the Ricci tensor of the Lorentzian metric \mathbf{g} . The Einstein vacuum equations are invariant under diffeomorphisms, and therefore one considers equivalence classes of solutions. Expressed in general coordinates, (1.1) is a non-linear geometric coupled system of partial differential equations of order 2 for \mathbf{g} . In suitable coordinates, for example so-called wave coordinates, it can be shown that (1.1) is hyperbolic and hence admits an initial value formulation.

The corresponding initial data for the Einstein vacuum equations is given by specifying a triplet (Σ, g, k) where (Σ, g) is a Riemannian 3-manifold and k is the traceless symmetric 2-tensor on Σ satisfying the constraint equations:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= |k|^2 - (\text{tr } k)^2, \\ D^j k_{ij} &= D_i(\text{tr } k), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature of g , D denotes the Levi-Civita connection of g and

$$|k|^2 := g^{ad}g^{bc}k_{ab}k_{cd}, \quad \text{tr } k := g^{ij}k_{ij}.$$

In the future development $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ of such initial data (Σ, g, k) , $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a spacelike hypersurface with induced metric g and second fundamental form k .

The seminal well-posedness results for the Cauchy problem obtained in [3, 4] ensure that for any smooth Cauchy data, there exists a unique smooth maximal globally hyperbolic development $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ solution of Einstein equations (1.1) such that $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{M}$ and g, k are respectively the first and second fundamental forms of Σ in \mathcal{M} .

The prime example of a vacuum spacetime is Minkowski space:

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^4, \quad \mathbf{g} = -dt^2 + (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2,$$

for which Cauchy data are given by

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^3, \quad g = (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2, \quad k = 0.$$

In the present work, we consider the problem of the stability of Minkowski spacetime and start with reviewing the literature on this problem.

1.2 Previous works of the stability of Minkowski spacetime

In 1993, Christodoulou and Klainerman [5] proved the stability of Minkowski for the Einstein-vacuum equations, a milestone in the domain of mathematical general relativity. In 2003, Klainerman and Nicolò [14] gave a second proof of this result in the exterior of an outgoing cone. Moreover, Klainerman and Nicolò [15] showed that under stronger asymptotic decay and regularity properties than those used in [5, 14], asymptotically flat initial data sets lead to solutions of the Einstein vacuum equations which have strong peeling properties. Given that the goal of this paper is to provide a new proof of the stability of Minkowski in the exterior region, we will state the results of [5] and [14] in section 1.3.

The proofs in [5] and [14] are based respectively on the maximal foliation and the double null foliation. Lindblad and Rodnianski [21, 22] gave a new proof of the stability of the Minkowski spacetime using *wave-coordinates* and showing that the Einstein equations verify the so called *weak null structure* in that gauge. Bieri [1] gave a proof requiring less derivative and less vector-field compared to [5]. Huneau [12] proved the nonlinear stability of Minkowski spacetime with a translation Killing field using generalised wave-coordinates. Using the framework of Melrose's b-analysis, Hintz and Vasy [10] reproved the stability of Minkowski space. Graf [9] proved the global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space in the context of the spacelike-characteristic Cauchy problem for Einstein vacuum equations, which together with [14] allows to reobtain [5].

There are also stability results concerning Einstein's equations coupled with non trivial matter fields:

- Einstein-Maxwell system: Zipser [31] extended the framework of [5] to show the stability of the Minkowski spacetime solution to the Einstein–Maxwell system. In [24], Loizelet used the framework of [21, 22] to demonstrate the stability of the Minkowski spacetime solution of the Einstein-scalar field-Maxwell system in $(1 + n)$ -dimensions ($n \geq 3$). Speck [26] gave a proof of the global nonlinear stability of the $(1 + 3)$ -dimensional Minkowski spacetime solution to the coupled system for a family of electromagnetic fields, which includes the standard Maxwell fields.
- Einstein-Klein-Gordon system: Lefloch and Ma [20] and Wang [29] proved the global stability of Minkowski for the Einstein-Klein-Gordon system with initial data coinciding with the Schwarzschild solution with small mass outside a compact set. Ionescu and Pausader [13] proved the global stability of Minkowski for the Einstein-Klein-Gordon system for general initial data.
- Einstein-Vlasov system: Taylor [27] considered the massless case where the initial data for the Vlasov part is compactly supported on the mass shell. Fajman, Joudioux and Smulevici [8] considered the massive case where the initial data coincides with Schwarzschild in the exterior region and with compact support assumption only in space on the Vlasov part. Lindblad and Taylor [23] considered the massive case where the initial data has compact support for the Vlasov part. Bigorgne, Fajman, Joudioux, Smulevici and Thaller [2] considered the massless case for general initial data. Wang [30] considered the massive case for general initial data.

1.3 Minkowski Stability in [5] and [14]

We recall in this section the results in [5, 14]. First, we recall the definition of a *maximal hypersurface*, which plays an important role in the statements of the main theorems in [5, 14].

Definition 1.1. An initial data (Σ, g, k) is posed on a maximal hypersurface if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{tr} k = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

In this case, we say that (Σ, g, k) is a maximal initial data set, and the constraint equations (1.2) reduce to

$$R = |k|^2, \quad \operatorname{div} k = 0, \quad \operatorname{tr} k = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

We introduce the notion of s -asymptotically flat initial data.

Definition 1.2. Let s be a real number with $s > 3$. We say that a data set (Σ_0, g, k) is s -asymptotically flat if there exists a coordinate system (x^1, x^2, x^3) defined outside a sufficiently large compact set such that¹

$$g_{ij} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} + o_4(r^{-\frac{s-1}{2}}), \quad (1.5)$$

$$k_{ij} = o_3(r^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}).$$

We also introduce the following functional associated to any asymptotically flat initial data set:

$$J_0(\Sigma_0, g, k) := \sup_{\Sigma_0} \left((d_0^2 + 1)^3 |\operatorname{Ric}|^2 \right) + \int_{\Sigma_0} \sum_{l=0}^3 (d_0^2 + 1)^{l+1} |D^l k|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_0} \sum_{l=0}^1 (d_0^2 + 1)^{l+3} |D^l B|^2, \quad (1.6)$$

where d_0 is the geodesic distance from a fixed point $O \in \Sigma_0$, and $B_{ij} := (\operatorname{curl} \widehat{R})_{ij}$ is the Bach tensor, \widehat{R} is the traceless part of Ric. Now, we can state the main theorems of [5] and [14].

Theorem 1.3 (Global stability of Minkowski space [5]). *There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that if $J_0(\Sigma_0, g, k) \leq \epsilon^2$, then the initial data set (Σ_0, g, k) , 4-asymptotically flat (in the sense of Definition 1.2) and maximal, has a unique, globally hyperbolic, smooth, geodesically complete solution. This development is globally asymptotically flat, i.e. the Riemann curvature tensor tends to zero along any causal or space-like geodesic. Moreover, there exists a global maximal time function t and an optical function u ² defined everywhere in an external region.*

Theorem 1.4 (Minkowski Stability in the exterior region [14]). *Consider an initial data set (Σ_0, g, k) , 4-asymptotically flat and maximal, and assume $J_0(\Sigma_0, g, k)$ is bounded. Then, given a sufficiently large compact set $K \subset \Sigma_0$ such that $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}_1$, and under additional smallness assumptions, there exists a unique development $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ with the following properties:*

- (1) $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ can be foliated by a double null foliation $\{C_u\}$ and $\{\underline{C}_u\}$ whose outgoing leaves C_u are complete.
- (2) We have detailed control of all the quantities associated with the double null foliations of the spacetime, see Theorem 3.7.1 of [14].

Remark 1.5. [15] extends Theorem 1.4 to the case $s > 7$ by assuming sufficient regular initial data (Σ_0, g, k) .

The goal of this paper is to reprove [14] by a different method and to treat more general asymptotic behavior than $s = 4$ in (1.5).

¹The notation $f = o_l(r^{-m})$ means $\partial^\alpha f = o(r^{-m-|\alpha|})$, $|\alpha| \leq l$.

²An optical function u is a scalar function satisfying $\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha u \partial_\beta u = 0$.

1.4 Rough version of the main theorem

In this section, we state a simple version of our main theorem. For the explicit statement, see Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 1.6 (Main Theorem (first version)). *Let $s > 3$, and let an initial data set (Σ_0, g, k) which is s -asymptotically flat in the sense of Definition 1.2. Let a sufficiently large compact set $K \subset \Sigma_0$ such that $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{B}_1$. Assume that we have a smallness conditions in an initial layer region $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ ³ near $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$. Then, there exists a unique future development $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ in its future domain of dependence with the following properties:*

- $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ can be foliated by a double null foliation (C_u, \underline{C}_u) whose outgoing leaves C_u are complete for all $u \leq u_0$;
- We have detailed control of all the quantities associated with the double null foliations of the spacetime, see Theorem 3.1.

Remark 1.7. *In the particular case $s = 4$, we reobtain the results of [14]. Also, in the case $s > 7$, we reobtain the strong peeling properties of [15].*

The proof of Theorem 1.6 has the same structure as in [14], see section 3.4. Below, we compare the proof of this paper and that of [14]:

1. In [14], the functional (1.6) is introduced to fix the initial conditions on the initial hypersurface. Here we fix the initial conditions in an initial layer region $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ near the initial hypersurface Σ_0 .
2. To estimate the norms of curvature components, [14] uses the vectorfield method introduced in [5]. Here, we estimate the curvature norms by r^p -weighted estimate, a method introduced by Dafermos and Rodnianski in [7]. This allows for a simpler treatment of the curvature estimates.
3. [14] uses second order derivatives of curvature and third order derivatives of Ricci coefficients. Thanks to the use of r^p -weighted estimates, we only need first order derivatives of both curvature and Ricci coefficients.
4. In order to control one more derivative for Ricci coefficients compared to curvature, [14] relies on the canonical foliation on the last slice \underline{C}_* . Since we control the same number of derivatives of curvature and Ricci coefficients, instead of introducing the canonical foliation, we use the geodesic foliation to simplify the estimates on the last slice \underline{C}_* .

1.5 Structure of the paper

- In section 2, we recall the fundamental notions and the basic equations.
- In section 3, we present the main theorem. We then state intermediate results, and prove the main theorem. The rest of the paper focuses on the proof of these intermediary results. In sections 4–6, we consider the case $s \in [4, 6]$ and deal with the other cases in Appendices B and C.
- In section 4, we apply r^p -weighted estimates to Bianchi equations to control curvature.
- In section 5, we estimate the Ricci coefficients using the null structure equations.

³The initial data layer $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ is defined in section 2.1.2.

- In section 6, we estimate the norms of curvature components and Ricci coefficients in the initial layer region $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ and on the last slice \underline{C}_* . We also show how to extend the spacetime in the context of a bootstrap argument.
- In Appendix A, we prove the equivalence between optical functions and area radius associated to various frames used in the proof.
- In Appendix B, we prove Theorem 1.6 in the case $s \in (3, 4)$.
- In Appendix C, we prove Theorem 1.6 in the case $s > 6$ by applying the r^p -weighted method to the Teukolsky equation of α .

1.6 Acknowledgements

The author is very grateful to Jérémie Szeftel for his support, discussions, encouragements and patient guidance.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Geometric set-up

2.1.1 Double null foliation

We first introduce the geometric setup. We denote $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ a spacetime \mathcal{M} with the Lorentzian metric \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{D} its Levi-Civita connection. Let u and \underline{u} be two optical functions on \mathcal{M} , that is

$$\mathbf{g}(\text{grad } u, \text{grad } u) = \mathbf{g}(\text{grad } \underline{u}, \text{grad } \underline{u}) = 0.$$

The spacetime \mathcal{M} is foliated by the level sets of u and \underline{u} respectively, and the functions u, \underline{u} are required to increase towards the future. We use C_u to denote the outgoing null hypersurfaces which are the level sets of u and use $\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}$ to denote the incoming null hypersurfaces which are the level sets of \underline{u} . We denote

$$S(u, \underline{u}) := C_u \cap \underline{C}_{\underline{u}}, \tag{2.1}$$

which are space-like 2-spheres. We introduce the vectorfields L and \underline{L} by

$$L := -\text{grad } u, \quad \underline{L} := -\text{grad } \underline{u}.$$

We define a positive function Ω by the formula

$$\mathbf{g}(L, \underline{L}) = -\frac{1}{2\Omega^2},$$

where Ω is called the lapse function. We then define the normalized null pair (e_3, e_4) by

$$e_3 = 2\Omega\underline{L}, \quad e_4 = 2\Omega L,$$

and define another null pair by

$$\underline{N} = \Omega e_3, \quad N = \Omega e_4.$$

On a given two sphere $S(u, \underline{u})$, we choose a local frame (e_1, e_2) , we call (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) a null frame. As a convention, throughout the paper, we use capital Latin letters A, B, C, \dots to denote an index from 1 to 2 and Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ to denote an index from 1 to 4, e.g. e_A denotes either e_1 or e_2 .

The spacetime metric \mathbf{g} induces a Riemannian metric γ on $S(u, \underline{u})$. We use ∇ to denote the Levi-Civita connection of γ on $S(u, \underline{u})$. Using (u, \underline{u}) , we introduce a local coordinate system $(u, \underline{u}, \phi^A)$ on \mathcal{M} with $e_4(\phi^A) = 0$. In that coordinates system, the metric \mathbf{g} takes the form:

$$\mathbf{g} = -2\Omega^2(d\underline{u} \otimes du + du \otimes d\underline{u}) + \gamma_{AB}(d\phi^A - \underline{b}^A du) \otimes (d\phi^B - \underline{b}^B du), \quad (2.2)$$

and we have

$$\underline{N} = \partial_u + \underline{b}, \quad N = \partial_{\underline{u}}, \quad \underline{b} := \underline{b}^A \partial_{\phi^A}.$$

We recall the null decomposition of the Ricci coefficients and curvature components of the null frame (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\chi}_{AB} &= \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_A e_3, e_B), & \chi_{AB} &= \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_A e_4, e_B), \\ \underline{\xi}_A &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_3 e_3, e_A), & \xi_A &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_4 e_4, e_A), \\ \underline{\omega} &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_3 e_3, e_4), & \omega &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_4 e_4, e_3), \\ \underline{\eta}_A &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_4 e_3, e_A), & \eta_A &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_3 e_4, e_A), \\ \underline{\zeta}_A &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_{e_A} e_4, e_3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{AB} &= \mathbf{R}(e_A, e_4, e_B, e_4), & \underline{\alpha}_{AB} &= \mathbf{R}(e_A, e_3, e_B, e_3), \\ \beta_A &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}(e_A, e_4, e_3, e_4), & \underline{\beta}_A &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}(e_A, e_3, e_3, e_4), \\ \rho &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{R}(e_3, e_4, e_3, e_4), & \sigma &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{R}^*(e_3, e_4, e_3, e_4), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where \mathbf{R}^* denotes the Hodge dual of \mathbf{R} . The null second fundamental forms $\chi, \underline{\chi}$ are further decomposed in their traces $\text{tr } \chi$ and $\text{tr } \underline{\chi}$, and traceless parts $\widehat{\chi}$ and $\widehat{\underline{\chi}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } \chi &:= \delta^{AB} \chi_{AB}, & \widehat{\chi}_{AB} &:= \chi_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{AB} \text{tr } \chi, \\ \text{tr } \underline{\chi} &:= \delta^{AB} \underline{\chi}_{AB}, & \widehat{\underline{\chi}}_{AB} &:= \underline{\chi}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{AB} \text{tr } \underline{\chi}. \end{aligned}$$

We define the horizontal covariant operator ∇ as follows:

$$\nabla_X Y := \mathbf{D}_X Y - \frac{1}{2} \underline{\chi}(X, Y) e_4 - \frac{1}{2} \chi(X, Y) e_3.$$

We also define $\nabla_4 X$ and $\nabla_3 X$ to be the horizontal projections:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4 X &:= \mathbf{D}_4 X - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(X, \mathbf{D}_4 e_3) e_4 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(X, \mathbf{D}_4 e_4) e_3, \\ \nabla_3 X &:= \mathbf{D}_3 X - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(X, \mathbf{D}_3 e_3) e_3 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(X, \mathbf{D}_3 e_4) e_4. \end{aligned}$$

A tensor field ψ defined on \mathcal{M} is called tangent to S if it is a priori defined on the spacetime \mathcal{M} and all the possible contractions of ψ with either e_3 or e_4 are zero. We use $\nabla_3 \psi$ and $\nabla_4 \psi$ to denote the projection to $S(u, \underline{u})$ of usual derivatives $\mathbf{D}_3 \psi$ and $\mathbf{D}_4 \psi$. As a direct consequence

of (2.3), we have the Ricci formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}_A e_B &= \nabla_A e_B + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{AB} e_3 + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\chi}_{AB} e_4, \\
\mathbf{D}_A e_3 &= \underline{\chi}_{AB} e_B + \zeta_A e_3, \\
\mathbf{D}_A e_4 &= \chi_{AB} e_B - \zeta_A e_4, \\
\mathbf{D}_3 e_A &= \nabla_3 e_A + \eta_A e_3 + \underline{\xi}_A e_4, \\
\mathbf{D}_4 e_A &= \nabla_4 e_A + \underline{\eta}_A e_4 + \xi_A e_4, \\
\mathbf{D}_3 e_3 &= -2\underline{\omega} e_3 + 2\underline{\xi}_B e_B, \\
\mathbf{D}_3 e_4 &= 2\underline{\omega} e_4 + 2\underline{\eta}_B e_B, \\
\mathbf{D}_4 e_4 &= -2\omega e_4 + 2\xi_B e_B, \\
\mathbf{D}_4 e_3 &= 2\omega e_3 + 2\underline{\eta}_B e_B.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

In addition to

$$\xi = \underline{\xi} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

the following identities hold for a double null foliation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \log \Omega &= \frac{1}{2}(\eta + \underline{\eta}), & \omega &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}_4(\log \Omega), & \underline{\omega} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}_3(\log \Omega), \\
\eta &= \zeta + \nabla \log \Omega, & \underline{\eta} &= -\zeta + \nabla \log \Omega,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

see for example (6) in [17].

2.1.2 Initial layer region

Let Σ_0 be a spacelike hypersurface. Let $K \subset \Sigma_0$ be a compact subset such that $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_1}$ where $\overline{B_1}$ is the unit closed ball in \mathbb{R}^3 . We fix a foliation on the initial hypersurface $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ by the level sets of a scalar function w . The leaves are denoted by

$$S_{(0)}(w_1) = \{p \in \Sigma_0 / w(p) = w_1\}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $w_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that

$$\partial K = \{p \in \Sigma_0 / w(p) = w_0\}, \quad K = \{p \in \Sigma_0 / w(p) \leq w_0\}, \tag{2.9}$$

where w_0 is the area radius of ∂K defined by

$$w_0 := \sqrt{\frac{|\partial K|}{4\pi}}. \tag{2.10}$$

We can construct a double null foliation in a neighborhood of $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$. For this, we denote T the normal vectorfield of Σ_0 oriented towards the future and N the unit vectorfield tangent to Σ_0 , oriented towards infinity and orthogonal to the leaves $S_0(w)$. We define two null vectors on $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ by

$$L_{(0)} := N(w)(T + N), \quad \underline{L} := N(w)(T - N). \tag{2.11}$$

We extend the definition of $L_{(0)}$ and \underline{L} to a neighborhood of $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ by the geodesic equations:

$$\mathbf{D}_{L_{(0)}} L_{(0)} = 0, \quad \mathbf{D}_{\underline{L}} \underline{L} = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

The lapse function $\Omega_{(0)}$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{g}(L_{(0)}, \underline{L}) = -\frac{1}{2\Omega_{(0)}^2}. \quad (2.13)$$

Then, we define two optical functions $u_{(0)}$ and \underline{u} satisfying the initial conditions

$$u_{(0)}|_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} = -w, \quad \underline{u}|_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} = w,$$

and the equations

$$L_{(0)} = -\text{grad } u_{(0)}, \quad \underline{L} = -\text{grad } \underline{u}.$$

Recall that $u_{(0)} + \underline{u} = 0$ on $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$, we define the region

$$\mathcal{K}_{(0)} := \{p/0 \leq u_{(0)}(p) + \underline{u}(p) \leq 2\delta_0\}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $0 < \delta_0 < 1$ is a constant. We call $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ the *initial layer region* of height δ_0 , the double null foliation $(u_{(0)}, \underline{u})$ is called the *initial layer foliation*. Its leaves are denoted by

$$S_{(0)}(u_{(0)}, \underline{u}) := (C_{(0)})_{u_{(0)}} \cap \underline{C}_{\underline{u}}.$$

We define

$$w := \frac{\underline{u} - u_{(0)}}{2} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{K}_{(0)}, \quad (2.15)$$

which extend the definition of w from $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ to $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$. Moreover, we transport the coordinates $\phi_{(0)}^A$ from $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ to $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ by $\underline{L}(\phi_{(0)}^A) = 0$. Hence, we deduce that the metric \mathbf{g} in $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ takes the following form in the $(\underline{u}, u_{(0)}, \phi_{(0)}^A)$ coordinates system:

$$\mathbf{g} = -2\Omega_{(0)}^2(d\underline{u} \otimes du_{(0)} + du_{(0)} \otimes d\underline{u}) + (\gamma_{(0)})_{AB}(d\phi_{(0)}^A - b^A d\underline{u})(d\phi_{(0)}^B - b^B d\underline{u}), \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$b^A = \Omega_{(0)}(e_4)_{(0)}(\phi_{(0)}^A).$$

2.1.3 Bootstrap region

Now, we define the bootstrap region and its double null foliation. We choose a value $\underline{u}_* > w_0$, then $w = \underline{u}_*$ defines a leaf of $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$, denoted by $S_0(\underline{u}_*)$. We construct an incoming cone \underline{C}_* called the *last slice* from $S_0(\underline{u}_*)$. We denote

$$\underline{L}_* := \underline{L}|_{\underline{C}_*}, \quad (2.17)$$

which is fixed by (2.11) and (2.12). Notice that \underline{L}_* is a generator of \underline{C}_* . We denote u^* an affine parameter, that is a function defined on \underline{C}_* satisfying

$$\underline{L}_*(u^*) = 2. \quad (2.18)$$

Thus, \underline{C}_* is endowed with a geodesic foliation of affine parameter u^* . For every u , $\{u^* = u\}$ is a sphere on \underline{C}_* . We can therefore construct an outgoing cone from $\{u^* = u\}$ to the past, denoted by C_u . Then, $C_u \cap \Sigma_0$ is a sphere on Σ_0 , which does a priori not coincide with the leaves of $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$, see Figure 1. There exists a unique cone C_{u_0} located outside of the $J^+(K)$, the causal future of K , but touch the boundary ∂K , see Figure 1. By (2.18), u^* is unique up to a constant. We choose $u_0 = -w_0$ where w_0 is defined in (2.10). Combining with (2.18), this fixes u^* .




Figure 1: The leaves of Σ_0

Remark 2.1. Remark that we fix u^* by choosing the value near ∂K . We do not have

$$u^*|_{S_0(\underline{u}_*)} = -\underline{u}_*.$$

The reason is that we need to integrate the quantities in the initial layer forward instead of backward. This will lead to a logarithm difference which is sufficient to prove the equivalences, see Appendix A.

Now we define the null vector L on \underline{C}_* by the relation

$$\mathbf{g}(L, \underline{L}) = -2, \quad (2.19)$$

Hence, we have $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}$ on \underline{C}_* . By the equations

$$-\text{grad } u = L, \quad u|_{\underline{C}_*} = u^*, \quad (2.20)$$

we can extend u to the causal past of \underline{C}_* . So we have constructed a double null foliation (u, \underline{u}) which is geodesic on \underline{C}_* . For every (u, \underline{u}) , we denote

$$V(u, \underline{u}) := J^-(S(u, \underline{u})) \cap J^+(\Sigma_0), \quad (2.21)$$

where for a domain \mathcal{M} , $J^+(\mathcal{M})$ and resp. $J^-(\mathcal{M})$ denotes the causal future and resp. causal past of \mathcal{M} . We also denote the bootstrap region by

$$\mathcal{K} := V(u_0, \underline{u}_*). \quad (2.22)$$

Remark that \mathcal{K} is covered by the double null foliation (u, \underline{u}) , and that the boundaries of \mathcal{K} are:

1. a finite region of $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$;
2. a portion of an outgoing cone C_0 , where $C_0 := \{p \in \mathcal{K} | u(p) = u_0\}$;
3. a portion of the last slice \underline{C}_* .

Note that we have the following types of manifolds in this paper: spacetime regions \mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$, initial hypersurface Σ_0 , and the spheres $S(u, \underline{u})$ and $S_{(0)}(u_{(0)}, \underline{u})$. Every manifold has its metric, Levi-Civita connection and curvature tensor:

$$(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{R}), \quad (\mathcal{K}_{(0)}, \mathbf{g}_{(0)}, \mathbf{D}_{(0)}, \mathbf{R}_{(0)}), \quad (\Sigma_0, g, D, R), \quad (S, \gamma, \nabla, \mathbf{K}), \quad (S_{(0)}, \gamma_{(0)}, \nabla_{(0)}, \mathbf{K}_{(0)}),$$

where \mathbf{K} (resp. $\mathbf{K}_{(0)}$) is the Gauss curvature of S (resp. $S_{(0)}$).

2.2 Integral formulas

We define the S -average of scalar functions.

Definition 2.2. Given any scalar function f , we denote its average and its average free part by

$$\bar{f} := \frac{1}{|S|} \int_S f d\gamma, \quad \check{f} := f - \bar{f}.$$

The following lemma follows immediately from the definition.

Lemma 2.3. For any two scalar functions u and v , we have

$$\overline{uv} = \bar{u} \bar{v} + \overline{\check{u}\check{v}},$$

and

$$uv - \overline{uv} = \check{u}\check{v} + \bar{u}\check{v} + (\check{u}\check{v} - \overline{\check{u}\check{v}}).$$

We recall the following integral formulas, which will be used repeatedly in this paper.

Lemma 2.4. For any scalar function f , the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega e_4 \left(\int_{S(u, \underline{u})} f d\gamma \right) &= \int_{S(u, \underline{u})} (\Omega e_4(f) + \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi f) d\gamma, \\ \Omega e_3 \left(\int_{S(u, \underline{u})} f d\gamma \right) &= \int_{S(u, \underline{u})} (\Omega e_3(f) + \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} f) d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $f = 1$, we obtain

$$e_4(r) = \frac{\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi}}{2\Omega} r, \quad e_3(r) = \frac{\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}}{2\Omega} r,$$

where r is the area radius defined by

$$r(u, \underline{u}) := \sqrt{\frac{|S(u, \underline{u})|}{4\pi}}.$$

Proof. See Lemma 2.26 of [16] or Lemma 3.1.3 of [14]. □

Corollary 2.5. For any scalar function f , the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega e_4(\bar{f}) &= \overline{\Omega e_4(f)} + \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \check{f}}, \\ \Omega e_3(\bar{f}) &= \overline{\Omega e_3(f)} + \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \check{f}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.4, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega e_4(\bar{f}) &= \Omega e_4 \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_S f d\gamma \right) = -\frac{\Omega e_4(|S|)}{|S|^2} \int_S f d\gamma + \frac{1}{|S|} \int_S (\Omega e_4(f) + \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi f) d\gamma \\ &= -\frac{2\Omega e_4(r)}{r} \bar{f} + \overline{\Omega e_4(f)} + \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \check{f}} = -\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} \bar{f} + \overline{\Omega e_4(f)} + \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \check{f}} \\ &= \overline{\Omega e_4(f)} + \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \check{f}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the first identity. The second identity can be obtained similarly. This concludes the proof of Corollary 2.5. □

2.3 Hodge systems

Definition 2.6. For tensor fields defined on a 2-sphere S , we denote by $\mathfrak{s}_0 := \mathfrak{s}_0(S)$ the set of pairs of scalar functions, $\mathfrak{s}_1 := \mathfrak{s}_1(S)$ the set of 1-forms and $\mathfrak{s}_2 := \mathfrak{s}_2(S)$ the set of symmetric traceless 2-tensors.

Definition 2.7. Given $\xi \in \mathfrak{s}_1$, we define its Hodge dual

$$*\xi_A := \epsilon_{AB} \xi^B.$$

Clearly $*\xi \in \mathfrak{s}_1$ and

$$*(*\xi) = -\xi.$$

Given $U \in \mathfrak{s}_2$, we define its Hodge dual

$$*U_{AB} := \epsilon_{AC} U^C{}_B.$$

Observe that $*U \in \mathfrak{s}_2$ and

$$*(U) = -U.$$

Definition 2.8. Given $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{s}_1$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \cdot \eta &:= \delta^{AB} \xi_A \eta_B, \\ \xi \wedge \eta &:= \epsilon^{AB} \xi_A \eta_B, \\ (\widehat{\xi \otimes \eta})_{AB} &:= \xi_A \eta_B + \xi_B \eta_A - \delta_{AB} \xi \cdot \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Given $\xi \in \mathfrak{s}_1$, $U \in \mathfrak{s}_2$, we denote

$$(\xi \cdot U)_A := \delta^{BC} \xi_B U_{AC}.$$

Given $U, V \in \mathfrak{s}_2$, we denote

$$(U \wedge V)_{AB} := \epsilon^{AB} U_{AC} V_{CB}.$$

Definition 2.9. For a given $\xi \in \mathfrak{s}_1$, we define the following differential operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \xi &:= \delta^{AB} \nabla_A \xi_B, \\ \operatorname{curl} \xi &:= \epsilon^{AB} \nabla_A \xi_B, \\ (\widehat{\nabla \xi})_{AB} &:= \nabla_A \xi_B + \nabla_B \xi_A - \delta_{AB} (\operatorname{div} \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.10. We define the following Hodge type operators, as introduced in section 2.2 in [5]:

- d_1 takes \mathfrak{s}_1 into \mathfrak{s}_0 and is given by:

$$d_1 \xi := (\operatorname{div} \xi, \operatorname{curl} \xi),$$

- d_2 takes \mathfrak{s}_2 into \mathfrak{s}_1 and is given by:

$$(d_2 U)_A := \nabla^B U_{AB},$$

- d_1^* takes \mathfrak{s}_0 into \mathfrak{s}_1 and is given by:

$$d_1^*(f, f_*)_A := -\nabla_A f + \epsilon_{AB} \nabla_B f_*,$$

- d_2^* takes \mathfrak{s}_1 into \mathfrak{s}_2 and is given by:

$$d_2^* \xi := -\frac{1}{2} \nabla \widehat{\otimes} \xi.$$

We have the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^* d_1 &= -\Delta_1 + \mathbf{K}, & d_1 d_1^* &= -\Delta_0, \\ d_2^* d_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_2 + \mathbf{K}, & d_2 d_2^* &= -\frac{1}{2} (\Delta_1 + \mathbf{K}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where \mathbf{K} denotes the Gauss curvature on S . See for example (2.2.2) in [5] for a proof of (2.23).

Definition 2.11. We define the weighted angular derivatives \mathfrak{d} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}U &:= r d_2 U, & \forall U \in \mathfrak{s}_2, \\ \mathfrak{d}\xi &:= r d_1 \xi, & \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{s}_1, \\ \mathfrak{d}f &:= r d_1^* f, & \forall f \in \mathfrak{s}_0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote for any tensor $h \in \mathfrak{s}_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2$,

$$h^{(0)} := h, \quad h^{(1)} := (h, \mathfrak{d}h).$$

2.4 Elliptic estimates for Hodge systems

Definition 2.12. For a tensor field f on a 2-sphere S , we denote its L^p -norm:

$$|f|_{p,S} := \left(\int_S |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.24)$$

Proposition 2.13 (L^p estimates for Hodge systems). Assume that S is an arbitrary compact 2-surface with positive bounded Gauss curvature. Then the following statements hold for all $p \in (1, +\infty)$:

1. Let $\phi \in \mathfrak{s}_0$ be a solution of $\Delta\phi = f$. Then we have

$$|\nabla^2 \phi|_{p,S} + r^{-1} |\nabla \phi|_{p,S} + r^{-2} |\phi - \bar{\phi}|_{p,S} \lesssim |f|_{p,S}.$$

2. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{s}_1$ be a solution of $d_1 \xi = (f, f_*)$. Then we have

$$|\nabla \xi|_{p,S} + r^{-1} |\xi|_{p,S} \lesssim |(f, f_*)|_{p,S}.$$

3. Let $U \in \mathfrak{s}_2$ be a solution of $d_2 U = f$. Then we have

$$|\nabla U|_{p,S} + r^{-1} |U|_{p,S} \lesssim |f|_{p,S}.$$

Proof. See Corollary 2.3.1.1 of [5]. □

2.5 Schematic notation Γ_g , Γ_b and Γ_a

We introduce the following schematic notations for the Ricci coefficients.

Definition 2.14. *We divide the Ricci coefficients into three parts:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_g &:= \{\eta, \underline{\eta}, \zeta, \widehat{\chi}, \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi}, \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}, \check{\omega}, \nabla \log \Omega\}, \\ \Gamma_b &:= \{\widehat{\chi}, \check{\omega}\}, \\ \Gamma_a &:= \left\{ \omega, \underline{\omega}, \operatorname{tr} \chi - \frac{2}{r}, \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{2}{r} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

We also denote:

$$\Gamma_g^{(1)} := (r\nabla)^{\leq 1} \Gamma_g \cup \{r\beta, r\check{\rho}, r\sigma\}, \quad \Gamma_b^{(1)} := (r\nabla)^{\leq 1} \Gamma_b \cup \{r\underline{\beta}\}, \quad \Gamma_a^{(1)} := (r\nabla)^{\leq 1} \Gamma_a \cup \{r\bar{\rho}\}.$$

Remark 2.15. *The justification of Definition 2.14 has to do with the expected decay properties of the Ricci coefficients, see Lemma 4.1.*

Remark 2.16. *In the sequel, we choose the following conventions:*

- For a quantity h satisfying the same or even better decay as Γ_i , for $i = g, b, a$, we write

$$h \in \Gamma_i, \quad i = g, b, a.$$

- For a sum of schematic notations, we ignore the terms which have same or even better decay. For example, we write

$$\Gamma_g + \Gamma_b = \Gamma_b, \quad \Gamma_g + \Gamma_a = \Gamma_a,$$

since Γ_g has better decay than Γ_b and Γ_a throughout this paper.

2.6 Null structure equations

We recall the null structure equations for a double null foliation, see for example (3.1)-(3.5) of [17].

Proposition 2.17. *We have the null structure equations:*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 \eta &= -\chi \cdot (\eta - \underline{\eta}) - \beta, \\ \nabla_3 \underline{\eta} &= -\underline{\chi} \cdot (\underline{\eta} - \eta) + \underline{\beta}, \\ \nabla_4 \widehat{\chi} + (\operatorname{tr} \chi) \widehat{\chi} &= -2\omega \widehat{\chi} - \alpha, \\ \nabla_4 \operatorname{tr} \chi + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \chi)^2 &= -|\widehat{\chi}|^2 - 2\omega \operatorname{tr} \chi, \\ \nabla_3 \widehat{\chi} + (\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) \widehat{\chi} &= -2\underline{\omega} \widehat{\chi} - \alpha, \\ \nabla_3 \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi})^2 &= -|\widehat{\chi}|^2 - 2\underline{\omega} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}, \\ \nabla_4 \widehat{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \chi) \widehat{\chi} &= \nabla \widehat{\otimes} \underline{\eta} + 2\omega \widehat{\chi} - \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) \widehat{\chi} + \underline{\eta} \widehat{\otimes} \underline{\eta}, \\ \nabla_3 \widehat{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) \widehat{\chi} &= \nabla \widehat{\otimes} \eta + 2\underline{\omega} \widehat{\chi} - \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \chi) \widehat{\chi} + \eta \widehat{\otimes} \eta, \\ \nabla_4 \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \chi) \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} &= 2\omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + 2\rho - \widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\chi} + 2 \operatorname{div} \underline{\eta} + 2|\underline{\eta}|^2, \\ \nabla_3 \operatorname{tr} \chi + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) \operatorname{tr} \chi &= 2\underline{\omega} \operatorname{tr} \chi + 2\rho - \widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\chi} + 2 \operatorname{div} \eta + 2|\eta|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{2.25}$$

We have the Codazzi equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} \widehat{\chi} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi - \zeta \cdot \left(\widehat{\chi} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \right) - \underline{\beta}, \\ \operatorname{div} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \zeta \cdot \left(\widehat{\underline{\chi}} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \right) + \underline{\beta},\end{aligned}\tag{2.26}$$

the torsion equation:

$$\operatorname{curl} \eta = -\operatorname{curl} \underline{\eta} = \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \wedge \widehat{\chi},\tag{2.27}$$

and the Gauss equation:

$$\mathbf{K} = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \widehat{\chi} - \rho.\tag{2.28}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 \underline{\omega} &= 2\omega \underline{\omega} + \frac{3}{4} |\eta - \underline{\eta}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} (\eta - \underline{\eta}) \cdot (\eta + \underline{\eta}) - \frac{1}{8} |\eta + \underline{\eta}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho, \\ \nabla_3 \omega &= 2\omega \underline{\omega} + \frac{3}{4} |\eta - \underline{\eta}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\eta - \underline{\eta}) \cdot (\eta + \underline{\eta}) - \frac{1}{8} |\eta + \underline{\eta}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho.\end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

Proof. See Section 7.4 of [5]. □

2.7 Bianchi equations

We recall the Bianchi equations in double null foliation, see Proposition 3.2.4 of [14].

Proposition 2.18. *In a double null frame, the Bianchi equations take the form*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 \underline{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \underline{\alpha} &= -\nabla \widehat{\otimes} \underline{\beta} + h[\underline{\alpha}_4], \\ h[\underline{\alpha}_4] &= 4\omega \underline{\alpha} - 3(\widehat{\underline{\chi}} \rho - {}^* \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \sigma) + (\zeta - 4\underline{\eta}) \widehat{\otimes} \underline{\beta}, \\ \nabla_3 \underline{\beta} + 2 \operatorname{tr} \chi \underline{\beta} &= -\operatorname{div} \underline{\alpha} + h[\underline{\beta}_3], \\ h[\underline{\beta}_3] &= -2\omega \underline{\beta} + (2\zeta - \eta) \cdot \underline{\alpha}, \\ \nabla_4 \underline{\beta} + \operatorname{tr} \chi \underline{\beta} &= -\nabla \rho + {}^* \nabla \sigma + h[\underline{\beta}_4], \\ h[\underline{\beta}_4] &= 2\omega \underline{\beta} + 2\widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \beta - 3(\underline{\eta} \rho - {}^* \underline{\eta} \sigma), \\ \nabla_3 \rho + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \rho &= -\operatorname{div} \underline{\beta} + h[\rho_3], \\ h[\rho_3] &= -\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \underline{\alpha} + \zeta \cdot \underline{\beta} - 2\underline{\eta} \cdot \underline{\beta}, \\ \nabla_4 \rho + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \rho &= \operatorname{div} \beta + h[\rho_4], \\ h[\rho_4] &= -\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \alpha + \zeta \cdot \beta + 2\underline{\eta} \cdot \beta, \\ \nabla_3 \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \sigma &= -\operatorname{div} {}^* \underline{\beta} + h[\sigma_3], \\ h[\sigma_3] &= \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot {}^* \underline{\alpha} - \zeta \cdot {}^* \underline{\beta} + 2\underline{\eta} \cdot {}^* \underline{\beta}, \\ \nabla_4 \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \sigma &= -\operatorname{div} {}^* \beta + h[\sigma_4], \\ h[\sigma_4] &= \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot {}^* \alpha - \zeta \cdot {}^* \beta - 2\underline{\eta} \cdot {}^* \beta, \\ \nabla_3 \beta + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta &= \nabla \rho + {}^* \nabla \sigma + h[\beta_3],\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h[\beta_3] &= 2\underline{\omega}\beta + 2\widehat{\chi} \cdot \underline{\beta} + 3(\eta\rho + *\eta\sigma), \\
\nabla_4\underline{\beta} + 2 \operatorname{tr} \chi\underline{\beta} &= \operatorname{div} \alpha + h[\beta_4], \\
h[\beta_4] &= -2\underline{\omega}\beta + (2\underline{\zeta} + \underline{\eta})\alpha, \\
\nabla_3\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\alpha &= \nabla\widehat{\otimes}\beta + h[\alpha_3], \\
h[\alpha_3] &= 4\underline{\omega}\alpha - 3(\widehat{\chi}\rho + *\widehat{\chi}\sigma) + (\zeta + 4\underline{\eta})\widehat{\otimes}\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

We also derive equations for $\check{\rho}$ and $\bar{\rho}$.

Lemma 2.19. *We have the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_4\underline{\beta} + \operatorname{tr} \chi\underline{\beta} &= -\nabla\check{\rho} + *\nabla\sigma + h[\beta_4], \\
\nabla_3\underline{\beta} + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\underline{\beta} &= \nabla\check{\rho} + *\nabla\sigma + h[\beta_3], \\
\nabla_3\check{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\check{\rho} &= -\operatorname{div} \underline{\beta} + h[\check{\rho}_3], \\
h[\check{\rho}_3] &:= \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta} + h[\rho_3] - \overline{h[\rho_3]}, \\
\nabla_3\bar{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\bar{\rho} &= h[\bar{\rho}_3], \\
h[\bar{\rho}_3] &:= \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta} + \overline{h[\rho_3]}, \\
\nabla_4\check{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi\check{\rho} &= \operatorname{div} \beta + h[\check{\rho}_4], \\
h[\check{\rho}_4] &:= \Gamma_g \cdot \check{\rho} + h[\rho_4] - \overline{h[\rho_4]}, \\
\nabla_4\bar{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi\bar{\rho} &= h[\bar{\rho}_4], \\
h[\bar{\rho}_4] &:= \Gamma_g \cdot \check{\rho} + \overline{h[\rho_4]},
\end{aligned}$$

where $h[\beta_4]$, $h[\beta_3]$, $h[\rho_3]$ and $h[\rho_4]$ are defined in Proposition 2.18.

Proof. Note that $\nabla\check{\rho} = \nabla\rho$, hence the first two equations follow directly from Proposition 2.18. On the other hand, applying Corollary 2.5, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega\nabla_3\bar{\rho} &= \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \check{\rho}} + \overline{\Omega\nabla_3\rho} \\
&= -\frac{3}{2}\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\rho - \Omega \operatorname{div} \underline{\beta} + h[\rho_3] + \Gamma_g \cdot \check{\rho} \\
&= -\frac{3}{2}\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\bar{\rho} + \overline{h[\rho_3]} + \underline{\beta} \cdot \nabla\Omega + \Gamma_g \cdot \check{\rho}, \\
&= -\frac{3}{2}\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\bar{\rho} + \overline{h[\rho_3]} + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\nabla_3\bar{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\bar{\rho} = \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta} + \overline{h[\rho_3]},$$

which implies the fourth equation. Combining with the equation of $\nabla_3\rho$ in Proposition 2.18, we infer

$$\nabla_3\check{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\check{\rho} = -\operatorname{div} \underline{\beta} + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta} + h[\rho_3] - \overline{h[\rho_3]},$$

which implies the third equation. The proof of the fifth and sixth equations are similar. This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.19. \square

We rewrite the Bianchi equations of Proposition 2.18 and Lemma 2.19 in the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.20.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_4 \underline{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{\alpha} &= -\nabla \widehat{\otimes} \underline{\beta} + h[\underline{\alpha}_4], \\
\nabla_3 \underline{\beta} + 2 \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{\beta} &= -\operatorname{div} \underline{\alpha} + h[\underline{\beta}_3], \\
\nabla_4 \underline{\beta} + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{\beta} &= -\nabla \check{\rho} + {}^* \nabla \sigma + h[\underline{\beta}_4], \\
\nabla_3 \check{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \check{\rho} &= -\operatorname{div} \underline{\beta} + h[\check{\rho}_3], \\
\nabla_4 \check{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \check{\rho} &= \operatorname{div} \beta + h[\check{\rho}_4], \\
\nabla_3 \bar{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \bar{\rho} &= h[\bar{\rho}_3], \\
\nabla_4 \bar{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \bar{\rho} &= h[\bar{\rho}_4], \\
\nabla_3 \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \sigma &= -\operatorname{div} {}^* \underline{\beta} + h[\sigma_3], \\
\nabla_4 \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \sigma &= -\operatorname{div} {}^* \beta + h[\sigma_4], \\
\nabla_3 \beta + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta &= \nabla \check{\rho} + {}^* \nabla \sigma + h[\beta_3], \\
\nabla_4 \beta + 2 \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta &= \operatorname{div} \alpha + h[\beta_4], \\
\nabla_3 \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \alpha &= \nabla \widehat{\otimes} \beta + h[\alpha_3],
\end{aligned}$$

where $h[\underline{\alpha}_4], h[\underline{\beta}_3], \dots, h[\alpha_3]$ are defined in Proposition 2.18 and Lemma 2.19.

2.8 Commutation identities

We recall the following commutation identities for scalar functions, see Proposition 4.8.1 in [14].

Proposition 2.21. *For any scalar function f , we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla_4, \nabla]f &= -\underline{\chi} \cdot \nabla f + (\nabla \log \Omega) \nabla_4 f, \\
[\nabla_3, \nabla]f &= -\underline{\chi} \cdot \nabla f + (\nabla \log \Omega) \nabla_3 f, \\
[\nabla_4, \nabla_3]f &= 2\omega \nabla_3 f - 2\underline{\omega} \nabla_4 f - 4\zeta \cdot \nabla f.
\end{aligned}$$

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.21.

Corollary 2.22. *For any scalar function f , we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Omega \nabla_4, \nabla]f &= -\Omega \underline{\chi} \cdot \nabla f, \\
[\Omega \nabla_3, \nabla]f &= -\Omega \underline{\chi} \cdot \nabla f, \\
[\Omega \nabla_4, r \nabla]f &= \Gamma_g \cdot r \nabla f, \\
[\Omega \nabla_3, r \nabla]f &= \Gamma_b \cdot r \nabla f.
\end{aligned}$$

We also need the commutation identities for more general tensor fields. For this, we record the following commutation lemma.

Lemma 2.23. *Let $U_{A_1 \dots A_k}$ be an S -tangent k -covariant tensor on $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$. Then*

$$[\nabla_4, \nabla_B]U_{A_1 \dots A_k} = -\chi_{BC} \nabla_C U_{A_1 \dots A_k} + F_{4BA_1 \dots A_k},$$

$$F_{4BA_1 \dots A_k} := (\zeta_B + \underline{\eta}_B) \nabla_4 U_{A_1 \dots A_k} + \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi_{A_i B} \underline{\eta}_C - \chi_{BC} \underline{\eta}_{A_i} + \epsilon_{A_i C} \ast \beta_B) U_{A_1 \dots C \dots A_k},$$

$$[\nabla_3, \nabla_B]U_{A_1 \dots A_k} = -\underline{\chi}_{BC} \nabla_C U_{A_1 \dots A_k} + F_{3BA_1 \dots A_k},$$

$$F_{3BA_1 \dots A_k} := (\eta_B - \zeta_B) \nabla_3 U_{A_1 \dots A_k} + \sum_{i=1}^k (\underline{\chi}_{A_i B} \eta_C - \underline{\chi}_{BC} \eta_{A_i} + \epsilon_{A_i C} \ast \underline{\beta}_B) U_{A_1 \dots C \dots A_k},$$

$$[\nabla_3, \nabla_4]U_{A_1 \dots A_k} = F_{34A_1 \dots A_k},$$

$$F_{34A_1 \dots A_k} := -2\omega \nabla_3 U + 2\underline{\omega} \nabla_4 U + 4\zeta_B \nabla_B U_{A_1 \dots A_k} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (\underline{\eta}_{A_i} \eta_C - \underline{\eta}_{A_i} \eta_C + \epsilon_{A_i C} \sigma) U_{A_1 \dots C \dots A_k}.$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Lemma 7.3.3 in [5]. \square

Notice that Lemma 2.23 implies the following proposition.

Proposition 2.24. *We have the following simple schematic consequences of the commutator identities*

$$\begin{aligned} [r\nabla, \Omega \nabla_4] &= \Gamma_g \cdot r\nabla + \Gamma_g^{(1)}, \\ [r\nabla, \Omega \nabla_3] &= \Gamma_b \cdot r\nabla + \Gamma_b^{(1)}, \\ [\Omega \nabla_4, \Omega \nabla_3] &= \Gamma_g \cdot \nabla + r^{-1} \Gamma_g^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

2.9 Teukolsky equations

We state the following *Teukolsky equations* first derived by Teukolsky in the linearized setting in [28].

Proposition 2.25. *We have the following Teukolsky equations for α and $\underline{\alpha}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \nabla_3 (\Omega \nabla_4 (r\Omega^2 \alpha)) + 2r\Omega^2 d_2^* d_2 (\Omega^2 \alpha) &= -\frac{2\Omega}{r} \nabla_3 (r\Omega^2 \alpha) + (\Gamma_g \cdot (\beta, \alpha))^{(1)}, \\ \Omega \nabla_4 (\Omega \nabla_3 (r\Omega^2 \underline{\alpha})) + 2r\Omega^2 d_2^* d_2 (\Omega^2 \underline{\alpha}) &= \frac{2\Omega}{r} \nabla_4 (r\Omega^2 \underline{\alpha}) + (\Gamma_b \cdot (\underline{\alpha}, \beta))^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. See for example Propositions 3.4.6 and 3.4.7 in [6].⁴ \square

Lemma 2.26. *We define the following quantities:*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha} &:= \frac{1}{r^4} \nabla_4 (r^5 \alpha) \in \mathfrak{s}_2, & \phi &:= r d_2 \alpha \in \mathfrak{s}_1, \\ \hat{\underline{\alpha}} &:= \frac{1}{r^4} \nabla_3 (r^5 \underline{\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{s}_2, & \underline{\phi} &:= r d_2 \underline{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{s}_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Then, we have the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3 \hat{\alpha} &= -2d_2^* \phi + \frac{4\alpha}{r} + \Gamma_a \cdot (\beta, \alpha)^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot (\beta, \alpha), \\ \nabla_4 \phi + \frac{5}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \phi &= d_2 \hat{\alpha} + \Gamma_a \cdot (\beta, \alpha)^{(1)} + \Gamma_g \cdot \hat{\alpha} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot (\beta, \alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

⁴Remark that $\Omega = 1 + O(\epsilon)$ in [6] while $\Omega = \frac{1}{2} + O(\epsilon)$ in this paper.

and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 \dot{\underline{\alpha}} &= -2d_2^* \underline{\phi} + \frac{4\underline{\alpha}}{r} + \Gamma_a \cdot (\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha})^{(1)} + (\Gamma_b \cdot (\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}))^{(1)}, \\ \nabla_3 \underline{\phi} + \frac{5}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \underline{\phi} &= d_2 \dot{\underline{\alpha}} + \Gamma_a \cdot (\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha})^{(1)} + \Gamma_b \cdot \dot{\underline{\alpha}} + (\Gamma_b \cdot (\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}))^{(1)}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.33}$$

Proof. We have from (2.30)

$$\nabla_3(\nabla_4(r\alpha)) + 2rd_2^*d_2\alpha = -\frac{4}{r}\nabla_3(r\alpha) + \Gamma_a \cdot (\beta, \alpha)^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot (\beta, \alpha).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3 \dot{\underline{\alpha}} &= \nabla_3(r^{-4}\nabla_4(r^5\alpha)) \\ &= \nabla_3(r^{-4}(4r^3e_4(r)r\alpha + r^4\nabla_4(r\alpha))) \\ &= \nabla_3(4\alpha + \nabla_4(r\alpha)) + \Gamma_a \cdot (\beta, \alpha)^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot (\beta, \alpha) \\ &= \frac{4}{r}\nabla_3\alpha + \nabla_3\nabla_4(r\alpha) + \Gamma_a \cdot (\beta, \alpha)^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot (\beta, \alpha) \\ &= \frac{4}{r}\nabla_3(r\alpha) - 4e_3(r)\frac{\alpha}{r} - 2rd_2^*d_2\alpha - \frac{4}{r}\nabla_3(r\alpha) + \Gamma_a \cdot (\beta, \alpha)^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot (\beta, \alpha) \\ &= \frac{4\alpha}{r} - 2d_2^*\underline{\phi} + \Gamma_a \cdot (\beta, \alpha)^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot (\beta, \alpha),\end{aligned}$$

which implies the first equation in (2.32). Applying Proposition 2.24, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega\nabla_4\underline{\phi} &= \Omega\nabla_4(r^{-5}(rd_2(r^5\alpha))) \\ &= -5r^{-6}\Omega e_4(r)r^5(rd_2)\alpha + r^{-5}\Omega\nabla_4rd_2(r^5\alpha) \\ &= -\frac{5\Omega}{r}rd_2\alpha + r^{-1}rd_2r^{-4}(\Omega\nabla_4(r^5\alpha)) + \Gamma_a \cdot (\beta, \alpha)^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot (\beta, \alpha) \\ &= -\frac{5}{2}\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \underline{\phi} + \Omega d_2 \dot{\underline{\alpha}} + \Gamma_a \cdot (\beta, \alpha)^{(1)} + \Gamma_g \cdot \dot{\underline{\alpha}} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot (\beta, \alpha),\end{aligned}$$

which implies the second equation in (2.32). The proof of (2.33) is similar. This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.26. \square

2.10 Sobolev inequalities

Definition 2.27. For a tensor field h on a null cone C , we define its L^2 -flux:

$$\|h\|_{2,C} := \left(\int_C |h|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{2.34}$$

We recall the following Sobolev inequalities.

Proposition 2.28. Let F be a tensor field, tangent to $S := S(u, \underline{u})$ at every point. We have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}|rF|_{4,S} &\lesssim \|F\|_{2,C_u \cap V(u, \underline{u})} + \|r\nabla F\|_{2,C_u \cap V(u, \underline{u})} + \|r\nabla_4 F\|_{2,C_u \cap V(u, \underline{u})}, \\ |r^{\frac{1}{2}}|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}F|_{4,S} &\lesssim \|F\|_{2,C_{\underline{u}} \cap V(u, \underline{u})} + \|r\nabla F\|_{2,C_{\underline{u}} \cap V(u, \underline{u})} + |u|\|\nabla_3 F\|_{2,C_{\underline{u}} \cap V(u, \underline{u})}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Corollary 3.2.1.1 in [5] and Corollary 4.1.1 in [14]. \square

We also recall the following standard Sobolev inequalities.

Proposition 2.29. Let F be a tensor field on a 2-sphere S . Then, we have

$$\sup_S r^{\frac{1}{2}}|F| \lesssim |F|_{4,S} + |r\nabla F|_{4,S}.$$

Proof. See Lemma 4.1.3 of [14]. \square

2.11 Evolution lemma

We recall the following evolution lemma, which will be used repeatedly in Sections 5 and 6.3.

Lemma 2.30 (Evolution lemma). *Consider the spacetime \mathcal{K} foliated by a double null foliation (u, \underline{u}) . Assume that, for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we have*

$$|\Gamma_g| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{r^2}. \quad (2.35)$$

Then, the following holds:

1. Let U, F be k -covariant S -tangent tensor fields satisfying the outgoing evolution equation

$$\nabla_4 U + \lambda_0 \operatorname{tr} \chi U = F, \quad (2.36)$$

where $\lambda_0 \geq 0$. Denoting $\lambda_1 = 2(\lambda_0 - \frac{1}{p})$, we have along C_u

$$|r^{\lambda_1} U|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim |r^{\lambda_1} U|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}_*) + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} |r^{\lambda_1} F|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}') d\underline{u}'. \quad (2.37)$$

Here \underline{u}_* is the value that the function $\underline{u}(p)$ assumes on C_* .

2. Let V, \underline{F} be k -covariant and S -tangent tensor fields satisfying the incoming evolution equation

$$\nabla_3 V + \lambda_0 \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} V = \underline{F}. \quad (2.38)$$

Denoting $\lambda_1 = 2(\lambda_0 - \frac{1}{p})$, we have along \underline{C}_u

$$|r^{\lambda_1} V|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim |r^{\lambda_1} V|_{p,S}(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |r^{\lambda_1} \underline{F}|_{p,S}(u', \underline{u}) du', \quad (2.39)$$

where $S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) = C_{u_0(\underline{u})} \cap \underline{C}_u$ and $u_0(\underline{u})$ is the unique value of u such that $S(u, \underline{u})$ is in the future of Σ_0 and touches Σ_0 .

Proof. See Lemma 4.1.5 in [14]. □

3 Main theorem

3.1 Fundamental norms

Our result holds for s -asymptotically flat initial data sets in the sense of Definition 1.2 with $s > 3$. We will focus on the case $s \in [4, 6]$ in sections 3 to 6, and we postpone the necessary adaptations to the case $s \in (3, 4)$ and $s > 6$ to Appendices B and C. The norms in sections 3.1.1-3.1.4 are defined with respect to the foliation (u, \underline{u}) in \mathcal{K} while the norms in section 3.1.5 are defined with respect to the foliation $(u_{(0)}, \underline{u})$ in $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$.

3.1.1 \mathcal{R} norms (curvature)

We define the norms of Ricci coefficients in the bootstrap region \mathcal{K} . We denote

$$\mathcal{R}_0^S := \mathcal{R}_0^S[\alpha] + \mathcal{R}_0^S[\beta], \quad \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S := \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\beta] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\check{\rho}, \sigma] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\check{\beta}] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\alpha] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\check{\rho}],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_0^S[\alpha] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{\frac{s+3}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} \alpha|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{R}_0^S[\beta] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{\frac{7}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}} \beta|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\beta] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{\frac{s+2}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\check{\rho}, \sigma] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{3 - \frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (\check{\rho}, \sigma)|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\underline{\beta}] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{2 - \frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \underline{\beta}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\underline{\alpha}] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{1 - \frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s+1}{2}} \underline{\alpha}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\underline{\rho}] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} |r^3 \underline{\rho}|,
\end{aligned}$$

where the L^p -norms are defined in Definition 2.12. Then we define the norms of flux of curvature components. We denote

$$\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}_{[1]} + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_{[1]},$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{[1]} := \mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_0^S + \mathcal{R}_1, \quad \underline{\mathcal{R}}_{[1]} := \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_1,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_0 &:= (\mathcal{R}_0[\alpha]^2 + \mathcal{R}_0[\beta]^2 + \mathcal{R}_0[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)]^2 + \mathcal{R}_0[\beta]^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_0 &:= (\underline{\mathcal{R}}_0[\beta]^2 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)]^2 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0[\underline{\beta}]^2 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0[\underline{\alpha}]^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\mathcal{R}_1 &:= (\mathcal{R}_1[\alpha]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[\beta]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[\beta]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[\alpha_4]^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_1 &:= (\underline{\mathcal{R}}_1[\beta]^2 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_1[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)]^2 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_1[\underline{\beta}]^2 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_1[\underline{\alpha}]^2 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_1[\alpha_3]^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{0,1}[w] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{R}_{0,1}[w](u, \underline{u}), \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_{0,1}[w] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \underline{\mathcal{R}}_{0,1}[w](u, \underline{u}).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to define $\mathcal{R}_q[w](u, \underline{u})$ and $\underline{\mathcal{R}}_q[w](u, \underline{u})$ for $q = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_q[\alpha](u, \underline{u}) &:= \|r^{\frac{s}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \alpha\|_{2, \mathcal{C}_u \cap V(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{R}_q[\beta](u, \underline{u}) &:= \|r^2 |u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \beta\|_{2, \mathcal{C}_u \cap V(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{R}_q[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)](u, \underline{u}) &:= \|r |u|^{\frac{s-2}{2}} (r\nabla)^q (\check{\rho}, \sigma)\|_{2, \mathcal{C}_u \cap V(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{R}_q[\underline{\beta}](u, \underline{u}) &:= \||u|^{\frac{s}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \underline{\beta}\|_{2, \mathcal{C}_u \cap V(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_q[\beta](u, \underline{u}) &:= \|r^{\frac{s}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \beta\|_{2, \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\underline{u}} \cap V(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_q[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)](u, \underline{u}) &:= |u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}} \|r^2 (r\nabla)^q (\check{\rho}, \sigma)\|_{2, \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\underline{u}} \cap V(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_q[\underline{\beta}](u, \underline{u}) &:= |u|^{\frac{s-2}{2}} \|r (r\nabla)^q \underline{\beta}\|_{2, \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\underline{u}} \cap V(u, \underline{u})},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_q[\underline{\alpha}](u, \underline{u}) &:= |u|^{\frac{s}{2}} \|(r\nabla)^q \underline{\alpha}\|_{2, \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\underline{u}} \cap V(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{R}_1[\alpha_4](u, \underline{u}) &:= \|r^{\frac{s+2}{2}} \nabla_4 \alpha\|_{2, \mathcal{C}_u \cap V(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\underline{\mathcal{R}}_1[\underline{\alpha}_3](u, \underline{u}) &:= |u|^{\frac{s+2}{2}} \|\nabla_3 \underline{\alpha}\|_{2, \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\underline{u}} \cap V(u, \underline{u})}.
\end{aligned}$$

3.1.2 \mathcal{O} norms (Ricci coefficients)

We define the norms of Ricci coefficients in the bootstrap region \mathcal{K} . Denoting

$$\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{[1]} + \underline{\mathcal{O}}_{[1]}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{[1]} &:= \mathcal{O}_1 + \mathcal{O}_0 + \mathcal{O}_0(\Omega\omega) + \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \left| r^2 \left(\overline{\text{tr } \chi} - \frac{2}{r} \right) \right| + \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \left| r \left(\Omega - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right|, \\
\underline{\mathcal{O}}_{[1]} &:= \underline{\mathcal{O}}_1 + \underline{\mathcal{O}}_0 + \mathcal{O}_0(\Omega\omega) + \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \left| r |u| \left(\overline{\text{tr } \underline{\chi}} + \frac{2}{r} \right) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

We define \mathcal{O}_q and $\underline{\mathcal{O}}_q$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_q &:= \mathcal{O}_q(\overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi}) + \mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{\chi}) + \mathcal{O}_q(\eta) + \mathcal{O}_q(\widetilde{\Omega\omega}) + \mathcal{O}_q(\check{\Omega}), & q = 0, 1, \\
\underline{\mathcal{O}}_q &:= \mathcal{O}_q(\overline{\Omega \text{tr } \underline{\chi}}) + \mathcal{O}_q(\widehat{\underline{\chi}}) + \mathcal{O}_q(\underline{\eta}) + \mathcal{O}_q(\widetilde{\Omega\omega}), & q = 0, 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_q(\Gamma) := \sup_{p \in [2, 4]} \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\Gamma)(u, \underline{u}).$$

It remains to define $\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\Gamma)(u, \underline{u})$ for $q = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi})(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{2+q-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} \nabla^q \overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\overline{\Omega \text{tr } \underline{\chi}})(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{2+q-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} \nabla^q \overline{\Omega \text{tr } \underline{\chi}}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\widehat{\chi})(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{2+q-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} \nabla^q \widehat{\chi}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\widehat{\underline{\chi}})(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{1+q-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \nabla^q \widehat{\underline{\chi}}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\eta)(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{2+q-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} \nabla^q \eta|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\underline{\eta})(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{2+q-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} \nabla^q \underline{\eta}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_0^{p, S}(\Omega\omega)(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Omega\omega|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_0^{p, S}(\Omega\omega)(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Omega\omega|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\widetilde{\Omega\omega})(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{2+\frac{s-3}{6}-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{3}} (r\nabla)^q \widetilde{\Omega\omega}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\widetilde{\Omega\omega})(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \widetilde{\Omega\omega}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}, \\
\mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\check{\Omega})(u, \underline{u}) &:= |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \check{\Omega}|_{p, S(u, \underline{u})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also define

$$\check{\mathcal{O}} := \sum_{q=0}^1 \left(\mathcal{O}_q(\overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi}) + \mathcal{O}_q(\eta) + \mathcal{O}_q(\check{\Omega}) \right) + \sup_{\mathcal{K}} r \left| \Omega - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \sup_{\mathcal{K}} r^2 \left| \overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi} - \frac{1}{r} \right|,$$

which appears in sections 5 and 6.2.

3.1.3 $\underline{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)$ -norms and \mathfrak{R}_0 -norms (Initial data on the foliation of K)

Notice that for every \underline{u} , there exists a unique leaf $S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})$ of $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\underline{u}}$, which is located in the future of $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ and touches $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$. Moreover, we have

$$S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) \subset \mathcal{K}_{(0)}.$$

The following norms are defined on the union of spheres $S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})$. We define

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) := \underline{\mathcal{O}}_1(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) + \underline{\mathcal{O}}_0(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) + \underline{\mathcal{O}}_0(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\Omega\omega) + \sup_{\underline{u}} \left| r^2 \left(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \right|,$$

where

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}}_q(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) := \sum_{\Gamma \in \{\widetilde{\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}, \widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\Omega\omega}\}} \underline{\mathcal{O}}_q(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\Gamma), \quad q = 0, 1,$$

with

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}}_q(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\Gamma) := \sup_{p \in [2, 4]} \sup_{\underline{u}} \mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\Gamma)(\underline{u}).$$

It remains to define $\underline{\mathcal{O}}_q^{p, S}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\Gamma)(\underline{u})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\widetilde{\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}})(\underline{u}) &:= |r^{\frac{s+1}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} (r\nabla)^q \widetilde{\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})}, \\ \mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\widetilde{\eta})(\underline{u}) &:= |r^{\frac{s+1}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} (r\nabla)^q \widetilde{\eta}|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})}, \\ \mathcal{O}_0^{p, S}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\Omega\omega)(\underline{u}) &:= |r^{2 - \frac{2}{p}} \Omega\omega|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})}, \\ \mathcal{O}_q^{p, S}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\widetilde{\Omega\omega})(\underline{u}) &:= |r^{\frac{s+1}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} (r\nabla)^q \widetilde{\Omega\omega}|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})}. \end{aligned}$$

We also define

$$\check{\underline{\mathcal{O}}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) := \sum_{q=0}^1 \left(\underline{\mathcal{O}}_q(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\widetilde{\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}) + \underline{\mathcal{O}}_q(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\widetilde{\Omega\omega}) \right) + \underline{\mathcal{O}}_0(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\Omega\omega),$$

which appears in sections 5 and 6.2.

We can extend the foliation (u, \underline{u}) to a neighborhood of $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ in $J^-(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)$ ⁵ such that it is well defined on $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$. The curvature flux on $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ is defined by:

$$\mathfrak{R}_0^2 := \int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} \sum_{l=0}^1 r^s \left(|\mathfrak{d}^l \alpha|^2 + |\mathfrak{d}^l \beta|^2 + |\mathfrak{d}^l(\check{\rho}, \sigma)|^2 + |\mathfrak{d}^l \underline{\beta}|^2 + |\mathfrak{d}^l \underline{\alpha}|^2 \right) + \sup_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} |r^3 \check{\rho}|^2, \quad (3.2)$$

with

$$\mathfrak{d} := (r\nabla, r\nabla_3, r\nabla_4).$$

3.1.4 $\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_*)$ norms (Ricci coefficients on the last slice)

We define the following norms on the last slice $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_*$ in the foliation (u, \underline{u}) of \mathcal{K} . We denote

$$\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_*) := \mathcal{O}_1^* + \mathcal{O}_0^* + \sup_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_*} \left| r^2 \left(\overline{\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}} - \frac{2}{r} \right) \right| + \mathcal{O}_2^*(\widetilde{\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}),$$

⁵Recall that $J^-(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)$ denotes the causal past of $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$.

where

$$\mathcal{O}_q^* := \mathcal{O}_q^*(\widetilde{\text{tr}} \chi) + \mathcal{O}_q^*(\widehat{\chi}) + \mathcal{O}_q^*(\widetilde{\text{tr}} \underline{\chi}) + \mathcal{O}_q^*(\widehat{\underline{\chi}}) + \mathcal{O}_q^*(\zeta),$$

with

$$\mathcal{O}_q^*(\Gamma) := \sup_{p \in [2,4]} \sup_{\underline{C}_*} \mathcal{O}_q^{*p,S}(\Gamma).$$

It remains to define $\mathcal{O}_q^{*p,S}(\Gamma)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_q^{*p,S}(\widehat{\chi}) &:= |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (r \nabla)^q \widehat{\chi}|_{p,S(u,\underline{u}_*)}, \\ \mathcal{O}_q^{*p,S}(\widehat{\underline{\chi}}) &:= |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} (r \nabla)^q \widehat{\underline{\chi}}|_{p,S(u,\underline{u}_*)}, \\ \mathcal{O}_q^{*p,S}(\widetilde{\text{tr}} \chi) &:= |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (r \nabla)^q \widetilde{\text{tr}} \chi|_{p,S(u,\underline{u}_*)}, \\ \mathcal{O}_q^{*p,S}(\widetilde{\text{tr}} \underline{\chi}) &:= |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (r \nabla)^q \widetilde{\text{tr}} \underline{\chi}|_{p,S(u,\underline{u}_*)}, \\ \mathcal{O}_q^{*p,S}(\zeta) &:= |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (r \nabla)^q \zeta|_{p,S(u,\underline{u}_*)}. \end{aligned}$$

3.1.5 $\mathcal{O}_{(0)}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{(0)}$ norms (Initial data on the foliation of $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$)

In this section, all the norms are defined by the initial layer foliation $(u_{(0)}, \underline{u})$ in $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{(0)} &:= \sum_{\Gamma_{(0)}} \mathcal{O}_{(0)}(\Gamma_{(0)}) + \sup_{\mathcal{K}_{(0)}} \left| r_{(0)}^2 \left(\text{tr} \chi_{(0)} - \frac{2}{r_{(0)}} \right) \right| + \sup_{\mathcal{K}_{(0)}} \left| r_{(0)}^2 \left(\text{tr} \underline{\chi}_{(0)} + \frac{2}{r_{(0)}} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\mathcal{K}_{(0)}} \left| r_{(0)}^2 \omega_{(0)} \right| + \sup_{\mathcal{K}_{(0)}} \left| r_{(0)}^2 \underline{\omega}_{(0)} \right| + \sup_{\mathcal{K}_{(0)}} \left| r_{(0)} \left(\Omega_{(0)} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_{(0)}(\Gamma_{(0)}) := \sum_{q=0}^2 \sup_{\mathcal{K}_{(0)}} \sup_{p \in [2,4]} \left| r_{(0)}^{\frac{s+1}{2}-\frac{2}{p}} (r_{(0)} \nabla)^q \Gamma_{(0)} \right|_{p,S_{(0)}(u_{(0)}, \underline{u})},$$

with

$$\Gamma_{(0)} \in \{\widetilde{\text{tr}} \chi_{(0)}, \widetilde{\text{tr}} \underline{\chi}_{(0)}, \widehat{\chi}_{(0)}, \widehat{\underline{\chi}}_{(0)}, \eta_{(0)}, \underline{\eta}_{(0)}, \check{\omega}_{(0)}, \check{\underline{\omega}}_{(0)}\}.$$

We define the curvature flux on the initial hypersurface:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{(0)}^2 := \sum_{l=0}^2 \int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} r_{(0)}^s \left| \mathfrak{d}_{(0)}^l \left(\alpha_{(0)}, \beta_{(0)}, \check{\rho}_{(0)}, \sigma_{(0)}, \underline{\beta}_{(0)}, \underline{\alpha}_{(0)} \right) \right|^2 + \sup_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} |r_{(0)}^3 \rho_{(0)}|,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{d}_{(0)} := \{r_{(0)} \nabla_3, r_{(0)} \nabla_4, r_{(0)} \nabla\}. \quad (3.3)$$

3.1.6 Osc-norms (Oscillation control)

We have two foliations (u, \underline{u}) and $(u_{(0)}, \underline{u})$ in the initial layer $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ which will be compared using Osc-norms. We denote (f, λ) the change of frame from (u, \underline{u}) to $(u_{(0)}, \underline{u})$, defined in Lemma 6.1 in section 6.1.1. We define

$$\text{Osc} := \text{Osc}(f) + \text{Osc}(\lambda) + \text{Osc}(r),$$

where

$$\text{Osc}(f) = \sup_{\mathcal{K}_{(0)}} \left| r^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} f \right|, \quad \text{Osc}(\lambda) = \sup_{\mathcal{K}_{(0)}} r |\overset{\circ}{\lambda}|, \quad \text{Osc}(r) := \sup_{\mathcal{K}_{(0)}} |r_{(0)} - r|,$$

with

$$\overset{\circ}{\lambda} := \lambda - 1, \quad \mathfrak{d} := \{r\nabla_3, r\nabla_4, r\nabla\}.$$

See Definition 6.9 in section 6.4 for a generalized definition of Osc–norms.

3.2 Main theorem

The goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem, which provides a new proof of the seminal result obtained by Klainerman and Nicolò in [14].

Theorem 3.1 (Main Theorem). *Consider an initial data set (Σ_0, g, k) s -asymptotically flat in the sense of Definition 1.2 with $s > 3$. Assume that we have the following control of the initial layer region $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ defined in section 2.1.2*

$$\mathcal{O}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{(0)}$, $\mathfrak{R}_{(0)}$ are defined in section 3.1.5 and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ is small enough.

Then, the initial layer $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ has a unique development $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ in its future domain of dependence with the following properties:

1. $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ can be foliated by a double null foliation (u, \underline{u}) . Moreover, the outgoing cones C_u are complete for all $u \leq u_0$.
2. The norms \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{R} defined in section 3.1 for $s \in [4, 6]$, and in sections B.1 and C.1 respectively for $s \in (3, 4)$ and $s > 6$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{O} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{R} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.5)$$

Remark 3.2. *Theorem 3.1 contains also a number of important conclusions following from (3.5): peeling properties, complete future infinity, Bondi mass formula and so on, see Chapter 8 in [14].*

Remark 3.3. *Theorem 3.1 is proved in section 3.4 for $s \in [4, 6]$, and extended to $s \in (3, 4)$ and $s > 6$ in Appendices B and C.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is given in section 3.4. It hinges on a sequence of basic theorems stated in section 3.3, concerning estimates for \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{R} norms.

We choose ϵ_0 and ϵ small enough such that

$$\epsilon \ll \delta_0 < 1, \quad \epsilon := \epsilon_0^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

where we recall that δ_0 is the height of the initial layer, see (2.14). Here, $A \ll B$ means that $CA < B$ where C is the largest universal constant among all the constants involved in the proof via \lesssim .

3.3 Main intermediate results

The following lemma provides comparisons between $r_{(0)}, r, w, u_{(0)}, \underline{u}, u$.

Lemma 3.4. *Under the assumptions*

$$\mathcal{O}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{Osc} \leq \epsilon, \quad (3.6)$$

we have in the initial layer region $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$

$$|r_{(0)} - w| \lesssim \epsilon_0 \log r_{(0)}, \quad |u_{(0)} - u| \lesssim \epsilon \log r_{(0)}, \quad (3.7)$$

and in the bootstrap region \mathcal{K}

$$\left| r - \frac{\underline{u} - u}{2} \right| \lesssim \epsilon \log r. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. See Appendix A. □

Remark 3.5. *Recall that we have $|u| \leq \underline{u}$, and $u < 0$ in \mathcal{K} . Together with (3.8), this yields*

$$|u| \leq \underline{u} \simeq r \quad \text{in } \mathcal{K}. \quad (3.9)$$

In the sequel, we will use (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) frequently without explicitly mentioning them.

The following theorems are important intermediate steps in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem M0. *Under the assumptions*

$$\mathcal{O}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{Osc} \leq \epsilon,$$

we have

$$\mathfrak{R}_0 \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \check{\mathcal{Q}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.10)$$

If in addition we assume that

$$\check{\mathcal{O}} \lesssim \epsilon_0,$$

then, we have

$$\text{Osc} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \underline{\mathcal{Q}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.11)$$

Theorem M0 is proved in section 6.2. The proof is based on null frame transformation formulae introduced by Klainerman and Szeftel in [19], see Proposition 6.2 in section 6.1.1.

Remark 3.6. *The second part of Theorem M0 implies that we need an estimate for $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ to control Osc. To this end, we first estimate the quantities in $\check{\mathcal{Q}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)$, which are nonlinearly dependent on Osc. Next, we apply them as initial data to estimate part of the Ricci coefficients, i.e. $\check{\mathcal{O}}$, see (3.17) in Theorem M3. Then, we obtain the oscillation control, which allows us to estimate all the initial data, i.e. the control (3.11) for $\underline{\mathcal{Q}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)$.*

Theorem M1. *Assume that*

$$\mathcal{O}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.12)$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{R} \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0. \quad (3.13)$$

Theorem M1 is proved in section 4. The proof is based on the r^p -weighted method introduced by Dafermos and Rodnianski in [7].

Theorem M2. *Assume that*

$$\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \leq \epsilon, \quad \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \leq \Delta_0, \quad \mathcal{O}_{(0)}(\Sigma_0 \cap \underline{C}_*) \leq \mathcal{I}_0. \quad (3.14)$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \lesssim \Delta_0 + \mathcal{I}_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Theorem M2 is proved in section 6.3. The proof is done by integrating the transport equations along the null generator \underline{L} of the last slice \underline{C}_* and applying elliptic estimates on 2–spheres of the geodesic foliation of \underline{C}_* .

Theorem M3. *Assume that*

$$\mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S + \mathcal{R}_0^S \leq \Delta_0, \quad \mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \leq \mathcal{I}_*, \quad \check{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \leq \check{\mathcal{I}}_0, \quad (3.16)$$

then, we have

$$\check{\mathcal{O}} \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (3.17)$$

If we assume in addition that

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \leq \mathcal{I}_0, \quad (3.18)$$

then, we have

$$\mathcal{O} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (3.19)$$

Theorem M3 is proved in section 5. The proof is done by integrating the transport equations along the outgoing and incoming null cones and applying elliptic estimate on 2–spheres of the double null foliation of the spacetime \mathcal{K} .

Theorem M4. *We consider the spacetime \mathcal{K} and its double null foliation (u, \underline{u}) , which satisfy the hypotheses*

$$\mathcal{O} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{R} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \text{Osc} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.20)$$

Then, we can extend the spacetime $\mathcal{K} = V(u_0, \underline{u}_*)$ and the double null foliation (u, \underline{u}) to a new spacetime $\widehat{\mathcal{K}} = \widehat{V}(u_0, \underline{u}_* + \nu)$, where ν is sufficiently small, and an associated double null foliation $(\widehat{u}, \underline{u})$. Moreover, the new foliation $(\widehat{u}, \underline{u})$ is geodesic on the new last slice $\underline{C}_{**} := \underline{C}_{\underline{u}_* + \nu}$ and the new norms satisfy

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \widehat{\text{Osc}} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.21)$$

Theorem M4 is proved in section 6.4. The proof is based on local existence, and the null frame transformation formulas of Proposition 6.2. We also need to reapply Theorems M1, M2 and M3 in the extended spacetime region $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$.

Remark 3.7. *When compared to [14], we have the following similarities and differences:*

1. We treat the general decay $s > 3$ in Theorem 3.1 while the main result of [14]⁶ correspond to the particular case $s = 4$ in Theorem 3.1.
2. In [14], third order derivatives of Ricci coefficients and second order derivatives of curvature are estimated. In this paper, we only estimate first order derivative of both Ricci coefficients and curvature respectively in Theorems M0-M2-M3 and M1.
3. To estimate the curvature components in Theorem M1, instead of using vectorfield method as in [14], we use the r^p -weighted estimates introduced by Dafermos and Rodnianski in [7].
4. On the last slice \underline{C}_* , a canonical foliation is used in [14] while we use a geodesic foliation in Theorem M2.
5. The estimates of Ricci coefficients and the extension argument, i.e. the proof of Theorems M3 and M4, are similar to [14].

⁶We also reobtain the strong peeling properties of [15] that correspond to $s > 7$.

3.4 Proof of the main theorem

We now use Theorems M0-M4 to prove Theorem 3.1.

Definition 3.8. Let $\aleph(\underline{u}_*)$ the set of spacetimes \mathcal{K} associated with a double null foliation (u, \underline{u}) which satisfy the following properties:

1. $\mathcal{K} = V(u_0, \underline{u}_*)$.
2. The foliation on \underline{C}_* is geodesic.
3. We have the following bootstrap bounds:

$$\mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \mathcal{R} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{Osc} \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.22)$$

Definition 3.9. We denote \mathcal{U} the set of values \underline{u}_* such that $\aleph(\underline{u}_*) \neq \emptyset$.

Applying the local existence result of Theorem 10.2.1 in [5] and the assumption $\mathcal{O}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0$, we deduce that (3.22) holds if \underline{u}_* is sufficiently small. So, we have $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$.

Define \underline{u}_* to be the supremum of the set \mathcal{U} . We want to prove $\underline{u}_* = +\infty$. We assume by contradiction that \underline{u}_* is finite. In particular we may assume $\underline{u}_* \in \mathcal{U}$. We consider the region $\mathcal{K} = V(u_0, \underline{u}_*)$. Recall that we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad (3.23)$$

according to the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Applying Theorems M0 and M1, we obtain

$$\mathcal{R} \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0 \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \check{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.24)$$

Then, applying Theorem M2 and the hypothesis $\mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon$, we obtain⁷

$$\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

In view of the above, the quantities in Theorem M3 satisfy the following conditions:

$$\mathcal{I}_* \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \Delta_0 \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

Applying the first part of Theorem M3, we obtain

$$\check{\mathcal{O}} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.25)$$

Then, we can apply the second part of Theorem M0 to deduce

$$\text{Osc} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.26)$$

Note that (3.26) implies $\mathcal{I}_0 \leq \epsilon_0$ where \mathcal{I}_0 is defined in Theorem M3. Thus, we may apply the second part of Theorem M3 to obtain

$$\mathcal{O} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.27)$$

Notice that (3.24), (3.26) and (3.27) implies that we can apply Theorem M4 to extend \mathcal{K} to $\widehat{\mathcal{K}} := \widehat{V}(u_0, \underline{u}_* + \nu)$ for a ν sufficiently small. We denote $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ the norms associated to the new foliation $(\widehat{u}, \underline{u})$. We have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \widehat{\text{Osc}} \lesssim \epsilon_0,$$

⁷By definition, $\mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon$ implies $\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \leq \epsilon$.




Figure 2: Domain of integration $V(u, \underline{u})$

as a consequence of Theorem M4. We deduce that $\widehat{V}(u_0, \underline{u}_* + \nu)$ with the double null foliation $(\widehat{u}, \underline{u})$ satisfies all the properties in Definition 3.8, and so $\aleph(\underline{u}_* + \nu) \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Thus, we have $\underline{u}_* = +\infty$, which implies property 1 of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{O} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{R} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad (3.28)$$

in the whole exterior region, which implies property 2 of Theorem 3.1. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4 Curvature estimates (Theorem M1)

In this section, we prove Theorem M1 by the r^p -weighted estimate method introduced in [7] and applied to Bianchi equations in [11] and [18]. For convenience, we recall the statement below.

Theorem M1. *Assume that*

$$\mathcal{O}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{Osc} \leq \epsilon. \quad (4.1)$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{R} \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0. \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.1. *We have the following estimates:*

$$|\Gamma_g| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{r^2 |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \quad |\Gamma_b| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{r |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}, \quad |\Gamma_a| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{r^2}, \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$|r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{p,S} \lesssim \epsilon, \quad |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \Gamma_b^{(1)}|_{p,S} \lesssim \epsilon, \quad p \in [2, 4]. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. It follows directly from the assumption $\mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon$, Definition 2.14 and the Sobolev inequality of Proposition 2.29. \square

4.1 Estimates for general Bianchi pairs

The following lemma provides the general structure of Bianchi pairs. It will be used repeatedly in this section. See Lemma 8.24 in [18] for an analog version under the assumption of axial polarization.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k = 1, 2$ and $a_{(1)}, a_{(2)}$ real numbers. Then, we have the following properties.*

1. *If $\psi_{(1)}, h_{(1)} \in \mathfrak{s}_k$ and $\psi_{(2)}, h_{(2)} \in \mathfrak{s}_{k-1}$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3(\psi_{(1)}) + a_{(1)} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \psi_{(1)} &= -kd_k^*(\psi_{(2)}) + h_{(1)}, \\ \nabla_4(\psi_{(2)}) + a_{(2)} \operatorname{tr} \chi \psi_{(2)} &= d_k(\psi_{(1)}) + h_{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Then, the pair $(\psi_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)})$ satisfies for any real number p

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Div}(r^p |\psi_{(1)}|^2 e_3) + k \mathbf{Div}(r^p |\psi_{(2)}|^2 e_4) \\ & + \left(2a_{(1)} - 1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) r^p \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + k \left(2a_{(2)} - 1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) r^p \operatorname{tr} \chi |\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\ & = 2kr^p \operatorname{div}(\psi_{(1)} \cdot \psi_{(2)}) + 2r^p \psi_{(1)} \cdot h_{(1)} + 2kr^p \psi_{(2)} \cdot h_{(2)} - 2r^p \underline{\omega} |\psi_{(1)}|^2 \\ & \quad - 2kr^p \omega |\psi_{(2)}|^2 + pr^{p-1} \left(e_3(r) - \frac{r}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\right) |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + kpr^{p-1} \left(e_4(r) - \frac{r}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi\right) |\psi_{(2)}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

2. *If $\psi_{(1)}, h_{(1)} \in \mathfrak{s}_{k-1}$ and $\psi_{(2)}, h_{(2)} \in \mathfrak{s}_k$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3(\psi_{(1)}) + a_{(1)} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \psi_{(1)} &= d_k(\psi_{(2)}) + h_{(1)}, \\ \nabla_4(\psi_{(2)}) + a_{(2)} \operatorname{tr} \chi \psi_{(2)} &= -kd_k^*(\psi_{(1)}) + h_{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Then, the pair $(\psi_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)})$ satisfies for any real number p

$$\begin{aligned} & k \mathbf{Div}(r^p |\psi_{(1)}|^2 e_3) + \mathbf{Div}(r^p |\psi_{(2)}|^2 e_4) \\ & + k \left(2a_{(1)} - 1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) r^p \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + \left(2a_{(2)} - 1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) r^p \operatorname{tr} \chi |\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\ & = 2r^p \operatorname{div}(\psi_{(1)} \cdot \psi_{(2)}) + 2kr^p \psi_{(1)} \cdot h_{(1)} + 2r^p \psi_{(2)} \cdot h_{(2)} - 2kr^p \underline{\omega} |\psi_{(1)}|^2 \\ & \quad - 2r^p \omega |\psi_{(2)}|^2 + kpr^{p-1} \left(e_3(r) - \frac{r}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\right) |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + pr^{p-1} \left(e_4(r) - \frac{r}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi\right) |\psi_{(2)}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Remark 4.3. *Note that the Bianchi equations can be written as systems of equations of the type (4.5) and (4.7). In particular*

- *the Bianchi pair (α, β) satisfies (4.5) with $k = 2$, $a_{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}$, $a_{(2)} = 2$,*
- *the Bianchi pair $(\beta, (\check{\rho}, -\sigma))$ satisfies (4.5) with $k = 1$, $a_{(1)} = 1$, $a_{(2)} = \frac{3}{2}$,*
- *the Bianchi pair $((\check{\rho}, \sigma), \underline{\beta})$ satisfies (4.7) with $k = 1$, $a_{(1)} = \frac{3}{2}$, $a_{(2)} = 1$,*
- *the Bianchi pair $(\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha})$ satisfies (4.7) with $k = 2$, $a_{(1)} = 2$, $a_{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. By a direct computation, we have

$$\mathbf{Dive}_4 = \mathbf{D}_\gamma e_4^\gamma = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_4 e_4, e_3) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_3 e_4, e_4) + \mathbf{g}^{AB} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_A e_4, e_B) = -2\omega + \operatorname{tr} \chi,$$

and similarly

$$\mathbf{Dive}_3 = -2\underline{\omega} + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}.$$

For $\psi_{(1)}$ and $\psi_{(2)}$ satisfying (4.5), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Div}(r^p|\psi_{(1)}|^2 e_3) + k\mathbf{Div}(r^p|\psi_{(2)}|^2 e_4) \\
&= \nabla_3(r^p|\psi_{(1)}|^2) + \mathbf{Div}(e_3)r^p|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + k\nabla_4(r^p|\psi_{(2)}|^2) + k\mathbf{Div}(e_4)r^p|\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
&= pr^{p-1}e_3(r)|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + 2r^p\psi_{(1)} \cdot \nabla_3\psi_{(1)} + r^p(\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - 2\underline{\omega})|\psi_{(1)}|^2 \\
&+ kpr^{p-1}e_4(r)|\psi_{(2)}|^2 + 2kr^p\psi_{(2)} \cdot \nabla_4\psi_{(2)} + kr^p(\operatorname{tr}\chi - 2\omega)|\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
&= pr^{p-1}e_3(r)|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + 2r^p\psi_{(1)} \cdot (-a_{(1)}\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\psi_{(1)} - kd_k^*\psi_{(2)} + h_{(1)}) + r^p\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}|\psi_{(1)}|^2 - 2r^p\underline{\omega}|\psi_{(1)}|^2 \\
&+ kpr^{p-1}e_4(r)|\psi_{(2)}|^2 + 2kr^p\psi_{(2)} \cdot (-a_{(2)}\operatorname{tr}\chi\psi_{(2)} + d_k\psi_{(1)} + h_{(2)}) + kr^p\operatorname{tr}\chi|\psi_{(2)}|^2 - 2kr^p\omega|\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
&= pr^{p-1}\left(e_3(r) - \frac{r}{2}\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\right)|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + 2r^p\psi_{(1)} \cdot (-kd_k^*\psi_{(2)} + h_{(1)}) + \left(1 - 2a_{(1)} + \frac{p}{2}\right)r^p\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}|\psi_{(1)}|^2 \\
&+ kpr^{p-1}\left(e_4(r) - \frac{r}{2}\operatorname{tr}\chi\right)|\psi_{(2)}|^2 + 2kr^p\psi_{(2)} \cdot (d_k\psi_{(1)} + h_{(2)}) + \left(1 - 2a_{(2)} + \frac{p}{2}\right)kr^p\operatorname{tr}\chi|\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
&- 2r^p\underline{\omega}|\psi_{(1)}|^2 - 2kr^p\omega|\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
&= 2k\operatorname{div}(\psi_{(1)} \cdot \psi_{(2)}) + pr^{p-1}\left(e_3(r) - \frac{r}{2}\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\right)|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + kpr^{p-1}\left(e_4(r) - \frac{r}{2}\operatorname{tr}\chi\right)|\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
&+ 2r^p\psi_{(1)} \cdot h_{(1)} + 2kr^p\psi_{(2)} \cdot h_{(2)} + \left(1 - 2a_{(1)} + \frac{p}{2}\right)r^p\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + \left(1 - 2a_{(2)} + \frac{p}{2}\right)kr^p\operatorname{tr}\chi|\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
&- 2kr^p\underline{\omega}|\psi_{(1)}|^2 - 2r^p\omega|\psi_{(2)}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Div}(r^p|\psi_{(1)}|^2 e_3) + k\mathbf{Div}(r^p|\psi_{(2)}|^2 e_4) + \left(2a_{(1)} - 1 - \frac{p}{2}\right)r^p\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + \left(2a_{(2)} - 1 - \frac{p}{2}\right)kr^p\operatorname{tr}\chi|\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
&= 2kr^p\operatorname{div}(\psi_{(1)} \cdot \psi_{(2)}) + 2r^p\psi_{(1)} \cdot h_{(1)} + 2kr^p\psi_{(2)} \cdot h_{(2)} - 2r^p\underline{\omega}|\psi_{(1)}|^2 - 2kr^p\omega|\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
&+ pr^{p-1}\left(e_3(r) - \frac{r}{2}\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\right)|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + kpr^{p-1}\left(e_4(r) - \frac{r}{2}\operatorname{tr}\chi\right)|\psi_{(2)}|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.6). The proof of (4.8) is similar and left to the reader. This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

Proposition 4.4. *We denote*

$$V := V(u, \underline{u}), \quad C_u^V := C_u \cap V, \quad \underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V := \underline{C}_{\underline{u}} \cap V. \quad (4.9)$$

For $\psi_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}$ and $h_{(1)}, h_{(2)}$ satisfying (4.5) or (4.7), we have the following properties for $q = 0, 1$ and all $(u, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{K}$.

- In the case of $2 + p - 4a_{(1)} > 0$ and $4a_{(2)} - 2 - p > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_u^V} r^p|\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^p|\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_V r^{p-1}|\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + r^{p-1}|\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 \\
&\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^p|\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + r^p|\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_V r^p|\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}||h_{(1)}^{(q)}| + r^p|\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}||h_{(2)}^{(q)}|.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

- In the case of $2 + p - 4a_{(1)} > 0$ and $4a_{(2)} - 2 - p = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_u^V} r^p|\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^p|\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_V r^{p-1}|\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 \\
&\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^p|\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + r^p|\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_V r^p|\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}||h_{(1)}^{(q)}| + r^p|\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}||h_{(2)}^{(q)}|.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

- In the case of $2 + p - 4a_{(1)} \leq 0$ and $4a_{(2)} - 2 - p \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + r^p |\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_V r^{p-1} |\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + r^p |\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}| |h_{(1)}^{(q)}| + r^p |\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}| |h_{(2)}^{(q)}|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

- In the case of $2 + p - 4a_{(1)} > 0$ and $4a_{(2)} - 2 - p \leq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_V r^{p-1} |\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}|^2 + r^p |\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 + \int_V r^{p-1} |\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}|^2 + r^p |\psi_{(1)}^{(q)}| |h_{(1)}^{(q)}| + r^p |\psi_{(2)}^{(q)}| |h_{(2)}^{(q)}|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Remark 4.5. In the sequel, For a sum of terms of the form $\Gamma \cdot R^{(1)}$, we ignore the terms have same or even better decay. For example, we write

$$\Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_a \cdot \alpha^{(1)} = \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)},$$

since $\alpha^{(1)}$ decays better than $\beta^{(1)}$.

Proof. Recall that $\omega, \underline{\omega} \in \Gamma_a$. Applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$e_3(r) - \frac{r}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi = \frac{\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi}}{2\Omega} r - \frac{r}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi = \frac{r}{2\Omega} (\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} - \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) \in r\Gamma_g,$$

and similarly

$$e_4(r) - \frac{r}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \in r\Gamma_g.$$

Applying (4.3), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_V r^p |\Gamma_a| (|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + |\psi_{(2)}|^2) \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \int_V r^{p-2} (|\psi_{(1)}|^2 + |\psi_{(2)}|^2) \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u (|u|^{-2} \int_{C_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}|^2) du + \epsilon \int_{|u_0|}^u (|\underline{u}|^{-2} \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(2)}|^2) du \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \sup_u \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}|^2 \right) \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |u|^{-2} du + \epsilon \sup_{\underline{u}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(2)}|^2 \right) \int_{|u_0|}^u |\underline{u}|^{-2} du \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \sup_u \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}|^2 \right) + \epsilon \sup_{\underline{u}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(2)}|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Integrating (4.6) or (4.8), reminding that $\operatorname{tr} \chi - \frac{2}{r} \in \Gamma_a$, $\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{2}{r} \in \Gamma_a$, and that Γ_g decays better than Γ_a , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(2)}|^2 + \int_V (2 + p - 4a_{(1)}) r^{p-1} |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + (4a_{(2)} - 2 - p) r^{p-1} |\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + r^p |\psi_{(2)}|^2 + \int_V r^p |\psi_{(1)}| |h_{(1)}| + r^p |\psi_{(2)}| |h_{(2)}| + r^p |\Gamma_a| |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + r^p |\Gamma_a| |\psi_{(2)}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum of u and \underline{u} and applying (4.14), we obtain for ϵ small enough

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_u \int_{C_u^V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + \sup_{\underline{u}} \int_{C_{\underline{u}}^V} r^p |\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
& + \int_V (2+p-4a_{(1)})r^{p-1} |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + (4a_{(2)}-2-p)r^{p-1} |\psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
& \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}|^2 + r^p |\psi_{(2)}|^2 + \int_V r^p |\psi_{(1)}| |h_{(1)}| + r^p |\psi_{(2)}| |h_{(2)}|,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

which implies (4.10)–(4.13) hold in correspond cases when $q = 0$.

Next, we consider the case $q = 1$. Assume that $(\psi_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)})$ satisfies (4.5).⁸ We multiply (4.5) by Ω and differentiate it by $\not\partial$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\not\partial, \Omega \nabla_3] \psi_{(1)} + \Omega \nabla_3 (\not\partial \psi_{(1)}) + a_{(1)} r \nabla (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) \cdot \psi_{(1)} + a_{(1)} \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \not\partial \psi_{(1)} \\
& = -k(r \nabla \Omega) d_k^* (\psi_{(2)}) - k \Omega d_k^* (\not\partial \psi_{(2)}) + (r \nabla \Omega) h_{(1)} + \Omega \not\partial h_{(1)}, \\
& [\not\partial, \Omega \nabla_4] \psi_{(2)} + \Omega \nabla_4 (\not\partial \psi_{(2)}) + a_{(2)} r \nabla (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) \cdot \psi_{(2)} + a_{(2)} \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \not\partial \psi_{(2)} \\
& = (r \nabla \Omega) d_k (\psi_{(1)}) + \Omega d_k (\not\partial \psi_{(1)}) + (r \nabla \Omega) \cdot h_{(2)} + \Omega \not\partial h_{(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 2.24, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_3 (\not\partial \psi_{(1)}) + a_{(1)} \operatorname{tr} \chi \not\partial \psi_{(1)} & = -k d_k^* (\not\partial \psi_{(2)}) + h_{(1)}^{(1)} + (\Gamma_b \cdot \psi_{(1)})^{(1)} + (\Gamma_g \cdot \psi_{(2)})^{(1)}, \\
\nabla_4 (\not\partial \psi_{(2)}) + a_{(2)} \operatorname{tr} \chi \not\partial \psi_{(2)} & = d_k^* (\not\partial \psi_{(1)}) + h_{(2)}^{(1)} + (\Gamma_g \cdot (\psi_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}))^{(1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Integrating (4.16), and proceeding similarly as in (4.14), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_u \int_{C_u^V} r^p |\not\partial \psi_{(1)}|^2 + \sup_{\underline{u}} \int_{C_{\underline{u}}^V} r^p |\not\partial \psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
& + \int_V (2+p-4a_{(1)})r^{p-1} |\not\partial \psi_{(1)}|^2 + (4a_{(2)}-2-p)r^{p-1} |\not\partial \psi_{(2)}|^2 \\
& \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^p |\psi_{(1)}^{(1)}|^2 + r^p |\psi_{(2)}^{(1)}|^2 + \int_V r^p |\psi_{(1)}^{(1)}| |h_{(1)}^{(1)}| + r^p |\psi_{(2)}^{(1)}| |h_{(2)}^{(1)}|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Combining (4.15) and (4.17), this concludes the proof of Proposition 4.4. \square

The following lemma allows us to obtain $|u|$ -decay of curvature along $\Sigma_0 \cap V(u, \underline{u}_*)$.

Lemma 4.6. *We have the following estimate for $p \leq s$:*

$$\int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V(u, \underline{u})} r^p \left(|\alpha^{(1)}|^2 + |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 + |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \right) \lesssim \frac{\mathfrak{R}_0^2}{|u|^{s-p}}.$$

⁸The case of $(\psi_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)})$ satisfies (4.7) is similar.

Proof. For fixed u and \underline{u} , we have from Lemma 3.4

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V(u, \underline{u})} r^p \left(|\alpha^{(1)}|^2 + |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 + |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \right) \\
& \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap \{2|u'| \geq |u|\}} r^p \left(|\alpha^{(1)}|^2 + |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 + |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \right) \\
& \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap \{2|u'| \geq |u|\}} |u'|^{p-s} r'^s \left(|\alpha^{(1)}|^2 + |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 + |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \right) \\
& \lesssim |u|^{p-s} \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap \{2|u'| \geq |u|\}} r'^s \left(|\alpha^{(1)}|^2 + |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 + |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \right) \\
& \lesssim \frac{\mathfrak{R}_0^2}{|u|^{s-p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.6. \square

4.2 Estimates for the Bianchi pair (α, β)

Proposition 4.7. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\mathcal{R}_0[\alpha]^2 + \mathcal{R}_0[\beta]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[\alpha]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[\beta]^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2. \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. We recall the following equations of Corollary 2.20:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_4 \beta + 2 \operatorname{tr} \chi \beta &= d_2 \alpha + h[\beta_4], \\
\nabla_3 \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \alpha &= -2d_2^* \beta + h[\alpha_3],
\end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

which correspond to $\psi_{(1)} = \alpha$, $\psi_{(2)} = \beta$, $a_{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}$, $a_{(2)} = 2$, $h_{(1)} = h[\alpha_3]$, $h_{(2)} = h[\beta_4]$ and $k = 2$ in (4.5). Taking $p = s$ and recalling that $s \in [4, 6]$, we have

$$2 + p - 4a_{(1)} = s > 0, \quad 4a_{(2)} - 2 - p = 6 - s \geq 0. \quad (4.20)$$

We apply (4.10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_{\underline{u}}^V} r^s |\alpha^{(1)}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^s |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + \int_V r^{s-1} |\alpha^{(1)}|^2 \\
& \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^s |\alpha^{(1)}|^2 + r^s |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + \int_V r^s |\alpha^{(1)}| |h[\alpha_3]^{(1)}| + r^s |\beta^{(1)}| |h[\beta_4]^{(1)}|.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate $|\alpha^{(1)}| |h[\alpha_3]^{(1)}|$ and $|\beta^{(1)}| |h[\beta_4]^{(1)}|$. Recalling from Corollary 2.20 that⁹

$$h[\alpha_3] = 4\underline{\omega} \alpha + \Gamma_g \cdot \rho, \quad h[\beta_4] = (\Gamma_a, \Gamma_g) \cdot \beta, \quad (4.21)$$

we have¹⁰

$$|\alpha^{(1)}| |h[\alpha_3]^{(1)}| + |\beta^{(1)}| |h[\beta_4]^{(1)}| \lesssim |\Gamma_b^{(1)}| |\alpha^{(1)}| |\alpha| + |\Gamma_a| |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + |\Gamma_g| |\alpha^{(1)}| |\rho^{(1)}| + |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\alpha| |\rho|.$$

⁹We used the fact that σ, β decay better than ρ .

¹⁰We ignore the terms which decay better.

Applying (4.3) to estimate $\Gamma_b^{(1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V r^s |\Gamma_b^{(1)}| |\alpha^{(1)}| |\alpha| &\lesssim \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\alpha^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\Gamma_b^{(1)}|^2 |\alpha|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R} \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(\int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} \left(\int_{S(u, \underline{u})} r^s |\Gamma_b^{(1)}|^2 |\alpha|^2 \right) d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R} \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(\int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} r^{-3} |u|^{1-s} |r^{\frac{1}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \Gamma_b^{(1)}|_{4,S}^2 |r^{\frac{s+2}{2}} \alpha|_{4,S}^2 d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \quad (4.22) \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(\int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} r^{-3} |u|^{1-s} d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |u|^{-1} |u|^{\frac{1-s}{2}} du \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.3) to estimate Γ_a , we infer

$$\int_V r^s |\Gamma_a| |\beta^{(1)}|^2 \lesssim \epsilon \int_V r^{s-2} |\beta^{(1)}|^2 \lesssim \epsilon \int_{|u_0|}^{\underline{u}} |\underline{u}|^{-2} d\underline{u} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\beta^{(1)}|^2 \right) \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2. \quad (4.23)$$

Applying (4.3) to estimate Γ_g , we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V r^s |\Gamma_g| |\rho^{(1)}| |\alpha^{(1)}| &\lesssim \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{\epsilon}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\alpha^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^{s-4} |\rho^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\
&\lesssim \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \left(\int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} r^{s-8} d\underline{u} \int_S |r^2 \rho^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \quad (4.24) \\
&\lesssim \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \left(\int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} r^{s-8} d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\
&\lesssim \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} |u|^{\frac{7-s}{2}}} du \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V r^s |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\rho| |\alpha| &\lesssim \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\alpha|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\rho|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R} \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(\int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} \left(\int_{S(u, \underline{u})} r^s |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\rho|^2 \right) d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R} \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(\int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} r^{s-8} |u|^{3-s} |r^{\frac{3}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{4,S}^2 |r^{\frac{5}{2}} \rho|_{4,S}^2 d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \quad (4.25) \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(\int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} r^{s-8} |u|^{3-s} d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |u|^{\frac{s-7}{2}} |u|^{\frac{3-s}{2}} du \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\alpha^{(1)}|^2 + \int_{C_u^V} r^s |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + \int_V r^{s-1} |\alpha^{(1)}|^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2. \quad (4.26)$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.7. \square

4.3 Estimates for the Bianchi pair $(\beta, (\check{\rho}, -\sigma))$

Proposition 4.8. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\mathcal{R}_0[\beta]^2 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[\beta]^2 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_1[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)]^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2. \quad (4.27)$$

Proof. We recall the following Bianchi equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4 \check{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \check{\rho} &= \operatorname{div} \beta + h[\check{\rho}_4], \\ \nabla_4 \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \sigma &= -\operatorname{div}^* \beta + h[\sigma_4], \\ \nabla_3 \beta + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta &= \nabla \check{\rho} + {}^* \nabla \sigma + h[\beta_3], \end{aligned}$$

which can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4(\check{\rho}, -\sigma) + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi(\check{\rho}, -\sigma) &= d_1 \beta + h[\check{\rho}_4, \sigma_4], \\ \nabla_3 \beta + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta &= -d_1^*(\check{\rho}, -\sigma) + h[\beta_3], \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

where $h[\check{\rho}_4, \sigma_4] = (h[\check{\rho}_4], h[\sigma_4])$. Applying (4.11) with $\psi_{(1)} = \beta$, $\psi_{(2)} = (\check{\rho}, \sigma)$, $a_{(1)} = 1$, $a_{(2)} = \frac{3}{2}$, $h_{(1)} = h[\beta_3]$, $h_{(2)} = h[\check{\rho}_4, \sigma_4]$ and $p = 4$, we obtain from Lemma 4.6

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_u^V} r^4 |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^4 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} (r^4 |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + r^4 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2) \\ & \quad + \int_V r^4 |\beta^{(1)} \cdot h[\beta_3]^{(1)}| + \int_V r^4 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)}) \cdot h[\check{\rho}_4, \sigma_4]^{(1)}| \\ & \lesssim |u|^{4-s} \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \int_V r^4 |\beta^{(1)} \cdot h[\beta_3]^{(1)}| + \int_V r^4 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)}) \cdot h[\check{\rho}_4, \sigma_4]^{(1)}|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Recall from Corollary 2.20 that

$$h[\beta_3] = \Gamma_a \cdot \beta + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta}, \quad h[\check{\rho}_4, \sigma_4] = \Gamma_b \cdot \alpha + \Gamma_g \cdot \check{\rho}.$$

Hence, we have

$$h[\beta_3]^{(1)} = \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta}^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \underline{\beta}, \quad h[\check{\rho}_4, \sigma_4]^{(1)} = \Gamma_b \cdot \alpha^{(1)} + \Gamma_g \cdot \check{\rho}^{(1)} + \Gamma_b^{(1)} \cdot \alpha + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \check{\rho}.$$

Hence, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_V r^4 |\beta^{(1)}| |h[\beta_3]^{(1)}| + r^4 |(\check{\rho}, \sigma)^{(1)}| |h[\check{\rho}_4, \sigma_4]^{(1)}| \\ & \lesssim \int_V r^4 |\Gamma_a| |\beta^{(1)}|^2 + r^4 |\Gamma_g| |\beta^{(1)}| |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}| + r^4 |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta| |\underline{\beta}|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

For the first term in (4.30) we have

$$\int_V r^4 |\Gamma_a| |\beta^{(1)}|^2 \lesssim \epsilon \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |u|^{-2} du \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^4 |\beta^{(1)}|^2 \right) \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{4-s}.$$

For the second term in (4.30), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V r^4 |\Gamma_g| |\beta^{(1)}| |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}| & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{1}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} du \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^4 |\beta^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{du}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{|u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}}} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{|u|^{\frac{s}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{s-4}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term in (4.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V r^4 |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta| |\underline{\beta}| &\lesssim \int_V r^4 \frac{\epsilon}{|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}} |\beta|^2 + \int_V r^4 |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \epsilon^{-1} |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\underline{\beta}|^2 \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}} \int_{C_{\underline{u}}^V} r^4 |\beta|^2 + \int_{|u|}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|} \int_{C_{\underline{u}}^V} r^4 |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \epsilon^{-1} |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\underline{\beta}|^2 \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}} \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{s-4}} + \int_{|u|}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|} \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u r^{-2} |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \epsilon^{-1} |r^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{4,S}^2 |r^{\frac{3}{2}} \underline{\beta}|_{4,S}^2 du \\
&\lesssim \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} |u|^{s-4}} + \int_{|u|}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|} \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u r^{-2} |u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{2s-4}} du \\
&\lesssim \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{s-4}} + \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{\frac{3}{2}s - \frac{5}{2}}} \int_{|u|}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{r^2} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{s-4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Injecting all the estimates above into (4.30), we deduce

$$\int_V r^4 |\beta^{(1)}| |h[\beta_3]^{(1)}| + r^4 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})| |h[\check{\rho}_4, \sigma_4]^{(1)}| \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{4-s}.$$

Combining with (4.29), we obtain (4.27). This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.8. \square

4.4 Estimates for the Bianchi pair $((\check{\rho}, \sigma), \underline{\beta})$

Proposition 4.9. *We have the following estimates:*

$$\mathcal{R}_0[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)]^2 + \mathcal{R}_0[\underline{\beta}]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[\underline{\beta}]^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2. \quad (4.31)$$

Proof. We recall the following Bianchi equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_4 \underline{\beta} + \text{tr} \chi \underline{\beta} &= d_1^*(\check{\rho}, \sigma) + h[\underline{\beta}_4], \\
\nabla_3(\check{\rho}, \sigma) + \frac{3}{2} \text{tr} \underline{\chi}(\check{\rho}, \sigma) &= -d_1 \underline{\beta} + h[\check{\rho}_3, \sigma_3],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$h[\check{\rho}_3, \sigma_3] := (h[\check{\rho}_3], h[\sigma_3]) = \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\alpha}, \quad h[\underline{\beta}_4] = \Gamma_a \cdot \underline{\beta}. \quad (4.32)$$

We apply (4.12) with $\psi_{(1)} = (\check{\rho}, \sigma)$, $\psi_{(2)} = \underline{\beta}$, $a_{(1)} = 1$, $a_{(2)} = \frac{3}{2}$, $h_{(1)} = h[\check{\rho}_3, \sigma_3]$, $h_{(2)} = h[\underline{\beta}_4]$

and $p = 2$, we obtain from Lemma 4.6 and Proposition 4.8 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_u^V} r^2 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 \\
& \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} (r^2 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 + r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2) + \int_V r |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 \\
& \quad + \int_V r^2 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)}) \cdot h[\check{\rho}_3, \sigma_3]^{(1)}| + r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)} \cdot h[\underline{\beta}_4]^{(1)}| \\
& \lesssim |u|^{2-s} \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \int_{|u|}^u |u|^{-3} d\underline{u} \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^4 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)})|^2 \\
& \quad + \int_V r^2 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)}) \cdot h[\check{\rho}_3, \sigma_3]^{(1)}| + r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)} \cdot h[\underline{\beta}_4]^{(1)}| \\
& \lesssim |u|^{2-s} \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + (\mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2) \int_{|u|}^u |u|^{4-s} |u|^{-3} d\underline{u} \\
& \quad + \int_V r^2 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)}) \cdot h[\check{\rho}_3, \sigma_3]^{(1)}| + r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)} \cdot h[\underline{\beta}_4]^{(1)}| \\
& \lesssim |u|^{2-s} (\mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2) + \int_V r^2 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)}) \cdot h[\check{\rho}_3, \sigma_3]^{(1)}| + r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)} \cdot h[\underline{\beta}_4]^{(1)}|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Applying (4.32) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_V r^2 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)}) \cdot h[\check{\rho}_3, \sigma_3]^{(1)}| + r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)} \cdot h[\underline{\beta}_4]^{(1)}| \\
& \lesssim \int_V r^2 |\Gamma_g| |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| |\check{\rho}^{(1)}| + r^2 |\Gamma_a| |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\underline{\beta}|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

For the first term in (4.34), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V r^2 |\Gamma_g| |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| |\check{\rho}^{(1)}| & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| |\check{\rho}^{(1)}| \\
& \lesssim \epsilon \int_{|u|}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|\underline{u}|^2} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^4 |\check{\rho}^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \epsilon \int_{|u|}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|\underline{u}|^2} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{|u|^{\frac{s}{2}}} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{|u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{2-s}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term in (4.34), we have

$$\int_V r^2 |\Gamma_a| |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 \lesssim \epsilon \int_{|u|}^u |u|^{-2} d\underline{u} \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{2-s} \int_{|u|}^u |u|^{-2} d\underline{u} \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{2-s}.$$

For the third term in (4.34), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V r^2 |r \nabla \Gamma_g| |\underline{\beta}|^2 & \lesssim \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^2 |\underline{\beta}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^2 |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\underline{\beta}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{|u|^{\frac{s-2}{2}}} \left(\int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|\underline{u}|^4} |r^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{4,S}^2 |r^{\frac{3}{2}} \underline{\beta}|_{4,S}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{R} \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} \frac{1}{|u|^{\frac{s-2}{2}}} \left(\int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|\underline{u}|^4} \frac{\epsilon^2}{|u|^{s-3}} \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{s-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} \frac{1}{|u|^{\frac{s-2}{2}}} \frac{1}{|\underline{u}|^2} \frac{1}{|u|^{s-\frac{5}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{2-s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_V r^2 |(\check{\rho}^{(1)}, \sigma^{(1)}) \cdot h[\check{\rho}_3, \sigma_3]^{(1)}| + r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)} \cdot h[\underline{\beta}_4]^{(1)}| \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{2-s}.$$

Combining with (4.33), we obtain (4.31). This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.9. \square

4.5 Estimates for the Bianchi pair $(\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha})$

Proposition 4.10. *We have the following estimates:*

$$\mathcal{R}_0[\underline{\beta}]^2 + \mathcal{R}_0[\underline{\alpha}]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[\underline{\beta}]^2 + \mathcal{R}_1[\underline{\alpha}]^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2. \quad (4.35)$$

Proof. We recall the following Bianchi equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4 \underline{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \underline{\alpha} &= -\nabla \widehat{\otimes} \underline{\beta} + h[\underline{\alpha}_4], \\ \nabla_3 \underline{\beta} + 2 \operatorname{tr} \chi \underline{\beta} &= -\operatorname{div} \underline{\alpha} + h[\underline{\beta}_3], \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

where

$$h[\underline{\alpha}_4] = \Gamma_a \cdot \underline{\alpha}, \quad h[\underline{\beta}_3] = \Gamma_a \cdot \underline{\beta} + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\alpha}. \quad (4.37)$$

Applying (4.12) with $\psi_{(1)} = \underline{\beta}$, $\psi_{(2)} = \underline{\alpha}$, $a_{(1)} = 2$, $a_{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}$, $h_{(1)} = h[\underline{\beta}_3]$, $h_{(2)} = h[\underline{\alpha}_4]$ and $p = 0$, we obtain from Lemma 4.6

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_u^V} |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 + \int_V r^{-1} |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + \int_V |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\beta}_3]^{(1)}| + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\alpha}_4]^{(1)}| \\ & \lesssim |u|^{-s} \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \int_{|u_0|}^u r^{-3} d\underline{u} \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^2 |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}|^2 + \int_V |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\beta}_3]^{(1)}| + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\alpha}_4]^{(1)}| \\ & \lesssim |u|^{-s} \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + (\mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2) \int_{|u_0|}^u r^{-3} d\underline{u} |u|^{2-s} + \int_V |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\beta}_3]^{(1)}| + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\alpha}_4]^{(1)}| \\ & \lesssim |u|^{-s} (\mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2) + \int_V |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\beta}_3]^{(1)}| + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\alpha}_4]^{(1)}|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Applying (4.37), we infer¹¹

$$\int_V |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\beta}_3]^{(1)}| + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\alpha}_4]^{(1)}| \lesssim \int_V |\Gamma_a| |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 + |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2. \quad (4.39)$$

For the first term in (4.39), we have

$$\int_V |\Gamma_a| |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \lesssim \epsilon \int_{|u|}^u |\underline{u}|^{-2} d\underline{u} \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{-s}.$$

¹¹Recall that the Γ_a before $\underline{\alpha}$ only contains ω .

For the second term in (4.39), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\underline{\alpha}|^2 &\lesssim \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}} |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\underline{\alpha}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R} \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} |\underline{u}|^{-\frac{s}{2}} \left(\int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|\underline{u}|^4} |r^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{4,S}^2 |r^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\alpha}|_{4,S}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} |\underline{u}|^{-2} |u|^{-\frac{s}{2}} \left(\int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|\underline{u}|^{2s-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} |\underline{u}|^{-\frac{s}{2}} |\underline{u}|^{-2} |u|^{\frac{3-2s}{2}} \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{-s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_V |\underline{\beta}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\beta}_3]^{(1)}| + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| |h[\underline{\alpha}_4]^{(1)}| \lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 |u|^{-s}.$$

Combining with (4.38), we obtain (4.35). This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.10. \square

4.6 Estimate for $\nabla_4 \alpha$

Proposition 4.11. *We have the following estimates:*

$$\mathcal{R}_1[\alpha_4]^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2. \quad (4.40)$$

Proof. We recall from (2.32)

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_3 \dot{\alpha} &= -2d_2^* \phi + \frac{4\alpha}{r} + \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \beta, \\
\nabla_4 \phi + \frac{5}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \phi &= d_2 \dot{\alpha} + \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.10) with $\psi_{(1)} = \dot{\alpha}$, $\psi_{(2)} = \phi$, $a_{(1)} = 0$, $a_{(2)} = \frac{5}{2}$, $h_{(1)} = \frac{4\alpha}{r} + \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \beta$, $h_{(2)} = \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \beta$, $k = 2$ and $p = s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{C_u} r^s |\dot{\alpha}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}} r^s |\phi|^2 + \int_V r^{s-1} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\phi|^2) \\
&\lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \int_V r^{s-1} |\dot{\alpha}| |\alpha| + r^s |(\dot{\alpha}, \phi)| |\Gamma_a| |\beta^{(1)}| + r^s |(\dot{\alpha}, \phi)| |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta|.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

First, we have for all $\delta > 0$

$$\int_V r^{s-1} |\dot{\alpha}| |\alpha| \leq \delta \int_V r^{s-1} |\dot{\alpha}|^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta} \int_V r^{s-1} |\alpha|^2.$$

Combining with (4.26), we deduce from (4.41), for δ small enough

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{C_u} r^s |\dot{\alpha}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}} r^s |\phi|^2 + \int_V r^{s-1} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\phi|^2) \\
&\lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 + \int_V r^s |(\dot{\alpha}, \phi)| |\Gamma_a| |\beta^{(1)}| + r^s |(\dot{\alpha}, \phi)| |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta|.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V r^s |(\dot{\alpha}, \phi)| |\Gamma_a| |\beta^{(1)}| &\lesssim \epsilon \int_V r^{s-2} |\dot{\alpha}, \phi| |\beta^{(1)}| \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \int_{-\underline{u}}^u du \frac{1}{|u|^{\frac{s-s}{2}}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\dot{\alpha}, \phi|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^4 |\beta^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \int_{-\underline{u}}^u du \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-s}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V r^s |(\dot{\alpha}, \phi)| |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta| &\lesssim \int_V r^s |\dot{\alpha}| |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta| + \int_V r^s |\phi| |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta| \\
&\lesssim \int_{-\underline{u}}^u du \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\dot{\alpha}, \phi|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\beta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R} \int_{-\underline{u}}^u du \left(\int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} r^s \int_S |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\beta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R} \int_{-\underline{u}}^u du \left(\int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} r^{s-10} |r^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{4,S}^2 |r^{\frac{7}{2}} \beta|_{4,S}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 \int_{-\underline{u}}^u du \left(\int_{|u|}^u r^{s-10} |u|^{8-2s} d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2 \int_{-\underline{u}}^u du |u|^{\frac{s-9}{2}} |u|^{4-s} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$\int_{C_u^V} r^s |\dot{\alpha}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^s |\phi|^2 + \int_V r^{s-1} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\phi|^2) \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2.$$

Recalling that

$$\dot{\alpha} = r^{-4} \nabla_4 (r^5 \alpha) = r \nabla_4 \alpha + 5e_4(r) \alpha,$$

we deduce from Proposition 4.7

$$\int_{C_u^V} r^{s+2} |\nabla_4 \alpha|^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2.$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.11. □

4.7 Estimate for $\nabla_3 \alpha$

Proposition 4.12. *We have the following estimates:*

$$\mathcal{R}_1[\underline{\alpha}_3]^2 \lesssim \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2. \tag{4.42}$$

Proof. We recall from (2.33)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 \dot{\underline{\alpha}} &= -2d_2^* \underline{\phi} + \frac{4\underline{\alpha}}{r} + (\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \cdot \underline{\alpha}^{(1)} + \Gamma_b^{(1)} \cdot \underline{\alpha}, \\ \nabla_3 \underline{\phi} + \frac{5}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{\phi} &= d_2 \dot{\underline{\alpha}} + (\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \cdot \underline{\alpha}^{(1)} + \Gamma_b \cdot \dot{\underline{\alpha}} + \Gamma_b^{(1)} \cdot \underline{\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.12) with $\psi_{(1)} = \underline{\phi}$, $\psi_{(2)} = \dot{\underline{\alpha}}$, $a_{(1)} = \frac{5}{2}$, $a_{(2)} = 0$, $h_{(1)} = \Gamma_b^{(1)} \cdot \underline{\alpha} + \Gamma_b \cdot \dot{\underline{\alpha}} + (\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \cdot \underline{\alpha}^{(1)}$, $h_{(2)} = \frac{4\underline{\alpha}}{r} + \Gamma_b^{(1)} \cdot \underline{\alpha} + (\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \cdot \underline{\alpha}^{(1)}$, $k = 2$ and $p = -2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{C_u^V} r^{-2} |\underline{\phi}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^{-2} |\dot{\underline{\alpha}}|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^{-2} (|\underline{\phi}|^2 + |\dot{\underline{\alpha}}|^2) + \int_V r^{-3} |\underline{\phi}|^2 + r^{-3} |\dot{\underline{\alpha}}| |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| + r^{-2} |\Gamma_b^{(1)}| |\underline{\alpha}| (|\dot{\underline{\alpha}}| + |\underline{\phi}|).\end{aligned}$$

First, we have from Lemma 4.6

$$\int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^{-2} (|\underline{\phi}|^2 + |\dot{\underline{\alpha}}|^2) \lesssim \frac{\mathfrak{R}_0^2}{|u|^{s+2}}.$$

Notice that we have from Proposition 4.10

$$\int_V r^{-3} |\underline{\phi}|^2 \lesssim \int_{|u|}^u r^{-3} d\underline{u} \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} |\underline{\phi}|^2 \lesssim \frac{\mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{s+2}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_V r^{-3} |\dot{\underline{\alpha}}| |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}| & \lesssim \int_{|u|}^u r^{-2} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^{-2} |\dot{\underline{\alpha}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\underline{u} \\ & \lesssim \int_{|u|}^u r^{-2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{s+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\underline{u} \\ & \lesssim \int_{|u|}^u \frac{\mathcal{R} (\mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r^2 |u|^{s+1}} d\underline{u} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{R} (\mathfrak{R}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|u|^{s+2}}.\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_V r^{-2} |\Gamma_b^{(1)}| |\underline{\alpha}| (|\dot{\underline{\alpha}}| + |\underline{\phi}|) & \lesssim \int_{|u|}^u r^{-2} d\underline{u} \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} (|\dot{\underline{\alpha}}| + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|) |\underline{\alpha}| |\Gamma_b^{(1)}| \\ & \lesssim \int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} |\dot{\underline{\alpha}}|^2 + |\underline{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_u^V} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 |\Gamma_b^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{R}}{|u|^{\frac{s}{2}}} \int_{|u|}^u r^{-3} d\underline{u} \left(\int_{|u|}^u du |r^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\alpha}|_{4,S}^2 |r^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_b^{(1)}|_{4,S}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{R}}{|u|^{\frac{s}{2}}} \int_{|u|}^u r^{-3} d\underline{u} \left(\int_{|u|}^u du \frac{\epsilon^2 \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{2s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{\frac{3s+3}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we deduce

$$\int_{C_{\underline{u}}^V} r^{-2} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^{-2} |\underline{\dot{\alpha}}|^2 \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathfrak{A}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{s+2}}.$$

Combining with Proposition 4.10, we infer

$$\int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} |\nabla_3 \underline{\alpha}|^2 \lesssim \int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^{-10} |\nabla_3(r^5 \underline{\alpha})|^2 + r^{-2} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 \lesssim \int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^{-2} |\underline{\dot{\alpha}}|^2 + r^{-2} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathfrak{A}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{|u|^{s+2}}.$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.12. \square

4.8 Estimate for $\bar{\rho}$

Proposition 4.13. *We have the following estimate for $p \in [2, 4]$:*

$$|r^3 \bar{\rho}|_{\infty, S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \mathfrak{A}_0 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}. \quad (4.43)$$

Proof. We recall the Bianchi equation

$$\nabla_3 \bar{\rho} + \frac{3}{2} \text{tr} \chi \bar{\rho} = h[\bar{\rho}_3].$$

Applying Lemma 2.30, we infer for $p \in [2, 4]$

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \bar{\rho}|_{p, S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \mathfrak{A}_0 + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} h[\bar{\rho}_3]|_{p, S} du \\ &\lesssim \mathfrak{A}_0 + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_g \cdot (\underline{\alpha}, \bar{\rho})|_{p, S} du \\ &\lesssim \mathfrak{A}_0 + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{|u|^{\frac{s+1}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}}{r^2 |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \right) du \\ &\lesssim \mathfrak{A}_0 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\rho}$ is constant on $S(u, \underline{u})$, this concludes the proof of Proposition 4.13. \square

4.9 End of the proof of Theorem M1

Proposition 4.14. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\mathcal{R}_0^S + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \lesssim \mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_1 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_1. \quad (4.44)$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\mathcal{R}_0^S \lesssim \mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_1, \quad \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \lesssim \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0 + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_1,$$

which is a direct consequence of Propositions 2.20 and 2.28. \square

Finally, we deduce from Proposition 4.7-4.14 that

$$\mathcal{R}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{A}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathfrak{A}_0^2 + \epsilon \mathcal{R}^2.$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain for ϵ small enough,

$$\mathcal{R} \lesssim \mathfrak{A}_0,$$

this concludes the proof of Theorem M1.

5 Ricci coefficients estimates (Theorem M3)

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem M3, which we recall below for convenience.

Theorem M3. *Assume that*

$$\mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \mathcal{R}_0^S + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \leq \Delta_0, \quad \mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \leq \mathcal{I}_*, \quad \check{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \leq \check{\mathcal{I}}_0, \quad (5.1)$$

then, we have

$$\check{\mathcal{O}} \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (5.2)$$

If we assume in addition that

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \leq \mathcal{I}_0, \quad (5.3)$$

then, we have

$$\mathcal{O} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (5.4)$$

In this section, we always assume $p \in [2, 4]$.

5.1 Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_0(\Omega\omega)$ and $\mathcal{O}_0(\Omega\underline{\omega})$

Proposition 5.1. *We have the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\omega|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2 \\ |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\underline{\omega}|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. By the null structure equations (2.29), we have

$$\mathbf{D}_3(\Omega\omega) = \widehat{F} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega\rho, \quad \mathbf{D}_4(\Omega\underline{\omega}) = \widehat{\underline{F}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega\rho, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F} &:= -\frac{1}{2}\Omega\zeta \cdot (\eta + \underline{\eta}) - \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\underline{\eta} \cdot \eta - 2\zeta^2) = \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g, \\ \widehat{\underline{F}} &:= \frac{1}{2}\Omega\zeta \cdot (\eta + \underline{\eta}) - \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\underline{\eta} \cdot \eta - 2\zeta^2) = \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Lemma 2.30, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\underline{\omega}|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\underline{\omega}|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}_*) + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\widehat{\underline{F}}|_{p,S} + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\rho|_{p,S}, \\ |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\omega|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\omega|_{p,S}(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\widehat{F}|_{p,S} + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\rho|_{p,S}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that we have

$$|r^{-\frac{2}{p}}(\widehat{F}, \widehat{\underline{F}})|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^4|u|^{s-3}}, \quad |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\rho|_{p,S} \lesssim r^{-3}|r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\rho|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\Delta_0}{r^3}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\underline{\omega}|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\underline{\omega}|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}_*) + \epsilon^2 + \Delta_0 \lesssim \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, \\ |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\omega|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega\omega|_{p,S}(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) + \epsilon^2 + \Delta_0 \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

5.2 Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widetilde{\Omega\omega})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widetilde{\Omega\underline{\omega}})$

Proposition 5.2. *We have the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2+\frac{s-3}{6}-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-3}{3}}(r\nabla)^q\widetilde{\Omega\omega}|_{p,S}(u,\underline{u}) &\lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, & q = 0, 1, \\ |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}(r\nabla)^q\widetilde{\Omega\underline{\omega}}|_{p,S}(u,\underline{u}) &\lesssim \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, & q = 0, 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. First, we derive an evolution equation for $\nabla(\Omega\omega)$. Applying (2.29) and Corollary 2.22, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega\nabla_3\nabla(\Omega\omega) &= [\Omega\nabla_3, \nabla](\Omega\omega) + \nabla(\Omega\nabla_3(\Omega\omega)) \\ &= -\Omega\underline{\chi} \cdot \nabla(\Omega\omega) + \nabla(\Omega\nabla_3(\Omega\omega)) \\ &= -\Omega\underline{\chi} \cdot \nabla(\Omega\omega) + \nabla\left(\frac{1}{2}\Omega^2\rho + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \nabla(\Omega\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\Omega^2\nabla\rho + (\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \cdot \nabla\Gamma_g, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\nabla_3\nabla(\Omega\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \nabla(\Omega\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla(\Omega\rho) + r^{-1}(\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}. \quad (5.8)$$

Since we cannot control $\nabla\rho$ in $L^p(S)$, we use a renormalization method. We define ω^\dagger by

$$\nabla_3\omega^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}\Omega\sigma \quad \text{in } \mathcal{K}, \quad \omega^\dagger = 0 \quad \text{on } S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}). \quad (5.9)$$

Applying Corollary 2.22, we have

$$\Omega\nabla_3^*\nabla\omega^\dagger = *\nabla(\Omega\nabla_3\omega^\dagger) + [\Omega\nabla_3, *\nabla]\omega^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}*\nabla(\Omega^2\sigma) - \Omega\underline{\chi} \cdot *\nabla\omega^\dagger,$$

which implies

$$\nabla_3^*\nabla\omega^\dagger + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}(*\nabla\omega^\dagger) = \frac{1}{2}*\nabla(\Omega\sigma) + \Gamma_b \cdot (\sigma, *\nabla\omega^\dagger). \quad (5.10)$$

We recall the following Bianchi equation from Proposition 2.18:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3\beta + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\beta &= \nabla\rho + *\nabla\sigma + 2\underline{\omega}\beta + 2\underline{\chi} \cdot \beta + 3(\eta\rho + *\eta\sigma) \\ &= \nabla\rho + *\nabla\sigma + \Gamma_a \cdot \beta + \Gamma_g \cdot \beta, \end{aligned}$$

which implies¹²

$$\nabla_3(\Omega\beta) + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}(\Omega\beta) = \nabla(\Omega\rho) + *\nabla(\Omega\sigma) + r^{-1}\Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + r^{-1}\Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}. \quad (5.11)$$

We introduce a new quantity:

$$\varkappa := \nabla(\Omega\omega) + *\nabla\omega^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\Omega\beta. \quad (5.12)$$

Thus, we have the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3\varkappa &= \nabla_3\nabla(\Omega\omega) + \nabla_3^*\nabla\omega^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_3(\Omega\beta) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \nabla(\Omega\omega) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}(*\nabla\omega^\dagger) + \frac{1}{2}\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\beta + r^{-1}(\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + \Gamma_b \cdot *\nabla\omega^\dagger \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \varkappa + \frac{1}{4}\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}\beta + r^{-1}(\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + \Gamma_b \cdot *\nabla\omega^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

¹²Notice that $\beta\nabla_3\Omega = -2\underline{\omega}\beta = \Gamma_a \cdot \beta$ and $(\rho, \sigma)\nabla\Omega = \Gamma_a \cdot \nabla\Gamma_g$ decay better than the R.H.S. of (5.11).

Denoting $\langle \omega \rangle := (-\Omega\omega, \omega^\dagger)$, then¹³

$$d_1^*\langle \omega \rangle = \varkappa + \frac{1}{2}\Omega\beta. \quad (5.14)$$

Applying Proposition 2.13 to (5.14), we obtain

$$|r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla(\Omega\omega)|_{p,S} + |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla\omega^\dagger|_{p,S} \lesssim |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\varkappa|_{p,S} + |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\beta|_{p,S}. \quad (5.15)$$

Also, applying Lemma 2.30 to (5.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\varkappa|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \\ & \lesssim |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\varkappa|_{p,S}(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}\beta|_{p,S} + |r^{-\frac{2}{p}}(\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{p,S} + |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_b \cdot {}^*\nabla\omega^\dagger|_{p,S} \\ & \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \Delta_0 + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{\Delta_0}{r^{\frac{7}{2}}|u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^4|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^3|u|^{s-2}} + \frac{\epsilon}{r|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}} |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}({}^*\nabla\omega^\dagger)|_{p,S} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r^{2+\frac{s-3}{6}}|u|^{\frac{s-3}{3}}} + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{\epsilon}{r|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}} |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\varkappa|_{p,S}, \end{aligned}$$

where at the last step we used for all $s > 3$

$$2 + \frac{s-3}{6} \leq \min \left\{ 3, \frac{s+1}{2} \right\}. \quad (5.16)$$

Applying Gronwall inequality, we infer

$$|r^{3+\frac{s-3}{6}-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-3}{3}}\varkappa|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2.$$

Injecting it into (5.15) and recall that

$$|r^{3+\frac{s-3}{6}-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-3}{3}}\beta|_{p,S} \lesssim |r^{\frac{7}{2}-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}}\beta|_{p,S} \lesssim \Delta_0,$$

we infer

$$|r^{3+\frac{s-3}{6}-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-3}{3}}\nabla(\Omega\omega)|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (5.17)$$

Applying Poincaré inequality, we conclude the first estimate of (5.7). The second estimate is similar and left to the reader. This concludes the proof of Proposition 5.2. \square

5.3 Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\check{\Omega})$ and $r|\Omega - \frac{1}{2}|$

Proposition 5.3. *We have the following estimates:*

$$\left| r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}(r\nabla)^q\check{\Omega} \right|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, \quad q = 0, 1, \quad (5.18)$$

$$\sup r \left| \Omega - \frac{1}{2} \right| \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (5.19)$$

¹³Recall that $d_1^*(f, g) = -\nabla f + {}^*\nabla g$.

Proof. We recall that

$$\Omega \nabla_4 \Omega = \Omega^2 \nabla_4 \log \Omega = -2\Omega^2 \omega.$$

Differentiating by $r\nabla$ and applying Proposition 2.24, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \nabla_4 (r\nabla \Omega) &= [\Omega \nabla_4, r\nabla] \Omega - 2\Omega r\nabla(\Omega\omega) - 2r\nabla\Omega(\Omega\omega) \\ &= O(r\nabla(\Omega\omega)) + r\Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.30 and Proposition 5.2, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla \Omega|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla \Omega|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}_*) + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} \left(|r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla(\Omega\omega)|_{p,S} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^3 |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}$ on \underline{C}_* . Combining with Poincaré inequality, we deduce (5.18). On the other hand, we have

$$\Omega \nabla_4 \left(\Omega - \frac{1}{2} \right) = -2\Omega^2 \omega.$$

Recall that Propositions 5.1, 5.2 and 2.29 implies

$$|\Omega\omega|_{\infty,S} \lesssim \frac{\check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r^2}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.30, we obtain

$$\left| \Omega - \frac{1}{2} \right| \lesssim \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} |\Omega\omega|_{\infty,S} \lesssim \frac{\check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r},$$

which implies (5.19). This concludes the proof of Proposition 5.3. \square

5.4 Estimate for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widetilde{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi})$

Proposition 5.4. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\left| r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \widetilde{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} \right|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, \quad q = 0, 1. \quad (5.20)$$

Proof. We recall the following equation from Proposition 2.17:

$$\Omega \nabla_4 (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) = -4\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi (\Omega\omega) - \Omega^2 |\widehat{\chi}|^2. \quad (5.21)$$

We derive an evolution equation for $r\nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi)$. Differentiating (5.21) by $r\nabla$ and applying Proposition 2.24 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Omega \nabla_4 (r\nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi)) + \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi (r\nabla \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) \\ &= [\Omega \nabla_4, r\nabla] (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) - 4r\nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) \Omega\omega - 4\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi r\nabla(\Omega\omega) + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} \\ &= \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + O(\nabla(\Omega\omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Applying Lemma 2.30 and Proposition 5.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi)|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi)|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}_*) + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla(\Omega\omega)|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \Gamma_a|_{p,S} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_*}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} \frac{\Delta_0 + \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \epsilon^2}{r^{1+\frac{s-3}{6}}|u|^{\frac{s-3}{3}}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Poincaré inequality, we obtain (5.20). This concludes the proof of Proposition 5.4. \square

5.5 Estimate for $|r^2(\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} - \frac{1}{r})|$

Proposition 5.5. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\sup \left| r^2 \left(\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right| \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (5.23)$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\underline{u}} \frac{1}{r} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial r}{\partial \underline{u}} = -\frac{1}{2r} \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} = -\frac{1}{2r} \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi + \frac{\widetilde{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi}}{2r}. \quad (5.24)$$

Recalling (5.21) and denoting

$$W := \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} - \frac{1}{r}, \quad (5.25)$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\underline{u}} W + \frac{1}{2} \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi W &= \frac{1}{2} W(\widetilde{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi}) + \frac{1}{2} \overline{(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi)^2} - \overline{4\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi(\Omega\omega) + \Omega^2|\widehat{\chi}|^2} \\
&= -4\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \Omega\omega} + W \cdot \Gamma_g + \Gamma_g \cdot W.
\end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Applying Proposition 5.1 and $W \in \Gamma_a$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
|r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \Omega\omega|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r^2}, \\
|r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}W \cdot \Gamma_g|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) + |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_g \cdot W|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r^3|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.30, we have

$$|r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}W|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r}.$$

Recalling that $\nabla W = 0$, applying Proposition 2.29, we deduce

$$|r^2 W|_{\infty,S} \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2.$$

In view of (5.25), this concludes the proof of Proposition 5.5. \square

5.6 Estimate for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widetilde{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi})$

Proposition 5.6. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\left| r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \widetilde{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} \right|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, \quad q = 0, 1. \quad (5.27)$$

Proof. We recall the following equation from Proposition 2.17:

$$\Omega \nabla_3 (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) = -4 \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} (\Omega \underline{\omega}) - \Omega^2 |\widehat{\chi}|^2. \quad (5.28)$$

As in (5.22), we derive an evolution equation for $r\nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi})$. Differentiating (5.28) by $r\nabla$ and applying Proposition 2.24 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega \nabla_3 (r\nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi})) + \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} (r\nabla \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) \\ &= [\Omega \nabla_3, r\nabla] (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) - 4r\nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) \Omega \underline{\omega} - 4\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} r\nabla(\Omega \underline{\omega}) + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)} \\ &= \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)} + O(\nabla(\Omega \underline{\omega})). \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

Applying Lemma 2.30, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi})|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi})|_{p,S}(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) \\ &\quad + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(|r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla(\Omega \underline{\omega})|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}| + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{p,S} \right) \\ &\lesssim |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla(\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi})|_{p,S}(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla \Omega|_{p,S} \\ &\quad + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \left(|r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla(\Omega \underline{\omega})|_{p,S} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^2 |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{|u|^{s-1}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Propositions 5.2, 5.3 and the fact that $\check{\mathcal{O}} \leq \check{\mathcal{I}}_0$ at the last step. Combining with Poincaré inequality, this concludes the proof of Proposition 5.6. \square

5.7 Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\underline{\eta})$

The following proposition plays an essential role in section 5.

Proposition 5.7. *We have the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \eta|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, \quad q = 0, 1, \\ |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} (r\nabla)^q \underline{\eta}|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, \quad q = 0, 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

Proof. We recall the following null structure equations from Proposition 2.17:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4 \eta &= -\chi \cdot \eta + \chi \cdot \underline{\eta} - \beta, \\ \nabla_3 \underline{\eta} &= -\underline{\chi} \cdot \underline{\eta} + \underline{\chi} \cdot \eta + \underline{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the mass aspect functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &:= -\operatorname{div} \eta + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\chi} - \rho, \\ \underline{\mu} &:= -\operatorname{div} \underline{\eta} + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} - \underline{\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

By a direct computation, we obtain¹⁴

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 \mu + \operatorname{tr} \chi \mu &= G + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \underline{\mu}, \\ \nabla_3 \underline{\mu} + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{\mu} &= \underline{G} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{\mu},\end{aligned}\tag{5.32}$$

where

$$G = \operatorname{tr} \chi \rho + \Gamma_b \cdot \nabla \Gamma_g, \quad \underline{G} = \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \rho + \Gamma_b \cdot \nabla \Gamma_b.$$

We introduce the following modifications of μ and $\underline{\mu}$:

$$[\mu] := \mu + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}, \quad [\underline{\mu}] := \underline{\mu} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}.\tag{5.33}$$

We also define

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{[\mu]} &:= [\mu] - \overline{[\mu]} = -\operatorname{div} \eta + \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} - \overline{\widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}}}) + \frac{1}{4} \widetilde{\operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}} - \check{\rho}, \\ \widetilde{[\underline{\mu}]} &:= [\underline{\mu}] - \overline{[\underline{\mu}]} = -\operatorname{div} \underline{\eta} + \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} - \overline{\widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}}}) + \frac{1}{4} \widetilde{\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}} - \check{\rho},\end{aligned}\tag{5.34}$$

and remark that $\widetilde{[\mu]}, \widetilde{[\underline{\mu}]} \in r^{-1} \Gamma_g^{(1)}$. To simplify the notations, we denote

$$I := \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} - \overline{\widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}}}) + \frac{1}{4} \widetilde{\operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}.$$

By the torsion equation (2.27) and (5.34), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} \eta &= -\widetilde{[\mu]} + I - \check{\rho}, \\ \operatorname{curl} \eta &= \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \wedge \widehat{\chi},\end{aligned}\tag{5.35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} \underline{\eta} &= -\widetilde{[\underline{\mu}]} + I - \check{\rho}, \\ \operatorname{curl} \underline{\eta} &= -\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \wedge \widehat{\underline{\chi}}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.36}$$

According to (5.31) and applying Proposition 2.17, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 [\mu] &= \nabla_4 \left(\mu + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \right) \\ &= \nabla_4 \mu + \frac{1}{4} \Omega^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \chi \nabla_4 (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) + \frac{1}{4} \nabla_4 (\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \chi) \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \\ &= -\operatorname{tr} \chi \mu + G + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \left(\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \widehat{\chi} - \rho - \operatorname{div} \underline{\eta} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \chi \left[-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} - \widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} + 2 \operatorname{div} \underline{\eta} + 2 |\underline{\eta}|^2 + 2 \rho \right] + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \chi)^2 - |\widehat{\chi}|^2 \right] \\ &= -\operatorname{tr} \chi [\mu] + G + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi |\underline{\eta}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \chi |\widehat{\chi}|^2.\end{aligned}$$

¹⁴See Lemma 4.3.1 of [14].

Thus, we obtain

$$\nabla_4[\mu] + \text{tr } \chi[\mu] = \text{tr } \chi \rho + \Gamma_b \cdot \nabla \Gamma_g. \quad (5.37)$$

By Corollary 2.5, we infer

$$\Omega \nabla_4[\mu] = \overline{\overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi[\mu]}} + \overline{\Omega \nabla_4[\mu]} = -\overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi[\mu]} + \overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi \rho} + \Gamma_b \cdot \nabla \Gamma_g.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\nabla_4[\widetilde{\mu}] + \text{tr } \chi[\widetilde{\mu}] = r^{-1}O(\check{\rho}) + \Gamma_b \cdot \nabla \Gamma_g. \quad (5.38)$$

Applying Lemma 2.30, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}[\widetilde{\mu}]|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}[\widetilde{\mu}]|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}_*) + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\check{\rho}|_{p,S} + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_b \cdot \nabla \Gamma_g|_{p,S} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0}{r|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} \frac{\Delta_0}{r^2|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}_*} \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^2|u|^{s-2}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

Applying Proposition 2.13 to (5.35), recalling that

$$I = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr } \widetilde{\chi \text{tr } \underline{\chi}} + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b = r^{-1}O(\text{tr } \widetilde{\chi}) + r^{-1}O(\text{tr } \underline{\chi}) + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b,$$

we have for $q = 0, 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}(r\nabla)^q \eta|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}[\widetilde{\mu}]|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}(\text{tr } \widetilde{\chi}, \text{tr } \underline{\chi})|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \\ &\quad + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) + |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}(\check{\rho}, \sigma)|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\check{\mathcal{I}}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Propositions 5.3, 5.4 and 5.6. This concludes the first estimate of (5.30). The second estimate is similar and left to the reader. This concludes the proof of Proposition 5.7. \square

Remark that Propositions 5.4 and 5.7 implies (5.2), which concludes the first part of Theorem M3. In the sequel, we focus on the second part and hence we assume $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \leq \mathcal{I}_0$.

5.8 Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widehat{\chi})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widehat{\underline{\chi}})$

Proposition 5.8. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}(r\nabla)^q \widehat{\chi}|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, & q = 0, 1, \\ |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}(r\nabla)^q \widehat{\underline{\chi}}|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2, & q = 0, 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

Proof. We recall the following Codazzi equations from Proposition 2.17

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div } \widehat{\chi} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla \text{tr } \chi - \zeta \cdot \left(\widehat{\chi} - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } \chi \right) - \underline{\beta}, \\ \text{div } \widehat{\underline{\chi}} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla \text{tr } \underline{\chi} + \zeta \cdot \left(\widehat{\underline{\chi}} - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } \underline{\chi} \right) + \underline{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Propositions 5.3, 5.4, 5.7 and the fact that $\zeta = \frac{\eta - \underline{\eta}}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\chi}|_{p,S} &\lesssim |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \zeta|_{p,S} + |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \beta|_{p,S} + |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g|_{p,S} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r|u|^{s-3}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and respectively

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\underline{\chi}}|_{p,S} &\lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi|_{p,S} + |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \zeta|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \underline{\beta}|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b|_{p,S} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r|u|^{s-2}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with Proposition 2.13, these conclude the proof of Proposition 5.8. \square

5.9 Estimate for $\mathcal{O}_2(\check{\Omega})$

Proposition 5.9. *We have the following estimate for $q = 0, 1, 2$:*

$$|r^{1+q-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} \nabla^q \check{\Omega}|_{p,S}(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (5.41)$$

Proof. Recall that $\eta + \underline{\eta} = 2\nabla \log \Omega$, which implies

$$\Delta \log \Omega = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(\eta + \underline{\eta}).$$

Applying Propositions 2.13 and 5.7, we obtain

$$|r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} (r\nabla)^q (\log \Omega)|_{p,S} \lesssim |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \operatorname{div}(\eta + \underline{\eta})|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \quad q = 0, 1, 2. \quad (5.42)$$

Combining with (5.18), this concludes the proof of Proposition 5.9. \square

5.10 Estimate for $|r^2 (\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}} + \frac{1}{r})|$

Proposition 5.10. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\sup \left| r^2 \left(\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \right| \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (5.43)$$

Proof. We introduce the following notation:

$$\underline{W} := \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

As in (5.26), we have

$$\Omega \nabla_3 \underline{W} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{W} = -4 \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} (\Omega \underline{\omega})} + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{W} + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b, \quad (5.44)$$

Using Lemma 2.30 and $\underline{W} \in \Gamma_a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \underline{W} \right|_{p,S} (u, \underline{u}) &\lesssim \left| r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \underline{W} \right|_{p,S} (u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) + \int_{u_0(\underline{u})}^u \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r^2} + \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r|u|^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_* + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\nabla \underline{W} = 0$, taking $p = 4$ and applying Proposition 2.29, we conclude the proof of Proposition 5.10. \square

In view of Propositions 5.1-5.10, we obtain (5.4). This concludes the proof of Theorem M3.

6 Initialization and extension (Theorems M0, M2 and M4)

6.1 Preliminaries

6.1.1 Null frame transformations

Lemma 6.1. *Let two null frames (e_3, e_4, e_1, e_2) and (e'_3, e'_4, e'_1, e'_2) associated to double null foliations (u, \underline{u}) and (u', \underline{u}') , and assume that they have the same generator \underline{L} for the incoming direction. Then, a null frame transformation from the null frame (e_3, e_4, e_1, e_2) to (e'_3, e'_4, e'_1, e'_2) can be written in the form:*

$$\begin{aligned} e'_4 &= \lambda \left(e_4 + f_B e_B + \frac{1}{4} |f|^2 e_3 \right), \\ e'_3 &= \lambda^{-1} e_3, \\ e'_A &= e_A + \frac{1}{2} f_A e_3, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{\Omega}{\Omega'} \tag{6.2}$$

is a scalar function and f is a 1-form. Moreover, the inverse transform of (6.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_4 &= \lambda^{-1} e'_4 - f^A e'_A + \frac{\lambda}{4} |f|^2 e'_3, \\ e_3 &= \lambda e'_3, \\ e_A &= e'_A - \frac{\lambda}{2} f_A e'_3. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.1 in [19] with $\underline{f} = 0$, we obtain (6.1). Notice that (6.3) is a direct consequence of (6.1). Recalling

$$e'_3 = 2\Omega' \underline{L}, \quad e_3 = 2\Omega \underline{L},$$

we obtain (6.2) immediately. \square

Proposition 6.2. *Under the null frame transform (6.1), some of the Ricci coefficients transform as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \chi' &= \operatorname{tr} \chi + \operatorname{div}' f + l.o.t., \\
0 &= \operatorname{curl}' f + l.o.t., \\
\lambda \underline{\chi}' &= \underline{\chi}, \\
\eta' &= \eta + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \nabla'_{e'_3} f - \underline{\omega} f + l.o.t., \\
\underline{\eta}' &= \underline{\eta} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \chi f + l.o.t., \\
\lambda^{-2} \xi' &= \xi + \frac{1}{2} \nabla'_{\lambda^{-1} e'_4} f + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \chi f + \omega f + l.o.t., \\
\lambda^{-1} \omega' &= \omega + l.o.t.,
\end{aligned}$$

where the terms denoted by *l.o.t.* have the following schematic structure

$$l.o.t. := r^{-1} O(f^2) + \Gamma_b \cdot f.$$

The curvature components transform as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{-2} \alpha' &= \alpha + O(f) \beta + O(f^2) \rho + O(f^3) (\sigma, \underline{\beta}) + O(f^4) \underline{\alpha}, \\
\lambda^{-1} \beta' &= \beta + O(f) (\rho, \sigma) + O(f^2) \underline{\beta} + O(f^3) \underline{\alpha}, \\
\rho' &= \rho + O(f) \underline{\beta} + O(f^2) \underline{\alpha}, \\
\sigma' &= \sigma + O(f) \underline{\beta} + O(f^2) \underline{\alpha}, \\
\lambda \underline{\beta}' &= \underline{\beta} + O(f) \underline{\alpha}, \\
\lambda^2 \underline{\alpha}' &= \underline{\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove the transform formula of ω since the others are direct consequences of Proposition 3.3 in [19] for $\underline{f} = 0$. Applying (3.13) in [19], we have

$$\lambda^{-1} \omega' = \omega - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-1} e'_4 (\log \lambda) + \operatorname{Err},$$

where

$$\operatorname{Err} = r^{-1} O(f^2) + \Gamma_b \cdot f.$$

Applying (6.2), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{-1} \omega' &= \omega - \frac{1}{2\lambda} e'_4 (\log \Omega - \log \Omega') + \operatorname{Err} \\
&= \omega - \frac{1}{2} \left(e_4 + f^B e_B + \frac{1}{4} |f|^2 e_3 \right) \log \Omega - \frac{1}{2\lambda} (2\omega') \\
&= 2\omega - \lambda^{-1} \omega' + \operatorname{Err},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the transformation formula of ω . □

6.1.2 Deformations of spheres

Lemma 6.3. *Given two double null foliations (u, \underline{u}) and (u', \underline{u}) and we denote their leaves*

$$S := S(u, \underline{u}), \quad S' := S'(u', \underline{u}).$$

Assume that $S \cap S' \neq \emptyset$ and the oscillation of u on S' satisfies

$$\sup_{S'} |u - \bar{u}'| \leq \delta_1, \quad \bar{u}' := \frac{1}{|S'|} \int_{S'} u. \quad (6.4)$$

Let V be a tensor field satisfying

$$\nabla_{\partial_u} V = F, \quad (6.5)$$

where for all S

$$|r^{-\frac{2}{p}} F|_{L^p(S)} \leq \delta, \quad p \in [1, \infty]. \quad (6.6)$$

Then, we have

$$|r^{-\frac{2}{p}} V|_{L^p(S')} \lesssim |r^{-\frac{2}{p}} V|_{L^p(S)} + \delta_1 \delta. \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. The proof is largely analogous to Lemma 4.1.7 in [14].

Notice from (6.5) that

$$|\partial_u |V|^2| = |V \cdot (\nabla_{\partial_u} F)| \leq |V| |F|,$$

which implies

$$|\partial_u |V|| \leq |F|.$$

Taking $p \in S \cap S'$, we have from (6.4) that

$$\sup_{S'} |u - u(p)| \leq \sup_{S'} |u - \bar{u}'| + |u(p) - \bar{u}'| \leq 2\delta_1. \quad (6.8)$$

In a sphere coordinates $\phi = (\phi^1, \phi^2)$, we have

$$|V|(u', \underline{u}, \phi) - |V|(u, \underline{u}, \phi) = \int_0^1 \partial_u (|V|)(u + t(u' - u), \underline{u}, \phi) (u' - u) dt \quad (6.9)$$

Hence, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{-\frac{2}{p}} V|_{L^p(S')}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} r^{-2} |V|^p(u', \underline{u}, \phi) \sqrt{\det(g)}|_{S'} d\phi^1 d\phi^2 \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |V|^p(u', \underline{u}, \phi) d\phi^1 d\phi^2 \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |V|^p(u, \underline{u}, \phi) d\phi^1 d\phi^2 + \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\int_0^1 |F|(u + t(u' - u), \underline{u}, \phi) |u' - u| dt \right)^p d\phi^1 d\phi^2 \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |r^{-\frac{2}{p}} V|^p \sqrt{\det(g)}|_S d\phi^1 d\phi^2 + \delta_1^p \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\int_0^1 |F|(u + t(u' - u), \underline{u}, \phi) dt \right)^p d\phi^1 d\phi^2 \\ &\lesssim |r^{-\frac{2}{p}} V|_{L^p(S)}^p + \delta_1^p |F(u + t(u' - u), \underline{u}, \phi)|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^2) L_t^1(0,1)}^p \\ &\lesssim |r^{-\frac{2}{p}} V|_{L^p(S)}^p + \delta_1^p |r^{-\frac{2}{p}} F|_{L_t^1(0,1) L^p(S(u+t(u'-u), \underline{u}))}^p \\ &\lesssim |r^{-\frac{2}{p}} V|_{L^p(S)}^p + \delta_1^p \delta^p, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (6.6), (6.8), (6.9) and General Minkowski inequality. This concludes the proof of Lemma 6.3. \square

Remark 6.4. In the remainder of this paper, for any quantity X , we denote the quantity $X_{(0)}$ associated to the initial data layer region $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ by

$$X' := X_{(0)},$$

to simplify the notations. For example:

$$u' := u_{(0)}, \quad \eta' := \eta_{(0)}, \quad \mathcal{O}' := \mathcal{O}_{(0)}, \quad \mathfrak{R}' := \mathfrak{R}_{(0)}, \quad S' := S_{(0)}, \quad \mathcal{K}' := \mathcal{K}_{(0)}.$$

6.2 The initial hypersurface (Theorem M0)

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem M0 which we recall below for convenience.

Theorem M0. *Under the assumptions*

$$\mathcal{O}' \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathfrak{R}' \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{Osc} \leq \epsilon, \quad (6.10)$$

we have

$$\mathfrak{R}_0 \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \check{\mathcal{Q}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.11)$$

If in addition we assume that

$$\check{\mathcal{O}} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad (6.12)$$

then, we have

$$\text{Osc} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \underline{\mathcal{Q}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.13)$$

Recall that we denoted by (f, λ) the frame transformation from (u, \underline{u}) to (u', \underline{u}) , and let (f', λ') its inverse transformation. Notice from (6.1) and (6.3) that we have

$$\lambda' = \lambda^{-1}, \quad f' = -\lambda f. \quad (6.14)$$

Remark 6.5. *In \mathcal{K}' , we have $|u| \simeq r$. Hence, Γ_b has the same decay as Γ_g in \mathcal{K}' . The assumption $\text{Osc} \leq \epsilon$ implies that $r \simeq r'$ and $f \in r\Gamma_g$.*

We first prove (6.11) under the assumptions in (6.10).

Lemma 6.6. *Under the assumptions*

$$\mathcal{O}' \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathfrak{R}' \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{Osc} \leq \epsilon,$$

we have

$$\mathfrak{R}_0 \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\mathfrak{R}_0^2 = \int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} \sum_{l=0}^1 r^s (|\mathfrak{d}^l \alpha|^2 + |\mathfrak{d}^l \beta|^2 + |\mathfrak{d}^l(\check{\rho}, \sigma)|^2 + |\mathfrak{d}^l \underline{\beta}|^2 + |\mathfrak{d}^l \underline{\alpha}|^2) + \sup_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} |r^3 \check{\rho}|^2,$$

Applying Proposition 6.2 with (6.14), we infer¹⁵

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} \alpha|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} (\lambda^{-2} \alpha')|^2 + r^s \left| \mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} (\lambda^{-1} f \cdot \beta') \right|^2 + r^s \left| \mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} (f^2 \cdot \rho') \right|^2 + l.o.t. \\ &\lesssim \mathfrak{R}'^2 + \int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} (f^2) \rho'|^2 + r^s |f^2 \mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} \rho'|^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathfrak{R}'^2 + \int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} r^s \left| \frac{\epsilon^3}{r^{s+2}} \right|^2 \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

¹⁵Recall that $\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} f = O(\epsilon) r^{-\frac{s-1}{2}}$ and $\rho' = O(\epsilon) r^{-3}$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} \beta|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} (\lambda^{-1} \beta')|^2 + r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} f \cdot (\rho', \sigma')|^2 \\
&\lesssim \mathfrak{R}'^2 + \int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} r^s \left| \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^{\frac{s+5}{2}}} \right|^2 \\
&\lesssim \epsilon_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same method, we obtain:

$$\int_{\Sigma_0 \setminus K} r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} \check{\rho}|^2 + r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} \sigma|^2 + r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} \underline{\beta}|^2 + r^s |\mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} \underline{\alpha}|^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2.$$

Finally, we estimate

$$|r^3 \bar{\rho}| \lesssim |r^3 \rho|_\infty \lesssim |r^3 \rho'|_\infty + |r^4 \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta}'|_\infty \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 6.6. □

Lemma 6.7. *Under the assumptions*

$$\mathcal{O}' \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{Osc} \leq \epsilon,$$

we have

$$\check{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

Proof. We recall from Proposition 6.2 that¹⁶

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega'^{-1} \text{tr} \underline{\chi}' &= \Omega^{-1} \text{tr} \underline{\chi} + r \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g, \\
\Omega' \omega' &= \Omega \omega + r \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Omega \omega|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} &\lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Omega' \omega'|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} + |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} \\
&\lesssim |r^2 \Omega' \omega'|_{\infty, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^{s-2}} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice from (6.1) and Proposition 2.17 that

$$\begin{aligned}
re_A(\Omega \omega) &= re'_A(\Omega' \omega') - \frac{\lambda}{2} r f_A e'_3(\Omega' \omega') + r \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} \\
&= re'_A(\Omega' \omega') + O(r \rho') f + r \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
|r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla(\Omega \omega)|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} &\lesssim |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla' \Omega' \omega'|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \Gamma_g|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} \\
&\lesssim |r \nabla' \Omega' \omega'|_{\infty, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

¹⁶Recall that $\Gamma_b = \Gamma_g$ in $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ and $f \in r \Gamma_g$.

Similarly, we have

$$|r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla(\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi})|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}}.$$

Applying Poincaré inequality and recalling the definition of $\check{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)$, we obtain

$$\check{\mathcal{O}}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) = \sum_{q=0}^1 \left(\mathcal{O}_q(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\widetilde{\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}) + \mathcal{O}_q(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\widetilde{\Omega \omega}) \right) + \mathcal{O}_0(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)(\Omega \omega) \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 6.7. \square

Remark that Lemmas 6.6 and 6.7 imply (6.11), which concludes the first part of Theorem M0. We now focus on the second part of Theorem M0 under the additional assumption (6.12).

Lemma 6.8 (Oscillation lemma). *Under the assumptions:*

$$\mathcal{O}_{(0)} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{O} \leq \epsilon, \quad \operatorname{Osc} \leq \epsilon, \quad \check{\mathcal{O}} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad (6.15)$$

we have

$$\operatorname{Osc} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.16)$$

Proof. Step 1. Estimate for $\operatorname{Osc}(f)$.

We have from Proposition 6.2 and Remark 6.5

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \chi' &= \operatorname{tr} \chi + \operatorname{div}' f + f \cdot (\Gamma_g, r^{-1} f), \\ 0 &= \operatorname{curl}' f + f \cdot (\Gamma_g, r^{-1} f), \end{aligned}$$

which implies from (6.2) and the fact that $f \in r\Gamma_g$ ¹⁷

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi' &= \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi + \Omega \operatorname{div} f + f \cdot \Gamma_g, \\ 0 &= \operatorname{curl} f + f \cdot \Gamma_g. \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

Differentiating it by e_A and applying (6.1), we obtain

$$e'_A(\Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi') - \frac{\lambda}{2} f_A e'_3(\Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi') = e_A(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) + \Omega e_A(\operatorname{div} f) + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}.$$

Recall that we have from Proposition 2.17

$$\begin{aligned} e'_3(\Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi') &= -\frac{1}{2} \Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi' \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}' + r^{-1} \Gamma_a + r^{-1} \Gamma_g^{(1)} + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} + r^{-1} \Gamma_a + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\Omega e_A(\operatorname{div} f) + \frac{1}{2r^2} f_A = e'_A(\Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi') - e_A(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) + \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)},$$

which implies

$$e_A(\operatorname{div} f) + \frac{1}{r^2} f_A = \Omega^{-1} (e'_A(\Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi') - e_A(\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi)) + \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}.$$

¹⁷We have from (6.1) and Proposition 6.2 that $\nabla' f - \nabla f = O(f) \cdot \nabla_3 f = f \cdot \Gamma_g$.

We also have from (6.17)

$$e_A(\operatorname{curl} f) = \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}.$$

Hence, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \left(d_1^* d_1 - \frac{1}{r^2}\right) f &= -\nabla \operatorname{div} f + {}^* \nabla \operatorname{curl} f - \frac{1}{r^2} f \\ &= -\Omega^{-1} \nabla' (\Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi') + \Omega^{-1} \nabla (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) + \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

Recall from (2.23) and Corollary 2.20 that

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^* d_1 - \frac{1}{r^2} &= -\Delta_1 + \mathbf{K} - \frac{1}{r^2} \\ &= -\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b - \rho - \frac{1}{r^2} \\ &= -\Delta_1 + r^{-1} \Gamma_a + r^{-1} \Gamma_g^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Injecting it into (6.18), we deduce

$$-\Delta_1 f = -\Omega^{-1} \nabla' (\Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi') + \Omega^{-1} \nabla (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi) + \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}.$$

We have from Proposition 2.13

$$|r^{\frac{s-1}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} (r \nabla)^{\leq 2} f|_{p,S} \lesssim |r^{\frac{s+3}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} (\nabla' \Omega' \operatorname{tr} \chi', \nabla \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi)|_{p,S} + |r^{\frac{s+3}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{p,S} \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

Applying Proposition 2.29, we deduce

$$|r^{\frac{s-1}{2}} (r \nabla)^{\leq 1} f|_{\infty,S} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.19)$$

We have from Proposition 6.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |\nabla_3 f| &\lesssim \sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |\eta' - \eta| + \sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |f \cdot \Gamma_a| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^{\frac{s+3}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}}, \\ \sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |\nabla_4 f| &\lesssim \sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |r^{-1} f| + \sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |f \cdot \Gamma_a| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^{\frac{s+3}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

Combining (6.19) and (6.20), we deduce

$$\sup_{\mathcal{K}'} (|f| + |\mathfrak{d}f|) \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s-1}{2}}},$$

which implies

$$\operatorname{Osc}(f) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.21)$$

Step 2. Estimate for $\operatorname{Osc}(\lambda)$.

We recall from (6.2) that

$$|r \overset{\circ}{\lambda}| = |r(\lambda - 1)| \lesssim r |\Omega' - \Omega| \lesssim r \left| \Omega' - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \Omega \right| \lesssim \epsilon_0,$$

where we used (6.15) in the last step. Hence, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Osc}(\lambda) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.22)$$

Step 3. Estimate for $\text{Osc}(r)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r'} &= \Omega' \text{tr } \chi' - \frac{1}{r'} - \Omega \text{tr } \chi + \frac{1}{r} + (\Omega \text{tr } \chi - \Omega' \text{tr } \chi') \\ &= \widetilde{\Omega' \text{tr } \chi'} - \widetilde{\Omega \text{tr } \chi} + \overline{\Omega' \text{tr } \chi'} - \frac{1}{r'} + \overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi} - \frac{1}{r} + (\Omega \text{tr } \chi - \Omega' \text{tr } \chi'). \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

Applying (6.17) and (6.19), we deduce

$$|\Omega \text{tr } \chi - \Omega' \text{tr } \chi'| \lesssim |\text{div } f| + |r\Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}}. \quad (6.24)$$

Moreover, we have from (6.15) that

$$r^{\frac{s+1}{2}} \left(|\widetilde{\Omega' \text{tr } \chi'}| + |\widetilde{\Omega \text{tr } \chi}| \right) + r^2 \left(\left| \overline{\Omega' \text{tr } \chi'} - \frac{1}{r'} \right| + \left| \overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi} - \frac{1}{r} \right| \right) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.25)$$

Hence, we obtain from (6.23), (6.24) and (6.25) that

$$\left| \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r'} \right| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^2},$$

which implies

$$\text{Osc}(r) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.26)$$

Combining (6.21), (6.22) and (6.26), we infer

$$\text{Osc} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.27)$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 6.8. \square

Proof of Theorem M0. We have from Lemma 6.8 that

$$\text{Osc} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.28)$$

We recall from Proposition 6.2 that

$$\underline{\eta}' = \underline{\eta} + \frac{1}{4} \text{tr } \underline{\chi} f + r\Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g.$$

Applying (6.1), we have

$$\nabla' \underline{\eta}' = \nabla \underline{\eta} + r^{-1} O(\nabla f) + r\Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}.$$

Thus, we obtain from (6.10) and (6.28) that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |\underline{\eta}| \lesssim \sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |\underline{\eta}'| + \sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |r^{-1} f| + \sup_{\mathcal{K}'} |r\Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}}, \quad (6.29)$$

and for $p \in [2, 4]$

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla \underline{\eta}|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} &\lesssim |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla' \underline{\eta}'|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} + |r^{-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla f|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{p, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} \\ &\lesssim |r \nabla' \underline{\eta}'|_{\infty, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} + |\nabla f|_{\infty, S(u_0(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^{s-1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{s+1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.30)$$

Finally, we recall from Proposition 6.2 that

$$\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} = \frac{\Omega^2}{\Omega'} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}'.$$

Hence, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{1}{r} &= \frac{\Omega^2}{\Omega'} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}' + \frac{1}{r} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\Omega^2}{\Omega'^2}\right) \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}' + \Omega' \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}' + \frac{1}{r'} + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r'}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have from (6.10) and (6.28)

$$r^2 \left| \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{1}{r} \right| \lesssim r^2 \left| \Omega' \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}' + \frac{1}{r'} \right| + r |\overset{\circ}{\lambda}| + |r' - r| \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.31)$$

Combining (6.29), (6.30), (6.31) and Lemma 6.7 and recalling the definition of $\mathcal{Q}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K)$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}(\Sigma_0 \setminus K) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.32)$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem M0. \square

6.3 The last slice (Theorem M2)

We now assume that the last slice \underline{C}_* is endowed with a geodesic foliation. Notice that $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\underline{\omega} = 0$ on \underline{C}_* . We write the null structure equations along the last slice in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3 \zeta + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \zeta + 2\widehat{\chi} \cdot \zeta &= -\underline{\beta}, \\ \nabla_3 \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi})^2 + |\widehat{\chi}|^2 &= 0, \\ \nabla_3 \widehat{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \widehat{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \widehat{\chi} - \nabla \widehat{\otimes} \zeta - \zeta \widehat{\otimes} \zeta &= 0, \\ \nabla_3 \operatorname{tr} \chi + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \operatorname{tr} \chi + \widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\chi} - 2 \operatorname{div} \zeta - 2|\zeta|^2 &= 2\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

We also have the Codazzi equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\chi} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi - \zeta \left(\widehat{\chi} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \right) - \underline{\beta}, \\ \operatorname{div} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \zeta \left(\widehat{\underline{\chi}} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \right) + \underline{\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

For convenience, we recall the statement of Theorem M2.

Theorem M2. *Let \underline{C}_* endowed with a geodesic foliation. We assume that*

$$\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \leq \epsilon, \quad \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \leq \Delta_0, \quad \mathcal{O}' \leq \mathcal{I}_0. \quad (6.35)$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \lesssim \Delta_0 + \mathcal{I}_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (6.36)$$

In the remainder of this section, we always assume $p \in [2, 4]$ and we denote

$$S := S(u, \underline{u}_*), \quad S_* := \underline{C}_* \cap \Sigma_0. \quad (6.37)$$

Proof of Theorem M2. Notice that the assumption $\mathcal{O}' \leq \mathcal{I}_0$ controls the initial data on the last sphere $S_* := \underline{C}_* \cap \Sigma_0$. The idea of the proof is to transport the estimates in the direction of ∇_3 along \underline{C}_* using (6.33). The proof is similar to section 5, and in fact easier since $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}$ on \underline{C}_* . We only provide a sketch.

Step 1. Estimate for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1,2}^*(\widetilde{\text{tr}} \underline{\chi})$.

The estimates for $\mathcal{O}_0^*(\text{tr} \underline{\chi})$ and $\mathcal{O}_1^*(\text{tr} \underline{\chi})$ follow directly from the results of section 5.6 in the particular case $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\underline{\omega} = 0$.

We then estimate $\nabla^2 \text{tr} \underline{\chi}$. According to the assumption $\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \leq \epsilon$, we have on \underline{C}_* :

$$r \nabla \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \in \Gamma_g, \quad (r \nabla)^2 \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \in \Gamma_g^{(1)}. \quad (6.38)$$

We recall from (6.33) that

$$\nabla_3 \text{tr} \underline{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr} \underline{\chi})^2 = -|\widehat{\underline{\chi}}|^2.$$

Differentiating it by $r^2 \Delta$ and applying Proposition 2.24, we deduce

$$\nabla_3 (r^2 \Delta \text{tr} \underline{\chi}) + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} (r^2 \Delta \text{tr} \underline{\chi}) = -r^2 \Delta |\widehat{\underline{\chi}}|^2 + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}. \quad (6.39)$$

We recall from (6.34) that

$$\text{div} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \text{tr} \underline{\chi} - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \zeta + \underline{\beta} + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b = \underline{\beta} + r^{-1} \Gamma_g. \quad (6.40)$$

Applying Proposition 2.13, we obtain¹⁸

$$\nabla \widehat{\underline{\chi}} = \underline{\beta}^{(0)} + r^{-1} \Gamma_g.$$

Hence, we have

$$|\nabla \widehat{\underline{\chi}}|^2 = \underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot \underline{\beta}^{(0)} + r^{-2} \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}.$$

Moreover, we have from (2.23) and (6.40)

$$2 \Delta_2 \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} = 4((\mathbf{K} - d_2^* d_2) \widehat{\underline{\chi}}) \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} = -4 d_2^* d_2 \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} + r^{-2} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)} = -4(d_2^* \underline{\beta}) \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} + r^{-2} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}.$$

We then compute

$$\Delta |\widehat{\underline{\chi}}|^2 = 2 \nabla^C \left((\nabla_C \widehat{\underline{\chi}}_{AB}) \widehat{\underline{\chi}}^{AB} \right) = 2(\Delta_2 \widehat{\underline{\chi}}) \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} + 2 |\nabla \widehat{\underline{\chi}}|^2 = -4(d_2^* \underline{\beta}) \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} + \underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot \underline{\beta}^{(0)} + r^{-2} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}.$$

Injecting it into (6.39), we deduce

$$\nabla_3 (r^2 \Delta \text{tr} \underline{\chi}) + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} (r^2 \Delta \text{tr} \underline{\chi}) = 4r^2 (d_2^* \underline{\beta}) \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} + r^2 \underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot \underline{\beta}^{(0)} + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}. \quad (6.41)$$

Applying Proposition 2.13, we have

$$|d_2^* \underline{\beta}|_{p,S} \lesssim |d_1 \underline{\beta}|_{p,S},$$

¹⁸Here, $\underline{\beta}^{(0)}$ denotes a quantity which has same or even better decay and regularity than $\underline{\beta}$.

which implies that there exists a bounded elliptic operator $A : L^p(S) \rightarrow L^p(S)$ of order 0 such that

$$d_2^* \underline{\beta} = A(d_1 \underline{\beta}).$$

We have from Proposition 2.23

$$\begin{aligned} [\Omega \nabla_3, A] &= [\Omega \nabla_3, rd_2^* \circ (rd_1)^{-1}] = [\Omega \nabla_3, rd_2^*] \circ (rd_1)^{-1} + rd_2^* \circ [\Omega \nabla_3, (rd_1)^{-1}] \\ &= \Gamma_b \cdot rd_2^* \circ (rd_1)^{-1} + r \underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot (rd_1)^{-1} - rd_2^* \circ (rd_1)^{-1} \circ [\Omega \nabla_3, rd_1] \circ (rd_1)^{-1} \\ &= \Gamma_b \cdot A + r \underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot (rd_1)^{-1} - A \circ (\Gamma_b \cdot rd_1 + r \underline{\beta}^{(0)}) \circ (rd_1)^{-1} \\ &= \Gamma_b + r \underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot (rd_1)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.42)$$

We recall from Corollary 2.20 and Lemma 2.5 that

$$\nabla_3(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma}) + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma}) = -d_1 \underline{\beta} + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\alpha}.$$

Combining with (6.42), we deduce

$$\nabla_3(r^2 A(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})) = r(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})^{(0)} - A(r^2 d_1 \underline{\beta}) + r^3 \underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot (\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})^{(0)} + r^2 \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\alpha}.$$

Hence, we have

$$4\widehat{\chi} \cdot (r^2 d_2^* \underline{\beta}) = -4\nabla_3(r^2 A(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})\widehat{\chi}) + r^2 \underline{\alpha}^{(0)} \cdot (\check{\rho}^{(0)}, \check{\sigma}^{(0)}) + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}.$$

Injecting it into (6.41), we infer¹⁹

$$\nabla_3(r^2 \Delta \operatorname{tr} \chi + 4r^2 A(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})\widehat{\chi}) + \operatorname{tr} \chi(r^2 \Delta \operatorname{tr} \chi + 4r^2 A(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})\widehat{\chi}) = r^2 \underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot \underline{\beta}^{(0)} + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}. \quad (6.43)$$

Applying Lemma 2.30, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| r^{4-\frac{2}{p}} (\Delta \operatorname{tr} \chi + 4A(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})\widehat{\chi}) \right|_{p,S} \\ & \lesssim \left| r^{4-\frac{2}{p}} (\Delta \operatorname{tr} \chi + 4A(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})\widehat{\chi}) \right|_{p,S_*} + \int_{u_0(\underline{u}_*)}^u |r^{4-\frac{2}{p}} \underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot \underline{\beta}^{(0)}|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}|_{p,S} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \int_{u_0(\underline{u}_*)}^u |r^{2-\frac{2}{8}} \underline{\beta}^{(0)}|_{8,S}^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{|u|^{s-1}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \int_{u_0(\underline{u}_*)}^u |r^{2-\frac{2}{2}} \underline{\beta}^{(1)}|_{2,S}^2 \\ & \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Sobolev inequality

$$|r^{-\frac{2}{8}} \underline{\beta}^{(0)}|_{8,S} \lesssim |r^{-\frac{2}{2}} \underline{\beta}^{(1)}|_{2,S}.$$

Hence, we obtain from Proposition 2.13

$$\left| r^{4-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla^2 \operatorname{tr} \chi \right|_{p,S} \lesssim \left| r^{4-\frac{2}{p}} \Delta \operatorname{tr} \chi \right|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \left| r^{4-\frac{2}{p}} A(\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})\widehat{\chi} \right|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}. \quad (6.44)$$

¹⁹Note that $\underline{\alpha}^{(0)} \cdot (\check{\rho}, \check{\sigma})^{(0)}$ has the same decay as $\underline{\beta}^{(0)} \cdot \underline{\beta}^{(0)}$.

Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}_0^*(\widetilde{\text{tr}} \underline{\chi}) + \mathcal{O}_1^*(\widetilde{\text{tr}} \underline{\chi}) + \mathcal{O}_2^*(\widetilde{\text{tr}} \underline{\chi}) \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (6.45)$$

Step 2. Estimate for $[\underline{\mu}]$.

We introduce the mass aspect function $\underline{\mu}$ as in (5.31):

$$\underline{\mu} := \text{div} \zeta + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot \widehat{\underline{\chi}} - \rho. \quad (6.46)$$

We recall from Lemma 4.3.1 in [14] that

$$\nabla_3 \underline{\mu} + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{\mu} = \underline{G} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{\mu},$$

where

$$\underline{G} = \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \rho - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot (\nabla \widehat{\otimes} \zeta) - \zeta \cdot \underline{\beta} + \Gamma_g \cdot \nabla \text{tr} \underline{\chi} + r^{-1} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b.$$

Applying (6.38), we have

$$\underline{G} = \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \rho - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot (\nabla \widehat{\otimes} \zeta) - \zeta \cdot \underline{\beta} + r^{-1} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b.$$

As in (5.33), we define

$$[\underline{\mu}] := \underline{\mu} + \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \text{tr} \underline{\chi}, \quad (6.47)$$

and deduce the following analog of (5.37):

$$\nabla_3 [\underline{\mu}] + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} [\underline{\mu}] = \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \rho - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot (\nabla \widehat{\otimes} \zeta) - \zeta \cdot \underline{\beta} + r^{-1} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b. \quad (6.48)$$

Differentiating (6.48) by $r\nabla$ and applying Corollary 2.22, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3 (r\nabla [\underline{\mu}]) + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} (r\nabla [\underline{\mu}]) &= \text{tr} \underline{\chi} (r\nabla \rho) - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot (r\nabla \nabla \widehat{\otimes} \zeta) - \zeta \cdot (r\nabla \underline{\beta}) \\ &\quad + r^{-1} \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + r^{-1} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.49)$$

Recall from (6.33) and Corollary 2.20 that, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3 \widehat{\underline{\chi}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} &= \nabla \widehat{\otimes} \zeta + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g, \\ \nabla_3 (\check{\rho}, \sigma) + \frac{3}{2} \text{tr} \underline{\chi} (\check{\rho}, \sigma) &= -d_1 \underline{\beta} + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply a renormalization method similar to the one in (6.43), and leave the details to the reader. We obtain

$$\nabla_3 \Xi + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \Xi = O(\nabla \rho) + r^{-1} \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + r^{-1} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}, \quad (6.50)$$

where

$$\Xi := r\nabla [\underline{\mu}] + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\underline{\chi}} \cdot (r\nabla \widehat{\underline{\chi}}) + r\zeta \cdot \check{\rho}. \quad (6.51)$$

Next, we use a renormalization method similar to the one in section 5.2. We define $\underline{\mu}^\dagger$ by:

$$\nabla_3 \underline{\mu}^\dagger + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \underline{\mu}^\dagger = \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \sigma \quad \text{on } \underline{C}_*, \quad \underline{\mu}^\dagger = 0 \quad \text{on } S_*. \quad (6.52)$$

We assume that

$$\underline{\mu}^\dagger \in r^{-1} \Gamma_g, \quad (6.53)$$

which will be improved in (6.58) and (6.59). Differentiating (6.52) by $r^* \nabla$ and applying Corollary 2.22, we infer

$$\nabla_3 (r^* \nabla \underline{\mu}^\dagger) + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} (r^* \nabla \underline{\mu}^\dagger) = r \text{tr} \underline{\chi}^* \nabla \sigma + r^{-1} \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}. \quad (6.54)$$

Recall from Corollary 2.20 that

$$\nabla_3 \beta + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta = \nabla \check{\rho} + {}^* \nabla \sigma + \Gamma_a \cdot \beta + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta},$$

which implies

$$\nabla_3 (r \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta) + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} (r \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta) = \nabla_3 (r \text{tr} \underline{\chi}) \beta + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} (r \nabla \check{\rho} + r^* \nabla \sigma) + r^{-1} \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + r^{-1} \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}. \quad (6.55)$$

Combining (6.50), (6.54) and (6.55), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_3 (\Xi + r^* \nabla \underline{\mu}^\dagger - r \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta) + \text{tr} \underline{\chi} (\Xi + r^* \nabla \underline{\mu}^\dagger - r \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta) \\ &= r^{-1} O(\beta) + r^{-1} \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + r^{-1} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.56)$$

Applying Lemma 2.30, we deduce

$$|r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} (\Xi + r^* \nabla \underline{\mu}^\dagger - r \text{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta)|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}. \quad (6.57)$$

Recall from (6.51) that

$$\Xi - r \nabla [\widetilde{\mu}] = \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)},$$

we obtain

$$|r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} (r \nabla [\widetilde{\mu}] + r^* \nabla \underline{\mu}^\dagger)|_{p,S} \lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \beta|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{p,S} + \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}.$$

Then, we have from Proposition 2.13 that

$$\left| r^{4-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla \left([\underline{\mu}], \underline{\mu}^\dagger \right) \right|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}. \quad (6.58)$$

Finally, applying Lemma 2.30 to (6.52), we obtain

$$|r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \underline{\mu}^\dagger|_{p,S} \lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \underline{\mu}^\dagger|_{p,S_*} + \int_{u_0(\underline{u}_*)}^u |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \sigma|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0}{r|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}. \quad (6.59)$$

Remark that (6.58) and (6.59) improves (6.53).

Step 3. Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widetilde{\text{tr}} \chi)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\zeta)$.

We recall from (6.33) that

$$\nabla_3 \operatorname{tr} \chi + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \operatorname{tr} \chi - 2 \operatorname{div} \zeta = 2\rho + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g.$$

Applying (6.46) and (6.47), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3 \operatorname{tr} \chi + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \operatorname{tr} \chi &= 2 \operatorname{div} \zeta + 2\rho + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g \\ &= 2\underline{\mu} + 4\rho + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g \\ &= 2[\underline{\mu}] + 4\rho + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating it by $r\nabla$, we deduce

$$\nabla_3(r\nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi) + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}(r\nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi) = -\operatorname{tr} \chi(r\nabla \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) + 2r\nabla[\underline{\mu}] + 4r\nabla\rho + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}.$$

We use a renormalization argument as in Step 2. For this, we define χ^\dagger by:

$$\nabla_3 \chi^\dagger + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \chi^\dagger = 4\sigma, \quad \text{on } \underline{C}_*, \quad \chi^\dagger = 0, \quad \text{on } S_*. \quad (6.60)$$

We add the bootstrap assumption

$$\chi^\dagger \in \Gamma_g, \quad (6.61)$$

which will be improved by (6.62) and (6.63). Differentiating it by $r^*\nabla$ and applying Corollary 2.22, we infer

$$\nabla_3(r^*\nabla \chi^\dagger) = 4r^*\nabla\sigma + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}.$$

We recall from Corollary 2.20 that

$$\nabla_3 \beta + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \beta = \nabla \check{\rho} + {}^*\nabla\sigma + \Gamma_a \cdot \beta + \Gamma_g \cdot \underline{\beta},$$

which implies

$$\nabla_3(r\beta) + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}(r\beta) = -e_3(r)\beta + r\nabla\check{\rho} + r^*\nabla\sigma + \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b^{(1)}.$$

Hence, we obtain the following analog of (6.56):

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_3(r\nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi + r^*\nabla \chi^\dagger - 4r\beta) + \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}(r\nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi + r^*\nabla \chi^\dagger - 4r\beta) \\ &= -e_3(r)\beta - \operatorname{tr} \chi(r\nabla \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) + 2r\nabla[\underline{\mu}] + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)} + \Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.30, (6.45) and (6.58), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}(r\nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi + r^*\nabla \chi^\dagger - 4r\beta)|_{p,S} &\lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}(r\nabla \operatorname{tr} \chi + r^*\nabla \chi^\dagger - 4r\beta)|_{p,S_*} \\ &\quad + \int_{u_0(\underline{u}_*)}^u |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\beta|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}|_{p,S} + |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla[\underline{\mu}]|_{p,S} \\ &\quad + \int_{u_0(\underline{u}_*)}^u |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_a \cdot \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{p,S} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \int_{u_0(\underline{u}_*)}^u \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r|u|^{s-2}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^2|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain from Proposition 2.13 that

$$|r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla(\operatorname{tr}\chi, \chi^\dagger)|_{p,S} \lesssim |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\beta|_{p,S} + \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}. \quad (6.62)$$

Applying Lemma 2.30 to (6.60), we easily obtain

$$|r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\chi^\dagger|_{p,S} \lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\chi^\dagger|_{p,S_*} + \int_{u_0(\underline{u}_*)}^u |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\sigma|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \quad (6.63)$$

which improved (6.61). By Poincaré inequality, we infer

$$\mathcal{O}_0(\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}\chi}) + \mathcal{O}_1(\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}\chi}) \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (6.64)$$

Next, we recall from (5.36) that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}\zeta &= \widetilde{[\mu]} + \check{\rho} + \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}\chi \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g, \\ \operatorname{curl}\zeta &= \sigma + \Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have from Proposition 2.13 that

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}(r\nabla)^{\leq 1}\zeta|_{p,S} &\lesssim |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\widetilde{[\mu]}|_{p,S} + |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}(\check{\rho}, \sigma)|_{p,S} + |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}(\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}\chi}, \widetilde{\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}})|_{p,S} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (6.45), (6.58) and (6.64) in the last step. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}_0(\zeta) + \mathcal{O}_1(\zeta) \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (6.65)$$

Step 4. Estimates for $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widehat{\chi})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{0,1}(\widehat{\underline{\chi}})$.

Applying Proposition 2.13 to (6.34) and recalling (6.45), (6.64) and (6.65), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}(r\nabla)^{\leq 1}\widehat{\chi}|_{p,S} &\lesssim |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}\chi}|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\zeta|_{p,S} + |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}\beta| + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_g|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, \\ |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}(r\nabla)^{\leq 1}\widehat{\underline{\chi}}|_{p,S} &\lesssim |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\nabla\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\zeta|_{p,S} + |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\underline{\beta}| + |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_b \cdot \Gamma_g|_{p,S} \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2}{r|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_0(\widehat{\chi}) + \mathcal{O}_1(\widehat{\chi}) + \mathcal{O}_0(\widehat{\underline{\chi}}) + \mathcal{O}_1(\widehat{\underline{\chi}}) \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (6.66)$$

Step 5. Estimate for $r^2 \left| \overline{\operatorname{tr}\chi} - \frac{2}{r} \right|$.

We have from Corollary 2.5 and (6.33) that²⁰

$$\nabla_3(\overline{\operatorname{tr}\chi}) = \overline{\nabla_3(\operatorname{tr}\chi)} + \overline{\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \operatorname{tr}\chi} = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\operatorname{tr}\chi \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}} + 2\overline{\rho} + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b.$$

Notice that

$$\nabla_3\left(\frac{2}{r}\right) = -\frac{1}{r}\overline{\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}.$$

²⁰Recall that $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}$ on \underline{C}_* .

Hence, we infer from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_3 \left(\overline{\text{tr} \chi} - \frac{2}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \chi \left(\overline{\text{tr} \chi} - \frac{2}{r} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \chi \left(\overline{\text{tr} \chi} - \frac{2}{r} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\text{tr} \chi} \overline{\text{tr} \chi} + \frac{1}{r} \overline{\text{tr} \chi} + 2\bar{\rho} + \Gamma_g \cdot \Gamma_b \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \overline{\text{tr} \chi} \left(\overline{\text{tr} \chi} - \frac{2}{r} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\text{tr} \chi} \overline{\text{tr} \chi} + \frac{1}{r} \overline{\text{tr} \chi} + 2\bar{\rho} + \Gamma_g \cdot (\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b) \\
&= 2\bar{\rho} + \Gamma_g \cdot (\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.30, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| r \left(\overline{\text{tr} \chi} - \frac{2}{r} \right) \right|_{\infty, S} &\lesssim \left| r \left(\overline{\text{tr} \chi} - \frac{2}{r} \right) \right|_{\infty, S_*} + \int_{u_0(\underline{u}_*)}^u |r\bar{\rho}|_{\infty, S} + |r\Gamma_g \cdot (\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b)|_{\infty, S} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{I}_0}{r} + \int_{u_0}^u \frac{\Delta_0 + \mathcal{I}_0 + \epsilon^2}{r^2} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\Delta_0 + \mathcal{I}_0 + \epsilon^2}{r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce

$$\sup_{\underline{C}_*} \left| r^2 \left(\overline{\text{tr} \chi} - \frac{2}{r} \right) \right| \lesssim \Delta_0 + \mathcal{I}_0 + \epsilon^2. \quad (6.67)$$

In view of (6.45), (6.64)–(6.67), we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}^*(\underline{C}_*) \lesssim \mathcal{I}_0 + \Delta_0 + \epsilon^2.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem M2. \square

6.4 Extension argument (Theorem M4)

In this section, we prove Theorem M4, which we recall below for convenience.

Theorem M4. *We consider the spacetime \mathcal{K} and its double null foliation (u, \underline{u}) , which satisfy the assumptions:*

$$\mathcal{O} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{R} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \text{Osc} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.68)$$

Then, we can extend the spacetime $\mathcal{K} = V(u_0, \underline{u}_)$ and the double null foliation (u, \underline{u}) to a new spacetime $\widehat{\mathcal{K}} = \widehat{V}(u_0, \underline{u}_* + \nu)$, where ν is sufficiently small, and an associated double null foliation $(\widehat{u}, \underline{u})$. Moreover, the new foliation $(\widehat{u}, \underline{u})$ is geodesic on the new last slice $\underline{C}_{**} := \underline{C}_{\underline{u}_* + \nu}$ and the new norms satisfy*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \widehat{\text{Osc}} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.69)$$

Recall that we have introduced three different double null foliations in different regions:

- (u, \underline{u}) in the bootstrap region \mathcal{K} ;
- (u', \underline{u}) in the initial layer region \mathcal{K}' ;
- $(\widehat{u}, \underline{u})$ in the extended bootstrap region $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$.

We introduce the following definition.




Figure 3: Extension argument

Definition 6.9. For the change of frame (f, λ) from a double null foliation $(u^{(1)}, \underline{u})$ to another double null foliation $(u^{(2)}, \underline{u})$ in their common domain \mathcal{M} , we define the following norms:

$$\text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](\mathcal{M}) := \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](\mathcal{M})(f) + \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](\mathcal{M})(\lambda) + \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](\mathcal{M})(r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](f) &= \sup_{\mathcal{M}} \left| r^{(1)} |u^{(1)}|^{\frac{s-3}{2}} \mathfrak{d}^{\leq 1} f \right|, \\ \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](\lambda) &= \sup_{\mathcal{M}} \left| r^{(1)} \overset{\circ}{\lambda} \right|, \\ \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](r) &= \sup_{\mathcal{M}} \left| r^{(1)} - r^{(2)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.10. Definition 6.9 immediately implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(3)}](\mathcal{M}) &\lesssim \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](\mathcal{M}) + \text{Osc}[u^{(2)}, u^{(3)}](\mathcal{M}), \\ \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2) &\lesssim \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](\mathcal{M}_1) + \text{Osc}[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}](\mathcal{M}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (6.70)$$

To simplify the notations, we ignore the domain \mathcal{M} and the optical functions $[u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}]$ when they are clear in the context. For example, we denoted

$$\text{Osc} = \text{Osc}[u, u'](\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{K}'), \quad \widehat{\text{Osc}} = \text{Osc}[\widehat{u}, u'](\widehat{\mathcal{K}} \cap \mathcal{K}'),$$

in the statements of Theorems M_0 and M_4 .

Proof of Theorem M_4 . **Step 1.** Extension of the domain.

We define the region

$$\mathcal{A}_0 := \{p \in \Sigma_0 / w(p) \in [\underline{u}_*, \underline{u}_* + \nu]\}.$$

For ν small enough, we consider the future dependence domain \mathcal{K}_0^ν of \mathcal{A}_0 , with

$$\mathcal{K}_0^\nu := V'(u_*, \underline{u}_* + \nu) \subset \mathcal{K}'.$$

The boundary of \mathcal{K}_0^ν contain

- (1) the part \mathcal{A}_0 ;
- (2) a part of an incoming cone denoted by

$$\underline{C}_{**}(u_* - \nu) := \underline{C}_{\underline{u}_* + \nu} \cap \{u_* - \nu \leq u' \leq u_*\},$$

which is a part of the new last slice $\underline{C}_{**} := \underline{C}_{\underline{u}_* + \nu}$, where

$$u_* - \nu := u' \Big|_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}_* + \nu} \cap \Sigma_0}, \quad u_* = u' \Big|_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}_*} \cap \Sigma_0};$$

- (3) a part of the outgoing cone emanating from $S_0(\underline{u}_*) = \underline{C}_{\underline{u}_*} \cap \Sigma_0$ and in $V'(u_*, \underline{u}_* + \nu)$, denoted by C_ν^* , see Figure 3.

For the double null foliation (u', \underline{u}) in \mathcal{K}_0^ν , we have:

$$\mathcal{O}'(\mathcal{K}_0^\nu) \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{K}_0^\nu) \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad (6.71)$$

for ν small enough by local existence. By the local existence theorem of the characteristic Cauchy problem introduced in [25], we can extend the spacetime $\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{K}_0^\nu$ to the future domain of dependence of $C_\nu^* \cup \underline{C}_*$, denoted by \mathcal{K}_u^ν . Moreover, we extend the outgoing cones of \mathcal{K} to this new domain, construct the incoming cones from C_ν^* and denote $(\tilde{u}, \underline{u})$ the global foliation in $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{K}_u^\nu \cup \mathcal{K}_0^\nu$. As a consequence of Theorem 1 of [25], we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{K}_u^\nu) \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{K}_u^\nu) \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \text{Osc}[\tilde{u}, u'](\mathcal{K}_u^\nu \cap \mathcal{K}') \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.72)$$

Combining (6.68), (6.71) and (6.72), we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \text{Osc}[\tilde{u}, u'](\tilde{\mathcal{K}} \cap \mathcal{K}') \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.73)$$

Step 2. Estimates on \underline{C}_{**} .

Notice that the double null foliation $(\tilde{u}, \underline{u})$ is in general not geodesic on \underline{C}_{**} and only continuous across C_ν^* . Next, we construct from $S'(u_* - \nu, \underline{u}_* + \nu)$ as in section 2.1.3 another new double null foliation (\hat{u}, \underline{u}) which is a geodesic foliation on \underline{C}_{**} . We add the following bootstrap assumptions on \underline{C}_* , which will be improved at the end of this step:

$$\text{Osc}[\tilde{u}, \hat{u}](\underline{C}_{**}) \leq \epsilon, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^*(\underline{C}_{**}) \leq \epsilon. \quad (6.74)$$

Applying (6.74) and Proposition 6.2 and recalling that two foliations have the same incoming cones, we deduce by proceeding similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.6 that²¹

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{[1]}(\underline{C}_{**}) \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{[1]}(\underline{C}_{**}) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.75)$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem M2, we obtain

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^*(\underline{C}_{**}) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.76)$$

²¹The estimate for $\bar{\rho}$ can be done similarly as in Proposition 4.13.

Then, by proceeding similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.8, we obtain

$$\text{Osc}[\tilde{u}, \hat{u}](\underline{C}_{**}) \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad (6.77)$$

which improves (6.74).

Step 3. Estimates in $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$.

Let U_1 the set of $|u_0| \leq \underline{u}_1 \leq \underline{u}_{**} + \nu$ such that the following holds:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{V}_1) \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{Osc}[\tilde{u}, \hat{u}](\widehat{V}_1) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad (6.78)$$

where

$$\widehat{V}_1 := \widehat{V}(u_0, \underline{u}_* + \nu) \cap \{\underline{u}_1 \leq \underline{u} \leq \underline{u}_{**} + \nu\}. \quad (6.79)$$

Notice that (6.76) and (6.77) imply that $U_1 \neq \emptyset$. We apply Proposition 6.2 and proceed similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.6 to deduce²²

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.80)$$

Next, we estimate $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\beta]$. For this, recall that

$$|r^{-\frac{2}{p}} \nabla_3 \beta|_{p, \widetilde{S}} = |r^{-2-\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{p, \widetilde{S}} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{r^4 |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}.$$

For any sphere $\widehat{S} = \widehat{S}(\hat{u}, \underline{u})$, we take a sphere $\widetilde{S} = \widetilde{S}(\tilde{u}, \underline{u})$ satisfying $\widehat{S} \cap \widetilde{S} \neq \emptyset$. The assumption (6.78) implies

$$\sup_{\widehat{S}} \left| \tilde{u} - \frac{1}{|\widehat{S}|} \int_{\widehat{S}} \tilde{u} \right| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{r |u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}.$$

Applying Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 6.3, we deduce

$$|r^{-\frac{2}{p}} \widehat{\beta}|_{p, \widehat{S}} \lesssim |r^{-\frac{2}{p}} \widetilde{\beta}|_{p, \widehat{S}} + l.o.t. \lesssim \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\beta]}{r^{\frac{7}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^5 |u|^{s-3}} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^{\frac{7}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}}}.$$

Hence, we deduce

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0^S + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0^S \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.81)$$

Combining (6.78) and (6.73) and applying (6.70), we infer

$$\text{Osc}[\hat{u}, u'](\widehat{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{K}') \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + C\epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon.$$

We now apply Theorems M0 and M3 to conclude²³

$$\text{Osc}[\hat{u}, u'](\widehat{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{K}') \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{V}_1) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.82)$$

Proceeding similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.8, we obtain

$$\text{Osc}[\tilde{u}, \hat{u}](\widehat{V}_1) \lesssim \epsilon_0,$$

²²Recall that the incoming cones are invariant and that \bar{p} can be estimated as in Proposition 4.13.

²³Remark that Theorem M3 also applied in the region \widehat{V}_1 .

which improves (6.78). Thus, we have $\inf U_1 = |u_0|$ and hence,

$$\text{Osc}[\widehat{u}, \widetilde{u}](\widehat{\mathcal{K}}) \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(\widehat{\mathcal{K}}) \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.83)$$

Notice that (6.73) and (6.83) implies

$$\text{Osc}[\widehat{u}, u'](\widehat{\mathcal{K}} \cap \mathcal{K}') \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.84)$$

Finally, we apply Theorem M1 with (6.81), (6.83) and (6.84) to conclude

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (6.85)$$

Combining (6.83), (6.84) and (6.85), this concludes the proof of Theorem M4. \square

A Proof of Lemma 3.4

In this appendix, we use the notations introduced in Remark 6.4.

First, we compare r' and w . By construction, we have

$$r' = w = w_0 \quad \text{on } \partial K.$$

We add the bootstrap assumption:

$$|r' - w| \leq \epsilon \log r', \quad \text{on } \Sigma_0 \setminus K, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

to ensure the equivalence $r' \simeq w$. Applying (6.1) and Lemma 2.4, we deduce

$$(\Omega' e'_4 - \Omega' e'_3)(r' - w) = \frac{\overline{\Omega' \text{tr } \chi'}}{2} r' - \frac{\overline{\Omega' \text{tr } \underline{\chi}'}}{2} r' - 1 + \frac{|f|^2}{4} = r' \Gamma'_a + O(f^2).$$

Integrating from w_0 along $(\Omega' e'_4 - \Omega' e'_3)$ and using $\text{Osc}(f) \leq \epsilon$, we infer

$$|r' - w| \lesssim \epsilon + \int_{w_0}^{r'} \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{r'^{s-1}} + \frac{\epsilon_0}{r'} \right) dr' \lesssim \epsilon_0 \log r', \quad (\text{A.2})$$

which improves (A.1).

Next, we compare u and u' . By construction, we have

$$u' = u_0 \quad \text{on } \partial K,$$

and $u = u_0$ at a point $p \in \partial K$. Hence, we have from (6.1) and $\text{Osc}(f) \leq \epsilon$ that

$$\sup_{\partial K} |u' - u| \lesssim |r \nabla'(u' - u)|_{\infty, \partial K} + |u' - u|(p) \lesssim \left| r \nabla(u) + \frac{1}{2} e_3(u) f \right|_{\infty, \partial K} \lesssim |f|_{\infty, \partial K} \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

Applying (6.1), we obtain

$$\Omega' e'_4(u) - \Omega' e'_3(u) = \frac{\lambda \Omega'}{4} |f|^2 e_3(u) - \frac{\Omega'}{\lambda \Omega} = \frac{\Omega}{4} |f|^2 - \frac{\Omega'^2}{\Omega^2}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(\Omega' e'_4 - \Omega' e'_3)(u') = -1.$$

Then, we obtain

$$(\Omega' e'_4 - \Omega' e'_3)(u - u') = \frac{\Omega}{4} |f|^2 + 1 - \frac{\Omega'^2}{\Omega^2}.$$

Integrating it along $(\Omega' e'_4 - \Omega' e'_3)$, we infer from $\text{Osc} \leq \epsilon$ that

$$|u' - u| \lesssim \epsilon + \int_{w_0}^{r'} \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{r'^{s-1}} + \frac{\epsilon}{r'} \right) dr' \lesssim \epsilon \log r', \quad \text{on } \Sigma_0 \setminus K. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Notice that

$$e'_4(u - u') = \frac{|f|^2}{4\Omega'} = O\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{r'^{s-1}}\right). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Integrating (A.4) from $\Sigma_0 \setminus K$ to \mathcal{K}' and recalling that the height of \mathcal{K}' is finite²⁴ we obtain immediately from (A.3) that

$$|u' - u| \lesssim \epsilon \log r', \quad \text{in } \mathcal{K}_{(0)}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Finally, we compare r and $\frac{u-u}{2}$. Recalling that $w = \frac{u-u'}{2}$ and applying (A.5), we obtain

$$\left| w - \frac{u-u}{2} \right| \lesssim |u' - u| \lesssim \epsilon \log r', \quad \text{in } \mathcal{K}'.$$

Then, we have, using also (A.2) and $\text{Osc}(r) \leq \epsilon$,

$$\left| r - \frac{u-u}{2} \right| \lesssim |r' - r| + |r' - w| + \left| w - \frac{u-u}{2} \right| \lesssim \epsilon \log r' \quad \text{in } \mathcal{K}'.$$

Next, we have from Lemma 2.4

$$\frac{d}{d\underline{u}} \left(r - \frac{u-u}{2} \right) = \frac{r}{2} \left(\overline{\Omega \text{tr } \chi} - \frac{1}{r} \right) = r\Gamma_a.$$

We integrate it along C_u from a sphere $(u, v_0) \in \mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ to obtain on \mathcal{K}

$$\left| r(u, \underline{u}) - \frac{u-u}{2} \right| \lesssim \epsilon \log r'(u, v_0) + \epsilon \log r(u, \underline{u}) \lesssim \epsilon \log r(u, \underline{u}).$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

B Proof of the case $s \in (3, 4)$

In sections 3-6, we have provided the proof of Theorem 3.1 in the case of $s \in [4, 6]$. In this appendix, we outline the proof in the case $s \in (3, 4)$, which is similar to the case of $s \in [4, 6]$.

B.1 Fundamental norms

The definitions of some of the norms are different from section 3.1. In the sequel, we only mention the norms that differ from the ones in section 3.1.

We define $\mathcal{R}_0^S[\beta]$ and $\underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\check{\rho}, \sigma]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_0^S[\beta] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \sup_{p \in [2, 4]} |r^{\frac{s+3}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} \beta|_{p, S}, \\ \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^{p, S}[\check{\rho}, \sigma] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \sup_{p \in [2, 4]} |r^{\frac{s+2}{2} - \frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\check{\rho}, \sigma)|_{p, S}. \end{aligned}$$

²⁴Recall that we defined $\mathcal{K}' := \{p/0 \leq u'(p) + \underline{u}(p) \leq 2\delta_0\}$ and $\delta_0 < 1$.

The following flux of curvature components are different from the case $s \in [4, 6]$ for $q = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_q[\beta](u, \underline{u}) &:= \|r^{\frac{s}{2}}(r\nabla)^q\beta\|_{2, C_{\underline{u}}^V}, \\ \mathcal{R}_q[(\check{\rho}, \sigma)](u, \underline{u}) &:= \|r^{\frac{s}{2}}(r\nabla)^q(\check{\rho}, \sigma)\|_{2, C_{\underline{u}}^V}.\end{aligned}$$

The definition of $\mathcal{O}_q^{p,S}(\Omega\omega)(u, \underline{u})$ is different from the case $s \in [4, 6]$:

$$\mathcal{O}_0^{p,S}(\Omega\omega)(u, \underline{u}) := |r^{\frac{s}{2} - \frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{4-s}{2}}\omega|_{p,S(u,\underline{u})}.$$

The other \mathcal{O} norms are the same as in the case $s \in [4, 6]$.

B.2 Estimates for Ricci coefficients and curvature components

We discuss the curvature estimates of section 4 in this case. Recall that we have four Bianchi pairs: (α, β) , $(\beta, (\check{\rho}, -\sigma))$, $((\check{\rho}, \sigma), \underline{\beta})$ and $(\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha})$. In section 4, we took respectively $p = s, 4, 2, 0$ to estimate the Bianchi pairs. In the case $s \in (3, 4)$, we take respectively $p = s, s, 2, 0$ to estimate the Bianchi pairs. The method is then exactly the same as in section 4.

Concerning the control of Ricci coefficients, we can proceed by the same method as in section 5. Recall that Proposition 5.2 used the fact that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{3+\delta-\frac{2}{p}}\beta|_{p,S} \lesssim \Delta_0.$$

This still holds true since we have $\frac{s+3}{2} > 3$ for $s > 3$. The other propositions still hold true since we only used $s > 3$ in their proofs.

B.3 Conclusion

The proof of Theorems M0, M2, M4 and Lemma 3.4 remain exactly the same since all the arguments also applied to the case $s > 3$. Consequently, we have Theorem 3.1 in this case. Hence, we deduce that Theorem 3.1 holds true for $s \in (3, 6]$.

C Proof of the case $s > 6$

In this appendix, we prove Theorem 3.1 in the case $s > 6$. Then, we compare the result to the peeling decay for curvature components obtained in [15].

C.1 Fundamental norms

The definitions of \mathcal{R} -norms for α and β are different from section 3.1. We denote

$$s_0 := \min \left\{ s, \frac{29}{4} \right\}. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

We define for $q = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_q[\beta] &:= \|r^3|u|^{\frac{s-6}{2}}(r\nabla)^q\beta\|_{2, C_{\underline{u}}^V}, \\ \mathcal{R}_q[\alpha] &:= \|r^3|u|^{\frac{s-6}{2}}(r\nabla)^q\alpha\|_{2, C_{\underline{u}}^V}, \\ \mathcal{R}_1[\alpha_4] &:= |u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} \|r^{\frac{s_0}{2}}\dot{\alpha}\|_{2, C_{\underline{u}}^V}.\end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

We also define:

$$\mathcal{R}_0^S[\beta] := \sup_{\mathcal{K}} \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{4-\frac{2}{p}} |u|^{\frac{s-5}{2}} \beta|_{p,S}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

The norms $\mathcal{R}_0^S[\alpha]$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_0^S[\alpha] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} |r^{\frac{s+1}{2}} \alpha|_{2,S}, & s \in (6, 7), \\ \mathcal{R}_0^S[\alpha] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} |r^4 (\log r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha|_{2,S}, & s = 7, \\ \mathcal{R}_0^S[\alpha] &:= \sup_{\mathcal{K}} |r^4 |u|^{\frac{s-7}{2}} \alpha|_{2,S}, & s > 7. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

All the other norms are defined as in section 3.1.

C.2 Optimal constant for Poincaré inequality

Proposition C.1. *For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{s}_2$, we have the following Poincaré inequality:*

$$|rd_2 \alpha|_{2,S}^2 \geq c_2 |\alpha|_{2,S}^2,$$

for sphere $S = S(u, \underline{u})$, where c_2 is a constant satisfying:

$$c_2 = 2 - O(\epsilon). \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Proof. See for example Proposition 9.3.2 in [6]. \square

C.3 Curvature estimates

In section 4, we took respectively $p = s, 4, 2, 0$ to estimate the Bianchi pairs (α, β) , $(\beta, (\check{\rho}, \sigma))$, $((\check{\rho}, \sigma), \underline{\beta})$ and $(\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha})$. In the case $s > 6$, we take respectively $p = 6, 4, 2, 0$ for the Bianchi pairs. Proceeding as in section 4, we deduce from Propositions 4.7-4.13 and 2.28

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q=0}^1 (\mathcal{R}_q[\alpha] + \mathcal{R}_q[\beta] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_q[\beta] + \mathcal{R}_q[\check{\rho}, \sigma] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_q[\check{\rho}, \sigma] + \mathcal{R}_q[\underline{\beta}] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_q[\underline{\beta}] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_q[\underline{\alpha}]) \\ & + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_1[\underline{\alpha}_3] + \mathcal{R}_0^S[\beta] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\beta] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\check{\rho}, \sigma] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\underline{\beta}] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\underline{\alpha}] + \underline{\mathcal{R}}_0^S[\check{\rho}] \lesssim \epsilon_0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

It remains to estimate α and $\dot{\alpha}$. To this end, we prove the following divergence identity.

Lemma C.2. *We have the following identity for any real number p :*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Div}(r^p |\dot{\alpha}|^2 e_3) + 2\mathbf{Div}(r^p |\phi|^2 e_4) + (p+2) r^{p-1} |\dot{\alpha}|^2 + 2(8-p) r^{p-1} |\phi|^2 - 8r^{p-1} \dot{\alpha} \cdot \alpha \\ & = 4r^p d_1(\dot{\alpha} \cdot \phi) + r^p (\dot{\alpha}, \phi) \cdot (\Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \beta) + r^p \Gamma_a \cdot (|\dot{\alpha}|^2, |\phi|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

Proof. We recall from (2.32)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3 \dot{\alpha} &= -2d_2^* \phi + \frac{4\alpha}{r} + \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \beta, \\ \nabla_4 \phi + \frac{5}{2} \text{tr} \chi \phi &= d_2 \dot{\alpha} + \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 with $\psi_{(1)} = \dot{\alpha}$, $\psi_{(2)} = \phi$, $a_{(1)} = 0$, $a_{(2)} = \frac{5}{2}$, $h_{(1)} = \frac{4\alpha}{r} + \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \beta$, $h_{(2)} = \Gamma_a \cdot \beta^{(1)} + \Gamma_g^{(1)} \cdot \beta$ and $k = 2$, we obtain (C.7) as stated. \square

Next, we prove the following analog of Proposition 4.11.

Proposition C.3. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\mathcal{R}_1[\alpha_4] \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

Proof. Integrating (C.7) with $p = s_0$ and proceeding as in Proposition 4.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_u} r^{s_0} |\dot{\alpha}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u} r^{s_0} |\dot{\phi}|^2 + \int_V r^{s_0-1} ((s_0+2)|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + 2(8-s_0)|\dot{\phi}|^2 - 8\dot{\alpha} \cdot \alpha) \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^{s_0} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2) + \int_V r^{s_0} |(\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\phi})| |\Gamma_a| |\beta^{(1)}| + r^{s_0} |(\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\phi})| |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta| + r^{s_0} |\Gamma_a| (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

First, we proceed as in Lemma 4.6 to obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^{s_0} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2) \lesssim |u|^{s_0-s} \int_{\Sigma_0 \cap V} r^s (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2) \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0^2}{|u|^{s-s_0}}.$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V r^{s_0} |(\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\phi})| |\Gamma_a| |\beta^{(1)}| & \lesssim \epsilon \int_V r^{s_0-2} |\dot{\alpha}| |\beta^{(1)}| + \epsilon \int_V r^{s_0-2} |\dot{\phi}| |\beta^{(1)}| \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{-u}^u du \frac{1}{|u|^{\frac{8-s_0}{2}}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^{s_0} |\dot{\alpha}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^4 |\beta^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \epsilon \int_{|u|}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{r^{\frac{10-s_0}{2}}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^{s_0} |\dot{\phi}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^6 |\beta^{(1)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \int_{-u}^u du \frac{\epsilon^3}{|u|^{\frac{8-s_0}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-4}{2}}} + \int_{-u}^u du \frac{\epsilon^3}{r^{\frac{10-s_0}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-6}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim \int_{-u}^u \frac{\epsilon^3}{|u|^{2+s-s_0}} du + \int_{-u}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{r^{\frac{10-s_0}{2}} |u|^{\frac{2s-s_0-6}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0^2}{|u|^{1+s-s_0}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $s_0 < 8$. Then, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V r^{s_0} |(\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\phi})| |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta| & \lesssim \int_V r^{s_0} |\dot{\alpha}| |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta| + \int_V r^{s_0} |\dot{\phi}| |\Gamma_g^{(1)}| |\beta| \\ & \lesssim \int_{-u}^u du \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^{s_0} |\dot{\alpha}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C_u^V} r^{s_0} |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\beta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \int_{|u|}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{\left(\int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^{s_0} |\dot{\phi}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\int_{\underline{C}_{\underline{u}}^V} r^{s_0} |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\beta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{-u}^u \frac{du}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}}} \left(\int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} r^{s_0} \int_S |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\beta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \epsilon \int_{|u|}^u \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}}} \left(\int_{-u}^u du r^{s_0} \int_S |\Gamma_g^{(1)}|^2 |\beta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{-u}^u \frac{du}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}}} \left(\int_{|u|}^u d\underline{u} r^{s_0-10} |r^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{4,S}^2 |r^{\frac{7}{2}} \beta|_{4,S}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \epsilon \int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}}} \left(\int_{-\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} du r^{s_0-10} |r^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma_g^{(1)}|_{4,S}^2 |r^{\frac{7}{2}} \beta|_{4,S}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \epsilon^3 \int_{-\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}}} \left(\int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} r^{s_0-10} |u|^{8-2s} d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + \epsilon^3 \int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}}} \left(\int_{-\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} r^{s_0-10} |u|^{8-2s} d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \epsilon^3 \int_{-\underline{u}}^{\underline{u}} \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}}} r^{\frac{s_0-9}{2}} |u|^{4-s} + \epsilon^3 \int_{|u|}^{\underline{u}} \frac{d\underline{u}}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}}} r^{\frac{s_0}{2}-5} |u|^{\frac{9}{2}-s} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^3}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} |u|^{s-5} |u|^{\frac{9-s_0}{2}}} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{r^{4-\frac{s_0}{2}} |u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} |u|^{\frac{2s-9}{2}}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0^2}{|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}+s-s_0}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\int_V r^{s_0} |\Gamma_a| (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2) \lesssim \epsilon \int_V r^{s_0-2} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2).$$

Moreover, applying Proposition C.1, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_V r^{s_0-1} ((s_0+2)|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + 2(8-s_0)|\dot{\phi}|^2 - 8\dot{\alpha} \cdot \alpha) \\
& \geq \int_V r^{s_0-1} \left((s_0-6)|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + \frac{8-s_0}{4} |\dot{\phi}|^2 + \left(\frac{7}{4}(8-s_0)c_2 - 2 \right) |\alpha|^2 + 8|\dot{\alpha}|^2 - 8\dot{\alpha} \cdot \alpha + 2|\alpha|^2 \right) \\
& \geq \int_V r^{s_0-1} \left((s_0-6)|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + \frac{3}{16} |\dot{\phi}|^2 + \frac{19}{64} |\alpha|^2 + 2|\alpha| - 2\dot{\alpha}|^2 \right) \\
& \gtrsim \int_V r^{s_0-1} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2 + |\alpha|^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $6 < s_0 \leq \frac{29}{4}$ and $c_2 \geq \frac{7}{4}$ for ϵ small enough. Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$\int_{C_u^V} r^{s_0} |\dot{\alpha}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^{s_0} |\dot{\phi}|^2 + \int_V r^{s_0-1} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2 + |\alpha|^2) \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0^2}{|u|^{s-s_0}} + \epsilon \int_V r^{s_0-2} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2).$$

For ϵ small enough, we deduce

$$\int_{C_u^V} r^{s_0} |\dot{\alpha}|^2 + \int_{\underline{C}_u^V} r^{s_0} |\dot{\phi}|^2 + \int_V r^{s_0-1} (|\dot{\alpha}|^2 + |\dot{\phi}|^2 + |\alpha|^2) \lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0^2}{|u|^{s-s_0}}.$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition C.3. □

Proposition C.4. *We have the following estimate:*

$$\mathcal{R}_0^S[\alpha] \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

Proof. We have from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega e_4 \left(\int_S |r^4 \alpha|^2 \right) &= \int_S 2\Omega \nabla_4(r^4 \alpha) \cdot (r^4 \alpha) + \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi |r^4 \alpha|^2 \\
&= \int_S 2\Omega r^4 \alpha \cdot (\nabla_4(r^4 \alpha) + r^3 \alpha) + \Omega \left(\operatorname{tr} \chi - \frac{2}{r} \right) |r^4 \alpha|^2 \\
&= \int_S 2\Omega r^4 \alpha \cdot (\nabla_4(r^5 \alpha) r^{-1} + e_4(r^{-1}) r^5 \alpha + r^3 \alpha) + \Gamma_a |r^4 \alpha|^2 \\
&= \int_S 2\Omega r^4 \alpha \cdot (\nabla_4(r^5 \alpha) r^{-1}) + \Gamma_a |r^4 \alpha|^2 \\
&= \int_S 2\Omega r^4 \alpha \cdot r^3 \dot{\alpha} + \Gamma_a |r^4 \alpha|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\left| e_4 \left(\int_S |r^4 \alpha|^2 \right) \right| \lesssim |r^4 \alpha|_{2,S} |r^3 \dot{\alpha}|_{2,S} + \epsilon |r^4 \alpha|_{2,S} |r^2 \alpha|_{2,S},$$

which implies

$$e_4(|r^4 \alpha|_{2,S}) \lesssim |r^3 \dot{\alpha}|_{2,S} + \epsilon |r^2 \alpha|_{2,S}.$$

Integrating along C_u , applying initial assumption and Proposition C.3, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
|r^4 \alpha|_{2,S} &\lesssim \epsilon_0 + \int_{|u|}^u |r^3 \dot{\alpha}|_{2,S} d\underline{u} + \epsilon |r^2 \alpha|_{2,S} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon_0 + \int_{|u|}^u r^{3-\frac{s_0}{2}} |r^{\frac{s_0}{2}} \dot{\alpha}|_{2,S} d\underline{u} + \int_{|u|}^u \frac{\epsilon}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} |r^{\frac{7}{2}} \alpha|_{2,S} d\underline{u} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon_0 + \left(\int_{|u|}^u r^{6-s_0} d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{|u|}^u |r^{\frac{s_0}{2}} \dot{\alpha}|_{2,S}^2 d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{|u|}^u \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\underline{u} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon_0 + \frac{\epsilon_0}{|u|^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}}} \left(\int_{|u|}^u r^{6-s_0} d\underline{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

Notice that we have

$$\int_{|u|}^u r^{6-s_0} d\underline{u} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{7-s_0} (\underline{u}^{7-s_0} - |u|^{7-s_0}), & s_0 \in (6, 7), \\ \log \left(\frac{\underline{u}}{|u|} \right), & s_0 = 7, \\ \frac{1}{s_0-7} (|u|^{7-s_0} - \underline{u}^{7-s_0}), & s_0 > 7. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we obtain from (C.8)

$$|r^4 \alpha|_{2,S} \lesssim \begin{cases} \epsilon_0 r^{\frac{7-s}{2}}, & s \in (6, 7), \\ \epsilon_0 (\log r)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & s = 7, \\ \epsilon_0 |u|^{\frac{7-s}{2}}, & s > 7, \end{cases} \tag{C.9}$$

which implies from (C.4) that

$$\mathcal{R}_0^S[\alpha] \lesssim \epsilon_0. \tag{C.10}$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition C.4. \square

Combining Propositions C.4 with (C.6), this concludes the proof of Theorem M1 in the case of $s > 6$. Notice that Theorems M0, M2, M3, M4 also hold true in the case $s > 6$ since we only used $s > 3$ in their proofs²⁵. Combining with section B.3, this concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1 for $s > 3$ as stated.

C.4 Peeling decay for curvature components

As a consequence of Theorem M1 in the case $s > 7$, the curvature components satisfy the following decay:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |r^{5-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-7}{2}}\alpha|_{p=2,S} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \\
& \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{4-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-5}{2}}\beta|_{p,S} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \\
& \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{3-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}(\check{\rho}, \sigma)|_{p,S} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \\
& \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{2-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}\underline{\beta}|_{p,S} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \\
& \sup_{p \in [2,4]} |r^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|u|^{\frac{s+1}{2}}\underline{\alpha}|_{p,S} \lesssim \epsilon_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

Remark C.5. Assume $s > 7$ and that the initial data in $\mathcal{K}_{(0)}$ has sufficient regularity properties. Then, commuting $r\nabla$ with the Bianchi equations in Corollary 2.20 and the Teukolsky equation (2.30), proceeding as in section C.3, we deduce the following strong peeling properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\alpha|_{\infty, S(u, \underline{u})} &\lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^5|u|^{\frac{s-7}{2}}}, & |\beta|_{\infty, S(u, \underline{u})} &\lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^4|u|^{\frac{s-5}{2}}}, \\
|\check{\rho}, \sigma|_{\infty, S(u, \underline{u})} &\lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^3|u|^{\frac{s-3}{2}}}, & |\underline{\beta}|_{\infty, S(u, \underline{u})} &\lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^2|u|^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}, & |\underline{\alpha}|_{\infty, S(u, \underline{u})} &\lesssim \frac{\epsilon_0}{r|u|^{\frac{s+1}{2}}},
\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

which recovers the results obtained in [15] by the vectorfield method.

Declarations

Funding. No funding was received to assist with the preparation of this manuscript.

Competing Interest statements. Conflict of interest does not exist in the manuscript.

References

- [1] L. Bieri, *An extension of the stability theorem of the Minkowski space in general relativity*, J. Diff. Geom. Vol. **86** (1), 17–70, 2010.
- [2] L. Bigorgne, D. Fajman, J. Joudioux, J. Smulevici and M. Thaller, *Asymptotic stability of Minkowski spacetime with non-compactly supported massless Vlasov Matter*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **242**, 1–147, 2021.
- [3] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *Théorème d'existence pour certains systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*, Acta Math. **88**, 141–225, 1952.

²⁵See the discussion in section B.2.

- [4] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and R. Geroch *Global aspects of the Cauchy problem in general relativity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **14**, 329–335, 1969.
- [5] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The Global Nonlinear Stability of Minkowski Space*, Princeton Mathematical Series **41**, 1993.
- [6] M. Dafermos, G. Holzegel, I. Rodnianski and M. Taylor, *The non-linear stability of the Schwarzschild family of black holes*, arXiv:2104.08222.
- [7] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski, *A new physical-space approach to decay for the wave equation with applications to black hole spacetimes*, XVIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2010, 421–432.
- [8] D. Fajman, J. Joudioux and J. Smulevici, *The stability of the Minkowski space for the Einstein-Vlasov system*, Anal. PDE **14**, no. 2, 425–531, 2021.
- [9] O. Graf, *Global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for spacelike-characteristic initial data*, arXiv:2010.12434.
- [10] P. Hintz and A. Vasy, *Stability of Minkowski space and polyhomogeneity of the metric*, Ann. PDE **6** (1): Art. 2, 146pp, 2020.
- [11] G. Holzegel, *Ultimately Schwarzschild spacetimes and the black hole stability problem*, arXiv:1010.3216.
- [12] C. Huneau, *Stability of Minkowski spacetime with a translation space-like Killing field*, Ann. PDE **4** (1): Art. 12, 147pp, 2018.
- [13] A. D. Ionescu and B. Pausader, *The Einstein-Klein-Gordon coupled system: global stability of the Minkowski solution*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **406**, Princeton University Press, 2022.
- [14] S. Klainerman and F. Nicolò, *The Evolution Problem in General Relativity*, Progress in Mathematical Physics, Vol. **25**, 2003.
- [15] S. Klainerman and F. Nicolò, *Peeling properties of asymptotic solutions to the Einstein vacuum equations*, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, 3215–3257, 2003.
- [16] S. Klainerman and I. Rodnianski, *Causal geometry of Einstein-Vacuum spacetimes with finite curvature flux*, Invent. math. **159**, 437–529, 2005.
- [17] S. Klainerman and I. Rodnianski, *On the formation of trapped surfaces*, Acta Math **208**, 211–333, 2012.
- [18] S. Klainerman and J. Szeftel, *Global nonlinear stability of Schwarzschild spacetime under polarized perturbations*. Annals of Mathematics Studies **210**, Princeton University Press, 2020.
- [19] S. Klainerman and J. Szeftel, *Construction of GCM spheres in perturbations of Kerr*, Ann. PDE **8** (2): Art. 17, 153 pp, 2022.
- [20] P. G. LeFloch and Y. Ma, *The global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for self-gravitating massive fields*, Comm. Math. Phys. **346**, 603–665, 2016.
- [21] H. Lindblad and I. Rodnianski, *Global existence for the Einstein vacuum equations in wave coordinates*, Comm. Math. Phys. **256** (1), 43–110, 2005.

- [22] H. Lindblad and I. Rodnianski, *The global stability of Minkowski spacetime in harmonic gauge*, Ann. of Math. (2), **171** (3), 1401–1477, 2010.
- [23] H. Lindblad and M. Taylor, *Global stability of Minkowski space for the Einstein–Vlasov system in the harmonic gauge*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **235**, 517–633, 2020.
- [24] J. Loizelet, *Solutions globales des équations d’Einstein–Maxwell*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **18**:3, 565–610, 2009.
- [25] J. Luk, *On the Local Existence for the Characteristic Initial Value Problem in General Relativity*, Int. Math. Res. Not. no. 20, 4625–4678, 2018.
- [26] J. Speck, *The global stability of the Minkowski spacetime solution to the Einstein-Nonlinear system in wave coordinates*, Analysis and PDE. Vol **7**. no. 4, 2014.
- [27] M. Taylor, *The global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for the massless Einstein–Vlasov system*, Ann. PDE **3** (1): Art 9, 176 pp, 2017.
- [28] S. A. Teukolsky, *Rotating black holes: Separable wave equations for gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations*, Physical Review Letters **29**, no. 16, 1114–1118, 1992.
- [29] Q. Wang, *An intrinsic hyperboloid approach for Einstein Klein–Gordon equations*, J. Diff. Geom. Vol. **115** (1), 27–109, 2020.
- [30] X. Wang, *Global stability of the Minkowski spacetime for the Einstein–Vlasov system*, arXiv:2210.00512.
- [31] N. Zipser, *The global nonlinear stability of the trivial solution of the Einstein–Maxwell equations*, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, 2000.