

# ARITHMETIC LIFTINGS AND 2D TQFT FOR DORMANT OPERS OF HIGHER LEVEL

YASUHIRO WAKABAYASHI

ABSTRACT. This manuscript represents an advance in the enumerative geometry of opers that takes the subject beyond our previous work. Motivated by a counting problem of linear differential equations in positive characteristic, we investigate the moduli space of opers from arithmetic and combinatorial points of view. We construct a compactified moduli space classifying dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers (i.e., dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers of level  $N$ ) on pointed stable curves in characteristic  $p > 0$ . One of the key results is the generic étaleness of that space for  $n = 2$ , which is proved by obtaining a detailed understanding of relevant deformation spaces. This fact induces a certain arithmetic lifting of each dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on a general curve to characteristic  $p^N$ ; this lifting is called the canonical diagonal lifting. On the other hand, the generic étaleness also implies that the degree function for the moduli spaces in the rank 2 case satisfies factorization properties determined by various gluing morphisms of the underlying curves. That is to say, the degree function forms a 2d TQFT (= a 2-dimensional topological quantum field theory); it leads us to describe dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers in terms of edge numberings on trivalent graphs, as well as lattice points inside generalized rational polytopes. These results yield an effective way of computing the numbers of such objects and 2nd order differential equations in characteristic  $p^N$  with a full set of solutions.

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Linear differential equations with a full set of solutions	3
1.2.	Counting problem of dormant opers	4
1.3.	Generalization to characteristic $p^N$	5
1.4.	Part I: $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules and dormant flat bundles	6
1.5.	Part II: Moduli space and 2d TQFT of dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers	7
1.6.	Part III: Canonical diagonal liftings and combinatorics of dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers	9
1.7.	Notation and Conventions	12
2.	$\mathcal{D}$ -modules of finite level	13
2.1.	Logarithmic differential operators of finite level	13
2.2.	$\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules on log curves	14
2.3.	$m$ -PD stratifications on $G$ -bundles	18
2.4.	Relative Frobenius morphisms	21
2.5.	$p^{m+1}$ -curvature	23
2.6.	Cartier operator of a $p^{m+1}$ -flat $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module	24

Y. Wakabayashi: Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan;

e-mail: wakabayashi@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp;

2020 *Mathematical Subject Classification*: Primary 14H60, Secondary 14F10;

Key words: algebraic curve, positive characteristic, differential equations, oper, flat bundle, moduli space.

2.7. Dual of the Cartier operator	27
3. Diagonal reductions/liftings of flat modules	28
3.1. Dormant flat modules	28
3.2. Diagonal reductions/liftings	32
3.3. Change of structure group	36
3.4. Deformation space of a dormant flat bundle	38
3.5. Canonical diagonal liftings of $p^{m+1}$ -flat line bundles	41
4. Local study of $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules	42
4.1. Formal neighborhoods of a marked/nodal point	42
4.2. Monodromy operator	44
4.3. $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structures $\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)}$ and $\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)}$	45
4.4. Local description of $p^{m+1}$ -flat $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules	48
4.5. Local description of dormant flat bundles	51
5. Dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on pointed stable curves	54
5.1. Moduli space of pointed stable curves	54
5.2. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on log curves	55
5.3. $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on log curves	57
5.4. $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristics	59
5.5. Representability of the moduli space	62
5.6. Finiteness of the moduli space	66
5.7. Correspondence with projective connections	68
5.8. Projective connections having a full set of root functions	70
6. Radii of dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers	71
6.1. Monodromy/Exponent at a marked point	71
6.2. Gluing $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules	72
6.3. Definition of radius	75
6.4. Gluing dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers	79
6.5. Nonemptiness of the moduli space	83
6.6. Factorization property of generic degrees	85
7. 2d TQFT for dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers	87
7.1. Forgetting tails	87
7.2. $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on a 2-pointed projective line	92
7.3. Dual of $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers	94
7.4. 2d TQFT for dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers	95
7.5. Dormant fusion ring	99
8. Deformation theory of dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers	101
8.1. Adjoint bundle associated to a dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper	101
8.2. Horizontal sections of the adjoint bundle	107
8.3. Deformation space of a dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper	112
8.4. Dimension of the moduli space	117
8.5. Ordinarity	120
8.6. Dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on a 3-pointed projective line	122
8.7. Generic étaleness of the moduli space	126
9. Canonical diagonal liftings	128
9.1. Canonical diagonal liftings of dormant $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -opers	129

9.2.	Canonical diagonal liftings of dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers	130
9.3.	Canonical diagonal liftings of $F^N$ -projective structures	133
10.	Combinatorial description of dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers	136
10.1.	Gauss hypergeometric differential operators	136
10.2.	Combinatorial patterns of radii	139
10.3.	Hypergeometric operators with a full set of root functions	141
10.4.	Explicit computations for $(g, r) = (0, 3)$	142
10.5.	Edge numberings on trivalent semi-graphs	146
10.6.	Ehrhart quasi-polynomial counting dormant $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers	149
10.7.	Counting 2nd order ODE's with a full set of solutions	153
10.8.	Conjectural relationship with the generalized Verschiebung maps	154
	Acknowledgements	155
	References	155

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Linear differential equations with a full set of solutions.** Let us consider the linear differential equation  $Dy = 0$  on a smooth complex algebraic curve  $X$  associated to an operator  $D$  expressed locally as

$$D := \frac{d^n}{dx^n} + q_1 \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}} + \cdots + q_{n-1} \frac{d}{dx} + q_n \quad (1.1)$$

( $n > 1$ ). Here,  $x$  denotes a local coordinate in  $X$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  are variable coefficients. To each such differential equation, one can associate a flat connection on a vector bundle whose matrix representation is locally given by

$$\nabla = \frac{d}{dx} - \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 & \cdots & -q_{n-1} & -q_n \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A vector bundle equipped with such a flat connection is known as a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper. Conversely, any flat bundle (i.e., a vector bundle equipped with a flat connection) defining a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper becomes a flat connection of that form after possibly carrying out a suitable gauge transformation. See [BeDr1] or [BeDr2] for the precise definition of a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper, or more generally a  $G$ -oper for an algebraic group  $G$  of a certain sort.

Note that the assignment  $y \mapsto {}^t(\frac{d^{n-1}y}{dx^{n-1}}, \dots, \frac{dy}{dx}, y)$  gives a bijective correspondence between solutions of the equation  $Dy = 0$  with  $D$  as above and horizontal sections of the associated  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper. In particular, such a differential equation has a full set of algebraic solutions (i.e., has  $n$  linearly independent algebraic solutions) precisely when the corresponding  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper has finite monodromy.

The problem of classifying and counting linear ODE's with a full set of algebraic solutions has long been one of the fundamental topics in mathematics. The study of them was tackled and developed from the 19th century onwards by many mathematicians: H. A. Schwarz (for

the hypergeometric equations), L. I. Fuchs, P. Gordan, and C. F. Klein (for the second order equations), C. Jordan (for the  $n$ -th order equations) et al.

Regarding the relationship with the case of characteristic  $p$  (where  $p$  is a prime number), there is a well-known conjecture, i.e., the so-called Grothendieck-Katz  $p$ -curvature conjecture; it predicts the existence of a full set of algebraic solutions of a given linear differential equation in terms of its reductions modulo  $p$  for various primes  $p$ . See, e.g., [And] or [Kat3] for detailed accounts of this topic.

**1.2. Counting problem of dormant opers.** We want to focus on the situation where the underlying curve has prime-power characteristic  $p^N$  ( $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ). One central theme of our study stated in the most primitive form is to answer the following natural question:

*How many homogeneous linear differential equations in characteristic  $p^N$  (associated to differential operators  $D$  as in (1.1)) have a full set of solutions?*

We can find some previous studies related to this question for  $N = 1$  under the correspondence with  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -opers (cf. [Iha], [JoPa], [Jos], [LanPa], [Moc2], [Oss2], [Wak1], [Wak2], [Wak3], and [Wak5]). Here, note that one may define the notion of a  $G$ -oper (for an arbitrary  $G$ ) on a curve in positive characteristic because of the algebraic nature of its formulation. For example,  $G$ -opers in characteristic  $p$  have been investigated in the context of  $p$ -adic Teichmüller theory.

One of the common key ingredients in these developments is the study of  $p$ -curvature. The  $p$ -curvature of a flat connection in characteristic  $p$  is an invariant that measures the obstruction to the compatibility of  $p$ -power structures appearing in certain associated spaces of infinitesimal symmetries. A  $G$ -oper is called *dormant* if it has vanishing  $p$ -curvature. It follows from a classical result by Cartier (cf. [Kat1, Theorem (5.1)]) that a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper, or a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -oper, in characteristic  $p$  is dormant if and only if it arises from a differential equation  $Dy = 0$  having a full set of solutions. Thus, the above question for  $N = 1$  can be reduced to asking the number of all possible dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -opers or their projectivizations, i.e., dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers.

In what follows, we briefly review previous results concerning the counting problem of dormant opers. Let  $X$  be a connected proper smooth curve of genus  $g > 1$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 2$ . In the case of  $g = 2$ , S. Mochizuki (cf. [Moc2, Chap. V, Corollary 3.7]), H. Lange-C. Pauly (cf. [LanPa, Theorem 2]), and B. Osserman (cf. [Oss2, Theorem 1.2]) computed, by applying different methods, the total number of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers on a general  $X$ , as follows:

$$\sharp \{ \text{dormant } \mathrm{PGL}_2\text{-opers on } X \} = \frac{p^3 - p}{24}. \quad (1.2)$$

For example, this computation was made by using a correspondence with the base locus of the Verschiebung rational map on the moduli space of rank 2 semistable bundles (cf. §10.8).

Moreover, by extending the relevant formulations to the case where  $X$  admits marked points or nodal singularities, S. Mochizuki also gave an explicit description of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers on each totally degenerate curve in terms of *radius* (cf. [Moc2, Introduction, Theorem 1.3]). The notion of radius is an invariant determining a sort of boundary condition at a marked point to glue together dormant opers in accordance with the attachment of underlying curves at this point. Mochizuki's description is essentially equivalent to a previous result obtained by Y. Ihara (cf. [Iha, §1.6]), who investigated the situation when a given Gauss hypergeometric differential equation in characteristic  $p$  had a full set of solutions. As a result of this explicit

description, we obtained a combinatorial procedure for explicitly computing the number of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers.

This description also leads to a work by F. Liu and B. Osserman (cf. [LiOs, Theorem 2.1]), who have shown that the value in question may be expressed as a degree  $3g - 3$  polynomial with respect to “ $p$ ”. They did so by applying Ehrhart’s theory, which concerns computing the cardinality of the set of lattice points inside a polytope.

For general rank cases, K. Joshi and C. Pauly showed that there are only finitely many dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers on a fixed curve (cf. [JoPa, Corollary 6.1.6]), and later Joshi conjectured an explicit description of their total number (cf. [Jos, Conjecture 8.1]). As a consequence of developing the moduli theory of dormant  $G$ -opers on pointed stable curves, we solved affirmatively this conjecture for a general curve (cf. [Wak5, Theorem H]), which is described as

$$\# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{dormant } \mathrm{PGL}_n\text{-opers on} \\ \text{a general stable curve} \\ \text{of genus } g \text{ in characteristic } p \end{array} \right\} = \frac{p^{(n-1)(g-1)-1}}{n!} \cdot \sum_{\substack{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times n} \\ \zeta_i^p = 1, \zeta_i \neq \zeta_j (i \neq j)}} \frac{(\prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i)^{(n-1)(g-1)}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\zeta_i - \zeta_j)^{g-1}} \quad (1.3)$$

for  $p > n \cdot \max\{g - 1, 2\}$ . Based on the idea of Joshi et al. (cf. [JoPa], [Jos]) and a work by Holla (cf. [Hol]), this formula was proved by establishing a relationship with the Gromov-Witten theory of Grassmann varieties and then applying an explicit computation of their Gromov-Witten invariants, called the Vafa-Intriligator formula.

When  $n = 2$ , we can extend this result to *pointed stable* curves by establishing the relationship with the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -WZW (= Wess-Zumino-Witten) conformal field theory (cf. [Wak5, Theorem 7.41]). As a result, the Verlinde formula for that CFT yields the following formula:

$$\# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{dormant } \mathrm{PGL}_2\text{-opers on} \\ \text{a general } r\text{-pointed stable curve} \\ \text{of genus } g \text{ in characteristic } p \end{array} \right\} = \frac{p^{g-1}}{2^{2g-1+r}} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{\left(1 - (-1)^j \cdot \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{p}\right)\right)^r}{\sin^{2(g-1+r)}\left(\frac{j\pi}{p}\right)}, \quad (1.4)$$

which is consistent with (1.3) in the case of  $r = 0$  and  $n = 2$ .

**1.3. Generalization to characteristic  $p^N$ .** The purpose of this manuscript is to develop the enumerative geometry of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers in prime-power characteristic so that (1.3) and (1.4) are generalized. From now on, let  $X$  be a geometrically connected, proper, and smooth curve in characteristic  $p^N$ , where  $N$  is a positive integer. Just as in the case of characteristic  $p$ , an oper, or more generally a flat bundle, on  $X$  will be called *dormant* if it is spanned by its horizontal sections, i.e., isomorphic locally to the trivial flat bundle (cf. Definitions 3.1.4, 5.2.2, and Proposition 3.2.5, (ii)). In particular,

*Our central theme posed earlier can be rephrased essentially as the issue of counting dormant opers on a (general) curve in characteristic  $p^N$ .*


(Note that we also discuss, at the same time, the case where the underlying curve has nodal singularities or marked points; in such a generalized situation, the dormancy condition has to be formulated in a different and more complicated manner.)

Unfortunately, the lack of a reasonable invariant exactly like the  $p$ -curvature for  $N = 1$  makes it difficult to handle with dormant opers in characteristic  $p^N$ . To overcome this difficulty, we relate, partly on the basis of the argument of [Moc2, §2.1, Chap. II], dormant opers on  $X$  to certain objects defined on the mod  $p$  reduction  $X_0$  of  $X$ ; we shall refer to the operation resulting from this argument as **diagonal reduction/lifting**.

One remarkable observation is that each dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  on  $X$  induces, via diagonal reduction, a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper  $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  on  $X_0$  equipped with a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure extending its flat structure. Here,  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$  denotes the sheaf of differential operators of level  $N-1$  introduced by P. Berthelot in [Ber1] and [Ber2]. A dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper on  $X_0$  equipped with such an additional structure is called a **dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper of level  $N$** , or a **dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper**, for short. Similarly, we obtain the notion of a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper such that  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(1)}$ -opers are equivalent to  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers in the classical sense. See Definitions 5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.3.1, and 5.3.2 for their precise definitions.

We expect that, for a general curve  $X$ , the assignment  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit \mapsto \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  given by taking the diagonal reductions is *invertible*, i.e., each dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  on  $X_0$  may be lifted *uniquely* to a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -oper  $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  on  $X$ . One of the consequences in this manuscript shows that this is in fact true for  $n=2$ . The results of the lifting  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit \mapsto \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  will be called the **canonical diagonal liftings**. The bijective correspondence given by  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit \mapsto \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  and  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit \mapsto \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  enables us to obtain a detailed understanding of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers in characteristic  $p^N$  by applying, via diagonal reduction, various methods and perspectives inherent in characteristic- $p$ -geometry established in [Wak5].

In particular, because of a factorization property on the moduli space classifying dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers in accordance with clutching morphisms, we reduce the counting problem under consideration to the cases of small  $g$  and  $r$ . Some explicit computations based on this argument will be made in, e.g., Corollary 10.4.7 and Example 10.5.3.



**1.4. Part I:  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules and dormant flat bundles.** In the rest of this Introduction, we shall describe the contents and some (relatively important) results of this manuscript. Note that we substantially apply various results and discussions of [Wak5], in which the author developed the theory of (dormant) opers on pointed stable curves from the viewpoint of logarithmic geometry. Our study could be placed in a higher-level generalization of that theory.

The discussions in this manuscript may be divided into three parts. The first part is devoted to a general study of flat bundles formulated in terms of logarithmic geometry. In §2, we discuss flat bundles on a log curve. To do this, we use the logarithmic generalization of the sheaf “ $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ ” ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) introduced by C. Montagnon (cf. [Mon]). This formulation is essential in investigating how the related moduli spaces behave when the underlying curve degenerates. Also, the Cartier operator associated to a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module (i.e., a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module with vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature) is defined by composing the usual Cartier operators of the flat bundles constituting that  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module at the respective levels (cf. Definition 2.6.5).

In §3, we generalize the classical notion of a dormant flat bundle (i.e., a flat bundle with vanishing  $p$ -curvature) to characteristic  $p^{m+1}$  (cf. Definition 3.1.4). At the same time, we

define the diagonal reduction of a dormant flat bundle, as well as a diagonal lifting of a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module. Roughly speaking, the diagonal reduction  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}$  of a given dormant flat bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  is obtained in such a way that, for each  $\ell \leq m$ , the reduction of  $\mathcal{F}$  modulo  $p^{\ell+1}$  corresponds, via the Cartier operator, to the reduction of the level of  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}$  to  $\ell$ . If the log structure of the underlying curve is trivial, then the dormancy condition can be characterized by the vanishing of the  $p$ -curvature of the flat bundles appearing in the inductive procedure for constructing the diagonal reduction (cf. Proposition 3.2.5, (i)). In this sense, a dormant flat bundle in characteristic  $p^{m+1}$  may be seen as something like a successive  $p$ -flat deformation of a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module.

In §4, we discuss the local description of a dormant flat bundle around a marked/nodal point of the underlying curve. For each scheme  $S$  flat over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ , we shall set

$$U_{\circlearrowleft} := \mathcal{S}pec(\mathcal{O}_S[[t]])$$

(cf. (4.1)), where  $t$  denotes a formal parameter. By equipping  $U_{\circlearrowleft}$  with the log structure determined by the divisor  $t = 0$ , we obtain a log scheme  $U_{\circlearrowleft}^{\log}$ , regarded as a formal neighborhood of each marked point in a pointed curve. One of the results in §4 generalizes [Kin, Proposition 1.1.12], [Oss3, Corollary 2.10], and it tells us by what data a dormant flat bundle can be exactly characterized. The statement is described as follows:

**Theorem A** (cf. Proposition-Definition 4.5.4). *Suppose that the reduction  $S_0$  of  $S$  modulo  $p$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}pec(R)$  for a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  whose residue field  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a dormant flat bundle on  $U_{\circlearrowleft}^{\log}/S$  of rank  $n > 0$ . Then, there exists an isomorphism of flat bundles*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft, d_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$$

(cf. (4.19) for the definition of the dormant flat line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft, (-)}$  for some  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, the monodromy operator  $\mu(\nabla)$  of  $\nabla$  in the sense of Definition 4.2.1 can be transposed, via conjugation, into the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $-d_1, \dots, -d_n$ , and the resulting multiset  $[d_1, \dots, d_n]$  depends only on the isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We remark that another main result in that section gives an explicit description of a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module around a nodal/marked point (cf. Proposition-Definition 4.4.1); in a certain sense, that result commutes with Theorem A via diagonal reduction.

**1.5. Part II: Moduli space and 2d TQFT of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers.** In §§5-6, we discuss dormant opers of finite level on pointed curves and their moduli space. For a pair of nonnegative integers  $(g, r)$  with  $2g - 2 + r > 0$ , we denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  the moduli stack classifying  $r$ -pointed stable curves of genus  $g$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Also, let us fix integers  $n, N$  with  $1 < n < p$  and  $N > 0$ .

An important achievement of our discussion is to construct a compactification of the moduli space by allowing nodal singularities on the underlying curves. This compactification enables us to investigate how the moduli space deforms when the underlying curve degenerates. Additionally, when it has actually occurred, elements of a certain finite set

$$\Xi_{n,N}$$

(cf. (6.9)) provide a boundary condition (i.e., the coincidence of *radii*) for gluing dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers in accordance with an attachment of two curves along respective marked points (cf. Definition 6.3.2).

With that in mind, the moduli space we have to deal with is defined as the category classifying pairs  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  consisting of an  $r$ -pointed stable curve  $\mathcal{X}$  of genus  $g$  in characteristic  $p$  (i.e., an object classified by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ ) and a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  of fixed radii  $\rho \in \Xi_{n,N}^{\times r} (= \Xi_{n,N} \times \cdots \times \Xi_{n,N})$ ; this category will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{O}p_{n,N,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}, \text{ or simply } \mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$$

(cf. (6.11)). Forgetting the data of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers yields the projection

$$\Pi_{n,N,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p} \text{ (or simply } \Pi_{\rho,g,r}) : \mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$$

(cf. (6.12)). Our results concerning the geometric structures of  $\mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  and  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  are summarized as follows.

**Theorem B** (cf. Theorems 6.3.7 and 6.4.7). *Let  $\rho$  be an element of  $\Xi_{n,N}^{\times r}$  (where  $\rho := \emptyset$  if  $r = 0$ ). Then, the category  $\mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  may be represented by a proper Deligne-Mumford stack over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and the projection  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  is finite.*

Moreover, for clutching data  $\mathbb{G} := (\mathbb{G}, \{(g_j, r_j)\}_{j=1}^J, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  of type  $(g, r)$  (cf. Definition 6.2.3) and a set of  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\Xi_{n,N}$ -radii  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}} := \{\rho^j\}_{j=1}^J$  with  $\rho_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset} = \rho$  (cf. Definition 6.4.1 and (6.21)), there exists a canonical clutching morphism

$$\mathrm{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}, \rho_{\mathbb{G}}} : \prod_{j=1}^J \mathcal{O}p_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{\rho, g, r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$$

such that the square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{\rho_{\mathbb{G}} := \{\rho^j\}_{j=1}^J} \prod_{j=1}^J \mathcal{O}p_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} & \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\rho_{\mathbb{G}}} \mathrm{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}, \rho_{\mathbb{G}}}} & \mathcal{O}p_{\rho, g, r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \\ \Pi_{\rho_{\mathbb{G}}} \prod_j \Pi_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_{\rho, g, r} \\ \prod_{j=1}^J \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_j, r_j} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}}} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r} \end{array}$$

is commutative and Cartesian, where

- the products “ $\prod$ ” are all taken over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and the disjoint union on the upper-left corner runs over the sets of  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\Xi_{n,N}$ -radii  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$  with  $\rho_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset} = \rho$ ;
- $\mathrm{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}}$  denotes the usual clutching morphism associated to  $\mathbb{G}$  (cf. (6.20)).

In §§ 7-8, we focus on the case of  $n = 2$  and develop the deformation theory of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers. The deformation space of a fixed dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper is described by using the hypercohomology group of a certain complex associated to that oper (cf. Theorem 8.3.5). By this description together with the local study of finite-level  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules discussed in the first part, we can show more than the facts stated in Theorem B, as described below (cf. [Moc2, Chap. II, Theorem 2.8] for the case of  $N = 1$ ).

The most important of our results would be the generic étaleness of  $\Pi_{\rho, g, r}$ , since it deduces decompositions of its degree  $\deg(\Pi_{\rho, g, r})$  with respect to various clutching morphisms  $\mathrm{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}, \rho_{\mathbb{G}}}$ . According to the discussion in § 8, these decompositions can be collectively explained by the



notion of a 2d TQFT, which is by definition a symmetric monoidal functor from the category of 2-dimensional cobordisms  $2\text{-Cob}$  to the category of  $K$ -vector spaces  $\text{Vect}_K$  for a field  $K$ , say,  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  (cf. Definition 7.4.1). Applying a well-known generalities on 2d TQFTs, we obtain an approach to compute  $\deg(\Pi_{\rho,g,r})$ 's by means of the ring-theoretic structure of the corresponding Frobenius algebra, or equivalently, the fusion ring of the associated fusion rule.

**Theorem C** (cf. Corollary 8.4.4, Theorems 7.4.2, and 8.7.1 for the full statement). *Suppose that  $n = 2$  (and  $p > 2$ ). Then, the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *Let  $\rho := (\rho_i)_{i=1}^r$  be an element of the set  $((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\})^{\times r}$  (which is identified with  $\Xi_{2,N}^{\times r}$  via (6.7)), where  $\rho := \emptyset$  if  $r = 0$ . Then, the Deligne-Mumford stack  $\mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and equidimensional of dimension  $3g - 3 + r$ . Moreover, the projection  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  is faithfully flat and étale over the points of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  classifying totally degenerate curves. In particular,  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  is generically étale.*
- (ii) *There exists a 2d TQFT*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{2,N} : 2\text{-Cob} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

over  $\mathbb{Q}$  satisfying the following rules:

- *If  $\mathbb{S}^r$  (for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ) denotes the disjoint union of  $r$  copies of the circle  $\mathbb{S} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ , then we have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{2,N}(\mathbb{S}^r) = (\mathbb{Q}^{\Xi_{2,N}})^{\otimes r},$$

*i.e., the  $r$ -fold tensor product of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{Q}^{\Xi_{2,N}}$  with basis  $\Xi_{2,N}$ ;*

- *If  $\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s}$  (for  $(g, r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times 3}$  with  $2g - 2 + r + s > 0$ ) denotes a connected, compact oriented surface whose in-boundary and out-boundary are  $\mathbb{S}^r$  and  $\mathbb{S}^s$ , respectively, then the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $\mathcal{Z}_{2,N}(\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s}) : (\mathbb{Q}^{\Xi_{2,N}})^{\otimes r} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}^{\Xi_{2,N}})^{\otimes s}$  is given by*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{2,N}(\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s}) \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \rho_i \right) = \sum_{(\lambda_j)_j \in \Xi_{2,N}^{\times s}} \deg(\Pi_{((\rho_i)_i, (\lambda_j)_j), g, r+s}) \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \lambda_j.$$

**1.6. Part III: Canonical diagonal liftings and combinatorics of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers.** In §9, the canonical diagonal lifting of a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper is constructed by applying again the generic étaleness of  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$ 's. As discussed in Proposition 3.4.4, there exists a direct linkage between raising the level of a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2$ -oper in characteristic  $p$  to  $N$  and lifting that oper to characteristic  $p^N$ . To be more precise, we show that *the space of geometric deformations (which mean deformations classified by the moduli space  $\mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ ) of a given dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper is, in a certain sense, dual to the space of its arithmetic deformations (which mean liftings to characteristic  $p^N$  forgetting the data of higher-level structures).* See Corollary 8.3.6, (ii), Propositions 8.4.3, 8.5.5, and 9.1.1, and the picture displayed below.

In particular, when a given smooth curve is  $N$ -ordinary in the sense of Definition 8.7.4, any dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on it admits a canonical lifting. This construction of liftings reverses the operation of taking the diagonal reductions, and it is available to general curves because the  $N$ -ordinary locus in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  is dense.

Now, let  $S$  be a flat scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$  (with  $p > 2$ ) and  $X$  a geometrically connected, proper, and smooth curve over  $S$  of genus  $g > 1$ . Denote by  $S_0$  and  $X_0$  the mod  $p$  reductions

of  $S$  and  $X$ , respectively. Also, denote by

$$\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \left( \text{resp.}, \mathrm{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \right)$$

(cf. (9.5)) the set of isomorphism classes of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers on  $X/S$  (resp., dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on  $X_0/S_0$ ). Taking the diagonal reductions induces a map of sets

$$\not\downarrow_{\spadesuit} : \mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathrm{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}.$$

(cf. (9.6)). Then, we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem D** (cf. Theorem-Definition 9.2.1, Remark 9.2.2, and Corollary 9.2.5). *Suppose that  $X_0/S_0$  is general in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}$ . Then, the map  $\not\downarrow_{\spadesuit}$  has an inverse map*


$$\nearrow_{\spadesuit} : \mathrm{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}.$$

That is to say, for each dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  on  $X_0/S_0$ , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper  $\nearrow_{\spadesuit} \mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  on  $X/S$  whose diagonal reduction is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$ . In particular, if  $S_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$  for an algebraically closed field  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then the set  $\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  is finite and its cardinality  $\#(\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots})$  satisfies

$$\#(\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}) = \left( \#(\mathrm{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}) \right) \deg(\Pi_{2,N,\emptyset,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}).$$

For each  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  as in the above theorem, we shall refer to the resulting dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper  $\nearrow_{\spadesuit} \mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  as the **canonical diagonal lifting** of  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$ . This fact will also be formulated as the existence of canonical liftings of *Frobenius-projective structures* (cf. Theorem-Definition 9.3.5), which are characteristic- $p$  analogues of complex projective structures\* (cf. [Hos, Definition 2.1], or Definition 9.3.2).

We expect that canonical liftings exist even for a general rank  $n$  (sufficiently small relative to  $p$ ). To prove it in accordance with the arguments of this manuscript, we will have to prove the generic étaleness of  $\Pi_{n,N,\rho,g,r}$ 's. (Other constructions of liftings of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers in characteristic  $p$  can be found in [LSYZ], [Moc1], and [Moc2]; but they differ from ours, as mentioned in Remark 9.2.4).



\*A (complex) projective structure is defined as an additional structure on a Riemann surface consisting of local coordinate charts defining its complex structure such that on any two overlapping patches, the change of coordinates may be described as a Möbius transformation. Projective structures are in bijection with  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers (in our sense) via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and the algebraization of the underlying Riemann surface.

In §10, we translate the 2d TQFT  $\mathcal{Z}_{2,N}$  into combinatorial objects in order to solve our counting problem in a practical manner. This is possible because the factorization property of  $\deg(\Pi_{\rho,g,r})$ 's reduces the problem to the case where the underlying curve is totally degenerate (cf. Definition 6.5.1). In this case, the normalizations of its components are 3-pointed projective lines, so dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers are determined by purely combinatorial patterns of their radii (cf. [LiOs] in the case of  $N = 1$ ).

To make the discussion clearer, we set  ${}^\dagger C_N$  (cf. (10.6)) to be the collection of triples of nonnegative integers  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  satisfying the following conditions:


- $\sum_{i=1}^3 s_i \leq p^N - 2$  and  $|s_2 - s_3| \leq s_1 \leq s_2 + s_3$ ;
- For every positive integer  $N' < N$ , we can choose a triple of integers  $(s'_1, s'_2, s'_3)$  with  $s'_i \in \{[s_i]_{N'}, p^{N'} - 1 - [s_i]_{N'}\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) that satisfies  $\sum_{i=1}^3 s'_i \leq p^{N'} - 2$  and  $|s'_2 - s'_3| \leq s'_1 \leq s'_2 + s'_3$ .

Here, for each nonnegative integer  $a$ , we set  $[a]_{N'}$  to be the remainder obtained by dividing  $a$  by  $p^{N'}$ . Also, fix trivalent clutching data  $\mathbb{G}$  of type  $(g, 0)$  (cf. Definition 6.2.3, (iii)), and denote by  $X_{\mathbb{G}}$  the totally degenerate curve corresponding to  $\mathbb{G}$ .

A *balanced*  $(p, N)$ -edge numbering on  $\mathbb{G}$  (cf. Definition 10.5.1, (i)) is a collection of nonnegative integers  $(a_e)_{e \in E}$  indexed by the set  $E$  of edges of  $\mathbb{G}$  such that, for each triple of edges  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  (with multiplicity) incident to a common vertex, the integers  $(a_{e_1}, a_{e_2}, a_{e_3})$  belongs to  ${}^\dagger C_N$ . The set of balanced  $(p, N)$ -edge numberings on  $\mathbb{G}$  is denoted by

$$\mathrm{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}}$$

(cf. (10.12)). This set is finite, and it is possible to explicitly find out which combinations of nonnegative integers belong to it.



The study of Gauss hypergeometric differential operators with a full set of solutions shows that dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on a 3-pointed projective line are verified to be parametrized by  ${}^\dagger C_N$  (cf. Propositions 10.1.4, 10.3.3). Thus, dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on  $X_{\mathbb{G}}$  correspond bijectively to elements of  $\mathrm{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}}$ . Moreover, such numberings on  $\mathbb{G}$  may be identified with lattice points inside a certain subset of a Euclidean space of dimension  $(3g - 3) \cdot N$  (cf. Proposition 10.6.4). This subset is constructed as the union of a finite number of rational convex polytopes without boundary. It follows that we can apply Ehrhart's theory for lattice-point counting of polytopes, and obtain a quasi-polynomial realizing its lattice-point function. The consequence of this argument is as follows.

**Theorem E** (cf. Theorem 10.6.5 for the full statement). *Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be trivalent clutching data of type  $(g, 0)$ . Then, there exists a canonical bijection between  $\mathrm{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}}$  and the set of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on  $X_{\mathbb{G}}$ . In particular, one can find a quasi-polynomial  $H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(t)$  (independent of  $p$ ) with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $(3g - 3) \cdot N$  satisfying the equalities*

$$\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}) = \sharp(\mathrm{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}}) = H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(p).$$

(Note that the odd constituents of  $H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(t)$  do not depend on the choice of  $\mathbb{G}$ , i.e., depend only on the genus “ $g$ ” and the positive integer “ $N$ ”).

Finally, as a consequence of this fact, a partial answer to the question displayed at the beginning of § 1.2 can be given as follows.

**Theorem F** (cf. Theorem 10.7.1). *Let  $X/S$  be as in Theorem D, and suppose that  $S = \text{Spec}(R)$  for a flat  $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  whose mod  $p$  reduction  $R/pR$  is an algebraically closed field over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ , and denote by*

$$\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}}$$

(cf. (10.18)) *the set of 2nd order linear differential operators on  $\mathcal{L}$  with unit principal symbol and having a full set of root functions.*

- *Suppose that  $\text{obs}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Omega) \neq 0$  (cf. (3.16) for the definition of  $\text{obs}(-)$ ). Then, we have  $\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}} = \emptyset$ .*
- *Suppose  $\text{obs}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Omega) = 0$  and  $X_0$  is sufficiently general in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}$ . Then,  $\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}}$  is finite and its cardinality  $\sharp(\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}})$  satisfies*

$$\sharp(\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}}) = p^{gN} \cdot \sharp(\text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}}) = p^{gN} \cdot H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(p)$$

*for any trivalent clutching data  $\mathbb{G}$  of type  $(g,0)$ , where  $H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(t)$  denotes the quasi-polynomial resulting from Theorem E. In particular,  $\sharp(\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}})$  may be expressed as a rational quasi-polynomial in  $p$  of degree  $(4g - 3) \cdot N$ .*

**1.7. Notation and Conventions.** Throughout this manuscript, all schemes are assumed to be locally noetherian. We fix a prime  $p$ , and write  $\mathbb{F}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $S$  be a scheme. Given a sheaf  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $S$ , we use the notation “ $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ” for a local section  $v$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module, then we denote by  $\mathcal{V}^\vee$  the dual of  $\mathcal{V}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{V}^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}_S)$ . By a **vector bundle** on  $S$ , we mean a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module of finite rank. If  $X$  is a scheme over  $S$ , then we shall write  $\Omega_{X/S}$  for the sheaf of 1-forms on  $X$  over  $S$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_{X/S}$  for its dual.

For the basic properties on log schemes, we refer the reader to [KKa], [Ill], and [FKa]. Given a log scheme (or more generally, a log stack) indicated, say, by  $S^{\text{log}}$ , we shall write  $S$  for the underlying scheme (stack) of  $S^{\text{log}}$ , and  $\alpha_{S^{\text{log}}} : \mathcal{M}_{S^{\text{log}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$  for the morphism of sheaves of monoids defining the log structure of  $S^{\text{log}}$ . For any morphism of log schemes  $f^{\text{log}} : X^{\text{log}} \rightarrow S^{\text{log}}$ , we write  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}} := \mathcal{M}_{X^{\text{log}}}/\text{Im}(f^*(\mathcal{M}_{S^{\text{log}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X^{\text{log}}})$  (cf. [FKa, Introduction]), and call it the **relative characteristic** of  $f^{\text{log}}$  (or, of  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$ ).

Let  $\nabla : \mathcal{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^1$  be a morphism of sheaves of abelian groups on a scheme  $S$ . It may be regarded as a complex concentrated at degrees 0 and 1; we denote this complex by  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla]$ . Next, let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of schemes and  $i$  an integer  $\geq 0$ . Then, one may define the sheaf  $\mathbb{R}^i f_* (\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla])$  on  $S$  obtained from  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla]$  by applying the  $i$ -th hyper-derived functor  $\mathbb{R}^i f_*(-)$  of  $f_*(-)$  (cf. [Kat1], (2.0)). In particular,  $\mathbb{R}^0 f_* (\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla]) = f_*(\text{Ker}(\nabla))$ . If  $S$  is affine, then  $H^0(S, \mathbb{R}^i f_* (\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla]))$  may be identified with the  $i$ -th hypercohomology group  $\mathbb{H}^i(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla])$ . Given an integer  $n$  and a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $S$ , we define the complex  $\mathcal{F}[n]$  to be  $\mathcal{F}$  (considered as a complex concentrated at degree 0) shifted down by  $n$ , so that  $\mathcal{F}[n]^{-n} = \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}[n]^i = 0$  ( $i \neq -n$ ).

Denote by  $\mathbb{G}_m$  the multiplicative group. Also, for a positive integer  $n$ , we shall write  $\text{GL}_n$  (resp.,  $\text{PGL}_n$ ) for the general (resp., projective) linear group of rank  $n$ .

2.  $\mathcal{D}$ -MODULES OF FINITE LEVEL

In this section, we discuss some basics related to sheaves of logarithmic differential operators of finite level and higher-level flat bundles on a log curve.

**2.1. Logarithmic differential operators of finite level.** First, we briefly recall sheaves of logarithmic differential operators of finite level discussed in [Ber1], [Ber2], and [Mon].

Let  $m$  be a nonnegative integer. Also, let  $S^{\log}$  be an fs log scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  and  $X^{\log}$  an fs log scheme equipped with a morphism of log schemes  $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$  which is log smooth (i.e., “smooth” in the sense of [KKa], (3.3)). Denote by  $\Omega_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  the sheaf of logarithmic 1-forms on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  (cf. [KKa], (1.7)) and by  $\mathcal{T}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  the sheaf of logarithmic vector fields on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , i.e., the dual of  $\Omega_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ . For simplicity, we occasionally write  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  instead of  $\Omega_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ , respectively. Since  $f^{\log}$  is log smooth, both  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are vector bundles (cf [KKa], Proposition (3.10)).

Suppose that  $p$  is locally nilpotent on  $S$  and  $S$  is equipped with an  $m$ -PD structure that extends to  $X$  via  $f$ . Denote by  $P_{(m)}^{\log}$  the log  $m$ -PD envelope of the diagonal embedding  $X^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} X^{\log}$  (cf. [Mon, Proposition 2.1.1]) and by  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}$  the structure sheaf of  $P_{(m)}^{\log}$ . The defining ideal  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$  of the strict closed immersion  $X^{\log} \hookrightarrow P_{(m)}^{\log}$  admits the  $m$ -PD-adic filtration  $\{\bar{\mathcal{I}}^{\{\ell\}}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  constructed in the manner of [Ber2, Definition A.3]. For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the quotient sheaf  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}$  by  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}^{\{\ell+1\}}$  determines a strict closed subscheme  $P_{(m)}^{\ell, \log}$  of  $P_{(m)}^{\log}$ . We shall write  $\text{pr}_1^{\ell}$  and  $\text{pr}_2^{\ell}$  for the morphisms  $P_{(m)}^{\ell, \log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$  induced by the first and second projections  $X^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} X^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ , respectively. Note that  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell}$  may be regarded as a sheaf on  $X$ . Moreover, it has an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structure via  $\text{pr}_1^{\ell}$  (resp.,  $\text{pr}_2^{\ell}$ ); it will be applied whenever we are considering an action of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  by left (resp., right) multiplication. When there is a fear of confusion, we use the notation  $\text{pr}_{1*}^{\ell}(\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell})$  (resp.,  $\text{pr}_{2*}^{\ell}(\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell})$ ) for writing the sheaf  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell}$  equipped with this  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structure.

Given an integer  $m' \geq m$ , we obtain a natural morphism

$$\zeta_{m', m}^{\ell} : \mathcal{P}_{(m')}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell}$$

preserving both the left and right  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structures. As mentioned in [Mon, §2.3], there exists a canonical  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra morphism

$$\delta_m^{\ell, \ell'} : \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell+\ell'} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell'}$$

for each pair of nonnegative integers  $(\ell, \ell')$  such that if  $m'$  is an integer  $\geq m$ , then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{(m')}^{\ell+\ell'} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{m'}^{\ell, \ell'}} & \mathcal{P}_{(m')}^{\ell} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m')}^{\ell'} \\ \zeta_{m', m}^{\ell+\ell'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta_{m', m}^{\ell} \otimes \zeta_{m', m}^{\ell'} \\ \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell+\ell'} & \xrightarrow{\delta_m^{\ell, \ell'}} & \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell'} \end{array}$$

The morphism  $\delta_m^{\ell, \ell'}$  determines a morphism of log schemes

$$\delta_m^{\ell, \ell'} : P_{(m)}^{\ell, \log} \times_{X^{\log}} P_{(m)}^{\ell', \log} \rightarrow P_{(m)}^{\ell + \ell', \log}.$$

over  $X^{\log}$ .

For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we shall set

$$\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}, \leq \ell}^{(m)} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathrm{pr}_{1*}(\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell}), \mathcal{O}_X)$$

(cf. [Mon, Definition 2.3.1]). In particular, we have natural identifications  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}, \leq 0}^{(m)} = \mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}, \leq \ell}^{(m)}/\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}, \leq \ell-1}^{(m)} = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \ell}$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ). The sheaf of logarithmic differential operators of level  $m$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(m)} := \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}, \leq \ell}^{(m)}.$$

For simplicity, we occasionally write  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$  instead of  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}, \leq \ell}^{(m)}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(m)}$ , respectively. The morphisms  $\delta_m^{\ell, \ell'}$  (for  $\ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) determine a structure of (possibly noncommutative)  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(m)}$  on  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ . The collection of morphisms  $\zeta_{m, m'}^{\ell}$  (with  $m' \leq m$ ) induces an inductive system of sheaves  $\{\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ . We shall write  ${}^L\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)}$  (resp.,  ${}^R\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)}$ ) for the sheaf  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)}$  endowed with a structure of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module arising from left (resp., right) multiplication by sections of  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq 0}^{(m)} (= \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

Given an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ , we equip the tensor product  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{F} := {}^R\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{F}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)} := \mathcal{F} \otimes {}^L\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)}$ ) with the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structure given by left (resp., right) multiplication.

Note that  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$  coincides with the sheaf of noncommutative rings “ $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar, Y^{\log}/T^{\log}}^{< \infty}$ ” defined in [Wak5, Eq. (463)] such that the triple  $(\hbar, T^{\log}, Y^{\log})$  is taken to be  $(1, S^{\log}, X^{\log})$ . With this in mind, whenever we deal with the sheaf  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$  (as well as the sheaves  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(0)}$ 's for  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) without choosing a (0-)PD structure on  $S$ , we take it in the sense of [Wak5]. On the other hand,  $S$  is always assumed to be equipped the trivial  $m$ -PD structure when it is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

**2.2.  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules on log curves.** To simplify the discussion, we restrict ourselves to the case where  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is a log curve, in the following sense<sup>†</sup>.

**Definition 2.2.1.** We say that  $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$  is a **log curve** (over  $S^{\log}$ ) if it is a log smooth integral morphism such that each geometric fiber of the underlying morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is either empty or a reduced 1-dimensional scheme. (In particular, both  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are line bundles, and the underlying morphism  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is flat, according to [KKa, Corollary 4.5].)

<sup>†</sup>For the purposes of the various discussions to be made in [Wak5] and this monograph, it is desirable to include the situation where a log curve admits an empty fiber. Hence, to be precise, the description of [Wak5, Definition 1.40] needs to be modified slightly as in Definition 2.2.1. Note that our definition also differs from [FKa, Definition 1.1] and [ACGHOSS, Definition 4.5].

Hereinafter, suppose that  $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$  is a log curve. Recall from [Mon, § 2.2.2] that there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta^{-1}(1 + \overline{\mathcal{I}}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{P_{(m)}^{\log}}) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} \mathcal{M}_{X^{\log}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the natural morphism  $X^{\log} \hookrightarrow P_{(m)}^{\log}$  and  $\lambda$  denotes the morphism obtained by restricting  $\Delta^{-1}(\alpha_{P_{(m)}^{\log}}^{-1}) : \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{P_{(m)}^{\log}}^{\times}) \hookrightarrow \Delta^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{P_{(m)}^{\log}}^{\times})$ .

For any local section  $a \in \mathcal{M}_{X^{\log}}$ ,  $\text{pr}_0^*(a)$  and  $\text{pr}_1^*(a)$  have the same image in  $\mathcal{M}_{X^{\log}}$ . From the above exact sequence, there exists a unique section  $\mu_{(m)}(a) \in \Delta^{-1}(1 + \overline{\mathcal{I}})$  with  $\text{pr}_1^*(a) = \text{pr}_2^*(a) \cdot \lambda(\mu_{(m)}(a))$ . Thus, the assignment  $a \mapsto \mu_{(m)}(a)$  defines a well-defined morphism  $\mu_{(m)} : \mathcal{M}_{X^{\log}} \rightarrow \Delta^{-1}(1 + \overline{\mathcal{I}})$ .

Now, let us take a (locally defined) logarithmic coordinate  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{X^{\log}}^{\text{gr}}$ , i.e., the section  $d\log(x)$  forms a local generator of  $\Omega$ . We shall set  $\eta := \mu_{(m)}(x) - 1$ . For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the collection  $\{\eta^{\{j\}} \mid j \leq \ell\}$  (cf. [Mon, § 1.2.3] for the definition of  $(-)^{\{j\}}$ ) forms a local basis of  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell}$ . Hence, by setting  $\partial^{\langle j \rangle}$  as the dual of  $\eta^{\{j\}}$ , we obtain a local basis  $\{\partial^{\langle j \rangle}\}_{j \leq \ell}$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq \ell}^{(m)}$ . For any nonnegative integers  $j'$  and  $j''$ , the following equality holds:

$$\partial^{\langle j' \rangle} \cdot \partial^{\langle j'' \rangle} = \sum_{j=\max\{j', j''\}}^{j'+j''} \frac{j!}{(j' + j'' - j)! \cdot (j - j')! \cdot (j - j'')!} \cdot \frac{q_{j'}! \cdot q_{j''}!}{q_j!} \cdot \partial^{\langle j \rangle} \quad (2.1)$$

(cf. [Mon, Lemme 2.3.4]), where, for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , let  $(q_j, r_j)$  be the pair of nonnegative integers uniquely determined by the condition that  $j = p^m \cdot q_j + r_j$  and  $0 \leq r_j < p^m$ . In particular, we have  $\partial^{\langle j' \rangle} \cdot \partial^{\langle j'' \rangle} = \partial^{\langle j'' \rangle} \cdot \partial^{\langle j' \rangle}$ .

The following assertion will be applied in the subsequent discussion (cf. Lemma 4.1.1).

**Lemma 2.2.2.** *Let us keep the above notation. Moreover, suppose that  $S$  is a scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then, for each nonnegative integer  $\ell \leq m$ , the following equality holds:*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p^{\ell}-1} (-1)^j \cdot \partial^{\langle j \rangle} = \prod_{s=0}^{\ell-1} (1 - (\partial^{\langle p^s \rangle})^{p-1}),$$

where we set  $\prod_{s=0}^{-1} (-) := 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $d$  be nonnegative integers with  $1 \leq a \leq p - 1$  and  $p^d(p - 1 + pb) < p^m$  (which implies  $q_j = 0$  for any  $j \leq p^d(a - 1) + p^{d+1}b$ ). Since  $S$  is a scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial^{\langle p^d(a-1)+p^{d+1}b \rangle} \cdot \partial^{\langle p^d \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{j=p^d(a-1)+p^{d+1}b}^{p^d a + p^{d+1}b} \frac{j!}{(p^d a + p^{d+1}b - j)! \cdot (j - p^d(a - 1) - p^{d+1}b)! \cdot (j - p^d)!} \cdot \partial^{\langle j \rangle} \\ &= (a - 1) \cdot \partial^{\langle p^d(a-1)+p^{d+1}b \rangle} + a \cdot \partial^{\langle p^d a + p^{d+1}b \rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (2.1). By using this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{\langle p^d a + p^{d+1} b \rangle} &= \frac{1}{a} \cdot \left( \partial^{\langle p^d \rangle} - (a-1) \right) \cdot \partial^{\langle p^d(a-1) + p^{d+1} b \rangle} \\
&= \frac{1}{a} \cdot \left( \partial^{\langle p^d \rangle} - (a-1) \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{a-1} \cdot \left( \partial^{\langle p^d \rangle} - (a-2) \right) \cdot \partial^{\langle p^d(a-2) + p^{d+1} b \rangle} \right) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= \frac{1}{a!} \cdot \partial^{\langle p^{d+1} b \rangle} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^a \left( \partial^{\langle p^d \rangle} - (a-j) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Here, note that the equality

$$1 - x^{p-1} = \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^a}{a!} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^a (x - (a-j)) \tag{2.3}$$

holds in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Indeed, if  $h(x)$  denotes the right-hand side of (2.3), then, for each  $n = 1, \dots, p-1$ , we have

$$h(n) = \sum_{a=0}^n \frac{(-1)^a}{a!} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^a (x - (a-j)) \Big|_{x=n} = \sum_{a=0}^n (-1)^a \cdot \binom{n}{a} = (1-1)^n = 0.$$

Moreover, since the equality  $h(0) = 1$  is immediately verified, we obtain (2.3), as desired.

The equalities (2.2) and (2.3) together imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{p^d a + p^{d+1} b} \cdot \partial^{\langle p^d a + p^{d+1} b \rangle} &= \partial^{\langle p^{d+1} b \rangle} \cdot \left( \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{p^d a + p^{d+1} b}}{a!} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^a \left( \partial^{\langle p^d \rangle} - (a-j) \right) \right) \\
&= (-1)^{p^{d+1} b} \cdot \partial^{\langle p^{d+1} b \rangle} \cdot \left( \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^a}{a!} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^a \left( \partial^{\langle p^d \rangle} - (a-j) \right) \right) \\
&= (-1)^{p^{d+1} b} \cdot \partial^{\langle p^{d+1} b \rangle} \cdot (1 - (\partial^{\langle p^d \rangle})^{p-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$



By applying (2.4) for various  $b$ 's and  $d$ 's, we obtain the following sequence of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{p^l-1} (-1)^j \cdot \partial^{(j)} &= \sum_{b=0}^{p^{l-1}-1} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{a+pb} \cdot \partial^{(a+pb)} \\
&\stackrel{(2.4)}{=} (1 - (\partial^{(1)})^{p-1}) \cdot \sum_{b=0}^{p^{l-1}-1} (-1)^{pb} \cdot \partial^{(pb)} \\
&= (1 - (\partial^{(1)})^{p-1}) \cdot \sum_{b=0}^{p^{l-2}-1} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{pa+p^2b} \cdot \partial^{(pa+p^2b)} \\
&\stackrel{(2.4)}{=} (1 - (\partial^{(1)})^{p-1})(1 - (\partial^{(p)})^{p-1}) \cdot \sum_{b=0}^{p^{l-2}-1} (-1)^{p^2b} \cdot \partial^{(p^2b)} \\
&\vdots \\
&= \prod_{s=0}^{l-1} (1 - (\partial^{(p^s)})^{p-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of this assertion.  $\square$

By a **(left)  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module**, we shall mean a pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  consisting of an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  and an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear morphism of  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ -algebras  $\nabla : {}^L\mathcal{D}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F})$  ( $:= \mathcal{E}nd_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)}(\mathcal{F})$ ). We refer to  $\nabla$  as a  **$\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure** on  $\mathcal{F}$ . When we want to clarify the level “ $m$ ”, we write  $\nabla^{(m)}$  instead of  $\nabla$ . Also, an **invertible  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module** is a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  such that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle. Given a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ , we shall write

$$\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla) \tag{2.5}$$

for the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  on which  $\mathcal{D}_+^{(m)}$  acts as zero via  $\nabla$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_+^{(m)}$  denotes the kernel of the canonical projection  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ .

Recall from [Wak5, Definition 4.1] that an  **$S^{\log}$ -connection** on an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ -linear morphism  $\nabla : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes \mathcal{F}$  satisfying  $\nabla(a \cdot v) = da \otimes v + a \cdot \nabla(v)$  for any local sections  $a \in \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ . (Since we have assumed that  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is a log curve, any  $S^{\log}$ -connection is automatically flat, in the sense of [Wak5, Definition 4.3].) Under the bijective correspondence mentioned in [Wak5, Eq. (468)], we will not distinguish between a  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}$  and an  $S^{\log}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular, if  $\nabla$  is an  $S^{\log}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{F}$ , then we have  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla) = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega \otimes \mathcal{F})$ ; each local section of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)$  is called **horizontal**.

By a **flat module** (resp., a **flat bundle**) on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , we mean an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module (resp., a vector bundle on  $X$ ) together with an  $S^{\log}$ -connection on it. (Note that flat modules/bundles can be discussed without choosing a PD structure on  $S$ .)

Let  $(\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ)$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)$  be  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules. Then, a **morphism** from  $(\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ)$  to  $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)$  is defined as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear morphism  $\mathcal{F}_\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\bullet$  compatible with the respective  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structures  $\nabla_\circ, \nabla_\bullet$ .

The structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$  admits the trivial  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure

$$\nabla_{X, \text{triv}}^{(m)} : {}^L\mathcal{D}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_X) \tag{2.6}$$

determined uniquely by the condition that if we write  $t := \alpha_{X^{\log}}(x)$ , where  $x$  denotes a logarithmic coordinate as introduced in the discussion preceding Lemma 2.2.2, then

$$\nabla_{X, \text{triv}}^{(m)}(\partial^{(j)})(t^n) = q_j! \cdot \binom{n}{j} \cdot t^n \quad (2.7)$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  (cf. [Mon, Lemme 2.3.3]). Thus, we obtain the trivial (invertible)  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{O}_X, \nabla_{X, \text{triv}}^{(m)})$ .

Let  $m'$  be an integer  $\geq m$ . Each  $\mathcal{D}^{(m')}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m')})$  induces a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure

$$\nabla^{(m') \Rightarrow (m)} : {}^L\mathcal{D}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F})$$

on  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e., the composite of  $\nabla^{(m')}$  and the natural morphism  ${}^L\mathcal{D}^{(m)} \rightarrow {}^L\mathcal{D}^{(m')}$ . In particular, we obtain a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m') \Rightarrow (m)})$ .

**2.3.  $m$ -PD stratifications on  $G$ -bundles.** Let us fix a smooth affine algebraic group  $G$  over  $S$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_G$  the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebra defined as the coordinate ring of  $G$ . Also, let us fix  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Definition 2.3.1.** (i) Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $G$ -bundle on  $X$ . An  $m$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{E}/X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  (or simply, an  $m$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{E}$ ) is a collection

$$\phi := \{\phi_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}},$$

where each  $\phi_\ell$  denotes an isomorphism  $P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X \mathcal{E} (= \text{pr}_2^*(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} \times_X P_{(m)}^\ell (= \text{pr}_1^*(\mathcal{E}))$  of  $G$ -bundles on  $P_{(m)}^\ell$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $\phi_0$  coincides with the identity morphism  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$  of  $\mathcal{E} (= \text{pr}_1^{0*}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{pr}_2^{0*}(\mathcal{E}))$ , and the equality  $\phi_{\ell'}|_{P_{(m)}^\ell} = \phi_\ell$  holds for any pair of integers  $(\ell, \ell')$  with  $\ell \leq \ell'$ ;
- The cocycle condition holds: to be precise, for any pair of nonnegative integers  $(\ell, \ell')$ , the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X P_{(m)}^{\ell'} \times_X \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\delta_m^{\ell, \ell'} \#^*(\phi_{\ell+\ell'})} & \mathcal{E} \times_X P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X P_{(m)}^{\ell'} \\ & \searrow[\sim]_{q_2^{\ell, \ell'} \#^*(\phi_{\ell+\ell'})} & \nearrow[\sim]_{q_1^{\ell, \ell'} \#^*(\phi_{\ell+\ell'})} \\ & & P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X \mathcal{E} \times_X P_{(m)}^{\ell'} \end{array} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $q_1^{\ell, \ell'}$  and  $q_2^{\ell, \ell'}$  denote the morphisms defined as

$$q_1^{\ell, \ell'} : P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X P_{(m)}^{\ell'} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} P_{(m)}^\ell \hookrightarrow P_{(m)}^{\ell+\ell'} \quad \text{and} \quad q_2^{\ell, \ell'} : P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X P_{(m)}^{\ell'} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} P_{(m)}^{\ell'} \hookrightarrow P_{(m)}^{\ell+\ell'},$$

respectively.

Also, by an  $m$ -PD stratified  $G$ -bundle on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , we mean a pair

$$(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$$

consisting of a  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X$  and an  $m$ -PD stratification  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

- (ii) Let  $(\mathcal{E}_\circ, \phi_\circ)$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_\bullet, \phi_\bullet)$  be  $m$ -PD stratified  $G$ -bundles on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , where  $\phi_\circ := \{\phi_{\circ, \ell}\}_\ell$ ,  $\phi_\bullet := \{\phi_{\bullet, \ell}\}_\ell$ . An **isomorphism of  $m$ -PD stratified  $G$ -bundles** from  $(\mathcal{E}_\circ, \phi_\circ)$  to

$(\mathcal{E}_\bullet, \phi_\bullet)$  is defined as an isomorphism of  $G$ -bundles  $h : \mathcal{E}_\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_\bullet$  such that, for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the following square diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X \mathcal{E}_\circ & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\phi_{\circ, \ell}} & \mathcal{E}_\circ \times_X P_{(m)}^\ell \\ \text{id} \times h \downarrow \wr & & \wr \downarrow h \times \text{id} \\ P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X \mathcal{E}_\bullet & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\phi_{\bullet, \ell}} & \mathcal{E}_\bullet \times_X P_{(m)}^\ell. \end{array}$$

**Example 2.3.2** (Trivial  $m$ -PD stratified  $G$ -bundle). The trivial  $G$ -bundle  $X \times_S G$  on  $X$  admits an  $m$ -PD stratification. Indeed, for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , let  $\phi_{\ell, \text{triv}}$  denote the composite of natural morphisms

$$P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X (X \times_S G) \xrightarrow{\sim} P_{(m)}^\ell \times_S G \xrightarrow{(a,b) \mapsto (b,a)} G \times_S P_{(m)}^\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} (X \times_S G) \times_X P_{(m)}^\ell.$$

Then, the resulting collection

$$\phi_{\text{triv}} := \{\phi_{\ell, \text{triv}}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \quad (2.9)$$

forms an  $m$ -PD stratification on  $X \times_S G$ , which will be called the **trivial  $m$ -PD stratification**.

**Remark 2.3.3** (Case of  $G = \text{GL}_n$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a rank  $n$  ( $\in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ) vector bundle on  $X$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the  $\text{GL}_n$ -bundle corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that we are given an  $m$ -PD stratification  $\phi := \{\phi_\ell\}_\ell$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  represents the sheaf of isomorphisms  $\mathcal{I}som(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}, \mathcal{F})$ , each  $\phi_\ell$  ( $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) may be regarded as an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{I}som(\mathcal{O}_{P_{(m)}^\ell}^{\oplus n}, \text{pr}_2^{\ell*}(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}som(\mathcal{O}_{P_{(m)}^\ell}^{\oplus n}, \text{pr}_1^{\ell*}(\mathcal{F})),$$

which is given by composition with some isomorphism  $\phi_\ell^\natural : \text{pr}_2^{\ell*}(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{pr}_1^{\ell*}(\mathcal{F})$ . The resulting collection  $\phi^\natural := \{\phi_\ell^\natural\}_\ell$  defines an  $m$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{F}$  in the classical sense. Moreover, it determines a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}$  (cf. [Mon, Proposition 2.6.1]). The assignment  $\phi \mapsto \phi^\natural$  gives an equivalence between  $m$ -PD stratifications on  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structures on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

In what follows, we describe an  $m$ -PD stratification on a  $G$ -bundle not only a  $\text{GL}_n$ -bundle (cf. Remark 2.3.3 above) by using the classical notion of an  $m$ -PD stratification defined for an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $G$ -bundle on  $X$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is affine over  $X$  because of the affineness assumption on  $G$ , it determines an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra; we shall write  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$  for this  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra by abuse of notation (hence,  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}$ ). If  $\text{R}_\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{E} \times_S G \rightarrow G$  denotes the  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}$ , then it corresponds to an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra morphism  $\text{R}_\mathcal{E}^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_G$ . (We shall refer to  $\text{R}_\mathcal{E}^\sharp$  as the  $G$ -coaction on  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$ .)

Now, let  $\phi := \{\phi_\ell\}_\ell$  be an  $m$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{E}$ . For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the isomorphism  $\phi_\ell$  defines a  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\phi_\ell^\natural : \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell.$$

The  $G$ -equivariance condition on  $\phi_\ell$  can be interpreted as the commutativity of the following square diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\phi_\ell^\natural} & \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \\
\text{id} \otimes \mathbb{R}_\mathcal{E}^\sharp \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{R}_\mathcal{E}^\sharp \otimes \text{id} \\
(\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_G & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\phi_\ell^\natural \otimes \text{id}} & (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_G \left( = (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \right).
\end{array} \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover, the commutativity of (2.8) reads the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} & \xleftarrow[\sim]{\delta_m^{\ell+\ell'} \#^* (\phi_{\ell+\ell'}^\natural)} & \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell'} \\
& \swarrow \sim & \searrow \sim \\
& \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell'} &
\end{array}$$

$q_2^{\ell, \ell'} \#^* (\phi_{\ell+\ell'}^\natural)$        $q_1^{\ell, \ell'} \#^* (\phi_{\ell+\ell'}^\natural)$

Thus, the resulting collection

$$\phi^\natural := \{\phi_\ell^\natural\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \tag{2.11}$$

forms an  $m$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$  in the usual sense (cf. [Ber1, Definition 2.3.1]).

Conversely, suppose that we are given an  $m$ -PD stratification  $\phi^\natural := \{\phi_\ell^\natural\}_{\ell \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$  such that each  $\phi_\ell^\natural$  is a  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell$ -algebra isomorphism  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell$  and the square diagram (2.10) for this collection is commutative. By applying the functor  $\text{Spec}(-)$  to various  $\phi_\ell^\natural$ 's, we obtain a collection of isomorphisms  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \times_X \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} \times_X \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell$  ( $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ), forming an  $m$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Remark 2.3.4** ( $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module associated to an  $m$ -PD stratification). One may verify that giving a collection as in (2.11) is equivalent to giving a *compatible* collection

$$\phi^{\natural\sharp} := \{\phi_\ell^{\natural\sharp}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}},$$

where each  $\phi_\ell^{\natural\sharp}$  denotes an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra morphism  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell$ , satisfying the following two conditions:

- For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the following square diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\phi_\ell^{\natural\sharp}} & \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \\
\mathbb{R}_\mathcal{E}^\sharp \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{R}_\mathcal{E}^\sharp \otimes \text{id} \\
\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_G & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\phi_\ell^{\natural\sharp} \otimes \text{id}} & (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_G \left( = (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \right).
\end{array}$$

- The equality  $\phi_0^{\natural\natural} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}$  holds, and for each pair of nonnegative integers  $(\ell, \ell')$ , the following square diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell+\ell'} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \delta_m^{\ell, \ell'}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell'} \\
\uparrow \phi_{\ell+\ell'}^{\natural\natural} & & \uparrow \phi_{\ell}^{\natural\natural} \otimes \text{id} \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\ell'}^{\natural\natural}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^{\ell'}
\end{array}$$

In particular, the collection  $\phi^{\natural\natural}$  may be regarded as a left  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  (cf. [Ber1, Proposition 2.3.2]).

**Remark 2.3.5** (Case of  $m = 0$ ). Recall from [Wak5, Definition 1.28] the notion of a *flat  $G$ -bundle* on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . (Although all the schemes and algebraic groups appearing in [Wak5] are defined over a field, the various formulations related to flat  $G$ -bundles can be generalized to our situation here.) By an argument similar to the proof of [Wak8, Proposition 7.8.1] (which deals with the non-logarithmic case), there exists an equivalence of categories

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the groupoid of} \\ \text{0-PD stratified } G\text{-bundles on } X^{\log}/S^{\log} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the groupoid of} \\ \text{flat } G\text{-bundles on } X^{\log}/S^{\log} \end{array} \right). \quad (2.12)$$

With this in mind, whenever we deal with a 0-PD stratification without fixing a (0-)PD structure on  $S$ , it is assumed to mean a flat connection.

Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$  (where  $\phi := \{\phi_{\ell}\}_{\ell}$ ) be an  $m$ -PD stratified  $G$ -bundle on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Also, let  $G'$  be another smooth affine algebraic group over  $S$  and  $w : G \rightarrow G'$  a morphism of  $S$ -groups. Denote by  $\mathcal{E} \times^{G, w} G'$ , or simply by  $\mathcal{E} \times^G G'$ , the  $G'$ -bundle induced from  $\mathcal{E}$  via change of structure group along  $w$ . Then, the isomorphism  $\phi_{\ell}$  (for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) induces a  $G'$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\phi_{\ell} \times^G G' : P_{(m)}^{\ell} \times_X (\mathcal{E} \times^G G') \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E} \times^G G') \times_X P_{(m)}^{\ell},$$

and the collection

$$\phi \times^G G' := \{\phi_{\ell} \times^G G'\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \quad (2.13)$$

forms an  $m$ -PD stratified  $G'$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{E} \times^G G'$ .

**2.4. Relative Frobenius morphisms.** In the rest of this section, we suppose that  $S$  is a scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (equipped with the trivial  $m$ -PD structure). We shall write  $F_X$  and  $F_S$  for the absolute Frobenius (i.e.,  $p$ -power) endomorphisms of  $X$  and  $S$ , respectively. Also, let us fix a nonnegative integer  $m$ .

The  $(m+1)$ -st **Frobenius twist of  $X$  over  $S$**  is, by definition, the base-change  $X^{(m+1)}$  ( $:= X \times_{S, F_S^{m+1}} S$ ) of  $X$  along the  $(m+1)$ -st iterate  $F_S^{m+1}$  of  $F_S$ . Denote by  $f^{(m+1)} : X^{(m+1)} \rightarrow S$  the structure morphism of  $X^{(m+1)}$ , which defines a log curve  $X^{(m+1)\log} := X^{(m+1)} \times_X X^{\log}$  over  $S^{\log}$ .

The  $(m + 1)$ -st **relative Frobenius morphism of  $X$  over  $S$**  is the unique morphism  $F_{X/S}^{(m+1)} : X \rightarrow X^{(m+1)}$  over  $S$  that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & & & & \\
 \searrow^{F_X^{m+1}} & & & & \\
 & & X^{(m+1)} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X \times F_S^{m+1}} & X \\
 \searrow^{F_{X/S}^{(m+1)}} & \searrow^{f^{(m+1)}} & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow f \\
 & & S & \xrightarrow{F_S^{m+1}} & S \\
 \searrow^f & & & & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

To simplify the notation, we write  $F_{X/S} := F_{X/S}^{(1)}$ , and write  $\Omega^{(m+1)} := \Omega_{X^{(m+1)\log/S^{\log}}}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}^{(m+1)} := \mathcal{T}_{X^{(m+1)\log/S^{\log}}}$ . Also, for convenience, we occasionally write  $X^{(0)}$ ,  $f^{(0)}$ ,  $\Omega^{(0)}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}^{(0)}$  instead of  $X$ ,  $f$ ,  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{T}$ , respectively.

**Remark 2.4.1** (Cartier type). Recall from [FKa, Theorem 1.1] and [Ogu1, Remark 1.2.3] (or the comment following [Kka, Definition (4.8)]) that the log curve  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is of *Cartier type*. Hence, the exact relative Frobenius map in the statement of [Ogu2, Theorem 3.1.1] coincides with the usual relative Frobenius morphism  $F_{X/S}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a relatively torsion-free sheaf on  $X$  of rank  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  (cf. [Ses, Chap. 7, Définition 1] or [Wak5, Definition 3.1] for the definition of a relatively torsion-free sheaf). Then, the  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}$ -module  $F_{X/S^*}^{(m+1)}(\mathcal{F})$  is a relatively torsion-free sheaf of rank  $n \cdot p^{m+1}$ .*

*Proof.* First, let us consider the case of  $m = 0$ . Since  $F_{X/S^*}(\mathcal{F})$  is flat over  $S$ , we may assume, after restricting to the fiber over each geometric point of  $S$ , that  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for an algebraically closed field  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Recall from [FKa, Theorem 1.1] that  $X$  has at most nodal singularities. When restricted to the smooth locus  $X^{\text{sm}}$  of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  becomes locally free and  $F_{X/k}$  becomes finite and flat of degree  $p$ . This implies that  $F_{X/k^*}(\mathcal{F})|_{X^{\text{sm}(1)}}$  is locally free (and hence, relatively torsion-free) of rank  $n \cdot p$ . Hence, the problem is reduced to proving that  $F_{X/k^*}(\mathcal{F})$  is (relatively) torsion-free of rank  $n \cdot p$  at each nodal point of  $X$ .

Let us take a nodal point  $q$  of  $X$ , and write  $q^{(1)} := F_{X/k}(q) \in X^{(1)}(k)$ . Denote by  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_q$  (resp.,  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,q}$ ; resp.,  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^{(1)},q^{(1)}}$ ) the completion of the stalk of  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}_X$ ; resp.,  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}$ ) at  $q$  (resp.,  $q$ ; resp.,  $q^{(1)}$ ) with respect to its maximal ideal and by  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{X,q}$  (resp.,  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{X^{(1)},q^{(1)}}$ ) the maximal ideal of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,q}$  (resp.,  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^{(1)},q^{(1)}}$ ). It follows from [Ses, Chap. 8, Proposition 2] that  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_q \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,q}^{\oplus a} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{X,q}^{\oplus (n-a)}$  for some  $a \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ . Hence, it suffices to consider the cases where  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_q \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,q}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_q \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{X,q}$ . Since the first case was essentially proved in [Wak5, Proposition 3.2], we only consider the second case, i.e.,  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_q \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{X,q}$ .

Recall that  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,q} \cong k[[x, y]]/(xy)$  and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{X,q} = x \cdot k[[x]] \oplus y \cdot k[[y]]$ . By using the injection  $F_{X/k}^* : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^{(1)},q^{(1)}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,q}$  induced by  $F_{X/k}$ , we shall identify  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X^{(1)},q^{(1)}}$  with the subring  $k[[x^p, y^p]]/(x^p y^p)$  of  $k[[x, y]]/(xy)$ . In particular, we have  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{X^{(1)},q^{(1)}} \cong x^p \cdot k[[x^p]] \oplus y^p \cdot k[[y^p]]$ . Let us consider the  $k[[x^p, y^p]]/(x^p y^p)$ -linear morphism

$$(x^p \cdot k[[x^p]] \oplus y^p \cdot k[[y^p]])^{\oplus p} \rightarrow x \cdot k[[x]] \oplus y \cdot k[[y]]$$

given by

$$(x^p \cdot A_i + y^p \cdot B_i)_{i=1}^p \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^p (x^i \cdot A_i + y^i \cdot B_i)$$

for any  $A_i \in k[[x^p]]$  and  $B_i \in k[[y^p]]$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ). This morphism is verified to be bijective. It follows that  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{X,q}$  is isomorphic to  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{X^{(1)},q^{(1)}}^{\oplus p}$ , which is relatively torsion-free. This completes the proof of the assertion for  $m = 0$ .

Moreover, since  $F_{X/S}^{(m+1)} = F_{X^{(m)}/S} \circ \dots \circ F_{X^{(1)}/S} \circ F_{X/S}$ , the assertion for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  can be proved by successively applying the assertion just proved. Thus, we have finished the proof of this proposition.  $\square$

**2.5.  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature.** The image of the natural morphism  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}}^{(m+1)}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}-1}^{(m+1)}$ . If  $\varpi : \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}-1}^{(m+1)}$  denotes the resulting surjection, then the composite

$$\mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}-1}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\varpi} \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}-1}^{(m+1)} \quad (2.14)$$

is an isomorphism. The composite  $\varpi' : \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}-1}^{(m+1)}$  of  $\varpi$  and the inverse of (2.14) defines a split surjection of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}-1}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}}^{(m)} \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}}^{(m)} / \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}-1}^{(m)} = \right) \mathcal{T}^{\otimes p^{m+1}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Thus, we obtain the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear composite

$$\psi_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}} : F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{T}^{(m+1)}) \left( = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes p^{m+1}} \right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{m+1}}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{D}^{(m)}, \quad (2.16)$$

where the first arrow denotes the split injection of (2.15) corresponding to  $\varpi'$ . Note that this morphism coincides, via the adjunction relation  $F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(-) \dashv F_{X/S*}^{(m+1)}(-)$ , with the  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature map  $\mathcal{T}^{(m+1)} \rightarrow F_{X/S*}^{(m+1)}(\mathcal{D}^{(m)})$  discussed in [Ohk, Definition 3.10].

If  $x$  is a logarithmic coordinate as in § 2.2 and  $\partial$  denotes the dual base of  $d\log(x)$ , then  $\psi_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  sends  $\partial^{\otimes p^{m+1}}$  to  $\partial^{(p^{m+1})}$  (cf. [Ohk, Proposition 3.11]).

**Definition 2.5.1.** (i) Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module. The composite

$$\psi(\nabla) : F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{T}^{(m+1)}) \left( = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes p^{m+1}} \right) \xrightarrow{\psi_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}} \mathcal{D}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F})$$

is called the  $p^{m+1}$ -**curvature (map)** of  $\nabla$ . Also, we shall say that  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is  $p^{m+1}$ -**flat**, or **dormant**, if  $\psi(\nabla) = 0$ .

(ii) Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$  be an  $m$ -stratified  $G$ -bundle on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . The  $p^{m+1}$ -**curvature (map)** of  $\phi$  is defined to be the  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature

$$\psi(\phi) := \psi(\phi^{\natural}) : F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{T}^{(m+1)}) \left( = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes p^{m+1}} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})$$

of the  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\phi^{\natural}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  corresponding to  $\phi$  (cf. Remark 2.3.4). Also, we shall say that  $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$  is  $p^{m+1}$ -**flat**, or **dormant**, if  $\psi(\phi) = 0$  (cf. [Wak5, Definition 3.8] for the case of  $m = 0$ ).

**Remark 2.5.2** (The  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature of a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -bundle). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  be as in Remark 2.3.3. Also, let  $\nabla$  be a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}$ , and denote by  $\phi$  the  $m$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{E}$  corresponding to  $\nabla$  via the equivalence mentioned in Remark 2.3.3. Then, it is immediately verified that  $\nabla$  has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature if and only if  $\phi$  has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature. In the case where  $m = 0$  and  $G$  is an algebraic group as before, (2.12) restricts to an equivalence of categories

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the groupoid of } p\text{-flat } 0\text{-PD stratified} \\ G\text{-bundles on } X^{\log}/S^{\log} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the groupoid of} \\ p\text{-flat } G\text{-bundles on } X^{\log}/S^{\log} \end{array} \right). \quad (2.17)$$

**Remark 2.5.3** (Classical definition). When the log structures of  $S$  and  $X$  are trivial, the notion of  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature defined above is essentially the same as the notion of  $p$ - $m$ -curvature introduced in [LSQ, Definition 3.1.1] (for  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules) and [Wak8, Definition 7.7.1] (for  $m$ -PD stratified  $G$ -bundles). In particular, a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module or an  $m$ -PD stratified  $G$ -bundle is  $p^{m+1}$ -flat in the sense of Definition 2.5.1 if and only if it has vanishing  $p$ - $m$ -curvature in the classical sense.

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}$ -module. The comment at the beginning of [Wak5, §4.10.3] says that there exists a canonical  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{(m)}/S^{\log}}^{(0)}$ -module structure on  $F_{X^{(m)}/S}^*(\mathcal{G})$ . By applying [Mon, Corollaire 3.3.1] to this, we obtain a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{can}}^{(m)} : {}^L\mathcal{D}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{G})) \quad (2.18)$$

on the pull-back  $F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{G}) \left( = F_{X/S}^{(m)*}(F_{X^{(m)}/S}^*(\mathcal{G})) \right)$ . It is immediately verified that  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{can}}^{(m)}$  has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature.

**Definition 2.5.4.** We shall refer to  $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{can}}^{(m)}$  as the **canonical  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure** on  $F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{G})$ .

Note that, for a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ , the subsheaf  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  (cf. (2.5)) may be regarded as an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}$ -module via the underlying homeomorphism of  $F_{X/S}^{(m+1)}$ . Here, suppose that the relative characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial, which implies that  $X/S$  is smooth and  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)} = \mathcal{D}_{X/S}^{(m)}$ . Then, the assignments  $\mathcal{G} \mapsto (F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{G}), \nabla_{\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{can}}^{(m)})$  and  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \mapsto \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)$  determine an equivalence of categories

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the category of} \\ \mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}\text{-modules} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the category of } \mathcal{D}^{(m)}\text{-modules} \\ \text{with vanishing } p^{m+1}\text{-curvature} \end{array} \right) \quad (2.19)$$

(cf. [LSQ, Corollary 3.2.4]).

**2.6. Cartier operator of a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$  be a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module. For an integer  $a$  with  $0 \leq a \leq m+1$ , we set

$$\mathcal{F}^{[a]} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{F} & \text{if } a = 0; \\ \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla^{(m) \Rightarrow (a-1)}) & \text{if } a > 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{F}^{[a]}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(a)}}$ -module.

In what follows, let us define an  $S^{\log}$ -connection on the  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(a)}}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}^{[a]}$  for  $a = 0, \dots, m$ . First, we shall set  $\nabla^{[0]}$  (or  $(\nabla^{(m)})^{[0]}$ ) :=  $\nabla^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}$ .



Next, let us choose  $a \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq p^a-1}^{(a)} = \text{Im} \left( \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^a}^{(a-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^a}^{(a)} \right)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq p^a}^{(a)} / \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^a-1}^{(a)} = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes p^a}$ , we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\leq p^a}^{(a-1)} \cap \mathcal{D}_+^{(a-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^a}^{(a)} \cap \mathcal{D}_+^{(a)} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes p^a} \left( = F_{X/S}^{(a)*}(\mathcal{T}^{(a)}) \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.20)$$

where the first arrow is the morphism obtained by restricting the morphism  $\mathcal{D}^{(a-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(a)}$ . Let us take a local section  $\partial$  of  $\mathcal{T}^{(a)}$ . There exists locally a section  $\tilde{\partial}$  in  $\delta^{-1}((F_{X/S}^{(a)})^{-1}(\partial)) \left( \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^a}^{(a)} \cap \mathcal{D}_+^{(a)} \right)$ .

The exactness of (2.20) and the definition of  $\mathcal{F}^{[a]}$  imply that the  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ -linear endomorphism  $\nabla_{\tilde{\partial}}^{[a]} := \nabla^{(m) \Rightarrow (a)}(\tilde{\partial})$  of  $\mathcal{F}^{[a]}$  does not depend on the choice of  $\tilde{\partial}$  (i.e., depends only on  $\partial$ ). Hence, the morphism

$$\nabla^{[a]} \text{ (or } (\nabla^{(m)})^{[a]}) : \mathcal{F}^{[a]} \rightarrow \Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a]}$$

determined by assigning  $\partial \mapsto \nabla_{\partial}^{[a]}$  is well-defined, and this is verified to form an  $S^{\text{log}}$ -connection.

Thus, we obtain a flat module

$$(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}, \nabla^{[a]}) \quad (2.21)$$

on the log curve  $X^{(a)\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$ . The collection  $\{\mathcal{F}^{[a]}\}_{0 \leq a \leq m+1}$  defines a decreasing filtration on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]}) = \mathcal{F}^{[a+1]}$  for every  $a = 0, 1, \dots, m$ .

**Proposition 2.6.1.** *Let us keep the above notation.*

- (i) *If  $\nabla^{(m)}$  has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature, then  $\nabla^{[m]}$  has vanishing  $p$ -curvature.*
- (ii) *For every  $a = 0, \dots, m-1$ , the  $S^{\text{log}}$ -connection  $\nabla^{[a]}$  has vanishing  $p$ -curvature.*

*Proof.* First, we shall prove assertion (i). Denote by  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^{[m]}, \nabla^{[m]})$ ) the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F})$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F}^{[m]})$ ) consisting of endomorphisms preserving the  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla^{(m)}$  (resp., the  $S^{\text{log}}$ -connection  $\nabla^{[m]}$ ). The sheaf  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^{[m]}, \nabla^{[m]})$ ) is equipped with an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}$ -module structure in a natural manner. The  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature  $\psi(\nabla^{(m)}) : F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{T}^{(m+1)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\nabla^{(m)}$  (resp., the  $p$ -curvature  $\psi(\nabla^{[m]}) : F_{X^{(m)}/S}^*(\mathcal{T}^{(m+1)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F}^{[m]})$  of  $\nabla^{[m]}$ ) restricts to an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}$ -linear morphism

$$\psi(\nabla^{(m)})^{\nabla} : \mathcal{T}^{(m+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)}) \quad (\text{resp., } \psi(\nabla^{[m]})^{\nabla} : \mathcal{T}^{(m+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^{[m]}, \nabla^{[m]})).$$

We obtain an equivalence

$$\psi(\nabla^{(m)}) = 0 \iff \psi(\nabla^{(m)})^{\nabla} = 0 \quad (\text{resp., } \psi(\nabla^{[m]}) = 0 \iff \psi(\nabla^{[m]})^{\nabla} = 0). \quad (2.22)$$

Hence, the assertion follows from (2.22) and the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{T}^{(m+1)} & \\ \psi(\nabla^{(m)})^{\nabla} \swarrow & & \searrow \psi(\nabla^{[m]})^{\nabla} \\ \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^{[m]}, \nabla^{[m]}), \end{array} \quad (2.23)$$

where the lower horizontal arrow Res denotes the morphism given by  $h \mapsto h|_{\mathcal{F}^{[m]}}$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$ .

To prove assertion (ii), we note that the  $\mathcal{D}^{(a)}$ -module structure  $\nabla^{(m) \Rightarrow (a)}$  has vanishing  $p^{(a+1)}$ -curvature. Hence, the assertion can be proved by the same argument as the proof of the first assertion of (i), where  $\nabla^{(m)}$  and  $\nabla^{[m]}$  are replaced by  $\nabla^{(m) \Rightarrow (a)}$  and  $\nabla^{(a)}$ , respectively.  $\square$

The following assertion is a slight generalization of [Wak5, Proposition 3.2].

**Proposition 2.6.2.** *Let us keep the above notation. Suppose further that  $\psi(\nabla^{(m)}) = 0$  and that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a relatively torsion-free sheaf of rank  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Also, let us fix an integer  $a$  with  $0 \leq a \leq m + 1$ .*

- (i) *The  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(a)}}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}^{[a]}$  is relatively torsion-free of rank  $n$ . In particular, the  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}$ -module  $\text{Sol}(\nabla^{(m)})$  is a relatively torsion-free sheaf of rank  $n$ .*
- (ii) *The formation of  $(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}, \nabla^{[a]})$  commutes with base-change over  $S$ -schemes. To be precise, let  $s : S' \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -schemes, and use the notation “ $s^*(-)$ ” to denote the result of base-changing along  $s$ . (In particular, we obtain a  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(m)}$ -module  $(s^*(\mathcal{F}), s^*(\nabla^{(m)}))$ , where  $X^{\log} := S' \times_{S^{\log}} X^{\log}$ .) Then, the natural morphism of flat modules*

$$(s^*(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}), s^*(\nabla^{[a]})) \rightarrow ((s^*(\mathcal{F}))^{[a]}, (s^*(\nabla^{(m)}))^{[a]})$$

*constructed inductively on  $a$  is an isomorphism. In particular, the natural morphism  $s^*(\text{Sol}(\nabla^{(m)})) \rightarrow \text{Sol}(s^*(\nabla^{(m)}))$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* There is nothing to prove when  $a = 0$ . Assertions (i) and (ii) for  $a = 1$  can be proved by arguments entirely similar to the proof of [Wak5, Proposition 6.13] together with Proposition 2.4.2 for  $m = 0$ . Moreover, the remaining cases, i.e., the assertions for  $a > 1$ , can be proved by successively applying the assertions for  $a = 1$  and Proposition 2.6.1.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.3** (Local case). Assertion (ii) of Proposition 2.6.2 remains true (for the same reason) even if we replace  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  with  $U_{\circlearrowleft}^{\log}/S$  or  $U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}$  introduced in §4.1 later. The assertion for these situations will be used in the proof of Proposition-Definition 4.4.1.

**Corollary 2.6.4.** *Let us keep the above notation. Suppose that either  $\mathcal{F}$  is relatively torsion-free or the relative characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial. Then, the converse of Proposition 2.6.1, (i), is true. To be precise,  $\nabla^{(m)}$  has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature if  $\nabla^{[m]}$  has vanishing  $p$ -curvature.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\iota : U \hookrightarrow X^{(m+1)}$  the open subscheme of  $X^{(m+1)}$  where the relative characteristic of  $X^{(m+1)\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial. Since  $U$  is scheme-theoretically dense (cf. [FKa, Lemma 1.4]), the assumption imposed above and Propositions 2.4.2 and 2.6.2 together imply that the natural morphisms

$$\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)}) \rightarrow \iota_*(\iota^*(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)}))), \quad \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^{[m]}, \nabla^{[m]}) \rightarrow \iota_*(\iota^*(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^{[m]}, \nabla^{[m]}))) \quad (2.24)$$

are injective. By the equivalence of categories (2.19), there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\iota_*(\iota^*(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)}))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \iota_*(\iota^*(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^{[m]}, \nabla^{[m]}))),$$

which is compatible with Res (in (2.23)) via the morphisms (2.24). It follows that the morphism Res is injective. Hence, the assertion follows from this fact together with (2.22) and the commutativity of (2.23).  $\square$

Next, suppose further that  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$  is  $p^{m+1}$ -flat. Let us take an integer  $a$  with  $0 \leq a \leq m$ . Recall from [Ogu1, Proposition 1.2.4] that the Cartier operator associated to  $(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}, \nabla^{[a]})$  is, by definition, an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(a+1)}}$ -linear morphism

$$\Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a]} \rightarrow \Omega^{(a+1)} \otimes F_{X^{(a)}/S^*}(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}) \quad (2.25)$$

satisfying a certain condition, where the domain of this morphism is regarded as an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(a+1)}}$ -module via  $F_{X^{(a)}/S}$ . Since  $(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}, \nabla^{[a]})$  has vanishing  $p$ -curvature, the image of this morphism lies in  $\Omega^{(a+1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a+1]}$  ( $= \Omega^{(a+1)} \otimes \text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})$ ) (cf. the comment following [Ogu1, Proposition 1.2.4]). Hence, by restricting the codomain of (2.25), we obtain an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(a+1)}}$ -linear morphism

$$C_{(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}, \nabla^{[a]})} : \Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a]} \rightarrow \Omega^{(a+1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a+1]}.$$

Moreover, the composite  $C_{(\mathcal{F}^{[m]}, \nabla^{[m]})} \circ \cdots \circ C_{(\mathcal{F}^{[1]}, \nabla^{[1]})} \circ C_{(\mathcal{F}^{[0]}, \nabla^{[0]})}$  determines an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}$ -linear morphism

$$C_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})} : \Omega \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega^{(m+1)} \otimes \text{Sol}(\nabla^{(m)}). \quad (2.26)$$

**Definition 2.6.5.** We shall refer to  $C_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})}$  as the **Cartier operator** of  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$ .

**Remark 2.6.6** (Functoriality of the Cartier operator). The formation of the Cartier operators is functorial in the following sense: Let  $h : (\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)$  be a morphism of  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules. Then, this morphism restricts to an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}$ -linear morphism  $\text{Sol}(h) : \text{Sol}(\nabla_\circ) \rightarrow \text{Sol}(\nabla_\bullet)$ , and the following square diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega \otimes \mathcal{F}_\circ & \xrightarrow{C_{(\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ)}} & \Omega^{(m+1)} \otimes \text{Sol}(\nabla_\circ) \\ \text{id} \otimes h \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \text{Sol}(h) \\ \Omega \otimes \mathcal{F}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{C_{(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)}} & \Omega^{(m+1)} \otimes \text{Sol}(\nabla_\bullet^{(m)}). \end{array}$$

**2.7. Dual of the Cartier operator.** Suppose that the relative characteristic of  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$  is trivial (which implies that  $X/S$  is smooth). Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module with  $\mathcal{F}$  locally free. By Grothendieck-Serre duality, there exists a canonical isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules

$$\int_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{(a)} : f_*^{(a)}(\Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a]\vee}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^1 f_*^{(a)}(\mathcal{F}^{[a]})^\vee$$

for each  $a = 0, \dots, m+1$ . Also, the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}^{[a+1]} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{[a]}$  ( $a = 0, \dots, m$ ) induces an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Ker}}^{(a)} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_*^{(a)}(\mathcal{F}^{[a]})^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*^{(a+1)}(\mathcal{F}^{[a+1]})^\vee.$$

On the other hand, we obtain the composite isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]\vee}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]}), \Omega^{(a+1)}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^{(a+1)} \otimes \text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]}), \Omega^{(a+1)}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})^\vee (= \mathcal{F}^{[a+1]\vee}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where the first arrow follows from [Wak5, Proposition 6.15] and the second arrow denotes the isomorphism induced by  $C_{(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}, \nabla^{[a]})}$ . Under the identification  $\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]\vee}) = \mathcal{F}^{[a+1]\vee}$  given by (2.27), the Cartier operator  $C_{(\mathcal{F}^{[a]\vee}, \nabla^{[a]\vee})}$  determines a morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Coker}}^{(a)} : f_*^{(a)}(\Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a]\vee}) \rightarrow f_*^{(a+1)}(\Omega^{(a+1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a+1]\vee}).$$

The following square diagram is verified to be commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f_*^{(a)}(\Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a]\vee}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Coker}}^{(a)}} & f_*^{(a+1)}(\Omega^{(a+1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a+1]\vee}) \\
\downarrow f_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{(a)} \wr & & \downarrow f_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{(a+1)} \wr \\
\mathbb{R}^1 f_*^{(a)}(\mathcal{F}^{[a]})^\vee & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Ker}}^{(a)}} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*^{(a+1)}(\mathcal{F}^{[a+1]})^\vee.
\end{array} \tag{2.28}$$

By composing the diagrams (2.28) for various  $a$ 's, we obtain a commutative square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f_*(\Omega \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Coker}}} & f_*^{(m+1)}(\Omega^{[m+1]} \otimes \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)^\vee) \\
\downarrow f_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{(0)} \wr & & \downarrow f_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{(m+1)} \wr \\
\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{F})^\vee & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Ker}}} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*^{(m+1)}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla))^\vee.
\end{array} \tag{2.29}$$

### 3. DIAGONAL REDUCTIONS/LIFTINGS OF FLAT MODULES

This section discusses the constructive definition of a dormant flat module in prime-power characteristic, partly on the basis of the argument in [Moc2, § 2.1, Chap. II]. At the same time, both the diagonal reduction of a dormant flat bundle and a diagonal lifting of a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module are defined. Also, we describe a map between certain deformation spaces induced by the operation of taking the diagonal reductions in terms of Cartier operator (cf. Proposition 3.4.4).

Throughout this section, we fix a nonnegative integer  $\ell$ .

**3.1. Dormant flat modules.** Let  $S^{\log}$  be an fs log scheme whose underlying scheme  $S$  is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Also, let  $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$  be a log curve over  $S^{\log}$ . For each integer  $m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq \ell$ , we will denote by a subscript  $m$  the result of reducing an object over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$  modulo  $p^{m+1}$ . In particular, we obtain a log curve  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$ .

Let us fix an integer  $m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq \ell$ . For simplicity, we write  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)} := \mathcal{D}_{X_0^{\log}/S_0^{\log}}^{(m)}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)} := \mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(0)}$  (cf. the comment at the end of § 2.1), and  $\Omega^{(m)} := \Omega_{X^{(m)\log}/S^{\log}}$ .

Denote by  $\text{Diag}'_m$  the set of pairs

$$(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$$

where

- $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is a flat module on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is relatively torsion-free;
- $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  denotes a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  (= the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

In what follows, we shall define a subset  $\text{Diag}_m$  of  $\text{Diag}'_m$  inductively on  $m$ .

First, we set  $\text{Diag}_0$  to be the subset of  $\text{Diag}'_0$  consisting of pairs  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(0)})$ , where  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ , such that  $\nabla_0^{(0)}$  coincides with  $\nabla$  and has vanishing  $p$ -curvature.

Next, suppose that we have defined a subset  $\text{Diag}_{m-1}$  of  $\text{Diag}'_{m-1}$  for  $m \geq 1$ . Let us take an element  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$  of  $\text{Diag}'_m$ , where  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ , such that  $(\mathcal{F}_{m-1}, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)}) \in \text{Diag}_{m-1}$ . We shall set

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} := \text{Sol}(\nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)}) \left( = \mathcal{F}_0^{[m]} \right),$$

which may be regarded as an  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m)}}$ -module. As we will prove below (cf. Proposition 3.1.1, (i)), the pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}$  satisfy the following properties:

- ( $\alpha$ ) $_{m-1}$  :  $\nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)}$  has vanishing  $p^m$ -curvature;
- ( $\beta$ ) $_{m-1}$  : Every local section of  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} (\subseteq \mathcal{F}_0)$  can be lifted, locally on  $X$ , to a horizontal section in  $\mathcal{F}_{m-1}$  with respect to the  $S_{m-1}^{\log}$ -connection  $\nabla_{m-1}$ .

Now, let us choose a local section  $v$  of  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}$  defined over an open subscheme  $U$  of  $X$ . By the property ( $\beta$ ) $_{m-1}$  described above, there exists, after possibly shrinking  $U$ , a section  $\tilde{v}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  which is a lifting of  $v$  and horizontal modulo  $p^m$  with respect to  $\nabla$ . Then,  $\nabla(\tilde{v})$  lies in  $p^m \cdot \Omega_m \otimes \mathcal{F}$ . By the flatness of  $X_m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ , we can divide it by  $p^m$  to obtain a local section of  $\Omega_0 \otimes \mathcal{F}_0$ ; we denote this section by  $\frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v})$ . Because of the property ( $\alpha$ ) $_{m-1}$ , the  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m-1)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)})$  associates the Cartier operator

$$C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)})} : \Omega_0 \otimes \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \Omega_0^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

(cf. (2.26)). The image of  $\frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v})$  via this morphism specifies a local section  $w$  of  $\Omega_0^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . The section  $w$  does not depend on the choice of the lifting  $\tilde{v}$ , and the resulting assignment  $v \mapsto w$  determines a well-defined  $S_0^{\log}$ -connection

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Omega_0^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

on the  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0^{(m)}}$ -module  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . In particular, we obtain a flat module

$$(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}}) \tag{3.1}$$

on the log curve  $X_0^{(m)\log}/S_0^{\log}$ .

Then, we shall define

$$\text{Diag}_m \tag{3.2}$$

to be the set of pairs  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$  as above satisfying that  $\nabla_0^{[m]} = \nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\psi(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ . The assignments  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)}) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_{m-1}, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)})$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ) yields a sequence of maps

$$\text{Diag}_{\ell} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Diag}_m \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Diag}_1 \rightarrow \text{Diag}_0.$$

The following assertion was used in the inductive construction of  $\text{Diag}_m$  just discussed.

**Proposition 3.1.1.** *Let  $m$  be an integer with  $0 \leq m \leq \ell$ .*

- (i) *Each element  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$  (where  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ ) of  $\text{Diag}_m$  satisfies the following three properties:*
  - ( $\alpha$ ) $_m$  :  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature;
  - ( $\beta$ ) $_m$  : Every local section of  $\text{Sol}(\nabla_0^{(m)}) \left( = \mathcal{F}_0^{[m+1]} \right)$  can be lifted, locally on  $X$ , to a horizontal section in  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to the  $S_m^{\log}$ -connection  $\nabla$ ;
  - ( $\gamma$ ) $_m$  : For every  $a = 0, \dots, m$ , we have  $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_a}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}_a}) = (\mathcal{F}_0^{[a]}, (\nabla_0^{(m)})^{[a]})$ ;

- (ii) Let  $(\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_{\circ,0}^{(m)})$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_{\bullet,0}^{(m)})$  (where  $\mathcal{F}_\circ := (\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet := (\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)$ ) be elements of  $\text{Diag}_m$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_\circ = \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ . Then, the equality  $\nabla_{\circ,0}^{(m)} = \nabla_{\bullet,0}^{(m)}$  holds.

*Proof.* First, we shall prove assertion (i) inductively on  $m$ . There is nothing to prove for the base step, i.e., the case of  $m = 0$ . In order to discuss the induction step, suppose that we have proved the three properties  $(\alpha)_{m'}$ ,  $(\beta)_{m'}$ , and  $(\gamma)_{m'}$  ( $0 \leq m' < m$ ) for any element of  $\text{Diag}_{m'}$ , in particular, for  $(\mathcal{F}_{m'}, \nabla_0^{(m') \Rightarrow (m')})$ . Since the equality

$$(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}}) = (\mathcal{F}_0^{[m]}, (\nabla_0^{(m)})^{[m]}) \quad (3.3)$$

holds, the property  $(\gamma)_{m-1}$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_{m-1}, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)})$  implies that  $(\gamma)_m$  is satisfied for  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$ . Moreover, by (3.3), the assertion  $(\alpha)_m$  follows from Corollary 2.6.4 and the assumption  $\psi(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ . To consider the property  $(\beta)_m$ , let us take a local section  $v$  of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}) (\subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}})$ . Just as in the discussion at the beginning of this subsection, we can choose (locally on  $X$ ) local sections  $\tilde{v}$  and  $\frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v})$  associated to  $v$ . The following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m)})} \left( \frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v}) \right) &= C_{(\mathcal{F}_0^{[m]}, (\nabla_0^{(m)})^{[m]})} \left( C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)})} \left( \frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v}) \right) \right) \\ &= C_{(\mathcal{F}_0^{[m]}, (\nabla_0^{(m)})^{[m]})} (\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}(v)) \\ &= C_{(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}})} (\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}(v)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the integer

$$a(\tilde{v}) := \min \left\{ a \mid -1 \leq a \leq m, C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (a)})} \left( \frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v}) \right) = 0 \right\}$$

is well-defined, where  $C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (-1)})} := \text{id}$ . Now, suppose that  $a(\tilde{v}) \geq 0$ . We shall set  $u := C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (a(\tilde{v})-1)})} \left( \frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v}) \right)$ , which is nonzero by the definition of  $a(\tilde{v})$ . Observe that

$$C_{(\mathcal{F}_0^{[a(\tilde{v})]}, (\nabla_0^{(m)})^{[a(\tilde{v})]})} (u) = C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (a(\tilde{v}))})} \left( \frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v}) \right) = 0.$$

Hence, by the comment following [Ogu1, Proposition 1.2.4], there exists (locally on  $X$ ) a local section  $u'$  of  $\mathcal{F}_0^{[a(\tilde{v})]}$  satisfying  $(\nabla_0^{(m)})^{[a(\tilde{v})]}(u') = u$ . Let us choose a section  $\tilde{u}'$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{a(\tilde{v})}$  which is a lifting of  $u'$  and horizontal modulo  $p^{a(\tilde{v})}$  with respect to  $\nabla_{a(\tilde{v})}$ . (Even if  $a(\tilde{v}) > 0$ , such a section always exists locally on  $X$  because of the equality  $\mathcal{F}_0^{[a(\tilde{v})]} = \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (a(\tilde{v})-1)})$  and the induction hypothesis, i.e., the property  $(\beta)_{a(\tilde{v})-1}$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_{a(\tilde{v})-1}, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (a(\tilde{v})-1)})$ .) By the flatness of  $X_m$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ , we obtain a well-defined element  $p^{m-a(\tilde{v})} \cdot \tilde{u}'$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If we write

$\tilde{v}' := \tilde{v} - p^{m-a(\tilde{v})} \cdot \tilde{u}'$ , then the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (a(\tilde{v})-1)})} \left( \frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v}') \right) \\
&= C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (a(\tilde{v})-1)})} \left( \frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{v}) \right) - C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (a(\tilde{v})-1)})} \left( \frac{1}{p^m} \cdot \nabla(p^{m-a(\tilde{v})} \cdot \tilde{u}') \right) \\
&= u - C_{(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (a(\tilde{v})-1)})} \left( \frac{1}{p^{a(\tilde{v})}} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{u}') \right) \\
&= u - \nabla_{\mathcal{F}_{a(\tilde{v})}}(u') \\
&= u - (\nabla_0^{(m)})^{[a(\tilde{v})]}(u') \\
&= u - u \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies  $a(\tilde{v}) > a(\tilde{v}')$ . By repeating the procedure for constructing  $\tilde{v}'$  from  $\tilde{v}$  just discussed, we can find a lifting  $\tilde{v}^\circ \in \mathcal{F}$  of  $v$  with  $a(\tilde{v}^\circ) = -1$ , i.e.,  $\nabla(\tilde{v}^\circ) = 0$ . This proves the property  $(\beta)_m$ , thus completing the proof of assertion (i).

Moreover, we again use induction on  $m$  to prove assertion (ii). Since the base step is trivial, it suffices to consider the induction step. Suppose that the assertion with  $m$  replaced by  $m-1$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) has been proved. Now, let  $(\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_{\circ,0}^{(m)})$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_{\bullet,0}^{(m)})$  (where  $\mathcal{F}_\circ := (\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet := (\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)$ ) be elements of  $\text{Diag}_m$  with  $\mathcal{F}_\circ = \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ . By the induction hypothesis, the equality  $\nabla_{\circ,0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)} = \nabla_{\bullet,0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)}$  holds via the equality  $\mathcal{F}_{\circ,0} = \mathcal{F}_{\bullet,0}$ . This implies  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\circ} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\bullet}$ . Under this equality, the  $S^{\log}$ -connection  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}_\circ}$  coincides with  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}_\bullet}$  because of the assumption  $\mathcal{F}_\circ = \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ . Hence, it follows from Lemma 3.1.2 proved below that  $\nabla_{\circ,0}^{(m)} = \nabla_{\bullet,0}^{(m)}$ . We have finished the proof of this proposition.  $\square$

The following lemma was applied in the proof of the above proposition.

**Lemma 3.1.2.** *Suppose that  $S^{\log}$  is an fs log scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and that  $X^{\log}$  is a log curve over  $S^{\log}$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer, and let  $(\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ^{(m)})$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet^{(m)})$  be  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -modules. We shall assume the following conditions:*

- (a) *The equality  $(\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)}) = (\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)})$  holds;*
- (b) *The  $S^{\log}$ -connection  $(\nabla_\circ^{(m)})^{[m]}$  corresponds to  $(\nabla_\bullet^{(m)})^{[m]}$  via the equality  $\mathcal{F}_\circ^{[m]} = \mathcal{F}_\bullet^{[m]}$  resulting from the condition (a);*
- (c)  *$\mathcal{F}_\circ (= \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$  is relatively torsion-free.*

*Then, we have  $\nabla_\circ^{(m)} = \nabla_\bullet^{(m)}$ .*

*Proof.* We shall set  $\mathcal{W} := \text{Ker}((\nabla_\circ^{(m)})^{[m]}) = \text{Ker}((\nabla_\bullet^{(m)})^{[m]})$ . Denote by  $U$  the open subscheme of  $X_0$  where the relative characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial. The  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(m+1)}}$ -linear inclusion  $(\mathcal{W} =) \text{Ker}((\nabla_\circ^{(m)})^{[m]}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\circ$  (resp.,  $(\mathcal{W} =) \text{Ker}((\nabla_\bullet^{(m)})^{[m]}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ ) extends to a morphism of  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules

$$(F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{W}), \nabla_{\mathcal{W}, \text{can}}^{(m)}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ^{(m)}) \quad \left( \text{resp., } (F_{X/S}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{W}), \nabla_{\mathcal{W}, \text{can}}^{(m)}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet^{(m)}) \right).$$

By the equivalence of categories (2.19), this morphism becomes an isomorphism when restricted to  $U$ . This means that  $\nabla_\circ^{(m)}$  coincides with  $\nabla_\bullet^{(m)}$  over  $U$ . Since  $U$  is a scheme-theoretically

dense in  $X_0$  (cf. [FKa, Lemma 1.4]) and  $\mathcal{F}_\circ (= \mathcal{F}_\bullet)$  is relatively torsion-free by assumption, we obtain the equality  $\nabla_\circ^{(m)} = \nabla_\bullet^{(m)}$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$  (where  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ ) be an element of  $\text{Diag}_m$ . Then, each horizontal local section of  $\mathcal{F}$  specifies a section of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  via reduction modulo  $p$ . Moreover, the resulting morphism of sheaves*

$$(\text{Ker}(\nabla)_0 =) \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}) \quad (3.4)$$

is surjective.

*Proof.* We shall prove the first assertion by induction on  $m$ . The base step, i.e., the case of  $m = 0$ , is trivial because  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla) = \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})$ . To consider the induction step, suppose that we have proved the assertion with  $m$  replaced by  $m - 1$  ( $m \geq 1$ ). Let us take an arbitrary local section  $v$  in  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)$ . By the induction hypothesis, the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $v$ , i.e.,  $v_0 (= (v_{m-1})_0)$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} (= \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)}))$ . Since the reduction modulo  $p^m$  of  $v$  is horizontal with respect to  $\nabla_{m-1}$ , it follows from the definition of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$  that  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}(v_0) = \nabla(v) = 0$ . Thus, we have  $v_0 \in \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})$ . This completes the proof of the first assertion. The second assertion, i.e., the surjectivity of (3.4), follows from the property  $(\beta)_m$  asserted in Proposition 3.1.1, (i).  $\square$

**Definition 3.1.4.** (i) Let  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a flat module on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$ . We shall say that  $\mathcal{F}$  (or  $\nabla$ ) is **dormant** if there exists a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  satisfying  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)}) \in \text{Diag}_m$ .  
(ii) Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  be a flat  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle (where  $n > 1$ ) on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$  in the sense of [Wak5, Definition 1.28]. We shall say that  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  is **dormant** if, after possibly replacing  $X$  with its étale covering, it may be described as the projectivization of a rank  $n$  dormant flat bundle on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$ .

**Remark 3.1.5** (Some properties on dormant flat bundles). Whether a flat module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  (or a flat  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ ) as in the above definition is dormant or not does not depend on the integer “ $\ell$ ”. Also, the property of being dormant is of local nature with respect to the étale topology on  $X$ . In the case of  $m = 0$ , a flat module (or a flat  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle) on  $X_0^{\log}/S_0^{\log}$  is dormant if and only if it has vanishing  $p$ -curvature.

**Remark 3.1.6** (Well-definedness of  $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}})$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a flat module on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$  whose reduction modulo  $p^m$  is dormant. Then, by Proposition 3.1.1, (ii), the flat module  $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}})$  is well-defined in the sense that it does not depend on the choice of a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  with  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)}) \in \text{Diag}_m$  (because such a choice  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  is, if it exists, uniquely determined).

**3.2. Diagonal reductions/liftings.** Let  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a dormant flat module on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$ . According to Proposition 3.1.1, (ii), there exists a unique  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure

$$\not\llcorner \nabla : {}^L \mathcal{D}_0^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}}(\mathcal{F}_0)$$



on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  with  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Z}\nabla) \in \text{Diag}_m$ . In particular, we obtain a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{Z}\nabla),$$

which has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature (cf. Corollary 2.6.4).

Also, let  $\mathcal{E} := (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  be a dormant flat  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$ . Then, by choosing étale locally on  $X$  a dormant flat bundle  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  inducing  $\mathcal{E}$  and successively taking the projectivization of  $\mathbb{Z}\nabla$ , we obtain a well-defined  $m$ -PD stratification

$$\mathbb{Z}\phi := \{\mathbb{Z}\phi_\ell : P_{(m)}^\ell \times_X \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} \times_X P_{(m)}^\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \quad (3.5)$$

on  $\mathcal{E}_0$ . We shall set

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E} := (\mathcal{E}_0, \mathbb{Z}\phi),$$

which forms an  $m$ -PD stratified  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle on  $X_0^{\log}/S_0^{\log}$ .

**Definition 3.2.1.** (i) With the above notation, we shall refer to  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{F}$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E}$ ) as the **diagonal reduction** of  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}$ ).

(ii) Given a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module (resp., an  $m$ -PD stratified  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle)  $\mathcal{G}$ , we shall refer to any dormant flat module  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp., any dormant flat  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ ) on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$  satisfying  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{G}$ ) as a **diagonal lifting** of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Remark 3.2.2** (Compatibility with level reduction). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}$ ) be as above. If  $m'$  is a nonnegative integer  $\leq m$ , then the reduction  $\mathcal{F}_{m'}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}_{m'}$ ) modulo  $p^{m'+1}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}$ ) is dormant and the diagonal reduction  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{F}_{m'})$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{E}_{m'})$ ) is obtained by reducing the level of  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{F}$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E}$ ) to  $m'$ .

**Remark 3.2.3** (Diagonal reduction of non-dormant flat modules). Suppose that the relative characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial. Let  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a flat bundle on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_{m-1}$  is dormant. Write  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{F}_{m-1} = (\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m-1)})$ . Just as in the discussion at the beginning of the previous subsection,  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m-1)})$  associates a flat bundle  $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}})$  (cf. (3.1)). According to the equivalence of categories (2.19) and [Ber2, Proposition 2.2.3, (i)] (or, [Mon, Corollaire 3.3.1]),  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$  induces a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  on  $F_{X_0/S_0}^{(m)*}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}})$  compatible with  $\nabla_0^{(m-1)}$  via the isomorphism  $F_{X_0/S_0}^{(m)*}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_0$  induced by the inclusion  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$ . Thus,  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  may be regarded as a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  via this isomorphism and satisfies  $\nabla_0^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)} = \nabla_0^{(m-1)}$ .

Similarly to the case of dormant flat modules, we set

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m)}),$$

which we refer to as the **diagonal reduction** of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is dormant, then this coincides with the diagonal reduction in the sense of Definition 3.2.1.

**Example 3.2.4** (Trivial flat bundle). One may verify that the diagonal reduction of the trivial flat bundle  $(\mathcal{O}_{X_m}, \nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})$  (cf. (2.6)) is the trivial  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \nabla_{X_0, \text{triv}}^{(m)})$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{X_m}, \nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)}) = (\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \nabla_{X_0, \text{triv}}^{(m)}).$$

**Proposition 3.2.5.** *Suppose that the relative characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial.*

- (i) *Let  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a flat module on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$  whose reduction modulo  $p^m$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_{m-1}$ ) is dormant. Then,  $\mathcal{F}$  is dormant if and only if  $\psi(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$  (cf. Remark 3.1.6). In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is assumed to be a vector bundle and we fix a scheme-theoretically dense open subscheme  $U$  of  $X_m$  (equipped with a log structure pulled-back from  $X^{\log}$ , by which we obtain a log curve  $U^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$ ), then  $\mathcal{F}$  is dormant if and only if its restriction  $\mathcal{F}|_U$  to  $U$  is dormant.*
- (ii) *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  a rank  $n$  flat bundle on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$ . Note that, since  $\nabla$  is  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})$ -linear, the sheaf of horizontal sections  $\text{Ker}(\nabla)$  forms an  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then, the following three conditions are equivalent to each other:*
  - (a)  $\mathcal{F}$  is dormant;
  - (b)  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally trivial, i.e., isomorphic, Zariski locally on  $X$ , to  $(\mathcal{O}_{X_m}, \nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})^{\oplus n}$ ;
  - (c) The  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})$ -module  $\text{Ker}(\nabla)$  is locally free of rank  $n$  (which implies that the natural morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{X_m} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})} \text{Ker}(\nabla) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism).

*Proof.* First, we shall prove the first assertion of (i). Since the “only if” part of the required equivalence is immediate from Proposition 2.6.1, (i), we only consider the “if” part. Suppose that  $\psi(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ . Then, the morphism

$$F_{X_0^{(m)}/S_0}^*(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}})) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} \quad (3.6)$$

induced naturally by the inclusion  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an isomorphism (cf. [Kat1, Theorem (5.1)]). On the other hand, if we set  $(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(m-1)}) := \not\sim \mathcal{F}_{m-1}$ , then it follows from the equivalence of categories (2.19) that the morphism

$$\left( F_{X_0/S_0}^{(m)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m-1)})) \right) = F_{X_0/S_0}^{(m)*}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0 \quad (3.7)$$

induced by the inclusion  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m-1)}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$  is an isomorphism. Hence, we obtain the composite isomorphism

$$F_{X_0/S_0}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}})) \left( = F_{X_0/S_0}^{(m)*}(F_{X_0^{(m)}/S_0}^*(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}))) \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{X_0/S_0}^{(m)*}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{(3.7)} \mathcal{F}_0,$$

where the first arrow denotes the pull-back of (3.6) by  $F_{X_0/S_0}^{(m)}$ . Denote by  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  the  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  corresponding to  $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}), \text{can}}^{(m)}$  (cf. Definition 2.5.4) via this isomorphism. One may verify that  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$  belongs to  $\text{Diag}_m$ , meaning that  $\mathcal{F}$  is dormant. This proves the “if” part of the required equivalence.

The second assertion of (i) follows from the first assertion together with the fact that the  $p$ -curvature of a flat bundle in characteristic  $p$  can be identified with a global section of a certain associated vector bundle.

Next, we shall consider assertion (ii). The implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) is clear. Also, since the dormancy condition is closed under taking direct sums, the implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) follows from the fact that the trivial flat bundle is dormant (cf. Example 3.2.4).

Let us prove the implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is dormant, i.e., there exists a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  with  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)}) \in \text{Diag}_m$ . By the equivalence of categories (2.19), the morphism  $F_{X_0/S_0}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$  extending the inclusion  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$  is

an isomorphism. Hence, the faithful flatness of  $F_{X/S}^{(m+1)}$  (cf. [FKa, Theorem 1.1]) implies that  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  forms a rank  $n$  vector bundle on  $X^{(m+1)}$ . By this fact and the property  $(\beta)_m$  for  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$  (cf. Proposition 3.1.1, (i)), we can find, for each point  $q$  of  $X_m$ , a collection of data

$$(U; v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

where  $U$  denotes an open neighborhood of  $q$  in  $X_m$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are sections of  $\mathcal{F}$  defined on  $U$  which are horizontal with respect to  $\nabla$  and whose reductions  $v_{1,0}, \dots, v_{n,0}$  modulo  $p$  form a local basis of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})$ . The morphism  $\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$  given by  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot v_i$  (for any  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{O}_U$ ) is compatible with the respective  $S^{\log}$ -connections  $(\nabla_{U, \text{triv}}^{(0)})^{\oplus n}$  and  $\nabla$ . The resulting morphism of flat modules

$$(\mathcal{O}_U, \nabla_{U, \text{triv}}^{(0)})^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$$

is an isomorphism by Nakayama's lemma. In particular,  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally trivial, and this completes the proof of (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b).

Finally, we shall prove (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). To this end, we may assume that there exists an  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})$ -linear isomorphism  $h^\nabla : \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(\nabla)$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , denote by  $e_j$  the image of 1 via the inclusion into the  $j$ -th factor  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})^{\oplus n}$ . Then, we obtain the morphism of flat bundles

$$h : (\mathcal{O}_{X_m}, \nabla_{X_m, \text{triv}}^{(0)})^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

given by  $(a_j)_{j=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \cdot h^\nabla(e_j)$ . The reduction of  $h$  modulo  $p$  determines a morphism

$$h_0 : (\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \nabla_{X_0, \text{triv}}^{(0)})^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}_0$  has vanishing  $p$ -curvature, it follows from (the proof of) [Wak5, Proposition 4.60] that  $h_0$  is an isomorphism. Hence, by Nakayama's lemma,  $h$  turns out to be an isomorphism. This proves (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.6.** *Suppose that the relative characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial. Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  be a flat  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$ . Then,  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  is dormant if and only if it is, étale locally on  $X$ , isomorphic to the trivial flat  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle (cf. [Wak5, § 1.3.2] or Example 2.3.2).*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) obtained in Proposition 3.2.5, (ii).  $\square$

Let  $h : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism between dormant flat modules on  $X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log}$ , and write  $(\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}_0, 0}) := \mathcal{V}\mathcal{F}$  and  $(\mathcal{G}_0, \nabla_{\mathcal{G}_0, 0}) := \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}$ . By the functoriality of Cartier operator (cf. Remark 2.6.6), we see that  $h$  induces a morphism of flat bundles  $h_a : (\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_a}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}_a}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}_a}, \nabla_{\mathcal{G}_a})$  for every  $a = 0, \dots, m$ . It follows from the property  $(\gamma_m)$  asserted in Proposition 3.1.1, (i), that  $h_a$  may be regarded as a morphism  $(\mathcal{F}_0^{[a]}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}_0, 0}^{[a]}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_0^{[a]}, \nabla_{\mathcal{G}_0, 0}^{[a]})$ . Since the  $h_a$ 's are compatible with each other via restriction, the morphism  $h_0 : \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$  preserves the  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure. In other words, it determines a morphism of  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -modules

$$\mathcal{V}h : \mathcal{V}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}.$$

The assignments  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{V}\mathcal{F}$  and  $h \mapsto \mathcal{V}h$  define a functor

$$\mathcal{V}(-) : \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the category of dormant} \\ \text{flat modules on } X_m^{\log}/S_m^{\log} \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the category of dormant} \\ \text{(i.e., } p^{m+1}\text{-flat) } \mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}\text{-modules} \end{array} \right).$$

This functor commutes with the formations of direct sums, tensor products, and determinants (in the case where the underlying  $\mathcal{O}_{X_m}$ -modules of dormant flat modules are locally free of finite rank).

**3.3. Change of structure group.** We shall prove the following two assertions, which are generalizations of [Wak4, Lemma 3.6.3] and [Wak5, Proposition 4.22].

**Proposition 3.3.1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $p \nmid n$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on  $X$ . Suppose that either  $\ell = 0$  or  $m = 0$  is satisfied. Also, suppose that we are given a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}}$  on the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

- (i) *There exists a unique  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfying  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}} = \nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}}$  (cf. [Mon, Corollaire 2.6.1] for the definition of the  $n$ -th fold tensor product of a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure).*
- (ii) *If, moreover,  $(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}})$  is dormant, then the resulting  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})$  is dormant.*

*Proof.* First, we shall consider assertion (i). Denote by  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}}$  the  $m$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  corresponding to  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}}$  (cf. Remark 2.3.3). Let us take an open covering  $\mathcal{U} := \{\mathcal{U}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $X$  such that, for each  $\alpha \in I$ , there exists a trivialization  $\tau_\alpha : \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$ . For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $\tau_\alpha$  induces isomorphisms

$${}^L\tau_{\alpha,\ell,d} : \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^R\tau_{\alpha,\ell,d} : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}.$$

The isomorphisms  ${}^L\tau_{\alpha,\ell,n}$  and  ${}^R\tau_{\alpha,\ell,n}$  allow us to regard  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$  as an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$ ; it may be described as the multiplication by some element  $\eta_{\alpha,\ell}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell}^\times|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$ . Since  $\eta_{\alpha,0} = 1$  and  $p \nmid n$ , we can find a unique compatible collection  $\{\lambda_{\alpha,\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ , where each  $\lambda_{\alpha,\ell}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell}^\times|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$  with  $\lambda_{\alpha,\ell}^{\otimes n} = \eta_{\alpha,\ell}$ . By  ${}^L\tau_{\alpha,\ell,1}$  and  ${}^R\tau_{\alpha,\ell,1}$ , the automorphisms given by multiplication by  $\lambda_{\alpha,\ell}$  for various  $\ell$ 's together determine an  $m$ -PD stratification  $\phi_{\mathcal{L},\alpha}$  on  $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$ . The uniqueness of the collection  $\{\lambda_{\alpha,\ell}\}_\ell$  implies  $\phi_{\mathcal{L},\alpha}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta} = \phi_{\mathcal{L},\beta}|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta}$  for any pair  $(\alpha, \beta) \in I \times I$  with  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\phi_{\mathcal{L},\alpha}$  may be glued together to obtain an  $m$ -PD stratification  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$  denote the  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponding to  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Then, it follows from the definitions of  $\phi_{\mathcal{L},\alpha}$ 's that the equality  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}} = \nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}}$  holds, and that an  $m$ -PD stratification satisfying this equality is uniquely determined. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we shall consider assertion (ii). To consider the case of  $\ell = 0$ , let  $U$  denote the open subscheme of  $X$  where the relative characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial. Since  $U$  is scheme-theoretically dense (cf. [FKa, Lemma 1.4]), the problem is reduced, after restriction to  $U$ , to the case where  $S^{\log} = S$  and  $X^{\log} = X$ . Hence, the assertion follows from [Wak4, Lemma 3.6.3].

Finally, let us prove the case of  $m = 0$  by induction on  $\ell$ . The base step, i.e., the case of  $\ell = 0$ , was already proved. To consider the induction step, we suppose that the assertion with  $\ell$  replaced by  $\ell - 1$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ) has been proved. Denote by  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n,0}}^{(\ell)}$  the  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(\ell)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{L}_0^{\otimes n}$  obtained as the diagonal reduction of  $(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}})$ . By applying assertion (i) to  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n,0}}^{(\ell)}$ , we

obtain uniquely a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(\ell)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(\ell)}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_0$  with  $(\nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(\ell)})^{\otimes n} = \nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n},0}^{(\ell)}$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L},\ell-1}$  is dormant and the uniqueness of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(\ell)}$  implies  $\not\cong \mathcal{L}_{\ell-1} = (\mathcal{L}_0, \nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(\ell) \Rightarrow (\ell-1)})$ , where  $\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})$ . If  $U$  is as above, then the restriction  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{L}}|_{U^{(\ell)}}$  of  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is a line bundle on  $U^{(\ell)}$ . The diagonal reduction of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  is  $(\nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(\ell)})^{\otimes n}$ , so the uniqueness portion of assertion (i) (together with the equivalence of categories (2.19)) implies that  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $(\nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(\ell)})^{[\ell]}$  are equal when restricted to  $U^{(\ell)}$ . But, since  $U^{(\ell)}$  is scheme-theoretically dense in  $X^{(\ell)}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is relatively torsion-free (cf. Proposition 2.6.2, (i)), the equality  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}} = (\nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(\ell)})^{[\ell]}$  holds. This implies  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}) \in \text{Diag}_{\ell}$ , and hence completes the proof of assertion (ii).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.2.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $p \nmid n$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}$  a  $\text{GL}_n$ -bundle on  $X$ . Suppose that either  $\ell = 0$  or  $m = 0$  is satisfied. Also, suppose that we are given a pair  $(\phi_{\text{PGL}}, \phi_{\mathbb{G}_m})$ , where*

- $\phi_{\text{PGL}}$  denotes an  $m$ -PD stratification on the  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{PGL}} := \mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}} \times^{\text{GL}_n} \text{PGL}_n$  induced from  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}$  via the natural quotient  $\mu_{\text{PGL}} : \text{GL}_n \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n$ ;
- $\phi_{\mathbb{G}_m}$  denotes an  $m$ -PD stratification on the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}_m} := \mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}} \times^{\text{GL}_n} \mathbb{G}_m$  induced from  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}$  via the determinant map  $\mu_{\mathbb{G}_m} : \text{GL}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ .

Then, the following assertions hold:

- (i) *There exists a unique  $m$ -PD stratification  $\phi_{\text{GL}}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}$  satisfying the following equalities:*

$$\phi_{\text{GL}} \times^{\text{GL}_n, \mu_{\text{PGL}}} \text{PGL}_n = \phi_{\text{PGL}}, \quad \phi_{\text{GL}} \times^{\text{GL}_n, \mu_{\mathbb{G}_m}} \mathbb{G}_m = \phi_{\mathbb{G}_m} \quad (3.8)$$

(cf. (2.13) for the change of structure group of an  $m$ -PD stratification).

- (ii) *If, moreover, both  $\phi_{\text{PGL}}$  and  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}_m}$  are dormant, then the resulting pair  $(\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}, \phi_{\text{GL}})$  (or equivalently, the corresponding  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module) is dormant.*

*Proof.* First, we shall consider assertion (i). Let us take an open covering  $\mathcal{U} := \{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $X$  such that, for each  $\alpha \in I$ , there exists an  $m$ -PD stratification  $\phi'_{\alpha}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}|_{U_{\alpha}}$  with  $\phi'_{\alpha} \times^{\text{GL}_n} \text{PGL}_n = \phi_{\text{PGL}}|_{U_{\alpha}}$ . By Proposition 3.3.1, (i), there exists an  $m$ -PD stratification  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}_m, \alpha}$  on the trivial  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -bundle on  $U_{\alpha}$  such that  $\phi_{\mathbb{G}_m, \alpha}^{\otimes n} \otimes (\phi'_{\alpha} \times^{\text{GL}_n} \mathbb{G}_m) = \phi_{\mathbb{G}_m}|_{U_{\alpha}}$ , where the tensor product “ $\otimes$ ” is defined in a natural manner. Write  $\phi_{\alpha} := \phi'_{\alpha} \otimes \phi_{\mathbb{G}_m, \alpha}$ . Then, it is verified that  $\phi_{\alpha} \times^{\text{GL}_n} \mathbb{G}_m = \phi_{\mathbb{G}_m}|_{U_{\alpha}}$  and  $\phi_{\alpha} \times^{\text{GL}_n} \text{PGL}_n = \phi_{\text{PGL}}|_{U_{\alpha}}$ . By the uniqueness assertion of Proposition 3.3.1, (i), an  $m$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}|_{U_{\alpha}}$  satisfying these properties is uniquely determined. In particular, for any pair  $(\alpha, \beta) \in I \times I$  with  $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $\phi_{\alpha}|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}} = \phi_{\beta}|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}}$ . This implies that  $\{\phi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  may be glued together to obtain an  $m$ -PD stratification  $\phi_{\text{GL}}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}$  satisfying (3.8). This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we shall prove assertion (ii) under the assumption that  $\ell = 0$ . Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.3.1, (ii), it suffices to consider the case where  $S^{\log} = S$  and  $X^{\log} = X$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{N}$ ) the  $\mathbb{P}^n$ -bundle (resp., the line bundle) on  $X^{(m+1)}$  corresponding to  $(\mathcal{E}_{\text{PGL}}, \phi_{\text{PGL}})$  (resp.,  $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}_m}, \phi_{\mathbb{G}_m})$ ) via the equivalence of categories asserted in [Wak8, Proposition 7.7.3] (cf. Remark 2.5.3). Since  $p \nmid n$ , there exists, after possibly replacing  $S$  with its étale covering, a pair  $(\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{M})$ , where

- $\mathcal{V}'$  denotes a rank  $n$  vector bundle on  $X^{(m+1)}$  whose projectivization is  $\mathcal{Q}$ ;
- $\mathcal{M}$  denote a line bundle on  $X^{(m+1)}$  with  $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \otimes \det(\mathcal{V}') \cong \mathcal{N}$ .

Write  $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{V}'$ . Then, it is verified that  $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{N}$  and that the projectivization of  $\mathcal{V}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Q}$ . The vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  corresponds to a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $m$ -PD stratified  $\text{GL}_n$ -bundle

$(\mathcal{E}'_{\text{GL}}, \phi'_{\text{GL}})$  via the equivalence of categories in [Wak8, Proposition 7.7.3]. This equivalence of categories also implies  $(\mathcal{E}'_{\text{GL}}, \phi'_{\text{GL}}) \cong (\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}, \phi_{\text{GL}})$ , so  $\phi_{\text{GL}}$  is  $p^{m+1}$ -flat.

Finally, we shall prove assertion (ii) under the assumption that  $m = 0$ . The problem is of local nature with respect to the étale topology on  $X$ , we may assume that there exists a rank  $n$  dormant flat bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}})$  on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  whose projectivization corresponds to  $\phi_{\text{PGL}}$ . Denote by  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}})$  the flat bundle corresponding to  $(\mathcal{E}_{\text{GL}}, \phi_{\text{GL}})$ . Then, we obtain an isomorphism  $h : \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F}$  for some line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . It follows from Proposition 3.3.1, (i), that there exists  $S^{\log}$ -connection  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  such that the determinant of  $h$  defines an isomorphism of flat line bundles

$$(\det(\mathcal{V}), \det(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}})) \cong (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \det(\mathcal{F}), \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes n} \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

But, since both  $(\deg(\mathcal{V}), \det(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}))$  (which corresponds to  $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}_m}, \phi_{\mathbb{G}_m})$ ) and  $(\det(\mathcal{F}), \det(\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}))$  are dormant, Proposition 3.3.1, (ii), implies that  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})$  is dormant. By the uniqueness portion of assertion (i),  $h$  defines an isomorphism  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{F}})$ . In particular,  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  turns out to be dormant. This completes the proof of assertion (ii).  $\square$

**3.4. Deformation space of a dormant flat bundle.** In the rest of this section, suppose that  $X$  is a geometrically connected, proper, and smooth curve of genus  $> 1$  over  $S := \text{Spec}(R)$  for a flat  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$ . Let us fix an element  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(\ell)})$  (where  $\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})$ ) of  $\text{Diag}_{\ell}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle on  $X$ .

Let  $m$  be an integer with  $0 \leq m < \ell$ , and let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_0^{(m)})$  (where  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ ) be an element of  $\text{Diag}_m$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a vector bundle on  $X$  and a pair of identifications  $(\det(\mathcal{F}), \det(\nabla_0^{(m)})) = (\mathcal{L}_m, \nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(l) \Rightarrow (m)})$  is fixed. We shall write

$$\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)}) \left( \text{resp.}, \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}} \right) \quad (3.9)$$

for the set of  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m+1)}$ -module structures  $\nabla_0^{(m+1)}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  with  $\nabla_0^{(m+1) \Rightarrow (m)} = \nabla_0^{(m)}$  (resp.,  $\nabla_0^{(m+1) \Rightarrow (m)} = \nabla_0^{(m)}$  and  $\det(\nabla_0^{(m+1)}) = \nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(l) \Rightarrow (m+1)}$ ).

According to [Ber2, Théorème 2.3.6], giving a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m+1)}$ -module structure classified by this set amounts to giving an  $S$ -connection on the  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0^{(m)}}$ -module  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  (resp., an  $S$ -connection on the  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0^{(m+1)}}$ -module  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  whose determinant coincides with  $\det((\nabla_{\mathcal{L},0}^{(l)})^{[m+1]})$ ). Hence, the set  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  (resp.,  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}}$ ) is, if it is nonempty, equipped with a canonical structure of torsor modeled on the  $R$ -module

$$\begin{aligned} & H^0(X_0^{(m+1)}, \Omega_0^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}))) \\ & \left( \text{resp.}, H^0(X_0^{(m+1)}, \Omega_0^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}))) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}))$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}))$ ) denotes the sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0^{(m+1)}}$ -linear endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0^{(m+1)}}$ -linear endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  with vanishing trace). This torsor structure is defined in such a way that if  $\nabla'$  is the  $S$ -connection corresponding to an element of  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  (resp.,  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}}$ ) and  $A$  is an element of the  $R$ -module displayed in (3.10), then the result of the action by  $A$  on  $\nabla'$  is the  $S$ -connection  $\nabla' + A$ .

**Proposition 3.4.1.** *The natural inclusion  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}} \hookrightarrow \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  commutes with the respective torsor structures via the injection*

$$H^0(X_0^{(m+1)}, \Omega_0^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}))) \hookrightarrow H^0(X_0^{(m+1)}, \Omega_0^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})))$$

induced by the inclusion  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}))$ .

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the torsor structures of  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  and  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}}$ .  $\square$

We shall denote by

$$\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m)} \left( \text{or } (\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{E}nd} \right) : {}^L\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}}(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_0))$$

the  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_0)$  ( $:= \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ ) induced naturally by  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$ . It restricts to a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure

$$\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m)} \left( \text{or } (\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{E}nd^0} \right) : {}^L\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}_0)) \quad (3.11)$$

on the subsheaf  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}_0)$  consisting of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ -linear endomorphisms with vanishing trace. The  $S$ -connection  $\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}$  (resp.,  $\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}$ ) may be regarded as a complex of sheaves  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}]$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}]$ ) concentrated at degrees 0 and 1.

Next, we shall write

$$\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F}) \quad (\text{resp., } \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}) \quad (3.12)$$

for the set of isomorphism classes of flat bundles  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X_{m+1}/S_{m+1}$  with  $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathcal{F}$  (resp., flat bundles  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X_{m+1}/S_{m+1}$  with  $\mathcal{G}_m = \mathcal{F}$  together with an identification  $\det(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{L}_{m+1}$  compatible with the fixed identification  $\det(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}_m$ ). It follows from well-known generalities of deformation theory that the set  $\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})$  (resp.,  $\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}$ ) is, if it is nonempty, equipped with a structure of torsor modeled on  $\mathbb{H}^1(X_0, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}])$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{H}^1(X_0, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}])$ ) in the manner of [Wak5, § 6.1.4].

**Proposition 3.4.2.** *The natural inclusion  $\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}} \hookrightarrow \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})$  commutes with the respective torsor structures via the morphism*

$$\mathbb{H}^1(X_0, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(X_0, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}])$$

induced by the inclusion  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}]$ .

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the torsor structures of  $\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}$ .  $\square$

Since the equivalence of categories (2.19) commutes with the formation of internal Homs, there exists a natural identification

$$\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})) = \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m)}) \quad \left( \text{resp., } \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})) = \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m)}) \right).$$

Under this identification, the Cartier operator associated to  $(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}_0), \nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m)})$  (resp.,  $(\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}_0), \nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m)})$ ) yields a morphism of complex

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}] \rightarrow (\Omega_0^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})))[-1] \\ & \left( \text{resp., } \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}] \rightarrow (\Omega_0^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})))[-1] \right). \end{aligned}$$

By applying the 1-st hypercohomology functor to this morphism, we obtain an  $R$ -linear morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^1(X_0, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}]) &\rightarrow H^0(X_0^{(m+1)}, \Omega_0^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)}))) \\ (\text{resp., } \mathbb{H}^1(X_0, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{0, \mathcal{E}nd^0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (0)}]) &\rightarrow H^0(X_0^{(m+1)}, \Omega_0^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(m)})))) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

**Proposition 3.4.3.** *The assignment  $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{G}$  (cf. Remark 3.2.3) for each  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}$ ) defines a map of sets*

$$\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)}) \quad (\text{resp., } \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}}). \quad (3.14)$$

Moreover, this map commutes with the respective torsor structures via the morphism (3.13).

*Proof.* The assertion follows immediately from the fact mentioned in Remark 3.2.2 and the definition of the functor  $\mathbb{Z}(-)$ .  $\square$

Finally, we shall denote by

$$\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})^\psi \quad (\text{resp., } \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}}^\psi)$$

the subset of  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  (resp.,  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}}$ ) consisting of  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structures with vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature. Also, denote by

$$\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})^\psi \quad (\text{resp., } \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}^\psi)$$

the subset of  $\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})$  (resp.,  $\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}$ ) consisting of dormant flat bundles. The following assertion is immediately verified.

**Proposition 3.4.4.** *The assignment  $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{G}$  for each  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})^\psi$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}^\psi$ ) yields a map of sets*

$$\text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})^\psi \rightarrow \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})^\psi \quad (\text{resp., } \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}^\psi \rightarrow \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}}^\psi) \quad (3.15)$$

Moreover, the following commutative square diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})^\psi & \xrightarrow{(3.15)} & \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})^\psi \\ \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\ \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{(3.14)} & \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)}) \end{array} \quad \left( \text{resp., } \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}^\psi & \xrightarrow{(3.15)} & \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}}^\psi \\ \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\ \text{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}} & \xrightarrow{(3.14)} & \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})_{\mathcal{L}} \end{array} \right).$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Propositions 2.6.1, (i), 3.2.5, (i), and Corollary 2.6.4.  $\square$



**3.5. Canonical diagonal liftings of  $p^{m+1}$ -flat line bundles.** In what follows, we will show that any  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure on a line bundle with vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature admits a unique diagonal lifting (cf. Proposition 3.5.1).

Denote by  $d\log : \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \Omega$  the morphism given by assigning  $v \mapsto d\log(v) := \frac{dv}{v}$ . The natural short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet[d\log] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times[0] \rightarrow 0$  yields an exact sequence of (hyper)cohomology groups

$$\mathbb{H}^1(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[d\log]) \xrightarrow{\text{lin}} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \xrightarrow{\text{obs} (:= H^1(d\log))} H^1(X, \Omega).$$

Recall from [Kat2, Proposition (7.2.1)] that the group  $\mathbb{H}^1(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[d\log])$  (resp.,  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ ) parametrizes isomorphism classes of flat line bundles on  $X/S$  (resp., line bundles on  $X$ ), and the map “lin” is given by forgetting the data of  $S$ -connections.

For each line bundle  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $X$ , we denote by

$$\text{obs}(\mathcal{N}) \in H^1(X, \Omega) \tag{3.16}$$

the image via the map “obs” of the element in  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$  represented by  $\mathcal{N}$ . The obstruction to the surjectivity of lin lies in  $H^1(X, \Omega)$ , which means that  $\text{obs}(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  admits an  $S$ -connection.

Now, let us fix a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  with  $\text{obs}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ . Also, let  $m$  be an integer with  $0 \leq m < \ell$  and  $\nabla_m$  an  $S_m$ -connection on  $\mathcal{L}_m$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_m := (\mathcal{L}_m, \nabla_m)$  is dormant. Write  $\mathcal{L}_m \stackrel{\mathbb{Z}}{\dashrightarrow} \mathcal{L}_0 := (\mathcal{L}_0, \nabla_0^{(m)})$ . As discussed in (3.9), the set  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  of  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m+1)}$ -module structures  $\nabla_0^{(m+1)}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_0$  with  $\nabla_0^{(m+1) \Rightarrow (m)} = \nabla_0^{(m)}$  forms, if it is nonempty, a torsor modeled on  $H^0(X_0^{(m+1)}, \Omega_0^{(m+1)})$ ; it contains a subset  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})^\psi$  consisting of  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m+1)}$ -module structures with vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature.

Moreover, similarly to (3.12), we have the set

$$\text{Lift}(\mathcal{L}_m)_\mathcal{L} \quad \left( \text{resp., } \text{Lift}(\mathcal{L}_m)_\mathcal{L}^\psi \right)$$

of  $S$ -connections (resp., dormant  $S$ -connections) on  $\mathcal{L}_{m+1}$  whose reduction modulo  $p^{m+1}$  coincides with  $\nabla_m$ . Since  $\text{obs}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$  and  $S$  is affine,  $\text{Lift}(\mathcal{L}_m)_\mathcal{L}$  is verified to be nonempty and forms a torsor modeled on  $H^0(X_0, \Omega)$ .

The operation of taking diagonal reductions  $\mathbb{Z}(-)$  gives a map of sets

$$\text{Lift}(\mathcal{L}_m)_\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)}) \tag{3.17}$$

(cf. Remark 3.2.3), by which we see that  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})$  is nonempty. By the dormancy condition, it can be restricted to a map

$$\text{Lift}(\mathcal{L}_m)_\mathcal{L}^\psi \rightarrow \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{(m)})^\psi. \tag{3.18}$$

Note that the map (3.17) commutes with the respective torsor structures via the Cartier operator

$$H^0(C_{(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \nabla_{X_0, \text{triv}}^{(m)})}) : H^0(X_0, \Omega_0) \rightarrow H^0(X_0^{(m+1)}, \Omega_0^{(m+1)}) \tag{3.19}$$

of  $(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}, \nabla_{X_0, \text{triv}}^{(m)})$  (cf. (2.6), (2.26)). Hence, if the curve  $X_0/S_0$  is ordinary in the usual sense, then (since (3.19) is bijective) the map (3.17), as well as (3.18), becomes a bijection. Applying inductively the bijectivity of (3.18) for various  $m$ 's, we obtain the following proposition, asserting the existence of canonical diagonal liftings for the rank one case.

**Proposition 3.5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be as above and  $\nabla_0^{(m)}$  a  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{L}_0$  with vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature. Suppose that the curve  $X_0/S_0$  is ordinary. Then, there exists a unique diagonal lifting of  $(\mathcal{L}_0, \nabla_0^{(m)})$  whose underlying  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module coincides with  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

#### 4. LOCAL STUDY OF $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -MODULES

In this section, we study the local description of  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules in characteristic  $p$  around a marked/nodal point of the underlying pointed stable curve. By applying the resulting description, we show that each dormant flat bundle in characteristic  $p^{m+1}$  can be decomposed into the direct sum of rank 1 flat bundles of a certain type (cf. Proposition-Definition 4.5.4).

Throughout this section, we fix a scheme  $S$ .

**4.1. Formal neighborhoods of a marked/nodal point.** We shall set

$$U_{\circlearrowleft} := \mathcal{S}pec(\mathcal{O}_S[[t]]), \quad U_{\otimes} := \mathcal{S}pec(\mathcal{O}_S[[t_1, t_2]]/(t_1 t_2)), \quad (4.1)$$

where  $t$ ,  $t_1$ , and  $t_2$  are formal parameters. For simplicity, we write  $\mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft} := \mathcal{O}_{U_{\circlearrowleft}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes} := \mathcal{O}_{U_{\otimes}}$ .

Hereinafter, let us fix a pair of nonnegative integers  $(\ell, m)$  with  $\ell = 0$  or  $m = 0$ , and suppose that  $S$  is a flat scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $S^{\log}$  the log scheme defined as  $S$  equipped with the trivial log structure. Also, we equip  $U_{\circlearrowleft}$  with the log structure associated to the monoid morphism  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft}$  given by  $n \mapsto t^n$ ; if  $U_{\circlearrowleft}^{\log}$  denotes the resulting log scheme, then we obtain the sheaf of noncommutative rings on  $U_{\circlearrowleft}$  defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\circlearrowleft}^{(m)} := \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_{U_{\circlearrowleft}^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(m)}, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $U_{\circlearrowleft}^{\log}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) denotes the strict closed subscheme of  $U_{\circlearrowleft}^{\log}$  defined by the ideal sheaf  $(t^n) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_S[[t]]$ . This sheaf has two  $\mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft}$ -module structures  ${}^L\mathcal{D}_{\circlearrowleft}^{(m)}$  and  ${}^R\mathcal{D}_{\circlearrowleft}^{(m)}$  arising from those of  ${}^L\mathcal{D}_{U_{\circlearrowleft}^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(m)}$ 's and  ${}^R\mathcal{D}_{U_{\circlearrowleft}^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(m)}$ 's, respectively. The  $\mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft}$ -module  ${}^L\mathcal{D}_{\circlearrowleft}^{(m)}$  can be decomposed as the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft} \cdot \partial^{(j)}$ , where  $\partial^{(j)}$ 's denote the sections associated to the logarithmic coordinate  $t$  as defined in § 2.2. In particular, the multiplication in  $\mathcal{D}_{\circlearrowleft}^{(m)}$  is given by (2.1).

On the other hand, we equip  $U_{\otimes}$  (resp.,  $S$ ) with a log structure associated to the monoid morphism  $\mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ ) given by  $(n_1, n_2) \mapsto t^{n_1} \cdot t^{n_2}$  (resp.,  $n \mapsto 0^n$ ); denote by  $U_{\otimes}^{\log}$  (resp.,  $S^{\log}$ ) the resulting log scheme. By the diagonal embedding  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{N}$ , the projection  $U_{\otimes} \rightarrow S$  extends to a morphism of log schemes  $U_{\otimes}^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$ . We obtain the sheaf on  $U_{\otimes}$  defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)} := \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_{U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(m)},$$

where  $U_{\otimes}^{\log}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) denotes the strict closed subscheme of  $U_{\otimes}^{\log}$  defined by the ideal sheaf  $(t_1, t_2)^n \subseteq \mathcal{O}_S[[t_1, t_2]]/(t_1 t_2)$ . Just as in the case of  $\mathcal{D}_{\circlearrowleft}^{(m)}$ , this sheaf has two  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$ -module structures  ${}^L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$  and  ${}^R\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ .

For each  $i = 1, 2$ , denote by  $\{\partial_i^{(j)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  the basis of  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$  associated to the logarithmic coordinate  $t_i$ . In particular, we have  ${}^L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{O}_{\otimes} \cdot \partial_i^{(j)}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.1.** *For each positive integer  $j \leq p^m$ , the following equality holds:*

$$\partial_2^{(j)} = (-1)^j \cdot \sum_{j'=1}^j \binom{j-1}{j'-1} \cdot \partial_1^{(j')}. \quad (4.3)$$

*In particular, for each nonnegative integer  $a \leq m$ , the following equalities hold:*

$$\partial_2^{(p^a)} = \sum_{j'=1}^{p^a} (-1)^{j'} \cdot \partial_1^{(j')} = -\partial_1^{(p^a)} - 1 + \prod_{b=0}^{a-1} (1 - (\partial_1^{(p^b)})^{p-1}), \quad (4.4)$$

where we set  $\prod_{b=0}^{-1}(-) := 1$ .

*Proof.* Note that the morphism  $\mu_{(m)}$  and the sheaves  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell$  ( $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) discussed in §2.2 can be defined even in the case where  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is replaced by  $U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . In particular, we obtain the sections  $\eta_i := \mu_{(m)}(t_i) - 1$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) of  $\mathcal{P}_{(m)}^\ell$ . Since  $\mu_{(m)}$  preserves the monoid structure, we have

$$(1 + \eta_1)(1 + \eta_2) = \mu_{(m)}(t_1) \cdot \mu_{(m)}(t_2) = \mu_{(m)}(t_1 \cdot t_2) = \mu_{(m)}(0) = 1.$$

This implies

$$\eta_1 = -1 + (1 + \eta_2)^{-1} = -\eta_2 + \eta_2^2 - \eta_2^3 + \eta_2^4 - \dots \left( = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-\eta_2)^j \right).$$

Hence, for each  $j' \leq p^m$ , the following equalities hold:

$$\eta_1^{\{j'\}} = \eta_1^{j'} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-\eta_2)^j \right)^{j'} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{j'+i-1}{j'-1} \cdot (-\eta_2)^{j'+i}.$$

By taking duals, we obtain (4.3). This completes the proof of the first assertion. Moreover, the second assertion follows from (4.3) together with Lemma 2.2.2.  $\square$

Next, we shall set

$$\iota_1 : U_{\otimes} \hookrightarrow U_{\otimes} \quad (\text{resp.}, \iota_2 : U_{\otimes} \hookrightarrow U_{\otimes})$$

to be the closed immersion corresponding to the surjection  $\mathcal{O}_S[[t_1, t_2]]/(t_1 t_2) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S[[t]]$  given by  $t_1 \mapsto t$  and  $t_2 \mapsto 0$  (resp.,  $t_1 \mapsto 0$  and  $t_2 \mapsto t$ ). The inclusion into the  $i$ -th factor  $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{N}$  determines a morphism of log schemes

$$U_{\otimes} \times_{\iota_i, U_{\otimes}} U_{\otimes}^{\log} \rightarrow U_{\otimes}^{\log}$$

whose underlying morphism of  $S$ -schemes coincides with the identity morphism of  $U_{\otimes}$ . This morphism induces an identification  $\iota_i^*(L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}) = L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ . The  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$ -linear surjection

$$\omega_i : L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)} \twoheadrightarrow \left( \iota_{i*}(\iota_i^*(L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)})) \right) = \iota_{i*}(L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)})$$

corresponding to this identification via the adjunction relation “ $\iota_i^*(-) \dashv \iota_{i*}(-)$ ” maps  $\partial_i^{(j)}$  to  $\partial^{(j)}$  for every  $j \geq 0$ .

For a  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ , we shall write

$$\iota_i^*(\nabla) : L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\iota_i^*(\mathcal{F}))$$

for the  $\mathcal{D}_\otimes^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\iota_i^*(\mathcal{F})$  induced naturally by  $\nabla$  under the identification  $\iota_i^*(L\mathcal{D}_\otimes^{(m)}) = L\mathcal{D}_\otimes^{(m)}$ .

**4.2. Monodromy operator.** We shall set

$$\mathcal{B}_S := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{O}_S \cdot \partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j)}, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j)}$ 's are abstract symbols. We equip  $\mathcal{B}_S$  with a structure of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebra given by

$$\partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j')} \cdot \partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j'')} = \sum_{j=\max\{j', j''\}}^{j'+j''} \frac{j!}{(j'+j''-j)! \cdot (j-j')! \cdot (j-j'')!} \cdot \frac{q_{j'}! \cdot q_{j''}!}{q_j!} \cdot \partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j)}. \quad (4.6)$$

In particular, the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_S$  is commutative and generated by the sections  $\partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(1)}, \partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(p)}, \dots, \partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(p^m)}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module and  $\mu$  a morphism of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebras  $\mathcal{B}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{G})$ . For each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we set  $\mu^{(p^a)} := \mu(\partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(p^a)})$ , which is an element of  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{G})$ . The morphism  $\mu$  is uniquely determined by the  $(m+1)$ -tuple

$$\mu^{(\bullet)} := (\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(p)}, \dots, \mu^{(p^m)}) \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{G})^{\oplus(m+1)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Denote by  $\sigma_\otimes : S \rightarrow U_\otimes$  (resp.,  $\sigma_\otimes : S \rightarrow U_\otimes$ ) the closed immersion corresponding to the surjection  $\mathcal{O}_S[[t]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$  given by  $t \mapsto 0$  (resp., the surjection  $\mathcal{O}_S[[t_1, t_2]]/(t_1 t_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$  given by  $t_1 \mapsto 0$  and  $t_2 \mapsto 0$ ). It follows from (2.1) and (4.6) that the assignment  $\partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j)} \mapsto \partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j)}$  ( $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) determines an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebras  $\sigma_\otimes^*(L\mathcal{D}_\otimes^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_S$ . This morphism induces, via the adjunction relation “ $\sigma_\otimes^*(-) \dashv \sigma_{\otimes*}(-)$ ”, an  $\mathcal{O}_\otimes$ -linear surjection

$$\omega : L\mathcal{D}_\otimes^{(m)} \rightarrow \sigma_{\otimes*}(\mathcal{B}_S).$$

Now, let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a  $\mathcal{D}_\otimes^{(m)}$ -module. The  $\mathcal{D}_\otimes^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla$  induces an  $\sigma_\otimes^*(L\mathcal{D}_\otimes^{(m)})$ -action  $\sigma_\otimes^*(\nabla)$  on  $\sigma_\otimes^*(\mathcal{F})$ ; it gives the composite

$$\mu(\nabla) : \mathcal{B}_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma_\otimes^*(L\mathcal{D}_\otimes^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_\otimes^*(\nabla)} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\sigma_\otimes^*(\mathcal{F})).$$

In particular, we obtain

$$\mu(\nabla)^{(\bullet)} := (\mu(\nabla)^{(1)}, \mu(\nabla)^{(p)}, \dots, \mu(\nabla)^{(p^m)}) \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\sigma_\otimes^*(\mathcal{F}))^{\oplus(m+1)}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}_S$  is commutative, the elements  $\mu(\nabla)^{(1)}, \dots, \mu(\nabla)^{(p^m)}$  commute with each other.

**Definition 4.2.1.** We shall refer to  $\mu(\nabla)$  and  $\mu(\nabla)^{(\bullet)}$  as the **monodromy operator** of  $\nabla$ . Also, for each  $a = 0, \dots, m$ , we shall refer to  $\mu(\nabla)^{(p^a)}$  as the  **$a$ -th monodromy operator** of  $\nabla$ .

Note that there exists uniquely an automorphism

$$\text{sw} : \mathcal{B}_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_S$$

of the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_S$  determined by  $\text{sw}(\partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j)}) = (-1)^j \cdot \sum_{j'=1}^j \binom{j-1}{j'-1} \cdot \partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j')}$  for every positive integer  $j \leq p^m$  (cf. Lemma 4.1.1). In particular, the equality  $\text{sw}(\partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(p^a)}) = \sum_{j'=1}^{p^a} (-1)^{j'} \cdot \partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j')}$  holds for  $a \leq m$ . This automorphism is involutive, i.e.,  $\text{sw} \circ \text{sw} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}_S}$ .

For an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $\mathcal{G}$ , we shall set

$$\mathrm{sw}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\bullet} : \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{G})^{\oplus(m+1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{G})^{\oplus(m+1)}$$

to be the endomap of  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{G})^{\oplus(m+1)}$  given by

$$\mathrm{sw}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\bullet}((A_a)_{a=0}^m) := ((-A_a - \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}} + \prod_{b=0}^{a-1} (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}} - A_b^{p-1}))_{a=0}^m), \quad (4.8)$$

where  $\prod_{b=0}^{-1}(-) := \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\prod_{b=0}^{a-1} B_b := B_0 \circ B_1 \circ \cdots \circ B_{a-1}$  ( $a \geq 1$ ). If  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is as above, then the second equality in (4.4) implies

$$(\mu(\nabla) \circ \mathrm{sw})^{\langle \bullet \rangle} = \mathrm{sw}_{\sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{F})}^{\bullet}(\mu(\nabla)^{\langle \bullet \rangle}). \quad (4.9)$$

**Proposition 4.2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$ -module.*

(i) *Let  $\nabla$  be a  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then, the equality*

$$\mu(\iota_1^*(\nabla)) \circ \mathrm{sw} = \mu(\iota_2^*(\nabla)) \left( \iff \mathrm{sw}_{\sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{F})}^{\bullet}(\mu(\iota_1^*(\nabla))^{\langle \bullet \rangle}) = \mu(\iota_2^*(\nabla))^{\langle \bullet \rangle} \text{ by (4.9)} \right)$$

*holds.*

(ii) *Conversely, let  $\nabla_i$  (for each  $i = 1, 2$ ) be a  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\iota_i^*(\mathcal{F})$ , and suppose that the equality  $\mu(\nabla_1) \circ \mathrm{sw} = \mu(\nabla_2)$  (or equivalently,  $\mathrm{sw}_{\sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{F})}^{\bullet}(\mu(\nabla_1)^{\langle \bullet \rangle}) = \mu(\nabla_2)^{\langle \bullet \rangle}$ ) holds. Then, there exists a unique  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying the equalities  $\iota_1^*(\nabla) = \nabla_1$  and  $\iota_2^*(\nabla) = \nabla_2$  under the natural identifications  $\iota_1^*(L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}) = L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$  and  $\iota_2^*(L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}) = L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* We shall set  $L\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$  to be the sheaf defined as

$$\begin{aligned} L\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\otimes}^{(m)} &:= \iota_{1*}(L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}) \times_{\omega, \mathcal{B}_S, \mathrm{sw} \circ \omega} \iota_{2*}(L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}) \\ &\left( = \left\{ (s_1, s_2) \in \iota_{1*}(L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}) \times \iota_{2*}(L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}) \mid \iota_{1*}(\omega)(s_1) = (\sigma_{\otimes*}(\mathrm{sw}) \circ \iota_{2*}(\omega))(s_2) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using the isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\sim} \iota_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}) \times_{\sigma_{\otimes*}(\mathcal{O}_S)} \iota_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes})$  given by  $t_1 \mapsto (t, 0)$  and  $t_2 \mapsto (0, t)$ , we equip  $L\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$  with an  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$ -module structure. It follows from Lemma 4.1.1 that the assignment  $s \mapsto (\omega_1(s), \omega_2(s))$  for each local section  $s \in L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$  defines an  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$ -linear isomorphism

$$L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\sim} L\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\otimes}^{(m)}. \quad (4.10)$$

Hence, both assertions (i) and (ii) are direct consequences of this isomorphism.  $\square$

**4.3.  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module structures  $\nabla_{\otimes, d}^{(m)}$  and  $\nabla_{\otimes, d}^{(m)}$ .** In §§ 4.3-4.4, we suppose that  $S$  is a scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (equipped with the trivial  $m$ -PD structure). Note that the discussions in §§ 2.5-2.6 can be applied even when “ $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ ” is replaced by  $U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}$  or  $U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . In particular, we can define the  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature of a  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module, as well as of a  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module.

The Frobenius twist  $U_{\otimes}^{(m+1)}$  (resp.,  $U_{\otimes}^{(m+1)}$ ) of  $U_{\otimes}$  (resp.,  $U_{\otimes}$ ) over  $S$  may be identified with the relative affine scheme over  $S$  associated to the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -subalgebra

$$\mathcal{O}_S[[t^{p^{m+1}}]] \left( \text{resp., } \mathcal{O}_S[[t_1^{p^{m+1}}, t_2^{p^{m+1}}]]/(t_1^{p^{m+1}} t_2^{p^{m+1}}) \right)$$

of  $\mathcal{O}_S[[t]]$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}_S[[t_1, t_2]]/(t_1 t_2)$ ). For simplicity, we write  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m+1)} := \mathcal{O}_{U_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m+1)}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(m+1)} := \mathcal{O}_{U_{\otimes}^{(m+1)}}$ .

Given an element  $d$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $\tilde{d}$  the integer defined as the unique lifting of  $d$  via the natural surjection  $\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $0 \leq \tilde{d} < p^{m+1}$ . Let  $\tilde{d}_{[0]}, \dots, \tilde{d}_{[m]}$  be the collection of integers uniquely determined by the condition that  $\tilde{d} = \sum_{a=0}^m p^a \cdot \tilde{d}_{[a]}$  and  $0 \leq \tilde{d}_{[a]} < p$  ( $a = 0, \dots, m$ ). Also, for each  $a = 0, \dots, m$ , we write  $\tilde{d}_{[0,a]} := \sum_{b=0}^a p^b \cdot \tilde{d}_{[b]}$ , i.e., the remainder obtained by dividing  $\tilde{d}$  by  $p^{a+1}$ , and write  $d_{[a]}$  (resp.,  $d_{[0,a]}$ ) for the image of  $\tilde{d}_{[a]}$  (resp.,  $\tilde{d}_{[0,a]}$ ) via the natural projection  $\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{a+1}\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Now, let us fix an element  $d \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, there exists a unique  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m)}$ -module structure

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)} : {}^L\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}) \quad (4.11)$$

on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$  determined by the condition that  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)}(\partial^{(j)})(t^n) = q_j! \cdot \binom{n-\tilde{d}}{j} \cdot t^n$  for every  $j, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . The resulting  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m)}$ -module

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)} := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)}) \quad (4.12)$$

is isomorphic to the unique extension of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},0}^{(m)}$  to  $t^{-\tilde{d}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}} (\supseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}})$ . In particular  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)}$  has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature.

**Proposition 4.3.1.** *For each  $a = 0, \dots, m$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m) \Rightarrow (a)}) = t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,a]}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)} (\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}).$$

Moreover, under the identification  $(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m) \Rightarrow (a-1)})) = t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,a-1]}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$  given by  $t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,a-1]}}$ ,  $h(t^{p^a}) \leftrightarrow h(t)$  for each  $h(t) \in \mathcal{O}_S[[t]]$  (where  $t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,a-1]}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a)} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$  if  $a = 0$ ), the following equality of  $S$ -connections holds:

$$(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)})^{[a]} = \nabla_{\mathcal{O},d_{[a]}}^{(0)}.$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the definition of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.2.** *Under the natural identification  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}})) = H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) (\supseteq \mathbb{F}_p)$ , the following equality holds:*

$$\mu(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)})^{(\bullet)} = ((-d)_{[0]}, \dots, (-d)_{[m]}).$$

Moreover, the equality

$$\mu(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)}) \circ \text{sw} = \mu(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},-d}^{(m)}) \left( \iff \text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(\mu(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)})^{(\bullet)}) = \mu(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},-d}^{(m)})^{(\bullet)} \right) \quad (4.13)$$

holds.

*Proof.* Since the first assertion follows from the definition of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)}$ , we only prove the second assertion. To this end, it suffices to consider the case of  $d \neq 0$ . Let us write  $c := -d$ . Since  $c \neq 0$ , the nonnegative integer  $a_0 := \min\{a \mid c_{[a]} \neq 0\}$  is well-defined. For each  $a \leq a_0$ , the following equalities hold:

$$-c_{[a]} - 1 + \prod_{b=0}^{a-1} (1 - c_{[b]}^{p-1}) = -c_{[a]} - 1 + \prod_{b=0}^{a-1} (1 - 0) = -c_{[a]} - 1 + 1 = d_{[a]}. \quad (4.14)$$

On the other hand, if  $a > a_0$ , then we have

$$-c_{[a]} - 1 + \prod_{b=0}^{a-1} (1 - c_{[b]}^{p-1}) = -c_{[a]} - 1 = d_{[a]}, \quad (4.15)$$

where the first equality follows from  $1 - c_{[a_0]}^{p-1} = 0$ . By (4.14), (4.15), and the first assertion, the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^\bullet \left( \mu(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}^{(m)})^{(\bullet)} \right) &= \mathrm{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^\bullet (c_{[0]}, \dots, c_{[m]}) \\ &= (-c_{[a]} - 1 + \prod_{b=0}^{a-1} (1 - c_{[b]}^{p-1}))_{a=0}^m \\ &= (d_{[a]})_{a=0}^m \\ &= \mu(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},-d}^{(m)})^{(\bullet)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

By Propositions 4.2.2, (ii), and 4.3.2, there exists a unique  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module structure

$$\nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m)} : {}^L\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes})$$

on  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$  with  $\iota_1^*(\nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m)}) = \nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m)}$  and  $\iota_2^*(\nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m)}) = \nabla_{\otimes,-d}^{(m)}$ . It is verified that  $\nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m)}$  has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature. We shall write

$$\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,d}^{(m)} := (\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m)}).$$

**Proposition 4.3.3.** *We shall write  $c := -d$ . Also, let us fix  $a \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ .*

(i) *We have*

$$\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m) \Rightarrow (a)}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a+1)} & \text{if } \tilde{d}_{[0,a]} = 0; \\ t_1^{\tilde{d}_{[0,a]}} \cdot \iota_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a+1)}) \oplus t_2^{\tilde{c}_{[0,a]}} \cdot \iota_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a+1)}) & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *Suppose that  $a = 0$  or  $\tilde{d}_{[0,a-1]} = 0$ . Then, under the identification  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a)} = \mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$  given by  $h(t^{p^a}) \leftrightarrow h(t)$  for each  $h(t) \in \mathcal{O}_S[[t]]$ , the following equality of  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$ -connections holds:*

$$(\nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m)})^{[a]} = \nabla_{\otimes,d_{[a]}}^{(0)}.$$

(iii) *Suppose that  $a \geq 1$  and  $\tilde{d}_{[0,a-1]} \neq 0$ . Then, under the identification*

$$\left( \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m) \Rightarrow (a-1)}) \right) = t_1^{\tilde{d}_{[0,a-1]}} \cdot \iota_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a)}) \oplus t_2^{\tilde{c}_{[0,a-1]}} \cdot \iota_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a)}) = \iota_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}) \oplus \iota_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes})$$

*given by  $(t_1^{\tilde{d}_{[0,a-1]}} \cdot h_1(t^{p^a}), t_2^{\tilde{c}_{[0,a-1]}} \cdot h_2(t^{p^a})) \leftrightarrow (h_1(t), h_2(t))$  for each pair  $(h_1(t), h_2(t)) \in \mathcal{O}_S[[t]]^{\times 2}$ , the following equality of  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$ -connections holds:*

$$(\nabla_{\otimes,d}^{(m)})^{[a]} = \iota_{1*}(\nabla_{\otimes,d_{[a]}}^{(0)}) \oplus \iota_{2*}(\nabla_{\otimes,c_{[a]}}^{(0)}).$$

*Proof.* The assertions follow from Proposition 4.3.1.  $\square$

Also, the following assertion can be proved immediately.

**Proposition 4.3.4.** *Let  $d$  and  $c$  be elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Also, let  $\odot \in \{\otimes, \otimes\}$ .*

(i) The canonical isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\odot} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\odot}} \mathcal{O}_{\odot} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\odot}$  defines an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{\odot}^{(m)}$ -modules

$$\mathcal{O}_{\odot,d}^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\odot,c}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\odot,d+c}^{(m)}. \quad (4.16)$$

In particular, we have  $\mathcal{O}_{\odot,d}^{(m)\vee} = \mathcal{O}_{\odot,-d}^{(m)}$  (cf. [Mon, Corollaire 2.6.1, (ii)] for the definition of dual  $(-)^{\vee}$ ).

(ii) The following equality holds:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\odot,d}^{(m)}, \mathcal{O}_{\odot,c}^{(m)}) = \left\{ \mathrm{mult}_s \mid s \in H^0(U_{\odot}, \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\odot,c-d}^{(m)})) \right\}, \quad (4.17)$$

where  $\mathrm{mult}_s$  denotes the endomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{\odot}$  given by multiplication by  $s$ . In particular, there exists a surjective morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\odot,d}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\odot,c}^{(m)}$  if and only if the equality  $d = c$  holds.

(iii) Let  $m'$  be a nonnegative integer  $\leq m$ . Then, the following equality holds:

$$\nabla_{\odot,d}^{(m) \Rightarrow (m')} = \nabla_{\odot,d_{[0,m']}}^{(m')}.$$

**4.4. Local description of  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules.** In this subsection, we show that a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}_{\odot}^{(m)}$ -module (where  $\odot \in \{\circlearrowleft, \otimes\}$ ) can be described as the direct sum of  $\mathcal{O}_{\odot,d}^{(m)}$ 's for some elements  $d \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . This assertion generalizes [Kin, Proposition 1.1.12], in which  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules on the formal disc  $\mathrm{Spec}(k[[t]])$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  were discussed. See also [Oss3, Corollary 2.10] for the case of  $m = 0$  and [Gie, Theorem 3.3] for the case of so-called  $F$ -divided (or, stratified) bundles, i.e., the case of  $m = \infty$ .

**Proposition-Definition 4.4.1.** *Suppose that  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  for a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  such that the residue field  $k := R/\mathfrak{m}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $\odot \in \{\circlearrowleft, \otimes\}$ , and let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$  be a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}_{\odot}^{(m)}$ -module such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a (locally) free  $\mathcal{O}_{\odot}$ -module of rank  $n > 0$ . Then, there exists an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{\odot}^{(m)}$ -modules*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\odot,d_i}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$$

for some  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . (This implies that, for each  $a = 0, \dots, m$ , the  $a$ -th monodromy operator  $\mu(\nabla^{(m)})^{(p^a)}$  of  $\nabla^{(m)}$  can be transformed, after choosing a suitable trivialization  $\sigma_{\odot}^*(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}$  of  $\sigma_{\odot}^*(\mathcal{F})$ , into the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $(-d)_{1[a]}, \dots, (-d)_{n[a]}$ .) Moreover, the resulting multiset

$$e(\nabla^{(m)}) := [d_1, \dots, d_n]$$

depends only on the isomorphism class of  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$ . We shall refer to  $e(\nabla^{(m)})$  as the **exponent** of  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$ .

*Proof.* First, let us consider the case where  $\odot = \circlearrowleft$ . Hereinafter, we shall use subscripted “ $k$ ” to denote the result of reducing modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Since the  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes,k}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}_k, \nabla_k^{(m)})$  has vanishing  $p^{m+1}$ -curvature, it follows from [Kin, Proposition 1.1.12] that there exist a multiset  $[d_1, \dots, d_n]$  of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$  and an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes,k}^{(m)}$ -modules

$$\xi : \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft,k}, \nabla_{\circlearrowleft,d_i,k}^{(m)}) \cong \right) \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (t^{-\tilde{d}_i} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft,k}, \nabla_{\circlearrowleft,0,k}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{F}_k, \nabla_k^{(m)}),$$



where, for each  $\tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we abuse notation by writing  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,0,k}}^{(m)}$  for the  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes,k}^{(m)}$ -module structure on the line bundle  $t^{-\tilde{d}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\otimes,k} (\supseteq \mathcal{O}_{\otimes,k})$  extending  $(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,k}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,0,k}}^{(m)})$ .

Now, let us choose  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Denote by  $\nabla_j^{(m)}$  the  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $t^{\tilde{d}_j} \cdot \mathcal{F} (\subseteq \mathcal{F})$  obtained by restricting  $\nabla^{(m)}$ . Then,  $\xi$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$\xi_j : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (t^{-\tilde{d}_i + \tilde{d}_j} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\otimes,k}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,0,k}}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (t^{\tilde{d}_j} \cdot \mathcal{F}_k, \nabla_{j,k}^{(m)}).$$

If  $e_j$  denotes the image of  $1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\otimes,k}$  via the inclusion into the  $j$ -th factor  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,k} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\otimes,k}^{\oplus n}$ , then it is a horizontal section in the domain of  $\xi_j$ . In particular, we have  $\xi_j(e_j) \in \text{Sol}(\nabla_{j,k}^{(m)})$ . Since the natural morphism  $\text{Sol}(\nabla_j^{(m)}) \rightarrow \text{Sol}(\nabla_{j,k}^{(m)})$  is surjective by Proposition 2.6.2, (ii), we can find a section  $v_j \in \text{Sol}(\nabla_j^{(m)})$  mapped to  $\xi_j(e_j)$  via this surjection. The section  $v_j$  determines a morphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -modules  $(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,0}}^{(m)}) \rightarrow (t^{\tilde{d}_j} \cdot \mathcal{F}, \nabla_j^{(m)})$ ; it can be extended uniquely to a morphism  $\zeta_j : (t^{-\tilde{d}_j} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,0}}^{(m)}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)})$ . Thus, we obtain the composite

$$\zeta : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,d_j}}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (t^{-\tilde{d}_i} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,0}}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_i \zeta_i} (\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)}),$$

where the first arrow is the direct sum of the natural isomorphisms  $(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,d_j}}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (t^{-\tilde{d}_i} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}_{\otimes,0}}^{(m)})$ . Note that the reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\bigoplus_i \zeta_i$  coincides with the isomorphism  $\xi$ . Hence,  $\zeta$  turns out to be an isomorphism by Nakayama's lemma. This proves the first assertion for  $\odot = \otimes$ . Moreover, the second assertion follows immediately from Proposition 4.3.4, (ii), in the case of  $\odot = \otimes$ .

Next, we shall consider the case of  $\odot = \otimes$ . It follows from the above discussion that there exists an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -modules

$$\zeta_{\otimes,1} : \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\iota_1^*(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}), \iota_1^*(\nabla_{\otimes,d_i}^{(m)})) \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\otimes,d_i}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\iota_1^*(\mathcal{F}), \iota_1^*(\nabla^{(m)}))$$

for some  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . By Propositions 4.2.2, (i), and 4.3.2, the exponent of  $(\iota_2^*(\mathcal{F}), \iota_2^*(\nabla^{(m)}))$  coincides with  $[-d_1, \dots, -d_n]$ . Hence, we can find an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -modules

$$\zeta_{\otimes,2} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\iota_2^*(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}), \iota_2^*(\nabla_{\otimes,d_i}^{(m)})) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\iota_2^*(\mathcal{F}), \iota_2^*(\nabla^{(m)})).$$

The automorphism  $\sigma_{\otimes}^*(\zeta_{\otimes,2})^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\zeta_{\otimes,1})$  defines an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A := (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in \text{GL}_n(R)$  (under the natural identification  $H^0(S, \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes})) = R$ ). We shall set  $D := (D_{[0]}, \dots, D_{[m]})$ , where each  $D_{[a]}$  ( $a = 0, \dots, m$ ) denotes the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $(-d)_{1[a]}, \dots, (-d)_{n[a]}$ . By regarding this matrix as an element of  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n})^{\oplus(m+1)}$ , we can identify  $D$  (resp.,  $\text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(D)$ ) with the monodromy operator  $\mu(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \iota_1^*(\nabla_{\otimes,d_i}^{(m)}))^{\langle \bullet \rangle}$  (resp.,  $\mu(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \iota_2^*(\nabla_{\otimes,d_i}^{(m)}))^{\langle \bullet \rangle}$ ) of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \iota_1^*(\nabla_{\otimes,d_i}^{(m)})$  (resp.,  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \iota_2^*(\nabla_{\otimes,d_i}^{(m)})$ ). Since both  $\zeta_{\otimes,1}$  and  $\zeta_{\otimes,2}$  preserve the  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module structure, we obtain the following equalities of elements in  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n})^{\oplus(m+1)}$ :

$$\mu \circ \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\zeta_{\otimes,1}) = \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\zeta_{\otimes,1}) \circ D, \quad \text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(\mu) \circ \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\zeta_{\otimes,2}) = \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\zeta_{\otimes,2}) \circ \text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(D),$$

where  $\mu := \mu(\iota_1^*(\nabla^{(m)}))^{(\bullet)}$ . These equalities imply

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},2}) \circ D \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},2})^{-1} &= \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},2}) \circ \text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(\text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(D)) \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},2})^{-1} \\ &= \text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},2}) \circ \text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(D) \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},2})^{-1}) \\ &= \text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(\text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(\mu)) \\ &= \mu \\ &= \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},1}) \circ D \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $DA = AD$ , i.e.,  $(D_{[0]}A, \dots, D_{[m]}A) = (AD_{[0]}, \dots, AD_{[m]})$ . Hence, for each pair  $(i, j)$  with  $d_i \neq d_j$ , we have  $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0$ . By Proposition 4.3.4, (ii), the matrix  $A$  defines an automorphism  $\alpha$  of the  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m)}$ -module  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\iota_2^*(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}), \iota_2^*(\nabla_{\otimes, d_i}^{(m)}))$  when regarded as an element of  $\text{GL}_n(R[[t]])$  via the natural inclusion  $R \hookrightarrow R[[t]]$ . The equality  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},1}) = \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\zeta_{\mathcal{O},2} \circ \alpha)$  holds, so the two morphisms of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m)}$ -modules  $\zeta_{\mathcal{O},1}, \zeta_{\mathcal{O},2} \circ \alpha$  may be glued together (by using (4.10)) to obtain an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\otimes, d_i}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(m)}).$$

This completes the proof of the first assertion for  $\odot = \otimes$ . Moreover, the second assertion can be proved by applying Proposition 4.3.4, (ii), in the case of  $\odot = \otimes$ . Thus, we have finished the proof of this proposition.  $\square$

The following assertion is a corollary of the above proposition.

**Corollary 4.4.2.** *Let  $a \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ , and let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a  $p^{m+1}$ -flat  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(m)}$ -module such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free of rank  $n > 0$ . Then, the natural  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a)}$ -linear morphism  $(\mathcal{F}^{\vee})^{[a]} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}^{[a]})^{\vee}$  restricts to an  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a+1)}$ -linear isomorphism*

$$((\mathcal{F}^{\vee})^{[a+1]} =) \text{Ker}((\nabla^{\vee})^{[a]}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}((\nabla^{[a]})^{\vee}).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the assertion under the assumption in Proposition-Definition 4.4.1. Then, by that proposition, the problem is reduced to the case where  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) = (\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\otimes, d}^{(m)})$  for an element  $d$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $a = 0$  or  $\tilde{d}_{[0, a-1]} = 0$  ( $a \geq 1$ ), then the assertion follows immediately from Proposition 4.3.3, (ii). Before proving the remaining case, let us make the following observation. For each  $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , we shall denote by  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O}, (u, v)}^{(0)}$  the  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(0)}$ -module structure on the  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$ -module  $\iota_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}) \oplus \iota_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}})$  expressed as  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O}, (u, v)}^{(0)} := \iota_{1*}(\nabla_{\mathcal{O}, u}^{(0)}) \oplus \iota_{2*}(\nabla_{\mathcal{O}, v}^{(0)})$ . By regarding  $\iota_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}) \oplus \iota_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}})$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$  via the injection  $(\iota_{1*}, \iota_{2*}) : \iota_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}) \oplus \iota_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Hom} \left( (\iota_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}) \oplus \iota_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}), \nabla_{\mathcal{O}, (u, v)}^{(0)}), (\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\otimes, 0}^{(0)}) \right) \left( = H^0(U_{\otimes}, \mathcal{S}ol((\nabla_{\mathcal{O}, (u, v)}^{(0)})^{\vee})) \right) \\ &= \left\{ (\text{mult}_{\alpha}, \text{mult}_{\beta}) \mid \alpha \in t_1^{p-\tilde{u}} \cdot R[[t_1^p]], \beta \in t_2^{p-\tilde{v}} \cdot R[[t_2^p]] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where  $\text{mult}_{(-)}$  denotes the morphism given by multiplication by  $(-)$ .

Now, let us go back to the proof of the remaining case, i.e., the case where  $a \geq 1$  and  $\tilde{d}_{[0, a-1]} \neq 0$ . Write  $c := -d$ . By taking account of (4.18) and Proposition 4.3.3, (iii), we obtain

the following sequence of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^0(U_{\otimes}, \text{Ker}(((\nabla_{\otimes, d}^{(m)})^{[a]})^{\vee})) \\
&= \text{Hom} \left( (t_1^{\tilde{d}_{[0, a-1]}} \cdot \iota_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a)}) \oplus t_2^{\tilde{c}_{[0, a-1]}} \cdot \iota_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a)}), (\nabla_{\otimes, d}^{(m)})^{[a]}, (\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}^{(a)}, \nabla_{U_{\otimes}^{(a)}, \text{triv}}^{(0)}) \right) \\
&= \left\{ (\text{mult}_{\alpha}, \text{mult}_{\beta}) \left| \alpha \in t_1^{-\tilde{d}_{[0, a-1]} + p^a(p - \tilde{d}_{[a]})} \cdot R[[t_1^{p^{a+1}}]], \beta \in t_2^{-\tilde{c}_{[0, a-1]} + p^a(p - \tilde{c}_{[a]})} \cdot R[[t_2^{p^{a+1}}]] \right. \right\} \\
&= \left\{ (\text{mult}_{\alpha}, \text{mult}_{\beta}) \left| \alpha \in t_1^{\tilde{c}_{[0, a]}} \cdot R[[t_1^{p^{a+1}}]], \beta \in t_2^{\tilde{d}_{[0, a]}} \cdot R[[t_2^{p^{a+1}}]] \right. \right\} \\
&= H^0(U_{\otimes}, \text{Ker}((\nabla_{\otimes, -d}^{(m)})^{[a]})) \\
&= H^0(U_{\otimes}, \text{Ker}(((\nabla_{\otimes, d}^{(m)})^{\vee})^{[a]})).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

**4.5. Local description of dormant flat bundles.** In the rest of this section, we suppose that  $S$  is a flat scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that the notion of a *dormant* flat bundle on  $U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , as well as the functor  $\mathcal{K}(-)$ , can be defined in the same manner as the case where the underlying space is a log curve. Just as in the discussion of § 3, we will denote by a subscript  $m'$  (for each  $m' \leq m$ ) the result of reducing an object over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$  modulo  $p^{m'+1}$ . Also, we use the notation “ $\nabla_{\otimes, d, 0}^{(m)}$ ” to denote the  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes, 0}^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\otimes, d}^{(m)}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes, 0}$  introduced in (4.11). In particular, we obtain  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes, d, 0}^{(m)} := (\mathcal{O}_{\otimes, 0}, \nabla_{\otimes, d, 0}^{(m)})$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a flat module on  $U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S$ . Since  $\nabla$  induces an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear morphism  $\sigma_{\otimes}^*(\nabla) : \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\Omega_{U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}} \otimes \mathcal{F})$ , we obtain the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear composite

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\nabla) : \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{F}) &\xrightarrow{\sigma_{\otimes}^*(\nabla)} \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\Omega_{U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \\
&\xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\Omega_{U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}}) \otimes \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{F}) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\sigma_{\otimes}} \otimes \text{id}} (\mathcal{O}_S \otimes \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{F})) = \sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{F}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Res}_{\sigma_{\otimes}}$  denotes the residue map  $\sigma_{\otimes}^*(\Omega_{U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_S$ .

**Definition 4.5.1.** We shall refer to  $\mu(\nabla) (\in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\sigma_{\otimes}^*(\mathcal{F})))$  as the **monodromy operator** of  $\nabla$ . (This is essentially the same as the notion of (0-th) monodromy operator of the corresponding  $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{(0)}$ -module, in the sense of Definition 4.2.1.)

For each element  $d$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $\nabla_{\otimes, d} : \mathcal{O}_{\otimes} \rightarrow \Omega_{U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  the  $S^{\log}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$  given by

$$\nabla_{\otimes, d}(v) := D(v) - d \cdot v \cdot \frac{dt}{t}$$

for any local section  $v \in \mathcal{O}_{\otimes}$ , where  $D$  denotes the universal derivation  $\mathcal{O}_{\otimes} \rightarrow \Omega_{U_{\otimes}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ . Thus, we obtain a flat line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\otimes, d} := (\mathcal{O}_{\otimes}, \nabla_{\otimes, d}) \tag{4.19}$$

on  $U_{\mathcal{O}}^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d}$  is isomorphic to the unique extension of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},0}$  to  $t^{-\tilde{d}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}} (\supseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}})$ . Also, the equality  $\mu(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}) = -d$  holds.

**Proposition 4.5.2.** *Let  $d$  be an element of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ .*

(i) *The flat bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d}$  is dormant and satisfies*

$$\not\sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d,0}^{(m)}. \quad (4.20)$$

*Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d}$  is the unique (up to isomorphism) diagonal lifting of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d,0}^{(m)}$ .*

(ii) *The following equality holds:*

$$\text{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}) = t^{\tilde{d}} \cdot \left( \sum_{a=0}^m p^{m-a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_S[[t^{p^{a+1}}]] \right) (\subseteq \mathcal{O}_S[[t]]).$$

*Proof.* We shall prove assertion (i) by induction on  $m$ . There is nothing to prove for the base step, i.e., the case of  $m = 0$ . To consider the induction step, we suppose that the assertion with  $m$  replaced by  $m - 1$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) has been proved. We shall set  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O},d})$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},m-1}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O},d_{[0,m-1]}})$  is the unique diagonal lifting of  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},0}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O},d,0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)})$  (cf. Proposition 4.3.4, (iii)). It follows from Proposition 4.3.1 that  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} \left( = \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d,0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)}) \right) = t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,m-1]}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{S_0}[[t^{p^m}]]$ . The section  $t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,m-1]}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_S[[t]]$  is horizontal modulo  $p^m$  with respect to  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}$  and satisfies

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}(t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,m-1]}}) = -p^m \cdot (d_{[m]} \cdot t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,m-1]}}) \cdot \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Hence, under the identification

$$t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,m-1]}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{S_0}[[t^{p^m}]] = \mathcal{O}_{S_0}[[t]] \quad (4.21)$$

given by  $t^{\tilde{d}_{[0,m-1]}} \cdot h(t^{p^m}) \leftrightarrow h(t)$  (for any  $h(t) \in \mathcal{O}_{S_0}[[t]]$ ), the flat bundle  $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}})$  coincides with  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},0}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O},d_{[m]}})$ . By [Wak6, Proposition 3.2.1, (i) and (iv)],  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d,0}^{(m)}$  is the unique  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O},0}^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla^{(m)}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},0}$  satisfying  $\nabla^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)} = \nabla_{\mathcal{O},d,0}^{(m) \Rightarrow (m-1)}$  and  $(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}}) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},0}^{[m]}, (\nabla^{(m)})^{[m]})$  (under the identification (4.21)). In particular,  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O},d})$  turns out to be the unique diagonal lifting of  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},0}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O},d,0}^{(m)})$ . This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Also, assertion (ii) follows immediately from the definition of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{O},d}$ .  $\square$

The following assertion can be proved immediately, so we omit the proof.

**Proposition 4.5.3.** *Let  $d$  and  $c$  be elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ .*

(i) *The canonical isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$  defines an isomorphism of flat bundles*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d+c}. \quad (4.22)$$

*In particular, we have  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d}^{\vee} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},-d}$ . Moreover, the isomorphism (4.22) is compatible with (4.16) via (4.20).*

(ii) *We have*

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},c}) = \{ \text{mult}_s \mid s \in H^0(U_{\mathcal{O}}, \text{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathcal{O},c-d})) \}, \quad (4.23)$$

*where  $\text{mult}_s$  denotes the endomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$  given by multiplication by  $s$ . In particular, there exists a surjection morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},d} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O},c}$  if and only if the equality  $d = c$*

holds. Moreover, the equality (4.23) is compatible, in a natural sense, with (4.17) via the functor  $\mathbb{Z}(-)$  and the composite

$$H^0(U_{\mathcal{O}}, \text{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathcal{O}, c-d})) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } p} H^0(U_{\mathcal{O}, 0}, \text{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathcal{O}, c-d})_0) \xrightarrow{(3.4)} H^0(U_{\mathcal{O}, 0}, \text{Sol}(\nabla_{\mathcal{O}, c-d, 0}^{(m)})).$$

Also, by applying Proposition-Definition 4.4.1 (for  $\odot = \mathcal{O}$ ), we obtain the following assertion.

**Proposition-Definition 4.5.4.** *Suppose that  $S_0 = \text{Spec}(R)$  for a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  such that the residue field  $k := R/\mathfrak{m}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be a dormant flat bundle on  $U_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$  of rank  $n > 0$ . Then, there exists an isomorphism of flat bundles*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, d_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$$

for some  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . (This implies that the monodromy operator  $\mu(\nabla)$  of  $\nabla$  can be transformed, after choosing a suitable trivialization  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}$  of  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\mathcal{F})$ , into the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $-d_1, \dots, -d_n$ .) Moreover, the resulting multiset

$$e(\nabla) := [d_1, \dots, d_n]$$

depends only on the isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We shall refer to  $e(\nabla)$  as the **exponent** of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* According to Proposition-Definition 4.4.1, there exists an isomorphism

$$\zeta_0 : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, d_i, 0}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{F}$$

for some  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Let us choose  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Since the functor  $\mathbb{Z}(-)$  commutes with the formation of tensor products, it follows from Propositions 4.3.4, (i), and 4.5.2, (i), that  $\zeta_0$  induces an isomorphism

$$\zeta_{0,j} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, d_i - d_j, 0}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, -d_j}) \left( = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{O}, -d_j}) \right).$$

If  $e_j$  denotes the image of  $1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, 0}$  via the inclusion into the  $j$ -th factor  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, 0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, 0}^{\oplus n}$ , then its image  $\zeta_{0,j}(e_j)$  is a horizontal section in the codomain of  $\zeta_{0,j}$ . By the property  $(\beta)_m$  asserted in Proposition 3.1.1, (i) (or Corollary 3.1.3), we can find a lifting of  $\zeta_{0,j}(e_j)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  that is horizontal with respect to  $\nabla \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{O}, -d_j}^{(0)}$ ; this section corresponds to a morphism of flat bundles  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, 0} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \nabla \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{O}, -d_j}^{(0)})$ . The tensor produce of this morphism and the identity morphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, d_j}$  determines a morphism  $\zeta_j : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, d_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, we obtain a morphism of flat bundles

$$\zeta := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \zeta_j : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, d_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}.$$

Since the reduction of  $\zeta$  modulo  $p$  coincides with the isomorphism  $\zeta_0$  (after reducing the level to 0), it follows from Nakayama's lemma that  $\zeta$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of the first assertion. The second assertion can be proved immediately from Proposition 4.5.3, (ii).  $\square$

## 5. DORMANT $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -OPERS ON POINTED STABLE CURVES

This section deals with higher-level generalizations of (dormant)  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers, which we call (dormant)  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers. The goal of this section is to show that the moduli category of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers may be represented by a proper Deligne-Mumford stack (cf. Theorem 5.5.3 and Corollary 5.6.2).

Throughout this section, we shall fix an element  $(\ell, N)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$  with  $\ell = 0$  or  $N = 1$ . Also, denote by  $B$  the Borel subgroup of  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ ) defined as the image, via the natural projection  $\mathrm{GL}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_n$ , of the group of invertible upper-triangular  $n \times n$  matrices.

**5.1. Moduli space of pointed stable curves.** Let  $(g, r)$  be a pair of nonnegative integers with  $2g - 2 + r > 0$ . For each commutative ring  $R$ , denote by

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,R} \quad (\text{or simply, } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r})$$

the moduli stack classifying  $r$ -pointed stable curves of genus  $g$  over  $R$ . Also, denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{g,r,R}$  the dense open substack of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,R}$  classifying nonsingular curves. The normal crossing divisor defined as the boundary  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,R} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{g,r,R}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,R}$  determines a log structure; we shall denote the resulting fs log stack by

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,R}^{\log} \quad (\text{or simply, } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}^{\log}).$$

Next, let  $\mathcal{X} := (f : X \rightarrow S, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r)$  be an  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  over an  $R$ -scheme  $S$ , where  $\sigma_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th marked point  $S \rightarrow X$ . Recall from [FKa, Theorem 2.6] that there exists canonical log structures on  $X$  and  $S$ ; we shall denote the resulting log schemes by  $X^{\log}$  and  $S^{\log}$ , respectively. (The log structure of  $S^{\log}$  is obtained as the pull-back from  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}^{\log}$  via the classifying morphism  $S \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ .)

The following assertion will be applied in the proof of Lemma 10.1.1.

**Proposition 5.1.1.** *Let  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  be as above, and suppose that  $S$  is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Also, let  $U$  be a scheme-theoretically dense open subscheme of  $X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Im}(\sigma_i)$ , and  $\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  a flat line bundle on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  whose restriction  $\mathcal{L}|_U$  to  $U$  is dormant. Then,  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  is dormant.*

*Proof.* For simplicity, we shall write  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$  (for each  $m \geq 0$ ) instead of  $\mathcal{D}_{X_0^{\log}/S_0^{\log}}^{(m)}$ . By Proposition 3.2.5, (ii), we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $U = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Im}(\sigma_i)$ . We shall prove the assertion by induction on  $\ell$ .

The base step, i.e.,  $\ell = 0$ , is clear because  $U$  is scheme-theoretically dense in  $X$  and the  $p$ -curvature of a flat bundle in characteristic  $p$  can be regarded as a global section of a certain associated vector bundle.

Next, to discuss the induction step, suppose that we have proved the required assertion with  $\ell$  replaced with  $\ell - 1$  ( $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ ). In particular, the reduction  $(\mathcal{L}_{\ell-1}, \nabla_{\ell-1})$  modulo  $p^\ell$  is dormant. The diagonal reduction of  $\nabla_{\ell-1}$  determines a  $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_0^{(\ell-1)}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_0$ . Just as in (3.1), we have an  $S^{\log}$ -connection  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(\ell-1)})$  associated to  $\mathcal{L}$ ; it has vanishing  $p$ -curvature because  $\mathcal{L}|_U$  is dormant. According to [Mon, Corollaire 3.3.1],  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$  induces a  $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}_0}^{(\ell)}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_0^b := F_{X_0/S_0}^{(\ell)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{(\ell-1)}))$  with vanishing  $p^{\ell+1}$ -curvature that are compatible with  $\nabla_0^{(\ell-1)}$  via the inclusion  $\tau : \mathcal{L}_0^b \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_0$  and the natural morphism  $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$ . Denote by  $\nabla_{u_*(\mathcal{L}_0^b|_U)}^{(\ell)}$  the  $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$ -module structure on  $u_*(\mathcal{L}_0^b|_U) (= u_*(\mathcal{L}_0|_U))$  extending  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}_0^b}^{(\ell)}$  via the open immersion  $u : U \hookrightarrow X$ .

In what follows, we prove the claim that  $\mathcal{L}_0 (\subseteq u_*(\mathcal{L}_0^b|_U))$  is closed under  $\nabla_{u_*(\mathcal{L}_0^b|_U)}^{(\ell)}$ . To this end, we may assume that  $S$  is connected. Then, the equality  $\mathcal{L}_0^b = \mathcal{L}_0(-\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \sigma_i)$  holds for some integers  $a_i$  with  $0 \leq a_i < p^\ell$  (cf. Proposition-Definition 4.4.1). We choose  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Each section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{L}_0$  over a sufficiently small open neighborhood of a point in  $\text{Im}(\sigma_i)$  may be described as  $s = t^{-a_i} \cdot s'$  for some  $s' \in \mathcal{L}_0^b$ , where  $t$  denotes a local function defining  $\text{Im}(\sigma_i)$ . Denote by  $\{\partial^{(j)}\}_{j \geq 0}$  the local basis of  $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$  associated to  $t$  in the manner of §2.2. Since the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$  is locally generated by  $\{\partial^{(p^a)}\}_{a=0}^\ell$ , the problem is reduced to show that  $\nabla_{u_*(\mathcal{L}_0^b|_U)}^{(\ell)}(\partial^{(p^a)})(s) \in \mathcal{L}_0$  for  $a = 0, \dots, \ell$ . If  $a = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$ , this is true because  $\nabla_{u_*(\mathcal{L}_0^b|_U)}^{(\ell)}(\partial^{(p^a)})(s)$  coincides with  $\nabla_0^{(\ell-1)}(\partial^{(p^a)})(s)$  via  $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$ . As for the case of  $a = \ell$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{u_*(\mathcal{L}_0^b|_U)}^{(\ell)}(\partial^{(p^\ell)})(t^{-a_i} \cdot s') &= \sum_{j=0}^{p^\ell} \partial^{(j)}(t^{-a_i}) \cdot \nabla_{u_*(\mathcal{L}_0^b|_U)}^{(\ell)}(\partial^{(p^\ell-j)})(s') \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{p^\ell} \partial^{(j)}(t^{-a_i}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{L}_0^b}^{(\ell)}(\partial^{(p^\ell-j)})(s') \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [Mon, Corollaire 2.6.1]). Since  $\partial^{(j)}(t^{-a_i}) \in t^{-a_i} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$  for any  $j$  (cf. [Mon, Lemme 2.3.3]) and  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}_0^b}^{(\ell)}(\partial^{(p^\ell-j)})(s') \in \mathcal{L}_0^b$ , we have  $\nabla_{u_*(\mathcal{L}_0^b|_U)}^{(\ell)}(\partial^{(p^\ell)})(s) \in \mathcal{L}_0$ . This proves the claim, as desired.

Now, let  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}_0}^{(\ell)}$  denote the resulting  $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{L}_0$ . One may verify (from the inequalities  $a_i < p^\ell$  for  $i$ 's) that  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}_0}^{(\ell)}) \in \text{Diag}_\ell$  (cf. (3.2)), i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}$  is dormant. This completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

**5.2.  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on log curves.** Let  $S^{\text{log}}$  be an fs log scheme whose underlying scheme  $S$  is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $f^{\text{log}} : X^{\text{log}} \rightarrow S^{\text{log}}$  be a log curve over  $S^{\text{log}}$ . Suppose that  $S$  is equipped with an  $(N-1)$ -PD structure that extends to  $X$  via  $f$ . For simplicity, we write  $\Omega := \Omega_{X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}_{X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} := \mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}}^{(N-1)}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq j}^{(N-1)} := \mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}, \leq j}^{(N-1)}$  ( $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ). In this subsection, we shall fix an integer  $n$  with  $1 < n < p$ .

Let us consider a pair

$$\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_B, \phi)$$

consisting of a  $B$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}_B$  on  $X$  and an  $(N-1)$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{E}$ , where  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_B \times^B \text{PGL}_n$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{E}$  is the  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle induced from  $\mathcal{E}_B$  via change of structure group by the natural inclusion  $B \hookrightarrow \text{PGL}_n$ . Denote by  $\nabla_\phi$  the  $S^{\text{log}}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{E}$  corresponding, via the equivalence of categories (2.12), to the 0-PD stratification induced from  $\phi$ .

**Definition 5.2.1.** (i) We shall say that  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is a  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -**oper** (or a  $\text{PGL}_n$ -**oper of level  $N$** ) on  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$  if the pair  $(\mathcal{E}_B, \nabla_\phi)$  forms an  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -oper on  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$  in the sense of [Wak5, Definition 2.1]. (Note that the notion of an  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -oper can be defined in exactly the same way as [Wak5], even though we are working over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, a  $\text{PGL}_n^{(1)}$ -oper can be formulated in terms of flat connections, i.e., without fixing a (0-)PD structure on  $S$ .) If the log curve  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$  arises from a pointed stable curve

$\mathcal{X}$ , then we will refer to any  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  as a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -**oper** on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Also, for simplicity, we shall refer to any  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(1)}$ -oper as a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -**oper**.

- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{E}_\circ^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_{\circ,B}, \phi_\circ)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\bullet^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,B}, \phi_\bullet)$  be  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Write  $\mathcal{E}_\circ := \mathcal{E}_{\circ,B} \times^B \mathrm{PGL}_n$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\bullet := \mathcal{E}_{\bullet,B} \times^B \mathrm{PGL}_n$ . Then, an **isomorphism of  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers** from  $\mathcal{E}_\circ^\spadesuit$  to  $\mathcal{E}_\bullet^\spadesuit$  is defined as an isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}_{\circ,B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\bullet,B}$  of  $B$ -bundles that induces, via change of structure group by  $B \hookrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_n$ , an isomorphism of  $(N-1)$ -PD stratified  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -bundles  $(\mathcal{E}_\circ, \phi_\circ) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}_\bullet, \phi_\bullet)$ .

Moreover, we shall define the notion of a *dormant*  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper, generalizing [Moc2, Chap. II, Definition 1.1], [Wak5, Definition 3.15], and [Wak6, Definition 4.1.1].

**Definition 5.2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_B, \phi)$  be a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ .

- (i) Suppose that  $\ell = 0$ , i.e.,  $S$  is a scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then, we shall say that  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is **dormant** if  $\phi$  has vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature.
- (ii) Suppose that  $N = 1$ . Then, we shall say that  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is **dormant** if the induced flat  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -bundle is dormant in the sense of Definition 3.1.4, (ii).

**Remark 5.2.3** ( $G$ -oper of higher level). In a similar manner to the above definition, we can extend the definition of a  $G$ -oper (discussed in [Wak5, Definition 2.1]) to higher level at least when  $G$  is a semisimple algebraic group of adjoint type.

Let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_B, \phi)$  be a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Suppose that we are given an fs log scheme  $S^{\log}$  flat over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$  and a morphism of log schemes  $s^{\log} : S^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, the pair of base-changes

$$s^*(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit) := (s^*(\mathcal{E}_B), s^*(\phi))$$

forms a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on the log curve  $(S^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} X^{\log})/S^{\log}$ . Conversely, the formation of a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper has descent with respect to, e.g., the étale topology on  $S$ .

Let us prove the following fundamental property of  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers, which is a generalization of [BeDr2, § 1.3, Proposition] and [Wak5, Proposition 2.9] (in the case of  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers).

**Proposition 5.2.4.** *Any  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  does not have nontrivial automorphisms.*

*Proof.* We prove the assertion by induction on  $\ell$ . The base step, i.e., the case of  $\ell = 0$ , follows from [Wak5, Proposition 2.9].

Next, to prove the induction step, we suppose that the assertion with  $\ell$  replaced by  $\ell - 1$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ) has been proved. We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $S$  is affine. Let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_B, \phi)$  be a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  and  $h : \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  an automorphism of  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ . By the induction hypothesis, the reduction of  $h$  modulo  $p^\ell$  is equal to the identity morphism. Denote by  $h^{(1)}$  the automorphism of the  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -oper  $(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)^{(1)} := (\mathcal{E}_B, \nabla_\phi)$  induced by  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ . According to an argument similar to the argument in [Wak5, § 6.3] (which deals with the case where the base space  $S^{\log}$  is a log scheme over a field),  $h^{(1)}$  coincides with the identity morphism. Indeed, the space of automorphisms of  $(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)^{(1)}$  inducing the identity morphism via reduction modulo  $p^\ell$  has a structure of torsor modeled on  $H^0(X, \mathrm{Ker}(\nabla^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}))$ , where “ $\nabla^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}$ ” denotes the morphism defined in [Wak5, Eq. (759)] (for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ ) associated to the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)^{(1)}$ ; but the equality  $H^0(X, \mathrm{Ker}(\nabla^{\mathrm{ad}(0)})) = 0$  holds by [Wak5, Proposition 6.5], which implies  $h = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{E}_B}$ . This proves the induction step, and hence we have finished the proof of the assertion.  $\square$



We shall set  $R := \mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$  ( $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ). Denote by  $\mathcal{S}et$  the category of (small) sets and by  $\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S$  the category of flat  $R$ -schemes  $S'$  equipped with an  $R$ -morphism  $S' \rightarrow S$ . We shall denote by

$$\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit} \left( \text{resp.}, \mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{zzz}\dots} \right) : \mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S \rightarrow \mathcal{S}et$$

the contravariant functor on  $\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S$ , which to any  $S$ -scheme  $S'$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S)$ , assigns the set of isomorphism classes of  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers (resp., dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers) on the log curve  $(S' \times_S X^{\text{log}})/(S' \times_S S^{\text{log}})$ . By Proposition 5.2.4 above,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{zzz}\dots}$ ) turns out to be a sheaf with respect to the big étale topology on  $\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S$ .

**5.3.  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on log curves.** Next, we shall define the notion of a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper and define a certain equivalence relation in the set of  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers. Fix an integer  $n$  with  $1 < n \leq p^N$ .

Let us consider a collection of data

$$\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_{j=0}^n),$$

where

- $\mathcal{F}$  is a vector bundle on  $X$  of rank  $n$ ;
- $\nabla$  is a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}$ ;
- $\{\mathcal{F}^j\}_{j=0}^n$  is an  $n$ -step decreasing filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{F}^n \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}^0 = \mathcal{F}$$

on  $\mathcal{F}$  consisting of subbundles such that the subquotients  $\mathcal{F}^j/\mathcal{F}^{j+1}$  are line bundles.

**Definition 5.3.1.** (i) We shall say that  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit}$  is a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -**oper** (or a  $\text{GL}_n$ -**oper of level  $N$** ) on  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$  if, for every  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ , the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear morphism  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  induced by  $\nabla$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}_{\leq n-j-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}^j. \quad (5.1)$$

When  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$  arises from a pointed stable curve  $\mathcal{X}$ , we shall refer to any  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$  as a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -**oper on  $\mathcal{X}$** . (When  $N = 1$ , this definition is the same as the usual definition of a  $\text{GL}_n$ -oper, as defined in, e.g., [Wak5, Definition 4.17].)

- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\circ}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}_{\circ}, \nabla_{\circ}, \{\mathcal{F}_{\circ}^j\}_j)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}, \nabla_{\bullet}, \{\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}^j\}_j)$  be  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$ . An **isomorphism of  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers** from  $\mathcal{F}_{\circ}^{\heartsuit}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}^{\heartsuit}$  is an isomorphism  $(\mathcal{F}_{\circ}, \nabla_{\circ}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}, \nabla_{\bullet})$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -modules preserving the filtration.

**Definition 5.3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  be a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$ .

- (i) Suppose that  $\ell = 0$ , i.e.,  $S$  is a scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then, we shall say that  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit}$  is **dormant** if  $\nabla$  has vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature.
- (ii) Suppose that  $N = 1$ . Then, we shall say that  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit}$  is **dormant** if the flat bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is dormant in the sense of Definition 3.1.4, (i).

**Example 5.3.3** ( $\text{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers for large  $N$ 's). Despite the fact that dormant  $\text{GL}_2^{(1)}$ -opers have been substantially investigated in many references, there are few examples for higher level at the time of writing this manuscript. We here give an example of a dormant  $\text{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper (for a general  $N$ ) constructed recently in [Wak7].

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $X$  a curve embedded in the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2 := \{[t_0 : t_1 : t_2] \mid (t_0, t_1, t_2) \neq (0, 0, 0)\}$  over  $k$ . Denote by  $\text{Grass}(2, 3)$  the Grassman variety classifying 2-dimensional quotient spaces of the  $k$ -vector space  $k^3$ ; it may be identified with the space of 1-planes in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Recall that the *Gauss map* on  $X$  is the rational morphism  $\gamma : X \dashrightarrow \text{Grass}(2, 3)$  that assigns to each smooth point  $x$  the embedded tangent space to  $X$  at  $x$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

Now, suppose that  $p > 2$  and that  $X$  is the Fermat hypersurface of degree  $p^N + 1$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , i.e., the smooth hypersurface defined by the homogenous polynomial  $t_0^{p^N+1} + t_1^{p^N+1} + t_2^{p^N+1}$ . It is well-known that the Gauss map on  $X$  factors through the  $N$ -th relative Frobenius morphism  $F_{X/k}^{(N)}$ . In particular, we obtain a rank 2 vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}^\nabla$  on  $X^{(N)}$  by pulling-back the universal quotient bundle on  $\text{Grass}(2, 3)$  via the resulting morphism  $X^{(N)} \rightarrow \text{Grass}(2, 3)$ . If  $\{F_{X/k}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{F}^\nabla)^j\}_j$  denotes the Harder-Narasimhan filtration on  $F_{X/k}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{F}^\nabla)$ , then it forms a 2-step (decreasing) filtration. Moreover, it follows from [Wak7, Theorem C] that the collection of data

$$(F_{X/k}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{F}^\nabla), \nabla_{\mathcal{F}^\nabla, \text{can}}^{(N-1)}, \{F_{X/k}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{F}^\nabla)^j\}_j)$$

(cf. (2.18) for the definition of  $\nabla_{(-), \text{can}}^{(m)}$ ) defines a dormant  $\text{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X/k$ .

**Proposition 5.3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(N-1)}, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  be a (dormant)  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Also, let  $N'$  be a positive integer with  $n < p^{N'}$ . Then, the collection*

$$(\mathcal{F}, \nabla^{(N-1) \Rightarrow (N'-1)}, \{\mathcal{F}_j\}_j)$$

*forms a (dormant)  $\text{GL}_n^{(N')}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the fact that the natural morphism  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq n-j-1}^{(N'-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq n-j-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism for every  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ .  $\square$

Next, we shall define an equivalence relation in the set of  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers. Let  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  be a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  and  $\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})$  an invertible  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module. In particular, we obtain a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$  on the tensor product  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}$  arising from  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$ . One may verify that the collection

$$\mathcal{F}_{\otimes \mathcal{L}}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}, \nabla \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}, \{\mathcal{F}^j \otimes \mathcal{L}\}_{j=0}^n)$$

forms a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  and  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})$  are dormant, then  $\mathcal{F}_{\otimes \mathcal{L}}^\heartsuit$  is verified to be dormant.

**Definition 5.3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_\circ^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ, \{\mathcal{F}_\circ^j\}_j)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet, \{\mathcal{F}_\bullet^j\}_j)$  be  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers (resp., dormant  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers) on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . We shall say that  $\mathcal{F}_\circ^\heartsuit$  is **equivalent to**  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^\heartsuit$  if there exists an invertible (resp., a dormant invertible)  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module  $\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})$  such that the  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}_{\otimes \mathcal{L}}^\heartsuit$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^\heartsuit$ . We use the notion “ $\mathcal{F}_\circ^\heartsuit \sim \mathcal{F}_\bullet^\heartsuit$ ” to indicate the situation that  $\mathcal{F}_\circ^\heartsuit$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^\heartsuit$ . (The binary relation “ $\sim$ ” in the set of (dormant)  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  actually defines an equivalence relation.) Moreover, for a (dormant)  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$ , we shall write  $[\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit]$  for the equivalence class represented by  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$ .

Let  $s : S' \rightarrow S$  be an  $S$ -scheme classified by  $\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S$ . The base-change  $s^*(\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit)$  of  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  along  $s$  can be constructed, and the formulation of base-changes preserves the equivalence relation “ $\sim$ ”. Hence, we obtain the contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{O}p_\heartsuit \left( \text{resp.}, \mathcal{O}p_\heartsuit^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \right) : \mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S \rightarrow \mathcal{S}et$$

on  $\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S$  defined as the sheaf (with respect to the étale topology) associated to the functor which, to any  $S$ -scheme  $S'$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S)$ , assigns the set of equivalence classes of  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers (resp., dormant  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers) on  $(S' \times_S X^{\log})/(S' \times_S S^{\log})$ .

**Proposition 5.3.6.** *Suppose that  $p \nmid n$ . Then, the natural morphism of functors  $\mathcal{O}p_\heartsuit^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_\heartsuit$  is injective. (In the subsequent discussion, we shall regard  $\mathcal{O}p_\heartsuit^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  as a subfunctor of  $\mathcal{O}p_\heartsuit$  by using this injection.)*

*Proof.* Suppose that two dormant  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers  $\mathcal{F}_\circ^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}_\circ, \nabla_\circ, \{\mathcal{F}_\circ^j\}_j)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}_\bullet, \nabla_\bullet, \{\mathcal{F}_\bullet^j\}_j)$  are equivalent in the set of (*non-dormant*)  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . This means that there exists an invertible  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module  $\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla_\mathcal{L})$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{\circ, \otimes \mathcal{L}}^\heartsuit \cong \mathcal{F}_\bullet^\heartsuit$ . By taking determinants, we obtain

$$(\det(\mathcal{F}_\circ), \det(\nabla_\circ)) \otimes (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}, \nabla_\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \cong (\det(\mathcal{F}_\bullet), \det(\nabla_\bullet)). \quad (5.2)$$

Since both  $\det(\nabla_\circ)$  and  $\det(\nabla_\bullet)$  are dormant,  $\nabla_\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  is dormant by (5.2). It follows from Proposition 3.3.1, (ii), that  $\nabla_\mathcal{L}$  turns out to be dormant. That is to say,  $\mathcal{F}_\circ^\heartsuit$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^\heartsuit$  in the set of *dormant*  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers.  $\square$

Suppose further that  $n < p$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  be a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper (resp., a dormant  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper) on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{F}$  the  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle associated to the vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  via a change of structure group by the projection  $\text{GL}_n \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n$ . The filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j$  determines a  $B$ -reduction  $\mathcal{E}_{\{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{F}$ . Also,  $\nabla$  induces an  $(N-1)$ -PD stratification  $\phi_\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{F}$  (cf. Remark 2.3.3). The resulting pair

$$\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit} := (\mathcal{E}_{\{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j}, \phi_\nabla)$$

forms a  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper (resp., a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper) on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  (cf. [Wak5, § 4.4.6]). The isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit}$  depends only on the equivalence class  $[\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit]$  of  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$ . Hence, the assignment  $[\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit] \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit}$  determines a well-defined morphism of functors

$$\Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit} : \mathcal{O}p_\heartsuit \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_\spadesuit. \quad \left( \text{resp.}, \Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} : \mathcal{O}p_\heartsuit^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_\spadesuit^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \right).$$

**5.4.  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristics.** We introduce  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristics, generalizing  $n$ -theta characteristic defined in [Wak5, Definition 4.31]. Let  $n$  be an integer  $> 1$ .

**Definition 5.4.1.** An  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic (or an  $n$ -theta characteristic of level  $N$ ) of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is a pair

$$\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_\vartheta),$$

consisting of a line bundle  $\Theta$  on  $X$  and a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_\vartheta$  on the line bundle  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}$ . Also, we say that an  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_\vartheta)$  is **dormant** if  $(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}, \nabla_\vartheta)$  is dormant.

**Example 5.4.2** ( $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristics arising from theta characteristics). Recall that a *theta characteristic* of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is a line bundle  $\Theta_0$  on  $X$  together with an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T} \otimes \Theta_0^{\otimes 2}$ .

Suppose that we are given a theta characteristic  $\Theta_0$  of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , and fix an isomorphism  $\tau_{\Theta_0} : \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T} \otimes \Theta_0^{\otimes 2}$ . Then, the line bundle  $\Theta_0$  together with the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{T} \otimes \Theta_0^{\otimes 2}$  corresponding to  $\nabla_{X, \text{triv}}^{(N-1)}$  via  $\tau_{\Theta_0}$  specifies a  $2^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic.

More generally, by setting  $\Theta := \Theta_0^{\otimes (n-1)}$ , the line bundle  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}$  admits a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\vartheta_0}$  corresponding to  $\nabla_{X, \text{triv}}^{(N-1)}$  via the composite isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\tau_{\Theta_0}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}}} (\mathcal{T} \otimes \Theta_0^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes (\Theta_0^{\otimes (n-1)})^{\otimes n} \left( = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n} \right).$$

Thus, the resulting pair

$$\vartheta_0 := (\Theta, \nabla_{\vartheta_0}) \tag{5.3}$$

forms an  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  (cf. [Wak5, Example 4.34] for the case of  $(\ell, N) = (0, 1)$ ). Moreover, this  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic is verified to be dormant.

Note that if, for example,  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  arises from a pointed stable curve, then the resulting  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic does not depend on the choice of the isomorphism  $\tau_{\Theta}$ ; this is because any automorphism of a line bundle on  $X$  is given by multiplication by an element of  $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S^\times) (= H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times))$ , which is therefore compatible with any  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -action.

The following assertion is a higher-level generalization of the fact proved in [Wak5, § 4.6.4].

**Proposition 5.4.3.** *Suppose that  $p \nmid n$ . Then, there always exists a dormant  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(p^{N+\ell}, n) = 1$ , one may find a pair of integers  $(a, b)$  with  $a \cdot n + b \cdot p^{N+\ell} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ . By letting  $\Theta_{a,b} := \Omega^{\otimes a}$ , we have a composite isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta_{a,b}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes (\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - a \cdot n)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes b \cdot p^{N+\ell}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes b})^{\otimes p^{N+\ell}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Let us take an open covering  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $X$  together with a collection  $\{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ , where each  $\tau_\alpha$  denotes a trivialization  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes b}|_{U_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}$  of  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes b}$  over  $U_\alpha$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$ , denote by  $\nabla_\alpha$  the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}|_{U_\alpha}$ -module structure on  $(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes b})^{\otimes p^{N+\ell-1}}|_{U_\alpha}$  corresponding to  $\nabla_{U_\alpha, \text{triv}}^{(N-1)}$  via the isomorphism

$$\check{\tau}_\alpha := \tau_\alpha^{\otimes p^{N+\ell-1}} : (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes b})^{\otimes p^{N+\ell-1}}|_{U_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left( \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}^{\otimes p^{N+\ell-1}} = \right) \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}.$$

For each pair  $(\alpha, \beta) \in I \times I$  with  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , the automorphism  $\check{\tau}_\alpha \circ \check{\tau}_\beta^{-1}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}$  is given by multiplication by a section of the form  $u^{p^{N+\ell-1}}$  for some  $u \in H^0(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ . By the local description of  $\nabla_{(-), \text{triv}}^{(N-1)}$  displayed in (2.7), this automorphism is verified to preserve the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}$ -module structure. It follows that the collection  $\{\nabla_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  may be glued together to obtain a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes b})^{\otimes p^{N+\ell}}$ ; if  $\nabla_{a,b}$  denotes the corresponding  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta_{a,b}^{\otimes n}$  via (5.4), then the resulting pair  $(\Theta_{a,b}, \nabla_{a,b})$  specifies a required  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic.  $\square$

Let us fix an  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_\vartheta)$  of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , and set

$$\mathcal{F}_\Theta := \mathcal{D}_{\leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta, \quad \mathcal{F}_\Theta^j := \mathcal{D}_{\leq n-j-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta \quad (j = 0, \dots, n). \tag{5.5}$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}_\theta^j/\mathcal{F}_\theta^{j+1}$  ( $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ ) may be identified with  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes(n-j-1)} \otimes \Theta$ , we obtain the composite isomorphism between line bundles

$$\det(\mathcal{F}_\theta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{F}_\theta^j/\mathcal{F}_\theta^{j+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes(n-j-1)} \otimes \Theta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}. \quad (5.6)$$

**Definition 5.4.4.** (i) A **(dormant)  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper** on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla^\diamond$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\theta$  such that the collection of data

$$\nabla^{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}_\theta, \nabla^\diamond, \{\mathcal{F}_\theta^j\}_{j=0}^n)$$

forms a (dormant)  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$  and the equality  $\det(\nabla) = \nabla_\vartheta$  holds under the identification  $\det(\mathcal{F}_\theta) = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}$  given by (5.6). When  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$  arises from a pointed stable curve  $\mathcal{X}$ , we will refer to any (dormant)  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$  as a **(dormant)  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$** .

(ii) Let  $\nabla_\diamond^\heartsuit$  and  $\nabla_\bullet^\heartsuit$  be (dormant)  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -opers on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ . We say that  $\nabla_\diamond^\heartsuit$  is **isomorphic to  $\nabla_\bullet^\heartsuit$**  if the associated  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers  $\nabla_\diamond^{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit}$  and  $\nabla_\bullet^{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit}$  are isomorphic.

We shall prove the following two propositions concerning  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -opers.

**Proposition 5.4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  be a  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ .

(i) *There exists a triple*

$$(\vartheta_0, \nabla_0^\diamond, h_0)$$

*consisting of an  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta_0 := (\Theta_0, \nabla_{\vartheta_0})$  of  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ , a  $(\mathrm{GL}_n, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla_0^\diamond$ , and an isomorphism of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -opers  $h_0 : \nabla_0^{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$ . (Such an  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic is uniquely determined up to isomorphism in a certain sense.)*

(ii) *Suppose that  $p \nmid n$ . Then, there exists a pair*

$$(\nabla^\diamond, \mathcal{L})$$

*consisting of a  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla^\diamond$  on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$  and an invertible  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{\otimes \mathcal{L}}^\heartsuit} \cong \nabla^{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit}$ . If, moreover, both  $\vartheta$  and  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  are dormant, we can choose such  $\nabla^\diamond$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  as being dormant.*

*Proof.* First, we shall prove assertion (i). Let us set  $\Theta_0 := \mathcal{F}^{n-1}$ . Consider the composite

$$h_0 : \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_0} \left( = \mathcal{D}_{\leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta_0 \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{F},$$

where the first arrow arises from the inclusions  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$  and  $\Theta_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  is a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper, this composite turns out to be an isomorphism. Denote by  $\nabla_0^\diamond$  (resp.,  $\nabla_{\vartheta_0}$ ) the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_0}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta_0^{\otimes n} (= \det(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_0}))$ ) corresponding to  $\nabla$  (resp.,  $\det(\nabla)$ ) via the isomorphism  $h_0$  (resp., the isomorphism  $\det(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(\mathcal{F})$  induced by  $h_0$ ). Then, the resulting collection  $(\vartheta_0, \nabla_0^\diamond, h_0)$ , where  $\vartheta_0 := (\Theta_0, \nabla_{\vartheta_0})$ , determines the desired triple.

Next, we shall prove assertion (ii). Write  $\mathcal{L} := \Theta \otimes \mathcal{F}^{n-1\vee}$ , and consider the composite of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{F})^\vee &\xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}) \otimes (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes (\mathcal{F}^{n-1})^{\otimes n})^\vee \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}})^\vee \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathcal{F}^{n-1\vee})^{\otimes n} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} ((\Theta \otimes \mathcal{F}^{n-1\vee})^{\otimes n} =) \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where the first arrow is the morphism induced by the isomorphism  $\det(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes (\mathcal{F}^{n-1})^{\otimes n}$  in [Wak5, Eq. (501)] (applied to the  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper determined by  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$ ). It follows from Proposition 3.3.1, (i), that there exists a unique  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  whose  $n$ -th tensor product corresponds to  $\nabla_{\vartheta} \otimes \det(\nabla)^\vee$  via (5.7). Let us consider the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\Theta \left( = \mathcal{D}_{\leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta \right) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{n-1}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  is a  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper, this composite turns out to be an isomorphism. Moreover, the equality  $\nabla_{\vartheta} = \det(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F})$  holds under the identification  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n} = \det(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{F})$  given by (5.6) and the determinant of (5.8). Hence, if  $\nabla^\diamond$  denotes the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_\Theta$  corresponding to  $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla$  via (5.8), then the pair  $(\nabla^\diamond, \mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})$ , defines the desired pair. Also, the second assertion follows from Proposition 3.3.2, (ii). This completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.6.** *Suppose that  $p \nmid n$  and  $\ell = 0$ . Let  $\nabla_\circ^\diamond$  and  $\nabla_\bullet^\diamond$  be  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -opers on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Write  $\vartheta^{(1)}$  for the  $n^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic obtained by reducing the level of  $\vartheta$  to 1. Also, for each  $\star = \circ, \bullet$ , denote by  $\nabla_\star^{(1)\diamond}$  the  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(1)}, \vartheta^{(1)})$ -oper induced by  $\nabla_\star^\diamond$ . Suppose that  $\nabla_\circ^\diamond$  is isomorphic to  $\nabla_\bullet^\diamond$  and the equality  $\nabla_\circ^{(1)\diamond} = \nabla_\bullet^{(1)\diamond}$  holds. Then, we have  $\nabla_\circ^\diamond = \nabla_\bullet^\diamond$ .*

*Proof.* Let us take an isomorphism of  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers  $h : \nabla_\circ^\diamond \Rightarrow \nabla_\bullet^\diamond$ . By the assumption  $\nabla_\circ^{(1)\diamond} = \nabla_\bullet^{(1)\diamond}$ , the isomorphism of  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(1)}$ -opers  $h^{(1)} : \nabla_\circ^{(1)\diamond} \Rightarrow \nabla_\bullet^{(1)\diamond}$  induced by  $h$  defines an automorphism of  $\nabla_\circ^\diamond \Rightarrow \nabla_\bullet^\diamond$ . According to [Wak5, Proposition 4.25],  $h$  coincides with the automorphism  $\mathrm{mult}_a : \mathcal{F}_\Theta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_\Theta$  given by multiplication by an element  $a$  of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ . The multiplication by  $a^n$  defines an isomorphism  $(\det(\mathcal{F}_\Theta), \det(\nabla_\circ^\diamond)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\det(\mathcal{F}_\Theta), \det(\nabla_\bullet^\diamond))$ ; this may be regarded as an automorphism of  $(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}, \nabla_{\vartheta})$  via (5.6). Hence, we have  $a^n \in H^0(X, F_{X/S}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X^{(N)}}))$ . This implies  $a \in H^0(X, F_{X/S}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X^{(N)}}))$  because of the assumption  $p \nmid n$ . Since  $\nabla_\circ^\diamond$  is the unique  $\mathcal{D}^{(N)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_\Theta$  compatible with  $\nabla_\circ^\diamond$  itself via  $\mathrm{mult}_a (= h)$ , the equality  $\nabla_\circ^\diamond = \nabla_\bullet^\diamond$  must be satisfied. This completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

**5.5. Representability of the moduli space.** For any morphism  $s : S' \rightarrow S$  between flat  $R$ -schemes, the pair of base-changes

$$s^*(\vartheta) \text{ (or } \vartheta_{S'}) := (s^*(\Theta), s^*(\nabla_{\vartheta}))$$

specifies an  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of the log curve  $(S' \times_S X^{\log})/(S' \times_S S^{\log})$ . Hence, we obtain the *Set*-valued contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta} \left( \text{resp.}, \mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \right) : \mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S \rightarrow \mathcal{S}et$$

on  $\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S$  which, to any  $S$ -scheme  $s : S' \rightarrow S$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}/S)$ , assigns the set of isomorphism classes of  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, s^*(\vartheta))$ -opers (resp., dormant  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, s^*(\vartheta))$ -opers) on  $(S' \times_S X^{\log})/(S' \times_S S^{\log})$ .

Suppose that  $(1 <)n < p$ , and let  $\star$  denote either the absence or presence of “Zzz...”. Then, the assignments  $\nabla^\diamond \mapsto (\nabla^\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit) \Rightarrow \spadesuit$  and  $\nabla^\diamond \mapsto \nabla^\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit$  define morphisms of functors

$$\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta}^\star : \mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^\star \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^\star \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit, \vartheta}^\star : \mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^\star \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit}^\star,$$

respectively. These morphisms make the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^\star & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit, \vartheta}^\star} & \mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit}^\star \\ & \searrow \Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta}^\star & \swarrow \Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit}^\star \\ & \mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^\star & \end{array} \quad (5.9)$$

Moreover, we can obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 5.5.1.** *Suppose that  $n < p$ , and let  $\star$  denote either the absence or presence of “Zzz...”. In the case of  $\star = \text{Zzz}\dots$ , we assume that the fixed  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta$  is dormant. Then, the morphisms of functors  $\Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit}^\star$ ,  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta}^\star$ , and  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit, \vartheta}^\star$  are all isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* The assertion for  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta}^\star$  follows immediately from Proposition 3.3.2, (i) and (ii). The surjectivity of  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit, \vartheta}^\star$  is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.4.5, (ii). The injectivity of  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit, \vartheta}^\star$  can be proved by an argument entirely similar to the argument in the injectivity of “ $\Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit}^\star$ ” discussed in [Wak5, Proposition 4.40]. Moreover, these results together with the commutativity of (5.9) deduce the remaining portion, i.e.,  $\Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit}^\star$  turns out to be an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.2.** *Suppose that  $n < p$ .*

- (i) *For any (dormant)  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , there always exists a (dormant)  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  with  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit \cong \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ .*
- (ii) *Suppose further that the relative characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial. Let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_B, \phi)$  be a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , and write  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_B \times^B \text{PGL}_n$ . Then,  $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$  is, Zariski locally on  $X$ , isomorphic to the trivial  $(N-1)$ -PD stratified  $\text{PGL}_n$ -bundle  $(X \times \text{PGL}_n, \phi_{\text{triv}})$  (cf. Example 2.3.2).*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows from Proposition 5.4.3 and the surjectivity of  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta}^{(\text{Zzz}\dots)}$ . Assertion (ii) follows from assertion (i) and the equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) obtained in Proposition 3.2.5, (ii).  $\square$

By applying Theorem 5.5.1 above, we can prove the representability of the functors  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}$  and  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  for  $\ell = 0$ , as follows.

**Theorem 5.5.3.** *Let  $(g, r)$  be a pair of nonnegative integers with  $2g - 2 + r > 0$ . Suppose that  $n < p$  and that  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  arises from an  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  over an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme  $S$ . Then, both  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}$  and  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  may be represented by (possibly empty) affine schemes of finite type over  $S$ , and the natural inclusion  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}$  defines a closed immersion between  $S$ -schemes. (In the rest of this manuscript, we will use the notations  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}$  and  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  for writing the schemes representing the functors  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}$  and  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ , respectively.)*

*Proof.* Let us choose a (dormant)  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_{\vartheta})$  of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  (cf. Proposition 5.4.3). It induces an  $n^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta^{(1)}$  via reduction to level 1. Recall from [Wak5, Theorem 4.66] that  $T := \mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta^{(1)}}$  (with “ $N$ ” taken to be 1) may be represented by an affine  $S$ -scheme. Denote by  $\mathcal{Y} := (h : Y \rightarrow T, \{\sigma_{Y,i}\}_i)$  the base-change over  $T$  of the pointed stable curve defining  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Also, for each  $M \in \{0, N-1\}$ , we shall set

$$\mathcal{V}_M := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{D}_{Y^{\log}/T^{\log}, \leq p^{N-1}}^{(M)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_T}, \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_T}),$$

where  $\Theta_T$  denotes the base-change of  $\Theta$  over  $T$ . Note that  $\mathcal{V}_M$  can be equipped with a filtration induced by the filtrations on both  $\mathcal{D}_{Y^{\log}/T^{\log}, \leq p^{N-1}}^{(M)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_T}$  whose graded pieces are isomorphic to  $\Omega_{Y^{\log}/T^{\log}}^{\otimes j}$  (for some  $j$ 's). Hence, the direct image  $h_*(\mathcal{V}_M)$  is a vector bundle on  $T$ . We shall define  $\mathbb{V}(h_*(\mathcal{V}_M))$  to be the relative affine space over  $T$  associated to  $h_*(\mathcal{V}_M)$ . The natural morphism  $\mathcal{D}_{Y^{\log}/T^{\log}, \leq p^{N-1}}^{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_T} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{Y^{\log}/T^{\log}, \leq p^{N-1}}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_T}$  induces an  $S$ -morphism

$$\nu : \mathbb{V}(h_*(\mathcal{V}_{N-1})) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(h_*(\mathcal{V}_0)).$$

The formation of this morphism commutes with base-change to  $T$ -schemes.

Next, the universal  $(\text{GL}_n^{(1)}, \vartheta_T^{(1)})$ -oper on  $\mathcal{Y}$  determines an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -linear morphism

$$\nabla^{\diamond} : \mathcal{D}_{Y^{\log}/T^{\log}}^{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_T} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_T}.$$

The restriction of  $\nabla^{\diamond}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{Y^{\log}/T^{\log}, \leq p^{N-1}}^{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_T} \left( \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{Y^{\log}/T^{\log}}^{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Theta_T} \right)$  specifies a global section  $\sigma$  of  $\mathbb{V}(h_*(\mathcal{V}_0))$ . Note that, for any  $T$ -scheme  $T'$ , a  $\mathcal{D}_{Y^{\log}/T^{\log}}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure (where  $T'^{\log} := T' \times_T T^{\log}$  and  $Y'^{\log} := T' \times_T Y^{\log}$ ) on a sheaf is completely determined by its restriction to  $\mathcal{D}_{Y'^{\log}/T'^{\log}, \leq p^{N-1}}^{(N-1)} \left( \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{Y'^{\log}/T'^{\log}}^{(N-1)} \right)$ . Hence, Proposition 5.4.6 implies that the set of isomorphism classes of  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta_{T'})$ -opers on  $Y'^{\log}/T'^{\log}$  extending (the base-change to  $T'$  of)  $\nabla^{\diamond}$  may be identified with a subset of  $\nu^{-1}(\sigma)(T')$ . This identification enables us to consider  $\mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}$  as a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{V}(h_*(\mathcal{V}_{N-1}))$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit} (\cong \mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}$  by Theorem 5.5.1) may be represented by an affine scheme over  $T$ , which is affine over  $S$ . The assertions for  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  follow immediately from the fact just proved and the definition of  $p^N$ -curvature.  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.4** (Representability for higher rank cases). If the notion of a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper can be defined for a large  $n$ , then it will be expected that Theorem 5.5.3 is true even when  $p \geq n$ . Indeed, as proved in [Wak6, Theorem B], there exists a canonical duality between dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers and dormant  $\text{PGL}_{p^N-n}^{(N)}$ -opers. (Although the discussion in [Wak6] only deals with the case where  $X$  is smooth, we can immediately extend the duality to pointed stable curves in the same manner.) This result and Theorem 5.5.3 together imply the representability of  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  for  $p^N - p < n < p^N - 1$ .



Denote by  $\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}$  the category of flat  $R$ -schemes, where  $R := \mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Given a pair of nonnegative integers  $(g, r)$  with  $2g - 2 + r > 0$ , we shall denote by

$$\mathcal{O}p_{n,N,g,r,R}, \text{ or simply } \mathcal{O}p_{g,r} \left( \text{resp.}, \mathcal{O}p_{n,N,g,r,R}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}, \text{ or simply } \mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \right)$$

the category over  $\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}$  defined as follows:

- The objects are the pairs  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  denotes an  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  over a flat  $R$ -scheme  $S$  and  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  denotes a  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper (resp., a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper) on  $\mathcal{X}$ ;
- The morphisms from  $(\mathcal{X}_\circ, \mathcal{E}_\circ^\spadesuit)$  to  $(\mathcal{X}_\bullet, \mathcal{E}_\bullet^\spadesuit)$  are morphisms  $(\nu_S, \nu_X) : \mathcal{X}_\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\bullet$  of  $r$ -pointed stable curves (cf. [Wak5, Definition 1.36, (ii)]) with  $\mathcal{E}_\circ^\spadesuit \cong \nu_S^*(\mathcal{E}_\bullet^\spadesuit)$ ;
- The projection  $\mathcal{O}p_{n,N,g,r,R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}p_{n,N,g,r,R}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}$ ) is given by assigning, to each pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  as above, the base scheme  $S$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

By Proposition 5.2.4,  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ ) turns out to be fibered in equivalence relations, i.e., specifies a set-valued sheaf on  $\mathcal{S}ch_R^{\text{flat}}$ . Forgetting the data of dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers yields the projection

$$\Pi_{n,N,g,r,R} \text{ (or simply, } \Pi_{g,r}) : \mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}. \quad (5.10)$$

Also, we obtain a projective system

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{n,N,g,r,R}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{n,2,g,r,R}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{n,1,g,r,R}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \quad (5.11)$$

each of whose morphisms is given by reducing the level of dormant  $\text{PGL}_n$ -opers.

By applying Theorem 5.5.3 to various pointed stable curves, we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 5.5.5.** *Suppose that  $n < p$  and  $R = \mathbb{F}_p$ . Then, the fibered category  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}$  may be represented by a (possibly empty) Deligne-Mumford stack of finite type over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and the natural projection  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  is (represented by schemes and) affine. Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  may be represented by a closed substack of  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}$ .*

Let us take a pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  classified by  $\mathcal{O}p_{n,1,g,r,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_B, \phi)$ . Then, the pair

$$\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_{B,0}, \mathcal{V}\phi)$$

consisting of the reduction  $\mathcal{E}_{B,0}$  modulo  $p$  of  $\mathcal{E}_B$  and the diagonal reduction  $\mathcal{V}\phi$  of  $\phi$  (cf. (3.5)) specifies a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_0$  (= the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ ).

**Definition 5.5.6.** We shall refer to  $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  as the **diagonal reduction** of  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ .

The resulting assignment  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit) \mapsto (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  defines a functor

$$\mathcal{V}(-) : \mathcal{O}p_{n,1,g,r,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{n,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \quad (5.12)$$

Moreover, the square diagram of categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{n,1,g,r,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}(-)} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{n,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \\
\text{projection} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{projection} \\
\mathcal{S}ch_{\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{flat}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}ch_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{flat}}
\end{array}$$

is 1-commutative, where the lower horizontal arrow denotes the functor given by base-change along the closed immersion  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})$ .

**5.6. Finiteness of the moduli space.** Suppose that  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  arises from an  $r$ -pointed stable curve  $\mathcal{X} := (f : X \rightarrow S, \{\sigma_i\}_i)$  of genus  $g$  over an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme  $S$ . Also, let us fix a dormant  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_{\vartheta})$  of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  (cf. Proposition 5.4.3). We equip  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta$  with the left  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure given by left multiplication.

Write

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}$$

for the quotient of the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta$  by the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -submodule generated by the image of  $\psi_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}} \otimes \text{id}_{\Theta} : \mathcal{T}^{\otimes p^N} \otimes \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta$  (cf. (2.16) for the definition of  $\psi_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ ); this  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}$  will be denoted by  $\nabla_{\Theta}$ . By construction,  $\nabla_{\Theta}$  has vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature. For each  $j = 0, \dots, p^N$ , we shall set  $\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}^j$  to be the subbundle of  $\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}$  defines as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}^j := \text{Im} \left( \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^N - j - 1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta \xrightarrow{\text{quotient}} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta} \right).$$

The natural composite  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\Theta}$  is injective and restricts, for each  $j = 0, \dots, n$ , to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^j \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta}^{p^N - n + j}. \quad (5.13)$$

In particular, this isomorphism for  $j = 0$  gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\Theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\Theta}/\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}^{p^N - n} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.14)$$

By the definition of  $\nabla_{\Theta}$ , the collection of data

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}, \nabla_{\Theta}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}^j\}_{j=0}^{p^N})$$

forms a dormant  $\text{GL}_{p^N}^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Next, let  $\nabla^{\diamond}$  be a dormant  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The composite

$$\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta (= \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\Theta} \xrightarrow{\nabla^{\diamond}} \mathcal{F}_{\Theta}$$

factors through the quotient  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\Theta}$  because it preserves the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure and  $\nabla^{\diamond}$  has vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature. Thus, this composite induces a morphism of  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -modules

$$\nu_{\nabla^{\diamond}} : (\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}, \nabla_{\Theta}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}, \nabla^{\diamond}).$$

This morphism restricts to the identity morphism of  $\Theta \left( = \mathcal{P}_\Theta^{p^N-1} = \mathcal{F}_\Theta^{n-1} \right)$ , so it follows from the definition of a  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper that the restriction

$$\nu_{\nabla^\diamond} |_{\mathcal{P}_\Theta^{p^N-n}} : \mathcal{P}_\Theta^{p^N-n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Theta \left( = \mathcal{F}_\Theta^0 \right)$$

of  $\nu_{\nabla^\diamond}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_\Theta^{p^N-n}$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $\nu_{\nabla^\diamond}$  specifies a split surjection of (5.14).

Using the surjection  $\nu_{\nabla^\diamond}$ , we prove the following assertion. (When  $N = 1$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  is an unpointed smooth curve over a single point, assertion (ii) can be found in [JoPa, Corollary 6.1.6].)

**Proposition 5.6.1.** *Let us keep the above situation.*

- (i) *The functor  $\mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  is empty unless  $n \leq p^N$ .*
- (ii) *Suppose further that  $n < p$ . Then, the scheme (representing the functor)  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  is finite over  $S$ . In particular, there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows immediately from the fact that the morphism  $\nu_{\nabla^\diamond}$  defined for each dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla^\diamond$  is surjective and the vector bundle  $\mathcal{P}_\Theta$  has rank  $p^N$ .

Next, let us consider assertion (ii). Since we already know that  $\mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  may be represented by an affine scheme over  $S$  (cf. Theorems 5.5.1 and 5.5.3), the problem is reduced to proving the properness of  $\mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}/S$ . To this end, we apply the valuative criterion, so it suffices to consider the case of  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ , where  $A$  denotes a valuation ring for a field  $K$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{X}_K := (X_K/K, \{\sigma_{K,i}\}_i)$  the generic fiber of  $\mathcal{X}$  and by  $\vartheta_K := (\Theta_K, \nabla_{\Theta_K})$  the restriction of  $\vartheta$  to  $X_K$ . Let us take an arbitrary dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta_K)$ -oper  $\nabla_K^\diamond$  on  $\mathcal{X}_K$ . It follows from the discussion preceding this proposition that  $\nabla_K^\diamond$  induces an  $\mathcal{O}_{X_K}$ -linear surjection  $\nu_{\nabla_K^\diamond} : (\mathcal{P}_{\Theta_K}, \nabla_{\Theta_K}) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_K}, \nabla_K^\diamond)$ . By the properness of Quot schemes, there exists a pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \nu)$  consisting of an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  flat over  $S$  and an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear surjection  $\nu : \mathcal{P}_\Theta \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$  with  $(\mathcal{F}, \nu)|_{X_K} = (\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_K}, \nu_{\nabla_K^\diamond})$ . The composite

$$\mathcal{F}_\Theta \xrightarrow{(5.13) \text{ for } j=0} \left( \mathcal{P}_\Theta^{p^N-n} \hookrightarrow \right) \mathcal{P}_\Theta \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{F} \quad (5.15)$$

is an isomorphism because it becomes an isomorphism when restricted over  $X_K$  and the special fiber of  $\mathcal{F}$  has the same degree and rank as  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_K} (= \mathcal{F}|_{X_K})$ . Under the identification  $\mathcal{F}_\Theta = \mathcal{F}$  given by (5.15), the surjection  $\nu$  specifies a split surjection of (5.14). In particular, the kernel  $\mathrm{Ker}(\nu)$  of  $\nu$  is isomorphic to the vector bundle  $\mathcal{P}_\Theta / \mathcal{P}_\Theta^{p^N-n}$ . Since  $\mathrm{Ker}(\nu)|_{X_K} \left( = \mathrm{Ker}(\nu_{\nabla_K^\diamond}) \right)$  is closed under  $\nabla_{\Theta_K}$ ,  $\mathrm{Ker}(\nu)$  must be closed under  $\nabla_\Theta$ . Hence,  $\nabla_\Theta$  induces, via  $\nu$  and (5.15), a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla^\diamond$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\Theta$  with vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature. It is immediately verified that  $\nabla^\diamond$  forms a dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  whose generic fiber coincides with  $\nabla_K^\diamond$ . Consequently, we have proved that an  $K$ -rational point of  $\mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  (classifying  $\nabla_K^\diamond$  as above) extends to an  $A$ -rational point. Thus,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}/S$  turns out to be proper, and the proof of the assertion is completed.  $\square$

By applying the above result to various pointed stable curves, we obtain the following theorem, which is a higher-level generalization of [Wak5, Theorem 3.38, (i)] for  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers (or a part of [Moc2, Chap. II, Theorem 2.8]).

**Corollary 5.6.2.** *Suppose that  $n < p$  and  $R = \mathbb{F}_p$ . Then, the Deligne-Mumford stack representing  $\mathcal{O}_{p_{g,r}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is finite over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  (hence also proper over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ). In particular, for every positive integer  $N'$  with  $1 \leq N' < N$ , the morphism of stacks  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow N'} : \mathcal{O}_{p_{n,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p_{n,N',g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  obtained by reducing the level of dormant  $\text{PGL}_n$ -opers to  $N'$  is finite.*

**5.7. Correspondence with projective connections.** We here describe the bijective correspondence between  $\text{GL}_n$ -opers and ordinary linear differential operators. As most of the arguments in this subsection are exactly the same as those made in [Wak5, §4], the details of the proofs are omitted. Hereinafter, we shall suppose that  $N = 1$  and fix an integer  $n$  with  $1 < n < p$ . Also, set  $R := \mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $S^{\log}$  be an fs log scheme whose underlying scheme  $S$  is flat over  $R$  and  $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$  a log curve over  $S^{\log}$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be line bundles on  $X$ .

**Definition 5.7.1.** By an  $n$ -th order (linear) differential operator (over  $S^{\log}$ ) from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$ , we mean an  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ -linear morphism  $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  locally expressed, by using some local identifications  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_X$  and the local basis  $\{\partial^j (:= \partial^{(j)})\}_j$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$  associated to some logarithmic coordinate of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ , as

$$\mathcal{D} : v \mapsto \mathcal{D}(v) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \cdot \partial^j(v),$$

where  $a_0, \dots, a_n$ 's are local sections of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  with  $a_n \neq 0$ .

Denote by

$$\mathcal{D}iff_{\leq n}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$$

the Zariski sheaf on  $X$  consisting of locally defined  $m$ -th order differential operators from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $m \leq n$ . It is verified that  $\mathcal{D}iff_{\leq n}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$  forms a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{H}om_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N})$ . Moreover, the composition with the  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ -linear morphism  $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  given by  $v \otimes D \mapsto v \otimes D(1)$  yields an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}iff_{\leq n}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}) \left( \subseteq \mathcal{H}om_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}) \right). \quad (5.16)$$

This isomorphism for  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_X$  is nothing but (the restriction to  $\mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)}$  of) the  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{X, \text{triv}}^{(0)}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_X$  defined in (2.6).

**Definition 5.7.2.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an  $n$ -th order differential operator  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ . The composite

$$\Sigma_1(\mathcal{D}) : \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)} \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{N} \otimes (\mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)} / \mathcal{D}_{\leq n-1}^{(0)}) = \right) \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n},$$

where  $D$  denotes the morphism corresponding to  $\mathcal{D}$  via (5.16), is called the **principal symbol** of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Also, we shall say that  $\mathcal{D}$  has **unit principal symbol** if  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}$  and  $\Sigma_1(\mathcal{D})$  coincide with the identity morphism  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{L}}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let us fix a line bundle  $\Theta$  on  $X$ , and consider an  $n$ -th order differential operator  $\mathcal{D}^{\clubsuit} : \Theta^{\vee} \rightarrow \Omega^{\otimes n} \otimes \Theta^{\vee}$  from  $\Theta^{\vee}$  to  $\Omega^{\otimes n} \otimes \Theta^{\vee}$  with unit principal symbol. It defines an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear

morphism  $\Theta^\vee \rightarrow (\Omega^{\otimes n} \otimes \Theta^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)}$  by (5.16) and moreover determines an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear morphism  $D^\clubsuit : \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \otimes \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)} \otimes \Theta$  via the composite of natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Theta^\vee, (\Omega^{\otimes n} \otimes \Theta^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^{\otimes n} \otimes \Theta^\vee \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)} \otimes \Theta \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n} \otimes \Theta, \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}^{(0)} \otimes \Theta). \end{aligned}$$

The quotient  $(\mathcal{D}^{(0)} \otimes \Theta) / \langle \mathrm{Im}(D^\clubsuit) \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)} \otimes \Theta$  by the  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$ -submodule generated by the image of  $D^\clubsuit$  specifies a left  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module. Since  $\Sigma_1(\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit) = 1$ , the composite

$$\mathcal{F}_\Theta \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{D}^{(0)} \otimes \Theta \xrightarrow{\text{quotient}} \mathcal{D}^{(0)} \otimes \Theta / \langle \mathrm{Im}(D^\clubsuit) \rangle$$

(cf. (5.5) for the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_\Theta$ ) turns out to be an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. The  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$ -action on  $(\mathcal{D}^{(0)} \otimes \Theta) / \langle \mathrm{Im}(D^\clubsuit) \rangle$  is transposed into an  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$ -connection

$$\mathcal{D}^{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond} : \mathcal{F}_\Theta \rightarrow \Omega \otimes \mathcal{F}_\Theta \quad (5.17)$$

on  $\mathcal{F}_\Theta$  via this composite isomorphism. The collection of data

$$\mathcal{D}^{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}_\Theta, \mathcal{D}^{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond}, \{\mathcal{F}_\Theta^j\}_{j=0}^n)$$

forms a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ , and the determinant

$$\Sigma_2(\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit) := \det(\mathcal{D}^{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond})$$

may be regarded as an  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}$  via (5.6).

**Definition 5.7.3.** (i) We shall refer to  $\Sigma_2(\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit)$  as the **subprincipal symbol** of  $\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit$ . Also, under the assumption that  $\Theta = \Theta_0^{\otimes(1-n)}$  for some theta characteristic  $\Theta_0$  of  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$  (cf. Example 5.4.2), we say that  $\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit$  **has vanishing subprincipal symbol** if  $\Sigma_2(\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit)$  coincides with the  $\nabla_{\vartheta_0}$  defined in (5.3).

(ii) Suppose further that we are given an  $n^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_\vartheta)$  of  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit$  is an  $(n, \vartheta)$ -**projective connection** on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$  if the equality  $\Sigma_2(\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit) = \nabla_\vartheta$  holds, or equivalently,  $\mathcal{D}^{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond}$  specifies a  $(\mathrm{GL}_n, \vartheta)$ -oper on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ . (In particular, if  $\Theta = \Theta_0^{\otimes(1-n)}$  for  $\Theta_0$  as above, then  $\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit$  has vanishing subprincipal symbol if and only if it is an  $(n, \vartheta_0)$ -projective connection, where  $\vartheta_0$  is as defined in (5.3).)

In what follows, we fix an  $n^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_\vartheta)$  of  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ . Denote by

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{\clubsuit, \vartheta} : \mathrm{Sch}_R^{\mathrm{flat}}/S \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$$

the contravariant functor on  $\mathrm{Sch}_R^{\mathrm{flat}}/S$  which, to any  $S$ -scheme  $s : S' \rightarrow S$  in  $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Sch}_R^{\mathrm{flat}}/S)$ , assigns the set of  $(n, s^*(\vartheta))$ -projective connections on  $(S' \times_S X^{\mathrm{log}})/(S' \times_S S^{\mathrm{log}})$  (where  $s^*(-)$  denotes the result of base-changing along  $s$ ).

Then, the assignment  $\mathcal{D}^\clubsuit \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \heartsuit}$  determines a morphism of functors

$$\Lambda_{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond, \vartheta} : \mathrm{Diff}_{\clubsuit, \vartheta} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}.$$

Just as in the proof of [Wak5, Proposition 4.28], this morphism is verified to be an isomorphism. Thus, by an argument similar to the proof of [Wak5, Theorem 4.66], we obtain the following assertion.

**Proposition 5.7.4.** *We shall set*

$$\mathcal{V}_\Theta := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Theta^\vee, (\Omega^{\otimes n} \otimes \Theta^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\leq(n-2)}^{(0)}). \quad (5.18)$$

(Similarly to [Wak5, Lemma 4.67, (ii)], the direct image  $f_*(\mathcal{V}_\Theta)$  is verified to be a vector bundle on  $S$  of rank  $(n^2 - 1)(g - 1) + \frac{(n^2+n-2)r}{2}$ .) Then, the functor  $\mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}$  (which is isomorphic to both  $\mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit}$  and  $\mathcal{O}p_{\clubsuit}$  via  $\Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \clubsuit, \vartheta}$  and  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \clubsuit, \vartheta}$ , respectively) forms a  $f_*(\mathcal{V}_\Theta)$ -torsor.

**5.8. Projective connections having a full set of root functions.** In the rest of this section, we suppose that the log structures of  $X^{\log}$  and  $S^{\log}$  are trivial, or more generally the relative characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  is trivial (which implies that  $X/S$  is smooth and  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)} = \mathcal{D}_{X/S}^{(0)}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}$  be line bundles on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  an  $n$ -th order differential operator from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X, \text{triv}}^{(0)})$ -linear, the kernel  $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D})$  forms an  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X, \text{triv}}^{(0)})$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition 5.8.1.** We shall say that  $\mathcal{D}$  has a full set of root functions (or the differential equation associated to  $\mathcal{D}$  has a full set of solutions) if the  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{X, \text{triv}}^{(0)})$ -module  $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D})$  is locally free of rank  $n$ .

The condition of having a full set of root functions is closed under base-change, so we obtain the subfunctor

$$\mathcal{D}iff_{\clubsuit, \vartheta}^{\text{full}}$$

of  $\mathcal{D}iff_{\clubsuit, \vartheta}$  consisting of  $(n, \vartheta)$ -projective connections having a full set of root functions.

By an argument similar to the proof of [Wak5, Proposition 4.65], we see that  $\Lambda_{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond, \vartheta}$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$\Lambda_{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond, \vartheta}^{\text{full}} : \mathcal{D}iff_{\clubsuit, \vartheta}^{\text{full}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^{\text{Zzz...}}. \quad (5.19)$$

(In fact, the argument in [Wak5] can be applied by using the equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) obtained in Proposition 3.2.5, (ii), instead of [Wak5, Proposition 4.60].) In particular, by combining with Theorem 5.5.1, we obtain the following sequence consisting of isomorphisms between functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}iff_{\clubsuit, \vartheta}^{\text{full}} & & & \mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit}^{\text{Zzz...}} & & \\ & \searrow \sim & \nearrow \Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit, \vartheta}^{\text{Zzz...}} & \searrow \sim & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta}^{\text{Zzz...}} & & \mathcal{O}p_{\clubsuit}^{\text{Zzz...}} & \\ & & \nearrow \Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \clubsuit}^{\text{Zzz...}} & & & \end{array} \quad (5.20)$$

(cf. [Wak5, Theorem D]). Also, the following assertion holds.

**Corollary 5.8.2.** *Let  $\Theta_0$  be a theta characteristic of  $X/S$ . Then, there exists a bijective correspondence between the following two sets:*

- The set of isomorphism classes of dormant  $\text{PGL}_n$ -opers on  $X/S$ ;
- The set of  $n$ -th order differential operators on  $\Theta_0^{\otimes(1-n)}$  with unit principal symbol and vanishing subprincipal symbol.

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the isomorphisms  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit, \vartheta}^{\text{Zzz...}}$  (obtained in Theorem 5.5.1) and  $\Lambda_{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond, \vartheta}^{\text{full}}$  (constructed above), where “ $\vartheta$ ” is taken to be  $\vartheta_0$ .  $\square$

6. RADII OF DORMANT  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -OPERS

This section discusses the radii of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers, which determines a sort of boundary condition to glue together dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on pointed curves along the fibers over the points of attachment. After proving a certain factorization property of the moduli space  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  in accordance with the data of radii, we obtain the nonemptiness of that space (cf. Corollary 6.5.4).

Let us fix a pair of nonnegative integers  $(g, r)$  with  $2g - 2 + r > 0$ . Also, fix a pair of nonnegative integers  $(\ell, m)$  with  $\ell = 0$  or  $m = 0$ .

**6.1. Monodromy/Exponent at a marked point.** Let  $S$  be a flat scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\mathcal{X} := (f : X \rightarrow S, \{\sigma_i\}_i)$  be an  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  over  $S$ . In particular, we obtain a log curve  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ . For simplicity, we write  $\Omega := \Omega_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)} := \mathcal{D}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}^{(m)}$ .

Suppose that  $r > 0$ , and fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Note that there exists a canonical isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebras

$$\sigma_i^*(L\mathcal{D}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_S \quad (6.1)$$

(cf. (4.5) for the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_S$ ) determined by the following condition: if  $\{\partial^{(j)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  is the local basis of  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$  associated, in the manner of §2.2, to any local section  $t$  defining the closed subscheme  $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma_i)$  (which determines a logarithmic coordinate on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$  around  $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma_i)$ ), then  $\sigma_i^{-1}(\partial^{(j)})$  is mapped to  $\partial_{\mathcal{B}}^{(j)}$  via (6.1) for every  $j$ .

Let us take a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ . The  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure  $\nabla$  induces a  $\sigma_i^*(\mathcal{D}^{(m)})$ -action  $\sigma_i^*(\nabla)$  on  $\sigma_i^*(\mathcal{F})$ ; this action gives the composite

$$\mu_i(\nabla) : \mathcal{B}_S \xrightarrow{(6.1)^{-1}} \sigma_i^*(L\mathcal{D}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_i^*(\nabla)} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\sigma_i^*(\mathcal{F})).$$

In particular, we obtain

$$\mu_i(\nabla)^{\langle \bullet \rangle} := (\mu_i(\nabla)^{\langle 1 \rangle}, \mu_2(\nabla)^{\langle p \rangle}, \dots, \mu_i(\nabla)^{\langle p^m \rangle}) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\sigma_i^*(\mathcal{F}))^{\oplus(m+1)}. \quad (6.2)$$

(cf. (4.7)).

**Definition 6.1.1.** We shall refer to  $\mu_i(\nabla)$  and  $\mu_i(\nabla)^{\langle \bullet \rangle}$  as the **monodromy operator** of  $\nabla$  at  $\sigma_i$ . Also, for each  $a = 0, \dots, m$ , we shall refer to  $\mu_i(\nabla)^{\langle p^a \rangle}$  as the  **$a$ -th monodromy operator** of  $\nabla$  at  $\sigma_i$ .

Let us take an open subscheme  $U$  of  $X$  meeting  $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma_i)$ , and take a section  $t \in \mathcal{O}_X$  on  $U$  defining the closed subscheme  $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma_i) \cap U$  of  $U$ . The  $t$ -adic formal completion  $\widehat{U}_t$  of  $U$  may be identified with  $U_{\mathcal{O}}$  (cf. (4.1)). Under this identification  $\widehat{U}_t = U_{\mathcal{O}}$ , the restriction  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}|_{\widehat{U}_t}$  of  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$  to  $\widehat{U}_t$  may be considered as  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m)}$  (cf. (4.2)). The monodromy operator  $\mu_i(\nabla)$  of  $\nabla$  at  $\sigma_i$  coincides with that of the  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}|_{\widehat{U}_t}$  (in the sense of Definition 4.2.1) obtained by restricting  $\nabla$ .

Moreover, we suppose that  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is dormant,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $n > 0$ , and  $S$  is connected. According to Proposition-Definitions 4.4.1 and 4.5.4, there exists a well-defined multiset

$$e_i(\nabla) := [d_1, \dots, d_n]$$

of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$  satisfying the following condition: for any local section  $t$  as above, the  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(m)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)|_{\widehat{U}_t}$  obtained by restricting  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  to  $\widehat{U}_t (= U_{\mathcal{O}})$  has exponent  $e_i(\nabla)$ . The following definition generalizes [Wak6, Definition 4.1.3].

**Definition 6.1.2.** (i) With the above notation, we shall refer to  $e_i(\nabla)$  as the **(local) exponent** of  $\nabla$  at the marked point  $\sigma_i$ .

(ii) We shall set  $N := m + 1$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  be a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then, the multiset

$$e_i(\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit}) := e_i(\nabla)$$

is called the **(local) exponent** of  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit}$  at  $\sigma_i$ .

The following assertion will be applied in the proofs of Propositions 6.3.5 and 8.6.4.

**Proposition 6.1.3.** *Let us keep the above notation. Also, let  $n$  be an integer with  $1 < n \leq p^N$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Suppose that we are given an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(N-1)}$ -modules*

$$h : (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)|_{\widehat{U}_t} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, d_j}^{(N-1)}$$

for some  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ . (In particular, the exponent  $e_i(\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit})$  of  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit}$  at  $\sigma_i$  coincides with  $[d_1, \dots, d_n]$ .) Then, the following assertions hold:

(i) Let  $v$  be a global section of the line subbundle  $\mathcal{F}^{n-1}|_{\widehat{U}_t} (\subseteq \mathcal{F}|_{\widehat{U}_t})$ , and write

$$(v_1(t), \dots, v_n(t)) := h(v) \in H^0(\widehat{U}_t, \mathcal{O}_S[[t]])^{\oplus n}.$$

Suppose that  $v$  formally generates  $\mathcal{F}^{n-1}|_{\widehat{U}_t}$ . Then, each section  $v_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_S[[t]]^{\times}$ , or equivalently  $v_j(0) \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S^{\times})$ .

(ii) The elements  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  are mutually distinct. Moreover, this fact implies that, if we are given global sections  $v_j(t) \in H^0(\widehat{U}_t, \mathcal{O}_S[[t]]^{\times})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $h^{-1}(v_1(t), \dots, v_n(t))$  formally generates the  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(N-1)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ .

*Proof.* Both assertions (i) and (ii) follow from arguments entirely similar to the proof of [Wak6, Proposition 3.4.1], so we omit the details of the proofs. (The case of  $N = 1$  can also be found in [Wak5, Proposition 8.4].)  $\square$

**6.2. Gluing  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -modules.** We recall the definition of a semi-graph in the sense of [Wak5, Definition 7.1].

**Definition 6.2.1.** A **semi-graph** is a triple

$$\mathcal{G} := (V, E, \zeta)$$

consisting of the following data:

- a set  $V$ , whose elements are called *vertices*;
- a set  $E$ , whose elements are called *edges*, consisting of sets with cardinality 2 such that  $e \neq e' \in E$  implies  $e \cap e' = \emptyset$ ;
- a map  $\zeta : \bigsqcup_{e \in E} e \rightarrow V \sqcup \{\ast\}$  (where “ $\ast$ ” denotes an abstract symbol with  $\ast \notin V$ ), called a *coincidence map*, such that  $\zeta(e) \neq \{\ast\}$  for any  $e \in E$ .



Each edge  $e \in E$  with  $\otimes \in \zeta(e)$  (resp.,  $\otimes \notin \zeta(e)$ ) is called **open** (resp., **closed**), and write  $E^{\text{op}}$  (resp.,  $E^{\text{cl}}$ ) for the set of open (resp., closed) edges in  $E$ . (In particular, we have  $E = E^{\text{op}} \sqcup E^{\text{cl}}$ .) Also, for each edge  $e \in E$ , we will refer to any element  $b$  of  $e$  as a **branch** of  $e$ . Also, for each  $v \in V \sqcup \{\otimes\}$ , we write

$$B_v := \zeta^{-1}(\{v\}).$$

Moreover, we recall some notions related to semi-graphs.

**Definition 6.2.2** (cf. [Wak5], Definition 7.2). Fix a semi-graph  $\mathcal{G} := (V, E, \zeta)$ .

- (i) We say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is **finite** if both  $V$  and  $E$  are finite.
- (ii) We say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is **connected** if for any two distinct vertices  $u, v \in V$ , there exists a sequence of edges  $e_1, \dots, e_\ell \in E$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ) such that  $u \in \zeta(e_1)$ ,  $v \in \zeta(e_\ell)$ , and  $\zeta(e_j) \cap \zeta(e_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $j = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ .
- (iii) We say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is **trivalent** (or **3-regular**) if, for any vertex  $v \in V$ , the cardinality of  $B_v$  is precisely 3.
- (iv) Suppose further that  $\mathcal{G}$  is trivalent. Then, we shall set

$$g(\mathcal{G}) := 1 - \#(V) + \#(E) - \#(B_\otimes), \quad r(\mathcal{G}) := \#(B_\otimes).$$

Also, for a pair of nonnegative integer  $(g', r')$ , we say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is **of type**  $(g', r')$  if the equalities  $g(\mathcal{G}) = g'$  and  $r(\mathcal{G}) = r'$  hold.

**Definition 6.2.3** (cf. [Wak5], Definition 7.4). (i) By **clutching data**, we mean a collection of data

$$\mathbb{G} := (\mathcal{G}, \{(g_j, r_j)\}_{j=1}^J, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J) \tag{6.3}$$

where

- $\mathcal{G} := (V, E, \zeta)$  is a finite connected semi-graph with  $J$  vertices  $V := \{v_1, \dots, v_J\}$  (where  $J \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ), numbered 1 through  $J$ ;
- $(g_j, r_j)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) is a pair of nonnegative integers with  $2g_j - 2 + r_j > 0$ ;
- $\lambda_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) denotes a bijection of sets  $B_{v_j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, r_j\}$ .
- (ii) Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be clutching data as in (6.3) and  $(g', r')$  a pair of nonnegative integers. We say that  $\mathbb{G}$  is **of type**  $(g', r')$  if the equalities  $g' = g(\mathcal{G}) + \sum_{j=1}^J g_j$  and  $r' = r(\mathcal{G})$  hold.
- (iii) Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be clutching data as in (6.3). We say that  $\mathbb{G}$  is **trivalent** (or **3-regular**) if  $(g_j, r_j) = (0, 3)$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, J$ . Since trivalent clutching data is determined by “ $\mathcal{G}$ ” and “ $\{\lambda_j\}_j$ ”, we often indicate it by  $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}, \{\lambda_j\}_j)$ .

**Remark 6.2.4** (The natural ordering of open edges). Let  $\mathbb{G} := (\mathcal{G}, \{(g_j, r_j)\}_{j=1}^J, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  be clutching data of type  $(g, r)$ . We order the elements of the set  $B_\otimes$  *lexicographically*, i.e., a branch incident to  $v_j$  comes before a branch incident to  $v_{j'}$  if  $j < j'$ , and among branches incident to a common  $v_j$ , we take the ordering induced by  $\lambda_j$ . According to the resulting ordering, we occasionally write  $B_\otimes := \{b_{\otimes,1}, \dots, b_{\otimes,r}\}$ .

Let us fix clutching data  $\mathbb{G} := (\mathcal{G}, \{(g_j, r_j)\}_{j=1}^J, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  of type  $(g, r)$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , suppose that we are given an  $r_j$ -pointed stable curve  $\mathcal{X}_j := (X_j/S_j, \{\sigma_{j,i}\}_{i=1}^{r_j})$  of genus  $g_j$  over  $S_j := S$ . Also, suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  may be obtained by gluing together the  $\mathcal{X}_j$ 's

by means of  $\mathbb{G}$  in the manner of [Wak5, §7.2.1]. (The discussions in [Wak5] only deal with schemes over a *field*, but the gluing construction mentioned there can be applied to a general base space  $S$ .) In particular, there exist natural morphisms

$$\text{Clut}_j : X_j \rightarrow X$$

( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) of  $S (= S_j)$ -schemes.

Recall that, for each  $j$ , the scheme  $S_j$  (resp.,  $X_j$ ) is equipped with the log structure pulled-back from  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_j, r_j}^{\log}$  (resp., the universal family of log curves over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_j, r_j}^{\log}$ ) via the classifying morphism of  $\mathcal{X}_j$ . Denote by  $S_j^{\log}$  (resp.,  $X_j^{\log}$ ) the resulting log scheme. For simplicity, we shall write  $\mathcal{T}_j := \mathcal{T}_{X_j^{\log}/S_j^{\log}}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_j^{(m)} := \mathcal{D}_{X_j^{\log}/S_j^{\log}}^{(m)}$ .

On the other hand, write  $X_j^{\log|X}$  for the log scheme obtained by equipping  $X_j$  with the log structure pulled-back from  $X^{\log}$  via  $\text{Clut}_j$ . The structure morphism  $X_j \rightarrow S_j (= S)$  of  $X_j/S_j$  extends to a morphism  $X_j^{\log|X} \rightarrow S^{\log}$  of log schemes. Moreover, the natural morphisms  $S^{\log} \rightarrow S (= S_j)$  and  $X_j^{\log|X} \rightarrow X_j$  extend to morphisms  $S^{\log} \rightarrow S_j^{\log}$  and  $X_j^{\log|X} \rightarrow X_j^{\log}$ , respectively, which make the following square diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_j^{\log|X} & \longrightarrow & X_j^{\log} \\ \text{projection} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{projection} \\ S^{\log} & \longrightarrow & S_j^{\log}. \end{array}$$

This diagram induces a morphism  $X_j^{\log|X} \rightarrow X_j^{\log} \times_{S_j^{\log}} S^{\log}$  over  $S^{\log}$  whose underlying morphism of  $S$ -schemes coincides with the identity morphism of  $X_j$ . The differential of this morphism yields isomorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_j}$ -modules

$$\delta_j^{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T}_{X_j^{\log|X}/S^{\log}} (= \text{Clut}_j^*(\mathcal{T})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_j, \quad \delta_j^{\mathcal{D}} : {}^L\mathcal{D}_{X_j^{\log|X}/S^{\log}}^{(m)} (= \text{Clut}_j^*({}^L\mathcal{D}^{(m)})) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^L\mathcal{D}_j^{(m)}.$$

Now, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. For each  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , denote by  $\mathcal{F}_j$  the pull-back of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $X_j$ .

**Definition 6.2.5.** A  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}$  is a collection

$$\{\nabla_j\}_{j=1}^J,$$

where each  $\nabla_j$  denotes a  $\mathcal{D}_j^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_j$ , such that, for any closed edge  $\{b, b'\} \in E^{\text{cl}}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  with  $\zeta(b) = v_j$ ,  $\zeta(b') = v_{j'}$  ( $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ ), the equality

$$\mu_{\lambda_j(b)}(\nabla_j) \circ \text{sw} = \mu_{\lambda_{j'}(b')}(\nabla_{j'}) \quad \left( \iff \text{sw}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^{\bullet}(\mu_{\lambda_j(b)}(\nabla_j))^{\langle \bullet \rangle} = \mu_{\lambda_{j'}(b')}(\nabla_{j'})^{\langle \bullet \rangle} \text{ by (4.9)} \right)$$

holds under the natural identification  $\sigma_{j, \lambda_j(b)}^*(\mathcal{F}_j) = \sigma_{j', \lambda_{j'}(b')}^*(\mathcal{F}_{j'})$ .

Let  $\nabla : {}^L\mathcal{D}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F})$  be a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , the composite

$$\nabla|_j : {}^L\mathcal{D}_j \xrightarrow{(\delta_j^{\mathcal{D}})^{-1}} {}^L\mathcal{D}_{X_j^{\log|X}/S^{\log}}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\text{Clut}_j^*(\nabla)} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F}_j) \quad (6.4)$$

specifies a  $\mathcal{D}_j^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_j$ . We shall refer to  $\nabla|_j$  (resp.,  $(\mathcal{F}_j, \nabla|_j)$ ) as the **restriction** of  $\nabla$  (resp.,  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ ) to  $\mathcal{F}_j$  (resp.,  $X_j$ ).

**Proposition 6.2.6.** *Let  $\nabla$  be a  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

- (i) *The collection of its restrictions  $\{\nabla|_j\}_{j=1}^J$  specifies a  $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, the resulting assignment  $\nabla \mapsto \{\nabla|_j\}_{j=1}^J$  determines a bijection of sets*

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the set of} \\ \mathcal{D}^{(m)}\text{-module structures on } \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the set of} \\ \mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{D}^{(m)}\text{-module structures on } \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right).$$

- (ii)  *$(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is dormant if and only if  $(\mathcal{F}_j, \nabla|_j)$  is dormant for every  $j = 1, \dots, J$ . Moreover, for each  $j$ , the formation of restrictions  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_j, \nabla|_j)$  commutes with diagonal reduction.*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows from Proposition 4.2.2, (i) and (ii) (cf. the discussion in the proof of [Wak5, Proposition 7.6]).

Assertion (ii) for  $\ell = 0$  follows from the commutativity of the following square diagram defined for every  $j = 1, \dots, J$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{X_j}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{T}_{X_j^{\log|X/S^{\log}}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Clut}_j^*(\psi_{X^{\log/S^{\log}}})} & \mathcal{D}_{X_j^{\log|X/S^{\log}}}^{(m)} \\ \downarrow F_{X_j}^*(\delta_j^{\mathcal{T}}) \wr & & \downarrow \wr \delta_j^{\mathcal{P}} \\ F_{X_j}^{(m+1)*}(\mathcal{T}_j) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{X_j^{\log/S^{\log}}}} & \mathcal{D}_j^{(m)}. \end{array}$$

Also, the assertion for  $m = 0$  can be proved by applying the assertion for  $\ell = 0$  together with the definition of the functor  $\mathcal{K}(-)$ .  $\square$

**6.3. Definition of radius.** Let  $n$  be an integer with  $1 < n < p$ . We shall discuss the notion of radius associated to a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n$ -oper of finite level on a pointed stable curve (cf. [Wak6, Definition 4.3.2] for the case of pointed smooth curves). The level 1 case was already defined in [Wak5, Definition 2.32] under the identification between elements in “ $\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash \mathbb{F}_p^{\times n} / \Delta$ ” (cf. (6.5) below) and certain  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points in the adjoint quotient of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ .

For convenience, we shall set  $N := m + 1$  and  $L := \ell + N$ . Denote by  $\Delta$  the image of the diagonal embedding  $\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}$ , which is a group homomorphism. In particular, we obtain the quotient set  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$ . Note that the set  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}$  is equipped with the action of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of  $n$  letters by permutation; this action induces a well-defined  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -action on  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$ . Hence, we obtain the quotient sets

$$\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}, \quad \mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta, \quad (6.5)$$

and moreover, obtain the natural projection

$$\pi_{\Delta} : \mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta. \quad (6.6)$$

Each element of  $\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}$  may be regarded as a multiset of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z}$  whose cardinality equals  $n$ . Also, we occasionally identify  $\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}$  (resp.,  $\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$ ) with the scheme defined as the disjoint union of copies of  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  indexed by the set  $\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}$  (resp.,  $\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$ ).

**Remark 6.3.1** (Radii for  $n = 2$ ). Denote by  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\}$  the set of equivalence classes of elements  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z}$ , in which  $a$  and  $-a$  are identified. Then, the assignment  $a \mapsto [a, -a]$  determines a well-defined bijection

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}_2 \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times 2} / \Delta. \quad (6.7)$$

We occasionally identify  $\mathfrak{S}_2 \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times 2} / \Delta$  with  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\}$  by using this bijection. Under this identification, the notion of radius introduced below coincides with the classical notion of radius for torally indigenous bundles in the sense of [Moc2, Chap. I, Definition 4.1] (see also [Wak6, Remark 4.3.3]).

Let us take a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . According to Corollary 5.5.2, (i), we can find a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  with  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit} \cong \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , the exponent of  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  at  $\sigma_i$  determines an element

$$e_i(\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit) := [d_{i,1}, \dots, d_{i,n}] \in (\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n})(S),$$

where  $d_{i,1}, \dots, d_{i,n} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}(S)$ . Its image

$$\rho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit) := \pi_\Delta(e_i(\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit)) \in (\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta)(S)$$

via  $\pi_\Delta$  depends only on the equivalence class  $[\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit]$ , i.e., the isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ . In fact, if  $\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}})$  is a dormant invertible  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module whose exponent at  $\sigma_i$  is  $c_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})(S)$ , then the exponent of  $\mathcal{F}_{\otimes \mathcal{L}}^\heartsuit$  at  $\sigma_i$  coincides with  $[d_{i,1} + c_i, \dots, d_{i,n} + c_i]$ . Since  $\pi_\Delta([d_{i,1}, \dots, d_{i,n}]) = \pi_\Delta([d_{i,1} + c_i, \dots, d_{i,n} + c_i])$ , we see that  $\rho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  is a well-defined element associated to  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ .

**Definition 6.3.2.** (i) With the above notation, we shall refer to  $\rho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  as the **radius** of  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  at  $\sigma_i$ .  
(ii) Let  $\rho := (\rho_i)_{i=1}^r$  be an element of  $(\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta)^{\times r}$ . We shall say that a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is **of radii**  $\rho$  if  $\rho_i = \rho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . When  $r = 0$ , we will refer to any dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper as being **of radii**  $\emptyset$ .

The following two propositions describe some basic properties of the radius of a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper.

**Proposition 6.3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  be a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  of radii  $\rho \in (\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta)^{\times r}$ . For each integer  $L'$  with  $1 \leq L' \leq L$ , we denote by*

$$q_{L \Rightarrow L'} : (\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta)^{\times r} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^{L'}\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta)^{\times r} \quad (6.8)$$

the surjection induced from the natural quotient  $\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{L'}\mathbb{Z}$ .

- (i) Suppose further that  $\ell = 0$  (hence  $N = L$ ). Then, for each positive integer  $N' \leq N$ , the dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N')}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  obtained by reducing the level of  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  to  $N'$  is of radii  $q_{N \Rightarrow N'}(\rho)$ .
- (ii) Suppose further that  $N = 1$  (hence  $\ell + 1 = L$ ). Then, for each nonnegative integer  $\ell' \leq \ell$ , the dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_{\ell'}$  ( $:=$  the reduction of  $\mathcal{X}$  modulo  $p^{\ell'+1}$ ) obtained by reducing  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  modulo  $p^{\ell'+1}$  is of radii  $q_{\ell+1 \Rightarrow \ell'+1}(\rho)$ .

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows from Proposition 4.3.4, (iii). Also, assertion (ii) follows from the definition of the radius of a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -oper.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.4.** *We shall fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\rho_0 \in \mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$ . Note that (since  $n < p$ ) there exists a unique multiset  $e_0 := [d_1, \dots, d_n] \in \mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}$  with  $\pi_\Delta(e_0) = \rho_0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = a_0$ . Also, let  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_\vartheta)$  be a dormant  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  such that the exponent of  $\nabla_\vartheta$  at  $\sigma_i$  is  $a_0$ , and let  $\nabla^\diamond$  be a dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  the dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper corresponding to  $\nabla^\diamond$  via  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta}^{\mathrm{ZZZ}\dots}$ . Then, the radius of  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  at  $\sigma_i$  is  $\rho_0$  if and only if the exponent of  $\nabla^{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit}$  at  $\sigma_i$  is  $e_0$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the various definitions involved.  $\square$

Denote by  $\tilde{\Xi}_{n,L}$  the subset of  $\mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}$  consisting of multisets  $[d_1, \dots, d_n]$  such that the elements  $d_{1[0]}, \dots, d_{n[0]}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  determined by  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  are mutually distinct. (In particular,  $\tilde{\Xi}_{n,L}$  may be identified with a set of subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z}$  with cardinality  $n$ .) Also, we denote the image of  $\tilde{\Xi}_{n,L}$  via the projection  $\pi_\Delta : \mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$  by

$$\Xi_{n,L} \left( \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta \right). \quad (6.9)$$

Under the identification  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\} = \mathfrak{S}_2 \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times 2} / \Delta$  given by (6.7),  $\Xi_{2,L}$  may be identified with the subset  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\}$ .

**Proposition 6.3.5.** *Let  $\rho$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$  such that there exists a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  whose radius at  $\sigma_i$  (for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ) coincides with  $\rho$ . Then,  $\rho$  belongs to  $\Xi_{n,L}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us choose a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  whose radius at  $\sigma_i$  coincides with  $\rho$ . There exists a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  with  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit} \cong \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  (cf. Corollary 5.5.2, (i)). The exponent  $e_i(\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit)$  of  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  at  $\sigma_i$  can be described as a multiset  $[d_1, \dots, d_n]$  ( $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z}$ ). Now, let us consider the case where  $\ell = 0$ , or equivalently  $L = N$  (resp.,  $N = 1$ , or equivalently  $L = \ell + 1$ ). By Propositions 5.3.4 and 6.3.3, (i) (resp., Proposition 6.3.3, (ii)), the radius at  $\sigma_i$  of the dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -oper obtained by reducing the level of  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  to 1 (resp., by reducing  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  modulo  $p$ ) coincides with the image of  $[d_{1[0]}, \dots, d_{n[0]}]$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$ . Then, it follows from Propositions 5.3.4 and 6.1.3, (ii) (or [Wak6, Proposition 3.4.1]) that  $d_{1[0]}, \dots, d_{n[0]}$  are mutually distinct. This means that  $\rho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit) \in \Xi_{n,L}$ , and hence completes the proof of this proposition.  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.6** (Comparison with the classical definition). Suppose that  $N = 1$ . Then, the notion of radius defined above is essentially the same as [Wak5, Definitions 2.32 and 4.46], and the definitions discussed there can be naturally extended to our setting, i.e., the case where the ground ring has prime-power characteristic.

To see this, let us take an element  $\rho$  of  $\Xi_{n,N}$ , which can be expressed as  $\rho = \pi_\Delta([d_1, \dots, d_n])$  for a unique element  $[d_1, \dots, d_n]$  of  $\tilde{\Xi}_{n,N}$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = 0$ . It determines a well-defined element

$$s^t(\rho) := (s_2^t(d_1, \dots, d_n), s_3^t(d_1, \dots, d_n), \dots, s_n^t(d_1, \dots, d_n)) \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^{\oplus(n-1)},$$

where  $s_i^t$ 's are the elementary symmetric polynomials, i.e.,

$$s_1^t(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) := \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j, \quad s_2^t(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) := \sum_{j < j'} \lambda_j \cdot \lambda_{j'}, \quad \dots, \quad s_n^t(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) := \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j.$$

The assignment  $\rho \mapsto s^t(\rho)$  defines an injection

$$\Xi_{n,N} \hookrightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^{\oplus(n-1)}. \quad (6.10)$$

Now, let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  be a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  (that is not necessarily dormant). By Corollary 5.5.2, (i), there exists a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_{j=0}^n)$  with  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit \rightarrow \spadesuit \cong \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ , where we use the notation  $\nabla$  to denote an  $S^{\log}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{F}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , the monodromy operator of  $\nabla$  at  $\sigma_i$  (in the sense of [Wak5, Definition 4.42]) is the element  $\mu_i^\nabla$  of  $\mathrm{End}(\sigma_i^*(\mathcal{F}))$  defined to be the composite

$$\mu_i^\nabla : \sigma_i^*(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_i^*(\nabla)} \sigma_i^*(\Omega \otimes \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma_i^*(\Omega) \otimes \sigma_i^*(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma_i^*(\mathcal{F}),$$

where the last arrow arises from the residue isomorphism  $\sigma_i^*(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_S$ .

Then, there exists a tuple

$$\varrho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit) := (s_2(\rho), \dots, s_n(\rho)) \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^{\oplus(n-1)}$$

of elements of  $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  uniquely determined by the equality

$$\det(t \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\sigma_i^*(\mathcal{F})} - \check{\mu}_i^\nabla) = t^n + \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^j \cdot s_j(\rho) \cdot t^{n-j} \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)[t],$$

where  $\check{\mu}_i^\nabla := \mu_i^\nabla - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mu_i^\nabla)}{n} \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\sigma_i^*(\mathcal{F})}$ , and  $\varrho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$ . We refer to  $\varrho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  as the **radius** of  $\nabla$  at  $\sigma_i$  (cf. [Wak5, Definition 4.43]). It follows from the various definitions involved that if  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is dormant, then the equality  $\rho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit) = \varrho_i(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  holds via the injection (6.10).

Moreover, for  $\rho \in (\mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta)^{\times r}$  (where  $\rho := \emptyset$  if  $r = 0$ ), we denote by  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit, \rho}$  the subfunctor of  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}$  classifying  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -opers of radii  $\rho$ . Then, it follows from an argument similar to the arguments in [Wak5, §§4.7.5-4.7.6 and §4.11.1] that  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit, \rho}$  admits a structure of  $f_*(\mathcal{V}_\Theta(-\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i))$ -torsor (cf. (5.18) for the definition of  $\mathcal{V}_\Theta$ ), where the direct image  $f_*(\mathcal{V}_\Theta(-\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i))$  forms a vector bundle on  $S$  of rank  $(n^2 - 1)(g - 1) + \frac{(n^2 - n)r}{2}$  (cf. [Wak5, Lemma 4.67]).

Write  $R := \mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Given an  $r$ -tuple  $\rho := (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r) \in (\mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta)^{\times r}$  (where  $\rho := \emptyset$  if  $r = 0$ ), we shall write

$$\mathcal{O}p_{n,N,\rho,g,r,R}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}, \text{ or simply } \mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \quad (6.11)$$

for the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}p_{n,N,\rho,g,r,R}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  consisting of pairs  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  such that the dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is of radii  $\rho$ . The projection  $\Pi_{g,r}$  restricts to a morphism

$$\Pi_{n,N,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p} \text{ (or simply } \Pi_{\rho,g,r}) : \mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}. \quad (6.12)$$

It follows from Proposition 6.3.5 that  $\mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  is empty unless  $\rho \in \Xi_{n,L}^{\times r}$ , and that  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  and  $\Pi_{g,r}$  decompose as the disjoint unions

$$\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} = \coprod_{\rho \in \Xi_{n,L}^{\times r}} \mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_{g,r} = \coprod_{\rho \in \Xi_{n,L}^{\times r}} \Pi_{\rho,g,r}, \quad (6.13)$$

respectively, where  $\Xi_{n,L}^{\times r} := \{\emptyset\}$  if  $r = 0$ .

By Proposition 4.5.2, (i), the functor  $\mathcal{V}(-)$  (cf. (5.12)) restricts to a functor

$$\mathcal{V}(-) : \mathcal{O}_{P_{n,1,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P_{n,N,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \quad (6.14)$$

That is to say, a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(1)}$ -oper on a pointed stable curve classified by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$  is of radii  $\rho$  if and only if its diagonal reduction is of radii  $\rho$  (cf. Definition 5.5.6).

**Theorem 6.3.7.** *Suppose that  $\ell = 0$ . Then, for each  $\rho \in \Xi_{n,L}^{\times r}$ , the category  $\mathcal{O}_{P_{\rho,g,r}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  may be represented by a (possibly empty) proper Deligne-Mumford stack over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and the projection  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  is finite.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the decompositions displayed in (6.13) together with Theorem 5.5.5 and Corollary 5.6.2.  $\square$

**6.4. Gluing dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers.** In this subsection, we shall discuss a gluing procedure for dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers by means of clutching data.

Given each  $d := (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n}$ , we write  $d^\vee := (-d_1, \dots, -d_n)$ . The assignment  $d \mapsto d^\vee$  induces a well-defined involution

$$(-)^\vee : \mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta \quad (6.15)$$

on the set  $\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$ . Also, for each  $\rho := (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r) \in (\mathfrak{S}_n \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta)^{\times r}$ , we set  $\rho^\vee := (\rho_1^\vee, \dots, \rho_r^\vee)$ . Note that  $(-)^\vee$  restricts to an involution on  $\Xi_{n,L}$  (hence also on  $\Xi_{n,L}^{\times r}$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) be as in §6.2.

**Definition 6.4.1.** A set of  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\Xi_{n,L}$ -radii is an ordered set

$$\rho_{\mathbb{G}} := \{\rho^j\}_{j=1}^J,$$

where each  $\rho^j := (\rho_i^j)_{i=1}^{r_j}$  is an element of  $\Xi_{n,L}^{\times r_j}$  such that for every closed edge  $\{b, b'\} \in E^{\text{cl}}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  with  $\zeta(b) = v_j$ ,  $\zeta(b') = v_{j'}$  (for some  $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ ), the equality  $\rho_{\lambda_j(b)}^j = (\rho_{\lambda_{j'}(b')})^\vee$  holds.

**Definition 6.4.2.** (i) A dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  is a collection

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_B, \{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^J),$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_B$  denotes a  $B$ -bundle on  $X$  and each  $\phi_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) denotes an  $(N-1)$ -PD stratification on  $(\mathcal{E}_B \times^B \text{PGL}_n)_j$  ( $:= \mathcal{E}_{B,j} \times^B \text{PGL}_n$ ) /  $X_j^{\text{log}} / S_j^{\text{log}}$ , satisfying the following conditions:

- For each  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , the pair  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G},j}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_{B,j}, \phi_j)$  forms a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_j$ .
  - For each closed edge  $\{b, b'\} \in E^{\text{cl}}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  with  $\zeta(b) = v_j$ ,  $\zeta(b') = v_{j'}$  (for some  $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ ), the equality  $\rho_{\lambda_j(b)}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G},j}^\spadesuit) = (\rho_{\lambda_{j'}(b')}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G},j'}^\spadesuit))^\vee$  holds.
- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{E}_{\circ,\mathbb{G}}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_{\circ,B}, \{\phi_{\circ,j}\}_{j=1}^J)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,\mathbb{G}}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,B}, \{\phi_{\bullet,j}\}_{j=1}^J)$  be dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers on  $\mathcal{X}$ . An **isomorphism of dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers** from  $\mathcal{E}_{\circ,\mathbb{G}}^\spadesuit$  to  $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,\mathbb{G}}^\spadesuit$  is defined as an isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}_{\circ,B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\bullet,B}$  of  $B$ -bundles such that, for every  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , the induced isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}_{\circ,B,j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\bullet,B,j}$  defines an isomorphism of  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers  $\mathcal{E}_{\circ,\mathbb{G},j}^\spadesuit \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{\bullet,\mathbb{G},j}^\spadesuit$ .

**Definition 6.4.3.** Let  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}} := \{\rho^j\}_{j=1}^n$  be a set of  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\Xi_{n,L}$ -radii and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_B, \{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  a dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then, we shall say that  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge}$  is **of radii**  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$  if for any  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , the dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G},j}^{\blacklozenge}$  is of radii  $\rho^j$ .

**Proposition 6.4.4.** (i) Any dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  does not have nontrivial automorphisms.  
(ii) Suppose that  $N = 1$ , and we set  $N' := \ell + 1$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{X}_0$  the reduction of  $\mathcal{X}$  modulo  $p$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_B, \{\phi_j\}_j)$  be a dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(1)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then, the collection of data

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_{B,0}, \{\mathbb{Z}\phi_j\}_{j=1}^J)$$

forms a dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N')}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_0$ . (We shall refer to  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge}$  as the **diagonal reduction** of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge}$ .) If, moreover,  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$  is a set of  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\Xi_{n,N}$ -radii, then  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}$  is of radii  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge}$  is of radii  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$ .

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows from Proposition 5.2.4. Also, assertion (ii) follows from the various definitions involved and the functor  $\mathbb{Z}(-)$  (cf. (6.14)).  $\square$

**Proposition 6.4.5.** (i) There exists an equivalence of categories

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the groupoid of dormant} \\ \mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}\text{-opers on } \mathcal{X} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the groupoid of dormant} \\ \mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}\text{-opers on } \mathcal{X} \end{array} \right). \quad (6.16)$$

Moreover, this equivalence commutes with the formation of diagonal reductions.

(ii) Let  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}} := \{\rho^j\}_{j=1}^J$  be a set of  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\Xi_{n,L}$ -radii. Then, there exists an equivalence of categories

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the groupoid of dormant} \\ \mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}\text{-opers of radii } \rho_{\mathbb{G}} \text{ on } \mathcal{X} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{j=1}^J \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the groupoid of dormant} \\ \mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}\text{-opers of radii } \rho^j \text{ on } \mathcal{X}_j \end{array} \right). \quad (6.17)$$

Moreover, this equivalence commutes with the formation of diagonal reductions.

*Proof.* First, we shall prove assertion (i). Choose a dormant  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_{\vartheta})$  of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_B, \phi)$  be a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . By Theorem 5.5.1,  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}$  defines a dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla^{\diamond}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  via the isomorphism  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \blacklozenge, \vartheta}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$ . It follows from Proposition 6.2.6 that the collection  $\{\nabla^{\diamond}|_j\}_{j=1}^J$  (cf. (6.4)) forms a  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , denote by  $\mathcal{E}_{B,j}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}_j$ ) the restriction of  $\mathcal{E}_B$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_B \times^B \mathrm{PGL}_n$ ) to  $X_j$ , and by  $\phi_j$  the  $(N-1)$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{E}_j/X_j^{\log}/S_j^{\log}$  induced from  $\nabla^{\diamond}|_j$  via projectivization. Then, the pair  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G},j}^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_{B,j}, \phi_j)$  forms a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_j$ . Let  $\{b, b'\} \in E^{\mathrm{cl}}$  be a closed edge of  $\mathbb{G}$  with  $\zeta(b) = v_j$ ,  $\zeta(b') = v_{j'}$  (for some  $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ ), and write  $i := \lambda_j(b)$ ,  $i' := \lambda_{j'}(b')$ . Then, Proposition 4.3.2 implies the equality

$$e_i(\nabla^{\diamond}|_j) = e_{i'}(\nabla^{\diamond}|_{j'})^{\vee} \quad (\implies \rho_i(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G},j}^{\blacklozenge}) = (\rho_{i'}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G},j'}^{\blacklozenge}))^{\vee}).$$

That is to say, the collection  $\mathcal{E}_{\theta \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_B, \{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  specifies a dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The resulting assignment  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\theta \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge}$  defines a functor of the form (6.16). Also, this functor is fully faithful by Propositions 5.2.4 and 6.4.4, (i).



In what follows, we shall prove the essential surjectivity of this functor. To this end, *we are always free to replace  $S$  with its covering in the étale topology because (6.16) is fully faithful.* Let  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_B, \{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  be a dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Note that, for each  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , the pair of restrictions  $\vartheta_j := (\Theta_j, \nabla_{\vartheta}|_j)$ , where  $\Theta_j := \mathrm{Clut}_j^*(\Theta)$ , forms a dormant  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $X_j^{\mathrm{log}}/S_j^{\mathrm{log}}$ . Denote by  $\nabla_j^{\diamond}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) the dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta_j)$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_j$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}_j^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_{B,j}, \phi_j)$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, r_j$ , let us fix an identification of  $U_{\mathcal{O}}$  (cf. (4.1)) with the formal neighborhood  $\widehat{U}_{j,i}$  of  $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma_{j,i})$  in  $X_j$ . (We can choose such an identification thanks to the italicized fact described above.) Also, we shall fix an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(N-1)}$ -modules

$$h_{j,i} : (\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_j}, \nabla_j^{\diamond})|_{\widehat{U}_{j,i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{s=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, d_{i,s}^j}^{(N-1)},$$

where  $[d_{i,1}^j, \dots, d_{i,n}^j]$  are the exponent of  $\nabla_j^{\diamond} \Rightarrow \heartsuit$  at  $\sigma_{j,i}$ . This isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(h_{j,i}) : \sigma_{j,i}^*(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_j}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n} (= \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\oplus n}))$ . Here, let us take a closed edge  $\{b, b'\} \in E^{\mathrm{cl}}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $\zeta(b) = v_j$ ,  $\zeta(b') = v_{j'}$  (for some  $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ ). Then, the equalities  $e_i(\nabla_{\vartheta}|_j) = -e_{i'}(\nabla_{\vartheta}|_{j'})$  and  $\rho_i(\mathcal{E}_j^{\blacklozenge}) = \rho_{i'}(\mathcal{E}_{j'}^{\blacklozenge})^{\vee}$  hold (cf. Propositions 6.2.6, (i), and 6.4.4). It follows from Proposition 6.3.4 that, after possibly rearranging the indexes of elements of the  $e_i(\nabla_j^{\diamond})$ 's, we obtain the equality  $d_{i,s}^j = -d_{i',s}^{j'}$  for every  $s = 1, \dots, n$ . In particular, the equality

$$\mu_i(\nabla_j^{\diamond}) \circ \mathrm{sw} = \mu_{i'}(\nabla_{j'}^{\diamond}) \quad (6.18)$$

holds under the identifications given by  $h_{j,i}$  and  $h_{j',i'}$  (cf. (4.13)). Also, according to Proposition 6.1.3, (i), we may assume, after possibly composing  $h_{j,i}$  with an automorphism of  $\bigoplus_{s=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}, d_{i,s}^j}^{(N-1)}$  determined by an element of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_S)$ , that the equality  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(h_{j,i})(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_j}^{n-1}) = \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(h_{j',i'}) (\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_{j'}}^{n-1})$  holds in  $\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}$ . By the definition of a  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper, this equality implies

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(h_{j,i})(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_j}^s) = \sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^*(h_{j',i'}) (\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_{j'}}^s) \quad (6.19)$$

for every  $s = 0, \dots, n$ . By (6.18) and (6.19), the collections  $(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_j}, \nabla_j^{\diamond}, \{\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_j}^s\}_{s=0}^n)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) may be glued together to obtain a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit}$  (cf. Proposition 4.2.2, (ii)). If  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}$  denotes the dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper induced from  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit}$ , then the dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_{\emptyset \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge}$  given by its image via (6.16) is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}$  by construction. This means that the functor (6.16) is essentially surjective, and hence it is an equivalence of categories. The second assertion of (i) follows from the definitions of (6.16) and  $\mathcal{L}(-)$ .

Next, we shall prove assertion (ii). The assignment  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_B, \{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^J) \mapsto (\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G},j}^{\blacklozenge})_{j=1}^J$  (where  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G},j}^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_{B,j}, \phi_j)$ ) defines a functor of the form (6.17). This functor is immediately verified to commute with the formation of diagonal reductions. Also, it is fully faithful because of Propositions 5.2.4 and 6.4.4, (i), so the remaining portion is the essential surjectivity.

Suppose that we are given a collection  $(\mathcal{E}_j^{\blacklozenge})_{j=1}^J$ , where each  $\mathcal{E}_j^{\blacklozenge} := (\mathcal{E}_{B,j}, \phi_j)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) is a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper of radii  $\rho^j$  on  $\mathcal{X}_j$ . Denote by  ${}^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_B$  the  $B$ -bundle defined in [Wak5, Eq. (605)]. If we write  $({}^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_B)_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) for the restriction of  ${}^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_B$  to  $X_j$ , then there exists an isomorphism of  $B$ -bundles  $\mathcal{E}_{B,j} \xrightarrow{\sim} ({}^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_B)_j$  for every  $j$  (cf. [Wak5, Proposition 4.55]). We obtain an  $(N-1)$ -PD stratification  ${}^{\dagger}\phi_j$  on  $({}^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_B)_j/X_j^{\mathrm{log}}/S_j^{\mathrm{log}}$  corresponding to  $\phi_j$  via this isomorphism. The resulting collection  $({}^{\dagger}\mathcal{E}_B, \{{}^{\dagger}\phi_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  forms a dormant  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper of radii

$\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  which is mapped to  $(\mathcal{E}_j^\blacklozenge)_{j=1}^J$  via (6.17). This proves the essential surjectivity of (6.17), and hence we have finished the proof of assertion (ii).  $\square$

**Remark 6.4.6** (The choice of an  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic). Despite the fact that a specific  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta$  is fixed in the proof of assertion (i), the resulting functor (6.16) does not depend on the choice of  $\vartheta$ .

Just as in the discussion of [Wak5, § 7.1.3], we obtain the morphism between moduli stacks

$$\text{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}} : \prod_{j=1}^J \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_j, r_j} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r}, \quad (6.20)$$

given by assigning  $\{\mathcal{X}_j\}_{j=1}^n \mapsto \mathcal{X}$ , where the product “ $\prod$ ” in the domain is taken over  $R$ .

Let  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}} := \{\rho^j\}_{j=1}^J$  be a set of  $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\Xi_{n, L}$ -radii and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^\blacklozenge$  be a dormant  $\mathbb{G}\text{-PGL}_n$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  of radii  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$ . By the equivalence of categories (6.16) resulting from Proposition 6.4.5, (i),  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^\blacklozenge$  corresponds to a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset}^\blacklozenge$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The radii of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset}^\blacklozenge$  can be determined by  $\rho^j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) in accordance with the clutching data  $\mathbb{G}$  (cf. Remark 6.2.4); we denote the resulting radii of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset}^\blacklozenge$  by

$$\rho_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset} \in \Xi_{n, L}^{\times r}. \quad (6.21)$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 6.4.5, (ii), that any point of  $\prod_{j=1}^J \mathcal{O}p_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  classifies a unique (up to isomorphism) dormant  $\mathbb{G}\text{-PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper of radii  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$ . Hence, the assignment  $(\{\mathcal{X}_j\}_{j=1}^J, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G}}^\blacklozenge) \mapsto (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset}^\blacklozenge)$  determines a well-defined morphism

$$\text{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}, \rho_{\mathbb{G}}} : \prod_{j=1}^J \mathcal{O}p_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{\rho_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset}, g, r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$$

**Theorem 6.4.7.** *Let us keep the above notation. Also, let  $\rho$  be an element of  $\Xi_{n, L}^{\times r}$  (where  $\rho := \emptyset$  if  $r = 0$ ). Then, the following commutative square diagram is Cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{\rho_{\mathbb{G}} := \{\rho^j\}_{j=1}^J} \prod_{j=1}^J \mathcal{O}p_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} & \xrightarrow{\prod_{\rho_{\mathbb{G}}} \text{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}, \rho_{\mathbb{G}}}} & \mathcal{O}p_{\rho, g, r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \\ \prod_{\rho_{\mathbb{G}}} \prod_j \Pi_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_{\rho, g, r} \\ \prod_{j=1}^J \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_j, r_j} & \xrightarrow{\text{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}}} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r} \end{array} \quad (6.22)$$

where the products “ $\prod$ ” are taken over  $R$  and the disjoint union on the upper-left corner runs over the sets of  $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\Xi_{n, L}$ -radii  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$  with  $\rho_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset} = \rho$ . Finally, this diagram is compatible with the functors  $\mathcal{L}(-)$  (cf. (6.14)) in a natural sense.

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Proposition 6.4.5, (i) and (ii).  $\square$

**6.5. Nonemptiness of the moduli space.** Let  $S$  be as before. Denote by  $\mathbb{P}$  the projective line over  $S$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{P} := \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_S[x, y])$ . Also, denote by  $[0]$ ,  $[1]$ , and  $[\infty]$  the  $S$ -rational points of  $\mathbb{P}$  determined by the values 0, 1, and  $\infty$ , respectively. After ordering the three points  $[0], [1], [\infty]$ , we obtain a unique (up to isomorphism) 3-pointed stable curve

$$\mathcal{P} := (\mathbb{P}/S, \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}) \quad (6.23)$$

of genus 0 over  $S$ . In particular, we obtain a log curve  $\mathbb{P}^{\text{log}}$  over  $S$  ( $= S^{\text{log}}$ ).

We shall write  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}([0] + [1] + [\infty])$ , and write  $\kappa$  for the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ -linear injection  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^{\oplus 2}$  given by  $w \mapsto (wx, wy)$  for each local section  $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}$  which makes the following square diagram cocartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^{\oplus 2} \\ \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}. \end{array}$$

The trivial  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $(\nabla_{\mathbb{P}, \text{triv}}^{(N-1)})^{\oplus 2}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^{\oplus 2}$  extends uniquely to a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}^{(N-1)}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Note that the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ -linear composite

$$\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}^{(N-1) \Rightarrow (0)}} \Omega \otimes \mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \Omega \otimes (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{L}) \quad (6.24)$$

is nonzero. Since  $\mathbb{P}/S$  is a smooth curve and  $\Omega \otimes (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{L})$  is a line bundle, this composite must be injective. Moreover, the following equalities of relative degrees hold:

$$\deg(\Omega \otimes (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{L})) = \det(\Omega) + \deg(\mathcal{F}) - \deg(\mathcal{L}) = 1 + 3 - 2 = 2 (= \deg(\mathcal{L})).$$

It follows that (6.24) turns out to be an isomorphism. That is to say, the triple

$$\mathcal{F}_{N,S}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}}^{(N-1)}, \mathcal{L})$$

forms a  $\text{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Also, we shall set

$$\mathcal{E}_{N,S}^{\spadesuit} := \mathcal{F}_{N,S}^{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit},$$

which defines a  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Both  $\mathcal{F}_{0,S}^{\heartsuit}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{0,S}^{\spadesuit}$  are dormant and satisfy

$$\sphericalangle \mathcal{F}_{0,S}^{\heartsuit} = \mathcal{F}_{N,S_0}^{\heartsuit}, \quad \sphericalangle \mathcal{E}_{0,S}^{\spadesuit} = \mathcal{E}_{N,S_0}^{\spadesuit}.$$

Denote by

$$\epsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times} / \{\pm 1\} \quad (6.25)$$

the element defined as the image of  $\frac{1}{2} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  via the natural quotient  $\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z}) / \{\pm 1\}$ . (By the bijection (6.7), we occasionally regard it as an element of  $\mathfrak{S}_2 \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^{\times 2} / \Delta$ .) The exponent of  $\mathcal{F}_{N,S_0}^{\heartsuit}$  at every marked point coincides with the multiset  $[1, 0]$  (cf. Proposition 7.1.1 for a more general assertion), so we have  $\rho_i(\mathcal{E}_{N,S_0}^{\spadesuit}) = \epsilon$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

Here, we shall make the definition of a totally degenerate curve over a general base space  $S$  (cf., e.g., [Wak5, Definition 7.15] for the case where  $S$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field).

**Definition 6.5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{X} := (X/S, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r)$  be an  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  over  $S$ . We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is **totally degenerate** if there exists trivalent clutching data  $\mathbb{G} := (\mathbb{G}, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  of type  $(g, r)$  (cf. Definition 6.2.3) satisfying the following conditions:

- $\mathbb{G}$  coincides with the dual semi-graph of  $\mathcal{X}$  (cf. [Wak5, § 7.1.2]);
- $\mathcal{X}$  may be obtained by gluing together  $J$  copies of the 3-pointed projective line  $\mathcal{P}$  by means of  $\mathbb{G}$  in the manner of [Wak5, § 7.2.1].
- The ordering of the marked points  $\{\sigma_i\}_i$  is compatible with that of elements in  $B_{\otimes}$  defined in the manner of Remark 6.2.4.

(Note that such trivalent clutching data is uniquely determined in a certain sense.) In this situation, we say that  $\mathbb{G}$  **corresponds to**  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Theorem 6.5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $r$ -pointed totally degenerate curve of genus  $g$  over  $S$ . We shall set*

$$\epsilon^{\times r} := (\epsilon, \dots, \epsilon) \in ((\mathbb{Z}/p^L\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\})^{\times r}, \quad (6.26)$$

where  $\epsilon^{\times r} := \emptyset$  if  $r = 0$ . Then, there exists a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  of radii  $\epsilon^{\times r}$ . In particular, the category  $\mathcal{O}p_{\epsilon^{\times r}, g, r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  (hence also  $\mathcal{O}p_{g, r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$ ) for  $n = 2$  is nonempty.

*Proof.* Let us take trivalent clutching data corresponding to  $\mathcal{X}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{X}$  may be obtained by gluing together 3-pointed projective lines  $\mathcal{X}_j (\cong \mathcal{P})$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) by means of  $\mathbb{G}$ . Since  $\epsilon = \epsilon^\vee$ , the dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers  $\mathcal{E}_{N, S}^{\spadesuit}$  defined on the respective components  $\mathcal{X}_j$  may be glued together to form a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  (cf. Proposition 6.4.5, (i) and (ii)). The resulting dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper is verified to be of radii  $\epsilon^{\times r}$ , so this completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

Next, let  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$  and  $f^{\mathrm{log}} : X^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow S^{\mathrm{log}}$  be as in § 5.2. Also, let us take a  $\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_{j=0}^2)$  on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ . For an integer  $n$  with  $1 < n < p$ , the  $(n-1)$ -st symmetric product  $S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\mathcal{O}_X$  forms a rank  $n$  vector bundle. It admits a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $S^{n-1}(\nabla)$  induced naturally by  $\nabla$ . Moreover,  $S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$  is equipped with an  $n$ -step decreasing filtration  $\{S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})^j\}_{j=0}^n$  induced from  $\{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j$ ; to be precise, we set  $S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})^0 := S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})^n := 0$ , and  $S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})^j$  (for each  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) is defined as the image of  $(\mathcal{F}^1)^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes(n-1-j)}$  via the natural quotient  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes(n-1)} \twoheadrightarrow S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$ . This filtration satisfies

$$S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})^j / S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})^{j+1} \cong \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes(n-1-j)}$$

for every  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ . By the assumption  $n < p$ , the collection

$$S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit) := (S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}), S^{n-1}(\nabla), \{S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})^j\}_{j=0}^n)$$

is verified to form a  $\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  is dormant, then so is  $S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit)$ .

Since the formation of  $S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit)$  commutes with base-change over  $S$ -schemes and preserves the equivalence class (cf. Definition 5.3.5), the assignment  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit \mapsto S^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit)$  defines a morphism of functors

$$\mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit, n=2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit} \left( \text{resp., } \mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit, n=2}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \right), \quad (6.27)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit, n=2}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit, n=2}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$ ) denotes the functor  $\mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$ ) in the case of  $n = 2$ . By using this morphism, we obtain the following assertion.

- Proposition 6.5.3.** (i) Suppose that  $S$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme (i.e.,  $\ell = 0$ ) and that  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  arises from an unpointed, geometrically connected, proper, and smooth curve of genus  $g > 1$ . Then, the fiber of  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  over each geometric point of  $S$  is nonempty.
- (ii) Suppose that  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$  arises from an unpointed totally degenerate curve of genus  $g > 1$ . Then, the fiber of  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  over each  $S$ -scheme in  $\text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_R^{\text{flat}}/S)$  is nonempty.

*Proof.* First, we shall prove assertion (i). To this end, we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for an algebraically closed field  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Under this assumption,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit, n=2}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is known to be nonempty by [Wak4, Theorem 7.5.2]. Hence, by using the morphism (6.27), we see that  $\mathcal{O}p_{\heartsuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \neq \emptyset$ , which implies  $\mathcal{O}p_{\spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \neq \emptyset$  via the isomorphism  $\Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  (cf. Theorem 5.5.1).

Also, assertion (ii) is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.5.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.5.4.** Suppose that  $R = \mathbb{F}_p$ . Also, let  $n$  and  $N$  be positive integers with  $1 < n < p$ .

- (i) The fibers of the projection  $\Pi_{g,r}$  over the geometric points of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  classifying totally degenerate curves are nonempty. In particular,  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is nonempty.
- (ii) Suppose further that  $r = 0$  (and  $g > 1$ ). Then, the fibers of the projection  $\Pi_{g,r}$  over the geometric points of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}$  classifying smooth curves is nonempty. In particular,  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,0}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}} \mathcal{M}_{g,0}$  is nonempty and  $\Pi_{g,0}$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Assertions (i) and the first assertion of (ii) (as well as the nonemptiness of  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}} \mathcal{M}_{g,0}$ ) follow from Proposition 6.5.3. Also, the first assertion of (ii) implies the surjectivity of  $\Pi_{g,0}$  because  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,0}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is proper over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}$  (cf. Corollary 5.6.2) and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}$  is irreducible.  $\square$

**Remark 6.5.5** (The nonemptiness assertion). The nonemptiness of  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}} \mathcal{M}_{g,r}$  (including the case of  $r > 0$ ) will be proved in Corollary 8.4.4 by a different approach.

**6.6. Factorization property of generic degrees.** As a corollary of 6.4.7, we can prove that, under an étaleness assumption, the collection of the generic degrees  $\deg(\Pi_{\rho,g,r})$  of  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  satisfies specific nice factorization properties determined in accordance with various clutching morphisms  $\text{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}}$ .

**Theorem 6.6.1.** Let  $\rho$  be an element of  $\Xi_{n,N}^{\times r}$  and  $\mathbb{G} := (\mathbb{G}, \{(g_j, r_j)\}_{j=1}^J, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  clutching data of type  $(g, r)$ . Assume that  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  is étale over all the points of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  classifying totally degenerate curves. Then, the finite morphisms  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  and  $\Pi_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, J$ ) are generically étale, i.e., any irreducible component that dominates  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  admits a dense open substack which is étale over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ . Moreover, their generic degrees  $\deg(\Pi_{\rho,g,r})$ ,  $\deg(\Pi_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j})$  satisfy the equality

$$\deg(\Pi_{\rho,g,r}) = \sum_{\rho_{\mathbb{G}} := \{\rho^j\}_{j=1}^J} \prod_{j=1}^J \deg(\Pi_{\rho^j, g_j, r_j}), \quad (6.28)$$

where the sum in the right-hand side runs over the sets of  $\mathbb{G}$ - $\Xi_{n,N}$ -radii  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$  with  $\rho_{\mathbb{G} \Rightarrow \emptyset} = \rho$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the square diagram (6.22). This is Cartesian by Theorem 6.4.7, and the image of its lower horizontal arrow  $\text{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}}$  contains a point classifying a totally degenerate

curve. Hence, by our assumption, the left-hand vertical arrow turns out to be generically étale. This implies the first assertion.

The second assertion follows from the observation that the right-hand side of the desired equality (6.28) is nothing but the generic degree of the left-hand vertical arrow in the Cartesian diagram (6.22).  $\square$

**Example 6.6.2** (Cutting edges). Let us describe the cartesian diagram (6.22), as well as the equality (6.28), in two particular cases corresponding to clutching morphisms, in the classical sense, between moduli spaces of pointed stable curves.

- (i) First, let  $g_1, g_2, r_1$ , and  $r_2$  be nonnegative integers with  $2g_i - 1 + r_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $g = g_1 + g_2, r = r_1 + r_2$ . These integers associate the gluing morphism

$$\Phi_{\text{tree}} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, r_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, r_2+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1+g_2, r_1+r_2}$$

obtained by attaching the respective last marked points of curves classified by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, r_1+1}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, r_2+1}$  to form a node.

For  $\rho_1 \in \Xi_{n, N}^{\times r_1}, \rho_2 \in \Xi_{n, N}^{\times r_2}$ , and  $\rho_0 \in \Xi_{n, N}$ , there exists a morphism

$${}^p\Phi_{\text{tree}, \rho_0} : \mathcal{O}p_{(\rho_1, \rho_0), g_1, r_1+1}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times \mathcal{O}p_{(\rho_2, \rho_0^\vee), g_2, r_2+1}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{(\rho_1, \rho_2), g, r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$$

obtained by gluing together two dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers along the fibers over the respective last marked points of the underlying curves. These morphisms for various  $\rho_0$ 's make the following square diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\rho_0 \in \Xi_{n, N}} \mathcal{O}p_{(\rho_1, \rho_0), g_1, r_1+1}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times \mathcal{O}p_{(\rho_2, \rho_0^\vee), g_2, r_2+1}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} & \xrightarrow{\coprod_{\rho_0} {}^p\Phi_{\text{tree}, \rho_0}} & \mathcal{O}p_{(\rho_1, \rho_2), g, r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \\ \downarrow \coprod_{\rho_0} \Pi_{(\rho_1, \rho_0), g_1, r_1+1} \times \Pi_{(\rho_2, \rho_0^\vee), g_2, r_2+1} & & \downarrow \Pi_{(\rho_1, \rho_2), g, r} \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, r_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, r_2+1} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\text{tree}}} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r}. \end{array}$$

This diagram coincides with (6.22) in the case where  $\mathbb{G}$  is taken to be the clutching data “ $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tree}}$ ” defined in [Wak5, Eq. (908)], i.e., the clutching data whose underlying semi-graph is visualized as in Figure 1 below.

Under the assumption in Theorem 6.6.1, the equality (6.28) in our situation here reads

$$\deg(\Pi_{(\rho_1, \rho_2), g, r}) = \sum_{\rho_0 \in \Xi_{n, N}} \deg(\Pi_{(\rho_1, \rho_0), g_1, r_1+1}) \cdot \deg(\Pi_{(\rho_2, \rho_0^\vee), g_2, r_2+1}). \quad (6.29)$$

- (ii) Next, given nonnegative integers  $g, r$  with  $2g + r > 0$ , we shall write

$$\Phi_{\text{loop}} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r+2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+1, r}$$

for the gluing morphism obtained by attaching the last two marked points of each curve classified by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r+2}$  to form a node.

For  $\rho \in \Xi_{n, N}^{\times r}$  and  $\rho_0 \in \Xi_{n, N}$ , there exists a morphism

$${}^p\Phi_{\text{loop}, \rho_0} : \mathcal{O}p_{(\rho, \rho_0, \rho_0^\vee), g, r+2}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{\rho, g+1, r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$$

obtained by gluing each dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper along the fibers over the last two marked points of the underlying curve. These morphisms for various  $\rho_0$ 's make the following square diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \prod_{\rho_0 \in \Xi_{n,N}} \mathcal{O}p_{(\rho, \rho_0, \rho_0^\vee), g, r+2}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} & \xrightarrow{\prod_{\rho_0} {}^p\Phi_{\mathrm{loop}, \rho_0}} & \mathcal{O}p_{\rho, g+1, r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \\
 \prod_{\rho_0} \Pi_{(\rho, \rho_0, \rho_0^\vee), g, r+2} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_{\rho, g+1, r} \\
 \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r+2} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathrm{loop}}} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+1, r}.
 \end{array}$$

This diagram coincides with (6.22) in the case where  $\mathbb{G}$  is taken to be the clutching data “ $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{loop}}$ ” defined in [Wak5, Eq. (912)], i.e., the clutching data whose underlying semi-graph is visualized as in Figure 2 below.

Under the assumption in Theorem 6.6.1, the equality (6.28) in our situation here reads

$$\deg(\Pi_{(\rho, g+1, r)}) = \sum_{\rho_0 \in \Xi_{n,N}} \deg(\Pi_{(\rho, \rho_0, \rho_0^\vee), g, r+2}).$$




Figure 1




Figure 2

(Here, “ $\circ\text{---}\bullet$ ” represents an open edge and “ $\bullet\text{---}\bullet$ ” represents a closed edge.)

## 7. 2D TQFT FOR DORMANT $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -OPERS

In this section, we prove some assertions concerning the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{O}p_{\rho, g, r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$ , as well as their generic degrees  $\deg(\Pi_{\rho, g, r})$ . These facts together with the factorization property resulting from Theorem 6.6.1 are collectively explained by the notion of a 2d TQFT or a fusion rule (cf. Theorem 7.4.2). It gives an effective way of computing the values  $\deg(\Pi_{\rho, g, r})$  by means of the ring-theoretic structure of the corresponding Frobenius algebra (cf. Theorem 7.5.4).

Let  $n$  be an integer with  $1 < n < p$ ,  $N$  a positive integer,  $(g, r)$  a pair of nonnegative integers with  $2g - 2 + r > 0$ . We shall set  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r} := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r, \mathbb{F}_p}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\rho, g, r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} := \mathcal{O}p_{n, N, \rho, g, r, \mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$ , and  $\Pi_{\rho, g, r} := \Pi_{n, N, \rho, g, r, \mathbb{F}_p}$  for each  $\rho \in \Xi_{n, N}^{\times r}$ .

**7.1. Forgetting tails.** We denote by

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{tail}} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r}$$

the morphism obtained by forgetting the last marked point and successively contracting any resulting unstable components of each curve classified by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r+1}$ . In what follows, we consider the behavior of the moduli stack of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers according to pull-back along  $\Phi_{\mathrm{tail}}$ .

Let  $S$  be a scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\mathcal{X} := (X/S, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^{r+1})$  an  $(r+1)$ -pointed *smooth* curve over  $S$  of genus  $g$  (hence  $S^{\mathrm{log}} = S$ ). We shall write

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{tail}} := (X_{\mathrm{tail}}, \{\sigma_{\mathrm{tail},i}\}_{i=1}^r)$$

for the  $r$ -pointed curve obtained from  $\mathcal{X}$  by forgetting the last marked point. That is to say,  $X_{\mathrm{tail}} = X$  and  $\sigma_{\mathrm{tail},i} = \sigma_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ .

Next, let  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_{\vartheta})$  be a dormant  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S$ , which consists of a line bundle  $\Theta$  on  $X$  and a  $\mathcal{D}_{X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\vartheta}$  on  $\mathcal{T}_{X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}$  with vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature. Note that there always exists such a pair because of the assumption  $p \nmid n$  (cf. Proposition 5.4.3).

By letting  $\Theta_+ := \Theta((n-1)\sigma_{r+1})$ , we have a sequence of natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta_+^{\otimes n} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S}(-\sigma_{r+1})^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta((n-1)\sigma_{r+1})^{\otimes n} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n} \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \sigma_{r+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

The  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{T}_{X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta^{\otimes n}$  associated to  $\nabla_{\vartheta}$  extends, via (7.1), to a unique  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\vartheta,+}$  on  $\mathcal{T}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \Theta_+^{\otimes n}$ . The resulting pair

$$\vartheta_+ := (\Theta_+, \nabla_{\vartheta,+})$$

forms a dormant  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S$ .

In our discussion here, we shall set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\Theta} &:= \mathcal{D}_{X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S, \leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^j := \mathcal{D}_{X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S, \leq n-j-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta \\ \left( \text{resp., } \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+} &:= \mathcal{D}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S, \leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}^j := \mathcal{D}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S, \leq n-j-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \Theta_+ \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 0, \dots, n$ . In particular,  $\{\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^j\}_j$  and  $\{\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}^j\}_j$  define decreasing filtrations of  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}$ , respectively, such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^j / \mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^{j+1} \cong \mathcal{T}_{X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{\otimes (n-1-j)} \otimes \Theta, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}^j / \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}^{j+1} \cong \mathcal{T}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{\otimes (n-1-j)} \otimes \Theta_+. \quad (7.2)$$

The tensor product of the natural inclusion  $\mathcal{D}_{X_{\mathrm{tail}}^{\mathrm{log}}/S, \leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S, \leq n-1}^{(N-1)}((n-1)\sigma_{r+1})$  and the identity morphism of  $\Theta$  forms an inclusion  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}$ , which preserves the filtration.

**Proposition 7.1.1.** *Let  $\nabla^{\diamond}$  be a  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{tail}}$ . Then, there exists a unique  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta_+)$ -oper  $\nabla_+^{\diamond}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  extending  $\nabla^{\diamond}$ . If, moreover,  $\nabla^{\diamond}$  is dormant, then  $\nabla_+^{\diamond}$  is dormant and its exponent at  $\sigma_{r+1}$  coincides with  $[\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \dots, \overline{n-1}]$ , where  $\overline{m}$  (for each integer  $m$ ) denotes the image of  $m$  via  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* We shall write  $U := X \setminus \mathrm{Im}(\sigma_{r+1})$  and write  $u$  for the open immersion  $U \hookrightarrow X$ . The natural morphism  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta} \rightarrow u_*(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}|_U)$  ( $= u_*(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}|_U)$ ) is injective, and  $\nabla^{\diamond}$  extends, via this injection, to a  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\check{\nabla}^{\diamond}$  on  $u_*(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}|_U)$ .



In what follows, we prove the claim that  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+} (\subseteq u_*(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}|_U))$  is closed under  $\check{\nabla}^\diamond$ . Choose a local function  $t$  defining  $\text{Im}(\sigma_{r+1})$  and a local generator  $v$  of the line bundle  $\Theta$  around  $\text{Im}(\sigma_{r+1})$  (hence  $\Theta$  is locally identified with  $\mathcal{O}_X v$ ). The local basis  $\{\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{X_{\text{tail}}^{\text{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$  associated to  $t$  (in the manner of [Ber1, § 1.2.3]) gives locally defined decompositions

$$\mathcal{D}_{X_{\text{tail}}^{\text{log}}/S}^{(N-1)} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{O}_X \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Theta} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_X \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)} \otimes v.$$

These decompositions extend to

$$\mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{log}}/S}^{(N-1)} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{O}_X \cdot t^j \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_X \cdot t^{j-n+1} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)} \otimes v, \quad (7.3)$$

respectively. Let  $a$  and  $j'$  be nonnegative integers with  $j' \leq n-1$ , and regard  $t^{p^a} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(p^a)}$  and  $t^{j'-n+1} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')}$  as local sections of  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}$ , respectively, via (7.3). Then, the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \check{\nabla}^\diamond(t^{p^a} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(p^a)})(t^{j'-n+1} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')} \otimes v) \\ &= t^{p^a} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p^a} \frac{q_{p^a}!}{q_j! \cdot q_{p^a-j}!} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)}(t^{j'-n+1}) \cdot \check{\nabla}^\diamond(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(p^a-j)})(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')} \otimes v) \\ &= t^{p^a} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{p^a, p-n+1+j'\}} \frac{q_{p^a}!}{q_j! \cdot q_{p^a-j}!} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)}(t^{j'-n+1}) \cdot \nabla^\diamond(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(p^a-j)})(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')} \otimes v), \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

where the first equality follows from [Ber1, Eq. (1.2.3.2)] and the second equality follows from the equality  $\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)}(t^{-m}) = 0$  for  $m+j > p$  induced from the discussion following [GLQ, Definition 2.5] (i.e., [Wak4, Eq. (64)]). If  $a = 0$ , then the rightmost of (7.4) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & t \cdot \left( t^{j'-n+1} \cdot \nabla^\diamond(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(1)})(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')} \otimes v) + (j' - n + 1) \cdot t^{j'-n} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')} \otimes v \right) \\ &= t^{(j'+1)-n+1} \cdot \nabla^\diamond(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(1)})(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')} \otimes v) + (j' - n + 1) \cdot t^{j'-n+1} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')} \otimes v. \end{aligned}$$

This local section lies in  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}$  because  $\nabla^\diamond(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(1)})(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')} \otimes v)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^{n-2-j'}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}$ ) when  $j' < n-1$  (resp.,  $j' = n-1$ ). Similarly for the case of  $a > 0$ , the rightmost of (7.4) lies in  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}$  because of the fact that  $t^{p^a} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)}(t^{j'-n+1}) \in \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $\nabla^\diamond(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(p^a-j)})(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j')} \otimes v) \in \mathcal{F}_{\Theta}$ . Since the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$  is locally generated by the sections  $t^{p^a} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(p^a)}$  ( $a \geq 0$ ), we have  $\check{\nabla}^\diamond(\delta)(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}$  for any  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$ . This completes the proof of the claim.

Now, denote by  $\nabla_+^\diamond$  the  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\text{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}$  obtained by restricting  $\check{\nabla}^\diamond$ . By the second “ $\cong$ ” in (7.2),  $\nabla_+^\diamond$  is verified to form a  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta_+)$ -oper, extending  $\nabla^\diamond$ . Since the uniqueness portion follows from the equality  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}|_U = \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}|_U$ , the proof of the first assertion is completed.

Next, to prove the second assertion, we suppose further that  $\nabla^\diamond$  is dormant. After possibly taking the geometric fibers of points in  $S$ , we may assume that  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for an algebraically closed field  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Since  $\check{\nabla}^\diamond$  has vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature, its restriction  $\nabla_+^\diamond$  turns out to be dormant. The formal neighborhood of  $\text{Im}(\sigma_{r+1})$  in  $X$  may be identified with  $U_\circ := \text{Spec}(k[[t]])$

(cf. (4.1)) by using the function  $t$ . It follows from Proposition-Definition 4.4.1 that there exists an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}, \nabla_+^\diamond)|_{U_\Theta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_\Theta, \nabla_{\Theta, d_i}^{(N-1)}) \quad (7.5)$$

for some  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\nabla_{\Theta, d}^{(N-1)}$  for each  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  denotes the  $\mathcal{D}_\Theta^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{O}_\Theta := \mathcal{O}_{U_\Theta}$  defined in (4.11). Here, for each  $\mathcal{D}_\Theta^{(N-1)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ , we shall write  $\text{Res}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  for the cokernel of the natural morphism  $F_{U_\Theta/k}^{(N)*}(\text{Sol}(\nabla)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . The assignment  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \mapsto \text{Res}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is functorial, so the isomorphism (7.5) yields an isomorphism of  $k$ -vector spaces

$$\text{Res}((\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}, \nabla_+^\diamond)|_{U_\Theta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Res}(\mathcal{O}_\Theta, \nabla_{\Theta, d_i}^{(N-1)}). \quad (7.6)$$

The  $\mathcal{D}_\Theta^{(N-1)}$ -module  $(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}, \nabla_+^\diamond)|_{U_\Theta}$  restricts to  $(\mathcal{F}_\Theta, \nabla^\diamond)|_{U_\Theta}$ , which is isomorphic to the direct sum of  $n$  copies of  $(\mathcal{O}_\Theta, \nabla_{\Theta, 0}^{(N-1)})$ . It follows that  $\text{Res}((\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}, \nabla_+^\diamond)|_{U_\Theta})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}/\mathcal{F}_\Theta$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 4.3.1,  $\text{Res}(\mathcal{O}_\Theta, \nabla_{\Theta, d_i}^{(N-1)})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_\Theta/(t^{\tilde{d}_i})$ . Thus, (7.6) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_\Theta/(t^{\tilde{d}_i}) &\cong \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}/\mathcal{F}_\Theta \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} (\mathcal{O}_X \cdot t^{j-n+1} \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)} \otimes v) / (\mathcal{O}_X \cdot \partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(j)} \otimes v) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_\Theta/(t^{n-1-j}). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the equality of sets  $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\} = \{\bar{0}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$ , meaning that the exponent of  $\nabla_+^\diamond$  at  $\sigma_{r+1}$  coincides with  $[\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{n-1}]$ . This completes the proof of the second assertion.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.1.2.** *Let  $\nabla^\diamond$  be a dormant  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta_+)$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  whose exponent at  $\sigma_{r+1}$  coincides with  $[\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{n-1}]$ . Then, there exists a unique dormant  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla_{\text{tail}}^\diamond$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{tail}}$  such that the  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta_+)$ -oper  $(\nabla_{\text{tail}}^\diamond)_+$  associated to  $\nabla_{\text{tail}}^\diamond$  (by applying the construction of Proposition 7.1.1) coincides with  $\nabla^\diamond$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\nabla_{\text{can}}$  denote the canonical  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $F_{X/S}^{(N)*}(\text{Sol}(\nabla^\diamond))$  in the sense of Definition 2.5.4, i.e.,  $\nabla_{\text{can}} := \nabla_{\text{Sol}(\nabla^\diamond), \text{can}}^{(N-1)}$ . The inclusion  $\text{Sol}(\nabla^\diamond) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}$  induces a morphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -modules

$$\eta : (F_{X/S}^{(N)*}(\text{Sol}(\nabla^\diamond)), \nabla_{\text{can}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}, \nabla^\diamond).$$

This morphism becomes an isomorphism when restricted to  $X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{r+1} \text{Im}(\sigma_i)$ . Hence, one can glue together  $(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}, \nabla^\diamond)|_{X \setminus \text{Im}(\sigma_{r+1})}$  and  $(F_{X/S}^{(N)*}(\text{Sol}(\nabla^\diamond)), \nabla_{\text{can}})|_{X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{Im}(\sigma_i)}$  by using the isomorphism  $\eta|_{X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{r+1} \text{Im}(\sigma_i)}$ ; the resulting  $\mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module will be denoted by  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_{\text{tail}}^\diamond)$ . Since

$(F_{X/S}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla^\diamond)), \nabla_{\text{can}})$  comes from a (non-logarithmic)  $\mathcal{D}_{X/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module,  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_{\text{tail}}^\diamond)$  specifies a  $\mathcal{D}_{X_{\text{tail}}^{\text{log}}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module.

In what follows, we prove the equality  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\Theta$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodules of  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}$ . To this end, we may assume, after possibly restricting  $\mathcal{X}$  over each geometric point of  $S$ , that  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for an algebraically closed field  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Let us fix a local function  $t$  defining  $\text{Im}(\sigma_{r+1})$ , which gives an identification of the formal neighborhood of  $\text{Im}(\sigma_{r+1}) \subseteq X$  with  $U_\varnothing$  (cf. (4.1)). The assumption on  $\nabla^\diamond$  implies the existence of an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{D}_\varnothing^{(N-1)}$ -modules

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta,+}, \nabla^\diamond)|_{U_\varnothing} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} (\mathcal{O}_\varnothing, \nabla_{\varnothing, \bar{i}}^{(N-1)}). \quad (7.7)$$

According to Proposition 6.1.3, (ii), the global section  $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in H^0(U_\varnothing, \mathcal{O}_\varnothing^{\oplus n})$  formally generates its codomain (as a  $\mathcal{D}_\varnothing^{(N-1)}$ -module). Hence, the  $\mathcal{O}_\varnothing$ -module  $\mathcal{F}_\Theta|_{U_\varnothing}$  is formally generated by the sections corresponding, via (7.7), to the sections

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\nabla_{\varnothing, \bar{1}}^{(N-1)}(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(1)}))^j(t^{n-1}), \dots, (\nabla_{\varnothing, \bar{n-1}}^{(N-1)}(\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(1)}))^j(t^{n-1})) \\ &= \left( \binom{n-1-0}{j} t^{n-1-j}, \dots, \binom{n-1-(n-1)}{j} t^{n-1-j} \right) \in H^0(U_\varnothing, \mathcal{O}_\varnothing^{\oplus n}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ , where  $\partial_{\text{non-log}}^{(1)}$  is as in the proof of Proposition 7.1.1, and  $\binom{m}{0} := 1$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By this fact together with Proposition 4.3.1,  $\mathcal{F}_\Theta|_{U_\varnothing}$  coincides with  $F_{U_\varnothing/k}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla^\diamond|_{U_\varnothing}))$ . This proves the desired equality  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\Theta$ .

The collection  $(\mathcal{F}_\Theta, \nabla_{\text{tail}}^\diamond, \{\mathcal{F}_\Theta^j\}_{j=0}^n)$  forms a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N-1)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{tail}}$  because of the above discussion and the fact that its restriction to  $X \setminus \text{Im}(\sigma_{r+1})$  defines a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N-1)}$ -oper. Moreover, it follows from the various definitions involved that  $\nabla_{\text{tail}}^\diamond$  specifies a dormant  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N-1)}, \vartheta)$ -oper satisfying the required conditions. The proof of the assertion is completed.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  be a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_{\text{tail}}$ . This corresponds, via the isomorphism  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta}^{\text{Zzz...}}$  (cf. Theorem 5.5.1), to a dormant  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla^\diamond$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{E}_+^\spadesuit$  the dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  corresponding to the  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta_+)$ -oper  $\nabla_+^\diamond$  constructed from  $\nabla^\diamond$  in the manner of Proposition 7.1.1. Note that the isomorphism classes of  $\mathcal{E}_+^\spadesuit$  depend only on that of  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  (i.e., does not depend on the choice of  $\vartheta$ ).

Moreover, by Proposition 7.1.1 again, the radius of  $\mathcal{E}_+^\spadesuit$  at  $\sigma_{r+1}$  coincides with  $\varepsilon$ . Here, we set

$$\varepsilon := \pi_\Delta([\bar{0}, \dots, \overline{n-1}]) \in \mathfrak{S}_n \setminus (\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^{\times n} / \Delta$$

(cf. (6.6) for the definition of  $\pi_\Delta$ ). Note that this element for  $n = 2$  corresponds to “ $\varepsilon$ ” (cf. (6.25)) via the bijection (6.7).

The following assertion follows immediately from Proposition 7.1.2.

**Proposition 7.1.3.** *Let  $(g, r)$  be a pair of nonnegative integers with  $2g - 1 + r > 0$  and  $\rho$  an element of  $\Xi_{n,N}^{\times r}$ . Then, the assignment*

$$(\mathcal{X}, (\mathcal{X}_{\text{tail}}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)) \mapsto (\mathcal{X}, (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}_+^\spadesuit))$$

constructed above is functorial with respect to base-change over  $S$ -schemes, and determines an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,r+1} \times_{\Phi_{\text{tail}}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}} \mathcal{O}P_{\rho,g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{g,r+1} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r+1}} \mathcal{O}P_{(\rho,\varepsilon),g,r+1}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$$

of stacks over  $\mathcal{M}_{g,r+1}$ .

Moreover, the following assertion is a direct consequence of the above proposition.

**Corollary 7.1.4.** *Let  $(g, r)$  and  $\rho$  be as in Proposition 7.1.3. Also, let us keep the assumption in Theorem 6.6.1. Then, the following equality holds:*

$$\deg(\Pi_{(\rho,\varepsilon),g,r+1}) = \deg(\Pi_{\rho,g,r}).$$

**7.2.  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on a 2-pointed projective line.** Let  $S$  be a scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and let  $\mathbb{P} := \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_S[x, y])$  denote the projective line over  $S$ . Denote by  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  the marked points of  $\mathbb{P}$  determined by the values 0 and  $\infty$ , respectively (i.e.,  $\sigma_1 := [0]$  and  $\sigma_2 := [\infty]$  in the terminology of §6.5). In particular, we have a 2-pointed curve

$$\mathcal{P}' := (\mathbb{P}, \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\})$$

over  $S$ . The  $S$ -scheme  $\mathbb{P}$  has two open subschemes  $U_1 := \mathbb{P} \setminus \text{Im}(\sigma_2) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S[t_1])$  (where  $t_1 := x/y$ ) and  $U_2 := \mathbb{P} \setminus \text{Im}(\sigma_1) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S[t_2])$  (where  $t_2 := y/x$ ).

Just as in the hyperbolic case, we can equip  $\mathbb{P}$  with a log structure induced from the relative divisor defined as the union of  $\text{Im}(\sigma_1)$  and  $\text{Im}(\sigma_2)$ ; the resulting log curve will be denoted by  $\mathbb{P}^{\text{log}'}$ . Also, the definition of radius  $\rho_i(-)$  at  $\sigma_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) can be formulated as in Definition 6.3.2.

**Proposition 7.2.1.** (i) *Let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  be a dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}'$ . Then, the equality  $\rho_1(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit) = \rho_2(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)^\vee$  holds.*  
(ii) *For each  $\rho \in \Xi_{n,N}$ , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}'$  with  $\rho = \rho_1(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit) = \rho_2(\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)^\vee$ .*

*Proof.* First, we shall prove assertion (i). For each  $i = 1, 2$ , denote by  $\{\partial_i^{(j)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  the (globally defined) basis of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^{\text{log}'}/S}^{(N-1)}$  associated to the function  $t_i$ . In particular, we have  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^{\text{log}'}/S}^{(N-1)} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \cdot \partial_i^{(j)}$ . The morphism  $\mu_{(-)}$  and the sheaves  $\mathcal{P}_{(-)}^\ell$  ( $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) discussed in §§2.1-2.2 can be defined even in our situation here. In particular, we obtain a section  $\eta_i := \mu_{(N-1)}(t_i) - 1$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{(N-1)}^\ell$ . Since  $\mu_{(N-1)}$  preserves the monoid structure, we have

$$(1 + \eta_1)(1 + \eta_2) = \mu_{(N-1)}(t_1) \cdot \mu_{(N-1)}(t_2) = \mu_{(N-1)}(t_1 \cdot t_2) = \mu_{(N-1)}(1) = 1.$$

Just as in the proof of Lemma 4.1.1, the resulting equality  $(1 + \eta_1)(1 + \eta_2) = 1$  induces

$$\partial_2^{(p^a)} = -\partial_1^{(p^a)} - 1 + \prod_{b=0}^{a-1} (1 - (\partial_1^{(p^b)})^{p-1}).$$

Then, the assertion follows from (4.8) and Proposition 4.3.2.

Next, we shall prove assertion (ii). Denote by  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}^{(N-1)}$  the trivial  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^{\text{log}'}/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ . By fixing an identification  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{\text{log}'}/S} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ , we regard the pair  $\vartheta := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}, \nabla_{\text{triv}}^{(N-1)})$  as a dormant  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $\mathbb{P}^{\text{log}'}/S$ . Since  $n < p$ , there exists an  $n$ -tuple of integers

$(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  such that  $\pi_\Delta([d_1, \dots, d_n]) = \rho$ ,  $p^N \mid \sum_{j=1}^n d_j$ , and  $0 \leq d_j \leq p^N - 1$  for every  $j$ . Given each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we denote by  $\nabla_j$  the  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_p}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j[\sigma_1] - d_j[\sigma_2])$  whose restriction to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus (\text{Im}(\sigma_1) \cup \text{Im}(\sigma_2))$  coincides with the trivial one. It is verified that the exponents of  $\nabla_j$  at  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are  $d_j$  and  $-d_j$  (as elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ ), respectively. The direct sum  $\nabla := \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \nabla_j$  defines a  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_p}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on the rank  $n$  vector bundle

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j[\sigma_1] - d_j[\sigma_2]).$$

Let us fix isomorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ -modules  $\tau_j : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j[\sigma_1] - d_j[\sigma_2])$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Denote by  $\mathcal{F}^{n-1}$  the line subbundle of  $\mathcal{F}$  defined to as the image of the diagonal embedding  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . Also, for each  $j = 0, \dots, n-2$ , let  $\mathcal{F}^j$  denote the subbundle of  $\mathcal{F}$  generated locally by  $v, \nabla(v), \dots, \nabla^{n-1-j}(v)$  for local sections  $v \in \mathcal{F}^{n-1}$ . Since the mod  $p$  reductions of  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  are mutually distinct, we see that the collection

$$(\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_{j=0}^n)$$

forms a dormant  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}'$  (cf. Proposition 6.1.3, (ii)), and its exponent at  $\sigma_1$  coincides with  $[d_1, \dots, d_n]$ . The composite

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \left( = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_p, \leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_p, \leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_p}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{F} \quad (7.8)$$

is an isomorphism, so  $\nabla$  is transposed into a  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_p}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla^\diamond$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}$  via this composite. Then,  $\nabla^\diamond$  specifies a dormant  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper, and the induced  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  satisfies the required condition. This completes the existence assertion of (ii).

To prove the uniqueness portion, let us take another dormant  $\text{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_1^\spadesuit$  on  $\mathcal{P}'$  with  $\rho = \rho_1(\mathcal{E}_1^\spadesuit) = \rho_2(\mathcal{E}_1^\spadesuit)^\vee$ ; it corresponds to a dormant  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla_1^\diamond$  on  $\mathcal{P}'$ , whose exponent at  $\sigma_1$  coincides with  $[d_1, \dots, d_n]$ . Under the identification  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus n}$  given by composing (7.8) and  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \tau_j^{-1} : \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j[\sigma_1] - d_j[\sigma_2]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus n}$ , both  $\nabla_1^\diamond$  and  $\nabla^\diamond$  may be regarded as  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_p}^{(N-1)}$ -module structures on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus n}$ . After possibly applying a suitable gauge transformation of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus n}$ , we may assume that the monodromy operator  $\mu_1(\nabla_1^\diamond)$  of  $\nabla_1^\diamond$  at  $\sigma_1$  (cf. Definition 6.1.1) coincide with that of  $\nabla^\diamond$ . For each  $a = 0, \dots, N-1$  and each  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_p}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla'$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus n}$  ( $= \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}$ ), we shall set

$$M^a(\nabla') := (\nabla'(\partial_1^{(p^a)})(\vec{e}_1), \nabla'(\partial_1^{(p^a)})(\vec{e}_2), \dots, \nabla'(\partial_1^{(p^a)})(\vec{e}_n)) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus n})),$$

where  $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n$  denote the canonical basis vectors. Because of [Mon, Eq. (2.5)], each such  $\nabla'$  is uniquely determined by  $\{M^a(\nabla')\}_{a=0}^{N-1}$ . On the other hand, the morphism

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus n})) (= \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}))) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\sigma_1^*(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_X}))$$

given by restriction to  $\sigma_1$  is bijective and the image of  $M^a(\nabla')$  coincides with  $\mu_1(\nabla')^{(a)}$  (cf. (6.2)). Hence, the equality  $\mu_1(\nabla_1^\diamond) = \mu_1(\nabla^\diamond)$  implies  $\nabla_1^\diamond = \nabla^\diamond$ . This completes the proof of the uniqueness assertion.  $\square$

By combining Propositions 7.1.2 and 7.2.1, (i) and (ii), we obtain the following assertion.

**Corollary 7.2.2.** *Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  be elements of  $\Xi_{n,N}$ . Then, we have*

$$\mathcal{O}P_{(\rho_1, \rho_2, \varepsilon), 0, 3}^{\text{zzz}\dots} \cong \begin{cases} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p) & \text{if } \rho_1 = \rho_2; \\ \emptyset & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*In particular, the following equality holds:*

$$\deg(\Pi_{(\rho_1, \rho_2, \varepsilon), 0, 3}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho_1 = \rho_2; \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**7.3. Dual of  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers.** Let  $(g, r)$  be a pair of nonnegative integers with  $2g - 2 + r > 0$  and  $\mathcal{X} := (X, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r)$  an  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  over an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme  $S$ . For simplicity, we write  $\Omega := \Omega_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} := \mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(N-1)}$ .

Consider a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_{j=0}^n)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . For each  $j = 0, \dots, n$ , we regard  $\mathcal{F}^{j\vee} := (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^{n-j})^\vee$  as a subbundle of  $\mathcal{F}^\vee$ . According to [Mon, Corollaire 2.6.1, (ii)],  $\nabla$  induces a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla^\vee$  on  $\mathcal{F}^\vee$ . The resulting collection

$$\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit\blacktriangledown} := (\mathcal{F}^\vee, \nabla^\vee, \{\mathcal{F}^{j\vee}\}_{j=0}^n)$$

forms a  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ , which will be called the **dual** of  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$ . Note that  $(\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit\blacktriangledown})^\blacktriangledown$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  itself, and that  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit\blacktriangledown}$  is dormant when  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  is dormant.

Next, let  $\vartheta := (\theta, \nabla_\vartheta)$  be an  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . Write

$$\theta^\blacktriangledown := \Omega^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \theta^\vee.$$

Then, we have a composite isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes (\theta^\blacktriangledown)^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes (-n(n-1))} \otimes (\theta^\vee)^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes \theta^{\otimes n})^\vee.$$

By this composite, the dual  $\nabla_\vartheta^\vee$  of  $\nabla_\vartheta$  may be regarded as a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \otimes (\theta^\blacktriangledown)^{\otimes n}$ . Thus, we obtain an  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic

$$\vartheta^\blacktriangledown := (\theta^\blacktriangledown, \nabla_\vartheta^\vee)$$

of  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . We shall refer to  $\vartheta^\blacktriangledown$  as the **dual** of  $\vartheta$ . It is immediately verified that  $(\vartheta^\blacktriangledown)^\blacktriangledown$  coincides with  $\vartheta$ , and that  $\vartheta^\blacktriangledown$  is dormant when  $\vartheta$  is dormant.

Fix a  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla^\diamond$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Since

$$\mathcal{F}_\theta^{\vee n-1} = (\mathcal{F}_\theta/\mathcal{F}_\theta^1)^\vee = (\mathcal{T}^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \theta)^\vee = \theta^\blacktriangledown,$$

we have an inclusion  $\theta^\blacktriangledown \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\theta^\vee$ . The composite

$$\mathcal{F}_{\theta^\blacktriangledown} \left( = \mathcal{D}_{\leq n-1}^{(N-1)} \otimes \theta^\blacktriangledown \right) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_\theta^\vee \xrightarrow{\nabla^{\diamond\vee}} \mathcal{F}_\theta^\vee$$

turns out to be an isomorphism, and the dual  $\nabla^{\diamond\blacktriangledown}$  of  $\nabla^\diamond$  corresponds, via this composite, to a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure

$$\nabla^{\diamond\blacktriangledown}$$

on  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta^\blacktriangledown}$ . Moreover,  $\nabla^{\diamond\blacktriangledown}$  forms a  $(\text{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta^\blacktriangledown)$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  whose underlying  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper coincides with the dual of  $\nabla^{\diamond\Rightarrow\heartsuit}$ , i.e.,  $(\nabla^{\diamond\blacktriangledown})^{\Rightarrow\heartsuit} = (\nabla^{\diamond\Rightarrow\heartsuit})^\blacktriangledown$ .

If both  $\vartheta$  and  $\nabla^\diamond$  are dormant, then  $\nabla^{\diamond\nabla}$  is dormant. Thus, the assignment  $\nabla^\diamond \mapsto \nabla^{\diamond\nabla}$  defines an equivalence of categories between the categories of (dormant)  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -opers and (dormant)  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta^\nabla)$ -opers.

Suppose that we are given a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The dual of the  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  induces a  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper

$$\mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit\nabla}.$$

The isomorphism classes of  $\mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit\nabla}$  does not depend on the choice of  $\vartheta$ , and  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is dormant if and only if  $\mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit\nabla}$  is dormant. Also, we have  $(\mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit\nabla})^\nabla \cong \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ .

**Proposition 7.3.1.** (i) *The assignment  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit \mapsto \mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit\nabla}$  is functorial with respect to pull-back over  $S$ , and hence, determines an isomorphism of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ -stacks*

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{O}p_{g,r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}p_{g,r} \quad (\text{resp., } {}^p\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}), \quad (7.9)$$

satisfying  $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C} \cong \mathrm{id}$  (resp.,  ${}^p\mathcal{C} \circ {}^p\mathcal{C} \cong \mathrm{id}$ ).

(b) *Let  $\rho$  be an element of  $\Xi_{n,N}^{\times r}$ . Then, (7.9) restricts to an isomorphism of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ -stacks*

$${}^p\mathcal{C}_\rho : \mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}p_{\rho^\vee,g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$$

with  ${}^p\mathcal{C}_{\rho^\vee} \circ {}^p\mathcal{C}_\rho \cong \mathrm{id}$  (cf. (6.15) for the definition of  $(-)^\vee$ ).

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows from the above discussion. Also, assertion (ii) follows from assertion (i) together with the second assertion of Proposition 4.3.4, (i).  $\square$

The following assertion is a direct consequence of the above theorem.

**Corollary 7.3.2.** *Let us keep the assumption in Theorem 6.6.1. Then, for each  $\rho \in \Xi_{n,N}^{\times r}$ , the following equality holds:*

$$\deg(\Pi_{\rho,g,r}) = \deg(\Pi_{\rho^\vee,g,r}).$$

**7.4. 2d TQFT for dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$ -opers.** This subsection aims to describe the various factorization properties on the generic degrees  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  proved so far in terms of 2d TQFTs (= 2-dimensional topological quantum field theories). To begin with, let us briefly recall what a 2d TQFT is. For details on its precise definition, we refer the reader to [Koc] (or [Ati], [DuMu1], [DuMu2]).

Let us fix a field  $K$  (say,  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Denote by  $\mathcal{Vect}_K$  the category consisting of vector spaces over  $K$  and  $K$ -linear maps between them. Equipped with the ordinary tensor product  $\otimes_K$  as the multiplication, with the ground field  $K$  as the unit, and with the collection of maps  $T_{\mathcal{Vect}} := \{T_{V,V'} : V \otimes_K V' \rightarrow V' \otimes_K V\}_{V,V' \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{Vect}_K)}$  given by interchanging the two factors of  $\otimes_K$  as the symmetric braiding, the quadruple

$$(\mathcal{Vect}_K, \otimes_K, K, T_{\mathcal{Vect}})$$

specifies a symmetric monoidal category.

Next, let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  be closed oriented  $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional manifolds ( $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ). An *oriented cobordism* from  $\Sigma$  to  $\Sigma'$  is a compact oriented  $\ell$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  together with smooth maps  $\Sigma \rightarrow M$ ,  $\Sigma' \rightarrow M$  such that  $\Sigma$  maps diffeomorphically (preserving orientation) onto the in-boundary of  $M$ , and  $\Sigma'$  maps diffeomorphically (preserving orientation) onto the out-boundary of  $M$ . We will denote it by  $M : \Sigma \Rightarrow \Sigma'$ . Two oriented cobordisms  $M, M' : \Sigma \Rightarrow \Sigma'$

are *equivalent* if there is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism  $\psi : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M'$  inducing the identity morphisms of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$ .

Denote by  $\ell\text{-Cob}$  the category defined as follows:

- The objects are  $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional closed oriented manifolds;
- Given two such objects  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$ , a morphism from  $\Sigma$  to  $\Sigma'$  is an equivalence class of oriented cobordisms  $M : \Sigma \Rightarrow \Sigma'$  (in the above sense). The identity morphisms are just the cylinders, and the composition of morphisms is given by gluing cobordism classes.

Equipped with the disjoint union  $\sqcup$  as the multiplication, with the empty manifold  $\emptyset$  as the unit, and with the collection of twist diffeomorphisms  $T_{\ell\text{-Cob}} := \{T_{\Sigma, \Sigma'} : \Sigma \sqcup \Sigma' \Rightarrow \Sigma' \sqcup \Sigma\}_{\Sigma, \Sigma' \in \text{Ob}(\ell\text{-Cob})}$  as the symmetric braiding, the quadruple

$$(\ell\text{-Cob}, \sqcup, \emptyset, T_{\ell\text{-Cob}})$$

forms a symmetric monoidal category.

**Definition 7.4.1** (cf. [Koc], § 1.3.32). An  $\ell$ -dimensional topological quantum field theory (over  $K$ ), or  $\ell$ d TQFT for short, is a symmetric monoidal functor from  $(\ell\text{-Cob}, \sqcup, \emptyset, T_{\ell\text{-Cob}})$  to  $(\text{Vect}_K, \otimes_K, K, T_{\text{Vect}})$ .

Hereinafter, we focus on 2d TQFTs. We can uniquely classify an isomorphism class of objects in  $2\text{-Cob}$  with an integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  indicating the number of connected components, i.e., the number of disjoint circles  $\mathbb{S} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ . In other words, the full subcategory whose objects are  $\{\mathbb{S}^r \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ , where  $\mathbb{S}^0 := \emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{S}^r$  denotes the disjoint union of  $r$  copies of  $\mathbb{S}$ , forms a skeleton of  $2\text{-Cob}$ .

Also, each connected oriented cobordism in  $2\text{-Cob}$  may be represented by  $\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s}$  for some triple of nonnegative integers  $(g, r, s)$ , where  $\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s}$  denotes a connected, compact oriented surface whose in-boundary and out-boundary are  $\mathbb{S}^r$  and  $\mathbb{S}^s$ , respectively. According to [Koc, Lemma 1.4.19], every oriented cobordism in  $2\text{-Cob}$  factors as a permutation cobordism, followed by a disjoint union of  $\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s}$ 's (for various triples  $(g, r, s)$ ), followed by a permutation cobordism.

It follows that a 2d TQFT  $\mathcal{Z} : \text{Vect}_K \rightarrow 2\text{-Cob}$  is uniquely determined by the  $K$ -vector space  $A := \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{S}^1)$  and the collection of  $K$ -linear maps

$$\omega_g^{r \Rightarrow s} := \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s}) : A^{\otimes r} (= \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{S}^r)) \rightarrow A^{\otimes s} (= \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{S}^s))$$

for  $(g, r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\times 3}$  (where  $A^{\otimes 0} := K$ ). This collection of data satisfies the following properties (cf. [DuMu1, § 3], [DuMu2, Definition 2.1]):

- (1) The  $K$ -linear map  $\omega_0^{1 \Rightarrow 1}$  coincides with  $\text{id}_A$  (because  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a functor), and  $\omega_g^{r \Rightarrow s}$  (for  $r \geq 1$ ) is symmetric with respect to the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_r$  ( $:=$  the symmetric group of  $r$  letters) arising from permutations of the  $r$  factors in the domain  $A^{\otimes r}$ ;
- (2) If  $\check{\omega}_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0}$  denotes the  $K$ -linear morphism  $A \rightarrow A^\vee$  induced by  $\omega_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0} : A^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow K$ , then the square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{\otimes r} & \xrightarrow{\omega_g^{r \Rightarrow s}} & A^{\otimes s} \\ (\check{\omega}_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0})^{\otimes r} \downarrow & & \downarrow (\check{\omega}_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0})^{\otimes s} \\ (A^\vee)^{\otimes r} & \xrightarrow{(\omega_g^{s \Rightarrow r})^\vee} & (A^\vee)^{\otimes s} \end{array} \quad (7.10)$$

is commutative for any  $(g, r, s)$ .



- (3) For each triples  $(g_1, r_1, s_1), (g_2, r_2, s_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times 3}$  and each positive integer  $\ell$  with  $\ell \leq s_1$  and  $\ell \leq r_2$ , the equality

$$(\mathrm{id}_A^{\otimes(s_1-\ell)} \otimes \omega_{g_2}^{r_2 \Rightarrow s_2}) \circ (\omega_{g_1}^{r_1 \Rightarrow s_1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_A^{\otimes(r_2-\ell)}) = \omega_{g_1+g_2+\ell-1}^{(r_1+r_2-\ell) \Rightarrow (s_1+s_2-\ell)} \quad (7.11)$$

of  $K$ -linear maps  $A^{\otimes(r_1+r_2-\ell)} \rightarrow A^{\otimes(s_1+s_2-\ell)}$  (arising from gluing only  $\ell$  pairs of boundary circles in two cobordisms) holds;

By the condition (3) for  $(g_1, r_1, s_1) = (0, 0, 2)$ ,  $(g_2, r_2, s_2) = (0, 2, 0)$ , and  $\ell = 1$ , the morphism  $\omega_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0}$  introduced in (2) turns out to be an isomorphism (i.e.,  $\omega_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0}$  is nondegenerate). On the other hand, the case of  $(g_1, r_1, s_1) = (0, 1, 2)$ ,  $(g_2, r_2, s_2) = (0, 1, 0)$ , and  $\ell = 1$  implies that  $\omega_0^{1 \Rightarrow 0}$  is nontrivial.

Conversely, a  $K$ -vector space  $A$  together with a collection of various  $K$ -linear maps  $\omega_g^{r \Rightarrow s} : A^{\otimes r} \rightarrow A^{\otimes s}$  satisfying the conditions (1)-(3) above extends to a unique 2d TQFT over  $K$ .

Returning to our discussion, we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 7.4.2.** *Suppose that  $\Pi_{\rho, g, r}$  (for any  $(g, r, \rho)$ ) is étale over all the points of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r}$  classifying totally degenerate curves. Then, there exists a unique 2d TQFT*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{n, N} : (2\text{-Cob}, \sqcup, \emptyset, T_2\text{-cob}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Vect}_K, \otimes_K, K, T_{\mathrm{Vect}})$$

over  $K$  determined by the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{S}^1) = K^{\Xi_{n, N}}$ , i.e., the  $K$ -vector space with basis  $\Xi_{n, N}$ ;
- $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_0^{0 \Rightarrow 0}) = \mathrm{id}_K$ , and  $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_1^{0 \Rightarrow 0}) = \sharp(\Xi_{n, N}) \cdot \mathrm{id}_K (= \binom{p}{n} \cdot p^{nN-n-N} \cdot \mathrm{id}_K)$ ;
- $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_0^{0 \Rightarrow 1}) : K \rightarrow K^{\Xi_{n, N}}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_0^{0 \Rightarrow 2}) : K \rightarrow (K^{\Xi_{n, N}})^{\otimes 2}$  satisfy

$$\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_0^{0 \Rightarrow 1})(1) = \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_0^{0 \Rightarrow 2})(1) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Xi_{n, N}} \lambda \otimes \lambda^\vee,$$

respectively.

- $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_0^{1 \Rightarrow 0}) : K^{\Xi_{n, N}} \rightarrow K$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0}) : (K^{\Xi_{n, N}})^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow K$  satisfy

$$\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_0^{1 \Rightarrow 0})(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \varepsilon; \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0})(\lambda \otimes \eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta = \lambda^\vee; \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

respectively.

- For any triple of nonnegative integers  $(g, r, s)$  with  $2g - 2 + r + s > 0$ , the  $K$ -linear map  $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s}) : (K^{\Xi_{n, N}})^{\otimes r} \rightarrow (K^{\Xi_{n, N}})^{\otimes s}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s})\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r \rho_i\right) = \sum_{(\lambda_j)_j \in \Xi_{n, N}^{\times s}} \deg(\Pi_{((\rho_i)_i, (\lambda_j^\vee)_j), g, r+s}) \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \lambda_j.$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, we write  $\omega_g^{r \Rightarrow s} := \mathcal{Z}_{n, N}(\mathbb{M}_g^{r \Rightarrow s})$ ,  $\Xi := \Xi_{n, N}$ ,  $\Xi^r := \Xi_{n, N}^{\times r}$ , and moreover, we abbreviate  $\deg(\Pi_{(\rho_i)_i, g, r})$  to the notation  $D_{(\rho_i)_i, g, r}$  or  $D_{(\rho_i)_i}$  if there is no fear of confusion.

The condition (1) described above is fulfilled because of the definition of  $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}$  (together with the fact that  $D_{(\rho_i)_i}$  does not depend on the ordering of  $(\rho_i)_i$ ).

Given an element  $\lambda \in \Xi$ , we shall write  $\lambda^\vee$  for the element of  $K^{\Xi^\vee} (= \mathrm{Hom}_K(K^\Xi, K))$  determined by  $\lambda^\vee(\rho) = 1$  if  $\lambda = \rho$  and  $\lambda^\vee(\rho) = 0$  if  $\lambda \neq \rho \in \Xi$ . For each triple  $(g, r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times 3}$

with  $2g - 2 + r + s > 0$  and each  $(\rho_i)_i \in \Xi^r$ , the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\omega_g^{s \Rightarrow r})^\vee \circ (\check{\omega}_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0})^{\otimes r} \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \rho_i \right) &= (\omega_g^{s \Rightarrow r})^\vee \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^r (\rho_i^\vee)^\vee \right) \\
&= \sum_{(\lambda_j)_j} D_{((\lambda_j)_j, (\rho_i)_i)} \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^\vee \\
&= (\check{\omega}_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0})^{\otimes s} \left( \sum_{(\lambda_j)_j} D_{((\rho_i)_i, (\lambda_j)_j)} \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^\vee \right) \\
&= (\check{\omega}_0^{2 \Rightarrow 0})^{\otimes s} \circ \omega_g^{r \Rightarrow s} \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \rho_i \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the third follows from the fact that  $D_{((\rho_i)_i, (\lambda_j)_j)}$  does not depend on the ordering of  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ . That is to say, the square diagram (7.10) is commutative. The commutativities for the remaining cases of  $(g, r, s)$ 's are immediately verified, so  $\mathcal{Z}_{n,N}$  satisfies the condition (2).

Finally, let us take two triples  $(g_j, r_j, s_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times 3}$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) with  $2g_j - 2 + r_j + s_j > 0$  and a positive integer  $\ell$  with  $\ell \leq s_1$  and  $\ell \leq r_2$ . Consider the clutching data

$$\mathbb{G} := (\mathcal{G}, \{(g_j, r_j + s_j)\}_{j=1}^2, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^2)$$

determined by the following conditions:

- $\mathbb{G}$  is of type  $(g_1 + g_2 + \ell - 1, r_1 + r_2 + s_1 + s_2 - 2\ell)$ ;
- $\mathcal{G} := (\{v_1, v_2\}, \{e_i\}_{i=1}^\ell \sqcup \{e_{1,i}\}_{i=1}^{r_1+s_1-\ell} \sqcup \{e_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^{r_2+s_2-\ell}, \zeta)$ , where  $v_j$ 's,  $e_i$ 's, and  $e_{j,i}$ 's are abstract symbols, and  $\zeta$  is given by  $\zeta(e_i) = \{v_1, v_2\}$  (for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ ) and  $\zeta(e_{j,i}) = \{\otimes, v_j\}$  (for  $j = 1, 2$  and  $i = 1, \dots, r_j + s_j - \ell$ ).
- For each  $j = 1, 2$ , the bijection  $\lambda_j : B_{v_j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, r_j + s_j\}$  is given by  $\lambda(b) = i$  if  $b \in e_{j,i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r_j + s_j - \ell$ ) and  $\lambda(b) = r_j + s_j - \ell + i$  if  $b \in e_i$ .

By applying Theorem 6.6.1 to this clutching data, we obtain, for each  $(\rho_{1,i_1})_{i_1} \in \Xi^{r_1+s_1-\ell}$  and  $(\rho_{2,i_2})_{i_2} \in \Xi^{r_2+s_2-\ell}$ , the equality

$$D_{((\rho_{1,i_1})_{i_1}, (\rho_{2,i_2})_{i_2})} = \sum_{\rho_3 \in \Xi^\ell} D_{((\rho_{1,i_1})_{i_1}, \rho_3)} \cdot D_{((\rho_{2,i_2})_{i_2}, \rho_3^\vee)}. \quad (7.12)$$

It follows that, for  $(\rho_i)_i \in \Xi^{r_1+r_2-\ell}$ , the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{id}_A^{\otimes(s_1-\ell)} \otimes \omega_{g_2}^{r_2 \Rightarrow s_2}) \circ (\omega_{g_1}^{r_1 \Rightarrow s_1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_A^{\otimes(r_2-\ell)}) \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r_1+r_2-\ell} \rho_i \right) \\
&= (\mathrm{id}_A^{\otimes(s_1-\ell)} \otimes \omega_{g_2}^{r_2 \Rightarrow s_2}) \left( \sum_{(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{s_1} \in \Xi^{s_1}} D_{((\rho_i)_{i=1}^{r_1}, (\lambda_j^{\vee})_{j=1}^{s_1})} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{s_1} \lambda_j \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2-\ell} \rho_i \right) \\
&= \sum_{(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{s_1} \in \Xi^{s_1}} \sum_{(\eta_{j'})_{j'=1}^{s_2} \in \Xi^{s_2}} D_{((\rho_i)_{i=1}^{r_1}, (\lambda_j^{\vee})_{j=1}^{s_1})} \cdot D_{((\lambda_j)_{j=s_1-\ell+1}^{s_1}, (\rho_i)_{i=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2-\ell}, (\eta_{j'}^{\vee})_{j'=1}^{s_2})} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{s_1-\ell} \lambda_j \otimes \bigotimes_{j'=1}^{s_2} \eta_{j'} \\
&\stackrel{(7.12)}{=} \sum_{(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{s_1-\ell+1} \in \Xi^{s_1}} \sum_{(\eta_{j'})_{j'=1}^{s_2} \in \Xi^{s_2}} D_{((\rho_i)_{i=1}^{r_1+r_2-\ell}, (\lambda_j^{\vee})_{j=1}^{s_1-\ell}, (\eta_{j'}^{\vee})_{j'=1}^{s_2})} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{s_1-\ell} \lambda_j \otimes \bigotimes_{j'=1}^{s_2} \eta_{j'} \\
&= \omega_{g_1+g_2+\ell-1}^{(r_1+r_2-\ell) \Rightarrow (s_1+s_2-\ell)} \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r_1+r_2-\ell} \rho_i \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (7.11). Also, the equalities for the remaining cases of  $(g_1, r_1, s_1)$  and  $(g_2, r_2, s_2)$  can be immediately verified from the various results obtained in this section, so  $\mathcal{Z}_{n,N}$  satisfies the condition (3). Thus, the proof of the assertion is completed.  $\square$

**7.5. Dormant fusion ring.** The factorization property of  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$ 's resulting from Theorem 6.6.1 is also described in terms of *fusion rules*, which can be essentially regarded as a special type of 2d TQFT in a certain sense. Applying a discussion in the general theory of fusion rules (cf. [Bea]), we will see that our fusion rule associates a commutative ring encoding this factorization property, as well as the data of the values  $\deg(\Pi_{\rho,g,r})$ .

Let  $I$  be a finite set with an involution  $(-)^*$  (i.e., a bijection of order 2). Denote by  $\mathbb{N}^I := \bigoplus_{a \in I} \mathbb{N}a$  be the free commutative monoid generated by the elements of  $I$ . The involution of  $I$  extends, in an evident manner, to an involution of  $\mathbb{N}^I$ .

**Definition 7.5.1** (cf. [Bea], §5, Definition). A **fusion rule** on  $I$  is a map  $F : \mathbb{N}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying the following three conditions:

- (1) One has  $F(0) = 1$ , and  $F(\alpha) > 0$  for some  $\alpha \in I$ ;
- (2)  $F(x^*) = F(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{N}^I$ ;
- (3) For  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}^I$ , one has  $F(x+y) = \sum_{\lambda \in I} F(x+\lambda) \cdot F(y+\lambda^*)$ .

Also, a fusion rule  $F$  on  $I$  is said to be **nondegenerate** if it satisfies the following condition:

- (4) For any  $\alpha \in I$ , there exists an element  $\beta \in I$  with  $F(\alpha + \beta) \neq 0$ .

Now, suppose that  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r} := \Pi_{n,N,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}$  (for any  $(g, r, \rho)$ ) is étale over all the points of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  classifying totally degenerate curves. Write

$$F_{n,N} : \mathbb{N}^{\Xi_{n,N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

for the map determined by the following rules:

- $F_{n,N}(0) := 1$ ;
- For  $\lambda \in \Xi_{n,N}$ , we set  $F_{n,N}(\lambda) := 1$  if  $\lambda = \varepsilon$  and  $F_{n,N}(\lambda) = 0$  if otherwise;

- For  $(\lambda, \eta) \in \Xi_{n,N}^{\times 2}$ , we set  $F_{n,N}(\lambda + \eta) := 1$  if  $\eta = \lambda^\vee$  and  $F_{n,N}(\lambda + \eta) := 0$  if otherwise;
- For any  $(\rho_i)_i \in \Xi_{n,N}^{\times r}$  (with  $r \geq 3$ ), we set  $F_{n,N}(\sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i) := \deg(\Pi_{(\rho_i)_i, 0, r})$ .

Regarding this map, one can prove the following assertion, which is a higher-level generalization of [Wak5, Proposition 7.33].

**Theorem 7.5.2.** *(Recall that we have assumed that the morphism  $\Pi_{\rho, g, r}$  for any  $(g, r, \rho)$  is étale over all the points of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r}$  classifying totally degenerate curves.) The map  $F_{n, N}$  forms a nondegenerate fusion rule on the finite set  $\Xi_{n, N}$  (with respect to the involution  $(-)^{\vee}$ ).*

*Proof.* The conditions (1) and (4) are fulfilled because of the definition of  $F_{n, N}$  and Corollary 7.2.2. Also, Corollary 7.3.2 and (6.29) show that  $F_{n, N}$  satisfies the conditions (2) and (3), respectively.  $\square$

According to the discussion in [Bea, § 5], the fusion rule  $F_{n, N}$  associates a ring encoding its structure. To be precise, we define a multiplication law  $*$  :  $\mathbb{Z}^{\Xi_{n, N}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\Xi_{n, N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\Xi_{n, N}}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^{\Xi_{n, N}}$  by putting

$$\alpha * \beta = \sum_{\lambda \in \Xi_{n, N}} F_{n, N}(\alpha + \beta + \lambda^\vee) \lambda$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Xi_{n, N}$ , and extending by bilinearity. The abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^{\Xi_{n, N}}$  together with this multiplication law defines a unital, associative, and commutative ring

$$\mathbb{A}_{n, N}$$

with identity element  $\varepsilon$ .

The tensor product  $K^{\Xi_{n, N}} := K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}_{n, N}$  (for the field  $K$  fixed at the beginning of § 7.4) may be identified with the Frobenius algebra corresponding to the 2d TQFT  $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}$ , and the Frobenius pairing is determined by  $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto F_{n, N}(\alpha + \beta)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Xi_{n, N}$ . (Recall from, e.g., [Koc, § 2.2.5], that a **Frobenius algebra** over  $K$  is a  $K$ -algebra of finite dimension equipped with an associative nondegenerate pairing  $A \otimes_K A \rightarrow K$ , called the **Frobenius pairing**. As a classical result, there exists an equivalence of categories between the category of 2d TQFTs over  $K$  and the category of commutative Frobenius algebras over  $K$ . See [Koc, Theorem 3.3.2].) Since  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}_{n, N}$  is isomorphic to a direct product of copies of  $\mathbb{C}$  (cf. [Bea, Proposition 6.1]), the Frobenius algebra defined for  $\mathcal{Z}_{n, N}$  is semisimple.

**Definition 7.5.3.** We shall refer to  $\mathbb{A}_{n, N}$  as the **dormant fusion ring of type  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^{(N)}$** .

We shall write

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n, N} (:= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{A}_{n, N}, \mathbb{C}))$$

for the set of ring homomorphisms  $\mathbb{A}_{n, N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., the set of  $\mathbb{C}$ -rational points of  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{A}_{n, N})$ . Also, write

$$\mathrm{Cas}_{n, N} := \sum_{\lambda \in \Xi_{n, N}} \lambda * \lambda^\vee (\in \mathbb{A}_{n, N}).$$

An explicit knowledge of the ring homomorphisms  $\mathbb{A}_{n, N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and the element  $\mathrm{Cas}_{n, N}$  of  $\mathbb{A}_{n, N}$  allow us to perform some computation that we need in the ring  $\mathbb{A}_{n, N}$ , as follows.

**Proposition 7.5.4.** (Recall that we have assumed that the morphism  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  for any  $(g, r, \rho)$  is étale over all the points of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  classifying totally degenerate curves.) Let  $(g, r)$  be a pair of nonnegative integers with  $2g - 2 + r > 0$  and  $\rho := (\rho_i)_i$  an element of  $\Xi_{n,N}^{\times r}$ . Then, the following equality holds:

$$\deg(\Pi_{\rho,g,r}) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,N}} \chi(\text{Cas}_{n,N})^{g-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r \chi(\rho_i).$$

Moreover, if  $r = 0$  (which implies  $g > 1$ ), then this equality means

$$\deg(\Pi_{\emptyset,g,0}) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,N}} \chi(\text{Cas}_{n,N})^{g-1}.$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows from [Bea, Proposition 6.3] and the fact that, in our situation here, the map “ $N_g$ ” introduced in [Bea, Proposition 5.9] coincides with the degree function  $\sum_{i=1}^r \rho_i \mapsto \deg(\Pi_{(\rho_i),g,r})$ .  $\square$

## 8. DEFORMATION THEORY OF DORMANT $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -OPERS

This section focuses on the study of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers in characteristic  $p$  from the viewpoint of deformation theory. By applying cohomological descriptions of their deformation spaces, we prove that the moduli space  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is smooth (cf. Corollary 8.3.6, (i)) and the projection onto  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  is generically étale (cf. Theorem 8.7.1).

Let  $B$  denote the Borel subgroup of  $\text{PGL}_2$  consisting of the images of invertible upper triangular  $2 \times 2$  matrices via the quotient  $\text{GL}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \text{PGL}_2$ . Also, denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  the Lie algebras of  $\text{PGL}_2$  and  $B$ , respectively.

Next, let us fix a positive integer  $N$  and a pair of nonnegative integers  $(g, r)$  with  $2g - 2 + r > 0$ . Throughout this section, we suppose that  $p > 2$ , and the Deligne-Mumford stack  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  for  $\rho \in \Xi_{2,N}^{\times r}$ ) will be denoted by  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ ) if there is no fear of confusion. By using the bijection (6.7), we identify  $\Xi_{2,N}$  with the set  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}$ .

**8.1. Adjoint bundle associated to a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper.** Let  $S$  be a scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\mathcal{X} := (f : X \rightarrow S, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r)$  an  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  over  $S$ . In particular, we obtain a log curve  $X^{\log}/S^{\log}$ . For simplicity, we write  $\Omega := \Omega_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} := \mathcal{D}_{X^{\log}/S^{\log}}^{(N-1)}$ .

Let  $\rho := (\rho_i)_{i=1}^r$  be an element of  $((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\})^{\times r}$  (where  $\rho := \emptyset$  if  $r = 0$ ). For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , we denote by  $\tilde{\lambda}_i$  the unique integer with  $0 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_i \leq \frac{p^N-1}{2}$  mapped to  $\rho_i$  via the natural quotient  $\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}) / \{\pm 1\}$ . Also, denote by  $\lambda_i$  the image of  $\tilde{\lambda}_i$  via  $\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ .

Next, let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_B, \phi)$  be a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  of radii  $\rho$ , and let  $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$  be the  $\text{PGL}_2$ -bundle induced from  $\mathcal{E}_B$ . In particular, we obtain the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}$  (cf. the discussion following Remark 2.3.3). By pulling-back the log structure of  $X^{\log}$  via  $\pi$ , one may obtain a log structure on  $\mathcal{E}$ ; we denote the resulting log scheme by  $\mathcal{E}^{\log}$ . The

$\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}$  induces a  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -action on the direct image  $\pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}})$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$ , so we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}} := \pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}})^{\mathrm{PGL}_2},$$

i.e.,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$  is the subsheaf of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -invariant sections of  $\pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}})$ .

Denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  (resp.,  $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B}$ ) the adjoint vector bundle of  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}_B$ ), i.e., the vector bundle on  $X$  associated to  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}_B$ ) via change of structure group by the adjoint representation  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp.,  $B \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{b})$ ). We regard  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})$  under the natural identification  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} = \pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}/X})^{\mathrm{PGL}_2}$  ( $= \pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/X^{\mathrm{log}}})^{\mathrm{PGL}_n}$ ). Differentiating  $\pi$  yields a short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0$$

(cf. [Wak5, § 1.2.5]).

Note that  $\phi$  induces a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure

$$\nabla_{\phi}^{\mathrm{ad}} : {}^L\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}})$$

on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . To be precise, if  $\phi^{\natural}$  denotes the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$  corresponding to  $\phi$  (cf. Remark 2.3.4), then  $\nabla_{\phi}^{\mathrm{ad}}$  is given by  $\nabla_{\phi}^{\mathrm{ad}}(D)(v) = [\phi^{\natural}(D), v]$  for any local sections  $D \in \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . In particular, we obtain a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\phi}^{\mathrm{ad}})$ , which has vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature.

Recall from [Wak5, § 2.1.2] that there exists a canonical 3-step decreasing filtration

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^1 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^0 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  together with natural identifications  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^i/\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{i+1} = \Omega^{\otimes i}$  ( $i = -1, 0, 1$ ) and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^0 = \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B}$ . Then, we can prove the following assertion.

**Proposition 8.1.1.** *The collection of data*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\phi}^{\mathrm{ad}}, \{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{j-1}\}_{j=0}^3)$$

forms a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_3^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Moreover, for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , the exponent of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\heartsuit}$  at  $\sigma_i$  coincides with  $[0, \lambda_i, -\lambda_i]$ .

*Proof.* Let us fix a dormant  $2^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_{\vartheta})$  of  $X^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}$ . The dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit}$  corresponds to a dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^{\heartsuit} := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_j)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  via the isomorphism  $\Lambda_{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \spadesuit}^{\mathrm{zzz}\dots}$  (cf. Theorem 5.5.1). Let  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})$  denote the sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{F}$  with vanishing trace. This sheaf has a decreasing filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^2 \subseteq \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^1 \subseteq \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^0 \subseteq \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^{-1} = \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$$

determined by the condition that  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^1$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})$ ) consists of local sections  $h$  with  $h(\mathcal{F}^1) = 0$  (resp.,  $h(\mathcal{F}^1) \subseteq \mathcal{F}^1$ ). Also,  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})$  admits a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}$  induced naturally from  $\nabla$ . One may verify that the resulting collection

$$(\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}), \nabla_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}, \{\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^{j-1}\}_{j=0}^3)$$

forms a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_3^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . On the other hand, there exists a canonical identification  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , by which  $\{\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^{j-1}\}_j$  and  $\nabla_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}$  correspond to  $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{j-1}\}_j$  and  $\nabla_{\phi}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ , respectively. This implies that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\heartsuit}$  is a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_3^{(N)}$ -oper. This proves the first assertion.

Next, to prove the second assertion, we fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Let  $[d_{i,1}, d_{i,2}]$  be the exponent of  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  at  $\sigma_i$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit \Rightarrow \clubsuit \cong \mathcal{E}^\clubsuit$ , the equality  $d_{i,1} - d_{i,2} = \lambda_i$  holds. We see that the exponent of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}$  at  $\sigma_i$  is given by  $[0, d_{i,1} - d_{i,2}, d_{i,2} - d_{i,1}] = [0, \lambda_i, -\lambda_i]$  (cf. Proposition 4.3.4, (i)), which completes the proof of the second assertion.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.1.2.** *Let us fix  $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ , and write  $\Omega^{(a)} := \Omega_{X^{(a)\log}/S^{\log}}$ . Recall that  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}})$  induces a flat module  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[a]}, \nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}[a]} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[a]} \rightarrow \Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[a]})$  on  $X^{(a)\log}/S^{\log}$  with vanishing  $p$ -curvature (cf. (2.21), Proposition 2.6.1, (i) and (ii)).*

(i) *The following equalities of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules hold:*

$$\mathbb{R}^2 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}[a]}]) = f_*(\text{Ker}(\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}[a]})) = \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Coker}(\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}[a]})) = 0.$$

(ii)  *$\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}[a]}])$  is a vector bundle on  $S$  of rank  $6g - 6 + 3r$ . Also,  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Ker}(\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}[a]}))$  and  $f_*(\text{Coker}(\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}[a]}))$  are vector bundles on  $S$  of rank  $3g - 3 + r$  and  $3g - 3 + 2r$ , respectively. In particular,  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Sol}(\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}}))$  is a vector bundle of rank  $3g - 3 + r$ .*

*Proof.* We shall prove both assertions (i) and (ii) by induction on  $a$ . The base step, i.e., the case of  $a = 0$ , was already proved in [Wak5, Propositions 6.5, (ii), and 6.18]. To consider the induction step, we shall suppose that the assertions with  $a$  replaced by  $a - 1$  ( $a \geq 1$ ) have been proved. For simplicity, we write  $\mathcal{F}^{[a]} := \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[a]}$  and  $\nabla^{[a]} := \nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}[a]}$ .

First, we shall consider assertion (i). Since  $\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a-1]})$ , the equality

$$f_*(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) = 0 \tag{8.1}$$

holds because of the induction hypothesis  $f_*(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a-1]})) = 0$ . On the other hand, let us consider the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence

$$'E_1^{q_1, q_2} := \mathbb{R}^{q_2} f_*(\mathcal{K}^{q_1}[\nabla^{[a]}]) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{q_1+q_2} f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}]) \tag{8.2}$$

associated to the complex  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}]$  (cf. [Wak5, Eq.(755)]). Since the relative dimension  $\dim(X/S)$  of  $X/S$  is 1, the equality  $\mathbb{R}^2 f_*(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}) = 0$  holds. It follows that the sequence

$$\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\nabla^{[a]})} \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a]}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}]) \rightarrow 0 \tag{8.3}$$

induced by (8.2) is exact. By the comment following [Ogu1, Proposition 1.2.4],  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a]})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a-1]}))$ . But, the equality  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a-1]})) = 0$  holds by the induction hypothesis, so the exactness of (8.3) implies

$$\mathbb{R}^2 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}]) = 0. \tag{8.4}$$

Moreover, consider the conjugate spectral sequence

$$''E_2^{q_1, q_2} := \mathbb{R}^{q_1} f_*(\mathcal{H}^{q_2}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}])) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{q_1+q_2} f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}]) \tag{8.5}$$

associated to  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}]$  (cf. [Wak5, Eq.(757)]). Since the equality  $\mathbb{R}^2 f_*(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) = 0$  holds because of  $\dim(X/S) = 1$ , the morphism  $\mathbb{R}^2 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]}))$  induced by (8.5) is surjective. Hence, it follows from (8.4) that

$$\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]})) = 0. \tag{8.6}$$

By (8.1), (8.4), and (8.6), the proof of assertion (i) is completed.

Next, we shall consider assertion (ii). It follows from Proposition 2.6.2, (i), that  $\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})$  is a relatively torsion-free sheaf on  $X^{(a+1)}$ . Also, since  $\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]})$  is isomorphic to  $\Omega^{(a+1)} \otimes$

$\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})$  (cf. the comment following [Ogu1, Proposition 1.2.4]),  $\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]})$  is a relatively torsion-free sheaf on  $X^{(a+1)}$ . By the equality  $\mathbb{R}^2 f_*(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) = 0$  and (8.6), both  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]}))$  and  $f_*(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]}))$  turn out to be vector bundles (cf. [Har, Chap. III, Theorem 12.11, (b)]). Moreover, the exactness of the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow f_*(\Omega^{(a)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{[a]}) (= f_*(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a-1]}))) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}]) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}) (= \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a-1]}))) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

induced by (8.2) and the induction hypothesis together imply that  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}])$  is a vector bundle.

In what follows, we shall compute the ranks of the vector bundles under consideration. To this end, we may assume that  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for an algebraically closed field  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{X}^{(a)}$  the pointed stable curve obtained as the  $a$ -th Frobenius twist of  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $k$ , and by  $D^{(a)}$  the reduced effective divisor on  $X^{(a)}$  determined by the union of the marked points of  $\mathcal{X}^{(a)}$ . For each flat module  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}})$  on  $X^{(a)\log}/k^{\log}$ , we write  ${}^c\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{V}(-D^{[a]})$  and write  ${}^c\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$  for the  $S^{\log}$ -connection on  ${}^c\mathcal{V}$  ( $\subseteq \mathcal{V}$ ) obtained by restricting  $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$ . By [Wak5, Corollary 6.16] in the case where “ $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ ” is taken to be  $(\mathcal{F}^{[a]}, \nabla^{[a]})$ , there exists a canonical isomorphism of  $k$ -vector spaces

$$H^1(X^{(a)}, \text{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]\vee})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(X^{(a)}, \text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]}))^\vee.$$

In particular, we have

$$h^1(\text{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]\vee})) = h^0(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]})). \quad (8.8)$$

Next, the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  induces an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -modules  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^\vee, (\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}})^\vee)$ . This isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism of flat modules

$$({}^c\mathcal{F}^{[a]}, {}^c\nabla^{[a]}) \xrightarrow{\sim} ({}^c(\mathcal{F}^\vee)^{[a]}, {}^c(\nabla^\vee)^{[a]}).$$

This isomorphism gives the equality  $\text{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]}) = \text{Ker}({}^c(\nabla^\vee)^{[a]})$ , which implies

$$h^1(\text{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]})) = h^1(\text{Ker}({}^c(\nabla^\vee)^{[a]})). \quad (8.9)$$

Hence, the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]})) &\stackrel{(8.8)}{=} h^1(\text{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]\vee})) \\ &= h^1(\text{Ker}({}^c(\nabla^\vee)^{[a]})) - R_a \\ &\stackrel{(8.9)}{=} h^1(\text{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]})) - R_a \\ &= (h^1(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]}) + r + R_a) - R_a) \\ &= h^1(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]}) + r \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

(cf. (8.12) for the definition of  $R_a$ ), where the second and fourth equalities follow from Lemma 8.1.3 described below. On the other hand, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X^{(a)}, \text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(X^{(a)}, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}]) \rightarrow H^0(X^{(a)}, \text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]})) \rightarrow 0$$



induced by (8.5). This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
h^1(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) + h^0(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a]})) &= \dim(\mathbb{H}^1(X^{(a)}, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla^{[a]}])) \\
&= h^1(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a-1]})) + h^0(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{[a-1]})) \\
&= (3g - 3 + r) + (3g - 3 + 2r) \\
&= 6g - 6 + 3r,
\end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

where the second equality follows from the exactness of (8.7) and the third equality follows from the induction hypothesis. Consequently, it follows from (8.10) and (8.11) that

$$h^1(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{\text{ad}})) = 3g - 3 + r, \quad h^0(\text{Coker}(\nabla^{\text{ad}})) = 3g - 3 + 2r.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

The following lemma was applied in the proof of the above proposition.

**Lemma 8.1.3.** *We shall keep the notation in the proof of the above proposition. Let  $a \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ , and suppose that  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for an algebraically closed field  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Also, we shall set*

$$R_a := \# \left\{ i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, (\lambda_i)_{[a]} \in \{0, p-1\} (\subseteq \mathbb{F}_p) \right\} \tag{8.12}$$

(cf. §4.3 for the definition of  $(-)_{[a]}$ ). Then, the following two equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
h^1(\text{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]})) &= h^1(\text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) + r + R_a, \\
h^1(\text{Ker}({}^c(\nabla^\vee)^{[a]})) &= h^1(\text{Ker}({}^c(\nabla^{[a]\vee})) + R_a.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

*Proof.* To begin with, we prepare some notations. Let  $U_\circlearrowleft$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\circlearrowleft^{(N-1)}$  be as in (4.1) and (4.2), respectively. Let  $\mathcal{V} := (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  be a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module (resp., a  $\mathcal{D}_\circlearrowleft^{(N-1)}$ -module) such that  $\psi(\nabla) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is a vector bundle of rank  $n > 0$ . In the non-resp'd portion,  $({}^c\mathcal{V}^{[a]}, {}^c\nabla^{[a]})$  is defined as in the proof of the above proposition. Also, in the resp'd portion, we set  ${}^c\mathcal{V}^{[a]} := t^{p^a} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{[a]} (\subseteq \mathcal{V}^{[a]})$ , which has an  $S^{\text{log}}$ -connection  ${}^c\nabla^{[a]}$  obtained by restricting  $\nabla^{[a]}$ . Then, the inclusion  ${}^c\mathcal{V}^{[a]} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{[a]}$  restricts to an inclusion

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{V}}^{[a]} : \text{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]}).$$

On the other hand, the natural morphism  ${}^c(\mathcal{V}^\vee)^{[a]} \rightarrow {}^c(\mathcal{V}^{[a]\vee})$  restricts to a morphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(a+1)}}$ -modules

$$\beta_{\mathcal{V}}^{[a]} : \text{Ker}({}^c(\nabla^\vee)^{[a]}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}({}^c(\nabla^{[a]\vee}).$$

In what follows, we shall examine the morphisms  $\alpha_{\mathcal{V}}^{[a]}$  and  $\beta_{\mathcal{V}}^{[a]}$  in the case where  $\mathcal{V}$  is taken to be the  $\mathcal{D}_\circlearrowleft^{(N-1)}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft, d}^{(N-1)} := (\mathcal{O}_\circlearrowleft, \nabla_{\circlearrowleft, d}^{(N-1)})$  for  $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times$  (cf. (4.12)). For simplicity, write  $\mathcal{O}_d := \mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft, d}^{(N-1)}$  and  $\nabla_d := \nabla_{\circlearrowleft, d}^{(N-1)}$ . First, a straightforward calculation shows

$$\text{Ker}({}^c\nabla_d^{[a]}) = \begin{cases} t^{\tilde{d}_{[0, a]}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_\circlearrowleft^{(a+1)} & \text{if } d_{[a]} \neq 0; \\ t^{\tilde{d}_{[0, a] + p^{a+1}}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_\circlearrowleft^{(a+1)} & \text{if } d_{[a]} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, it follows from Proposition 4.3.1 that  $\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_d}^{[a]}$  is injective and its cokernel satisfies

$$\text{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{\circlearrowleft}^{(a+1)}}(\text{Coker}(\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_d}^{[a]})) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_{[a]} \neq 0; \\ 1 & \text{if } d_{[a]} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{8.14}$$

Also, by putting  $c := -d$ , we see (from  $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ ) that

$$\mathrm{Ker}({}^c(\nabla_d^\vee)^{[a]}) (= \mathrm{Ker}({}^c\nabla_c^{[a]})) = \begin{cases} t^{\tilde{c}_{[0,a]}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)} & \text{if } c_{[a]} \neq 0 \ (\Leftrightarrow d_{[a]} \neq p-1); \\ t^{\tilde{c}_{[0,a]}+p^{a+1}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)} & \text{if } c_{[a]} = 0 \ (\Leftrightarrow d_{[a]} = p-1). \end{cases}$$

and that

$$\mathrm{Ker}({}^c(\nabla_d^{[a]})^\vee) = t^{-\tilde{d}_{[0,a]}+p^{a+1}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)} \left( = t^{\tilde{c}_{[0,a]}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)} \right).$$

Hence,  $\beta_{\mathcal{O}_d}^{[a]}$  is injective and its cokernel satisfies

$$\mathrm{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)}}(\mathrm{Coker}(\beta_{\mathcal{O}_d}^{[a]})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d_{[a]} = p-1; \\ 0 & \text{if } d_{[a]} \neq p-1. \end{cases} \quad (8.15)$$

The same arguments with  $d$  replaced by  $c$  give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)}}(\mathrm{Coker}(\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_{-d}}^{[a]})) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_{[a]} \neq p-1; \\ 1 & \text{if } d_{[a]} = p-1, \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)}}(\mathrm{Coker}(\beta_{\mathcal{O}_{-d}}^{[a]})) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d_{[a]} = 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } d_{[a]} \neq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (8.16)$$

Now, let us go back to our situation. We shall set  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) := (\mathfrak{g}\mathcal{E}, \nabla_\phi^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . Both  $\alpha_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{[a]}$  and  $\beta_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{[a]}$  become isomorphisms when restricted to  $X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Im}(\sigma_i)$ . Given each  $d \in \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ , we shall set

$$\mathcal{Q}_d^{[a]} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)}/(t^{p^{a+1}}) & \text{if } d_{[a]} \in \{0, p-1\}; \\ 0 & \text{if } d_{[a]} \notin \{0, p-1\}. \end{cases}$$

For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , denote by  $\widehat{U}_i$  the formal neighborhood of  $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma_i)$  in  $X$ , and by  $\mathrm{incl}_i : \widehat{U}_i \rightarrow X$  the natural morphism. It follows from Proposition 8.1.1 that the restriction  $(\mathcal{F}|_{\widehat{U}_i}, \nabla|_{\widehat{U}_i})$  of  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  to  $\widehat{U}_i$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\oplus 3}, \nabla_{\mathcal{O}, 0}^{(N-1)} \oplus \nabla_{\mathcal{O}, \lambda_i}^{(N-1)} \oplus \nabla_{\mathcal{O}, -\lambda_i}^{(N-1)})$  via a fixed identification  $\widehat{U}_i = U_{\mathcal{O}}$ . By (8.14) and the first equality of (8.16), the cokernel of  $\alpha_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{[a]}$  restricted to  $\widehat{U}_i$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_i}^{[a]} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)}/(t^{p^{a+1}})$ . This implies that  $\alpha_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{[a]}$  fits into the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{[a]}} \mathrm{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{incl}_{i*}(\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_i}^{[a]} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)}/(t^{p^{a+1}})) \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.17)$$

Here, we shall write  $H^j(-) := H^j(X^{(a+1)}, -)$  ( $j \geq 0$ ) for simplicity. Since  $H^0(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) = 0$  (cf. (8.1)), the sequence (8.17) yields a short exact sequence of  $k$ -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(\mathrm{incl}_{i*}(\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_i}^{[a]} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}^{(a+1)}/(t^{p^{a+1}}))) \rightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]})) \rightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence implies

$$\begin{aligned} h^1(\mathrm{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a]})) &= h^1(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) + \sum_{i=1}^r \dim(H^0(\mathrm{incl}_{i*}(\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_i}^{[a]} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(a+1)} / (t^{p^{a+1}})))) \\ &= h^1(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) + \sum_{i=1}^r (\mathrm{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(a+1)}}(\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_i}^{[a]}) + 1) \\ &= h^1(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla^{[a]})) + R_a + r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved the first equality in (8.13). Moreover, (8.15) and the second equality of (8.16) together imply

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{\vee[a]}) \xrightarrow{\beta_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}^{[a]}} \mathrm{Ker}({}^c\nabla^{[a\vee]}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{incl}_{i*}(\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_i}^{[a]}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.18)$$

Similarly to the above argument, we obtain from (8.18) the equality

$$h^1(\mathrm{Ker}({}^c(\nabla^{\vee})^{[a]})) = h^1(\mathrm{Ker}({}^c(\nabla^{[a\vee]}))) + R_a,$$

which is the second equality in (8.13). Thus, we have finished the proof of this lemma.  $\square$

**8.2. Horizontal sections of the adjoint bundle.** Just as in the case of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$  can be regarded as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodules of  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})$ . We shall write

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} \quad \left( \text{resp., } \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}, \phi} \right)$$

for the subsheaf of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  (resp.,  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$ ) consisting of local sections  $v$  such that the equality  $[\phi^{\natural}(D), v] = 0$  (resp.,  $[\phi^{\natural}(D), v] - \phi^{\natural}([D, d_{\mathcal{E}}(v)]) = 0$ ) holds for any  $D \in \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ . In particular, the equality  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} = \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\phi}^{\mathrm{ad}})$  holds. Both  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}, \phi}$  may be regarded as  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(N)}}$ -modules via the underlying homeomorphism of  $F_{X/S}^{(N)} : X \rightarrow X^{(N)}$ .

Denote by

$$\nabla_{\phi} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$$

the  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{E}$  obtained by reducing the level of  $\phi$  to 0 (cf. [Wak5, Definition 1.17] and (2.17)).

The following lemma will be applied in the proof of Lemma 8.2.3 described later.

**Lemma 8.2.1.** (i) *The inclusion  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$  restricts to an injective morphism*

$$\mathrm{incl}_{\mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}, \phi},$$

*and the image of  $\nabla_{\phi}$  is contained in  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}, \phi}$  ( $\subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$ ). In particular, the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{incl}_{\mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit}}} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}, \phi} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0 \quad (8.19)$$

*is exact and split by the morphism  $\nabla_{\phi}$ .*

(ii) *The  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}, \phi})$  forms a vector bundle.*

*Proof.* We shall consider assertion (i). The first assertion follows immediately from the definitions of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}$ . Moreover, for any local sections  $v \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ , we have

$$[\phi^{\sharp}(D), \nabla_{\phi}(v)] - \phi^{\sharp}([D, d_{\mathcal{E}}(\nabla_{\phi}(v))]) = [\phi^{\sharp}(D), \phi^{\sharp}(v)] - \phi^{\sharp}([D, v]) = 0.$$

This implies the inclusion relation  $\text{Im}(\nabla_{\phi}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}$ . The last assertion of (i) follows from the first two assertions.

Next, we shall prove assertion (ii). By Proposition 2.6.2, (i),  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}$  ( $= \text{Sol}(\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}})$ ) is flat over  $S$ . Since the equality  $\mathbb{R}^2 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}) = 0$  holds,  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi})$  turns out to be a vector bundle (cf. [Har, Chap. III, Theorem 12.11, (b)]). On the other hand, the short exact sequence (8.19) induces the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Both  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi})$  and  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{T})$  are vector bundles, so the exactness of this sequence implies that  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi})$  is a vector bundle. This completes the proof of assertion (ii).  $\square$

Next, denote by

$$\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

the  $S^{\log}$ -connection on the vector bundle  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  obtained by reducing the level of  $\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}}$  to 0. In other words,  $\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)}$  is obtained from  $\nabla_{\phi}$  via change of structure group by the adjoint representation  $\text{PGL}_2 \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  (cf. [Wak5, Eq. (745)]).

Also, denote by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)} : \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

the unique  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ -linear morphism determined by the condition that

$$\langle \partial_1, \tilde{\nabla}_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)}(\partial_2) \rangle = [\nabla_{\phi}(\partial_1), \partial_2] - \nabla_{\phi}([\partial_1, d_{\mathcal{E}}(\partial_2)])$$

for any local sections  $\partial_1 \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $\partial_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ , where  $\langle -, - \rangle$  denotes the pairing  $\mathcal{T} \times (\Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  arising from the natural pairing  $\mathcal{T} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ . The restriction of  $\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  ( $\subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ ) coincides with  $\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)}$ .

Consider the composite

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)} : \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}} \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)}} \Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}},$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  denotes the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module obtained from the  $B$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}_B$  in the same manner as  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ . The pair of the natural inclusion  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  and the identity morphism of  $\Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  defines an inclusion of complexes

$$\gamma_1 : \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)}].$$

On the other hand, since  $\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)}$  becomes the zero map when restricted to  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}$  ( $\subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ ), we obtain an inclusion

$$\gamma_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}[0] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)}].$$

For each  $i \geq 0$ , we shall set

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet}^i := \text{Ker} \left( \mathbb{R}^i f_* (\mathcal{K}^\bullet [\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi, B}^{\text{ad}(0)}]) \oplus \mathbb{R}^i f_* (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}, \phi}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}^i f_* (\gamma_1 \oplus (-\gamma_2))} \mathbb{R}^i f_* (\mathcal{K}^\bullet [\tilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}]) \right).$$

Then, we obtain the composite

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet} : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet}^1 &\xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathbb{R}^1 f_* (\mathcal{K}^\bullet [\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi, B}^{\text{ad}(0)}]) \oplus \mathbb{R}^1 f_* (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}, \phi}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \mathbb{R}^1 f_* (\mathcal{K}^\bullet [\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi, B}^{\text{ad}(0)}]) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_* (\mathcal{T}), \end{aligned} \quad (8.20)$$

where the last arrow arises from  $d_{\mathcal{E}_B} : \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ .

The following two lemmas will be applied in the proof of Proposition 8.2.4 described below.

**Lemma 8.2.2.** *Denote by  $\xi$  the composite  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B}$ . Then,  $\xi$  is injective, and the direct image of its cokernel  $f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi))$  is a vector bundle on  $S$ .*

*Proof.* First, we shall prove the injectivity of  $\xi$ . Let us take a dormant  $\text{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_{j=1}^2)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  with  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\bullet \cong \mathcal{E}^\bullet$  (cf. Corollary 5.5.2, (i)). Denote by  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^\nabla$ ) the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F})$  consisting of endomorphisms with vanishing trace (resp., endomorphisms with vanishing trace preserving  $\nabla$ ). Under the natural identification  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^\nabla$  corresponds to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi}$ . Also, the surjection  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B}$  corresponds to the morphism  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^1, \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^1)$  induced by composing with  $\mathcal{F}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^1$ . Thus,  $\xi$  may be identified with the composite

$$\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^\nabla \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^1, \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^1). \quad (8.21)$$

Let  $U$  be an open subscheme of  $X$  and  $h$  an element of  $H^0(U, \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F})^\nabla)$  which is mapped to the zero map via (8.21). The inclusion relation  $h(\mathcal{F}^1|_U) \subseteq \mathcal{F}^1|_U$  holds, and the endomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}^1|_U$  induced by  $h$  is given by multiplication by some element  $a \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Since the morphism (5.1) associated to  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  is an isomorphism and  $h$  preserves the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure, the induced endomorphism of  $(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^1)|_U$  is given by multiplication by  $a$ . However,  $h$  has vanishing trace, so we have  $a = 0$ . This implies (since  $h$  preserves the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure) the equality  $h = 0$ , completing the proof of the injectivity of  $\xi$ .

Next, we shall prove the remaining assertion. Note that the formation of  $\xi$  is functorial with respect to base-change over  $S$ -schemes. Hence, the above discussion implies that  $\xi$  is universally injective relative to  $S$ . By [Mat, p. 17, Theorem 1],  $\text{Coker}(\xi)$  turns out to be flat over  $S$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi))$  is a vector bundle on  $S$  because the relative dimension of  $X/S$  equals 1 (cf. [Har, Chap. III, Theorem 12.11, (b)]). Also, by the equality  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} = \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}})$  together with Proposition 2.6.2, (i),  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi}$  is flat over  $S$ . This implies that  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi})$  is a vector bundle. The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B} \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$  yields an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B}) (\cong \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{T})) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the exactness at  $f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi))$  follows from the fact that  $f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B}) \cong f_*(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ . Since the sheaves appearing in this sequence except for  $f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi))$  are all vector bundles,  $f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi))$  turns out to be a vector bundle. This completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.2.3.** *We shall write*

$$\gamma := \gamma_1 \oplus (-\gamma_2) : \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}] \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}},\phi}[0] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}].$$

Also, write  $\text{Im}(\gamma)$  for the subcomplex of  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}]$  defined as the image of  $\gamma$ . Then, the complex  $\text{Coker}(\xi)[0]$  fits into the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(\gamma) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}] \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\xi)[0] \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.22)$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $\nabla_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)} : \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  the morphism obtained by restricting the domain of  $\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}$  (i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ) to  $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B}$ . Then, we obtain the following diagram of complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}] \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}[0] & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}[0] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \bar{\gamma}_1 \oplus (-\bar{\gamma}_2) & & \downarrow \xi[0] \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}] & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}] & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B}[0] \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where

- the second (resp., third) upper horizontal arrow denotes the inclusion into the first factor (resp., the projection onto the second factor);
- $\bar{\gamma}_1$  (resp.,  $\bar{\gamma}_2$ ) denotes the natural inclusion  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}]$  (resp.,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}[0] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}]$ );
- the third lower horizontal arrow denotes the morphism induced by the natural surjection  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B}$  and the zero map  $\Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow 0$ .

Since the two horizontal sequences are exact, the snake lemma shows that there exists an isomorphism

$$\text{Coker}(\bar{\gamma}_1 \oplus (-\bar{\gamma}_2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coker}(\xi)[0]. \quad (8.23)$$

Next, let us consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}] \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}[0] & \xrightarrow{\text{incl.}} & \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}] \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}},\phi}[0] & \xrightarrow{\delta_1 \oplus \delta_2} & \mathcal{T}[0] \oplus \mathcal{T}[0] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{\gamma}_1 \oplus (-\bar{\gamma}_2) & & \downarrow \gamma (= \gamma_1 \oplus (-\gamma_2)) & & \downarrow \text{id} \oplus (-\text{id}) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}] & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\text{ad}(0)}] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}[0] \longrightarrow 0, \end{array} \quad (8.24)$$

where

- $\delta_1$  is the morphism induced from  $d_{\mathcal{E}_B} : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  and the zero map  $\Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- $\delta_2$  is the morphism induced from the composite of the inclusion  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}},\phi} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}}$  and  $d_{\mathcal{E}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ ;
- the third lower horizontal arrow denotes the morphism induced from  $d_{\mathcal{E}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  and the zero map  $\Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow 0$ .

The two horizontal sequences in this diagram are exact. Here, denote by  $\varsigma_1$  the morphism  $\mathcal{T}[0] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}]$  determined by  $\nabla_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}$ , and by  $\varsigma_2$  the morphism  $\mathcal{T}[0] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}},\phi}[0]$  determined by the split injection of (8.19) resulting from Lemma 8.2.1, (i). Since the direct sum

$\varsigma_1 \oplus \varsigma_2$  specifies a split injection of the upper horizontal sequence in (8.24), it follows from the snake lemma again that there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Coker}(\bar{\gamma}_1 \oplus (-\bar{\gamma}_2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Coker}(\gamma). \quad (8.25)$$

Thus, the assertion follows from (8.23) and (8.25).  $\square$

**Proposition 8.2.4.** *The equality  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet}^i = 0$  holds except for  $i = 1$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet}^1$  is a vector bundle on  $S$  and the formation of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet}^1$  commutes with base-change over  $S$ -schemes.*

*Proof.* First, we shall prove the assertion for  $i = 0$ . Let us consider the short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_\phi^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inclusion}} \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}] \xrightarrow{(d_{\mathcal{E},0})} \mathcal{T}[0] \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.26)$$

As already proved in [Wak5, Proposition 6.5], the equality  $\mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_\phi^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}]) = 0$  holds. Hence, applying the functor  $\mathbb{R}^0 f_*(-)$  to (8.26) yields

$$\mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}]) \left( = f_*(\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\mathrm{ad}(0)})) \right) = 0. \quad (8.27)$$

Both  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}]$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}},\phi}[0]$  are subcomplexes of  $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}]$ , so (8.27) implies

$$\mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}]) = \mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}},\phi}) = 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet}^0$  is a subsheaf of the direct sum  $\mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}]) \oplus \mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}},\phi})$ , we have  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet}^0 = 0$ .

Next, we shall prove the assertion for  $i = 1$ . Note that the short exact sequence (8.22) obtained in Lemma 8.2.3 induces an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}]) \rightarrow f_*(\mathrm{Coker}(\xi)) \\ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_\phi^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathrm{Coker}(\xi)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.28)$$

The exactness at  $\mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma))$  in this sequence and (8.27) together imply the equality

$$\mathbb{R}^0 f_*(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma)) = 0. \quad (8.29)$$

The sheaves appearing in this sequence except for  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma))$  are all vector bundles (cf. Lemma 8.2.2), so  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma))$  turns out to be a vector bundle. Also, let us consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\gamma) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inclusion}} \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}] \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}},\phi}[0] \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathrm{Im}(\gamma) \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.30)$$

Since  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism at degree 1, the complex  $\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma)$  is concentrated only at degree 0. This implies  $\mathbb{R}^2 f_*(\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma)) = 0$ , and hence (8.30) induces the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}]) \oplus \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}},\phi}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma)) \rightarrow 0, \quad (8.31)$$

where the exactness at  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma))$  follows from (8.29). Since  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\mathrm{ad}(0)}])$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}},\phi})$ , and  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathrm{Im}(\gamma))$  are vector bundles (cf. [Wak5, Eq. (788)] and Lemma 8.2.1, (ii)), we see that

$\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Ker}(\gamma))$  is a vector bundle. Here, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Ker}(\gamma)) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}]) \oplus \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Im}(\gamma)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}]) \oplus \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi}^{\text{ad}(0)}])
\end{array}$$

where the right-hand and left-hand vertical arrows are the natural morphisms, and the upper horizontal sequence is (8.31). By the snake lemma applied to this diagram and the exactness of (8.28), we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Ker}(\gamma)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1 \rightarrow f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.32)$$

Since both  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Ker}(\gamma))$  and  $f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi))$  are vector bundles on  $S$  (cf. Lemma 8.2.2),  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1$  turns out to be a vector bundle. Moreover, it follows from the various definitions involved that the formations of  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Ker}(\gamma))$  and  $f_*(\text{Coker}(\xi))$  commute with base-change over  $S$ -schemes. Hence, the exactness of (8.32) implies the required commutativity of the formation of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1$ . This completes the proof of the assertion for  $i = 1$ .

Finally, the assertion for  $i \geq 2$  follows immediately from the fact that  $\mathbb{R}^i f_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi,B}^{\text{ad}(0)}]) = \mathbb{R}^i f_*(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}) = 0$  for every  $i \geq 2$  (cf. [Wak5, Lemma 6.6]).  $\square$

**8.3. Deformation space of a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper.** In this subsection, we suppose that  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$  for an algebraically closed field  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We will regard  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) as  $k$ -vector spaces. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}rt/k$  the category of local Artinian  $k$ -algebras with residue field  $k$ . For each  $R \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}rt/k)$ , we write  $\mathfrak{m}_R$  for the maximal ideal of  $R$ .

**Definition 8.3.1.** Let  $R \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}rt/k)$ . A **deformation of  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$**  over  $R$  is a pair

$$(\mathcal{X}_R, \mathcal{E}_R^\spadesuit),$$

where

- $\mathcal{X}_R$  denotes an  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  over  $R$  equipped with an isomorphism  $\nu: \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} k \times_R \mathcal{X}$  between  $\mathcal{X}$  and the reduction  $k \times_R \mathcal{X}_R$  of  $\mathcal{X}_R$  modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_R$ ;
- $\mathcal{E}_R^\spadesuit$  denotes a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_R$  whose reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_R$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  via  $\nu$ .

The notion of an isomorphism between two deformations of  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  can be defined naturally (so the precise definition is left to the reader).

Recall that any deformation of the pointed stable curve  $\mathcal{X}$  (or equivalently, the log curve  $X^{\log}/k^{\log}$ ) is locally trivial (cf. [Wak5, §6.1.2]). Also, the local triviality of deformations holds for a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper, as shown in the following proposition.

**Proposition 8.3.2.** *Let  $R$  be an element of  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}rt/k)$  and  $(\mathcal{X}_R, \mathcal{E}_R^\spadesuit)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_R^\spadesuit := ((\mathcal{E}_B)_R, \phi_R)$ , a deformation of  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  over  $R$ . We shall write  $\mathcal{E}_R := (\mathcal{E}_B)_R \times^B \text{PGL}_2$ . Then, the  $(N-1)$ -PD stratified  $\text{PGL}_2$ -bundle  $(\mathcal{E}_R, \phi_R)$  is, Zariski locally on  $X$ , isomorphic to the trivial deformation of  $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$  (i.e., the base-change of  $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$  over  $R$ ).*



*Proof.* We shall prove the assertion by double induction on  $N$  and  $\ell := \dim_k(R)$ . There is nothing to prove when  $\ell = 1$ , i.e.,  $R = k$ . Also, the assertion for  $N = 1$  can be proved by an argument entirely similar to the proof of [Wak5, Corollary 6.12].

Next, we shall consider the induction step. Suppose that  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\ell \geq 2$ , and that we have proved the assertion in the case where the level of  $\mathcal{E}^\blacklozenge$  and the dimension of  $R$  are smaller than  $N$  and  $\ell$ , respectively. To clarify the level of PD stratifications, we write  $\phi^{(N-1)} := \phi$ ,  $\phi_R^{(N-1)} := \phi_R$ , and use the notation  $(-)^{(N')}$  ( $N' < N$ ) to denote the result of reducing the level of an  $(N-1)$ -PD stratification  $(-)$  to  $N'$ . Also, we use the notation  $R \times_k (-)$  to denote the result of base-changing objects over  $k$  via  $k \rightarrow R$ .

Let us take a nonzero element  $a$  of  $R$  with  $a^2 = 0$ , and write  $R_0 := R/(a)$ . By the induction hypothesis, each point  $q$  of  $X$  has an open neighborhood  $U (\subseteq X)$  on which  $((\mathcal{E}_B)_R, \phi_R^{(N-2)})$  is isomorphic to the base-change  $(R \times_k \mathcal{E}_B, R \times_k \phi^{(N-2)})$  of the dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N-1)}$ -oper  $(\mathcal{E}_B, \phi^{(N-2)})$ . We shall fix an identification

$$((\mathcal{E}_B)_R|_U, \phi_R^{(N-2)}|_U) = (R \times_k \mathcal{E}_B|_U, R \times_k \phi^{(N-2)}|_U), \quad (8.33)$$

by which we regard  $\phi_R^{(N-1)}|_U$  as an  $(N-1)$ -PD stratification on  $(R \times_k \mathcal{E}|_U)/U^{\mathrm{log}}/k^{\mathrm{log}}$  (where  $U^{\mathrm{log}} := U \times_X X^{\mathrm{log}}$ ) satisfying the equality

$$\phi_R^{(N-2)}|_U = R \times_k \phi^{(N-2)}|_U. \quad (8.34)$$

If  $\phi_{R_0}^{(N-1)}$  denotes the reduction modulo  $a$  of  $\phi_R^{(N-1)}$ , then the induction hypothesis asserts that  $(R_0 \times_k \mathcal{E}_B, \phi_{R_0}^{(N-1)})$  is locally isomorphic to the base-change  $(R_0 \times_k \mathcal{E}_B, R_0 \times_k \phi^{(N-1)})$  of  $(\mathcal{E}_B, \phi^{(N-1)})$  over  $R_0$ . Hence, after possibly shrinking  $U$ , we may assume that there exists an isomorphism

$$(R_0 \times_k \mathcal{E}_B|_U, \phi_{R_0}^{(N-1)}|_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} (R_0 \times_k \mathcal{E}_B|_U, R_0 \times_k \phi^{(N-1)}|_U).$$

By Proposition 5.2.4, this isomorphism coincides, via reducing the level to  $N-2$ , with the identity morphism of  $(R_0 \times_k \mathcal{E}_B|_U, R_0 \times_k \phi^{(N-2)}|_U)$  under the identification (8.33). This implies the equality

$$\phi_{R_0}^{(N-1)}|_U = R_0 \times_k \phi^{(N-1)}|_U.$$

It follows that there exists a well-defined  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear morphism  $h : \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_k(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E})|_U$  satisfying

$$\phi_R^{\mathrm{hh}(N-1)}|_U = R \times_k \phi^{\mathrm{hh}(N-1)}|_U + a \cdot h \quad (8.35)$$

(cf. Remark 2.3.4 for the definition of  $(-)^{\mathrm{hh}}$ ). According to (8.34), the composite

$$\mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{N-1}-1}^{(N-2)}|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{N-1}-1}^{(N-1)}|_U \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{N-1}}^{(N-1)}|_U \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{E}nd_k(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E})|_U$$

coincides with the zero map. Hence,  $h$  induces an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear morphism

$$\bar{h} : F_{X/k}^{(N-1)*}(\mathcal{T}^{(N-1)})|_U \left( = \mathcal{T}^{\otimes p^{N-1}}|_U = (\mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{N-1}}^{(N-1)}/\mathcal{D}_{\leq p^{N-1}-1}^{(N-1)})|_U \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_k(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E})|_U,$$

where  $\mathcal{T}^{(N-1)} := \mathcal{T}_{X^{(N-1)\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}}}$ . Since  $a^2 = 0$  and  $h$  is  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -equivariant (in an evident sense), the image of  $\bar{h}$  lies in  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathcal{E} (= \pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}/X}^{\mathrm{PGL}_2}))$ . Moreover, (8.34) implies that  $\bar{h}$  restricts to a morphism

$$\bar{h}^\nabla : \mathcal{T}^{(N-1)}|_U \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\mathcal{E}^{[N-1]}|_U.$$

We shall use the same notation  $\bar{h}^\nabla$  to denote the induced  $\mathcal{O}_{U^{(N-1)}}$ -linear morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N-1]}|_U \rightarrow \Omega^{(N-1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N-1]}|_U$  (where  $\Omega^{(N-1)} := \mathcal{T}^{(N-1)\vee}$ ) via the natural morphism  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N-1]} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{X^{(N-1)}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N-1]})$ . If  $\nabla_R$  (resp.,  $R \times_k \nabla$ ) denotes the  $S^{\log}$ -connection on the  $\mathcal{O}_{U^{(N-1)}}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}|_U$  induced by  $\phi_R^{(N-1)}|_U$  (resp.,  $R \times_k \phi^{(N-1)}|_U$ ), then (8.35) implies  $\nabla_R = R \times_k \nabla + a \cdot \bar{h}^\nabla$ . Since both  $\nabla_R$  and  $R \times_k \nabla$  have vanishing  $p$ -curvature (cf. Proposition 2.6.1, (ii)), it follows from Lemma 8.3.3 described below that we can find, after possibly shrinking  $U$ , an element  $v \in H^0(U, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N-1]})$  satisfying

$$(\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}})^{[N-1]}(v) = \bar{h}^\nabla. \quad (8.36)$$

Denote by  $h_v$  the automorphism of  $R \times_k \mathcal{E}$  corresponding to the automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{R \times_k \mathcal{E}}$  described as  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_{R \times_k \mathcal{E}}} - a \cdot v$ . Also, denote by  $h_v^*(R \times_k \phi^{\natural\sharp}|_U)^{(N-1)}$  the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{R \times_k \mathcal{E}}|_U$  defined as the pull-back of  $R \times_k \phi^{(N-1)\natural\sharp}|_U$  by  $h_v$ . Since  $v$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N-1]}$ , we have

$$h_v^*(R \times_k \phi^{\natural\sharp}|_U)^{(N-2)} = (R \times_k \phi^{\natural\sharp(N-2)}|_U) = \phi_R^{\natural\sharp(N-2)}|_U. \quad (8.37)$$

On the other hand, the equality (8.36) together with the same argument as [Wak5, Remark 6.2] shows that

$$h_v^*(R \times_k \phi^{\natural\sharp}|_U)^{[N-1]} = \phi_R^{\natural\sharp[N-1]}|_U. \quad (8.38)$$

By (8.37) and (8.38), we can apply Lemma 3.1.2 to obtain the equality  $h_v^*(R \times_k \phi^{\natural\sharp}|_U)^{(N-1)} = \phi_R^{\natural\sharp(N-1)}|_U$ . This means that  $\phi_R^{\natural\sharp(N-1)}|_U$  can be transformed into the trivial deformation of  $\phi^{\natural\sharp(N-1)}$  via a suitable trivialization  $\mathcal{E}_R|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} R \times_k \mathcal{E}|_U$ . By applying this argument to various points  $q$  of  $X$ , we finish the proof of this proposition.  $\square$

The following lemma was used in the proof of the above proposition.

**Lemma 8.3.3.** *Let us keep the notation in the proof of Proposition 8.3.2. Then, the  $p$ -curvature of  $\nabla_R$  satisfies the equality*

$$\psi(\nabla_R) = -a \cdot C_{(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N-1]}, (\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}})^{[N-1]})}(\bar{h}^\nabla)$$

under the composite of natural inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(U^{(N)}, \Omega^{(N)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N]}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{U^{(N)}}}(\mathcal{T}^{[N]}|_{U^{(N)}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N]}|_{U^{(N)}}) \\ &\hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{U^{(N)}}}(\mathcal{T}^{[N]}|_{U^{(N)}}, \mathcal{E}nd_k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})|_{U^{(N)}}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{U^{(N-1)}}}(F_{X^{(N-1)}/k}^*(\mathcal{T}^{[N]}|_{U^{(N-1)}}), \mathcal{E}nd_k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})|_{U^{(N-1)}}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if the  $p$ -curvature of  $\nabla_R$  vanishes, then  $\bar{h}^\nabla$  may be expressed, Zariski locally on  $X$ , as  $\bar{h}^\nabla = (\nabla_\phi^{\text{ad}})^{[N-1]}(v)$  for some local section  $v$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{[N-1]}$ .

*Proof.* The assertion follows from computations similar to those in the proof of [Wak5, Proposition 6.11]. So we omit the details of the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 8.3.4** (Higher rank cases). The same assertion as Proposition 8.3.2 also holds for a general rank  $n$  ( $< p$ ) not only the case of  $n = 2$ . In fact, it can be proved by a similar argument because the result of Proposition 5.2.4, which is one of the essential points in the proof, holds for a general  $n$ .

For each  $R \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}rt/k)$ , we shall set

$$\text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}(R) := \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{the set of isomorphism classes} \\ \text{of deformations of } (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit) \text{ over } R \end{array} \right).$$

If  $\sigma : R' \rightarrow R$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}rt/k$ , then the base-change along the induced morphism  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R')$  gives a map of sets  $\sigma^* : \text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}(R') \rightarrow \text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}(R)$ . Thus, the assignments  $R \mapsto \text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}(R)$  and  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^*$  together determine a functor

$$\text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)} : \mathcal{A}rt/k \rightarrow \text{Set}.$$

**Theorem 8.3.5.** *The functor  $\text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}$  is a rigid deformation functor with good deformation theory, in the sense of [Wak8, §6.1]. Moreover, the triple*

$$(\text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^2)$$

*forms a deformation triple, in the sense of [Wak8, Definition 6.1.1], i.e.,  $\text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}$  has a tangent-obstruction theory by putting  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1$  as the tangent space and  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^2$  as the obstruction space. In particular,  $\text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}$  is smooth and pro-representable by  $k[[t_1, \dots, t_{\dim(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1)}]]$  (cf. [Wak8, Remark 6.1.3, (i)]).*

*Proof.* First, we shall consider the tangent space of  $\text{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{e} := (0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow R \rightarrow R_0 \rightarrow 0)$  be a small extension in  $\mathcal{A}rt/k$  and  $(\mathcal{X}_R, \mathcal{E}_R^\spadesuit)$  (where  $\mathcal{X}_R := (X_R/R, \{\sigma_{R,i}\}_i)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_R^\spadesuit := ((\mathcal{E}_B)_R, \phi_R)$ ) a deformation of  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  over  $R$ . Set  $\mathcal{E}_R := (\mathcal{E}_B)_R \times^B \text{PGL}_2$ . Also, let us take an element  $v$  of  $M \otimes_k \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1$ , which may be described as  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  for  $v_1 \in H^1(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\text{id}_M \otimes \tilde{\nabla}_{\phi, B}^{\text{ad}(0)}])$ ,  $v_2 \in H^1(X, M \otimes_k \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/k^{\log}, \phi})$ , where  $\text{id}_M \otimes \tilde{\nabla}_{\phi, B}^{\text{ad}(0)}$  denotes the tensor product  $M \otimes_k \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/k^{\log}} \rightarrow M \otimes_k (\Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}})$  of  $\text{id}_M$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}_{\phi, B}^{\text{ad}(0)}$ . Note here that  $H^1(X, M \otimes_k \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/k^{\log}, \phi})$  can be realized as the cohomology of the Čech complex of  $M \otimes_k \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/k^{\log}, \phi}$  associated with an affine covering. That is to say, we can find an affine open covering  $\mathcal{U} := \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  (where  $I$  is a finite index set) of  $X$ , and  $v$  may be represented by a Čech 1-cocycle

$$v_2 = \{\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{(\alpha, \beta) \in I_2} \in \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, M \otimes_k \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/k^{\log}, \phi}),$$

where  $I_2$  denotes the subset of  $I \times I$  consisting of pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $U_{\alpha\beta} := U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta} \in H^0(U_{\alpha\beta}, M \otimes_k \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/k^{\log}, \phi})$ . For each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in I_2$ , we set  $\partial_{\alpha\beta} := d_{\mathcal{E}_B}(\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}) \in H^0(U_{\alpha\beta}, M \otimes_k \mathcal{T})$ . Also, we shall set  $U_{R, \alpha}^{\log} := X_R^{\log}|_{U_\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in I$  and set  $U_{R, \alpha\beta}^{\log} := X_R^{\log}|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$  for each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in I_2$ . The element  $\partial_{\alpha\beta}$  (resp.,  $\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}$ ) may be regarded as a  $k$ -linear morphism

$$\partial_{\alpha\beta} : \mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \rightarrow M \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \quad \left( \text{resp., } \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}} \rightarrow M \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}} \right)$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule. Then,  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_{U_{R, \alpha\beta}}} + \partial_{\alpha\beta}$  (resp.,  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_R|_{U_{R, \alpha\beta}}}} + \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}$ ) defines a well-defined automorphism  $\partial_{\alpha\beta}^\sharp$  (resp.,  $\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}^\sharp$ ) of  $U_{R, \alpha\beta}^{\log}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{E}_R^{\log}|_{U_{R, \alpha\beta}}$ ). By means of the  $\partial_{\alpha\beta}^\sharp$ 's ( $(\alpha, \beta) \in I_2$ ), we can glue together the  $U_{R, \alpha}^{\log}$ 's ( $\alpha \in I$ ) to obtain a log curve  $X_{R, v}^{\log}$  over  $R \times_k S^{\log}$ . It determines a deformation  $\mathcal{X}_{R, v}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $R$  (cf. [FKa, Theorem 4.1]). Also, the automorphism  $\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}^\sharp$  is

$\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -equivariant, and the following square diagram is commutative and Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E}_R^{\log}|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}^\sharp} & \mathcal{E}_R^{\log}|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}} \\
\text{projection} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{projection} \\
U_{R,\alpha\beta}^{\log} & \xrightarrow[\partial_{\alpha\beta}^\sharp]{\sim} & U_{R,\alpha\beta}^{\log}
\end{array}$$

For each local section  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}^{\log}/R^{\log}}^{(N-1)}$ , the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}^\sharp)^*(\phi_R^{\natural\sharp}|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}})(D) \\
&= (\mathrm{id} + \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}) \circ \phi_R^{\natural\sharp}|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}}(D - [\partial_{\alpha\beta}, D]) \circ (\mathrm{id} + \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta})^{-1} \\
&= (\mathrm{id} + \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}) \circ \phi_R^{\natural\sharp}|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}}(D - [\partial_{\alpha\beta}, D]) \circ (\mathrm{id} - \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}) \\
&= \phi_R^{\natural\sharp}|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}}(D) - \left( [\phi_R^{\natural\sharp}|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}}(D), \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}] - \phi_R^{\natural\sharp}|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}}([D, \partial_{\alpha\beta}]) \right) \\
&= \phi_R^{\natural\sharp}|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}}(D)
\end{aligned}$$

(cf. [Wak5, Remark 6.2] for the first equality), where the last equality follows from  $\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta} \in M \otimes_k \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/k^{\log}, \phi}$ . This means that  $\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}^\sharp$  preserves the  $(N-1)$ -PD stratification  $\phi_R|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}}$ . Hence, the pairs  $(\mathcal{E}_R|_{U_{R,\alpha}}, \phi_R|_{U_{R,\alpha\beta}})$  may be glued together by means of the  $\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha\beta}^\sharp$ 's; we denote the resulting  $(N-1)$ -PD stratified  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -bundle by  $(\mathcal{E}_{R,v}, \phi_{R,v})$ . By construction,  $\phi_{R,v}$  has vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature.

On the other hand, according to [Wak5, Eq. (792)], the element  $v_1$  determines a deformation  $((\mathcal{E}_B)_{R,v}, (\nabla_\phi)_{R,v})$  over  $R$  of the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper  $(\mathcal{E}_B, \nabla_\phi)$ . Since  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  agree under the maps  $\mathbb{H}^1(\gamma_1)$  and  $\mathbb{H}^1(\gamma_2)$ , we see that  $(\mathcal{E}_B)_{R,v} \times^B \mathrm{PGL}_2 \cong \mathcal{E}_{R,v}$  and that the  $k^{\log}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{E}_{R,v}$  induced by  $\phi_{R,v}$  coincides with  $(\nabla_\phi)_{R,v}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{E}_{R,v}^\spadesuit := ((\mathcal{E}_B)_{R,v}, \phi_{R,v})$  forms a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}_{R,v}$ , and the pair  $(\mathcal{X}_{R,v}, \mathcal{E}_{R,v}^\spadesuit)$  specifies an element of  $\mathrm{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}(R)$ . By Proposition 8.3.2 and the bijection displayed in [Wak5, Eq. (792)], the assignment  $(\mathcal{X}_R, \mathcal{E}_R^\spadesuit) \mapsto (\mathcal{X}_{R,v}, \mathcal{E}_{R,v}^\spadesuit)$  (for every  $v \in M \otimes_k \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1$ ) defines a transitive action of  $M \otimes_k \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1$  on the fibers of  $\mathrm{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}(\sigma) : \mathrm{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}(R_0)$ . This action is, by construction, verified to be free if  $R = k$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1$  is isomorphic to the tangent space of  $\mathrm{Def}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)}$ .

The assertion concerning an obstruction space can be proved by a routine argument using the Čech cohomological descriptions of relevant deformations as discussed above, so the proof is left to the reader. (Similar discussions can be found in the proofs of [Wak8, Propositions 6.4.3 and 6.8.2].) Finally, the last assertion follows from [Sch, Theorem 2.11] together with the fact that  $\dim(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1) < \infty$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^2 = 0$  (cf. Proposition 8.2.4).  $\square$

As a consequence of the above theorem, we obtain the following assertion.

**Corollary 8.3.6.** (i) *The Deligne-Mumford stack  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .*

(ii) *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$  an  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  over  $k$ , and  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Denote by  $s : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  (resp.,  $\tilde{s} : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$ ) the  $k$ -rational point classifying  $\mathcal{X}$  (resp.,  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$ ). Also, denote by  $T_s \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  (resp.,  $T_{\tilde{s}} \mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$ ) the  $k$ -vector space defined as the tangent space of*

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}_{p_{g,r}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ ) at  $s$  (resp.,  $\tilde{s}$ ). Then, there exists a canonical isomorphism of  $k$ -vector spaces

$$\text{KS}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)} : T_{\tilde{s}} \mathcal{O}_{p_{g,r}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1$$

which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\tilde{s}} \mathcal{O}_{p_{g,r}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} & \xrightarrow{d\Pi_{g,r}} & T_s \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r} \\ \text{KS}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \text{KS}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1 & \xrightarrow{D_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}} & H^1(X, \mathcal{T}), \end{array}$$

where  $\text{KS}_{\mathcal{X}}$  denotes the usual Kodaira-Spencer morphism (cf., e.g., [Wak5, § 6.1.1]) and the upper horizontal arrow  $d\Pi_{g,r}$  denotes the differential of the projection  $\Pi_{g,r}$  (cf. (5.10)).

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Theorem 8.3.5 and the definition of  $D_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}$ . □

**8.4. Dimension of the moduli space.** Let  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  be as introduced at the beginning of § 8.1. Suppose further that the  $\text{PGL}_2$ -oper induced from  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is normal, in the sense of [Wak5, Definition 4.53]. (According to [Wak5, Proposition 4.55], any  $\text{PGL}_2$ -oper is isomorphic to a normal one.)

Consider the composite surjection

$$d'_{\mathcal{E}} : \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} / \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{E}_B} (= \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} / \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T},$$

where the second and third arrows are the isomorphisms defined in [Wak5, Eq. (163)] and [Wak5, Eq. (617)], respectively. By applying the functor  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(-)$  to the composite

$$(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} =) \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}}) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \hookrightarrow) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}} \xrightarrow{d'_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{T},$$

we obtain an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear morphism

$$D'_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{T}). \tag{8.39}$$

Next, let us consider the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear endomorphism of  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  defined as

$$\chi := \text{id}_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}} - \nabla_{\phi}^{(0)} \circ d_{\mathcal{E}} - \nabla_{\phi}^{(0)} \circ d'_{\mathcal{E}} : \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}.$$

**Lemma 8.4.1.** *The automorphism  $\chi$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  is involutive (i.e.,  $\chi \circ \chi = \text{id}$ ), and the following equalities hold:*

$$d_{\mathcal{E}} \circ \chi = -d'_{\mathcal{E}}, \quad d'_{\mathcal{E}} \circ \chi = -d_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

*Proof.* Since we have assumed that the  $\text{PGL}_2$ -oper induced from  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is normal, the equality  $d'_{\mathcal{E}} \circ \nabla_{\phi} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}}$  holds (cf. [Wak5, Example 2.7 and Remark 2.16]). Hence, the following sequence

of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi \circ \chi &= (\text{id} - \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} - \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E}) \circ (\text{id} - \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} - \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E}) \\
&= \text{id} - \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} - \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E} \\
&\quad - \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} \circ (\text{id} - \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} - \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E}) \\
&\quad - \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E} \circ (\text{id} - \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} - \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E}) \\
&= \text{id} - \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} - \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E} \\
&\quad - \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} + \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} + \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E} \\
&\quad - \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E} + \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} + \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E} \\
&= \text{id}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\mathcal{E} \circ \chi &= d_\mathcal{E} \circ (\text{id} - \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} - \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E}) \\
&= d_\mathcal{E} - d_\mathcal{E} \circ \nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E} - d_\mathcal{E} \circ \nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E} \\
&= d_\mathcal{E} - d_\mathcal{E} - d'_\mathcal{E} \\
&= -d'_\mathcal{E}.
\end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation shows  $d'_\mathcal{E} \circ \chi = -d_\mathcal{E}$ . This completes the proof of this lemma.  $\square$

Moreover, we can prove the following assertion.

**Proposition 8.4.2.** *The automorphism  $\chi$  restricts to an automorphism  $\chi_\phi : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}$  of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}$ , and restricts to an isomorphism  $\chi_B : \mathfrak{g}_\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ . That is to say, we obtain the following commutative square diagrams:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\chi_\phi} & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi} \\
\text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\chi} & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}},
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{g}_\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\chi_B} & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}} \\
\text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\chi} & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}.
\end{array}$$

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\nabla_\phi$ , the equality

$$\phi^{\natural\natural}(D) = \nabla_\phi(D) \ (\in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E})) \tag{8.40}$$

holds for every local section  $D \in \mathcal{T} (\subseteq \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)})$ . For local sections  $v \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log},\phi}$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ , the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\phi^{\natural\natural}(D), \chi(v)] - \phi^{\natural\natural}([D, d_\mathcal{E}(\chi(v))]) \\
&= [\phi^{\natural\natural}(D), v - (\nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E})(v) - (\nabla \circ d'_\mathcal{E})(v)] \\
&\quad - \phi^{\natural\natural}([D, d_\mathcal{E}(v - (\nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E})(v) - (\nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E})(v))]) \\
&= [\phi^{\natural\natural}(D), v] - [\phi^{\natural\natural}(D), (\nabla_\phi \circ d_\mathcal{E})(v)] - [\phi^{\natural\natural}(D), (\nabla_\phi \circ d'_\mathcal{E})(v)] \\
&\quad - \phi^{\natural\natural}([D, d_\mathcal{E}(v)]) + \phi^{\natural\natural}([D, d_\mathcal{E}(v)]) + \phi^{\natural\natural}([D, d'_\mathcal{E}(v)]) \\
&= -[\phi^{\natural\natural}(D), \phi^{\natural\natural}(d_\mathcal{E}(v))] - [\phi^{\natural\natural}(D), \phi^{\natural\natural}(d'_\mathcal{E}(v))] + \phi^{\natural\natural}([D, d_\mathcal{E}(v)]) + \phi^{\natural\natural}([D, d'_\mathcal{E}(v)]) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from (8.40) together with the equality  $[\phi^{\natural}(D), v] - \phi^{\natural}([D, d_{\mathcal{E}}(v)]) = 0$ , and the fourth equality follows from the fact that  $\phi^{\natural}$  preserves the Lie bracket operator  $[-, -]$ . This implies that  $\chi(v) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}, \phi}$ , and hence  $\chi$  restricts to an automorphism of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}, \phi}$ .

Moreover, since  $\text{Ker}(d_{\mathcal{E}}) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\text{Ker}(d'_{\mathcal{E}}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ , the equality  $d'_{\mathcal{E}} \circ \chi = -d_{\mathcal{E}}$  asserted in Lemma 8.4.1 implies that  $\chi$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ . This completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.4.3.** *There exists an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules*

$$\Xi : \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Sol}(\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}}^1,$$

which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\text{Sol}(\nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}})) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Xi} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}}^1 \\ & \searrow^{D'_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}}} & \swarrow_{D_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}}} \\ & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{T}) & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 8.4.2, we obtain the following commutative square diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}, \phi}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\chi_B \oplus \chi_{\phi})} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_B^{\log}/S^{\log}}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}, \phi}) \\ \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\gamma'_1 \oplus (-\gamma'_2)) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\gamma_1 \oplus (-\gamma_2)) \\ \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\chi} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}), \end{array}$$

where  $\gamma'_1$  and  $\gamma'_2$  denote the natural inclusions  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}, \phi} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}$ , respectively. This induces an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules

$$\text{Ker}(\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\gamma'_1 \oplus (-\gamma'_2))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}}^1.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 8.2.1, (i), that the commutative square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\gamma'_1) \\ \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}, \phi}) & \xrightarrow[\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\gamma'_2)]{} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}}) \end{array}$$

is Cartesian, where the upper horizontal arrow (resp., the left-hand vertical arrow) arises from the natural inclusion  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  (resp.,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}^{\log}/S^{\log}, \phi}$ ). Hence, this diagram yields an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules

$$\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\gamma'_1 \oplus (-\gamma'_2))). \quad (8.41)$$

By composing (8.4) and (8.41), we obtain an isomorphism  $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}, \phi}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}}^1$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.4.4.** *The smooth Deligne-Mumford  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -stack  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is equidimensional of dimension  $3g-3+r$ . Moreover, the projection  $\Pi_{g,r}$  is faithfully flat. In particular,  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}} \mathcal{M}_{g,r}$  is nonempty.*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from Corollary 8.3.6, (ii), Propositions 8.1.2, (ii), and 8.4.3.

Next, suppose that the projection  $\Pi_{g,r}$  is not surjective. Since  $\Pi_{g,r}$  is proper (cf. Corollary 5.6.2) and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  is irreducible, the image  $\text{Im}(\Pi_{g,r})$  of  $\Pi_{g,r}$  does not coincide with the entire space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  and has dimension  $< 3g-3+r$ . In particular, we have  $\dim(\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}) - \dim(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}) > 0$ . This implies that each fiber of  $\Pi_{g,r}$  has positive dimension (cf. [Har, Chap. II, Exercise 3.22]); it contradicts the finiteness of  $\Pi_{g,r}$  asserted in Corollary 5.6.2. Thus,  $\Pi_{g,r}$  turns out to be surjective.

The flatness of  $\Pi_{g,r}$  follows immediately from [Har, Chap. III, Exercise 10.9] because both  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  are smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\Pi_{g,r}$  is finite. Thus, we have finished the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

**8.5. Ordinarity.** Let  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  be as before.

**Definition 8.5.1.** We shall say that  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is **ordinary** if the morphism  $D_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}$  (cf. (8.20)) is an isomorphism.

The following assertion is a direct consequence of Proposition 8.4.3.

**Proposition 8.5.2.**  *$\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is ordinary if and only if the morphism  $D'_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}$  (cf. (8.39)) is an isomorphism.*

**Remark 8.5.3** (Previous definition of ordinarity). When  $\mathcal{X}$  is unpointed and smooth, it follows from Proposition 8.5.2 that the definition of ordinarity introduced here is equivalent to the ordinarity of the corresponding *indigenous*  $(\text{PGL}_2, B)$ -bundle, in the sense of [Wak8, Definition 6.7.1].

Denote by

$$\mathcal{O}p_{n,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p,\text{ord}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}, \text{ or simply } \mathcal{O}p_{g,r,\text{ord}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$$

the open substack of  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ ) classifying *ordinary* dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers. According to Corollary 8.3.6, this stack coincides with the étale locus of  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  relative to  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ .

**Proposition 8.5.4.** *The projection  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow 1} : \mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{2,1,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  obtained by reducing the level of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2$ -opers to 1 restricts to a morphism  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p,\text{ord}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{2,1,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p,\text{ord}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ .*

*Proof.* As shown in [Moc2, Chap. II, Theorem 2.8],  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,1,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is irreducible. Hence, by an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 8.4.4, the projection  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow 1}$  turns out to be flat. If the projection  $\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p} : \mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  is unramified (or equivalently, étale) at a geometric point  $q$  of  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ , then  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow 1}$  is unramified (or equivalently, étale) at the same point. It follows that the differential of the projection  $\Pi_{2,1,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p} : \mathcal{O}p_{2,1,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  at  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow 1}(q)$  yields an isomorphism between the respective tangent spaces. This means that  $\Pi_{2,1,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}$  is étale at  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow 1}(q)$ . So the proof of the assertion is completed.  $\square$



Next, suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is unpointed (i.e.,  $r = 0$ ) and smooth (which implies  $X^{\log} = X$  and  $S^{\log} = S$ ). We shall write  $\mathcal{G} := (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}})$  for simplicity. Since the equivalence of categories (2.19) commutes with the formation of duals, the identification  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\vee}, \nabla_{\phi}^{\text{ad}\vee})$  induced from the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  gives an identification  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}^{\vee}$ . Under these identifications, the diagram (2.29) where “ $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ ” is taken to be  $\mathcal{G}$  defines a commutative square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_*(\Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Coker}} (= f_*(C_{\mathcal{G}}))} & f_*^{(N)}(\Omega^{(N)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}) \\ \downarrow f_{\mathcal{G}}^{(0)} \wr & & \downarrow f_{\mathcal{G}}^{(N)} \wr \\ \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\vee} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Ker}}} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*^{(N)}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi})^{\vee}. \end{array} \quad (8.42)$$

Let us consider the composite

$$\Omega^{\otimes 2} \hookrightarrow \Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{C_{\mathcal{G}}} \Omega^{(N)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi},$$

where the first arrow denotes the morphism induced by the inclusion  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}$  under the natural identification  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^1/\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^2 =) \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^1 = \Omega$  (cf. [Wak5, Eq. (617)]). The direct image via  $f$  of this composite defines an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear morphism

$$D''_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}} : f_*(\Omega^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow f_*(\Omega^{(N)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}). \quad (8.43)$$

**Proposition 8.5.5.** *The following square diagram is commutative up to multiplication by an invertible factor in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_*(\Omega^{\otimes 2}) & \xrightarrow{D''_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}}} & f_*(\Omega^{(N)} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi}) \\ \downarrow f \wr & & \downarrow f_{\mathcal{G}}^{(N)} \wr \\ \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{T})^{\vee} & \xrightarrow{(D'_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}})^{\vee}} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E},\phi})^{\vee}, \end{array}$$

where  $f$  denotes the isomorphism obtained by applying Grothendieck-Serre duality to  $\Omega$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}$  is ordinary if and only if  $D''_{\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge}}$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_*(\Omega^{\otimes 2}) & \longrightarrow & f_*(\Omega \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}) \\ \downarrow f \wr & & \downarrow f_{\mathcal{G}}^{(0)} \wr \\ \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{T})^{\vee} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\vee}, \end{array}$$

where the upper and lower horizontal arrows arise from the isomorphisms  $\Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^1 (\hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}} \twoheadrightarrow) \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}$ , respectively, defined in [Wak5, Eq. (617)]. By the definition of the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  (i.e.,  $(a, b) \mapsto \frac{1}{4} \cdot \text{tr}(\text{ad}(a) \cdot \text{ad}(b)) (= \text{tr}(ab))$  for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ ), this diagram is commutative up to multiplication by an invertible factor. Hence, the assertion follows from the commutativity (in this sense) of this diagram and that of (8.42). The second assertion follows from the first assertion and Proposition 8.5.2.  $\square$

**8.6. Dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on a 3-pointed projective line.** The goal of this subsection is to show that the stack  $\mathcal{O}_{p_{\rho,0,3}}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  defined for each  $\rho \in ((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}$  is, if it is nonempty, isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  (cf. Theorem 8.6.5). Since we have already obtained the finiteness and smoothness of the moduli space (cf. Theorem 6.3.7 and Corollary 8.3.6, (i)), the problem is reduced to proving that  $\mathcal{O}_{p_{\rho,0,3}}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  consists of exactly one point in the set-theoretic sense. So the desired assertion follows directly from [Wak6, Proposition 6.4.1]. However, in this subsection, we give an alternative (somewhat simpler) proof of “ $\mathcal{O}_{p_{\rho,0,3}}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \cong \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ” without applying the finiteness and smoothness, which were actually proved by technically complicated arguments. We do so by slightly generalizing the discussion in [Wak6].

We shall consider the case where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the 3-pointed projective line  $\mathcal{P} := (\mathbb{P}/S, \{[0], [1], [\infty]\})$ , introduced in (6.23). Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and suppose that  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  for  $R \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A}rt/k)$ . To clarify the base space “ $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ ”, we occasionally write  $\mathbb{P}_R$  and  $\mathcal{P}_R$  instead of  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ , respectively.

Let us take a triple  $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_\infty)$  of elements of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}$ . Then, there exists a triple of integers  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $2 \cdot \rho_x = \lambda_x$  as elements of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\}$  and  $0 < \lambda_x < p^N$  for every  $x = 0, 1, \infty$ ;
- The sum  $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_\infty$  is odd  $< 2 \cdot p^N$ .

Set  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^+ := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(\lambda_0 \cdot [0] + \lambda_1 \cdot [1] + \lambda_\infty \cdot [\infty])$ . Note that we can find a unique  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla^+$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^+$  whose restriction to  $U := \mathbb{P} \setminus \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$  coincides with the trivial  $\mathcal{D}_{U/S}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^+|_U = \mathcal{O}_U$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $\mathbb{P}$  of relative degree  $\frac{\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_\infty + 1}{2}$ , which is uniquely determined up to isomorphism because  $R$  is a local ring. Let us fix an identification  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^+$ . Under this identification, the pair  $\vartheta := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla^+)$  form a dormant  $2^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{log}}/S$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  be a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$  of radii  $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_\infty)$ , i.e., whose radius at  $[x]$  ( $x \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ) coincides with  $\rho_x$ . We shall write

$$\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_{j=0}^2)$$

for the dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper determined by a unique (up to isomorphism) dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla^\diamond$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  via the isomorphism  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  (cf. Theorem 5.5.1). That is to say, we set  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := \nabla^{\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit}$  (hence  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\nabla = \nabla^\diamond$ ). In particular, since  $\mathcal{F}^1 = \mathcal{L}$ , we can regard  $\mathcal{L}$  as a line subbundle of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 8.6.1.** We shall refer to  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  as the **canonical dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper** associated to  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ . (Note that the formation of  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  depends on the choice of  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_\infty)$ , but its isomorphism class does not depend on the choice of the identification  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^+$ .)

**Remark 8.6.2** (Previous works on canonical  $\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers). The construction of the canonical  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -opers was discussed in [Moc2, Chap. IV, § 2.1] (for  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$  and  $N = 1$ ) and [Wak6, § 6.3] (for  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ ) to establish a bijective correspondence between dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers on  $\mathcal{P}$  and certain tamely ramified coverings on  $\mathcal{P}$  (i.e., dynamical Belyi maps).

We shall write  $\mathcal{F}^\flat := F_{\mathbb{P}/S}^{(N)*}(\mathrm{Sol}(\nabla))$  and write

$$\tau : \mathcal{F}^\flat \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

for the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ -linear injection extending the  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(N)}}$ -linear inclusion  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ ; this morphism commutes with the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structures  $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla), \text{can}}^{(N-1)}$  (cf. (2.18)) and  $\nabla$ . Next, we shall set  $\mathcal{L}^b := \mathcal{L} \cap \text{Im}(\tau)$ . Since the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $U := \mathbb{P} \setminus \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$  is an isomorphism (cf. (2.19)), the quotient sheaf  $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^b$  is a torsion sheaf supported on  $\{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$ .

**Lemma 8.6.3.** *Let us keep the above notation.*

- (i)  $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^b$  is flat over  $S$ , and the stalk of  $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^b$  at the marked point  $x \in \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$  is of rank  $\lambda_x$  (as a free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module). Moreover, the injection

$$\iota : \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^b \hookrightarrow \text{Coker}(\tau) \quad (8.44)$$

induced from the inclusion  $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism.

- (ii) There exists an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{(N)}}$ -linear isomorphism  $\gamma : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{(N)}}^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)$ .

*Proof.* In what follows, we shall use the notation  $(-)_k$  to denote the result of reducing objects and morphisms over  $R$  modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_R$ .

First, let us consider assertion (i). By Proposition 8.3.2 (and the isomorphism  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit}^{\text{zzz...}}$ ),  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is locally isomorphic to the base-change of  $(\mathcal{F}_k, \nabla_k)$  over  $R$ . Hence,  $\text{Coker}(\tau)$  can be locally identified with the base-change of  $\text{Coker}(\tau_k)$ . This implies that  $\text{Coker}(\tau)$  is flat over  $S$ . Recall from [Wak6, Lemma 6.3.2] that the reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_R$  of (8.44) is an isomorphism. By Nakayama's lemma, the morphism (8.44) turns out to be an isomorphism. In particular,  $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^b$  is flat over  $S$ , and the rank of its stalk at  $x$  (as a free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module) coincides with the rank of its reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_R$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_x$ . This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we shall consider assertion (ii). Since  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is locally isomorphic to the base-change of  $(\mathcal{F}_k, \nabla_k)$  (as mentioned above), the vector bundle  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{(N)}$  is locally isomorphic to the base-change of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_k)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)$  specifies a deformation of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_k)$  over  $R$ . Here, let us observe the following fact from well-known generalities of deformation theory: if we are given a small extension in  $\mathcal{A}rt/k$  of the form  $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow R_0 \rightarrow 0$  and a deformation  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_k)_{R_0}$  of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_k)$  over  $R_0$ , then the set of deformations of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_k)_{R_0}$  over  $R_1$  has a structure of torsor modeled on  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_k^{(N)}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_k)))$ . On the other hand,  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_k)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^{(N)}}^{\oplus 2}$  as proved in [Wak6, Lemma 6.3.3], so we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_k^{(N)}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_k))) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}_k^{(N)}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^{(N)}}^{\oplus 2})) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}_k^{(N)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^{(N)}})^{\oplus 4} = 0.$$

This implies that any deformation of  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_k)$  over  $R$  is trivial. In particular, there exists an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{(N)}}^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)$ .  $\square$

The following assertion is a slight generalization of [Wak6, Proposition 6.4.1]. (The proof is entirely similar.)

**Proposition 8.6.4.** *Let  $\rho := (\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_\infty)$  be an element of  $((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}$ . Then, any two dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on  $\mathcal{P}$  of radii  $\rho$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Suppose that we are given two dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers  $\mathcal{E}_\circ^\spadesuit, \mathcal{E}_\bullet^\spadesuit$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  of radii  $\rho$ . For each  $\star \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{F}_\star^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}_\star, \nabla_\star, \{\mathcal{F}_\star^j\}_j)$  the canonical dormant  $\text{GL}_n^{(N)}$ -oper associated to  $\mathcal{E}_\star^\spadesuit$ . As mentioned previously, the inclusion  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_\star) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\star$  extends to an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ -linear injection  $\tau_\star : F_{\mathbb{P}/S}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_\star)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\star$ , and we obtain  $\mathcal{L}_\star^b := \mathcal{L}_\star \cap \text{Im}(\tau_\star)$  by regarding  $\mathcal{L}_\star := \mathcal{L}$  as a line subbundle of  $\mathcal{F}_\star$ . The morphism  $\iota_\star : \mathcal{L}_\star/\mathcal{L}_\star^b \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\tau_\star)$  induced by  $\mathcal{L}_\star \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\star$  is an isomorphism (cf. Lemma 8.6.3, (i)). Write  $\nabla_\star^{(0)}$  for the  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_\star$  induced

from  $\nabla_\star$ . Then, the collection  $\mathcal{F}_\star^{\heartsuit(1)} := (\mathcal{F}_\star, \nabla_\star^{(0)}, \{\mathcal{F}_\star^j\}_j)$  defines a dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(1)}, \vartheta^{(1)})$ -oper, where  $\vartheta^{(1)}$  denotes the  $2^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic obtained by reducing the level of  $\vartheta$  to 1. Recall from [Moc2, Chap. I, Theorem 4.4] that dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers on  $\mathcal{P}$  are completely determined by their radii. In particular, since  $(\mathcal{F}_\circ^{\heartsuit(1)})^{\Rightarrow\blacklozenge}$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_\bullet^{\heartsuit(1)})^{\Rightarrow\blacklozenge}$  have the same radii (cf. Proposition 6.3.3, (i)), we have  $(\mathcal{F}_\circ^{\heartsuit(1)})^{\Rightarrow\blacklozenge} \cong (\mathcal{F}_\bullet^{\heartsuit(1)})^{\Rightarrow\blacklozenge}$ . It follows that there exists an isomorphism

$$\alpha : \mathcal{F}_\circ^{(1)\heartsuit} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_\bullet^{(1)\heartsuit}$$

(cf. Theorem 5.5.1). This isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism  $\alpha|_{\mathcal{L}_\circ} : \mathcal{L}_\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_\bullet$ , and hence induces an isomorphism  $\alpha|_{\mathcal{L}_\circ/\mathcal{L}_\circ^\flat} : \mathcal{L}_\circ/\mathcal{L}_\circ^\flat \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_\bullet/\mathcal{L}_\bullet^\flat$ . Thus, we obtain the composite isomorphism

$$\alpha|_{\mathrm{Coker}(\tau_\circ)} := \iota_\bullet \circ \alpha|_{\mathcal{L}_\circ/\mathcal{L}_\circ^\flat} \circ \iota_\circ^{-1} : \mathrm{Coker}(\tau_\circ) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Coker}(\tau_\bullet).$$

In what follows, we shall prove the commutativity of the following square diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_\circ & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha} & \mathcal{F}_\bullet \\ \pi_\circ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_\bullet \\ \mathrm{Coker}(\tau_\circ) & \xrightarrow[\alpha|_{\mathrm{Coker}(\tau_\circ)}]{\sim} & \mathrm{Coker}(\tau_\bullet), \end{array} \quad (8.45)$$

where  $\pi_\star$  ( $\star \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$ ) denotes the natural projection  $\mathcal{F}_\star \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\tau_\star)$ . Let us take  $\star \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$  and  $x \in \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$ . Also, choose a local function  $t$  on  $\mathbb{P}$  defining  $x$ . The formal neighborhood  $\widehat{U}_x$  of  $x$  in  $\mathbb{P}$  may be identified with  $U_\circ$  (cf. (4.1)). By Proposition 4.3.1 and Lemma 8.6.3, one may verify that the restriction  $(\mathcal{F}_\star, \nabla_\star)|_{\widehat{U}_x}$  of  $(\mathcal{F}_\star, \nabla_\star)$  to  $\widehat{U}_x$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\circ, \bar{\lambda}_x}^{(N-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\circ, 0}^{(N-1)}$ , where  $\bar{\lambda}_x$  denotes the image of  $\lambda_x$  via the natural quotient  $\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ . Let us fix an identification  $(\mathcal{F}_\star, \nabla_\star)|_{\widehat{U}_x} = \mathcal{O}_{\circ, \bar{\lambda}_x}^{(N-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\circ, 0}^{(N-1)}$ . Under this identification, the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $\widehat{U}_x$  determines an automorphism  $\alpha|_{\widehat{U}_x}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\circ, \bar{\lambda}_x}^{(N-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\circ, 0}^{(N-1)}$ . Here, we shall use the notation  $\mathrm{mult}_{(-)}$  to denote the endomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_\circ$  given by multiplication by  $(-)$ . Since  $\bar{\lambda}_x \neq 0$ , the automorphism  $\alpha|_{\widehat{U}_x}$  may be expressed as  $\mathrm{mult}_v \oplus \mathrm{mult}_w$  for some  $v, w \in \mathcal{O}_\circ^\times$  after possibly replacing the fixed identification  $(\mathcal{F}_\star, \nabla_\star)|_{\widehat{U}_x} = \mathcal{O}_{\circ, \bar{\lambda}_x}^{(N-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\circ, 0}^{(N-1)}$  with another (cf. Proposition 4.3.4, (ii)). By Proposition 6.1.3, (i), the inclusion  $\mathcal{L}_\star \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\star$  corresponds, after choosing a suitable trivialization  $H^0(\widehat{U}_x, \mathcal{L}_\star|_{\widehat{U}_x}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_\circ$ , to the  $\mathcal{O}_\circ$ -linear morphism  $\mathcal{O}_\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\circ^{\oplus 2}$  given by  $1 \mapsto (u_\star, 1)$  for some  $u_\star \in \mathcal{O}_\circ^\times$ . Then, the restriction of  $\alpha|_{\mathcal{L}_\star}$  to  $\widehat{U}_x$  may be expressed as  $\mathrm{mult}_w$ , and the equality  $v \cdot u_\circ = w \cdot u_\bullet$  holds. Hence, for each  $(g, h) \in \mathcal{O}_\circ^{\oplus 2} (= \mathcal{F}_\circ|_{\widehat{U}_x})$ , we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_{\bullet} \circ \alpha)((g, h)) &= \pi_{\bullet}((v \cdot g, w \cdot h)) \\
&= \left( \frac{v \cdot g}{u_{\bullet}} \cdot u_{\bullet}, \frac{v \cdot g}{u_{\bullet}} \cdot 1 \right) \bmod \text{Im}(\tau_{\bullet}) \\
&= \iota_{\bullet} \left( \frac{v \cdot g}{u_{\bullet}} \bmod \mathcal{L}_{\bullet}^b \right) \\
&= (\iota_{\bullet} \circ \alpha|_{\mathcal{L}_{\circ}/\mathcal{L}_{\circ}^b}) \left( \frac{v \cdot g}{wu_{\circ}} \bmod \mathcal{L}_{\circ}^b \right) \\
&= (\alpha|_{\text{Coker}(\tau_{\circ})} \circ \iota_{\circ}) \left( \frac{g}{u_{\circ}} \bmod \mathcal{L}_{\circ}^b \right) \\
&= \alpha|_{\text{Coker}(\tau_{\circ})} \left( \left( \frac{g}{u_{\circ}} \cdot u_{\circ}, \frac{g}{u_{\circ}} \cdot 1 \right) \bmod \text{Im}(\tau_{\circ}) \right) \\
&= (\alpha|_{\text{Coker}(\tau_{\circ})} \circ \pi_{\circ})((g, h)).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows the desired commutativity of (8.45).

Moreover, the commutativity of (8.45) just proved implies that  $\alpha$  is restricted, via  $\iota_{\circ}$  and  $\iota_{\bullet}$ , to an isomorphism

$$\alpha' : F_{\mathbb{P}/S}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\circ})) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{\mathbb{P}/S}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\bullet})).$$

Since  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\circ}) \cong \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\bullet}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{(N)}}^{\oplus 2}$  (cf. Lemma 8.6.3, (ii)), the morphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{(N)}}}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\circ}), \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\bullet})) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}} (F_{\mathbb{P}/S}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\circ})), F_{\mathbb{P}/S}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\bullet})))$$

arising from pull-back by  $F_{\mathbb{P}/S}^{(N)}$  is bijective. In particular,  $\alpha'$  comes from an isomorphism  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\circ}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\bullet})$ , and hence  $\alpha'$  is compatible with the respective  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -actions  $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\circ}), \text{can}}^{(N-1)}$ ,  $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\bullet}), \text{can}}^{(N-1)}$ . Since  $\nabla_{\star}$  ( $\star \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$ ) is the unique  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{F}_{\star}$  extending  $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\star}), \text{can}}^{(N-1)}$  via  $\tau_{\star}$ , the isomorphism  $\alpha$ , being an extension of  $\alpha'$ , preserves the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure. It follows that  $\alpha$  defines an isomorphism of  $\text{GL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers  $\mathcal{F}_{\circ}^{\heartsuit} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\bullet}^{\heartsuit}$ . Thus, we obtain  $\mathcal{E}_{\circ}^{\spadesuit} \cong \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{\spadesuit}$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.6.5.** *Let  $\rho \in ((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}$ . Then, the stack  $\mathcal{O}p_{\rho, 0, 3}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is either empty or isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . In particular, any dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$  is ordinary, i.e., we have  $\mathcal{O}p_{0, 3, \text{ord}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} = \mathcal{O}p_{0, 3}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Proposition 8.6.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.6.6.** *For each  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , denote by  $\pi_0(\mathcal{O}p_{2, N, g, r, \mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots})$  the set of connected components of  $\mathcal{O}p_{2, N, g, r, \mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ . Let us consider the projective system of sets*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{O}p_{2, N, g, r, \mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{O}p_{2, 2, g, r, \mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{O}p_{2, 1, g, r, \mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots})$$

arising from (5.11). Then, the projective limit

$$\varprojlim_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \pi_0(\mathcal{O}p_{2, N, g, r, \mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots})$$

of this system is nonempty.

*Proof.* Let us take an  $r$ -pointed totally degenerate curve  $\mathcal{X}$  of genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  in characteristic  $p$ . Choose trivalent clutching data  $\mathbb{G} := (\mathbb{G}, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{X}$  (cf. Definition 6.5.1). In particular,  $\mathcal{X}$  may be obtained by gluing together  $J$  copies of  $\mathcal{P}$  by means of  $\mathbb{G}$ . Denote by  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}} := \{\rho^j\}_{j=1}^J$  the set of  $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\Xi_{2,N}$ -radii defined by  $\rho^j := \varepsilon^{\times 3}$  (cf. (6.26)). By Theorem 8.6.5 and the equivalence of categories (6.17), there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) dormant  $\mathbb{G}\text{-PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper of radii  $\rho_{\mathbb{G}}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ ; we shall denote by  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X},N}^{\spadesuit}$  the corresponding dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  via the equivalence of categories (6.16). Also, denote by  $\mathcal{R}_N$  the connected component of  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  containing the point  $s_N$  classifying  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X},N}^{\spadesuit})$ . For each  $N' \leq N$ , the equality  $q_{N \Rightarrow N'}(\varepsilon^{\times 3}) = \varepsilon^{\times 3}$  holds (cf. (6.8) for the definition of  $q_{N \Rightarrow N'}$ ). This implies that the dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N')}$ -oper obtained from  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X},N}^{\spadesuit}$  by reducing its level is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X},N'}^{\spadesuit}$ . In other words, the projection  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{2,N',g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  sends  $s_N$  to  $s_{N'}$ . Since the stacks  $\mathcal{R}_N$  are irreducible because of their smoothness proved in Corollary 8.3.6, (i), the projective system (5.11) restricts to a projective system

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_N \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1.$$

In particular, this system defines an element of the set  $\varprojlim_N \pi_0(\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots})$ , so we conclude that  $\varprojlim_N \pi_0(\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}) \neq \emptyset$ , as desired.  $\square$

**8.7. Generic étaleness of the moduli space.** When  $N = 1$ , the generic étaleness of  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} / \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  was already shown in [Moc2, Chap. II, Theorem 2.8]. By using Theorem 8.6.5, we can prove the following higher-level generalization.

**Theorem 8.7.1.** *The stack  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r,\text{ord}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is a dense open substack of  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  containing all the points lying over the points in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  classifying totally degenerate curves. In particular, the projection  $\Pi_{g,r} : \mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  is generically étale.*

*Proof.* First, we shall prove the first assertion. Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\rho$  an element of  $\Xi_{2,N}^{\times r}$ . Also, let us take an arbitrary  $r$ -pointed totally degenerate curve  $\mathcal{X}$  of genus  $g$  over  $k$ ; there exists trivalent clutching data  $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{G}, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{X}$ . The point  $q_{\mathbb{G}}$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  determined by the morphism

$$\text{Clut}_{\mathbb{G}} : (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p) =) \prod_{j=1}^J \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$$

(cf. (6.20)) classifies  $\mathcal{X}$ . By applying Theorem 6.4.7, we obtain the Cartesian square diagram (6.22) for  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\rho$ . It follows from Theorem 8.6.5 that the left-hand vertical arrow in this diagram is unramified. Hence, the projection  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r} : \mathcal{O}p_{\rho,g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ , i.e., the right-hand vertical arrow in (6.22), is unramified over the point  $q_{\mathbb{G}}$ . By the flatness of  $\Pi_{g,r}$  obtained in Corollary 8.4.4,  $\Pi_{\rho,g,r}$  is moreover étale over the same point. This completes the proof of the first assertion.

Also, since the projection  $\Pi_{g,r}$  is finite and faithfully flat, one may verify that any irreducible component of  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  contains a point lying over the point of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  classifying a totally degenerate curve. Thus, the second assertion follows from the first assertion together with the open nature of étaleness.  $\square$

**Remark 8.7.2** (Divisor defined by the nonordinary locus). The complement of the ordinary locus forms a divisor on  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ . Indeed, let us consider the morphism  $D_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}$  (cf. 8.20) in the case where the pair “ $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$ ” is taken to be the universal object over  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ . This morphism determines a morphism

$$\bigwedge^{3g-3+r} D_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit} : \bigwedge^{3g-3} \mathbb{R}^1 f_* (\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{3g-3+r} \mathbb{R}^1 f_* (\mathcal{T})$$

between line bundles on  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  (cf. Propositions 8.1.2, (ii), 8.2.4, and 8.4.3), which corresponds to a global section of the line bundle

$$\left( \bigwedge^{3g-3+r} \mathbb{R}^1 f_* (\mathcal{T}) \right) \otimes \left( \bigwedge^{3g-3+r} \mathbb{R}^1 f_* (\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}^1) \right)^\vee.$$

The morphism  $D_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit}$  is an isomorphism exactly on the complement of the divisor  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{ord}}$  ( $\subseteq \mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ ) associated to this section. Thus, the nonordinary locus in  $\mathcal{O}p_{g,r}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  coincides with the support of  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{ord}}$ .

**Remark 8.7.3** (Generic étaleness for higher rank cases). For a (sufficiently small) general  $n > 1$ , the projection  $\mathcal{O}p_{n,1,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  is generically étale (cf. [Wak5, Theorem G]). The generic étaleness is essential in the proofs of Joshi’s conjecture described in [Wak1] and [Wak5]. Indeed, we used this geometric property to lift relevant moduli spaces to characteristic 0 and then compared them with certain Quot schemes over  $\mathbb{C}$ ; this argument enables us to compute explicitly the number of all possible dormant  $\text{PGL}_n$ -opers on a general curve.

To develop the enumerative geometry of higher-level dormant opers in such a way that this argument works, we expect that the following conjecture is true:

*Suppose that  $p$  is sufficiently large relative to  $n$ ,  $g$ , and  $r$ . Then, the stack  $\mathcal{O}p_{n,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  associated to such a collection  $(p, n, g, r)$  is generically étale over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ , i.e., any irreducible component of  $\mathcal{O}p_{n,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  that dominates  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  admits a dense open substack which is étale over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ .*

For each positive integer  $N' < N$ , we shall write  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow N'}$  for the morphism  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{2,N',g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  obtained by reducing the level of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2$ -opers to  $N'$ . We shall set

$$\mathcal{J} := \bigcap_{N' \leq N} \Pi_{N \Rightarrow N'}^{-1} (\mathcal{O}p_{2,N',g,r,\mathbb{F}_p, \text{ord}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}),$$

which is a dense open substack of  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  (cf. Theorem 8.7.1). That is to say,  $\mathcal{J}$  classifies dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  such that the morphisms  $D_{\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit(N')}$  are isomorphisms for all  $N' \leq N$ , where  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit(N')$  denotes the dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N')}$ -oper induced from  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$ .

Hence, we obtain the dense open substack

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,N\text{-ord}}$$

of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$  defined as the complement of the image of the natural projection  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \setminus \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a pointed stable curve over a geometric point classified by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,N\text{-ord}}$ , then all the dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on  $\mathcal{X}$  and their reductions to level  $N' \leq N$  are ordinary. In particular, the set of isomorphism classes of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on  $\mathcal{X}$  has cardinality equal to the degree of the projection  $\Pi_{g,r}$ .

**Definition 8.7.4.** An  $r$ -pointed stable curve of genus  $g$  is called **(dormant-)  $N$ -ordinary** if it is classified by the open substack  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,N\text{-ord}}$ . (Since  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,N\text{-ord}}$  is dense in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ , a general pointed stable curve is  $N$ -ordinary.)

The following assertion describes the relationship between  $N$ -ordinariness and 1-ordinariness.

**Proposition 8.7.5.** *The inclusion relation  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,N\text{-ord}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,1\text{-ord}}$  holds. That is to say, if  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $N$ -ordinary, then it is also 1-ordinary.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Proposition 8.5.4. □

**Remark 8.7.6** ( $N$ -ordinariness vs.  $N'$ -ordinariness). For the same reason as above, the inclusion relation  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,N\text{-ord}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,N'\text{-ord}}$  (where  $N' \leq N$ ) holds if the projection  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow N'} : \mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{2,N',g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is surjective. In particular, if we can show the connectedness of the stacks  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  (which implies the surjectivity of the  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow N'}$ 's), there exists a sequence of open immersions

$$\cdots \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,N\text{-ord}} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,2\text{-ord}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,1\text{-ord}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$$

It will be natural to ask what the intersection  $\bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,N\text{-ord}}$  is. At the time of writing this manuscript, the author does not know the existence of a *closed* point of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,r}$  ( $\subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}$ ) classifying a pointed curve that is  $N$ -ordinary for every  $N$ .

## 9. CANONICAL DIAGONAL LIFTINGS

In this section, we construct the canonical diagonal lifting of a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper, as well as of a Frobenius-projective structure, on a general smooth curve of genus  $> 1$  (cf. Theorem-Definitions 9.2.1 and 9.3.5). A key observation for doing this is that the ordinariness introduced in the previous section enables us to connect various deformation spaces involved. As a consequence, we obtain an approach to solving the counting problem of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2$ -opers in characteristic  $p^N$  via reduction modulo  $p$ .

Let us fix a positive integer  $N$ , and suppose that  $p > 2$ .



**9.1. Canonical diagonal liftings of dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -opers.** Let  $R$  be a flat  $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and  $X$  a geometrically connected, proper, and smooth curve over  $S := \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  of genus  $g > 1$ . For each positive integer  $N' \leq N$ , we shall denote by a subscript “ $N' - 1$ ” the result of reducing an object over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$  modulo  $p^{N'}$ . For simplicity, we write  $\Omega_0 := \Omega_{X_0/S_0}$  and  $\Omega_0^{(N')} := \Omega_{X_0^{(N')}/S_0}$ .

We shall fix a dormant  $2^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_\vartheta)$  of  $X/S$  (cf. Definition 5.4.1 and Proposition 5.4.3) and fix an integer  $N'$  with  $1 \leq N' < N$ . The diagonal reduction of  $\vartheta_{N'-1}$  defines a  $2^{(N')}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta_0^{(N')}$  of  $X_0/S_0$ .

Also, let  $\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond$  be a dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(1)}, \vartheta_{N'-1})$ -oper on  $X_{N'-1}/S_{N'-1}$ , and write  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}_{N'-1}, \nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)$ , where  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_\Theta$ . The diagonal reduction of  $\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond$  defines a  $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N')}, \vartheta_0^{(N')})$ -oper  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}$  on  $X_0/S_0$ . The  $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(1)}, \vartheta_0)$ -oper on  $X_0/S_0$  induced by  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}$  via level reduction will be denoted by  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(1)}$ .

We shall write

$$\mathrm{Lift}(\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)_\vartheta$$

for the set of isomorphism classes of  $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(1)}, \vartheta_{N'})$ -opers  $\nabla_{N'}^\diamond$  on  $X_{N'}/S_{N'}$  with  $(\nabla_{N'}^\diamond)_{N'-1} = \nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond$ . Then,  $\mathrm{Lift}(\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)_\vartheta$  is nonempty and has a canonical structure of torsor modeled on the  $R$ -module  $H^0(X_0, \Omega_0^{\otimes 2})$  (cf. [Moc1, Chap. I, Corollary 2.9]).

Let us consider the composite

$$H^0(X_0, \Omega_0^{\otimes 2}) \hookrightarrow H^0(\Omega_0 \otimes \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}_0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[(\nabla_0^{\diamond(1)})_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}]) \quad (9.1)$$

(cf. (3.11) for the definition of  $(-)_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}$ ), where the first arrow denotes the canonical inclusion (cf. [Wak5, § 4.9.5]) and the second arrow denotes the morphism induced by the natural inclusion of complexes  $(\Omega_0 \otimes \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}_0))[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet[(\nabla_0^{\diamond(1)})_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}]$ . Then, the assignment  $\nabla_{N'}^\diamond \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_{N'}, \nabla_{N'}^\diamond)$  defines a map of sets

$$\mathrm{Lift}(\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)_\vartheta \rightarrow \mathrm{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}} \quad (9.2)$$

where  $\mathcal{L} := (\det(\mathcal{F}), \nabla_\vartheta)$  (cf. (3.12) for the definition of  $\mathrm{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}$ ).

Recall from the discussion preceding Proposition 3.4.2 that  $\mathrm{Lift}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{L}}$  has a structure of  $\mathbb{H}^1(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[(\nabla_0^{\diamond(1)})_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}])$ -torsor. The map (9.2) is verified to commute with the respective torsor structures via the morphism (9.1) (cf. [Wak5, § 6.3.2]). By combining this fact and Proposition 3.4.3, we obtain the following assertion.

**Proposition 9.1.1.** *Taking the diagonal reductions  $\nabla_{N'}^\diamond \mapsto \not\llcorner \nabla_{N'}^\diamond$  yields a map of sets*

$$\mathrm{Lift}(\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)_\vartheta \rightarrow \mathrm{Lift}(\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')})_{\mathcal{L}} \quad (9.3)$$

(cf. (3.9) for the definition of the codomain of this map), and this map commutes with the respective torsor structures via the composite

$$\begin{aligned} D''_{\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}} : H^0(X_0, \Omega_0^{\otimes 2}) &\xrightarrow{(9.1)} \mathbb{H}^1(X_0, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[(\nabla_0^{\diamond(1)})_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}]) \\ &\xrightarrow{(3.13)} H^0(X_0^{(N')}, \Omega_0^{(N')} \otimes \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}))). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the map (9.3) becomes bijective when  $D''_{\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}}$  is bijective.

Denote by

$$\text{Lift}(\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)^\psi$$

the subset of  $\text{Lift}(\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)^\psi$  consisting of *dormant*  $(\text{GL}_2^{(1)}, \vartheta_{N'})$ -opers. Propositions 3.4.4 and 9.1.1 together imply the following proposition.

**Proposition 9.1.2.** (i) *Taking the diagonal reductions  $\nabla_{N'}^\diamond \mapsto \llcorner \nabla_{N'}^\diamond$  yields a map of sets*

$$\text{Lift}(\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)^\psi \rightarrow \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')})^\psi, \quad (9.4)$$

and the following commutative square diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lift}(\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)^\psi & \xrightarrow{(9.4)} & \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')})^\psi \\ \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\ \text{Lift}(\nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond)^\psi & \xrightarrow{(9.3)} & \text{Lift}(\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}). \end{array}$$

(ii) *Suppose that  $D''_{\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}} is bijective. Then, the map (9.4) is bijective. In particular, for each dormant  $(\text{GL}_2^{(N'+1)}, \vartheta_0^{(N'+1)})$ -oper  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N'+1)}$  of  $X_0/S_0$  that induces  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}$  by reducing its level, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) dormant  $(\text{GL}_2^{(1)}, \vartheta_{N'})$ -oper  $\nabla_{N'}^\diamond$  on  $X_{N'}/S_{N'}$  with  $(\nabla_{N'}^\diamond)_{N'-1} = \nabla_{N'-1}^\diamond$  and  $\llcorner \nabla_{N'}^\diamond = \nabla_0^{\diamond(N'+1)}$ .$*

**Corollary 9.1.3.** *Let  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N)}$  be a dormant  $(\text{GL}_2^{(N)}, \vartheta_0^{(N)})$ -oper on  $X_0/S_0$ . Suppose that the morphism  $D''_{\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}} is bijective for every  $N' \leq N$ , where  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}$  denotes the dormant  $(\text{GL}_2^{(N')}, \vartheta_0^{(N')})$ -oper obtained by reducing the level of  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N)}$  to  $N'$ . Then, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) dormant  $(\text{GL}_n^{(1)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla^\diamond$  on  $X/S$  whose diagonal reduction coincides with  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N)}$ .$*

*Proof.* The assertion can be proved by successively applying assertion (ii) of Proposition 9.1.2 with respect to  $N'$  ( $1 \leq N' < N$ ).  $\square$

9.2. **Canonical diagonal liftings of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers.** Denote by

$$\text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \left( \text{resp.}, \text{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \right) \quad (9.5)$$

the set of isomorphism classes of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2$ -opers on  $X/S$  (resp., dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on  $X_0/S_0$ ). By regarding it as a (discrete) category, we obtain, from Proposition 5.2.4, an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \cong \mathcal{O}p_{2,1,g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z},\sigma_X} S \left( \text{resp.}, \text{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \cong \mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0,\mathbb{F}_p,\sigma_{X_0}} S \right),$$

where  $\sigma_X$  (resp.,  $\sigma_{X_0}$ ) denotes the classifying morphism  $S \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$  (resp.,  $S_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}$ ) of  $X$  (resp.,  $X_0$ ).

Taking the diagonal reductions of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2$ -opers yields a map of sets

$$\llcorner_\spadesuit : \text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \quad (9.6)$$

(cf. (5.12)). Then, we have the following assertion.

**Theorem-Definition 9.2.1.** *Suppose that  $X_0/S_0$  is  $N$ -ordinary (cf. Definition 8.7.4). Then, the map  $\not\llcorner_{\spadesuit} : \text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  is bijective. In particular, for each dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  on  $X_0/S_0$ , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) dormant  $\text{PGL}_2$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  on  $X/S$  with  $\not\llcorner_{\spadesuit} \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit = \mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$ . We shall refer to  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  as the **canonical diagonal lifting** of  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$ .*

*Proof.* According to Proposition 5.4.3, we can find a dormant  $2^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_\vartheta)$  of  $X/S$ . Denote by  $\vartheta_0^{(N)}$  the  $2^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $X_0/S_0$  obtained as the diagonal reduction of  $\vartheta$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit := (\mathcal{E}_{B,0}, \phi_0^{(N)})$  be a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $X_0/S_0$ . For each positive integer  $N' \leq N$ , we denote by  $\phi_0^{(N')}$  the  $(N' - 1)$ -PD stratification on  $\mathcal{E}$  induced by  $\phi_0^{(N)}$ . The resulting dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N')}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_0^{\spadesuit(N')} := (\mathcal{E}_{B,0}, \phi_0^{(N')})$  corresponds, via the bijection  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  (cf. Theorem 5.5.1), to a dormant  $(\text{GL}_2^{(N')}, \vartheta_0^{(N')})$ -oper  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}$  on  $X_0/S_0$ . Note that there exists a canonical identification  $\mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta_0}) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}_0}$  (where  $\mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{E}_{B,0} \times^B \text{PGL}_2$ ), which is compatible with the respective  $\mathcal{D}_{X_0/S_0}^{(N'-1)}$ -module structures, i.e.,  $(\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')})_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}$  and  $\nabla_{\phi_0^{(N')}}^{\text{ad}}$ . In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{E}nd^0(\text{Sol}(\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')})) \left( = \text{Sol}((\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')})_{\mathcal{E}nd^0}) \right) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{E}_0, \phi_0^{(N')}}.$$

Under this identification, the morphism  $D''_{\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}} \mathcal{E}_0^{\spadesuit(N')}$  coincides with  $D''_{\mathcal{E}_0^{\spadesuit(N')}} \mathcal{E}_0^{\spadesuit(N')}$  (cf. (8.43)). Hence, since  $X_0/S_0$  is  $N$ -ordinary, the morphisms  $D''_{\nabla_0^{\diamond(N')}} \mathcal{E}_0^{\spadesuit(N')}$  are bijective for all  $N'$ 's. By Corollary 9.1.3, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) dormant  $(\text{GL}_2^{(1)}, \vartheta)$ -oper  $\nabla_N^\diamond$  on  $X/S$  whose diagonal reduction coincides with  $\nabla_0^{\diamond(N)}$ . The dormant  $\text{PGL}_2$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  corresponding to  $\nabla_N^\diamond$  specifies a diagonal lifting of  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$ . The resulting assignment  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit \mapsto \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  specifies a well-defined map of sets  $\text{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ , and it gives an inverse map of  $\not\llcorner_{\spadesuit}$ . This completes the proof of the assertion.  $\square$

**Remark 9.2.2** (Affineness assumption). By the uniqueness assertion in the above theorem, the formation of the canonical diagonal liftings commutes with base-change over  $S$ -schemes. Hence, Theorem-Definition 9.2.1 is verified to be true even when we remove the affineness assumption on  $S$ .

**Remark 9.2.3** (Representability of an ordinary locus of  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ ). Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$ ) the open substack of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{M}_{g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$ ) consisting of points lying on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0,N\text{-ord}}$ . Since the projection  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  is étale, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) étale stack  $\mathcal{U}$  over  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$  whose reduction modulo  $p$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p,\text{ord}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ .

Now, let us take an étale scheme  $S$  over  $\mathcal{M}_{g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$  and a section  $u$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  over  $S$ . The structure morphism  $S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $S$  classifies a smooth curve  $X/S$  whose reduction  $X_0/S_0$  modulo  $p$  is  $N$ -ordinary, and the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $u$  defines a dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  on  $X_0/S_0$ . According to Theorem-Definition 9.2.1, we obtain the canonical diagonal lifting  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  of  $\mathcal{E}_0^\spadesuit$  defined on  $X/S$ . In particular, the pair  $(X/S, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  specifies an object of the category  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$  over  $S$ .

One may verify that the functor

$$\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0,\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$$

given by assigning  $u \mapsto (X/S, \mathcal{E}^\spadesuit)$  becomes an isomorphism between the étale sheaves on  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}}$  represented by the respective fibered categories. In particular, by using this isomorphism, we can construct a universal family of ordinary dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers parametrized by  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Remark 9.2.4** (Comparison with  $p$ -adic Teichmüller theory). One of the main achievements in  $p$ -adic Teichmüller theory asserts the existence of a canonical  $p$ -adic lifting of a curve equipped with a certain additional structure involving a  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper (= a torally indigenous bundle).

Each object classified by the *VF-stack*, or the *shifted VF-stack, of pure tone* (cf. [Moc2, Chap. IV, Definition 2.6]) is related to the notion of a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper because both are characterized by vanishing of the  $p$ -curvature of the associated flat bundles. However, despite the similarity in concept, there seems to be no (at least direct) correspondence with the canonical diagonal liftings asserted in the above theorem.

Also, other lifting constructions of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers can be found in [Moc1] (which is also in the context of  $p$ -adic Teichmüller theory, but in a more classical setting) and [LSYZ]. These constructions are entirely different from ours because the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers treated there never have vanishing  $p$ -curvature.

**Corollary 9.2.5.** *Let  $N$  be a positive integer and  $k$  an algebraically closed field over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Denote by  $W_N$  the ring of Witt vectors of length  $N$  over  $k$ . Also, let  $X$  be a geometrically connected, proper, and smooth curve of genus  $g > 1$  over  $W_N$ . Denote by  $X_0$  the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $X$ . Then, there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers on  $X$ . If, moreover,  $X_0$  is general in  $\mathcal{M}_{g,0}$ , then the cardinality  $\sharp(\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots})$  of  $\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  satisfies the following equalities:*

$$\sharp(\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}) = \sharp(\mathrm{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}) = \deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}).$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Theorem 6.3.7 and Theorem-Definition 9.2.1.  $\square$

**Remark 9.2.6** ( $p$ -adic liftings of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(\infty)}$ -opers). Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and let  $X_0$  be a connected proper smooth curve of genus  $g > 1$  over  $K$  classified by a  $K$ -rational *generic* point of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,0}$ . Denote by  $W$  the ring of Witt vectors over  $K$ . Also, choose a deformation  $X$  of  $X_0$  over  $W$ .

According to Corollary 8.6.6, we can find a collection of data

$$\{s_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}},$$

where

- each  $s_N$  ( $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ) denotes a  $K$ -valued generic point of an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$ ;
- the points  $\{s_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  are compatible with respect to the projective system (5.11), i.e.,  $s_N$  is mapped to  $s_{N'}$  via the natural projection  $\Pi_{N \Rightarrow N'} : \mathcal{O}p_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}p_{2,N',g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  for every  $N' \leq N$ .

The point  $s_N$  determines a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_N^\spadesuit$  on  $X_0/K$ . The resulting *compatible* collection  $\{\mathcal{E}_N^\spadesuit\}_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  should be called a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper of level  $\infty$ , or *dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(\infty)}$ -oper*.

Since  $X_0$  is  $N$ -ordinary for every  $N$ , we can apply Theorem-Definition 9.2.1 to the  $\mathcal{E}_N^\spadesuit$ 's, and obtain a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper  $\mathcal{E}_\infty^\spadesuit$  defined on a curve  $X/W$  via algebraization. This construction

would partially realize the expected correspondence (at least in the generic situation) between dormant opers of level  $\infty$  on a curve in characteristic  $p$  and dormant opers of level 1 on its  $p$ -adic lifting.

**9.3. Canonical diagonal liftings of  $F^N$ -projective structures.** The notion of an  $F^N$ -projective structures (i.e., a Frobenius-projective structures of level  $N$ ) on a curve in characteristic  $p$  was originally introduced by Y. Hoshi (cf. [Hos, Definition 2.1]) as an analogue of a complex projective structure on a Riemann surface, and later investigated by the author (cf. [Wak4], [Wak8]). Roughly speaking, an  $F^N$ -projective structure is a maximal collection of étale coordinate charts on a curve valued in the projective line whose transition functions descend to its  $N$ -th Frobenius twist.

The statement of [Hos, Theorem A] may be interpreted as the existence of a natural bijection between dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers and  $F^N$ -projective structures. (In [Wak8, Definition 2.2.2], the author introduced Frobenius-Ehresmann structures, which are multi-dimensional generalizations of Frobenius-projective structures. The same kind of bijection as mentioned above holds for those structures, see [Wak4, Theorem A] and [Wak8, Theorem A].)

In this final subsection, we extend the definition of a projective structure to the case of characteristic  $p^N$  (cf. Definition 9.3.2 below). After generalizing Hoshi’s bijection, we formulate the canonical diagonal liftings of  $F^N$ -projective structures as a direct consequence of Theorem-Definition 9.2.1.

Let  $\ell$  be a nonnegative integer,  $S$  a flat  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\ell+1}\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme, and  $X$  a geometrically connected, proper, and smooth curve of genus  $g > 1$  over  $S$ . Suppose that  $S$  is equipped with an  $(N - 1)$ -PD structure extending  $X$ . Denote by  $(\mathrm{PGL}_2)_X$  the Zariski sheaf on  $X$  represented by  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ ; this may be identified with the sheaf of automorphisms of the trivial  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -bundle  $X \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ . Also, denote by

$$(\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)})_X$$

the subsheaf of  $(\mathrm{PGL}_2)_X$  consisting of (locally defined) automorphisms of  $X \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$  preserving the  $(N - 1)$ -PD stratification  $\phi_{\mathrm{triv}}$  (cf. (2.9) for the definition of  $\phi_{\mathrm{triv}}$ ).

Next, let us write

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ét}}$$

for the Zariski sheaf of sets on  $X$  that assigns, to each open subscheme  $U$  of  $X$ , the set of étale  $S$ -morphisms from  $U$  to the projective line  $\mathbb{P}$  over  $S$ .

**Lemma 9.3.1.** *Let  $U$  be an open subscheme of  $X$ ,  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  an element of  $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ét}}(U)$ . Also, let  $h$  be an element of  $(\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)})_X(U)$ , regarded as an  $S$ -morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2$ . Then, the composite*

$$h\varphi : U \xrightarrow{(h,\varphi)} \mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathbb{P} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbb{P}$$

*belongs to  $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ét}}(U)$ , where the second arrow  $\Psi$  denotes the usual  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}$ .*

*Proof.* After possibly replacing  $U$  with its covering with respect to the étale topology, we may assume that there exists an automorphism  $\tilde{h}$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}, (\nabla_{X,\mathrm{triv}}^{(N-1)})^{\oplus 2})$  inducing  $h$  via projectivization; we will use the same notation  $\tilde{h}$  to denote the associated morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2$ . Let us

choose a point  $q \in U(k)$ , where  $k$  denotes a field. The differential of  $(h, \varphi) : U \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathbb{P}$  at  $q$  yields a morphism of  $k$ -vector spaces

$$(dh, d\varphi) : \mathcal{T}_{U/S}|_q \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}|_{h(q)} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}/S}|_{\varphi(q)} (= \mathcal{T}_{(\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathbb{P})/S}|_{(h(q), \varphi(q))}).$$

Note that the differential of  $\tilde{h}$  coincides with the zero map because  $\tilde{h}$  lies in  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}, (\nabla_{X, \mathrm{triv}}^{(N-1)})^{\oplus 2})$ . Hence, since  $h$  factors through  $\tilde{h}$ , the morphism  $dh : \mathcal{T}_{U/S}|_q \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}|_{h(q)}$  coincides with the zero map. On the other hand, by the étaleness assumption on  $\varphi$ , the morphism  $d\varphi : \mathcal{T}_{U/S}|_q \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}/S}|_{\varphi(q)}$  is an isomorphism. Also, the differential  $d\Psi : \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2}|_{h(q)} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}/S}|_{\varphi(q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}/S}|_{h(\varphi(q))}$  of the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -action  $\Psi$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}/S}|_{\varphi(q)} (= 0 \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}/S}|_{\varphi(q)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}/S}|_{h(\varphi(q))}$ . It follows that the differential  $d({}^h\varphi) (= d\Psi \circ (dh, d\varphi))$  of  ${}^h\varphi$  at  $q$  is an isomorphism. Since both  $U$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  are smooth over  $S$ , the morphism  ${}^h\varphi$  turns out to be étale. This completes the proof of this lemma.  $\square$

By the above lemma, the assignment  $(h, \varphi) \mapsto {}^h\varphi$  defines a  $(\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)})_X$ -action

$$\Psi^{(N)} : (\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)})_X \times \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ét}}$$

on the sheaf  $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ét}}$ .

**Definition 9.3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{S}^\blacklozenge$  be a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ét}}$ . We shall say that  $\mathcal{S}^\blacklozenge$  is a **Frobenius-projective structure of level  $N$**  (or simply, an  **$F^N$ -projective structure**) on  $X/S$  if it is closed under the  $(\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)})_X$ -action  $\Psi^{(N)}$  and forms a  $(\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)})_X$ -torsor on  $X$  with respect to the resulting  $(\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)})_X$ -action on  $\mathcal{S}^\blacklozenge$ .

**Remark 9.3.3** (Comparison with the classical definition). Suppose that  $\ell = 0$ . Then, since  $(\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)})_X$  coincides with the subsheaf  $(F_{X/S}^{(N)})^{-1}((\mathrm{PGL}_2)_{X^{(N)}})$  of  $(\mathrm{PGL}_2)_X$ , the definition of an  $F^N$ -projective structure described above is the same as the classical definition discussed in [Hos, Definition 2.1] and [Wak4, Definition 1.2.1].

Denote by

$$F^N\text{-Pr}_X$$

the set of  $F^N$ -projective structures on  $X/S$ . The following assertion generalizes [Hos, Theorem A] and [Wak8, Theorem B] (for  $(G, H) = (\mathrm{PGL}_2, B)$ ) to characteristic  $p^N$ .

**Theorem 9.3.4.** *Suppose that either  $\ell = 0$  or  $N = 1$  is satisfied (hence the set  $\mathrm{Op}_{N, X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  can be defined as in (9.5)). Then, there exists a canonical bijection of sets*

$$\mathrm{Op}_{N, X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^N\text{-Pr}_X. \quad (9.7)$$

*In particular, there are only finitely many  $F^N$ -projective structures on  $X/S$ .*

*Proof.* Since the assertion for  $\ell = 0$  was already proved in [Hos, Theorem A], it suffices to consider the case of  $\ell \neq 0$  (and  $N = 1$ ). First, we shall construct a map of sets  $\mathrm{Op}_{1, X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \rightarrow F^1\text{-Pr}_X$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}^\blacklozenge := (\mathcal{E}_B, \nabla)$  be a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper on  $X/S$ , and write  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_B \times^B \mathrm{PGL}_2$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  the projective line bundle associated to  $\mathcal{E}$ . The  $B$ -reduction  $\mathcal{E}_B$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  determines a global section  $\sigma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ . Next, let us take an open subscheme  $U$  of  $X$  on which  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  is trivialized (cf. Corollary 5.5.2, (ii)). Choose a trivialization

$$\alpha : (\mathcal{E}|_U, \nabla|_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} (U \times \mathrm{PGL}_2, \nabla_{\mathrm{triv}}), \quad (9.8)$$

where  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}$  denotes the trivial  $S$ -connection on  $U \times \text{PGL}_2$  (cf. [Wak5, Eq. (78)]); it induces an isomorphism  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}} : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times_S \mathbb{P}$ . Thus, we obtain the composite

$$\varphi_{\alpha} : U \xrightarrow{\sigma|_U} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})|_U \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathbb{P}}} U \times_S \mathbb{P} \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_2} \mathbb{P}.$$

Similarly to the proof of [Wak4, Corollary 1.6.2], we see that  $\varphi_{\alpha}$  is étale. Let  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge \Rightarrow \blacklozenge}(U)$  denote the set of étale morphisms  $\varphi_{\alpha}$  constructed in this way for all possible trivializations  $\alpha$  as in (9.8). The set  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge \Rightarrow \blacklozenge}(U)$  has a structure of  $(\text{PGL}_2^{(1)})_X(U)$ -torsor with respect to the  $(\text{PGL}_2^{(1)})_X(U)$ -action defined by  $\varphi_{\alpha} \mapsto \varphi_{h \circ \alpha}$  for each  $h \in (\text{PGL}_2^{(1)})_X(U)$ . Hence, the sheaf associated to the assignment  $U \mapsto \mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge \Rightarrow \blacklozenge}(U)$  specifies a subsheaf

$$\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge \Rightarrow \blacklozenge}$$

of  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{ét}}$ . One may verify that  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge \Rightarrow \blacklozenge}$  forms an  $F^1$ -projective structure on  $X/S$ , and the resulting assignment  $\mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge} \mapsto \mathcal{E}^{\blacklozenge \Rightarrow \blacklozenge}$  defines a map of sets  $\text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz...}} \rightarrow F^1\text{-Pr}_X$ . Moreover, we can reverse the steps in the above construction, so the map  $\text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz...}} \rightarrow F^1\text{-Pr}_X$  is verified to be bijective.

Finally, the second assertion follows from the first assertion together with Proposition 5.6.1, (ii). This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Hereinafter, we suppose that  $\ell + 1 = N$ . Denote by  $X_0/S_0$  the curve obtained as the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $X/S$ . Let us define a map

$$\not\llcorner_{\blacklozenge} : F^1\text{-Pr}_X \rightarrow F^N\text{-Pr}_{X_0}$$

to be the unique map that makes the following square diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^1\text{-Pr}_{X/S} & \xrightarrow{\not\llcorner_{\blacklozenge}} & F^N\text{-Pr}_{X_0} \\ (9.7) \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr (9.7) \\ \text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz...}} & \xrightarrow{\not\llcorner_{\blacklozenge}} & \text{Op}_{N,X_0}^{\text{Zzz...}} \end{array}$$

**Theorem-Definition 9.3.5.** *Suppose that  $X_0/S_0$  is  $N$ -ordinary. Then, the map  $\not\llcorner_{\blacklozenge}$  is bijective. That is to say, for any  $F^N$ -projective structure  $\mathcal{S}_0^{\blacklozenge}$  on  $X_0/S_0$ , there exists a unique  $F^1$ -projective structure  $\mathcal{S}^{\blacklozenge}$  on  $X/S$  which is mapped to  $\mathcal{S}_0^{\blacklozenge}$  via  $\not\llcorner_{\blacklozenge}$ . We shall refer to  $\mathcal{S}^{\blacklozenge}$  as the **canonical diagonal lifting** of  $\mathcal{S}_0^{\blacklozenge}$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Theorem-Definition 9.2.1, Remark 9.2.2, and Theorem 9.3.4. □

**Corollary 9.3.6.** *Suppose that  $X_0/S_0$  is  $N$ -ordinary. Then, there are only finitely many  $F^1$ -projective structures on  $X/S$  and the following equality holds:*

$$\sharp(F^1\text{-Pr}_{X/S}) = \sharp(F^N\text{-Pr}_{X_0/S_0}).$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows Theorem 9.3.4 and Theorem-Definition 9.3.5. □

10. COMBINATORIAL DESCRIPTION OF DORMANT  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -OPERS

In this final section, the 2d TQFT  $\mathcal{Z}_{2,N}$  resulting from Theorem 7.4.2 is translated into some combinatorial objects to solve our counting problem in a practical manner. To this end, we study Gauss hypergeometric differential operators in characteristic  $p^N$  with a full set of root functions, which amounts to the study of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on a 3-pointed projective line via diagonal lifting/reduction (cf. Proposition 10.4.3). Since such differential operators are determined by their exponent differences, one can describe dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers by means of certain edge numberings on the trivalent semi-graph associated to a totally degenerate curve, as well as lattice points inside a generalized rational polytope (cf. Propositions 10.5.2, 10.6.4). As a consequence, we prove that the numbers of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers and 2nd order differential operators on a general curve in characteristic  $p^N$  can be expressed as a quasi-polynomial function (cf. Theorem 10.6.5, 10.7.1).

Let us fix a positive integer  $N$ , and suppose that  $p > 2$ .

**10.1. Gauss hypergeometric differential operators.** (The following discussion in the case of  $\ell = 0$  can be found in [Wak5, §4.12].) Denote by  $W_N$  the ring of Witt vectors of length  $N$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Let us consider (linear) differential operators on the 3-pointed projective line  $\mathcal{P} := (\mathbb{P}/S, \{[0], [1], [\infty]\})$  over  $S := \mathrm{Spec}(W_N)$  (cf. (6.23)).

Each triple  $(a, b, c) \in W_N^{\times 3}$  of elements of  $W_N$  determines the Gauss hypergeometric differential operator

$$\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit} := \partial_x^2 + \left( \frac{c}{x} + \frac{1-c+a+b}{x-1} \right) \cdot \partial_x + \frac{ab}{x(x-1)}$$

on  $\mathrm{Spec}(W_N[x]) = \mathbb{P} \setminus \{\infty\}$ , where  $\partial_x := \frac{d}{dx}$ . It defines a 2nd differential operator  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \Omega^{\otimes 2}$  with unit principal symbol under the natural identification  $\Omega^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$  (cf. Definition 5.7.2) in such a way that  $D_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit} := dx^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit}$  specifies a global section of  $\mathcal{D}iff_{\leq 2}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}, \Omega^{\otimes 2})$ . If we write  $y := x - 1$ ,  $z := 1/x$ , then the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit} &= \left( \frac{dx}{x} \right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \left( (x\partial_x)^2 + \frac{(a+b) \cdot x + 1 - c}{x-1} \cdot x\partial_x + \frac{ab \cdot x}{x-1} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{dy}{y} \right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \left( (y\partial_y)^2 + \frac{(a+b) \cdot y - c + a + b}{y+1} \cdot y\partial_y + \frac{ab \cdot y}{y+1} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{dz}{z} \right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \left( (z\partial_z)^2 + \frac{(1-c) \cdot z + a + b}{z-1} \cdot z\partial_z - \frac{ab}{z-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10.1)$$

In this section, we shall call such a differential operator (associated to some triple  $(a, b, c) \in R^{\times 3}$ ) a **hypergeometric operator**.

The  $S^{\log}$ -connection  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}|\mathbb{P} \setminus \{\infty\}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \setminus \{\infty\}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \setminus \{\infty\}} \cdot x(x-1)\partial_x$  (cf. (5.17)) satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond} = d + \frac{dx}{x(x-1)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ab \cdot x(x-1) \\ 1 & (1-a-b) \cdot x - 1 + c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by  $\nabla_{a,b,c}$  the  $S^{\log}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{T}$  expressed as

$$\nabla_{a,b,c} := d + \frac{dx}{x(x-1)} \otimes ((1-a-b) \cdot x - 1 + c)$$



under the identification  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} \setminus \{\infty\}} = \mathcal{T}|_{\mathbb{P} \setminus \{\infty\}}$  given by  $v \leftrightarrow v \cdot x(x-1)\partial_x$ . Then, the pair  $\vartheta_{a,b,c} := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}, \nabla_{a,b,c})$  specifies a  $2^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic of  $\mathbb{P}^{\text{log}}/S^{\text{log}}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond}$ ) lies in  $\mathcal{D}iff_{\clubsuit, \vartheta_{a,b,c}}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{O}p_{\diamond, \vartheta_{a,b,c}}$ ).

**Lemma 10.1.1.** *Suppose that  $a + b \in \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, the flat line bundle  $(\mathcal{T}, \nabla_{a,b,c})$  (i.e., the  $2^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta_{a,b,c}$ ) is dormant.*

*Proof.* We shall set  $U := \mathbb{P} \setminus \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$ . By Proposition 5.1.1, it suffices to show that the restriction  $(\mathcal{T}|_U, \nabla_{a,b,c}|_U)$  over  $U$  is dormant. Let  $s$  (resp.,  $t$ ) be the integer defined as the unique lifting of  $a + b - c$  (resp.,  $-1 + c$ ), which is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$  by assumption, via the natural surjection  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $0 \leq s < p^N$  (resp.,  $0 \leq t < p^N$ ). Consider the gauge transformation of  $\nabla_{a,b,c}|_U$  by an element  $x^s(x-1)^t \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . If  $d + dx \otimes A$  denotes the resulting connection, then the section  $A$  is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{d(x^s(x-1)^t)}{x^s(x-1)^t} + \frac{(1-a-b) \cdot x - 1 + c}{x(x-1)} \\ &= \left( \frac{a+b-c}{x-1} + \frac{-1+c}{x} \right) + \frac{(1-a-b) \cdot x - 1 + c}{x(x-1)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $(\mathcal{T}|_U, \nabla_{a,b,c}|_U)$  can be trivialized, in particular, it is dormant. □

If  $\mathcal{E}_{a,b,c}^{\spadesuit}$  denotes the  $\text{PGL}_2$ -oper defined to be the image of  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit}$  via the composite  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta_{a,b,c}} \circ \Lambda_{\clubsuit \Rightarrow \diamond, \vartheta_{a,b,c}}$ , then the equalities in (10.1) show that the radius of  $\mathcal{E}_{a,b,c}^{\spadesuit}$  at the marked point  $[0]$  (resp.,  $[1]$ ; resp.,  $[\infty]$ ) in the sense of Remark 6.3.6 is given by

$$\varrho_{[0]}(\mathcal{E}_{a,b,c}^{\spadesuit}) = \frac{(1-c)^2}{4} \left( \text{resp., } \varrho_{[1]}(\mathcal{E}_{a,b,c}^{\spadesuit}) = \frac{(c-a-b)^2}{4}; \text{ resp., } \varrho_{[\infty]}(\mathcal{E}_{a,b,c}^{\spadesuit}) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{4} \right) \quad (10.2)$$

as an element of  $W_N$ .

Here, recall that the **exponent differences** of  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit}$  at  $0, 1, \infty$  are  $1 - c, c - a - b, b - a$ , respectively, and set

$$\text{Ex}_{a,b,c} := (1 - c, c - a - b, b - a).$$

This triple will be regarded as a triple of elements in  $W_N/\{\pm 1\}$  ( $:=$  the quotient set of  $W_N$  by the equivalence relation generated by  $v \sim -v$  for every  $v \in W_N$ ).

Applying the fact mentioned at the end of Remark 6.3.6 to the case of  $n = 2$ , we see that (the isomorphism class of) an  $\text{PGL}_2$ -oper is uniquely determined by its radii. Hence, (10.2) implies the following assertion.

**Proposition 10.1.2.** (i) *Let  $\mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit}$  be a  $\text{PGL}_2$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$  whose radius at every marked point belongs to  $W_N^\times (= W_N \setminus pW_N)$ . Then, the preimage of  $\{\mathcal{E}^{\spadesuit}\}$  via the map of sets*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{the set of} \\ \text{hypergeometric operators} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{the set of isomorphism classes} \\ \text{of } \text{PGL}_2\text{-opers on } \mathcal{P} \end{array} \right\}$$

*given by assigning  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^{\clubsuit} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{a,b,c}^{\spadesuit}$  is nonempty.*

(ii) *Let  $(a, b, c)$  and  $(a', b', c')$  be elements of  $W_N^{\times 3}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{E}_{a,b,c}^{\spadesuit}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_{a',b',c'}^{\spadesuit}$  if and only if the equality  $\text{Ex}_{a,b,c} = \text{Ex}_{a',b',c'}$  holds in  $(W_N/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}$ .*

Next, we discuss *dormant*  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers arising from hypergeometric operators. To this end, we first prove the following assertion.

**Lemma 10.1.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  be a  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $U$  a dense open subscheme of  $\mathbb{P} \setminus \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is dormant if and only if its restriction  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit|_U$  is dormant*

*Proof.* For simplicity, we shall write  $\mathcal{D}^{(m)}$  (for each  $m \geq 0$ ) instead of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}_0^{\mathrm{log}}/S_0^{\mathrm{log}}}^{(m)}$ . The “only if” part of the required equivalence is clear.

We shall prove the “if” part by induction on  $N$ . By Proposition 3.2.5, the second assertion of (i), we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $U = \mathbb{P} \setminus \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$ . The base step, i.e., the case of  $N = 1$ , follows from the density of  $U (\subseteq \mathbb{P})$  because the  $p$ -curvature of a flat bundle on  $\mathbb{P}_0^{\mathrm{log}}/S_0^{\mathrm{log}}$  can be regarded as a global section of a certain associated vector bundle. Next, to discuss the induction step, suppose that we have proved the required assertion with  $N$  replaced with  $N-1$  ( $N > 1$ ). Also, suppose that  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit|_U$  is dormant. Let  $\rho_x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{N-1}\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}$  ( $x \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ) be the radius of  $\mathcal{E}_{N-2}^\spadesuit$  at  $[x]$ . Then, there exists a triple of integers  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions (cf. the discussion at the beginning of § 8.6):

- $2 \cdot \rho_x = \lambda_x$  as elements of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{N-1}\mathbb{Z}) / \{\pm 1\}$  and  $0 < \lambda_x < p^{N-1}$  for every  $x = 0, 1, \infty$ ;
- The sum  $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_\infty$  is odd  $< 2 \cdot p^{N-1}$ .

We can find a unique  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$ -connection  $\nabla^+$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^+ := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(\lambda_0 \cdot [0] + \lambda_1 \cdot [1] + \lambda_\infty \cdot [\infty])$  extending the trivial connection on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a unique (up to isomorphism) line bundle on  $\mathbb{P}$  of relative degree  $\frac{\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_\infty + 1}{2}$ , and fix an identification  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^+$ . Under this identification, the pair  $\vartheta := (\mathcal{L}, \nabla^+)$  forms a dormant  $2^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{log}}/S^{\mathrm{log}} (= S)$  (cf. Proposition 4.5.2, (i)). Denote by  $\nabla^\diamond$  be the  $(\mathrm{GL}_2^{(N)}, \vartheta)$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  via the isomorphism  $\Lambda_{\diamond \Rightarrow \spadesuit, \vartheta}$  (cf. Theorem 5.5.1). By the induction assumption,  $\mathcal{E}_{N-2}^\spadesuit$  is dormant, and hence, the reduction  $\nabla_{N-2}^\diamond$  of  $\nabla^\diamond$  modulo  $p^{N-1}$  is dormant. It follows from the definition of  $\nabla^\diamond$  that the diagonal reduction of  $\nabla_{N-2}^\diamond \Rightarrow \heartsuit$  is canonical in the sense of Definition 8.6.1; we denote it by  $\mathcal{F}_0^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}_0, \nabla_0^{(N-2)}, \{\mathcal{F}_0^j\}_{j=0}^2)$ . Just as in (3.1), we have an  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$ -connection  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$  on  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}} := \mathrm{Sol}(\nabla_0^{(N-2)})$  associated to  $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}, \nabla^\diamond)$ , and  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$  has vanishing  $p$ -curvature because  $\nabla^\diamond|_U$  is dormant. According to [Mon, Corollaire 3.3.1],  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$  induces a  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_0^{(N-1)}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_0^\flat := F_{\mathbb{P}_0/S_0}^{(N-1)*}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}})$  with vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature that are compatible with  $\nabla_0^{(N-2)}$  via the inclusion  $\tau : \mathcal{F}_0^\flat \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$  and the natural morphism  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ . Denote by  $\check{\nabla}_0^{(N-1)}$  the  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure on  $u_*(\mathcal{F}_0^\flat|_U)$  extending  $\nabla_0^{(N-1)}$  via the open immersion  $u : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ . Here, note that  $\mathcal{F}_0$  can be obtained as the extension of  $\mathcal{F}_0^\flat$  along the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}_0^\flat \cap \mathcal{L}_0 (= \mathcal{F}_0^\flat \cap \mathcal{F}_0^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 (= \mathcal{F}_0^1)$ , i.e., the push-forward of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_0^\flat \cap \mathcal{L}_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \mathcal{F}_0^\flat \\ \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{L}_0 \end{array}$$

(cf. Lemma 8.6.3, (i)). By applying this fact together with an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 5.1.1, we see that  $\mathcal{F}_0 (\subseteq u_*(\mathcal{F}_0^\flat|_U))$  is closed under  $\check{\nabla}_0^{(N-1)}$ . The resulting  $\mathcal{D}^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\check{\nabla}_0^{(N-1)}|_{\mathcal{F}_0}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  satisfies  $(\mathcal{F}, \check{\nabla}_0^{(N-1)}|_{\mathcal{F}_0}) \in \mathrm{Diag}_{N-1}$  (cf. (3.2)), which means that  $\mathcal{E}^\spadesuit$  is dormant. This completes the proof of the “if” part of the desired equivalence.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.1.4.** *The assignment  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^\bullet \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{a,b,c}^\bullet$  determines an 8-1 correspondence*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the set of hypergeometric operators} \\ \text{whose restrictions to some dense} \\ \text{open subschemes of } \mathbb{P} \setminus \{[0], [1], [\infty]\} \\ \text{have full sets of root functions} \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{8:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the set of isomorphism classes} \\ \text{of dormant } \mathrm{PGL}_2\text{-opers on } \mathcal{P} \end{array} \right\} \quad (10.3)$$

(cf. Definition 5.8.1 for the definition of having a full set of root functions). In particular, if a hypergeometric operator  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^\bullet$  has a full set of root functions when restricted to some dense open subscheme of  $\mathbb{P} \setminus \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$ , then we have  $(a, b, c) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^{\times 3}$ .

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from Proposition 6.3.5, Proposition 10.1.2, (i) and (ii), Lemma 10.1.3, and the fact that the morphism  $\Lambda_{\blacktriangleright \Rightarrow \diamond, (-)}^{\mathrm{full}}$  (cf. (5.19)) is an isomorphism.

Moreover, the first assertion and a comment in Remark 6.3.6 together imply that  $\frac{1-c}{2}, \frac{c-a-b}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ . This proves the second assertion.  $\square$

**10.2. Combinatorial patterns of radii.** We try to understand which triples of integers  $(a, b, c)$  yield hypergeometric operators  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^\bullet$  having a full set of solutions.

Given nonnegative integers  $m, \ell$  with  $m < \ell$ , we set  $\mathbb{Z}_{[m, \ell]} := \{m, m+1, \dots, \ell\}$ . The assignments  $(a, b, c) \mapsto \left(\frac{1-c}{2}, \frac{c-a-b}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}\right)$  and  $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \mapsto \left(\frac{2s_1+1}{2}, \frac{2s_2+1}{2}, \frac{2s_3+1}{2}\right)$  determine maps of sets

$$\xi_N : \mathbb{Z}_{[1, p^N]}^{\times 3} \rightarrow ((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_N : \mathbb{Z}_{[0, p^N-1]}^{\times 3} \rightarrow ((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3},$$

respectively. Also, given an integer  $a$ , we denote by  $[a]_N$  the remainder obtained by dividing  $a$  by  $p^N$  (taken to be an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{[0, p^N-1]}$  even when  $a < 0$ ), and write

$$[a]'_N := \begin{cases} [a]_N & \text{if } a \notin p^N\mathbb{Z}; \\ p^N & \text{if } a \in p^N\mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

If  $N'$  is a positive integer with  $N' \leq N$ , then the assignment  $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \mapsto ([s_1]'_{N'}, [s_2]'_{N'}, [s_3]'_{N'})$  and  $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \mapsto ([s_1]_{N'}, [s_2]_{N'}, [s_3]_{N'})$  determine surjections of sets

$$\tau'_{N \Rightarrow N'} : \mathbb{Z}_{[1, p^N]}^{\times 3} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{[1, p^{N'}]}^{\times 3} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{N \Rightarrow N'} : \mathbb{Z}_{[0, p^N-1]}^{\times 3} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{[0, p^{N'}-1]}^{\times 3},$$

respectively, and these make the following square diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_{[1, p^N]}^{\times 3} & \xrightarrow{\xi_N} & ((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3} & & \mathbb{Z}_{[0, p^N-1]}^{\times 3} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_N} & ((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3} \\ \tau'_{N \Rightarrow N'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{quotient} & & \tau_{N \Rightarrow N'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{quotient} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{[1, p^{N'}]}^{\times 3} & \xrightarrow{\xi_{N'}} & ((\mathbb{Z}/p^{N'}\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}, & & \mathbb{Z}_{[0, p^{N'}-1]}^{\times 3} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{N'}} & ((\mathbb{Z}/p^{N'}\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}, \end{array}$$

where the right-hand vertical arrows in both diagrams arise from the natural quotient  $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{N'}\mathbb{Z}$ .

We shall write

$$B_N := \left\{ (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{[1, p^N]}^{\times 3} \mid a < c \leq b \text{ or } b < c \leq a \right\}.$$

The map  $\xi_N$  restricts to an 8-to-1 correspondence between  $B_N$  and  $\xi_N(B_N)$ . To be precise, two triples  $(a, b, c)$ ,  $(a', b', c')$  of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{[1, p^N]}^{\times 3}$  have the same image via  $\xi_N$  if and only if  $(a', b', c')$  is one of the following 8 triples:

$$\begin{aligned} &(a, b, c), \quad (b, a, c), \quad ([c - b]'_N, [c - a]'_N, c), \quad ([c - a]'_N, [c - b]'_N, c), \\ &(1 + p^N - a, 1 + p^N - b, 2 + p^N - c), \quad (1 + p^N - b, 1 + p^N - a, 2 + p^N - c), \\ &([1 + a - c]'_N, [1 + b - c]'_N, 2 + p^N - c), \quad ([1 + b - c]'_N, [1 + a - c]'_N, 2 + p^N - c). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we set

$$C_N := \left\{ (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{[0, p^N - 1]}^{\times 3} \mid \sum_{i=1}^3 s_i \leq p^N - 2 \text{ and } |s_2 - s_3| \leq s_1 \leq s_2 + s_3 \right\}.$$

The restriction  $\zeta_N|_{C_N} : C_N \rightarrow ((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}$  of  $\zeta_N$  is injective. Then, the following assertion can be proved by a straightforward calculation.

**Lemma 10.2.1.** *The equality  $\xi_N(B_N) = \zeta_N(C_N)$  holds as subsets of  $((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}$ . In particular, we obtain the map of sets*

$$B_N \rightarrow C_N \tag{10.4}$$

determined by assigning  $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \mapsto \zeta_N^{-1}(\xi_N(s_1, s_2, s_3))$ , and this map gives an 8-to-1 correspondence between  $B_N$  and  $C_N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(a, b, c)$  and  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  be elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{[1, p^N]}^{\times 3}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{[0, p^N - 1]}^{\times 3}$ , respectively, satisfying  $\xi_N(a, b, c) = \zeta_N(s_1, s_2, s_3) =: s$ . Then, we have

$$[\pm(1 - c)]'_N = 2s_1 + 1, \quad [\pm(c - a - b)]'_N = 2s_2 + 1, \quad [\pm(b - a)]'_N = 2s_3 + 1. \tag{10.5}$$

Let us consider the case where the symbols “ $\pm$ ” in (10.5) are all taken to be “+”. On the one hand, if  $a > b$ , then the parities of  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  imply that  $c > a + b$  and

$$1 - c + p^N = 2s_1 + 1, \quad c - a - b = 2s_2 + 1, \quad b - a + p^N = 2s_3 + 1.$$

But, since  $s_3 \leq s_1 + s_2 \Leftrightarrow b \leq 0$ , the element  $s$  belongs neither to  $\xi_N(B_N)$  nor to  $\zeta_N(C_N)$ . On the other hand, if  $b \geq a$ , then the parities of  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  imply

$$1 - c + p^N = 2s_1 + 1, \quad c - a - b + p^N = 2s_2 + 1, \quad b - a = 2s_3 + 1.$$

It follows that the inequality  $\sum_{i=1}^3 s_i \leq p^N - 2$  (resp.,  $s_1 \leq s_2 + s_3$ ; resp.,  $s_2 \leq s_3 + s_1$ ; resp.,  $s_3 \leq s_1 + s_2$ ) is equivalent to the inequality  $1 \leq a$  (resp.,  $a < c$ ; resp.,  $c \leq b$ ; resp.,  $b \leq p^N$ ). Thus,  $(a, b, c) \in B_N$  if and only if  $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \in C_N$ .

The remaining cases can be proved by similar discussions, so their proofs are left to the reader.  $\square$

We shall set

$${}^\dagger B_N := \bigcap_{N' \leq N} (\tau'_{N \Rightarrow N'})^{-1}(B_{N'}), \quad {}^\dagger C_N := C_N \cap \bigcap_{N' < N} \tau_{N \Rightarrow N'}^{-1}(\zeta_{N'}^{-1}(\zeta_{N'}(C_{N'}))). \tag{10.6}$$

In other words, an element  $(a, b, c)$  of  $B_N$  (resp., an element  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  of  $C_N$ ) belongs to  ${}^\dagger B_N$  (resp.,  ${}^\dagger C_N$ ) if and only if, for every positive  $N'$  with  $N' < N$ , either  $[a]'_{N'} < [c]'_{N'} \leq [b]'_{N'}$  or  $[b]'_{N'} < [c]'_{N'} \leq [a]'_{N'}$  is fulfilled (resp.,  $(s'_1, s'_2, s'_3)$  belongs to  $C_{N'}$  for some  $s'_i \in \{[s_i]_{N'}, p^{N'} - 1 - [s_i]_{N'}\}$ ). Note that both subsets  ${}^\dagger B_N, {}^\dagger C_N$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^{\times 3}$  are invariant under permutations of factors.

By Lemma 10.2.1 (applied to various  $N' \leq N$ ) together with the definitions of  ${}^\dagger B_N$  and  ${}^\dagger C_N$ , we obtain the following assertion.

**Proposition 10.2.2.** *The map (10.4) restricts to a surjective map*

$${}^\dagger B_N \twoheadrightarrow {}^\dagger C_N,$$

and it gives an 8-to-1 correspondence between  ${}^\dagger B_N$  and  ${}^\dagger C_N$ . Moreover, if we regard  ${}^\dagger C_N$  as a subset of  $((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}$ , then this surjection is compatible with (10.3) via the injective assignments  $(a, b, c) \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}}^\bullet$  (for  $(a, b, c) \in {}^\dagger B_N$ ) and  $(a, b, c) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{a, b, c}^\bullet$  (for  $(a, b, c) \in {}^\dagger C_N$ ).

**10.3. Hypergeometric operators with a full set of root functions.** In this section, we examine the relationship between the elements of  ${}^\dagger B_N$  and the exponent differences of hypergeometric operators with a full set of root functions.

Let  $\widehat{U} := \text{Spec}(W_N[[x]][x^{-1}])$  be the formal punctured disc in  $\mathbb{P}$  centered at the origin  $[0]$ . If  $\nabla_{\widehat{U}, \text{triv}}^{(0)}$  denotes the trivial connection on  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{U}}$ , then we have  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla_{\widehat{U}, \text{triv}}^{(0)}) = \bigcup_{s=1}^N p^{N-s} \cdot W_N[[x^{p^s}]] [x^{-p^s}] =: R$ .

For each  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{[1, p^N]}^{\times 3}$ , denote by  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a, b, c}^\bullet$  be the differential operator on  $\widehat{U}$  defined as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a, b, c}^\bullet := x(1-x)\partial_x^2 + (c - (a+b+1)x)\partial_x - ab,$$

i.e., the restriction of  $x(1-x)\mathcal{D}_{a, b, c}^\bullet$  to  $\widehat{U}$ . The kernel  $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a, b, c}^\bullet)$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a, b, c}^\bullet$  has a structure of  $R$ -module.

**Proposition 10.3.1.** *If  $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a, b, c}^\bullet)$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank 2, then the triple of integers  $(a, b, c)$  belongs to  ${}^\dagger B_N$  and there exists a basis  $\{v_1(x), v_2(x)\}$  of  $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a, b, c}^\bullet)$ , where  $v_i(x) := \sum_{j=n_i}^{\infty} q_{i,j} x^j$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ,  $q_{i,j} \in W_N$ ,  $q_{i,n_i} \in W_N^\times$ ), satisfying the following conditions:*

- $n_1 = 0$  and  $n_2 = 1 - c$ ;
- $(1+n)(c+n) \cdot q_{i,n+1} = (a+n)(b+n) \cdot q_{i,n}$  for every  $n \geq n_i$ .

*Proof.* We prove the assertion by induction on  $N$ . The base step, i.e.,  $N = 1$ , follows from [Iha, § 1.6] or [Kat2, § 6.4]. To discuss the induction step, we assume that we have proved the assertion with  $N$  replaced by  $N-1$  ( $N \geq 2$ ). Also, suppose that  $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a, b, c}^\bullet)$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank 2. That is to say, there exists a basis consisting of two elements  $\{v_1(x), v_2(x)\}$ , where  $v_i(x) := \sum_{j=n_i}^{\infty} q_{i,j} x^j \in W_N[[x]][x^{-1}]$  ( $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q_{i,n_i} \neq 0$ ). (In particular, for  $N' \leq N$ , the mod  $p^{N'}$  reductions of  $v_1(x), v_2(x)$  forms a basis of  $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_{[a]_{N'}, [b]_{N'}, [c]_{N'}}^\bullet)$ . By induction hypothesis,  $([a]_{N'}, [b]_{N'}, [c]_{N'})$  belongs to  ${}^\dagger B_{N'}$ .) By comparing both sides of the equality  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a, b, c}^\bullet(v_i(x)) = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we see that  $v_i(x)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (\*)  $n_i(n_i - 1 + c)q_{i,n_i} = 0$ ;
- (\*\*)  $(1+n)(c+n) \cdot q_{i,n+1} = (a+n)(b+n) \cdot q_{i,n}$  for every  $n \geq n_i$ .

After possibly multiplying  $v_i(x)$ 's by elements in  $\{x^{\ell p^N} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\} (\subseteq R^\times)$ , we may assume that  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{[-p^N+1, 0]}$ . If  $\text{ord}_p(n_i) > 0$  and  $\text{ord}_p(n_i - 1 + c) > 0$  (where for an integer  $m$  we denote by  $\text{ord}_p(m)$  the  $p$ -adic order of  $m$ ), then we have  $p \mid 1 - c (= n_i - (n_i - 1 + c))$  (which implies  $1 - [c]_1' = 0$ ); however this contradicts the base step of our induction argument because the mod  $p$  reductions of  $v_1(x), v_2(x)$  form a basis of  $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_{[a]_1', [b]_1', [c]_1'}^\bullet)$ . Hence, the condition (\*) shows that either  $n_i q_{i,n_i} = 0$  or  $(n_i - 1 + c)q_{i,n_i} = 0$  is fulfilled for any  $i = 1, 2$ . Here, suppose that

$\text{ord}_p(q_{i,n_i}) > 0$ . By induction hypothesis, the nonzero term of lowest degree of  $v_i(x) \bmod p^{N-1}$  has an invertible coefficient. This implies  $\text{ord}_p(q_{i,n_i}) = N - 1$ . Since  $([a]'_1, [b]'_1, [c]'_1) \in B_1$ , it follows from the condition (\*\*\*) that there exists  $m_i > n_i$  with  $q_{i,n_i}, q_{i,n_i+1}, \dots, q_{i,m_i} \in p^{N-1}W_N$  and  $q_{i,\ell} = 0$  for  $\ell > m_i$ ; this is a contradiction. In particular, the equality  $\text{ord}_p(q_{i,n_i}) = 0$  holds, i.e.,  $q_{i,n_i} \in W_N^\times$ , so either  $n_i = 0$  or  $n_i = 1 - c$  must be satisfied. Since  $\{v_1(x), v_2(x)\}$  is a basis, we see (after possibly interchanging the indices) that  $n_1 = 0$  and  $n_2 = 1 - c$ . Moreover, by the existence of such power series  $v_1(x), v_2(x)$  (satisfying the condition (\*\*)), one may verify from a straightforward calculation that  $(a, b, c)$  turns out to be an element of  ${}^\dagger B_N$ . This completes the proof of the induction step, and hence we finish the proof of this assertion.  $\square$

**Remark 10.3.2.** Suppose that  $(a, b, c)$  belongs to  ${}^\dagger B_N$ . Then, one may verify that  $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a,b,c}^\bullet)$  has a basis consisting of the two polynomials

$${}_2\overline{F}_1(a, b; c; x), \quad x^{1-c} \cdot {}_2\overline{F}_1(a - c + 1, b - c + 1; 2 - c; x)$$

of  $W_N[x, x^{-1}] (\subseteq W_N[[x]][x^{-1}])$ . Here,  ${}_2\overline{F}_1(a, b; c; x)$  denotes a polynomial of  $x$  defined by the following truncated hypergeometric series:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\overline{F}_1(a, b; c; x) := & 1 + \frac{a \cdot b}{1 \cdot c} \cdot x + \frac{a \cdot (a+1) \cdot b \cdot (b+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot c \cdot (c+1)} \cdot x^2 \\ & + \frac{a \cdot (a+1) \cdot (a+2) \cdot b \cdot (b+1) \cdot (b+2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot c \cdot (c+1) \cdot (c+2)} \cdot x^3 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where we stop the series as soon as the numerator vanishes. Since  $(a, b, c) \in {}^\dagger B_N$ , the denominator does not vanish before the numerator does, so each coefficient of this series is well-defined. (Also, the same is true for  ${}_2\overline{F}_1(a - c + 1, b - c + 1; 2 - c; x)$ .) When they vanish at the same time, we stop the series right before that term.

**Proposition 10.3.3.** *Let  $(a, b, c)$  be an element of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^{\times 3}$ . Then, the following conditions (1), (2) are equivalent to each other:*

- (1) *The hypergeometric operator  $\mathcal{D}_{a,b,c}^\bullet$  has a full set of root functions on some open subscheme  $U$  of  $\mathbb{P} \setminus \{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$ ;*
- (2) *The element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,p^N]}^{\times 3}$  corresponding to  $(a, b, c)$  via the natural bijection  $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,p^N]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$  belongs to  ${}^\dagger B_N$ .*

*Proof.* One may verify from Proposition 3.2.5, (i) and (ii), that (a) is equivalent to the condition that  $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{a,b,c}^\bullet)$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank 2. Hence, the assertion follows from Proposition 10.3.1 and the fact described in Remark 10.3.2.  $\square$

**10.4. Explicit computations for  $(g, r) = (0, 3)$ .** We shall write

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\leq, \leq} &:= \left\{ (r_1, r_2, r_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{[0,p-1]}^{\times 3} \mid r_1 \leq r_2 \leq r_3 \right\}, \\ T_{<, \leq} &:= \left\{ (r_1, r_2, r_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{[0,p-1]}^{\times 3} \mid r_1 < r_2 \leq r_3 \right\}, \\ T_{>, >} &:= \left\{ (r_1, r_2, r_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{[0,p-1]}^{\times 3} \mid r_1 > r_2 > r_3 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us take an  $N$ -tuple  $(\vec{r}_\ell)_{\ell=1}^N \in T_{<, \leq} \times (T_{\leq, \leq} \sqcup T_{>, >})^{\times (N-1)}$ , where  $\vec{r}_1 := (r_{1,1}, r_{1,2}, r_{1,3}) \in T_{<, \leq}$  and  $\vec{r}_j := (r_{j,1}, r_{j,2}, r_{j,3}) \in T_{\leq, \leq} \sqcup T_{>, >}$  ( $j = 2, \dots, N$ ). This  $N$ -tuple defines inductively triples

$(q_{j,1}, q_{j,2}, q_{j,3}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\times 3}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ) starting with  $(q_{1,1}, q_{1,2}, q_{1,3}) := (1 + r_{1,1}, 1 + r_{1,3}, 1 + r_{1,2})$ , as follows:

- If the inequalities  $q_{j,1} < q_{j,3} \leq q_{j,2}$  hold, then we set

$$(q_{j+1,1}, q_{j+1,2}, q_{j+1,3}) := (q_{j,1} + p^j \cdot r_{j+1,1}, q_{j,2} + p^j \cdot r_{j+1,3}, q_{j,3} + p^j \cdot r_{j+1,2}).$$

- If the inequalities  $q_{j,2} < q_{j,3} \leq q_{j,1}$  hold, then we set

$$(q_{j+1,1}, q_{j+1,2}, q_{j+1,3}) := (q_{j,1} + p^j \cdot r_{j+1,3}, q_{j,2} + p^j \cdot r_{j+1,1}, q_{j,3} + p^j \cdot r_{j+1,2}).$$

(Note that the resulting triple  $(q_{j+1,1}, q_{j+1,2}, q_{j+1,3})$  satisfies either  $q_{j+1,1} < q_{j+1,3} \leq q_{j+1,2}$  or  $q_{j+1,2} < q_{j+1,3} \leq q_{j+1,1}$ .)

Since  $([q_{N,1}]'_{N'}, [q_{N,2}]'_{N'}, [q_{N,3}]'_{N'}) = (q_{N',1}, q_{N',2}, q_{N',3})$  for  $N' \leq N$ , the assignment  $(\vec{r}_\ell)_\ell \mapsto (q_{N,1}, q_{N,2}, q_{N,3})$  (resp.,  $(\vec{r}_\ell)_\ell \mapsto (q_{N,2}, q_{N,1}, q_{N,3})$ ) defines a map of sets

$$\delta_1 \text{ (resp., } \delta_2) : T_{<,\leq} \times (T_{\leq,\leq} \sqcup T_{>,>})^{\times(N-1)} \rightarrow \dagger B_N.$$

**Proposition 10.4.1.** *The map of sets*

$$\delta_1 \sqcup \delta_2 : (T_{<,\leq} \times (T_{\leq,\leq} \sqcup T_{>,>})^{\times(N-1)})^{\sqcup 2} \rightarrow \dagger B_N$$

induced from  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  is bijective. In particular, the cardinality of  $\dagger B_N$  is explicitly given by the equality

$$\#(\dagger B_N) = \frac{(p^2 - 1)p^N(p^2 + 2)^{N-1}}{3^N}.$$

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from the various definitions involved. Also, it is immediately verified that

$$\#(T_{\leq,\leq}) = \frac{p(p+1)(p+2)}{6}, \quad \#(T_{<,\leq}) = \frac{(p-1)p(p+1)}{6}, \quad \#(T_{>,>}) = \frac{(p-2)(p-1)p}{6},$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\dagger B_N) &= 2 \cdot \#(T_{<,\leq}) \cdot (\#(T_{\leq,\leq} \sqcup T_{>,>}))^{N-1} \\ &= 2 \cdot \frac{(p-1)p(p+1)}{6} \cdot \left( \frac{p(p+1)(p+2)}{6} + \frac{(p-2)(p-1)p}{6} \right)^{N-1} \\ &= \frac{(p^2 - 1) \cdot p^N \cdot (p^2 + 2)^{N-1}}{3^N}, \end{aligned}$$

thus completing the proof of the second assertion. □

By combining the results proved so far, we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 10.4.2.** *Let  $\rho := (\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_\infty)$  be an element of  $((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}$ . Then, there exists a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$  of radii  $\rho$  if and only if  $\rho \in \zeta_N(\dagger C_N)$ . In particular, the cardinality of the set of isomorphism classes of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers on  $\mathcal{P}$  coincides with the value*

$$\frac{(p^2 - 1) \cdot p^N \cdot (p^2 + 2)^{N-1}}{8 \cdot 3^N}. \tag{10.7}$$

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from Propositions 10.1.4, 10.2.2, and 10.3.3. The second assertion follows from the first one, Proposition 10.4.1, and the decomposition (6.13). □

Next, we consider the relationship between dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers on  $\mathcal{P}$  and dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on the mod  $p$  reduction  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of  $\mathcal{P}$ . To do this, we shall write

$$\mathrm{Cov}$$

for the set of equivalence classes of finite, separable, and tamely ramified coverings  $\phi : \mathbb{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_0$  satisfying the following conditions:

- The set of ramification points of  $\phi$  is contained in  $\{[0], [1], [\infty]\}$ ;
- If  $\lambda_x$  ( $x = 0, 1, \infty$ ) denotes the ramification index of  $\phi$  at  $[x]$ , then  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_\infty$  are all odd and satisfy the inequality  $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_\infty < 2 \cdot p^N$ .

Here, the equivalence relation is defined in such a way that two coverings  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : \mathbb{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_0$  are equivalent if there exists an element  $h \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{P}_0) (= \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}_0))$  with  $\phi_2 = h \circ \phi_1$ .

Since the identity morphism  $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{P}_0}$  of  $\mathbb{P}_0$  defines a tamely ramified covering with ramification indices  $(1, 1, 1)$ , the set  $\mathrm{Cov}$  is nonempty.

**Proposition 10.4.3.** *Let  $\rho := (\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_\infty)$  be an element of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}^{\times 3}$ , and  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_\infty)$  the triple of integers induced from  $\rho$  as discussed at the beginning of § 8.6. Then, the following three conditions (1)-(3) are equivalent to each other:*

- (1) *There is a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$  of radii  $\rho$ ;*
- (2) *There is a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of radii  $\rho$ ;*
- (3) *There is a covering  $\phi_0 : \mathbb{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_0$  classified by  $\mathrm{Cov}$  whose ramification index at  $[x]$  ( $x = 0, 1, \infty$ ) coincides with  $\lambda_x$ .*

*Proof.* The equivalence (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) follows from [Wak6, Theorem 7.4.3].

The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows from Propositions 4.5.2, (i), and 8.6.4, which imply that the diagonal reduction of a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$  of radii  $\rho$  specifies a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of radii  $\rho$ .

Finally, we shall prove the implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let us take a covering  $\phi_0 : \mathbb{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_0$  as required in (3). After possibly composing it with an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}_0$ , we may assume that  $\phi_0([0]) = [0]$ ,  $\phi_0([1]) = [1]$ ,  $\phi_0([\infty]) = [\infty]$  (cf. [Wak6, Proposition 7.2.1]). Since  $\phi_0$  is tamely ramified, the morphism  $\phi_0$  extends to a log étale morphism  $\phi^{\mathrm{log}} : \mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{log}}$ . Write  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}([0] + [1] + [\infty])$ , and write  $\tau_0$  for the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ -linear injection  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^{\oplus 2}$  given by  $w \mapsto (wx, wy)$  (where  $\mathbb{P} = \mathrm{Proj}(W_N[x, y])$ ) for each local section  $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a rank 2 vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}$  which makes the following square diagram cocartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\tau_0} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^{\oplus 2} \\ \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}. \end{array}$$

The trivial  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$ -connection on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^{\oplus 2}$  extends uniquely to an  $S^{\mathrm{log}}$ -connection  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ . It follows from the various definitions involved that the composite

$$\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}} \Omega \otimes \mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \Omega \otimes (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{L})$$

is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ -linear and injective. Moreover, since  $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = \deg(\Omega \otimes (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{L})) (= 2)$ , this morphism turns out to be an isomorphism. This means that the triple  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{L})$  forms a dormant  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Hence, the pull-back of this data via the log étale morphism  $\phi^{\mathrm{log}}$  defines a  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}_\phi^\heartsuit := \phi^{\mathrm{log}*}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{L})$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ , which is dormant by Proposition 5.1.1, Lemma



10.1.3. By an argument entirely similar to the proof of [Wak6, Proposition 7.1.1], we see that the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}_\phi^{\heartsuit \Rightarrow \clubsuit}$  induced from  $\mathcal{F}_\phi^{\heartsuit}$  via projectivization is of radii  $\rho$ . This proves the implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).  $\square$

By Theorem 10.4.2 and Proposition 10.4.3 (and [Wak6, Theorem 7.4.3]), we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 10.4.4.** *Let  $\rho := (\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_\infty)$  be an element of  $((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\})^{\times 3}$ . Then, we have*

$$\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,\rho,0,3,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots} \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p) & \text{if } \rho \in \zeta_N(\dagger C_N); \\ \emptyset & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{10.8}$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{O}p_{2,N,\rho,0,3,\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  is isomorphic to the disjoint union of finite many copies of  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , and its degree  $\mathrm{deg}(\Pi_{2,N,0,3,\mathbb{F}_p})$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  satisfies the following equalities:

$$\mathrm{deg}(\Pi_{2,N,0,3,\mathbb{F}_p}) = \sharp(\mathrm{Cov}) = \frac{(p^2 - 1) \cdot p^N \cdot (p^2 + 2)^{N-1}}{8 \cdot 3^N}. \tag{10.9}$$

The description (10.8) enables us to obtain a detailed understanding of the dormant fusion ring  $\mathbb{A}_{2,N}$  of type  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$  (cf. Definition 7.5.3). In fact,  $\mathbb{A}_{2,N}$  may be identified with the free abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^C$  with basis  $C := (\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}$  equipped with the multiplication  $*$  given by

$$\alpha * \beta = \sum_{\gamma \in C, (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \dagger C_N} \gamma$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta \in C$ . Also, by Proposition 7.5.4, (ii), the degree  $\mathrm{deg}(\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p})$  can be computed as follows:

$$\mathrm{deg}(\Pi_{2,N,(\rho_i)_{i,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}}) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathfrak{S}_{2,N}} \chi \left( \sum_{\alpha \in C} \alpha * \alpha \right)^{g-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r \chi(\rho_i), \tag{10.10}$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_{2,N} := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{A}_{2,N}, \mathbb{C})$ . This formula in the case of  $r = 0$  together with Corollary 9.2.5 induces the following assertion.

**Corollary 10.4.5.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and denote by  $W_N$  the ring of Witt vectors of length  $N$  over  $k$ . Also, let  $X$  be a geometrically connected, proper, and smooth curve of genus  $g > 1$  over  $W_N$ . If, moreover, the mod  $p$  reduction  $X_0$  of  $X$  is general in  $\mathcal{M}_{g,0}$ , then the cardinality  $\sharp(\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots})$  of the set  $\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}$  (cf. (9.5)) is given by the formula*

$$\sharp(\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\dots}) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathfrak{S}_{2,N}} \chi \left( \sum_{\alpha \in C} \alpha * \alpha \right)^{g-1}. \tag{10.11}$$

**Remark 10.4.6** (Relationship with other enumerative geometries). In [Wak5, § 7.8.2], we have related a certain algebra (which is essentially the same as  $\mathbb{A}_{2,1}$ ) encoding the factorization rule of the values  $\mathrm{deg}(\Pi_{2,1,(\rho_i)_{i,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}})$  to the fusion ring for the conformal field theory of the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$  in an explicit manner. As mentioned in Introduction, this is crucial in establishing an analogue of the Verlinde formula computing the number of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ -opers of level 1 (cf. [Wak5, Theorem 7.41]). However, at the time of writing this manuscript, we do not know any relationship between  $\mathbb{A}_{2,N}$  for  $N > 1$  and other enumerative geometries such as the CFT with  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ -symmetry.

Note that (10.9) also gives a computation of the degree  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,2,0,\mathbb{F}_p})$ , i.e., the case of  $(g, r) = (2, 0)$ . Indeed, let us consider the unpointed stable curve  $\mathcal{X}$  of genus 2 obtained by gluing together two copies of  $\mathcal{P}_0$  at the respective corresponding marked points. Since any  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is uniquely determined by its radii (cf. Proposition 8.6.4), the restrictions of a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  to the respective components are necessarily isomorphic (cf. Proposition 6.4.5, (i) and (ii), for  $n = 2$ ). Conversely, each dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -oper on  $\mathcal{X}$  can be obtained by gluing together two isomorphic dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on  $\mathcal{P}_0$  at the points of attachment. It follows that there exists a canonical correspondence between dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on  $\mathcal{P}_0$  and those on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Moreover, by Theorem 6.6.1 and the first assertion of Theorem 10.4.4, the cardinalities of these sets coincides with  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,2,0,\mathbb{F}_p})$ . That is to say, we obtain the following assertion, generalizing [Moc2, Chap. V, Corollary 3.7], [LanPa, Theorem 2], and [Oss2, Theorem 1.2].

**Corollary 10.4.7.** *Let  $X$  be as in Corollary 10.4.5 with  $g = 2$ . Then, the cardinality  $\sharp(\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{zz}\dots})$  and the generic degree  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,2,0,\mathbb{F}_p})$  of  $\Pi_{2,N,2,0,\mathbb{F}_p}$  are explicitly computed by the equalities*

$$\sharp(\mathrm{Op}_{1,X}^{\mathrm{zz}\dots}) = \deg(\Pi_{2,N,2,0,\mathbb{F}_p}) = \frac{(p^2 - 1) \cdot p^N \cdot (p^2 + 2)^{N-1}}{8 \cdot 3^N}.$$

**10.5. Edge numberings on trivalent semi-graphs.** The procedure of deriving the formulas (10.10) and (10.11) from the factorization property defining our 2d TQFT (or fusion rule) can be translated into counting the combinatorial patterns of radii of dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on a totally degenerate curve. To observe this, we describe such patterns in terms of certain edge numberings on trivalent graphs (cf. [LiOs], [Moc2], and [Wak3] for  $N = 1$ ). Following the terminology of [Wak9, Definition 3.1], we will refer to these numberings as *balanced  $(p, N)$ -edge numberings* (cf. Definition 10.5.1, (i)).

Let us fix trivalent clutching data  $\mathbb{G} := (\mathcal{G}, \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J)$  of type  $(g, r)$  (cf. Definition 6.2.3, (iii)), where  $\mathcal{G} := (V, E, \zeta)$ . In particular,  $\sharp(V) = 2g - 2 + r$ ,  $\sharp(E) = 3g - 3 + 2r$ , and one can write  $B_{\otimes} = \{b_{\otimes,1}, \dots, b_{\otimes,r}\}$  (cf. Remark 6.2.4).

**Definition 10.5.1.** (i) A **balanced  $(p, N)$ -edge numbering** on  $\mathbb{G}$  is a collection

$$(a_e)_{e \in E}$$

of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{[0,p^N]}$  indexed by  $E$  such that, for each vertex  $v \in V$ , the triple  $(a_{\zeta(b)})_{b \in B_v}$  belongs to  ${}^{\dagger}C_N$ .

(ii) Let  $(a_e)_{e \in E}$  be a balanced  $(p, N)$ -edge numbering on  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\rho := (\rho_i)_{i=1}^r$  an  $r$ -tuple of element of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}$ . We say that  $(a_e)_e$  is **of radii  $\rho$**  if the equality  $\frac{2a_{e_i} + 1}{2} = \rho_i$  holds in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}) / \{\pm 1\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , where  $e_i$  denotes the unique open edge of  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfying  $e_i \ni b_{\otimes,i}$ . For convenience, (regardless of whether  $B_{\otimes}$  is empty or not) we shall refer to any balanced  $(p, N)$ -edge numbering as being **of radius  $\emptyset$** .

Let  $\rho$  be an element of  $((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\})^{\times r}$  (where  $\rho := \emptyset$  if  $r = 0$ ). Denote by

$$\mathrm{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}} \quad (\text{resp.}, \mathrm{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G},\rho}) \tag{10.12}$$

the set of balanced  $(p, N)$ -edge numberings (resp., balanced  $(p, N)$ -edge numberings of radii  $\rho$ ) on  $\mathbb{G}$ . The set  $\text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}}$  decomposes into the disjoint union

$$\text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}} = \coprod_{\rho \in ((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\})^{\times r}} \text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G},\rho}.$$

Then, we can prove the following proposition, which ensures that the degree of  $\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}$  for each triple  $(p, g, r)$  can be explicitly computed by hand after choosing trivalent clutching data  $\mathbb{G}$ .

**Proposition 10.5.2.** *Let  $\rho$  be an element of  $((\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\})^{\times r}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{X}$  the totally degenerate curve over  $k$  corresponding to  $\mathbb{G}$  (cf. Definition 6.5.1) and by  $\text{Op}_{N,\mathcal{X}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  (resp.,  $\text{Op}_{N,\rho,\mathcal{X}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$ ) the set of isomorphism classes of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers (resp., dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers of radii  $\rho$ ) on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then, there exists a canonical bijection*

$$\text{Op}_{N,\mathcal{X}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}} \quad \left( \text{resp.}, \text{Op}_{N,\rho,\mathcal{X}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G},\rho} \right).$$

In particular, the degree  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p})$  (resp.,  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p})$ ) satisfies the equality

$$\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}) = \sharp(\text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}}) \quad \left( \text{resp.}, \deg(\Pi_{2,N,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}) = \sharp(\text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G},\rho}) \right), \quad (10.13)$$

and the value  $\sharp(\text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}})$  (resp.,  $\sharp(\text{Ed}_{p,N,\rho,\mathbb{G}})$ ) does not depend on the choice of  $\mathbb{G}$ , i.e., depends only on the type  $(g, r)$ .

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from Proposition 6.4.5, (i) and (ii), Theorem 10.4.4. Also, the generic étaleness of  $\Pi_{g,r}$  proved in Theorem 8.7.1 implies that  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p})$  (resp.,  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,\rho,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p})$ ) coincides with  $\sharp(\text{Op}_{N,\mathcal{X}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots})$  (resp.,  $\sharp(\text{Op}_{N,\rho,\mathcal{X}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots})$ ), so the second assertion follows directly from the first assertion.  $\square$

**Example 10.5.3** (Case of  $(p, N) = (3, 2)$ ). We here perform a few computations of the values  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p})$  in the case of  $p = 3$  and  $N = 2$ . Note that the set  ${}^\dagger C_2$  (for  $p = 3$ ) is explicitly given by

$${}^\dagger C_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 2), (2, 0, 2), (2, 2, 0), (2, 2, 2), \\ (0, 3, 3), (3, 0, 3), (3, 3, 0), (3, 2, 2), (2, 3, 2), (2, 2, 3)\}. \quad (10.14)$$

Now, let  $\mathbb{G}_{0,3}$  denote the trivalent clutching data consisting of one vertex and three edges  $e_0, e'_0, e''_0$ , which corresponds to the 3-pointed projective line  $\mathcal{P}_0$  (cf. Figure 3 below). The set  ${}^\dagger C_2$  may be identified with the set of balanced  $(3, 2)$ -edge numberings on  $\mathbb{G}_{0,3}$ . In particular, we have  $\deg(\Pi_{2,2,0,3,\mathbb{F}_3}) = 11$ , which is consistent with (10.9). Regarding other pairs of nonnegative integers  $(g, r)$ , we make the following observations by means of (10.14):

- To begin with, we consider the case of  $g = 0$  and  $r \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathbb{G}_{0,r+2}$  (for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ) denote trivalent clutching data of type  $(0, r+2)$  whose underlying semi-graph is as displayed in Figure 4 below. Denote by  $u_r^{[t]}$  ( $t = 0, 2, 3$ ) the cardinality of the subset of  $\text{Ed}_{3,2,\mathbb{G}_{0,r+2}}$  consisting of balanced  $(3, 2)$ -edge numberings  $(a_e)_e$  with  $a_{e_{\sharp,r}} = t$ . For example, we have  $u_1^{[0]} = 3$ ,  $u_1^{[2]} = 5$ , and  $u_1^{[3]} = 3$ .

Since  $\mathbb{G}_{0,r+2}$  ( $r > 1$ ) may be constructed from both  $\mathbb{G}_{0,r+1}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{0,3}$  in such a way that the edges  $e_{\sharp,r}$  and  $e_0$  are attached to form a single edge, we obtain a recurrence

relation for  $u_r^{[t]}$  with  $t = 0$ , as follows:



$$u_r^{[0]} = \sum_{t=0,2,3} \# \{ (a_e)_e \in \text{Ed}_{3,2,\mathbb{G}_{0,3}} \mid a_{e_0} = t, a_{e'_0} = 0 \} \cdot u_{r-1}^{[t]} = u_{r-1}^{[0]} + u_{r-1}^{[2]} + u_{r-1}^{[3]}.$$

The recurrence relations for  $t = 2, 3$  are expressed in similar manners, and the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_r^{[0]} \\ u_r^{[2]} \\ u_r^{[3]} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{r-1}^{[0]} \\ u_{r-1}^{[2]} \\ u_{r-1}^{[3]} \end{pmatrix} = \cdots = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{r-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^{[0]} \\ u_1^{[2]} \\ u_1^{[3]} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2 \cdot 4^r \\ -1 + 4^{r+1} \\ 1 + 2 \cdot 4^r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, it follows from (10.13) that the degree of  $\Pi_{2,N,0,r+2,\mathbb{F}_p}$  satisfies

$$\text{deg}(\Pi_{2,N,0,r+2,\mathbb{F}_p}) = u_r^{[0]} + u_r^{[2]} + u_r^{[3]} = \frac{1 + 2 \cdot 4^{r+1}}{3}. \tag{10.15}$$



- Next, we discuss the case of  $g \geq 1$  and  $r = 1$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{G}_{g,1}$  ( $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ) clutching data of type  $(g, 1)$  whose underlying semi-graph is as displayed in Figure 5 below. Also, denote by  $v_g^{[t]}$  ( $t = 0, 2, 3$ ) the cardinality of the subset of  $\text{Ed}_{3,2,\mathbb{G}_{g,1}}$  consisting of balanced  $(3, 2)$ -edge numberings  $(a_e)_e$  with  $e_{b,g} = t$ . For example, we have  $v_1^{[0]} = 3$ ,  $v_1^{[2]} = 1$ , and  $v_1^{[3]} = 1$ .

If  $\mathbb{G}_{1,2}$  denotes the trivalent clutching data displayed in Figure 6, then  $\mathbb{G}_{g,1}$  ( $g > 1$ ) may be obtained from both  $\mathbb{G}_{g,1}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{1,2}$  in such a way that the edges  $e_{b,g}$  and  $e_1$  are attached to form a single edge. It follows that we obtain a recurrence relation for  $v_g^{[t]}$  with  $t = 0$ , as follows:

$$v_g^{[0]} = \sum_{t=0,2,3} \# \{ (a_e)_e \in \text{Ed}_{3,2,\mathbb{G}_{1,2}} \mid a_{e_1} = t, a_{e''_1} = 0 \} \cdot v_{g-1}^{[t]} = 3 \cdot v_{g-1}^{[0]} + v_{g-1}^{[2]} + v_{g-1}^{[3]}.$$

The recurrence relations for  $t = 2, 3$  are expressed in similar manners, and the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_g^{[0]} \\ v_g^{[2]} \\ v_g^{[3]} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{g-1}^{[0]} \\ v_{g-1}^{[2]} \\ v_{g-1}^{[3]} \end{pmatrix} = \cdots = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^{g-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_1^{[0]} \\ v_1^{[2]} \\ v_1^{[3]} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{g-1} + 3^{g-1} + 6^{g-1} \\ -3^{g-1} + 2 \cdot 6^{g-1} \\ -2^{g-1} + 3^{g-1} + 6^{g-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the degree of  $\Pi_{2,N,g,1,\mathbb{F}_p}$  satisfies

$$\text{deg}(\Pi_{2,N,g,1,\mathbb{F}_p}) = v_g^{[0]} + v_g^{[2]} + v_g^{[3]} = 3^{g-1} + 4 \cdot 6^{g-1}. \tag{10.16}$$

Moreover, note that trivalent clutching data of type  $(g, 0)$  ( $g > 1$ ) may be obtained by attaching the respective unique open edges of  $\mathbb{G}_{g-1,1}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{1,1}$  (i.e., “ $\mathbb{G}_{g,1}$ ” in the

case of  $g = 1$ ) to form a single edge, so the following equalities hold:

$$\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}) = v_1^{[0]} \cdot v_{g-1}^{[0]} + v_1^{[2]} \cdot v_{g-1}^{[2]} + v_1^{[3]} \cdot v_{g-1}^{[3]} = 2^{g-1} + 3^{g-1} + 6^{g-1}.$$




Figure 5




Figure 6

- Finally, we deal with general  $g$  and  $r$ . Observe that some trivalent clutching data of type  $(g, r + 1)$  ( $g > 1$ ) may be obtained from both  $\mathbb{G}_{0,r+2}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{g,1}$  by attaching the respective last edges to form a single edge. Hence, we have

$$\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,r+1,\mathbb{F}_p}) = u_r^{[0]} \cdot v_g^{[0]} + u_r^{[2]} \cdot v_g^{[2]} + u_r^{[3]} \cdot v_g^{[3]} = 3^{g-1} + 6^{g-1} \cdot 4^{r+1}.$$

The resulting equality  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,r+1,\mathbb{F}_p}) = 3^{g-1} + 6^{g-1} \cdot 4^{r+1}$  is true even when  $g = 0$  (resp.,  $r = 0$ ) because of (10.15) (resp., (10.16)).




Figure 7

**10.6. Ehrhart quasi-polynomial counting dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers.** Next, in order to apply Ehrhart’s theory, we translate balanced  $(p, N)$ -edge numberings into lattice points inside a certain generalized (rational) polytope. The main result shows that the values  $\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p})$  may be expressed by using a quasi-polynomial in  $p$  (cf. Theorem 10.6.5).

To begin with, we introduce the notion of a (rational) constructible subset of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

**Definition 10.6.1.** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space equipped with a choice of a lattice  $L (\subseteq V)$ , i.e.,  $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = V$ . A subset  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $V$  is called **constructible** if there exist a finite number of convex polytopes  $\mathcal{Q}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_\ell$  in  $V$  satisfying the equality  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell (\mathcal{Q}_i \setminus \partial \mathcal{Q}_i)$ , where each  $\partial \mathcal{Q}_i$  denotes the boundary of  $\mathcal{Q}_i$ .

Moreover, a constructible set  $\mathcal{P} := \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell (\mathcal{Q}_i \setminus \partial \mathcal{Q}_i)$  is called **rational** (with respect to  $L$ ) if one can choose all such polytopes  $\mathcal{Q}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_\ell$  as rational (with respect to  $L$ ) in the usual sense.

The following property on constructible subsets can be immediately verified, so the proofs are omitted.

**Proposition 10.6.2.** Let  $V$  and  $L$  be as in Definition 10.6.1, and let  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$  be constructible subsets (resp., rational constructible subsets) of  $V$ . Then,  $\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2$  are all constructible (resp., constructible and rational).

Given an element  $s$  in the unit interval  $[0, 1] := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ , we shall set  $\langle s \rangle_{+1} := s$  and  $\langle s \rangle_{-1} := 1 - s$ . Also, we write  $E_0 := \{1, 2, 3\}$  and write

$$\text{Sgn}_N$$

for the set of collections  $\mathbf{a} := (\mathbf{a}_{i,j})_{i \in E_0, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}}$  such that  $\mathbf{a}_{i,j} \in \{+1, -1\}$  for any  $i, j$ , and that  $(\mathbf{a}_{1,N}, \mathbf{a}_{2,N}, \mathbf{a}_{3,N}) = (+1, +1, +1)$ .

For each finite set  $I$ , we shall denote by  $\mathbb{R}^I$  the set of all real-valued functions  $I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on  $I$ ; it forms a  $\sharp(I)$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space, and the set of integer-valued functions  $\mathbb{Z}^I$  forms its lattice. Each element of  $\mathbb{R}^I$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{Z}^I$ ) may be identified with a collection  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  with  $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$  (resp.,  $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

To each element  $\mathbf{a} := (\mathbf{a}_{i,j})_{i,j} \in \text{Sgn}_N$ , we associate the subset

$$\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbf{a})$$

of  $\mathbb{R}^{E_0}$  ( $= \mathbb{R}^{E_0 \times \{1\}}$ ) consisting of collections  $(s_{i,1})_{i \in E_0}$  that satisfies  $s_{i,1} \in [0, 1]$  for any  $i$ , and satisfies the following conditions:

- $\langle s_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,1}} + \langle s_{2,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,1}} + \langle s_{3,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,1}} < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,1}} \leq \langle s_{2,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,1}} + \langle s_{3,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,1}}$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{2,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,1}} \leq \langle s_{3,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,1}} + \langle s_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,1}}$ , and  $0 \leq \langle s_{3,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,1}} \leq \langle s_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,1}} + \langle s_{2,1} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,1}}$ .

Moreover, when  $N \geq 2$ , we shall set

$$\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{a})$$

to be the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{E_0 \times \{2, \dots, N\}}$  consisting of collections  $(s_{i,j})_{i \in E_0, j \in \{2, \dots, N\}}$  that satisfies  $s_{i,j} \in [0, 1]$  for any  $i$  and  $j$ , and satisfies the following conditions:

- If  $(\mathbf{a}_{1,j}/\mathbf{a}_{1,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{2,j}/\mathbf{a}_{2,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{3,j}/\mathbf{a}_{3,j-1}) = (+1, +1, +1)$ , then  $\langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} \leq \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}}$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} \leq \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} + \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}}$ , and  $0 \leq \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} \leq \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}}$ ;
- If  $(\mathbf{a}_{1,j}/\mathbf{a}_{1,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{2,j}/\mathbf{a}_{2,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{3,j}/\mathbf{a}_{3,j-1}) = (-1, +1, +1)$ , then  $\langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} < 1$ ,  $0 < \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} \leq \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}}$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} < \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} + \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}}$ , and  $0 \leq \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} < \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}}$ ;
- If  $(\mathbf{a}_{1,j}/\mathbf{a}_{1,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{2,j}/\mathbf{a}_{2,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{3,j}/\mathbf{a}_{3,j-1}) = (+1, -1, +1)$ , then  $\langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} < \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}}$ ,  $0 < \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} \leq \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} + \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}}$ , and  $0 \leq \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} < \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}}$ ;
- If  $(\mathbf{a}_{1,j}/\mathbf{a}_{1,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{2,j}/\mathbf{a}_{2,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{3,j}/\mathbf{a}_{3,j-1}) = (+1, +1, -1)$ , then  $\langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} < \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}}$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} < \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} + \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}}$ , and  $0 < \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} \leq \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}}$ ;
- If  $(\mathbf{a}_{1,j}/\mathbf{a}_{1,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{2,j}/\mathbf{a}_{2,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{3,j}/\mathbf{a}_{3,j-1}) = (-1, -1, +1)$ , then  $\langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} \leq 1$ ,  $0 < \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} \leq \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}}$ ,  $0 < \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} \leq \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} + \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}}$ , and  $0 \leq \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} < \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}}$ ;
- If  $(\mathbf{a}_{1,j}/\mathbf{a}_{1,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{2,j}/\mathbf{a}_{2,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{3,j}/\mathbf{a}_{3,j-1}) = (-1, +1, -1)$ , then  $\langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} \leq 1$ ,  $0 < \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} \leq \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}}$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} < \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} + \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}}$ , and  $0 < \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} \leq \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}}$ ;
- If  $(\mathbf{a}_{1,j}/\mathbf{a}_{1,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{2,j}/\mathbf{a}_{2,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{3,j}/\mathbf{a}_{3,j-1}) = (+1, -1, -1)$ , then  $\langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} < \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}}$ ,  $0 < \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} \leq \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} + \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}}$ , and  $0 < \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} \leq \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}}$ ;
- If  $(\mathbf{a}_{1,j}/\mathbf{a}_{1,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{2,j}/\mathbf{a}_{2,j-1}, \mathbf{a}_{3,j}/\mathbf{a}_{3,j-1}) = (-1, -1, -1)$ , then  $\langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} \leq 1$ ,  $0 < \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} < \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} + \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}}$ ,  $0 < \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}} < \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} + \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}}$ , and  $0 < \langle s_{3,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{3,j}} < \langle s_{1,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{1,j}} + \langle s_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}_{2,j}}$ .

On the other hand, we write  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbb{R}^{E_0 \times \{2, \dots, N\}} := \{0\}$  when  $N = 1$ . Note that the subsets  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbf{a})$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{a})$  are invariant under permutations of the elements in  $E_0$ , and specify full-dimensional rational constructible sets in  $\mathbb{R}^{E_0}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{E_0 \times \{2, \dots, N\}}$ , respectively.

Let us take an element  $s := (s_1, s_2, s_3)$  of  ${}^\dagger C_N := (\text{cf. (10.6)})$ , which induces a collection  $(s'_{i,j})_{i \in E_0, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}}$  of elements of  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$  uniquely determined by the condition that  $s_i = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s'_{i,j+1} \cdot p^j$  for every  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . This collection moreover induces an element  ${}^\dagger s := (s_{i,j})_{i,j}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{E_0 \times \{1, \dots, N\}}$  defined as  $s_{i,j} := s'_{i,j}$  if  $j = 1$ , and  $s_{i,j} := s'_{i,j}$  (resp.,  $s_{i,j} := s'_{i,j} + 1$ ) if  $j \geq 2$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{i,j-1} = +1$  (resp.,  $j \geq 2$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{i,j-1} = -1$ ). Then, by the various definitions involved, we obtain the following assertion.

**Lemma 10.6.3.** *Under the natural identification  $\mathbb{R}^{E_0} \times \mathbb{R}^{E_0 \times \{2, \dots, N\}} = \mathbb{R}^{E_0 \times \{1, \dots, N\}}$ , the assignment  $s \mapsto {}^\dagger s$  determines a bijection*

$${}^\dagger C_N \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in \text{Sgn}_N} ((p-1)\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbf{a}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{E_0}) \times (p\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbf{a}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{E_0 \times \{2, \dots, N\}}),$$

where for a polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  and a nonnegative integer  $m$  we denote by  $m\mathcal{P}$  the polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  dilated by the factor  $m$ .

*Proof.* Given each  $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Sgn}_N$ , we set  ${}^\dagger C_N(\mathbf{a})$  to be the subset of  ${}^\dagger C_N$  consisting of elements  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  satisfying that, for any  $i$  and  $j$ , the equality  $\mathbf{a}_{i,j} = +1$  holds precisely when  $[s_i]_j \leq \frac{p^j - 1}{2}$ . Then, we have  ${}^\dagger C_N = \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in \text{Sgn}_N} {}^\dagger C_N(\mathbf{a})$ , and it is immediately verified that the assignment  $s \mapsto {}^\dagger s$  defines a bijection

$${}^\dagger C_N(\mathbf{a}) \xrightarrow{\sim} ((p-1)\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbf{a}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{E_0}) \times (p\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbf{a}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{E_0 \times \{2, \dots, N\}}).$$

By taking the union of these bijections for various  $\mathbf{a}$ 's, we obtain the desired bijection.  $\square$

Next, let  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be as in §10.5. For an element  $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Sgn}_N$ , denote by

$$\mathcal{P}_{1,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a}) \text{ (resp., } \mathcal{P}_{2,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a}))$$

the subset of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{R}^E$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{R}^{E \times \{2, \dots, N\}}$ ) consisting of real-valued functions  $(s_{e,1})_{e \in E}$  (resp.,  $(s_{e,j})_{e \in E, j \in \{2, \dots, N\}}$ ) on  $E$  (resp.,  $E \times \{2, \dots, N\}$ ) such that, for each  $v \in V$ , the collection  $(s_{\zeta(b),1})_{b \in B_v}$  (resp.,  $(s_{\zeta(b),j})_{b \in B_v, j \in \{2, \dots, N\}}$ ) belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbf{a})$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{a})$ ) under some (and hence, any) identification  $E_0 = B_v$ . We set  $\mathcal{P}_{2,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbb{R}^{E \times \{2, \dots, N\}} := \{0\}$  when  $N = 1$ . Note that the set  $\mathcal{P}_{1,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a})$  for  $N = 1$ , forming a rational convex polytope, was introduced in [LiOs, Definition 2.3].

**Proposition 10.6.4.** (i) *Both  $\mathcal{P}_{1,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a})$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{2,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a})$  (for any  $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Sgn}_N$ ) form full-dimensional rational constructible subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^E$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{E \times \{2, \dots, N\}}$ , respectively.*

(ii) *Denote by  $\mathcal{X}$  the totally degenerate curve corresponding to  $\mathbb{G}$  (cf. Definition 6.5.1) and by  $\text{Op}_{N,\mathcal{X}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots}$  the set of isomorphism classes of dormant  $\text{PGL}_2^{(N)}$ -opers on  $\mathcal{X}$  (defined as in Proposition 10.5.2). Then, there exists a canonical bijection of sets*

$$\text{Op}_{N,\mathcal{X}}^{\text{Zzz}\dots} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in \text{Sgn}_N} ((p-1)\mathcal{P}_{1,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^E) \times (p\mathcal{P}_{2,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{E \times \{2, \dots, N\}}).$$

*Proof.* First, let us consider the case of  $\mathcal{P}_{2,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a})$  in assertion (i). For each  $v \in V$ , we shall write  $\pi_v$  for the projection  $\mathbb{R}^{E \times \{2, \dots, N\}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{B_v \times \{2, \dots, N\}}$  given by  $(s_{e,j})_{e \in E, j \in \{2, \dots, N\}} \mapsto (s_{\zeta(b),j})_{b \in B_v, j \in \{2, \dots, N\}}$ . By using some identification  $E_0 = B_v$ , we shall regard  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{a})$  as a full-dimensional rational constructible subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{B_v \times \{2, \dots, N\}}$ . It follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{P}_{2,\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{a})$  that the equality

$\mathcal{P}_{2,\mathbb{G}}(a) = \bigcap_{v \in V} \pi_v^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_2(a))$  holds. Then, the assertion follows from Proposition 10.6.2. Since the proof for  $\mathcal{P}_{1,\mathbb{G}}(a)$  is entirely similar, we finish the proof of assertion (i).

Also, assertion (ii) follows from Theorem 10.5.2 and Lemma 10.6.3. □

Here, recall a result in the theory of lattice-point counting for rational polytopes by E. Ehrhart. Let  $V$  and  $L$  be as in Definition 10.6.1. Given a rational convex polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $V$ , we denote by

$$i_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

the lattice-point counting function for  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e., the function which, to any nonnegative integer  $m$ , assigns the cardinality of lattice points in  $\mathcal{P}$  dilated by  $m$ :

$$i_{\mathcal{P}}(m) := \#(m\mathcal{P} \cap L).$$

Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is of dimension  $d$ , i.e.,  $d$  is the dimension of the smallest affine space of  $V$  containing  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then, E. Ehrhart proved (cf. [Ehr1], [Ehr2], [Ehr3]) that the function  $i_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a quasi-polynomial function of degree  $d$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . That is to say, there exist a positive integer  $\ell$  and a (unique) sequence of polynomials

$$H_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := (H_{\mathcal{P},s}(t))_{s \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

where  $H_{\mathcal{P},s}(t)$  denotes a polynomial of degree  $d$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  (i.e., an element of  $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ ), such that

$$i_{\mathcal{P}}(m) = H_{\mathcal{P},s}(m) (= H_{\mathcal{P}}(m)) \text{ for } m \equiv s \pmod{\ell}.$$

The sequence of polynomials  $H_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$  is called the *Ehrhart quasi-polynomial* of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

One may immediately verify that the existence of such a quasi-polynomial can be extended to the case of rational constructible subsets of  $V$ . In particular, by applying this result to  $\mathcal{P}_{1,\mathbb{G}}(a)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{2,\mathbb{G}}(a)$  (for various  $a$ 's), we obtain the following assertion, generalizing [LiOs, Theorem 2.1].

**Theorem 10.6.5.** *There exists a quasi-polynomial  $H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(t) := (H_{N,\mathbb{G},s}(t))_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $(3g - 3 + 2r) \cdot N$  satisfying the equalities*

$$\deg(\Pi_{2,N,g,r,\mathbb{F}_p}) = \#(\text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}}) = H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(p) \tag{10.17}$$

for every odd prime  $p$ . In particular, the odd constituents of  $H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(t)$  do not depend on the choice of  $\mathbb{G}$  (i.e., depend only on the type  $(g, r)$  and the positive integer  $N$ ).

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from Theorem 8.7.1 and Propositions 10.5.2, 10.6.4. The second assertion follows from Remark 10.6.6 below. □

**Remark 10.6.6** (The period of the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial). By the definitions of  $\mathcal{P}_{1,\mathbb{G}}(a)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{2,\mathbb{G}}(a)$  (where  $a \in \text{Sgn}_N$ ), we see that, if  $v$  is a vertex of  $\mathcal{P}_{i,\mathbb{G}}(a)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), then each of the coordinates of  $v$  is equal to  $\frac{a}{2^n}$  for some  $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This fact together with a well-known fact of Ehrhart's theory implies that the period " $\ell$ " of the quasi-polynomial  $H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(t)$  is even. On the other hand, according to Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, there are infinitely many primes  $p'$  with  $p' \equiv s \pmod{\ell}$  for every fixed odd integer  $s$ . In particular, if  $H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(t)$  are  $H'_{N,\mathbb{G}}(t)$  are quasi-polynomials of period  $\ell$  satisfying (10.17), then  $H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(m) = H'_{N,\mathbb{G}}(m)$  for infinitely many  $m$ 's with  $m \equiv s \pmod{\ell}$ . It follows that the polynomial  $H_{N,\mathbb{G},s}(t)$  is uniquely determined whenever  $s$  is odd.



**10.7. Counting 2nd order ODE's with a full set of solutions.** As a consequence of the results obtained so far, a partial answer to the question displayed at the beginning of §1.2 can be given as follows.

Let  $X$  be a geometrically connected, proper, and smooth curve of genus  $g > 1$  over  $S := \text{Spec}(R)$  for a flat  $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  such that  $R/pR$  is an algebraically closed field over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Given a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ , we denote by

$$\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}} \tag{10.18}$$

the set of 2nd order linear differential operators (over  $S$ ) on  $\mathcal{L}$  with unit principal symbol and having a full set of root functions (cf. Definitions 5.7.2 and 5.8.1).

**Theorem 10.7.1.** (i) *Suppose that  $\text{obs}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Omega) \neq 0$  (cf. (3.16) for the definition of  $\text{obs}(-)$ ). Then, we have  $\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}} = \emptyset$ .*

(ii) *Suppose that  $\text{obs}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Omega) = 0$  and the mod  $p$  reduction  $X_0$  of  $X$  is sufficiently general in  $\mathcal{M}_{g,0}$ . Then, the set  $\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}}$  is finite and its cardinality  $\sharp(\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}})$  satisfies*

$$\sharp(\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}}) = p^{gN} \cdot \sharp(\text{Ed}_{p,N,\mathbb{G}}) = p^{gN} \cdot H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(p)$$

for any trivalent clutching data  $\mathbb{G}$  of type  $(g, 0)$ , where  $H_{N,\mathbb{G}}(t)$  denotes the quasi-polynomial resulting from Theorem 10.6.5. In particular,  $\sharp(\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}})$  may be expressed as a rational quasi-polynomial in  $p$  of degree  $(4g - 3) \cdot N$ .

*Proof.* For a  $2^{(1)}$ -theta characteristic  $\vartheta$  of  $X/S$ , we denote by  $\text{Diff}_{\clubsuit,\vartheta}^{\text{full}}$  the set of  $(2, \vartheta)$ -projective connections on  $X/S$  having a full set of root functions (cf. Definitions 5.7.3, (ii), and 5.8.1). Then, the set  $\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}}$  decomposes as

$$\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}} = \coprod_{\vartheta \in W} \text{Diff}_{\clubsuit,\vartheta}^{\text{full}}, \tag{10.19}$$

where  $W$  denotes the set of dormant  $2^{(1)}$ -theta characteristics  $\vartheta := (\Theta, \nabla_{\vartheta})$  of  $X/S$  with  $\Theta^{\vee} = \mathcal{L}$ .

If  $\text{obs}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Omega) \neq 0$  (which implies that there is no  $S$ -connection on  $\mathcal{T} \otimes (\mathcal{L}^{\vee})^{\otimes 2}$ ), then  $W$  must be empty. Hence, in this case, the equality  $\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}} = \emptyset$  holds.

On the other hand, suppose that  $\text{obs}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Omega) = 0$  and  $X_0$  is sufficiently general, in particular, ordinary in the usual sense. It follows from Proposition 3.5.1 that  $W$  is in bijection with the set  $\not\llcorner W$  of dormant  $2^{(N)}$ -theta characteristics  $\vartheta_0 := (\vartheta_0, \nabla_{\vartheta_0})$  of  $X_0/S_0$  with  $\Theta_0^{\vee} = \mathcal{L}_0$ . Such an  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(N-1)}$ -module structure  $\nabla_{\vartheta_0}$  has vanishing  $p^N$ -curvature, so the elements in  $\not\llcorner W$  correspond bijectively to the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $X_0^{(N)}$  with  $F_{X_0/S_0}^{(N)*}(\mathcal{N}) \cong \mathcal{L}_0$  (cf. [LSQ, Corollary 3.2.4]). Since the  $N$ -th Verschiebung map  $\text{Pic}_{X_0^{(N)}/S_0}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X_0/S_0}^0$  for the Jacobian of the relative curve  $X_0/S_0$  is étale and of degree  $p^{gN}$ , the equalities  $\sharp(\not\llcorner W) = \sharp(W) = p^{gN}$  hold. It follows that

$$\sharp(\text{Diff}_{2,\mathcal{L}}^{\text{full}}) = \sum_{\vartheta \in W} \sharp(\text{Diff}_{\clubsuit,\vartheta}^{\text{full}}) = p^{gN} \cdot \sharp(\text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz...}})$$

(cf. (9.5) for the definition of  $\text{Op}_{1,X}^{\text{Zzz...}}$ ), where the first equality follows from (10.19) and the second equality follows from the sequence of isomorphisms (5.20). Thus, the assertion follows from Corollary 9.2.5 and Theorem 10.6.5.  $\square$

**10.8. Conjectural relationship with the generalized Verschiebung maps.** In this final subsection, we would like to formulate a conjecture concerning the relationship between the values  $\deg(\Pi_{n,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p})$  and the generic degrees of the so-called “*generalized Verschiebung*” rational maps, based on some intuitive observations.

Let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $n < p$ ,  $k$  an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , and  $X$  a connected proper smooth curve over  $k$  of genus  $g > 1$ . For each integer  $N'$  with  $0 \leq N' \leq N$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{SU}_{X^{(N')}}^2$  the moduli space of rank  $n$  stable bundles with trivial determinant on  $X^{(N')}$  (where  $X^{(0)} := X$ ). Then, pulling-back stable bundles on  $X^{(N')}$  ( $N' \geq 1$ ) via the relative Frobenius morphism  $F_{X^{(N'-1)}/k} : X^{(N'-1)} \rightarrow X^{(N')}$  induces a rational map

$$\mathrm{Ver}_{X^{(N'-1)}}^n : \mathrm{SU}_{X^{(N')}}^n \dashrightarrow \mathrm{SU}_{X^{(N'-1)}}^n.$$

This is called the **generalized Verschiebung map** and regarded as a higher-rank variant of the Verschiebung maps between Jacobians.

The geometry of the rational map  $\mathrm{Ver}_{X^{(N')}}^n$ , i.e., the dynamics of stable bundles with respect to Frobenius pull-back, has been investigated for a long time. In particular, the case of  $(n, g) = (2, 2)$  has already been investigated considerably. We know that, if  $X$  has genus 2, then the compactification of  $\mathrm{SU}_X^2$  by semistable bundles is canonically isomorphic to the 3-dimensional projective space and the boundary locus can be identified with the Kummer surface associated to  $X$ . By this description, the rational map  $\mathrm{Ver}_X^2$  can be expressed by polynomials of degree  $p$  (cf. [LasPa2, Proposition A.2]), which are explicitly described in the cases  $p = 2$  (cf. [LasPa1]) and  $p = 3$  (cf. [LasPa2]). Moreover, the scheme-theoretic base locus of  $\mathrm{Ver}_X^2$  were computed in [LanPa, Theorem 2]. This result enables us to specify the generic degree  $\deg(\mathrm{Ver}_X^2)$  of  $\mathrm{Ver}_X^2$ , i.e., we have

$$\deg(\mathrm{Ver}_X^2) = \frac{p^3 + 2p}{3} \quad (10.20)$$

(cf. [Oss1, Theorem 1.3], [LanPa, Corollary]).

For a general  $g$ , we gave an upper bound for  $\deg(\mathrm{Ver}_X^2)$  (cf. [HoWa, Theorem A]) by applying Holla’s formula computing the degrees of certain Quot schemes. However, we have not yet reached a comprehensive understanding of this value because not much seems to be known for general  $(p, n, g)$ .

Let us make the following observation about computing it explicitly. Denote by  $\vartheta^{(N)}$  the  $n^{(N)}$ -theta characteristic of  $X/k$  arising from a fixed theta characteristic in the manner of Example 5.4.2. Suppose that we are given a dormant  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ -oper on  $X$ , which corresponds to a dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_n, \vartheta^{(1)})$ -oper  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit := (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, \{\mathcal{F}^j\}_{j=0}^n)$ . The sheaf of horizontal sections  $\mathcal{S}ol(\nabla) (= \mathrm{Ker}(\nabla))$  is verified to be stable and specifies a  $k$ -rational point  $q$  of  $\mathrm{SU}_{X^{(1)}}^n$ . As proved in [Wak5, Theorem H], the number of all such points  $q$  obtained in this way (i.e., associated to some  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$ ) is given by the right-hand side of (1.3) under some assumptions. Also, it follows from the equivalence (2.19) that giving a dormant  $(\mathrm{GL}_n^{(N)}, \vartheta^{(N)})$ -oper extending  $\mathcal{F}^\heartsuit$  amounts to giving a rank  $n$  vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $X^{(N)}$  with  $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X^{(N)}}$  and  $F_{X^{(1)}/k}^{(N-1)*}(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{S}ol(\nabla)$ , or equivalently, a vector bundle classified by a point in the fiber  $\mathrm{Ver}_{(N-1) \Rightarrow (1)}^{-1}(q)$  of  $q$  via the composite rational map

$$\mathrm{Ver}_{(N-1) \Rightarrow (1)}^n := \mathrm{Ver}_{X^{(1)}}^n \circ \mathrm{Ver}_{X^{(2)}}^n \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{Ver}_{X^{(N-1)}}^n : \mathrm{SU}_{X^{(N)}}^n \dashrightarrow \mathrm{SU}_{X^{(1)}}^n.$$

Since  $\mathrm{Ver}_{X^{(N')}}^n$  (for  $N' \geq 1$ ) can be obtained as the base-change of  $\mathrm{Ver}_X^n$ , the generic degree  $\deg(\mathrm{Ver}_{(N-1) \Rightarrow (1)})$  of  $\mathrm{Ver}_{(N-1) \Rightarrow (1)}$  coincides with  $\deg(\mathrm{Ver}_X^n)^{N-1}$  ( $= \prod_{N'=1}^{N-1} \deg(\mathrm{Ver}_{X^{(N')}}^n)$ ). On

the other hand, if  $q$  lies in a general position (as an ideal setting), then the total number of points in  $\text{Ver}_{(N-1)\Rightarrow(1)}^{-1}(q)$  would amount to the value  $\deg(\text{Ver}_{(N-1)\Rightarrow(1)})$ . With these points in mind, the degree  $\deg(\Pi_{n,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p})$  for a general  $n$  might be expected to satisfy the equality

$$\deg(\Pi_{n,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}) = \deg(\text{Ver}_X^n)^{N-1} \cdot \frac{p^{(n-1)(g-1)-1}}{n!} \cdot \sum_{\substack{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times n} \\ \zeta_i^p = 1, \zeta_i \neq \zeta_j (i \neq j)}} \frac{(\prod_{i=1}^n \zeta_i)^{(n-1)(g-1)}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\zeta_i - \zeta_j)^{g-1}}. \tag{10.21}$$

Even if not all the points  $q$  as above lie in a general position, we expect that the situation approximates the ideal setting as  $N$  goes to infinity. Thus, our conjecture is formulated as follows.

**Conjecture 10.8.1.** *Suppose that  $X$  is general in  $\mathcal{M}_{g,0}$  and  $p$  is sufficiently large relative to  $n$  and  $g$ , Then, the relationship between the generic degrees of  $\text{Ver}_X^n$  and  $\Pi_{n,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p}$  can be described as the following formula:*

$$\deg(\text{Ver}_X^n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \deg(\Pi_{n,N,g,0,\mathbb{F}_p})^{1/N}.$$

More strongly, the equality (10.21) holds.

After this conjecture has been affirmatively solved, the value  $\deg(\text{Ver}_X^n)$  may be explicitly computed, via Proposition 10.5.2, by counting balanced  $(p, N)$ -edge numberings on a trivalent graph. Note that the case of  $(n, g) = (2, 2)$  follows directly from Corollary 10.4.7, (1.2), and (10.20). That is to say, we have the following assertion.

**Corollary 10.8.2.** *Conjecture 10.8.1 is true when  $n = 2$  and  $g = 2$ .*

**Acknowledgements.** This manuscript contains the result of the author’s last work among those he worked on during his time as an assistant professor at the Tokyo Institute of Technology. The author has spent a very meaningful time at this university, learning many things. This manuscript would not have existed without the staff and faculty members the author met there, who kindly supported and encouraged him in various ways. The author would like to take this opportunity to express his gratitude to them.

Finally, the author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI No. 21K13770).

## REFERENCES

[ACGHOSS] D. Abramovich, Q. Chen, D. Gillam, Y. Huang, M. Olsson, M. Satriano, S. Sun. Logarithmic geometry and moduli. *Handbook of Moduli, Vol. I*, Adv. Lect. Math. **24**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, (2013), pp. 1-61.

[And] Y. André, Sur la conjecture des  $p$ -courbures de Grothendieck-Katz et un problème de Dwork. *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory* (2004), pp. 55-112.

[Ati] M. Atiyah, Topological quantum field theories, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **68** (1988), pp. 175-186.

[Bea] A. Beauville, Conformal Blocks, Fusion Rules, and the Verlinde formula. *Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry, Israel Math. Conf. Proc.* **9** Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan (1996).

[BeDr1] A. A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, Quantization of Hitchin’s integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves, available at: <https://inspirehep.net/literature/>

[BeDr2] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, *Opers*, *arXiv: math. AG/0501398v1*, (2005).

- [Ber1] P. Berthelot,  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules arithmétiques I, Opérateurs différentiels de niveau fini, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **29** (1996), pp. 185-272.
- [Ber2] P. Berthelot,  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules arithmétiques II, Descente par Frobenius, *Mém. Soc. Math. France* **81** (2000).
- [DuMu1] O. Dumitrescu, M. Mulase, Edge contraction on dual ribbon graphs and 2D TQFT, *J. Algebra* **494** (2018), pp. 1-27.
- [DuMu2] O. Dumitrescu, M. Mulase, An invitation to 2D TQFT and quantization of Hitchin spectral curves, *Banach Center Publ.*, **114** (2018), pp. 85-144.
- [Ehr1] E. Ehrhart, Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à  $n$  dimensions. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **254** (1962), pp. 616-618.
- [Ehr2] E. Ehrhart, Sur un problème de géométrie diophantienne linéaire. I. Polyèdres et réseaux. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **226** (1967), pp. 1-29.
- [Ehr3] E. Ehrhart, Sur un problème de géométrie diophantienne linéaire. II. Systèmes diophantiens linéaires. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **227** (1967), pp. 25-49.
- [Gie] D. Gieseker, Flat vector bundles and the fundamental group in non-zero characteristic, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **2**, (1975), pp. 1-31.
- [GLQ] M. Gros, B. Le Stum, A. Quirós, A Simpson correspondance in positive characteristic, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **46** (2010), pp. 1-35.
- [Hol] Y. Holla, Counting maximal subbundles via Gromov-Witten invariants. *Math. Ann.* **328** (2004), pp. 121-133.
- [Iha] Y. Ihara, Schwarzian equations, *Jour. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect IA Math.* **21** (1974), pp. 97-118.
- [Ill] L. Illusie, An Overview of the Work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato and C. Nakamura on Logarithmic Etale Cohomology. *Astérisque* **279** (2002), pp. 271-322.
- [Har] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52** Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1977).
- [Hos] Y. Hoshi, Frobenius-projective structures on curves in positive characteristic, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **56** (2020), pp. 401-430.
- [HoWa] Y. Hoshi, Y. Wakabayashi, An upper bound on the generic degree of the generalized Verschiebung for rank two stable bundles, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **228** (2024), Paper No. 107605, 14 pp.
- [JoPa] K. Joshi, C. Pauly, Hitchin-Mochizuki morphism, opers and Frobenius-destabilized vector bundles over curves, *Adv. Math.* **274** (2015), pp. 39-75.
- [Jos] K. Joshi, The degree of the dormant operatic locus, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **9** (2017), pp. 2599-2613.
- [FKa] F. Kato, Log smooth deformation and moduli of log smooth curves, *Internat. J. Math.* **11**, (2000), pp. 215-232.
- [KKa] K. Kato, Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie, *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory*, John Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, (1989), pp. 191-224.
- [Kat1] N. Katz, Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications of a result of Turrittin, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **39** (1970), pp. 175-232.
- [Kat2] N. M. Katz, Algebraic solutions of differential equations ( $p$ -curvature and the Hodge filtration). *Invent. Math.* **18** (1972), pp. 1-118.
- [Kat3] N. Katz, A conjecture in the arithmetic theory of differential equations, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **110**, (1982), pp. 203-239.
- [Kin] L. Kindler, Regular singular stratified bundles in positive characteristic, *Ph. D. Thesis, Universität Duisburg-Essen*, (2012).
- [Koc] J. Kock, *Frobenius algebras and 2D topological quantum field theories* London Math. Soc. Stud. Texts, **59** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2004), xiv+240 pp.
- [LSYZ] G. Lan, M. Sheng, Y. Yang, K. Zuo, Uniformization of  $p$ -adic curves via Higgs-de Rham flows, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **747**, (2019), pp. 63-108.
- [LSQ] B. Le Stum, A. Quiros, Transverse crystals of finite level, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **47** (1997), pp. 69-100.
- [LiOs] F. Liu, B. Osserman, Mochizuki's indigenous bundles and Ehrhart polynomials, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **26** (2006), pp. 125-136.
- [LanPa] H. Lange, C. Pauly, On Frobenius-destabilized rank-2 vector bundles over curves, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **83** (2008), pp. 179-209.
- [LasPa1] Y. Laszlo, C. Pauly, The action of the Frobenius maps on rank 2 vector bundles in characteristic 2, *Journal of Algebraic Geometry* **11** (2002), pp. 129-143.

- [LasPa2] Y. Laszlo, C. Pauly, The Frobenius map, rank 2 vector bundles and Kummer's quartic surface in characteristic 2 and 3, *Advances in Mathematics* **185** (2004), pp. 246-269.
- [Mat] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, 2nd edn, Benjamin, New York, (1980).
- [Moc1] S. Mochizuki, A theory of ordinary  $p$ -adic curves, *Publ. RIMS* **32** (1996), pp. 957-1151.
- [Moc2] S. Mochizuki, *Foundations of  $p$ -adic Teichmüller theory*, American Mathematical Society, (1999).
- [Mon] C. Montagnon, Généralisation de la théorie arithmétique des D-modules à la géométrie logarithmique, *Ph.D. thesis, L'université de Rennes I* (2002).
- [Ogu1] A. Ogus, *F-Crystals, Griffiths Transversality, and the Hodge Decomposition*, Astérisque **221**, Soc. Math. de France, (1994).
- [Ogu2] A. Ogus, Higgs cohomology,  $p$ -curvature, and the Cartier isomorphism, *Compositio. Math.* **140** (2004), pp. 145-164.
- [Ohk] S. Ohkawa, On logarithmic nonabelian Hodge theory of higher level in characteristic  $p$ , *Rend.Sem.Mat. Univ. Padova.* **134** (2015), pp. 47-91.
- [Oss1] B. Osserman, The generalized Verschiebung map for curves of genus 2, *Math. Ann.* **336** (2006), pp. 963-986.
- [Oss2] B. Osserman, Frobenius-unstable bundles and  $p$ -curvature, *Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), pp. 273-305.
- [Oss3] B. Osserman, Logarithmic connections with vanishing  $p$ -curvature, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **213** (2009), pp. 1651-1664.
- [Sch] M. Schlessinger, Functors of Artin rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (1968), pp. 208-222.
- [Ses] C. S. Seshadri, *Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques*, Astérisque **96**, Soc. Math. de France, (1982).
- [Wak1] Y. Wakabayashi, An explicit formula for the generic number of dormant indigenous bundles, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **50** (2014), pp. 383-409.
- [Wak2] Y. Wakabayashi, Duality for dormant opers, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **24** (2017), pp. 271-320.
- [Wak3] Y. Wakabayashi, Spin networks, Ehrhart quasi-polynomials, and combinatorics of dormant indigenous bundles, *Kyoto J. Math.* **59** (2019), pp. 649-684.
- [Wak4] Y. Wakabayashi, Frobenius projective and affine geometry of varieties in positive characteristic, *arXiv: math. AG/2011.04846* (2020).
- [Wak5] Y. Wakabayashi, *A theory of dormant opers on pointed stable curves*, Astérisque **432**, Soc. Math. de France, (2022), ix+296 pp.
- [Wak6] Y. Wakabayashi, Differential modules and dormant opers of higher level, *arXiv: math. AG/2201.11266v1*, (2022).
- [Wak7] Y. Wakabayashi, Dormant opers and Gauss maps in positive characteristic, *arXiv: math. AG/2209.08526*, (2022).
- [Wak8] Y. Wakabayashi, Frobenius-Ehresmann structures and Cartan geometries in positive characteristic, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **34**, (2023), pp. 488-580.
- [Wak9] Y. Wakabayashi, A combinatorial description of the dormant Miura transformation, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **49**, 81 (2023).