

A comment on Metric vs Metric-Affine Gravity

Ulf Lindström ^{a,b1} and Özgür Sarioglu ^{a2}

^a*Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences,
Middle East Technical University, 06800, Ankara, Turkey*

^b*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Theoretical Physics, Uppsala University
SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden*

Abstract

We consider the sum of the Einstein-Hilbert action and a Pontryagin density (PD) in arbitrary even dimension $D \geq 4$. All curvatures are functions of independent affine (torsionless) connections only. In arbitrary even dimension, not only in $D = 4n$, these first order PD terms are shown to be covariant divergences of “Chern-Simons” currents. The field equation for the connection leads to it being Levi-Civita, and to the metric and affine field equations being equivalent to the second order metric theory. This result is a counterexample to the theorem stating that purely metric and metric-affine models can only be equivalent for Lovelock theories.

¹email: ulf.lindstrom@physics.uu.se

²email: sarioglu@metu.edu.tr

The action we consider in $D = 2n$ dimensions reads

$$S = \int d^D x \left(\sqrt{|g|} g^{ab} R_{ab}(\Gamma) + \frac{1}{n} \theta \epsilon^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_D} R^{i_1}_{i_2 a_1 a_2} R^{i_2}_{i_3 a_3 a_4} \dots R^{i_n}_{i_1 a_{D-1} a_D}(\Gamma) \right). \quad (1)$$

In the metric formulation the connection is the Levi-Civita connection and the second term vanishes for odd n due to the additional symmetries of the curvature tensor. The topological nature of the second term becomes manifest if written as $\partial_a K^a$ where,¹ schematically,

$$K^{a_1} \approx \theta \epsilon^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_D} \Gamma^{i_1}_{a_2 i_2} \left(R^{i_2}_{i_3 a_3 a_4} \dots R^{i_n}_{i_1 a_{D-1} a_D} + \Gamma^2 R^{n-2} + \dots + \Gamma^{D-2} \right). \quad (2)$$

The $D = 4$ expression reads [1, 2]

$$K^a = \theta \epsilon^{abcd} \Gamma^i_{bj} \left(R^j_{icd} - \frac{2}{3} \Gamma^j_{ck} \Gamma^k_{di} \right), \quad (3)$$

while in $D = 6$ we have

$$K^a = \frac{2}{3} \theta \epsilon^{abcdef} \Gamma^i_{bj} \left(R^j_{kcd} R^k_{ief} - R^j_{kcd} \Gamma^k_{er} \Gamma^r_{fi} + \frac{2}{5} \Gamma^j_{ck} \Gamma^k_{dp} \Gamma^p_{eq} \Gamma^q_{fi} \right).$$

The field equations that follow from varying the connection in (1) read

$$-2 \nabla_a \left(\theta \epsilon^{aba_3 \dots a_D} R^d_{ca_3 a_4} \dots R^{i_n}_{i_1 a_{D-1} a_D} \right) + \nabla_c (\sqrt{|g|} g^{db}) - \delta^d_c \nabla_a (\sqrt{|g|} g^{ab}) = 0. \quad (4)$$

The first term vanishes due to Bianchi identities and the remaining terms may be massaged to give

$$\nabla_c (\sqrt{|g|} g^{db}) = 0, \quad (5)$$

which implies that the connection is the Levi-Civita connection. This means that the action (1) is completely equivalent to its purely metric form where the connection is Levi-Civita from the outset.

In [3] it is argued that the only case when the metric and metric-affine formulations of gravity are equivalent is Lovelock gravity [4]. However, gravity amended with a Pontryagin term as described above is not a Lovelock gravity and thus constitutes a counterexample. It must be noted though that the discussion in [3] is carried out at the level of the equations of motion, whereas the statement in this note is at the level of the action.

Note added: While we were writing these results up, a paper, [5], appeared on the net which contains the metric-affine description of the 4D version of (1). Similar results also appeared in [6].

Acknowledgments

The research of U.L. is supported in part by the 2236 Co-Funded Scheme2 (CoCirculation2) of TÜBİTAK (Project No:120C067)².

¹Here we assume that θ is constant. In the Chern-Simons modification of General Relativity (GR) [1], it is taken to be a scalar field, much in the spirit of the Fradkin-Tseytlin term in string theory. This leads to interesting deviations from GR. It follows from the results in the present paper that promoting θ to a scalar field will give modifications of GR also for odd n , as in, e.g., six dimensions. Doing so will alter the solution for the connection, which will now depend on θ .

²However the entire responsibility for the publication is ours. The financial support received from TÜBİTAK does not mean that the content of the publication is approved in a scientific sense by TÜBİTAK.

References

- [1] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, “Chern-Simons modification of general relativity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003), 104012; [arXiv:gr-qc/0308071 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [2] Ü. Ertem and Ö. Açıık, “Generalized Chern-Simons Modified Gravity in First-Order Formalism,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **45** (2013), 477-488; [arXiv:0912.1433 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [3] Q. Exirifard and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “Lovelock gravity at the crossroads of Palatini and metric formulations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **661** (2008), 158-161; [arXiv:0705.1879 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] D. Lovelock, “The Einstein tensor and its generalizations,” *J. Math. Phys.* **12** (1971), 498-501.
- [5] F. Sulantay, M. Lagos and M. Bañados, “Chiral Gravitational Waves in Palatini Chern-Simons,” [arXiv:2211.08925 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [6] S. Boudet, F. Bombacigno, G. J. Olmo and P. J. Porfirio, “Quasinormal modes of Schwarzschild black holes in projective invariant Chern-Simons modified gravity,” *JCAP* **05** (2022) no.05, 032; [arXiv:2203.04000 \[gr-qc\]](#).