UNIMODULAR ROWS OVER AFFINE ALGEBRAS OVER ALGEBRAIC CLOSURE OF A FINITE FIELD

SAMPAT SHARMA

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:13C10 Keywords: Unimodular row, nice group structure

> ABSTRACT. In this article, we prove that if R is an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $1/(d-1)! \in R$, then any unimodular row over R of length d can be mapped to a factorial row by elementary transformations.

1. INTRODUCTION

All the rings are assumed to be commutative noetherian with unity 1*.*

In [\[15\]](#page-7-0), R.G. Swan and J. Towber showed that if $(a^2, b, c) \in Um_3(R)$ then it can be completed to an invertible matrix over R. This result of Swan and Towber was generalised by Suslin in $[13]$ who showed that if $(a_0^{r!}, a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in Um_{r+1}(R)$ then it can be completed to an invertible matrix. Rao also studied the unimodular rows over $R[X]$ where R is a local ring in [\[7\]](#page-7-2), [\[8\]](#page-7-3). In [\[10\]](#page-7-4), author studied the unimodular rows over finitely generated rings over $\mathbb Z$ and proved that if R is a finitely generated ring over $\mathbb Z$ of dimension $d, d \geq 2, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$, then any unimodular row over $R[X]$ of length $d+1$ can be mapped to a factorial row by elementary transformations.

In [\[3,](#page-7-5) Theorem 7.5], Fasel, Rao and Swan proved that if R is a normal affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over \mathbb{F}_p and $1/(d-1)! \in R$, then any unimodular row over R of length d can be mapped to a factorial row by elementary transformations. In this article we remove their normality condition. In particular, we prove :

Theorem 1.1. Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $1/(d-1)! \in R$. Let $v =$ $(v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R)$. *Then there exists* $\varepsilon \in E_d(R)$ *such that*

$$
(v_1,\ldots,v_d)\varepsilon=(w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_d^{(d-1)!})
$$

for some $(w_1, \ldots, w_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R)$. *In particular,* $\text{Um}_d(R) = e_1 \text{SL}_d(R)$.

In this article, we consider the action of symplectic matrices on unimodular rows and prove :

Theorem 1.2. Let R be an affine algebra of dimension d over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Let $v \in \text{Um}_d(R)$. Assume *that* $SL_{d+1}(R) \cap E(R) = E_{d+1}(R)$ *. Then v is completable to a symplectic matrix.*

We study the problem of injective stability for affine algebras over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and prove:

2 SAMPAT SHARMA

Theorem 1.3. Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let $\alpha \in SL_d(R) \cap E_{d+1}(R)$. Then α is *isotopic to the identity matrix.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some basic definitions and collect some known results which will be used later in the article.

2.1. Basic definitions and notations.

Definition 2.1. A row $v = (a_0, \ldots, a_r) \in R^{r+1}$ is said to be unimodular if there is a $w = (b_0, \ldots, b_r) \in R^{r+1}$ with $\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{r} a_i b_i = 1$ and $Um_{r+1}(R)$ will denote the set of unimodular rows (over R) of length $r + 1$.

The elementary linear group $E_{r+1}(R)$ acts on the rows of length $r + 1$ by right multiplication. Moreover, this action takes unimodular rows to unimodular rows : $\frac{Um_{r+1}(R)}{E_{r+1}(R)}$ will denote the set of orbits of this action; and we shall denote by $[v]$ the equivalence class of a row v under this equivalence relation.

Definition 2.2. A unimodular row $v \in Um_n(R)$ is said to be completable if there exists a matrix $\alpha \in GL_n(R)$ such that $v = e_1 \alpha$.

Notation 2.3. Let $\psi_1 =$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\psi_n = \psi_{n-1} \perp \psi_1$ for $n > 1$.

Notation 2.4. Let σ be the permutation of the natural numbers given by $\sigma(2i) = 2i - 1$ and $\sigma(2i - 1) = 2i$. **Definition 2.5.** $Sp_{2m}(R)$: the group of all $2m \times 2m$ matrices $\{\alpha \in GL_{2m}(R) \mid \alpha^t \psi_m \alpha = \psi_m\}$. **Definition 2.6.** $ESp_{2m}(R)$: We define for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2m, z \in R$,

$$
se_{ij}(z) = \begin{cases} I_{2m} + zE_{ij}, & \text{if } i = \sigma(j); \\ I_{2m} + zE_{ij} - (-1)^{i+j} zE_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)}, & \text{if } i \neq \sigma(j). \end{cases}
$$

It is easy to verify that all these matrices belong to $Sp_{2m}(R)$. We call them the elementary symplectic matrices over R . The subgroup generated by them is called the elementary symplectic group and is denoted by $ESp_{2m}(R)$.

Definition 2.7. Let I be an ideal of a ring R. A unimodular row $v \in Um_n(R)$ which is congruent to e_1 modulo I is called unimodular relative to ideal I. Set of unimodular rows relative to ideal I will be denoted by $Um_n(R, I).$

Let I be an ideal of a ring R. We shall denote by $GL_n(R, I)$ the kernel of the canonical mapping $GL_n(R) \longrightarrow$ $GL_n(\frac{R}{I}).$ Let $SL_n(R, I)$ denotes the subgroup of $GL_n(R, I)$ of elements of determinant 1.

Let I be an ideal of a ring R. We shall denote by $Sp_{2m}(R, I)$ the kernel of the canonical mapping $Sp_{2m}(R) \longrightarrow Sp_{2m}(\frac{R}{I}).$

Definition 2.8. Let I be an ideal of R. The elementary group $E_n(I)$ is the subgroup of $E_n(R)$ generated as a group by the elements $e_{ij}(x)$, $x \in I$, $1 \le i \ne j \le n$.

The relative elementary group $E_n(R, I)$ is the normal closure of $E_n(I)$ in $E_n(R)$.

Definition 2.9. Let I be an ideal of R. The elementary symplectic group $ESp_{2m}(I)$ is the subgroup of $ESp_{2m}(R)$ generated as a group by the elements $se_{ij}(x), x \in I, 1 \le i \ne j \le 2m$.

The relative elementary symplectic group $ESp_{2m}(R, I)$ is the normal closure of $ESp_{2m}(I)$ in $ESp_{2m}(R)$.

2.2. The Suslin matrices. First recall the Suslin matrix $S_r(v, w)$. These were defined by Suslin in [\[13,](#page-7-1) Section 5]. We recall his inductive process : Let $v = (a_0, v_1), w = (b_0, w_1)$, where $v_1, w_1 \in M_{1,r}(R)$. Set $S_0(v, w) =$ a_0 and set

$$
S_r(v, w) = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 I_{2r-1} & S_{r-1}(v_1, w_1) \ -S_{r-1}(w_1, v_1)^T & b_0 I_{2r-1} \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The process is reversible and given a Suslin matrix $S_r(v, w)$ one can recover the associated rows v, w, i.e. the pair (v, w) .

In [\[12\]](#page-7-6), Suslin proves that if $\langle v, w \rangle = v \cdot w^T = 1$, then by row and column operations one can reduce $S_r(v, w)$ to a matrix $\beta_r(v, w)$ of size $r + 1$ whose first row is $(a_0, a_1, a_2^2 \cdots, a_r^r)$. This in particular proves that rows of such type can be completed to an invertible matrix of determinant 1. We call $\beta_r(v, w)$ to be a compressed Suslin matrix.

2.3. Some assorted results. We state two results from [\[16,](#page-7-7) Corollary 17.3, Corollary 18.1, Theorem 18.2].

Theorem 2.1. Let R be an affine C-algebra of dimension $d \geq 2$, where C is either a subfield F of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ or $C = \mathbb{Z}$ *. Then*

- If $d = 2$, then $E_3(R)$ acts transitively on $Um_3(R)$.
- If $d \geq 3$, then $sr(R) \leq d$. As a consequence, $E_{d+1}(R)$ acts transitively on $Um_{d+1}(R)$.

We will state the part of Swan's Bertini needed in our proof [\[14,](#page-7-8) Theorems 1.3, 1.4] (see also [\[5,](#page-7-9) Theorem 2.3]).

Theorem 2.2. *Let* R *be a geometrically reduced affine ring of dimension* d *over an infinite field* k *and* $(a, a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathrm{Um}_{r+1}(R)$. Then there exist $b_1, \ldots, b_r \in R$ such that if $a' = a + a_1b_1 + \ldots + a_rb_r$, *then* R/(a ′) *is a reduced affine algebra of dimension* d − 1 *which is smooth at all smooth points of* R*.*

We note a result of Fasel, Rao and Swan in [\[14,](#page-7-8) Theorems 7.5].

Theorem 2.3. Let R be a smooth affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 3$ over an algebraically closed field k and $(gcd(d-1)!, char(k)) = 1.$ *Let* $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R)$. *Then there exists* $\varepsilon \in E_d(R)$ *such that*

$$
v\varepsilon = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d^{(d-1)!})
$$

for some $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R)$.

Proof of the above theorem implicitly shows the following :

Remark 2.4. Let R be a smooth affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 3$ over an algebraically closed field k and $gcd(2m, char(k)) = 1$. Let $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in Um_d(R)$. Then there exists $\varepsilon \in E_d(R)$ such that

$$
v\varepsilon=(w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_d^{2m})
$$

for some $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R)$.

Next we note a result of Vaserstein [\[16,](#page-7-7) Lemma 5.5].

Lemma 2.5. *Let* R *be a ring. Then for any* $m \geq 1$, $E_{2m}(R)e_1 = (\text{Sp}_{2m}(R) \cap E_{2m}(R))e_1$.

Remark 2.6. It was observed in [\[2,](#page-6-0) Lemma 2.13] that Vaserstein's proof actually shows that $E_{2m}(R)e_1 =$ $ESp_{2m}(R)e_1$.

We recall a result of Basu, Chattopadhyay and Rao [\[1,](#page-6-1) Lemma 2.13].

Lemma 2.7. Let R be a ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and $S_r(v, w) \in SL_{2^r}(R, I)$ and $r \geq d$ for $v, w \in$ $Um_{r+1}(R, I)$ *satisfying* $\langle v, w \rangle = 1$. Let us assume that $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_{J_r} \in \text{ESp}_{J_r}(R, I)$ $such that S_r(v, w) \varepsilon_{J_r} = (I_{2^r-k} \perp \gamma) \varepsilon$ for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}_k(R, I)$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{E}_{2^r}(R, I)$. Here $k = d+1$ if d is odd *and* $k = d$ *if d is even.*

3. COMPLETION TO A SPECIAL LINEAR MATRIX

In this section we prove that unimodular rows of length d over R can be elementarily mapped to a factorial row when R is an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $1/(d-1)! \in R$. We also prove this result in the relative case.

Theorem 3.1. Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $1/(d-1)! \in R$. Let $v =$ $(v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R)$. *Then there exists* $\varepsilon \in E_d(R)$ *such that*

$$
(v_1,\ldots,v_d)\varepsilon=(w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_d^{(d-1)!})
$$

for some $(w_1, \ldots, w_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R)$. *In particular,* $\text{Um}_d(R) = e_1 \text{SL}_d(R)$.

Proof : In view of [\[7,](#page-7-2) Remark 1.4.3], we may assume that R is reduced. Let J be the ideal defining singular locus of R. Since R is a reduced ring, ht(J) \geq 1. Let $\overline{R} = R/J$. Thus dim(\overline{R}) $\leq d - 1$ and $\overline{v} = (\overline{v}_1, \ldots, \overline{v}_d) \in \text{Um}_d(\overline{R})$. In view of Theorem [2.1,](#page-2-0) sr $(\overline{R}) \leq d-1$. Thus there exist $\overline{\lambda}_2, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_d \in \overline{R}$ such that $(\overline{v}_2', \ldots, \overline{v}_d') \in \text{Um}_{d-1}(\overline{R})$, where $\overline{v}_i' = \overline{v}_i + \overline{\lambda_i v_1}$, $2 \le i \le d$. Note that $[(\overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2, \ldots, \overline{v}_d)] = [(\overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2', \ldots, \overline{v}_d')]$.

Since (\overline{v}_2) $\overline{v}'_2,\ldots,\overline{v}'_d$ d'_{d}) \in Um_{d–1}(\overline{R}), we can elementarily transform ($\overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2'$ $\overline{v}'_2,\ldots,\overline{v}'_d$ $\binom{d}{d}$ to $(1,0,\ldots,0)$. Thus we may assume that $(v_1, \ldots, v_d) \equiv e_1 \mod J$. Now in view of the Theorem [2.2,](#page-2-1) we add multiples of v_2, \ldots, v_d to v_1 to transform it to v'_1 such that $R/(v'_1)$ is smooth at the smooth points of R. Since $v'_1 \equiv 1 \mod J$, $R/(v'_1)$ is smooth of dimension $d - 1$.

Thus in view of the Remark [2.4,](#page-2-2) there exists $\overline{\varepsilon} \in E_{d-1}(\overline{R})$ such that $(\overline{v}_2, \ldots, \overline{v}_d)\overline{\varepsilon} = (\overline{w}_2, \ldots, \overline{w}_d^{(d-1)!})$ $\binom{(u-1)!}{d}$. Let ε be a lift of $\overline{\varepsilon}$ and upon making appropriate elementary transformations we get

$$
(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d) \varepsilon = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d^{(d-1)!}).
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and let $v \in \text{Um}_d(R, I)$ for some ideal $I \subset R$. Let J denotes the ideal defining singular locus of R. Then there exists $\varepsilon \in E_d(R, I)$ such that $v\varepsilon = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d)$ *with* $u_1 \equiv 1$ *mod* $(I \cap J)$.

Proof : Let $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R, I)$ and $\overline{R} = R/J$. We have $\overline{v} = (\overline{v_1}, \overline{v_2}, \dots, \overline{v_d}) \in \text{Um}_d(\overline{R}, \overline{I})$. In view of the Theorem [2.1,](#page-2-0) sr(\overline{R}) $\leq d-1$. Thus upon adding multiples of \overline{v}_d to $\overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2, \ldots, \overline{v_{d-1}}$, we may assume that $(\overline{v_1}, \overline{v_2}, \ldots, \overline{v_{d-1}}) \in \mathrm{Um}_{d-1}(\overline{R}, \overline{I}).$ Let $(\overline{w_1}, \overline{w_2}, \ldots, \overline{w_{d-1}}) \in \mathrm{Um}_{d-1}(\overline{R}, \overline{I})$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \overline{v_i w_i} = \overline{1}$. Let

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & (1 - \overline{v_d}) \overline{w_1} \\ \overline{0} & \overline{1} & \dots & (1 - \overline{v_d}) \overline{w_2} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \dots & (1 - \overline{v_d}) \overline{w_{d-1}} \\ \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \dots & \overline{1} \end{bmatrix} \in E_d(\overline{R}).
$$

Then $(\overline{v_1}, \overline{v_2}, \ldots, \overline{v_d})\overline{\varepsilon_1} = (\overline{v_1}, \overline{v_2}, \ldots, \overline{v_{d-1}}, \overline{1}).$ There exists $\overline{\varepsilon_2} \in E_d(\overline{R}, \overline{I})$ such that $(\overline{v_1}, \overline{v_2}, \ldots, \overline{v_{d-1}}, \overline{1})\overline{\varepsilon_2} =$ $(\overline{1}, \overline{0}, \ldots, \overline{0}, \overline{1})$. Now $(\overline{1}, \overline{0}, \ldots, \overline{0}, \overline{1}) \overline{\varepsilon_1}^{-1} = (\overline{1}, \overline{0}, \ldots, \overline{0}, \overline{1} - (1 - \overline{v_d}) \overline{w_1})$. Since $1 - (1 - \overline{v_d}) \overline{w_1} = \overline{0} \mod \overline{I}$, there exists $\overline{\varepsilon_3} \in E_d(\overline{R}, \overline{I})$ such that $(\overline{1}, \overline{0}, \dots, \overline{0}, 1 - (1 - \overline{v_d})\overline{w_1})\overline{\varepsilon_3} = (\overline{1}, \overline{0}, \dots, \overline{0}, \overline{0}).$ Let $\overline{\varepsilon} = \overline{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}^{-1} \overline{\varepsilon_3} \in$ $\text{E}_d(\overline{R}, \overline{I})$. Let $\varepsilon \in \text{E}_d(R, I)$ be a lift of $\overline{\varepsilon}$. Then we have $v\varepsilon = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d)$ with $u_1 \equiv 1 \mod (I \cap J)$. \Box

Theorem 3.3. Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and let $v \in \text{Um}_d(R, I)$ for some ideal $I \subset R$. Then there exists $\varepsilon \in E_d(R, I)$ such that

$$
v\varepsilon=(w_1,\ldots,w_d^{(d-1)!})
$$

for some $(w_1, \ldots, w_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R, I)$.

Proof : Let $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in \text{Um}_d(R, I)$ and J be the ideal defining singular locus of R. In view of the Lemma [3.2,](#page-3-0) we may assume that $v_1 \equiv 1 \mod (I \cap J)$. Let $v_1 = 1 - \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in I \cap J$. Note that $(v_1, \ldots, v_d) \underset{\mathbf{E}_d(R, I)}{\sim} (v_1, \lambda v_2, \ldots, \lambda v_d)$. Now in view of the Theorem [2.2,](#page-2-1) we add multiples of $\lambda v_2, \ldots, \lambda v_d$ to v_1 , to transform it to v'_1 such that $R/(v'_1)$ is smooth outside the singular set of R. Since $v'_1 \equiv 1 \mod J$, $R/(v'_1)$ is a smooth affine algebra of dimension $d - 1$.

Let $v'_1 = 1 - \eta$ for some $\eta \in I \cap J$. Note that in $\overline{R} = R/(v'_1)$, we have $\overline{\eta} = \overline{1}$. In view of the Theorem [2.3,](#page-2-3) there exists $\overline{\varepsilon} \in E_{d-1}(\overline{R}) (= E_{d-1}(\overline{\eta}))$ such that $(\overline{\lambda v_2}, \dots, \overline{\lambda v_d})\overline{\varepsilon} = (\overline{w_2}, \dots, \overline{w_d}^{(d-1)!})$. Let $\varepsilon \in E_{d-1}(\eta) \subset$ $E_{d-1}(I)$ be a lift of $\overline{\varepsilon}$. Therefore $(\lambda v_2, \dots, \lambda v_d)\varepsilon = (w_2, \dots, w_d^{(d-1)!}) \text{ mod } (Iv'_1)$. Thus, we have

$$
(v_1, ..., v_d)
$$
 $\underset{\mathbf{E}_d(R, I)}{\sim} (v'_1, \lambda v_2, ..., \lambda v_d)$
 $\underset{\mathbf{E}_d(R, I)}{\sim} (w_1, w_2, ..., w_d^{(d-1)!})$, where $w_1 = v'_1$.

 \Box

Now we consider the problem of injective stability and prove that stably elementary matrices of size d are isotopic to the identity. To prove this we need the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let $v \in \text{Um}_d(R)$ be such that $v \equiv e_1 \mod(s)$ *for some* $s \in R$. Then there exists $\sigma \in SL_d(R)$ with $\sigma \equiv I_d \mod(s)$ such that $v = e_1 \sigma$.

Proof : Let $S = R[X]/(X^2 - sX)$. Then S is an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Since $v \equiv e_1 \mod(s)$, let $v = e_1 + sw$ for some $w \in R^d$. Let $u(X) = e_1 + Xw$.

Claim : $u(X) \in \text{Um}_d(S)$.

Proof of the claim : Since $v = e_1 + sw$, there exist $w'_1, \ldots, w'_d \in R$ such that

 $(1 + sw_1)w'_1 + sw_2w'_2 + \cdots + sw_dw'_d = 1.$

Suppose to the contrary that $u(X) \notin \text{Um}_d(S)$. Thus $\langle 1 + Xw_1, Xw_2, \ldots, Xw_d \rangle \subset \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S)$. Therefore

$$
(1+Xw_1)w'_1 + \dots + Xw_d w'_d = w'_1 + X(w_1w'_1 + \dots + w_d w'_d)
$$

= 1 + (X - s)(w_1w'_1 + \dots + w_d w'_d) \in \mathfrak{p}.

Since $X(X - s) = 0$ in $S, X \in \mathfrak{p}$. As $1 + Xw_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$, $1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ which is not possible. Thus $u(X) \in \text{Um}_d(S)$.

Now $u(s) = v$ and $u(0) = e_1$. By Theorem [3.1,](#page-3-1) there exists $\alpha(X) \in SL_d(S)$ such that $u(X) = e_1 \alpha(X)$. Upon taking $\sigma = \alpha(0)^{-1} \alpha(s)$, we have $v = e_1 \sigma$ and $\sigma \equiv I_d \mod(s)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let $\alpha \in SL_d(R) \cap E_{d+1}(R)$. Then α is *isotopic to the identity matrix.*

Proof : Since $\alpha \in SL_d(R) \cap E_{d+1}(R)$, there exists a $\beta(T) \in E_{d+1}(R[T])$ such that

$$
\beta(0) = I_{d+1} \text{ and } \beta(1) = 1 \perp \alpha.
$$

Let $A = R[T], s = T^2 - T$ and $v = e_1\beta(T)$. By Proposition [3.4,](#page-4-0) there exists $\gamma(T) \in SL_{d+1}(R[T], (s))$ such that $v = e_1 \gamma(T)$. Therefore $e_1 \beta(T) \gamma(T)^{-1} = e_1$. Thus

$$
\beta(T)\gamma(T)^{-1} = (1 \perp \eta(T))\Pi_{i=2}^{d+1}E_{i1}(f_i(T)),
$$

where $\eta(T) \in SL_d(R[T])$ and $f_i(T) \in R[T]$ with the property that $f_i(0) = f_i(1) = 0$ for $2 \le i \le d+1$. Now,

$$
\beta(0)\gamma(0)^{-1} = I_{d+1} = 1 \perp \eta(0).
$$

Since $\beta(1) = 1 \perp \alpha$, $\gamma(1) = I_{d+1}$, we have

$$
\beta(1)\gamma(1)^{-1} = (1 \perp \alpha)I_{d+1} = 1 \perp \eta(1).
$$

Thus $\eta(T)$ is an isotopy from α to I_d .

4. COMPLETION TO A SYMPLECTIC MATRIX

In this section, we prove that symplectic matrices of size d acts transitively on unimodular rows of length d when $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* R *be an affine algebra of dimension d over* $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ *and* $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. *Let* $v \in \text{Um}_d(R)$. *Assume that* $SL_{d+1}(R) \cap E(R) = E_{d+1}(R)$ *. Then v is completable to a symplectic matrix.*

Proof : Let $d = 2$ and $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \text{Um}_2(R)$. Let $w_1, w_2 \in R$ be such that $v_1w_1 + v_2w_2 = 1$. Consider

$$
\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \\ -w_2 & w_1 \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(R) = Sp_2(R).
$$

Thus *v* is completable to a symplectic matrix.

Thus we may assume that $d \ge 6$. In view of Theorem [3.1,](#page-3-1) there exists $\varepsilon \in E_d(R)$ such that $v \varepsilon = \chi_{d}(v_1)$ for some $v_1 \in \text{Um}_d(R)$. By Remark [2.6,](#page-3-2) there exists $\varepsilon_1 \in \text{ESp}_d(R)$ such that $v\varepsilon_1 = \chi_{d!}(v_1)$. Let $w_1 \in \text{Um}_d(R)$ be such that $\langle v_1, w_1 \rangle = 1$. By Lemma [2.7,](#page-3-3) we have $\varepsilon_{J_{d-1}} \in \mathrm{ESp}_{J_{d-1}}(R)$ such that

$$
S_{d-1}(v,w)\varepsilon_{J_{d-1}} = (I_{2^{d-1}-d} \perp \gamma)\varepsilon_2
$$

for some $\gamma \in \text{Sp}_d(R)$ and $\varepsilon_2 \in E_{2^{d-1}}(R)$. Therefore $S_{d-1}(v, w)$ and γ are stably elementary equivalent. One knows that $S_{d-1}(v_1, w_1)$ and $\beta_{d-1}(v_1, w_1)$ are stably elementary equivalent, we have $\beta_{d-1}(v_1, w_1)\gamma^{-1} \in$ $SL_d(R) \cap E_{d+1}(R)$. By [\[4,](#page-7-10) Corollary 3.9], first row of an 1-stably elementary matrix is elementarily completable. Thus $e_1 \beta_{d-1}(v_1, w_1) \gamma^{-1} = e_1 \delta'$ for some $\delta' \in E_d(R)$. Now by Remark [2.6,](#page-3-2) $e_1 \delta' = e_1 \delta$ for some $\delta \in \mathrm{ESp}_d(R)$. Therefore

$$
e_1 \beta_{d-1}(v_1, w_1) \gamma^{-1} = e_1 \delta.
$$

Thus $\chi_{d!}(v_1) = e_1 \beta_{d-1}(v_1, w_1) = e_1 \delta \gamma$. Since $v \varepsilon_1 = \chi_{d!}(v_1)$, we have $v = e_1 \delta \gamma \varepsilon_1^{-1}$ and $\delta \gamma \varepsilon_1^{-1} \in Sp_d(R)$. \Box

Proposition 4.2. *Let* R *be an affine algebra of dimension* d *over* $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ *and* $d \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 4$ *. Let* $v \in \text{Um}_d(R)$ *be such that* $v \equiv e_1 \mod(s)$ *for some* $s \in R$. Assume that $SL_{d+1}(R) \cap E(R) = E_{d+1}(R)$. Then there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sp}_d(R)$ *be such that* $\sigma \equiv I_d \pmod{s}$ *and* $v = e_1 \sigma$.

Proof : The arguments of this proof are very similar to the proof of Proposition [3.4.](#page-4-0)

The next result shows that a stably elementary symplectic matrix over R is isotopic to the identity matrix under certain conditions when R is an affine algebra of dimension d over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Theorem 4.3. Let R be an affine algebra of dimension d over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Assume that $1/(d+1)! \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $d \equiv$ 1 (*mod* 4). *Further assume that* $SL_{d+1}(R) \cap E(R) = E_{d+1}(R)$. Let $\sigma \in Sp_{d-1}(R) \cap ESp_{d+1}(R)$. Then σ is *isotopic to the identity.*

Proof: Since $\sigma \in \text{Sp}_{d-1}(R) \cap \text{ESp}_{d+1}(R)$, there exists $\delta(T) \in \text{ESp}_{d+1}(R[T])$ be such that $\delta(1) = I_2 \perp$ $\sigma, \delta(0) = I_{d+1}$. Let $v(T) = e_1 \delta(T) \in \text{Um}_{d+1}(R[T], (T^2 - T))$. By Proposition [4.2,](#page-6-2) $v(T) = e_1 \alpha(T)$ for some $\alpha(T) \in Sp_{d+1}(R[T])$ and $\alpha(T) \equiv I_{d+1} \mod (T^2 - T)$. Thus $e_1 \delta(T) = e_1 \alpha(T)$. Therefore one has $e_1\delta(T)\alpha(T)^{-1} = e_1$. Now note that $\delta(T)\alpha(T)^{-1}$ is an isotopy of $I_2 \perp \sigma$ to I_{d+1} . The matrix $\delta(T)\alpha(T)^{-1}$ looks like

$$
\delta(T)\alpha(T)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 1 & * \\ * & 0 & \eta(T) \end{bmatrix}
$$

for some $\eta(T) \in Sp_{d-1}(R[T], (T^2 - T))$. Now note that $\eta(0) = I_{d-1}$ and $\eta(1) = \sigma$. Thus σ is isotopic to the identity.

REFERENCES

- [1] Basu R., Chattopadhyay P., Rao R.A. (2011). *Some remarks on symplectic injective stability*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 139 (7) 2317–2325. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2010-10654-8
- [2] Chattopadhyay P., Rao R.A. (2016). *Equality of elementary linear and symplectic orbits with respect to an alternating form*, J. Algebra 451, 46–64. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.12.001

8 SAMPAT SHARMA

- [3] Fasel F., Rao R.A., Swan R.G. (2012). *On stably free modules over affine algebras*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 116, 223–243. ´ DOI : https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-012-0041-y
- [4] Keshari M.K., Sharma S. (2022) *Applications of Swan's Bertini to unimodular rows*, https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.11132.
- [5] Murthy M.P. (1994). *Zero cycles and projective modules*, Ann. Math. 140 405–434. DOI : https://doi.org/10.2307/2118605
- [6] Quillen D. (1976) *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. 36 , 167–171. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01390008
- [7] Rao R.A. (1988). *The Bass-Quillen conjecture in dimension three but characteristic* $\neq 2, 3$ *via a question of A. Suslin*, Invent. Math. 93, no. 3, 609–618. URL :<http://eudml.org/doc/143610>
- [8] Rao R.A. (1991). *On completing unimodular polynomial vectors of length three*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 325, no. 1, 231–239. DOI : https://doi.org/10.2307/2001668
- [9] Serre J-P. (1955). *Faisceaux algebriques coherent*, Ann. Math. 61, 197–278. URL : https://www.jstor.org/stable/1969915
- [10] Sharma S. (2021). *Completion of unimodular rows over polynomial extension of finitely generated rings over* Z, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 225 (2), 106507. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2020.106507
- [11] Suslin A.A. (1977). *On the structure of special linear group over polynomial rings*, Math. USSR Izv. 11, 221–238. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1070/IM1977v011n02ABEH001709
- [12] Suslin A.A. (1991). K*- theory and* K*- cohomology of certain group varieties*, Algebraic K- theory, 53–74, Adv. Soviet Math. 4, Providence, RI.
- [13] Suslin A.A. (1977). *On stably free modules*, Math. USSR-Sb. 31, no. 4, 479–491. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1070/SM1977v031n04ABEH003717
- [14] Swan R.G. (1974). *A cancellation theorem for projective modules in metastable range*, Invent. Math. 27, 23–43. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01389963
- [15] Swan R.G., Towber J. (1975). *A class of projective modules which are nearly free*, J. Algebra 36, 427–434. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(75)90143-X
- [16] Vaserstein L.N., Suslin A.A. (1976). *Serre's problem on projective modules*, Math. USSR Izv. 10, no. 5, 937–1001. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01078602

SAMPAT SHARMA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, IIT MANDI, MANDI 175005 (H.P), INDIA *E-mail:* Sampat Sharma <sampat@iitmandi.ac.in; sampat.iiserm@gmail.com>