

THE RELATIVE MINIMAL MODEL PROGRAM FOR EXCELLENT ALGEBRAIC SPACES AND ANALYTIC SPACES IN EQUAL CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

SHIJI LYU AND TAKUMI MURAYAMA

ABSTRACT. We establish the relative minimal model program with scaling for projective morphisms of quasi-excellent algebraic spaces admitting dualizing complexes, quasi-excellent formal schemes admitting dualizing complexes, semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces, rigid analytic spaces, Berkovich spaces, and adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over a field, all in equal characteristic zero. To do so, we prove finite generation of relative adjoint rings associated to projective morphisms of such spaces using the strategy of Cascini and Lazić and the generalization of the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem to the scheme setting recently established by the second author. To prove these results uniformly, we prove GAGA theorems for Grothendieck duality and dualizing complexes to reduce to the algebraic case. In addition, we apply our methods to establish the relative minimal model program with scaling for spaces of the form above in dimensions ≤ 3 in positive and mixed characteristic, and to show that one can run the relative minimal model program with scaling for complex analytic spaces without shrinking the base at each step.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	2
Part I. Preliminaries for schemes and algebraic spaces		9
2.	Quasi-excellence, excellence, and dualizing complexes	9
3.	Divisors and linear systems	10
4.	Positivity, the theorem of the base, cones, and Kleiman’s criterion for ampleness	14
5.	Relatively big \mathbf{R} -invertible sheaves	24
6.	Canonical sheaves, canonical divisors, and singularities of pairs	31
7.	Base loci and restricted linear systems	33
8.	Convex sets in $\mathrm{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$ and relative divisorial graded rings	34
9.	Asymptotic order of vanishing	37
Part II. Bertini theorems and fundamental theorems of the MMP		37
10.	Bertini theorems	37
11.	Basepoint-free, Contraction, Rationality, and Cone theorems	40
Part III. Finite generation of relative adjoint rings		47
12.	Statements of theorems	47
13.	$\mathcal{E}_A(V)$ is a rational polytope	48
14.	Lifting sections	50
15.	$\mathcal{B}_A^S(V)$ is a rational polytope	56
16.	Finite generation	58
17.	Finite generation for klt pairs	61

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14E30; Secondary 13F40, 14G22, 32C15, 14J30.

Key words and phrases. minimal model program, excellent scheme, algebraic spaces, analytic spaces, threefolds. Murayama was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. DMS-1902616 and DMS-2201251.

18.	Rationality, Cone, and Contraction theorems revisited	65
Part IV.	The relative MMP with scaling for schemes and algebraic spaces	68
19.	Birational contractions and \mathbf{Q} -factoriality	68
20.	Existence of flips	69
21.	Existence and termination of the relative MMP with scaling	73
22.	Existence of \mathbf{Q} -factorializations and terminalizations for schemes	78
Part V.	Extensions to other categories	81
23.	Quasi-excellence and dualizing complexes	81
24.	Grothendieck duality, dualizing complexes, and GAGA	84
25.	Setup for the relative MMP with scaling	92
26.	The relative MMP with scaling (Proofs of Theorems A, A^p , and B)	96
Part VI.	Additional results in other categories	99
27.	The relative MMP with scaling for complex analytic spaces without shrinking	99
28.	Basepoint-free and Contraction theorems without dualizing complexes	100
	References	102

1. INTRODUCTION

In [BCHM10; HM10], Birkar, Cascini, Hacon, and McKernan established the relative minimal model program with scaling for projective morphisms of complex quasi-projective varieties. Recently, Villalobos-Paz [VP] established the analogue of this result for algebraic spaces of finite type over a field of characteristic zero, and Fujino [Fuj] and Das–Hacon–Păun [DHP] established the analogue for complex analytic spaces.

The goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem. This shows one can give a unified proof of the relative minimal model program with scaling established in [BCHM10; VP; Fuj; DHP] that simultaneously applies to other, larger categories of spaces, with appropriate choices of scaling divisors A . Note that projective morphisms occur naturally in all categories considered: For example, if X is a space in one of the categories below, then resolutions of singularities $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ as produced in [Hir64; AHV77; Sch99; Tem08; Tem12; Tem18] are projective morphisms. Together with these results on resolutions of singularities, the vanishing theorems in [KMM87; Nak87; Mur], and the weak factorization theorems in [Wło03; AKMW02; AT19], Theorem A shows that we now have many of the key tools of complex birational geometry available in these other categories of spaces.

Theorem A (The relative minimal model program with scaling in equal characteristic zero). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism in one of the following categories, where X and Z are integral and X is normal:*

- (0) *The category of excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S admitting dualizing complexes.*
- (I) *The category of quasi-excellent Noetherian formal schemes of equal characteristic zero admitting c -dualizing complexes.*
- (II) *The category of semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces.*
- (III) *The category of k -analytic spaces over a complete non-Archimedean field k of characteristic zero.*
- (III') *The category of rigid k -analytic spaces over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k of characteristic zero.*

- (IV) *The category of adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k of characteristic zero.*

Let K_X be a canonical divisor on X chosen compatibly with a dualizing complex on Z .¹

Suppose X is \mathbf{Q} -factorial over Z (or \mathbf{Q} -factorial in case (0)) and let Δ be a \mathbf{Q} -divisor such that (X, Δ) is klt. Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf on X such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) A is π -ample.
- (ii) $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π -nef.

Then, the relative minimal model program with scaling of A over Z exists. Moreover, we have the following properties.

- (1) *The relative minimal model program with scaling of A over Z terminates after a finite sequence of flips and divisorial contractions over every affinoid subdomain² $U \subseteq Z$ for which there exists a rational number $c \in (-\infty, 1]$ such that $(cK_X + \Delta)|_U$ is $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ -big.*
- (2) *If there exists an affinoid covering $Z = \bigcup_j U_j$ such that each U_j satisfies the condition in (1), then the relative minimal model program with scaling of A over Z yields a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) & \dashrightarrow & (X_m, \Delta_m) \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi_m \\ & & Z \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where $X \dashrightarrow X_m$ is a rational/meromorphic map and for each j , $\pi_m^{-1}(U_j) \rightarrow U_j$ is either the relative analytification of a minimal model over U_j (when $(K_X + \Delta)|_{\pi^{-1}(U_j)}$ is π -pseudoeffective) or the relative analytification of a Mori fibration over U_j (when $(K_X + \Delta)|_{\pi^{-1}(U_j)}$ is not π -pseudoeffective).

- (3) *If $(K_X + \Delta)|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is not π -pseudoeffective for every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$, then the relative minimal model program with scaling of A terminates in finitely many steps and yields a commutative diagram (1) where $X \dashrightarrow X_m$ is a meromorphic map and π_m is the relative analytification of a Mori fibration over every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$.*

We note the hypotheses on the scaling divisor A can be weakened in case (0). See Theorems 21.7 and 21.8 and Corollaries 21.9 and 21.10. In case (0), the partially defined map $X \dashrightarrow X_m$ is rational in the sense of [Stacks, Tag 0EMM]. In case (II), the partially defined map $X \dashrightarrow X_m$ is meromorphic in the sense of Remmert [Rem57, Def. 15] (see also [Pet94, Definition 1.7]). In cases (III), (III'), and (IV), the partially defined map $X \dashrightarrow X_m$ is meromorphic in the sense of Morrow and Rosso [MR23, Definition 3.2].

In addition to the results in [BCHM10; VP; Fuj; DHP] mentioned above, as far as we are aware, the only known case of (I), (III), (III'), and (IV) is the case when X is a rigid analytic surface. In this case, the relative minimal model program is known [Uen87; Mit11], and also holds when $\text{char}(k) > 0$. For case (0), the relative minimal model program for schemes holds without the assumption on characteristic in dimension 2 [Sha66; Lic68; Lip69; Tan18] and for residue characteristics $\notin \{2, 3, 5\}$ in dimension 3 [Kaw94; Kol21₂; TY23; BMPSTWW; Sti]. The relative minimal model program for morphisms $X \rightarrow Z$ where X is either a three-dimensional algebraic space over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero or a three-dimensional complex analytic space that is Moishezon locally over Z is proved in [Sho96].

¹For example, when Z is a variety over k or in cases (II), (III), (III'), and (IV), we can choose K_X so that $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{sm}}}(K_X)|_{X_{\text{sm}}} = \det(\Omega_{X_{\text{sm}}/k})$ where X_{sm} is the smooth locus of X .

²In cases (0) for schemes or (I), we mean ‘‘affine open.’’ In case (0), we mean ‘‘étale affine.’’

1.1. Key points in the proof of Theorem A. We now discuss three key elements in the proof of Theorem A. The first key point is the following:

Key point 1. *All rings appearing in Theorem A are excellent. Thus, it suffices to prove our results on the minimal model program in the algebraic setting for schemes or algebraic spaces and then use GAGA-type theorems.*

Theorem A therefore illustrates the power of working in the general context of excellent rings and schemes: All rings appearing in these different contexts are excellent [Fri67; Mat73; Kie69; Con99; Duc09], and hence we can use the GAGA theorems from [Ser56; EGAI11; Köp74; Ber93; Hub07; Poi10; AT19] to move between the algebraic and analytic settings. To implement this strategy in this paper, we prove GAGA-type theorems for dualizing complexes and Grothendieck duality in §24, which allow us to move from settings (I), (II), (III), (III'), and (IV) to the algebraic setting. This strategy using GAGA was previously used by Schoutens [Sch99] (in the rigid analytic case) and Temkin [Tem12; Tem18] for resolutions of singularities, by Mitsui [Mit11] for the bimeromorphic geometry of rigid analytic surfaces, and by Abramovich and Temkin [AT19] for weak factorization of birational maps. However, as far as we are aware, our GAGA-type theorems for Grothendieck duality and dualizing complexes are new in cases (II), (III), (III'), and (IV) (the case for formal schemes is proved in [ATJLL99]). In case (IV), we prove GAGA-type theorems comparing Grothendieck duality for schemes with the Grothendieck duality results for adic spaces that have only recently become available as a consequence of Clausen and Scholze's theory of condensed mathematics [CS19; CS22].

The special case of (0) when X and Z are schemes answers a question of Kollár [Kol08, (23)] and is of particular interest separate from its role described above. This is because of the important role (quasi-)excellent schemes play in the birational geometry of algebraic varieties, for example in proving resolutions of singularities [Hir64], the theory of generic limits [dFM09; Kol08] and the proof of the ACC conjecture for log canonical thresholds in the smooth case or the case when the singularities lie in a bounded family [dFEM10; dFEM11], and cases of the ACC conjecture for minimal log discrepancies in dimension three [Kaw15].

While the GAGA theorems described above work over every affinoid subdomain of the base space Z , they cannot be applied globally on Z . Thus, we require a new ingredient that will allow us to glue steps of the relative minimal model program with scaling together that are constructed over each member of an affinoid covering. The solution to this gluing problem is the following:

Key point 2. *Scaling has two roles: Termination and Gluing.*

One of the key insights in [BCHM10] is that although it is unknown whether the relative minimal model program always terminates, one can show that it terminates as long as one assumes the boundary divisor is big and one keeps track of an appropriate scaling divisor A and uses it to choose contraction morphisms at each step of the relative minimal model program. A more recent insight originating in the uniqueness results due to Kollár [Kol21₂] and utilized by Villalobos-Paz in [VP] to construct steps of the relative minimal model program for algebraic spaces of finite type over a field of characteristic zero is that scaling has another role: Scaling enables one to choose steps of the relative minimal model program *uniquely*, and hence one can glue together steps of the relative minimal model program constructed locally on affinoid subdomains of the base. The new insight in this paper is that we can adapt this idea to all categories stated in Theorem A, even though the transition maps between rings of sections over affinoid subdomains of the base are not étale or even of finite type. See Theorem 26.4.

Our methods apply outside of equal characteristic zero as well. Using recent progress on the minimal model program for excellent schemes for surfaces and threefolds in positive and mixed characteristic [Kaw94; Tan18; Kol21₂; TY23; BMPSTWW], we can show that Theorem A extends to positive and mixed characteristics, as long as we assume that $\dim(X) = 2$ or that $\dim(X) = 3$,

$\dim(\pi(X)) > 0$, and the residue characteristics of local rings of Z are not in $\{2, 3, 5\}$. Note that the special case (0) when X and Z are schemes is already interesting since [BMPSTWW, Theorem G] assumes that Z is quasi-projective over an excellent domain admitting a dualizing complex.

Theorem A^p (The relative minimal model program with scaling in dimensions ≤ 3 in positive and mixed characteristic). *Fix notation as in the first paragraph of Theorem A with the words “of (equal) characteristic zero” and with case (II) omitted.*

Let Δ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that one of the following conditions holds:

- $\dim(X) \leq 2$ and either (X, Δ) is log canonical or X is \mathbf{Q} -factorial over Z and the coefficients of Δ lie in $[0, 1]$.
- $\dim(X) = 3$, X is \mathbf{Q} -factorial over Z , (X, Δ) is klt, and one of the following additional conditions holds:
 - (a) $\dim(\pi(X)) > 0$ and none of the residue fields of Z at closed points (in cases (0) and (I)), at rigid points in Z (in cases (III) and (III')), or at points in $\text{JG}(Z)$ (in case (IV)) are of characteristic 2, 3, or 5.
 - (b) $\dim(\pi(X)) = 1$.
 - (c) $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ is a log resolution of a pair (Z, Γ) where Γ is a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor such that $K_Z + \Gamma$ is \mathbf{R} -Cartier for which A below is a π -ample exceptional divisor.

Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf on X such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) A is π -ample.
- (ii) $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π -nef.

Then, the relative minimal model program with scaling of A over Z exists. Moreover, we have the following properties.

- (1) The relative minimal model program with scaling of A over Z terminates after a finite sequence of flips and divisorial contractions over every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$ starting from $(\pi^{-1}(U), \Delta|_{\pi^{-1}(U)})$.
- (2) The relative minimal model program with scaling of A over Z yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) & \dashrightarrow & (X_m, \Delta_m) \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi_m \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

where $X \dashrightarrow X_m$ is a meromorphic map and over every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$, the morphism $\pi_m^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is either the relative analytification of a minimal model over U (when $(K_X + \Delta)|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is π -pseudoeffective) or the relative analytification of a Mori fibration over U (when $(K_X + \Delta)|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is not π -pseudoeffective).

Here, $\text{JG}(X)$ denotes the Jacobson–Gelfand spectrum of a Jacobson adic space X as defined in [Lou] (see Definition 23.14). Note that even though termination (without scaling) of flips is known in the situation of Theorem A^p for schemes that are quasi-projective over an excellent domain admitting a dualizing complex [Tan18; BMPSTWW; Sti], the gluing procedure described above requires scaling. Thus, the relative minimal model program we construct still uses scaling.

For complex analytic spaces, where the relative minimal model program with scaling is due to Fujino [Fuj] and Das–Hacon–Păun [DHP], Key point 2 allows us to adapt these existing results to base spaces that are not necessarily Stein or compact. Compared to the results in [Fuj; DHP], our results hold for all semianalytic germs Z of complex analytic spaces (instead of Stein spaces that may have to be replaced by smaller subsets at each step of the relative minimal model program), but require stronger assumptions on the scaling divisor A . These stronger assumptions enable us to glue together each step of the relative minimal model program that is constructed on an affinoid cover. By using the results in [Fuj] as input, we obtain a version of these results that do not require

replacing the base by a smaller subset at each step. See Theorem 27.1 and cf. [Fuj, Theorem 1.7, §13, and §22] and [DHP, Theorem 1.4].

A key difference between the relative minimal model program with scaling in [BCHM10] and the relative minimal model program with scaling established in this paper and in previous work of Villalobos-Paz [VP] is that we can now work with schemes that are not necessarily quasi-projective varieties. This restriction in [BCHM10] is necessary for two reasons: (1) existing vanishing theorems and existing versions of the fundamental theorems of the minimal model program require working with varieties and (2) applying Bertini theorems globally on X requires working with *quasi-projective* varieties. We resolve these issues by using the following:

Key point 3. *We can work with spaces that are not quasi-projective varieties by using the vanishing theorems proved by the second author in [Mur] and by applying Bertini theorems locally over every local ring of the base space.*

Thus, one surprising aspect of the proof of Theorem A is that even after reducing to the special case (0) when X and Z are schemes or algebraic spaces, proving the necessary vanishing theorems ultimately require one to leave the world of schemes and use the Zariski–Riemann spaces from [Nag63]. See [Mur].

For Bertini theorems, the key idea is that Bertini theorems for relatively generated invertible sheaves can be shown locally over each local ring of the base space Z , as long as the local rings have residue characteristic zero. Previously, this was done for relatively very ample invertible sheaves in [BMPSTWW]. We can then extend the divisors constructed over each local ring to an affine cover using the excellence of X , and work over each member of this affine cover separately. See §10.

1.2. Finite generation of relative adjoint rings. One of the key results shown in [BCHM10] to establish Theorem A(0) for complex varieties is the finite generation of relative adjoint rings [BCHM10, Theorem 1.2(3)]. We show the following finite generation result, following the approach of Cascini–Lazić [CL12, Theorem A] and Corti–Lazić [CL13, Theorem 2] for complex varieties. Case (II) below gives a new proof of [Fuj, Theorem F(1); DHP, Theorem 1.3] (note that [DHP] also uses the strategy in [CL12; CL13] in the complex analytic setting).

Theorem B (Finite generation of relative adjoint rings). *Fix notation as in the first paragraph of Theorem A. Let Δ_i be effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisors on X for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $K_X + \Delta_i$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ_i) is klt for each i . Let A_i be π -nef \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaves for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. Assume that for each i , either A_i is π -ample, or that there exists a rational number $c_i \in (-\infty, 1]$ such that $c_i K_X + \Delta_i$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and π -big. Then, the relative adjoint ring*

$$\bigoplus_{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\ell) \in \mathbf{N}^\ell} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left(\left\lfloor \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i (K_X + \Delta_i + A_i) \right\rfloor \right)$$

is of finite type over every affinoid subdomain in Z . In particular, if Z has a finite cover by affinoid subdomains, then the relative adjoint ring is generated by finitely many summands.

An interesting aspect of our proof is that our version of [CL12, Theorem B] (which states that $\mathcal{E}_A(V)$ is a rational polytope) holds when Z is a scheme of mixed characteristic. See Theorem 12.3. This is because we can deduce it from [CL12, Theorem B] by passing to generic fibers. We note that Theorem B in cases (I), (II), (III), (III'), and (IV) is not used to prove the corresponding cases of Theorem A.

1.3. Some aspects of the proofs of Theorems A and B. As described in §1.1, Theorems A and B unify the aforementioned results in [BCHM10; VP; Fuj; DHP] since we are able to deduce them all from the case of excellent schemes. There are several key new inputs compared to [KMM87; BCHM10; HM10; CL12; CL13], which we summarize here.

- (1) The Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem for proper morphisms of schemes of equal characteristic zero, which was recently established by the second author in [Mur]. In arbitrary dimension, the necessary vanishing theorems were previously only known for morphisms of varieties [KMM87] and for Moishezon morphisms of complex analytic spaces [Nak87].
- (2) New, relative versions of Bertini theorems for globally generated invertible sheaves (see §10). These relative Bertini theorems are necessary since the usual Bertini theorems for quasi-projective varieties do not apply. Similar Bertini theorems for very ample invertible sheaves were shown in [BMPSTWW].
- (3) GAGA theorems for Grothendieck duality and dualizing complexes (see §24). As mentioned above, these GAGA theorems are necessary to establish the minimal model program in other categories. For adic spaces, our GAGA result uses the condensed mathematics of Clausen and Scholze [CS19; CS22]. As mentioned before, the case for formal schemes is proved in [ATJLL99].
- (4) Uniqueness results for steps of the minimal model program (see §26.2), which are variants of results in [VP]. Compared to the results in [VP], our results apply to universally open morphisms that are quasi-finite over closed points (in the sense of [SGA1_{new}, p. 2]), even if they are not necessarily of finite type. This flexibility is essential for applications to other categories of spaces, where transition maps between affinoids are not étale or even of finite type. These gluing results are used to glue steps of the minimal model program together after constructing them over affinoid subdomains in Z .

To prove Theorem A, we also need versions of the Basepoint-free, Contraction, Rationality, and Cone theorems for schemes and algebraic spaces. We give two proofs of these results: One by adapting strategy in [KMM87] for complex varieties (see §11), and another by adapting the strategy in [CL13] for complex varieties (see §18). We have included the results proved by adapting the strategy in [KMM87] because they apply more generally to weakly log terminal pairs, and this version of the Rationality theorem (Theorem 11.7) also yields information on the denominators that can appear. However, we will use some of our versions of the results in [CL13] to deduce termination with scaling.

Finally, we mention that one can consider other generalizations of the minimal model program to other categories of spaces. For example, for complex analytic spaces (case (II)), the minimal model program for Kähler threefolds [CP97; Pet98; Pet01; HP15; HP16; CHP16; DO; DH₁] (see also [DH₂]), classes of Kähler fourfolds [DHP], and log surfaces in Fujiki’s class \mathcal{C} [Fuj21] are known. For formal schemes (case (I)), Smith initiated the study of a minimal model program for pseudo-proper formal schemes over a field in [Smi17]. A major difficulty for this class of formal schemes is that Smith showed there are counterexamples to Kodaira-type vanishing theorems for smooth formal schemes that are pseudo-projective over fields of characteristic zero [Smi17, Proposition 4.3.1].

Outline. This paper consists of six parts. Note that for readers who are primarily interested in our results for other categories, Parts V and VI can largely be read independently from the previous parts as long as one accepts the validity of Theorem A for schemes and refers back to the necessary definitions and results earlier in the paper as needed.

In Part I, we establish the necessary preliminaries for the minimal model program for schemes and algebraic spaces. Compared to the case of varieties, there are subtleties working with divisors on algebraic spaces and having to do with \mathbf{Q} -factoriality. We also prove many fundamental results on relative nefness and bigness for morphisms of algebraic spaces, for example the theorem of the base (Theorem 4.10) and Kleiman’s criterion for relative ampleness (Theorem 4.15), which we need to establish theorems of the minimal model program for algebraic spaces in our setting.

We note that to prove Theorem A, it suffices to prove Theorem A(0) for schemes. This is because one can deduce Theorem A(0) for algebraic spaces from the scheme-theoretic case using

the framework in [VP], and cases (I), (II), (III), (III'), and (IV) only use the scheme case of Theorem A(0). However, we have included the foundational results necessary to prove Theorem A directly for algebraic spaces because we can prove more general results on the relative minimal model program for algebraic spaces by proceeding directly (see Theorems 21.7 and 21.8) that we could not show using the strategy in [VP], and because in verifying the necessary foundational results for schemes that we could not locate in the literature, we realized that we could prove the same statements for algebraic spaces. We believe these statements to be of independent interest and hope they will be useful for future reference. Part I also illustrates what foundational results would be necessary to prove Theorem A directly in cases (I), (III), (III'), and (IV) (see [Fuj; DHP] for case (II)).

In Part II, we prove our new relative versions of Bertini theorems for schemes. These theorems will become necessary later to perturb klt pairs without having global Bertini theorems available as would be the case for quasi-projective varieties over a field. We also show the fundamental theorems of the minimal model program (the Basepoint-freeness, Contraction, Rationality, and Cone theorems) for algebraic spaces adapting the strategy in [KMM87] for complex varieties. While we also prove dual versions of these theorems for klt pairs using the method in [CL13] (see §18), we have included these results because they hold more generally for weakly log terminal pairs, and the Rationality Theorem 11.7 provides some more information about the denominators that appear.

In Part III, we prove Theorem B for schemes using the strategy of Cascini–Lazić. A key input is the version of the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem proved by the second author [Mur, Theorem A]. Because of the lack of Bertini theorems, however, we need to formulate many of our results in terms of restriction maps on global sections instead of linear systems as is done in [CL12]. This allows us to reduce to the case when the base scheme Z is the spectrum of an excellent local \mathbf{Q} -algebra. We conclude the part by proving finite generation for klt pairs and giving alternative proofs of the Contraction, Rationality, and Cone theorems by adapting the strategy in [CL13] for complex varieties. These results will be used in Part IV to prove termination with scaling.

In Part IV, we establish the existence of flips and termination with scaling for schemes and algebraic spaces, using Theorem B. This completes the proof of Theorem A(0). We then give some applications of these results by showing that \mathbf{Q} -factorializations and terminalizations exist, which for simplicity we prove only for schemes.

In Part V, we setup the necessary preliminaries for Theorem A in cases (I), (II), (III), (III'), and (IV). We then prove our GAGA-type results for dualizing complexes and Grothendieck duality in §24. In §25, we set our notation for different categories of spaces and check that the hypotheses in Theorems A and B are preserved under algebraization. Finally, we prove Theorems A, A^p , and B in §26. The proof of Theorem A^p utilizes recent progress on the minimal model program for excellent schemes in dimensions ≤ 3 [Kaw94; Tan18; Kol21₂; TY23; BMPSTWW].

We note that our assumptions on adic spaces in (IV) are necessary to even make sense of the normality and irreducibility assumptions in Theorem A. Normality and irreducibility of adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over a field are defined in [Man23]. We will also use these assumptions to utilize excellence results from [Kie69; Con99; Duc09] in the proofs of our statements on GAGA and Grothendieck duality.

Finally, in Part VI, we prove some additional results in other categories utilizing the gluing techniques we developed to prove Theorem A. First, using as input the results in [Fuj] for complex analytic spaces and our methods in Part IV of this paper, we show that one can run the relative minimal model program with scaling for complex analytic spaces without shrinking the base space Z at each step (Theorem 27.1). Second, we discuss how the versions of the Basepoint-free and Contraction theorems in this paper can be reformulated to avoid the assumption that a dualizing complex exists on the base space Z (Theorems 28.1 and 28.2).

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Dan Abramovich for helpful conversations about [AT19], to Jack Hall for helpful conversations on the GAGA theorems in [Hal23], to James McKernan for pointing out the reference [Smi17], and to Peter Scholze for discussing his joint work with Clausen on condensed mathematics [CS19; CS22] with us that is used in the proof of Theorem 24.8 (see p. 90). We would also like to thank Olivier Benoist, János Kollár, Joaquín Moraga, David Villalobos-Paz, and Chenyang Xu for helpful discussions.

Notation and conventions. All rings are commutative with identity, and all ring maps are unital.

For a ringed space or ringed site X , $\mathbf{D}_c(X)$ denotes the derived category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with coherent cohomology sheaves. We can then define $\mathbf{D}_c^+(X)$, $\mathbf{D}_c^-(X)$, and $\mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$ bounded-below, bounded-above, and bounded derived categories of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with coherent cohomology sheaves, respectively. When the notion of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules is defined, we define $\mathbf{D}_{qc}(X)$, $\mathbf{D}_{qc}^+(X)$, $\mathbf{D}_{qc}^-(X)$, and $\mathbf{D}_{qc}^b(X)$ similarly.

Let X be an algebraic space over a scheme S . We say that a quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras is of *finite type* if for every affine scheme $U = \text{Spec}(R)$ étale over X , we have $\mathcal{A}|_U \cong \widetilde{A}$ where A is an R -algebra of finite type (see [EGA1_{new}, (2.2.5); Stacks, Tag 07V8]).

Part I. Preliminaries for schemes and algebraic spaces

In this part, we establish preliminary definitions and results that will be used throughout the paper. For the reader's convenience, we have tried to provide references for corresponding material in [KMM87], [CL12], and [CL13]. We use the definition of algebraic spaces over a scheme S from [Stacks, Tag 025Y].

2. QUASI-EXCELLENCE, EXCELLENCE, AND DUALIZING COMPLEXES

2.1. Quasi-excellence and excellence. We will mostly work with quasi-excellent or excellent schemes.

Definition 2.1 [EGAIV₂, Définition 7.8.2 and (7.8.5); Mat80, (34.A) Definition]. Let R be a ring. We say that R is *excellent* if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) R is Noetherian.
- (ii) R is universally catenary.
- (iii) R is a G -ring, i.e., for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$, the \mathfrak{p} -adic completion map $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has geometrically regular fibers.
- (iv) R is J -2, i.e., for every R -algebra S of finite type, the regular locus in $\text{Spec}(S)$ is open.

We say that R is *quasi-excellent* if (i), (iii), and (iv) are satisfied. A locally Noetherian scheme X is *excellent* (resp. *quasi-excellent*) if it admits an open affine covering $X = \bigcup_i \text{Spec}(R_i)$ such that every R_i is excellent (resp. quasi-excellent).

Since quasi-excellence is an étale local property by [Mat89, Theorem 32.2], we can define quasi-excellence as follows.

Definition 2.2 (see [CT20, §2.1]). Let X be a locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . We say that X is *quasi-excellent* if for every étale morphism $U \rightarrow X$ from a scheme U , the scheme U is quasi-excellent.

2.2. Dualizing complexes. We will need the notion of a dualizing complex to make sense of canonical sheaves and divisors, which we will define in §6.

Definition 2.3 [Har66, Chapter V, Definition on p. 258; Con00, p. 118; Stacks, Tag 0A87]. Let X be a locally Noetherian scheme. A *dualizing complex* on X is an object ω_X^\bullet in $\mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$ that has

finite injective dimension, such that the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{id} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, \omega_X^\bullet), \omega_X^\bullet)$$

of δ -functors on $\mathbf{D}_c(X)$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.4. Locally Noetherian schemes admitting dualizing complexes have finite Krull dimension and are universally catenary [Har66, Chapter V, Corollary 7.2; Stacks, Tag 0A80]. Thus, quasi-excellent schemes admitting dualizing complexes are excellent.

Remark 2.5. All excellent Henselian local rings admit a dualizing complex [Hin93, p. 289].

We can define dualizing complexes for algebraic spaces étale-locally.

Definition 2.6 [AB10, Definition 2.16; Stacks, Tag 0E4Z]. Let X be a locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . A *dualizing complex* on X is a complex ω_X^\bullet in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}^b(X)$ for which there exists a surjective étale morphism $U \rightarrow X$ from a scheme U such that the pullback of ω_X^\bullet to U is a dualizing complex on U in the sense of Definition 2.3.

We will frequently use the following fact:

Lemma 2.7 (cf. [Har66, (2) on p. 299; AB10, Proposition 2.18 and Remark on p. 14; Stacks, Tag 0AA3]). *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a separated morphism of finite type between Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Consider a Nagata compactification*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \hookrightarrow & \bar{X} \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

of f . If ω_Y^\bullet is a dualizing complex on Y , then

$$f^! \omega_Y^\bullet := (\bar{a}(\omega_Y^\bullet))|_X$$

is a dualizing complex on X , where \bar{a} is the right adjoint of the derived pushforward $\mathbf{R}\bar{f}_*$.

The right adjoint of the derived pushforward is constructed in [Stacks, Tag 0E55]. Nagata compactifications exist for separated morphisms of finite type between quasi-compact quasi-separated algebraic spaces [CLO12, Theorem 1.2.1] (see also [FK06, pp. 355–356; Ryd, Theorem F]).

Proof. Let $U \rightarrow Y$ be an étale surjective morphism from a scheme U such that the pullback of ω_Y^\bullet to U is a dualizing complex. Next, we note that restriction and the right adjoint a are compatible with étale base change by definition, where we use the fact that the right adjoint does not depend on whether we consider a scheme as an actual scheme or the algebraic space it represents by [Stacks, Tag 0E6E]. We therefore see that the pullback of $f^!$ to U is the exceptional pullback for schemes constructed in [Stacks, Tag 0A9Y]. The statement now follows from the scheme case (after replacing U by an open affine cover) in [Har66, (2) on p. 299; Stacks, Tag 0AA3]. \square

3. DIVISORS AND LINEAR SYSTEMS

3.1. Divisors. We will use the definition of the group $\mathrm{Div}(X)$ of Cartier divisors for ringed spaces from [EGAIV₄, Définition 21.1.2], and the group $\mathrm{WDiv}(X)$ of Weil divisors for locally Noetherian schemes from [EGAIV₄, (21.6.2)]. See [Kle79, p. 204] for the definition of the sheaf \mathcal{K}_X of meromorphic functions. The group of Weil divisors is denoted by $\mathfrak{Z}^1(X)$ in [EGAIV₄, (21.6.2)] and by $\mathrm{Div}(X)$ in [Stacks, Tag 0ENJ]. The subgroup of principal Cartier divisors is denoted by $\mathrm{Princ}(X)$.

Instead of developing the theory of Cartier divisors and cycle maps for algebraic spaces, we will only work with the monoid of effective Cartier divisors $\mathrm{Div}^{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ on algebraic spaces in the sense of [Stacks, Tag 083B] (denoted by $\mathrm{EffCart}(X)$ in [Stacks, Tag 0CPG]) and Weil divisors on integral

locally Noetherian algebraic spaces in the sense of [Stacks, Tag 0ENJ]. Note that the definition of Cartier divisors on algebraic spaces in [Knu71, Definition 8.11] assumes the algebraic space is separated.

We now define Cartier and Weil divisors with \mathbf{Q} - and \mathbf{R} -coefficients.

Definition 3.1 (see [KMM87, Definitions 0-1-3 and 0-1-8; BCHM10, Definition 3.1.1]). Let X be a ringed space, and let $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. A \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor on X is an element of the group

$$\mathrm{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) := \mathrm{Div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}.$$

If X is a locally Noetherian scheme or an integral locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S , a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor on X is an element of the group

$$\mathrm{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) := \mathrm{WDiv}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}.$$

A \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor is *integral* if it lies in the image of the map

$$\mathrm{Div}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$$

and a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor is *integral* if it lies in the image of the map

$$\mathrm{WDiv}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}(X).$$

A \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor (resp. \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor) is *effective* if it can be written as a \mathbf{k} -linear combination of effective Cartier divisors (resp. effective Weil divisors). The set of effective \mathbf{k} -Cartier (resp. \mathbf{k} -Weil) divisors on X is denoted $\mathrm{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(X)$). We drop the prefix “ \mathbf{Z} ” if $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$.

If $A = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i C_i$ is an \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X where the C_i are distinct prime Weil divisors, then the *round-up* and *round-down* of A are the Weil divisors

$$\lceil A \rceil := \sum_{i=1}^r \lceil a_i \rceil C_i \quad \text{and} \quad \lfloor A \rfloor := \sum_{i=1}^r \lfloor a_i \rfloor C_i$$

respectively, and the *fractional part* of A is

$$\{A\} := \sum_{i=1}^r \{a_i\} C_i,$$

where $\{a_i\} := a_i - \lfloor a_i \rfloor$ is the fractional part of a_i for every i . If $B = \sum_{i=1}^r b_i C_i$ is another \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X , then we also set

$$A \wedge B := \sum_{i=1}^r \min\{a_i, b_i\} C_i.$$

When X is a locally Noetherian scheme, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Div}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X) & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{cyc} & & \downarrow \mathrm{cyc} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} & & \downarrow \mathrm{cyc} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R} \\ \mathrm{WDiv}(X) & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{WDiv}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{WDiv}_{\mathbf{R}}(X) \end{array} \quad (2)$$

of Abelian groups, where the left vertical map is the *cycle map* from [EGAIV₄, (21.6.5.1)], and the other vertical maps are obtained via extension of scalars. The cycle map preserves effective divisors [EGAIV₄, Proposition 21.6.6].

Convention 3.2. Let X be a locally Noetherian scheme. Then, the cycle map cyc is bijective if and only if X is locally factorial [EGAIV₄, Théorème 21.6.9(ii)]. In this case, we can identify Cartier and Weil divisors, as well as their corresponding versions with \mathbf{Q} - or \mathbf{R} -coefficients. On such schemes, we omit the word “Cartier” or “Weil.”

Even if X is not locally factorial, as long as X is normal, we can pass from Cartier divisors to Weil divisors.

Definition 3.3 (see [KMM87, Remark 0-1-6(2); Laz04, Remarks 1.1.4 and 1.3.8]). Let X be a normal locally Noetherian scheme. Then, the cycle map

$$\text{cyc}: \text{Div}(X) \longrightarrow \text{WDiv}(X)$$

is injective [EGAIV₄, Théorème 21.6.9(i)], as are the maps

$$\text{Div}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$$

for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ by the commutativity of the diagram (2). A Weil divisor D is *Cartier* if D lies in the image of $\text{Div}(X)$ under the cycle map cyc . For $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor D is *\mathbf{k} -Cartier* if D lies in the image of the map

$$\text{cyc} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}: \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}(X).$$

Convention 3.4 (see [KMM87, Definition 0-1-7]). Let X be a normal locally Noetherian scheme. We say that X is *\mathbf{Q} -factorial* if every \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier. In this case, we will say “ \mathbf{Q} -divisor” instead of “ \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor” or “ \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor.”

To make analogous definitions for algebraic spaces, we will only work with Weil divisors. We recall that for ringed spaces X , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Princ}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Div}(X) \xrightarrow{l} \text{Pic}(X)$$

by [EGAIV₄, Proposition 21.3.3(i)]. For $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, we will also consider its extension of scalars

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Princ}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \xrightarrow{l \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}} \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \quad (3)$$

to \mathbf{k} , where

$$\text{Princ}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) := \text{Princ}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) := \text{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}.$$

For algebraic spaces X , we also have maps

$$(\text{Div}^{\text{eff}}(X))_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{gp}} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \quad (4)$$

for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ obtained from [Stacks, Tag 0CPG] via extension of scalars, where

$$(\text{Div}^{\text{eff}}(X))_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{gp}} := (\text{Div}^{\text{eff}}(X))^{\text{gp}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}.$$

Definition 3.5 (see [FM23, Definition 1.1; KMM87, Definition 0-1-3]). Let X be a ringed site. For $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, a *\mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf* is an element of $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$. We will usually write $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ additively, in which case we denote the invertible sheaves associated to elements $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X) = \text{Pic}(X)$ and elements $D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X)$ (for ringed spaces X) or $D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\text{eff}}(X)$ (for algebraic spaces X) by $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. We say that $D, D' \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ are *\mathbf{k} -linearly equivalent* if their images in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ are equal.

When X is a locally Noetherian scheme, these exact sequences fit into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Princ}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) & \xrightarrow{l \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}} & \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \text{cyc} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k} & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Princ}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (5)$$

for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ by definition of the divisor class group $\text{Cl}(X)$ in [EGAIV₄, (21.6.7)], where

$$\text{Cl}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) := \text{Cl}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}.$$

Definition 3.6 (see [KMM87, Definition 0-1-3]). Let X be a locally Noetherian scheme or an integral locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . For $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, we say that $D, D' \in \text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ are *\mathbf{k} -linearly equivalent* if their images in $\text{Cl}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ are equal.

We will need to know when the exact sequence in the top row of (5) is also right exact.

Remark 3.7. The top row of (5) is a short exact sequence in the following cases for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$, and hence also for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ by flatness.

- (i) X is a locally Noetherian scheme and $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is contained in an open affine subscheme of X [EGAIV₄, Proposition 21.3.4(a)]. This holds for example when X is Noetherian and has an ample invertible sheaf, in particular when X is quasi-projective over a Noetherian ring [EGAIV₄, Corollaire 21.3.5].
- (ii) X is a reduced scheme whose set of irreducible components is locally finite [EGAIV₄, Proposition 21.3.4(b)].

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a locally Noetherian scheme satisfying one of the hypotheses in Remark 3.7. For $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor D lies in the image of $\text{cyc} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}$ if and only if the class of D in $\text{Cl}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ lies in the image of the map*

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Cl}_{\mathbf{k}}(X).$$

Proof. The implication \Rightarrow holds by the commutativity of the diagram in (5). Conversely, suppose the class of D in $\text{Cl}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ lies in the image of $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$. Since $l \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}$ is surjective, there exists an element $\tilde{D} \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ such that $(\text{cyc} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k})(\tilde{D}) \sim_{\mathbf{k}} D$. By the exactness of the bottom row in (5), we therefore have an element $D' \in \text{Princ}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ such that

$$(\text{cyc} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k})(\tilde{D} + D') = D,$$

and hence D is \mathbf{k} -Cartier. □

If X is an integral locally Noetherian algebraic space, then by [Stacks, Tag 0ENV], there is a map

$$\text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(X) \tag{6}$$

that coincides with the corresponding map in (5) when X is a scheme. We will use this map to define what it means for a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor to be \mathbf{k} -Cartier on an algebraic space.

Definition 3.9 (see [VP22, Definition 1.3.4]). Let X be an integral normal locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S , in which case the map (6) is injective [Stacks, Tag 0EPX]. A Weil divisor D is *Cartier* if D lies in the image of the map (6). For $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor D is *\mathbf{k} -Cartier* if D lies in the image of the map

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Cl}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$$

obtained from (6) via extension of scalars. By Lemma 3.8, this definition matches that in Definition 3.3 when X is a scheme.

Convention 3.10 (see [VP22, Definition 1.3.4]). Let X be an integral normal locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . We say that X is *locally factorial* (resp. is *\mathbf{Q} -factorial*) if $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X)$ (resp. $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$) is an isomorphism. In this case, we will say “divisor” (resp. *\mathbf{Q} -divisor*) instead of “Weil divisor” (resp. “ *\mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor*”).

Remark 3.11. Convention 3.10 is chosen to work around the fact that the property of being locally factorial or \mathbf{Q} -factorial is not étale local. See [Kaw88, p. 104; SGA2_{new}, Exposé XIII, note de l’éditeur (15) on p. 150; BGS, p. 1].

3.2. Linear systems. We now define linear systems and their corresponding notions for \mathbf{Q} - and \mathbf{R} -coefficients.

Definition 3.12 (see [KMM87, Definition p. 298; CL12, p. 2419; M^cK17, Definition 2.2]). Let X be a normal locally Noetherian scheme or an integral normal locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . We then define linear equivalence and \mathbf{k} -linear equivalence for Weil divisors and \mathbf{k} -Weil

divisors using the cycle map and its extensions of scalars in (2). The *linear system* associated to a Weil divisor D is

$$|D| := \{C \in \text{WDiv}(X) \mid C \geq 0 \text{ and } C \sim D\},$$

and for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, the \mathbf{k} -*linear system* associated to a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor D is

$$|D|_{\mathbf{k}} := \{C \in \text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \mid C \geq 0 \text{ and } C \sim_{\mathbf{k}} D\}.$$

We can now state the main result that allows us to pass between sheaf-theoretic language and the language of linear systems on schemes.

Proposition 3.13 [Har94, Proposition 2.9; Har07, Remark 2.9]. *Let X be a normal Noetherian scheme, and consider a Weil divisor D on X . Then, there is a bijection*

$$|D| \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{nondegenerate global sections} \\ s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \end{array} \right\} / H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*).$$

Here, $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is the sheaf associated to the Weil divisor D , which can be defined as $j_*\mathcal{O}_U(D|_U)$, where U is the open subset where $D|_U$ is Cartier, and $j: U \hookrightarrow X$ is the canonical open embedding (see [Har94, Definition on p. 301 and Proposition 2.7]). A global section $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ is *nondegenerate* if it is nonzero after localizing at the generic points of irreducible components of X [Har94, Definition on p. 304].

We also prove the following result about the relationship between \mathbf{Q} - and \mathbf{R} -linear systems of a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor.

Lemma 3.14. *Let X be a normal locally Noetherian scheme or an integral normal locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S , and consider a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor D on X . Then, $|D|_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is dense in $|D|_{\mathbf{R}}$ in the following sense: For each $\sum a_i E_i \in |D|_{\mathbf{R}}$ where a_i are real numbers and E_i are prime divisors, there exist sequences of rational numbers $(a_i^j)_j$ such that*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_i^j = a_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i a_i^j E_i \in |D|_{\mathbf{Q}}$$

for all i .

Proof. We adapt the proofs of [BCHM10, Lemma 3.5.3; CL12, Lemma 2.3]. Let

$$V = \mathbf{Q} \cdot D + \text{span}\{E_i\} \subseteq \text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X),$$

and let V_0 be the subspace of V consisting of rational combinations of principal divisors. Then, $V_{\mathbf{R}} := V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$ is a (finite-dimensional) subspace of $\text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$, and $(V_0)_{\mathbf{R}} := V_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$ is the subspace of $V_{\mathbf{R}}$ consisting of real combinations of principal divisors. Let $\pi: V_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbf{R}}/(V_0)_{\mathbf{R}}$ be the canonical projection map. The subset

$$\left\{ \sum_i b_i E_i \in V_{\mathbf{R}} \mid b_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \pi\left(\sum_i b_i E_i\right) = \pi(D) \right\}$$

is cut out from V by rational hyperplanes and half-spaces, and it contains the real point $\sum_i a_i E_i$. The result now follows. \square

4. POSITIVITY, THE THEOREM OF THE BASE, CONES, AND KLEIMAN'S CRITERION FOR AMPLENESS

4.1. Relative positivity conditions. We define relative ampleness conditions for \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves and \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$.

Definition 4.1 (see [EGAII, Définition 4.6.1; KMM87, Definition 0-1-4; BCHM10, Definition 3.1.1; CT20, §2.1.1; FM23, Definition 2.1; Stacks, Tag 0D31]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of schemes (resp. algebraic spaces over a scheme S), and let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X .

- (i) Suppose π is quasi-compact (resp. representable). We say that \mathcal{L} is π -ample if there exists an affine open cover $Z = \bigcup_i U_i$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{\pi^{-1}(U_i)}$ is ample for all i (resp. if for every morphism $Z' \rightarrow Z$ where Z' is a scheme, the pullback of \mathcal{L} to $Z' \times_Z X$ is π -ample).
- (ii) We say that \mathcal{L} is π -generated if the adjunction morphism $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is surjective.
- (iii) We say that \mathcal{L} is π -semi-ample if there exists an integer $n > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is π -generated.

When X is a scheme, we can extend these definitions to Cartier divisors L on X by asking that their associated invertible sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X(L)$ satisfy these conditions.

Now suppose that D is a \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf on X for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. We say that D is π -ample if D is a finite nonzero $\mathbf{k}_{>0}$ -linear combination of π -ample invertible sheaves on X . We say that D is π -semi-ample if D is a finite $\mathbf{k}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of π -semi-ample invertible sheaves on X . We extend these definitions to elements $D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ (resp. $\text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{eff}}(X)$) by asking that their images in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ satisfy these conditions.

To define π -numerically trivial and π -nef \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves or \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors, we recall some background on intersection theory for proper morphisms. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of locally Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Let $z \in |Z|$ be a point, and consider a subspace $Y \subseteq \pi^{-1}(z)$ that is closed in $\pi^{-1}(z)$. We can then define intersection numbers

$$(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}_2 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n \cdot Y) := \chi(c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L}_2) \cdots c_1(\mathcal{L}_n) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y) \in \mathbf{Z}$$

for invertible sheaves \mathcal{L}_i on X , where $n \geq \dim(Y)$. See [Stacks, Tag 0EDF]. By linearity [Stacks, Tag 0EDH], we can extend this definition to \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ (see [Kol96, Chapter VI, Definition-Corollary 2.7.4]). When X is a scheme, we can also extend this definition to \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors using the group maps

$$l \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}: \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$$

from (3). In this case, we denote the intersection product by $(D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdots D_n \cdot Y)$, where $D_i \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for all i .

We use this intersection product to define π -nef and π -numerically trivial \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves or \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors.

Definition 4.2 (see [Kle66, pp. 334–335; KMM87, Definition 0-1-1; Kol90, p. 236; Kee03, Definition 2.9; BCHM10, Definition 3.1.1; CT20, §2.1.1; VP22, Definition 1.3.8]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Let $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$.

- (i) An element $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ is π -nef if, for every point $z \in |Z|$ and for every integral one-dimensional subspace $C \subseteq \pi^{-1}(z)$ that is closed in $\pi^{-1}(z)$, we have $(D \cdot C) \geq 0$. If $Z = \text{Spec}(k)$ for a field k , we just say D is nef.
- (ii) An element $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ is π -numerically trivial if both D and $-D$ are π -nef. We denote by $N^1(X/Z)$ the quotient of $\text{Pic}(X)$ by the subgroup of numerically trivial elements, and set

$$N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}} := N^1(X/Z) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}$$

for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. If $Z = \text{Spec}(k)$ for a field k , we just say D is numerically trivial.

If X is a scheme, we extend these definitions to elements $D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ by asking that their images in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ satisfy these conditions. By definition, this only depends on the class $[D] \in N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$.

We note that if Z is not decent in the sense of [Stacks, Tag 03I8], then the residue field of $z \in |Z|$ is not well-defined. However, the condition that $(D \cdot C) \geq 0$ does not depend on the choice of the representative $\text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow Z$ of the point $z \in |Z|$ as defined in [Stacks, Tag 03BT] by flat base change [Stacks, Tag 073K].

We now prove some fundamental properties of nefness and numerical triviality. Many of these results are known for proper morphisms of schemes or for algebraic spaces that are proper over a field, but as far as we are aware they are new for proper morphisms of algebraic spaces.

Lemma 4.3 (cf. [Kle66, Chapter I, §4, Proposition 1; Kee03, Lemma 2.17; CLM22, Lemma 3.3]). *Let S be a scheme. Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ & \searrow \pi' & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of algebraic spaces over S , where π and π' are proper. Let $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$.

- (i) *If D is π -nef (resp. π -numerically trivial), then f^*D is π' -nef (resp. π' -numerically trivial).*
- (ii) *If f is surjective and f^*D is π' -nef (resp. π -numerically trivial), then D is π -nef (resp. π -numerically trivial).*

Proof. By definition, it suffices to consider the nefness (resp. numerical triviality) of D when Z is the spectrum of a field. The statements for numerical triviality follow from those for nefness applied to D and $-D$, and hence it suffices to show (i) and (ii) for nefness.

For (i), let $C' \subseteq X'$ be an integral one-dimensional closed subspace. By the projection formula [Stacks, Tag 0EDJ], we have

$$(f^*D \cdot C') = \deg(C' \rightarrow f(C'))(D \cdot f(C')) \geq 0.$$

For (ii), let $C \subseteq X$ be an integral one-dimensional closed subspace. By [CLM22, Lemma 3.2], there is an integral one-dimensional closed subspace $C' \subseteq X'$ such that $C = f(C')$. By the projection formula again [Stacks, Tag 0EDJ], we have

$$(D \cdot C) = (\deg(C' \rightarrow C))^{-1}(f^*D \cdot C') \geq 0. \quad \square$$

We show that nefness and numerical triviality behave well under base change.

Lemma 4.4 (cf. [Kee03, Lemma 2.18]). *Let S be a scheme. Consider a Cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

of algebraic spaces over S where π is proper. Let $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$.

- (i) *If D is π -nef (resp. π -numerically trivial), then f^*D is π' -nef (resp. π' -numerically trivial).*
- (ii) *Suppose that g is surjective. If π^*D is π' -nef (resp. π' -numerically trivial), then D is π -nef (resp. π -numerically trivial).*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show the statement for nefness. By transitivity of fibers, it suffices to consider the case when $Z = \text{Spec}(k)$ and $Z' = \text{Spec}(k')$ for a field extension $k \subseteq k'$.

We first show (i). By the weak version of Chow's lemma in [Stacks, Tag 089J], there exists a proper surjective morphism $\mu: Y \rightarrow X$ from a scheme Y that is projective over k . We then consider the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y \\ \mu' \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

Then, we know that μ^*D is nef by Lemma 4.3(i). Now since Y is a projective scheme over k , we know that choosing an ample invertible sheaf A on Y , the \mathbf{R} -invertible sheaf $\mu^*D + \varepsilon A$ is ample

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ by Kleiman's criterion for ampleness for projective schemes [Kol96, Chapter VI, Theorem 2.19]. Then,

$$(\mu \circ f')^*D + \varepsilon f'^*A = (f \circ \mu')^*D + \varepsilon f'^*A$$

nef for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we see that $(\mu \circ f')^*D = (f \circ \mu')^*D$ is nef by [Kee03, Theorem 3.9]. Finally, we see that f^*D is nef by Lemma 4.3(ii).

For (ii), let $C \subseteq X$ be an integral one-dimensional subspace. Let C'_i be the irreducible components of $C' := C \otimes_k k'$ with reduced structure and geometric generic point \bar{x}_i , and let

$$m_i = \text{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{X \otimes_k k', \bar{x}_i}}(\mathcal{O}_{C'_i, \bar{x}_i}).$$

Then, we have

$$(D \cdot C) = (f^*D \cdot C') = \sum_i m_i (f^*D \cdot C'_i) \geq 0$$

where the first equality follows from flat base change [Stacks, Tag 073K], the second equality is [Stacks, Tag 0EDI], and the last inequality is by the assumption that f^*D is nef. \square

We note that nefness can be detected at closed points $z \in |Z|$ under some additional assumptions. Below, the decency assumption in Z allows us to make sense of the residue field $\kappa(z)$ at a point $z \in |Z|$. See [Stacks, Tag 0EMW] for the definition and see [Stacks, Tag 02Z7] for an example where the residue field at a point cannot be defined.

Lemma 4.5 (cf. [Kee03, Lemma 2.18(1); CT20, Lemma 2.6]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Suppose that Z is quasi-compact and decent, or that Z is a locally Noetherian scheme. Let $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) D is π -nef (resp. π -numerically trivial).
- (ii) For every closed point $z \in |Z|$ and every integral one-dimensional subspace $C \subseteq \pi^{-1}(z)$ that is closed in $\pi^{-1}(z)$, we have $(D \cdot C) \geq 0$ (resp. $(D \cdot C) = 0$).

Proof. We have (i) \Rightarrow (ii) by definition, and hence it suffices to show (ii) \Rightarrow (i). As in the proof of Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show the statement for nefness.

We want to show that for every $z \in |Z|$, the pullback $D|_{\pi^{-1}(z)}$ is nef over K . We first show that z specializes to a closed point $z_0 \in |Z|$. If Z is quasi-compact and decent, $|Z|$ is quasi-compact and Kolmogorov [Stacks, Tag 03K3], and hence every point $z \in |Z|$ specializes to a closed point [EGA1_{new}, (2.1.2)]. When Z is a locally Noetherian scheme, then every point $z \in Z$ specializes to a closed point as well [Stacks, Tag 02IL].

Now let $z \rightsquigarrow z_0$ be a specialization to a closed point in $|Z|$, which exists by the previous paragraph. By [Stacks, Tag 0BBP and Tag 03IL], there is an étale morphism $U \rightarrow Z$ from an affine scheme U with points $u \rightsquigarrow u_0$ mapping to $z \rightsquigarrow z_0$ such that the field extension $\kappa(z_0) \hookrightarrow \kappa(u_0)$ is an isomorphism. We note that $\kappa(x) \subseteq \kappa(u)$ is a field extension, and hence by Lemma 4.4(ii) it suffices to show that the pullback of D to $X \times_Z \text{Spec}(\kappa(u))$ is nef over $\text{Spec}(\kappa(u))$. By Lemma 4.3 and the weak version of Chow's lemma in [Stacks, Tag 089J], we may replace X by a proper surjective cover $Y \rightarrow X$ that is a projective scheme over U .

By [Bou72, Chapter VI, §1, no. 2, Corollary to Theorem 2], we can find a valuation ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and a morphism $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow U$ such that the generic point of $\text{Spec}(R)$ maps to u and the closed point of $\text{Spec}(R)$ maps to u_0 , and such that the field extension $\kappa(u) \hookrightarrow \text{Frac}(R)$ is an isomorphism. Let

$$C \subseteq X \times_Z \text{Spec}(\text{Frac}(R))$$

be an integral closed one-dimensional subspace. Taking the scheme-theoretic closure

$$\overline{C} \subseteq X \times_Z \text{Spec}(R)$$

of C in $X \times_Z \text{Spec}(R)$, we obtain a flat family of closed one-dimensional subspaces in $X \times_Z \text{Spec}(R)$ over R because the pushforward of the structure sheaf of \overline{C} to $\text{Spec}(R)$ is torsion-free [EGA1_{new},

Proposition 8.4.5; Bou72, Chapter VI, §4, no. 1, Lemma 1]. Since the residue field of R is a field extension of $\kappa(z_0) \cong \kappa(u_0)$, we see that the restriction of D to $X \times_Z \text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is nef over $\text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{m})$ by Lemma 4.4(i). Thus, we have $(D' \cdot C) \geq 0$ by the invariance of intersection numbers in flat families [Kle05, Proposition B.18]. \square

Since nefness can be detected over closed points in many cases, we define the following.

Definition 4.6 (see [Kle66, p. 335; KMM87, p. 291; Kee03, Definition 2.8; VP22, Definitions 1.3.19 and 1.3.20 and p. 15]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of algebraic spaces over a scheme S , such that Z is either quasi-compact and decent, or a locally Noetherian scheme. A closed subspace $Y \subseteq X$ is π -contracted if $\pi(Y)$ is a zero-dimensional (closed) subspace of Z . A π -contracted curve is a π -contracted closed subspace that is integral and of dimension one.

Now suppose that X is quasi-compact. We denote by $Z_1(X/Z)$ the free Abelian group generated by π -contracted curves, and set

$$Z_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}} := Z_1(X/Z) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}$$

for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. An element $\beta \in Z_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$ is π -numerically trivial if $(D \cdot \beta) = 0$ for all $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$. We denote by $N_1(X/Z)$ the quotient of $Z_1(X/Z)$ by the subgroup of numerically trivial elements, and set

$$N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}} := N_1(X/Z) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}$$

for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$.

4.2. Theorem of the base. As in the absolute case, the modules $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$ are finitely generated. This statement is called the theorem of the base. This theorem allows us to define cones in $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$ corresponding to the various positivity notions in §4.1.

To prove the theorem of the base, we start with the following.

Lemma 4.7 (cf. [Kle66, Chapter IV, §4, Proposition 1; Kee03, Lemma 2.20]). *Let S be a scheme. Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & & & & \\ & \searrow f & & & \\ & & X \times_Z Z' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ & \searrow h & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Z' & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ & \searrow \rho & & & \end{array}$$

of algebraic spaces over S where the square is Cartesian and π and ρ are proper. Let $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$.

- (i) If D is π -nef (resp. π -numerically trivial), then f^*D is ρ -nef (resp. ρ -numerically trivial).
- (ii) Suppose that for every $z \in |Z|$ with representative $\text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow Z$ and every integral one-dimensional subspace $C \subseteq \pi^{-1}(z)$ that is closed in $\pi^{-1}(z)$, there exists a point $z' \in |Z'|$ with representative $\text{Spec}(K') \rightarrow Z'$ mapping to z such that for every irreducible component

$$C'_i \subseteq C' := C \otimes_K K'$$

with reduced structure, there exists an integral one-dimensional subspace $C''_i \subseteq \rho^{-1}(z')$ that is closed in $\rho^{-1}(z')$ such that $h(C''_i) = C'_i$. If f^*D is ρ -nef (resp. ρ -numerically trivial), then D is π -nef (resp. π -numerically trivial).

- (iii) Suppose that Z either is quasi-compact and decent or is a locally Noetherian scheme. Suppose that for every π -contracted curve $C \subseteq X$, setting $z \in |Z|$ to be the image of C , there exists a point $z' \in |Z'|$ mapping to z such that for every irreducible component

$$C'_i \subseteq C' := C \otimes_{\kappa(z)} \kappa(z')$$

with reduced structure, there exists an integral one-dimensional subspace $C''_i \subseteq \rho^{-1}(z')$ that is closed in $\rho^{-1}(z')$ such that $h(C''_i) = C'_i$. If f^*D is ρ -nef (resp. ρ -numerically trivial), then D is π -nef (resp. π -numerically trivial).

Remark 4.8. The condition on curves in (ii) and (iii) hold for example when $g = \text{id}_S$ and f is proper and surjective, which is the case proved in Lemma 4.3, or when g is surjective and $h = \text{id}_{X'}$, which is the case proved in Lemma 4.4.

Proof of Lemma 4.7. As in the proof of Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show the statements for nefness.

For (i), we know that g'^*D is π' -nef by Lemma 4.4(i). Thus, f^*D is ρ -nef by Lemma 4.3(i).

For (ii) (resp. (iii)), let $C \subseteq \pi^{-1}(z)$ be an integral one-dimensional subspace, where $z \in |Z|$ is a point (resp. a closed point). By definition (resp. by Lemma 4.5), it suffices to show that $(D \cdot C) \geq 0$. Let C'_i be the irreducible components of C' with reduced structure and geometric generic point \bar{x}_i , and let

$$m_i = \text{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times_Z Z', \bar{x}_i}}(\mathcal{O}_{C'_i, \bar{x}_i}).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D \cdot C) &= (g'^*D \cdot C') = \sum_i m_i (g'^*D \cdot C'_i) \\ &= \sum_i m_i (\deg(C''_i \rightarrow C'_i))^{-1} (f^*D \cdot C''_i) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from flat base change [Stacks, Tag 073K], the second equality is [Stacks, Tag 0EDI], the third equality is the projection formula [Stacks, Tag 0EDJ], and the last inequality is by the assumption that π^*D is nef. \square

We show that N^1 is compatible with pullbacks.

Proposition 4.9 (cf. [Kle66, Chapter IV, §4, Proposition 1; Kee03, Lemma 3.1]). *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

of algebraic spaces over a scheme S where π and π' are proper.

(i) *The pair (f/g) induces a group map*

$$(f/g)^*: N^1(X/Z) \longrightarrow N^1(X'/Z').$$

(ii) *The map $(f/g)^*$ is injective either if the condition in Lemma 4.7(ii) holds, or if Z is quasi-compact and decent or is a locally Noetherian scheme and the condition in Lemma 4.7(iii) holds.*

Proof. We first show (i). By [Stacks, Tag 0B8P], pulling back invertible sheaves induces a map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X')$. It therefore suffices to show that the composition

$$\text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X') \longrightarrow N^1(X'/Z')$$

factors through $N^1(X/Z)$. This holds since π -numerically trivial elements pull back to ρ -numerically trivial elements by Lemma 4.7(i).

For (ii), it suffices to note that if the pullback of $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ to X' is ρ -numerically trivial, then \mathcal{L} is π -numerically trivial by Lemma 4.7(ii) or Lemma 4.7(iii). \square

We can now show the theorem of the base. We note that Noetherian algebraic spaces are quasi-compact, quasi-separated, and locally Noetherian (see the definition in [Stacks, Tag 03EA]), and hence are automatically decent (see [Stacks, Tag 03I7]).

Theorem 4.10 (Theorem of the base; cf. [Kle66, Chapter IV, §4, Proposition 3; Kee03, Theorem 3.6; Kee18, Theorem E2.2]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S , and let $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. Then, the \mathbf{k} -modules $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$ are finitely generated. Consequently, the intersection pairing*

$$N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}} \times N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}$$

is a perfect pairing.

Proof. Since $N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k})$, it suffices to show $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$ finitely generated. The cases $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{R}$ follow from the case $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$ by extending scalars. The case when Z is a scheme is proved in [Kle66, Chapter IV, §4, Proposition 3; Kee03, Theorem 3.6; Kee18, Theorem E2.2]. It therefore suffices to consider the case when Z is an algebraic space.

Let $Z' \rightarrow Z$ be an étale cover by a quasi-compact scheme Z' . Note that Z' is a Noetherian scheme. We then consider the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

By Proposition 4.9 (see Remark 4.8), we have an injection $N^1(X/Z) \hookrightarrow N^1(X'/Z')$. Since $N^1(X'/Z')$ is finitely generated by the scheme case, we see that $N^1(X/Z)$ is finitely generated. \square

Remark 4.11. With notation as in Definition 4.6, if $z \in |Z|$ is not closed, then a closed subspace C of $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is not a closed subspace of X , and thus is not covered by Definition 4.6. However, if $\dim(C) = 1$, the intersection number $(\mathcal{L} \cdot C)$ is still well-defined and extends linearly to $\mathrm{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ as before (cf. the proof of Lemma 4.5). Consequently, if $D \in \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ and C is a one-dimensional integral closed subspace of $\pi^{-1}(z)$ for a point $z \in |Z|$, then $(D \cdot C) = 0$ whenever $[D] = 0 \in N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}$. These subspaces $C \subseteq \pi^{-1}(z)$ define classes

$$[C] \in N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k}),$$

for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$.

4.3. Cones and Kleiman's criterion for ampleness. The theorem of the base allows us to define the relative ample and relative nef cones for proper morphisms of Noetherian algebraic spaces.

Definition 4.12 (see [Kle66, p. 335; KMM87, p. 291; VP22, Definitions 1.3.21 and 1.3.24]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . The *relative nef cone* is

$$\mathrm{Nef}(X/Z) := \{[D] \in N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \mid D \in \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbf{R}}(X) \text{ is } \pi\text{-nef}\},$$

and the *relative ample cone* is

$$\mathrm{Amp}(X/Z) := \{[D] \in N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \mid D \in \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbf{R}}(X) \text{ is } \pi\text{-ample}\}.$$

In the space $N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$, we define the cone $NE(X/Z)$ to be the set of $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ -combinations of π -contracted curves, and let $\overline{NE}(X/Z)$ be its closure. By definition, it is clear that an \mathbf{R} -invertible sheaf D on X is π -nef if and only if for all $\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z)$, we have $(D \cdot \gamma) \geq 0$. For an \mathbf{R} -invertible sheaf D on X , we also define

$$\overline{NE}_{D \geq 0}(X/Z) := \{\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z) \mid (D \cdot \gamma) \geq 0\}.$$

Since $\overline{NE}(X/Z)$ is a closed convex subset of $N_1(X/Z)$, it is an intersection of half-spaces. Thus, we have

$$\overline{NE}(X/Z) = \{\gamma \in N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \mid (\beta \cdot \gamma) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in \text{Nef}(X/Z)\}. \quad (7)$$

We now want to prove the relative version of Kleiman's criterion for ampleness for proper morphisms of algebraic spaces. We start with the following definition.

Definition 4.13 (cf. [Kle66, Chapter IV, §4, Definition 1; Kee03, Definition 3.8; FS11, Lemma 4.12]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . We say that X is *relatively quasi-divisorial* for π if, for every π -contracted integral subspace V of positive dimension, there exist an invertible sheaf \mathcal{H} on X and a nonzero effective Cartier divisor H on V such that $\mathcal{H}|_V \cong \mathcal{O}_V(H)$.

Remark 4.14. With notation as in Definition 4.13, X is relatively quasi-divisorial for π in the following cases:

- (i) When π is projective (let \mathcal{H} be π -very ample in the sense of [CT20, §2.1.1]; see [Kee03, p. 257]).
- (ii) When X is a regular scheme, or more generally a \mathbf{Q} -factorial scheme [Kol96, Chapter VI, Proof of Theorem 2.19].

We can now show that the ample cone is the interior of the nef cone.

Theorem 4.15 (cf. [Kle66, Chapter IV, §4, Theorem 2; Kee03, Theorem 3.9; Kee18, Theorem E2.2]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Then, we have*

$$\text{Amp}(X/Z) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{Nef}(X/Z)). \quad (8)$$

If X is relatively quasi-divisorial for π , then we have

$$\text{Amp}(X/Z) = \text{int}(\text{Nef}(X/Z)). \quad (9)$$

Proof. We show $\text{Amp}(X/Z) \subseteq \text{Nef}(X/Z)$. Let $D \in \text{Amp}(X/Z)$, and write $D = \sum_i a_i H_i$, where H_i are π -ample invertible sheaves. We have $D \in \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ since the restriction of each H_i to the fibers of π are ample, and hence nef by [Kle05, Proposition B.14].

For the statements involving interiors, as in [Kle66, Chapter IV, §1, Remarks 4 and 5], the cone generated by $\text{int}(\text{Nef}(X/Z)) \cap N^1(X/Z)$ is equal to $\text{int}(\text{Nef}(X/Z))$, and hence it suffices to prove both statements for invertible sheaves \mathcal{L} . Note that this reduction uses the fact that $N^1(X/Z)$ is finitely generated (Theorem 4.10). Let $g: Z' \rightarrow Z$ be a surjective étale cover by a quasi-compact scheme Z' , and consider the associated Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

To show (8), let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Amp}(X/Z)$. It suffices to show that for every $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(X)$, we have $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \in \text{Amp}(X/Z)$ for $m \gg 0$. Since \mathcal{L} is π -ample, we know $X \rightarrow Z$ is representable, and hence X' is a scheme. Since $f^*\mathcal{L}$ is π' -ample, we know that $f^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} f^*\mathcal{M}$ is π' -ample for all $m \gg 0$ by [EGAII, Corollaire 4.6.12]. We therefore see that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$ is π -ample by [Stacks, Tag 0D36].

It remains to show (9) when X is quasi-divisorial for π . Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{int}(\text{Nef}(X/Z))$. It suffices to show that $f^*\mathcal{L}$ is π' -ample and that X' is a scheme by [Stacks, Tag 0D36]. By [Stacks, Tag 0D3A] and the Nakai–Moishezon criterion for proper algebraic spaces over fields [PG85, Theorem 1.4; Kol90, Theorem 3.11], it suffices to show that for every π' -contracted integral closed subspace $V \subseteq X'$ of dimension $d > 0$, we have $((f^*\mathcal{L})^d \cdot V) > 0$.

We proceed by induction on d . Since X is relatively quasi-divisorial for π , there exists $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{H}|_{f(V)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{f(V)}(H)$ for some nonzero effective Cartier divisor H on X , and hence $f^*\mathcal{H}|_V \cong \mathcal{O}_V(f^*H)$, where the pullback of H is defined by [Stacks, Tag 083Z(1)]. Since $\mathcal{L} \in \text{int}(\text{Nef}(X/Z))$, there exists $m > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}^{-1}$ is π -nef, and hence $f^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} f^*\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ is π' -nef by Lemma 4.4(i). We claim we have the following chain of equalities and inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (f^*\mathcal{L}^d \cdot V) &= \frac{1}{m} ((f^*\mathcal{L})^{d-1} \cdot f^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \cdot V) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \left(((f^*\mathcal{L})^{d-1} \cdot (f^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} f^*\mathcal{H}^{-1}) \cdot V) + ((f^*\mathcal{L})^{d-1} \cdot \mathcal{H} \cdot V) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m} ((f^*\mathcal{L})^{d-1} \cdot f^*\mathcal{H} \cdot V) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first two equalities follow from linearity of the intersection product [Stacks, Tag 0EDH]. To show the inequality in the third line, let $\mu: V' \rightarrow V$ be a finite surjective morphism from a scheme V' , which exists by [Stacks, Tag 09YC]. Then, $(f|_{V'} \circ \mu)^*\mathcal{L}$ and $(f|_{V'} \circ \mu)^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V'}} (f|_{V'} \circ \mu)^*\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ are nef on V' , and hence

$$((f^*\mathcal{L})^{d-1} \cdot (f^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} \mathcal{H}^{-1}) \cdot V) \geq 0$$

by the projection formula [Stacks, Tag 0EDJ] and [Kee03, Lemma 2.12]. For the last inequality, if $d = 1$, we see that V is a scheme by [Stacks, Tag 0ADD], and hence

$$(f^*\mathcal{H} \cdot V) = \deg(f^*\mathcal{H}) > 0$$

by [Stacks, Tag 0B40(2)]. If $d \geq 2$, then we have

$$((f^*\mathcal{L})^{d-1} \cdot f^*\mathcal{H} \cdot f(V)) = ((f^*\mathcal{L})^{d-1} \cdot f^*H) > 0$$

by [Stacks, Tag 0EDK] and the inductive hypothesis. \square

Remark 4.16. As seen in the proof of (8), the ample cone is always open in $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$. In particular, the ample cone $\text{Amp}(X/Z)$ \mathbf{R} -linearly spans $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$.

The relative ampleness of an \mathbf{R} -Cartier divisor D only depends on its class $[D]$; thus, $[D] \in \text{Amp}(X/Z)$ if and only if D is π -ample. Indeed, we have the following relative version of Kleiman's criterion for ampleness stated in terms of the cone $\overline{NE}(X/Z)$. See [FS11, Lemma 4.12] for the case when $Z = \text{Spec}(k)$, where k is a field. See also [Kol21₁, Lemma 21] and [VP, Corollary 1.4] for other versions of Kleiman's criterion for algebraic spaces.

Proposition 4.17 (see [Kle66, Chapter IV, §4, Proposition 4; FS11, Lemma 4.12]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Suppose that X is relatively quasi-divisorial for π . Then, $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$ is π -ample if and only if for all nonzero $\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z)$, we have $(D \cdot \gamma) > 0$.*

Proof. For \Rightarrow , we proceed by contradiction as in [Kol96, Chapter II, Proposition 4.8]. Suppose $(D \cdot \gamma) \leq 0$. Let $E \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be such that $(E \cdot \gamma) < 0$. We have that $mD + E$ is π -ample for $m \gg 0$ by Theorem 4.15, and hence

$$0 \leq ((mD + E) \cdot \gamma) = m(D \cdot \gamma) + (E \cdot \gamma) < 0,$$

a contradiction.

For \Leftarrow , by Theorem 4.15, we need to show that $D \in \text{int}(\text{Nef}(X/Z))$. We need to show that for arbitrary $D' \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$, we have $mD + D' \in \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ for all $m \gg 0$. We adapt the proof

in [Laz04, Theorem 1.4.29]. By Lemma 4.5, it suffices to show that there exists an m such that $((mD + D') \cdot C) \geq 0$ for all π -contracted curves C . Consider the linear functionals

$$\phi_D: N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{D'}: N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

defined by intersecting with D and D' , respectively. Fix a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$, and let

$$S = \{\gamma \in N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \|\gamma\| = 1\}.$$

Since $\overline{NE}(X/Z) \cap S$ is compact, there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ such that $\phi_D(\gamma) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z) \cap S$. Similarly, there exists $\varepsilon' \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\phi_{D'}(\gamma) \geq \varepsilon'$ for all $\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z) \cap S$. Thus, $(D \cdot C) \geq \varepsilon \cdot \|C\|$ and $(D' \cdot C) \geq \varepsilon' \cdot \|C\|$ for every π -contracted curve $C \subseteq X$. We then have

$$((mD + D') \cdot C) = m(D \cdot C) + (D' \cdot C) \geq (m\varepsilon + \varepsilon') \cdot \|C\|,$$

and hence it suffices to choose $m \gg 0$ such that $m\varepsilon + \varepsilon' > 0$. \square

Next, we consider the behavior of cones under localization on the base.

Lemma 4.18. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Let V be an open subspace of Z . Restriction of invertible sheaves gives a \mathbf{k} -linear map*

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(\pi^{-1}(V))$$

for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, and the construction in Remark 4.11 gives a \mathbf{k} -linear map

$$Z_1(\pi^{-1}(V)/V)_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

These maps are compatible with intersection products and thus give \mathbf{k} -linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}} &\longrightarrow N^1(\pi^{-1}(V)/V)_{\mathbf{k}} \\ N_1(\pi^{-1}(V)/V)_{\mathbf{k}} &\longrightarrow N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{k}} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

that preserve Nef, Amp, and \overline{NE} .

Proof. That these maps are compatible with intersection products is a consequence of the construction of $[C]$ as in Lemma 4.5 and Remark 4.11. Therefore they induce the \mathbf{k} -linear maps in (10). Under these maps, $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$ is mapped into $\text{Nef}(\pi^{-1}(V)/V)$ by Lemma 4.5, and $\text{Amp}(X/Z)$ is mapped into $\text{Amp}(\pi^{-1}(V)/V)$ by definition, since a π -ample line bundle \mathcal{L} restricts to a $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(V)}$ -ample line bundle. By (7), $\overline{NE}(\pi^{-1}(V)/V)$ is mapped into $\overline{NE}(X/Z)$. \square

Finally, we will use the following terminology to describe our cones.

Definition 4.19 (see [KMM87, Definition 3-2-3]). We say a subspace $W \subseteq N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a *supporting subspace* of $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$ if W is the span of $W \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ and $W \cap \text{Amp}(X/Z) = \emptyset$. We say a supporting subspace W of $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$ a *supporting hyperplane* of $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$ if $\dim W = \dim(N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}) - 1$.

Let W be a supporting subspace of $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$. The *extremal face dual to W* is

$$R = \{\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z) \mid (W \cdot \gamma) = 0\}.$$

When W is a supporting hyperplane, we call R the *extremal ray dual to W* .

Note that R is an extremal face of $\overline{NE}(X/Z)$ in the sense that if $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \overline{NE}(X/Z)$ satisfy $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \in R$, then $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in R$.

Remark 4.20. There always exist a single $[D_0] \in W \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ such that

$$R = \{\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z) \mid (D_0 \cdot \gamma) = 0\}.$$

Indeed, by assumptions (and by Theorem 4.10) W is spanned by several $[D_1], [D_2], \dots, [D_n] \in \text{Nef}(X/Z)$. Since $(\text{Nef}(X/Z) \cdot \overline{NE}(X/Z)) \geq 0$, it is easy to see $D_0 = D_1 + D_2 + \dots + D_n$ is a valid choice.

If W has a basis consisting of rational elements of $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$, then by above we may take D_0 rational.

Remark 4.21. When W is a supporting hyperplane, the extremal ray R dual to W is a ray in the \mathbf{R} -vector space $N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$. Indeed, $R \neq \{0\}$ by Proposition 4.17, and the span of R has dimension at most one since W has codimension one.

5. RELATIVELY BIG \mathbf{R} -INVERTIBLE SHEAVES

In this section, we define the ‘‘birational’’ variants of the relative ampleness conditions defined in the previous section, i.e., relative bigness and relative pseudoeffectivity. As far as we are aware, these results are new for algebraic spaces, even for proper algebraic spaces over a field.

5.1. Growth of cohomology and volume. We will need the following estimate on the growth of cohomology of twists.

Proposition 5.1 (cf. [Deb01, Proposition 1.31(a)]). *Let X be a proper algebraic space over a field k of dimension d , and let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X . For every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , we have*

$$h^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = O(m^d) \quad (11)$$

for all i . Here, the dimension h^i of H^i is computed over k .

Proof. By dévissage [Stacks, Tag 08AN], it suffices to show the following:

(a) For every short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

of coherent sheaves on X , if (11) holds for two out of three of \mathcal{F}_1 , \mathcal{F}_2 , and \mathcal{F}_3 , then (11) holds for the third.

(b) If (11) holds for $\mathcal{F}^{\oplus r}$ for some $r \geq 1$, then (11) holds for \mathcal{F} .

(c) For every integral closed subspace $\iota: V \hookrightarrow X$, there exists a coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on X whose scheme-theoretic support is V such that (11) holds for \mathcal{G} .

First, (a) follows from the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} h^i(X, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) &\leq h^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{F}_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) + h^i(X, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \\ h^i(X, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) &\leq h^i(X, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) + h^i(X, \mathcal{F}_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \\ h^i(X, \mathcal{F}_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) &\leq h^i(X, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) + h^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \end{aligned}$$

obtained by twisting the given exact sequence by $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ and using the long exact sequence on sheaf cohomology.

Second, (b) follows since

$$h^i(X, \mathcal{F}^{\oplus r} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = r \cdot h^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}).$$

Third, (c) follows from the scheme case of (11) as follows. By the weak version of Chow’s lemma in [Stacks, Tag 089J], there exists a proper surjective morphism $\mu: V' \rightarrow V$ from a scheme V' that is a closed subscheme of \mathbf{P}_k^N for some N . By [Stacks, Tag 0DMN], after replacing V' by a closed integral subspace, we may assume that μ is generically finite. Let $\mathcal{O}_{V'}(n) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^N}(n)|_{V'}$. Choose $n > 0$ such that $R^p \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{V'}(n) = 0$ for all $p > 0$ [Stacks, Tag 08AQ]. We claim that $\mathcal{G} = \iota_* \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{V'}(n)$ satisfies (11). We have

$$h^i(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = h^i\left(V', \mathcal{O}_{V'}(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V'}} \mu^*(\mathcal{L}_{|V}^{\otimes m})\right) = O(m^{\dim(V)})$$

by the projection formula [Stacks, Tag 0944], the Leray spectral sequence [Stacks, Tag 0733], and the scheme case of the proposition [Deb01, Proposition 1.31(a)]. \square

We next define volumes.

Definition 5.2 (see [Laz04, Definition 2.2.31]). Let X be an integral proper algebraic space of dimension d over a field k . The *volume* of an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X is

$$\mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})}{m^d/d!},$$

where the dimension h^0 of H^0 is computed over k .

We show that the volume behaves well with respect to generically finite morphisms.

Proposition 5.3 (cf. [Hol, Lemma 4.3]). *Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a surjective generically finite morphism of integral proper algebraic spaces over a field k . Consider an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X . Then, we have*

$$\mathrm{vol}_Y(f^*\mathcal{L}) = \deg(f) \cdot \mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}).$$

Proof. Since f is generically finite, we know that $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ has rank $r = \deg(f)$. Thus, there exists a dense open subspace $U \subseteq X$ such that $(f_*\mathcal{O}_Y)|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus r}$, which yields an injection $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_X^{\oplus r}$, where \mathcal{K}_X is the sheaf of meromorphic functions as defined in [Stacks, Tag 0EN3]. Consider the intersection $\mathcal{G} = f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \cap \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ as subsheaves of $\mathcal{K}_X^{\oplus r}$, and the short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & f_*\mathcal{O}_Y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 are supported in $X - U$, we see that

$$h^1(X, \mathcal{G}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = O(m^{d-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad h^1(X, \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = O(m^{d-1})$$

by Proposition 5.1. Twisting by $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$, the long exact sequence on sheaf cohomology and the projection formula [Stacks, Tag 0944] imply

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(Y, f^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) - h^0(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) &\leq h^1(X, \mathcal{G}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = O(m^{d-1}), \\ r \cdot h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) - h^0(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) &\leq h^1(X, \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = O(m^{d-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We therefore see that $\mathrm{vol}_Y(f^*\mathcal{L}) = r \cdot \mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L})$. \square

As a consequence, we can show that the volume is homogeneous with respect to taking powers of \mathcal{L} .

Proposition 5.4 (cf. [Laz04, Proposition 2.2.35(a)]). *Let X be an integral proper algebraic space of dimension d over a field k . Then, for every integer $n > 0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) = n^d \mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}).$$

Proof. By the weak version of Chow's lemma in [Stacks, Tag 089J], there exists a proper surjective morphism $f: X' \rightarrow X$ from a scheme X' that is a closed subscheme of \mathbf{P}_k^N for some N . By [Stacks, Tag 0DMN], after replacing X' by a closed integral subspace, we may assume that f is generically finite. We then have

$$\mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) = \frac{1}{\deg(f)} \mathrm{vol}_{X'}(f^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) = \frac{n^d}{\deg(f)} \mathrm{vol}_{X'}(f^*\mathcal{L}) = n^d \mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{L}),$$

where the first and last equalities follow from Proposition 5.3, and the middle equality follows from the fact that the limit supremum in the definition of $\mathrm{vol}_{X'}(f^*\mathcal{L})$ is in fact a limit [Cut14, Theorem 8.1]. \square

5.2. Relatively big and pseudoeffective \mathbf{R} -invertible sheaves. We now define π -big and π -pseudoeffective \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves and \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors. In the definition below, we recall if X is an integral algebraic space, then it is decent by definition [Stacks, Tag 0AD4], and hence codimension zero points in X are the same thing as generic points of irreducible components in $|X|$ [Stacks, Tag 0ABV].

Definition 5.5 (see [BCHM10, Definition 3.1.1(7); Fuj14, Definition A.20]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper surjective morphism between integral algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Let $\eta \in |Z|$ be the generic point of $|Z|$. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X . We say that \mathcal{L} is π -big if

$$\mathrm{vol}_{X_\eta}(\mathcal{L}|_{X_\eta}) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^0(X_\eta, \mathcal{L}|_{X_\eta}^{\otimes m})}{m^{\dim(X_\eta)}/(\dim(X_\eta))!} > 0, \quad (12)$$

where $X_\eta = \pi^{-1}(\eta)$ is the generic fiber, and the dimension h^0 of H^0 is computed over $\kappa(\eta)$. We note that $|X_\eta|$ is irreducible by [EGAI_{new}, Chapitre 0, Proposition 2.1.13], and hence X_η is integral.

Now suppose D is a \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf on X for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. We say that D is π -big if D is a finite nonzero $\mathbf{k}_{>0}$ -linear combination of π -big invertible sheaves on X . If $Z = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ for a field k , we just say that \mathcal{L} or D is big. We use the same terminology for \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors when X is a locally Noetherian scheme.

Remark 5.6. If X_η is a scheme in Definition 5.5, the condition (12) holds if and only if for $m \gg 0$, the rational map

$$X_\eta \overset{|\mathcal{L}|_{X_\eta}^{\otimes m}}{\dashrightarrow} \mathbf{P}\left(H^0(X_\eta, \mathcal{L}|_{X_\eta}^{\otimes m})\right)$$

is generically finite onto its image by [Cut14, Theorems 8.2 and 10.7].

Definition 5.7 (see [BCHM10, Definition 3.1.1(9)]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper surjective morphism between integral algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Let D be a \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf on X for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. We say that D is π -pseudoeffective if the restriction $D|_{X_\eta}$ of D to the generic fiber of π is the limit of \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaves associated to effective \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors under the map (4). If $Z = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ for a field k , we just say that D is pseudoeffective.

We now show a relative version of Kodaira's lemma.

Lemma 5.8 (Relative Kodaira's lemma; cf. [KMM87, Lemma 0-3-3 and Corollary 0-3-4; Fuj17, Lemma 2.1.27; CLM22, Lemma 1.18]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper surjective morphism between integral algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Let \mathcal{L} be a π -big invertible sheaf on X . Let $V \subseteq X$ be a proper closed subspace. For infinitely many $m > 0$, we have*

$$f_*(\mathcal{I}_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \neq 0.$$

If the generic fiber X_η is a scheme, then this holds for all $m \gg 0$.

Proof. By restricting to the generic fiber of π , it suffices to consider the case when $Z = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ for a field k .

Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}|_V^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking global sections, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \longrightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{L}|_V^{\otimes m}).$$

Since \mathcal{L} is big, we see that

$$\dim_k(H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})) > \dim_k(H^0(V, \mathcal{L}|_V^{\otimes m}))$$

for some m by Proposition 5.1, and hence $H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \neq 0$.

The last statement when X_η is a scheme holds because in this case, the limit supremum in (12) is a limit by [Cut14, Theorem 10.7]. \square

We obtain the following characterization of π -big \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves.

Corollary 5.9 (cf. [Laz04, Corollary 2.2.7 and Proposition 2.2.22; Fuj17, Lemma 2.1.29]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism between integral Noetherian schemes, such that Z is affine. Let D be a \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf on X for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) D is π -big.
- (ii) We have $D = A + E$ in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves A and E such that A is a π -ample \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf and E is the \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf associated to an effective \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor.
- (iii) We have $D = A + E$ in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves A and E such that A is a π -ample \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf and E is the \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf associated to an effective \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor, where A is in fact a \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf.
- (iv) We have $D = A + E$ in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves A and E such that A is a π -ample \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf and E is the \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf associated to an effective \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor, where E is in fact a \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf.

Moreover, if D is π -big and π -nef, then writing $D = A + E$ as above, we can make the coefficients on E arbitrarily small without changing the invertible sheaves that appear when expressing E as a \mathbf{k} -linear combination of invertible sheaves.

Proof. We first show (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Write $D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i$ for $a_i \in \mathbf{k}_{>0}$. Let A_0 be a π -very ample effective Cartier divisor. Applying Lemma 5.8 to each invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(D_i)$, we have

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m_i D_i - A_0)) \neq 0$$

for some $m_i > 0$. We can then find an effective Cartier divisor $E_i \in |m_i D_i - A_0|$, and hence

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i \sim_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{m_i} A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{m_i} E_i.$$

Setting $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{m_i} A_0$ and $E = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{m_i} E_i$, we are done.

Next, we show (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iv). If $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Q}$, there is nothing to show. If $A = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i A_i$ for $b_i \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $E = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j E_j$ for $c_j \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$, then we can write

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^m b'_i A_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (c_j - c'_j) E_j + \sum_{i=1}^m (b_i - b'_i) A_i + \sum_{j=1}^n c'_j E_j$$

where $b'_i, c'_j \in \mathbf{Q}$. To obtain a decomposition $D = A + E$ where $A \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$, we choose $c_j = c'_j$ and choose b'_i such that $0 \leq b_i - b'_i \ll 1$. To obtain a decomposition $D = A + E$ where $E \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$, we choose $b_i = b'_i$ and choose c'_j such that $|c_j - c'_j| \ll 1$ and use the openness of the ample cone (Theorem 4.15).

Clearly (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) and (iv) \Rightarrow (ii). It therefore suffices to show (iii) \Rightarrow (i) to complete the proof. We first show the statement when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Q}$. Writing $D = A + E$, we can clear denominators to reduce to the case when $D = A + E$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$. In this case, we have

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mA)) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mA + mE)) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD))$$

for all $m > 0$, and hence the claim follows from asymptotic Riemann–Roch [Kol96, Chapter VI, Theorem 2.15].

We now show (iii) \Rightarrow (i) when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{R}$. Write $E = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j E_j$. We induce on n . If $n = 0$, there is nothing to show. If $n \geq 1$, write

$$D = \left(A + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j E_j \right) + c_n E_n.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we know that $D' = A + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j E_j$ is π -big, and hence we can write $D' = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i D_i$ for π -big invertible sheaves D_i and $a_i \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$. Choose $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ such that $s_1 < c_n/a_m < s_2$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $c_n/a_m = ts_1 + (1-t)s_2$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i D_i + a_m D_m + c_n E_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i D_i + a_m \left(D_m + \frac{c_n}{a_m} E_n \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i D_i + a_m (t(D_m + s_1 E_n) + (1-t)(D_m + s_2 E_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $D_m + s_1 E_n$ and $D_m + s_2 E_n$ are π -big by the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Q}$, we see that D is an $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -linear combination of π -big invertible sheaves.

Finally, if D is π -nef and π -big, then $kD + A$ is π -ample for any positive integer k by Theorem 4.15. If we have a decomposition $D = A + E$ as above, we then have

$$D = \frac{1}{k+1}(kD + A) + \frac{1}{k+1}E.$$

Replacing A and E by $\frac{1}{k+1}(kD + A)$ and $\frac{1}{k+1}E$, respectively, we can make the coefficients on E arbitrarily small without changing the invertible sheaves that appear when writing E as a \mathbf{k} -linear combination of invertible sheaves. \square

We show bigness behaves well with respect to pulling back by generically finite morphisms.

Lemma 5.10 (cf. [Fuj14, Lemmas A.5 and A.18]). *Let S be a scheme. Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ & \searrow \pi' & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

*be a commutative diagram of integral algebraic spaces over S , where π and π' are proper surjective and f is generically finite. Let $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. Then, D is π -big if and only if f^*D is π' -big. Also, if D is pseudoeffective, then f^*D is pseudoeffective.*

Proof. Replacing Z by the spectrum of its generic point, we may assume that $Z = \text{Spec}(k)$ for a field k .

We first show that if D is big or pseudoeffective, then f^*D is also. For bigness, working one term of D at a time, it suffices to consider the case when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$. The statement for bigness now follows from Proposition 5.3. The statement for pseudoeffectivity follows from taking limits, since the pullback of an effective \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor is an effective \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor.

We now show that if f^*D is big, then D is big. If $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$, since the volume is homogeneous (Proposition 5.4), we can clear denominators and reduce to the case $D \in \text{Pic}(X)$, and the statement follows from Proposition 5.3. Now assume $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$. By the weak version of Chow's lemma in [Stacks, Tag 089J] and using [Stacks, Tag 0DMN], there exists a generically finite morphism $\mu: X'' \rightarrow X'$ from a projective variety over k . Since f^*D is π' -big, μ^*f^*D is $(\pi' \circ \mu)$ -big, by the previous paragraph. By Kodaira's Lemma (Corollary 5.9) and the openness of the ample cone (Theorem 4.15), we see that there exists $D_0 \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$ such that $\mu^*f^*D_0$ is $(\pi' \circ \mu)$ -big, and that if L in an element of $\text{Pic}(X)$, then $\mu^*f^*(D_0 + \epsilon L)$ is $(\pi' \circ \mu)$ -big for all positive rational numbers

$\epsilon \ll 1$. By the \mathbf{Q} -case already proved, $D_0 + \epsilon L$ and D_0 are π -big. Write

$$D = \sum_i a_i D_i,$$

where the D_i are elements of $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$ and a_i are real numbers. By the previous discussion, we may assume that each D_i is π -big. For rational numbers $a'_i < a_i$ with $a_i - a'_i \ll 1$, let $D' = \sum_i a'_i D_i$. By Kodaira's Lemma (Corollary 5.9) and the openness of the ample cone (Theorem 4.15), $\mu^* f^* D'$ is $(\pi' \circ \mu)$ -big, so D' is π -big by the \mathbf{Q} -case, and

$$D = D' + \sum_i (a_i - a'_i) D_i$$

is π -big by definition. \square

We can also show that the sum of a π -big and π -nef or π -pseudoeffective \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf is π -big.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper surjective morphism between integral algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Let D be a π -big \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf on X for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. If D' is a π -nef (resp. π -pseudoeffective) \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf on X , then $D + D'$ is π -big.*

Proof. Replacing Z by the spectrum of its generic point, we may assume that $Z = \text{Spec}(k)$ for a field k . By the weak version of Chow's lemma in [Stacks, Tag 089J] and using [Stacks, Tag 0DMN], there exists a generically finite morphism $\mu: X' \rightarrow X$ from a projective variety over k . We then see that $\mu^* D$ is big by Lemma 5.10, and that $\mu^* D'$ is π -nef by Lemma 4.3 (resp. π -pseudoeffective by Lemma 5.10). Now by Kodaira's lemma (Corollary 5.9), we can write $\mu^* D = A + E$ in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X')$, where A is ample and E is effective, and hence

$$\mu^*(D + D') = A + \mu^* D' + E.$$

If D' is nef, then $A + \mu^* D'$ is ample by Kleiman's criterion (Proposition 4.17), and hence $\mu^*(D + D')$ is big by Kodaira's lemma (Corollary 5.9). If D' is pseudoeffective, then $\mu^* D'$ can be written as a limit of effective \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors F_i as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Writing

$$\mu^*(D + D') = A + (\mu^* D' - F_i) + F_i + E,$$

we see that $A + (\mu^* D' - F_i)$ is ample for $i \gg 0$ by Theorem 4.15, and hence $\mu^*(D + D')$ is big by Kodaira's lemma (Corollary 5.9). Finally, we conclude that $D + D'$ is big by Lemma 5.10. \square

Bigness and pseudoeffectivity are well-behaved under birational transforms.

Lemma 5.12. *Let $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral algebraic spaces over a scheme S with Y integral and normal. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper birational morphism over Z with X integral and normal. Let D be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor that is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier on X such that the birational transform $f_* D$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier. If D is big over Z , so is $f_* D$.*

Assume further that f is an isomorphism in codimension one. Then, $f_ D$ is big over Z if and only if D is.*

Proof. By Definition 5.5, we may take the fiber over the generic point of $\pi(X)$ and assume Z the spectrum of a field.

If $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ is sufficiently divisible, then mD and $mf_* D$ are Cartier. For each $E \in |mD|$, we have $f_* E \in |mf_* D|$; and if $f_* E_1 = f_* E_2$, then $E_1 - E_2$ is an f -exceptional divisor linearly equivalent to 0, hence is 0. We thus know that $\dim |mD| \leq \dim |mf_* D|$, and we see that $f_* D$ is big whenever D is.

If f is an isomorphism in codimension one, then for each $E \in |mf_* D|$ we have $f_*^{-1} E \in |mD|$. Thus $\dim |mD| = \dim |mf_* D|$ and $f_* D$ is big if and only if D is big. \square

Lemma 5.13. *Let $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral algebraic spaces over a scheme S with Y integral and normal. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective birational morphism over Z with X integral and normal. Let D be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor that is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier on X such that the birational transform f_*D is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier. If D is pseudoeffective over Z , so is f_*D .*

*Assume further that f is an isomorphism in codimension one. Then f_*D is pseudoeffective over Z if and only if D is.*

Proof. Again, we may assume Z the spectrum of a field to avoid writing “over Z .”

If D is pseudoeffective, for each big \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor B on Y we have $f_*D + B = f_*(D + f^*B)$. $D + f^*B$ is big since f^*B is, so by Lemma 5.12 $f_*D + B$ is big, and f_*D is pseudoeffective.

Now assume that f is an isomorphism in codimension one, and that f_*D is pseudoeffective. Let A be an ample \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor on X and we need to prove $D + A$ big. Choose an ample divisor H on Y with $A - f^*H$ ample. By Lemma 5.12 we see $D + f^*H$ big since its pushforward is $f_*D + H$. Thus $D + A$ is big. \square

5.3. Linear systems and generic fibers. Relative bigness and relative pseudoeffectivity only depend on the generic fiber, and hence we describe how linear systems behave when passing to the generic fiber of a morphism.

Lemma 5.14 (cf. [BCHM10, Lemma 3.2.1]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper surjective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes, where X is normal and Z is affine. Consider a point $z \in Z$, and set $R := \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ and $X_R := X \times_Z \text{Spec}(R)$. Let D be a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor on X and let E an effective \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor on X_R such that $E|_{X_R} \sim_{\mathbf{k}} D|_{X_R}$ for some $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. Then, there exists an effective \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor F on X such that $F \sim_{\mathbf{k}} D$ and $F|_{X_R} = E$.*

Proof. Let $E = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_i$ where $a_i \in \mathbf{k}$ and E_i are prime divisors on X_R . There exist rational functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m on X_R and numbers $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in \mathbf{k}$ such that

$$D|_{X_R} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_i + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \text{div}_{X_R}(f_j).$$

Since the function fields of X and X_R are the same, the functions f_j define principal divisors $\text{div}_X(f_j)$ on X . For each i , we also obtain a prime divisor \overline{E}_i on X as the closure of E_i . Let

$$D' = D - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \overline{E}_i - \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \text{div}_X(f_j).$$

Then, D' is a \mathbf{k} -linear combination of prime divisors that avoid X_R . In other words, we have $D' = \sum_k c_k S_k$ where $(S_k)|_{X_R} = 0$ and $c_k \in \mathbf{k}$ for every k . If we can prove the result for $\text{sgn}(c_k)S_k$ for each k (and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$) then we are done.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X(\text{sgn}(c_k)S_k)$. By flat base change [EGAIII₁, Proposition 1.4.15], we have

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)} R = H^0(X_R, \mathcal{F}_R) \simeq H^0(X_R, \mathcal{O}_{X_R}).$$

Since $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ is torsion-free as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -module [EGAI_{new}, Proposition 8.4.5], there exists a section $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ such that s maps to a nonzero section of \mathcal{F}_R . We then have $\text{div}(s) \sim \text{sgn}(c_k)S_k$ while $\text{div}(s)|_{X_R} = 0$, and hence we are done. \square

Corollary 5.15. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper surjective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes with X normal and Z affine. Consider a point $z \in Z$, and set $R := \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ and $X_R := X \times_Z \text{Spec}(R)$. Let D be a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor on X where $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. Then $|D|_{\mathbf{k}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|D|_{X_R}|_{\mathbf{k}} \neq \emptyset$.*

5.4. Relatively big \mathbf{R} -Weil divisors. We now extend the definition of π -bigness to \mathbf{Q} - or \mathbf{R} -Weil divisors.

Definition 5.16. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper surjective morphism of integral locally Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Let X_η be the generic fiber of π and assume X_η projective over $\kappa(\eta)$. Let D be a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor on X where $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. We say that D is π -big if $D|_{X_\eta} \sim_{\mathbf{k}} A + E$ for an ample \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf A on X_η and an effective \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor E on X_η .

If π is birational, then clearly every \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor is π -big.

Definition 5.16 is equivalent to Definition 5.5 for \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves or \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors. This equivalent characterization is the definition taken in [CU15, Definition 2.16].

Lemma 5.17. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of locally Noetherian schemes, such that X is normal and X_η is projective over $\kappa(\eta)$. Let $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ and let D be a \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor on X . If D is \mathbf{k} -Cartier, D is π -big in the sense of Definition 5.16 if and only if D is π -big in the sense of Definition 5.5.*

If Z is affine and π is projective, D is π -big in the sense of Definition 5.16 if and only if there exists a π -ample \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor A and an effective \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor E with $D \sim_{\mathbf{k}} A + E$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Corollary 5.9.

Now assume that Z affine and π is projective. The implication \Leftarrow is trivial, so we assume that D is π -big in the sense of Definition 5.16. Let A^η and E^η be divisors on the generic fiber X_η as in Definition 5.16. Let H be a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor on X . After scaling, we may assume $A^\eta - H|_{X_\eta}$ ample, so we see that $|(D - H)|_{X_\eta}|_{\mathbf{k}} \neq \emptyset$. By Corollary 5.15, $|D - H|_{\mathbf{k}} \neq \emptyset$, as desired. \square

6. CANONICAL SHEAVES, CANONICAL DIVISORS, AND SINGULARITIES OF PAIRS

6.1. Canonical sheaves and divisors. We define canonical sheaves.

Definition 6.1 (cf. [KMM87, Remark 0-2-2(2); Cor92, (16.3.3); Kov12, §5]). Let X be an equidimensional and connected locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . Suppose that X has a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet . The *canonical sheaf* ω_X associated to ω_X^\bullet is the cohomology sheaf of ω_X^\bullet in lowest cohomological degree.

We can also often make sense of ω_X as a Weil divisor.

Definition 6.2 (cf. [KMM87, Remark 0-2-2(2); Cor92, (16.3.3); Kov12, §5]). Let X be an equidimensional and connected locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . Suppose that X has a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet with associated canonical sheaf ω_X . The sheaf ω_X is invertible on an open subspace $U \subseteq X$, since it is the complement of the closed subspace where

$$\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

is an isomorphism by [Stacks, Tag 0B8N].

Now suppose that X is integral and normal. Since X is normal, U contains all codimension one points of X . A *canonical divisor* K_X on X is a Weil divisor whose class in $\text{Cl}(X)$ restricts to the image of ω_U under the map $\text{Pic}(U) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(U)$ from (6).

6.2. Singularities of pairs. We can now define pairs and singularities of pairs in our setting.

Definition 6.3 (see [Kol13, Definition 1.5 and (2.20)]). Let X be an integral normal locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . Suppose that X has a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet with associated canonical divisor K_X . Let $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. A \mathbf{k} -pair (X, Δ) is the combined data of X together with an effective \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor Δ such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{k} -Cartier.

We will also use the following definition. For algebraic spaces, we take the characterization in [Stacks, Tag 0BIA(2)] as our definition for a simple normal crossings divisor.

Definition 6.4 (see [CL12, p. 2418]). Let (X, Δ) be a \mathbf{k} -pair for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. We say that (X, Δ) is *log regular* if X is regular and Δ has simple normal crossings support.

For the definition below, we note that [Kol13] works over a regular scheme B throughout (see [Kol13, Definition 1.5]), but this is not necessary for the following definition to make sense, since we are assuming the existence of a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet .

Definition 6.5 (see [KMM87, Definitions 0-2-6 and 0-2-10; Kol13, Definitions 2.4 and 2.8]). Let (X, Δ) be a \mathbf{k} -pair for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. For a separated birational morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of finite type from an integral normal locally Noetherian algebraic space Y over S , we can write

$$K_Y + f_*^{-1}\Delta \sim_{\mathbf{k}} f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_{f\text{-exceptional } E} a(E, X, \Delta)E$$

for some $a(E, X, \Delta) \in \mathbf{k}$, where the E are f -exceptional prime Weil divisors and $f_*^{-1}\Delta$ is the birational transform of Δ .

For each f -exceptional prime Weil divisor E on Y , the number $a(E, X, \Delta) \in \mathbf{k}$ is called the *discrepancy of E* with respect to (X, Δ) . For nonexceptional prime Weil divisors $D \subseteq X$, we set $a(D, X, \Delta) := -\text{coeff}_D(\Delta)$. If $f': Y' \rightarrow X$ is another birational morphism and $E' \subseteq Y'$ is the birational transform of E , then $a(E, X, \Delta) = a(E', X, \Delta)$, and hence the discrepancy of E only depends on E and not on Y . The *center* $\text{center}_X(E)$ of E is the image of E in X .

Now suppose that Δ has coefficients in $[0, 1]$. We say that (X, Δ) is

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{terminal} \\ \text{canonical} \\ \text{klt} \\ \text{dlt} \end{array} \right\} \text{ if } a(E, X, \Delta) \text{ is } \begin{cases} > 0 \text{ for every exceptional } E, \\ \geq 0 \text{ for every exceptional } E, \\ > -1 \text{ for every } E, \\ > -1 \text{ for every } E \text{ such that } \text{center}_X(E) \subseteq \text{non-snc}(X, \Delta). \end{cases}$$

Here, the divisors E range over all prime Weil divisors on schemes Y birational over X as above.

We will also state some results using the notion of weakly log terminal singularities from [KMM87].

Definition 6.6 (see [KMM87, Definition 0-2-10]). Let (X, Δ) be a \mathbf{k} -pair for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ such that X is quasi-excellent of equal characteristic zero and such that Δ has coefficients in $[0, 1]$. We say that (X, Δ) is *weakly log terminal* if the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exists a resolution of singularities $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{Supp}(f_*^{-1}\Delta) \cup \text{Exc}(f)$ has normal crossings support (in the sense of [Stacks, Tag 0BSF]) and $a(E, X, \Delta) > -1$ for every f -exceptional E .
- (ii) There exists an f -ample invertible sheaf \mathcal{H} whose image in $\text{Cl}(Y)$ is equal to the class of a Weil divisor whose support equals $\text{Exc}(f)$.

Remark 6.7 (see [Sza94, Divisorial log terminal theorem; Fuj17, Remark 2.3.22]). Let X be as in Definition 6.6. Since thrifty log resolutions exist in this setting by [Tem18, Theorems 1.1.6 and 1.1.13], we see that dlt pairs are weakly log terminal.

Remark 6.8. Since terminal, canonical, and klt are étale-local conditions [Kol13, (2.14) and Proposition 2.15], one can also define these notions for algebraic spaces by pulling back to an étale cover of X . Note that dlt is not an étale-local condition [Kol13, Warning on p. 47].

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 6.9. *Let (X, Δ) be a \mathbf{k} -pair for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, and let Δ' be an effective \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor on X . Then, we have the following:*

- (i) *If Δ' is \mathbf{k} -Cartier and $(X, \Delta + \Delta')$ is klt, then (X, Δ) is klt.*
- (ii) *Suppose $K_X + \Delta'$ is \mathbf{k} -Cartier. If (X, Δ') is klt, then $(X, t\Delta + (1-t)\Delta')$ is klt for all $t \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbf{k}$.*

- (iii) Assume that (X, Δ) has a log resolution, that (X, Δ) is klt, and that Δ' is \mathbf{k} -Cartier. Then, for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{k}_{>0}$, the pair $(X, \Delta + \varepsilon\Delta')$ is klt.
- (iv) Suppose $K_X + \Delta'$ is \mathbf{k} -Cartier. Assume that (X, Δ) has a log resolution, that (X, Δ) is klt. Then, for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{k}_{>0}$, the pair $(X, (1 - \varepsilon)\Delta + \varepsilon\Delta')$ is klt.

Proof. Items (i) and (ii) follow immediately from the definition of discrepancy. For (iii) and (iv), it suffices to note that klt-ness is detected by a single log resolution [Kol13, Corollary 2.13]. \square

7. BASE LOCI AND RESTRICTED LINEAR SYSTEMS

We define base loci and some of their asymptotic invariants, which we use to define restricted linear systems.

Definition 7.1 (see [KMM87, p. 299; CL12, p. 2419; M^cK17, Definition 2.2]). Let X be a normal locally Noetherian scheme or an integral normal locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . The *base locus* of a Weil divisor D is the closed set

$$\mathrm{Bs}|D| := \bigcap_{D' \in |D|} \mathrm{Supp}(D').$$

We set $\mathrm{Bs}|D| = X$ if $|D| = \emptyset$. The *stable base locus* of an \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor D is the closed set

$$\mathbf{B}(D) := \bigcap_{D' \in |D|_{\mathbf{R}}} \mathrm{Supp}(D').$$

We set $\mathbf{B}(D) = X$ if $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} = \emptyset$.

We can now define restricted linear systems.

Definition 7.2 (see [ELMNP09, p. 612; CL12, p. 2420 and Definition 2.23]). Let X be an algebraic space over a scheme S , and let $T \subseteq X$ be a closed subspace. For an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X , we set

$$H^0(X|T, \mathcal{L}) := \mathrm{im}\left(H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(T, \mathcal{L}|_T)\right),$$

which is denoted $\mathrm{res}_T(H^0(X, \mathcal{L}))$ in [CL12, Definition 2.23].

Now suppose X is a normal Noetherian scheme, T is normal, and D is a Cartier divisor intersecting T properly. The *restricted linear system* $|D|_T$ is the subset of $|D|_T$ corresponding to nondegenerate sections in $H^0(X|T, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ under the bijection in Proposition 3.13. The restriction map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(D|_T))$$

induces a map $|D| \rightarrow |D|_T$ if T is integral and $T \not\subseteq \mathrm{Bs}|D|$, since $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ maps to $H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T^*)$ and nondegenerate sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ map to nondegenerate sections of $\mathcal{O}_T(D|_T)$.

We now want to define the fixed and stable fixed parts of a linear system. To do so, we need the following result, which shows that the definition of $\mathbf{B}(D)$ is compatible with the usual definition for \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors in [Laz04, Definition 2.1.20].

Lemma 7.3 (see [BCHM10, Lemma 3.5.3; CL12, Lemma 2.3; M^cK17, Lemma 2.4]). *Let X be a normal locally Noetherian scheme or an integral normal locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . Consider a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor D on X . Then, we have*

$$\mathbf{B}(D) = \bigcap_{D' \in |D|_{\mathbf{Q}}} \mathrm{Supp}(D').$$

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 3.14. \square

Finally, we define fixed and mobile parts of linear systems, together with the asymptotic variant of the fixed part.

Definition 7.4 (see [CL12, Definition 2.5]). Let X be a normal locally Noetherian scheme or an integral normal locally Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . Consider a Weil divisor D on X . The *fixed part* $\text{Fix}|D|$ of D is the largest effective Weil divisor F on X such that $F \leq D'$ for all $D' \in |D|$. We can then write

$$|D| = |\text{Mob}(D)| + \text{Fix}|D|,$$

where $\text{Mob}(D)$ is the *mobile part* of $|D|$. If $T \subseteq X$ is a normal closed subscheme, we use the same definition for the restricted linear system $|D|_T$ to define the fixed part $\text{Fix}|D|_T$.

Now consider a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor D on X . The *stable fixed part* of D is

$$\mathbf{Fix}(D) := \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \text{Fix}|kD|,$$

which by Lemma 7.3 is the divisorial part of the stable base locus $\mathbf{B}(D)$. Similarly, we set

$$\mathbf{Fix}_T(D) := \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \text{Fix}|kD|_T.$$

8. CONVEX SETS IN $\text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$ AND RELATIVE DIVISORIAL GRADED RINGS

We define some convex subsets of $\text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$ associated to finite-dimensional subspaces in $\text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$, following [CL12, §2.1]. We will restrict to the scheme case in this section. In the definition below, $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is a version of Shokurov's polytope \mathcal{P} from [Sho93, (1.3.2)] (see also [Sho96, First Main Theorem 6.2]), and $\mathcal{E}_A(V)$ is a version of Shokurov's polytope \mathcal{M} from [Sho96, Second Main Theorem 6.20].

Definition 8.1 (cf. [CL12, Definition 2.4]). Let X be a regular locally Noetherian scheme with a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor defined using ω_X^\bullet . Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p be distinct prime divisors on X such that $(X, \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular. Let

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{R} \cdot S_i \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X),$$

and let A be a \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(V) &:= \left\{ B = \sum b_i S_i \in V \mid 0 \leq b_i \leq 1 \text{ for all } i \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_A(V) &:= \{ B \in \mathcal{L}(V) \mid |K_X + A + B|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let S be a prime divisor on X different from each S_i such that $(X, S + \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular. We set

$$\mathcal{B}_A^S(V) := \{ B \in \mathcal{L}(V) \mid S \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}(K_X + S + A + B) \}.$$

We now define relative divisorial graded rings and establish some basic properties about them, following [CL12, §2.4].

Definition 8.2 (cf. [KMM87, Definitions 0-3-7 and 0-3-11; CL12, Definition 2.22; CL13, p. 620]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of integral Noetherian schemes, where X is regular and Z is affine. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$ be a finitely generated monoid. The *relative divisorial graded ring associated to \mathcal{S}* is the \mathcal{S} -graded $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra

$$R(X/Z; \mathcal{S}) := \bigoplus_{D \in \mathcal{S}} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(|D|)).$$

Now suppose that Z has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet , and denote by K_X a canonical divisor defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$. If divisors D_1, D_2, \dots, D_ℓ are generators of \mathcal{S} and if $D_i \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} k_i(K_X + \Delta_i)$ for effective \mathbf{Q} -divisors Δ_i and for $k_i \in \mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0}$, the algebra $R(X/Z; \mathcal{S})$ is called the *relative adjoint*

ring associated to \mathcal{S} , and the relative adjoint ring associated to the sequence D_1, D_2, \dots, D_ℓ is the \mathbf{N}^ℓ -graded $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra

$$R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell) := \bigoplus_{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\ell) \in \mathbf{N}^\ell} H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i D_i\right]\right)\right).$$

Note that there is a natural projection map $R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell) \rightarrow R(X/Z; \mathcal{S})$. The support of $R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$ is

$$\text{Supp}\left(R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)\right) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot D_i\right) \cap \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{eff}}(X) \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X).$$

If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$ is a rational polyhedral cone, then Gordan's lemma [Ful93, §1.2, Proposition 1] implies that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C} \cap \text{Div}(X)$ is a finitely generated monoid, and we define the adjoint ring associated to \mathcal{C} to be

$$R(X/Z; \mathcal{C}) := R(X/Z; \mathcal{S}).$$

Definition 8.3 (cf. [CL12, Definition 2.23]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of integral Noetherian schemes, where X is regular and Z is affine. Let S be a regular prime divisor on X and let D be an effective divisor on X . Using Proposition 3.13 (see also [Stacks, Tag 01X0]), we fix $1_S \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(S))$ such that $Z(1_S) = S$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D - S)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \xrightarrow{\rho_S} H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(D)), \quad (13)$$

where the middle map is obtained via twisting the map $\mathcal{O}_X(-S) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ corresponding to 1_S and applying global sections. For $\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$, we denote by $\sigma|_S \in H^0(X|S, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ the image of σ under ρ_S , where $H^0(X|S, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ is the image of ρ_S as defined in Definition 7.2.

If $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$ is a monoid generated by divisors D_1, D_2, \dots, D_ℓ , the restriction of $R(X/Z; \mathcal{S})$ to S is the \mathcal{S} -graded $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra

$$\text{res}_{\mathcal{S}}(R(X/Z; \mathcal{S})) := \bigoplus_{D \in \mathcal{S}} H^0(X|S, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor D \rfloor)),$$

and the restriction of $R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$ to S is the \mathbf{N}^ℓ -graded $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra

$$\text{res}_S(R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)) := \bigoplus_{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\ell) \in \mathbf{N}^\ell} H^0\left(X|S, \mathcal{O}_X\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i D_i\right]\right)\right).$$

We give two lemmas about finite generation of relative divisorial graded rings.

Lemma 8.4 (cf. [CL12, Corollary 2.26]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of integral Noetherian schemes, where X is regular and Z is affine. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism, where Y is regular. Let $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$, let $D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_\ell \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$, and assume there exist positive rational numbers r_i and f -exceptional \mathbf{Q} -divisors $E_i \geq 0$ such that*

$$D'_i \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} r_i f^* D_i + E_i$$

for every i . Then, the ring

$$R = R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$$

is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ if and only if the ring

$$R' = R(Y/Z; D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_\ell)$$

is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. Similarly, suppose S is a regular prime divisor on X , and let $T = f_*^{-1}S$. Then, the ring $\text{res}_S(R)$ is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ if and only if the ring $\text{res}_T(R')$ is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$.

Proof. The proof of [CL12, Corollary 2.26] works after replacing absolute divisorial rings with relative divisorial graded rings. For completeness, we write down the proof below.

Let k be a positive integer such that for all i , we have that kD_i , $kr_i D'_i$, and kE_i are all integral and

$$kD'_i \sim kr_i f^* D_i + kE_i.$$

Then, the rings

$$R(X/Z; kD_1, kD_2, \dots, kD_\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad R(Y/Z; kD'_1, kD'_2, \dots, kD'_\ell)$$

are Veronese subrings of finite index in R and R' , respectively, and both rings are isomorphic to

$$R(Y/Z; kr_1 f^* D_1 + kE_1, kr_2 f^* D_2 + kE_2, \dots, kr_\ell f^* D_\ell + kE_\ell).$$

Similarly, the rings

$$\text{res}_S \left(R(X/Z; kD_1, kD_2, \dots, kD_\ell) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{res}_T \left(R(Y/Z; kD'_1, kD'_2, \dots, kD'_\ell) \right)$$

are Veronese subrings of finite index in $\text{res}_S(R)$ and $\text{res}_T(R')$, respectively, and both rings are isomorphic to

$$\text{res}_T \left(R(Y/Z; kr_1 f^* D_1 + kE_1, kr_2 f^* D_2 + kE_2, \dots, kr_\ell f^* D_\ell + kE_\ell) \right).$$

In either case, the conclusion follows from [ADHL15, Propositions 1.2.2 and 1.2.4]. \square

Lemma 8.5 (cf. [CL12, Lemma 2.27]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of integral Noetherian schemes, where X is regular and Z is affine. Let $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$, and set*

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot D_i \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X).$$

Then, we have the following:

- (i) *If $R(X/Z; \mathcal{C})$ is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra, then $R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$ is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra.*
- (ii) *Let S be a regular prime divisor on X . If $\text{res}_S(R(X/Z; \mathcal{C}))$ is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra, then*

$$\text{res}_S \left(R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell) \right)$$

is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra.

Proof. The proof of [CL12, Lemma 2.27] works after replacing absolute divisorial rings with relative divisorial graded rings. For completeness, we write down the proof below.

Let k be a positive integer such that $D'_i = kD_i \in \text{Div}(X)$ for all i . The monoid

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{N} \cdot D'_i$$

is a submonoid of $\mathcal{C} \cap \text{Div}(X)$. If $R(X/Z; \mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\text{res}_S(R(X/Z; \mathcal{C}))$) is finitely generated, then $R(X/Z; \mathcal{S})$ (resp. $\text{res}_S(R(X/Z; \mathcal{S}))$) is also finitely generated by [ADHL15, Proposition 1.2.2]. Then, $R(X/Z; D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_\ell)$ (resp. $\text{res}_S(R(X/Z; D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_\ell))$) is finitely generated by [ADHL15, Proposition 1.2.6], which implies that $R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$ (resp. $\text{res}_S(R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell))$) is finitely generated by [ADHL15, Proposition 1.2.4]. \square

9. ASYMPTOTIC ORDER OF VANISHING

Following [CL13, §3 and §8], we define the asymptotic order of vanishing in our setting. We will not need this in the proof of our analogue of [CL12, Theorem B], since we are able to derive it from the result in [CL12]. On the other hand, we will need to use the asymptotic order of vanishing when running the minimal model program, as in [CL13].

We will always work over an affine base and work with absolute linear systems as in Definition 3.12.

Definition 9.1 (see [ELMNP06, p. 1713; CL13, p. 620]). Let X be an integral normal separated scheme. Let v be a discrete valuation on the function field $K(X)$ of X given by a morphism $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X$, which is uniquely determined by v up to isomorphism. The *center* of v is the image of the closed point of $\text{Spec}(R)$. We say v is a *geometric valuation on X* if v is given by the order of vanishing at the generic point η of a prime divisor Γ on some birational model $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of X . In this case, the valuation is given by the composition $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$.

We now define the asymptotic order of vanishing for \mathbf{R} -Weil divisors such that $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$. When D is a big \mathbf{R} -Cartier divisor and Z is a point, this notion coincides with the invariant $v(\|D\|)$ defined in [ELMNP06, Definition 2.2], and when v is furthermore a geometric valuation given by a prime divisor Γ , this notion coincides with the invariant $\sigma_{\Gamma}(D)$ from [Nak04, Chapter III, Definition 1.1]. See also Remark 9.3.

Definition 9.2 (see [CL13, p. 632]; cf. [ELMNP06, Lemma 3.3, CDB13, Remark 2.16]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral noetherian schemes, where Z is affine. Let D be an \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X such that $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$. For each discrete valuation v on $K(X)$, the *asymptotic order of vanishing* of D is

$$o_v(D) := \inf_{E \in |D|_{\mathbf{R}}} \{v(E)\}.$$

For every positive real number a , we have $o_v(aD) = a \cdot o_v(D)$. For every pair of elements $D, D' \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$, we have $o_v(D + D') \leq o_v(D) + o_v(D')$ [ELMNP06, Proposition 2.4]. When v comes from a prime divisor S we write o_S for o_v .

Remark 9.3. Let D be an \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on a complex projective variety X . If $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$, then D is π -pseudoeffective. However, the asymptotic order of vanishing $o_v(D)$ and the invariant $v(\|D\|)$ defined in [ELMNP06] are not necessarily equal. See [CDB13, Remark 2.16].

Part II. Bertini theorems and fundamental theorems of the MMP

In this part, we prove our new relative versions of Bertini theorems for schemes. These theorems will become necessary later to perturb klt pairs without having global Bertini theorems available as would be the case for quasi-projective varieties over a field. We also show the fundamental theorems of the minimal model program (the Basepoint-freeness, Contraction, Rationality, and Cone theorems) for algebraic spaces adapting the strategy in [KMM87] for complex varieties.

10. BERTINI THEOREMS

As in the mixed characteristic case considered in [BMPSTWW], we will need Bertini theorems that work for schemes that are of finite type over a Noetherian local domain of containing \mathbf{Q} .

Theorem 10.1 (cf. [BMPSTWW, Theorem 2.15]). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local domain containing \mathbf{Q} . Fix an integer $N \geq 1$. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_R^N$ be a separated morphism of finite type from a regular Noetherian scheme X . Assume that every closed point of X lies over the unique closed point of $\text{Spec}(R)$.*

Let T_0, T_1, \dots, T_N be a basis of $H^0(\mathbf{P}_R^N, \mathcal{O}(1))$ as a free R -module. Then, there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset $W \subseteq \mathbf{A}_k^{N+1}$ with the following property: For all $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N \in R$, if

$$(\bar{a}_0, \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_N) \in W(k),$$

then the section

$$h = a_0 T_0 + a_1 T_1 + \dots + a_N T_N \in H^0(\mathbf{P}_R^N, \mathcal{O}(1))$$

is such that $f^{-1}(V(h))$ is regular.

Proof. Denote by $f_s: X_s \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^N$ the base change of f along the closed embedding $\text{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$. Choose a stratification $\{U_j\}_{j \in J}$ of X_s by locally closed subschemes such that each U_j is connected and regular. By Jouanolou's Bertini theorem [Jou83, Theorem 6.10(2)], since k is of characteristic zero, there exists a Zariski open subset $W \subseteq \mathbf{A}_k^{n+1}$ such that for all $(\bar{a}_0, \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_N) \in W(k)$, the section

$$\bar{h} = \bar{a}_0 T_0 + \bar{a}_1 T_1 + \dots + \bar{a}_N T_N \in H^0(\mathbf{P}_k^N, \mathcal{O}(1))$$

is such that $f_s^{-1}(V(\bar{h})) \cap U_j$ is regular for all j .

We claim that this choice of W satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. Since the regular locus is stable under generization, it suffices to show that $f^{-1}(V(h))$ is regular at every closed point $x \in f^{-1}(V(h))$. Let $0 \neq g \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ define $f^{-1}(V(h))$ at such a closed point x . By assumption, the image of x in $\text{Spec}(R)$ is \mathfrak{m} , and hence there exists a member U_j of our stratification of X_s containing x . We now consider the image of g under the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_s,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_j,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^2.$$

By [EGAIV₁, Chapitre 0, Proposition 17.1.7], since U_j and $f_s^{-1}(V(\bar{h})) \cap U_j$ are regular, we know that the image of g in $\mathcal{O}_{U_j,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$ is nonzero. Thus, the image of g in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$ is also nonzero. Applying [EGAIV₁, Chapitre 0, Proposition 17.1.7] again, we therefore see that $f^{-1}(V(h))$ is regular at x . \square

Remark 10.2 (cf. [BMPSTWW, Remark 2.16]). Let $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ be a separated morphism of finite type mapping closed points to the unique closed point that factors through \mathbf{P}_R^N for some $N \geq 1$, and let B be an effective divisor on X with simple normal crossings. Applying Theorem 10.1 to X and the finitely many strata of B , we obtain a divisor $H = g^{-1}(V(h))$ such that $(X, H + B)$ and $(H, B \cap H)$ are log regular, where $g: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_R^N$ is a factorization of f . We may also require H to avoid finitely many given points, for example the generic points of the components of B . We will use this version of Bertini's theorem when working with linear systems associated to f -generated Cartier divisors.

When X is proper over a non-local base, we can still find semi-ample regular divisors after passing to an affine open cover of the base. Below, a scheme is *J-2* if it admits an open affine covering $X = \bigcup_i \text{Spec}(R_i)$ such that every R_i is *J-2* in the sense of Definition 2.1(iv) (see [Stacks, Tag 07R3 and Tag 07R4]).

Corollary 10.3. *Let R be a Noetherian domain containing \mathbf{Q} . Fix an integer $N \geq 1$. Let*

$$\{f_i: X_i \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_R^N\}_i$$

*be a finite collection of closed separated morphisms of finite type from regular Noetherian schemes X_i that are *J-2*. Let $\text{Spec}(R) = \bigcup_k V_k$ be a finite affine open cover of $\text{Spec}(R)$. Then, there exists a finite affine open cover*

$$\text{Spec}(R) = \bigcup_j U_j$$

refining $\text{Spec}(R) = \bigcup_k V_k$, such that for each j , there exists a section $h_j \in H^0(\mathbf{P}_R^N, \mathcal{O}(1))$ whose preimage $f_i^{-1}(V(h_j))$ is regular along the preimage of U_j in X_i .

Proof. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$, we can construct sections $h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^N, \mathcal{O}(1))$ such that the preimage of $V(h_{\mathfrak{p}})$ in $X_i \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for every i by Theorem 10.1. Since R is a domain, we can lift the sections $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to sections $\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}_R^N, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by clearing denominators. For each \mathfrak{p} and i , denote by $\text{Sing}(f_i^{-1}(V(\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}})))$ the singular locus of $f_i^{-1}(V(\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$, which is closed by the J-2 condition. Then, denoting by $\pi_i: X_i \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ the composition of f_i with the projection morphism $\mathbf{P}_R^N \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) - \pi_i \left(\bigcup_i \text{Sing}(f_i^{-1}(V(\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}))) \right)$$

since $f_i^{-1}(V(\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ is regular along the preimage of \mathfrak{p} by construction, and hence

$$\text{Spec}(R) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \left(\text{Spec}(R) - \pi_i \left(\bigcup_i \text{Sing}(f_i^{-1}(V(\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}))) \right) \right)$$

is an open cover. Each of the members of this open cover contains an affine open $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \in U_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq V_k$ for some k , and since $\text{Spec}(R)$ is quasi-compact, there is a finite subset $\{U_{\mathfrak{p}_j}\} \subseteq \{U_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ that forms an affine open cover of $\text{Spec}(R)$. Setting $U_j := U_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ and $h_j := \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$, we are done. \square

Corollary 10.3 allows us to perturb klt pairs up to replacing the base by an affine open cover.

Corollary 10.4. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of excellent locally Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero. Suppose that X is integral and normal, and that Z has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let (X, Δ) be a klt \mathbf{k} -pair for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. Let A be a π -semi-ample \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor on X . Then, there exists an open covering $Z = \bigcup_a V_a$ and

$$A_a \in |A|_{|\pi^{-1}(V_a)|_{\mathbf{k}}}$$

such that $(\pi^{-1}(V_a), \Delta|_{\pi^{-1}(V_a)} + A_a)$ is klt.

Proof. The π -semi-ample \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor A is a $\mathbf{k}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of π -semi-ample Cartier divisors on X , so it suffices to treat the case $A = rH$ where $r \in \mathbf{k}$, $0 < r < 1$, and H is π -generated.

We may assume $Z = \text{Spec}(R)$ affine and integral. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of (X, Δ) , which exists by [Tem08, Theorem 2.3.6]. Write

$$K_Y + \sum_E a(E) E \sim_{\mathbf{k}} f^*(K_X + \Delta),$$

where $a(E) := a(E, X, \Delta)$ is the discrepancy. The divisor $\Delta_Y := \sum_E a(E) E$ is effective and satisfies $[\Delta_Y] = 0$ since (X, Δ) is klt. Since H is π -generated, it defines a morphism $h: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_R^N$.

Applying Corollary 10.3 and passing to an open cover of Z if necessary, we can find $H' \in |H|$ such that f^*H' is reduced, does not share a component with Δ_Y , and is such that $(Y, \Delta_Y + f^*H')$ is log regular. We have $A' := rH' \in |A|_{\mathbf{k}}$, $f_*(\Delta_Y + rf^*H') = \Delta + A'$, and

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y + rf^*H' \sim_{\mathbf{k}} f^*(K_X + \Delta + A'),$$

so $a(E, X, \Delta + A') = a(E, Y, \Delta_Y + rf^*H')$ for all divisors E over X (cf. [KM98, Lemma 2.30]). Since $r < 1$, we have $[\Delta_Y + rf^*H'] = 0$. Moreover, since $(Y, \Delta_Y + rf^*H')$ is log regular, we see that $(Y, \Delta_Y + rf^*H')$ is klt by [Kol13, Corollary 2.11]. Thus $(X, \Delta + A')$ is klt, as desired. \square

When X is projective over an affine base, we can find ample divisors avoiding finitely many points in X , even without passing to an affine open cover of the base.

Lemma 10.5. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes, where Z is affine. Let $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. For a π -ample \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisor A on X and finitely many points $x_i \in X$, there exist a positive integer n and a divisor $A' \in |nA|_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $\text{mult}_{x_i}(A') = 0$ for all i .*

Proof. Since π -ample \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors are $\mathbf{k}_{>0}$ -linear combination of π -ample Cartier divisors, we may assume that $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$. The statement now follows by the graded version of prime avoidance [Bou72, Chapter III, §1, no. 4, Proposition 8]. \square

11. BASEPOINT-FREE, CONTRACTION, RATIONALITY, AND CONE THEOREMS

In this section, we prove that the Basepoint-free and Contraction, Rationality, and Cone theorems hold for projective morphisms of quasi-excellent algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero with dualizing complexes by adapting the proofs in [KMM87]. Later, in §18, we will prove dual versions of these statements in the vein of [Kaw11] using our finite generation result (Theorem 12.1), as is done for varieties in [CL13]. We have stated these results using the notion of weakly log terminal pairs (see Definition 6.6). Dlt pairs are weakly log terminal by Remark 6.7.

11.1. Basepoint-free theorem. We start with the Basepoint-free theorem. A version of the statement for schemes below appeared in [BMPSTWW, Proposition 2.48]. The statement for algebraic spaces when $Z = \text{Spec}(k)$ for a field k (resp. when X is three-dimensional and of finite type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero) is proved in [Kol91, Basepoint-free theorem 1.4.4] (resp. [Sho96, Base Point Free Theorem 6.16]).

We have included the statement for \mathbf{R} -pairs to illustrate that for schemes that are not necessarily quasi-projective over a field, one cannot simply perturb boundary divisors directly at the beginning because we do not have Bertini theorems available. If π is projective, one could instead replace Z by an affine cover and use an appropriate version of Corollary 10.4.

Theorem 11.1 (Basepoint-free theorem; cf. [KMM87, Theorem 3-1-1 and Remark 3-1-2(1)]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let (X, Δ) be an \mathbf{R} -pair, and let $H \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be π -nef. Suppose one of the following holds:

- (i) (X, Δ) is weakly log terminal and $aH - (K_X + \Delta)$ is π -ample for some $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$.
- (ii) (X, Δ) is klt and $aH - (K_X + \Delta)$ is π -big and π -nef for some $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$.

Then, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that mH is π -generated for all $m \geq m_0$.

Proof. After replacing π by its Stein factorization [Stacks, Tag 0A1B], we may assume that Z is normal and that π has geometrically connected fibers [Stacks, Tag 0AYI]. For (ii), this does not change the π -bigness or the π -nefness of $aH - (K_X + \Delta)$ since it changes volumes and intersections on $\kappa(\eta)$ by the factor $[H^0(X_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{X_\eta}) : \kappa(\eta)]$.

We claim we may replace Z by a scheme Z' étale over Z . Let $Z' \rightarrow Z$ be an étale morphism where Z' is a quasi-compact scheme, and consider the associated Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array}$$

By flat base change [Stacks, Tag 073K], it suffices to show that $m f'^* H$ is π' -generated for all $m \gg 0$. Note that the assumptions on (X, Δ) are inherited by $(X', f'^* \Delta)$ by Remark 6.8. Moreover, we have

$$f'^*(aH - (K_X + \Delta)) = a f'^* H - (K_{X'} + f'^* \Delta),$$

where $f'^* \Delta$ is the étale pullback of Δ , since the formation of canonical divisors is compatible with étale base change (see the proof of Lemma 2.7). This \mathbf{R} -invertible sheaf is π' -nef by Lemma 4.4(i) and is π' -big by flat base change [Stacks, Tag 073K]. We can then replace π by π' to assume that Z is a scheme. To assume that X is integral, we work one connected component at a time and let Z be the scheme theoretic images of these components.

We now prove the theorem in the case Z is a scheme. Let $f_1: Y_1 \rightarrow X$ be a projective log resolution of (X, Δ) , where for (i) we assume the hypotheses in Definition 6.6, and for (ii) we first apply Chow's lemma [EGAII, Théorème 5.6.1] then resolve using [Tem18, Theorem 1.1.6] to assume that Y_1 is projective over Z . Then, we know that $f_1^*(aH - (K_X + \Delta))$ is π -big and π -nef by Lemmas 4.3 and 5.10. By Kodaira's lemma (Corollary 5.9), the \mathbf{R} -divisor

$$f_1^*(aH - (K_X + \Delta)) + \delta f_{1*}^{-1}\Delta - \sum_i \delta_{1i}G_i$$

is $(\pi \circ f_1)$ -ample for some $\delta, \delta_{1i} \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 < \delta \ll \min_{\delta_{1i} \neq 0} \{\delta_{1i}\} \ll 1$, where $\{G_i\}$ is a family of effective Cartier divisors on X with normal crossings, $\text{Supp}(\sum_i \delta_{1i}G_i)$ is f_1 -exceptional, and

$$K_{Y_1} + \delta f_{1*}^{-1}\Delta \sim_{\mathbf{R}} f_1^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_i b_i G_i$$

for $b_i \in \mathbf{R}$ with $b_i > -1$. Let $C := \sum_i (b_i - \delta_{1i})G_i$. After perturbing the δ_{1i} using Theorem 4.15, we may assume that C is a \mathbf{Q} -divisor. Letting $\eta \in |Z|$ be the generic point, we can apply the Non-vanishing theorem [KMM87, Theorem 2-1-1] to a connected component of the geometric generic fiber $Y_{1\bar{\eta}}$ and the pullbacks of f_1^*H and C to $Y_{1\bar{\eta}}$ to see that

$$((\pi \circ f_1)_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(m f_1^*H + [C]))_{\eta} \cong H^0(Y_{1\eta}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{1\eta}}(m f_1^*H_{\eta} + [C_{\eta}])) \neq 0$$

for $m \gg 0$ by flat base change [EGAIII₁, Proposition 1.4.15], since

$$\begin{aligned} a f_1^*H + C - K_{Y_1} &\sim_{\mathbf{R}} a f_1^*H + C - \left(f_1^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_i b_i G_i - \delta f_{1*}^{-1}\Delta \right) \\ &\sim_{\mathbf{R}} f_1^*(aH - f_1^*(K_X + \Delta)) + \delta f_{1*}^{-1}\Delta - \sum_i \delta_{1i}G_i \end{aligned}$$

is π -ample. In particular, we have

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(mH) \cong (\pi \circ f_1)_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(m f_1^*H + [C]) \neq 0$$

by the projection formula since $[C]$ is g -exceptional.

We now make the following claim:

Claim 11.1.1. *For every prime number p , the divisor $p^n H$ is π -generated for $n \gg 0$.*

Showing Claim 11.1.1 would imply the theorem, since then the monoid of natural numbers $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that mH is π -generated would contain all sufficiently large integers by [RA05, Theorem 1.0.1].

Choose $n_0 > 0$ such that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(p^{n_0}H) \neq 0$ as above. If $p^{n_0}H$ is π -generated, there is nothing to show. We will therefore assume that $p^{n_0}H$ is not π -generated.

First, let $f_1: Y_1 \rightarrow X$ be a projective log resolution of (X, Δ) as above. Taking successive blowups along regular centers (see [Con16]), there is a projective birational morphism $f_2: Y \rightarrow Y_1$ with a family of effective Cartier divisors $\{F_j\}$ with only *simple* normal crossings such that setting $f := f_1 \circ f_2$, the \mathbf{R} -divisor

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^* \left(f_1^*(aH - (K_X + \Delta)) + \delta f_{1*}^{-1}\Delta - \sum_i \delta_{1i}G_i \right) - \delta' A_2 \\ = f^*(aH - (K_X + \Delta)) + \delta f_2^* f_{1*}^{-1}\Delta - \sum_j \delta_j F_j \end{aligned}$$

is $(\pi \circ f)$ -ample for an f_2 -exceptional \mathbf{R} -divisor A_2 with $0 < \delta' \ll \delta$, again using Kodaira's lemma (Corollary 5.9). Moreover, we have

$$K_Y + \delta f_2^* f_{1*}^{-1}\Delta \sim_{\mathbf{R}} f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_j a_j F_j$$

for $a_j \in \mathbf{R}$ with $a_j > -1$, and after possibly using [Tem18, Theorem 1.1.6] to replace f by a resolution that also resolves the π -base ideal of $\mathcal{O}_X(p^{n_0}H)$, we have

$$(\pi \circ f)^*(\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_Y(f^*p^{n_0}H) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y\left(f^*p^{n_0}H - \sum_j r_j F_j\right) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y(f^*p^{n_0}H)$$

for some non-negative integers r_j not all equal to zero.

Next, since $0 < \delta' \ll \delta \ll \min_{\delta_{1i} \neq 0} \{\delta_{1i}\} \ll 1$, we know that $a_j + 1 - \delta_j > 0$ for all j by [Kol13, Corollary 2.11]. Set

$$c := \min_j \left\{ \frac{a_j + 1 - \delta_j}{r_j} \right\},$$

where we set $\frac{a_j + 1 - \delta_j}{r_j} = \infty$ if $r_j = 0$. After possibly perturbing A_2 (and hence the δ_j) slightly using Theorem 4.15, we may assume that the minimum c is attained at a unique index j , which we relabel as $j = 0$, and that $a_j - \delta_j \in \mathbf{Q}$ for all j . Set

$$A := \sum_{j \neq 0} (-cr_j + a_j - \delta_j) F_j \quad \text{and} \quad B := F_0.$$

Then, the \mathbf{Q} -divisor

$$\begin{aligned} N &:= p^{n'} f^* H + A - B - K_Y \\ &\sim_{\mathbf{R}} c \left(f^* p^{n_0} H - \sum_j r_j F_j \right) + f^* \left((p^{n'} - cp^{n_0}) H - (K_X + \Delta) \right) \\ &\quad + \delta f_2^* f_{1*}^{-1} \Delta - \sum_j \delta_j F_j \end{aligned}$$

is $(\pi \circ f)$ -ample for all $n' \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $p^{n'} \geq cp^{n_0} + a$. Since

$$R^1(\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_Y(p^{n'} f^* H + [A] - B) = R^1(\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_Y([N] + K_Y) = 0$$

by [Mur, Theorem A], the morphism

$$(\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_Y(p^{n'} f^* H + [A]) \longrightarrow (\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_B\left(\left(p^{n'} f^* H + [A]\right)|_B\right)$$

is surjective. Now by the Non-vanishing theorem [KMM87, Theorem 2-1-1] applied to a connected component of the geometric generic fiber $B_{\bar{\eta}}$ and the pullbacks of $p^{n'} f^* H$ and A to $B_{\bar{\eta}}$, we see that

$$(\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_B\left(\left(p^{n'} f^* H + [A]\right)|_B\right) \neq 0$$

for $n' \gg 0$. Since $(\pi \circ f)_*\mathcal{O}_Y(p^{n'} f^* H + [A]) \cong \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(p^{n'} H)$ by the projection formula and the fact that $[A]$ is f -exceptional, we have

$$f(B) \not\subseteq \text{Supp}\left(\text{coker}\left(\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(p^{n'} H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(p^{n'} H)\right)\right).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Supp}\left(\text{coker}\left(\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(p^{n'} H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(p^{n'} H)\right)\right) \\ &\quad \subsetneq \text{Supp}\left(\text{coker}\left(\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(p^{n_0} H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(p^{n_0} H)\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Noetherian induction, we therefore have

$$\text{Supp}\left(\text{coker}\left(\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(p^n H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(p^n H)\right)\right) = \emptyset,$$

which is what we wanted to show in Claim 11.1.1. \square

11.2. Contraction theorem. Next, we consider the Contraction theorem. Showing uniqueness of contraction morphisms is more involved than in the variety case because we also need to consider integral one-dimensional closed subschemes of non-closed fibers of π . The following lemma fills this gap.

Lemma 11.2. *Let Z be a Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $f': X \rightarrow Y'$ be morphisms of proper algebraic spaces over Z . Suppose that for every integral one-dimensional closed subspace $C \subseteq X$ such that $f(C)$ is a point, we have that $f'(C)$ is a point. Then, for every $y \in |Y|$ and every connected component W of $f^{-1}(y)$, we have that $f'(W)$ is a point.*

Proof. We fix the following notation for the structure morphisms of X , Y , and Y' :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xleftarrow{f} & X & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\ & \searrow h & \downarrow \pi & \swarrow h' & \\ & & Z & & \end{array}$$

Let $y \in |Y|$. It suffices to show that for each integral one-dimensional closed subspace Γ of $f^{-1}(y)$, the image $f'(\Gamma)$ is a point. We may replace X by the closure of Γ equipped with the reduced induced structure, in which case X is integral. After replacing Y , Y' , and Z by the scheme-theoretic images of X , we may assume that X maps surjectively onto Y , Y' , and Z , and that Y , Y' , and Z are integral. In this case, we have $\pi^{-1}(\eta) = \Gamma$ where η is the generic point of Z .

Let $z \in |Z|$ be a closed point where the local ring of Z at z has minimal dimension d . We proceed by induction on d . If $d = 0$ there is nothing to prove. If $d > 0$, pick $\eta_1 \in |Z|$ such that the local ring of Z at η_1 is one-dimensional, $\eta_1 \rightsquigarrow z$, and the dimension of $\overline{\{\eta_1\}}$ at z is $< d$. By the inductive hypothesis, we see that the conclusion holds for the base change of X , Y , and Y' to $\overline{\{\eta_1\}}$. The assumptions also hold for the base change of X , Y , and Y' to an elementary étale neighborhood of η_1 , and hence we may assume that Z is an affine local scheme of dimension 1.

Since f is surjective, we have $f(\Gamma) = h^{-1}(\eta)$, which means $h^{-1}(\eta) = \{y\}$ is (set-theoretically) a point. Thus $Y \rightarrow Z$ is generically finite, so $\dim(Y) \leq 1$. Since Y is integral, the closed fiber $h^{-1}(z)$ must be finite. Now each integral one-dimensional closed subscheme $C \subseteq X$ such that $\pi(C)$ is a point is also such that $f(C)$ is a point, and hence $f'(C)$ is a point by assumption. Thus $f'(\pi^{-1}(z))$ is finite, and this set is just $h'^{-1}(z)$. Therefore h' is finite and we see that

$$\dim(f'(\Gamma)) \leq \dim(h'^{-1}(\eta)) = 0,$$

as desired. □

We can now prove the Contraction theorem. When X is of finite type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, the case when $\dim(X) = 3$ is proved in [Sho96, Contraction Theorem 6.15], and the general case follows from [VP, Theorem 2.6].

Theorem 11.3 (Contraction theorem; cf. [KMM87, Theorem 3-2-1]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let (X, Δ) be a weakly log terminal \mathbf{R} -pair, and let $H \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be π -nef and such that

$$F := (H^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X/Z)) - \{0\} \subseteq \{\beta \in N_1(X/Z) \mid ((K_X + \Delta) \cdot \beta) < 0\},$$

where $H^\perp := \{\beta \in N_1(X/Z) \mid (H \cdot \beta) = 0\}$. Then, there exists a projective surjective morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ to an integral normal quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic space projective over Z making

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ \pi \searrow & & \swarrow \sigma \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

commute and satisfying the following properties:

- (i) For every integral one-dimensional subspace $C \subseteq X$ such that $\pi(C)$ is a point, we have the property that $\varphi(C)$ is a point if and only if $(H \cdot C) = 0$, i.e., if and only if $[C] \in F$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is an isomorphism.
- (iii) $H = \varphi^* A$ for some σ -ample $A \in \text{Pic}(Y)$.

Moreover, φ is characterized by the properties (i) and (ii).

Proof. By Kleiman's criterion for π -ampleness (Proposition 4.17), there exists $a \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $aH - (K_X + \Delta)$ is π -ample. Thus, by the Basepoint-free theorem 11.1, we know that mH is π -generated for $m \gg 0$.

We claim that the relative section ring

$$R(X/Z; H) := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(mH))$$

is an \mathcal{O}_Z -algebra of finite type. It suffices to show that for every affine scheme $U = \text{Spec}(R)$ étale over X , the pullback of $R(X/Z; H)$ is an R -algebra of finite type. By flat base change [Stacks, Tag 073K], we note that

$$R(X/Z; H)|_U \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U(mH|_U)).$$

Base changing along the morphism $U \rightarrow Z$, we reduce to the case when Z is an affine scheme. We can also replace π by its Stein factorization [EGAIII₁, Théorème 4.3.1] to assume that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = R$.

Since mH is globally generated, we have a surjection

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mH)) \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mH),$$

which induces a morphism

$$\psi_m: X \xrightarrow{|mH|} \mathbf{P}_Z(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mH))) =: \mathbf{P}_m$$

such that $\psi_m^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_m}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(mH)$. Let $\phi_m: X \rightarrow Y_m$ be the Stein factorization of ψ_m , and denote by $\mathcal{O}_{Y_m}(1)$ the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_m}(1)$ to Y_m . By the projection formula, we know that

$$R(X; mH) := \bigoplus_{m'=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mm'H)) \cong \bigoplus_{m'=0}^{\infty} H^0(Y_m, \mathcal{O}_{Y_m}(m')).$$

Since the right-hand side is a finitely generated R -algebra by [EGAIII₁, Proposition 2.3.4(ii)], we see that $R(X; H)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra by [ADHL15, Proposition 1.2.2].

We now claim the morphism φ in the Stein factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y \longrightarrow \text{Proj}_Z \left(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(mH) \right)$$

satisfies (i) and (ii), where the composition is the natural morphism from [Stacks, Tag 0D2Z]. (i) holds by the projection formula for intersection products [Stacks, Tag 0EDJ], and (ii) holds by construction of the Stein factorization in [Stacks, Tag 0A1B].

Next, we show that (i) and (ii) characterize φ after pulling back along every étale morphism $U \rightarrow Z$ from a scheme U . In this case, by Lemma 11.2, (i) characterizes φ topologically. The isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ characterizes φ as a morphism of ringed spaces.

Finally, we show that (iii) holds for φ as defined above. We have

$$\psi_{m+1}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{m+1}}(1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \psi_m^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_m}(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_X((m+1)H - mH) = \mathcal{O}_X(H).$$

Since the respective Stein factorizations $\phi_m: X \rightarrow Y_m$ and $\phi_{m+1}: X \rightarrow Y_{m+1}$ of ψ_m and ψ_{m+1} satisfy (i) and (ii), they are both isomorphic to φ . Thus, setting

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(A) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{m+1}}(1)|_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_m}(-1)|_Y,$$

we see $\mathcal{O}_X(H) = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_X(A)$. Finally, since $\mathcal{O}_X(mH) \cong \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_m}(1)|_Y$, we see that $\mathcal{O}_Y(mA) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_m}(1)|_Y$ by (ii), so A is ample. \square

Remark 11.4. Suppose X is a scheme. Then, since both X and Y are normal, the condition in (ii) holds if and only if $K(Y)$ is algebraically closed in $K(X)$, which holds if and only if the fibers of φ are geometrically connected by [EGAIII₁, Remarque 4.3.4 and Corollaire 4.3.12].

We use Theorem 11.3 to define extremal faces and extremal rays.

Definition 11.5 (cf. [KMM87, Definition 3-2-3]). Fix notation as in Theorem 11.3. Since φ is characterized by properties which only depend on F and not on H , we call φ the *contraction* of F . If H is a π -nef Cartier divisor on X such that $F = (H^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X/Z)) - \{0\}$, we say that H is a *supporting function* of F . We then say that F is an *extremal face* of $\overline{NE}(X/Z)$ for (X, Δ) (or for $K_X + \Delta$). If $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(F) = 1$, we say that F is an *extremal ray*.

Definition 11.6. Fix notation as in Definition 11.5. We say a contraction $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *small* if the exceptional locus of f is of codimension at least 2 in X . In particular, f is birational when X is integral.

Let $R \subseteq \overline{NE}(X/Z)$ be an extremal face. We say that a contraction $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a *contraction of R* , if a π -contracted curve C is f -contracted when and only when $[C] \in R$. A contraction of R is an isomorphism if and only if R does not contain the class of any π -contracted curve. If f is not an isomorphism and R is a ray, then $R = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot [C]$ for any f -contracted curve C . Therefore we see $R = \overline{NE}(X/Y)$.

We say a contraction $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of an extremal ray R is *good* if, for all $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$, we have $(\mathcal{L} \cdot R) = 0$ if and only if there exists an element $\mathcal{K} \in \text{Pic}(Y)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = f^* \mathcal{K} \in \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. In this case $\overline{NE}(X/Y) \subseteq R$ canonically, and $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is f -ample if $(\mathcal{L} \cdot R) > 0$. In general, when Y is projective over Z , we have $\overline{NE}(X/Y) = R$; see the proof of [KMM87, Lemma 3-2-4].

For a good contraction f of an extremal ray R , we always have

$$\dim(N^1(Y/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}) = \dim(N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}) - 1.$$

See [KMM87, Lemma 3-2-5] and its proof.

11.3. Rationality theorem. We now consider the Rationality theorem.

Theorem 11.7 (Rationality theorem; cf. [KMM87, Theorem 4-1-1; KM98, Theorem 3.5]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let (X, Δ) be a \mathbf{Q} -pair, and let $H \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that one of the following holds:

- (i) (X, Δ) is weakly log terminal and H is π -ample.
- (ii) (X, Δ) is klt and H is π -big and π -nef.

If $K_X + \Delta$ is not π -nef, then

$$r := \max\{t \in \mathbf{R} \mid H + t(K_X + \Delta) \text{ is } \pi\text{-nef}\}$$

is a rational number. Moreover, expressing $r/a = u/v$ with $u, v \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ and $(u, v) = 1$, we have $v \leq a(b+1)$, where

$$a := \min\{e \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \mid e(K_X + \Delta) \text{ is Cartier}\},$$

$$b := \max_{\substack{z \in Z \\ \text{closed}}} \{\dim_{\kappa(z)}(\pi^{-1}(z))\}.$$

Proof. We claim we may replace Z by a scheme Z' étale over Z . Let $f: Z' \rightarrow Z$ be a surjective étale morphism where Z' is a quasi-compact scheme, and consider the associated Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 11.1, the conditions on (X, Δ) are preserved. Since f is surjective, nefness is invariant under base change by Lemma 4.4. The number b is invariant because f is quasi-finite. The number a is invariant because of the definition of $\text{Pic}(X)$.

We now prove the theorem when Z is a scheme. We will derive a contradiction assuming that either $r \notin \mathbf{Q}$, or that $r \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $v > a(b+1)$.

We first claim that we may assume that H is π -generated and that $H - (K_X + \Delta)$ is π -ample in case (i), and π -big and π -nef in case (ii). Let c be sufficiently large such that $a < cr$ and $(c, v) = 1$. We then see that

$$cH + a(K_X + \Delta)$$

is π -nef since $a < cr$. Moreover, we claim that

$$cH + (a-1)(K_X + \Delta) = \frac{c}{a}H + \frac{a-1}{a}(cH + a(K_X + \Delta))$$

is π -ample in case (i), and π -big and π -nef in case (ii). Case (i) is clear from Theorem 4.15, since it is the sum of a π -ample and a π -nef \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf. In case (ii), we see that $cH + (a-1)(K_X + \Delta)$ is π -nef since it is the sum of two π -nef \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaves, and is π -big by Lemma 5.11 since it is the sum of a π -big and a π -nef \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf. Since $cH + (a-1)(K_X + \Delta)$ is π -big and π -nef, the Basepoint-free theorem 11.1 implies

$$H' := n(cH + a(K_X + \Delta))$$

is π -generated for $n \gg 0$. We moreover choose n such that $(nc, v) = 1$. Setting

$$r' := \max\{t \in \mathbf{R} \mid H' + t(K_X + \Delta) \text{ is } \pi\text{-nef}\},$$

we have $r'/a = ncr/a - n$. Thus, we have $r \in \mathbf{Q}$ if and only if $r' \in \mathbf{Q}$. In this case, writing $r'/a = u'/v'$ with $u', v' \in \mathbf{N}$ and $(u', v') = 1$, we have $v = v'$ by the choice of c and n . We therefore also have $v \leq a(b+1)$ if and only if $v' \leq a(b+1)$. We can therefore replace H by H' to assume that H is π -generated. We also know that

$$H' - (K_X + \Delta) = (n-1)(cH + a(K_X + \Delta)) + cH + (a-1)(K_X + \Delta)$$

is π -ample in case (i), and π -big and π -nef in case (ii) by the same argument as above.

We can now proceed as in the proof of [KMM87, Theorem 4-1-1], replacing the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem [KMM87, Theorem 1-2-3] by [Mur, Theorem A], the Basepoint-free theorem [KMM87, Theorem 3-1-1] by the Basepoint-free theorem 11.1, and noting that [KMM87, Lemma 4-1-2] may be applied to a connected component of the geometric generic fiber of $\pi \circ f$. The necessary log resolutions are constructed as in the proof of Theorem 11.1. \square

11.4. **Cone theorem.** Finally, we consider the Cone theorem.

Theorem 11.8 (Cone theorem; cf. [KMM87, Theorem 4-2-1]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let (X, Δ) be a weakly log terminal \mathbf{Q} -pair. Then,

$$\overline{NE}(X/Z) = \overline{NE}_{K_X + \Delta \geq 0}(X/Z) + \sum_j R_j,$$

where R_j are extremal rays of $\overline{NE}(X/Z)$ for (X, Δ) . Moreover, if $C_j \subseteq X$ is an integral closed subscheme such that $R_j = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot [C_j]$, then for every π -ample $A \in \text{Pic}(X)$, expressing

$$\frac{(A \cdot C_j)}{a((K_X + \Delta) \cdot C_j)} = -\frac{u_j}{v_j}$$

with $u_j, v_j \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ and $(u_j, v_j) = 1$, we have $v_j \leq a(b+1)$, where

$$a := \min\{e \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \mid e(K_X + \Delta) \text{ is Cartier}\},$$

$$b := \max_{\substack{z \in Z \\ \text{closed}}} \{\dim_{\kappa(z)}(\pi^{-1}(z))\}.$$

In particular, the R_j are discrete in the half space

$$\{\beta \in N_1(X/Z) \mid ((K_X + \Delta) \cdot \beta) < 0\}.$$

Proof. The proof of [KMM87, Theorem 4-2-1] applies using our versions of the Basepoint-free, Contraction, and Rationality theorems (Theorems 11.1, 11.3, and 11.7). These theorems are also used to show the preliminary results [KMM87, Lemmas 3-2-4, 3-2-5, and 4-2-2], which hold in our setting using Kleiman's criterion (Proposition 4.17). \square

Part III. Finite generation of relative adjoint rings

In this part, we prove Theorem B for schemes and algebraic spaces by adapting the strategy in [CL12] that was used for complex varieties. We then prove dual versions of the Rationality, Cone, and Contraction theorems in the vein of [Kaw11] using our finite generation result (Theorem B), as is done for varieties in [CL13]. These versions of these results will be used later when showing termination with scaling.

12. STATEMENTS OF THEOREMS

We state our version of [CL12, Theorem A], which is very close to the original.

Theorem 12.1 (cf. [CL12, Theorem A]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian excellent schemes of equal characteristic zero, such that X is regular of dimension n and such that Z is affine and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k be \mathbf{Q} -divisors on X such that $[B_i] = 0$ for all i , and such that $\sum_{i=1}^k B_i$ has simple normal crossings support. Let A be a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X , and set $D_i = K_X + A + B_i$ for every i . Then, the relative adjoint ring

$$R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k) = \bigoplus_{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbf{N}^k} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor m_1 D_1 + m_2 D_2 + \dots + m_k D_k \rfloor))$$

is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra.

As in [CL12], we will prove Theorem 12.1 by induction. We therefore adopt the following:

Convention 12.2. In this paper, we write “Theorem 12.1_n holds” to mean “Theorem 12.1 holds when $\dim(X) = n$.”

Next, we state our version of [CL12, Theorem B]. Note that Z does not necessarily have to be an excellent scheme of equal characteristic zero in this statement.

Theorem 12.3 (cf. [CL12, Theorem B]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes, such that X is regular of dimension n and such that Z is affine and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Assume that the function field of X has characteristic zero.*

Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p be distinct prime divisors on X such that $(X, \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular, and consider a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor A on X . Then, setting

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{R} \cdot S_i \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(V) = \left\{ B = \sum_{i=1}^p b_i S_i \in V \mid 0 \leq b_i \leq 1 \text{ for all } i \right\}$$

the set

$$\mathcal{E}_A(V) = \{ B \in \mathcal{L}(V) \mid |K_X + A + B|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset \}$$

is a rational polytope.

In [CL12], Cascini and Lazić prove [CL12, Theorems A and B] simultaneously by induction on n . We will deduce Theorem 12.3 directly from their work, which yields this possibly mixed characteristic version of [CL12, Theorem B].

13. $\mathcal{E}_A(V)$ IS A RATIONAL POLYTOPE

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 12.3, which is our version of [CL12, Theorem B]. We can reduce Theorem 12.3 to [CL12, Theorem B]. To this end, we show some localization results for some asymptotic loci of divisors. Among those, only Corollary 13.2(i) is used in this section; other results will be needed later.

These results are quick corollaries of Lemma 5.14 and Corollary 5.15, so we call them corollaries.

Corollary 13.1. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes with X regular and Z affine. Consider a point $z \in Z$, and set $R := \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ and $X_R := X \times_Z \text{Spec}(R)$. For $\square \in \{\text{Bs}, \text{Fix}\}$ (resp. $\square \in \{\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Fix}\}$) and D a divisor (resp. an \mathbf{R} -divisor) on X , we have $\square(D_R) = \square(D)_R$.*

Proof. In all cases, $\square(D_R) \subseteq \square(D)_R$ trivially. The other inclusion follows from Lemma 5.14. \square

Corollary 13.2. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes with X regular and Z affine with a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Consider a point $z \in Z$, and set $R := \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ and $X_R := X \times_Z \text{Spec}(R)$.*

Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p be distinct prime divisors on X such that $(X, \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular. Renumber the S_i so that there exists $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ such that $z \in \pi(S_i)$ for all $i \leq a$ while $z \notin \pi(S_i)$ for all $i \geq a + 1$. Let

$$V_R = \sum_{i \leq a} \mathbf{R} \cdot (S_i)_R \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X_R),$$

and consider a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor A on X . Define $\mathcal{L}(V_R)$ as in Definition 8.1 for the morphism $X_R \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$, and identify $\mathcal{L}(V)$ with $\mathcal{L}(V_R) \times [0, 1]^{p-a}$.

(i) Define $\mathcal{E}_{A_R}(V_R)$ as in Definition 8.1 for the morphism $X_R \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$. We then have

$$\mathcal{E}_A(V) = \mathcal{E}_{A_R}(V_R) \times [0, 1]^{p-a}.$$

(ii) Let S be a prime divisor on X distinct from the S_i such that $(X, S + \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular and $z \in \pi(S)$. Define $\mathcal{B}_{A_R}^{S_R}(V_R)$ as in Definition 8.1 for the morphism $X_R \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$. We then have

$$\mathcal{B}_A^S(V) = \mathcal{B}_{A_R}^{S_R}(V_R) \times [0, 1]^{p-a}.$$

Proof. Follow immediately from Corollary 5.15 and Corollary 13.1, respectively. \square

We remark that the objects considered above also behave well with respect to field extensions. This is mostly trivial with \mathbf{Q} -coefficients, but we take extra caution here because we need to deal with \mathbf{R} -coefficients. We only record the results necessary to the proof of our Theorem 12.3; therefore we restrict our attention to $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_A(V)$, whereas similar results hold for $\mathbf{B}(\cdot)$, $\mathcal{B}_A^S(V)$, etc.

Lemma 13.3. *Let k be a field, and let X be a normal geometrically connected scheme of finite type over k . Let L/k be a separable field extension. Let D be an \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X and D_L its pullback to X_L . Then $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|D_L|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We denote by $K(-)$ the function field of an integral scheme.

Assume $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$, so $D = E + \sum_i a_i \text{div}_X(f_i)$ where E is an effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor and $f_i \in K(X)^\times$. Then, $D_L = E_L + \sum_i a_i \text{div}_{X_L}(f_i)$, and thus $|D_L|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, assume $|D_L|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$, so there exist an effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor F on X_L and $g_j \in K(X_L)^\times$ with $D_L = F + \sum_j b_j \text{div}_{X_L}(g_j)$. There exists a finitely generated subextension L'/k of L/k such that F is the pullback of an effective F' on $X_{L'}$ and all $g_j \in K(X_{L'})^\times$, so $D_{L'} = F' + \sum_j b_j \text{div}_{X_{L'}}(g_j)$. Therefore we may assume L/k of finite type, and since L/k is separable, L is the function field of an integral smooth k -algebra S ; see for example [Stacks, Tag 00TV].

Now $K(X_L) = K(X_S)$, so we have the divisor $\sum_j b_j \text{div}_{X_S}(g_j)$. After possibly replacing S by a localization, we have an effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor \mathfrak{F} on X_S with $D_S = \mathfrak{F} + \sum_j b_j \text{div}_{X_S}(g_j)$. Therefore, for a suitable maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of S , we have a well-defined effective \mathbf{R} -divisor $\mathfrak{F}_{S/\mathfrak{m}}$ and well-defined elements $\overline{g}_j \in K(X_{S/\mathfrak{m}})^\times$ such that

$$D_{S/\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{F}_{S/\mathfrak{m}} + \sum_j b_j \text{div}_{X_{S/\mathfrak{m}}}(\overline{g}_j).$$

The degree d of S/\mathfrak{m} over k is finite, thus $h: X_{S/\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow X$ is finite flat of degree d . Thus the proper pushforward $h_*: \text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{R}}(X_{S/\mathfrak{m}}) \rightarrow \text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$ satisfies $h_* D_L = dD$, so we have

$$D = \frac{1}{d} h_*(\mathfrak{F}_{S/\mathfrak{m}}) + \frac{1}{d} \sum_j b_j h_*(\text{div}_{X_{S/\mathfrak{m}}}(\overline{g}_j)).$$

Since $\mathfrak{F}_{S/\mathfrak{m}}$ is effective, so is $h_*(\mathfrak{F}_{S/\mathfrak{m}})$; and if Norm is the norm function for the field extension $K(X_{S/\mathfrak{m}})/K(X)$, then $h_*(\text{div}_{X_{S/\mathfrak{m}}}(\overline{g}_j)) = \text{div}_X(\text{Norm}(\overline{g}_j))$. Therefore $\frac{1}{d} h_*(\mathfrak{F}_{S/\mathfrak{m}}) \in |D|_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$ as desired. \square

Lemma 13.4. *Let k be a field and let X be a scheme of finite type over k . Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p be distinct prime divisors on X such that $(X, \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular.*

Let L/k be a separable extension of fields. Let $T_{i1}, T_{i2}, \dots, T_{iq_i}$ be all the irreducible components of $(S_i)_L \subseteq X_L$, so $(X_L, \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} T_{ij})$ is log regular, and consider V and $\mathcal{L}(V)$ as defined in Definition 8.1. Set

$$W = \sum_i \sum_{j \leq q_i} \mathbf{R} \cdot T_{ij} \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X_L),$$

so there is a canonical injective linear map $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ sending S_i to $\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} T_{ij}$.

Let A be an ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X , so A_L is an ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X_L . Then, with notation as in Definition 8.1, we have

$$\varphi(\mathcal{E}_A(V)) = \mathcal{E}_{A_L}(W) \cap \varphi(V).$$

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then $\varphi(B) = B_L$, since L/k is separable. Since $|K_X + A + B|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$, Lemma 13.3 implies $|K_{X_L} + A_L + \varphi(B)|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\varphi(\mathcal{E}_A(V)) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{A_L}(W) \cap \varphi(V)$.

Conversely, let $C \in \mathcal{E}_{A_L}(W) \cap \varphi(V)$, so $C = \varphi(B)$ for some $B \in V$. It is clear that $B \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and that $|K_{X_L} + A_L + \varphi(B)|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$ by the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{A_L}(W)$. By Lemma 13.3, we conclude that $B \in \mathcal{E}_A(V)$, as desired. \square

With these results, we conclude that our Theorem 12.3 follows from [CL12, Theorem B].

Proof of Theorem 12.3. Since the \mathbf{R} -linear system $|K_X + A + B|_{\mathbf{R}}$ does not change when replacing $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ by its Stein factorization, we may assume that π is surjective with geometrically connected fibers. Let K be the function field of Z . By Corollary 13.2(i), we may assume $Z = \text{Spec}(K)$. If $K = \mathbf{C}$ this is exactly [CL12, Theorem B], therefore we get the result from the Lefschetz Principle and Lemma 13.4. \square

14. LIFTING SECTIONS

The main result in this section is Theorem 14.4. This result is a version of Cascini and Lazic's lifting theorem [CL12, Theorem 3.4], which in turn is a version of Hacon and McKernan's lifting theorem [HM10, Theorem 6.3]. To prove these results for schemes, we require the version of the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem for proper morphisms of schemes of equal characteristic zero proved by the second author [Mur, Theorem A]. In this context, log resolutions exist by [Tem08; Tem12; Tem18].

One additional difficulty unique to our situation is the lack of Bertini theorems. To use our version of Bertini theorems over local domains (Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2), we need to rephrase everything in terms of restriction maps on global sections and then reduce to the case when we work over the spectrum of an excellent local \mathbf{Q} -algebra using flat base change.

We prove each result in [CL12, §3]. When the proof is not too different from that in [CL12], we indicate how the proof therein can be adapted. We start with the following consequences of the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem for schemes of equal characteristic zero [Mur, Theorem A].

Lemma 14.1 (cf. [CL12, Lemma 3.1]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that X is regular of dimension n and such that Z is affine with a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let B be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X such that (X, B) is log regular and $[B] = 0$. Let A be a π -nef and π -big \mathbf{Q} -divisor.

- (i) *Let $S \subseteq X$ be a prime divisor such that $S \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(B)$. Consider a divisor G on X such that*

$$G \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_X + S + A + B.$$

Then, the restriction map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(G)) \longrightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(G))$$

is surjective. In particular, we have $|G|_S| = |G|_S$.

- (ii) *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational morphism of integral excellent Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

commutes, where $Y \rightarrow Z$ is projective. Let $U \subseteq X$ be an open subset such that $f|_U$ is an isomorphism and such that U intersects at most one irreducible component of B . Let H' be

a Cartier divisor on Y that is very ample over Z , and let $H = f^*H'$. If F is a divisor on X such that

$$F \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_X + (n+1)H + A + B,$$

then $\mathcal{O}_X(F)$ is π -generated at every point of U . In particular, $|F|$ is basepoint-free at every point of U .

Proof. The ‘‘in particular’’ statements follow from Proposition 3.13, and hence it suffices to show the sheaf-theoretic statements in (i) and (ii). By flat base change, it suffices to show each statement after replacing Z with $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z,z})$ for every point $z \in Z$. This will allow us to use our version of the Bertini theorem (Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2).

For (i), we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(G - S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing [Mur, Theorem A], we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(G - S)) = 0$, and hence $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(G)) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(G))$ is surjective.

For (ii), we induce on $n = \dim(X)$. The case when $n = 0$ holds because in this case X is affine. Now suppose $n > 0$. Since the locus where $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(F)$ is not surjective is closed, it suffices to show that for every closed point $x \in U$, the morphism $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(F)$ is surjective at x . We claim there exists a divisor $T \sim H$ such that T is regular and passes through x . Consider the blowup $\mu: X' \rightarrow X$ of X at x with exceptional divisor E , and consider the divisor $\mu^*H - E$. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(\mu^*H - E)$ is $(\pi \circ \mu)$ -generated, and hence we can apply Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2 to produce a divisor $T' \sim \mu^*H - E$ on X' that is regular and intersects E and the preimage of B in X' transversely, that also maps birationally onto its image in Y . The image of T' in X is then a divisor $T \sim H$ that is regular and passes through x that intersects B transversely, and hence $(X, T + B)$ is log regular. Since

$$F|_T \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_T + nH|_T + A|_T + B|_T,$$

by the inductive hypothesis we know that $\mathcal{O}_T(F|_T)$ is $\pi|_T$ -generated at every point of $U \cap T$ (we note that T may decompose into finitely many connected components, but the conclusion of (ii) still holds by working with each component separately). We now have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi^*\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(F - T)) & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(F)) & \longrightarrow & (\pi|_T)^*(\pi|_T)_*(\mathcal{O}_T(F|_T)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(F - T) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_T(F|_T) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where the vertical arrows come from the counit of the adjunction $f^* \dashv f_*$, and the top row is exact since $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(F - T)) = 0$ by the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem [Mur, Theorem A]. By the inductive hypothesis, we see that the right vertical arrow is surjective at x . By the NAK lemma [Mat89, Theorem 2.3], this implies that the middle vertical arrow is also surjective at x . \square

Lemma 14.2 (cf. [CL12, Lemma 3.2]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that X is regular and such that Z is affine and excellent with a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^!\omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let S be a regular prime divisor on X and let B be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X such that $S \not\subseteq \mathrm{Supp}(B)$. Let A be a π -nef and π -big \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X . Assume that D is a divisor on X such that

$$D \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_X + S + A + B,$$

and let $\sigma \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(D|_S))$ be a nonzero global section with corresponding divisor Σ . Let Φ be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on S such that the \mathbf{Q} -pair (S, Φ) is klt and such that $B|_S \leq \Sigma + \Phi$. Then, $\sigma \in H^0(X|_S, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$. In particular, we have $\Sigma \in |D|_S$.

Proof. The ‘‘in particular’’ statement follows from Proposition 3.13, and hence it suffices to show the module-theoretic statement.

By [Tem08, Theorem 2.3.6], we get a log resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of $(X, S + B)$. Write $T = f_*^{-1}S$. Fix a choice of the canonical divisor K_X . Let K_Y be the unique canonical divisor such that $K_Y - f^*K_X$ is f -exceptional. Then there are f -exceptional divisors $\Theta \geq 0$ and $E \geq 0$ on Y with no common components such that

$$K_Y + T + \Theta = f^*(K_X + S) + E \in \text{Div}(Y).$$

Let $g = f|_R: T \rightarrow S$. Restrict the corresponding invertible sheaves to T , we see that there exist canonical divisors K_S of S and K_T of T such that

$$K_T + \Theta|_T = g^*K_S + E|_T \in \text{Div}(T).$$

Therefore,

$$K_T + \Theta|_T + g^*\Phi = g^*(K_S + \Phi) + E|_T \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(T).$$

Since $\Theta|_T$ and $E|_T$ are g -exceptional, the coefficients of $E|_T - \Theta|_T - g^*\Phi$ are the discrepancies of the klt pair (S, Φ) , thus are greater than -1 . Therefore

$$\lceil -g^*\Phi \rceil \geq \Theta|_T - E|_T. \quad (14)$$

Now, by assumption $\Sigma \geq B|_S - \Phi$, so $g^*\Sigma \geq g^*B|_S - g^*\Phi$, thus $g^*\Sigma \geq \lceil -g^*\Phi \rceil + \lfloor g^*(B|_S) \rfloor$ as Σ is an integral divisor. Combining with the inequality (14), we get

$$g^*\Sigma \geq \Theta|_T + \lfloor g^*(B|_S) \rfloor - E|_T. \quad (15)$$

Let $\Gamma = \Theta + f^*B \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(Y)$, so that $T \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(\Gamma)$, Γ and E have no common components, and we have

$$K_Y + T + \Gamma = f^*(K_X + S + B) + E \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(Y).$$

Let $C = \Gamma - E$ and

$$G = f^*D - \lfloor C \rfloor = f^*D - \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor + E. \quad (16)$$

Then, the \mathbf{Q} -divisor

$$G - (K_Y + T + \{C\}) \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^*(K_X + S + A + B) - (K_Y + T + C) = f^*A$$

is $(\pi \circ f)$ -nef and $(\pi \circ f)$ -big, and Lemma 14.1(i) implies that

$$H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(G|_T)) = H^0(Y|T, \mathcal{O}_Y(G)). \quad (17)$$

We let $g = f|_T: T \rightarrow S$ and consider the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_T \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_T(E|_T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_T(E|_T + g^*(D|_S))$$

where the second map is defined by $g^*\sigma$. This gives a section

$$\sigma' \in H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(E|_T + g^*(D|_S)))$$

with divisor $E|_T + g^*\Sigma$. By (15), $E|_T + g^*\Sigma \geq \Theta|_T + \lfloor g^*(B|_S) \rfloor = \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor|_T$, so the section σ' comes from a section

$$\tau \in H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(E|_T + g^*(D|_S) - \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor|_T)) = H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(G|_T)),$$

where the last equality holds by the definition of G in (16). Therefore by (17), τ lifts to $\tilde{\tau} \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(G))$, which in turn gives rise to an element

$$\rho \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(G + \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor)) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(f^*D + E)).$$

By construction, we have $\rho|_T = \sigma'$. Since E is f -exceptional, pushing forward we see that $\sigma \in H^0(X|S, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ as desired. \square

Lemma 14.3 (cf. [CL12, Lemma 3.3]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that X is regular and Z is affine and excellent with a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let S be a prime divisor on X , let B be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X , and let D be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X such that the \mathbf{Q} -pair $(X, S + B + D)$ is log regular, $S \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(B)$, $[B] = 0$, and D and $S + B$ have no common components. Let P be a π -nef \mathbf{Q} -divisor, and set $\Delta = S + B + P$. Assume that

$$K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} D.$$

Let k be a positive integer such that the divisors kP and kB are integral, and write $\Omega = (B + P)|_S$. Then, there is a π -very ample divisor H on X such that, for all sections $\sigma \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(k(K_S + \Omega)))$ and $u \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(H|_S))$ and all positive integers l , we have

$$\sigma^l u \in H^0(X|_S, \mathcal{O}_X(lk(K_X + \Delta) + H)).$$

In particular, if Σ (resp. U) is the divisor of σ (resp. u), we have $l\Sigma + U \in |lk(K_X + \Delta) + H|_S$.

Proof. For each $m \geq 0$, let $l_m = \lfloor \frac{m}{k} \rfloor$, let $r_m = m - l_m k \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, define $B_m = \lceil mB \rceil - \lceil (m-1)B \rceil$, and set $P_m = kP$ if $r_m = 0$ and $P_m = 0$ otherwise. Let

$$D_m = \sum_{i=1}^m (K_X + S + P_i + B_i) = m(K_X + S) + l_m kP + \lceil mB \rceil, \quad (18)$$

and note that D_m is integral and

$$D_m = l_m k(K_X + \Delta) + D_{r_m}. \quad (19)$$

We choose a suitable π -very ample divisor H as follows. First, we choose an arbitrary π -very ample divisor H' on X . Then, there exists an integer $n > 0$ such that $\mathcal{O}_X(nH' + D_j)$ is π -generated for every $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ by [EGAI, Proposition 2.6.8(i)]. Now $\mathcal{O}_X((n+m)H' + D_j)$ is π -very ample for every $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and every integer $m > 0$ by [EGAI, Proposition 4.4.8]. Finally, by relative Serre vanishing [EGAI, Théorème 2.2.1(ii)], choosing m large enough and setting $H = (n+m)H'$, we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_k + H - S)) = 0$. Therefore, our H satisfies

$$H^0(X|_S, \mathcal{O}_X(D_k + H)) = H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S((D_k + H)|_S)) \quad (20)$$

and $\mathcal{O}_X(H + D_j)$ is π -very ample for every $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$.

We claim the following. For all $m \geq k$ and all sections $u_m \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S((D_{r_m} + H)|_S))$, we have

$$\sigma^{l_m} u_m \in H^0(X|_S, \mathcal{O}_X(D_m + H)).$$

The case $r_m = 0$ is what we want. The claim is local, so after replacing Z with $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z,z})$ for every point $z \in Z$, we may assume that Z is local, in which case we may use our version of the Bertini theorem (Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2).

We prove the claim by induction on m . The case $m = k$ is covered by (20). Now let $m > k$, and pick a small positive rational number δ such that $D_{r_{m-1}} + H + \delta B_m$ is π -ample. Note that $0 \leq B_m \leq [B]$, that $(X, S + B + D)$ is log regular, and that D and $S + B$ have no common components. Thus, there exists a small positive rational number ε such that, if we define

$$F = (1 - \varepsilon\delta)B_m + l_{m-1}k\varepsilon D, \quad (21)$$

then $(X, S + F)$ is log regular, $[F] = 0$ and $S \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(F)$. In particular, by Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2 applied to $S \rightarrow Z$, there exists an element W of the π -generated (in fact π -very ample) linear system $|(D_{r_{m-1}} + H)|_S|$ such that W is reduced, does not share a component with $F|_S$, and that $(S, W + F|_S)$ is log regular. Thus, if we let

$$\Phi = F|_S + (1 - \varepsilon)W, \quad (22)$$

then (S, Φ) is klt. By induction, there is a divisor $\Theta \in |D_{m-1} + H|$ whose support does not contain S and $\Theta|_S = l_{m-1}\Sigma + W$. Note that the statement is about sections, but we get divisors from sections.

Denoting $C = (1 - \varepsilon)\Theta + F$, by (21) we have

$$C \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} (1 - \varepsilon)(D_{m-1} + H) + (1 - \varepsilon\delta)B_m + l_{m-1}k\varepsilon D, \quad (23)$$

and (22) yields

$$C|_S = (1 - \varepsilon)\Theta|_S + F|_S = (1 - \varepsilon)l_{m-1}\Sigma + \Phi \leq (l_m\Sigma + \text{div}(u_m)) + \Phi. \quad (24)$$

By the choice of δ and since $P_m = kP$ or 0 is π -nef, the \mathbf{Q} -divisor

$$A = \varepsilon(D_{r_{m-1}} + H + \delta B_m) + P_m$$

is π -ample. Then by (18), (19), and (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_m + H &= K_X + S + D_{m-1} + B_m + P_m + H \\ &= K_X + S + (1 - \varepsilon)D_{m-1} + l_{m-1}k\varepsilon(K_X + \Delta) + \varepsilon D_{r_{m-1}} + B_m + P_m + H \\ &= K_X + S + A + (1 - \varepsilon)D_{m-1} + l_{m-1}k\varepsilon D + (1 - \varepsilon\delta)B_m + (1 - \varepsilon)H \\ &\sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_X + S + A + C, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\sigma^{l_m}u \in H^0(X|_S, \mathcal{O}_X(D_{r_m} + H))$ by (24) and Lemma 14.2. \square

Theorem 14.4 (cf. [CL12, Theorem 3.4]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that X is regular and such that Z is affine and excellent with a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^!\omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let S be a prime divisor on X and let B be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X such that $(X, S+B)$ is log regular, $S \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(B)$, and $[B] = 0$. Let A be a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X , and set $\Delta = S + A + B$. Let C be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on S such that (S, C) is canonical, and let m be a positive integer such that mA , mB , and mC are integral.

Assume there exists a positive integer $q > 0$ such that qA is π -very ample, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &\not\subseteq \text{Bs} \left| qm \left(K_X + \Delta + \frac{1}{m}A \right) \right| \\ C &\leq B|_S - B|_S \wedge \frac{1}{qm} \text{Fix} \left| qm \left(K_X + \Delta + \frac{1}{m}A \right) \right|_S \end{aligned}$$

where K_X is a canonical divisor defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^!\omega_Z^\bullet$. Then, for every nonzero global section $\sigma \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(m(K_S + A|_S + C)))$, the image of σ under the map

$$H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(m(K_S + A|_S + C))) \xrightarrow{\cdot m(B|_S - C)} H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(m(K_X + \Delta)|_S))$$

lies in $H^0(X|_S, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta)))$. In particular, we have

$$|m(K_S + A|_S + C)| + m(B|_S - C) \subseteq |m(K_X + \Delta)|_S,$$

and if $|m(K_S + A|_S + C)| \neq \emptyset$, then $|m(K_X + \Delta)|_S \neq \emptyset$, and

$$\text{Fix} |m(K_S + A|_S + C)| + m(B|_S - C) \geq \text{Fix} |m(K_X + \Delta)|_S \geq m \mathbf{Fix}_S(K_X + \Delta).$$

Proof. The ‘‘in particular’’ statements follow from Proposition 3.13, and hence it suffices to show the module-theoretic statement. By flat base change and [Bou72, Chapter II, §3, no. 3, Corollary 1 to Theorem 1], it suffices to show the statement after replacing Z with $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z,z})$ for every point $z \in Z$. We may therefore assume Z is local, in which case we may use our version of the Bertini theorem (Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2).

By [Hir64, Chapter I, §3, Main Theorem I(n)], we can find a simultaneous log resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of $(X, S \cup \text{Supp}(B))$ and the base ideal $\mathfrak{b}(|qm(K_X + \Delta + \frac{1}{m}A)|)$. Then, for some choice of the

canonical divisor K_Y , there are \mathbf{Q} -divisors $B', E \geq 0$ on Y with no common components, such that E is f -exceptional and

$$K_Y + T + B' = f^*(K_X + S + B) + E,$$

where $T = f_*^{-1}S$. Note that this implies

$$K_T + B'|_T = g^*(K_S + B|_S) + E|_T$$

where $g = f|_T: T \rightarrow S$ and K_T and K_S are some choices of canonical divisors of T and S respectively. Since $(Y, T + B' + E)$ is log regular and B' and E do not have common components, it follows that $B'|_T$ and $E|_T$ do not have common components. In particular, $E|_T$ is g -exceptional and $g_*B'|_T = B|_S$. Let $\Gamma = T + f^*A + B'$, and define

$$F_q = \frac{1}{qm} \text{Fix} \left| qm \left(K_Y + \Gamma + \frac{1}{m} f^*A \right) \right|.$$

We notice that $qm(K_Y + \Gamma + \frac{1}{m}f^*A) = f^*(qm(K_X + \Delta + \frac{1}{m}A)) + qmE$ and that E is f -exceptional. Therefore, $\mathfrak{b}(|qm(K_Y + \Gamma + \frac{1}{m}f^*A)|)$ is the product of $\mathcal{O}_Y(-qmE)$ and $\mathfrak{b}(|f^*(qm(K_X + \Delta + \frac{1}{m}A))|)$, the latter being equal $f^*\mathfrak{b}(|qm(K_X + \Delta + \frac{1}{m}A)|)$. Since we resolved $\mathfrak{b}(|qm(K_X + \Delta + \frac{1}{m}A)|)$, its pullback is an invertible ideal, hence so is $\mathfrak{b}(|qm(K_Y + \Gamma + \frac{1}{m}f^*A)|)$. Therefore the mobile part

$$\text{Mob} \left(qm \left(K_Y + \Gamma + \frac{1}{m} f^*A \right) \right) = qm \left(K_Y + \Gamma + \frac{1}{m} f^*A - F_q \right)$$

is $(\pi \circ f)$ -generated. By Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2, we may take $D^\circ \in |K_Y + \Gamma + \frac{1}{m}f^*A - F_q|_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $(Y, T + B' + F_q + D^\circ)$ is log regular and that D° does not contain any component of $T + B'$. Now define

$$B'_q = B' - B' \wedge F_q, \quad \Gamma_q = T + B'_q + f^*A, \quad D = D^\circ + F_q - B' \wedge F_q.$$

Then,

$$D \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_Y + \Gamma_q + \frac{1}{m} f^*A,$$

the pair $(Y, T + B'_q + D)$ is log regular, and D does not contain any component of $T + B'_q$.

Let $g = f|_T: T \rightarrow S$ and $C' = g_*^{-1}C$. We claim that $C' \leq B'_{q|T}$. Assuming the claim, let us show how it implies the theorem. By Lemma 14.3, there exists a π -very ample divisor H on Y such that for all divisors $\Sigma' \in |K_T + (B'_q + (1 + \frac{1}{m})f^*A)|_T|$ and $U \in |H|_T|$ and for all positive integers p , we have

$$p\Sigma' + U \in \left| pqm \left(K_X + \Delta + \frac{1}{m}A \right) + H \right|_T.$$

Since f is constructed as a blowup of X along regular centers, there exists an effective f -exceptional divisor G such that $-G$ is f -ample. After possibly replacing G by a small rational multiple, we therefore see that $f^*A - G$ is ample, and $[B' + \frac{1}{m}G] = 0$, in which case $(T, (B' + \frac{1}{m}G)|_T)$ is klt. Now, we choose a positive integer k so large such that for $l = kq$ the \mathbf{Q} -divisor

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{m}(f^*A - G) - \frac{m-1}{ml}H$$

$(\pi \circ f)$ -ample. This is possible because $f^*A - G$ is $(\pi \circ f)$ -ample. By Theorem 10.1 and Remark 10.2, we may find reduced divisors $W_1 \in |q(f^*A)|_T|$ and $W_2 \in |H|_T|$ such that $(B' + \frac{1}{m}G)|_T, W_1$ and W_2 share no common components and that $(T, (B' + \frac{1}{m}G)|_T + W_1 + W_2)$ is log regular. For $W = kW_1 + W_2$ and

$$\Phi = B'_{q|T} + \frac{1}{m}G|_T + \frac{1}{l}W = B'_{q|T} + \frac{1}{m}G|_T + \frac{1}{q}W_1 + \frac{1}{l}W_2,$$

the pair (T, Φ) is klt, since $[B' + \frac{1}{m}G] = 0$. Now the proof of [CL12, Theorem 3.4] applies verbatim, except [CL12, Lemma 3.2] should be replaced by Lemma 14.2.

It remains to verify the claim $C' \leq B'_{q|T}$. This is also identical to the corresponding part of the proof of [CL12, Theorem 3.4], except for the word change “basepoint-free” to “ $(\pi \circ f)$ -generated.” \square

As in [CL12], we immediately obtain the following version of the lifting theorem of Hacon and McKernan [HM10, Theorem 6.3].

Corollary 14.5 (cf. [CL12, Corollary 3.5]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that X is regular and such that Z is affine and excellent with a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let S be a prime divisor on X and let B be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X such that $(X, S + B)$ is log regular, $S \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(B)$, and $\lfloor B \rfloor = 0$. Suppose that $(S, B|_S)$ is canonical. Let A be a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X , and set $\Delta = S + A + B$. Let m be a positive integer such that mA and mB are integral and such that $S \not\subseteq \text{Bs}|m(K_X + \Delta)|$. Set

$$\Phi_m = B|_S - B|_S \wedge \frac{1}{m} \text{Fix}|m(K_X + \Delta)|_S.$$

Then, we have

$$|m(K_S + A|_S + \Phi_m)| + m(B|_S - \Phi_m) \subseteq |m(K_X + \Delta)|_S.$$

Proof. The proof of [CL12, Corollary 3.5] applies after replacing [CL12, Theorem 3.4] with our Theorem 14.4. \square

Lemma 14.6 (cf. [CL12, Lemma 3.6]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that X is regular and such that Z is affine and excellent with a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let S be a regular prime divisor on X , let D be a \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X such that $S \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}(D)$, and let A be a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor. Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{q} \text{Fix}|q(D + A)|_S \leq \mathbf{Fix}_S(D)$$

for all sufficiently divisible positive integers q .

Proof. The proof of [CL12, Lemma 3.6] carries word by word with the following changes:

- All instances of the words “ample” and “very ample” become “ π -ample” and “ π -very ample,” respectively.
- The sentence “In particular, if $V \in |F|$ is a general element, then $P \not\subseteq \text{Supp } f_* V$ ” becomes “In particular, for some $V \in |F|$ we have $P \not\subseteq \text{Supp } f_* V$.”
- The reference [CL12, Lemma 3.1] should be replaced by Lemma 14.1.

We note that the \mathbf{Q} -divisor D' in the proof of [CL12, Lemma 3.6] does not come from Bertini’s theorem, since the existence of a \mathbf{Q} -divisor $D' \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} D$ satisfying $S \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(D')$ and $\text{mult}_P(D'|_S) < 1/q$ follows from the definition of $\mathbf{Fix}_S(D)$. \square

15. $\mathcal{B}_A^S(V)$ IS A RATIONAL POLYTOPE

Following [CL12, §4], we prove that the set $\mathcal{B}_A^S(V)$ defined in Definition 8.1 is a rational polytope. Given the work we have done in §14, the proof in [CL12, §4] applies almost verbatim.

We replace [CL12, Setup 4.1] with the following setup. In the rest of this section, we write “Setup 15.1 $_n$ ” to mean “Setup 15.1 when $\dim(X) = n$.” We have only written down the notation from [CL12, Setup 4.1] that will be used in the statements in the rest of this section.

Setup 15.1 (cf. [CL12, Setup 4.1]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero, such that X is regular of dimension n and such that Z is affine and excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Let S, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p be distinct prime divisors on X such that $(X, S + \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular. We assume that Theorem 12.1 $_{n-1}$ holds. Note that we have already shown that Theorem 12.3 holds.

Consider a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor A on X . Let

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{R} \cdot S_i \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X),$$

and let $W \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(S)$ be the subspace spanned by the components of $\sum_i (S_i)|_S$. By Theorem 12.3, the set

$$\mathcal{E}_{A|_S}(W) = \{E \in \mathcal{L}(W) \mid |K_S + A|_S + E|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset\}$$

is a rational polytope. If E_1, E_2, \dots, E_d are its extreme points, then the ring

$$R(S/Z; K_S + A|_S + E_1, K_S + A|_S + E_2, \dots, K_S + A|_S + E_d)$$

is finitely generated as a $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra by Theorem 12.1 $_{n-1}$. Therefore, if we set

$$\mathbf{F}(E) = \mathbf{Fix}(K_S + A|_S + E)$$

for a \mathbf{Q} -divisor $E \in \mathcal{E}_{A|_S}(W)$, then [CL12, Lemma 2.28] implies that \mathbf{F} extends to a rational piecewise affine function on $\mathcal{E}_{A|_S}(W)$, and there exists a positive integer k such that

$$\mathbf{F}(E) = \frac{1}{m} \text{Fix}|m(K_S + A|_S + E)|$$

for every $E \in \mathcal{E}_{A|_S}(W)$ and every $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that mA/k and mE/k are integral.

For a \mathbf{Q} -divisor $B \in \mathcal{B}_A^S(V)$, set

$$\mathbf{F}_S(B) = \mathbf{Fix}_S(K_X + S + A + B),$$

and for every positive integer m such that mA and mB are integral and $S \not\subseteq \text{Bs}|m(K_X + S + A + B)|$, denote

$$\Phi_m(B) = B|_S - B|_S \wedge \frac{1}{m} \text{Fix}|m(K_X + S + A + B)|_S.$$

Let $\Phi(B) = B|_S - B|_S \wedge \mathbf{F}_S(B)$, where we note that $\Phi(B) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_m(B)$.

The analogue of the main result in [CL12, §4] is the following:

Theorem 15.2 (cf. [CL12, Theorem 4.3]). *Let the assumptions of Setup 15.1 $_n$ hold. Let \mathcal{G} be a rational polytope contained in the interior of $\mathcal{L}(V)$, and assume that $(S, G|_S)$ is terminal for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Denote $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{B}_A^S(V)$. We then have the following:*

- (i) \mathcal{P} is a rational polytope.
- (ii) Φ extends to a rational piecewise affine function on \mathcal{P} , and there exists a positive integer ℓ such that $\Phi(P) = \Phi_m(P)$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and every positive integer m such that mP/ℓ is integral.

Proof. We work through the proofs of [CL12, Lemma 4.2], [CL12, Lemma 4.4], and [CL12, Theorem 4.3]. Throughout, [CL12, Theorem 3.4] and [CL12, Lemma 3.6] should be replaced by our Theorem 14.4 and Lemma 14.6, respectively.

The proof of [CL12, Lemma 4.2] works with no changes. The proof of [CL12, Lemma 4.4] works with the following changes:

- In Step 2, the rational number $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ should be chosen such that the divisors $D + A/4$ and $\varepsilon(K_X + S + A + B) + A/4$ are π -ample.

- In the first paragraph of [CL12, p. 2442], the divisors

$$H = \Gamma - B_\delta + \frac{1}{4m}A \quad \text{and} \quad G = \frac{\varepsilon}{m}(K_X + S + A + B_\delta) + \frac{1}{4m}A$$

are π -ample.

The proof of [CL12, Theorem 4.3] works with no changes. \square

As a result, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 15.3 (cf. [CL12, Corollary 4.6]). *Assume Theorem 12.1_{n-1} holds. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero, such that X is regular of dimension n and such that Z is affine and excellent with a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Let S, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p be distinct prime divisors on X such that $(X, S + \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular.*

Let

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{R} \cdot S_i \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X),$$

and let A be a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X . Then, $\mathcal{B}_A^S(V)$ is a rational polytope and

$$\mathcal{B}_A^S(V) = \{B \in \mathcal{L}(V) \mid \sigma_S(K_X + S + A + B) = 0\}.$$

Proof. The proof of [CL12, Corollary 4.6] applies with the following changes:

- In the first paragraph, [CL12, Theorem 4.3] should be replaced by our Theorem 15.2.
- In the second paragraph, [CL12, Lemma 2.2] holds for the pair $(X, S + B^G)$ since log resolutions exist [Tem18, Theorem 1.1.6], and the proof of [KM98, Proposition 2.36(1)] works in this setting as well. Later, we choose $f^*A^G - F$ to be $(\pi \circ f)$ -ample, where if F is small enough, then $(T, (C + F)|_T)$ is terminal. Here, the choice of F is exactly like the choice of G in the proof of Theorem 14.4, which works since Temkin's log resolutions are constructed by blowing up regular centers (see also [Kol21₂, Claim 8.1]). \square

16. FINITE GENERATION

In this section, we prove Theorem 12.1_n assuming Theorem 12.1_{n-1}. Again, we note that we have already shown Theorem 12.3.

Lemma 16.1 (cf. [CL12, Lemma 6.1]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of integral Noetherian schemes such that X is regular and such that Z is affine.*

Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p be distinct prime divisors on X such that $(X, \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular. Let

$$\mathcal{C} \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot S_i \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X)$$

be a rational polyhedral cone, and let $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{j=1}^q \mathcal{C}_j$ be a rational polyhedral decomposition. Set $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C} \cap \text{Div}(X)$ and $\mathcal{S}_j = \mathcal{C}_j \cap \text{Div}(X)$ for all j . Assume the following:

- There exists a real number $M > 0$ such that if $\sum_i \alpha_i S_i \in \mathcal{C}_j$ for some j and for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{N}$ where $\sum_i \alpha_i \geq M$, then $\sum_i \alpha_i S_i - S_j \in \mathcal{C}$; and*
- The ring $\text{res}_{\mathcal{S}_j}(R(X/Z; \mathcal{S}_j))$ is finitely generated as a $H^0(X|_{\mathcal{S}_j}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_j})$ -algebra for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$.*

Then, the relative divisorial ring $R(X/Z; \mathcal{S})$ is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra.

Proof. After replacing $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ by its Stein factorization [EGAIII₁, Théorème 4.3.1], we may assume that $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ is the degree zero piece of $R(X/Z; \mathcal{S})$. We now follow the proof of [CL12, Lemma 6.1]. For every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, we use Proposition 3.13 to choose sections $\sigma_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(S_i))$ such that $\text{div}(\sigma_i) = S_i$. Let $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq R(X/Z; S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p)$ be the $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -subalgebra generated by $R(X/Z; \mathcal{S})$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_p$. Note that \mathfrak{R} is graded by $\sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{N} \cdot S_i \subseteq$

$\text{Div}(X)$. By [ADHL15, Proposition 1.2.2], since $R(X/Z; \mathcal{S})$ is a Veronese subring of \mathfrak{A} , it suffices to show that \mathfrak{A} is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra.

For each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p) \in \mathbf{N}^p$, set $D_\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i S_i$ and $\deg(\alpha) = \sum_i \alpha_i$, and for a section $\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\alpha))$, set $\deg(\sigma) = \deg(\alpha)$. By (ii), for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, there exists a finite set $\mathcal{H}_j \subseteq R(X/Z; \mathcal{S}_j)$ such that $\text{res}_{S_j}(R(X/Z; \mathcal{S}_j))$ is generated by the set

$$\{\sigma|_{S_j} \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{H}_j\}$$

over $H^0(X|_{S_j}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Since the $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -module $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\alpha))$ is finitely generated for every $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^p$, there is a finite set $\mathcal{H} \subseteq R(X/Z; \mathcal{S}_j)$ such that

$$\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_p\} \cup \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_p \subseteq \mathcal{H}$$

and such that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\alpha)) \subseteq (H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z))[\mathcal{H}]$$

inside of \mathfrak{A} for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^p$ with $D_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\deg(\alpha) \leq M$, where $(H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z))[\mathcal{H}] \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ holds by definition of \mathfrak{A} and \mathcal{H} . To show that \mathfrak{A} is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra, it therefore suffices to show that $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq (H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z))[\mathcal{H}]$.

Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{A}$. By definition of \mathfrak{A} , we can write

$$\chi = \sum_i \sigma_1^{\lambda_{1,i}} \sigma_2^{\lambda_{2,i}} \dots \sigma_p^{\lambda_{p,i}} \chi_i,$$

where $\chi_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_{\alpha_i}))$ for some $D_{\alpha_i} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\lambda_{j,i} \in \mathbf{N}$. It therefore suffices to show that $\chi_i \in (H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z))[\mathcal{H}]$. After replacing χ by χ_i , we may assume that $\chi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\alpha))$ for some $D_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$. We induce on $\deg(\chi)$. If $\deg(\chi) \leq M$, then $\chi \in (H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z))[\mathcal{H}]$ by the definition of \mathcal{H} in the previous paragraph. Now suppose $\deg(\chi) > M$. Then, there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ such that $D_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_j$, and hence there exist $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_z \in \mathcal{H}$ and a polynomial $\varphi \in (H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z))[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_z]$ such that

$$\chi|_{S_j} = \varphi(\theta_1|_{S_j}, \theta_2|_{S_j}, \dots, \theta_z|_{S_j}).$$

By the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\alpha - S_j)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_j} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\alpha)) \longrightarrow H^0(S_j, \mathcal{O}_{S_j}(D_\alpha)),$$

we therefore obtain

$$\chi - \varphi(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_z) = \sigma_j \cdot \chi'$$

for some $\chi' \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\alpha - S_j))$. Since $D_\alpha - S_j \in \mathcal{S}$ by (i) and since $\deg(\chi') < \deg(\chi)$, by the inductive hypotheses we see that $\chi' \in (H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z))[\mathcal{H}]$. Thus, we have

$$\chi = \sigma_j \cdot \chi' + \varphi(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_z) \in (H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z))[\mathcal{H}]$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 16.2 (cf. [CL12, Lemma 6.2]). *Assume Theorem 12.1_{n-1} holds. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero such that X is regular of dimension n and such that Z is affine and excellent with a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$. Let S, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p be distinct prime divisors on X such that $(X, S + \sum_{i=1}^p S_i)$ is log regular.*

Let

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{R} \cdot S_i \subseteq \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X),$$

let A be a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X , and let $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{E}_{S+A}(V)$ be \mathbf{Q} -divisors. Set $D_i = K_X + S + A + B_i$. Then, the ring

$$\text{res}_S(R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m))$$

is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra.

Proof. Following the proof of [CL12, Lemma 6.2], we first prove the lemma under the additional assumption that the B_i lie in the interior of $\mathcal{L}(V)$, and that the pairs $(S, B_{i|S})$ are all terminal. This part of the proof of [CL12, Lemma 6.2] applies with the following changes:

- In the second paragraph, [CL12, Lemma 2.27] should be replaced by our Lemma 8.5.
- In the third and fourth paragraphs, [CL12, Setup 4.1] and [CL12, Theorem 4.3] should be replaced by our Setup 15.1 and Theorem 15.2, respectively.
- In the fourth paragraph, [CL12, Corollary 3.5] and [CL12, Theorem A_{n-1}] should be replaced by our Corollary 14.5 and Theorem 12.1 $_{n-1}$, respectively.

We now prove the general case of the lemma. For every i , we choose a \mathbf{Q} -divisor $G_i \in V$ such that $A - G_i$ is π -ample and such that $B_i + G_i$ is in the interior of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Let A' be a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor such that every $A - G_i - A'$ is also ample. We claim that there exists a finite open affine cover $Z = \bigcup_j U_j$ and effective \mathbf{Q} -divisors $A_{ij} \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} A - G_i - A'$ such that setting $X_j = \pi^{-1}(U_j)$, we have the following:

- (i) For every j , $[A_{i|X_j}] = 0$;
- (ii) For every j , the pair $(X, S + \sum_{i=1}^p S_i + \sum_{i=1}^m A_{ij})$ is log regular along X_j ; and
- (iii) For every j , the support of $\sum_{i=1}^m A_{ij|X_j}$ does not contain any of the divisors $S_{|X_j}, S_{1|X_j}, \dots, S_{p|X_j}$.

We induce on m . The case $m = 0$ follows by assumption. Now suppose $m > 0$. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a finite affine open cover $Z = \bigcup_k V_k$ and π -ample \mathbf{Q} -divisors $B_{ik} \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} A - G_i - A'$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ such that for every k , setting $X_k = \pi^{-1}(V_k)$, we have $[A_{i|X_k}] = 0$, the pair $(X, S + \sum_{i=1}^p S_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} B_{ik})$ is log regular along X_k , and the support of $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} B_{ik|X_k}$ does not contain any of the divisors $S_{|X_k}, S_{1|X_k}, \dots, S_{p|X_k}$. We can now apply Corollary 10.3 to the strata of the pair $(X, S + \sum_{i=1}^p S_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} B_{ik})$ to construct a finite affine open cover $Z = \bigcup_j U_j$ refining $Z = \bigcup_k V_k$ and effective \mathbf{Q} -divisors $A_{mj} \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} A - G_i - A'$ satisfying the requirements above. Finally, by [EGAI_{new}, Corollaire 6.3.9] and flat base change, to show that $\text{res}_S(R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m))$ is finitely generated as an $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra, it suffices to show that

$$\text{res}_{S|X_j}(R(X_j/Z_j; D_{1|X_j}, D_{2|X_j}, \dots, D_{m|X_j}))$$

is finitely generated as an $H^0(U_j, \mathcal{O}_{U_j})$ -algebra for every j . Replacing $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ by $\pi|_{X_j}: X_j \rightarrow U_j$, we may assume that the open affine cover $Z = \bigcup_j U_j$ has only one member. We now proceed as in the proof of [CL12, Lemma 6.2] with the following changes in the last paragraph:

- In the first line, [CL12, Lemma 2.2] holds for the pair $(X, S + B)$ since log resolutions exist [Tem18, Theorem 1.1.6], and the proof of [KM98, Proposition 2.36(1)] works in this setting as well.
- Later, the \mathbf{Q} -divisor A° is π -ample.
- In the last line, [CL12, Corollary 2.26] should be replaced by our Lemma 8.4. \square

Theorem 16.3 (cf. [CL12, Theorem 6.3]). *Theorem 12.1 $_{n-1}$ implies Theorem 12.1 $_n$. Thus, Theorem 12.1 holds.*

Proof. The proof of [CL12, Theorem 6.3] applies with the following changes:

- In (69), the words “log smooth” should be replaced by “log regular.”
- Throughout, the references to [CL12, Corollary 2.26] and [CL12, Lemma 2.27] should be replaced by references to our Lemmas 8.4 and 8.5, respectively.
- After (iii) on p. 2463, [CL12, Lemma 6.1] should be replaced by our Lemma 16.1.
- At the bottom of p. 2464, [CL12, Lemma 6.2] should be replaced by our Lemma 16.2.

- In the second paragraph on p. 2465, [CL12, Theorem B_n] should be replaced by our Theorem 12.3, which we have already shown holds when $\dim(X)$ is arbitrary.
- In the last paragraph, the log resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ exists by [Tem18, Theorem 1.1.6]. Later, we choose $A^\circ = f^*A - H$ to be $(\pi \circ f)$ -ample and $C_i^\circ = C_i + H$ such that $[C_i^\circ] = 0$ for all i , where the choice of H is exactly like the choice of G in the proof of Theorem 14.4, which works since Temkin’s log resolutions are constructed by blowing up regular centers (see also [Kol21₂, Claim 8.1]).

Finally, to show Theorem 12.1, we need to prove the base case when $\dim(X) = 0$. Let m be an integer such that mD_1, mD_2, \dots, mD_k are integral. Then, $R(X/Z; mD_1, mD_2, \dots, mD_k)$ is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, since it is isomorphic to a polynomial ring with variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k corresponding to mD_1, mD_2, \dots, mD_k in the direct sum decomposition in Definition 8.2. Finally, $R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k)$ contains $R(X/Z; mD_1, mD_2, \dots, mD_k)$ as a Veronese subring of finite index, and hence $R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k)$ is finitely generated by [ADHL15, Proposition 1.2.2]. \square

17. FINITE GENERATION FOR KLT PAIRS

In this section, we prove finite generation of relative adjoint rings for klt pairs, adapting corresponding results in [CL13, §3] to our setting. We also adapt other results from [CL13, §3], which will be used in the proofs of other theorems but are of independent interest as well. In contrast to previous sections in Part III, where we worked with log regular pairs, we work with normal schemes and klt pairs. We will frequently use the continuity of kltness (Lemma 6.9(iii)) in this and the following sections. We sometimes do not explicitly refer to the lemma and just say “by continuity.” We note that log resolutions exist for quasi-excellent schemes of equal characteristic zero by [Tem08, Theorem 2.3.6], and thus the lemma is applicable.

Lemma 17.1 (cf. [CL13, Lemma 1]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes with Z affine. Let D_1, D_2, \dots, D_ℓ be \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors on X . The ring*

$$R = R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$$

is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ if and only if one of its Veronese subrings of finite index is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. In particular, if $D'_i \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} e_i D_i$ for some $e_i \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ and if R is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, then the ring $R' = R(X/Z; D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_\ell)$ is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$.

Proof. If $D'_i \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} e_i D_i$, then R' and R have isomorphic Veronese subrings of finite index, hence the “in particular” statement. The principal statement follows from [ADHL15, Propositions 1.2.2 and 1.2.4]. \square

We also notice the following fact.

Lemma 17.2. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero, such that X is normal and such that Z is affine, excellent, and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let Δ be an effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ) is klt. Assume that there exists a rational number $c \in (-\infty, 1]$ such that $cK_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and π -big. Then there exists a rational number $e > 0$, an effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor Γ on X such that (X, Γ) is klt, and a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor A such that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} e(K_X + \Gamma + A)$.

Proof. By Kodaira's lemma (Corollary 5.9), there exist a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor H and an effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor E such that $cK_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} H + E$. For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_X + \Delta &\sim_{\mathbf{Q}} (1-c)K_X + (1-\varepsilon)(cK_X + \Delta) + \varepsilon(H + E) \\ &= (1-c\varepsilon)K_X + (1-\varepsilon)\Delta + \varepsilon E + \varepsilon H \\ &= (1-c\varepsilon) \left(K_X + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c\varepsilon}\Delta + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-c\varepsilon}E + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-c\varepsilon}H \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.9(iv) when $c < 1$ (with Δ' there defined to be $\frac{1}{1-c}E$) and Lemma 6.9(iii) when $c = 1$, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$, setting $\Gamma = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1-c\varepsilon}\Delta + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-c\varepsilon}E$, the pair (X, Γ) is klt. We may thus fix such an ε and set $e = 1 - c\varepsilon$, $A = \frac{\varepsilon}{1-c\varepsilon}H$ to conclude. \square

Theorem 17.3 (cf. [CL13, Theorem 2]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero, such that X is normal and such that Z is affine and excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^!\omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let Δ_i be effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisors on X for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $K_X + \Delta_i$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ_i) is klt for each i . Let A_i be π -nef \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. Assume that for each i , either A_i is π -ample, or that there exists a rational number $c_i \in (-\infty, 1]$ such that $c_i K_X + \Delta_i$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and π -big. Then the relative adjoint ring

$$R(X/Z; K_X + \Delta_1 + A_1, K_X + \Delta_2 + A_2, \dots, K_X + \Delta_\ell + A_\ell)$$

is a finitely generated $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ -algebra.

Proof. If there exists a rational number $c_i \in (-\infty, 1]$ such that $c_i K_X + \Delta_i$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and π -big, then by Lemma 17.2 we may write $K_X + \Delta_i \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} e_i(K_X + \Theta_i + H_i)$ where $e_i \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$, H_i is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and π -ample, and Θ_i is effective with (X, Θ_i) klt. Thus $K_X + \Delta_i + A_i \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} e_i(K_X + \Theta_i + H_i + \frac{1}{e_i}A_i)$ and $H_i + \frac{1}{e_i}A_i$ is π -ample. By Lemma 17.1 we see that we may assume A_i π -ample for all i .

Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of $(X, \sum_i \Delta_i)$, which exists by [Tem18, Theorem 1.1.6]. Since Temkin's log resolutions are constructed by blowing up regular centers, we may assume that there exists an f -exceptional effective Cartier divisor F such that $-F$ is f -ample (see also [Kol21₂, Claim 8.1]). Take a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor A on X such that $A_i - A$ are all π -ample. Write

$$f^*(K_X + \Delta_i) + E_i \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_Y + \Gamma_i$$

where $E_i \geq 0$ is f -exceptional, all coefficients of Γ_i are in $(0, 1)$, and E_i and Γ_i do not share common components. This is possible since $\Delta_i \geq 0$ and (X, Δ_i) is klt. By Lemma 8.4, it suffices to show

$$R = R(Y/Z; K_Y + \Gamma_1 + f^*A_1, K_Y + \Gamma_2 + f^*A_2, \dots, K_Y + \Gamma_\ell + f^*A_\ell)$$

is finitely generated.

Let $r \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ be sufficiently small such that $H := f^*A - rF$ is $(\pi \circ f)$ -ample and such that all coefficients of $\Gamma'_i := \Gamma_i + rF$ are less than 1. Let $H_i = f^*(A_i - A)$, which is $(\pi \circ f)$ -semi-ample by our choice. Then, we have

$$R = R(Y/Z; K_Y + \Gamma'_1 + H_1 + H, K_Y + \Gamma'_2 + H_2 + H, \dots, K_Y + \Gamma'_\ell + H_\ell + H).$$

Let q be a positive integer such that every qH_i is integral and $(\pi \circ f)$ -generated, and such that all coefficients of Γ'_i are less than $1 - \frac{1}{q}$. By Corollary 10.3, after replacing Z by the scheme theoretic image of π (thus making it integral) and passing to an affine open cover (allowed by [EGAI_{new}, Corollaire 6.3.9] and flat base change), we may assume that there exists $H'_i \in |qH_i|$ such that H'_i is regular and such that $\sum_i H'_i + \sum_i \Gamma_i$ has simple normal crossings support. Since all

coefficients of Γ'_i are less than $1 - \frac{1}{q}$, all coefficients of $\Gamma'_i + \frac{1}{q}H'_i$ are less than 1, regardless of possible shared components. Therefore, the relative adjoint ring

$$R\left(Y/Z; K_Y + \Gamma'_1 + \frac{1}{q}H'_1 + H, K_Y + \Gamma'_2 + \frac{1}{q}H'_2 + H, \dots, K_Y + \Gamma'_\ell + \frac{1}{q}H'_\ell + H\right)$$

is finitely generated by Theorem 12.1. Since $\frac{1}{q}H'_i \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} H_i$, Lemma 17.1 gives the finite generation of R . \square

We therefore obtain Theorem B for algebraic spaces where the base is no longer affine.

Theorem 17.4. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of integral quasi-excellent locally Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^!\omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let Δ_i be effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisors on X for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $K_X + \Delta_i$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ_i) is klt for each i . Let A_i be π -nef \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaves for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. Assume that for each i , either A_i is π -ample, or that there exists a rational number $c_i \in (-\infty, 1]$ such that $c_i K_X + \Delta_i$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and π -big. Then, the relative adjoint ring

$$\bigoplus_{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\ell) \in \mathbf{N}^\ell} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \left(\left\lfloor \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i (K_X + \Delta_i + A_i) \right\rfloor \right)$$

is an \mathcal{O}_Z -algebra locally of finite type.

Proof. By definition and flat base change [Stacks, Tag 073K], we can pullback along étale morphisms from affine schemes $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow Z$ to reduce to the case proved in Theorem 17.3. \square

For later use, we prove some other consequences of finite generation, adapting the proofs from [CL13] for complex varieties.

Theorem 17.5 (cf. [CL13, Theorem 3]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes such that Z is affine.*

Let D_1, D_2, \dots, D_ℓ be \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors on X . Assume that the ring

$$R = R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$$

is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}: \mathbf{R}^\ell &\longrightarrow \text{Div}_{\mathbf{R}}(X) \\ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell) &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i D_i \end{aligned}$$

be the tautological map from [CL13, p. 620]. We then have the following:

- (i) *The support $\text{Supp}(R)$ of R is a rational polyhedral cone.*
- (ii) *Suppose that $\text{Supp}(R)$ contains a π -big \mathbf{R} -Cartier divisor. If $D \in \sum_i \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} D_i$ is π -pseudo effective, then $D \in \text{Supp}(R)$.*
- (iii) *There is a finite rational polyhedral subdivision $\text{Supp}(R) = \bigsqcup_i C_i$ such that o_v is a linear function on C_i for every geometric valuation v of X . Furthermore, there is a coarsest subdivision with this property in the sense that, if i and j are distinct, there is at least one geometric valuation v of X such that (the linear extensions of) $(o_v)|_{C_i}$ and $(o_v)|_{C_j}$ are different.*
- (iv) *There is a finite index subgroup $L \subseteq \mathbf{Z}^\ell$ such that for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^\ell \cap L$, if $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{n}) \in \text{Supp}(R)$, then*

$$o_v(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{n})) = \inf_{E \in |\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{n})|} \{ \text{mult}_v(E) \}$$

for all geometric valuations v of X .

Proof. The proof of [CL13, Theorem 3] carries verbatim here, noting that the external reference [ELMNP06, Proposition 4.7] holds for arbitrary Noetherian schemes. \square

In the next result, for the same reason as the case of Theorem 12.3, we do not need to assume from the outset that Z is of equal characteristic zero.

Corollary 17.6 (cf. [CL13, Corollary 1]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes, such that X is normal and such that Z is affine and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$. Assume that the function field of X has characteristic zero.*

Let Δ be an effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ) is klt. Let A be a π -nef \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor on X .

Assume that either A is π -ample or Δ is π -big, and assume that $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π -pseudoeffective. Then $|K_X + \Delta + A|_{\mathbf{Q}} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. We may assume π surjective. Let η be the generic point of Z . We know (Definition 5.5) that $K_X + \Delta + A + H$ is π -big for all π -ample Cartier divisors H on X . Since there exists such an H , it follows that $K_{X_\eta} + \Delta|_{X_\eta} + A|_{X_\eta} + H$ is $\pi|_{X_\eta}$ -big for all $\pi|_{X_\eta}$ -ample Cartier divisors H on X_η , so $K_{X_\eta} + \Delta|_{X_\eta} + A|_{X_\eta}$ is $\pi|_{X_\eta}$ -pseudoeffective. By Corollary 5.15, it suffices to show $|K_{X_\eta} + \Delta|_{X_\eta} + A|_{X_\eta}|_{\mathbf{Q}} \neq \emptyset$, so we may replace Z by the spectrum of its function field and assume that Z is an excellent scheme of equal characteristic zero.

Let H be a π -ample Cartier divisor on X . By Theorem 17.3, the adjoint ring $R = R(X/Z; K_X + \Delta + A, K_X + \Delta + A + H)$ is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. Its support contains the π -big \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor $K_X + \Delta + A + H$. Thus, Theorem 17.5(ii) applies and shows $K_X + \Delta + A \in \text{Supp}(R)$, i.e., $|K_X + \Delta + A|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.14 we are done. \square

Lemma 17.7 (cf. [CL13, Lemma 3]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes with Z affine. Let D be a \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor on X . We then have the following:*

- (i) *If D is π -semi-ample, then $o_v(D) = 0$ for all geometric valuations v of X .*
- (ii) *Assume that there exist \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors D_1, D_2, \dots, D_ℓ on X such that the ring*

$$R = R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$$

is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, and suppose $D \in \text{Supp}(R)$. If $o_v(D) = 0$ for all geometric valuations v of X , then D is π -semi-ample.

Proof. Assume D is π -semi-ample. Then, $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_X(pD)$ is a π -generated line bundle for some $p > 0$. Since Z is affine, for each geometric valuation v of X , there exists a section s of \mathcal{L} that avoids the center of v . Then $\frac{1}{p} \text{div}(s) \in |D|_{\mathbf{Q}}$ has order zero with respect to v , and thus $o_v(D) = 0$.

Now suppose the assumptions in (ii) hold and suppose $o_v(D) = 0$ for all geometric valuations v on X . By Theorem 17.5(iv), there exists a positive integer p such that pD Cartier and such that

$$o_v(pD) = \inf_{E \in |pD|} \{\text{mult}_v(E)\}$$

for all geometric valuations v on X . Since $o_v(pD) = p \cdot o_v(D) = 0$, we see that the center of v is not in $\text{Bs}|pD|$. Since each closed point of X is the center of a geometric valuation (unless $\dim(X) = 0$, in which case the result is trivially true), we see that $\text{Bs}|pD| = \emptyset$ and hence pD is π -generated. \square

Corollary 17.8 (cf. [CL13, Corollary 2]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero, such that X is normal and such that Z is excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let Δ be an effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ) is klt. Let A be a π -nef \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor on X .

Assume that either A is π -ample or Δ is π -big. If $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π -nef, then it is π -semi-ample.

Proof. Being π -semi-ample is local on the base, so we may assume Z affine.

Let H be a π -ample Cartier divisor on X . By Theorem 17.3, the adjoint ring $R = R(X/Z; K_X + \Delta + A, K_X + \Delta + A + H)$ is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. By Corollary 17.6, we have $|K_X + \Delta + A|_{\mathbf{Q}} \neq \emptyset$, and hence $|K_X + \Delta + A + H|_{\mathbf{Q}} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore

$$\text{Supp}(R) \supseteq \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot (K_X + \Delta + A) + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot (K_X + \Delta + A + H).$$

Since $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π -nef, we see $K_X + \Delta + A + \varepsilon H$ is π -ample for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$. Therefore, for each geometric valuation v of X and each $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$, we have $o_v(K_X + \Delta + A + \varepsilon H) = 0$. Since o_v is continuous on $\text{Supp}(R)$ by Theorem 17.5(iii), we see that $o_v(K_X + \Delta + A) = 0$ as well. By Lemma 17.7(ii), we conclude that $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π -semi-ample. \square

18. RATIONALITY, CONE, AND CONTRACTION THEOREMS REVISITED

We now prove the rationality, cone, and contraction theorems, modeled after Kawamata's reformulation [Kaw11] of the statements that appear in [KMM87].

We start with the following preliminary result.

Lemma 18.1 (cf. [CL13, Corollary 3]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes with Z affine. Let D_1, D_2, \dots, D_ℓ be \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors on X . Let*

$$\varphi: \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{R} \cdot D_i \longrightarrow N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$$

be the natural projection map. Assume that the ring $R = R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell)$ is finitely generated over $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. Let $\text{Supp}(R) = \bigsqcup_j \mathcal{C}_j$ be a finite rational polyhedral subdivision such that o_v is a linear function on \mathcal{C}_j for every geometric valuation v of X , as in Theorem 17.5(iii).

Fix an index k . Assume that $\mathcal{C}_k \cap \varphi^{-1}(\text{Amp}(X/Z)) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{C}_k \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\text{Nef}(X/Z))$. If additionally the decomposition $\text{Supp}(R) = \bigsqcup_j \mathcal{C}_j$ is the coarsest subdivision satisfying the hypotheses above, then $\mathcal{C}_k = \text{Supp}(R) \cap \varphi^{-1}(\text{Nef}(X/Z))$, in which case \mathcal{C}_k is convex.

Proof. Note that by Theorem 17.5(iii), all asymptotic order functions o_v are identically zero on \mathcal{C}_k , because they are identically zero on the subset $\mathcal{C}_k \cap \varphi^{-1}(\text{Amp}(X/Z))$, which is nonempty and open in the relative topology of \mathcal{C}_k . By Lemma 17.7(ii), all rational members of \mathcal{C}_k are π -semiample, thus π -nef, and thus all members of \mathcal{C}_k are π -nef since rational members are dense in the rational polyhedron \mathcal{C}_k .

Now suppose that the decomposition $\text{Supp}(R) = \bigsqcup_j \mathcal{C}_j$ is coarsest in the sense stated above. Since all asymptotic order functions o_v are identically zero on every cell \mathcal{C}_j that touches $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Amp}(X/Z))$, if the decomposition is coarsest then $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Amp}(X/Z)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_k$. Since $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Amp}(X/Z)) \neq \emptyset$, every π -nef member of $\text{Supp}(R)$ is a limit of elements of $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Amp}(X/Z))$, and is therefore contained in the closed subset \mathcal{C}_k . Since the other inclusion is already established, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{C}_k = \text{Supp}(R) \cap \varphi^{-1}(\text{Nef}(X/Z)).$$

The statement that \mathcal{C}_k is convex follows from the fact that both $\text{Supp}(R)$ and $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$ are convex. \square

Theorem 18.2 (cf. [CL13, Theorem 4]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero, such that X is normal and such that Z is excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet .*

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(X/Z)$ be the set of classes $\mathbf{u} \in N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$ that satisfies the following condition. There exists an open covering $Z = \cup_a V_a$ such that for each index a , there exists a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor $\Delta_a \geq 0$ on $\pi^{-1}(V_a)$ with $K_{\pi^{-1}(V_a)} + \Delta_a$ \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and $(\pi^{-1}(V_a), \Delta_a)$ klt, a positive real number c_a , and a class $\mathbf{w}_a \in \text{Amp}(\pi^{-1}(V_a)/V_a)$ such that the restriction of \mathbf{u} to $N^1(\pi^{-1}(V_a)/V_a)$ (Lemma 4.18) equals to $c_a[K_{\pi^{-1}(V_a)} + \Delta_a] + \mathbf{w}_a$.

Let $V^\circ = \mathcal{A} \cap \partial \text{Nef}(X/Z)$. We then have the following:

- (i) Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$. There exists a closed convex rational polytope P containing \mathbf{u} in its interior such that $P \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ is a closed convex rational polytope with nonempty interior.
- (ii) For P as in (i), let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m be all the codimension one faces of $P \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ that intersects the interior of P . Then each F_i span a supporting hyperplane (Definition 4.12) of $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$, and $\text{int}(P) \cap \partial \text{Nef}(X/Z) = \text{int}(P) \cap (F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_m)$.
- (iii) Every compact subset of V° is contained in a finite union of supporting hyperplanes.
- (iv) Let D be a \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor on X such that $[D] \in \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$. Then D is π -semi-ample.

Remark 18.3. We do not require any compatibility of the divisors Δ_a and classes \mathbf{w}_a in the definition of \mathcal{A} .

Since $\mathcal{A} \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z) \subseteq \text{Amp}(X/Z) \cup V^\circ$, item (i) (resp. (iv)) is only nontrivial for those \mathbf{u} (resp. $[D]$) in V° . However, $\mathcal{A} \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ behaves better when we pass to an open cover of Z .

Proof. Since $\text{Amp}(X/Z)$ is open and convex, it is clear that \mathcal{A} is open and convex and that

$$\mathcal{A} \cap N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{Q}} = \{a\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \mid a \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}, \mathbf{w} \in \text{Amp}(X/Z) \cap N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{Q}}\}.$$

We first prove (i). By the definition of \mathcal{A} , we can find a finite affine cover V_1, \dots, V_t of Z , a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor $\Delta_a \geq 0$ on $\pi^{-1}(V_a)$ with $K_{\pi^{-1}(V_a)} + \Delta_a$ \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and $(\pi^{-1}(V_a), \Delta_a)$ klt, a positive real number c_a , and a class $\mathbf{w}_a \in \text{Amp}(\pi^{-1}(V_a)/V_a)$ such that the restriction of \mathbf{u} to $N^1(\pi^{-1}(V_a)/V_a)$ equals to $c_a[K_{\pi^{-1}(V_a)} + \Delta_a] + \mathbf{w}_a$.

We use the notations $\rho_a : N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow N^1(\pi^{-1}(V_a)/V_a)_{\mathbf{R}}$ for restriction of divisors. Assume for each a we have a rational polytope P_a in $N^1(\pi^{-1}(V_a)/V_a)_{\mathbf{R}}$ for $\rho_a(\mathbf{u})$ that fulfills (i). If P_0 is any closed convex rational polytope containing \mathbf{u} in its interior, so is $P := P_0 \cap \rho_1^{-1}(P_1) \cap \dots \cap \rho_t^{-1}(P_t)$, and since $\text{Nef}(X/Z) = \cap_a \rho_a^{-1} \text{Nef}(\pi^{-1}(V_a)/V_a)$ (by definition and Lemma 4.18), we see that

$$P \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z) = P_0 \cap \rho_1^{-1}(P_1 \cap \text{Nef}(\pi^{-1}(V_1)/V_1)) \cap \dots \cap \rho_t^{-1}(P_t \cap \text{Nef}(\pi^{-1}(V_t)/V_t))$$

is a closed convex rational polytope. Since P contains $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ in its interior, $\text{int}(P) \cap \text{Amp}(X/Z) \neq \emptyset$, thus $P \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ has nonempty interior.

Thus we may assume Z affine, that there exists a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor $\Delta \geq 0$ on X with $K_X + \Delta$ \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ) klt, and that \mathbf{u} lies in the subset

$$\mathcal{A}_0 := \{c[K_X + \Delta] + \mathbf{w} \mid c \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}, \mathbf{w} \in \text{Amp}(X/Z)\}$$

of $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{A}_0 is open and convex, and that

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \cap N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{Q}} = \{c[K_X + \Delta] + \mathbf{w} \mid c \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}, \mathbf{w} \in \text{Amp}(X/Z) \cap N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{Q}}\}.$$

For a sufficiently small closed convex rational polytope P whose interior $\text{int}(P)$ contains \mathbf{u} , we have $P \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$. Notice again that $P \cap \text{Amp}(X/Z) \neq \emptyset$, as P contains $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ in its interior. Each vertex of P has the form $c[K_X + \Delta] + \mathbf{w} = c([K_X + \Delta] + c^{-1}\mathbf{w})$ where $c \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Amp}(X/Z)$ rational. Therefore, we can find $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, $c_i \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ and π -ample \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisors A_i ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$), such that $c_i[K_X + \Delta + A_i]$ ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$) are the vertices of P . Write $D_i = K_X + \Delta + A_i$.

Consider the adjoint ring

$$R = R(X/Z; D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\ell),$$

which is finitely generated by Theorem 17.3. Every element $\mathbf{x} \in P$ is a convex combination of the classes $c_i[D_i]$, and thus is a $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ -combination of the classes $[D_i]$. In particular, $\text{Supp}(R)$ contains a π -ample divisor since $P \cap \text{Amp}(X/Z) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 17.5(ii), we see that every element $\mathbf{x} \in P \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ is the class of an element of $\text{Supp}(R)$. In other words, if φ is the canonical map from Lemma 18.1, we have $\text{Nef}(X/Z) \cap P \subseteq \varphi(\text{Supp}(R))$.

Let $\text{Supp}(R) = \bigsqcup_j \mathcal{C}_j$ be the coarsest finite rational polyhedral subdivision such that o_v is a linear function on \mathcal{C}_j for every geometric valuation v of X , as in Theorem 17.5(iii). Since $\text{Supp}(R)$

contains a π -ample divisor, there exists an index k with $\mathcal{C}_k \cap \varphi^{-1}(\text{Amp}(X/Z)) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 18.1, the set $\mathcal{C}_k = \varphi^{-1}(\text{Nef}(X/Z))$ is convex, and $\varphi(\mathcal{C}_k) = P \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$, as desired.

We now show (ii). Let W_i be the linear span of F_i . To show W_i is a supporting hyperplane of $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$, it suffices to show $W_i \cap \text{Amp}(X/Z) = \emptyset$. However, since F_i is convex and contained in $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$, we see that $W_i \cap \text{Amp}(X/Z) \neq \emptyset$ will imply $F_i \cap \text{Amp}(X/Z) \neq \emptyset$, which is impossible since F_i is a face of $P \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$, so $F_i \subseteq \partial \text{Nef}(X/Z)$.

This argument also tells us that

$$\text{int}(P) \cap \partial \text{Nef}(X/Z) \supseteq \text{int}(P) \cap (F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \cdots \cup F_m).$$

Conversely, if $x \in \text{int}(P) \cap \partial \text{Nef}(X/Z)$, it is in the boundary of $P \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$, and thus is contained in some F_i . Therefore we get the identity of sets.

Since (iii) follows immediately from (ii), it remains to show (iv). By the discussion above, upon passing to a (finite) affine open covering of Z , we may assume that there exists a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor $\Delta \geq 0$ on X with $K_X + \Delta$ \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ) klt, and our divisor D satisfies $[D] = c[K_X + \Delta] + w$ for some $c \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ and $w \in \text{Amp}(X/Z)$. Therefore the \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor $A := c^{-1}D - K_X - \Delta$ is π -ample. We have that $K_X + \Delta + A = c^{-1}D$ is π -nef, since $[D] \in \text{Nef}(X/Z)$. By Corollary 17.8, we see that $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π -semi-ample and hence so is D . \square

With uniqueness we can prove the following result.

Lemma 18.4 (cf. [KM98, p. 85, Step 9]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero, such that X is normal and such that Z is excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Let W be a supporting hyperplane spanned by a face F as in Theorem 18.2(ii). Then, the extremal ray R dual to W (Definition 4.21) has a good contraction with target Y projective over Z .*

Proof. By Theorem 18.2(i), it is clear that W has a basis consisting of rational members of $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$, and that there exists $[D_1] \in W_{\mathbf{Q}} \cap \mathcal{A}$.

By Remark 4.20, there exists a rational member $[D_0]$ of $W \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ such that

$$R = \{\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z) \mid (D_0 \cdot \gamma) = 0\}.$$

Since $(\text{Nef}(X/Z) \cdot \overline{NE}(X/Z)) \geq 0$, it is clear that

$$R = \{\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z) \mid (D_1 + \varepsilon D_0 \cdot \gamma) = 0\}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$, and we know that for ε rational and sufficiently small, $D_1 + \varepsilon D_0$ is π -semi-ample, by Theorem 18.2(iv).

Fix such an ε and fix an $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $D_2 := m(D_1 + \varepsilon D_0)$ is integral and π -generated. Then $|D_2|$ defines a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_Z(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(D_2))$, and we denote by $f: X \rightarrow Y$ the Stein factorization of this morphism. Then Y is projective over Z , f is proper, $f_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$, and $D_2 \sim f^* A$ for some Cartier divisor A on Y ample over Z .

Since $(D_2 \cdot R) = 0$, D_2 is not π -ample, so f is not an isomorphism and thus there exists an f -contracted curve C . Now $(D_2 \cdot C) = 0$, so $[C] \in R$ and $R = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[C]$. In particular, for each \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor E on Y , we have $(f^* E \cdot R) = 0$.

Conversely, let $D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$ be such that $(D \cdot R) = 0$. Then D and D_2 both induce a linear functional on the real vector space $U := N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}/\mathbf{R}[C]$. The image \mathcal{C} of $\overline{NE}(X/Z)$ in U is a compact cone and D_2 maps $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ to $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$. By local compactness, for sufficiently small $\sigma \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$, $D_2 + \sigma D$ maps $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ to $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ as well. Thus $D_3 := D_2 + \sigma D$ is π -nef,

$$R = \{\gamma \in \overline{NE}(X/Z) \mid (D_3 \cdot \gamma) = 0\},$$

and $[D_3] \in W$ since W is the subspace dual to $\mathbf{R}[C]$. Decreasing σ , we may assume $[D_3] \in \mathcal{A}$, so D_3 is π -semi-ample by Theorem 18.2(iv). By the same argument as that for $D_1 + \varepsilon D_0$, a multiple of D_3 is π -generated and is pulled back from a contraction $f': X \rightarrow Y'$ of R . However, by uniqueness of

contraction (see the proof of Theorem 11.3), this implies that $D_3 \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^* E_3$ for some $E_3 \in \text{Div}_{\mathbf{Q}}(Y)$. Thus $D \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^*(\sigma^{-1}(E_3 - A))$, as desired. \square

Part IV. The relative MMP with scaling for schemes and algebraic spaces

In this part, we establish the existence of flips and termination with scaling for schemes and algebraic spaces using Theorem B. This completes the proof of Theorem A(0). We then give some applications of these results by showing that \mathbf{Q} -factorializations and terminalizations exist, which for simplicity we prove only for schemes.

19. BIRATIONAL CONTRACTIONS AND \mathbf{Q} -FACTORTIALITY

We start by setting up the necessary preliminaries for birational contractions. See [Stacks, Tag 0ED7] for the definition of universally catenary algebraic spaces.

Lemma 19.1 (Negativity Lemma; cf. [BMPSTWW, Lemma 2.16]). *Let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper birational morphism of integral normal quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S that are universally catenary or have dualizing complexes. Let B be a Weil divisor on X such that $[B]$ is the class of an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} . Assume that \mathcal{L}^{-1} is h -nef and that h_*B is effective. Then B is effective.*

Proof. After replacing Y by an étale cover $Y' \rightarrow Y$, we may reduce to the case of schemes. Note that Y' is quasi-excellent by definition, and is moreover excellent either because Y is universally catenary, or because Y' has a dualizing complex. Nefness of \mathcal{L}^{-1} is preserved by Lemma 4.3(i). The effectivity of B can be checked after flat base change.

When Y is a scheme and h is projective, this is [BMPSTWW, Lemma 2.16]. The general case follows from Chow's Lemma [EGAII, Théorème 5.6.1], since we may pass to an affine open cover of Y and pullback along a birational morphism $X' \rightarrow X$. \square

We now characterize the types of contractions that are possible as outputs of Theorem 11.3.

Lemma 19.2 (cf. [KM98, Proposition 2.5]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S with X normal and \mathbf{Q} -factorial. Let $R \subseteq \overline{NE}(X/Z)$ be an extremal ray. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a contraction of R over Z .*

Then, exactly one of the following holds.

- (i) $\dim X > \dim Y$.
- (ii) f is birational, $\text{Ex}(f) \subseteq X$ is a prime divisor.
- (iii) f is birational, $\text{Ex}(f) \subseteq X$ is of codimension ≥ 2 ; i.e., f is small (Definition 11.6).

Proof. It suffices to prove that if f is birational and $\text{Ex}(f) \subseteq X$ contains a prime divisor E , then $\text{Ex}(f) = E$. Fix $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $[nE]$ is the Weil divisor class associated to an invertible sheaf.

Assume not. Then there exists a point $\zeta \in Y$, not necessarily closed, such that

$$\pi^{-1}(\zeta) = \text{Ex}(f) \cap \pi^{-1}(\zeta) \supsetneq E \cap \pi^{-1}(\zeta).$$

By Zariski's Main Theorem, each irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ is positive-dimensional, and at least one of such is not contained in $E \cap \pi^{-1}(\zeta)$. Therefore there exists a one-dimensional integral closed subspace C of $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ that is not contained in $E \cap \pi^{-1}(\zeta)$, so $(nE \cdot C) \geq 0$, where we use Remark 4.11 to make sense of this intersection number.

Since π is projective, the class $[C]$ defined using Lemma 4.5 is nonzero, and it belongs to $\overline{NE}(X/Y)$ by Lemma 4.18. As noted in Definition 11.6, we have $R = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[C]$, thus nE is f -nef. Applying Lemma 19.1 to the divisor $B = -nE$, we get a contradiction. \square

Lemma 19.3 (cf. [KMM87, Lemma 5-1-5 and Proposition 5-1-6; KM98, Corollary 3.18]). *In cases (i) and (ii) in Lemma 19.2, if f is a good contraction then Y is \mathbf{Q} -factorial.*

Proof. In case (ii), the proof is identical to the proof of [KM98, Corollary 3.18].

In case (i), let Y° be the regular locus of Y , which is open since Y is quasi-excellent, and its complement is of codimension at least 2 since Y is normal. Let B be a prime divisor on Y . Then $B \cap Y^\circ$ is a prime divisor on Y° and is Cartier since Y° is regular. Therefore $f^{-1}(B \cap Y^\circ)$ is an effective Cartier divisor of $f^{-1}(Y^\circ)$ and we let D be its closure in X . The class of D is the class associated to a \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf \tilde{D} since X is \mathbf{Q} -factorial. Take $y \in Y^\circ$ not in B , and consider an integral curve $C \subseteq f^{-1}(y)$. As in the proof of Lemma 19.2, C defines a class $[C] \in N_1(X/Y)_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $R = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[C]$. Since $D \cap f^{-1}(Y^\circ) = f^{-1}(B \cap Y^\circ)$, $(\tilde{D} \cdot C) = 0$. Thus $(\tilde{D} \cdot R) = 0$ and $\tilde{D} \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^*E$ for some $E \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(Y)$ as f is a good contraction.

Take $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that mE is integral and $m\tilde{D} \sim f^*(mE)$. Then there exists a global section s of $\mathcal{O}_X(f^*(mE))$ with $\text{div}(s) = mD$. Since $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$, we have a well-defined global section $f_*(s)$ of $\mathcal{O}_Y(mE)$ with $f^{-1}\text{div}(f_*(s)) = mD$. Thus by construction $\text{div}(f_*(s)) \cap Y^\circ = mB \cap Y^\circ$ and $\text{div}(f_*(s)) = mB$ since the complement of Y° is of codimension at least 2. Thus $mB \sim mE$ is Cartier and B is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier. \square

20. EXISTENCE OF FLIPS

In this section, we show that flips exist. To do so, we first define flips.

Definition 20.1 (cf. [KMM87, p. 335]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^!\omega_Z^\bullet$.

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and that (X, Δ) is klt. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a small birational contraction over Z (Definition 11.6) such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is f -ample. A *flip* of f is a proper birational morphism $f^+: X^+ \rightarrow Y$ with the following properties.

- (i) X^+ is normal (and integral).
- (ii) The morphism f^+ is a small contraction.
- (iii) $K_{X^+} + \Delta^+$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and f^+ -ample where Δ^+ is the strict transform of Δ .

Note that since $\text{Ex}(f^+) \subseteq X^+$ is of codimension ≥ 2 , the strict transform operation $D \mapsto D^+$ induces an isomorphism $\text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}(X) \cong \text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}(X^+)$ ($\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}$ or \mathbf{R}) that preserves principal divisors and maps K_X to a canonical divisor of X^+ . Moreover, $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(D) = f^+\mathcal{O}_{X^+}(D^+)$ for all $D \in \text{Div}(X)$. See for example [CL13, Lemma 4(3)].

A birational map $h: X \dashrightarrow X'$ of algebraic spaces over Z is called a *flip* of the pair (X, Δ) if h is isomorphic to the birational map $(f^+)^{-1} \circ f: X \dashrightarrow X^+$ for some f, X^+ as above.

We can now show flips exist. The case for complex quasi-projective varieties is [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.1] (cf. [CL13, Theorem 5]). When X is of finite type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, the three-dimensional case is proved in [Sho96, Log Flip Theorem 6.13] and the general case follows from [VP, Theorem 2.6].

Theorem 20.2. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^!\omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that (X, Δ) is klt. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a small contraction over Z such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is f -ample. Then the following hold.

- (i) *A flip of f is unique up to unique isomorphism.*
- (ii) *If Z is of equal characteristic zero, then the quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra*

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} f_*\mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor m(K_X + \Delta) \rfloor)$$

is of finite type and $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ is a flip of f .

Proof. It is clear that if $f^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Y$ is a flip, then $X^+ \cong \underline{\text{Proj}}(\mathcal{A})$ (see the proof of [KM98, Lemma 6.2]). Thus it suffices to show (ii). Since f is birational, Δ is f -big, thus Theorem 17.4 applies to show \mathcal{A} is of finite type. Thus $X^+ := \underline{\text{Proj}}(\mathcal{A})$ is locally projective, in particular proper, over Y , and X^+ is normal and birational to Y since X is. The proof of the properties (ii) and (iii) as in Definition 20.1 is the same as the proof of [KMM87, Proposition 5-1-11(2)]. \square

Lemma 20.3. *Notations and assumptions in Theorem 20.2. Assume further that f is a good contraction of some extremal ray $R \subseteq \overline{NE}(X/Z)$.*

Let X^+ be a flip of f . Then the following hold.

- (i) X^+ is \mathbf{Q} -factorial if X is.
- (ii) If $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$ is π -nef and satisfies $(D \cdot R) = 0$, then $D \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^*E$ for some $E \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(Y)$ nef over Z .
- (iii) $D \mapsto D^+$ induces an isomorphism $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \cong N^1(X^+/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Proof. Let D be a \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf on X . Since R is a ray, there exists $a \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that

$$(D + a(K_X + \Delta) \cdot R) = 0.$$

Since f is a good contraction, $D + a(K_X + \Delta) \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^*E$ for some $E \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(Y)$. Thus

$$D^+ + a(K_{X^+} + \Delta^+) \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^{*+}E. \quad (25)$$

Since $K_{X^+} + \Delta^+$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier (Definition 20.1(iii)), we see that D^+ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier. Since every \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X^+ is of the form D^+ for some \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor D on X , we see that X^+ is \mathbf{Q} -factorial if X is.

If $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X)$ is π -nef and satisfies $(D \cdot R) = 0$, then $a = 0$, and $D \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^*E$ for some $E \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{Q}}(Y)$. For each $(Y \rightarrow Z)$ -contracted curve C , there exists a π -contracted curve C' such that C' maps finite surjectively to C . We know $(D \cdot C') \geq 0$, thus $(E \cdot C) \geq 0$ and E is nef over Z since C was arbitrary.

Now $[D^+] = [f^{*+}E]$ is nef over Z . If $[D] = 0 \in N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$, then we get $[(-D)^+] = -[D^+]$ nef over Z as well, so $[D^+] = 0$. This shows that $D \mapsto D^+$ induces a linear map $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow N^1(X^+/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$, which is automatically surjective. If $[D^+] = 0 \in N^1(X^+/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$, from the equation (25) and the fact $K_{X^+} + \Delta^+$ ample over Y we see that $a = 0$, so by the same argument we get $[E] = 0 \in N^1(Y/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$ and thus $[D] = [f^*E] = 0 \in N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$. Thus the linear map $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow N^1(X^+/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 20.4 (cf. [KM98, Lemma 3.38]). *Let Y be a quasi-excellent integral Noetherian algebraic space over a scheme S . Let X and X' be algebraic spaces projective over Y that are integral, normal, and birational to Y . Suppose that Y admits a dualizing complex ω_Y^\bullet . Denote by K_X and $K_{X'}$ canonical divisors on X and X' defined using the exceptional pullbacks of ω_Y^\bullet .*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier. Let $\Delta' \geq 0$ be the birational transform of Δ on X' and assume that $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier. Assume that the following hold.

- (i) $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef over Y .
- (ii) $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is nef over Y .

Then for all divisors E over Y , $a(E, X', \Delta') \leq a(E, X, \Delta)$, and if at least one of $K_X + \Delta$ and $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is not numerically trivial over Y , then strict inequality holds for at least one such E .

Proof. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{g'} & X' \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

where g, g' are birational and W is integral and normal. We write

$$K_W \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} g^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_F a(F, X, \Delta) F$$

and

$$K_W \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} g'^*(K_{X'} + \Delta') + \sum_F a(F, X', \Delta') F$$

as usual, so

$$g'^*(K_{X'} + \Delta') - g^*(K_X + \Delta) \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} \sum_F (a(F, X', \Delta') - a(F, X, \Delta)) F.$$

By our assumptions (i) and (ii), $g'^*(K_{X'} + \Delta') - g^*(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef. On the other hand, since Δ' is the birational transform of Δ , $B := -\sum_F (a(F, X', \Delta') - a(F, X, \Delta)) F$ is exceptional over Y . Therefore Lemma 19.1 applies and shows that B is effective, i.e., $a(F, X', \Delta') \leq a(F, X, \Delta)$ for all F in the sum.

Now for each divisor E over Y , we may always find a diagram as above such that E occurs as a prime divisor on W , so $a(E, X', \Delta') \leq a(E, X, \Delta)$.

If at least one of $K_X + \Delta$ and $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is not numerically trivial over Y , then B is not numerically trivial over Y so strict inequality must hold for some F . \square

Corollary 20.5. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and that (X, Δ) is klt (resp. terminal). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational contraction over Z such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is f -ample. Then the followings hold.

- (i) *If $K_Y + f_*\Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier, then $(Y, f_*\Delta)$ is klt (resp. terminal).*
- (ii) *Assume that f is small and assume that a flip (X^+, Δ^+) of f exists. Then (X^+, Δ^+) is klt (resp. terminal).*

Proof. Immediate from definitions and Lemma 20.4. \square

Corollary 20.6. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and that (X, Δ) is klt (resp. terminal). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational contraction over Z such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is f -ample. Then, the following hold.

- (i) *Assume that $K_Y + f_*\Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier. Then $K_Y + f_*\Delta$ is pseudoeffective over Z if and if $K_X + \Delta$ is.*
- (ii) *Assume that f is small and assume that a flip (X^+, Δ^+) of f exists. Then $K_{X^+} + \Delta^+$ is pseudoeffective over Z if and if $K_X + \Delta$ is.*

Proof. In either case we may replace Z by the Stein factorization of $Y \rightarrow Z$. Furthermore, it is clear that taking generic fiber of Z preserves assumptions and conclusions (see Definitions 5.5, 11.6, 20.1), so we may assume that Z is the spectrum of a field.

In case (i), by Lemma 20.4 or rather its proof, we have

$$K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^*(K_Y + f_*\Delta) + E$$

where E is an effective exceptional \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor.

Assume that $K_Y + f_*\Delta$ is pseudoeffective and let D be an ample \mathbf{R} -Cartier divisor on X . We want to show $K_X + \Delta + D$ big.

Let H be an ample divisor on X such that $D - f^*f_*H$ ample. By Lemma 5.12, f_*H is big, thus so is $K_Y + f_*\Delta + f_*H$. For a sufficiently divisible $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ and $C \in |m(K_Y + f_*\Delta + f_*H)|$, we have

$$f^*C \sim mf^*(K_Y + f_*\Delta + f_*H) \sim m(K_X + \Delta - E) + mf^*f_*H.$$

Therefore $\dim|m(K_X + \Delta + f^*f_*H)| \geq \dim|m(K_Y + f_*\Delta + f_*H)|$. This shows that $K_X + \Delta + f^*f_*H$ is big, hence so is $K_X + \Delta + D$.

Conversely, assume that $K_X + \Delta$ is pseudoeffective and let D be an ample \mathbf{R} -Cartier divisor on Y . We want to show $K_Y + f_*\Delta + D$ big. By perturbing the coefficients on D , we may assume D is a \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor. We have

$$f^*(K_Y + f_*\Delta + D) \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_X + \Delta - E + f^*D$$

and thus

$$K_Y + f_*\Delta + D \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f_*(K_X + \Delta + f^*D).$$

Since f^*D is big and $K_X + \Delta$ is pseudoeffective, $K_X + \Delta + f^*D$ is big. By Lemma 5.12 we conclude.

Item (ii) follows immediately from Lemma 5.13. \square

Corollary 20.7. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^!\omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier. Let $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ and

$$X := X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} X_m$$

be a sequence of birational maps over Z such that each X_i is normal. Let Δ_i be the birational transform of Δ on X_i and assume that $K_{X_i} + \Delta_i$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier for all $i \leq m$.

Assume that for each $i < m$, either f_i is a morphism and a contraction with $-(K_{X_i} + \Delta_i)$ f_i -ample, or that f_i is a flip of the pair (X_i, Δ_i) ; and assume that there exists an index $i_0 < m$ such that f_{i_0} is not an isomorphism. Then the composition $X \dashrightarrow X_m$ is not an isomorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 20.4, there exists a divisor E over X_{i_0} such that

$$a(E, X_{i_0}, \Delta_{i_0}) > a(E, X_{i_0+1}, \Delta_{i_0+1}).$$

This divisor defines a divisor over each X_i and we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(E, X, \Delta) &\geq a(E, X_{i_0}, \Delta_{i_0}) \\ a(E, X_{i_0+1}, \Delta_{i_0+1}) &\geq a(E, X_m, \Delta_m) \end{aligned}$$

by the same lemma. Thus $a(E, X, \Delta) > a(E, X_m, \Delta_m)$ and $X \dashrightarrow X_m$ is not an isomorphism. \square

We check that contractions and flips behave well when we pass to an open subset of the base Z . The assumption on Picard groups below is satisfied when, for example, X is integral, normal, and \mathbf{Q} -factorial.

Lemma 20.8. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^!\omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $R \subseteq \overline{NE}(X/Z)$ be an extremal ray and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a contraction of R . Let W be an open subspace of Z and denote by \square_W the base change of a Z -space or a Z -morphism \square to W .

Assume that $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_W)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is surjective and that $f_W: X_W \rightarrow Y_W$ is not an isomorphism. Then f_W is a contraction of an extremal ray $R^W \subseteq \overline{NE}(X_W/W)$. Moreover, if f is a good contraction of R , f_W is also a good contraction of R^W .

Proof. Since $f_W: X_W \rightarrow Y_W$ is not an isomorphism, there exists a closed point $z \in W$ such that $\text{Ex}(f) \subset X$ intersects the fiber $f^{-1}(z)$. In particular, $R^W := \overline{NE}(X_W/Y_W)$ is nontrivial. Since $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_W)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is surjective, $N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow N^1(X_W/W)_{\mathbf{R}}$ is also surjective, thus the canonical map $N_1(X_W/W)_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow N_1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$ is injective. By Lemma 4.18, R^W is sent into $\overline{NE}(X/Y)$, which equals to R as noticed in Definition 11.6. Thus R^W is a ray, and it is clear that f_W is the contraction of R^W .

Now assume that f is a good contraction and let \mathcal{L}^W be an element in $\text{Pic}(X_W)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. If we can write $\mathcal{L}^W = f^*(\mathcal{K}^W) \in \text{Pic}(X_W)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for some $\mathcal{K}^W \in \text{Pic}(Y_W)_{\mathbf{Q}}$, then $(\mathcal{L}^W \cdot R^W) = 0$ by the definition of R^W . Thus it suffices to show the converse.

Since $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_W)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is surjective, there exists $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{X_W} = \mathcal{L}^W$. Now, if $(\mathcal{L}^W \cdot R^W) = 0$, then $(\mathcal{L} \cdot R) = 0$ since R is a ray, and thus there exists $\mathcal{K} \in \text{Pic}(Y)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = f^*\mathcal{K} \in \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Thus $\mathcal{L}^W = f^*(\mathcal{K}|_{Y_W}) \in \text{Pic}(X_W)_{\mathbf{Q}}$, as desired. \square

We now prove two lemmas that are important to the proof of termination. The first one is about the asymptotic order of vanishing (Definition 9.2).

Lemma 20.9. *Let $\pi_i: X_i \rightarrow Z$ ($i = 1, 2$) be two proper morphisms of Noetherian schemes, such that X_1 and X_2 are integral and normal and Z is affine. Let $g: X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$ be a birational map over Z that is an isomorphism in codimension 1.*

*Let v be a geometric valuation on X_1 (Definition 9.1). Then v induces canonically a geometric valuation g_*v on X_2 , and for each \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor D on X_1 with $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$, we have $|g_*D|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$ and $o_v(D) = o_{g_*v}(g_*D)$.*

Proof. By definition, v is given by a prime divisor Γ in a scheme Y birational and proper over X_1 . By taking a resolution of the composition $Y \rightarrow X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$ we find g_*v .

It is clear that for each effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor E on X_1 , we have $v(E) = g_*v(g_*E)$. If D is an \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X_1 with $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \emptyset$, g_* induces a bijection $|D|_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow |g_*D|_{\mathbf{R}}$, thus by definition $o_v(D) = o_{g_*v}(g_*D)$. \square

The second is about a sufficient condition for a birational map to be a morphism.

Lemma 20.10 (cf. [CL13, Lemma 6]). *Let $\pi_i: X_i \rightarrow Z$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ be two proper morphisms of excellent Noetherian schemes, such that X_1 and X_2 are integral and normal. Let $g: X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$ be a birational map over Z that is an isomorphism in codimension 1.*

*Assume that there exists a π_1 -ample effective \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor A on X_1 such that the birational transform $B := g_*A$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and π_2 -nef. Then g^{-1} is a morphism.*

Proof. By taking the normalization of the fiber product $X_1 \times_Z X_2$, there exists an integral normal scheme W with proper birational morphisms $h_i: W \rightarrow X_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $g = h_2 \circ h_1^{-1}$ as rational maps. Since $B = g_*A$ and since g is an isomorphism in codimension 1, the h_1 -exceptional divisors are exactly the h_2 -exceptional divisors and we can write $h_2^*B + E = h_1^*A + F$ where E, F are h_1 -exceptional divisors. Since B is π_2 -nef, h_2^*B is h_1 -nef and thus so is $F - E = h_2^*B - h_1^*A$. By Lemma 19.1 we see $E - F$ is effective, and by the same reason $F - E$ is effective. Thus $h_2^*B = h_1^*A$.

Since A is π_1 -ample, we see that every h_2 -contracted curve on W must be h_1 -contracted. By Lemma 11.2, we see that every fiber of h_2 is mapped to a point under h_1 , so there exists a continuous map of topological spaces $u: X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ compatible with h_1 and h_2 . Since $\mathcal{O}_{X_i} = h_{i*}\mathcal{O}_W$, this continuous map upgrades to a morphism of schemes and is the inverse of g as a rational map. \square

21. EXISTENCE AND TERMINATION OF THE RELATIVE MMP WITH SCALING

In this section, following [CL13], we prove the termination of MMP under suitable assumptions.

Definition 21.1 (cf. [CL13, Definition 6.1]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S .*

Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and such that (X, Δ) is klt. For a \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf D on X , the π -nef threshold of the pair (X, Δ) with respect to D is

$$\lambda(X/Z, \Delta, D) := \inf\{t \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mid K_X + \Delta + tD \text{ is } \pi\text{-nef}\} \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

We now introduce a concept for the scaling divisor similar to that in [CL13]. Note that in item (iii) we need to pass to an open covering of the base, since we do not assume Z affine. Even if Z was affine, we still need to pass to an open covering since we do not have a global Bertini theorem.

Definition 21.2. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and such that (X, Δ) is klt. We say a \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf A on X is a *good scaling divisor for the pair (X, Δ)* , if the following conditions hold.

- (i) A is π -big.
- (ii) $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π -nef.
- (iii) There exists an étale covering $Z = \bigcup_a V_a$ and \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisors $A_a \in |A|_{\pi^{-1}(V_a)}|_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $(\pi^{-1}(V_a), \Delta|_{\pi^{-1}(V_a)} + A_a)$ is klt.

It is clear that base change to an open subset of the base preserves this property.

The following lemma tells us that it is always possible to find a good scaling divisor.

Lemma 21.3. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that Z admits a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and such that (X, Δ) is klt. Let A be a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π -nef. Then A is a good scaling divisor for the pair (X, Δ) . Moreover, if Z is a scheme, then the cover in (iii) can be chosen to be an affine cover.

Proof. Items (i) and (ii) in Definition 21.2 are clear. (iii) follows from Corollary 10.4 after passing to an étale cover by affine schemes. When Z is a scheme, we can instead choose an open cover by affine schemes. \square

We now prepare to prove the existence of the minimal model program with scaling. We start with the following definition, which is a version of a condition stated in Theorem 18.2 for algebraic spaces.

Definition 21.4. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S , such that X is integral and normal and such that Z is quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$. We define $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(X/Z)$ to be the set of classes $\mathbf{u} \in N^1(X/Z)_{\mathbf{R}}$ that satisfies the following condition:

There exists an étale covering $Z = \bigcup_a V_a$ such that for each index a , there exists a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor $\Delta_a \geq 0$ on $\pi^{-1}(V_a)$ with $K_{\pi^{-1}(V_a)} + \Delta_a$ \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and $(\pi^{-1}(V_a), \Delta_a)$ klt, a positive real number c_a , and a class $\mathbf{w}_a \in \text{Amp}(\pi^{-1}(V_a)/V_a)$ such that the restriction of \mathbf{u} to $N^1(\pi^{-1}(V_a)/V_a)$ (Lemma 4.18) equals to $c_a[K_{\pi^{-1}(V_a)} + \Delta_a] + \mathbf{w}_a$.

Lemma 21.5. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S , such that X is integral and normal and such that Z is quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and such that (X, Δ) is klt. Assume that $K_X + \Delta$ is not π -nef, and let A be a good scaling divisor for the pair (X, Δ) . Let $\lambda \in [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbf{R}$. Then, the class $\mathbf{u} := [K_X + \Delta + \lambda A]$ belongs to the set \mathcal{A} as in Definition 21.4, and we can further require that the numbers $c_a = 1$.

Proof. Passing to an affine étale covering of Z , we may assume that Z is an affine scheme, and that

$$A \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (X, \Delta + A) \text{ is klt.} \quad (26)$$

Write $A = H + E$, where H is a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor and $E \geq 0$. This is possible by Lemma 5.17. Choose $\epsilon \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ such that $\epsilon < \lambda$ and that $(X, A + \Delta + \epsilon E)$ klt, which is possible by Lemma 6.9(iii), since log resolutions exist for excellent \mathbf{Q} -schemes [Tem08, Theorem 2.3.6]; and we choose $\delta \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ such that $\lambda - \epsilon - \delta \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ and that $\epsilon H + \delta A$ is π -ample. Set $\Delta' = \Delta + (\lambda - \epsilon - \delta)A + \epsilon E$ and $H' = \epsilon H + \delta A$. Then, by our choice (and Lemma 6.9(i)), H' is a π -ample \mathbf{R} -divisor, Δ' is an effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor with $K_X + \Delta'$ \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ') klt and we have

$$K_X + \Delta + \lambda A = K_X + \Delta + \epsilon E + (\lambda - \epsilon)A + \epsilon H = K_X + \Delta' + H',$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 21.6 (cf. [KM98, §3.1; CL13, Lemma 8]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S , such that X is integral and normal and such that Z is quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and such that (X, Δ) is klt. Assume that $K_X + \Delta$ is not π -nef, and let A be a good scaling divisor for the pair (X, Δ) . Let $\lambda = \lambda(X/Z, \Delta, A)$ be the π -nef threshold. Then, $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$, and there exists an extremal ray $R \subseteq \overline{\text{NE}}(X/Z)$ with a good contraction with target projective over Z , and satisfies $(K_X + \Delta + \lambda A) \cdot R = 0$ and $(K_X + \Delta) \cdot R \setminus \{0\} < 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 21.5, $\mathbf{u} := [K_X + \Delta + \lambda A]$ belongs to the set \mathcal{A} as in Definition 21.4. By the definition of λ , $\mathbf{u} \in \partial \text{Nef}(X/Z)$, so we can apply the Cone Theorem 11.8 (or Theorem 18.2(i)(ii) in the scheme case) to conclude that there exist finitely many rational supporting hyperplanes W_1, \dots, W_m of $\text{Nef}(X/Z)$ cutting out closed half-spaces W_1^+, \dots, W_m^+ such that, for some small open rational polytope P containing \mathbf{u} , $P \cap \text{Nef}(X/Z) = P \cap (W_1^+ \cup \dots \cup W_m^+)$. Since the spaces W_i are rational, it is now clear that $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}$ by the definition, and $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ since $K_X + \Delta$ is not π -nef.

Finally, we show the existence of a desired ray R . Shrinking P if necessary, we may assume $\mathbf{u} \in W_i$ for all i . Since $\mathbf{u} - \sigma[A] \notin \text{Nef}(X/Z)$ for all $\sigma \in (0, \lambda)$ by the definition of λ , we see that $-[A] \notin W_i^+$ for some i . We may thus take R to be the extremal ray dual to W_i , see Definition 4.19. Then R is an extremal ray and $(K_X + \Delta + \lambda A) \cdot R = 0$ since $\mathbf{u} \in W_i$. Since $-[A] \notin W_i^+$, $A \cdot R > 0$, so $(K_X + \Delta) \cdot R < 0$. The fact R has a good contraction with projective target follows from Lemma 18.4. \square

We can now prove the existence of the relative minimal model program with scaling. By Lemma 21.3, this implies the existence part of Theorem A(0).

Theorem 21.7. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S , such that X is integral and normal and such that Z is quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_X a canonical divisor on X defined using $\omega_X^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Suppose X is \mathbf{Q} -factorial and let Δ be a \mathbf{Q} -divisor such that (X, Δ) is klt. Let A be a good scaling divisor for (X, Δ) . Then, the relative minimal model program with scaling of A over Z exists.

Proof. First, find a ray R as in Lemma 21.6, and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a good contraction of R .

If $\dim Y < \dim X$, we do nothing further and say that the minimal model program of (X, Δ) over Z with the scaling of A terminates with a Mori fibration.

Otherwise f is birational. By Lemma 19.2, $\text{Ex}(h) \subseteq X$ is either a prime divisor, in which case we let $X' = Y$, or is of codimension ≥ 2 , in which case we let X' be a flip of h , which exists (Theorem 20.2(ii)).

Denote by h' and π' the maps from X' to Y and Z respectively. Let $K_{X'}$ be the birational transform of K_X on X' , which is a canonical divisor of X' ; let Δ' and A' be the birational transforms of Δ and A respectively.

We note that Y is projective over Z , hence so is X' ; X' is integral and normal, see Definitions 11.6 and 20.1; X' is \mathbf{Q} -factorial, see Lemmas 19.3 and 20.3. The pair (X', Δ') is klt by Corollary 20.5.

We now verify that $\lambda A'$ is a good scaling divisor for the pair (X', Δ') . Since A is π -big, so is λA , and we see that $\lambda A'$ is π' -big from Lemma 5.12. We know that $K_X + \Delta + \lambda A \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} h^*E$ for some effective \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor E on Y , so $K_{X'} + \Delta' + \lambda A' \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} h'^*E$ and therefore $K_{X'} + \Delta' + \lambda A'$ is π' -nef.

It remains to verify (iii) in Definition 21.2. Notice that birational transform preserves \mathbf{Q} -linear equivalence, thus after passing to an étale covering of Z , we may assume $A \geq 0$ and $(X, \Delta + A)$ klt, and thus $(X, \Delta + \lambda A)$ is klt. By construction, $K_X + \Delta + \lambda A$ is h -numerically trivial, and $K_{X'} + \Delta' + \lambda A'$ is h' -numerically trivial. By Lemma 20.4, $a(E, X', \Delta' + \lambda A') \leq a(E, X, \Delta + \lambda A)$ for all divisors E over Y and thus $(X', \Delta' + \lambda A')$ is klt, as desired.

Therefore, the new datum $(X', \Delta', \lambda A')$ satisfies the same assumptions as the datum (X, Δ, A) , except that it is now possible (and desirable) that $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is π' -nef, in which case we say that the minimal model program of (X, Δ) over Z with the scaling of A terminates with a minimal model. Otherwise, we start over with $(X', \Delta', \lambda A')$. If, after finitely many steps, we arrive at the situation $\dim X > \dim Y$ (resp. $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is π' -nef), we say the minimal model program of (X, Δ) over Z with the scaling of A terminates with a Mori fibration (resp. a minimal model).

Otherwise, we will get an infinite sequence

$$(X_1, \Delta_1, \lambda_1 A_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} (X_i, \Delta_i, \lambda_i A_i) \xrightarrow{f_i} (X_{i+1}, \Delta_{i+1}, \lambda_{i+1} A_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \cdots$$

where $X_1 = X$, Δ_i and A_i are the birational transforms of Δ, A respectively, $(X_i, \Delta_i, \lambda_{i-1} A_i)$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 21.6, $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i-1} \cdot \lambda(X_i/Z, \Delta_i, \lambda_{i-1} A_i) \leq \lambda_{i-1}$, and f_i is either a birational contraction or a flip corresponding to a ray as in Lemma 21.6.

Since the number $\dim(N^1(X_i/Z)_{\mathbf{R}})$ decreases for a (good) contraction f_i (Definition 11.6) and remains unchanged for a flip f_i (Lemma 20.3(iii)), we see that all but finitely many f_i are flips. \square

We now prove that a sequence of flips always terminates with additional bigness conditions. By Lemma 21.3, this completes the proof of Theorem A(0).

Theorem 21.8 (cf. [CL13, Theorem 6]). *Let $\pi_1: X_1 \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S , such that X_1 is integral, normal, and \mathbf{Q} -factorial, and such that Z is quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_{X_1} a canonical divisor on X_1 defined using $\omega_{X_1}^\bullet = \pi_1^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let Δ_1 be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X_1 such that (X_1, Δ_1) is klt. Let A_1 be a good scaling divisor for the pair (X_1, Δ_1) , and let $\lambda_1 = \lambda(X_1, \Delta_1, A_1)$. Assume that $cK_{X_1} + \Delta_1$ is π_1 -big for some rational number $c \in (-\infty, 1]$. Then, any sequence

$$(X_1, \Delta_1, \lambda_1 A_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} (X_i, \Delta_i, \lambda_i A_i) \xrightarrow{f_i} (X_{i+1}, \Delta_{i+1}, \lambda_{i+1} A_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \cdots$$

of flips of the Minimal Model Program with scaling of A_1 terminates.

Proof. If the sequence of flips does not terminate, we can find an étale affine $W \rightarrow Z$ such that, denoting by U_i the base change $X_i \times_Z W$, the birational map $f_{i|U_i} : U_i \dashrightarrow U_{i+1}$ is not an isomorphism (thus a flip of a suitable contraction, see Lemma 20.8) for infinitely many i . By Lemma 21.5, $[K_{X_1} + \Delta_1 + \lambda_1 A_1]$ belongs to the set $\mathcal{A}(X_1/Z)$ as in Definition 21.4, and we may require the numbers $c_a = 1$. Therefore, after possibly shrinking W , we may assume that there exists a \mathbf{R} -divisor $\Delta'_1 \geq 0$ and a π_{U_1} -ample \mathbf{R} -divisor H'_1 on U_1 such that (U_1, Δ'_1) is klt and $[K_{U_1} + \Delta_{1|U_1} + \lambda_1 A_{1|U_1}] = [K_{X_1} + \Delta'_1 + H'_1] \in N^1(U_1/V)_{\mathbf{R}}$. Since $K_{U_1} + \Delta_{1|U_1} + \lambda_1 A_{1|U_1}$ is a \mathbf{Q} -divisor by Lemma 21.6, we may assume that Δ'_1 and H'_1 are \mathbf{Q} -divisors.

We may find \mathbf{Q} -divisors D_1^1, \dots, D_1^m on U_1 such that the convex hull P_1 of $\{[D_1^1], \dots, [D_1^m]\}$ is a rational polytope containing $[K_{U_1} + \Delta_{1|U_1} + \lambda_1 A_{1|U_1}]$ in its interior and is contained in \mathcal{A} , and that $D_1^a - K_{U_1} - \Delta'_1$ is ample for all indices a . Let $R_1 := R(U_1/V; K_{U_1} + \Delta_{1|U_1}, D_1^1, \dots, D_1^m)$. By Theorem 17.3, R_1 is finitely generated over $H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$.

Write $g_i = f_{i|U_i} \circ \dots \circ f_{1|U_1} : U_1 \dashrightarrow U_{i+1}$ ($i \geq 0$), $D_{i+1}^a = g_{i*} D_1^a$ and $R_i = R(U_{i+1}/V; K_{U_i} + \Delta_{i|U_i}, D_i^1, \dots, D_i^m)$. Then each g_i induces an isomorphism $R_1 \cong R_{i+1}$, so each R_i is finitely generated over $H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$. Put $V_i = \mathbf{R}(K_{U_i} + \Delta_{i|U_i}) + \sum_a \mathbf{R}D_i^a$, so we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{f_{i-1,*}} & V_i & \xrightarrow{f_{i*}} & V_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{i+1,*}} & \dots \\ \downarrow \varphi_1 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_i & & \downarrow \varphi_{i+1} & & \\ N^1(U_1/W)_{\mathbf{R}} & \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{f_{i-1,*}} & N^1(U_i/W)_{\mathbf{R}} & \xrightarrow{f_{i*}} & N^1(U_{i+1}/W)_{\mathbf{R}} & \xrightarrow{f_{i+1,*}} & \dots \end{array}$$

in which φ_i are the canonical maps as in Lemma 18.1, and f_j by abuse of notation means $f_{j|U_j}$. Notice that by Lemma 20.3, the horizontal arrows are all isomorphisms of real vector spaces.

Let Q_i be the convex hull of $\{[K_{U_i} + \Delta_{i|U_i}], [D_i^1], \dots, [D_i^m]\}$. Then by construction, Q_1 contains $[K_{U_1} + \Delta_{1|U_1} + \lambda A_{1|U_1}]$ in its interior for all positive $\lambda \leq \lambda_1$, thus Q_i contains $[K_{U_i} + \Delta_{i|U_i} + \lambda_i A_{i|U_i}] \in \text{Nef}(U_i/W)$ in its interior. Therefore $Q_i \cap \text{Amp}(U_i/W) \neq \emptyset$, so $\text{Supp}(R_i) \cap \text{Amp}(U_i/W) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\text{Supp}(R_1) = \sqcup_p \mathcal{C}_1^p$ be the coarsest subdivision into rational polyhedral cones such that o_v is linear on each \mathcal{C}_1^p , see Theorem 17.5(iii). Write $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}^p = g_{i*} \mathcal{C}_1^p$, we see from Lemma 20.9 that $\text{Supp}(R_i) = \sqcup_p \mathcal{C}_i^p$ is the coarsest subdivision into rational polyhedral cones such that o_v is linear on each \mathcal{C}_i^p . Now since $\text{Supp}(R_i) \cap \text{Amp}(U_i/W) \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 18.1 we see that for each i there exists a p_i such that $\varphi_i^{-1}(\text{Nef}(X_i/Z)) \cap \text{Supp}(R_i) = \mathcal{C}_i^{p_i}$. Since there exists only finitely many indices p , there exists an i and infinitely many $j > i \geq 1$ such that $p_j = p_i$. We pick a j such that there exists $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $i \leq k < j$ with $f_{k|U_k}$ not an isomorphism.

Since $p_i = p_j$, there exists a π -ample \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor H_i on U_i and a π -nef \mathbf{Q} -Cartier divisor H_j on U_j such that H_j is the birational transform of H_i . By Lemma 20.10, the rational map $f_{j-1|U_{j-1}} \circ \dots \circ f_{i|U_i} : U_i \dashrightarrow U_j$ is a morphism. By symmetry, we have that $f_{i|U_i}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{j-1|U_{j-1}}^{-1} : U_j \dashrightarrow U_i$ is a morphism as well. By [CL13, Lemma 7], they are isomorphisms inverse to each other. However, $f_{j-1|U_{j-1}} \circ \dots \circ f_{i|U_i}$ is a composition of several isomorphisms and at least one flip, so it cannot be an isomorphism by Corollary 20.7, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 21.9 (cf. [CL13, Corollary 4]). *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S , such that X is integral, normal and \mathbf{Q} -factorial and such that Z is quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_{X_1} a canonical divisor on X_1 defined using $\omega_{X_1}^\bullet = \pi^1 \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and such that (X, Δ) is klt. Let A be a good scaling divisor for the pair (X, Δ) . Assume that $cK_X + \Delta$ is π -big for some rational number $c \in (-\infty, 1]$. Then, the following hold.

- (i) If $K_X + \Delta$ is π -pseudoeffective then any process of the Minimal Model Program of the pair (X, Δ) over Z with the scaling of A terminates with a minimal model.
- (ii) If $K_X + \Delta$ is not π -pseudoeffective, then any process of the Minimal Model Program of the pair (X, Δ) over Z with the scaling of A terminates with a Mori fibration.

Proof. At the end of the proof of Theorem 21.7, we have noticed that if the process does not terminate, we will have an infinite sequence of flips. Our assumption and Theorem 21.8 ensures that such an infinite sequence cannot exist, so the process terminates.

Since whether or not $K_X + \Delta$ is π -pseudoeffective will not change in the process (Corollary 20.6), we see that if the process terminates and $K_X + \Delta$ is π -pseudoeffective (resp. not π -pseudoeffective) then the process terminates with a minimal model (resp. Mori fibration), as desired. \square

Corollary 21.10 (cf. [CL13, Corollary 5]). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of Noetherian algebraic spaces of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S , such that X is integral, normal and \mathbf{Q} -factorial and such that Z is quasi-excellent and has a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet . Denote by K_{X_1} a canonical divisor on X_1 defined using $\omega_{X_1}^\bullet = \pi^! \omega_Z^\bullet$.*

Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and such that (X, Δ) is klt. Let A be a good scaling divisor for the pair (X, Δ) . If $K_X + \Delta$ is not π -pseudoeffective, then any process of the Minimal Model Program of the pair (X, Δ) over Z with the scaling of A terminates with a Mori fibration.

Proof. Reasoning as in the proof of Corollary 21.9, it suffices to show any sequence of flips

$$(X_1, \Delta_1, \lambda_1 A_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} (X_i, \Delta_i, \lambda_i A_i) \xrightarrow{f_i} (X_{i+1}, \Delta_{i+1}, \lambda_{i+1} A_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \cdots$$

as in the proof of Theorem 21.7 terminates, and as in the proof of Theorem 21.8, we may replace Z by any étale affine whose image in Z intersects $\pi(X)$. By Condition (iii) in Definition 21.2, we may thus assume that there exists $A' \in |A|_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $(X, \Delta + A')$ is klt. Let $A'_i \in |A_i|_{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the birational transform of A' on X_i .

Let $\mu \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ be such that $K_X + \Delta + \mu A$ not π -pseudoeffective. We know from Lemma 5.13 that $K_{X_i} + \Delta_i + \mu A_i$ is not pseudoeffective over Z for each i , so $\lambda_i > \mu$. The divisor $K_{X_i} + \Delta_i + \mu A'_i$ is \mathbf{Q} -linearly equivalent to the combination $(1-r)(K_{X_i} + \Delta_i) + r(K_{X_i} + \Delta_i + \lambda_i A_i)$ where $r = r_i := \frac{\mu}{\lambda_i} \in (0, 1)$. Thus the sequence of flips of concern is also a sequence of flips for the pair $(X, \Delta + \mu A')$ with the scaling of $(1-\mu)A$, in symbols

$$\left(X_1, \Delta_1 + \mu A'_1, \frac{\lambda_1 - \mu}{1 - \mu} (1 - \mu) A_1 \right) \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} \cdots \left(X_i, \Delta_i + \mu A'_i, \frac{\lambda_i - \mu}{1 - \mu} (1 - \mu) A_i \right) \xrightarrow{f_i} \cdots$$

Such a sequence terminates by Theorem 21.8 (with $c = 0$), as $\Delta + \mu A'$ is π -big. \square

22. EXISTENCE OF \mathbf{Q} -FACTORIALIZATIONS AND TERMINALIZATIONS FOR SCHEMES

In this section, we show that \mathbf{Q} -factorializations and terminalizations exist for klt pairs. For simplicity, we restrict to the case of schemes.

Theorem 22.1. *Let X be an integral normal Noetherian scheme that has a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet with associated canonical divisor K_X . Let Δ an effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{R} -Cartier and (X, Δ) is klt.*

Assume that X is an excellent scheme of equal characteristic zero. Let $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective log resolution, and let \mathcal{E} be a set of g -exceptional prime divisors with negative discrepancy with respect to (X, Δ) . Then, there exists a projective birational morphism $h: Z \rightarrow X$ with Z \mathbf{Q} -factorial, such that the h -exceptional prime divisors are exactly the birational transforms of divisors in the set \mathcal{E} .

Proof. By [Kol13, Proposition 2.21], there exists an effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor Δ' on X such that the support of Δ and Δ' are the same, that $K_X + \Delta'$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ') is klt, and that each divisor in \mathcal{E} has negative discrepancy with respect to the pair (X, Δ') . We may thus replace Δ by Δ' and assume our Δ is a \mathbf{Q} -divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier.

Write

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} g^*(K_X + \Delta) + \Gamma$$

where

$$\Delta_Y = \sum_{E \subset Y, a(E, X, \Delta) \leq 0} -a(E, X, \Delta)E.$$

and

$$\Gamma = \sum_{E \subset Y, a(E, X, \Delta) > 0} a(E, X, \Delta)E.$$

Since (X, Δ) is klt, the coefficients of Δ_Y are less than 1, so (Y, Δ_Y) is klt by [Kol13, Corollary 2.13].

Let F be the sum of the g -exceptional prime divisors not in \mathcal{E} , so F is an effective Cartier divisor on Y since Y is regular. Let $\epsilon \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ be sufficiently small such that $(Y, \Delta_Y + \epsilon F)$ is klt, see Lemma 6.9(iii).

There exists a g -ample Cartier divisor A on Y , and Y is \mathbf{Q} -factorial since it is regular, so we may run the MMP for the pair $(Y, \Delta_Y + \epsilon F)$ over X with the scaling of A , see Theorem 21.7 and Lemma 21.3. Since g is birational, Δ_Y is g -big and $K_Y + \Delta_Y + \epsilon F$ is g -pseudoeffective, so the MMP terminates with a minimal model $h : (Z, \varphi_*(\Delta_Y + \epsilon F)) \rightarrow X$ where $\varphi : Y \dashrightarrow Z$ is a composition of divisorial contractions and flips, see Corollary 21.9(i). Note that h is projective and Z is \mathbf{Q} -factorial, as noted in the proof of Theorem 21.7.

Since the rational map $\varphi^{-1} : Z \dashrightarrow Y$ does not contract any divisor, we see that h -exceptional divisors are birational transforms of g -exceptional divisors, and

$$K_Z + \varphi_*(\Delta_Y + \epsilon F) \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} h^*(K_X + \Delta) + \varphi_*(\Gamma + \epsilon F).$$

As h is a minimal model, we see $\varphi_*(\Gamma + \epsilon F)$ is h -nef. By Lemma 19.1, we have $\varphi_*(\Gamma + \epsilon F) = 0$. By the definition of F , this means that all g -exceptional prime divisors not in \mathcal{E} are contracted by φ .

It now suffices to show that no divisor in \mathcal{E} is contracted by φ . Assume not. Then there is a step $\psi_j : Y_j \rightarrow Y_{j+1}$ of the MMP that is a divisorial contraction, and the divisor E_j contracted is the birational transform of some $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Denote by $\varphi_j : Y \dashrightarrow Y_j$ the rational map coming from the previous steps of the MMP. Then φ_j^{-1} does not contract any divisor, and

$$K_{Y_j} + (\varphi_j)_*(\Delta_j + \epsilon F) \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} h_j^*(K_X + \Delta) + (\varphi_j)_*(\Gamma + \epsilon F),$$

where h_j is the map from the X -scheme Y_j to X . Since ψ_j is a step of the MMP, we know that $-(K_{Y_j} + (\varphi_j)_*(\Delta_j + \epsilon F))$ is ψ_j -ample, so $-(\varphi_j)_*(\Gamma + \epsilon F)$ is ψ_j -ample. Since Y_j is \mathbf{Q} -factorial, $-(\varphi_j)_*(\Gamma + \epsilon F) + \sigma E_j$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and ψ_j -ample for sufficiently small $\sigma \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$. Lemma 19.1 applies and we see $(\varphi_j)_*(\Gamma + \epsilon F) - \sigma E_j$ is effective, so E_j is a component of $(\varphi_j)_*(\Gamma + \epsilon F)$, thus $E \in \mathcal{E}$ is a component of $\Gamma + \epsilon F$, contradiction. \square

Definition 22.2. Let X be an integral normal Noetherian scheme. A \mathbf{Q} -factorialization of X is an integral \mathbf{Q} -factorial Noetherian scheme Y together with a proper birational morphism $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that no prime divisor on Y is g -exceptional.

Corollary 22.3. Let X be an integral normal Noetherian scheme that has a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet with associated canonical divisor K_X . Let Δ an effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{R} -Cartier and (X, Δ) is klt. Assume that X is an excellent scheme of equal characteristic zero. Then, there exists a projective \mathbf{Q} -factorialization $h : Z \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Let $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution constructed by blowing up regular centers, which exists by [Tem18, Theorem 1.1.6], so g is projective. Now take \mathcal{E} to be the empty set in Theorem 22.1. The resulting $h: Z \rightarrow X$ has no exceptional divisors, and Z is \mathbf{Q} -factorial, as desired. \square

Definition 22.4. Let X be an integral normal Noetherian scheme that has a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet with associated canonical divisor K_X . Let Δ be an effective \mathbf{Q} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{Q} -Cartier and (X, Δ) is klt.

A *terminalization of the pair* (X, Δ) is a terminal pair (Y, Δ_Y) together with a proper birational morphism $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $g_*\Delta_Y = \Delta$ and that $g^*(K_X + \Delta) \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} K_Y + \Delta_Y$. The condition is equivalent to saying that $a(E, X, \Delta) \leq 0$ for all prime divisors $E \subset Y$, and that the pair

$$\left(Y, \sum_{E \subset Y} -a(E, X, \Delta)E \right)$$

is terminal.

Lemma 22.5. *Let X be an integral normal Noetherian scheme that has a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet with associated canonical divisor K_X . Let Δ an effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{R} -Cartier and (X, Δ) is klt. For an integral normal scheme Y proper birational over X , we set*

$$\Delta_Y = \sum_{E \subset Y, a(E, X, \Delta) \leq 0} -a(E, X, \Delta)E.$$

Assume that X is an excellent scheme of equal characteristic zero. Then there exists a log resolution $g: Y \rightarrow X$ constructed by blowing up regular centers such that components of Δ_Y are disjoint. Moreover, for any such resolution, the following hold:

- (i) (Y, Δ_Y) is terminal.
- (ii) For every proper birational map $Y' \rightarrow X$ and every prime Weil divisor E' on Y' , if $a(E', X, \Delta) < 0$, then E' is not contracted by the rational map $Y' \dashrightarrow Y$.

Proof. Let $g_0: Y_0 \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution constructed by blowing up regular centers, which exists by [Tem18, Theorem 1.1.6]. All coefficients of Δ_{Y_0} are less than 1 since (X, Δ) is klt, so $\delta_0 := 1 - \max\{\text{coefficients of } \Delta_{Y_0}\} > 0$.

If $t \geq 2$ components E_1, \dots, E_t with coefficients a_1, \dots, a_t meet and no other component of Δ_{Y_0} meet with $Z := E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_t$, we consider the blow up $Y_1 = \text{Bl}_Z Y_0$. Note that Z is a regular scheme of equidimension $(\dim Y_0 - t)$ and may have several connected components.

The preimage of each connected component C of Z in Y_1 is a prime divisor E_C , and

$$a(E_C, X, \Delta) \leq a(E_C, Y_0, \Delta_{Y_0}) = 1 - t + \sum a_i \leq 1 - t\delta_0 \leq 1 - \delta_0$$

where the equality follows from [KM98, Lemma 2.29]. Along E_C , at most $t - 1$ of the birational transforms of E_i meet, and thus at most t components of Δ_{Y_1} meet; if that happens, the sum of their coefficients is at most $a(E_C, X, \Delta) + \sum a_i - \min_i a_i \leq 1 - t + \sum a_i + (t - 1)(1 - \delta_0) = \sum a_i - t\delta_0$. It is now clear that after finitely many such blow ups we get the desired Y .

Now, the coefficients of Δ_Y are less than 1 since (X, Δ) is klt, and the components of Δ_Y are disjoint. By [Kol13, Corollary 2.11], (Y, Δ_Y) is terminal. To show (ii), we may assume that Y' is given by a proper birational map $h: Y' \rightarrow Y$. Write

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y \sim_{\mathbf{R}} g^*(K_X + \Delta) + \Gamma$$

where the components of Γ are exactly the exceptional divisors of g that is not a component of Δ_Y . Then Γ is effective by the definition of Δ_Y . Since Y is regular, every component of Γ is Cartier, and we have

$$h^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) \sim_{\mathbf{R}} (h \circ g)^*(K_X + \Delta) + h^*\Gamma,$$

therefore $a(E', Y, \Delta_Y) \leq a(E', X, \Delta) < 0$. Since (Y, Δ_Y) is terminal, E' must not be h -exceptional, as desired. \square

Corollary 22.6. *Let X be an integral normal noetherian scheme that has a dualizing complex, Δ an effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbf{R} -Cartier and (X, Δ) is klt.*

Assume that X is an excellent \mathbf{Q} -scheme. Then there exists a projective terminalization $h : Z \rightarrow X$ with Z \mathbf{Q} -factorial.

Proof. Let $g : Y \rightarrow X$ and Δ_Y be as in Lemma 22.5, and take \mathcal{E} to be the set of components of Δ_Y in Theorem 22.1. The exceptional prime divisors of the resulting map $h : Z \rightarrow X$ are exactly the birational transforms of the components of Δ_Y . Thus we have $K_Z + \varphi_*\Delta_Y \sim_{\mathbf{R}} h^*(K_X + \Delta)$, and it suffices to show $(Z, \varphi_*\Delta_Y)$ terminal.

For every proper birational map $Y' \rightarrow Z$ and prime divisor E' on Y' , the \mathbf{R} -linear equivalence above gives $a(E', Z, \varphi_*\Delta_Y) = a(E', X, \Delta)$. If $a(E', Z, \varphi_*\Delta_Y) < 0$, then $a(E', X, \Delta) < 0$, so by Lemma 22.5(ii), E' is not contracted by the rational map $Y' \dashrightarrow Y$, and its birational transform is thus a component of Δ_Y since it has negative discrepancy. Thus E' is not exceptional over Z , and $(Z, \varphi_*\Delta_Y)$ is terminal. \square

Part V. Extensions to other categories

In this part, we extend the relative minimal model program to projective morphisms of algebraic spaces, formal schemes, complex analytic spaces, Berkovich analytic spaces, rigid analytic spaces, and adic spaces. We work both in equal characteristic zero and in positive/mixed characteristic, where in the latter context we will assume $\dim(X) \leq 3$. We will also assume the existence of dualizing complexes. To do so, we first collect some preliminaries for each of these different categories.

23. QUASI-EXCELLENCE AND DUALIZING COMPLEXES

In this section, we review the notions of quasi-excellence and dualizing complexes that are analogous to those for schemes in §2.

23.1. Formal schemes. We use the definition of formal schemes and Noetherian formal schemes from [EGAI_{new}, Définition 10.4.2]. Quasi-excellence is defined as follows.

Definition 23.1 [Tem08, §3.1; Tem12, §2.4.3]. Let X be a locally Noetherian formal scheme. We say that X is *quasi-excellent* if for every morphism $\mathrm{Spf}(A) \rightarrow X$ of finite type, the ring A is quasi-excellent.

Remark 23.2. The definition above is from [Tem12], and is equivalent to the original definition in [Tem08] by a theorem of Gabber [KS21, Theorem 5.1]. See [Tem08, Remark 3.1.1] and [Tem12, §2.4.3].

We use the notion of c -dualizing complexes from [ATJLL99]. Compare the notion of t -dualizing complexes from [ATJLL99, Definition 2.5.1]. This latter notion coincides with the notion of dualizing complexes from [Yek98, Definition 5.2] (see [ATJLL99, Remark (3) on p. 25]).

Definition 23.3 [ATJLL99, Definition 2.5.1]. Let X be a Noetherian formal scheme. A complex ω_X^\bullet on X is a *c -dualizing complex* if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) ω_X^\bullet is an object of $\mathbf{D}_c^+(X)$.
- (ii) The natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(\omega_X^\bullet, \omega_X^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism.
- (iii) There is an integer b such that for every coherent torsion sheaf \mathcal{M} and for every $i > b$, we have $\mathbf{h}^i \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{M}, \omega_X^\bullet) = 0$.

There is a notion of relative analytification for formal schemes and corresponding GAGA results [EGAIII₁, §5; SGA2_{new}, Exposé IX], which we will refer to by *formal GAGA*. Exceptional pullbacks

in the sense of Grothendieck duality exist, preserve dualizing complexes, and are compatible with formal GAGA in the following sense.

Remark 23.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a pseudo-proper morphism of Noetherian formal schemes in the sense of [ATJLL99, 1.2.2]. Consider the functor f^\sharp constructed in [ATJLL99, Theorem 2(b)].

- (i) If ω_Y^\bullet is a c -dualizing complex on Y , then $\omega_X^\bullet := f^\sharp \omega_Y^\bullet$ is a c -dualizing complex on X by [ATJLL99, Proposition 2.5.11].
- (ii) If f is proper in the sense of [EGAIII₁, (3.4.1)], and if locally on Y the morphism f is the completion of a morphism of schemes, then f^\sharp is compatible with formal GAGA by [ATJLL99, Corollaries 3.3.8 and 6.1.7(a)].

23.2. Semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces. We use the definition of complex analytic spaces from [GR84, 1.1.5]. We start with the definition of a semianalytic subset of a complex analytic space.

Definition 23.5 [Loj64, §1, I; Fri67, p. 120]. Let \mathcal{X} be a complex analytic space, and let $a \in X$ be a point. Denote by \mathcal{S}_a the minimal class of germs at a of subsets of X such that the following hold:

- (i) \mathcal{S}_a is stable under finite unions.
- (ii) \mathcal{S}_a is stable under complements.
- (iii) \mathcal{S}_a contains all germs of the form $\{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid f(x) < 0\}_a$, where $f(x)$ is a real analytic function in a neighborhood of a .

A subset $X \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is *semianalytic* if, for every $x \in X$, the local germ of X at x is an element of \mathcal{S}_x .

We can now define semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces in the sense of [AT19].

Definition 23.6 [AT19, §§B.2–B.3]. A *semianalytic germ of a complex analytic space* is a pair (\mathcal{X}, X) consisting of a complex analytic space \mathcal{X} and a semianalytic subset $X \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. We call X the *support* of (\mathcal{X}, X) and \mathcal{X} a *representative* of (\mathcal{X}, X) . We sometimes use the shorter notation X for the germ (\mathcal{X}, X) . The *structure sheaf* on X is

$$\mathcal{O}_X := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})|_X = i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}},$$

where $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the embedding.

A *morphism* $\phi: (\mathcal{X}, X) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, Y)$ of semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces consists of a neighborhood \mathcal{X}' of X and an analytic map $f: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ taking X to Y . We say that f is a *representative* of ϕ .

We define proper morphisms and closed embeddings of semianalytic germs as follows.

Definition 23.7 [AT19, §B.5]. Let $\phi: (\mathcal{X}, X) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, Y)$ be a morphism of semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces.

- (i) We say that ϕ is *without boundary* if there exists a representative $f: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of ϕ that satisfies $X = f^{-1}(Y)$.
- (ii) We say that ϕ is an *open immersion* (resp. a *closed immersion*) if ϕ is without boundary and there exists a representative $f: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of ϕ that is an open immersion (resp. a closed embedding).
- (iii) We say that ϕ is *proper* (resp. *projective*) if there exists a representative $f: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of ϕ that is proper (resp. projective) and satisfies $X = f^{-1}(Y)$. Note that proper (resp. projective) morphisms are without boundary by definition.

We can then define affinoid semianalytic germs as follows.

Definition 23.8 [AT19, §B.6 and §6.2.4]. Let (\mathcal{X}, X) be a semianalytic germ of a complex analytic space. We say that X is *affinoid* if it admits a closed immersion into a germ of the form (\mathbf{C}^n, D) ,

where D is a closed polydisc. A covering $X = \bigcup_i X_i$ of X by affinoids is *admissible* if it admits a finite refinement.

We define dualizing complexes on semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces.

Definition 23.9 (cf. [RR70, p. 89]). Let (\mathcal{X}, X) be a semianalytic germ of a complex analytic space. A *dualizing complex* on X is an object ω_X^\bullet in $\mathbf{D}_c^+(X)$ such that the following hold:

- (i) For every $x \in X$, there exists $n(x) \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}^i(\mathbf{C}, \omega_{X,x}^\bullet) = 0$ for all $i > n(x)$.
- (ii) The natural morphism

$$\mathrm{id} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, \omega_X^\bullet), \omega_X^\bullet)$$

of δ -functors on $\mathbf{D}_c(X)$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 23.10. By [RR70, §5] (see also [BS76, Chapter VII, Theorem 2.6]), every complex analytic space has a dualizing complex. This dualizing complex lies in $\mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$ if X is finite-dimensional [RR70, p. 89; BS76, Theorem 2.6(iii)]. Since both conditions in Definition 23.9 can be checked at the level of stalks, if (\mathcal{X}, X) is a semianalytic germ of a complex analytic space, then setting $\omega_X^\bullet = i^{-1}\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^\bullet$ gives a dualizing complex on X , where $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the embedding.

Convention 23.11. For semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces, we will always use the dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet constructed using [RR70, §5].

23.3. Non-Archimedean analytic spaces. Let k be a complete non-Archimedean field. We use the definition of rigid k -analytic spaces from [BGR84, Definition 9.3.1/4] (in which case we assume that k is non-trivially valued) and the definition of k -analytic spaces from [Ber93, §1] (in which case we allow trivial valuations on k). We sometimes refer to the k -analytic spaces from [Ber93] as *Berkovich spaces*. We use the definition of adic spaces from [Hub94, Definition on p. 521].

Instead of defining dualizing complexes on rigid k -analytic and Berkovich spaces in a similar fashion to complex analytic spaces (Definition 23.9), we adopt a definition that is more easily comparable to the scheme-theoretic notion of a *weakly pointwise dualizing complex* from [Con00, p. 120]. Below, X_G denotes the ringed site where the Grothendieck topology is the G -topology in the sense of [BGR84, Definition 9.3.1/4; Ber93, p. 25].

Definition 23.12. Let X be one of the following:

- (a) A rigid k -analytic space, where k is a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field.
- (b) A k -analytic space, where k is a complete non-Archimedean field.

A *dualizing complex* on X is an object ω_X^\bullet in $\mathbf{D}_c^+(X_G)$ such that for every $x \in X$, the object $\omega_{X,x}^\bullet$ in $\mathbf{D}_c^+(\mathcal{O}_{X_G,x})$ is a dualizing complex in the sense of Definition 2.3 (see also [Con00, p. 118 and Lemma 3.1.4]). In either setting, the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X_G,x}$ are Noetherian [BGR84, Proposition 7.3.2/7; Ber93, Theorem 2.1.4], and hence we can ask whether $\omega_{X,x}^\bullet$ is a dualizing complex.

Convention 23.13. If X is a good k -analytic space in the sense of [Ber93, Remark 1.2.16], then we drop the subscript G in X_G , since in this case there is a good notion of a structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X on X such that the categories of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules and coherent \mathcal{O}_{X_G} -modules coincide [Ber93, Proposition 1.3.4(ii)]. Note that affinoid k -analytic spaces and all k -analytic spaces that are proper over affinoid k -analytic spaces are good by [Ber90, §3.1; Ber93, §1.5].

For adic spaces, we adopt a different definition. We do not work with all adic spaces X and stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, and instead work only with *Jacobson adic spaces* and the points in the *Jacobson–Gelfand spectrum* of X , a notion first defined in [Lou].

Definition 23.14 [Lou, Definitions 3.1 and 3.2]. A strongly Noetherian complete Tate ring A is a *Jacobson–Tate ring* if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) Every residue field of A is a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field.

- (ii) For every A -algebra B topologically of finite type over A , the induced map $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ respects maximal ideals.

We say that X is a *Jacobson adic space* if it is locally of the form $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$, where A is a Jacobson–Tate ring. The *Jacobson–Gelfand spectrum* of X is the subset

$$\mathrm{JG}(X) \subseteq X$$

of all rank 1 points $x \in X$ for which there exists an affinoid open neighborhood $U = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ of $x \in X$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(x) \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal.

We now define dualizing complexes on adic spaces locally of weakly finite type (see [Hub96, Definition 1.2.1(i)]) over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k , which are Jacobson by [Lou, Proposition 3.3(1)] (see the proof of [Man23, Lemma 2.2]). Since we will eventually focus on adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k , we restrict to this case for simplicity.

Definition 23.15. Let X be an adic space locally of weakly finite type over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k . A *dualizing complex* on X is an object ω_X^\bullet in $\mathbf{D}_c^+(X)$ such that for every $x \in \mathrm{JG}(X)$, the object $\omega_{X,x}^\bullet$ in $\mathbf{D}_c^+(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ is a dualizing complex in the sense of Definition 2.3 (see also [Con00, p. 118 and Lemma 3.1.4]). Note that the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are Noetherian by [Con15, Proposition 15.1.1] (although in this case, Noetherianity follows from the rigid case [BGR84, Proposition 7.3.2/7] using [Hub93₂, Corollary 4.5] and [Hub94, Proof of Proposition 4.3], as in the proof of Theorem 24.8(i) below).

24. GROTHENDIECK DUALITY, DUALIZING COMPLEXES, AND GAGA

To check that dualizing complexes are compatible with exceptional pullbacks under the relative GAGA correspondence for semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces from [AT19, Appendix C], for rigid analytic spaces from [Köp74], for Berkovich spaces from [Poi10], and for adic spaces from [Hub07], we need versions of [AT19, Theorem C.1.1], [Köp74, Folgerung 6.6, Folgerung 6.7, and Theorem 6.8] (see also [Con06, Example 3.2.6]), [Poi10, Théorème A.1], and [Hub07, Corollary 6.4] for bounded derived categories.

Convention 24.1. We denote the analytification functor in each setting by $(-) \mapsto (-)^{\mathrm{an}}$, and similarly for sheaves and complexes. For objects in the essential image of this functor, we denote by $(-)^{\mathrm{al}}$ the corresponding algebraic object, and call this process *algebraization*.

24.1. Equivalences of categories of coherent sheaves yield equivalences of bounded derived categories. We start with the following result deducing equivalences of bounded derived categories from equivalences of (weak) Serre subcategories of categories of modules. The statements (i) and (iii) below are versions of the first half of the proof of [Lim, Theorem 3.7], but we write down the proof for completeness. The result in [Lim] gives the stronger conclusion that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^-(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_Y}^-(Y)$ is an equivalence of categories under stronger hypotheses. See also [PY16, Lemma 5.12] for a version of this result for ∞ -categories.

Theorem 24.2. *Let $h: (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a flat morphism of ringed sites. Fix weak Serre subcategories \mathcal{A}_Y in $\mathrm{Mod}(Y)$ and \mathcal{A}_X in $\mathrm{Mod}(X)$. Suppose the pullback functor $h^*: \mathrm{Mod}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(Y)$ restricts to a functor*

$$h^*: \mathcal{A}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_Y, \tag{27}$$

and consider the associated derived functors

$$h^*: \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^*(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_Y}^*(Y) \tag{28}$$

where $* \in \{b, +\}$.

(i) Suppose the natural morphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^n(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^n(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G}) \quad (29)$$

are isomorphisms for all objects \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{A}_X and for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then, the natural morphisms

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G}) \quad (30)$$

are isomorphisms for all objects \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^*(X)$.

(ii) Suppose the natural morphisms

$$h^* \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^n(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^n(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G}) \quad (31)$$

are isomorphisms for all objects \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{A}_X and for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then, the natural morphisms

$$h^* \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G})$$

are isomorphisms for all objects \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^*(X)$.

(iii) Suppose (27) is an equivalence of categories, and that the natural morphisms (29) are isomorphisms for all objects \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{A}_X and for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then, (28) is an equivalence of categories.

(iv) If (27) induces isomorphisms on cohomology modules, then (28) induces isomorphisms on $\mathbf{R}\Gamma$ and on hypercohomology modules. In this case, if the natural morphisms (31) are isomorphisms, then the natural morphisms in (i) and (ii) are all isomorphisms.

Proof. We note that h^* sends bounded objects in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}(X)$ to bounded (resp. bounded-below) objects in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_Y}(Y)$ since h is flat, and hence h^* commutes with cohomology.

For (i), we first assume that \mathcal{G} is concentrated in one degree. If \mathcal{F} is concentrated in one degree, then the isomorphism follows from the isomorphism (29).

We now show (30) is an isomorphism for general \mathcal{F} when \mathcal{G} is concentrated in one degree. First suppose $* = b$, and let n be the smallest degree where $\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. Consider the exact triangle

$$\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{F})[-n] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

We then have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{F})[-n], \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{F})[-n], h^*\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{+1} \end{array}$$

where the left and right vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms by the inductive hypothesis. By [BBDG18, Proposition 1.1.11], we see the middle vertical arrow is a quasi-isomorphism. This shows (30) is an isomorphism when \mathcal{G} is concentrated in one degree and $* = b$. When $* = +$, the argument above shows that

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism for all n , and hence (30) is an isomorphism when \mathcal{G} is concentrated in one degree and $* = +$.

To show (30) is an isomorphism for general \mathcal{F} and general \mathcal{G} when $* = b$, we repeat the same argument inducing on the length of \mathcal{G} . The case when \mathcal{G} is concentrated in one degree was shown above. If n is the smallest degree where $\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{G}) \neq 0$, the exact triangle

$$\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{G})[-n] \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{+1}$$

yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{G})[-n]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{G})[-n]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{+1} \end{array}$$

where the left and vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms by the inductive hypothesis. By [BBDG18, Proposition 1.1.11], we see the middle vertical arrow is a quasi-isomorphism. This shows (30) is an isomorphism for all \mathcal{F} when \mathcal{G} is bounded. Now for $* = +$, we know that

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism for all n , and hence (30) is an isomorphism for all \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} that are bounded-below.

For (ii), we can repeat the same argument as in (i) replacing $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}$ with $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om$.

For (iii), since the functor (28) is fully faithful by (i), it suffices to show the functor (28) is essentially surjective. We start with the case $* = b$. Fix an object \mathcal{G} in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^b(Y)$. We proceed by induction on the length of \mathcal{G} . If \mathcal{G} is concentrated in one degree, this follows from the equivalence (27). For general \mathcal{G} , let n be the smallest degree where $\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{G}) \neq 0$, and consider the exact triangle

$$(\tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{G})[-1] \longrightarrow \mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{G})[-n] \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

By (27) and the inductive hypothesis, there exist objects $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$ in \mathcal{A}_X such that

$$h^*\mathcal{F} \simeq (\tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{G})[-1] \quad \text{and} \quad h^*\mathcal{F}' \simeq \mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{G})[-n].$$

By (i), we know that the morphism $(\tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{G})[-1] \rightarrow \mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{G})[-n]$ is the pullback of a morphism $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^b(X)$. It follows that $\mathcal{G} \simeq h^*\mathrm{Cone}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}')$ since h is flat.

Next, we consider the case when $* = +$. Write

$$\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_n \tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{G}.$$

Since (28) is an equivalence for $* = b$, every $\tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{G}$ is of the form $h^*\mathcal{F}_n$ for \mathcal{F}_n in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^b(X)$. Moreover, the transition morphisms in the homotopy colimit come from compatible morphisms of the $h^*\mathcal{F}_n$ using the faithful fullness of (28). We therefore see that

$$\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_n h^*\mathcal{F}_n \simeq h^* \mathrm{hocolim}_n \mathcal{F}_n$$

where the second quasi-isomorphism holds since h is flat. Since $\mathrm{hocolim}_n \mathcal{F}_n$ is an object in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^+(X)$, we are done with the proof of (iii).

It remains to show (iv). Let \mathcal{F} be an object in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^b(X)$. We induce on the length of \mathcal{F} . If \mathcal{F} is concentrated in one degree, this follows from the assumption that h preserves cohomology modules. In general, let n be the smallest degree where $\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$, and consider the exact triangle

$$\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{F})[-n] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

We then have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{R}\Gamma(X, \mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{F})[-n]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\Gamma(X, \tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma(Y, h^*\mathbf{h}^n(\mathcal{F})[-n]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\Gamma(Y, h^*\mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\Gamma(Y, h^*\tau_{\geq n+1}\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{+1} \end{array}$$

where the left and right vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphism by the inductive hypothesis. By [BBDG18, Proposition 1.1.11], we see the middle vertical arrow is a quasi-isomorphism. The “in

particular” statement in (iv) now follows by applying \mathbf{H}^0 . The case when \mathcal{F} is an object in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{A}_X}^+(X)$ also follows since (iv) holds for $\tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{F}$ for all n . \square

24.2. Dualizing complexes and relative GAGA for semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces. We first deduce the relative GAGA theorem for bounded derived categories of semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces from the statement for categories of coherent sheaves in [AT19].

Theorem 24.3 (cf. [AT19, Theorem C.1.1]). *Let (\mathcal{Z}, Z) be an affinoid semianalytic germ of a complex analytic space with ring of global analytic functions A . Let X be a projective scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Then, the pullback functor*

$$h^*: \mathbf{D}_c^*(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^*(X^{\mathrm{an}}) \quad (32)$$

is an equivalence of categories that induces isomorphisms on $\mathbf{R}\Gamma$, hypercohomology modules, $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}$, and $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om$ for $* \in \{b, +\}$.

Proof. We verify the hypotheses in Theorem 24.2(iii) and 24.2(iv) for the relative analytification morphism $h: X^{\mathrm{an}} \rightarrow X$ from [AT19, Appendix C] when $\mathcal{A}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}} = \mathrm{Coh}(X^{\mathrm{an}})$ and $\mathcal{A}_X = \mathrm{Coh}(X)$. By [AT19, Theorem C.1.1], we have an equivalence of categories

$$h^*: \mathrm{Coh}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Coh}(X^{\mathrm{an}})$$

that induces isomorphisms on cohomology modules. Since $h: X^{\mathrm{an}} \rightarrow X$ is flat [AT19, p. 421], the natural morphisms

$$h^* \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^n(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}}^n(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{an}})$$

are isomorphisms for all objects \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} in $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ by [EGAIII₁, Proposition 12.3.5]. We therefore see that (32) is an equivalence by Theorem 24.2(iii). This equivalence induces isomorphisms on $\mathbf{R}\Gamma$, hypercohomology modules, $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}$, and $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om$ by Theorem 24.2(iv). \square

We can now show that dualizing complexes are compatible with GAGA. Below, the notation $\omega_{\bullet}^{\bullet}$ where the subscript is a semianalytic germ of a complex analytic space denotes the dualizing complex constructed in [RR70] (see Remark 23.10).

Theorem 24.4. *Let (\mathcal{Z}, Z) be an affinoid semianalytic germ of a complex analytic space with ring of global analytic functions A .*

- (i) *Let ω_A^{\bullet} denote the object in $\mathbf{D}_c^b(\mathrm{Spec}(A))$ corresponding to ω_Z^{\bullet} under the equivalence in Theorem 24.3. Then, ω_A^{\bullet} is a dualizing complex on $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$.*
- (ii) *Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of schemes projective over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$. We then have the following commutative diagram of functors:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_c^+(X^{\mathrm{an}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\mathrm{an}}}}(\mathbf{L}f^{\mathrm{an}*} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}}(-, \omega_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\bullet}), \omega_{Y^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\bullet})} & \mathbf{D}_c^+(Y^{\mathrm{an}}) \\ \wr \uparrow h^* & & \wr \uparrow h^* \\ \mathbf{D}_c^+(X) & \xrightarrow{f^!} & \mathbf{D}_c^+(Y) \end{array} \quad (33)$$

- (iii) *Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of schemes projective over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$. We have $(f^! \omega_X^{\bullet})^{\mathrm{an}} \cong \omega_{Y^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\bullet}$, and the analytification of the Grothendieck trace $\mathbf{R}f_* \omega_Y^{\bullet} \rightarrow \omega_X^{\bullet}$ is the relative trace from [RRV71].*

Proof. For (i), we first note that $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is Noetherian of finite Krull dimension [Fri67, Théorème I, 9; AT19, Lemma B.6.1(i)]. Thus, it suffices to show that ω_A^{\bullet} is locally a dualizing complex at every $x \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ by [Har66, Chapter V, Proposition 8.2] (see also [Con00, p. 120]). Moreover, it suffices to show that ω_A^{\bullet} is locally a dualizing complex at every closed point $x \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ by [Har66, Chapter V, Corollary 2.3]. But this follows from the conditions in Definition 23.9 together with the fact

that h is flat [AT19, Lemma B.6.1(iv)] and induces a bijection on closed points [AT19, Lemma B.6.1(iii)], since finite injective dimension can be tested with modules of the form $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}^i(\mathbf{C}, -)$ [Stacks, Tag 0AVJ], and both the formation of Ext and $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om$ commute with h^* by Theorem 24.3. Note here that while the condition in Definition 23.9(ii) is a statement about functors on $\mathbf{D}_c(X)$, it suffices to check that the morphism is an isomorphism when plugging in \mathcal{O}_X (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}}}$) by [Har66, Chapter V, Proposition 2.1] (resp. [RR70, Proposition 1]).

For (ii), we apply Grothendieck duality for proper morphisms of complex analytic spaces [RRV71, p. 261] to a representative $f: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ of π such that f is proper and $f^{-1}(Z) = X$, and then restrict to Z using the proper base change theorem from topology [Ive86, Chapter VII, Corollary 1.5] to obtain the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}f_*^{\text{an}} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{an}}}} \left(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{an}}}} (\mathbf{L}f_*^{\text{an}*} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}}}} (\mathcal{G}^\bullet, \omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet), \omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet) \right) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}}}} (\mathbf{R}f_*^{\text{an}} \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet), \end{aligned}$$

which is natural in objects \mathcal{F}^\bullet and \mathcal{G}^\bullet in $\mathbf{D}_c(Y^{\text{an}})$ and $\mathbf{D}_c^+(X^{\text{an}})$, respectively. In particular, this isomorphism holds for \mathcal{F}^\bullet is in $\mathbf{D}_c^+(Y^{\text{an}})$. Taking \mathbf{H}^0 , and applying the equivalence of categories h^* from Theorem 24.3, we see that the top functor in (33) is a right adjoint of $\mathbf{R}f_*$, which preserves \mathbf{D}_c^+ by [PY16, Theorem 5.20]. Finally, we obtain the diagram (33) since right adjoints are unique.

We now show (iii). By (i), it suffices to note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{an}}}} (\mathbf{L}f_*^{\text{an}*} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}}}} (\omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet, \omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet), \omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet) &\cong \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{an}}}} (\mathbf{L}f_*^{\text{an}*} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}}}, \omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet) \\ &\cong \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{an}}}} (\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{an}}}, \omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet) \\ &\cong \omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet. \end{aligned}$$

The last statement about trace now follows since in both settings, the trace is the counit morphism for the adjunction from (ii), where in the scheme case we are using [Har66, Appendix, Théorème 2; Ver69, Theorem 1; Nee96, Proposition 6.3; Lip09, Theorem 4.1.1]. \square

24.3. Dualizing complexes and relative GAGA for non-Archimedean analytic spaces.

We first deduce the relative GAGA theorem for bounded derived categories of rigid analytic spaces, Berkovich spaces, and adic from the statements for categories of coherent sheaves in [Köp74; Poi10; Hub07] (see also [Con06; Hal23]). Stronger results for Berkovich spaces are shown in [PY16, Theorem 7.1 and Corollary 7.5].

Theorem 24.5 (cf. [Köp74, Folgerung 6.6, Folgerung 6.7, and Theorem 6.8; Poi10, Théorème A.1; Hub07, Corollary 6.4]). *Let Z be one of the following:*

- (a) *An affinoid rigid k -analytic space, where k is a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field.*
- (b) *An affinoid k -analytic space, where k is a complete non-Archimedean field.*
- (c) *An affinoid analytic adic space such that one of the following conditions holds:*
 - $\mathcal{O}_Z(Z)$ has a Noetherian ring of definition.
 - There exists a complete Tate ring D topologically of finite type over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k and an element $f \in D$ such that $\mathcal{O}_Z(Z)$ is topologically of finite type over D^f .³

Let R be the ring of global functions on Z , and let X be a proper scheme over $\text{Spec}(R)$. Then, the pullback functor

$$h^*: \mathbf{D}_c^*(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^*(X^{\text{an}}) \tag{34}$$

is an equivalence of categories that induces isomorphisms on $\mathbf{R}\Gamma$, hypercohomology modules, $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}$, and $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om$ for $$ \in $\{b, +\}$.*

³See [Hub07, p. 976] for the definition of D^f .

Proof. We verify the hypotheses in Theorem 24.2(iii) and 24.2(iv) for the relative analytification morphism $h: X^{\text{an}} \rightarrow X$ from [Köp74, Definition 1.4] (see also [Con06, Example 2.2.11]), [Ber93, §2.6], and [Hub07, §6] when $\mathcal{A}_{X^{\text{an}}} = \text{Coh}(X^{\text{an}})$ and $\mathcal{A}_X = \text{Coh}(X)$.

By [Köp74, Folgerung 6.6, Folgerung 6.7, and Theorem 6.8] (see also [Con06, Example 3.2.6]), [Poi10, Théorème A.1], and [Hub07, Corollary 6.4], respectively, we have an equivalence of categories

$$h^*: \text{Coh}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}(X^{\text{an}}) \quad (35)$$

that induces isomorphisms on cohomology modules (see also [Hal23, Example 9.4]). We note that h^* induces isomorphisms on $\mathcal{E}xt$ sheaves by [Köp74, Satz 3.9] in the rigid analytic case and by [EGAIII₁, Proposition 12.3.5] in the Berkovich and adic cases since h is flat [Ber93, Proposition 2.6.2; Hub07, Lemma 6.1]. We therefore see that (34) is an equivalence by Theorem 24.2(iii). Finally, (34) induces isomorphisms on $\mathbf{R}\Gamma$, hypercohomology modules, $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}$, and $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om$ by Theorem 24.2(iv). \square

We can now show that dualizing complexes are compatible with GAGA. We start with the rigid analytic and Berkovich cases. For the definition of irreducible components, dimension, and equidimensionality, see [CM98, p. 14; Con99, p. 496] and [Ber90, p. 34; Ber93, p. 23; Duc09, p. 1455], respectively. For the definition of smoothness, see [BLR95, Definition 2.1] and [Ber93, Definition 3.5.1], respectively. In the Berkovich setting, since X^{an} and Z are good, smoothness is equivalent to “quasi-smooth and boundaryless” as defined in [Duc18, Definition 5.2.4] (see [Duc18, Corollary 5.4.8]). Note that smoothness in the Berkovich setting is not known to be G-local on the target without goodness assumptions, whereas “quasi-smooth and boundaryless” is always G-local on the target [CT21, Remark 4.1].

Theorem 24.6. *Let Z be one of the following:*

- (a) *An affinoid rigid k -analytic space, where k is a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field.*
- (b) *An affinoid Berkovich k -analytic space, where k is a complete non-Archimedean field.*

Let A be the ring of global functions on Z . Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ be a finite type morphism of schemes.

- (i) *Let \mathcal{K} be an object in $\mathbf{D}_c^+(X)$. Then, \mathcal{K} is a dualizing complex on X if and only if \mathcal{K}^{an} is a dualizing complex on X^{an} .*
- (ii) *Suppose π is separated, and let ω_Z^\bullet be a dualizing complex on Z . Then, $(\pi^! \omega_Z^{\bullet, \text{al}})^{\text{an}}$ is a dualizing complex on X^{an} .*
- (iii) *Suppose π is separated. If X^{an} is smooth of equal dimension d over k , then the sheaf $\omega_{X^{\text{an}}/k}[d]$ of top differential forms shifted by d is a dualizing complex on X^{an} for which there exists a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet on Z such that*

$$\omega_{X^{\text{an}}/k}[d] \cong (\pi^! \omega_Z^{\bullet, \text{al}})^{\text{an}}.$$

Proof. For (i), we note that by [Har66, Chapter V, Corollary 2.3; Con00, p. 120], \mathcal{K} is a dualizing complex on X if and only if \mathcal{K}_x is a dualizing complex on $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for every $x \in X$.

Next, we note that in each context, $X^{\text{an}} \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following set-theoretic properties:

- (a) $X^{\text{an}} \rightarrow X$ is a bijection onto the set of points of X with residue fields of finite degree over k by [Con06, Example 2.2.11].
- (b) $X^{\text{an}} \rightarrow X$ is a surjection that induces a bijection

$$(X^{\text{an}})_0 := \{x \in X^{\text{an}} \mid [\mathcal{K}(x) : k] < \infty\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{x \in X \mid [k(x) : k] < \infty\} =: X_0$$

by [Ber93, Proposition 2.6.2 and Lemma 2.6.3].

Combined with the previous paragraph, it therefore suffices to prove that for every point $\tilde{x} \in X^{\text{an}}$ with image $x = h(\tilde{x}) \in X$, we have $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{x}}^{\text{an}}$ is a dualizing complex on $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}}, \tilde{x}}$ if and only if \mathcal{K}_x is a

dualizing complex on $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. This equivalence holds by [AF92, Theorem 5.1] since $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}},\bar{x}}$ is a regular ring map [Duc09, Théorème 3.3] (which also applies to rigid k -analytic spaces using [Ber93, Theorem 1.6.1]).

Next, (ii) follows from (i) since $\pi^! \omega_Z^{\bullet, \text{al}}$ is a dualizing complex on X by Lemma 2.7.

Finally, we show (iii). Since Z is affinoid, there exists a surjection

$$k\{r^{-1}T\} \twoheadrightarrow A,$$

where in the rigid analytic case, we can assume $r = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Let $i: \text{Spec}(A) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(k\{r^{-1}T\})$ be the associated closed immersion with associated closed immersion $i^{\text{an}}: Z \hookrightarrow D$ of rigid k -analytic spaces or k -analytic spaces. We can replace π by $i \circ \pi$ to assume that $Z = D$, since $\pi^! i^! \cong (i \circ \pi)^!$ by [Har66, Chapter VII, Corollary 3.4(a)], and hence if ω_D^\bullet works for $i \circ \pi$, then $(i^! \omega_D^{\bullet, \text{al}})^{\text{an}}$ works for π .

We now prove (iii) assuming Z is a polydisc with ring of analytic functions $A = k\{r^{-1}T\}$. By [Har66, p. 144], we have

$$\pi^! \omega_{k\{r^{-1}T\}/k}[n] = \pi^* \omega_{k\{r^{-1}T\}/k}[n] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_{X/k\{r^{-1}T\}}[d-n].$$

Applying $(-)^{\text{an}}$, we obtain

$$(\pi^! \omega_{k\{r^{-1}T\}/k}[n])^{\text{an}} = ((\pi^* \omega_{k\{r^{-1}T\}/k})^{\text{an}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{an}}}} \omega_{X/k\{r^{-1}T\}}^{\text{an}})[d]$$

since sheaves of differentials are compatible with analytification [Ber93, Proposition 3.3.11]. The right-hand side is isomorphic to $\omega_{X^{\text{an}}/k}[d]$ by taking determinants in [Ber93, Corollary 3.5.10]. Thus, by (i) and (ii), we can take $\omega_Z^\bullet = (\omega_{k\{r^{-1}T\}/k}[n])^{\text{an}}$, where $\omega_{k\{r^{-1}T\}/k}[n]$ is a dualizing complex by [Har66, Chapter V, Example 2.2 and Theorem 3.1]. \square

Remark 24.7. Theorem 24.6 implies that the formation of dualizing complexes and Grothendieck duality are compatible with GAGA and existing results for Grothendieck duality on rigid analytic spaces over an affinoid rigid analytic space Z . With as in Theorem 24.6(a), Van der Put [vdP92, Main Theorem 5.1] (see also [Bey97, Theorem 5.1.1 and 5.1.2; AL, Theorem 5.5.1]) showed that if Y is a rigid analytic space that is smooth and proper over Z , then the sheaf $\omega_{Y/Z}$ satisfies the statement of Serre duality. If Y is the analytification of a scheme X that is proper over k (which is necessarily smooth by [Ber93, Proposition 3.5.8]), then Van der Put's results are compatible with GAGA by Theorem 24.6(iii). The compatibility of the trace morphism follows by the same argument using adjunction as in the proof of Theorem 24.4(iii).

For adic spaces, as mentioned in the paragraph before Definition 23.15, we will eventually restrict to the class of adic spaces locally of weakly finite type (see [Hub96, Definition 1.2.1(i)]) over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k . We will do the same here for simplicity.

In private communication, Peter Scholze informed us that in forthcoming work, Clausen and Scholze prove Grothendieck duality for adic spaces using condensed mathematics and the same proof as in their lecture notes on complex geometry [CS22] (see also [CS19, Lecture XI]). For adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over a field, the exact statement we will need by Clausen and Scholze (which is a special case of their results) is the following: Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism between adic spaces that are separated and locally of weakly finite type over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k . Then, the functor $\mathbf{R}f_*$ preserves \mathbf{D}_c^+ , and there exists a functor

$$f^!: \mathbf{D}_c^+(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^+(Y)$$

such that $(f \circ g)^! \cong g^! \circ f^!$, and such that $f^!$ is the right adjoint to the functor

$$\mathbf{R}f_*: \mathbf{D}_c^+(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^+(X).$$

Using the Grothendieck duality theorem of Clausen and Scholze, we can show the following:

Theorem 24.8. *Let Z be an affinoid analytic adic space such that $A := \mathcal{O}_Z(Z)$ is topologically of finite type over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k . Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ be a finite type morphism of schemes.*

- (i) *Let \mathcal{K} be an object in $\mathbf{D}_c^+(X)$. Then, \mathcal{K} is a dualizing complex on X if and only if $\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{an}}$ is a dualizing complex on X^{an} .*
- (ii) *Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of schemes proper over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$. We then have the following commutative diagram of functors:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_c^+(X^{\mathrm{an}}) & \xrightarrow{f^{\mathrm{an}!}} & \mathbf{D}_c^+(Y^{\mathrm{an}}) \\ \wr \uparrow h^* & & \wr \uparrow h^* \\ \mathbf{D}_c^+(X) & \xrightarrow{f!} & \mathbf{D}_c^+(Y) \end{array} \quad (36)$$

In particular, $f^{\mathrm{an}!}$ sends dualizing complexes to dualizing complexes. Here, $f^{\mathrm{an}!}$ is the exceptional pullback functor constructed as in [CS22].

- (iii) *Let $f: Y \rightarrow X =: \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ be a proper morphism of schemes. The analytification of the Grothendieck trace $\mathbf{R}f_* f^! \rightarrow \mathrm{id}$ of functors $\mathbf{D}_c^+(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^+(X)$ is the relative trace constructed as in [CS22].*
- (iv) *Suppose π is separated. If X^{an} is smooth of equal dimension d over $\mathrm{Spa}(k, k^\circ)$, then the sheaf $\omega_{X^{\mathrm{an}}/k}[d]$ of top differential forms shifted by d is a dualizing complex on X^{an} for which there exists a dualizing complex ω_Z^\bullet on Z such that*

$$\omega_{X^{\mathrm{an}}/k}[d] \cong (\pi^! \omega_Z^{\bullet, \mathrm{al}})^{\mathrm{an}} \cong \pi^{\mathrm{an}!} (\omega_Z^{\bullet, \mathrm{al}})^{\mathrm{an}}.$$

The assumption that $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ in (ii) and (iii) stem from the fact that Theorem 24.5 does not show that analytification commutes with the formation of derived pushforwards when $X \neq \mathrm{Spec}(A)$.

Before we prove Theorem 24.8, we prove the following lemma, which will be used later on to prove that singularities of pairs are compatible with GAGA (Lemma 25.8).

Lemma 24.9. *Let X be an affinoid analytic adic space such that $A := \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is topologically of finite type over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k . Let $f: Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ be a finite type morphism of schemes. Then, for every affine open subset $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \subseteq Y$ and every point $x \in \mathrm{JG}(\mathrm{Spec}(B)^{\mathrm{an}}) = \mathrm{JG}(\mathrm{Spa}(B^{\mathrm{an}}, B^{\mathrm{an}+}))$ with image $\mathfrak{m} = \mathrm{supp}(x) \in \mathrm{Spec}(B)$, the map*

$$B_{\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spa}(B^{\mathrm{an}}, B^{\mathrm{an}+}), x} \quad (37)$$

is flat with geometrically regular fibers.

Proof. Set y and \mathfrak{n} to be the images of x and \mathfrak{m} in $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$, respectively. Note that \mathfrak{n} is maximal in $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ since $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite type [EGA1_{new}, Corollaire 6.4.6] and since both A and B are Jacobson by [Lou, Propositions 3.3(1) and 3.3(3)]. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+), x} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+), y} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{n}}} B_{\mathfrak{m}} & \longleftarrow & B_{\mathfrak{m}} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+), y} & \longleftarrow & A_{\mathfrak{n}} \end{array} \quad (38)$$

where the square is co-Cartesian. Note that the composition along the top row is the map (37). Since \mathfrak{n} is maximal in A , we know that $y \in \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+) \subseteq \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^\circ)$ corresponds to a rigid point in $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$ and that the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+), y}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Sp}(A)_{G, y}}$ by [Hub93₂, Corollary 4.5] and [Hub94, Proof of Proposition 4.3]. Thus, $A_{\mathfrak{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+), y}$ is flat with geometrically regular fibers by [Duc09, Théorème 3.3] (which also applies to rigid k -analytic spaces using [Ber93, Theorem 1.6.1]). Next, since $A_{\mathfrak{n}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is essentially of finite type, the left vertical arrow in the diagram above is also flat with geometrically regular fibers [Mur22, Lemma 3.3(v)] (cf. [EGAIV₂, Proposition

6.8.2]). Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spa}(B^{\mathrm{an}}, B^{\mathrm{an}+}), x}$ is a localization of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+), y} \otimes_{A_n} B_m$ at a prime ideal since $X^{\mathrm{an}} = X \times_Y Y^{\mathrm{an}}$ in the category of locally ringed spaces by definition [Hub07, p. 999], and then by using the description of the stalks of the structure sheaf in fiber products of locally ringed spaces in [Gil11, Proposition 1]. Thus, the composition along the top row in the commutative diagram (38) is also flat with geometrically regular fibers. \square

We now prove Theorem 24.8.

Proof of Theorem 24.8. We first note that our GAGA result in Theorem 24.5 applies by setting $D = A$ and $f = 1$ in the second bullet point of Theorem 24.5(c).

For (i), it suffices to consider the case when X is affine, in which case $X = \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ for a ring B of finite type over A , and $X^{\mathrm{an}} = \mathrm{Spa}(B^{\mathrm{an}}, B^{\mathrm{an}+})$ for B^{an} a complete ring topologically of finite type over A . We have that \mathcal{K} is a dualizing complex on X if and only if \mathcal{K}_x is a dualizing complex for every $x \in X$ by [Har66, Chapter V, Corollary 2.3; Con00, p. 120]. Since (37) is flat with geometrically regular fibers by Lemma 24.9, this holds if and only if $\mathcal{K}_x^{\mathrm{an}}$ is a dualizing complex for all $x \in \mathrm{JG}(X)$ by [AF92, Theorem 5.1], which is the condition in Definition 23.15. In this last equivalence, we use the fact that $\mathrm{supp}: \mathrm{JG}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{MaxSpec}(A)$ is a bijection by [Lou, Proposition 3.3(3)].

For (ii), it suffices to note that both $f^{\mathrm{an}!}$ and $h^* \circ f^! \circ h^{*-1}$ are right adjoints for $\mathbf{R}f_*^{\mathrm{an}}$ using the equivalence of categories h^* from Theorem 24.3 and the uniqueness of right adjoints. Here, we are using the results of Clausen and Scholze mentioned above [CS19; CS22] on the adic side and using [Har66, Appendix, Théorème 2; Ver69, Theorem 1; Nee96, Proposition 6.3; Lip09, Theorem 4.1.1] on the scheme side.

For (iii), it suffices to note that the trace is the counit morphism for the adjunction from (ii).

For (iv), we can repeat the proof of Theorem 24.6(iii), since X and the rigid analytic space $r_k(X)$ associated to X have the same categories of sheaves of modules [Hub94, Proposition 4.5(i)]. Note that X is smooth over k if and only if $r_k(X)$ is smooth over k by [Hub96, Proposition 1.7.11(ii)]. The last isomorphism holds by (ii). \square

25. SETUP FOR THE RELATIVE MMP WITH SCALING

We now give our setup for the relative MMP with scaling in categories other than schemes and algebraic spaces. We have made an effort to make definitions consistent with those in the literature.

25.1. Categories of spaces. We will work in the following categories of spaces. We have included (0) to simplify our discussion in the rest of this section, although the necessary preliminaries are already covered in Part I.

Setup 25.1 (cf. [AT19, §6.2.1]). A *category of spaces* is one of the following categories.

- (0) The category of quasi-excellent Noetherian algebraic spaces over a scheme S admitting dualizing complexes.
- (I) The category of quasi-excellent Noetherian formal schemes admitting c -dualizing complexes.
- (II) The category of semianalytic germs $X = (\mathcal{X}, X)$ of complex analytic spaces.
- (III) The category of k -analytic spaces, where k is a complete non-Archimedean field.
- (III') The category of rigid k -analytic spaces, where k is a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field.
- (IV) The category of adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over k , where k is a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field.

We denote any such category by \mathfrak{Sp} . A *space* is an object in \mathfrak{Sp} .

A *category of \mathbf{Q} -spaces* is a space as above, except in (0) and (I) we assume that the formal schemes are over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{Q})$, and in (III), (III'), and (IV), we assume that the field k is of characteristic zero. We denote any such category by $\mathfrak{Sp}_{\mathbf{Q}}$. A *\mathbf{Q} -space* is an object in $\mathfrak{Sp}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

In each category, there are good notions of affinoid subdomains, admissible affinoid coverings, regularity, and smooth morphisms [AT19, §6.2]. For (IV) (which are not covered in [AT19]), see [Hub94, Definition on p. 521] for the definition of affinoids and see [Hub96, Definition 1.6.5(i)] for the definition of smooth morphisms. An adic space locally of weakly finite type over a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field k is *regular* if X can be covered by affinoid adic spaces of the form $\mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ such that A is regular (cf. [Man23, Definition 2.3]).

There is a relative GAGA theorem for proper schemes over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_U(U))$ when U is affinoid, which induces equivalences on categories of coherent sheaves and isomorphisms on cohomology modules (see [AT19, §6.3] and [Hub07, §6]). For spaces X and schemes X_0 that these GAGA theorems apply to, we use the notions of *analytification* and *algebraization* as in Convention 24.1.

25.1.1. *Ampleness.* We have good notions of relative ampleness for the categories (I), (II), and (III'). We have adopted definitions for Berkovich and adic spaces that allow us to apply the relative GAGA theorem in this setting. These ample invertible sheaves correspond to ample invertible sheaves under the GAGA correspondence (see [Con06, Remark 3.1.3] for (III')).

Definition 25.2. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism in \mathfrak{Sp} in the sense of [EGAIII₁, (3.4.1)], [BS76, p. 91] (with the adjustment to germs as in [AT19, §B.5]; see Definition 23.7(iii)), [BGR84, Definition 9.6.2/2], [Ber93, Example 1.5.3(iii)], and [Hub96, Definition 1.3.2], respectively. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X . We say that \mathcal{L} is π -*ample* in each setting of Setup 25.1 if the following hold:

- (I) For every affine open $\mathrm{Spf}(A) \subseteq Z$, if I is the ideal of definition of A , then \mathcal{L} restricts to a relatively ample invertible sheaf on $X \times_Z \mathrm{Spec}(A/I)$ (see [EGAIII₁, Théorème 5.4.5]).
- (II) There exists a proper representative $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ of π such that $\pi^{-1}(Z) = X$, together with an invertible sheaf on \mathcal{X} restricting to \mathcal{L} on X that is π -ample in the sense of [BS76, p. 141].
- (III') The invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} is ample relative to Z in the sense of [Con06, Definition 3.2.2].

If there exists a π -ample invertible sheaf on X , we say that π is *projective*.

For (III) and (IV), we call $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ *projective* if π is proper and there exists an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X such that for every affinoid subdomain $V \subseteq Z$, the restriction of π to $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is the analytification of a projective morphism over $\mathrm{Spec}(V)$ with $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{O}(1))^{\mathrm{an}}$. In this case, we refer to \mathcal{L} as a π -*ample invertible sheaf*.

A \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ is π -*ample* if it is a nonzero $\mathbf{k}_{>0}$ -linear combination of π -ample invertible sheaves.

25.1.2. *Nefness.* We can define nefness using GAGA.

Definition 25.3. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism in \mathfrak{Sp} .

- (i) A closed subspace $Y \subseteq X$ is π -*contracted* if $\pi(Y)$ is a zero-dimensional (closed) subspace of Z . A π -*contracted curve* is a π -contracted closed subspace that is integral and of dimension one.
- (ii) Suppose that every π -contracted curve $C \subseteq X$ is the analytification of a scheme C^{al} over $\{z\}^{\mathrm{al}}$. Let $D \in \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. We say that D is π -*nef* if, for every π -contracted curve $C \subseteq X$, we have $\deg_{C^{\mathrm{al}}}(D^{\mathrm{al}}) \geq 0$.

We note that Definition 25.3 is consistent with that for schemes and algebraic spaces, since nefness for schemes and algebraic spaces can be checked at closed points when the base is (for example) Noetherian (Lemma 4.5). Definition 25.3 is also consistent with the complex analytic case defined in [Nak87, Definition 1.7] because the GAGA correspondence preserves cohomology modules [AT19, Theorem C.1.1]. Finally, Definition 25.3 is consistent with the notions of degree and intersection theory on rigid analytic spaces of dimension ≤ 2 from [Uen87, §5(a); Mit11, §§A.4–A.5] because in the GAGA correspondence preserves cohomology modules [Köp74, 1. GAGA-Satz 4.7].

Remark 25.4. The condition in Definition 25.3(ii) on π -contracted curves holds for (I) and (IV) when π is projective. In the categories (II), (III), and (III'), every π -contracted curves is the analytification of a scheme C^{al} over $\{z\}^{\text{al}}$. See [nfdc23] for (II), see [dJ95, Proposition 3.2 and Remark 3.3; Duc, Théorème 3.7.2] for (III), and see [FM86, Théorème 2] for (III').

25.1.3. *Bigness and pseudoeffectivity.* For $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$ and projective morphisms in \mathfrak{Sp} , we define relatively big and relatively pseudoeffective \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaves as follows:

Definition 25.5. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism in \mathfrak{Sp} . Let $D \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$. We say that D is π -big (resp. π -pseudoeffective) if, for every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$, the restriction $D|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is the relative analytification of a π -big (resp. π -pseudoeffective) \mathbf{k} -invertible sheaf on $(\pi^{-1}(U))^{\text{al}}$ in the sense of Definition 5.5.

In case (II), this definition matches the definition in [Fuj, Definition 2.46] by Corollary 5.9 as long as the source space is normal.

25.1.4. *Divisors and \mathbf{Q} -factoriality.* Let X be an irreducible normal space (see [Con99, Lemma 1.2.1] for (I), [GR84, p. 8 and §9.1.2] for (II), [Ber93, §2.2; Duc09, p. 1455] for (III), [BGR84, p. 300; CM98, Definition on p. 12; Con99, Definition 2.2.2] for (III'), and [Man23, Definitions 2.3 and 2.11] for (IV)).

Weil divisors are defined as formal sums of integral closed subspaces of codimension 1 that are locally finite, i.e., they become finite sums after restriction to every affinoid subdomain. See [Cai, Definition 4.10] for (III) and [Bos83, p. 8] for (III').

For Cartier divisors, we adopt the following definitions. For (II), Cartier divisors are defined as a special type of Weil divisor, following [Nak87, p. 555]. For (I), (III), (III'), and (IV), we use the definition of Cartier divisors on G -ringed spaces from [Gub98, Definition 2.2] (see also [Cai, Definition 3.6]). Note that for (IV), the necessary results for the sheaf \mathcal{M}_X of meromorphic functions on adic spaces proved in [MR23, §2.14] hold for all adic spaces that are both taut over $\text{Spa}(k, k^\circ)$ [Hub96, Definition 0.4.7(ii)] and strongly Noetherian [Hub94, p. 524] (note that all (partially) proper morphisms are taut [Hub96, Definition 0.4.2 and p. 18], and hence tautness holds for the morphisms we will consider in the sequel). In each of these cases, we have a cycle map

$$\text{cyc}: \text{Div}(X) \longrightarrow \text{WDiv}(X).$$

This follows from definition of Weil and Cartier divisors for (II). For (III'), see [Bos83, pp. 8–10]. For (I), (III), (III'), and (IV) see [Gub98, 2.5], which gives another version of the construction for (III'). In the other categories, the construction in [Gub98] also works since inclusions of affinoid subdomains induce flat maps on rings of sections by [Tem12, Lemma 2.4.6], [Ber90, Proposition 2.2.4(ii)], and [Hub93₁, Proposition 3.3.8(i)], respectively.

Finally, linear equivalence is defined using the exact sequence (3) (which holds for all locally ringed spaces) in cases (I), (II), (III), and (IV). In case (III'), we use [Bos83, Proposition 3.1] to pass from Cartier divisors to invertible sheaves.

Remark 25.6. For formal schemes (I), an example of Smith [Smi17, pp. 59–60] shows that the cycle map may not be injective, even if X is a formal scheme that is rig-smooth over a field in the sense of [BLR95, Definition 3.1]. This will affect our definition of \mathbf{Q} -factoriality below. See also [Spe73, Corollary on p. 17], which describes the kernel of the map $\text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Div}(\mathfrak{X})$ when \mathfrak{X} is the formal completion of a regular scheme over a field along a connected closed subscheme.

We now define \mathbf{k} -Weil and \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors and the corresponding notion of \mathbf{Q} -factoriality. We note that we work relatively over a base Z in order to be compatible with GAGA. See also [Nak87, Definition 4.13] for the complex analytic case.

Definition 25.7. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism in \mathfrak{Sp} , where X is irreducible and normal. Let $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, and define \mathbf{k} -Weil divisors and \mathbf{k} -Cartier divisors as in Definition 3.1. We say that X is \mathbf{k} -factorial over Z if for every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$, the map

$$\text{cyc}_{\mathbf{k}}: \text{Div}_{\mathbf{k}}(\pi^{-1}(U)^{\text{al}}) \longrightarrow \text{WDiv}_{\mathbf{k}}(\pi^{-1}(U)^{\text{al}})$$

is surjective. A \mathbf{k} -Weil divisor on X is \mathbf{k} -Cartier if it lies in the image of $\text{cyc}_{\mathbf{k}}$ over each U .

We note that regular rigid analytic spaces over a field k are \mathbf{Q} -factorial over $\text{Sp}(k)$ (in fact, cyc is an isomorphism) by [Mit11, Theorem A.9].

25.1.5. *Canonical divisors and singularities of pairs.* We can define canonical sheaves and divisors in the same way as in Definition 6.1 using the notion of dualizing complexes from §23. We define singularities of \mathbf{Q} -pairs as in Definition 6.5, where we note that the requisite trace morphisms $f_*\omega_Y \rightarrow \omega_X$ between canonical sheaves exist by analytifying the corresponding Grothendieck trace morphisms on schemes. Since we are working with \mathbf{Q} -pairs, however, instead of working with \mathbf{Q} -linear equivalences as in Definition 6.1, we can work with isomorphisms of coherent sheaves as in [Kol13, (2.4.1)], which is easier to work with under the GAGA correspondence.

In case (I), the trace morphism from [ATJLL99] is the analytification of the trace morphism in the scheme case by Remark 23.4(ii). In cases (II) and (IV), the trace morphisms from [RRV71] and [CS22] are the analytifications of the trace morphism in the scheme case by Theorems 24.4(iii) and 24.8(iii), respectively. In cases (III) and (III'), we note that one can define discrepancies using isomorphisms of the form in [Kol13, (2.4.1)].

Moreover, in cases (II), (III), (III'), and (IV), the canonical divisors K_X and canonical sheaves ω_X have concrete descriptions as sheaves of top differential forms after restricting to the smooth locus of X by Theorems 24.4(iii), 24.6(iii), and 24.8(iv).

To reduce to the scheme setting, we prove the following:

Lemma 25.8. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism in \mathfrak{Sp} , where if we are not in $\mathfrak{Sp}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, we additionally assume that $\dim(X) \leq 3$. Let Δ be an \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is klt. Then, for every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$, we have that $(\pi^{-1}(U)^{\text{al}}, \Delta|_{\pi^{-1}(U)^{\text{al}}})$ is klt.*

Proof. Replacing Z by an affinoid subdomain U , we may assume that $U = Z$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_U(U)$ is excellent by [Fri67, Théorème I, 9; Mat73, Theorem 2.7; AT19, Lemma B.6.1(i)] in the complex-analytic case and [Kie69, Theorem 3.3; Con00, §1.1; Duc09, Théorème 2.13] in the non-Archimedean case. Fix a proper log resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X^{\text{al}}$ of $(X^{\text{al}}, \Delta^{\text{al}})$, which exists by [Tem08, Theorem 2.3.6] in equal characteristic zero, and by [Lip78, Theorem on p. 151; CP19, Theorem 1.1; CJS20, Corollary 1.5; BMPSTWW, Proposition 2.14] in arbitrary characteristic if $\dim(X) \leq 3$. Then, X^{an} is normal and $f^{\text{an}}: Y^{\text{an}} \rightarrow X$ is a log resolution of (X, Δ) by [AT19, Proposition 6.3.6] except in case (IV), where we apply Lemma 24.9 together with [Mat89, Theorem 23.7(ii)] instead. The claim about klt singularities holds since (after reducing to the case of \mathbf{Q} -coefficients using [Kol13, Proposition 2.21]) the expression

$$K_{Y^{\text{an}}} + (f^{\text{an}})_*^{-1}\Delta \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} f^{\text{an}*}(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_{f\text{-exceptional } E} a(E, X, \Delta)E$$

(or more canonically, the sheaf-theoretic version of this \mathbf{Q} -linear equivalence in [Kol13, (2.4.2)]) also holds after algebraization. \square

Remark 25.9. Lemma 25.8 holds for other singularities of pairs, since we showed that the discrepancies are well-behaved under algebraization.

26. THE RELATIVE MMP WITH SCALING (PROOFS OF THEOREMS A, A^p , AND B)

26.1. Conventions. We now set our conventions for the relative minimal model program with scaling. We adopt the conventions from [Kol21₂; VP] where one contracts extremal faces instead of extremal rays.

We will fix the following notation.

- (†) Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of normal spaces in \mathfrak{Sp} , such that Z is irreducible. Let D be an \mathbf{R} -invertible sheaf on X , and let H be an \mathbf{R} -invertible sheaf on X such that $D + r_X H$ is π -ample for some $r_X \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$.

Definition 26.1 [Kol21₂, Definition 1; VP, §2]. Fix notation as in (†). By decreasing the constant r_X in (†) to a real number $r \geq 0$, we arrive at either one of the following situations:

- (i) $r > 0$ is a real number such that $D + rH$ is π -nef but not π -ample, and $D + (r + \varepsilon)H$ is π -ample for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
(ii) $r = 0$, in which case D is π -nef.

For such r , we define $\phi^r: X \rightarrow Y$ to be the morphism (if it exists) that contracts all π -contracted curves $C \subseteq X$ such that $(D + rH) \cdot C = 0$. We then assume we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \overset{f^r}{\dashrightarrow} & X^r \\
 \searrow \phi^r & & \swarrow \psi^r \\
 & Y & \\
 \searrow \pi & \downarrow & \swarrow \pi^r \\
 & Z &
 \end{array}$$

where ψ^r is birational with exceptional and assuming $D^r = (f^r)_*D$ and $H^r = (f^r)_*H$ are \mathbf{R} -Cartier, the divisor $D^r + (r - \varepsilon)H^r$ on X^r is π^r -ample for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. The rational map $f^r: X \dashrightarrow X^r$ is the first step of the relative D -MMP with scaling of H . We then replace X by X^r and decrease the coefficient $(r - \varepsilon)$ in $D^r + (r - \varepsilon)H^r$ to obtain the next step of the π -relative D -MMP with scaling of H .

To discuss the outputs of the relative D -MMP, we index these steps continuously, following [VP, §2]. For each $r \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$, the r -th output of the π -relative D -MMP with scaling of H (if it exists), denoted by $f^r: X \dashrightarrow X^r$, will mean the composite

$$X \dashrightarrow X^{r_1} \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow X^{r_n} \quad (39)$$

for real numbers $r_1 > \cdots > r_n \geq r$, where each r_i is such that

- $D^{r_{i-1}} + r_i H^{r_{i-1}}$ is π -nef, but not π -ample;
- $D^{r_{i-1}} + (r_i + \varepsilon)H^{r_{i-1}}$ is π -ample for all $\varepsilon > 0$; and
- $D^{r_n} + (r - \varepsilon)H^{r_n}$ is π -ample for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Each birational map in (39) is the composition of steps in the π -relative D -MMP with scaling of H as described above.

Remark 26.2. Compared to the conventions in [BCHM10, Remark 3.10.10] and in the proof of Theorem 21.7, the steps of this version of the relative minimal model program are uniquely determined by the starting data, and correspond to compositions of steps in Theorem 21.7. This will be useful in extending our results to other categories as was done for algebraic spaces in [VP]. See [Kol21₂, Warning 1.8] and [VP, pp. 2–3] for more discussion.

We have the following uniqueness result for the outputs of the relative D -MMP with scaling.

Lemma 26.3 [VP, Lemma 2.1]. *Let \mathfrak{Sp} be as in (0) of Setup 25.1. Suppose the hypotheses in (\dagger) are satisfied. The r -th output of the π -relative D -MMP with scaling of H , if it exists, is characterized by the following properties:*

- (i) $f^r: X \dashrightarrow X^r$ is a birational contraction to an integral normal algebraic space proper over Z .
- (ii) $f_*^r(D + (r - \varepsilon)H)$ is ample over Z for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.
- (iii) f^r only contracts Weil divisors E for which the restriction $(D + rH)|_E$ is not big over Z .

26.2. Gluing. We now prove a variation of [VP, Theorem 2.2] that shows that the steps of the relative D -MMP with scaling are compatible with certain base changes $V \rightarrow Z$. Compared to [VP, Theorem 2.2], we have weakened the quasi-finiteness assumption to the assumption that the morphism $V \rightarrow Z$ is quasi-finite in the sense of [SGA1_{new}, p. 2] over all closed points. Note that the definition of quasi-finiteness in [SGA1_{new}] does not include finite type assumptions. This is necessary to work with transition morphisms between rings of sections of affinoid subdomains in Theorem 26.5 below. For simplicity, we assume that Z is a scheme.

Theorem 26.4. *Let \mathfrak{Sp} be as in (0) of Setup 25.1. Suppose the hypotheses in (\dagger) are satisfied, and suppose that Z is a scheme. Let V be a quasi-excellent normal scheme and let $V \rightarrow Z$ be a universally open morphism that is quasi-finite over all closed points in Z . If X^r exists, then $(X_V)^r$ exists and $(X_V)^r \cong (X^r \times_Z V)^\nu$, where $(-)^{\nu}$ denotes normalization.*

Proof. We assume that $f^r: X \dashrightarrow X^r$ exists. Consider the normalized base change

$$X_V := (X \times_Z V)^\nu \dashrightarrow (X^r \times_Z V)^\nu,$$

which fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_V & \xrightarrow{f_V^r} & (X^r \times_Z V)^\nu \\ p_V \downarrow & & \downarrow p_V^r \\ X & \xrightarrow{f^r} & X^r \end{array}$$

We claim that f_V^r satisfies the properties in Lemma 26.3.

We first show (i). By construction, $(X^r \times_Z V)^\nu$ is normal, and is proper over V . Now suppose that the birational inverse $(f_V^r)^{-1}$ contracts a Weil divisor E . Since X^r is proper over Z , we see that p_V^r is quasi-finite over all closed points in X^r , and similarly for p_V . Thus, $p_V^r(E)$ is a Weil divisor in X^r whose birational transform in X is of codimension ≥ 2 . This contradicts the assumption that f^r is a birational contraction. Thus, f_V^r is a birational contraction as well.

Next, we show (ii). We know that $f_*^r(D + (r - \varepsilon)H)$ is ample over Z . Since p_V^r is quasi-finite over all closed points in X^r , we see that the pullback $p_V^{r*} f_*^r(D + (r - \varepsilon)H)$ is ample over Z (here we use that ampleness can be detected over closed points by combining [Stacks, Tag 0D36] and [Kee03, Proposition 2.7]). Since both X_V and $(X^r \times_Z V)^\nu$ are normal schemes, we have

$$p_V^{r*} f_*^r(D + (r - \varepsilon)H) = (f_V^r)_*(D_V + (r - \varepsilon)H_V)$$

since they agree in codimension 1.

Finally, we show (iii). Suppose f_V^r contracts a Weil divisor E . Since p_V is quasi-finite all closed points in X^r , we see that $p_V(E)$ is a divisor in X that is contracted by f^r . Thus, $(D + rH)|_{p_V(E)}$ is not big. This implies $(D_V + rH_V)|_{p_V(E)}$ is not big, since $V \rightarrow Z$ maps generic points to generic points [EGA1_{new}, Propositions 3.9.3(i) and 3.9.5(ii)], and volumes are compatible with field extensions by flat base change [EGAIII₁, Proposition 1.4.15]. \square

We can now glue steps of the relative D -MMP together. See [VP, Corollary 2.3] for the corresponding gluing statement for steps of the MMP for algebraic spaces.

Theorem 26.5. *Let \mathfrak{Sp} be as in (I), (II), (III), (III'), or (IV) of Setup 25.1. Suppose the hypotheses in (\dagger) are satisfied. Let $Z = \bigcup_a V_a$ be an affinoid covering, and define $X_a = X \times_Z V_a$, $\pi_a = \pi|_{X_a}$, $D_a = D|_{X_a}$, and $H_a = H|_{X_a}$. Suppose that for each a we know the existence of the r -th output of the π_a -relative D_a -MMP with scaling of H_a . Then, the r -th output of the π -relative D -MMP with scaling of H exists.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for every affinoid subdomain $W \subseteq V_a \cap V_b$, the restrictions of the r -th output of the π_a -relative D -MMP with scaling coincides with that of the π_b -relative D -MMP with scaling.

Let $A_a = \mathcal{O}_{V_a}(V_a)$, $A_b = \mathcal{O}_{V_b}(V_b)$, and $B = \mathcal{O}_W(W)$. It suffices to show that the corresponding steps of the relative D -MMP with scaling over the schemes $\mathrm{Spec}(A_a)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(A_b)$ under the GAGA correspondences in [AT19, §6.3] and [Hub07, §6] coincide with that on $\mathrm{Spec}(B)$, since all objects involved are projective over Z . By Theorem 26.4, it suffices to show that the maps $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A_a)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A_b)$ are universally open and quasi-finite over closed points. The fact that they are universally open follows from the fact that they are flat, as shown in [AT19, Lemma 6.2.8] and [Hub93₁, Proposition 3.3.8(i)]. It therefore suffices to show that if $W \subseteq V$ is an inclusion of affinoid subdomains in Z , then the map $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_W(W)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_V(V))$ is quasi-finite over closed points. For (I), this follows by definition of the structure sheaf on Spf . For (II) and (III'), we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & V \\ h_W \downarrow & & \downarrow h_V \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_W(W)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_V(V)) \end{array}$$

of (G) -ringed spaces, where the vertical arrows are the analytification morphisms from GAGA as in [AT19, §6.3]. Then, h_W and h_V induce bijections on closed points by [AT19, Lemma B.6.1(iii)] for (II) and by combining [BGR84, Corollary 6.1.2/3] and [Ber93, Lemma 2.6.3] for (III'). For (II) and (III'), we therefore see that the bottom horizontal arrow is finite-to-one over closed points by counting points in fibers. The same argument works for (IV) as long as we replace W and V with their Jacobson–Gelfand spectra $\mathrm{JG}(W)$ and $\mathrm{JG}(V)$ respectively in the top row above, in which case the vertical arrows induce bijections on closed points by [Lou, Proposition 3.3(2)].

For (III), we can repeat the same argument as above after passing to a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field extension $k \subseteq K_r$ such that $W \hat{\otimes}_k K_r$ and $V \hat{\otimes}_k K_r$ are strictly K_r -affinoid (such a K_r exists as in the proof of [Ber90, Proposition 2.2.4]). This is because the morphisms $W \hat{\otimes}_k K_r \rightarrow W$ and $V \hat{\otimes}_k K_r \rightarrow V$ are faithfully flat by [Ber93, Lemma 2.1.2] and because the normality conditions in (\dagger) are preserved by the fact that the extension $k \subseteq K_r$ is analytically separable in the sense of [Duc09, Définition 1.6] by [Duc18, Proposition 2.6.7(3)]. \square

26.3. Proof of Theorems A and A^p. We can now prove Theorems A and A^p.

Proof of Theorems A and A^p. We first replace $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ by its Stein factorization to assume that Z is normal. Note that Stein factorizations exist for algebraic spaces by [Stacks, Tag 0A1B], for semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces by applying [GR84, 10.6.1] to a representative for π , for Berkovich spaces by [Ber90, Proposition 3.3.7], for rigid analytic spaces by [BGR84, Proposition 9.6.3/5], and for adic spaces locally of weakly finite type over a field by [Man23, Theorem 3.9]. For Theorem A (resp. A^p), case (0) (resp. case (0) for schemes quasi-projective over an excellent domain admitting a dualizing complex) was shown in Theorems 21.7 and 21.8 (resp. in [Tan18, Theorem 4.5; BMPSTWW, Theorem G]). It therefore suffices to show Theorem A (resp. A^p) in the other cases. Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -invertible sheaf as in the statement of Theorem A (resp. A^p), and let $Z = \bigcup_a V_a$ be an affinoid covering. Note that each $\mathcal{O}_{V_a}(V_a)$ is excellent either by assumption or by [Fri67, Théorème I,

9; [Mat73](#), Theorem 2.7; [AT19](#), Lemma B.6.1(*i*)] in the complex-analytic case and [[Kie69](#), Theorem 3.3; [Con00](#), §1.1; [Duc09](#), Théorème 2.13] in the non-Archimedean case.

For Theorem [A](#), we can use Lemma [21.3](#) to show that after possibly shrinking the V_a , we have divisors $A_a \in |A_{|\pi^{-1}(V_a)}|$ such that $(X_a, \Delta_{|X_a} + A_a)$ is klt. The A_a are therefore good scaling divisors in the sense of Definition [21.2](#). We want to apply Theorem [26.5](#) for $D = K_X + \Delta$, $H = A$, and the affinoid covering $Z = \bigcup_a V_a$. By GAGA and Theorems [21.7](#) and [21.8](#), each step of the π_a -relative $(K_X + \Delta)_a$ -MMP with scaling of A_a exists (note that because of the difference in conventions, the steps of the relative MMP in Definition [26.1](#) are compositions of steps in Theorem [21.7](#); see Remark [26.2](#)). In order to apply these theorems, we note that the positivity conditions on A and $K_X + \Delta + A$ are preserved under algebraization, as well as the klt condition on $(X_a, \Delta_{|X_a} + A_a)$ (see Lemma [25.8](#)). By Theorem [26.5](#), we can glue these relative MMP steps to obtain global MMP steps over Z . By construction, we see that this relative MMP terminates over each V_a in the way described (see Corollaries [21.9](#) and [21.10](#)).

For Theorem [A^p](#), we apply GAGA together with [[Tan18](#), Theorem 4.5] (see also [[BMPSTWW](#), Remark 2.41]) when $\dim(X) = 2$, and when $\dim(X) = 3$, we apply GAGA together with [[BMPSTWW](#), Theorem G] in case (*a*), [[Kaw94](#); [Kaw99](#), §3; [TY23](#), Theorem 5.10] in case (*b*), and [[Kol21₂](#), Theorem 9] in case (*c*) over each V_a to say that each step of the π_a -relative $(K_X + \Delta)_a$ -MMP with scaling of A_a exists (with the difference in conventions as in the previous paragraph) and terminates. Note that in case (*a*), the assumptions on the residue characteristics of local rings of Z imply that the residue characteristics $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Z(Z))$ do not lie in $\{2, 3, 5\}$ using the bijections used in the second paragraph of the proof of Theorem [26.5](#). The rest of the argument now proceeds as in the previous paragraph. \square

26.4. Proof of Theorem [B](#). Finally, we prove Theorem [B](#).

Proof of Theorem [B](#). As before, we have already shown case (0) in Theorem [17.4](#). It therefore suffices to show Theorem [B](#) in the other cases.

The positivity conditions on the A_i and $c_i K_X + \Delta_i$ are preserved under algebraization over every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$, as well as the klt condition on (X, Δ_i) (see Lemma [25.8](#)). Since GAGA preserves cohomology groups [[EGAIII₁](#), Proposition 5.1.2; [AT19](#), Theorem C.1.1; [Poi10](#), Théorème A.1(*i*); [Köp74](#), Folgerung 6.6; [Hub07](#), Corollary 6.4] (see also [[Con06](#), Example 3.2.6; [Hal23](#), Example 9.4]), we can apply Theorem [17.4](#) over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_U(U))$ to deduce Theorem [B](#). Note that each $\mathcal{O}_U(U)$ is excellent as shown at the end of the first paragraph in the proof of Theorems [A](#) and [A^p](#). \square

Part VI. Additional results in other categories

In this part, we apply our gluing method (Theorem [26.4](#)) to prove a version of the relative minimal model program with scaling that does not require shrinking the base space Z . We use as input the existing results in [[Fuj](#); [DHP](#)] instead of our own results on the relative minimal model program that we showed earlier on in the paper. We then reformulate the Basepoint-free theorem (Theorem [11.1](#)) and the Contraction theorem (Theorem [11.3](#)) so that they apply to contexts where dualizing complexes may not exist.

27. THE RELATIVE MMP WITH SCALING FOR COMPLEX ANALYTIC SPACES WITHOUT SHRINKING

In this section, we use our result on gluing (Theorem [26.4](#)) to show that the relative minimal model program with scaling established by Fujino [[Fuj](#), Theorem 1.7] and Das–Hacon–Păun [[DHP](#), Theorem 1.4] can be performed without shrinking the base space at each step, as long as the scaling divisor C has stronger positivity properties to enable gluing. We note, however, that if Z does not

admit a finite cover by affinoid subdomains, then there may not be a sequence of flips and divisorial contractions that is globally finite that yields the pair (X_m, Δ_m) .

The following result uses the results on the relative minimal model program proved in [Fuj] instead of our results for schemes proved in Parts I–IV.

Theorem 27.1. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of semianalytic germs of complex analytic spaces, where X and Z are integral and X is normal. Suppose X is \mathbf{Q} -factorial over every affinoid subdomain in Z , and let Δ be an π -big \mathbf{R} -divisor such that (X, Δ) is klt. Let C be an effective \mathbf{R} -divisor on X such that $(X, \Delta + C)$ is klt and $K_X + \Delta + rC$ is π -ample for some $r \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$. Then, the relative minimal model program with scaling of C over Z exists. Moreover, we have the following properties.*

- (1) *The relative minimal model program with scaling of C over Z terminates after a finite sequence of flips and divisorial contractions over every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$ starting from $(\pi^{-1}(U), \Delta|_{\pi^{-1}(U)})$.*
- (2) *The relative minimal model program with scaling of C over Z yields a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) & \dashrightarrow & (X_m, \Delta_m) \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi_m \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

where $X \dashrightarrow X_m$ is a meromorphic map in the sense of Remmert. Over every affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$, the morphism $\pi_m^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is either a minimal model over U (when $(K_X + \Delta)|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ -pseudoeffective) or a Mori fibration over U (when $(K_X + \Delta)|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is not $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ -pseudoeffective).

Proof. By applying Stein factorization [GR84, 10.6.1] to a representative for π , we may assume that Z is normal. Over each affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$, the necessary steps of the relative minimal model program with scaling in C exist and terminate in the situations listed above by applying [Fuj, Theorem 1.7] to a representative \mathcal{U} of the germ $U = (\mathcal{U}, U)$. Note that the shrinking present in [Fuj] amounts to replacing \mathcal{U} by a possibly smaller complex analytic space that still contains U (Noetherianity of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})$ holds by [Fri67, Théorème I, 9]). Finally, applying Theorem 26.4 to the algebraizations of these steps over an affinoid covering of Z , we see that there exists a partially defined map $(X, \Delta) \dashrightarrow (X_m, \Delta_m)$ that is meromorphic in the sense of Remmert [Rem57, Def. 15] (see also [Pet94, Definition 1.7]). Over each affinoid subdomain $U \subseteq Z$, this meromorphic map restricts to a finite sequence of flips and divisorial contractions, and the morphism $\pi_m^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a minimal model or a Mori fibration. \square

28. BASEPOINT-FREE AND CONTRACTION THEOREMS WITHOUT DUALIZING COMPLEXES

In this section, we formulate versions of the Basepoint-free theorem (Theorem 11.1) and the Contraction theorem (Theorem 11.3) that do not assume that X and Z have dualizing complexes. Instead, we put conditions on singularities of pairs and the positivity of Cartier divisors after base change to completions at points in Z .

Below, the assumption that the formal fibers of Z are geometrically normal imply that $X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,z}$ is normal for every $z \in Z$ by [EGAIV₂, Corollaire 6.5.4 and Proposition 6.8.2]. The rings $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,z}$ admit dualizing complexes by [Har66, (4) on p. 299].

Theorem 28.1 (Basepoint-free theorem; cf. Theorem 11.1). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper surjective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that the formal fibers of Z are geometrically normal.*

Let Δ be an effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X . For each $z \in Z$, consider the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{X}_z & \longrightarrow & X \\ \hat{\pi}_z \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \hat{Z}_z & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

where $\hat{Z}_z := \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,z})$, denote by $\hat{\Delta}_z$ the pullback of Δ to \hat{X}_z , and choose a canonical divisor $K_{\hat{X}_z}$ that is compatible with a dualizing complex on \hat{Z}_z . Suppose that for every closed point $z \in Z$, the \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor $K_{\hat{X}_z} + \hat{\Delta}_z$ is \mathbf{R} -Cartier.

Let $H \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be π -nef. Suppose the pair $(\hat{X}_z, \hat{\Delta}_z)$ is weakly log terminal (resp. klt) for every closed point $z \in Z$ and that there exists some $a_z \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $a_z \hat{H}_z - (K_{\hat{X}_z} + \hat{\Delta}_z)$ is $\hat{\pi}_z$ -ample (resp. $\hat{\pi}_z$ -big and $\hat{\pi}_z$ -nef) for every closed point $z \in Z$, where \hat{H}_z is the pullback of H to \hat{X}_z . Then, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that mH is π -generated for all $m \geq m_0$.

Proof. After replacing Z by the image of X , we may assume that π is surjective. Note the assumptions on the formal fibers of Z are not affected by [EGAIV₂, Théorème 7.4.4]. We make the following claim:

Claim 28.1.1. *For every prime number p , the Cartier divisor $p^n H$ is π -generated for $n \gg 0$.*

Showing Claim 28.1.1 would imply the theorem, since then the monoid of natural numbers $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that mH is π -generated would contain all sufficiently large integers by [RA05, Theorem 1.0.1]. Since for all $n, n' \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $n' \geq n$, we have the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Supp}\left(\text{coker}(\pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(p^{n'} H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(p^{n'} H))\right) \\ & \subseteq \text{Supp}\left(\text{coker}(\pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(p^n H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(p^n H))\right), \end{aligned}$$

the Noetherianity of Z implies there exist some n_0 such that these inclusions stabilize for all $n' \geq n \geq n_0$.

We claim that

$$\text{Supp}\left(\text{coker}(\pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(p^{n_0} H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(p^{n_0} H))\right) = \emptyset.$$

Suppose not, in which case there exists a closed point $z \in Z$ in this support by [EGAI_{new}, (2.1.2)]. We can then apply Theorem 11.1 to the base change $(\hat{X}_z, \hat{\Delta}_z)$ to see there exists $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$z \notin \text{Supp}\left(\text{coker}(\pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(p^n H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(p^n H))\right).$$

This contradicts the assumption that the chain of inclusions of supports stabilized for all $n \geq n_0$. \square

For the Contraction theorem, we have the following:

Theorem 28.2 (Contraction theorem; cf. Theorem 11.3). *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective surjective morphism of integral Noetherian schemes of equal characteristic zero over a scheme S . Suppose that X is normal and that the formal fibers of Z are geometrically normal.*

Let Δ be an effective \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor on X . For each $z \in Z$, consider the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{X}_z & \longrightarrow & X \\ \hat{\pi}_z \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \hat{Z}_z & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

where $\hat{Z}_z := \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,z})$, denote by $\hat{\Delta}_z$ the pullback of Δ to \hat{X}_z , and choose a canonical divisor $K_{\hat{X}_z}$ that is compatible with a dualizing complex on \hat{Z}_z .

Suppose that for every closed point $z \in Z$, the \mathbf{R} -Weil divisor $K_{\hat{X}_z} + \hat{\Delta}_z$ is \mathbf{R} -Cartier and that $(\hat{X}_z, \hat{\Delta}_z)$ is weakly log terminal. Let $H \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be π -nef such that for every $z \in Z$, we have

$$F := (\hat{H}_z^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(\hat{X}_z/\hat{Z}_z)) - \{0\} \subseteq \left\{ \beta \in N_1(\hat{X}_z/\hat{Z}_z) \mid (K_{\hat{X}_z} + \hat{\Delta}_z) \cdot \beta < 0 \right\}$$

where \hat{H}_z is the pullback of H to \hat{X}_z and $\hat{H}_z^\perp := \{\beta \in N_1(\hat{X}_z/\hat{Z}_z) \mid (\hat{H}_z \cdot \beta) = 0\}$. Then, there exists a projective surjective morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ to an integral normal Noetherian scheme projective over Z making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ \pi \searrow & & \swarrow \sigma \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

commute and satisfying the following properties:

- (i) For every integral one-dimensional subspace $C \subseteq X$ such that $\pi(C)$ is a point, we have the property that $\varphi(C)$ is a point if and only if $(H \cdot C) = 0$, i.e., if and only if $[C] \in F$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is an isomorphism.
- (iii) $H = \varphi^* A$ for some σ -ample $A \in \text{Pic}(Y)$.

Moreover, φ is characterized by the properties (i) and (ii).

Proof. By the fact that relative ampleness can be detected over closed points [Kee03, Proposition 2.7], we can apply Kleiman's criterion (Proposition 4.17) to say for each $z \in Z$, there exists a $a \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $a_z \hat{H}_z - (K_{\hat{X}_z} + \hat{\Delta}_z)$ is $\hat{\pi}_z$ -ample. Here, we use the fact that relative ampleness can be detected over closed points to say that the curves contracted by the morphism $\hat{\pi}_z$ map to curves contracted by the morphism π (the completion map $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,z}$ induces an isomorphism of residue fields). Thus, by the Basepoint-free theorem (Theorem 28.1), we know that mH is π -generated for $m \gg 0$. The rest of the proof now proceeds as in the proof of Theorem 11.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [AB10] D. Arinkin and R. Bezrukavnikov. "Perverse coherent sheaves." *Mosc. Math. J.* 10.1 (2010), pp. 3–29. DOI: [10.17323/1609-4514-2010-10-1-3-29](https://doi.org/10.17323/1609-4514-2010-10-1-3-29). MR: [2668828](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2668828). [10](https://arxiv.org/abs/1003.2929)
- [ADHL15] I. Arzhantsev, U. Derenthal, J. Hausen, and A. Laface. *Cox rings*. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., Vol. 144. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2015. DOI: [10.1017/CB09781139175852](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139175852). MR: [3307753](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3307753). [36, 44, 59, 61](https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.5961)
- [AF92] L. L. Avramov and H.-B. Foxby. "Locally Gorenstein homomorphisms." *Amer. J. Math.* 114.5 (1992), pp. 1007–1047. DOI: [10.2307/2374888](https://doi.org/10.2307/2374888). MR: [1183530](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1183530). [90, 92](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.092)
- [AHV77] J. M. Aroca, H. Hironaka, and J. L. Vicente. *Desingularization theorems*. Memorias Mat. Inst. Jorge Juan, Vol. 30. Madrid: Consejo Sup. Inv. Cient., 1977. MR: [480502](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=480502). [2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.0202)
- [AKMW02] D. Abramovich, K. Karu, K. Matsuki, and J. Włodarczyk. "Torification and factorization of birational maps." *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15.3 (2002), pp. 531–572. DOI: [10.1090/S0894-0347-02-00396-X](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-02-00396-X). MR: [1896232](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1896232). [2](https://arxiv.org/abs/0202020)
- [AL] T. Abe and C. Lazda. "Proper pushforwards on analytic adic spaces." Aug. 20, 2022. [arXiv:2009.05433v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.05433v2) [[math.AG](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.05433v2)]. [90](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.05433v2)
- [AT19] D. Abramovich and M. Temkin. "Functorial factorization of birational maps for qc schemes in characteristic 0." *Algebra Number Theory* 13.2 (2019), pp. 379–424. DOI: [10.2140/ant.2019.13.379](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.379). MR: [3927050](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3927050). [2, 4, 9, 82, 84, 87, 88, 92, 93, 95, 98, 99](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.02020)
- [ATJLL99] L. Alonso Tarrío, A. Jeremías López, and J. Lipman. *Studies in duality on Noetherian formal schemes and non-Noetherian ordinary schemes*. Contemp. Math., Vol. 244. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1999. See also [ATJLL03; Lip07]. DOI: [10.1090/conm/244](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/244). MR: [1716706](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1716706). [4, 7, 81, 82, 95](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.02020)
- [ATJLL03] L. Alonso Tarrío, A. Jeremías López, and J. Lipman. "Correction to the paper: "Duality and flat base change on formal schemes"." *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131.2 (2003), pp. 351–357. DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9939-02-06558-9](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-02-06558-9). MR: [1933323](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1933323). [102](https://arxiv.org/abs/0202020)

- [BBDG18] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, and O. Gabber. “Faisceaux pervers.” Second ed. *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*. Astérisque, Vol. 100. Paris: Soc. Math. France, 2018. First ed. available at http://www.numdam.org/issues/AST_1982__100__1_0/. MR: 751966. 85, 86
- [BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan. “Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type.” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23.2 (2010), pp. 405–468. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00649-3. MR: 2601039. 2, 3, 4, 6, 11, 14, 15, 26, 30, 33, 69, 96
- [Ber90] V. G. Berkovich. *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*. Math. Surveys Monogr., Vol. 33. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1990. DOI: 10.1090/surv/033. MR: 1070709. 83, 89, 94, 98
- [Ber93] V. G. Berkovich. “Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 78 (1993), pp. 5–161. DOI: 10.1007/BF02712916. MR: 1259429. 4, 83, 89, 90, 91, 93, 94, 98
- [Bey97] P. Beyer. “On Serre-duality for coherent sheaves on rigid-analytic spaces.” *Manuscripta Math.* 93.2 (1997), pp. 219–245. DOI: 10.1007/BF02677468. MR: 1464367. 90
- [BGR84] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert. *Non-Archimedean analysis. A systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Vol. 261. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1984. MR: 746961. 83, 84, 93, 94, 98
- [BGS] S. Boissière, O. Gabber, and O. Serman. “Sur le produit de variétés localement factorielles ou \mathbf{Q} -factorielles.” May 27, 2019. [arXiv:1104.1861v4](https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.1861v4) [math.AG]. 13
- [BLR95] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud. “Formal and rigid geometry. III. The relative maximum principle.” *Math. Ann.* 302.1 (1995), pp. 1–29. DOI: 10.1007/BF01444485. MR: 1329445. 89, 94
- [BMPSTWW] B. Bhatt, L. Ma, Zs. Patakfalvi, K. Schwede, K. Tucker, J. Waldron, and J. Witaszek. “Globally \pm -regular varieties and the minimal model program for threefolds in mixed characteristic.” To appear in *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* DOI: 10.1007/s10240-023-00140-8. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 37, 38, 40, 68, 95, 98, 99
- [Bos83] S. Bosch. “Meromorphic functions on proper rigid analytic varieties.” *Seminar on number theory, 1982–1983 (Talence, 1982/1983)*. Talence: Univ. Bordeaux I, 1983, Exp. No. 34, 22 pp. URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44166424>. MR: 750335. 94
- [Bou72] N. Bourbaki. *Elements of mathematics. Commutative algebra*. Translated from the French. Paris: Hermann; Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1972. ark:/13960/t56f3ng94. MR: 360549. 17, 18, 40, 54
- [BS76] C. Bănică and O. Stănăşilă. *Algebraic methods in the global theory of complex spaces*. Translated from the Romanian. Bucharest: Editura Academiei; London-New York-Sydney: John Wiley & Sons, 1976. MR: 463470. 83, 93
- [Cai] Y. Cai. “Intersection theory on non-archimedean analytic spaces.” Oct. 31, 2022. [arXiv:2301.02629v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.02629v1) [math.AG]. 94
- [CDB13] S. Cacciola and L. Di Biagio. “Asymptotic base loci on singular varieties.” *Math. Z.* 275.1-2 (2013), pp. 151–166. DOI: 10.1007/s00209-012-1128-3. MR: 3101802. 37
- [CHP16] F. Campana, A. Horing, and Th. Peternell. “Abundance for Kähler threefolds.” *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 49.4 (2016), pp. 971–1025. See also [CHP]. DOI: 10.24033/asens.2301. MR: 3552019. 7
- [CHP] F. Campana, A. Horing, and Th. Peternell. “Erratum and addendum to the paper: Abundance for Kähler threefolds.” Apr. 20, 2023. [arXiv:2304.10161v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.10161v1) [math.AG]. 103
- [CJS20] V. Cossart, U. Jannsen, and S. Saito. *Desingularization: invariants and strategy—application to dimension 2*. With contributions by B. Schober. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 2270. Cham: Springer, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-52640-5. MR: 4167468. 95
- [CL12] P. Cascini and V. Lazić. “New outlook on the minimal model program, I.” *Duke Math. J.* 161.12 (2012), pp. 2415–2467. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-1723755. MR: 2972461. 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 47, 48, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61
- [CL13] A. Corti and V. Lazić. “New outlook on the minimal model program, II.” *Math. Ann.* 356.2 (2013), pp. 617–633. DOI: 10.1007/s00208-012-0858-1. MR: 3048609. 6, 7, 8, 9, 34, 37, 40, 47, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 69, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78
- [CLM22] R. Cheng, C. Lian, and T. Murayama. “Projectivity of the moduli of curves.” In collaboration with Y. Kovacheva and M. Marinescu. *Stacks Project Expository Collection*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. 480. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2022, pp. 1–43. DOI: 10.1017/9781009051897. 003. MR: 4480532. 16, 26
- [CLO12] B. Conrad, M. Lieblich, and M. Olsson. “Nagata compactification for algebraic spaces.” *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 11.4 (2012), pp. 747–814. DOI: 10.1017/S1474748011000223. MR: 2979821. 10

- [CM98] R. Coleman and B. Mazur. “The eigencurve.” *Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996)*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. 254. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998, pp. 1–113. DOI: [10.1017/CB09780511662010.003](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511662010.003). MR: [1696469](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1696469). [89](#), [94](#)
- [Con99] B. Conrad. “Irreducible components of rigid spaces.” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 49.2 (1999), pp. 473–541. DOI: [10.5802/aif.1681](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.1681). MR: [1697371](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1697371). [4](#), [8](#), [89](#), [94](#)
- [Con00] B. Conrad. *Grothendieck duality and base change*. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1750. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2000. See also [Con11]. DOI: [10.1007/b75857](https://doi.org/10.1007/b75857). MR: [1804902](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1804902). [9](#), [83](#), [84](#), [87](#), [89](#), [92](#), [95](#), [99](#)
- [Con06] B. Conrad. “Relative ampleness in rigid geometry.” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 56.4 (2006), pp. 1049–1126. DOI: [10.5802/aif.2207](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.2207). MR: [2266885](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2266885). [84](#), [88](#), [89](#), [93](#), [99](#)
- [Con11] B. Conrad. “Clarifications and corrections for *Grothendieck duality and base change*.” Version of Oct. 27, 2011. URL: <https://virtualmath1.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/dualitycorrections.pdf>. [104](#)
- [Con15] B. Conrad. *Perfectoid spaces seminar notes*. Number theory learning seminar held at Stanford, 2014–2015. URL: <https://virtualmath1.stanford.edu/~conrad/Perfseminar/>. [84](#)
- [Con16] B. Conrad. “From normal crossings to strict normal crossings.” Handout from a course on alterations held at Stanford, Winter 2017. Version of Dec. 29, 2016. URL: <https://virtualmath1.stanford.edu/~conrad/249BW17Page/handouts/crossings.pdf>. [41](#)
- [Cor92] A. Corti. “Adjunction of log divisors.” *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds. A summer seminar at the University of Utah (Salt Lake City, 1991)*. Astérisque, Vol. 211. Paris: Soc. Math. France, 1992, pp. 171–182. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item/AST_1992__211__1_0/. MR: [1225842](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1225842). [31](#)
- [CP97] F. Campana and Th. Peternell. “Towards a Mori theory on compact Kähler threefolds. I.” *Math. Nachr.* 187.1 (1997), pp. 29–59. DOI: [10.1002/mana.19971870104](https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.19971870104). MR: [1471137](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1471137). [7](#)
- [CP19] V. Cossart and O. Piltant. “Resolution of singularities of arithmetical threefolds.” *J. Algebra* 529 (2019), pp. 268–535. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.02.017). MR: [3942183](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3942183). [95](#)
- [CS19] D. Clausen and P. Scholze. *Lectures on condensed mathematics*. Lecture notes for a course at Bonn, Summer 2019. Version of November 6, 2020. URL: <https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/scholze/Condensed.pdf>. [4](#), [7](#), [9](#), [90](#), [92](#)
- [CS22] D. Clausen and P. Scholze. *Condensed mathematics and complex geometry*. Lecture notes for a course joint between Bonn and Copenhagen, Summer 2022. Version of July 6, 2022. URL: <https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/scholze/Complex.pdf>. [4](#), [7](#), [9](#), [90](#), [91](#), [92](#), [95](#)
- [CT20] P. Cascini and H. Tanaka. “Relative semi-ampleness in positive characteristic.” *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 121.3 (2020), pp. 617–655. DOI: [10.1112/plms.12323](https://doi.org/10.1112/plms.12323). MR: [4100119](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=4100119). [9](#), [14](#), [15](#), [17](#), [21](#)
- [CT21] B. Conrad and M. Temkin. “Descent for nonarchimedean analytic spaces.” *Tunis. J. Math.* 3.4 (2021), pp. 689–748. DOI: [10.2140/tunis.2021.3.689](https://doi.org/10.2140/tunis.2021.3.689). MR: [4331440](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=4331440). [89](#)
- [CU15] A. Chiechio and S. Urbinati. “Ample Weil divisors.” *J. Algebra* 437 (2015), pp. 202–221. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.04.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.04.016). MR: [3351963](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3351963). [31](#)
- [Cut14] S. D. Cutkosky. “Asymptotic multiplicities of graded families of ideals and linear series.” *Adv. Math.* 264 (2014), pp. 55–113. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2014.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2014.07.004). MR: [3250280](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3250280). [25](#), [26](#), [27](#)
- [Deb01] O. Debarre. *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*. Universitext. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2001. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4757-5406-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-5406-3). MR: [1841091](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1841091). [24](#)
- [dFEM10] T. de Fernex, L. Ein, and M. Mustață. “Shokurov’s ACC conjecture for log canonical thresholds on smooth varieties.” *Duke Math. J.* 152.1 (2010), pp. 93–114. DOI: [10.1215/00127094-2010-008](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2010-008). MR: [2643057](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2643057). [4](#)
- [dFEM11] T. de Fernex, L. Ein, and M. Mustață. “Log canonical thresholds on varieties with bounded singularities.” *Classification of algebraic varieties*. EMS Ser. Congr. Rep. Zürich: Eur. Math. Soc., 2011, pp. 221–257. DOI: [10.4171/007-1/10](https://doi.org/10.4171/007-1/10). MR: [2779474](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2779474). [4](#)
- [dFM09] T. de Fernex and M. Mustață. “Limits of log canonical thresholds.” *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 42.3 (2009), pp. 491–515. DOI: [10.24033/asens.2100](https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2100). MR: [2543330](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2543330). [4](#)
- [DH₁] O. Das and C. Hacon. “The log minimal model program for Kähler 3-folds.” Jan. 6, 2022. [arXiv:2009.05924v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.05924v2) [[math.AG](#)]. [7](#)
- [DH₂] O. Das and C. Hacon. “On the minimal model program for Kähler 3-folds.” Jun. 20, 2023. [arXiv:2306.11708v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.11708v1) [[math.AG](#)]. [7](#)
- [DHP] O. Das, C. Hacon, and M. Păun. “On the 4-dimensional minimal model program for Kähler varieties.” May 24, 2022. [arXiv:2205.12205v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.12205v1) [[math.AG](#)]. [2](#), [3](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [99](#)
- [dJ95] A. J. de Jong. “Étale fundamental groups of non-Archimedean analytic spaces.” *Compositio Math.* 97.1-2 (1995): *Special issue in honour of Frans Oort*, pp. 89–118. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item/CM_1995__97_1-2_89_0/. MR: [1355119](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1355119). [94](#)
- [DO] O. Das and W. Ou. “On the log abundance for compact Kähler threefolds.” To appear in *Manuscripta Math.* DOI: [10.1007/s00229-023-01467-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00229-023-01467-6). [7](#)

- [Duc09] A. Ducros. “Les espaces de Berkovich sont excellents.” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 59.4 (2009), pp. 1443–1552. DOI: [10.5802/aif.2470](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.2470). MR: [2566967](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2566967). [4](#), [8](#), [89](#), [90](#), [91](#), [94](#), [95](#), [98](#), [99](#)
- [Duc18] A. Ducros. *Families of Berkovich spaces*. Astérisque, Vol. 400. Paris: Soc. Math. France, 2018. MR: [3826929](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3826929). [89](#), [98](#)
- [Duc] A. Ducros. *La structure des courbes analytiques*. Version of Mar. 23, 2017. URL: <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~antoine.ducros/trirss.pdf>. [94](#)
- [EGAI_{new}] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Vol. 166. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971. MR: [3075000](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3075000). [9](#), [17](#), [26](#), [30](#), [60](#), [62](#), [81](#), [91](#), [97](#), [101](#)
- [EGAI] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 8 (1961), pp. 1–222. DOI: [10.1007/BF02699291](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02699291). MR: [217084](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=217084). [14](#), [21](#), [41](#), [53](#), [68](#)
- [EGAI_{III}]₁] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 11 (1961), pp. 1–167. DOI: [10.1007/BF02684322](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684322). MR: [217085](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=217085). [4](#), [30](#), [41](#), [44](#), [45](#), [53](#), [58](#), [81](#), [82](#), [87](#), [89](#), [93](#), [97](#), [99](#)
- [EGAI_{IV}]₁] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. I.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 20 (1964), pp. 1–259. DOI: [10.1007/BF02684747](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684747). MR: [173675](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=173675). [38](#)
- [EGAI_{IV}]₂] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 24 (1965), pp. 1–231. DOI: [10.1007/BF02684322](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684322). MR: [199181](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=199181). [9](#), [91](#), [100](#), [101](#)
- [EGAI_{IV}]₄] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. IV.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 32 (1967), pp. 1–361. DOI: [10.1007/BF02732123](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02732123). MR: [238860](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=238860). [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#)
- [ELMNP06] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustață, M. Nakamaye, and M. Popa. “Asymptotic invariants of base loci.” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 56.6 (2006), pp. 1701–1734. DOI: [10.5802/aif.2225](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.2225). MR: [2282673](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2282673). [37](#), [64](#)
- [ELMNP09] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustață, M. Nakamaye, and M. Popa. “Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series.” *Amer. J. Math.* 131.3 (2009), pp. 607–651. DOI: [10.1353/ajm.0.0054](https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.0.0054). MR: [2530849](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2530849). [33](#)
- [FK06] K. Fujiwara and F. Kato. “Rigid geometry and applications.” *Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 45. Tokyo: Math. Soc. Japan, 2006, pp. 327–386. DOI: [10.2969/aspm/04510327](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/04510327). MR: [2310255](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2310255). [10](#)
- [FM86] J. Fresnel and M. Matignon. “Sur les espaces analytiques quasi-compacts de dimension 1 sur un corps valué complet ultramétrique.” *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* 145 (1986), pp. 159–210. DOI: [10.1007/BF01790541](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01790541). MR: [886711](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=886711). [94](#)
- [FM23] O. Fujino and K. Miyamoto. “Nakai–Moishezon ampleness criterion for real line bundles.” *Math. Ann.* 385.1-2 (2023), pp. 459–470. DOI: [10.1007/s00208-021-02354-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-021-02354-9). MR: [4542721](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4542721). [12](#), [14](#)
- [Fri67] J. Frisch. “Points de platitude d’un morphisme d’espaces analytiques complexes.” *Invent. Math.* 4 (1967), pp. 118–138. DOI: [10.1007/BF01425245](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01425245). MR: [222336](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=222336). [4](#), [82](#), [87](#), [95](#), [98](#), [100](#)
- [FS11] M. Fedorchuk and D. I. Smyth. “Ample divisors on moduli spaces of pointed rational curves.” *J. Algebraic Geom.* 20.4 (2011), pp. 599–629. DOI: [10.1090/S1056-3911-2011-00547-X](https://doi.org/10.1090/S1056-3911-2011-00547-X). MR: [2819671](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2819671). [21](#), [22](#)
- [Fuj14] O. Fujino. “Fundamental theorems for semi log canonical pairs.” *Algebr. Geom.* 1.2 (2014), pp. 194–228. DOI: [10.14231/AG-2014-011](https://doi.org/10.14231/AG-2014-011). MR: [3238112](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3238112). [26](#), [28](#)
- [Fuj17] O. Fujino. *Foundations of the minimal model program*. MSJ Memoirs, Vol. 35. Tokyo: Math. Soc. Japan, 2017. DOI: [10.2969/msjmemoirs/035010000](https://doi.org/10.2969/msjmemoirs/035010000). MR: [3643725](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3643725). [26](#), [27](#), [32](#)
- [Fuj21] O. Fujino. “Minimal model theory for log surfaces in Fujiki’s class \mathcal{C} .” *Nagoya Math. J.* 244 (2021), pp. 256–282. DOI: [10.1017/nmj.2020.14](https://doi.org/10.1017/nmj.2020.14). MR: [4335910](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4335910). [7](#)
- [Fuj] O. Fujino. “Minimal model program for projective morphisms between complex analytic spaces.” Jan. 27, 2022. [arXiv:2201.11315v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.11315v1) [[math.AG](#)]. [2](#), [3](#), [5](#), [6](#), [8](#), [94](#), [99](#), [100](#)
- [Ful93] W. Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Ann. of Math. Stud., Vol. 131. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press, 1993. DOI: [10.1515/9781400882526](https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400882526). MR: [1234037](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1234037). [35](#)
- [Gil11] W. D. Gillam. “Localization of ringed spaces.” *Advances in Pure Mathematics* 1.5 (2011), pp. 250–263. DOI: [10.4236/apm.2011.15045](https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2011.15045). [92](#)
- [GR84] H. Grauert and R. Remmert. *Coherent analytic sheaves*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Vol. 265. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1984. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-69582-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69582-7). MR: [755331](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=755331). [82](#), [94](#), [98](#), [100](#)
- [Gub98] W. Gubler. “Local heights of subvarieties over non-Archimedean fields.” *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 498 (1998), pp. 61–113. DOI: [10.1515/crll.1998.054](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1998.054). MR: [1629925](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1629925). [94](#)

- [Hal23] J. Hall. “GAGA theorems.” *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 175 (2023), pp. 109–142. DOI: [10.1016/j.matpur.2023.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2023.05.004). MR: [4598930](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=4598930). 9, 88, 89, 99
- [Har66] R. Hartshorne. *Residues and duality*. Lecture notes from a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck given at Harvard, 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 20. Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1966. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0080482](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0080482). MR: [222093](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=222093). 9, 10, 87, 88, 89, 90, 92, 100
- [Har94] R. Hartshorne. “Generalized divisors on Gorenstein schemes.” *K-Theory* 8.3 (1994): *Proceedings of Conference on Algebraic Geometry and Ring Theory in honor of Michael Artin, Part III (Antwerp, 1992)*, pp. 287–339. DOI: [10.1007/BF00960866](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00960866). MR: [1291023](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1291023). 14
- [Har07] R. Hartshorne. “Generalized divisors and biliaison.” *Illinois J. Math.* 51.1 (2007), pp. 83–98. DOI: [10.1215/ijm/1258735326](https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1258735326). MR: [2346188](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2346188). 14
- [Hin93] V. Hinich. “Rings with approximation property admit a dualizing complex.” *Math. Nachr.* 163.1 (1993), pp. 289–296. DOI: [10.1002/mana.19931630124](https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.19931630124). MR: [1235073](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1235073). 10
- [Hir64] H. Hironaka. “Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 79.1 (1964), pp. 109–203. DOI: [10.2307/1970486](https://doi.org/10.2307/1970486). MR: [199184](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=199184). 2, 4, 54
- [HM10] C. D. Hacon and J. M^cKernan. “Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. II.” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23.2 (2010), pp. 469–490. DOI: [10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00651-1](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00651-1). MR: [2601040](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2601040). 2, 6, 50, 56
- [Hol] A. Holschbach. “A Chebotarev-type density theorem for divisors on algebraic varieties.” Sep. 19, 2012. [arXiv:1006.2340v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.2340v2) [[math.AG](https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.2340v2)]. 25
- [HP15] A. Höring and Th. Peternell. “Mori fibre spaces for Kähler threefolds.” *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 22.1 (2015), pp. 219–246. URL: <https://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/journal/abstract/jms220107.html>. MR: [3329195](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3329195). 7
- [HP16] A. Höring and Th. Peternell. “Minimal models for Kähler threefolds.” *Invent. Math.* 203.1 (2016), pp. 217–264. DOI: [10.1007/s00222-015-0592-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-015-0592-x). MR: [3437871](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3437871). 7
- [Hub93₁] R. Huber. *Bewertungsspektrum und rigide Geometrie*. Regensburger Math. Schriften, Vol. 23. Regensburg: Univ. Regensburg, Fachbereich Mathematik, 1993, xii+309 pp. URL: <https://www2.math.uni-wuppertal.de/~huber/preprints/Bewertungsspektrum%20und%20Rigide%20Geometrie.pdf>. MR: [1255978](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1255978). 94, 98
- [Hub93₂] R. Huber. “Continuous valuations.” *Math. Z.* 212.3 (1993), pp. 455–477. DOI: [10.1007/BF02571668](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02571668). MR: [1207303](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1207303). 84, 91
- [Hub94] R. Huber. “A generalization of formal schemes and rigid analytic varieties.” *Math. Z.* 217.4 (1994), pp. 513–551. DOI: [10.1007/BF02571959](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02571959). MR: [1306024](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1306024). 83, 84, 91, 92, 93, 94
- [Hub96] R. Huber. *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*. Aspects Math., Vol. E30. Braunschweig: Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, 1996. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-663-09991-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-663-09991-8). MR: [1734903](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1734903). 84, 90, 92, 93, 94
- [Hub07] R. Huber. “A finiteness result for the compactly supported cohomology of rigid analytic varieties. II.” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 57.3 (2007), pp. 973–1017. DOI: [10.5802/aif.2283](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.2283). MR: [2336836](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2336836). 4, 84, 88, 89, 92, 93, 98, 99
- [Ive86] B. Iversen. *Cohomology of sheaves*. Universitext. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1986. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-82783-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-82783-9). MR: [842190](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=842190). 88
- [Jou83] J.-P. Jouanolou. *Théorèmes de Bertini et applications*. Progr. Math., Vol. 42. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., 1983. MR: [725671](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=725671). 38
- [Kaw88] Y. Kawamata. “Crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 127.1 (1988), pp. 93–163. DOI: [10.2307/1971417](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971417). MR: [924674](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=924674). 13
- [Kaw94] Y. Kawamata. “Semistable minimal models of threefolds in positive or mixed characteristic.” *J. Algebraic Geom.* 3.3 (1994), pp. 463–491. MR: [1269717](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1269717). 3, 4, 8, 99
- [Kaw99] Y. Kawamata. “Index 1 covers of log terminal surface singularities.” *J. Algebraic Geom.* 8.3 (1999), pp. 519–527. MR: [1689354](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1689354). 99
- [Kaw11] Y. Kawamata. “Remarks on the cone of divisors.” *Classification of algebraic varieties*. EMS Ser. Congr. Rep. Zürich: Eur. Math. Soc., 2011, pp. 317–325. DOI: [10.4171/007-1/15](https://doi.org/10.4171/007-1/15). MR: [2779479](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2779479). 40, 47, 65
- [Kaw15] M. Kawakita. “A connectedness theorem over the spectrum of a formal power series ring.” *Internat. J. Math.* 26.11 (2015), 1550088, 27 pp. DOI: [10.1142/S0129167X15500883](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X15500883). MR: [3413983](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3413983). 4
- [Kee03] D. S. Keeler. “Ample filters of invertible sheaves.” *J. Algebra* 259.1 (2003), pp. 243–283. See also [Kee18]. DOI: [10.1016/S0021-8693\(02\)00557-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8693(02)00557-4). MR: [1953719](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1953719). 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 97, 102
- [Kee18] D. S. Keeler. “Corrigendum to “Ample filters of invertible sheaves.”” *J. Algebra* 507 (2018), pp. 592–598. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2018.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2018.03.024). MR: [3807062](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3807062). 20, 21, 106

- [Kie69] R. Kiehl. “Ausgezeichnete Ringe in der nichtarchimedischen analytischen Geometrie.” *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 234 (1969), pp. 89–98. DOI: [10.1515/crll.1969.234.89](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1969.234.89). MR: [243126](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=243126). [4](#), [8](#), [95](#), [99](#)
- [Kle66] S. L. Kleiman. “Toward a numerical theory of ampleness.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 84.3 (1966), pp. 293–344. DOI: [10.2307/1970447](https://doi.org/10.2307/1970447). MR: [206009](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=206009). [15](#), [16](#), [18](#), [19](#), [20](#), [21](#), [22](#)
- [Kle79] S. L. Kleiman. “Misconceptions about K_X .” *Enseign. Math. (2)* 25.3-4 (1979), pp. 203–206. DOI: [10.5169/seals-50379](https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-50379). MR: [570309](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=570309). [10](#)
- [Kle05] S. L. Kleiman. “The Picard scheme.” *Fundamental algebraic geometry*. Math. Surveys Monogr., Vol. 123. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 2005, pp. 235–321. DOI: [10.1090/surv/123/09](https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/123/09). MR: [2223410](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=2223410). [18](#), [21](#)
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge Tracts in Math., Vol. 134. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998. DOI: [10.1017/CB09780511662560](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511662560). MR: [1658959](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=1658959). [39](#), [45](#), [58](#), [60](#), [67](#), [68](#), [69](#), [70](#), [75](#), [80](#)
- [KMM87] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki. “Introduction to the minimal model problem.” *Algebraic geometry (Sendai, 1985)*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 10. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1987, pp. 283–360. DOI: [10.2969/aspm/01010283](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/01010283). MR: [946243](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=946243). [2](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [14](#), [15](#), [18](#), [20](#), [23](#), [26](#), [31](#), [32](#), [33](#), [34](#), [37](#), [40](#), [41](#), [42](#), [43](#), [45](#), [46](#), [47](#), [65](#), [68](#), [69](#), [70](#)
- [Knu71] D. Knutson. *Algebraic spaces*. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 203. Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1971. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0059750](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0059750). MR: [302647](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=302647). [11](#)
- [Kol90] J. Kollár. “Projectivity of complete moduli.” *J. Differential Geom.* 32.1 (1990), pp. 235–268. DOI: [10.4310/jdg/1214445046](https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214445046). MR: [1064874](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=1064874). [15](#), [21](#)
- [Kol91] J. Kollár. “Flips, flops, minimal models, etc.” *Surveys in differential geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1990)*. Bethlehem, PA: Lehigh Univ., 1991, pp. 113–199. DOI: [10.4310/SDG.1990.v1.n1.a3](https://doi.org/10.4310/SDG.1990.v1.n1.a3). MR: [1144527](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=1144527). [40](#)
- [Kol96] J. Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*. *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3)*, Vol. 32. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1996. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-662-03276-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03276-3). MR: [1440180](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=1440180). [15](#), [17](#), [21](#), [22](#), [27](#)
- [Kol08] J. Kollár. “Which powers of holomorphic functions are integrable?” May 6, 2008. [arXiv:0805.0756v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0805.0756v1) [[math.AG](#)]. [4](#)
- [Kol13] J. Kollár. *Singularities of the minimal model program*. With a collaboration of S. J. Kovács. Cambridge Tracts in Math., Vol. 200. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013. DOI: [10.1017/CB09781139547895](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139547895). MR: [3057950](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=3057950). [31](#), [32](#), [33](#), [39](#), [42](#), [79](#), [80](#), [95](#)
- [Kol21₁] J. Kollár. “Deformations of varieties of general type.” *Milan J. Math.* 89.2 (2021), pp. 345–354. DOI: [10.1007/s00032-021-00339-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00032-021-00339-6). MR: [4356735](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=4356735). [22](#)
- [Kol21₂] J. Kollár. “Relative MMP without \mathbb{Q} -factoriality.” *Electron. Res. Arch.* 29.5 (2021), pp. 3193–3203. DOI: [10.3934/era.2021033](https://doi.org/10.3934/era.2021033). MR: [4342251](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=4342251). [3](#), [4](#), [8](#), [58](#), [61](#), [62](#), [96](#), [99](#)
- [Köp74] U. Köpf. “Über eigentliche Familien algebraischer Varietäten über affinoiden Räumen.” *Schr. Math. Inst. Univ. Münster (2)* Heft 7 (1974), iv+72 pp. MR: [422671](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=422671). [4](#), [84](#), [88](#), [89](#), [93](#), [99](#)
- [Kov12] S. J. Kovács. “Singularities of stable varieties.” *Handbook of moduli. Vol. II*. Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), Vol. 25. Somerville, MA: Int. Press, 2013, pp. 159–203. URL: <https://academic.hep.com.cn/fem/EN/chapter/978-7-04-035168-2/chapter06>. MR: [3184177](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=3184177). [31](#)
- [KS21] K. Kurano and K. Shimomoto. “Ideal-adic completion of quasi-excellent rings (after Gabber).” *Kyoto J. Math.* 61.3 (2021), pp. 707–722. DOI: [10.1215/21562261-2021-0011](https://doi.org/10.1215/21562261-2021-0011). MR: [4301055](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=4301055). [81](#)
- [Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*. *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3)*, Vol. 48. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2004. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-18808-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-18808-4). MR: [2095471](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=2095471). [12](#), [23](#), [25](#), [27](#), [33](#)
- [Lic68] S. Lichtenbaum. “Curves over discrete valuation rings.” *Amer. J. Math.* 90.2 (1968), pp. 380–405. DOI: [10.2307/2373535](https://doi.org/10.2307/2373535). MR: [230724](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=230724). [3](#)
- [Lim] D. B. Lim. “Grothendieck’s existence theorem for relatively perfect complexes on algebraic stacks.” May 16, 2021. [arXiv:1907.05025v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.05025v2) [[math.AG](#)]. [84](#)
- [Lip69] J. Lipman. “Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 36 (1969), pp. 195–279. DOI: [10.1007/BF02684604](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684604). MR: [276239](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=276239). [3](#)
- [Lip78] J. Lipman. “Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes.” *Ann. Math. (2)* 107.1 (1978), pp. 151–207. DOI: [10.2307/1971141](https://doi.org/10.2307/1971141). MR: [491722](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/show-item?mr=491722). [95](#)
- [Lip07] J. Lipman. “Corrections to section 2.5 of “Duality and flat base change...” (Contemporary Math. 244).” Version of Dec. 27, 2007. URL: <https://www.math.purdue.edu/~jlipman/papers/correct25.pdf>. [102](#)

- [Lip09] J. Lipman. “Notes on derived functors and Grothendieck duality.” *Foundations of Grothendieck duality for diagrams of schemes*. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1960. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2009, pp. 1–259. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-540-85420-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85420-3). MR: [2490557](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2490557). [88](#), [92](#)
- [Loj64] S. Lojasiewicz. “Triangulation of semi-analytic sets.” *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)* 18.4 (1964), pp. 449–474. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item/ASNSP_1964_3_18_4_449_0/. MR: [173265](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=173265). [82](#)
- [Lou] J. N. P. Lourenço. “The Riemannian Hebbbarkeitssätze for pseudorigid spaces.” Nov. 18, 2017. [arXiv:1711.06903v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.06903v1) [[math.AG](#)]. [5](#), [83](#), [84](#), [91](#), [92](#), [98](#)
- [Man23] L. Mann. “Normal and irreducible adic spaces, the openness of finite morphisms, and a Stein factorization.” *Nagoya Math. J.* 250 (2023), pp. 498–510. DOI: [10.1017/nmj.2022.40](https://doi.org/10.1017/nmj.2022.40). MR: [4583138](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=4583138). [8](#), [84](#), [93](#), [94](#), [98](#)
- [Mat73] H. Matsumura. “Formal power series rings over polynomial rings. I.” *Number theory, algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, in honor of Yasuo Akizuki*. Tokyo: Kinokuniya, 1973, pp. 511–520. MR: [366909](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=366909). [4](#), [95](#), [99](#)
- [Mat80] H. Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*. Second ed. Mathematics Lecture Note Series, Vol. 56. Reading, MA: Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., 1980. MR: [575344](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=575344). [9](#)
- [Mat89] H. Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*. Second ed. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., Vol. 8. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989. DOI: [10.1017/CB09781139171762](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139171762). MR: [1011461](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1011461). [9](#), [51](#), [95](#)
- [M^cK17] J. M^cKernan. “Rational curves on algebraic spaces.” *Higher dimensional algebraic geometry—in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata’s sixtieth birthday*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 74. Tokyo: Math. Soc. Japan, 2017, pp. 313–319. DOI: [10.2969/aspm/07410313](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/07410313). MR: [3791220](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3791220). [13](#), [33](#)
- [Mit11] K. Mitsui. “Bimeromorphic geometry of rigid analytic surfaces.” *Internat. J. Math.* 22.4 (2011), pp. 483–513. DOI: [10.1142/S0129167X11006878](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X11006878). MR: [2794458](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2794458). [3](#), [4](#), [93](#), [95](#)
- [MR23] J. S. Morrow and G. Rosso. “A non-Archimedean analogue of Campana’s notion of specialness.” *Algebr. Geom.* 10.3 (2023), pp. 262–297. DOI: [10.14231/ag-2023-009](https://doi.org/10.14231/ag-2023-009). MR: [4583949](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=4583949). [3](#), [94](#)
- [Mur22] T. Murayama. “A uniform treatment of Grothendieck’s localization problem.” *Compos. Math.* 158.1 (2022), pp. 57–88. DOI: [10.1112/s0010437x21007715](https://doi.org/10.1112/s0010437x21007715). MR: [4371042](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=4371042). [91](#)
- [Mur] T. Murayama. “Relative vanishing theorems for \mathbf{Q} -schemes.” Apr. 1, 2021. [arXiv:2101.10397v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.10397v2) [[math.AG](#)]. [2](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [42](#), [46](#), [50](#), [51](#)
- [Nag63] M. Nagata. “A generalization of the imbedding problem of an abstract variety in a complete variety.” *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 3 (1963), pp. 89–102. DOI: [10.1215/kjm/1250524859](https://doi.org/10.1215/kjm/1250524859). MR: [158892](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=158892). [6](#)
- [Nak87] N. Nakayama. “The lower semicontinuity of the plurigenera of complex varieties.” *Algebraic geometry (Sendai, 1985)*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 10. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1987, pp. 551–590. DOI: [10.2969/aspm/01010551](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/01010551). MR: [946250](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=946250). [2](#), [7](#), [93](#), [94](#)
- [Nak04] N. Nakayama. *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*. MSJ Mem., Vol. 14. Tokyo: Math. Soc. Japan, 2004. DOI: [10.2969/msjmemoirs/014010000](https://doi.org/10.2969/msjmemoirs/014010000). MR: [2104208](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2104208). [37](#)
- [Nee96] A. Neeman. “The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield’s techniques and Brown representability.” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9.1 (1996), pp. 205–236. DOI: [10.1090/S0894-0347-96-00174-9](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-96-00174-9). MR: [1308405](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1308405). [88](#), [92](#)
- [nfdc23] [nfdc23](#). Answer to “Is a one-dimensional compact complex analytic space necessarily projective?” *MathOverflow*, Jul. 24, 2016. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/244991>. [94](#)
- [Pet94] Th. Peternell. “Modifications.” *Several complex variables, VII*. Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., Vol. 74. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1994, pp. 285–317. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-662-09873-8_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-09873-8_8). MR: [1326624](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1326624). [3](#), [100](#)
- [Pet98] Th. Peternell. “Towards a Mori theory on compact Kähler threefolds. II.” *Math. Ann.* 311.4 (1998), pp. 729–764. DOI: [10.1007/s002080050207](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002080050207). MR: [1637984](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1637984). [7](#)
- [Pet01] Th. Peternell. “Towards a Mori theory on compact Kähler threefolds. III.” *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 129.3 (2001), pp. 339–356. DOI: [10.24033/bsmf.2400](https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.2400). MR: [1881199](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=1881199). [7](#)
- [PG85] P. Pascual Gainza. “Ampleness criteria for algebraic spaces.” *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 45.3 (1985), pp. 270–274. DOI: [10.1007/BF01275580](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01275580). MR: [807661](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=807661). [21](#)
- [Poi10] J. Poineau. “Raccord sur les espaces de Berkovich.” *Algebra Number Theory* 4.3 (2010), pp. 297–334. DOI: [10.2140/ant.2010.4.297](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2010.4.297). MR: [2602668](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2602668). [4](#), [84](#), [88](#), [89](#), [99](#)
- [PY16] M. Porta and T.Y. Yu. “Higher analytic stacks and GAGA theorems.” *Adv. Math.* 302 (2016), pp. 351–409. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2016.07.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2016.07.017). MR: [3545934](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=3545934). [84](#), [88](#)
- [RA05] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín. *The Diophantine Frobenius problem*. Oxford Lecture Ser. Math. Appl., Vol. 30. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press, 2005. DOI: [10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198568209.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198568209.001.0001). MR: [2260521](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet/item?id=2260521). [41](#), [101](#)

- [Rem57] R. Remmert. “Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume.” *Math. Ann.* 133 (1957), pp. 328–370. DOI: [10.1007/BF01342886](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01342886). MR: [92996. 3, 100](https://www.ams.org/math-ann/1957-133-3-100)
- [RR70] J.-P. Ramis and G. Ruget. “Complexe dualisant et théorèmes de dualité en géométrie analytique complexe.” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 38 (1970), pp. 77–91. DOI: [10.1007/BF02684652](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684652). MR: [279338. 83, 87, 88](https://www.ams.org/inst-hautes-etudes-sci-publ-math/1970-38-77-91)
- [RRV71] J.-P. Ramis, G. Ruget, and J.-L. Verdier. “Dualité relative en géométrie analytique complexe.” *Invent. Math.* 13 (1971), pp. 261–283. DOI: [10.1007/BF01406078](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01406078). MR: [308439. 87, 88, 95](https://www.ams.org/invent-math/1971-13-261-283)
- [Ryd] D. Rydh. “Compactification of tame Deligne–Mumford stacks.” Version of May 17, 2011. URL: <https://people.kth.se/~dary/tamecompactification20110517.pdf>. 10
- [Sch99] H. Schoutens. “Embedded resolution of singularities in rigid analytic geometry.” *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) 8.2 (1999), pp. 297–330. DOI: [10.5802/afst.934](https://doi.org/10.5802/afst.934). MR: [1751445. 2, 4](https://www.ams.org/ann-fac-sci-toulouse-math/1999-8-2-297-330)
- [Ser56] J.-P. Serre. “Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique.” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 6 (1956), pp. 1–42. DOI: [10.5802/aif.59](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.59). MR: [82175. 4](https://www.ams.org/ann-inst-fourier-grenoble/1956-6-1-42)
- [SGA1_{new}] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1). Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61*. Directed by A. Grothendieck. With two papers by Mme M. Raynaud. Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original. Doc. Math. (Paris), Vol. 3. Paris: Soc. Math. France, 2003. MR: [2017446. 7, 97](https://www.ams.org/docmath/1960-61)
- [SGA2_{new}] A. Grothendieck. *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie, 1962. Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*. With an exposé by Mme M. Raynaud. With a preface and edited by Y. Laszlo. Revised reprint of the 1968 French original. Doc. Math. (Paris), Vol. 4. Paris: Soc. Math. France, 2005. MR: [2171939. 13, 81](https://www.ams.org/docmath/1962)
- [Sha66] I. R. Shafarevich. *Lectures on minimal models and birational transformations of two dimensional schemes*. Notes by C. P. Ramanujam. Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Lectures on Math. and Phys., Vol. 37. Bombay: Tata Inst. Fund. Res., 1966. URL: <http://www.math.tifr.res.in/~publ/ln/tifr37.pdf>. MR: [217068. 3](https://www.ams.org/math-tifr-res/1966)
- [Sho93] V. V. Shokurov. “3-fold log flips.” Translated by M. Reid. With an appendix by Y. Kawamata and commentary by M. Reid. *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.* 40.1 (1993), pp. 95–202. See also [Sho94]. DOI: [10.1070/IM1993v04On01ABEH001862](https://doi.org/10.1070/IM1993v04On01ABEH001862). MR: [1256571. 34](https://www.ams.org/russian-acad-sci-izv-math/1993-40-1-95-202)
- [Sho94] V. V. Shokurov. “An addendum to the paper “3-fold log flips.”” Translated by F. L. Zak. *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.* 43.3 (1994), pp. 527–558. DOI: [10.1070/IM1994v043n03ABEH001579](https://doi.org/10.1070/IM1994v043n03ABEH001579). MR: [1162635. 109](https://www.ams.org/russian-acad-sci-izv-math/1994-43-3-527-558)
- [Sho96] V. V. Shokurov. “3-fold log models.” *J. Math. Sci.* 81.3 (1996): *Algebraic geometry, 4*, pp. 2667–2699. DOI: [10.1007/BF02362335](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02362335). MR: [1420223. 3, 34, 40, 43, 69](https://www.ams.org/j-math-sci/1996-81-3-2667-2699)
- [Smi17] D. E. Smith. *A Kodaira Vanishing Theorem for Formal Schemes*. Ph.D. thesis. University of California, San Diego, 2017, 73 pp. [ark:/13030/m5gf5p8z](https://arxiv.org/abs/13030/m5gf5p8z). MR: [3705965. 7, 9, 94](https://www.ams.org/ucsd-thesis/2017)
- [Spe73] R. Speiser. “Cohomological dimension and Abelian varieties.” *Amer. J. Math.* 95.1 (1973), pp. 1–34. DOI: [10.2307/2373641](https://doi.org/10.2307/2373641). MR: [325617. 94](https://www.ams.org/amer-j-math/1973-95-1-1-34)
- [Stacks] The Stacks project authors. *The Stacks project*. 2023. URL: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 3, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, 35, 38, 40, 44, 49, 63, 68, 88, 97, 98
- [Sti] L. Stigant. “Mori fibrations in mixed characteristic.” Jul. 5, 2022. [arXiv:2110.06067v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.06067v2) [math.AG]. 3, 5
- [Sza94] E. Szabó. “Divisorial log terminal singularities.” *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 1.3 (1994), pp. 631–639. MR: [1322695. 32](https://www.ams.org/j-math-sci-univ-tokyo/1994-1-3-631-639)
- [Tan18] H. Tanaka. “Minimal model program for excellent surfaces.” *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 68.1 (2018), pp. 345–376. DOI: [10.5802/aif.3163](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.3163). MR: [3795482. 3, 4, 5, 8, 98, 99](https://www.ams.org/ann-inst-fourier-grenoble/2018-68-1-345-376)
- [Tem08] M. Temkin. “Desingularization of quasi-excellent schemes in characteristic zero.” *Adv. Math.* 219.2 (2008), pp. 488–522. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2008.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2008.05.006). MR: [2435647. 2, 39, 50, 52, 61, 75, 81, 95](https://www.ams.org/adv-math/2008-219-2-488-522)
- [Tem12] M. Temkin. “Functorial desingularization of quasi-excellent schemes in characteristic zero: the nonembedded case.” *Duke Math. J.* 161.11 (2012), pp. 2207–2254. DOI: [10.1215/00127094-1699539](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-1699539). MR: [2957701. 2, 4, 50, 81, 94](https://www.ams.org/duke-math-j/2012-161-11-2207-2254)
- [Tem18] M. Temkin. “Functorial desingularization over \mathbf{Q} : boundaries and the embedded case.” *Israel J. Math.* 224.1 (2018), pp. 455–504. DOI: [10.1007/s11856-018-1656-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-018-1656-6). MR: [3799764. 2, 4, 32, 41, 42, 50, 58, 60, 61, 62, 80](https://www.ams.org/israel-j-math/2018-224-1-455-504)
- [TY23] T. Takamatsu and S. Yoshikawa. “Minimal model program for semi-stable threefolds in mixed characteristic.” *J. Algebraic Geom.* 32.3 (2023), pp. 429–476. DOI: [10.1090/jag/813](https://doi.org/10.1090/jag/813). MR: [4622257. 3, 4, 8, 99](https://www.ams.org/j-algebraic-geom/2023-32-3-429-476)
- [Uen87] K. Ueno. “Compact rigid analytic spaces with special regard to surfaces.” *Algebraic geometry (Sendai, 1985)*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 10. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1987, pp. 765–794. DOI: [10.2969/aspm/01010765](https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/01010765). MR: [946257. 3, 93](https://www.ams.org/adv-stud-pure-math/1987-10-765-794)

- [vdP92] M. van der Put. “Serre duality for rigid analytic spaces.” *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* 3.2 (1992), pp. 219–235. DOI: [10.1016/0019-3577\(92\)90011-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-3577(92)90011-9). MR: [1168350](#). [90](#)
- [Ver69] J.-L. Verdier. “Base change for twisted inverse image of coherent sheaves.” *Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968)*. Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. Stud. Math., Vol. 4. Published for Bombay: Tata Inst. Fund. Res. by London: Oxford Univ. Press, 1969, pp. 393–408. URL: http://www.math.tifr.res.in/~publ/studies/SM_04-Algebraic-Geometry.pdf. MR: [274464](#). [88](#), [92](#)
- [VP22] D. E. Villalobos-Paz. *Rational Curves on Algebraic Spaces and Projectivity Criteria*. Ph.D. thesis. Princeton University, 2022, 69 pp. [ark:/88435/dsp01028710037](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.00037). MR: [4464164](#). [13](#), [15](#), [18](#), [20](#)
- [VP] D. Villalobos-Paz. “Moishezon spaces and projectivity criteria.” Jun. 1, 2021. [arXiv:2105.14630v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.14630v1) [[math.AG](#)]. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [22](#), [43](#), [69](#), [96](#), [97](#)
- [Wło03] J. Włodarczyk. “Toroidal varieties and the weak factorization theorem.” *Invent. Math.* 154.2 (2003), pp. 223–331. DOI: [10.1007/s00222-003-0305-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-003-0305-8). MR: [2013783](#). [2](#)
- [Yek98] A. Yekutieli. “Smooth formal embeddings and the residue complex.” *Canad. J. Math.* 50.4 (1998), pp. 863–896. DOI: [10.4153/CJM-1998-046-1](https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1998-046-1). MR: [1638635](#). [81](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60607-7045, USA

Email address: slyu@uic.edu

URL: <https://web.math.princeton.edu/~slyu/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067, USA

Email address: murayama@purdue.edu

URL: <https://www.math.purdue.edu/~murayama/>