

# FREE COLIMIT COMPLETION IN $\infty$ -CATEGORIES

CHARLES REZK

ABSTRACT. We show how several useful properties of Ind-constructions in  $\infty$ -categories extend to arbitrary free colimit completion constructions. In doing so we discover the useful notion of a *regular class* of  $\infty$ -categories.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{PSh}(C)$  of presheaves of  $\infty$ -groupoids on  $C$  is the “free colimit completion” of  $C$ . More generally, there is a “free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion”  $\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  for a given class  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\infty$ -categories, which can be exhibited as the full subcategory of  $\mathrm{PSh}(C)$  generated by representable presheaves under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, as described by Lurie in [Lur09, 5.3.6]. Note that “free” here means we are not merely adjoining some colimits, but rather that the construction is characterized by a universal property.

In special cases we have more. For instance, when  $\mathcal{F}$  is the class of  $\kappa$ -filtered  $\infty$ -categories for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , then the free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion admits a rather more explicit description: it is  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\kappa}(C)$ , the full subcategory of presheaves  $X$  on  $C$  which represent a right fibration  $C/X \rightarrow C$  such that  $C/X$  is  $\kappa$ -filtered. Here  $C/X$  is the “point category” (or “category of elements”) of the functor  $X: C^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ .

Furthermore, there is a very useful “recognition principle” for such categories: any  $\infty$ -category  $A$  which is generated under  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits by a full subcategory  $C \subseteq A$  of “ $\kappa$ -compact objects” is canonically equivalent to  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\kappa}(C)$  [Lur09, 5.3.5]. These Ind-categories are the basis of the theory of accessible  $\infty$ -categories.

This note addresses the question: to what extent can these pleasant properties of  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\kappa}(C)$  be extended to arbitrary free colimit completions? The answer is: in some sense, pretty much all of them. Our results are encapsulated by the following observation.

*Whether a presheaf  $X$  is in  $\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  depends only on its point category  $C/X$ .*

This in turn leads to the useful notion of the *regular closure* of  $\mathcal{F}$ , which is the collection of all categories which can appear as  $C/X$  for some  $C$  and some  $X \in \mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$ .

Here is a brief summary of our results.

- Any class  $\mathcal{F}$  of small  $\infty$ -categories can be enlarged to a *regular closure*  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , which consists of  $C$  whose free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion  $\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  contains a terminal presheaf (§4). We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *regular class* when  $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  (§5).
- We get an explicit criterion for describing the free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion  $\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \subseteq \mathrm{PSh}(C)$  as a full subcategory, much as for  $\mathrm{Ind}_{\kappa}(C)$ : a presheaf  $X$  is in  $\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  if and only if  $C/X$  is in the regular closure of  $\mathcal{F}$ , where  $C/X \rightarrow C$  is the right fibration classified by  $X$  (6.1).
- Thus free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion depends only on the regular closure  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , and in fact the regular classes precisely correspond to possible “types” of free colimit completion (4.6).
- We find that any  $\infty$ -category which has all  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits also has all  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits, and any functor between such which preserves all  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits also preserves all  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits (4.4).
- Furthermore, the previous is in some sense the best possible:  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is the largest class for which we can have such a collection of results (4.4).

- The  $\infty$ -groupoids in a regular class  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , as well as the underlying weak homotopy types of objects of  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , are precisely those in the full subcategory of  $\infty$ -groupoids generated by the terminal object under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits (8.2).
- There is a recognition principle for free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion generalizing that for Ind-categories, which is stated in terms of the evident notion of  $\mathcal{F}$ -compact object. (10.2).
- It is straightforward to produce examples of regular classes, which include the familiar classes of  $\kappa$ -filtered and sifted  $\infty$ -categories, but also many others which have not been much studied (§9, §11).

Given all this, it would be very desirable to have methods for calculating regular closures of various classes of interest. Further study is needed!

I came to this while working on a project to understand generalizations of Ind-constructions and accessible  $\infty$ -categories, motivated by the 1-categorical work of [ABLR02]. The idea is to look at classes  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\infty$ -categories characterized by how  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits of  $\infty$ -groupoids preserve a fixed collection of types of limit [Rez21], e.g., such as  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits preserve  $\kappa$ -small limits of  $\infty$ -groupoids, or sifted colimits preserve finite products of  $\infty$ -groupoids. Such classes, called *filtering classes*, are defined and briefly discussed here in (9.4) In the course of this I realized that for many purposes there is nothing special about classes described in terms of such limit preservation. It is fair to say that none of the results here are particularly deep, and that some of these results have been surely noticed by others. However, the picture they make is pleasant and perhaps surprising, so it seems worthwhile to lay out the story in detail. I note that there is every reason to expect that most of the  $\infty$ -categorical results described here have 1-categorical analogues. However, I have not attempted to trace this out explicitly.

Here is a brief outline of the paper. After reviewing basic facts about presheaf categories and their role as free colimit completions (§2 and §3), we introduce the notions of regular closure §4 and regular class §5 and show how they precisely answers certain questions about existence and/or preservation of colimits. In §6 we use these to give an explicit description of free colimit completions, and in particular note that forming free completions is compatible with forming slices (6.2). We review the relation between regular closure and cofinal functors in §7, the relation between regular classes and  $\infty$ -groupoids in §8, and a general way to find regular classes in §9. The recognition principal for free colimit completion (generalizing the one for Ind-categories) is proved in §10. We give a number of (mostly standard) examples of these phenomena in §11. The final section §12 is an appendix, proving a criterion which reduces preservation of colimits by functors to stability of full subcategories under colimits, whose proof was described to me by Maxime Ramzi.

Thanks to Maxime Ramzi and Sil Linksens for help with (§12), and others on the algebraic topology Discord server who answered my queries, including Tim Campion and Dylan Wilson.

## 2. BASIC $\infty$ -CATEGORICAL NOTIONS

**2.1. Universes.** We work with respect to a chosen **universe** of small simplicial sets, which determines an  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Cat}_\infty$  of **small  $\infty$ -categories**, together with a full subcategory  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  of **small  $\infty$ -groupoids**. We say that an  $\infty$ -category is **locally small** if its mapping spaces are equivalent to small  $\infty$ -groupoids.

We also discuss  $\infty$ -categories which are not small. These may be imagined to live in some higher universe, but I will not need to refer explicitly to a hierarchy of universes as in [Lur09, 1.2.15]. However, some of the results I use do rely on universe-hopping, most notably Lurie’s construction of free colimit completions (3.3), and his related embedding theorem (3.4).

**2.2. Colimits.** By a **small colimit**, I mean a colimit of a functor  $J \rightarrow A$  where  $J$  is a small  $\infty$ -category. I say that an  $\infty$ -category  $A$  is **cocomplete** if it has all *small* colimits, and **complete** if it has all *small* limits.

More generally given a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\infty$ -categories I will speak of  $\mathcal{F}$ -**colimits**, i.e., colimits of functors  $J \rightarrow A$  where  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, I can speak of an  $\infty$ -category  $A$  which **has  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits**, i.e., is such that every  $J \rightarrow A$  with  $J \in \mathcal{F}$  admits a colimit.

Given a fully faithful functor  $f: A \rightarrow B$  (e.g., the inclusion of a full subcategory), I say that  $f$  is **stable under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits** if (i)  $A$  has  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits and (ii)  $f$  preserves all  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits in  $A$ . If  $A \subseteq B$  is an inclusion of a full subcategory, I'll just say that  $A$  is stable in  $B$  under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits.

Finally, given a full subcategory  $A \subseteq B$  of an  $\infty$ -category  $B$  which has  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, the subcategory **generated by  $A$  under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits** is the smallest full subcategory  $A' \subseteq B$  containing  $A$  which is stable under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits.

**2.3. Presheaves.** Given a small  $\infty$ -category  $C$ , we write  $\mathrm{PSh}(C) := \mathrm{Fun}(C^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S})$  for the category of **presheaves** of  $\infty$ -groupoids on  $C$  (rather than Lurie's notation  $\mathcal{P}(C)$  of [Lur09, 5.1]). I denote the Yoneda functor by  $\rho_C: C \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)$ , or just  $\rho$  if the context is clear. Recall that  $\rho$  is fully faithful and that  $\mathrm{PSh}(C)$  is complete and cocomplete.

**2.4. Slices of presheaves.** Given a presheaf  $X \in \mathrm{PSh}(C)$ , I write

$$C/X := C \times_{\mathrm{PSh}(C)} \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X}$$

for the evident pullback of the slice projection  $\mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X} \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)$  along  $\rho$ , and  $\pi_X: C/X \rightarrow C$  for the evident projection. The composite  $\rho_C \pi_X: C/X \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)$  comes with an extension to a colimit functor  $\widehat{\rho}: (C/X)^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X}$ , which exhibits  $X$  *tautologically* as a colimit of  $\rho \pi_X$  [Lur09, 5.1.5.3].

In particular, the colimit of  $\rho: C \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)$  is a terminal presheaf, since  $C/1 \approx C$ .

**2.5. Remark.**  $C/X$  may be regarded as an “ $\infty$ -category of elements” or **point category** of  $X$ , by analogy with the 1-categorical analogue. The projection  $\pi_X: C/X \rightarrow C$  is a right fibration, representing the unstraightening of the functor  $X: C^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ .

The evident functor  $C/X \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X}$  induces by restriction an equivalence

$$\kappa: \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{PSh}(C/X),$$

i.e., every slice of a presheaf category is a presheaf category on a category of elements [Lur09, 5.1.6.12]. Under this equivalence, the forgetful functor  $\mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X} \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)$  corresponds to a functor denoted  $\widehat{\pi}_X: \mathrm{PSh}(C/X) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)$ , which is necessarily colimit preserving and which comes with a natural isomorphism  $\widehat{\pi}_X \circ \rho_{C/X} \approx \rho_C$  of functors  $C/X \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)$ .

Finally, note that if  $X \in \mathrm{PSh}(C)$  and  $\widetilde{Y} := (f: Y \rightarrow X) \in \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X}$ , then we have an equivalence

$$(\mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X})_{/\widetilde{Y}} \approx \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/Y},$$

which when combined with the equivalence  $\kappa: \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X} \approx \mathrm{PSh}(C)$  restricts to an equivalence of full subcategories

$$(C/X)/\widetilde{Y} \approx C/Y.$$

### 3. FREE COLIMIT COMPLETION

The Yoneda functor  $\rho: C \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C)$  exhibits the **free colimit completion** of  $C$ .

**3.1. Theorem.** [Lur09, 5.1.5.6] *For any cocomplete  $\infty$ -category  $A$ , restriction along  $\rho$  induces an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{PSh}(C), A) \supseteq \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{colim}}(\mathrm{PSh}(C), A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(C, A)$$

*from the category of colimit preserving functors  $\mathrm{PSh}(C) \rightarrow A$  to the category of functors  $C \rightarrow A$ .*

*In particular, any functor  $f: C \rightarrow A$  admits an essentially unique extension  $\widehat{f}: \mathrm{PSh}(C) \rightarrow A$  to a colimit preserving functor equipped with a natural isomorphism  $\widehat{f}\rho \approx f$ .*

As a consequence,  $\text{PSh}(C)$  contains the **universal  $C$ -colimit**, which is just the terminal presheaf.

**3.2. Corollary.** *Let  $f: C \rightarrow A$  be any functor from a small  $\infty$ -category to a cocomplete  $\infty$ -category. Then the colimit of  $f$  in  $A$  is equivalent to  $\widehat{f}(1)$ , where  $\widehat{f}: \text{PSh}(C) \rightarrow A$  is any colimit preserving extension of  $f$  along  $\rho$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\widehat{f}: \text{PSh}(C) \rightarrow A$  preserves colimits, and  $1 \approx \text{colim}_C \rho$ . □

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories. Given a small  $\infty$ -category  $C$ , let  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \subseteq \text{PSh}(C)$  denote the full subcategory generated by representable presheaves under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits. That is,  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  is the smallest full subcategory of presheaves which (i) contains the image of the Yoneda functor  $\rho: C \rightarrow \text{PSh}(C)$  and (ii) is stable under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits. The restriction  $\rho: C \rightarrow \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  exhibits the **free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion** of  $C$ .

**3.3. Theorem.** [Lur09, 5.3.6.2] *If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  is a class of small  $\infty$ -categories, and if  $A$  is an  $\infty$ -category which has  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, then restriction along  $\rho$  exhibits an equivalence*

$$\text{Fun}(\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C), A) \supseteq \text{Fun}^{\mathcal{F}\text{-colim}}(\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C), A) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, A)$$

from the category of  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit preserving functors  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \rightarrow A$  to  $\text{Fun}(C, A)$ .

*In particular, any functor  $f: C \rightarrow A$  admits an essentially unique extension  $\widehat{f}: \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \rightarrow A$  to an  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit preserving functor equipped with a natural isomorphism  $\widehat{f}\rho \approx f$ .*

We refer to Lurie for the proof, but note that his proof both provides and relies on the following, which we will use later.

**3.4. Theorem** (Embedding theorem). [Lur09, 5.3.6.2] *Given any classes  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  of  $\infty$ -categories and an  $\infty$ -category  $A$  which has  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, there exists a fully faithful functor  $i: A \rightarrow B$  such that (i)  $B$  has all  $\mathcal{G}$ -colimits and (ii)  $i$  preserves all  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits.*

*Sketch proof.* Construct  $B$  as a full subcategory (in fact, a localization) of  $\text{Fun}(A^{\text{op}}, \widehat{\mathcal{S}})$  where  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$  is an  $\infty$ -category of  $\infty$ -groupoids in a suitably large universe. □

If the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of small  $\infty$ -categories is essentially small relative to our chosen universe (i.e., there is a set  $\mathcal{F}'$  such that every object of  $\mathcal{F}$  is equivalent to one in  $\mathcal{F}'$ ), then so is any  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion of a small category.

**3.5. Proposition.** *Let  $C$  be a small  $\infty$ -category and let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be an essentially small class of  $\infty$ -categories. Then the free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  is also essentially small.*

*Proof.* For each ordinal  $\lambda$  define subcategories  $\mathcal{P}^\lambda \subseteq \text{PSh}(C)$ , with

- $\mathcal{P}^0$  = the essential image of the Yoneda functor  $\rho: C \rightarrow \text{PSh}(C)$ ,
- $\mathcal{P}^\lambda = \bigcup_{\mu < \lambda} \mathcal{P}^\mu$  for any limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , and
- $\mathcal{P}^{\lambda+}$  = the full subcategory spanned by all colimits in  $\text{PSh}(C)$  of functors  $J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\lambda$ , where  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Note that  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) = \bigcup_\lambda \mathcal{P}^\lambda$ , and that since  $\mathcal{F}$  is essentially small, so is every  $\mathcal{P}^\lambda$ . Choose a regular cardinal  $\kappa$  such that every  $J \in \mathcal{F}$  is equivalent to some simplicial set with fewer than  $\kappa$  non-degenerate cells. Then  $\mathcal{P}^\kappa \subseteq \text{PSh}(C)$  is stable under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, and so is equal to  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$ , since any functor  $J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\kappa$  such that  $J$  has fewer than  $\kappa$  non-degenerate cells factors through some  $\mathcal{P}^\lambda$  with  $\lambda < \kappa$ . □

#### 4. REGULAR CLOSURE

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories. The **regular closure** of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined to be the class

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} := \{ C \in \text{Cat}_\infty \mid \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \text{ contains the terminal presheaf} \}.$$

Note that if  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ , then necessarily  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  contains the terminal presheaf, since the terminal presheaf is the colimit of  $\rho_C$  (2.4). Thus  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ .

4.1. *Remark.* Since  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  contains every representable presheaf, any terminal object of it is also terminal in  $\text{PSh}(C)$ . So we could instead say that  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  consists of  $C$  such that its free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  has a terminal object.

The significance of regular closure can be illustrated with an elementary example.

4.2. *Example.* Recall that any coequalizer can be built from pushouts and binary coproducts by a simple recipe:

$$\text{colim}(f, g: A \rightrightarrows B) = \text{colim}\left(A \xleftarrow{(\text{id}, \text{id})} A \amalg A \xrightarrow{(f, g)} B\right).$$

The point is that there is a *universal example* of this recipe. Consider the finite categories  $C = \{\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet\}$ ,  $P = \{\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet\}$ , and  $Q = \{\bullet, \bullet\}$  (the walking parallel pair of arrows, the walking span, and the discrete category with two objects). Then, applying the above recipe to the universal coequalizer (i.e., the colimit of  $\rho: C \rightarrow \text{PSh}(C)$ ), we compute that

$$1 \approx \text{colim}_C \rho \in \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F} = \{P, Q\}.$$

Therefore we have that  $C \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , which concisely encodes the observation that any coequalizer can be built from colimits with shapes in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The notion of regular closure gives a complete answer to the following question: if a full subcategory of an  $\infty$ -category is stable under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, what other kinds of colimits is it necessarily stable under?

4.3. **Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_{\infty}$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories, and let  $A \subseteq B$  be a full subcategory of an  $\infty$ -category  $B$  which has all  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits. If  $A$  is stable under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits in  $B$ , then it is also stable under  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits.*

*Furthermore, the above statement is the best possible, in the sense that if the previous sentence holds with “ $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ ” replaced with some class  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ , then we must have  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* First we show that  $A$  is stable under  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits. Using the embedding theorem (3.4), we can choose a fully faithful  $i: B \hookrightarrow B'$  such that  $B'$  is cocomplete and  $i$  preserves all  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits. Given any functor  $f: J \rightarrow A$  with  $J \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , we want to show that the colimit of  $f$  in  $B'$  is actually in  $A$ . By the universal property  $f$  extends over  $\rho: J \rightarrow \text{PSh}(J)$  to a colimit preserving functor  $\hat{f}: \text{PSh}(J) \rightarrow B'$ , so that the colimit of  $f$  in  $B'$  is equivalent to  $\hat{f}(1)$  (3.2). Furthermore,  $\hat{f}(\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(J)) \subseteq A$  since  $A$  is stable under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits. The claim follows since  $J \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  so  $1 \in \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(J)$ .

Now suppose we know that stability under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits implies stability under  $\mathcal{G}$ -colimits. Suppose  $J \in \mathcal{G}$  and consider  $A := \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(J)$  and  $B := \text{PSh}(J)$ . By hypothesis  $A$  is stable under  $\mathcal{G}$ -colimits, and thus in particular  $1 \approx \text{colim}_J \rho \in \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(J)$ , so  $J \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  as desired.  $\square$

Here is a variant characterization of regular closure, answering the question: if an  $\infty$ -category has (or a functor preserves)  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, what other kinds of colimits must it have (or preserve).

4.4. **Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_{\infty}$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories.*

- (1) *Any  $\infty$ -category  $A$  which has  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits also has  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits.*
- (2) *Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a functor between categories which have  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits. If  $f$  preserves  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, then  $f$  also preserves  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits.*

*Furthermore the above is the best possible, in the sense that if (1) and (2) hold with “ $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ ” replaced by some class  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ , then  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* To prove (1), choose any  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimit preserving embedding  $A \hookrightarrow B$  to a cocomplete  $\infty$ -category (3.4) and apply (4.3). To prove (2), first note that by (1) both  $A$  and  $B$  have  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits.

Now apply the path-category criterion for colimit preservation (proved in the appendix (12.1)), which says that  $f$  preserves  $J$ -colimits for some  $\infty$ -category  $J$  if and only if a certain fully faithful functor  $\phi: \text{Path}(f) \rightarrow \text{LPath}(f)$  of “path categories” is stable under  $J$ -colimits. By hypothesis  $j$  is stable under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, and so is stable under  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits by (4.3).

To see that this is best possible, suppose that having  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits implies the existence of  $\mathcal{G}$ -colimits, and preserving  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits implies the preservation of  $\mathcal{G}$ -colimits. Then in particular stability under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits implies stability under  $\mathcal{G}$ -colimits, so the claim follows from (4.3).  $\square$

These ideas give a characterization of regular closure in terms of free colimit completion.

**4.5. Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories, and let  $J \in \text{Cat}_\infty$ . Then  $J \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  if and only if  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \subseteq \text{PSh}(C)$  is stable under  $J$ -colimits for all  $C \in \text{Cat}_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* By construction  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \subseteq \text{PSh}(C)$  is stable under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, and thus is stable under  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits by (4.3). For the converse, take  $C = J$  and recall that  $1 \approx \text{colim}_J \rho_J$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion is the same as free  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimit completion.

**4.6. Proposition.** *Given  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Cat}_\infty$ , we have that  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\mathcal{G}}$  if and only if  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) = \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{G}}(C)$  for all  $C \in \text{Cat}_\infty$ . In particular,  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) = \text{PSh}^{\overline{\mathcal{F}}}(C)$ .*

## 5. REGULAR CLASSES

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories. We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a **regular class** if  $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , i.e., if for every small  $\infty$ -category  $C$ , we have that  $C \in \mathcal{F}$  whenever  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  contains the terminal presheaf.

We can now justify the term “regular closure”.

**5.1. Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories. Then  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is a regular class, and is in fact the smallest regular class containing  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* We have already noted that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ . That  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is a regular class is immediate from the fact that  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) = \text{PSh}^{\overline{\mathcal{F}}}(C)$  (4.6). If  $\mathcal{G}$  is any regular class which contains  $\mathcal{F}$ , then for any  $J \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  we have

$$1 \in \text{PSh}^{\overline{\mathcal{F}}}(C) = \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \subseteq \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{G}}(C),$$

and thus  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ .  $\square$

This is a convenient place to note that regular classes are closed under finite products.

**5.2. Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a regular class of small  $\infty$ -categories. Then  $1 \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $J, K \in \mathcal{K}$  implies  $J \times K \in \mathcal{K}$ .*

*Proof.* That  $1 \in \mathcal{K}$  is clear, since the terminal object of  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{K}}(1)$  is a representable presheaf. For closure under pairwise products, note that  $J \times K$ -colimits can be computed as the composite

$$\text{Fun}(J \times K, \text{PSh}(C)) = \text{Fun}(J, \text{Fun}(K, \text{PSh}(C))) \xrightarrow{\text{colim}_J} \text{Fun}(K, \text{PSh}(C)) \xrightarrow{\text{colim}_K} \text{PSh}(C).$$

Since colimits in functor categories are computed objectwise, if  $J, K \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  is stable under  $J \times K$ -colimits, whence the claim follows from (4.5).  $\square$

**5.3. Remark.** An earlier preprint version of this paper used the terms *filtering class* and *filtering closure* for what we are here regular class and regular closure. I’ve come to feel that “filtering” terminology should be reserved for concepts closer to the classical notion of filtered categories, so here I reserve it for the *filtration classes* described in (9.4). The use of the word “regular” is suggested by an analogy with regular cardinals. In particular, the regular classes generated by classes of sets of bounded cardinality correspond exactly to regular cardinals (11.4).

## 6. EXPLICIT DESCRIPTION OF FREE COLIMIT COMPLETION

Using the idea of regular closure, we can give an explicit description of  $\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  as a full subcategory of presheaves.

**6.1. Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories, and let  $C$  be a small  $\infty$ -category. Then*

$$\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) = \{ X \in \mathrm{PSh}(C) \mid C/X \in \overline{\mathcal{F}} \}.$$

*Proof.* By definition of regular closure, we need to show that  $X \in \mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  if and only if  $1 \in \mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C/X)$ . We make use of the functor

$$\widehat{\pi}_X: \mathrm{PSh}(C/X) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C),$$

which corresponds under the equivalence  $\kappa: \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X} \approx \mathrm{PSh}(C/X)$  to the evident forgetful functor for the slice (2.4). Because it is equivalent to such a forgetful functor, it has the following properties.

- (1) The functor  $\widehat{\pi}_X$  both preserves and reflects colimits: a small diagram in  $\mathrm{PSh}(C/X)$  is a colimit if and only if its image under  $\widehat{\pi}_X$  in  $\mathrm{PSh}(C)$  is a colimit.
- (2) The functor  $\widehat{\pi}_X$  both preserves and reflects representability: a presheaf  $F$  on  $C/X$  is representable if and only if its image  $\widehat{\pi}_X(F)$  is a representable presheaf on  $C$ .
- (3) The image of the terminal presheaf under  $\widehat{\pi}_X$  is isomorphic to  $X$ .

A straightforward consequence of (1) and (2) is that  $\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C/X)$  is precisely equal to the preimage of  $\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  under  $\widehat{\pi}_X$ . The claim then follows from (3).  $\square$

Thus, the formation of free colimit completion is compatible with taking slices, in the following sense.

**6.2. Corollary.** *For any class  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}$ , any  $C \in \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}$ , and any presheaf  $X \in \mathrm{PSh}(C)$ , the equivalence  $\kappa: \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X} \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(C/X)$  restricts to an equivalence  $\mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \times_{\mathrm{PSh}(C)} \mathrm{PSh}(C)_{/X} \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C/X)$  of full subcategories.*

## 7. COFINALITY

We recall the notion of a **cofinal functor**<sup>1</sup>  $f: C \rightarrow D$ , as defined in [Lur09, 4.1.1] to which we refer for a definition. We will only need the following equivalent characterizations.

**7.1. Lemma.** *Let  $f: C \rightarrow D$  be a functor between small  $\infty$ -categories. The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $f$  is cofinal.
- (2)  $f^*: \mathrm{Fun}(D^{\triangleright}, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(C^{\triangleright}, A)$  (restriction along  $f$ ) preserves all colimit cones which exist, for any  $A$ .
- (3) for every object  $d$  in  $D$ , the pullback  $C \times_D D_{d/}$  is weakly contractible.

*Proof.* The equivalence (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is [Lur09, 4.1.1.8], while (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) is [Lur09, 4.1.3.1].  $\square$

We can restate this criterion from the point of view of colimit completion.

**7.2. Lemma.** *A functor  $f: C \rightarrow D$  between small  $\infty$ -categories is cofinal if and only if the colimit of the composite of  $C \xrightarrow{f} D \xrightarrow{\rho_D} \mathrm{PSh}(D)$  is the terminal presheaf.*

<sup>1</sup>I'll use term as in [Lur09]. Some sources prefer *final functor*. In [Lur21, 02MZ], these are called *right cofinal functors*.

*Proof.* That a cofinal functor has this property is immediate from (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) of (7.1) and the fact that  $\operatorname{colim}_D \rho_D \approx 1$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\operatorname{colim}_D \rho_D f \approx 1$ . Since colimits are computed pointwise in  $\operatorname{PSh}(D)$ , we have that the colimit of the composite of  $C \xrightarrow{f} D \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Map}_D(d, -)} \mathcal{S}$  is contractible for every object  $d$  of  $D$ . This composite is classified by the left fibration  $C \times_D D_{d/} \rightarrow C$ , and its colimit is the weak homotopy type of  $C \times_D D_{d/}$  [Lur09, 3.3.4.6]. Therefore  $f$  is cofinal using (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) of (7.1).  $\square$

**7.3. Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \operatorname{Cat}_\infty$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories. If  $f: C \rightarrow D$  is a cofinal functor, then  $C \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $D \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from (7.2).  $\square$

Say that a class  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \operatorname{Cat}_\infty$  **cofinally generates** a regular class  $\mathcal{K}$  if for every  $D \in \mathcal{K}$  there exists a cofinal functor  $f: C \rightarrow D$  with  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ . Note that this implies  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{K}$ .

In general, the free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion of a category  $C$  can be produced by an iterative procedure (as illustrated in the proof of (3.5)), in which we build up a subcategory  $\operatorname{PSh}(C)$  by starting with representable presheaves, and successively adjoining colimits of all  $\mathcal{F}$ -shaped diagrams in the subcategory. When a class  $\mathcal{F}$  cofinally generates a regular class, this means exactly that every object in the free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion can be realized *immediately* as an  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit diagram of representable presheaves.

**7.4. Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \operatorname{Cat}_\infty$  be class of small  $\infty$ -categories, and  $\mathcal{K}$  a regular class. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The class  $\mathcal{F}$  cofinally generates  $\mathcal{K}$ .*
- (2) *For any  $C \in \operatorname{Cat}_\infty$ , a presheaf  $X \in \operatorname{PSh}(C)$  is in  $\operatorname{PSh}^{\mathcal{K}}(C)$  if and only if  $X$  is a colimit in  $\operatorname{PSh}(C)$  of a functor of the form  $\rho_C \circ f$ , where  $f: J \rightarrow C$  is a functor with  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose (1) holds. If  $X \in \operatorname{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  then  $C/X \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  (6.1), and since  $\mathcal{F}$  cofinally generates  $\mathcal{K}$  we may choose a cofinal  $u: J \rightarrow C/X$  with  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $X \approx \operatorname{colim}_{C/X} \rho_C \pi_X \approx \operatorname{colim}_J \rho_C \pi_X u$ , thus expressing  $X$  as an  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit of representables as desired.

Conversely, suppose (2) holds. Given any  $C \in \mathcal{K}$  we can apply (2) to the terminal object  $X = 1$  in  $\operatorname{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$ , obtaining a functor  $f: J \rightarrow C$  so that  $J \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\operatorname{colim} \rho_C \circ f \approx 1$  in  $\operatorname{PSh}(C)$ . From (7.2) we see that this  $f$  is cofinal, as desired.  $\square$

**7.5. Remark.** It is *not* the case that all regular closures are via cofinal generation. Simple counterexamples include  $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$  (11.1) and  $\mathcal{F} = \{\Delta^0 \amalg \Delta^0\}$  (11.5), which do not cofinally generate their regular closures.

## 8. REGULAR CLASSES AND $\infty$ -GROUPOIDS

Given an  $\infty$ -category  $C$ , we write  $\eta: C \rightarrow |C|$  for a tautological map to its groupoid completion.

**8.1. Lemma.** *The tautological map  $\eta: C \rightarrow |C|$  from an  $\infty$ -category to its group completion is cofinal.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove this for a particular model of  $\eta$ . For instance, there exists a factorization  $C \xrightarrow{j} C' \xrightarrow{p} \Delta^0$  into a right anodyne map  $j$  followed by a right fibration  $p$ . Since the target of  $p$  is the terminal object, it is actually a Kan fibration, so  $C'$  is an  $\infty$ -groupoid, while  $j$  is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. Thus  $j$  is a groupoid completion of  $C$ , and it is a cofinal map since all right anodyne maps are cofinal [Lur09, 4.1.1.3].  $\square$

Recall that  $\infty$ -groupoids are the colimit completion of the terminal category:  $\operatorname{PSh}(1) \approx \mathcal{S}$ . Given a class  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \operatorname{Cat}_\infty$ , we write  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{F}} := \operatorname{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ .



**8.2. Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{S} = |\overline{\mathcal{F}}| = \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{F}},$$

*i.e., the class of  $\infty$ -groupoids in  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is the class of groupoid completions of objects of  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , which are the objects of the full subcategory of  $\infty$ -groupoids generated under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits by the point.*

*Proof.* Clearly  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{S} \subseteq |\overline{\mathcal{F}}|$ . The groupoid completion map  $\eta: J \rightarrow |J|$  is cofinal (8.1), so  $J \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  implies  $|J| \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  (7.3), whence  $|\overline{\mathcal{F}}| \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{S}$ . We know that  $X \in \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(1)$  if and only if  $X \approx X/1 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  (6.1), so  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{S}$   $\square$

## 9. CONSTRUCTING REGULAR CLASSES

**9.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\{A_i \subseteq B_i\}$  be a collection of full subcategories, in which each  $B_i$  is a cocomplete  $\infty$ -category, and let*

$$\mathcal{F} := \{C \in \text{Cat}_\infty \mid A_i \subseteq B_i \text{ is stable under } C\text{-colimits for all } i\}.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a regular class.*

*Proof.* We need to show that  $1 \in \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  implies that  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ . Given any functor  $g: C \rightarrow A_i \subseteq B_i$ , consider the  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit preserving extension  $\widehat{g}: \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \rightarrow B_i$  of  $g$ . By hypothesis the image of  $\widehat{g}$  is contained in  $A_i$ , and since  $1 \in \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  we have  $\text{colim}_C g \approx \widehat{g}(1) \in A_i$ . Thus, we have shown that every  $A_i$  is stable under  $C$  colimits in  $B_i$ , so  $C \in \mathcal{F}$  as desired.  $\square$

**9.2. Proposition.** *Let  $\{f_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i\}$  be a collection of functors between  $\infty$ -categories, where each  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  is cocomplete. Define*

$$\mathcal{F} := \{C \in \text{Cat}_\infty \mid f_i \text{ preserves } C\text{-colimits for all } i\}.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a regular class.*

*Proof.* We again use the path-category criterion for colimit preservation (12.1), which implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  is precisely the class of  $C$  such that each of the full subcategories  $\text{Path}(f_i) \subseteq \text{LPath}(f_i)$  is stable under  $C$ -colimits. The claim is then immediate from (9.1).  $\square$

In the situation of the previous proposition, we will say that the regular class  $\mathcal{F}$  is **cut out** by the collection of embeddings  $\{A'_i \subseteq A_i\}$ . It is easy to see that every regular class  $\mathcal{F}$  arises in this way: it is cut out by  $\{\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \subseteq \text{PSh}(C)\}_{C \in \text{Cat}_\infty}$ , since if  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \subseteq \text{PSh}(C)$  is stable under  $C$ -colimits then  $1 \in \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$ . We have the following immediate consequence.

**9.3. Corollary.** *The intersection of any collection of regular classes is a regular class.*

**9.4. Filtration and cofiltration classes.** Examples of the above construction are the *filtration* and *cofiltration* classes.

Given a class  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  of small  $\infty$ -categories, we define its associated **filtration class** to be

$$\text{Filt}(\mathcal{U}) = \{J \in \text{Cat}_\infty \mid \lim_{U^{\text{op}}}: \text{Fun}(U^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \text{ preserves } J\text{-colimits for all } U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Likewise, given a class  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  of small  $\infty$ -categories, we define its associated **cofiltration class** to be

$$\text{coFilt}(\mathcal{J}) = \{U \in \text{Cat}_\infty \mid \text{colim}_J: \text{Fun}(J, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \text{ preserves } U^{\text{op}}\text{-limits for all } J \in \mathcal{J}\}.$$

Clearly both filtration classes and cofiltration classes are examples of regular classes. Note further that

$$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{Filt}(\mathcal{U}) \supseteq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{V}), \quad \text{coFilt}(\mathcal{U}) \supseteq \text{coFilt}(\mathcal{V}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \text{coFilt}(\mathcal{J}) \iff \mathcal{J} \subseteq \text{Filt}(\mathcal{U}),$$

that is,  $\text{Filt}$  and  $\text{coFilt}$  define a ‘‘Galois connection’’ on the collection of regular classes.

Filtration classes include the classes of  $\kappa$ -filtered categories, sifted categories, and others: see examples (11.6)–(11.10) below.

10. A RECOGNITION PRINCIPLE FOR FREE COLIMIT COMPLETION

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories, and  $A$  an  $\infty$ -category which has  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits. Say that an object  $a$  of  $A$  is  **$\mathcal{F}$ -compact** if

$$\text{Map}_A(a, -): A \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

preserves all  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits. I write  $A^{\mathcal{F}\text{-cpt}} \subseteq A$  for the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}$ -compact objects.

The notion of  $\mathcal{F}$ -compactness really only depends on the regular closure of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**10.1. Proposition.** *Let  $A$  be an  $\infty$ -category which has  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits. Then an object of  $A$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -compact if and only if it is  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -compact.*

*Proof.* Apply (4.4) to  $A$  and to  $\text{Map}_A(a, -)$ . □

Thus, if  $a$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -compact, then  $\text{Map}_A(a, -)$  preserves  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -colimits.

We obtain the following recognition principle.<sup>2</sup>

**10.2. Proposition.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be a class of small  $\infty$ -categories, and suppose  $C \in \text{Cat}_\infty$ . Let  $\widehat{f}: \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \rightarrow A$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit preserving functor to an  $\infty$ -category which has  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits, and let  $f = \widehat{f}\rho_C: C \rightarrow A$ .*

- (1) *If  $f$  is fully-faithful and  $f(C) \subseteq A^{\mathcal{F}\text{-cpt}}$ , then  $\widehat{f}$  is fully faithful.*
- (2) *The functor  $\widehat{f}$  is an equivalence if and only if*
  - (i)  *$f$  is fully faithful.*
  - (ii)  *$f(C) \subseteq A^{\mathcal{F}\text{-cpt}}$ .*
  - (iii) *The objects of  $f(C)$  generate  $A$  under  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimits.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can replace  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , using (4.4) and (10.1). Furthermore, we know that  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) = \{X \in \text{PSh}(C) \mid C/X \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}\}$  (6.1), and that every  $X$  is tautologically a  $C/X$ -colimit of representable presheaves.

Then this is proved exactly as in [Lur09, 5.3.5.11], which deals with the special case where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the class of  $\kappa$ -filtered  $\infty$ -categories for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$ . □

**10.3. Remark.** Note that the original example  $C \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C) \subseteq \text{PSh}(C)$  of a free  $\mathcal{F}$ -colimit completion is exactly of this type, since all representable presheaves are “completely compact” [Lur09, 5.1.6.2]. In the case of  $\mathcal{F} = \text{Cat}_\infty$  this recovers [Lur09, 5.1.6.11].

11. EXAMPLES OF REGULAR CLASSES AND REGULAR CLOSURES

**11.1. The minimal regular class.** Since  $\text{PSh}^{\emptyset}(C) \approx C$ , we have that

$$\overline{\emptyset} = \{C \in \text{Cat}_\infty \mid C \text{ has a terminal object}\}.$$

This is a (very) trivial example of a regular closure which is not of cofinal generation (§7).

**11.2. The maximal regular class.** Clearly  $\text{Cat}_\infty$  is a regular class, and  $\text{PSh}^{\text{Cat}_\infty}(C) = \text{PSh}(C)$ . The  $\text{Cat}_\infty$ -compact objects of a cocomplete  $\infty$ -category are precisely what are called *completely compact* in [Lur09, 5.1.6.2].

There are a number of well-known identifications of  $\text{Cat}_\infty$  as a regular closure. For instance, it is the regular closure of  $\text{Set} \cup \{\Lambda_1^2\}$  (i.e., coproducts and pushouts, see [Lur09, 4.4.2.6]). It is the cofinal closure of the class of small 1-categories [Lur09, 4.2.3.14], and in fact the cofinal closure of the class of small posets [Lur09, 4.2.3.15].

---

<sup>2</sup>This general principle was already known to Jacob Lurie (personal communication).

**11.3. Coproducts.** Let  $\text{Set} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  be the collection of all small and discrete  $\infty$ -groupoids, so that  $\text{PSh}^{\text{Set}}(C)$  is the free completion of  $C$  with respect to small coproducts. It is straightforward to show that  $\text{PSh}^{\text{Set}}(C)$  consists exactly of presheaves which are equivalent to small coproducts of representables, as this subcategory is itself clearly stable under coproducts. Thus  $1 \in \text{PSh}^{\text{Set}}(C)$  implies  $1 \approx \coprod_i \rho(c)$ , and using this you can show that

$$\overline{\text{Set}} = \left\{ \coprod_i C_i \mid \text{each } C_i \in \text{Cat}_\infty \text{ has a terminal object} \right\}.$$

In particular,  $\overline{\text{Set}}$  is cofinally generated by  $\text{Set}$ .

Analogous considerations identify the regular closure of  $\kappa$ -small sets, where  $\kappa$  is any infinite cardinal, though the precise description depends on whether  $\kappa$  is a regular cardinal. In the following, I write  $\kappa^+$  for the successor cardinal of  $\kappa$ , and  $\text{Set}^{<\kappa} \subseteq \text{Set}$  for the class of small and discrete  $\infty$ -groupoids with fewer than  $\kappa$  path-components.

**11.4. Proposition.** *Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal.*

- (1) *If  $\kappa$  is regular, then  $\overline{\text{Set}^{<\kappa}}$  is cofinally generated by  $\text{Set}^{<\kappa}$ , and is not equal to  $\overline{\text{Set}^{<\kappa^+}}$ .*
- (2) *If  $\kappa$  is irregular, then  $\overline{\text{Set}^{<\kappa}} = \text{Set}^{<\kappa^+}$ , and is not cofinally generated by  $\text{Set}^{<\kappa}$ .*

*Proof.* From (8.2) we have that both  $\overline{\text{Set}^{<\kappa}} \cap \mathcal{S}$  and  $\left| \overline{\text{Set}^{<\kappa}} \right|$  are equal to  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{Set}^{<\kappa}}$ , the full subcategory of  $\infty$ -groupoids generated by the point under  $\text{Set}^{<\kappa}$ -colimits. If  $\kappa$  is regular, then this subcategory is exactly  $\text{Set}^{<\kappa}$ . If  $\kappa$  is irregular, this subcategory contains a set of cardinality not bounded by  $\kappa$ . Since successor cardinals are always regular,  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{Set}^{<\kappa}}$  must in this case be  $\text{Set}^{<\kappa^+}$ . The claims of the proposition are now straightforward using the above remarks on  $\overline{\text{Set}}$ .  $\square$

**11.5. Binary coproducts.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\Delta^0 \amalg \Delta^0\}$ , so that  $\text{PSh}^{\mathcal{F}}(C)$  is the free completion of  $C$  with respect to pairwise coproducts. Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \left\{ \coprod_{i \in I} C_i \mid I \text{ is finite and non-empty, and each } C_i \in \text{Cat}_\infty \text{ has a terminal object} \right\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{F}$  does not cofinally generate  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ .

**11.6. Idempotent completion.** Let  $\text{Idem}$  be the walking idempotent. Then  $\text{PSh}^{\{\text{Idem}\}}(C)$  is an idempotent completion of  $C$  [Lur09, 5.3.6.9]. Thus

$$\overline{\{\text{Idem}\}} = \{ C \in \text{Cat}_\infty \mid \text{the idempotent completion of } C \text{ has a terminal object} \}.$$

Then  $\overline{\{\text{Idem}\}} = \text{Filt}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$ , i.e.,  $J$ -colimits of  $\infty$ -groupoids preserve all small limits if and only if  $\text{PSh}^{\{\text{Idem}\}}(J)$  has a terminal object. (It is easy to see that  $\overline{\{\text{Idem}\}} \subseteq \text{Filt}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$ . For the converse, note that  $\text{colim}_J: \text{Fun}(J, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is accessible, so is corepresented by some  $A \in \text{Fun}(J, \mathcal{S})$  [Lur09, 5.5.2.7]. That  $J \in \text{Filt}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$  means that  $A$  is completely compact and so is a retract of some corepresentable functor  $\text{Map}_J(j, -): J \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  [Lur09, 5.1.6.8]. Finally,  $\text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(J, \mathcal{S})}(A, \text{Map}_J(j, -)) \approx \text{colim}_J \text{Map}_J(j, -) \approx *$ , whence  $A$  corresponds to an initial object of the idempotent completion of  $J^{\text{op}}$ .)

**11.7.  $\kappa$ -filtered  $\infty$ -categories.** Given a regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $\text{Sm}_\kappa$  denote the class of  $\kappa$ -small  $\infty$ -categories, i.e., ones which are equivalent to a  $\kappa$ -small simplicial set. Consider the filtering class  $\text{Filt}(\text{Sm}_\kappa)$ , which consists of all  $J \in \text{Cat}_\infty$  such that  $J$ -colimits of  $\infty$ -groupoids preserve finite limits.

Then  $\text{Filt}(\text{Sm}_\kappa)$  is precisely the collection of all small  $\kappa$ -filtered  $\infty$ -categories [Lur09, 5.3.1.7], i.e., those  $J$  such that  $K \rightarrow J$  extends over  $K \subseteq K^\triangleright$  for every  $\kappa$ -small simplicial set  $K$ . (See [Lur09, 5.3.3.3] for a proof.)

In particular, (6.1) says that  $\text{PSh}^{\text{Filt}(\text{Sm}_\kappa)}(C) = \text{Ind}_\kappa(C)$ , where the latter is as in [Lur09, 5.3.5]. Objects are  $\text{Filt}(\text{Sm}_\kappa)$  compact if and only if they are  $\kappa$ -compact in the usual sense [Lur09, 5.3.4].

Thus, our recognition principle (10.2) for free  $\kappa$ -filtered-colimit completion recovers Lurie’s [Lur09, 5.3.5.11].

The class  $\text{Filt}(\text{Sm}_\kappa)$  is cofinally generated by the class of  $\kappa$ -directed sets [Lur09, 5.3.1.18].

**11.8. Sifted  $\infty$ -categories.** Let  $\text{Set}^{<\omega} \subseteq \text{Cat}_\infty$  denote the class of finite discrete  $\infty$ -groupoids. Consider the filtering class  $\text{Filt}(\text{Set}^{<\omega})$ , which consists of all  $J \in \text{Cat}_\infty$  such that  $J$ -colimits of  $\infty$ -groupoids preserve finite products.

Then  $\text{Filt}(\text{Set}^{<\omega})$  is precisely the collection of all small *sifted*  $\infty$ -categories [Lur09, 5.5.8.1], i.e., those  $J$  such that (i)  $J$  is non-empty, and (ii) the diagonal  $\delta: J \rightarrow J \times J$  is cofinal. (This equivalence is well-known. The main part of the proof is [Lur09, 5.5.8.11-12].)

Sifted colimit completion of  $C$  is studied in [Lur09, 5.5.8], in the special case when  $C$  itself is assumed to have finite coproducts.

**11.9. Distilled  $\infty$ -categories.** Consider the filtering class  $\text{Filt}(\{\Lambda_0^2\})$ , which consists of all  $J \in \text{Cat}_\infty$  such that  $J$ -colimits of  $\infty$ -groupoids preserve pullbacks. This is exactly the class of small *distilled*  $\infty$ -categories, where we say that  $J$  is **distilled** if for every functor  $f: \Lambda_0^2 \rightarrow J$ , the slice  $J_{f/}$  has contractible weak homotopy type. This identification is proved in [Rez21]. Results of that paper show that the class of small distilled  $\infty$ -categories is the regular closure of  $\text{Filt}(\text{Sm}_\omega) \cup \mathcal{S}$ .

**11.10. Weakly contractible  $\infty$ -categories.** The filtering class  $\text{Filt}(\{\emptyset\})$  consists of  $J$  such that  $J$ -colimits of  $\infty$ -groupoids preserve the terminal object, i.e., such that  $\text{colim}_J *$  is contractible. These are precisely the weakly contractible  $\infty$ -categories.

**11.11. Other examples?** The problem of determining  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  when  $\mathcal{F}$  is not already known to be a regular class is unexplored. In practice, one would often like to determine if  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is the cofinal closure of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Here are some interesting possibilities to consider.

- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{S}$ , the class of  $\infty$ -groupoids. We will prove in subsequent work that  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  consists exactly of those  $C$  such that the map  $u: C \rightarrow |C|$  to its groupoid completion is a left adjoint (or what is the same thing: such that there exists a cofinal functor  $G \rightarrow C$  from an  $\infty$ -groupoid).
- $\mathcal{F} = \text{Sm}_\omega$ , the class of  $\omega$ -small  $\infty$ -categories, so that  $C \rightarrow \text{PSh}^{\text{Sm}_\omega}(C)$  is a free finite-colimit completion of  $C$ . It seems plausible that  $\text{Sm}_\omega$  cofinally generates its regular closure.

## 12. APPENDIX: FUNCTORS PRESERVING COLIMITS

In this section I prove a criterion for preservation of colimits by functors which is surely well-known, but for which I have no convenient reference. This proof was suggested to me by Maxime Ramzi.

Given a functor  $f: A \rightarrow B$  of  $\infty$ -categories, define the **oplax path category** of  $f$  to be

$$\text{LPath}(f) := (B \times A) \times_{B \times B} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, B),$$

so that objects of  $\text{LPath}(f)$  correspond to triples  $(b, a, \gamma: b \rightarrow f(a))$  with  $a$  an object of  $A$ ,  $b$  an object of  $B$ , and  $\gamma$  a morphism of  $B$ . That is,  $\text{LPath}(f)$  is the “comma category” of the pair of functors  $B \xrightarrow{\text{id}} B \xleftarrow{f} A$ .

Write  $\text{Path}(f) \subseteq \text{LPath}(f)$  for the **path category**, i.e., the full subcategory spanned by  $(b, a, \gamma)$  such that  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism in  $B$ . We write  $\pi_A: \text{LPath}(f) \rightarrow A$  and  $\pi_B: \text{LPath}(f) \rightarrow B$  for the evident projection functors. Note that the restriction  $\text{Path}(f) \rightarrow A$  of  $\pi_A$  is an equivalence.

**12.1. Proposition.** *Let  $J$  be an  $\infty$ -category, and suppose  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a functor between  $\infty$ -categories which have  $J$ -colimits. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The functor  $f$  preserves all  $J$ -colimits.*
- (2) *The full subcategory  $\text{Path}(f) \subseteq \text{LPath}(f)$  is stable under  $J$ -colimits.*

We will prove this using the following lemma, whose proof we defer to the end of the section.

**12.2. Lemma.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial set, and let  $g: K \rightarrow \text{LPath}(f)$  be a map. If  $\pi_A g$  and  $\pi_B g$  admit colimits in  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, then  $g$  has a colimit, and both  $\pi_A$  and  $\pi_B$  preserve such colimits.*

*Proof of Corollary from the Lemma.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Suppose  $g: J^\triangleright \rightarrow \text{LPath}(f)$  is a colimit diagram such that  $g(J) \subseteq \text{Path}(f)$ . Using (12.2) we see that  $g$  corresponds to a triple  $(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)$  where  $\alpha: J^\triangleright \rightarrow A$  and  $\beta: J^\triangleright \rightarrow B$  are colimit diagrams, and  $\gamma: \beta \rightarrow f\alpha$  is a natural transformation of functors  $J^\triangleright \rightarrow B$  such that  $\gamma|_J$  is a natural isomorphism. Since by hypothesis  $f$  preserves  $J$ -colimits,  $f\alpha: J^\triangleright \rightarrow B$  is also colimit diagram, so  $\gamma$  must be an isomorphism, i.e.,  $g(J^\triangleright) \subseteq \text{Path}(f)$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (1). Suppose  $\alpha: J^\triangleright \rightarrow A$  is a colimit diagram. Since  $B$  has  $J$ -colimits, we can construct a map  $g: J^\triangleright \rightarrow \text{LPath}(f)$  corresponding to the triple  $(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)$ , where  $\beta: J^\triangleright \rightarrow B$  is a colimit diagram, and  $\gamma: \beta \rightarrow f\alpha$  is such that  $\gamma|_J$  is an isomorphism of functors  $J \rightarrow B$ . By (12.2) the map  $g$  is a colimit diagram such that  $g(J) \subseteq \text{Path}(f)$ , and thus by hypothesis  $g(J^\triangleright) \subseteq \text{Path}(f)$ , whence  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism of functors, so  $f\alpha$  is a colimit as desired.  $\square$

Now we turn to the proof of the Lemma. We note that it is a special case of a more general principle for lax and oplax limits of  $\infty$ -categories. Given a functor  $\phi: C \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ , we can form the lax limit  $\text{laxlim}_C \phi$ , or the analogous oplax limit  $\text{oplxlim}_C \phi$ , as in [GHN17]. For instance, our oplax path category is the oplax limit of  $\phi: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$  which represents the diagram  $(f: A \rightarrow B)$ . The general principle asserts that limits in  $\text{laxlim}_C \phi$  can be “constructed and computed pointwise”, and similarly for colimits in  $\text{oplxlim}_C \phi$ . The first statement is proved as [Lin24, 3.9], while the second statement is formally dual to it. Below I’ll give a version of this argument in the special case that we need.

*Proof of the Lemma.* Fix a map of simplicial sets  $f: A \rightarrow B$ . Then there is a map  $p: X \rightarrow \Delta^1$  of simplicial sets with the following properties.

- (1) The simplicial set  $\text{Fun}_{\Delta^1}(\Delta^1, X)$  of global sections is isomorphic to  $\text{LPath}(f)$ .
- (2) This isomorphism restricts to isomorphisms of simplicial sets  $X_0 \approx B$  and  $X_1 \approx A$ , where  $X_s = p^{-1}(s)$  is the fiber of  $p$  over  $s$ .
- (3) If  $A$  and  $B$  are quasicategories, then  $p$  is a Cartesian fibration.

This is by an explicit construction, essentially the *weighted nerve* construction of [Lur21, 025W] (but adapted to produce a Cartesian fibration rather than a coCartesian one). Alternately, it is an example of the *quasi-categorical collage* construction of [RV22, F.5.2], written  $\text{col}(\text{id}_B, f)$  in their notation. Explicitly, an  $n$ -cell in  $X$  is data  $(\sigma, c_A, c_B)$ , consisting of

- a map  $\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^1$  of simplicial sets, and
- a commutative diagram of simplicial sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^{-1}(1) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n \\ c_A \downarrow & & \downarrow c_B \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

where  $\sigma^{-1}(1) \subseteq \Delta^n$  is the preimage of the final vertex of  $\Delta^1$ .

The identifications (1) and (2) are elementary, while (3) is essentially [Lur21, 5.3.3.16] or [RV22, F.5.4], though both of those references rather express the complementary formulation appropriate for coCartesian fibrations.

Given a map  $g: K \rightarrow \text{LPath}(f) = \text{Fun}_{\Delta^1}(\Delta^1, X)$ , write  $g_s: K \rightarrow X_s$  for the restriction the fiber over  $s \in \{0, 1\}$ . Suppose that both  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  admit colimits in their respective fibers. To prove the Lemma, we need to show the following.

- (1) There exists an extension  $\bar{g}: K \diamond \Delta^0 \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\Delta^1}(\Delta^1, X)$  of  $g$  which restricts to a colimit diagram  $\bar{g}_s: K \diamond \Delta^0 \rightarrow X_s$  in each fiber. (Here  $K \diamond L$  is the alternate join of [Lur09, 4.2.1], which in this case is just  $K \diamond \Delta^0 = K \times \Delta^1 / K \times \{1\}$ .)
- (2) An extension  $\bar{g}: K \diamond \Delta^0 \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\Delta^1}(\Delta^1, X)$  of  $g$  is a colimit of  $g$  if and only if each  $\bar{g}_s: K \diamond \Delta^0 \rightarrow X_s$  is a colimit for  $g_s$ .

This is precisely the statement of [Lur09, 5.1.2.2] in the special case that the target of  $p$  is  $\Delta^1$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [ABLR02] Jiří Adámek, Francis Borceux, Stephen Lack, and Jiří Rosický, *A classification of accessible categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **175** (2002), no. 1-3, 7–30. Special volume celebrating the 70th birthday of Professor Max Kelly.
- [GHN17] David Gepner, Rune Haugseng, and Thomas Nikolaus, *Lax colimits and free fibrations in  $\infty$ -categories*, Doc. Math. **22** (2017), 1225–1266.
- [Lin24] Sil Linksens, *Globalizing and stabilizing global  $\infty$ -categories* (2024), available at [arXiv:2401.02264](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.02264).
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [Lur21] ———, *Kerodon* (2021), <https://kerodon.net>.
- [Rez21] Charles Rezk, *Generalizing accessible  $\infty$ -categories* (2021), available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/~rezk/accessible-cat-thoughts.pdf>.
- [RV22] Emily Riehl and Dominic Verity, *Elements of  $\infty$ -category theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 194, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL  
*Email address:* [rezk@illinois.edu](mailto:rezk@illinois.edu)