Study of correlation between optical flux and polarization variations in BL Lac objects

Bhoomika Rajput^{1,2*}, Ashwani Pandey², C. S. Stalin², and Blesson Mathew¹

¹Department of Physics, CHRIST (Deemed to be University), Hosur Road, Bangalore 560 029, India ²Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Block II, Koramangala, Bangalore 560034, India

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Polarized radiation from blazars is one key piece of evidence for synchrotron radiation at low energy, which also shows variations. We present here our results on the correlation analysis between optical flux and polarization degree (PD) variations in a sample of 11 BL Lac objects using ~ 10 years of data from the Steward Observatory. We carried out the analysis on long-term (\sim several months) as well as on short-term timescales (\sim several days). On long-term timescales, for about 85% of the observing cycles, we found no correlation between optical flux and PD. On short-term timescales, we found a total of 58 epochs with a significant correlation between optical flux and PD, where both positive and negative correlation were observed. In addition, we also found a significant correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux variations on long-term timescales in 11% of the observing cycles. The observed PD variations in our study cannot be explained by changes in the power-law spectral index of the relativistic electrons in the jets. The shock-in-jet scenario is favoured for the correlation between optical flux and PD, whereas the anti-correlation can be explained by the presence of multi-zone emission regions. The varying correlated behaviour can also be explained by the enhanced optical flux caused by the newly developed radio knots in the jets and their magnetic field alignment with the large scale jet magnetic field.

Key words: galaxies: active - galaxies: jets - BL Lacertae objects: general - γ -rays: galaxies

1 INTRODUCTION

Blazars are the jetted class of active galactic nuclei (AGN), and they are among the most luminous $(10^{42}-10^{48} \text{ erg s}^{-1})$ objects in the extragalactic γ -ray sky. The accretion of matter onto the super massive black holes is thought to be the source of immense energy of blazars. The mass of super massive black holes in blazars ranges from 10^6 - $10^{10} M_{\odot}$ (Lynden-Bell 1969; Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). Blazars have relativistic jets that are oriented close to the line of sight to the observer (Urry & Padovani 1995), and as a result the radiation from their jets is highly Doppler boosted. They emit radiation over the entire accessible electromagnetic spectrum (i.e. from radio to γ -rays) and their emission is also known to be highly variable (Urry 1996). In addition to flux variations, blazars are also known to show large optical polarization and polarization variability (Zhang 2019, and references therein).

Blazars are divided into two subclasses based on the emission lines in their optical spectra. These subclasses are flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs) with strong emission lines (EW > 5Å) and BL Lac objects with no or weak emission lines (EW < 5Å) (Stocke et al. 1991). A more physical criterion based on the accretion ratio was introduced by Ghisellini et al. (2011) and Sbarrato et al. (2012) to distinguish between these two subclasses. According to this scheme, FS-RQs have the ratio of the luminosity of the broad line region L_{BLR} to the Eddington luminosity > 5 × 10⁻⁴ while it is less than 5 × 10⁻⁴ for BL Lacs.

The broad band spectral energy distribution (SED) of blazars consists of two hump structure, in which the lower energy hump is well understood by the synchrotron emission process of the relativistic electrons in the jet of these sources and the high energy hump is attributed to the inverse Compton (IC) process (Abdo et al. 2010d). The seed photons which take part in the IC process can be present either within the jet (synchrotron-self Compton; SSC; Marscher & Gear 1985; Ghisellini & Maraschi 1989 or outside of the jet such as BLR, torus and accretion disk (external Compton; EC; Begelman et al. 1987). FSRQs and BL Lac sources are further divided based on the position of synchrotron peak frequency (ν_{peak}^{syn}) in the broad band SED (Abdo et al.

^{*} E-mail: bhoomikarjpt2@gmail.com

2010d). These are low-synchrotron peaked blazars (LSP; $\nu_{peak}^{syn} < 10^{14}$ Hz), intermediate-synchrotron peaked blazars (ISP; 10^{14} Hz $< \nu_{peak}^{syn} < 10^{15}$ Hz) and high-synchrotron peaked blazars (HSP; $10^{15} < \nu_{peak}^{syn} < 10^{17}$ Hz) (Ackermann et al. 2011, 2015). FSRQs are mostly LSP blazars, while BL Lac sources exhibit all three blazar behaviours. Extreme nature is also present in some BL Lacs, classified as extremely high-synchrotron peaked BL Lacs (EHBLs or extreme blazars; $\nu_{peak}^{syn} > 10^{17}$ Hz) (Foffano et al. 2019). Blazars show highly variable polarization behaviour in the

Blazars show highly variable polarization behaviour in the optical band (Visvanathan & Wills 1998; Fraija et al. 2017). The observation of polarization features from blazar jets provides vital information for studying the structural information of the magnetic field within the jets. The order of the magnetic field vectors or the electron energy distribution within the emission region could be represented by the degree of optical polarization, whereas the electric vector position angle (EVPA) could be related to the direction of the magnetic field vector along the line of sight (Angel & Stockman 1980; Lister & Smith 2000). The study of correlation between optical flux and polarization variations can provide important insights into how polarization behaves in different optical flux states. Studies available in literature on correlation between optical flux and polarization variations show(i) positive correlation between optical flux and polarization variations (Hagen-Thorn et al. 2008; Sorcia et al. 2013) (ii) negative correlation between optical flux and PD (Sillanpaa et al. 1991; Bhatta et al. 2015; Rakshit et al. 2017), (iii) varied behaviours between optical flux and PD variations that includes positive, negative and no correlation (Hagen-Thorn et al. 2002; Jorstad et al. 2006; Gaur et al. 2014; Rajput et al. 2019; Pasierb et al. 2020; Pandey et al. 2022).

Furthermore, the nature of the γ -ray emission process can be constrained by analysis of the correlation between γ -ray flux and optical polarization position angle. The observation obtained from *Fermi* Gamma-ray Space Telescope (hereinafter *Fermi*) reported the various behaviour of correlation between γ -ray flux and optical flux (Bonning et al. 2009; Chatterjee et al. 2012; Cohen et al. 2014; MacDonald et al. 2015; Rajput et al. 2019, 2020; Amaya-Almazán et al. 2021; Rajput et al. 2021) and between γ -ray flux and optical polarization position angle (Abdo et al. 2010b; Marscher et al. 2010; Blinov et al. 2015, 2018).

However, these findings show that the polarization degree and position angle, and their correlation with different energy bands, have a complex behaviour. So, in order to look for anomalous differences in optical flux and polarization behaviour in blazars, we aim for a systematic investigation of the correlation between optical flux and polarization variations in blazars. Towards this goal, we selected a sample of 8 FSRQs and 11 BL Lac sources from the archives of Steward Observatory. The results of the 8 FSRQ blazars were reported by Pandey et al. (2022). In this work, we present results on the systematic investigation of the correlation between optical V-band flux and polarization variations in 11 BL Lac sources from 2008 to 2018 on long-term (\sim few months) as well as short-term (~few days) timescales. On short-term timescales, we also investigated the correlation behaviour between optical V-band flux and γ -ray flux. The details of the 11 BL Lac sources are given in Table 1. In Section 2, we give the details of the data used in this work.

Table 1. Details of the BL Lac sources.

Source	RA (α_{2000})	Dec (δ_{2000})	Redshift (z)
3C 66A AO 0235+164 S5 0716+714 OJ 287 MRK 421 W Comae MRK 501	$02^{h}22^{m}40^{s}$ $02^{h}38^{m}39^{s}$ $07^{h}21^{m}53^{s}$ $08^{h}54^{m}49^{s}$ $11^{h}04^{m}27^{s}$ $12^{h}21^{m}32^{s}$ $16^{h}53^{m}52^{s}$	$\begin{array}{c} +43^{\circ}02'08''\\ +16^{\circ}36'59''\\ +71^{\circ}20'36''\\ +20^{\circ}06'31''\\ +38^{\circ}12'32''\\ +28^{\circ}13'59''\\ +39^{\circ}45'37''\\ +39^{\circ}45'37''\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.340\\ 0.940\\ 0.310\\ 0.306\\ 0.031\\ 0.103\\ 0.034\\ 0.034\\ 0.042\\ \end{array}$
PKS 2155-304 BL Lac 1ES 2344+514	$ \begin{array}{r} 19^{h} 59^{m} 60^{s} \\ 21^{h} 58^{m} 52^{s} \\ 22^{h} 02^{m} 43^{s} \\ 23^{h} 47^{m} 05^{s} \\ \end{array} $	$+63^{\circ}08^{\circ}33''$ $-30^{\circ}13'32''$ $+42^{\circ}16'40''$ $+51^{\circ}42'18''$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.048 \\ 0.116 \\ 0.069 \\ 0.044 \end{array}$

In Section 3, the multiwavelength light curves of the BL Lac sources are described. In Section 4, the details of the analysis are given. The results and the discussion are given in Section 5 and Section 6, respectively. We give the summary of the work in Section 7.

2 REDUCTION OF MULTIWAVELENGTH DATA

To look for the correlation between optical V-band flux and polarization variations we used the publicly available data from the Steward observatory¹ spanning a period of ~ 10 years from 2008-2018. And to look for the correlation between optical and γ -ray flux variations on a long-term timescale, we used γ -ray data from *Fermi*, that spans the same period as the optical data.

2.1 Optical data reduction

We obtained photometric data in the optical V-band and R-band, as well as polarimetric data in the optical V-band, from Steward Observatory for 11 BL Lac sources listed in Table 1. These observations were made with SPOL, which is a moderate resolution (R $\sim 300-1000$) spectropolarimeter. A $\lambda/4$ plate is used to measure circular polarization and a $\lambda/2$ plate is used to measure linear polarization in the telescope. This instrument also provides the imaging photometric observations over a narrow field of view using the 2.3 m Bok Telescope on Kitt Peak and 1.5 m Kuiper Telescope on Mt. Bigelow. The observation and reduction procedures of this publicly archival data are given in Smith et al. (2009). To convert the magnitude into flux we followed the same procedure as described in Pandey et al. (2022). Firstly, the magnitudes were corrected for galactic extinction (A_{λ}) , the values of which were taken from NED 2 . We then converted the extinction corrected magnitudes into their flux values using the coefficient given in Bessell et al. (1998). We note that we have not made any corrections for the host galaxy light.

¹ http://james.as.arizona.edu/~psmith/Fermi

² https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/

Table 2. Statistics of the observed flux and polarization properties on each observing cycles of all the sources. F_{γ} is in units of 10^{-6} ph cm⁻² s⁻¹. F_{opt} is in units of 10^{-11} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. The polarization degree PD is in %. Here, R is the Spearman rank correlation coefficient, and P is the probability of the null hypothesis.

Blazar	Cycle	Time-period	Min	F. Max	γ Average	Min	F _{oj} Max	^{pt} Average	Min	PI Max) Average	F _{op} R	P versu	s PD Status	$\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{F}_{\gamma}}$	versus P	F _{opt} Status
3C 66A	C2	55088-55383	0.02	0.62	0.25 ± 0.01	3.58	6.94	5.39 ± 0.01	2.15	19.68	9.53 ± 0.01	-0.28	0.05	-	0.26	0.26	
	C3	55441 - 55769	0.03	0.56	0.19 ± 0.01	1.71	8.50	5.91 ± 0.02	8.11	19.59	15.40 ± 0.01	0.17	0.29	-	0.18	0.54	-
	C4 C5	55800 - 56133 56184 - 56364	0.03	0.45	0.17 ± 0.02 0.19 ± 0.02	1.73	3.58	2.35 ± 0.01 3.58 ± 0.01	4.68	17.45	11.08 ± 0.01 12.28 ± 0.01	-0.38	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C6	56540 - 56863	0.03	0.38	0.19 ± 0.02 0.15 ± 0.02	2.02	3.35	2.71 ± 0.01	2.47	13.85	6.74 ± 0.01	0.01	0.93	-	0.40	0.00	-
	C7	56920-57225	0.02	0.55	0.20 ± 0.02	2.43	4.46	2.97 ± 0.01	0.21	8.18	4.31 ± 0.01	-0.04	0.80	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C8 C9	57282 - 57595 57630 - 57936	0.02	0.48	0.11 ± 0.01 0.22 ± 0.02	2.10	2.76	2.48 ± 0.01 2.87 ± 0.01	4.10	11.69 18.43	8.38 ± 0.02 13.05 ± 0.01	-0.11 -0.39	0.55		0.00	0.00	-
	C10	57996 - 58306	0.04	0.50	0.19 ± 0.03	1.53	2.66	2.22 ± 0.01	2.00	18.29	10.10 ± 0.01	-0.06	0.74	-	0.00	0.00	-
AO 0235+164	C1 C2	54743-54916	0.04	1.37	0.44 ± 0.01	0.12	1.32	0.51 ± 0.00 0.10 ± 0.00	3.26	23.45	11.33 ± 0.06	0.42	0.00	-	0.60	0.00	PC
	C2 C3	55089 - 55383 55441 - 55769	1	-	-	0.05	0.31	0.10 ± 0.00 0.05 ± 0.00	1.10	21.06	4.38 ± 0.12 9.19 ± 0.09	-0.24 -0.19	0.16		0.00	0.00	-
	C4	55800 - 56133	0.10	0.43	0.22 ± 0.06	0.05	0.10	0.07 ± 0.00	0.95	12.08	5.01 ± 0.09	0.71	0.01	PC	0.00	0.00	-
	C5 C6	56214 - 56328 56574 - 56658	- 0.09	- 0.35	- 0.22 ± 0.09	0.04	0.08	0.06 ± 0.00 0.05 ± 0.00	2.04	25.30 6.67	7.10 ± 0.16 4.56 ± 0.19	-0.10 0.80	0.87		0.00	0.00	-
	C7	56920 - 57225	0.04	0.78	0.39 ± 0.02	0.07	0.58	0.17 ± 0.00	1.96	39.79	16.61 ± 0.05	0.16	0.36	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C8	57282-57598	0.04	0.91	0.34 ± 0.01	0.11	0.65	0.27 ± 0.00	1.05	32.11	13.53 ± 0.06	0.38	0.03	-	0.50	0.03	PC
	C10	57630 - 57826 58016 - 58161	0.03	0.30	0.22 ± 0.02 0.19 ± 0.07	0.12	0.27	0.17 ± 0.00 0.12 ± 0.00	2.91	24.60	9.17 ± 0.06 12.33 ± 0.08	-0.09	0.65		0.00	0.00	-
$S5\ 0716{+}714$	C1	54743 - 54952	0.03	0.61	0.20 ± 0.02	5.43	11.14	8.80 ± 0.02	3.30	15.51	8.78 ± 0.01	-0.43	0.06	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C2 C3	55088-55336 55563-55626	0.04	0.71	0.27 ± 0.01 0.31 ± 0.02	3.34	14.83 13.40	8.43 ± 0.01 7.14 ± 0.04	0.54 2.76	27.96 13.98	12.00 ± 0.01 7.93 ± 0.01	0.14	0.35	-	0.08	0.77	-
	C4	55802 - 56014	0.03	1.24	0.34 ± 0.01	2.75	19.73	8.59 ± 0.02	0.95	21.40	10.17 ± 0.01	0.29	0.11	-	0.42	0.05	-
	C5 CC	56184-56427	0.03	0.91	0.31 ± 0.01	3.76	18.16	6.88 ± 0.01	1.40	21.37	8.71 ± 0.01	0.06	0.72	-	0.29	0.33	-
	C6 C7	56922 - 57167	0.04	1.38	0.36 ± 0.01 0.37 ± 0.01	4.82	8.30 30.41	3.96 ± 0.01 16.36 ± 0.03	0.49	17.59	9.85 ± 0.02 8.82 ± 0.01	-0.13	0.46		0.00	0.00	PC
	C8	57282 - 57311	0.04	0.32	0.16 ± 0.03	5.00	10.94	8.43 ± 0.06	2.10	19.77	13.45 ± 0.01	0.01	0.98	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C9 C10	57630 - 57826 57996 - 58158	0.05	0.32	0.19 ± 0.03 0.34 ± 0.01	3.79	10.26	6.26 ± 0.02 8.65 ± 0.03	3.41	23.48	10.47 ± 0.02 7.64 ± 0.02	0.73	0.03	PC	0.00	0.00	-
OJ 287	C1	54743 - 54952	0.08	0.14	0.34 ± 0.01 0.11 ± 0.05	1.20	5.34	2.44 ± 0.00	11.64	35.49	22.24 ± 0.01	-0.19	0.19	-	0.02	0.00	-
	C2	55089-55363	0.03	0.83	0.33 ± 0.03	1.13	4.65	3.10 ± 0.00	2.29	35.05	19.73 ± 0.01	0.36	0.01	-	0.83	0.04	PC
	C3 C4	55476 - 55712 55830 - 56094	0.03	0.43	0.23 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.02	1.37	3.94 5.01	2.36 ± 0.00 2.86 ± 0.00	4.72 5.81	25.57 28.78	13.62 ± 0.01 19.67 ± 0.01	-0.00 0.55	0.99	PC	-0.61	0.00	NC
	C5	56184 - 56449	0.09	0.70	0.29 ± 0.04	0.90	3.13	2.02 ± 0.00	2.80	26.69	13.32 ± 0.01	0.18	0.19	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C6 C7	56570-56804 56923-57189	0.05	0.60	0.38 ± 0.03 0.20 ± 0.03	0.89	3.05	1.86 ± 0.00 2.32 ± 0.00	3.24	25.29 17.23	15.19 ± 0.01 7 41 ± 0.02	0.29	0.04	NC	0.37	0.47	-
	C8	57285-57519	0.04	0.56	0.30 ± 0.03 0.30 ± 0.02	1.53	5.44	3.22 ± 0.00 3.22 ± 0.01	1.01	36.54	13.12 ± 0.02	0.23	0.02	-	0.34	0.45	-
	C9	57655 - 57919	0.05	0.42	0.17 ± 0.04	2.29	7.72	4.63 ± 0.01	7.52	24.40	14.68 ± 0.01	0.06	0.66	-	0.00	0.00	-
MRK 421	C10 C1	58016 - 58292 54743 - 54952	0.03	0.04	0.04 ± 0.01 0.14 ± 0.01	0.74	2.99	1.86 ± 0.00 9.96 ± 0.03	2.09	30.22 6.12	15.90 ± 0.01 3.41 ± 0.01	0.25	0.06	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C2	55125 - 55384	0.02	0.58	0.17 ± 0.01	8.35	15.48	11.12 ± 0.03	0.30	6.85	3.51 ± 0.00	-0.17	0.24	-	0.34	0.06	-
	C3	55477-55744	0.03	0.57	0.16 ± 0.01 0.20 ± 0.01	10.32	21.57	15.47 ± 0.05 15.72 ± 0.04	0.07	6.57	2.42 ± 0.00	0.44	0.00	-	-0.12	0.63	-
	C5	56213 - 56449	0.02	0.81	0.20 ± 0.01 0.25 ± 0.01	9.77 14.12	23.43 34.19	25.91 ± 0.04	0.29	11.44	3.52 ± 0.00	-0.38	0.18	-	0.23	0.14	PC
	C6	56571 - 56863	0.02	0.75	0.22 ± 0.01	9.24	24.09	15.41 ± 0.04	0.97	10.36	3.62 ± 0.00	0.34	0.00	-	-0.00	0.97	-
	C7 C8	56924 - 57224 57308 - 57593	0.02	0.60 0.67	0.21 ± 0.01 0.17 ± 0.01	10.71 9.77	29.50 19.31	17.54 ± 0.05 15.29 ± 0.05	0.75 0.39	12.39 4.82	6.41 ± 0.01 2.21 ± 0.01	0.22 -0.50	0.07		0.14 -0.03	0.34 0.87	-
	C9	57687 - 57936	0.02	0.47	0.16 ± 0.01	7.08	10.14	8.18 ± 0.02	0.17	5.59	2.09 ± 0.01	-0.38	0.00	-	-0.39	0.03	-
W.Com	C10	58041-58306 54767 54052	0.02	0.84	0.22 ± 0.01 0.20 ± 0.02	5.94	14.12	10.78 ± 0.03 2.51 ± 0.02	0.05	4.28	1.86 ± 0.01 0.40 ± 0.01	-0.14	0.17	- DC	0.48	0.00	-
w com	C2	55125 - 55384	0.011	0.44	0.20 ± 0.02 0.31 ± 0.09	1.45	2.44	1.88 ± 0.01	7.09	20.67	13.13 ± 0.01	-0.45	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C3	55510 - 55769	0.04	0.17	0.10 ± 0.05	1.16	2.35	1.50 ± 0.01	1.91	14.57	7.52 ± 0.01	0.21	0.24	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C4 C5	55891 - 56126 56268 - 56448	0.09	0.16	0.13 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.05	0.74 0.66	2.06	1.48 ± 0.01 0.98 ± 0.01	6.72 7.57	19.84 22.30	11.18 ± 0.01 13.43 ± 0.04	0.18	0.29		0.00	0.00	-
	C6	56622 - 56860	-	-	-	0.80	1.36	0.95 ± 0.01 0.95 ± 0.01	6.32	26.69	17.76 ± 0.01	0.07	0.60	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C7	56986-57219	-	-	-	0.88	1.67	1.22 ± 0.01	0.81	12.22	4.88 ± 0.02	-0.10	0.61	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C9	57691 - 57935	- 0.11	0.39	0.26 ± 0.05	0.74	1.30	0.95 ± 0.01	3.31	16.27	9.85 ± 0.02 9.85 ± 0.02	-0.39	0.00	PC	0.00	0.00	-
	C10	58073 - 58306	-	-	-	1.24	3.01	1.98 ± 0.01	1.34	15.55	7.31 ± 0.01	0.27	0.08	-	0.00	0.00	-
MRK 501	C1 C2	54745 - 54953 55089 - 55384	0.02	0.27	0.08 ± 0.02 0.04 ± 0.01	5.13 5.17	6.34 6.63	5.56 ± 0.01 5.88 ± 0.01	0.07	5.63 4.54	1.75 ± 0.01 2.51 ± 0.01	0.64	0.00	PC PC	-0.90	0.01	NC
	C3	55441 - 55769	0.02	0.50	0.01 ± 0.01 0.08 ± 0.01	5.08	6.05	5.67 ± 0.01	1.65	5.14	3.21 ± 0.01	0.50	0.00	-	-0.45	0.45	-
	C4 C5	55802-56133	0.02	0.47	0.12 ± 0.01 0.12 ± 0.02	5.22	5.89	5.55 ± 0.01 5.52 ± 0.01	1.68	5.91	3.45 ± 0.01	0.44	0.00	-	-0.43	0.16	-
	C6	56540 - 56864	0.01	0.43	0.13 ± 0.02 0.10 ± 0.01	5.42	6.39	5.82 ± 0.01 5.88 ± 0.01	1.64	5.93	3.67 ± 0.01	0.57	0.23	PC	-0.32	0.00	-
	C7	56920 - 57224	0.01	0.51	0.11 ± 0.01	5.78	6.57	6.10 ± 0.01	1.00	5.48	2.46 ± 0.01	0.08	0.52	-	0.45	0.12	-
	C8 C9	57282 - 57598 57630 - 57936	0.02	0.44	0.10 ± 0.01 0.18 ± 0.04	5.89 5.22	6.82 6.28	6.24 ± 0.01 5.74 ± 0.01	0.94	3.82	2.26 ± 0.01 1.72 ± 0.01	0.17	0.25		-0.06	0.86	-
	C10	58014 - 58306	0.01	0.08	0.04 ± 0.02	5.32	5.89	5.63 ± 0.02	0.77	2.96	1.59 ± 0.01	0.20	0.25	-	0.00	0.00	-
1ES 1959+650	C1 C2	54745 - 54952 55089 - 55336	0.02	0.24	0.12 ± 0.05 0.08 ± 0.02	3.04	4.43	3.54 ± 0.02 2.83 ± 0.01	3.18	7.41	5.67 ± 0.04 5.00 ± 0.01	0.08	0.75	PC	0.00	0.00	-
	C3	55707 - 55769	0.01	0.07	0.06 ± 0.02 0.06 ± 0.03	2.98	3.33	3.14 ± 0.02	2.40	6.90	4.32 ± 0.02	0.36	0.43	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C4	55800 - 56133	0.02	0.30	0.10 ± 0.03	3.30	5.79	4.43 ± 0.02	2.49	6.51	4.66 ± 0.01	-0.82	0.00	NC	0.00	0.00	-
	C5 C6	56184 - 56477 56622 - 56863	0.03	0.12	0.07 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.03	2.80	4.95	4.97 ± 0.03 3.74 ± 0.02	2.05	3.91 6.00	3.01 ± 0.01 3.93 ± 0.02	-0.02 0.49	0.96		0.00	0.00	-
	C7	56920 - 57019	-	-	-	3.36	3.86	3.53 ± 0.02	2.08	5.10	3.82 ± 0.02	-0.54	0.11	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C8 C9	57282 - 57598 57630 - 57826	0.01	0.49	0.14 ± 0.01 0.11 ± 0.01	3.27 4.82	4.91 6.17	3.98 ± 0.02 5.50 ± 0.03	0.37	4.66	2.46 ± 0.01 2.71 ± 0.02	0.47	0.01		-0.24	0.51	
	C10	57996 - 58293	0.01	0.38	0.12 ± 0.01	5.04	6.59	6.25 ± 0.06	2.15	5.08	3.86 ± 0.02	0.02	0.97	-	0.00	0.00	-
PKS $2155 - 304$	C1 C2	54745-54804	0.04	0.43	0.23 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.01	9.66	15.03	11.53 ± 0.02 7.55 ± 0.02	1.90	10.09	6.33 ± 0.01	0.16	0.47	-	0.59	0.03	PC
	C3	55441 - 55773	0.01	0.59	0.14 ± 0.01 0.21 ± 0.01	5.26	22.96	12.13 ± 0.02	1.25	10.49	4.27 ± 0.01 4.92 ± 0.01	0.00	0.72	-	0.52	0.29	PC
	C4	55800 - 56133	0.01	0.38	0.12 ± 0.01	4.22	9.23	6.42 ± 0.01	0.32	8.71	3.90 ± 0.01	-0.17	0.29	-	0.12	0.78	-
	C5 C6	56184 - 56477 56539 - 56863	0.02	0.58	0.15 ± 0.01 0.26 ± 0.01	5.66 4.67	10.31	7.57 ± 0.02 6.74 ± 0.01	1.65	8.43 10.54	5.57 ± 0.01 4.80 ± 0.01	0.17 0.61	0.39	PC	-0.31	0.45	-
	C7	56920 - 57224	0.02	0.27	0.11 ± 0.01	3.00	9.31	4.83 ± 0.01	0.17	10.73	4.36 ± 0.01	0.80	0.00	PC	0.00	0.00	-
	C8 C0	57282-57598	0.02	0.76	0.17 ± 0.01 0.10 ± 0.01	6.87	12.05	8.85 ± 0.03 7.24 ± 0.02	1.29	7.69	4.29 ± 0.01	0.17	0.46	- DC	0.00	1.00	-
	C10	57996 - 58306	0.01	0.63	0.13 ± 0.01 0.17 ± 0.01	5.77	12.05	7.77 ± 0.02	1.13	13.05	6.08 ± 0.01	0.77	0.00	PC	0.58	0.05	PC
BL Lac	C1 C2	54743-54954	0.22	0.92	0.60 ± 0.06	3.68	6.22	4.69 ± 0.01	10.85	25.62	18.17 ± 0.02	-0.27	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C2 C3	55089 - 55389 55441 - 55774	0.04	1.08	0.42 ± 0.02 0.61 ± 0.02	3.20 2.69	15.33	5.83 ± 0.01 5.94 ± 0.01	2.33	23.18	9.88 ± 0.01 12.24 ± 0.01	-0.32 -0.74	0.01	NC	-0.03	0.92	PC
	C4	55800 - 56133	0.08	2.63	0.60 ± 0.01	5.41	17.44	8.96 ± 0.01	1.06	22.78	9.56 ± 0.01	0.38	0.00	-	-0.11	0.47	-
	C5 C6	56179 - 56481 56539 - 56864	0.06	1.77	0.62 ± 0.03 0.50 ± 0.03	1.55 4.38	8.35 17.44	3.76 ± 0.01 9.33 ± 0.01	0.67	26.08 22.36	8.59 ± 0.01 7.32 ± 0.01	0.32	0.00	-	0.05	0.89	-
	C7	56920 - 57225	0.08	1.83	0.56 ± 0.03 0.56 ± 0.02	4.67	18.94	9.99 ± 0.02	1.23	16.53	7.41 ± 0.01	-0.05	0.66	-	0.76	0.00	PC
	C8	57279-57598	0.04	1.67	0.48 ± 0.01	5.57	16.20	10.89 ± 0.02	0.88	13.07	5.49 ± 0.01	0.18	0.15	-	0.17	0.29	-
	C10	57050-57957 58013-58312	0.05	1.27	0.44 ± 0.01 0.53 ± 0.01	4.07 9.32	15.33 22.99	10.07 ± 0.01 12.74 ± 0.02	0.74	15.79	3.20 ± 0.01 7.31 ± 0.01	0.44	0.00	-	0.20	0.27	-
$1 \mathrm{ES}\ 2344{+}514$	C1	54743 - 54888	-	-	-	1.57	2.17	2.00 ± 0.01	0.54	2.34	1.45 ± 0.01	-0.16	0.34	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C2 C2	55090 - 55383 55441 - 55740	-	-	-	1.65 2.06	2.23 2.38	2.04 ± 0.01 2.20 ± 0.02	0.52 1.13	$3.73 \\ 4.54$	1.97 ± 0.01 3.17 ± 0.01	0.44 0.15	0.01 0.44	-	0.00	0.00	-
	0.0		0.10	0.01	0.17 ± 0.07	1.98	2.52	2.26 ± 0.02	0.67	5.63	2.95 ± 0.02	0.40	0.06	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C4	55800 - 56133	0.12	0.21	0.17 ± 0.07												
	C4 C5	55800-56133 56184-56421 56547 56862	-	-	-	2.02	2.32	2.13 ± 0.02 2.17 ± 0.02	0.90	3.81	2.17 ± 0.02 2.14 ± 0.02	0.23	0.44	-	0.00	0.00	-
	C4 C5 C6 C7	55800-56133 56184-56421 56547-56863 56922-57188	0.12	0.21	0.07 ± 0.07	2.02 2.00 2.08	2.32 2.32 2.30	2.13 ± 0.02 2.17 ± 0.02 2.17 ± 0.02	0.90 0.85 0.45	3.81 4.49 3.81	2.17 ± 0.02 2.14 ± 0.02 2.46 ± 0.02	0.23 0.19 0.27	0.44 0.57 0.39	-	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	-
	C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8	55800-56133 56184-56421 56547-56863 56922-57188 57306-57595	0.12	0.21	0.07 ± 0.07 - 0.05 ± 0.02 -	2.02 2.00 2.08 2.13	2.32 2.32 2.30 2.59	2.13 ± 0.02 2.17 ± 0.02 2.17 ± 0.02 2.33 ± 0.02 2.025	0.90 0.85 0.45 1.79	3.81 4.49 3.81 5.29	2.17 ± 0.02 2.14 ± 0.02 2.46 ± 0.02 3.27 ± 0.02 2.40 ± 0.02	0.23 0.19 0.27 0.20	0.44 0.57 0.39 0.43	- - -	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	- - -



Figure 1. Multi-wavelength light curve of OJ 287. The one-day binned γ -ray light curve is in the top panel. The optical V-band light curve is shown in the second panel. The third and fourth panels show the variations in PD and PA (corrected for the 180° ambiguity), respectively. The blue shaded regions reflect the cycles (on long-term timescales) where there was a positive or negative correlation between optical flux and PD. The epochs (on short-term timescales) where a correlation between optical flux and polarization was observed are indicated by dashed orange vertical lines.

2.2 γ -ray data reduction

We collected γ -ray data in the energy range 0.1-300 GeV for 11 BL Lac sources detected by the *Fermi* Large Area Telescope (*Fermi*-LAT; Atwood et al. 2009). To generate the one-day binned γ -ray light curves of these sources we followed the same strategy given in Rajput et al. (2021). If the test statistics (TS) ≥ 25 (which corresponds to 5σ ; Mattox et al. 1996), the source is considered to be detected in the one-day binned γ -ray light curve.

3 MULTIWAVELENGTH LIGHTCURVES

The multiwavelength light curve for the source OJ 287 is shown in Figure 1, that include γ -ray, optical V-band, PD and electric vector position angle (PA) from 2008–2018 (MJD 54743–58306). For the other sources, the multiwavelength light curves are available in the electronic version. To resolve the 180° ambiguity of the PA measurement we used the same approach as given in Pandey et al. (2022), and also described in Abdo et al. (2010b) and Blinov et al. (2015). According to this the timing variation in the PA should be gradual and smooth, resulting in minimal PA variations between consecutive measurements. i.e. $-90^{\circ} \leq \Delta\theta$ $\leq 90^{\circ}$. Here $\Delta\theta$ is PA variation and defined as $|\theta_n - \theta_{n-1}|$ - $\sqrt{\sigma(\theta_n)^2 + \sigma(\theta_{n-1})^2}$, where θ_{n-1} and θ_n are the $(n-1)^{th}$ and nth values of PA and $\sigma(\theta_{n-1}), \sigma(\theta_n)$ are the corresponding errors of the PA values. If $\Delta\theta > 90^{\circ}$, we shifted θ_n to $\theta_n - 180^\circ$ and for $\Delta \theta < -90^\circ$, we shifted θ_n to $\theta_n + 180^\circ$. And if $-90^\circ \leq \Delta \theta \leq 90^\circ$, we left θ_n unchanged.

4 ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES

4.1 Correlation between optical flux and polarization

We looked for the correlation between optical flux and polarization degree on long-term as well as on short-term timescales. We used the same procedure as described in Pandey et al. (2022), to look for the correlation on different timescales. .

4.1.1 Correlation on long-term timescales

The whole observation time period of ~ 10 years was divided into 10 observing cycles and there is a gap of 4–6 months between each cycle. Table 2 shows the time period of these observing cycles, the minimum, maximum, and average values of the γ -ray flux, optical flux and the polarization degree of each observing cycle for all the BL Lac sources.

To find out the correlation between optical flux and PD and between optical flux and γ -ray flux we used the Spearman rank test. We considered the presence of significant positive correlation (PC) or negative correlation (NC) between optical flux and PD in a cycle, if the Spearman rank correlation

Blazar	Epoch	Time-period	F_{γ}	(10^{-6} p)	bh $cm^2 s^{-1}$)	Fopt	$(10^{-12} e$	$rg \ cm^2 \ s^{-1}$)		PD	(%)		PA (degree)			
			Min	Max	Average	Min	Max	Average	Min	Max	Average	Min	Max	Average		
3C 66A	А	55240 - 55246	0.07	0.17	0.12 ± 0.06	54.10	68.20	60.04 ± 0.40	2.15	10.66	7.01 ± 0.01	25.20	38.60	31.51 ± 0.08		
	В	55531 - 55539	0.11	0.31	0.21 ± 0.05	53.10	72.00	60.60 ± 0.53	11.27	18.49	15.37 ± 0.01	31.10	36.50	34.21 ± 0.03		
	\mathbf{C}	55922 - 55927	-	-	-	24.10	27.10	25.40 ± 0.19	6.81	10.93	8.10 ± 0.02	10.80	14.10	12.95 ± 0.08		
	D	56920 - 56927	-	-	-	24.30	27.10	25.41 ± 0.22	3.87	7.22	5.17 ± 0.02	-25.20	-10.10	-16.68 ± 0.11		
AO 0235+164	Α	54743 - 54748	0.40	0.97	0.71 ± 0.06	4.06	9.13	6.80 ± 0.00	13.61	23.45	17.53 ± 0.03	80.80	118.60	98.24 ± 0.06		
$S5\ 0716+714$	Α	55594 - 55600	0.20	0.20	0.20 ± 0.08	36.60	44.80	41.07 ± 0.15	3.18	10.38	5.85 ± 0.01	-160.10	-33.40	-92.10 ± 0.08		
	В	56690 - 56697	-	-	-	38.30	59.60	46.45 ± 0.18	1.89	17.28	9.62 ± 0.02	-163.80	-86.50	-111.82 ± 0.14		
OJ 287	A	54828 - 54832	-	-		21.70	25.60	23.37 ± 0.09	23.81	29.65	26.13 ± 0.02	-32.60	-19.00	-28.48 ± 0.04		
	В	55125-55130	0.40	0.71	0.59 ± 0.09	33.10	46.50	37.60 ± 0.14	17.84	28.22	25.63 ± 0.02	-13.40	-9.70	-11.30 ± 0.04		
	C	55291-55297	0.03	0.06	0.04 ± 0.02	28.00	35.60	31.06 ± 0.11	18.47	21.24	20.13 ± 0.02	-36.20	-32.20	-34.76 ± 0.04		
	D	55531-55539	-	-	-	31.00	36.00	33.60 ± 0.15	10.14	18.25	15.31 ± 0.02	-72.60	-51.50	-61.00 ± 0.04		
	E	55707-55712	-	-	-	22.90	24.00	23.24 ± 0.10	24.52	25.57	24.97 ± 0.02	-38.70	-30.80	-38.06 ± 0.04		
	F	56062 56075	- 0.06	-	-	28.80	49.60 50.10	37.50 ± 0.12 42.12 ± 0.17	23.08	26.22	24.81 ± 0.02 17.88 ± 0.02	-53.40	-46.00	-48.14 ± 0.03 26 70 \pm 0.05		
	ч	50003 - 50075 57065 - 57071	0.00	0.24	0.15 ± 0.05	32.20 20.10	23 30	43.12 ± 0.17 21.63 \pm 0.10	0.21	24.94 13.20	17.88 ± 0.02 11.68 ± 0.03	-48.30	-10.70	-30.79 ± 0.03 -30.79 ± 0.07		
	T	57160-57167	-	-	-	20.10	28.00	21.03 ± 0.10 25.30 ± 0.06	1.47	11.46	5.43 ± 0.03	64.30	126.00	9.62 ± 0.11		
	T	57427-57434	0.14	0.45	0.26 ± 0.07	37 30	20.00 54.40	47.36 ± 0.15	8.65	20.97	13.37 ± 0.01	-04.50	-28.60	-65.39 ± 0.03		
	ĸ	57511 - 57519	0.08	0.08	0.20 ± 0.01 0.08 ± 0.04	20.70	24 70	22.59 ± 0.10	5.32	11.80	7.53 ± 0.03	-103.30	-48 20	-76.99 ± 0.05		
	L	57915 - 57919	-	-	-	29.10	32.50	30.70 ± 0.10	20.20	24 40	22.36 ± 0.00	-75 40	-70.80	-73.80 ± 0.10		
	M	58095 - 58103	-	-	-	11.20	20.90	15.37 ± 0.07	6.47	15.09	10.25 ± 0.05	-35.70	-19.40	-25.65 ± 0.22		
	Ν	58157 - 58170	-	-	-	24.20	29.90	28.02 ± 0.12	11.21	17.86	14.62 ± 0.02	-56.60	-39.40	-47.83 ± 0.06		
MRK 421	A	54743 - 54748	0.25	0.25	0.25 ± 0.13	111.00	123.00	114.60 ± 0.95	2.80	5.17	3.74 ± 0.01	59.60	120.20	107.06 ± 0.13		
	в	54911 - 54917	0.08	0.16	0.13 ± 0.04	96.80	112.00	103.97 ± 1.06	2.43	4.55	3.98 ± 0.02	126.60	132.20	128.65 ± 0.15		
	С	54947 - 54952	0.17	0.27	0.21 ± 0.05	100.00	112.00	106.00 ± 0.88	2.67	4.94	3.93 ± 0.01	139.20	143.80	140.82 ± 0.12		
	D	56772 - 56781	0.19	0.62	0.31 ± 0.03	145.00	188.00	158.46 ± 0.59	1.80	5.19	3.09 ± 0.01	21.60	51.50	38.02 ± 0.07		
	E	57011 - 57019	0.08	0.45	0.20 ± 0.03	171.00	214.00	194.00 ± 2.08	6.32	12.38	10.18 ± 0.01	-29.90	-20.40	-25.39 ± 0.04		
	F	57098 - 57108	0.02	0.33	0.18 ± 0.03	129.00	153.00	144.50 ± 1.09	3.55	7.02	4.86 ± 0.02	-18.50	5.60	-6.36 ± 0.10		
	\mathbf{G}	57187 - 57195	0.12	0.57	0.34 ± 0.04	168.00	226.00	204.62 ± 1.34	0.75	5.82	3.38 ± 0.01	-108.00	-9.30	-39.99 ± 0.26		
	Н	57456 - 57464	0.11	0.28	0.18 ± 0.05	168.00	193.00	185.11 ± 1.32	0.43	2.72	1.40 ± 0.01	-173.00	-110.20	-139.01 ± 0.47		
	I	57887 - 57898	0.03	0.27	0.09 ± 0.02	76.90	88.30	82.02 ± 0.64	0.26	3.55	2.02 ± 0.02	-179.80	177.50	18.08 ± 0.79		
	J	58130 - 58141	0.09	0.48	0.30 ± 0.05	108.00	130.00	119.87 ± 0.64	0.20	2.15	1.21 ± 0.01	0.30	106.30	39.33 ± 0.36		
	K	58249 - 58256	0.18	0.44	0.33 ± 0.05	93.30	126.00	109.88 ± 0.72	2.11	4.04	3.27 ± 0.02	5.40	27.80	16.73 ± 0.15		
WG	L	58281-58292	0.03	0.22	0.13 ± 0.03	59.40	76.90	64.18 ± 0.48	1.94	3.58	2.71 ± 0.03	-5.20	43.50	26.68 ± 0.35		
W Com	A	56741-56749	-	-	-	7.99	10.30	8.78 ± 0.11	18.52	23.19	21.49 ± 0.03	68.20	79.00	72.53 ± 0.04		
MDIZ FOI	в	57887-57897	-	-	-	9.88	11.90	11.10 ± 0.15	11.96	16.23	14.34 ± 0.04	61.70	78.00	68.41 ± 0.09		
MRK 501 1FS 1050+650	A	55270 55275	0.11	0.30	0.19 ± 0.05	01.10	00.70 02.70	03.07 ± 0.30 22.52 ± 0.21	1.84	5.03	2.72 ± 0.02 2.02 \pm 0.02	-81.20	-53.20 152.70	-09.04 ± 0.22 148.44 ± 0.22		
TES 1959+050	D	55201 55207	-	-	-	20.60	23.70	22.32 ± 0.21 21.21 ± 0.15	2.10	4.51	3.93 ± 0.03 2.50 \pm 0.02	140.20	150.70	148.44 ± 0.22 148.06 ± 0.21		
	C	57306 - 57311	0.10	0.19	0.15 ± 0.04	36.90	40.40	21.21 ± 0.13 38.59 ± 0.27	0.37	3.13	1.79 ± 0.03 1.79 ± 0.02	118.00	163.40	136.36 ± 0.49		
PKS 2155-304	Δ	55123-55130	0.10	0.15	0.13 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.05	57 70	40.40 66.20	63.36 ± 0.21	1.26	5.98	1.73 ± 0.02 3.81 ± 0.01	26.90	67 30	52.05 ± 0.16		
110 2100 004	B	55357 - 55363	0.10	0.17	0.10 ± 0.00 0.17 ± 0.11	84 90	101.00	93.13 ± 0.90	3.03	8 11	5.01 ± 0.01 5.23 ± 0.01	67.40	99.80	90.29 ± 0.08		
	č	55510 - 55515	0.17	0.37	0.26 ± 0.06	155.00	170.00	160.83 ± 0.60	2.99	6.38	4.29 ± 0.01	60.40	101.40	72.33 ± 0.08		
	Ď	57716 - 57724	0.22	0.27	0.24 ± 0.08	44.60	57.10	50.97 ± 0.24	2.11	12.51	6.80 ± 0.03	-115.40	-86.10	-99.87 ± 0.18		
	E	58041 - 58048	0.10	0.29	0.18 ± 0.03	70.60	76.70	73.07 ± 0.33	3.37	9.12	6.21 ± 0.02	-127.70	-114.00	-122.63 ± 0.09		
	F	58250 - 58256	0.14	0.14	0.14 ± 0.06	62.70	74.60	67.28 ± 0.48	3.28	4.57	3.97 ± 0.03	-142.90	-110.50	-128.73 ± 0.21		
BL Lac	Α	54743 - 54748	-	-	-	41.80	48.50	45.98 ± 0.14	14.36	19.29	17.45 ± 0.11	17.30	24.30	19.97 ± 0.22		
	В	54767 - 54774	-	-	-	48.90	62.10	55.54 ± 0.14	12.29	20.11	16.71 ± 0.01	13.90	29.10	22.14 ± 0.03		
	С	55383 - 55389	-	-	-	45.00	65.10	55.15 ± 0.21	13.28	17.15	15.30 ± 0.03	0.40	12.70	8.42 ± 0.05		
	D	56125 - 56133	0.18	1.74	0.74 ± 0.06	84.20	174.00	126.26 ± 0.38	10.42	22.78	15.36 ± 0.01	5.80	33.60	19.24 ± 0.03		
	E	56325 - 56332	-	-	-	15.50	23.20	19.13 ± 0.22	2.14	9.73	5.71 ± 0.05	-179.80	-136.00	-162.08 ± 0.39		
	F	56772 - 56781	0.40	0.40	0.40 ± 0.21	52.20	111.00	81.31 ± 0.32	6.14	12.46	9.12 ± 0.02	33.00	67.20	50.89 ± 0.07		
	G	57098 - 57108	0.81	1.33	1.07 ± 0.25	129.00	176.00	158.50 ± 0.67	1.23	7.20	4.55 ± 0.02	29.20	92.90	62.71 ± 0.22		
	Н	57125 - 57133	0.50	0.86	0.61 ± 0.08	108.00	145.00	128.44 ± 0.60	2.51	15.03	6.21 ± 0.02	-14.10	48.70	11.94 ± 0.14		
	Ι	57279 - 57285	0.39	0.64	0.48 ± 0.07	89.80	140.00	111.05 ± 0.93	3.33	13.07	8.37 ± 0.03	-35.40	-13.60	-26.87 ± 0.12		
	J	57630 - 57637	0.31	0.31	0.31 ± 0.14	40.70	56.20	46.53 ± 0.16	0.74	9.30	4.57 ± 0.03	-126.50	-47.30	-92.44 ± 0.53		
	K	57749 - 57766	0.11	0.45	0.27 ± 0.05	93.20	117.00	103.74 ± 0.36	5.52	12.61	8.51 ± 0.02	-13.50	13.40	-1.17 ± 0.08		
	L	57886 - 57898	0.12	0.45	0.29 ± 0.05	89.00	123.00	102.98 ± 0.54	5.18	11.66	7.99 ± 0.04	-45.30	3.10	-14.64 ± 0.20		
			0.00	A A .	A	1000	1000						00.01	0.00.1.0.7.7		

coefficient R > 0.5 or R < -0.5, respectively, and the probability of null hypothesis P < 0.05 (this corresponds to a 95% level of confidence). The R and P values for each cycle are listed in Table. 2. The observing cycles which satisfy these criteria and the linear least-squares fit between optical flux and PD and between γ -ray flux and optical flux are shown in Figures 2 and 3.

4.1.2 Correlation on short-term timescales

According to Patiño-Álvarez et al. (2018) each observing cycle contains continuous monitoring for ~ 10 days every month. We divided the light curves of each observation cycle into segments (epochs) for each source. So our shortterm timescales contain a 10-days observation period. We estimated the optical flux variability amplitude for epochs that have at least 5 data points of optical flux, PD, and PA in the 10 day period.

4.1.3 Optical flux variability amplitude

The optical flux variability amplitude (fractional variability amplitude; F_{var}) is defined as (e.g. Vaughan et al. 2003; Pandey et al. 2017)

$$F_{var} = \sqrt{\frac{S^2 - \overline{\sigma_{err}^2}}{\bar{x}^2}}.$$
(1)

In the above equation, S^2 is the sample variance of the light curve, $\overline{\sigma_{err}^2}$ is the mean square error. These are defined as:

$$S^{2} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$$
⁽²⁾



Figure 2. Degree of polarization vs optical V-band flux on long-term timescales. Each panel includes the name of the source and the observing cycle. The solid red line is the linear least squares fit to the data.

and

$$\overline{\sigma_{err}^2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_{err,i}^2 \tag{3}$$

The uncertainty in the F_{var} is defined as:

$$err(F_{var}) = \sqrt{\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2N}} \frac{\overline{\sigma_{err}^2}}{\bar{x}^2 F_{var}}\right)^2 + \left(\sqrt{\frac{\overline{\sigma_{err}^2}}{N}} \frac{1}{\bar{x}}\right)^2} \tag{4}$$

Using the same method as given in Pandey et al. (2022), we considered the source to be significantly variable in the epoch if $F_{var} > 3 \times err(F_{var})$. For the epochs where the source is significantly variable, we looked for a correlation between optical flux and PD. We considered that the optical flux and PD variations have significant positive or negative correlation if (i) the Spearman rank correlation coefficient is > 0.5 or < -0.5, respectively, and (ii) the probability of null hypothesis is < 0.05. Only those epochs with a significant positive or negative correlation were considered for the further detailed study. The results of the statistical tests are given in Table 3. The results of the correlation analysis between optical flux and PD are given in Table 4. The multiwavelength light curves of some epochs for the source MRK 421 are shown in Figure 4. For the other epochs, the multiwavelength light curves are available in the electronic version. The plots for the correlation between optical flux and PD for few sources are shown in Figure 5, while for the remaining sources, they are given in the electronic version. We give the values of fractional variability amplitude, F_{var} , and their corresponding errors for each of the epochs in Table 5.



Figure 3. γ -ray flux vs optical V-band flux on long-term timescales. Each panel includes the name of the source and the observing cycle. The solid red line is the linear least squares fit to the data.

4.2 Optical variability timescale

We calculated optical flux variability timescale τ_{var} for each epoch that satisfied our criteria as (Jorstad et al. 2013)

$$\tau_{var} = \frac{\Delta t}{\ln(F_2/F_1)} \tag{5}$$

Here F_2 and F_1 are the optical flux values at time t_2 and t_1 , respectively, and Δt is the time difference between any two consecutive flux measurement and defined as $\Delta t = |t_2 - t_1|$. We took into account every possible pair of flux values that met the following conditions (i) $F_2 > F_1$ and (ii) $F_2 - F_1 > 3(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)/2$, where σ_1 and σ_2 are the uncertainties in the flux values F_1 and F_2 , respectively. We considered the minimum value of τ_{var} as the variability timescale of the epoch. We estimated the error in τ_{var} using the error propagation method (Bevington & Robinson 1992). The optical flux variability timescales and their corresponding errors for each source are given in Table 5.

4.3 Spectral Index

We also calculated the spectral index for each epoch using the flux densities in V and R bands. The spectral index is defined as:

$$\alpha = -\frac{\ln\left(\frac{f_V}{f_R}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{\nu_V}{\nu_R}\right)},\tag{6}$$

Where f_V and f_R are the flux densities $(f_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha})$ in the optical V and R bands, respectively and ν_V and ν_R are the effective frequencies in the V and R bands, respectively. We give the minimum, maximum and average values of spectral indexes for all the epochs in Table 5.

4.4 Determination of physical parameters using optical variability timescale

We calculated the upper limit on the size of the emission region using the values of minimum variability timescale τ_{var} and Doppler factor δ , as

$$R \le c\tau_{var} \frac{\delta}{1+z} \tag{7}$$

They are given in Table 5. We have adopted the values of the Doppler factor from Chen (2018), where the calculation of the Doppler factor is based on broadband spectral energy distribution (SED).

Given that the observed changes in the optical flux of BL



Figure 4. Multi-wavelength light curves on short-term timescales. Each panel includes the name of the source and epoch. From top to bottom, the first panel represents the one-day binned γ -ray light curve, the second panel represents the light curve in the optical V-band, and the third and fourth panels represent the variation of optical polarization degree and position angle, respectively.

Lac sources are due to synchrotron cooling, and that the observed minimum variability timescale must be greater than or equal to the lifetime of synchrotron electrons, t_{syn} , we calculated the magnetic field as:

$$t_{syn} \approx 4.75 \times 10^2 \left(\frac{1+z}{\delta^o \nu_{GHz} B^3}\right)^{1/2} days,\tag{8}$$

The estimated values of the magnetic field for the 11 BL lac sources are given in Table 5.

5 RESULTS

We searched for correlation between optical flux and PD variations for the 11 BL Lac sources. We computed the flux variability amplitude and variability timescale for correlated and anti-correlated epochs of these sources. Using these parameters we further calculated the size of the emission regions and the magnetic field of the variable epochs. The following are the results of our analysis of our sample sources:

5.1 3C 66A

3C 66A, at a redshift z = 0.44 (Miller et al. 1978) is classified as an intermediate synchrotron peaked BL Lac (IBL; Ackermann et al. 2015). This source was detected in highenergy γ -rays (HE; E > 100 MeV) by *Fermi*-LAT (Ackermann et al. 2016) and also in very high-energy γ -ray regime (VHE; E > 100 GeV) by VERITAS and MAGIC telescopes (Acciari et al. 2009; Aleksić et al. 2011). It was observed to show intraday variability in the infrared and optical bands (de Diego et al. 1997; Gaur et al. 2012b; Liu et al. 2017; Fan et al. 2018; Kaur et al. 2017a). In October 2008, this source showed a γ -ray outburst that was observed by *Fermi*-LAT and VERITAS and found to be correlated with an optical outburst in the R-band (Abdo et al. 2011a). The optical light curves of this source over a period of 3 years revealed evidence of quasi-periodic variability (Otero-Santos et al. 2020). Variability characteristics in the GeV band was reported by Vovk & Neronov (2013). They found variation on timescales as short as 1.5 days.

This source was observed from MJD 55088 to MJD 58306 by the Steward Observatory. During this period the optical V-band flux ranged from $1.53 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg } cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ to $8.50 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg } cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and the degree of polarization ranged from 0.21% to 19.68%. For all of the observing cycles, we found no significant correlation between optical flux and PD and between optical flux and γ -ray flux (Table 2). On short-term timescales, we found significant positive correlation in three out of four epochs, and significant negative correlation in one epoch (see Table 4). We calculated flux variability amplitude on shorter time-scale, which varied from 3.07% to 10.69%. On short-term timescales during epochs A and B the γ -ray flux was nearly constant within error bars, whereas optical flux and PD varied significantly. The γ -ray flux was not detected during epochs C and D, but there were variations in the optical flux and PD. Using optical flux variability timescales we found the values of R ~ $(5.99-11.85)\times 10^{17}$ cm and B ~ (0.04-0.06) G.



Figure 5. The correlation between the optical flux and the PD for 3C 66A, AO 0235+164, S5 0716+714, OJ 287 and MRK 421. Each panel includes the name of the source and the epoch, and the solid red line indicates the linear least square fit to the data.

5.2 AO 0235+164

AO 0235+164 at a redshift of z = 0.94 (Cohen et al. 1987), was one of the first objects identified as a BL Lac object based on its featureless optical spectrum (Spinrad & Smith 1975). It is a low synchrotron peaked BL Lac (LBL; Abdo et al. 2010d). This source has shown variation over the whole of electromagnetic spectrum (Ledden et al. 1976; Rieke et al. 1976; Raiteri et al. 2009; Foschini et al. 2008b; Abdo et al. 2010d). The radio light curve for this source showed quasi-periodic oscillations (Raiteri et al. 2001; Tripathi et al. 2021). This source has been observed to display a very high and variable degree of polarization from radio to optical bands (Ledden & Aller 1979; Impey et al. 1982). The positive correlation between optical flux and PD was observed by Hagen-Thorn et al. (2008) during the outburst in December 2006. Sasada et al. (2011) reported a positive correlation between optical flux and PD during the 2008-2009 activity state. In numerous studies, the variation between optical and γ -ray flares was found to



Figure 6. The relation between Stokes Q and Stokes U parameters for 3C 66A, AO 0235+164, S5 0716+714, OJ 287, and MRK 421. In each plot, the Q=0 and U=0 lines are shown as blue dashed lines, and the centroid is shown by a cyan star.

be well correlated for this source (Ackermann et al. 2012; Hagen-Thorn et al. 2018; Rajput et al. 2021), implying that the optical and γ -ray emitting locations are in the same region of the jet.

AO 0235+164 was observed by the Steward Observatory from MJD 54743 to MJD 58161. It showed optical flux changes during this observing period, with V-band flux ranging from $(0.03-1.32) \times 10^{-11}$ erg $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$. The minimum and maximum values of PD were found to be 0.58% and 39.79% respectively. We identified a positive correlation between optical flux and PD for one (C4) out of ten observing cycles during long-term observation, whereas no significant correlation was found between optical flux and PD in the remaining cycles. The brightness of the γ -ray flux and optical flux changed slightly during cycle C4, but there were significant changes in PD and PA. We also found positive correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux during the cycles C1 and C8. On short-term timescale we found one epoch where optical flux and PD are positively correlated. During the same time span, Sasada et al. (2011)

also found a positive correlation between optical flux and PD on short-term timescale. We calculated the flux variability amplitude to be 30% for this epoch. The γ -ray and optical fluxes increased during this epoch and there was also a change in PD. During this epoch, PA displayed a mixed pattern of behaviour, first decreasing and then increasing. We derived values of $R \sim 0.21 \times 10^{17}$ cm and $B \sim 0.36$ G.

5.3 S5 0716+714

S5 0716+714 is an IBL (Abdo et al. 2010c,d) located at redshift z = 0.31 (Nilsson et al. 2008). This source has been thoroughly studied for short-term and long-term optical variability (Ghisellini et al. 1997; Raiteri et al. 2003; Stalin et al. 2006, 2009; Liu et al. 2019; Xu et al. 2019) and known to show highly variable nature across a wide frequency range (Wagner et al. 1996; Rani et al. 2013; Geng et al. 2020). The microvariability events studied for this source in optical band were found to be characterized by high

Table 4. Correlation analysis results for optical flux versus PD on short-term timescales. Here, PC denotes a positive correlation between optical flux and PD, whereas NC denotes an negative correlation between optical flux and PD.

Blazar	Epoch	F.	net versu	s PD
Biazai	Цросн	R	P	Remarks
20 66 1	Δ	0.96	0.01	NC
5C 00A	A B	-0.80	0.01	PC
	C	0.04	0.02	PC
	D	0.30 0.72	0.01	PC
AO 0235+164	Ā	0.76	0.00	PC
S5 0716+714	А	0.89	0.02	\mathbf{PC}
	В	0.97	0.00	\mathbf{PC}
OJ 287	А	-0.87	0.02	NC
	В	-0.77	0.04	NC
	\mathbf{C}	-1.00	0.00	NC
	D	0.89	0.02	\mathbf{PC}
	\mathbf{E}	0.97	0.00	\mathbf{PC}
	\mathbf{F}	0.65	0.02	\mathbf{PC}
	G	0.65	0.04	\mathbf{PC}
	Η	-0.83	0.04	NC
	Ι	-0.93	0.00	NC
	J	-0.67	0.00	NC
	K	0.72	0.04	PC
	L	1.00	0.00	PC
	M	0.89	0.02	PC
MD17 401	N	0.90	0.04	PC
MRK 421	A	-0.89	0.04	NC DC
	В	0.82	0.04	PC
		-1.00	0.00	NC DC
	D F	0.76	0.00	PC
	E F	0.90	0.04	FC NC
	г С	-0.83	0.04 0.02	PC
	н	-0.88	0.02	NC
	I	-0.74	0.02	NC
	J	0.65	0.00	PC
	ĸ	0.77	0.03	PC
	\mathbf{L}	-0.88	0.02	NC
W Com	А	-0.84	0.00	NC
	В	0.72	0.04	\mathbf{PC}
MRK 501	А	0.83	0.04	\mathbf{PC}
1 ES 1959 + 650	А	0.90	0.04	\mathbf{PC}
	В	-0.77	0.04	NC
	\mathbf{C}	0.63	0.00	PC
PKS 2155-304	А	0.75	0.03	\mathbf{PC}
	В	0.85	0.01	PC
	C	0.81	0.05	PC
	D	-0.89	0.02	NC
	E	0.90	0.01	PC
DI Loo	F A	-0.99	0.00	NC
DL Lac	A B	-0.78	0.00	NC
	C	-0.03	0.00	NC
	D D	-0.80	0.02	PC
	E	-0.94	0.00	NC
	F	0.90	0.00	PC
	G	-0.80	0.02	NC
	Ĥ	0.90	0.00	\tilde{PC}
	Ι	0.80	0.02	\mathbf{PC}
	J	0.81	0.03	\mathbf{PC}
	Κ	-0.77	0.04	NC
	\mathbf{L}	-0.77	0.02	NC
	Μ	-0.74	0.04	NC

degree of polarization (> 30%) (Bhatta et al. 2016). This source is also known to exhibit QPOs in optical band on timescales of 25–73 minutes and 15 minutes (Gupta et al. 2009; Rani et al. 2010). During the optical outburst in April 2008, the 360° rotation in the electric vector position angle was recorded in this source by Larionov et al. (2008). The detection of γ -ray activity by the AGILE satellite in September and October 2007 showed the correlation behaviour with optical flux (Chen et al. 2008).

The Steward Observatory observed this source from MJD 54743 to MJD 58158. During this observing period of \sim 9.5 years, the optical V-band flux changed from 2.07 $\times 10^{-11} \text{ erg } cm^{-2} s^{-1} \text{ to } 30.41 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg } cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and PD changed from 0.49% to 27.96%. On long-term timescales, we identified a positive correlation between optical flux and PD in one (C9) out of 10 observing cycles and positive correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux during cycle C7, while in the remaining cycles no significant correlation was observed. Large changes in γ -ray flux and moderate changes in optical were seen during cycle C9. PD changed dramatically during this cycle, but PA showed moderate changes. On short-term timescales, we found two epochs with significant positive correlation between optical flux and PD. The flux variability amplitude ranged from 6.7% to 19.2% on short-term timescale. During these two epochs γ -ray flux was not detected and there was an increase in optical flux and PD. On short-term timescales, variations in PA were seen during these two epochs but no abrupt change was noticed. We estimated the value of R \sim $(1.44-3.16) \times 10^{17}$ cm and B ~ (0.08-0.13) G.

5.4 OJ 287

OJ 287 is a LBL source (Ackermann et al. 2015), at redshift z = 0.306 (Nilsson et al. 2010). Sillanpaa et al. (1988) discovered periodicity behaviour using long-term optical light curve, and found that the double-peaked outburst is repeated in every ~ 11.65 years. This is attributed to the secondary super massive black hole striking the accretion disc around the first super massive black hole in the binary super massive black hole system. This source has been immensely studied in optical band for its flux variability behaviour (Andrew et al. 1971; Rani et al. 2011; Gopal-Krishna et al. 2011; Rakshit et al. 2017; Gupta et al. 2017; Paliya et al. 2017; Gupta et al. 2019; Yuan et al. 2021) as well as in other bands (Agudo et al. 2011; Kushwaha et al. 2018; Komossa et al. 2020; Kushwaha et al. 2020; Safna et al. 2020). The correlation analysis between optical flux and polarization has been carried out in many studies. The correlated behaviour between the optical flux (R-band) and PD was observed by Bozhilov et al. (2014) in the period 2012 November-2013 April and during this period variation in position angle was also observed that was corresponding to rotation of the polarization plane of 5.80 deg d^{-1} . During the 2016 outburst this source was monitored by Rakshit et al. (2017) and they found a significant anti-correlation between optical flux and polarization on intranight timescale. The counterclockwise looping was observed between flux and PD variations on 2014 February 20 by Pasierb et al. (2020). The optical and γ -ray flux variations in this source were found to be

 Table 5. Results of optical variability analysis and estimated physical parameters.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Plazar	Freeh	F(07)	~		0		D	D
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Diazai	просп	$\Gamma_{var}(70)$	(in dava)	Min	Mor	Average	(in am)	(in C)
3C 66A A 8.82±0.07 9.16±2.19 0.65 0.78 0.71 6.83 × 10 ¹⁷ 0.06 C 5.06±0.78 16.61±7.72 0.77 0.88 0.81 5.599×10 ¹⁷ 0.04 AO 0235+164 A 3.07±997 1.70±7.52 0.77 0.82 0.76 1.157×10 ¹⁷ 0.04 S5 0716+714 A 6.69±0.38 7.89±0.80 1.23 1.29 1.27 3.165×10 ¹⁷ 0.08 S5 0716+714 A 6.69±0.38 7.89±0.80 1.23 1.29 1.24 1.44×10 ¹⁷ 0.13 D1 287 A 5.88±0.38 0.10±0.02 1.46 1.75 1.66 0.13×10 ¹⁷ 0.03 D 5.06±0.46 1.757±4.06 1.30 1.31 1.35 2.45×10 ¹⁷ 0.03 D 5.06±0.46 1.757±4.06 1.29 1.41 1.34 4.53×10 ¹⁷ 0.03 D 5.06±0.46 1.52±1.04 1.22 1.41 1.34 4.53×10 ¹⁷ 0.03				(III days)	MIII	Max	Average	(in cm)	(III G)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3C 66A	А	$8.82{\pm}0.67$	$9.16 {\pm} 2.19$	0.65	0.78	0.71	6.38×10^{17}	0.06
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		В	10.69 ± 0.89	8.61 ± 2.04	0.77	0.88	0.81	5.99×10^{17}	0.06
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		С	5.06 ± 0.78	16.61 ± 7.72	0.72	0.82	0.76	11.57×10^{17}	0.04
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D	3.07 ± 0.97	17.02 ± 7.52	0.77	0.90	0.82	11.85×10^{17}	0.04
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AO 0235 ± 164	A	30.29 ± 0.32	1.02 ± 1.02 1.08 ± 0.11	2.31	3.80	2.57	0.21×10^{17}	0.36
Do 0.109 (14) B 19.2240.38 1.3940.17 1.22 1.28 1.24 1.44×10^{17} 0.03 OJ 287 A 5.88±0.38 0.10±0.02 1.46 1.75 1.466 0.13×10 ¹⁷ 0.03 C 9.25±0.35 16.18±3.84 1.23 1.36 1.28 2.168×10 ¹⁷ 0.03 D 5.60±0.46 1.75±4.06 1.30 1.37 1.34 2.35.4×10 ¹⁷ 0.03 D 5.60±0.46 (3.7±17.59 1.23 1.31 1.25 8.489×10 ¹⁷ 0.03 E 1.73±0.44 63.37±17.59 1.23 1.31 1.25 8.489×10 ¹⁷ 0.08 G 12.37±0.40 8.39±0.17 1.29 1.40 1.35 4.94×10 ¹⁷ 0.08 H 6.7±0.47 17.75±3.30 1.29 1.42 1.35 2.378×10 ¹⁷ 0.08 H 6.7±0.47 17.75±3.30 1.29 1.42 1.36 2.378×10 ¹⁷ 0.08 H 6.6±0.25 1.52±1.04 1.22 1.41 1.30 2.04×10 ¹⁷ 0.04 K 6.6±0.45 1.085±1.53 1.35 1.51 1.43 1.453×10 ¹⁷ 0.04 K 6.6±0.45 1.085±1.53 1.35 1.51 1.43 1.453×10 ¹⁷ 0.04 K 6.6±0.45 1.085±1.53 1.35 1.51 4.31 4.53×10 ¹⁷ 0.04 K 6.6±0.45 1.085±1.53 1.40 1.42 1.41 2.853×10 ¹⁷ 0.06 N 7.8±0.44 2.130±6.23 1.40 1.42 1.41 2.853×10 ¹⁷ 0.06 N 7.8±0.44 2.130±6.23 1.40 1.42 1.41 2.853×10 ¹⁷ 0.09 G 4.59±0.86 2.460±16.39 0.54 0.68 0.62 0.93×10 ¹⁷ 0.09 B 4.19±1.11 2.03±10.12 0.70 0.72 0.71 0.77×10 ¹⁷ 0.09 C 4.59±0.86 2.460±16.39 0.54 0.68 0.62 0.93×10 ¹⁷ 0.05 H 3.64±0.77 15.2±6.29 0.54 0.62 0.59 1.84×10 ¹⁷ 0.15 E 8.59±1.09 26.5±6.10 0.52 0.60 0.57 1.00×10 ¹⁷ 0.05 G 8.2±0.66 6.27±1.02 0.49 0.55 0.53 0.24×10 ¹⁷ 0.15 H 3.66±0.77 15.2±6.29 0.54 0.62 0.59 0.58×10 ¹⁷ 0.15 H 3.66±0.77 15.2±6.29 0.54 0.62 0.59 0.58×10 ¹⁷ 0.15 H 3.66±0.77 1.52±6.29 0.54 0.62 0.59 0.58×10 ¹⁷ 0.15 H 3.66±0.71 1.02±0.55 0.70 0.77 0.72 0.90×10 ¹⁷ 0.15 H 3.66±0.72 1.02 0.70 0.77 0.72 0.90×10 ¹⁷ 0.15 H 3.66±0.73 0.84±0.80 0.91 0.92 0.87 3.38×10 ¹⁷ 0.16 H 3.66±0.73 0.84±0.80 0.91 0.92 0.87 3.38×10 ¹⁷ 0.16 H 3.65±0.74 0.73 0.55 0.77 0.72 0.90×10 ¹⁷ 0.15 H 1.65±0.83 0.47±0.78 0.97	$S5 0716 \pm 714$	Δ	6.69 ± 0.38	7.80 ± 0.11	1.01	1.20	1.97	3.16×10^{17}	0.00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	55 0110 - 114	B	10.09 ± 0.08	3.59 ± 0.00	1.20 1.22	1.23	1.27	1.44×10^{17}	0.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01.287		5 9910 29	0.10 ± 0.02	1.46	1.20	1.24	1.44×10^{17}	0.10
$ \begin{array}{c} {\rm B} & 1^{+}1.10^{+}0.35 & 1.618\pm 3.84 & 1.23 & 1.42 & 1.41 & 0.038 & 1.05 \\ {\rm C} & 0.25\pm 0.55 & 1.618\pm 3.84 & 1.23 & 1.36 & 1.28 & 21.68\times 10^{17} & 0.03 \\ {\rm E} & 1.73\pm 0.4 & 63.37\pm 1.75 & 1.23 & 1.31 & 1.25 & 84.89\times 10^{17} & 0.03 \\ {\rm G} & 12.37\pm 0.40 & 3.69\pm 0.17 & 1.29 & 1.40 & 1.35 & 4.94\times 10^{17} & 0.08 \\ {\rm G} & 12.37\pm 0.40 & 3.69\pm 0.17 & 1.29 & 1.40 & 1.35 & 4.94\times 10^{17} & 0.08 \\ {\rm H} & 6.7\pm 0.3 & 3.27\pm 1.24 & 1.36 & 1.52 & 1.44 & 3.64\times 10^{17} & 0.03 \\ {\rm I} & 8.66\pm 0.25 & 1.52\pm 1.04 & 1.22 & 1.41 & 1.30 & 2.04\times 10^{17} & 0.10 \\ {\rm L} & 8.66\pm 0.25 & 1.52\pm 1.04 & 1.22 & 1.41 & 1.30 & 2.04\times 10^{17} & 0.06 \\ {\rm L} & 4.29\pm 0.71 & 27.27\pm 1.51 & 1.29 & 1.66 & 1.34 & 36.53\times 10^{17} & 0.02 \\ {\rm M} & 23.95\pm 0.48 & 6.17\pm 0.52 & 1.33 & 1.49 & 1.40 & 8.27\times 10^{17} & 0.06 \\ {\rm M} & 23.95\pm 0.48 & 6.17\pm 0.52 & 1.33 & 1.49 & 1.40 & 8.27\times 10^{17} & 0.06 \\ {\rm M} & 23.95\pm 0.48 & 6.19.0\pm 9.45 & 0.72 & 0.83 & 0.76 & 0.72\times 10^{17} & 0.09 \\ {\rm G} & 4.39\pm 1.11 & 20.33\pm 10.12 & 0.70 & 0.72 & 0.71 & 0.77\times 10^{17} & 0.09 \\ {\rm G} & 4.49\pm 1.11 & 20.33\pm 10.12 & 0.70 & 0.72 & 0.71 & 0.77\times 10^{17} & 0.09 \\ {\rm G} & 7.4\pm 0.38 & 1.46\pm 0.59 & 0.49 & 0.72 & 0.58 & 0.06\times 10^{17} & 0.18 \\ {\rm E} & 8.59\pm 1.09 & 26.57\pm 6.10 & 0.52 & 0.60 & 0.57 & 1.00\times 10^{17} & 0.19 \\ {\rm H} & 3.66\pm 0.77 & 15.28\pm 6.29 & 0.54 & 0.62 & 0.59 & 0.58\times 10^{17} & 0.01 \\ {\rm H} & 3.66\pm 0.77 & 1.52\times 12.69 & 0.54 & 0.62 & 0.59 & 0.58\times 10^{17} & 0.19 \\ {\rm H} & 3.66\pm 0.77 & 1.52\times 10.65 & 0.67 & 0.62 & 0.99\times 10^{17} & 0.38 \\ {\rm K} & 11.00\pm 0.66 & 12.09\pm 3.82 & 0.70 & 0.76 & 0.72 & 0.46\times 10^{17} & 0.12 \\ {\rm J} & 4.49\pm 0.81 & 12.32\pm 7.38 & 0.76 & 0.88 & 0.80 & 0.46\times 10^{17} & 0.12 \\ {\rm J} & 4.39\pm 0.51 & 2.43\pm 7.36 & 0.77 & 0.72 & 0.96\times 10^{17} & 0.08 \\ {\rm K} & 11.00\pm 0.66 & 12.09\pm 3.82 & 0.70 & 0.76 & 0.72 & 0.46\times 10^{17} & 0.12 \\ {\rm J} & 4.49\pm 0.81 & 1.39\pm 2.32 & 0.87 & 0.88\times 10.47 & 0.08 \\ {\rm H} & 1.6\pm 1.52 & 0.74 & 1.60 & 0.58 & 0.77 & 0.72 & 0.96\times 10^{17} & 0.06 \\ {\rm H} & 3.6\pm 1.57 & 7.47\pm 1.52 & 9.76 & 0.88 & 0.80 & 0.46\times 10^{17} & 0.12 \\ {\rm H} & 1.6\pm 1.52 &$	03 201	Л	14.15 ± 0.28	0.10 ± 0.02	1.40	1.70	1.00	5.13×10^{17}	0.95
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D C	14.10 ± 0.36	3.00 ± 0.19	1.40	1.42	1.41	5.09×10^{-1}	0.08
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		D	9.23 ± 0.33	10.10 ± 3.04	1.25	1.30	1.20	21.06×10^{-7}	0.05
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		D	5.00 ± 0.40	17.37 ± 4.00	1.30	1.37	1.34	23.54×10^{-7}	0.03
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		E	1.73 ± 0.44	63.37 ± 17.59	1.23	1.31	1.25	84.89×10^{17}	0.01
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		F'	20.24 ± 0.31	4.31 ± 1.61	1.25	1.41	1.34	5.77×10^{17}	0.08
H 6.74±0.47 17.75±3.30 1.29 1.42 1.33 2.78×10 ^{1.7} 0.03 I 8.66±0.25 1.52±1.04 1.22 1.41 1.30 2.04×10 ¹⁷ 0.15 J 12.58±0.33 2.72±1.24 1.36 1.52 1.44 3.64×10^{17} 0.04 L 4.29±0.71 27.27±1.54 1.29 1.36 1.34 3.653×10^{17} 0.06 M 23.95±0.48 6.17±0.52 1.33 1.49 1.40 8.27×10^{17} 0.06 M 23.95±0.48 6.17±0.52 1.33 1.49 1.40 8.27×10^{17} 0.06 M 2.3.95±0.48 6.19.04±9.45 0.72 0.83 0.76 0.72×10 ¹⁷ 0.09 C 4.59±0.86 24.60±1.633 0.54 0.688 0.62 0.93×10 ¹⁷ 0.09 C 4.59±0.86 24.60±1.633 0.54 0.688 0.62 0.93×10 ¹⁷ 0.09 C 4.59±0.86 24.60±1.633 0.54 0.688 0.62 0.93×10 ¹⁷ 0.07 F 5.87±0.77 48.71±1.549 0.54 0.62 0.59 1.84×10 ¹⁷ 0.07 F 5.87±0.77 48.71±1.549 0.54 0.62 0.59 1.84×10 ¹⁷ 0.07 G 8.26±0.66 6.27±1.02 0.49 0.58 0.53 0.24×10 ¹⁷ 0.19 H 3.66±0.77 15.28±6.29 0.54 0.62 0.59 0.88×10 ¹⁷ 0.11 I 4.46±0.83 12.23±7.88 0.76 0.88 0.80 0.46×10 ¹⁷ 0.12 J 4.38±0.57 2.47±1.60 0.58 0.67 0.62 0.99×10 ¹⁷ 0.36 K 11.00±0.66 12.09±3.82 0.70 0.76 0.72 0.46×10 ¹⁷ 0.12 J 4.38±0.57 2.47±1.60 0.58 0.67 0.62 0.99×10 ¹⁷ 0.36 K 11.00±0.66 12.09±3.82 0.70 0.76 0.72 0.46×10 ¹⁷ 0.12 J 4.38±0.57 2.47±1.60 0.58 0.67 0.62 0.99×10 ¹⁷ 0.36 K 11.00±0.66 12.09±3.59 1.66 1.54 2.38×10 ¹⁷ 0.09 MRK 501 A 2.42±0.61 52.20±35.59 1.66 1.54 2.38×10 ¹⁷ 0.08 B 4.96±1.54 7.10±2.75 1.30 1.49 1.38 2.30×10 ¹⁷ 0.09 MRK 501 A 2.42±0.61 8.04±8.34 0.71 0.94 0.85 0.68×0.068×10 ¹⁷ 0.16 PKS 2155-304 A 4.13±0.33 9.99±1.29 0.85 0.92 0.89 2.58×10 ¹⁷ 0.06 B 3.61±0.74 9.47±2.35 0.94 1.06 1.00 3.35×10 ¹⁷ 0.07 C 3.05±0.80 2.71±1.25 0.70 0.77 0.72 0.96×10 ¹⁷ 0.64 D 9.59±0.48 13.99±2.91 0.84 0.92 0.87 3.86×10 ¹⁷ 0.07 B 5.32±1.02 9.79±3.66 0.85 0.97 0.89 2.52×10 ¹⁷ 0.08 C 2.99±0.38 14.99±2.91 0.84 0.92 0.87 3.86×10 ¹⁷ 0.07 B 5.32±0.73 1.361±2.42 0.91 0.98 0.95 3.31×10 ¹⁷ 0.06 BL Lac A 5.13±0.31 2.95±0.67 2.36 2.42 2.39 0.27×10 ¹⁷ 0.22 D 3.07±0.38 3.20±0.15 2.30 2.48 2.40 0.22×10 ¹⁷ 0.06 C 13.70±0.38 3.20±0.15 2.30 2.48 2.40 0.22×10 ¹⁷ 0.07 H 10.59±0.47 3.83±0.19 2.20 3.44 2.41 0.35×10 ¹⁷ 0.07 H 10.59±0.47 3.83±0.19 2.20 3.44 2.4		G	12.37 ± 0.40	3.69 ± 0.17	1.29	1.40	1.35	4.94×10^{17}	0.08
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Н	6.74 ± 0.47	17.75 ± 3.30	1.29	1.42	1.35	23.78×10^{17}	0.03
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ι	$8.66 {\pm} 0.25$	1.52 ± 1.04	1.22	1.41	1.30	2.04×10^{17}	0.15
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		J	12.58 ± 0.33	2.72 ± 1.24	1.36	1.52	1.44	3.64×10^{17}	0.10
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Κ	$6.46 {\pm} 0.45$	10.85 ± 1.53	1.35	1.51	1.43	14.53×10^{17}	0.04
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		\mathbf{L}	$4.29 {\pm} 0.71$	27.27 ± 15.41	1.29	1.36	1.34	36.53×10^{17}	0.02
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Μ	$23.95 {\pm} 0.48$	$6.17 {\pm} 0.52$	1.33	1.49	1.40	8.27×10^{17}	0.06
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ν	$7.87 {\pm} 0.41$	$21.30{\pm}6.23$	1.40	1.42	1.41	28.53×10^{17}	0.03
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MRK 421	А	$4.30 {\pm} 0.86$	$19.04 {\pm} 9.45$	0.72	0.83	0.76	0.72×10^{17}	0.09
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		В	$4.19 {\pm} 1.11$	$20.33{\pm}10.12$	0.70	0.72	0.71	0.77×10^{17}	0.09
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		\mathbf{C}	$4.59 {\pm} 0.86$	$24.60{\pm}16.39$	0.54	0.68	0.62	0.93×10^{17}	0.08
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D	$7.44{\pm}0.38$	$1.46 {\pm} 0.59$	0.49	0.72	0.59	0.06×10^{17}	0.51
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		\mathbf{E}	$8.59 {\pm} 1.09$	26.57 ± 6.10	0.52	0.60	0.57	1.00×10^{17}	0.07
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		\mathbf{F}	5.87 ± 0.77	48.71 ± 15.49	0.54	0.62	0.59	1.84×10^{17}	0.05
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		G	$8.26 {\pm} 0.66$	6.27 ± 1.02	0.49	0.58	0.53	0.24×10^{17}	0.19
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Η	$3.66 {\pm} 0.77$	15.28 ± 6.29	0.54	0.62	0.59	0.58×10^{17}	0.11
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		I	4.46 ± 0.83	12.23 ± 7.38	0.76	0.88	0.80	0.46×10^{17}	0.12
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		J	4.38 ± 0.57	2.47 ± 1.60	0.58	0.67	0.62	0.09×10^{17}	0.36
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ĸ	11.00 ± 0.66	12.09 ± 3.82	0.70	0.76	0.72	0.46×10^{17}	0.12
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		L	9.72 ± 0.76	18.04 ± 8.44	0.71	0.94	0.87	0.68×10^{17}	0.10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	W Com	A	8.92 ± 1.28	7.33 ± 2.76	0.92	1.66	1.54	2.38×10^{17}	0.08
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W Com	B	4.96 ± 1.54	7.00 ± 2.10 7.10 ± 2.75	1.30	1.00	1 38	2.30×10^{17}	0.00
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MRK 501	Δ	2.42 ± 0.61	52.20 ± 35.59	1.66	1.40 1.72	1.67	3.01×10^{17}	0.03
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1FS 1050 + 650	Λ	7.37 ± 0.05	11.86 ± 2.20	0.02	1.00	0.05	4.10×10^{17}	0.04
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1E9 1999-090	B	3.61 ± 0.35	0.47 ± 2.32	0.92	1.00	1.00	4.13×10 3.35×10^{17}	0.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		C	3.01 ± 0.74	9.47 ± 2.00 9.71 ± 1.95	0.94	0.77	1.00	0.06×10^{17}	0.07
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DVG 9155 904		3.00 ± 0.80	2.71 ± 1.20	0.70	0.77	0.72	0.90×10^{-1}	0.10
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PK5 2100-004	A D	4.13 ± 0.33	9.99 ± 1.29	0.85	0.92	0.89	2.58×10^{-1}	0.07
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		В	5.32 ± 1.02	9.79 ± 3.76	0.85	0.97	0.89	2.52×10^{17}	0.08
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		C	2.99 ± 0.38	14.99 ± 2.91	0.84	0.92	0.87	3.86×10^{17}	0.06
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D	9.59 ± 0.48	7.80 ± 0.78	0.97	1.15	1.02	2.01×10^{17}	0.09
F 7.32 ± 0.73 13.61 ± 2.42 0.91 0.98 0.95 3.51×10^{17} 0.06 BL LacA 5.13 ± 0.31 2.95 ± 2.05 2.36 2.42 2.39 0.27×10^{17} 0.24 B 7.01 ± 0.25 2.61 ± 0.75 2.36 2.48 2.41 0.24×10^{17} 0.26 C 13.70 ± 0.38 3.20 ± 0.15 2.30 2.48 2.40 0.29×10^{17} 0.22 D 30.73 ± 0.30 0.88 ± 0.08 2.19 2.36 2.30 0.08×10^{17} 0.53 E 13.03 ± 1.16 3.71 ± 0.18 2.63 2.75 2.70 0.34×10^{17} 0.20 F 25.36 ± 0.40 4.24 ± 0.23 2.39 3.45 2.59 0.39×10^{17} 0.19 G 9.94 ± 0.43 4.73 ± 0.47 2.15 2.27 2.20 0.44×10^{17} 0.17 H 10.59 ± 0.47 3.83 ± 0.19 2.20 3.44 2.41 0.35×10^{17} 0.20 I 16.54 ± 0.84 0.32 ± 0.09 2.19 2.37 2.28 0.03×10^{17} 0.10 K 6.88 ± 0.35 10.09 ± 1.46 2.23 2.61 2.35 0.93×10^{17} 0.10 K 0.88 ± 0.33 5.94 ± 0.46 2.23 2.39 2.31 0.55×10^{17} 0.15		E	2.57 ± 0.48	18.39 ± 4.36	0.86	0.92	0.90	4.74×10^{17}	0.05
BL LacA 5.13 ± 0.31 2.95 ± 2.05 2.36 2.42 2.39 0.27×10^{17} 0.24 B 7.01 ± 0.25 2.61 ± 0.75 2.36 2.48 2.41 0.24×10^{17} 0.26 C 13.70 ± 0.38 3.20 ± 0.15 2.30 2.48 2.40 0.29×10^{17} 0.22 D 30.73 ± 0.30 0.88 ± 0.08 2.19 2.36 2.30 0.08×10^{17} 0.53 E 13.03 ± 1.16 3.71 ± 0.18 2.63 2.75 2.70 0.34×10^{17} 0.20 F 25.36 ± 0.40 4.24 ± 0.23 2.39 3.45 2.59 0.39×10^{17} 0.19 G 9.94 ± 0.43 4.73 ± 0.47 2.15 2.27 2.20 0.44×10^{17} 0.17 H 10.59 ± 0.47 3.83 ± 0.19 2.20 3.44 2.41 0.35×10^{17} 0.20 I 16.54 ± 0.84 0.32 ± 0.09 2.19 2.37 2.28 0.03×10^{17} 1.04 J 12.54 ± 0.35 10.89 ± 0.70 2.30 2.47 2.41 1.00×10^{17} 0.10 K 6.88 ± 0.35 10.09 ± 1.46 2.23 2.61 2.35 0.93×10^{17} 0.10 L 13.08 ± 0.53 6.09 ± 0.76 2.13 2.39 2.29 0.56×10^{17} 0.15 M 10.38 ± 0.33 5.94 ± 0.46 2.23 2.39 2.31 0.55×10^{17} 0.15		F,	7.32 ± 0.73	13.61 ± 2.42	0.91	0.98	0.95	3.51×10^{17}	0.06
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BL Lac	А	5.13 ± 0.31	2.95 ± 2.05	2.36	2.42	2.39	0.27×10^{17}	0.24
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		В	7.01 ± 0.25	$2.61 {\pm} 0.75$	2.36	2.48	2.41	0.24×10^{17}	0.26
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		\mathbf{C}	$13.70 {\pm} 0.38$	$3.20 {\pm} 0.15$	2.30	2.48	2.40	0.29×10^{17}	0.22
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D	$30.73 {\pm} 0.30$	$0.88 {\pm} 0.08$	2.19	2.36	2.30	0.08×10^{17}	0.53
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		\mathbf{E}	13.03 ± 1.16	$3.71 {\pm} 0.18$	2.63	2.75	2.70	0.34×10^{17}	0.20
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		\mathbf{F}	$25.36 {\pm} 0.40$	$4.24 {\pm} 0.23$	2.39	3.45	2.59	0.39×10^{17}	0.19
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		G	$9.94{\pm}0.43$	$4.73 {\pm} 0.47$	2.15	2.27	2.20	0.44×10^{17}	0.17
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Η	$10.59 {\pm} 0.47$	$3.83 {\pm} 0.19$	2.20	3.44	2.41	0.35×10^{17}	0.20
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ι	$16.54 {\pm} 0.84$	$0.32{\pm}0.09$	2.19	2.37	2.28	0.03×10^{17}	1.04
		J	$12.54{\pm}0.35$	$10.89 {\pm} 0.70$	2.30	2.47	2.41	1.00×10^{17}	0.10
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Κ	$6.88 {\pm} 0.35$	10.09 ± 1.46	2.23	2.61	2.35	0.93×10^{17}	0.10
M 10.38 \pm 0.33 5.94 \pm 0.46 2.23 2.39 2.31 0.55 \times 10 ¹⁷ 0.15		\mathbf{L}	$13.08 {\pm} 0.53$	$6.09 {\pm} 0.76$	2.13	2.39	2.29	0.56×10^{17}	0.15
		Μ	$10.38{\pm}0.33$	$5.94{\pm}0.46$	2.23	2.39	2.31	$0.55{\times}10^{17}$	0.15

correlated (Rajput et al. 2021). The possible quasi-periodic oscillation of ~ 314 days in the 9.5 year γ -ray light curve was studied by Kushwaha et al. (2020).

The Steward Observatory observed this source for a period of \sim 10 years, from MJD 54743 to MJD 58292. The optical V-band flux varied from $0.74 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg } cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ to 7.72 \times $10^{-11}~{\rm erg}~{cm^{-2}}~{s^{-1}}$ and PD varied from 0.73% to 36.54% during this observing period. On long-term timescales we identified two out of ten observing cycles, where optical flux and PD are positively correlated in cycle C4 and negatively correlated in cycle C7. In the remaining 8 cycles we didn't observe any significant correlation between optical flux and PD. During cycle C4, both the γ -ray flux and optical flux changed, with short-term flares superimposed over long-term variations. Optical flux changes appeared to reflect changes in γ -ray flux. Changes in the PD seemed to follow the optical flux during this cycle, but PA remained relatively constant. During cycle C7, variations in the γ -ray flux were reported, and short-term optical flares are superimposed on long-term optical changes. During this cycle, there were changes in the PD, as well as an abrupt change in the PA of 254° . We also noticed positive correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux during cycle C2 and negative correlation during cycle C4 on long-term timescales. On short-term timescales, we found 14 epochs where optical flux and PD were significantly correlated. Optical flux and PD showed a positive correlation in 8 epochs and a negative correlation in 6 epochs. For these epochs the flux variability amplitude ranged from 1.7% to 24%. During epochs A, D, E, F, H, I, K, L, M and N, the γ -ray flux was not detected, while in other epochs changes in the γ -ray flux have been observed. In any of the epochs, no abrupt changes in PA were seen. We derived $R \sim (0.13-84.89) \times 10^{17}$ cm and $B \sim (0.01-0.93)$ G using optical flux variability timescales.

5.5 MRK 421

MRK 421 is one of the closest (redshift z = 0.031; de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991) and brightest objects in the very high energy (VHE) extragalactic sky. It is a HBL source Abdo et al. (2010d) and showed frequent flaring activity in the GeV and TeV emission. It is the first extragalactic source which is detected in TeV energy range (Punch et al. 1992). This source has been extensively studied across the wide range of electromagnetic spectrum (i.e. from radio to γ -rays) due to its unremarkable variability nature (Tanihata et al. 2004; Błażejowski et al. 2005; Abdo et al. 2011b; Aleksić et al. 2015; Pandey et al. 2017; Aggrawal et al. 2018; Goyal 2020; Arbet-Engels et al. 2021). It displayed intranight variability in R-band flux and PD variations during April 2013 (Fraija et al. 2017). In this flaring period the source showed the varied behaviour of correlation and anti-correlation between optical and TeV γ -ray fluxes.

MRK 421 was observed by the Steward Observatory for a period of ~ 10 years from MJD 54744 to MJD 58306. During this observing period the optical V-band flux ranged from 5.9×10^{-11} erg $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ to 34.2×10^{-11} erg $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and PD ranged from 0.05% to 12.4%. On long-term timescales, we looked for a correlation between optical flux and PD for ten observation cycles and didn't find any significant correlation in any of them. We found positive correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux during cycle C5. On short-term timescales we found 12 epochs, where significant correlation between optical flux and PD was observed. We found a positive correlation between optical flux and PD in 6 of the 12 epochs, while a negative correlation was found in the other 6 epochs. During these 12 epochs the flux variability amplitude varied from 3.7% to 11%. The γ -ray flux was not detected during epoch A and it was nearly constant within the error bar during epoch B. In other 10 epochs the γ -ray flux varied moderately. During epoch A, we noted a sudden shift in PA of 60°, and during epoch I, we noticed an abrupt change of 355°. PA did not show any abrupt changes during other epochs. We found R ~ $(0.06-1.84) \times 10^{17}$ cm and B ~ (0.05-0.51) G.

5.6 W com

W Comae is an IBL source (Nieppola et al. 2006), discovered in 1971 (Browne 1971). This source is located at redshift z = 0.103 (Weistrop et al. 1985). This source was detected by EGRET at γ -ray energies in the energy range of 100 MeV to 10 GeV (Hartman et al. 1999). W Comae was the first IBL which was detected at very high energies by VERITAS (Acciari et al. 2008). This source has shown optical flux variability on diverse timescales (Smith & Nair 1995; Gaur et al. 2012b). This source had a remarkable optical outburst (R mag = 12.2) in April-May 1998, which was detected by Massaro et al. (1999). The time lag analysis between optical and γ -ray for this source was studied by Liodakis et al. (2018) who found the lag of ~ $8^{+7.8}_{-7.8}$ days with the γ -ray flux leading the optical flux variations.

This source was observed by Steward Observatory from MJD 54768 to MJD 58306. During the ~ 10 years the optical V-band flux changed in the range $(0.66-4.12) \times 10^{-11}$ erg $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and the PD changed from 0.81% to 26.7%. In two (C1 and C9) out of ten observing cycles, we found a significant positive correlation between optical flux and PD, but in the other cycles, we found no significant correlation between optical flux and PD. During cycle C1, changes in the γ -ray flux were noticed. The changes in PD seemed to follow the changes in the optical flux, but the PA remained nearly constant during cycle C1. During cycle C9, the γ -ray flux was not detected but drastic change in the optical flux was noticed. During this cycle, there were significant changes in the PD and PA. We didn't find any significant correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux on long-term timescales. On short-term timescales, we identified two epochs with significant correlations between optical flux and PD. Optical flux and polarization are anti-correlated in epoch A while they are positively correlated in epoch B. On short-term timescales the flux variability amplitude varied in the range 5%-9%. During epochs A and B the γ -ray flux was not detected, whereas the optical flux and PD showed variations. During both epochs, there were no abrupt changes in the PA. We found $R \sim (2.30-2.38) \times 10^{17}$ cm and B \sim (0.08-0.09) G.

5.7 MRK 501

MRK 501, at a redshift of z = 0.034 (Ulrich et al. 1975) is a HBL (Abdo et al. 2010a) and one of the brightest extragalactic objects in the TeV γ -ray sky. It is the second object which was detected in the very high energy (VHE; > 300 GeV) γ -rays (Quinn et al. 1996) This source has been extensively studied over the wide range of the frequencies which include radio, optical, X-ray, and γ -ray using several observational facilities (Quinn et al. 1999; Aharonian et al. 2001; Massaro et al. 2004; Furniss et al. 2015; Pandey et al. 2017; Ahnen et al. 2017; Sahu et al. 2020). This source showed intranight optical variability on May 20, 2015 (Paliya et al. 2017). During the observation period from 2010 to 2015, it showed optical flux variation in the V, R, and I bands on diverse timescales (Xiong et al. 2016). During a 4.5 months multiwavelength campaign from March 15 to August 1, 2009, in the γ -ray range from 0.1 GeV to 20 TeV this source was monitored by Fermi-LAT, MAGIC, VERITAS and Whipple 10m telescopes (Ahnen et al. 2017). The γ -ray flux varied significantly in the VHE regime, whereas the optical flux remained nearly constant. Quasi-periodic oscillation of 330-days was reported in 5 of the 7 cycles in γ -ray light curve observed by *Fermi*-LAT over a ten-year period from August 2008 to August 2018 (Bhatta 2019).

Steward Observatory monitored this source for ~ 10 years (MJD 54745-58306). During this monitoring period the optical V-band flux varied from $5.08 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg } cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ to $6.82 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg } cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and PD varied from 0.07%to 5.93%. On long-term timescales, we found 3 out of 10 observing cycles, where significant correlation between the optical flux and PD was observed. During these 3 cycles C1, C2 and C6, we found a positive correlation between optical flux and PD. In the remaining 7 cycles the optical flux and PD were not significantly correlated. During these three cycles the γ -ray flux and optical flux were found to be variable. During cycle C1, a large change in the PD and PA was observed and PD also varied significantly during cycles C2 and C6. During cycle C2, PA showed small variations but during cycle C6, it was almost constant. We also found negative correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux during cycle C1. On short-term timescales, we found one epoch where optical flux and PD were significantly positively correlated. The flux variability amplitude of this epoch is estimated to be 2.4%. During this epoch, the γ -ray flux varied slightly, and no sudden changes in the PA were seen. Using optical flux variability timescales, we calculated the values of R $\sim 3.01 \times 10^{17}$ cm and B ~ 0.04 G.

5.8 1ES 1959+650

1ES 1959+650 is a HBL, located at a redshift z = 0.048 (Perlman et al. 1996). This source is well studied in a wide range of electromagnetic wavebands (Gregory & Condon 1991; Aharonian et al. 2003; Krawczynski et al. 2004; Aliu et al. 2014; Pandey et al. 2017; Kaur et al. 2017b; Patel et al. 2018; Majumder et al. 2019; MAGIC Collaboration et al. 2020b). Since 2015, the source has been active across several energy bands, most notably in optical (Baliyan et al. 2016), X-rays (Kapanadze et al. 2016), and γ -rays, monitored by the the MAGIC, *Fermi*-LAT and VERITAS collaborations (Buson et al. 2016; Biland et al. 2016). It has also been studied for short-term and long-term flux variations (Gaur et al. 2012a; Lindfors et al. 2016; Zhang & Li 2017). The study of the polarization position angle variability for this source has been carried out by Hovatta et al. (2016) among the sample of TeV and non-TeV blazars using data from the RoboPol blazar monitoring program and the Nordic Optical Telescope. Liodakis et al. (2018) found the time lag of ~ $5.5^{+6.1}_{-6.1}$ days between optical and γ -ray flux variations with the γ -ray flux leading the optical flux variations.

This source was observed by Steward Observatory from MJD 54745 to MJD 58293. During this 10 years monitoring period the optical V-band flux varied in the range $(1.95-7.70) \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg } cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and PD varied between 0.37%-8.53%. In one (C2) out of ten observing cycles, we identified a positive correlation between optical flux and PD, while in one cycle (C4), we found a negative correlation. In the remaining 8 cycles we didn't observe any significant correlation between optical flux and PD. During cycle C2, the γ -ray flux was nearly constant, whereas short-term variations in the optical flux were found to be superimposed upon long-term changes. Large changes in the PD and moderate changes in the PA were observed during this cycle. During cycle C4, the γ -ray flux did not vary, but considerable changes in the optical flux and PD were observed. PA also varied during this cycle. We found no significant correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux in any cycle. On short-term timescales we found three epochs where optical flux and PD were significantly correlated. There was a positive correlation between optical flux and PD during epochs A and C, but a negative correlation between optical flux and PD during epoch B. The estimated value of flux variability amplitude varied from 3.1% to 7.4% on a short-term timescales. During epochs A and B, the γ -ray flux was not detected, and during epoch C, it showed a variable nature. For this source, we determined the values of $R \sim (0.96 - 4.19) \times 10^{17}$ cm and $B \sim (0.06 - 0.16)$ G.

5.9 PKS 2155-304

PKS 2155-304 is a HBL source at a redshift of 0.116 (Bowyer et al. 1984) and very well known object in the southern hemisphere. It has been found to be variable across wavelengths (e.g. Giommi et al. 1998; Aharonian et al. 2007; Foschini et al. 2008a; Rani et al. 2017; Pandey et al. 2017; Chevalier et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2021, and references therein). The Fermi-LAT reported variability of this source on month-like time scles in the GeV energy range (Acero et al. 2015). This source showed varied behaviour of correlation and anti-correlation between optical flux and position angle during the optical outburst in 2010 (Peceur et al. 2020). The first evidence of quasi-periodic oscillations in the polarized flux was found in PKS 2155–304 (Pekeur et al. 2016). According to this study the source displayed periodic component at T ~ 13 min and T ~ 30 min during intraday polarization monitoring from July 25 to July 27, 2009. QPOs were also found in the optical R-band and γ -ray light curves with timescales of 0.87 year (Zhang et al. 2014) and 1.74 year (Zhang et al. 2017) respectively. The

correlated behaviour between optical and GeV γ -ray flux variations was seen by Rajput et al. (2021). An optical flare without γ -ray counterpart both in GeV and very high energy bands was noticed in 2016 by Wierzcholska et al. (2019).

PKS 2155-304 was observed by Steward Observatory from MJD 54745 to MJD 58306. During this 10 years monitoring period the optical V-band flux ranged from 3.0×10^{-11} erg $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ to 23×10^{-11} erg $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and PD ranged from 0.17% to 19.1%. On long-term timescales we identified significant correlation between optical flux and PD in 4 out of 10 observing cycle. All four cycles C6, C7, C9 and C10 exhibited positive correlation between optical flux and PD. We didn't find any significant correlation in the remaining 6 cycles. During cycles C6, C7, C9 and C10, the γ -ray flux was found to be variable and it showed the largest flare in the 10-year period during cycle C6. During these four cycles, the optical flux and PD were variable, and during cycles C9 and C10, short-term optical flares were superimposed on long-term optical changes. The PA was found to vary during cycles C7 and C 10. On long-term timescales, we also found positive correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux during cycles C1, C3 and C10. On short-term timescales we found 6 epochs where the optical flux and PD are found to be significantly correlated. During epochs A, B, C and E the correlation between optical flux and PD was positive, whereas the correlation was negative during epochs D and F. The flux variability amplitude varied between 2.6% - 10%on short-term timescales. The γ -ray flux was not detected considerably during epochs A, B, D, and F, and it showed random variable behaviour during epochs C and E. We didn't notice any abrupt change in the PA during any of the epochs. We estimated $R \sim (2.01-4.74) \times 10^{17}$ cm and B $\sim (0.05 - 0.09)$ G.

5.10 BL Lac

BL Lac is located at redshift z = 0.069 (Miller & Hawley 1977). It is an IBL (Ackermann et al. 2011). It is well known for its variable behaviour in all energy bands (Marscher et al. 2008; Raiteri et al. 2013; Agarwal & Gupta 2015; Gaur et al. 2015; Wehrle et al. 2016; MAGIC Collaboration et al. 2019; Weaver et al. 2020). The variability timescale of this source was found to be ~ 30 minutes at optical frequency observed by TESS (Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite) from September 12 to October 6, 2019 (Weaver et al. 2020). The variation in PD in the optical R-band was also seen in this study, with a timescale of ~ 5 hours, where the PD changed by a factor of two, and changes in the optical flux and the PD were not found to be correlated. The intraday optical variability was also reported by Meng et al. (2017) in the optical B, V, R and I bands for 13 nights between 2012 and 2016. Sandrinelli et al. (2017) found periodic variability ~ 680 days in the optical R-band and γ -ray light curve over an 8 years period from 2008 to 2016. In the long-term study (~ 6.5 years) of GeV bright blazars Itoh et al. (2016) found the positive correlation with no time lag between optical and γ -ray flux variations.

During the 10 years monitoring period by Steward observatory, the optical V-band flux varied in the range $(1.6-23.0) \times 10^{-11}$ erg $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and the PD varied

between 0.62% - 26.08%. On long-term timescales we found one cycle (C3) out of 10 observing cycles, which showed significant negative correlation between optical flux and PD. During other cycles we found no significant correlation between optical flux and PD. During cycle C3, the γ -ray flux varied and changes in the optical flux appeared to follow the changes in the γ -ray flux. Large changes have been reported in the PD and PA during this cycle. We found positive correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux on long-term timescales during cycles C3 and C7. On shortterm timescales, we identified 13 epochs with significant positive correlation between optical flux and PD in 5 epochs (D, F, H, I and J) and significant negative correlation in 8 epochs (A, B, C, E, G, K, L and M). The flux variability amplitude varied in the range (5.13% - 30.7%) on short-term timescales. During the epochs A, B, C, E, F, G, and J, either no or less γ -ray flux was detected but it varied during other epochs. We didn't observe any dramatic change in the PA during any of the epochs. For this source, we found the values of $R \sim (0.03 - 1.00) \times 10^{17}$ cm and $B \sim (0.10 - 1.04)$ G.

5.11 1ES 2344+514

1ES 2344+514 first discovered by Einstein Slew Survey (Elvis et al. 1992) in the energy range 0.2-4 KeV, is at a redshift of z = 0.044 Perlman et al. (1996). In the VHE γ ray this source was first detected in 1995 by the Whipple 10m telescope during an intense flare (Catanese et al. 1998). In 1996 this source was at its brightest state in X-ray band (Giommi et al. 2000) and the synchrotron peak frequency (ν_s) had shifted by a factor of 30 or more. Because of this shift in the ν_s , this source is included in the Extreme highfrequency BL Lacs (EHBL) class, whose synchrotron peak of the broad-band spectral energy distribution was at $\nu_s \geq$ $10^{17}~\mathrm{Hz}.$ After this unprecedented event many multiwavelength campaigns were organized to study this source (Acciari et al. 2011; Aleksić et al. 2013; MAGIC Collaboration et al. 2020a). This source showed intraday variability in the optical R-band (Ma et al. 2010). Pandey et al. (2020) detected the signature of significant IDV in the optical R-band. Liodakis et al. (2018) found no significant correlation between the optical and γ -ray flux variations for this source. This source was observed by Steward observatory for a time period of ~ 10 years from MJD 54743 to MJD 58282. During this monitoring period, the optical V-band flux varied between $(1.6-2.6) \times 10^{-11}$ erg $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and PD varied between 0.45% - 5.63%. On both long- and short-term timescales, we found no significant correlation between optical flux and PD.

6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We searched for a systematic correlation between optical flux and PD for 11 BL Lac sources on long-term as well as short-term timescales. To find the correlation between optical flux and PD we used ~ 10 years of Steward observatory archival data. On long-term timescales, we found significant positive correlation (R > 0.5 & P < 0.05) in 13 cycles, significant negative correlation (R < -0.5 & P < 0.05) in 3

Table 6. Summary of the results

Blazar	Long-term	times	cale		Short-term	ale	R	В	
	No. of observing cycles	\mathbf{PC}	NC	No Corr.	No. of epochs	\mathbf{PC}	NC	(\times 10^{17} cm)	(in G)
3C 66A	9	0	0	9	4	3	1	5.99 - 11.85	0.04 - 0.06
AO 0235+164	10	1	0	9	1	1	0	0.21	0.36
S5 0716+714	10	1	0	9	2	2	0	1.44 - 3.16	0.08 - 0.13
OJ 287	10	1	1	8	14	8	6	0.13 - 84.89	0.01 - 0.93
MRK 421	10	0	0	10	12	6	6	0.06 - 1.84	0.05 - 0.51
W Com	10	2	0	8	2	1	1	2.30 - 2.38	0.08 - 0.09
MRK 501	10	3	0	7	1	1	0	3.01	0.04
1 ES 1959 + 650	10	1	1	8	3	2	1	0.96 - 4.19	0.06 - 0.16
PKS 2155-304	10	4	0	6	6	4	2	2.01 - 4.74	0.05 - 0.09
BL Lac	10	0	1	9	13	5	8	0.03 - 1.00	0.10 - 1.04
$1 \text{ES} \ 2344 + 514$	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	_	_

Table 7. Comparison of optical polarization data and 15 GHz VLBI polarization data.

Blazar			Optical		VLB	I	
	Epoch	Period	PD(%)	PA (degree)	Epoch	PD(%)	PA (degree)
OJ 287	B G	55125-55130 56063-56075	25.63 ± 0.02 17.88 ± 0.02	-11.30 ± 0.04 -36.79 ± 0.05	$55129 \\ 56071$	$7.5 \\ 8.9$	169 140

cycles and no correlation in 93 observing cycles. On shortterm timescales, we found a total of 58 epochs with significant correlation. We found significant positive correlation (R > 0.5 & P < 0.05) in 33 of the 58 epochs and significant negative correlation (R < -0.5 & P < 0.05) in 25 of the 58 epochs. We also looked for a correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux on long-term timescales. We found significant positive correlation (R > 0.5 & P < 0.05) in 10 cycles and significant negative correlation (R < -0.5 & P < 0.05) in 2 cycles. In the remaining 89% of the observing cycles we did not find any significant correlation. We give the summary of our correlation analysis in Table 6.

The results of our correlation analysis of optical flux and PD in BL Lac sources show a wide range of behaviors. We found positive as well as a negative connection between optical flux and polarization degree. Extrinsic scenarios in which shocks propagating along relativistic jets and slightly deviating from linearity also known as "swinging jet model", can explain the correlation (or anti-correlation) between optical flux and PD (Gopal-Krishna & Wiita 1992). The observed positive correlation between optical flux and PD can also be explained by intrinsic scenario shock-in-jet model (Hagen-Thorn et al. 2008; Sorcia et al. 2013). Shocks in the jets cause the relativistic electrons to be injected into the emission area, resulting in a stable spectral shape and short timescale variability in the optical band. In addition, shocks are responsible for the alignment of the magnetic field. So, the shock-in-jet scenario leads to a positive correlation between optical flux and polarization degree.

We also observed anti-correlated behaviour between optical flux and PD on long-term as well as short-term timescales. Marscher et al. (2008) developed a scenario in which the radiation source is made up of two or more emission regions, one of which is a global jet region and the others are local emission regions. Here the local emission occurs from highly polarized shocked "clumps" travelling inside the jet and is characterized by short-term variability because of the small emission regions. Similar approach is also adopted in Marscher (2014), where the Turbulent, Extreme Multi-zone (TEMZ) model can explain the anti-correlation between optical flux and PD. In local emission region, an increase in optical flux is caused by newly produced polarized components, whereas multiple randomly oriented polarized components with similar strengths and varying position angles produce partial cancellations (Hagen-Thorn et al. 2002). The degree of polarization decreases as a result of it. We plotted the observed Stokes parameters Q and U for each epoch of all the sources to find the presence of a stable polarized component and other multiple components. These are shown in Figure 6, for other epochs these plots are available in the electronic version. In the Q vs U plot, the divergence from the centroid (0,0) indicates the presence of a stable polarized component (Blinov & Hagen-Thorn 2009). Additionally, we searched for any specific patterns that might be present between Q and U during both the positive and negative correlations of optical flux and PD. We noticed that the relationship between Q and U is entirely arbitrary and unrelated to either the positive or negative correlation between optical flux and PD.

We also examined to see whether any of the VLBI observations overlapping during any of the epochs we studied as VLBI observations could provide parsec-scale resolution. We found 2 VLBI observations at 15 GHz Lister et al. (2018), overlapping with the 2 epochs of the source OJ 287. We give the value of the VLBI observation for these two epochs in Table 7. The VLBI PD values do not match the optical PD values and are lower than the optical PD values. This is because VLBI measurements are collected on a single date, whereas optical data are averaged over a 10-day period that includes the VLBI observation epoch. Moreover, radio emission emanates from larger regions of the jets, the larger emission

region may lead to the decrease in the PD due to different orientation of the magnetic field vectors within the VLBI beam. In contrast, we found that the PA values at optical and VLBI observations are same (after a 180° correction). This suggests that the jet's trajectory from the optical to the millimetre scale is rather straight (Jorstad et al. 2006). We identified a negative and positive correlation between optical flux and PD during the epochs B and G of OJ 287, respectively. The observed flux variation could be attributed to this new emission region if the increased flux variations coincide with the formation of a new VLBI knot (a new emission region). A correlation between flux and PD is expected if the magnetic field in this new blob of emission is aligned with the large scale magnetic field. An anti-correlation between flux and PD can be expected if the direction of the magnetic field of the new emitting blob is either chaotic or misaligned with the large scale magnetic field.

To check for variations in the polarization degree, we also adopted a method based on changes in the spectral index of the emitting electron in the jets (Rani et al. 2018). For the power law distribution of the relativistic electrons (dN/dE $\propto E^{-p}$; p = 2 α +1), the maximum degree of polarization is defined by

$$PD = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 5/3} \tag{9}$$

Here α is the spectral index. For each BL Lac sources, we calculated spectral index using equation 6. The minimum, maximum and average values of the spectral index for each epochs of the BL Lac sources are given in Table 5. The variance in the PD was determined using the minimum and maximum values of the spectral index for each source. From our calculations, we found maximum change in PD is 2% for 3C 66A, 5% for AO 0235+164, 1% for S5 0716+714, 2% for OJ 287, 2% for MRK 421, 6% for W Com, 0.4% for MRK 501, 1% for 1ES 1959+650, 2% for PKS 2155-304 and 4% for BL Lac. But the maximum change in PD from observation is 8.5% for 3C 66A, 9.8% for AO 0235+164, 15.4% for S5 0716+714, 19.1% for OJ 287, 6.1% for MRK 421, 4.7% for W Com, 1.8% for MRK 501, 2.76% for 1ES 1959+650, 10.4% for PKS 2155-304, and 12.5% for BL Lac. The maximum change in PD due to a change in the spectral index is much smaller than the observed PD variations. It implies that the observed variations in PD in this study cannot be explained exclusively by changes in the power-law spectral index of the emitting electrons within the jet.

We also noticed a sudden decline of 355° in PA during one epoch I of the source MRK 421. During this abrupt change the γ -ray flux and optical flux both changed, but the polarization degree decreased (see Figure 4). Optical polarization angle swings have been found to be linked to multiwavelength flares (Angelakis et al. 2016; Blinov et al. 2018). According to Zhang et al. (2018), relativistic magnetic reconnection could be driving their multi-wavelength flares and these multiwavelength flares are also accompanied by changes in optical polarization angles. As observed by Zhang et al. (2020), large rotations in the polarization angle are important indicators of magnetic reconnection between antiparallel magnetic field lines and during large angle swings, this antiparallel magnetic field causes a decrease in PD. These PA swings are most commonly associated with changes in the γ -ray flux, implying that they are physically connected to γ -ray activity (Blinov et al. 2015, 2018).

We also observed both correlation and anti-correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux. The observed correlation between these two can be consistent with leptonic models in which optical and γ -ray emission are produced by the same population of electrons via synchrotron and inverse Compton processes, respectively Rajput et al. (2021). And anti-correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux can be explained by a change in the magnetic field, without a change in the total number of emitting electrons or the Doppler factor of the emitting region (Chatterjee et al. 2013; Rajput et al. 2020).

7 SUMMARY

For 11 γ -ray bright BL Lacs, we used ~ 10 years of optical photometric and polarimetric data from Steward Observatory, as well as γ -ray data from *Fermi*-LAT, and looked for (i) correlation between optical V-band flux and PD on longterm as well as short-term timescales, and (ii) correlation between optical V-band flux and γ -ray flux on long-term timescales. The conclusions of our study are summarised below.

(i) On long-term timescales, all 11 BL Lac sources were found to be variable in the γ -ray, optical, and PD. We found 16 observing cycles with significant correlation (P < 0.05) between optical flux and PD on long-term timescales, with significant positive correlation in 13 cycles and significant negative correlation in 3 cycles. In 93 observing cycles, we noticed no significant correlation.

(ii) On short-term timescales, we found a total of 58 epochs showing a significant correlation (P < 0.05) between optical flux and PD. We found significant positive correlation in 33 out of 58 epochs and significant negative correlation in 25 out of 58 epochs.

(iii) On long-term timescales, we found a significant correlation (P < 0.05) between optical flux and γ -ray flux, with positive correlation identified in 10 observing cycles and negative correlation found in 2 observing cycles. In the remaining 89% of the observing cycles, there was no significant correlation between optical flux and γ -ray flux.

(iv) On short-term timescales, the optical flux variability amplitude of the sources varied from 1.73% to 30.73%, the variability timescale varied from 0.10 days to 63 days and the resulting magnetic fields varied in the range 0.01 to 1.04 G.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions, which helped to make the manuscript better. This research used Fermi data from the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center's Fermi Science Support Center (FSSC). We are thankful for photometry and polarimetry from Paul Smith's monitoring program at the Steward Observatory, which is supported by Fermi Guest Investigator grants NNX08AW56G, NNX09AU10G, and NNX12AO93G. This research has made use of data from the MOJAVE database that is maintained by the MOJAVE team (Lister et al. 2018). We also acknowledge the use of High Performance Computing Facility (Nova cluster) at Indian Institute of Astrophysics.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The γ -ray data and optical data used in this work are publicly available from the Fermi-LAT³ and Steward Observatory⁴ data archives. It may also be made available to anyone on reasonable request to the lead author.

REFERENCES

- Abdo A. A., et al., 2010a, ApJS, 188, 405
- Abdo A. A., et al., 2010b, Nature, 463, 919
- Abdo A. A., et al., 2010c, ApJ, 715, 429
- Abdo A. A., et al., 2010d, ApJ, 716, 30
- Abdo A. A., et al., 2011a, ApJ, 726, 43
- Abdo A. A., et al., 2011b, ApJ, 736, 131
- Acciari V. A., et al., 2008, ApJ, 684, L73
- Acciari V. A., et al., 2009, ApJ, 693, L104
- Acciari V. A., et al., 2011, ApJ, 738, 169
- Acero F., et al., 2015, ApJS, 218, 23 Ackermann M., et al., 2011, ApJ, 743, 171
- Ackermann M., et al., 2012, ApJ, 751, 159
- Ackermann M., et al., 2015, ApJ, 810, 14
- Ackermann M., et al., 2016, ApJS, 222, 5
- Agarwal A., Gupta A. C., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 541
- Aggrawal V., Pandey A., Gupta A. C., Zhang Z., Wiita P. J., Yadav K. K., Tiwari S. N., 2018, MNRAS, 480, 4873
- Agudo I., et al., 2011, ApJ, 726, L13
- Aharonian F., et al., 2001, ApJ, 546, 898
- Aharonian F., et al., 2003, A&A, 406, L9
- Aharonian F., et al., 2007, ApJ, 664, L71
- Ahnen M. L., et al., 2017, A&A, 603, A31
- Aleksić J., et al., 2011, ApJ, 726, 58
- Aleksić J., et al., 2013, A&A, 556, A67
- Aleksić J., et al., 2015, A&A, 578, A22
- Aliu E., et al., 2014, ApJ, 797, 89
- Amaya-Almazán R. A., Chavushyan V., Patiño-Álvarez V. M., 2021, ApJ, 906, 5
- Andrew B. H., Harvey G. A., Medd W. J., 1971, Astrophys. Lett., 9, 151
- Angel J. R. P., Stockman H. S., 1980, ARA&A, 18, 321
- Angelakis E., et al., 2016, MNRAS, 463, 3365
- Arbet-Engels A., et al., 2021, A&A, 647, A88
- Atwood W. B., et al., 2009, ApJ, 697, 1071
- Baliyan K. S., et al., 2016, The Astronomer's Telegram, 9070, 1
- Begelman M. C., et al., 1987, ApJ, 322, 650
- Bessell M. S., Castelli F., Plez B., 1998, A&A, 333, 231
- Bevington P. R., Robinson D. K., 1992, Data reduction and error analysis for the physical sciences
- Bhatta G., 2019, MNRAS, 487, 3990
- Bhatta G., et al., 2015, ApJ, 809, L27
- Bhatta G., et al., 2016, ApJ, 831, 92
- Biland A., et al., 2016, The Astronomer's Telegram, 9148, 1
- Błażejowski M., et al., 2005, ApJ, 630, 130
- Blinov D. A., Hagen-Thorn V. A., 2009, A&A, 503, 103
- Blinov D., et al., 2015, MNRAS, 453, 1669
- Blinov D., et al., 2018, MNRAS, 474, 1296
- ³ https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/
- ⁴ http://james.as.arizona.edu/~psmith/Fermi

- Bonning E. W., et al., 2009, ApJ, 697, L81
- Bowyer S., Brodie J., Clarke J. T., Henry J. P., 1984, ApJ, 278, L103
- Bozhilov V., Ovcharov E., Nikolov G., 2014, MNRAS, 439, 639
- Browne I. W. A., 1971, Nature, 231, 515
- Buson S., et al., 2016, The Astronomer's Telegram, 9010, 1
- Catanese M., et al., 1998, ApJ, 501, 616
- Chatterjee R., et al., 2012, ApJ, 749, 191
- Chatterjee R., et al., 2013, ApJ, 763, L11
- Chen L., 2018, ApJS, 235, 39
- Chen A. W., et al., 2008, A&A, 489, L37
- Chevalier J., Sanchez D. A., Serpico P. D., Lenain J. P., Maurin G., 2019, MNRAS, 484, 749
- Cohen R. D., Smith H. E., Junkkarinen V. T., Burbidge E. M., 1987, ApJ, 318, 577
- Cohen D. P., Romani R. W., Filippenko A. V., Cenko S. B., Lott B., Zheng W., Li W., 2014, ApJ, 797, 137
- Elvis M., Plummer D., Schachter J., Fabbiano G., 1992, ApJS, $80,\,257$
- Fan J. H., et al., 2018, AJ, 155, 90
- Foffano L., Prandini E., Franceschini A., Paiano S., 2019, MN-RAS, 486, 1741
- Foschini L., et al., 2008a, A&A, 484, L35
- Foschini L., Longo F., Iafrate G., 2008b, The Astronomer's Telegram, 1784, 1
- Fraija N., et al., 2017, ApJS, 232, 7
- Furniss A., et al., 2015, ApJ, 812, 65
- Gaur H., et al., 2012a, MNRAS, 420, 3147
- Gaur H., et al., 2012b, MNRAS, 425, 3002
- Gaur H., Gupta A. C., Wiita P. J., Uemura M., Itoh R., Sasada M., 2014, ApJ, 781, L4
- Gaur H., et al., 2015, MNRAS, 452, 4263
- Geng X., et al., 2020, ApJ, 904, 67
- Ghisellini G., Maraschi L., 1989, ApJ, 340, 181
- Ghisellini G., et al., 1997, A&A, 327, 61
- Ghisellini G., Tavecchio F., Foschini L., Ghirland a G., 2011, MN-RAS, 414, 2674
- Giommi P., et al., 1998, A&A, 333, L5
- Giommi P., Padovani P., Perlman E., 2000, MNRAS, 317, 743
- Gopal-Krishna Wiita P. J., 1992, A&A, 259, 109
- Gopal-Krishna Goyal A., Joshi S., Karthick C., Sagar R., Wiita P. J., Anupama G. C., Sahu D. K., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 101
- Goyal A., 2020, MNRAS, 494, 3432
- Gregory P. C., Condon J. J., 1991, ApJS, 75, 1011
- Gupta A. C., Srivastava A. K., Wiita P. J., 2009, ApJ, 690, 216
- Gupta A. C., et al., 2017, MNRAS, 465, 4423
- Gupta A. C., et al., 2019, AJ, 157, 95
- Hagen-Thorn V. A., Larionova E. G., Jorstad S. G., Björnsson C. I., Larionov V. M., 2002, A&A, 385, 55
- Hagen-Thorn V. A., Larionov V. M., Jorstad S. G., Arkharov A. A., Hagen-Thorn E. I., Efimova N. V., Larionova L. V., Marscher A. P., 2008, ApJ, 672, 40
- Hagen-Thorn V. A., Larionov V. M., Morozova D. A., Arkharov A. A., Hagen-Thorn E. I., Shablovinskaya E. S., Prokop'eva M. S., Yakovleva V. A., 2018, Astronomy Reports, 62, 103
- Hartman R. C., et al., 1999, ApJS, 123, 79
- Hovatta T., et al., 2016, A&A, 596, A78
- Impey C. D., Brand P. W. J. L., Tapia S., 1982, MNRAS, 198, 1
- Itoh R., et al., 2016, ApJ, 833, 77
- Jorstad S., et al., 2006, Chinese Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement, 6, 247
- Jorstad S. G., et al., 2013, ApJ, 773, 147
- Kapanadze B., Dorner D., Kapanadze S., 2016, The Astronomer's Telegram, 9205, 1
- Kaur N., Sameer Baliyan K. S., Ganesh S., 2017a, MNRAS, 469, 2305
- Kaur N., Chandra S., Baliyan K. S., Sameer Ganesh S., 2017b, ApJ, 846, 158

- Komossa S., Grupe D., Parker M. L., Valtonen M. J., Gómez J. L., Gopakumar A., Dey L., 2020, MNRAS, 498, L35
- Krawczynski H., et al., 2004, ApJ, 601, 151
- Kushwaha P., et al., 2018, MNRAS, 473, 1145
- Kushwaha P., Sarkar A., Gupta A. C., Tripathi A., Wiita P. J., 2020, MNRAS, 499, 653
- Larionov V., Konstantinova T., Kopatskaya E., Larionova L., Efimova N., Blinov D., Melnichuk D., Troitsky I., 2008, The Astronomer's Telegram, 1502, 1
- Ledden J. E., Aller H. D., 1979, ApJ, 229, L1
- Ledden J. E., Aller H. D., Dent W. A., 1976, Nature, 260, 752
- Lindfors E. J., et al., 2016, A&A, 593, A98
- Liodakis I., Romani R. W., Filippenko A. V., Kiehlmann S., Max-Moerbeck W., Readhead A. C. S., Zheng W., 2018, MNRAS, 480, 5517
- Lister M. L., Smith P. S., 2000, ApJ, 541, 66
- Lister M. L., Aller M. F., Aller H. D., Hodge M. A., Homan D. C., Kovalev Y. Y., Pushkarev A. B., Savolainen T., 2018, ApJS, 234, 12
- Liu X., et al., 2017, MNRAS, 469, 2457
- Liu H. T., Feng H. C., Xin Y. X., Bai J. M., Li S. K., Wang F., 2019, ApJ, 880, 155
- Lynden-Bell D., 1969, Nature, 223, 690
- MAGIC Collaboration et al., 2019, A&A, 623, A175
- MAGIC Collaboration et al., 2020a, MNRAS, 496, 3912
- MAGIC Collaboration et al., 2020b, A&A, 638, A14
- Ma L., Xie G. Z., Yi T. F., Zhou S. B., Li K. H., Zhang X., Dai H., 2010, Ap&SS, 327, 35
- MacDonald N. R., Marscher A. P., Jorstad S. G., Joshi M., 2015, ApJ, 804, 111
- Majumder A., Mitra K., Chatterjee R., Urry C. M., Bailyn C. D., Nandi P., 2019, MNRAS, 490, 124
- Marscher A. P., 2014, ApJ, 780, 87
- Marscher A. P., Gear W. K., 1985, ApJ, 298, 114
- Marscher A. P., et al., 2008, Nature, 452, 966
- Marscher A. P., et al., 2010, ApJ, 710, L126
- Massaro E., et al., 1999, A&A, 342, L49
- Massaro E., Perri M., Giommi P., Nesci R., Verrecchia F., 2004, A&A, 422, 103
- Mattox J. R., et al., 1996, ApJ, 461, 396
- Meng N., Wu J., Webb J. R., Zhang X., Dai Y., 2017, MNRAS, 469, 3588
- Miller J. S., Hawley S. A., 1977, ApJ, 212, L47
- Miller J. S., French H. B., Hawley S. A., 1978, in Wolfe A. M., ed., BL Lac Objects. pp 176–187
- Nieppola E., Tornikoski M., Valtaoja E., 2006, A&A, 445, 441
- Nilsson K., Pursimo T., Sillanpää A., Takalo L. O., Lindfors E., 2008, A&A, 487, L29
- Nilsson K., Takalo L. O., Lehto H. J., Sillanpää A., 2010, A&A, 516, A60
- Otero-Santos J., et al., 2020, MNRAS, 492, 5524
- Paliya V. S., Stalin C. S., Ajello M., Kaur A., 2017, ApJ, 844, 32
- Pandey A., Gupta A. C., Wiita P. J., 2017, ApJ, 841, 123 Pandey A., Gupta A. C., Damljanovic G., Wiita P. J., Vince O.,
- Jovanovic M. D., 2020, MNRAS, 496, 1430
- Pandey A., Rajput B., Stalin C. S., 2022, MNRAS, 510, 1809
- Pasierb M., et al., 2020, $\underline{\mathrm{MNRAS}},\,492,\,1295$
- Patel S. R., Shukla A., Chitnis V. R., Dorner D., Mannheim K., Acharya B. S., Nagare B. J., 2018, A&A, 611, A44
- Patiño-Álvarez V. M., et al., 2018, MNRAS, 479, 2037
- Peceur N. W., Taylor A. R., Kraan-Korteweg R. C., 2020, MN-RAS, 495, 2162
- Pekeur N. W., Taylor A. R., Potter S. B., Kraan-Korteweg R. C., 2016, MNRAS, 462, L80
- Perlman E. S., et al., 1996, ApJS, 104, 251
- Punch M., et al., 1992, Nature, 358, 477
- Quinn J., et al., 1996, ApJ, 456, L83
- Quinn J., et al., 1999, ApJ, 518, 693

- Raiteri C. M., et al., 2001, A&A, 377, 396
- Raiteri C. M., et al., 2003, A&A, 402, 151
- Raiteri C. M., et al., 2009, A&A, 507, 769
- Raiteri C. M., et al., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 1530
- Rajput B., Stalin C. S., Sahayanathan S., Rakshit S., Mandal A. K., 2019, MNRAS, 486, 1781
- Rajput B., Stalin C. S., Sahayanathan S., 2020, MNRAS, 498, 5128
- Rajput B., Shah Z., Stalin C. S., Sahayanathan S., Rakshit S., 2021, MNRAS, 504, 1772
- Rakshit S., Stalin C. S., Muneer S., Neha S., Paliya V. S., 2017, ApJ, 835, 275
- Rani B., Gupta A. C., Joshi U. C., Ganesh S., Wiita P. J., 2010, ApJ, 719, L153
- Rani B., Gupta A. C., Joshi U. C., Ganesh S., Wiita P. J., 2011, MNRAS, 413, 2157
- Rani B., Krichbaum T. P., Lott B., Fuhrmann L., Zensus J. A., 2013, Advances in Space Research, 51, 2358
- Rani P., Stalin C. S., Rakshit S., 2017, MNRAS, 466, 3309
- Rani B., et al., 2018, ApJ, 858, 80
- Rieke G. H., Grasdalen G. L., Kinman T. D., Hintzen P., Wills B. J., Wills D., 1976, Nature, 260, 754
- Safna P. Z., Stalin C. S., Rakshit S., Mathew B., 2020, MNRAS, 498, 3578
- Sahu S., López Fortín C. E., Iglesias Martínez M. E., Nagataki S., Fernández de Córdoba P., 2020, MNRAS, 492, 2261
- Sandrinelli A., et al., 2017, A&A, 600, A132
- Sasada M., et al., 2011, PASJ, 63, 489
- Sbarrato T., Ghisellini G., Maraschi L., Colpi M., 2012, MNRAS, 421, 1764
- Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, A&A, 24, 337
- Sillanpaa A., Haarala S., Valtonen M. J., Sundelius B., Byrd G. G., 1988, ApJ, 325, 628
- Sillanpaa A., Takalo L. O., Kikuchi S., Kidger M., de Diego J. A., 1991, AJ, 101, 2017
- Smith A. G., Nair A. D., 1995, PASP, 107, 863
- Smith P. S., Montiel E., Rightley S., Turner J., Schmidt G. D., Jannuzi B. T., 2009, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:0912.3621
- Sorcia M., et al., 2013, ApJS, 206, 11
- Spinrad H., Smith H. E., 1975, ApJ, 201, 275
- Stalin C. S., Gopal-Krishna Sagar R., Wiita P. J., Mohan V., Pandey A. K., 2006, MNRAS, 366, 1337
- Stalin C. S., et al., 2009, MNRAS, 399, 1357
- Stocke J. T., Morris S. L., Gioia I. M., Maccacaro T., Schild R., Wolter A., Fleming T. A., Henry J. P., 1991, ApJS, 76, 813
- Tanihata C., Kataoka J., Takahashi T., Madejski G. M., 2004, ApJ, 601, 759
- Tripathi A., Gupta A. C., Aller M. F., Wiita P. J., Bambi C., Aller H., Gu M., 2021, MNRAS, 501, 5997
- Ulrich M. H., Kinman T. D., Lynds C. R., Rieke G. H., Ekers R. D., 1975, ApJ, 198, 261
- Urry C. M., 1996, in Miller H. R., Webb J. R., Noble J. C., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 110, Blazar Continuum Variability. p. 391 (arXiv:astroph/9609023)
- Urry C. M., Padovani P., 1995, PASP, 107, 803
- Vaughan S., Edelson R., Warwick R. S., Uttley P., 2003, MNRAS, 345, 1271
- Visvanathan N., Wills B. J., 1998, AJ, 116, 2119
- Vovk I., Neronov A., 2013, ApJ, 767, 103
- Wagner S. J., et al., 1996, AJ, 111, 2187
- Weaver Z. R., et al., 2020, ApJ, 900, 137
- Wehrle A. E., et al., 2016, ApJ, 816, 53
- Weistrop D., Shaffer D. B., Hintzen P., Romanishin W., 1985, ApJ, 292, 614
- Wierzcholska A., Zacharias M., Jankowsky F., Wagner S., 2019, in High Energy Phenomena in Relativistic Outflows VII. p. 27 (arXiv:1912.01880)

- Xiong D., Zhang H., Zhang X., Yi T., Bai J., Wang F., Liu H., Zheng Y., 2016, ApJS, 222, 24
- Xu J., Hu S., Webb J. R., Bhatta G., Jiang Y., Chen X., Alexeeva S., Li Y., 2019, ApJ, 884, 92
- Yuan Y.-H., Fan J.-H., Wu H., Hao J.-M., Huang W.-R., Liu X.-L., Huang H.-R., 2021, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 21, 138
- Zhang H., 2019, Galaxies, 7, 85
- Zhang Y.-H., Li J.-C., 2017, MNRAS, 469, 1682
- Zhang B.-K., Zhao X.-Y., Wang C.-X., Dai B.-Z., 2014, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 14, 933
- Zhang P.-f., Yan D.-h., Liao N.-h., Wang J.-c., 2017, ApJ, 835, 260
- Zhang H., Li X., Guo F., Giannios D., 2018, ApJ, 862, L25
- Zhang H., Li X., Giannios D., Guo F., Liu Y.-H., Dong L., 2020, ApJ, 901, 149
- Zhang Z., Gupta A. C., Gaur H., Wiita P. J., An T., Lu Y., Fan S., Xu H., 2021, ApJ, 909, 103
- de Diego J. A., Kidger M. R., Gonzalez-Perez J. N., Lehto H. J., 1997, A&A, 318, 331
- de Vaucouleurs G., de Vaucouleurs A., Corwin Herold G. J., Buta R. J., Paturel G., Fouque P., 1991, Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies