

# The Boussinesq systems on non-compact Riemannian Manifolds

Pham Truong Xuan<sup>1</sup>, Tran Thi Ngoc<sup>2</sup> and Tran Van Thuy<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract.** We study the global existence, uniqueness and exponential stability of mild solutions to the Boussinesq systems on the framework of non-compact Riemannian manifolds. We work on some manifolds satisfying some bounded and negative conditions on curvature tensors. We consider a couple of Stokes and heat semigroups associated with the corresponding linear system which provides a vectorial matrix semigroup. By using dispersive and smoothing estimates of the vectorial matrix semigroup we establish the existence and uniqueness of the bounded-in-time mild solution for linear system. Next, we can pass from the linear system to the semilinear system to obtain the well-posedness by utilizing fixed point arguments. Moreover, we will prove the exponential stability of such solutions by using Gronwall's inequality. Finally, we give an application of stability to study periodic mild solutions. Our results extend the ones in [52, 37] in the aspect of global-in-time well-posedness and the existence of periodic mild solutions.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary 35Q30, 35B35; Secondary 58J35, 32Q45

**Keywords.** Boussinesq systems, non-compact Riemannian manifolds, bounded geometry, curvature tensors, mild solution, periodic solution, exponential decay (stability)

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Boussinesq system on non-compact manifolds	5
2.1. Non-compact Riemannian manifolds	5
2.2. Boussinesq systems	7
3. The global existence	9
3.1. Bounded mild solution for the linear equation	9
3.2. Bounded mild solution for Boussinesq system	16
4. Exponential behaviour and its application	24
4.1. Exponential stability	24
4.2. The existence of periodic mild solutions	30

---

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, 1 Dai Co Viet, Hanoi, Vietnam. Email: phamtruongxuan.k5@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>1 Faculty of Fundamental Sciences, East Asia University of Technology, Trinh Van Bo Street, Nam Tu Liem, Hanoi, Vietnam. Email: ngoctt@eaut.edu.vn or ngoc2tt@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>1 Faculty of Fundamental Sciences, East Asia University of Technology, Trinh Van Bo Street, Nam Tu Liem, Hanoi, Vietnam. Email: thuytv@eaut.edu.vn or thuyhum@gmail.com

5. Appendix: generalized gravitational field	33
References	34

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, we are concerned with the incompressible Boussinesq system on non-compact Riemannian manifolds  $(\mathbf{M}, g)$  which satisfies  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  conditions in Assumption 2.1 below (where we consider that the dimension of manifold is  $d \geq 2$  and  $g$  is the Riemannian metric):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u - Lu + \nabla p = \kappa\theta h + F & x \in \mathbf{M}, t > 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & x \in \mathbf{M}, t \geq 0, \\ \theta_t - \tilde{L}\theta + (u \cdot \nabla)\theta = f & x \in \mathbf{M}, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & x \in \mathbf{M}, \\ \theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x) & x \in \mathbf{M}, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

where  $L = \overrightarrow{\Delta} + r$  is Ebin-Marsden's Laplace operator defined by the stress tensor (where  $r$  is the Ricci operator),  $\tilde{L} = \Delta_g$  is Laplace-Beltrami operator associated with metric  $g$ , the constant  $\kappa > 0$  is the volume expansion coefficient. The field  $h(t, x)$  is a generalization of the gravitational field on manifold  $\mathbf{M}$  and the constant  $\kappa > 0$  is the volume expansion coefficient. The unknowns:  $u(t, x)$  is the velocity field,  $p(t, x)$  is the scalar pressure, and the scalar function  $\theta(t, x)$  is the temperature. The vector fields  $f$  and  $F$  represent the reference temperature and the external force, respectively (for details see Section 2). Considering the zero-temperature case, i.e.,  $\theta = 0$ , then system (1.1) becomes the Navier-Stokes equations.

We now recall briefly some results on the Boussinesq system in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Fife and Joseph [27] provided one of the first rigorous mathematical results for the convection problem by constructing analytic stationary solutions for the Boussinesq system with the bounded field  $h$ , as well as analyzing some stability and bifurcation properties. After, Cannon and DiBenedetto [7] established the local-in-time existence in the class  $L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with suitable  $p, q$ . Hishida [32] (see also [49]) obtained the existence and exponential stability of global-in-time strong solutions for the Boussinesq system near to the steady state in a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Later, by using the  $L^{p, \infty}$ - $L^{q, \infty}$ -dispersive and smoothing estimates in weak- $L^p$  spaces of the semigroup  $e^{-tL}$  associated with the corresponding linear equations of the Boussinesq system, Hishida [33] showed the existence and large-time behavior of global-in-time strong solutions in an exterior domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  under smallness assumptions on the initial data  $(u_0, \theta_0)$ . Well-posedness of time-periodic and almost periodic small solutions in exterior domains were proved in [36, 50] by employing frameworks based on weak- $L^p$  spaces. The existence and stability of global small mild solutions for the Boussinesq system were studied

in weak- $L^p$  spaces in [22, 24] and in Morrey spaces in [2]. A result of stability in  $B_{2,1}^{3/2} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{-1/2}$ , under small perturbations, for a class of global large  $H^1$ - solutions was proved by [46]. Brandolese and Schonbek [5] obtained results on the existence and time-decay of weak solutions for the Boussinesq system in whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with initial data  $(u_0, \theta_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$ . Li and Wang [45] analyzed the Boussinesq system in the torus  $\mathbb{T}^3$  and obtained an ill-posedness result in  $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1} \times \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$  by showing the so-called norm inflation phenomena. Komo [43] analyzed the Boussinesq system in general smooth domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and obtained uniqueness criteria for strong solutions in the framework of Lebesgue time-spatial mixed spaces  $L^p(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$  by assuming  $(u_0, \theta_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$  and  $g \in L^{8/3}(0, T; L^4(\Omega))$ . Considering the case of a constant field  $h$ , Brandolese and He [6] showed the uniqueness of mild solutions in the class  $(u, \theta) \in C([0, T], L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$  with  $\theta \in L_{loc}^\infty((0, T); L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . The existence and uniqueness results in the partial inviscid cases of the Boussinesq system were studied in [15, 16], where the authors explored different kinds of conditions on the initial data  $(u_0, \theta_0)$  involving  $L^p$ ,  $L^{p,\infty}$  (weak- $L^p$ ) and Besov spaces. Recently, the unconditional uniqueness of mild solutions for Boussinesq equations in Morrey-Lorentz spaces has established by Ferreira and Xuan [26]. The existence and stability of periodic mild solutions of Boussinesq systems in weak-Morrey spaces have proven by Xuan et al. [59].

Concerning the fluid dynamic equations on Riemannian manifolds, we summarize some previous results about the well-posedness, ill-posedness, asymptotic behaviour etc... on compact and noncompact manifolds. The study of Navier-Stokes equations associated with the vectorial laplace operator given by the stress tensor formula (known as Ebin and Marsden's laplace operator) on noncompact Einstein manifolds with negative Ricci curvature was mentioned initially by Ebin and Marsden [18] when they formulated the formula for Navier-Stokes equations on an Einstein manifold with negative Ricci curvature. Since then, this notion has been used in the works of Czubak and Chan [10, 11] and also Khesin and Visiolek [39] to prove the non-uniqueness of weak Leray solution of Navier-Stokes equation on the two-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds. In additionally, the non-uniqueness problem of Navier-Stokes equations were extended to study on another three-dimensional non-compact Riemannian manifold by Lichtenfelz [44] by considering Anderson's manifolds. Furthermore, Pierfelice [52] has proved the dispersive and smoothing estimates for Stokes semigroups on the generalized non-compact manifolds with negative Ricci curvature then combines these estimates with Kato-iteration method to prove the existence and uniqueness of strong mild solutions to Navier-Stokes equations. The existence and stability of periodic and asymptotically almost periodic mild solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations on noncompact manifolds with negative curvature tensors have been established in some recent works [34, 35, 57, 58]. In the related works, the Navier-Stokes equations associated with Hodge-Laplace operator has been studied in several manifolds, e.g., on two sphere [8, 40], on compact Riemannian manifolds [20, 21, 42, 48, 54], or on the connected sums

of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in [62]. In recent works [60, 61], Xuan et al. have studied the well-posedness and exponential stability of mild solutions for Boussinesq systems on the real hyperbolic manifolds. In these works, the authors have provided the fully well-posedness on the phase spaces  $L^p(\Gamma(T\mathbb{H}^d))$  for both cases  $1 < p \leq d$  and  $p > d$ .

In this paper, we extend the recent results in [52, 35, 60, 61] to study the exponential stability of bounded mild solution and the existence of periodic mild solution for Boussinesq system (1.1) on some non-compact manifolds  $\mathbf{M}$ . We first represent system (1.1) under the matrix integral equation (see equation (2.7) below). Then, we use the estimates for the Stokes and scalar heat semigroups (obtained in [52]) to prove the  $L^p - L^q$ -dispersive and smoothing estimates for the vectorial matrix semigroup associated with the corresponding linear system of Boussinesq system (2.1) (see Lemma 3.3). Using these estimates and the boundedness of gamma functions we prove the existence of bounded mild solution for the linear system (see Theorem 3.3). Note that, the non-commutation of the Kodaira-Hodge operator and the Stokes semigroup leads us to consider the existence on the phase spaces with time weights and gradient functions as well as in [52, 35]. This is also an extension from the works on hyperbolic spaces in [60, 61]. After that, we establish the estimates for the bilinear operator associated with Boussinesq system, i.e., bilinear estimates (3.14) and (3.16). Combining these estimates with the existence results of the linear system and fixed point arguments, we establish the existence of bounded mild solution for the Boussinesq system in Theorem 3.5. We use Gronwall's inequality and the boundedness of beta and gamma functions to prove the exponential stability of the Boussinesq system (see Theorem 4.1). Finally, we give an application of exponential stability to study the existence of periodic mild solution (see Theorem 4.2). This paper together with [60, 61] is a continuation of the study on fluid dynamic systems on non-compact Riemannian manifolds with negative Ricci curvatures.

This article is organized as follows: Section 2 presents some non-compact manifolds and the Boussinesq system, Section 3 gives the  $L^p - L^q$ -dispersive and smoothing estimates and the proofs of the global existence of linear and semilinear equations, Section 4 provide the exponential stability and the application to existence of periodic mild solution for the Boussinesq system. Our main theorems are Theorem 3.3, 3.5, 4.1 and 4.2.

**Notations.** In order to the convenience, through this paper we use the following notations

- $(L^p \cap L^q)(X) := L^p(X) \cap L^q(X)$ ;
- $\|\cdot\|_p := \|\cdot\|_{L^p(X)}$  on the space  $L^p(X)$ ;
- $\|u\|_{L^p \cap L^r} := \|u\|_{L^p(X)} + \|u\|_{L^r(X)}$  with  $u \in (L^p \cap L^r)(X)$ ;

- $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p := \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \times L^p} = \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))}, \|\theta\|_{L^p(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})} \right\}$  on the product space  $L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times L^p(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$ ;
- $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^r} := \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_r$  with  $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \in (L^p \cap L^r)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times (L^p \cap L^r)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$ .
- $\mathbf{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denote beta and gamma functions respectively.

## 2. BOUSSINESQ SYSTEM ON NON-COMPACT MANIFOLDS

### 2.1. Non-compact Riemannian manifolds.

In this subsection, we recall some notions on differential operators on Riemannian manifolds. We refer the reader to [30, 41] for notions and detail discussions on Riemannian manifolds and related concepts of geometric analysis.

Let  $(\mathbf{M}, g)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold (where  $d \geq 2$ ), we denote the Levi-Civita connection by  $\nabla$  and the set of all vector fields on  $\mathbf{M}$  by  $\Gamma(TM)$ . For  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  we can extend  $\nabla_X$  to arbitrary  $(p, q)$  tensor by requiring

- i)  $\nabla_X(c(S)) = c(\nabla_X S)$  for any contraction  $c$ ,
- ii)  $\nabla_X(S \otimes T) = \nabla_X S \otimes T + S \otimes \nabla_X T$

where we take the convention that  $\nabla_X f = X \cdot f$  for a function  $f : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

In particular, for  $S \in \Gamma(\otimes^p(TM) \otimes^q(T^*\mathbf{M}))$  we get

$$(\nabla_X S)(X_1, \dots, X_q) = \nabla_X(S(X_1, \dots, X_q)) - S(\nabla_X X_1, \dots, X_q) - \dots - S(X_1, \dots, \nabla_X X_q).$$

Moreover, we define the covariant derivatives  $\nabla$  on tensor field  $S \in \Gamma(\otimes^p(TM) \otimes^q(T^*\mathbf{M}))$  by

$$\nabla S(X, X_1, \dots, X_q) = (\nabla_X S)(X_1, \dots, X_q), \text{ hence, } \nabla S \in \Gamma(\otimes^p(TM) \otimes^{q+1}(T^*\mathbf{M})).$$

Next, we recall the "music notations" on Riemannian manifolds. For a 1-form  $w$ , we define the vector field  $w^\sharp$  by

$$g(w^\sharp, Y) = w(Y), \forall Y \in \Gamma(TM)$$

whereas, for a vector field  $X$ , we define the 1-form  $X^\flat$  by

$$X^\flat(Y) = g(X, Y), \forall Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

The metric on 1-forms can then be defined by setting

$$g(w, \eta) := g(w^\sharp, \eta^\sharp), \forall w, \eta \in \Gamma(T^*\mathbf{M}).$$

The Riemannian gradient of a function is then defined as

$$\text{grad } p = (dp)^\sharp.$$

More generally, for  $(p, q)$ -tensor field  $T \in \Gamma(\otimes^p(TM) \otimes^q(T^*M))$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^\sharp &= C_1^2(g^{-1} \otimes T) \in \Gamma(\otimes^{p+1}(TM) \otimes^{q-1}(T^*M)), \\ T^\flat &= C_2^1(g \otimes T) \in \Gamma(\otimes^{p-1}(TM) \otimes^{q+1}(T^*M)), \\ \text{div} T &= C_1^1 \nabla T \in \Gamma(\otimes^{p-1}(TM) \otimes^q(T^*M)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_j^i$  stands for the contraction of the  $i$  and  $j$  indices for tensors.

The Riemann curvature tensor  $\mathcal{R} : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z := -\nabla_X(\nabla_Y Z) + \nabla_Y(\nabla_X Z) + \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z \text{ for all } X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Then, the Ricci curvature tensor  $\text{Ric} : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$  is defined from  $\mathcal{R}$  as

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^d g(\mathcal{R}(X, e_i)Y, e_i) \text{ for all } X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$$

for the standard basis  $\left\{ e_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right\}_{i=1}^d$ . Moreover, the sectional curvature  $\kappa$  is given by

$$\kappa(X, Y) := \frac{\mathcal{R}(X, Y, X, Y)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2}$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y \in T_x M$ .

In the rest of this paper, we work on a smooth, complete, non-compact, simply connected Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  which satisfies the following hypotheses (which were considered by Pierfelice in [52]):

**Assumption 2.1.**

$$(H_1) \quad |\mathcal{R}| + |\nabla \mathcal{R}| + |\nabla^2 \mathcal{R}| \leq K,$$

$$(H_2) \quad -\frac{1}{c_0}g \leq \text{Ric} \leq -c_0g, \text{ for some } c_0 \text{ positive,}$$

$$(H_3) \quad \kappa < 0,$$

$$(H_4) \quad \inf_{x \in M} r_x > 0,$$

where  $r_x$  is the injectivity radius for the exponential map at  $x$ .

There are several Riemannian manifolds satisfying the above hypotheses  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  such as real hyperbolic manifolds, non-compact Einstein manifolds with negative Ricci curvature tensors ([31, 41]), Damek-Ricci manifolds ([14]) and symmetric manifolds of non-compact types ([19, 31]).

We also recall the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta_g$  applying on functions which is defined as

$$\Delta_g(f) = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left( \sqrt{|g|} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \right) \text{ for a function } f : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where  $|g| = \det g$ .

Furthermore, the vectorial Laplacian  $L$  is defined by the stress tensor (see [18, 55]):

$$Lu = \operatorname{div}(\nabla u + \nabla u^t)^\sharp.$$

Since  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$  we can express  $L$  in the following formula

$$Lu = \vec{\Delta} u + r(u),$$

where  $\vec{\Delta}$  is the Bochner-Laplacian

$$\vec{\Delta} u = -\nabla^* \nabla u = \operatorname{Tr}_g(\nabla^2 u)$$

and  $r(\cdot)$  is the Ricci operator related to the Ricci curvature tensor by the formula

$$r(u) = (\operatorname{Ric}(u, \cdot))^\sharp \text{ for all } u \in \Gamma(TM)$$

**2.2. Boussinesq systems.** For the sake of simplicity, we will deal with the incompressible Boussinesq system on non-compact manifolds  $\mathbf{M}$  with the volume expansion coefficient  $\kappa = 1$ :

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u - Lu + \nabla p = \theta h + F, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ \theta_t - \tilde{L}\theta + (u \cdot \nabla)\theta = f, \\ u(0) = u_0, \\ \theta(0) = \theta_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where, the unknowns are  $u(x, t) : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ ,  $p(x, t) : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta(x, t) : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  representing the velocity field, the pressure and the temperature of the fluid at point  $(x, t) \in \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ , respectively. Here, the vectorial Laplace operator  $L = \vec{\Delta} + r$  is defined in Subsection 2.1, the scalar operator  $\tilde{L} = \Delta_g$  is Laplace-Beltrami operator associated with metric  $g$ . The functions  $f : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is provided such that  $f$  represents the reference temperature and  $F : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$  is a second order

tensor fields such that  $F$  represents the external force. The function  $h(x) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$  represents the gravitational field

Normally, the function  $h$  (depends only on variable  $x \in \mathbf{M}$ ) represents the gravitational field and does not depend on the time. However, in this work we are going to study the general case, which  $h(x, t) : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$  depends on the time line and satisfies the following assumption which guarantees the regularity for elliptic problem to determine the pressure  $p$ :

**Assumption 2.2.** Assume that function  $h(\cdot, t)$  satisfies

$$h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^\infty(\Gamma(TM))) \text{ and } h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{d}{2}, \infty}(\Gamma(TM))). \quad (2.2)$$

Now, we need to fixed a pressure  $p$  to guarantees the uniqueness of Leray weak solutions of Boussinesq system (2.1). By the same way as in [52] (see Section 3), we take divergence to the first equation of system (2.1) and using  $\operatorname{div}(\overrightarrow{\Delta}u) = r(u)$  (if  $\operatorname{div}u = 0$ ), we get

$$\Delta_g p = \operatorname{div}[2r(u) - (u \cdot \nabla)u + \theta h + F]. \quad (2.3)$$

If we consider  $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$ ,  $\theta \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R}))$ ,  $h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^\infty(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$  and  $F \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM \otimes TM)))$ , then we have

$$2r(u) - (u \cdot \nabla)u + \theta h + F = 2r(u) - \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u) + \theta h + F \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))).$$

Here, we used  $(u \cdot \nabla)u = \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u)$  because  $\operatorname{div}u = 0$  and  $r(\cdot)$  is bounded by  $(H_2)$  condition.

Moreover, by hypotheses  $(H_1 - H_4)$  we have that the operator  $\Delta_g : W^{2,q}(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$  is an isomorphism for  $2 \leq q < \infty$  (see [47]). Therefore, for  $p > 1$ , we can choose the solution of elliptic equation (2.3) by

$$p = \Delta_g^{-1} \operatorname{div}[2r(u) - (u \cdot \nabla)u + \theta h + \operatorname{div}F]. \quad (2.4)$$

This choice guarantees to get the uniqueness of Leray weak solutions for Boussinesq system (see also [52, Section 6] for the uniqueness of Navier-Stokes equations). From above formula, we have

$$\nabla p = \nabla(-\Delta_g)^{-1} \operatorname{div}[-2r(u) + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \theta h - \operatorname{div}F]. \quad (2.5)$$

Since Riesz transforms are  $L^p$ -bounded on  $\mathbf{M}$  (see [47]), we obtain that the operator  $\nabla(-\Delta_g)^{-1} \operatorname{div} : L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))$  is bounded. Therefore, we have  $\nabla p \in L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))$ .



By setting  $\mathbb{P} = \nabla(-\Delta_g)^{-1}\text{div} + \text{Id}$  (which is called Kodaira-Hodge operator) and replacing the formula (2.5) of  $\nabla p$  into system (2.1), we receive the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = (L + G)u + \mathbb{P}(\theta h) + \mathbb{P}[-(u \cdot \nabla)u + F], \\ \partial_t \theta = \tilde{L}\theta - (u \cdot \nabla)\theta + f, \\ \text{div} u = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \theta(0) = \theta_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $G u = -2\text{grad}(-\Delta_g)^{-1}\text{div}(ru)$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Note that, in general the operator  $L + G = \overrightarrow{\Delta} + r + G$  is not commutative with the Kodaira-Hodge operator  $\mathbb{P} = I + \text{grad}(-\Delta_g)^{-1}\text{div}$  on the non-compact manifolds which satisfy all the conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  (see [52]). In a specific case, if the Ricci curvature tensor is a multiplication of the metric  $g$  with a constant, i.e., Einstein manifolds, then  $G = 0$  and  $L + G = \overrightarrow{\Delta} + r$  is commutative with  $\mathbb{P}$ .

In what follows, one will investigate the system (2.6) with  $(u, \theta)$  in the product space  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))) \times C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R}))$ . To do this, we need to put the new operator  $\mathcal{A} := \begin{bmatrix} -(L + G) & 0 \\ 0 & -\tilde{L} \end{bmatrix}$ , which acts on the Cartesian product space  $L^p(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times L^p(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$ . Hence, by utilizing Duhamel's principle in a matrix form, we arrive at the following integral formulation for (2.6)

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} = e^{-t\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) + \mathcal{H}_h(\theta)(t) + \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) (t), \quad (2.7)$$

where the bilinear, linear-coupling and external forced operators used in the above equation are given respectively by

$$\mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) := - \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[(u \cdot \nabla)v] \\ (u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_h(\vartheta)(t) := \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[\vartheta h] \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F} \left( \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) := \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[F] \\ f \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau. \quad (2.10)$$

### 3. THE GLOBAL EXISTENCE

**3.1. Bounded mild solution for the linear equation.** One first studies to the following linear equation corresponding to the integral matrix equation (2.7) as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} = e^{-t\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{H}_h(\eta)(t) + \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) (t). \quad (3.1)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{H}_h(\eta)(t) := \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)A} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[\eta h] \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that the  $L^p - L^q$  dispersive and smoothing properties of the matrix semigroup  $e^{-tA}$  are important tools to estimate the bilinear, linear-coupling and external forced operators ( $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_h(\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$ , respectively) in the equation (2.7). Therefore, we will show more clearly for this properties in the below lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that Assumption 2.1 holds. Then, there are  $\beta \geq c_0 > 0$  and some  $C > 0$  such that the solution of (2.7) satisfying*

(i) *For  $t > 0$ , and  $p, q$  such that  $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ , the following dispersive estimates of vectorial matrix semigroup  $e^{-tA}$  hold*

$$\left\| e^{-tA} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_q \leq C [h_d(t)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $(u_0, \theta_0) \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$ , where

$$h_d(t) := \max \left( \frac{1}{t^{d/2}}, 1 \right).$$

(ii) *For  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$  we have the following smoothing estimates of  $e^{-tA}$ :*

$$\left\| \nabla e^{-tA} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_q \leq C [h_d(t)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $t > 0$  and all  $(u_0, \theta_0) \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* (i) We first note that

$$e^{-tA} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{t(L+G)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t\tilde{L}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The  $L^p - L^q$  dispersive and smoothing estimates for the Stokes semigroup  $e^{t(L+G)}$  and the scalar heat semigroup  $e^{t\tilde{L}}$  which were been established by Pierfelice [52]. In particular, for all  $t > 0$ , and  $p, q$  such that  $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ , the  $L^p - L^q$  dispersive estimates of Stokes semigroup  $e^{t(L+G)}$  is (see [52, Corollary 4.13] and its proof):

$$\|e^{t(L+G)} u_0\|_{L^q} \leq C [h_d(t)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} e^{-\beta t} \|u_0\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $u_0 \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))$  and the  $L^p - L^q$  dispersive estimates of scalar heat semigroup  $e^{t\tilde{L}}$  is

$$\left\| e^{t\tilde{L}} \theta_0 \right\|_{L^q} \leq C [h_d(t)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} e^{-\beta t} \|\theta_0\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \text{ for all } \theta_0 \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R}), \quad (3.6)$$

where  $h_d(t) := \max\left(\frac{1}{t^{d/2}}, 1\right)$ . Clearly, the inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) lead to dispersive estimate (3.3) for the semigroup  $e^{-tA}$ .

(ii) We also have the gradient estimate (3.4) in Assertion (ii) since the fact that: for  $t > 0$  and  $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$  the following  $L^p - L^q$  smoothing estimates of Stokes semigroup  $e^{t(L+G)}$  and of scalar heat semigroup  $e^{t\tilde{L}}$  hold (see [52, Theorem 4.15] and its proof):

$$\|\nabla e^{t(L+G)}u_0\|_{L^q} \leq C[h_d(t)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{d}}e^{-\beta t} \|u_0\|_{L^p \cap L^2}$$

and

$$\|\nabla e^{t\tilde{L}}\theta_0\|_{L^q} \leq C[h_d(t)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{d}}e^{-\beta t} \|\theta_0\|_{L^p \cap L^2}$$

for all  $u_0 \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))$  and vector field  $\theta_0 \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

Throughout the rest of the paper, we suppose that  $2 \leq d < p < \hat{p} < s$  and  $r > 2$  such that  $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\hat{p}}$  and  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{s}$ .

In order to investigate the existence and uniqueness of bounded (in time) mild solution on the half time-line to system (2.6). First of all, we introduce the phase spaces of existence as follows.

$$\mathcal{X} = \left\{ u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))), \nabla u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))) \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. \sup_{t>0} \left\{ \|u(t)\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^s} \right\} < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \sup_{t>0} \left\{ \|u(t)\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^s} \right\}; \quad (3.7)$$

$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \theta \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})), \nabla \theta \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})) \text{ such that} \right.$

$$\left. \sup_{t>0} \left\{ \|\theta(t)\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^s} \right\} < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|\theta\|_{\mathcal{S}} = \sup_{t>0} \left\{ \|\theta(t)\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^s} \right\}. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, we are able to define the norm  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} := \sup_{t>0} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|^\diamond$  on the product space  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}$ . Here

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|^\diamond := \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|_p + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|_2 + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla u \\ \nabla \theta \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}}}$$

$$+ [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla u \\ \nabla \theta \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|_{L^s}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** Note that, there are some time weights and gradient terms in the phase space  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}$ . This comes from the non-commutation of  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $L + G = \overrightarrow{\Delta} + r + G$  (hence non-commutation of  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $e^{t(L+G)}$ ) in Remark 2.3. This can be considered as an extension of the previous work on hyperbolic spaces [60], where  $\mathbb{P}$  is commuted with the vectorial heat semigroup  $e^{tL}$ .

Now, we can point out the existence of the bounded mild solution to the linear equation (3.1) in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(\mathbf{M}, g)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional non-compact manifold (with dimension  $d \geq 2$ ) satisfying Assumption 2.1. Suppose that  $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}$ , the external forces  $h \in \mathcal{H} := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{F} := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O} := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R}))$  such that*

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} := \sup_{t>0} (\|h(t)\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} + \|h(t)\|_{L^s}) < +\infty,$$

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{F}} := \sup_{t>0} (\|F(t)\|_{L^p} + \|F(t)\|_{L^2}) < +\infty,$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{O}} := \sup_{t>0} (\|f(t)\|_{L^p} + \|f(t)\|_{L^2}) < +\infty.$$

Then, the problem (3.1) with the initial data  $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix}$  has one and only one mild solution

$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}$  satisfying

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \leq 5C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + M \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}} + N \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}}, \quad (3.9)$$

where the positive constants  $M$  and  $N$  are independent to  $h$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $F$  and  $f$ .

*Proof.* By Assumption 2.2 and interpolation inequality (see inequality (2.7) in [30, Lemma 2.1]), we obtain that  $h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, L^q(\Gamma(TM)))$  for all  $\frac{d}{2} < q \leq \infty$ . Therefore, we have  $h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$  for  $d < \hat{p} < s$ . Now, we prove the boundedness of the right-hand side of integral equation (3.1) which leads to the existence of linear system.

**First estimation.** Utilizing Lemma 3.1 and the boundedness of the operator  $\mathbb{P}$  (see more details in example [47]), we give the below estimate for  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \\
& \leq \left\| e^{-t\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + \|\mathcal{H}_h(\eta)(t)\|_2 + \left\| \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_2 \\
& \leq 2C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[h\eta](\tau) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 d\tau + \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[F] \\ f \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_2 d\tau \\
& \leq 2C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + 2C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [h\eta](\tau) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 d\tau + 2C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_2 d\tau \\
& \leq 2C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + 2C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \|h(\tau)\|_{\hat{p}} \|\eta(\tau)\|_p d\tau + 2C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_2 d\tau \\
& \leq 2C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + 2C \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}} + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}} \right). \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

**Second estimation.** We continue to point out the boundedness of the term  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p$  by using again Lemma 3.1 and the boundedness of the operator  $\mathbb{P}$ . Concretely, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \leq \left\| e^{-t\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p + \|\mathcal{H}_h(\eta)(t)\|_p + \left\| \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_p \\
& \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[h\eta](\tau) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p d\tau \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[F] \\ f \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [h\eta](\tau) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left( \|h(\tau)\|_s + \|h(\tau)\|_{\hat{p}} \right) \|\eta(\tau)\|_p d\tau \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + C \left[ \beta^{\frac{d}{2s}-1} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{d}{2s}\right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right] \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}} + \frac{C}{\beta} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}} \\
&\leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + M_{p_2} \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}} + N_{p_2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}}. \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $M_{p_2} = C\beta^{\frac{d}{2s}-1}\Gamma(1 - \frac{d}{2s}) + \frac{2C}{\beta}$  and  $N_{p_2} = \frac{2C}{\beta}$ .

**Third estimation.** Next, one gives estimates for the boundedness of the third term

$$[h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla u(t) \\ \nabla \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}}}.$$

Indeed, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla u(t) \\ \nabla \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} \\
&\leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} \int_0^t \left\| \nabla e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[h\eta](\tau) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} d\tau \\
&+ [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} \int_0^t \left\| \nabla e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[F] \\ f \end{bmatrix}(\tau) \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [h\eta](\tau) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
&+ C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix}(\tau) \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
&+ C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left( \|h(\tau)\|_s + \|h(\tau)\|_{\hat{p}} \right) \|\eta(\tau)\|_p d\tau \\
&+ C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix}(\tau) \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + M_1(t) \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}} + N_1(t) \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}} \\
&\leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + \hat{M} \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}} + \hat{N} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}}, \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_1(t) = C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq \hat{M} < +\infty,$$

$$N_1(t) = C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq \hat{N} < +\infty.$$

It is the fact that  $N_1(t)$  is estimated in the same way of  $M_1(t)$ . Therefore, we merely estimate for  $M_1(t)$  as follows.

$$M_1(t) = C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau.$$

It not hard to see that  $0 < [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} < 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(t) &= C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \left( (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} + 1 \right) e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq C\beta^{\gamma_1-1} \Gamma(1-\gamma_1) + \frac{C}{\beta} := \hat{M}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \gamma_1 = \frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}\right) < 1$ .

**Fourth estimation.** It remains to estimate the boundedness of the final term as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla u(t) \\ \nabla \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^s} \\ & \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \int_0^t \left\| \nabla e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[h\eta](\tau) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^s} d\tau \\ & + [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \int_0^t \left\| \nabla e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[F] \\ f \end{bmatrix}(\tau) \right\|_{L^s} d\tau \\ & \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [h\eta](\tau) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\ & + C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix}(\tau) \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} d\tau \\ & \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\ & + C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left( \|h(\tau)\|_s + \|h(\tau)\|_{\hat{p}} \right) \|\eta(\tau)\|_p d\tau \\ & + C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix}(\tau) \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} d\tau \\ & \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + M_2(t) \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}} + N_2(t) \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}} \\ & \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + M_s \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}} + N_s \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(t) &= C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq M_s < +\infty, \\ N_2(t) &= C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq N_s < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It is the fact that  $M_2(t), N_2(t)$  are estimated in the same way of  $M_1(t)$ . Therefore, we merely estimate for  $M_2(t)$  as following.

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(t) &= C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \left( (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} + 1 \right) e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq C\beta^{\gamma_2-1} \Gamma(1-\gamma_2) + \frac{C}{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $0 < \gamma_2 = \frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right) < 1$  and  $M_s = C\beta^{\gamma_2-1} \Gamma(1-\gamma_2) + \frac{C}{\beta}$ .

Hence, by setting  $M := M_{p_2} + \hat{M} + M_s, N := N_{p_2} + \hat{N} + N_s$  and combining the inequalities (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain the boundedness (3.9) and our proof is completed.  $\square$

**3.2. Bounded mild solution for Boussinesq system.** In order to study bounded mild solutions for the equation (2.7), in below lemma, we need to give estimations for the bilinear operator

$$\mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) = - \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[(u \cdot \nabla)v] \\ (u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(\mathbf{M}, g)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional non-compact manifold (with dimension  $d \geq 2$ ) satisfying Assumption 2.1. There exists a universal constant  $K > 0$  such that*

(i) for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\left\| \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_2 \leq K \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{I}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{I}}; \quad (3.14)$$

(ii) for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\left\| \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_p \leq K \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{I}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{I}}; \quad (3.15)$$

(iii) for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$[h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \nabla \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_{\hat{p}} \leq K \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{I}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{I}}; \quad (3.16)$$



(iv) and for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$[h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \nabla \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_s \leq K \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}}. \quad (3.17)$$

Here the constant  $K$  is not dependent on  $u, v, \zeta, \vartheta$  and

$$\nabla \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) = - \int_0^t \nabla e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[(u \cdot \nabla)v] \\ (u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau.$$

*Proof.* In this framework, we will focus on estimating the terms  $(u \cdot \nabla)v$  and  $(u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta$ . Utilizing again the boundedness of operator  $\mathbb{P}$ , the  $L^p - L^q$  smoothing estimates in assertion (ii) of Lemma 3.1 and Hölder's inequality, we receive that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_2 &\leq \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[(u \cdot \nabla)v](\tau) \\ [(u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta](\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 d\tau \\ &\leq 2C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [(u \cdot \nabla)v](\tau) \\ [(u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta](\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 d\tau \\ &\leq 2C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \|u(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla v(\tau) \\ \nabla \vartheta(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\dot{p}} d\tau \\ &\leq 2C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \int_0^t [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} K_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$G_2(t) = 2C \int_0^t [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq K_2 < +\infty.$$

Indeed, for  $0 < t \leq 1$  one has  $G_2(t) \leq 2C \int_0^1 (\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} d\tau = \frac{2C}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2p} + \frac{d}{2\dot{p}}}$ . For the case  $t > 1$ , we have

$$G_2(t) \leq 2C \int_0^1 (\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} d\tau + 2C \int_1^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq \frac{2C}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2p} + \frac{d}{2\dot{p}}} + \frac{2C}{\beta} := K_2.$$

By the same way, we receive the estimation for  $\left\| \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_p$  as follows.

$$\left\| \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^t \left\| e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[(u \cdot \nabla)v](\tau) \\ [(u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta](\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [(u \cdot \nabla)v](\tau) \\ [(u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta](\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left[ \|u(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla v(\tau) \\ \nabla \vartheta(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_s + \|u(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla v(\tau) \\ \nabla \vartheta(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\hat{p}} \right] d\tau \\
&\leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} \left[ [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} + [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} \right] e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
&\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} K_p, \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_p(t) := C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} \left[ [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} + [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} \right] e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq K_p < +\infty.$$

In order to see this, we note that  $2 \leq d < p < \hat{p} < s$ . Therefore, this implies that  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{d} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d} > 0$  and then  $[h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} > [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}}$  for all  $\tau > 0$ . Thus, we deduce that

$$G_p(t) \leq 2C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau.$$

For the case  $0 < t \leq 1$ , it is not hard to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
G_p(t) &\leq 2C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2s}} \tau^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} d\tau \\
&\leq 2C \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2s}} \left(\frac{\tau}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{2p}} d\left(\frac{\tau}{t}\right) \\
&\leq 2C \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2s}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2p} + \frac{d}{2s}\right) := K_{pa}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the case  $t > 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
G_p(t) &\leq 2C \int_0^{1/2} ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2s}} + 1) \tau^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
&\quad + 2C \int_{1/2}^1 ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2s}} + 1) \tau^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
&\quad + 2C \int_1^t ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2s}} + 1) e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
&\leq 2C \int_0^{1/2} (2^{\frac{d}{2s}} + 1) \tau^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2C \int_{1/2}^1 ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2s}} + 1) 2^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& + 2C \int_1^t ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2s}} + 1) e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& \leq 2C(2^{\frac{d}{2s}} + 1) \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{d}{2p}-\frac{d}{2s}}}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{2p}+\frac{d}{2s}} \\
& + 2C \left( 2^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} + 1 \right) \int_0^t ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2s}} + 1) e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& \leq 2C(2^{\frac{d}{2s}} + 1) \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{d}{2p}-\frac{d}{2s}}}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{2p}+\frac{d}{2s}} + 2C \left( 2^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} + 1 \right) \left[ \beta^{\frac{d}{2s}-1} \Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{d}{2s} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right] \\
& := K_{pb}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thence,  $G_p(t) \leq K_p := \max\{K_{pa}, K_{pb}\}$ .

On the other hand, we need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \nabla \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_{\hat{p}} \\
& \leq \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \nabla e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[(u \cdot \nabla)v](\tau) \\ [(u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta](\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\hat{p}} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [(u \cdot \nabla)v](\tau) \\ [(u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta](\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left[ \|u(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla v(\tau) \\ \nabla \vartheta(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_s + \|u(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla v(\tau) \\ \nabla \vartheta(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\hat{p}} \right] d\tau \\
& \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} \\
& \quad \times \left[ [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} + [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} \right] e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} K_{\hat{p}}. \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, one also notes that  $[h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} > [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}}$  for all  $\tau > 0$ , and

$$G_{\hat{p}}(t) := 2C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq K_{\hat{p}} < +\infty.$$

In order to clarify this, we proceed by the analogous way as the below case of  $K_s$ .

Finally, it remains to give estimations for  $[h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} \left\| \nabla \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_s$  below.

$$\begin{aligned}
& [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} \left\| \nabla \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) \right\|_s \\
& \leq \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} \left\| \nabla e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[(u \cdot \nabla)v](\tau) \\ [(u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta](\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_s d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [(u \cdot \nabla)v](\tau) \\ [(u \cdot \nabla)\vartheta](\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left[ \|u(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla v(\tau) \\ \nabla \vartheta(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_s + \|u(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla v(\tau) \\ \nabla \vartheta(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\hat{p}} \right] d\tau \\
& \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{F}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{F}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} \\
& \quad \times \left[ [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} + [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} \right] e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{F}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{F}} K_s. \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$G_s(t) := 2C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq K_s < +\infty.$$

Indeed, for the case  $0 < t \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_s(t) & \leq 2C \int_0^t t^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} \tau^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} d\tau \\
& \leq 2C \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d})} \left(\frac{\tau}{t}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{2p}d} \left(\frac{\tau}{t}\right) \\
& \leq 2C \mathbf{B} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2p}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{2p} + \frac{d}{2s} \right) := K_{sa}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the case  $t > 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
G_s(t) & \leq 2C \int_0^{1/2} ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d})} + 1) \tau^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& \quad + 2C \int_{1/2}^1 ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d})} + 1) \tau^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2C \int_1^t ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d})} + 1) e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& \leq 2C \int_0^{1/2} (2^{\frac{d}{2p}+\frac{1}{2}} + 1) \tau^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} d\tau \\
& + 2C \int_{1/2}^1 ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d})} + 1) 2^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& + 2C \int_1^t ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d})} + 1) e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& \leq 2C(2^{\frac{d}{2p}+\frac{1}{2}} + 1) \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{d}{2p}-\frac{d}{2s}}}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{2p}+\frac{d}{2s}} \\
& + 2C \left(2^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} + 1\right) \int_0^t ((t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{2p}-\frac{1}{2}} + 1) e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \\
& \leq 2C(2^{\frac{d}{2p}+\frac{1}{2}} + 1) \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{d}{2p}-\frac{d}{2s}}}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{2p}+\frac{d}{2s}} + 2C \left(2^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} + 1\right) \left[ \beta^{\frac{d}{2p}-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{2p}\right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right] \\
& := K_{sb}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thence,  $G_s(t) \leq K_s := \max\{K_{sa}, K_{sb}\}$ .

Hence, by setting  $K = \max\{K_2, K_p, K_{\hat{p}}, K_s\}$ , we receive the inequalities (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) as desired.  $\square$

We are now able to clarify the existence and uniqueness of the bounded mild solution of the equation (2.7) which are main results of this section in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** (*Global-in-time mild solution*). *Let  $(\mathbf{M}, g)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional non-compact manifold (with dimension  $d \geq 2$ ) satisfying Assumption 2.1. For  $p > d$ , suppose that the external forces  $h \in \mathcal{H} := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{F} := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O} := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R}))$ . If the norms  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2}$ ,  $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}}$  are sufficiently small, then equation (2.7) has one and only one bounded mild solution  $(\hat{u}, \hat{\theta})$  on a small ball of  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* In order to start, we denote

$$\mathcal{B}_\rho := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S} \text{ such that } \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \leq \rho \right\}.$$

For each  $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ , we consider the linear equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} = e^{-tA} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) + \mathcal{H}_h(\vartheta)(t) + \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) (t). \quad (3.22)$$

Now, we apply the bilinear estimates (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) for  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$  in Lemma 3.4 and the linear estimate for  $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$  as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 to obtain that for  $(v, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$  there exists a unique bounded mild solution  $(u, \theta)$  to (3.22) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} &\leq 5C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + 4K \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}}^2 + M \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\vartheta\|_{\mathcal{S}} + N \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}} \\ &\leq 5C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + 4K\rho^2 + M \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rho + N \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Thence, we can define a map  $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}$  as follows

$$\Phi \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.24)$$

If  $\rho, \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2}$  and  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}}$  are small enough, then

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} < \rho$$

because of inequality (3.23). That means the map  $\Phi$  acting from  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$  into itself.

Furthermore, it is obvious that

$$\Phi \left( \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \vartheta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) + \mathcal{H}_h(\vartheta)(t) + \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right) (t). \quad (3.25)$$

Hence, for  $(v_1, \vartheta_1), (v_2, \vartheta_2) \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ , applying again the linear estimate for  $\mathcal{H}(\cdot)$  as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 and performing some computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \Phi \left( \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vartheta_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) - \Phi \left( \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \\ &= \left\| \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vartheta_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vartheta_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) - \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \mathcal{H}_h(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2) \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \\ &\leq \sup_{t>0} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}([(v_1 - v_2) \cdot \nabla]v_1 + [v_2 \cdot \nabla](v_1 - v_2)) \\ [(v_1 - v_2) \cdot \nabla]\vartheta_2 + [v_1 \cdot \nabla](\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2}^\diamond \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{H}_h(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \\ &\leq \sup_{t>0} \left\{ \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}([(v_1 - v_2) \cdot \nabla]v_1 + [v_2 \cdot \nabla](v_1 - v_2)) \\ [(v_1 - v_2) \cdot \nabla]\vartheta_2 + [v_1 \cdot \nabla](\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d})} \left\| \int_0^t \nabla e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}([(v_1 - v_2) \cdot \nabla]v_1 + [v_2 \cdot \nabla](v_1 - v_2)) \\ [(v_1 - v_2) \cdot \nabla]\vartheta_2 + [v_1 \cdot \nabla](\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} \left\| \int_0^t \nabla e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}} \left[ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{P}([(v_1 - v_2) \cdot \nabla]v_1 + [v_2 \cdot \nabla](v_1 - v_2)) \\ [(v_1 - v_2) \cdot \nabla]\vartheta_2 + [v_1 \cdot \nabla](\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2) \end{array} \right] (\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^s} \Bigg\} \\
& + \|\mathcal{H}_h(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \\
& \leq \sup_{t>0} \left\{ 4CP \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}}^\diamond d\tau \right. \\
& + 2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}}^\diamond d\tau \\
& + 2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}}^\diamond d\tau \Bigg\} \\
& + \|\mathcal{H}_h(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \\
& \leq \sup_{t>0} \left\{ 4CP \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \right. \\
& + 2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \\
& + 2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \Bigg\} \\
& + \|\mathcal{H}_h(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \\
& \leq (8CL_1\rho + 4CL_2\rho + 4CL_3\rho + M \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}}. \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq L_1 < +\infty, \\
& \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq L_2 < +\infty, \\
& \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq L_3 < +\infty
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } P := 2 \max \left\{ \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}}, \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \right\}.$$

In order to clarify the constants  $L_1, L_2$  and  $L_3$ , it is showed analogously as the case of the constants  $K_p, K_{\hat{p}}$  and  $K_s$ . Therefore, we omit this step.

Finally, if  $\rho$  and  $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  are small enough such that  $8CL_1\rho + 4CL_2\rho + 4CL_3\rho + M \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} < 1$  then the map  $\Phi$  becomes a contraction on  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ .

Therefore, by fixed point arguments there exists a unique fixed point  $(\hat{u}, \hat{\theta})$  of  $\Phi$ , and by the definition of  $\Phi$ , this fixed point  $(\hat{u}, \hat{\theta})$  is a bounded mild solution to equation (2.7). The uniqueness of  $(\hat{u}, \hat{\theta})$  in the small ball  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$  is clearly by using inequality (3.26).  $\square$

## 4. EXPONENTIAL BEHAVIOUR AND ITS APPLICATION

4.1. **Exponential stability.** In this subsection, we will show that how we use Gronwall's inequality to prove the exponential stability of mild solutions to integral equation (2.7) obtained in Theorem 3.5. Our main theorem is as follows:

**Theorem 4.1.** (*Exponential stability*). *Let  $(\mathbf{M}, g)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional noncompact manifold with  $d \geq 2$ . For  $p > d$ , assume that the external force  $h \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$  with the norm  $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  small enough. Then, the mild solution  $(u, \theta)$  of the equation (2.7) is exponentially stable in the sense that for any other mild solution  $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}$  of the equation (2.7) such that the norm  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2}$  is sufficiently small, we have*

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|^{\diamond} \lesssim e^{-\Theta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $0 < \Theta < \beta$  (here  $\beta$  is a positive constant and is given in Lemma 3.1).

*Proof.* For  $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ , it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (t) &= e^{-tA} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) - \mathcal{B} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) + \mathcal{H}_h(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \\ &\leq e^{-tA} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)A} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[h(\theta - \hat{\theta})] \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)A} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}[(u - \hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]u + [\hat{u} \cdot \nabla](u - \hat{u}) \\ [(u - \hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]\hat{\theta} + [u \cdot \nabla](\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, we need to estimate  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|^{\diamond}$  on the half time-line  $t > 0$ . To do this, let us first proceed with the following

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq 2C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + 2C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} h(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_2 d\tau \\ &\quad + 2C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [(u - \hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]u + [\hat{u} \cdot \nabla](u - \hat{u}) \\ [(u - \hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]\hat{\theta} + [u \cdot \nabla](\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_2 d\tau \\ &\leq 2C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + 2C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& +2C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left[ \|(u - \hat{u})(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla u \\ \nabla \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\hat{p}} + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \hat{u} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u - \hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\hat{p}} \right] d\tau \\
& \leq 2Ce^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + 2C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& +2C \left[ \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \right] \int_0^t [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left[ \|(u - \hat{u})(\tau)\|_p + [h_d(\tau)]^{-(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d})} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u - \hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\hat{p}} \right] d\tau \\
& \leq 2Ce^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 + 2C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& +2CP \int_0^t [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\hat{p}}^{\diamond} d\tau, \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $P = 2 \max \left\{ \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}}, \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}} \right\}$ , and  $[h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} > 1$ ,  $\forall t > \tau$ .

Next, also recall that  $2 \leq d < p < \hat{p} < s$ . This leads to the fact that  $1 < [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}} < [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{d}}$  for all  $\tau > 0$ . By straight-forward computations, we continue to give estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|_p \\
& \leq Ce^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} h(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& + C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [(u - \hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]u + [\hat{u} \cdot \nabla](u - \hat{u}) \\ [(u - \hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]\hat{\theta} + [u \cdot \nabla](\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq Ce^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& + C \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left[ \|(u - \hat{u})(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla u \\ \nabla \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s} + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \hat{u} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u - \hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s} \right] d\tau \\
& \leq Ce^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& + CP \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left[ \|(u - \hat{u})(\tau)\|_p + [h_d(\tau)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u - \hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\hat{p}} \right] d\tau \\
& + CP \int_0^t [h_d(t - \tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \times \left[ \|(u - \hat{u})(\tau)\|_p + [h_d(\tau)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u - \hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_s \right] d\tau \\
& \leq C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} + C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t - \tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& + 2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t - \tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\diamond} d\tau. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, one also needs to give estimations for the following gradient terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
& [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u - \hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|_{\hat{p}} \\
& \leq C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
& + C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t - \tau)]^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} h(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& + C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t - \tau)]^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [(u - \hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]u + [\hat{u} \cdot \nabla](u - \hat{u}) \\ [(u - \hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]\hat{\theta} + [u \cdot \nabla](\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
& + C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t - \tau)]^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& + C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t - \tau)]^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left[ \|(u - \hat{u})(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla u \\ \nabla \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s} + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \hat{u} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u - \hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s} \right] d\tau \\
& \leq C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
& + C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t - \tau)]^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left[ \|(u-\hat{u})(\tau)\|_p + [h_d(\tau)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u-\hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta-\hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\hat{p}} \right] d\tau \\
& +CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left[ \|(u-\hat{u})(\tau)\|_p + [h_d(\tau)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u-\hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta-\hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_s \right] d\tau \\
& \leq C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
& +C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& +2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2}^\diamond d\tau. \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u-\hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta-\hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|_s \\
& \leq C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
& +C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} h(\theta-\hat{\theta}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& +C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left\| \begin{bmatrix} [(u-\hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]u + [\hat{u} \cdot \nabla](u-\hat{u}) \\ [(u-\hat{u}) \cdot \nabla]\hat{\theta} + [u \cdot \nabla](\theta-\hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^r \cap L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
& +C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& +C \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times \left[ \|(u-\hat{u})(\tau)\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla u \\ \nabla \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s} + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \hat{u} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u-\hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta-\hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s} \right] d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
&+ C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
&+ CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \|(u - \hat{u})(\tau)\|_p + [h_d(\tau)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u - \hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_{\hat{p}} \right] d\tau \\
&+ CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \|(u - \hat{u})(\tau)\|_p + [h_d(\tau)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(u - \hat{u}) \\ \nabla(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_s \right] d\tau \\
&\leq C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
&+ C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
&+ 2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} \\
&\quad \times e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|^\diamond d\tau. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by combining (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|^\diamond \\
&\leq 5C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
&+ 2CP \int_0^t [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|^\diamond d\tau \\
&+ 3C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
&+ 2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|^\diamond d\tau \\
&+ C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|^\diamond d\tau \\
& +C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|_p d\tau \\
& +2CP \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|^\diamond d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Utilizing again the fact that  $1 < [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}}$ , and  $1 < [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} < [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}}$  for all  $t > \tau > 0$ , where  $2 \leq d < p < \hat{p} < s$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|^\diamond \\
& \leq 5C e^{-\beta t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
& +C [4P + 3 \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|^\diamond d\tau \\
& +C [2P + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} \\
& \quad \times e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|^\diamond d\tau \\
& +C [2P + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} \\
& \quad \times e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|^\diamond d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Next step, by setting  $z(\tau) = e^{\Theta\tau} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \tilde{u} \\ \theta - \tilde{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \right\|^\diamond$  for  $\Theta < \beta$ , it is not difficult to point out that

$$\begin{aligned}
& z(t) \\
& \leq 5C e^{-(\beta-\Theta)t} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \\
& +C [4P + 3 \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-(\beta-\Theta)(t-\tau)} z(\tau) d\tau \\
& +C [2P + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-(\beta-\Theta)(t-\tau)} z(\tau) d\tau \\
& +C [2P + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d})} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-(\beta-\Theta)(t-\tau)} z(\tau) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

By some analogous computations as the cases of  $K_p, K_{\hat{p}}$  and  $K_s$  in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we receive the following boundedness

$$C [4P + 3 \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \int_0^t [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{s}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-(\beta-\Theta)(t-\tau)} z(\tau) d\tau \leq Q_1 < \infty, \quad (4.7)$$

$$C [2P + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\hat{p}}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-(\beta-\Theta)(t-\tau)} z(\tau) d\tau \leq Q_2 < \infty, \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$C [2P + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \int_0^t [h_d(t)]^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}\right)} [h_d(t-\tau)]^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} [h_d(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{d}} e^{-(\beta-\Theta)(t-\tau)} z(\tau) d\tau \leq Q_3 < \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

Hence, we are able to apply Gronwall's inequality to obtain

$$|z(t)| \leq 5C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} e^{Q_1+Q_2+Q_3} \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

By the above arguments, one is able to assert that

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u - \hat{u} \\ \theta - \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|^\blacklozenge \lesssim \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - \hat{u}_0 \\ \theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} e^{-\Theta t} \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

□

**4.2. The existence of periodic mild solutions.** In what follows, one studies an application of the stability obtained in Theorem 4.1. More details, we will utilize the local exponential stability of solutions of the Boussinesq system on the non-compact manifolds to clarify the existence and local uniqueness of a mild  $T$ -periodic solution of the Boussinesq equation (2.7) under the actions of  $T$ -periodic external forces. The method is extended from [37, 38]. Namely, Our main result of this section is the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(\mathbf{M}, g)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional non-compact manifold with  $d \geq 2$ . For  $p > d$ , suppose that the external forces  $h \in \mathcal{H} := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^{\hat{p}} \cap L^s)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{F} := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)))$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O} := C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R}))$  are sufficiently small as in theorem 3.5. Then, if the functions  $F$ ,  $f$  and  $h$  are  $T$ -periodic,*

*equation (2.7) has a  $T$ -periodic mild solution  $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$  in a small ball of  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, the  $T$ -periodic mild solution to (2.7) is locally unique in the sense that: Two  $T$ -periodic mild solutions  $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \xi \end{bmatrix}$  starting sufficiently near each other (i.e.,  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - v_0 \\ \theta_0 - \xi_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2}$  is sufficiently small) are identical.*

*Proof.* For each sufficiently small initial data  $(x, y) \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$ , Theorem 3.5 follows that there exists a unique bounded mild solution  $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} \in$

$\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}$  to equation (2.7) with  $\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ \xi_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$  in a small ball  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$  of  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}$ , if  $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  and

$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}}$  are also small enough. More precisely, if

$$\rho < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{16K}, \frac{3}{4\tilde{L}} \right\}, \left\| \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} < \frac{\rho}{\tilde{C}}, \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \frac{1}{4M}, \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}} < \frac{\rho}{4N},$$

where  $\tilde{L} := 4C(2L_1 + L_2 + L_3)$  and  $\tilde{C} := 20C$ , this guarantees the existence and uniqueness of such  $(v, \xi)$  (see the proof of Theorem 3.5).

In fact, we are able to take an even smaller initial vector field  $(u_0, \theta_0)$  such that

$$\rho < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{16K\tilde{C}}, \frac{3}{4\tilde{L}\tilde{C}} \right\}, \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} < \frac{\rho}{\tilde{C}^2}, \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \frac{1}{4M\tilde{C}}, \left\| \begin{bmatrix} F \\ f \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{O}} < \frac{\rho}{4N\tilde{C}}.$$

Of course, we are able to take  $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . This leads to the fact that  $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}_{\frac{\rho}{\tilde{C}}}$ ,

where  $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}$  is the unique bounded mild solution of the equation (2.7). Therefore, it is clear that

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\diamond} \leq \frac{\rho}{\tilde{C}} \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

We now need to prove that the sequence  $\{(u(nT), \theta(nT))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the space  $(L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$  with the norm  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} (t) \right\|_{\diamond}$ .

Indeed, for each fixed natural numbers  $m > n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by putting  $(z(t), \vartheta(t)) = (u(t + (m - n)T), \theta(t + (m - n)T))$ , and using the periodicity of  $F, f$  and  $h$ , it is not difficult to see that  $(z, \vartheta)$  is also a mild solution to equation (2.7), and  $(z, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{B}_{\frac{\rho}{\tilde{C}}}$ . Therefore, Theorem 4.1 follows that

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) - z(t) \\ \theta(t) - \vartheta(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\diamond} \lesssim \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_0 - z_0 \\ \theta_0 - \vartheta_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} e^{-\Theta t} \leq K_0 e^{-\Theta t}, \quad (4.10)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , here the constant  $K_0$  independent of  $m, n$ .

Hence, by putting  $t := nT$  in the above inequality and noting that  $(z(t), \vartheta(t)) = (u(t + (m - n)T), \theta(t + (m - n)T))$ , we deduce that

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(nT) - u(mT) \\ \theta(nT) - \theta(mT) \end{bmatrix} \right\|^\diamond \leq K_0 e^{-\Theta(nT)}, \quad (4.11)$$

for all  $m > n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

This follows that  $\{u(nT)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM))$  and  $\{\theta(nT)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$  are Cauchy sequences. Thus, the sequence  $\{(u(nT), \theta(nT))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $(L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$  with

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(nT) \\ \theta(nT) \end{bmatrix} \right\|^\diamond \leq \frac{\rho}{\tilde{C}}.$$

We then put  $\begin{bmatrix} u^* \\ \theta^* \end{bmatrix} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \begin{bmatrix} u(nT) \\ \theta(nT) \end{bmatrix} \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$ . It

also is obvious that  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u^* \\ \theta^* \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} \leq \frac{\rho}{\tilde{C}}$ .

Taking now  $(u^*, \theta^*)$  as the initial value, by Theorem 3.5, we receive that there exists a unique bounded mild solution  $(\hat{u}(t), \hat{\theta}(t))$  to the equation (2.7) in  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ . We then show that the mild solution  $(\hat{u}(t), \hat{\theta}(t))$  is  $T$ -periodic. To do this, for each fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we put  $(v(t), \xi(t)) = (u(t + nT), \theta(t + nT))$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Again, by the periodicity of  $F$ ,  $f$  and  $h$ , it follows that  $(v(t), \xi(t))$  is also a mild solution of the equation (2.7) with  $(v_0, \xi_0) = (u(nT), \theta(nT))$ .

Since inequality (4.1) with  $(v, \xi)$  instead of  $(u, \theta)$ , we have

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}(T) - v(T) \\ \hat{\theta}(T) - \xi(T) \end{bmatrix} \right\|^\diamond \lesssim \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}_0 - v_0 \\ \hat{\theta}_0 - \xi_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} e^{-\Theta T}. \quad (4.12)$$

This means that

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}(T) - u((n+1)T) \\ \hat{\theta}(T) - \theta((n+1)T) \end{bmatrix} \right\|^\diamond \lesssim \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u^* - u(nT) \\ \theta^* - \theta(nT) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{L^p \cap L^2} e^{-\Theta T}. \quad (4.13)$$

Next, taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using the fact that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \begin{bmatrix} u(nT) \\ \theta(nT) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u^* \\ \theta^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}_0 \\ \hat{\theta}_0 \end{bmatrix} \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \Gamma(TM)) \times (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R}),$$

we obtain  $(\hat{u}(T), \hat{\theta}(T)) = (\hat{u}_0, \hat{\theta}_0)$ . Consequently,  $(\hat{u}(t), \hat{\theta}(t))$  is  $T$ -periodic.



The uniqueness of the  $T$ -periodic solution follows from inequality (4.1). Namely, if  $(u, \theta)$  and  $(v, \xi)$  are two  $T$ -periodic mild solutions to equation (2.7) with initial values  $(u_0, \theta_0)$  and  $(v_0, \xi_0)$  with  $\|(u_0 - v_0, \theta_0 - \xi_0)\|_{L^p \cap L^2}$  sufficiently small, respectively, then inequality (4.1) implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) - v(t) \\ \theta(t) - \xi(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|^\diamond = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Finally, due to periodicity and continuity of  $(u, \theta)$  and  $(v, \xi)$ , this then yields that

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ \theta(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v(t) \\ \xi(t) \end{bmatrix} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad \square$$

## 5. APPENDIX: GENERALIZED GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

We discuss about the Assumption 2.2 of the generalized gravitational fields. In particular, we consider a general static spherically symmetric Riemannian manifold  $(\mathbf{M}, g)$  with dimension  $d$ , where the metric  $g$  is given by

$$g = dr^2 + f(r)d\omega^2.$$

Here,  $d\omega^2$  denotes the standard Euclidean metric on  $(d - 1)$  dimension sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $f(r)$  is a smooth positive function of  $r$ . The manifold  $(\mathbf{M}, g)$  is a case of Cartan-Hadamard manifolds and it satisfies conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  in Assumption 2.1 if we restrict some conditions on function  $f(r)$  to guarantee the boundedness and negative properties of curvatures.

Now, we show that the gravitational field associated with the metric  $g$  can be extended to a function satisfying Assumption 2.2. First, the gravitational potential  $\Phi(r)$  associated with metric  $g$  is a solution of Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(r) = 0.$$

The solution is (see [29, page 151] and also [4, Section 5]):

$$\Phi(r) = G(x, O) = \int_r^\infty \frac{d\rho}{f(\rho)},$$

where  $G$  is the Green function,  $O$  is the origin point of manifold and  $\rho = \text{dist}(x, O)$ .

If  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbb{R}^d$  (where  $d \geq 3$ ), then  $f(r) = r^2$  and by a straightforward calculation we have (see [29]):

$$\Phi(r) = \frac{((d - 2)\omega_d)^{-1}}{|r|^{d-2}},$$

where  $\omega_d$  is the area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, the gravitational field is proportional to

$$h(x) \simeq \nabla \Phi(r) = \frac{d}{dr} \frac{((d - 2)\omega_d)^{-1}}{|r|^{d-2}} = -\frac{1}{\omega_d} \frac{r}{|r|^d}$$

by multiplying a positive constant depending on gravitational constant and mass. Therefore, the function  $h$  belongs to  $L^\infty(T\Omega) \cap L^{\frac{d}{2},\infty}(T\Omega)$  (see [33]), where  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d - B(O, \varepsilon)$  is an exterior domain of  $B(O, \varepsilon)$  which is a ball with origin at  $O = (0, \dots, 0)$  and radius  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

If  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbb{H}^d$  (where  $d \geq 3$ ), then  $f(r) = \sinh r$  and we have (see [4]):

$$\Phi(r) = \coth^{d-2} r$$

This leads to

$$h(x) \simeq \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} = -\frac{(d-2) \coth^{d-3} r}{\sinh^2 r}.$$

Observe that, for  $d \geq 3$ , we have the following equivalence

$$h(x) \simeq \begin{cases} -e^{-2r}, & \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \\ -r^{-2}, & \text{as } r \rightarrow 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Now, we verify  $h \in L^\infty(\Gamma(T\Omega)) \cap L^{\frac{d}{2},\infty}(\Gamma(T\Omega))$ , for  $h$  given by (5.1), where  $\Omega = \mathbf{M} - \mathbb{B}(O, \varepsilon)$  is an exterior of  $\mathbb{B}(O, \varepsilon)$  which is a geodesic ball in  $\mathbb{H}^d$  centered at the origin  $O = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  with geodesic radius  $\varepsilon$ . Clearly, on the exterior domain  $\Omega$ , the condition  $\tilde{h} \in L^\infty(\Gamma(T\Omega))$  is valid. Moreover, the norm of interpolation space defined on hyperbolic manifold  $\mathbf{M}$  is given by (see notions in the proof of Corollary 3.3 in [1]):

$$\|f\|_{L^{q,\infty}} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} r^{\frac{d}{q}} |f(r)| + \sup_{r \geq 1} e^{\frac{d-1}{q}r} |f(r)|.$$

Using equivalence (5.1), we can show that the gravitational field  $\tilde{h}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  (with dimension  $d \geq 3$ ) satisfies  $\|\tilde{h}\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2},\infty}} < +\infty$ , hence  $h$  belongs to  $L^{\frac{d}{2},\infty}(\Gamma(T\Omega))$ .

Therefore, if we consider other manifolds with  $f(r)$  decays fastly as  $r^\alpha$  (where  $\alpha \geq 2$ ) and  $e^{\beta r}$  (where  $\beta \geq 1$ ), then we can also get that  $h$  belongs to  $L^\infty(T\Omega) \cap L^{\frac{d}{2},\infty}(T\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is an exterior domain of a geodesic ball in  $\mathbf{M}$  centered at the origin  $O$  of  $\mathbf{M}$ . With the function  $h(x)$  on hand, we can construct a generalized gravitational field as  $\tilde{h}(x) = \gamma(x, t)h(x)$ , where  $\gamma : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is a bounded and continuous function and has support outside the geodesic ball  $\mathbb{B}(O, \varepsilon)$ . Since  $h \in L^\infty(\Gamma(T\Omega)) \cap L^{\frac{d}{2},\infty}(\Gamma(T\Omega))$  and the property of  $\alpha(x, t)$ , the generalized gravitational field  $\tilde{h}(x, t) = \alpha(x, t)h(x)$  satisfies Assumption 2.2.

### Acknowledgments.

P. T. Xuan was funded by the Postdoctoral Scholarship Programme of Vingroup Innovation Foundation (VINIF), code VINIF.2023.STS.55

### REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Anker and V. Pierfelice, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on real hyperbolic spaces*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré – AN 26 (2009), pages 1853–1869

- [2] M.F.D. Almeida, L.C.F. Ferreira, *On the well-posedness and large time behavior for Boussinesq equations in Morrey spaces*, Differential integral equations 24 (7-8) (2011) 667-684.
- [3] L. Brandolese and M.E. Schonbek, *Large time decay and growth for solutions of a viscous Boussinesq system*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (10) (2012) 5057-5090.
- [4] J.D. Barrow, *Non-Euclidean Newtonian cosmology*, Classical and Quantum Gravity, Vol. 37, Num. 12 (2020)
- [5] L. Brandolese and M.E. Schonbek, *Large time decay and growth for solutions of a viscous Boussinesq system*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (10) (2012), 5057-5090.
- [6] L. Brandolese and J. He, *Uniqueness theorems for the Boussinesq system*, Tohoku Math. J. 72 (2) (2020), 283-297.
- [7] J.R. Cannon and E. DiBenedetto, *The initial value problem for the Boussinesq equations with data in  $L^p$* , in *Approximation Methods for Navier–Stokes Problems*, Edited by Rautmann, R., Lect. Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 771, 1980.
- [8] C. Cao, M. Rammaha, and E. Titi, *The Navier-Stokes equations on the rotating 2–D sphere: Gevrey regularity and asymptotic degrees of freedom*, In: Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 50.3 (1999), pp. 341-360.
- [9] S. Chandrasekhar, *Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability*, Dover, New York, 1981.
- [10] M. Czubak and C.H. Chan, *Non-uniqueness of the Leray–Hopf solutions in the hyperbolic setting*, Dyn. PDE 10(1), 43–77 (2013).
- [11] M. Czubak and C.H. Chan, *Remarks on the weak formulation of the Navier–Stokes equations on the 2D-hyperbolic space*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis, Volume 33, Issue 3, May-June 2016, Pages 655-698.
- [12] M. Czubak, C.H. Chan and M. Disconzi, *The formulation of the Navier-Stokes equations on Riemannian manifolds* In: J. Geom. Phys. 121 (2017), pp. 335–346.
- [13] Ju. L. Daleckii, M. G. Krein, *Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Transl. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence RI, 1974.
- [14] E. Damek and F. Ricci, *A class of nonsymmetric harmonic Riemannian spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1992), 139-142.
- [15] R. Danchin and M. Paicu, *Global well-posedness issue for the inviscid Boussinesq system with Youdovitch’s type data*, Commun. Math. Phys. 290 (2009), 1-14.
- [16] R. Danchin and M. Paicu, *Existence and uniqueness results for the Boussinesq system with data in Lorentz spaces*, Phys. D 237 (10-12) (2008), 1444-1460.
- [17] H. Dong and Q. S. Zhang, *Time analyticity for the heat equation and Navier-Stokes equations*, Journal of Functional Analysis 279(4):108563, April 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108563
- [18] D.G. Ebin, J.E. Marsden, *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Ann. of Math. (2) 92 (1970), 102-163.
- [19] P. Erbelein, *Geometry of non positively curved manifolds*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, 449, (1996).
- [20] S. Fang and D. Luo, *Constantin and Iyer’s representation formula for the Navier-Stokes equations on manifolds*, Potential Analysis, 48: 181-206 (2018).
- [21] S. Fang, *Nash Embedding, Shape Operator and Navier-Stokes Equation on a Riemannian Manifold*, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series Vol. 36, No. 2 (2020) 237-252.

- [22] L.C.F. Ferreira and E.J. Villamizar-Roa, *Well-posedness and asymptotic behaviour for the convection problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Nonlinearity, 19, 2169-2191 (2006).
- [23] L.C.F. Ferreira and E.J. Villamizar-Roa, *Existence of solutions to the convection problem in a pseudomeasure-type space*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 464, no. 2096, 1983-1999, (2008).
- [24] L.C.F. Ferreira and E.J. Villamizar-Roa, *On the stability problem for the Boussinesq equations in weak- $L^p$  spaces*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 9 (3) (2010) 667–684.
- [25] L.C.F. Ferreira, *On a bilinear estimate in weak-Morrey spaces and uniqueness for Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 105 (2) (2016), 228-247.
- [26] L.C.F. Ferreira and P.T. Xuan, *On uniqueness of mild solutions for Boussinesq equations in Morrey-type spaces*, Applied Mathematics Letters, Vol. 137, Num. 2 (2023) 1-8.
- [27] P.C. Fife and D.D. Joseph, *Existence of convective solutions of the generalized Bernard problem which are analytic in their norm*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 33 (1969), 116-138.
- [28] H. Fujita and T. Kato, *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem, I*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 16,(1961), 269-315.
- [29] A.A. Grigor'yan, *Analytic and geometric background of recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds*, Bulletin (New Series) of the American mathematical society, Vol. 36, Num. 2, Pages 135–249 (1999)
- [30] E. Hebey, *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds: Sobolev Spaces and Inequalities, Courant Lectures in Mathematics*, New York (2000) AMS.
- [31] S. Helgason, *Geometric Analysis on Symmetric Spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994.
- [32] T. Hishida, *Global Existence and Exponential Stability of Convection*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 196 (2) (1995), 699-721.
- [33] T. Hishida, *On a class of Stable Steady flow to the Exterior Convection Problem*, Journal of Differential Equations 141 (1) (1997), 54-85.
- [34] N.T. Huy, P.T. Xuan, V.T.N. Ha and V.T. Mai, *Periodic Solutions of Navier-Stokes Equations on Non-compact Einstein Manifolds with Negative Ricci Curvature Tensor*, Analysis and Mathematical Physics (2021) 11:60.
- [35] N.T. Huy, P.T. Xuan, V.T.N. Ha, N.T. Van, *On periodic solutions for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on non-compact manifolds*, Taiwan. J. Math., 26 (3) (June 2022), pp. 607-633, 10.11650/tjm/211205
- [36] N.T. Huy, P.T. Xuan, V.T.N. Ha and L.T. Sac, *Existence and Stability of Periodic and Almost Periodic Solutions to the Boussinesq System in Unbounded Domains*, Acta. Math. Sci, Vol. 42, Iss. 5, 1875–1901 (2022).
- [37] N.T. Huy and V.T.N. Ha, *Navier-Stokes equations on non-compact Einstein manifolds: Stability implies periodicity*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications Volume 505, Issue 2, 15 January 2022, 125544
- [38] N.T. Huy, V.T.N. Ha and N.T. Van, *Stability and periodicity of solutions to Navier-Stokes equations on non-compact riemannian manifolds with negative curvature*, Anal.Math.Phys. 12, **89** (2022).
- [39] B. Khesin and G. Misiolek, *The Euler and Navier-Stokes equations on the hyperbolic plane*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109 (2012), pages 18324-18326
- [40] A.A. Il'yin, *The Navier-Stokes and Euler equations on two-dimensional closed manifolds*, Mat. Sb. 181 (1990), 521-539; English transl. in Math. USSR Sb. 69 (1991).

- [41] J. Jost, *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fifth edition (2008).
- [42] M. Kobayashi, *On the Navier-Stokes equations on manifolds with curvature*, In: J. Engrg. Math. 60.1 (2008), pp. 55-68.
- [43] C. Komo, *Uniqueness criteria and strong solutions of the Boussinesq equations in completely general domains*, Z. Anal. Anwend. 34 (2) (2015), 147-164.
- [44] L. A. Lichtenfelz, *Nonuniqueness of solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations on Riemannian manifolds*, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry volume 50, pages237–248(2016).
- [45] Z. Li and W. Wang, *Norm inflation for the Boussinesq system*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 26 (10) (2021), 5449-5463.
- [46] X. Liu and Y. Li, *On the stability of global solutions to the 3D Boussinesq system*, Nonlinear Analysis 95 (2014), 580-591.
- [47] N. Lohoué, *Estimation des projecteurs de De Rham Hodge de certaines variété riemanniennes non compactes*, Math. Nachr. 279, 3 (2006), 272-298.
- [48] M. Mitrea and M. Taylor, *Navier-Stokes equations on Lipschitz domains in Riemannian manifolds*, Math Ann 321, 955-987 (2001).
- [49] H. Morimoto, *Non-stationary Boussinesq equations*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A math. Sci. 67 (5) (1991), 159-161.
- [50] K. Nakao, *On time-periodic solutions to the Boussinesq equations in exterior domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 482 (2) (2020), 123537, 16 pp.
- [51] J. Paukert, *Introduction to hyperboloid geometry*, lecture note, (2016).
- [52] V. Pierfelice, *The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on non-compact manifolds*, Journal of Geometric Analysis, 27(1) (2017), 577-617.
- [53] W.F. Reynolds, *Hyperbolic Geometry on a Hyperboloid*, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 100, No. 5 (May, 1993), pp. 442-455.
- [54] M. Samavaki and J. Tuomela, *Navier–Stokes equations on Riemannian manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 148 (2020) 103543
- [55] M. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations III: Nonlinear equations*, volume 117 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer New York second edition (2011).
- [56] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [57] P.T. Xuan, N.T. Van and B. Quoc, *On Asymptotically Almost Periodic Solution of Parabolic Equations on real hyperbolic Manifolds*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 517, Iss. 1 (2023), 126578.
- [58] P.T. Xuan and N.T. Van, *On asymptotically almost periodic solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations on hyperbolic manifolds*, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Vol. 25, No. 71 (2023), 33 pages.
- [59] P.T. Xuan, N.T. Van and T.V. Thuy, *Periodic solutions for Boussinesq systems in weak-Morrey spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 537, No. 1 (2024), 128255.
- [60] P.T. Xuan and H. Trung, *On periodic solution for the Boussinesq system on real hyperbolic manifolds*, [arXiv:2209.07803](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.07803) (2022), 31 pages.
- [61] P.T. Xuan, T.T. Ngoc and T.V. Thuy, *Wellposedness and exponential stability for Boussinesq systems on real hyperbolic Manifolds*, [arXiv:2209.09469v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.09469v3) (2024), 31 pages.
- [62] Q. S. Zhang, *The ill-posed Navier-Stokes equation on connected sums of  $\mathbb{R}^3$* , Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 51:8-11, 1059-1063 (2006).