

CRITERION FOR SURJECTIVITY OF LOCALIZATION IN GALOIS COHOMOLOGY OF A REDUCTIVE GROUP OVER A NUMBER FIELD

MIKHAIL BOROVOI

With an appendix by Zev Rosengarten

ABSTRACT. Let G be a connected reductive group over a number field F , and let S be a set (finite or infinite) of places of F . We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the surjectivity of the localization map from $H^1(F, G)$ to the “direct sum” of the sets $H^1(F_v, G)$ where v runs over S . In the appendices, we give a new construction of the abelian Galois cohomology of a reductive group over a field of arbitrary characteristic.

RÉSUMÉ. Soit G un groupe réductif connexe sur un corps de nombres F , et soit S un ensemble (fini ou infini) de places de F . On donne une condition nécessaire et suffisante pour la surjectivité de l'application de localisation de $H^1(F, G)$ vers la “somme directe” des ensembles $H^1(F_v, G)$, où v parcourt S . Dans les appendices on donne une nouvelle construction de la cohomologie galoisienne abélienne d'un groupe réductif sur un corps de caractéristique quelconque.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let G be a (connected) reductive group over a number field F (we follow the convention of SGA3, where reductive groups are assumed to be connected). Let \bar{F} be a fixed algebraic closure of F . We denote by $\mathcal{V}(F)$ the set of places of F . For $v \in \mathcal{V}(F)$, we denote by F_v the completion of F at v . We refer to Serre's book [20] for the definition of the first Galois cohomology set $H^1(F, G)$.

In general, $H^1(F, G)$ is just a pointed set and has no natural groups structure. Let $H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G)$ denote the *abelian Galois cohomology group* of G introduced in [4, Section 2]; see also Labesse [14, Section 1.3]. This is an abelian group depending functorially on G and F . There is a canonical *abelianization map*

$$\text{ab}: H^1(F, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G).$$

We give a new, better construction of $H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G)$ in Appendix A.

Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{V}(F)$ be a subset (finite or infinite). We consider the localization map

$$(1.1.1) \quad H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G).$$

In fact this map takes values in the subgroup $\bigoplus_{v \in S} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \subseteq \prod_{v \in S} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G)$; see [4, Corollary 4.6]. Thus we obtain a localization map

$$(1.1.2) \quad \text{loc}_S^{\text{ab}}: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G).$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E72, 20G10, 20G20, 20G25, 20G30.

Key words and phrases. Linear algebraic group, number field, Galois cohomology, localization map, abelianization.

The author was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant 1030/22).

Similarly, consider the localization map

$$H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G).$$

In fact it takes values in the subset $\bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G)$ consisting of the families $(\xi_v)_{v \in S}$ with $\xi_v \in H^1(F_v, G)$ and such that $\xi_v = 1$ for all v except maybe finitely many of them. This well-known fact follows, for instance, from the corresponding assertion for (1.1.1) together with [4, Theorem 5.11 and Corollary 5.4.1]. Thus we obtain a localization map

$$(1.1.3) \quad \text{loc}_S: H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G).$$

We wish to find conditions under which the localization maps (1.1.2) and (1.1.3) are surjective.

1.2. We denote by $M = \pi_1(G)$ the *algebraic fundamental group of G* (also known as the Borovoi fundamental group of G) introduced in [4, Section 1], and also introduced by Merkurjev [16, Section 10.1] and Colliot-Thélène [8, Proposition-Definition 6.1]. See Subsection 2.3 for our definition of $\pi_1(G)$. This is a finitely generated abelian group, on which the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ naturally acts. Let E/F be a finite Galois extension in \overline{F} such that $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/E)$ acts on M trivially and that E has no real places. Then the Galois group $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(E/F)$ naturally acts on M and on the set of places $\mathcal{V}(E)$ of the field E .

1.3. We denote by $\mathfrak{U}_S^1(F, G)$ the cokernel of the homomorphism (1.1.2), that is,

$$\mathfrak{U}_S^1(F, G) = \text{coker} \left[\text{loc}_S^{\text{ab}}: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \right].$$

After explaining our notation in Section 2, we compute in Section 3 the finite abelian group $\mathfrak{U}_S^1(F, G)$ in terms of the action of Γ on M and on $\mathcal{V}(E) = \mathcal{V}_f(E) \cup \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$; see Corollary 3.8. See Subsection 2.4 for the notations \mathcal{V}_f and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Concerning the map loc_S of (1.1.3), in Section 3 we compute the image of this map; see Main Theorem 3.7. Using this result, we give a *criterion* (necessary and sufficient condition) for the map loc_S to be surjective; see Corollary 3.9. This is also a criterion for the vanishing of $\mathfrak{U}_S^1(F, G)$. Again, our criterion is given in terms of the action of Γ on M and on $\mathcal{V}(E) = \mathcal{V}_f(E) \cup \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$. Using this criterion, we give a simple proof of the result of Borel and Harder [2, Theorem 1.7] (see also Prasad and Rapinchuk [19, Proposition 1]) on the surjectivity of the map loc_S when G is semisimple and there exists a finite place v_0 of F outside S ; see Proposition 3.14 below.

Let Γ be a finite group. In Section 4, we construct an exact sequence arising from a short exact sequence of Γ -modules. In Section 5, using this exact sequence and Main Theorem 3.7, we generalize a result of Prasad and Rapinchuk giving a sufficient condition for the surjectivity of the localization map loc_S when G is reductive, in terms of the radical (largest central torus) of G ; see Theorem 5.1. As a particular case, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4 (of Theorem 5.1). *Let G be a reductive group over a number field F , and let C denote the radical of G (the identity component of the center of G). Let $S \subset \mathcal{V}(F)$ be a set of places of F . Assume that the F -torus C splits over a finite Galois extension of F of prime degree p and that there exists a finite place v_0 in the complement $S^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathcal{V}(F) \setminus S$ of S such that C does not split over F_{v_0} . Then the localization map loc_S of (1.1.3) is surjective.*

For $p = 2$ this assertion was earlier proved by Prasad and Rapinchuk [19, Proposition 2(b)].

1.5. Let G be a reductive group over a field F of characteristic 0. In [4], the author defined the abelian group $H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G)$ as a set in a canonical way as the Galois hypercohomology of a certain

crossed module. However, the definition of the structure of abelian group on $H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G)$ in [4] was complicated. In Appendix A, we define $H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G)$ (in arbitrary characteristic) following the letter of Breen to the author [7] and the article by Noohi [17] (written at the author's request), as the Galois hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^1(F, G_{\text{ab}})$ of a certain *stable* crossed module, that is, a crossed module endowed with a symmetric braiding. The structure of abelian group comes from the symmetric braiding. Note that our specific crossed module and specific symmetric braiding were constructed by Deligne [11].

In Appendix B, Zev Rosengarten shows that certain equivalences of crossed modules of algebraic groups over a field F of arbitrary characteristic induce equivalences on F_s -points where F_s is a separable closure of F . This permits us to use in Appendix A the *Galois* hypercohomology of these crossed modules rather than fppf hypercohomology.

2. NOTATION

2.1. Let A be an abelian group. We denote by A_{Tors} the torsion subgroup of A . We set $A_{\text{t.f.}} = A/A_{\text{Tors}}$, which is a torsion-free group.

2.2. Let Γ be a finite group, and let B be a Γ -module. We denote by B_Γ the group of coinvariants of Γ in B , that is,

$$B_\Gamma = B / \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\gamma^{-1} b_\gamma - b_\gamma) \mid b_\gamma \in B \right\}.$$

We write $B_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} := (B_\Gamma)_{\text{Tors}}$ (which is the torsion subgroup of B_Γ), $B_{\Gamma, \text{t.f.}} = B_\Gamma / B_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$ (which is a torsion-free group).

2.3. Let G be a reductive group over a field F . Let $[G, G]$ denote the commutator subgroup of G , which is semisimple. Let G^{sc} denote the universal cover of $[G, G]$, which is simply connected; see [3, Proposition (2.24)(ii)] or [10, Corollary A.4.11]. Following Deligne [11, Section 0.2], we consider the composite homomorphism

$$\rho: G^{\text{sc}} \twoheadrightarrow [G, G] \hookrightarrow G,$$

which in general is neither injective nor surjective.

For a maximal torus $T \subseteq G$, we write $T^{\text{sc}} = \rho^{-1}(T) \subseteq G^{\text{sc}}$ and consider the natural homomorphism

$$\rho: T^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow T.$$

We consider the algebraic fundamental group $M = \pi_1(G)$ of G defined by

$$\pi_1(G) = X_*(T) / \rho_* X_*(T^{\text{sc}})$$

where X_* denotes the cocharacter group. The Galois group $\text{Gal}(F_s/F)$ naturally acts on M , and the $\text{Gal}(F_s/F)$ -module M is well defined (does not depend on the choice of T up to a transitive system of isomorphisms); see [4, Lemma 1.2].

2.4. From now on (except for the appendices), F is a number field. We denote by $\mathcal{V}(F)$, $\mathcal{V}_f(F)$, $\mathcal{V}_\infty(F)$, $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}(F)$, and $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{C}(F)$ the sets of all places of F , of finite places, of infinite places, of real places, and of complex places, respectively.

Let E/F be a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(E/F)$; then Γ acts on $\mathcal{V}(E)$. If $w \in \mathcal{V}(E)$, we write Γ_w for the stabilizer of w in Γ ; then $\Gamma_w \cong \text{Gal}(E_w/F_v)$ where $v \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ is the restriction of w to F .

3. MAIN THEOREM

In this section we state and prove Main Theorem 3.7 computing the images of the localization maps (1.1.2) and (1.1.3). We deduce Corollary 3.8 computing the group $\mathcal{C}_S^1(F, G)$, and Corollary 3.9 giving a necessary and sufficient condition for the surjectivity of the localization map (1.1.3).

3.1. Let G be a reductive group over a number field F , and let $v \in \mathcal{V}_f(F)$ be a finite place of F . In [4] we computed $H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G)$. Write $M = \pi(G)$. Let E/F be a finite Galois extension in \overline{F} such that $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/E)$ acts on M trivially and that E has no real places. Write $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(E/F)$.

Theorem 3.2 ([4, Proposition 4.1(i) and Corollary 5.4.1]). *With the notation and assumptions of Subsection 3.1, for any **finite** place v of F there is a canonical isomorphism of abelian groups*

$$\alpha_v^{\text{ab}}: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}}$$

where w is a place of E over v , and a canonical bijection

$$\text{ab}_v: H^1(F_v, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G).$$

3.3. Let v be a finite place of F . We have a surjective (even bijective) map

$$\alpha_v: H^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\text{ab}_v} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_v^{\text{ab}}} M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}}.$$

We consider two composite maps with the same image

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_v^{\text{ab}}: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) &\xrightarrow{\alpha_v^{\text{ab}}} M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\omega_v} M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}, \\ \lambda_v: H^1(F_v, G) &\xrightarrow{\alpha_v} M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\omega_v} M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_v: M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} \rightarrow M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$ is the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $\Gamma_w \hookrightarrow \Gamma$. Since the maps α_v^{ab} and α_v are surjective (even bijective), and ω_v is a homomorphism, we see that the set $\text{im } \lambda_v^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \lambda_v$ is a subgroup of $M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$, namely, $\text{im } \lambda_v^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \lambda_v = \text{im } \omega_v$.

Let $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ be a complex place. We have zero maps

$$\lambda_v^{\text{ab}}: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) = \{1\} \rightarrow \{0\} \subseteq M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}, \quad \lambda_v: H^1(F_v, G) = \{1\} \rightarrow \{0\} \subseteq M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}.$$

Clearly, the set $\text{im } \lambda_v^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \lambda_v$ is a subgroup of $M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$, namely, the subgroup $\{0\}$.

3.4. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(F)$ be a real place; then Γ_w is a group of order 2, $\Gamma_w = \{1, \gamma\}$ where $\gamma = \gamma_w$ induces the nontrivial automorphism of E_w over F_v . We consider the Tate cohomology group

$$\widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M) = \{m \in M \mid \gamma m = -m\} / \{m' - \gamma m' \mid m' \in M\}.$$

We see immediately that the abelian group $\widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M)$ naturally embeds into M_{Γ_w} . If $m \in M$ is a (-1) -cocycle, that is, $\gamma m = -m$, then $2m = m + m = m - \gamma m$, whence $2 \cdot \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M) = 0$. We conclude that $\widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M)$ naturally embeds into $M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}}$.

There is a canonical surjective map of Kottwitz [13, Theorem 1.2] (see also [4, Theorem 5.4])

$$\text{ab}_v: H^1(F_v, G) \twoheadrightarrow H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G),$$

a canonical isomorphism of [6, Proposition 8.21]

$$H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M),$$

and a canonical embedding

$$\widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M) \hookrightarrow M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}}.$$

Thus we obtain composite maps

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_v^{\text{ab}} &: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M) \hookrightarrow M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}}, \\ \alpha_v &: H^1(F_v, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M) \hookrightarrow M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}},\end{aligned}$$

with the same image $\text{im } \alpha_v^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \alpha_v$, which is a subgroup of $M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}}$. Consider the composite maps with the same image

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_v^{\text{ab}} &: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_v^{\text{ab}}} M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\omega_v} M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}, \\ \lambda_v &: H^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\alpha_v} M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\omega_v} M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the set $\text{im } \alpha_v^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \alpha_v$ is a subgroup of $M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}}$, and ω_v is a homomorphism, we conclude that the set $\text{im } \lambda_v^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \lambda_v$ is a subgroup of $M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$, namely, $\text{im } \lambda_v^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \lambda_v = \omega_v(\widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M))$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{V}(F)$ be any subset, finite or infinite. Consider the summation maps*

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} &: \bigoplus_{v \in S} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \longrightarrow M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}, & \xi_S^{\text{ab}} = (\xi_v^{\text{ab}})_{v \in S} &\longmapsto \sum_{v \in S} \lambda_v^{\text{ab}}(\xi_v^{\text{ab}}), \\ \Sigma_S &: \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G) \longrightarrow M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}, & \xi_S = (\xi_v)_{v \in S} &\longmapsto \sum_{v \in S} \lambda_v(\xi_v).\end{aligned}$$

Then the sets $\text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}}$ and $\text{im } \Sigma_S$ are subgroups of $M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$, and they are equal.

Proof. Indeed, we have

$$\text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \Sigma_S = \langle \text{im } \lambda_v \rangle_{v \in S} \quad \text{where } \text{im } \lambda_v = \begin{cases} \text{im } \omega_v & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{V}_f(F), \\ \omega_v(\widehat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_w, M)) & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(F), \\ 0 & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(F). \end{cases}$$

Here we write $\langle \text{im } \lambda_v \rangle_{v \in S}$ for the subgroup of $M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$ generated by the subgroups $\text{im } \lambda_v$ for $v \in S$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *The following sequences are exact:*

$$(3.6.1) \quad H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G) \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{ab}}} \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{V}} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{ab}}} M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}},$$

$$(3.6.2) \quad H^1(F, G) \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_{\mathcal{V}}} \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{V}} H^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}}} M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}},$$

where for brevity we write \mathcal{V} for $\mathcal{V}(F)$.

Here (3.6.1) is an exact sequence of abelian groups, and (3.6.2) is an exact sequence of pointed sets.

Proof. In view of [4, Proposition 4.8], exact sequence (3.6.1) is actually a part of the exact sequence [4, (4.3.1)]. For (3.6.2), see [13, Proposition 2.6] or [4, Theorem 5.15]. \square

Main Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a reductive group over a number field F . Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{V} := \mathcal{V}(F)$ be a subset. Write $S^{\complement} = \mathcal{V} \setminus S$, the complement of S in \mathcal{V} . Then:*

$$(3.7.1) \quad \text{im } \text{loc}_S^{\text{ab}} = \left\{ \xi_S^{\text{ab}} \in \bigoplus_{v \in S} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \mid \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}}(\xi_S^{\text{ab}}) \in \text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} \cap \text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\complement}}^{\text{ab}} \right\},$$

$$(3.7.2) \quad \text{im } \text{loc}_S = \left\{ \xi_S \in \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G) \mid \Sigma_S(\xi_S) \in \text{im } \Sigma_S \cap \text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\complement}} \right\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, the sets $\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{ab}}}$ and $\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}$ are (equal) subgroups of $M_{\Gamma, \text{TorS}}$, and therefore it suffices to prove (3.7.1) with $(-\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}})$ instead of $\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{ab}}}$, and to prove (3.7.2) with $(-\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}})$ instead of $\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{ab}}}$. Now the corresponding assertions follow easily from the exactness of (3.6.1) and (3.6.2), respectively.

For the reader's convenience, we provide an easy proof of (3.7.2) with $(-\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}})$ instead of $\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{ab}}}$. Let

$$\xi_S = (\xi_v)_{v \in S} \in \text{im } \text{loc}_S \subseteq \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G),$$

that is, $\xi_S = \text{loc}_S(\xi)$ for some $\xi \in H^1(F, G)$. Write $\eta_{S^{\text{c}}} = (\eta_v)_{v \in S^{\text{c}}} = \text{loc}_{S^{\text{c}}}(\xi)$. Since the sequence (3.6.2) is exact, we have $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \text{loc}_{\mathcal{V}})(\xi) = 0$, whence

$$\Sigma_S(\xi_S) + \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}(\eta_{S^{\text{c}}}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_S(\xi_S) = -\Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}(\eta_{S^{\text{c}}}).$$

We conclude that $\Sigma_S(\xi_S) \in \text{im } \Sigma_S \cap (-\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}})$, as required.

Conversely, let an element $\xi_S = (\xi_v)_{v \in S} \in \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G)$ be such that

$$\Sigma_S(\xi_S) \in \text{im } \Sigma_S \cap (-\text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}).$$

Write $a = \Sigma_S(\xi_S)$. Then $-a \in \text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}$, that is,

$$-a = \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}(\eta_{S^{\text{c}}}) \quad \text{for some} \quad \eta_{S^{\text{c}}} = (\eta_v)_{v \in S^{\text{c}}} \in \bigoplus_{v \in S^{\text{c}}} H^1(F_v, G).$$

Define

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{V}} = (\zeta_v)_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \in \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{V}} H^1(F_v, G), \quad \zeta_v = \begin{cases} \xi_v & \text{if } v \in S, \\ \eta_v & \text{if } v \in S^{\text{c}}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}}(\zeta_{\mathcal{V}}) = a + (-a) = 0.$$

Since the sequence (3.6.2) is exact, we have $\zeta_{\mathcal{V}} = \text{loc}_{\mathcal{V}}(\zeta)$ for some $\zeta \in H^1(F, G)$. Then $\text{loc}_S(\zeta) = \xi_S$, whence $\xi_S \in \text{im } \text{loc}_S$, as required. \square

Corollary 3.8. *The homomorphism*

$$\chi_S^{\text{ab}}: \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{V}} H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_S^{\text{ab}}} \text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow \text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} / (\text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} \cap \text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}^{\text{ab}})$$

induces a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{U}_S^1(F, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} / (\text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} \cap \text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}^{\text{ab}}).$$

Proof. The homomorphism χ_S^{ab} is clearly surjective, and by Theorem 3.7 its kernel is the image $\text{im } \text{loc}_S^{\text{ab}}$ of the localization homomorphism loc_S^{ab} of (1.1.2). The corollary follows. \square

Corollary 3.9. *The localization map loc_S of (1.1.3) is surjective if and only if*

$$(3.9.1) \quad \text{im } \Sigma_S \subseteq \text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}.$$

Proof. Consider the map

$$\chi_S: \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_S} \text{im } \Sigma_S \longrightarrow \text{im } \Sigma_S / (\text{im } \Sigma_S \cap \text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}).$$

By Lemma 3.5 the sets $\text{im } \Sigma_S = \text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}}$ and $\text{im } \Sigma_S \cap \text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}} = \text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} \cap \text{im } \Sigma_{S^{\text{c}}}^{\text{ab}}$ are abelian groups. The morphism of pointed sets χ_S is clearly surjective, and by Theorem 3.7 its kernel is $\text{im } \text{loc}_S$. We see that the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) the map loc_S is surjective, that is, $\text{im } \text{loc}_S = \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G)$;
- (b) $\ker \chi_S = \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, G)$;
- (c) $\#(\text{im } \chi_S) = 1$;
- (d) $\text{im } \Sigma_S \cap \text{im } \Sigma_{S^c} = \text{im } \Sigma_S$;
- (e) $\text{im } \Sigma_S \subseteq \text{im } \Sigma_{S^c}$.

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.10. Since by Lemma 3.5 we have $\text{im } \Sigma_S^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \Sigma_S$ and $\text{im } \Sigma_{S^c}^{\text{ab}} = \text{im } \Sigma_{S^c}$, we see from (d) in the proof above and from Corollary 3.8 that the localization map loc_S of (1.1.3) is surjective if and only if $\mathcal{C}_S^1(F, G) = \{1\}$.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}(F)$, $S = \mathcal{V}(F) \setminus \{v_0\}$. Then the localization map loc_S of (1.1.3) is surjective if and only if*

$$(3.11.1) \quad \text{im } \lambda_v \subseteq \text{im } \lambda_{v_0} \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{V}(F).$$

Proof. Indeed, in our case condition (3.11.1) is equivalent to (3.9.1), and we conclude by Corollary 3.9. \square

Corollary 3.12. *For a subset $S \subset \mathcal{V}(F)$, let $v_0 \in S^c$, and assume that*

$$(3.12.1) \quad \text{im } \lambda_v \subseteq \text{im } \lambda_{v_0} \quad \text{for all } v \in S.$$

Then the localization map loc_S of (1.1.3) is surjective.

Proof. Indeed, (3.12.1) implies (3.9.1), and we conclude by Corollary 3.9. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Let $v_0 \in S^c$, and assume that the map $\lambda_{v_0}: H^1(F_{v_0}, G) \rightarrow M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$ is surjective. Then the localization map loc_S of (1.1.3) is surjective.*

Proof. Indeed, then

$$\text{im } \Sigma_S \subseteq M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} = \text{im } \lambda_{v_0} \subseteq \text{im } \Sigma_{S^c},$$

and we conclude by Corollary 3.9. \square

Proposition 3.14 (Borel and Harder [2, Theorem 1.7]). *Let G be a **semisimple** group over a number field F , and let $S \subset \mathcal{V}(F)$ be a subset such that the complement S^c of S contains a **finite** place $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}_f(F)$. Then the localization map loc_S of (1.1.3) is surjective.*

Proof. Since G is semisimple, the Γ -module M is finite, and so are the groups M_Γ and M_{Γ_w} where w is a place of E over v_0 . It follows that

$$M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} = M_{\Gamma_w} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} = M_\Gamma.$$

The natural homomorphism $M_{\Gamma_w} \rightarrow M_\Gamma$ is clearly surjective. Therefore, the homomorphism

$$\omega_{v_0}: M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} = M_{\Gamma_w} \longrightarrow M_\Gamma = M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$$

is surjective. Since v_0 is finite, we have $\text{im } \lambda_{v_0} = \text{im } \omega_{v_0}$, whence the map λ_{v_0} is surjective. We conclude by Corollary 3.13. \square

4. EXACT SEQUENCE

In this section we construct an exact sequence that we shall use in Section 5.

Theorem 4.1. *A finite group Γ and a short exact sequence of Γ -modules*

$$(4.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow B_1 \xrightarrow{i} B_2 \xrightarrow{j} B_3 \rightarrow 0$$

give rise to an exact sequence

$$(4.1.2) \quad (B_1)_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} \xrightarrow{i_*} (B_2)_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} \xrightarrow{j_*} (B_3)_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \\ \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (B_1)_{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (B_2)_{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{j_*} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (B_3)_{\Gamma} \rightarrow 0$$

depending functorially on Γ and on the sequence (4.1.1).

4.2. We specify the homomorphism δ . Let $x_3 \in B_3$ be such that the image $(x_3)_{\Gamma}$ of x_3 in $(B_3)_{\Gamma}$ is contained in $(B_3)_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$. This means that there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $y_{3,\gamma} \in B_3$ such that

$$nx_3 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\gamma y_{3,\gamma} - y_{3,\gamma}).$$

We lift x_3 to some $x_2 \in B_2$, we lift each $y_{3,\gamma}$ to some $y_{2,\gamma} \in B_2$, and we consider the element

$$z_2 = nx_2 - \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\gamma y_{2,\gamma} - y_{2,\gamma}).$$

Then $j(z_2) = 0 \in B_3$, whence $z_2 = i(z_1)$ for some $z_1 \in B_1$. We consider the image $(z_1)_{\Gamma, \text{t.f.}}$ of $z_1 \in B_1$ in $(B_1)_{\Gamma, \text{t.f.}}$, and we put

$$\delta((x_3)_{\Gamma}) = \frac{1}{n} \otimes (z_1)_{\Gamma, \text{t.f.}} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (B_1)_{\Gamma, \text{t.f.}} = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (B_1)_{\Gamma}$$

where we write $\frac{1}{n}$ for the image in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} of $\frac{1}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Below we give the proof of Theorem 4.1 suggested by Vladimir Hinich (private communication). For another proof, due to Alexander Petrov, see [18].

4.3. *Proof Theorem 4.1 due to Vladimir Hinich.* The functor from the category Γ -modules to the category of abelian groups

$$B \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B_{\Gamma}$$

is the same as

$$B \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\Lambda} B$$

where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ is the group ring of Γ . From the short exact sequence of Γ -modules (4.1.1), we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, B_1) \xrightarrow{i_*} \text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, B_2) \xrightarrow{j_*} \text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, B_3) \xrightarrow{\delta} \\ \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\Lambda} B_1 \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\Lambda} B_2 \xrightarrow{j_*} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\Lambda} B_3 \rightarrow 0$$

depending functorially on Γ and on (4.1.1); see Weibel [21]. Now Theorem 4.1 follows from the next proposition. \square

Proposition 4.4. *For a finite group Γ and a Γ -module B , there is a canonical and functorial isomorphism*

$$\text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$$

where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$.

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

regarded as a short exact sequence of Γ -modules with trivial action of Γ . Tensoring with B , we obtain a long exact sequence

$$(4.4.1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_\Lambda B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_\Lambda B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\Lambda B \rightarrow 0.$$

We have canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\Lambda B = B_\Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \ker [\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\Lambda B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_\Lambda B] = B_{\Gamma, \mathrm{Tors}}.$$

By Lemma 4.5 below, we have $\mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}, B) = 0$, and the proposition follows from (4.4.1). \square

Lemma 4.5. *For a finite group Γ and any Γ -module B , we have*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}, B) = 0$$

where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$.

Proof. Let

$$P_\bullet : \quad \cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

be a Λ -free resolution of the trivial Γ -module \mathbb{Z} , for example, the standard complex; see Atiyah and Wall [1, Section 2]. Tensoring with \mathbb{Q} over \mathbb{Z} , we obtain a flat resolution of \mathbb{Q}

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with B over $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$, we obtain the complex $(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_\bullet) \otimes_\Lambda B$:

$$(4.5.1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_2) \otimes_\Lambda B \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_1) \otimes_\Lambda B \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_0) \otimes_\Lambda B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_\Lambda B \rightarrow 0.$$

By definition, $\mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}, B)$ is the first homology group of this complex.

However, we can obtain the complex (4.5.1) from P_\bullet by tensoring first with B over Λ , and after that with \mathbb{Q} over \mathbb{Z} :

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (P_\bullet \otimes_\Lambda B) \cong (\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_\bullet) \otimes_\Lambda B.$$

Since \mathbb{Q} is a flat \mathbb{Z} -module, we obtain canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}, B) \cong \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}, B) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(\Gamma, B).$$

Now, since the group Γ is finite, the abelian group $H_1(\Gamma, B)$ is killed by multiplication by $\#\Gamma$; see, for instance, Atiyah and Wall [1, Section 6, Corollary 1 of Proposition 8]. It follows that $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(\Gamma, B) = 0$. Thus $\mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\mathbb{Q}, B) = 0$, which completes the proofs of Lemma 4.5, Proposition 4.4, and Theorem 4.1. \square

Alternatively, one can check directly that the map δ constructed in Subsection 4.2 is well-defined (does not depend on the choices made) and that the sequence (4.1.2) is exact.

5. SURJECTIVITY FOR A REDUCTIVE GROUP WITH NICE RADICAL

In this section we prove the following theorem that gives a sufficient condition for the surjectivity of the localization map (1.1.3) for a reductive F -group G in terms of the radical (largest central torus) of G .

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a reductive group over a number field F , and let C denote the radical of G . Write $\overline{G} = G/C$, which is a semisimple group, and consider the short exact sequence of fundamental groups [4, Lemma 1.5]*

$$0 \rightarrow M_C \rightarrow M \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$M_C = \pi_1(C) = \mathbf{X}_*(C), \quad M = \pi_1(G), \quad \overline{M} = \pi_1(\overline{G}).$$

We define $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ for M as in Subsection 3.1. Let $S \subset \mathcal{V}(F)$ be a subset, and assume that $S^{\mathbb{G}}$ contains a **finite** place v_0 such that

$$(5.1.1) \quad \text{im}[\Gamma_w \rightarrow \text{Aut } M_C] = \text{im}[\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut } M_C]$$

where w is a place of E over v_0 . Then the localization map loc_S of (1.1.3) is surjective.

Proof. It follows from (5.1.1) that $(M_C)_{\Gamma_w} = (M_C)_{\Gamma}$, whence

$$(M_C)_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} = (M_C)_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (M_C)_{\Gamma_w} = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (M_C)_{\Gamma}.$$

Using Theorem 4.1, we construct an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (M_C)_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} & \longrightarrow & M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} & \longrightarrow & \overline{M}_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (M_C)_{\Gamma_w} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \omega & & \downarrow \overline{\omega} & & \parallel \\ (M_C)_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} & \longrightarrow & M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} & \longrightarrow & \overline{M}_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (M_C)_{\Gamma} \end{array}$$

Since \overline{G} is semisimple, its algebraic fundamental group \overline{M} is finite, and therefore the homomorphism $\overline{\omega}$ in the diagram above is surjective; see the proof of Proposition 3.14. By a four lemma, the homomorphism

$$\omega = \omega_{v_0} : M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} \rightarrow M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$$

is surjective as well. Since v_0 is finite, the map

$$\alpha_{v_0} : H^1(F_{v_0}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_{v_0}, G) \rightarrow M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}}$$

is bijective, and therefore the map

$$\lambda_{v_0} : H^1(F_{v_0}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ab}}^1(F_{v_0}, G) \rightarrow M_{\Gamma_w, \text{Tors}} \xrightarrow{\omega} M_{\Gamma, \text{Tors}}$$

is surjective. We conclude by Corollary 3.13. \square

Corollary 5.2 (Prasad and Rapinchuk [19, Proposition 2(a)]). *Let G be a reductive group over a number field F , and let C denote the radical of G . Assume that the F -torus C is split and that $S^{\mathbb{G}}$ contains a finite place v_0 . Then the localization map loc_S of (1.1.3) is surjective.*

Proof. We define E , Γ , and Γ_w for $M = \pi_1(G)$ as in Subsection 3.1. Then $\text{im}[\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut } M_C] = \{1\}$, and hence (5.1.1) holds. We conclude by Theorem 5.1. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.4. We define E , Γ , and Γ_w for $M = \pi_1(G)$ as in Subsection 3.1. We have

$$\text{im}[\Gamma_w \rightarrow \text{Aut } M_C] \subseteq \text{im}[\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut } M_C], \quad \# \text{im}[\Gamma_w \rightarrow \text{Aut } M_C] \nmid p, \quad \text{im}[\Gamma_w \rightarrow \text{Aut } M_C] \neq \{1\}.$$

It follows that (5.1.1) holds. We conclude by Theorem 5.1. \square

APPENDIX A. ABELIANIZATION

A.1. Let G be a reductive group over a field F of arbitrary characteristic. We consider the homomorphism $\rho: G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G$ of Subsection 2.3.

The group G acts by conjugation on itself on the left, and by functoriality G acts on G^{sc} . We obtain an action

$$\theta: G \times G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G^{\text{sc}}, \quad (g, s) \mapsto {}^g s.$$

On \overline{F} -points, if $s \in G^{\text{sc}}(\overline{F})$, $g_1 \in G(\overline{F})$, $g_1 = \rho(s_1) \cdot z_1$ with $s_1 \in G^{\text{sc}}(\overline{F})$, $z_1 \in Z_G(\overline{F})$, then

$$\theta(g_1, s) = {}^{g_1} s = s_1 s s_1^{-1}.$$

Since the groups G and G^{sc} are smooth, this formula uniquely determines θ . The action θ has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho({}^{(s)} s') &= s s' s^{-1}, \\ \rho({}^{g_1} s') &= g_1 \rho(s') g_1^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $g_1 \in G(\overline{F})$, $s, s' \in G^{\text{sc}}(\overline{F})$. In other words, $(G^{\text{sc}}, G, \rho, \theta)$ is a (left) *crossed module of algebraic groups*; see for instance [4, Definition 3.2.1]. We write it as $(G^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} G, \theta)$, and we regard it as a complex in degrees $-1, 0$. On F_s -points we obtain a $\text{Gal}(F_s/F)$ -equivariant crossed module $(G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \xrightarrow{\rho} G(F_s), \theta)$ where F_s is the separable closure of F in \overline{F} .

A.2. Deligne [11, Section 2.0.2] noticed that the commutator map

$$[,]: G \times G \rightarrow G, \quad g_1, g_2 \mapsto [g_1, g_2] := g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}$$

lifts to a certain map (morphism of F -varieties)

$$\{, \}: G \times G \rightarrow G^{\text{sc}}, \quad g_1, g_2 \mapsto \{g_1, g_2\}$$

as follows. The commutator map

$$G^{\text{sc}} \times G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G^{\text{sc}}, \quad s_1, s_2 \mapsto [s_1, s_2] := s_1 s_2 s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1}$$

clearly factors via a morphism of F -varieties

$$(G^{\text{sc}})^{\text{ad}} \times (G^{\text{sc}})^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow G^{\text{sc}}$$

where $(G^{\text{sc}})^{\text{ad}} = G^{\text{sc}}/Z_{G^{\text{sc}}}$ and $Z_{G^{\text{sc}}}$ denotes the center of G^{sc} . Identifying $(G^{\text{sc}})^{\text{ad}}$ with $G^{\text{ad}} := G/Z_G$, we obtain the desired morphism of F -varieties

$$\{, \}: G \times G \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}} \times G^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow G^{\text{sc}}.$$

On \overline{F} -points, if $g_1, g_2 \in G(\overline{F})$, $g_1 = \rho(s_1)z_1$, $g_2 = \rho(s_2)z_2$ where $s_1, s_2 \in G^{\text{sc}}(\overline{F})$, $z_1, z_2 \in Z_G(\overline{F})$, then

$$\{g_1, g_2\} = [s_1, s_2] = s_1 s_2 s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1}.$$

Since G and G^{sc} are smooth, this formula uniquely determines $\{, \}$. The constructed map $\{, \}$ satisfies the following equalities of Conduché [9, (3.11)]:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\{g_1, g_2\}) &= [g_1, g_2]; \\ \{\rho(s_1), \rho(s_2)\} &= [s_1, s_2]; \\ \{g_1, g_2\} &= \{g_2, g_1\}^{-1}; \\ \{g_1 g_2, g_3\} &= \{g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}, g_1 g_3 g_1^{-1}\} \{g_1, g_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the map $\{, \}$ is a *symmetric braiding* of the crossed module $(G^{\text{sc}}, G, \rho, \theta)$. We denote by G_{ab} the corresponding *stable* (=symmetrically braided) crossed module:

$$G_{\text{ab}} = (G^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} G, \theta, \{, \}).$$

Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of reductive F -groups. It induces a homomorphism $\varphi^{\text{sc}}: G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow H^{\text{sc}}$. It is easy to see that

$$\varphi^{(g)}\varphi^{\text{sc}}(s) = \varphi^{\text{sc}}(g s) \quad \text{for all } g \in G(\overline{F}), s \in G^{\text{sc}}(\overline{F}).$$

Thus we obtain a morphism of crossed modules

$$(G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G, \theta_G) \rightarrow (H^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow H, \theta_H)$$

with obvious notations. Moreover, we have

$$\{\varphi(g_1), \varphi(g_2)\}_H = \varphi^{\text{sc}}(\{g_1, g_2\}_G) \quad \text{for all } g_1, g_2 \in G(\overline{F})$$

with obvious notations; see [5] for a proof. Thus we obtain a morphism of stable crossed modules

$$(A.2.1) \quad (G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G, \theta_G, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_G) \longrightarrow (H^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow H, \theta_H, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_H).$$

A.3. In this appendix, we denote by H^1 and \mathbb{H}^1 the first *Galois* cohomology and hypercohomology. One can define the first Galois (hyper)cohomology of the $\text{Gal}(F_s/F)$ -equivariant crossed module

$$(A.3.1) \quad \mathbb{H}^1(F, G^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} G, \theta) := \mathbb{H}^1(\text{Gal}(F_s/F), G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \xrightarrow{\rho} G(F_s), \theta);$$

see [4, Section 3] or Noohi [17, Section 4]. A priori it is just a pointed set. However, using the symmetric braiding $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, one can define a structure of abelian group on the pointed set (A.3.1); see Noohi [17, Corollaries 4.2 and 4.5]. We denote the obtained abelian group by

$$H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G) = \mathbb{H}^1(F, G_{\text{ab}}) := \mathbb{H}^1(\text{Gal}(F_s/F), G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \xrightarrow{\rho} G(F_s), \theta, \{\cdot, \cdot\}).$$

A homomorphism of reductive F -groups $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ induces a morphism of stable crossed modules (A.2.1), which in turn induces a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\varphi_{\text{ab}}: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, H).$$

Thus $G \rightsquigarrow H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G)$ is a functor from the category of reductive F -group to the category of abelian groups.

A.4. The morphism of crossed modules (but not of stable crossed modules)

$$i_G: (1 \rightarrow G) \hookrightarrow (G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G)$$

induces a morphism of pointed sets

$$(i_G)_*: \mathbb{H}^1(F, 1 \rightarrow G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(F, G^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} G).$$

The *abelianization map* is the composite morphism of pointed sets

$$\text{ab}: H^1(F, G) = \mathbb{H}^1(F, 1 \rightarrow G) \xrightarrow{(i_G)_*} \mathbb{H}^1(F, G^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} G, \theta) = \mathbb{H}^1(F, G_{\text{ab}}) =: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G).$$

Here $\mathbb{H}^1(F, G^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} G, \theta)$ and $\mathbb{H}^1(F, G_{\text{ab}})$ are the same sets, but $\mathbb{H}^1(F, G_{\text{ab}})$ is endowed with the structure of abelian group coming from the symmetric braiding $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$.

A.5. For a maximal torus $T \subseteq G$, we consider the homomorphism

$$\rho: T^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow T$$

of Subsection 2.3, which we regard as a stable crossed module with the trivial action θ_T of T on T^{sc} and the trivial symmetric braiding $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_T: T \times T \rightarrow T^{\text{sc}}$. We may and shall identify the first Galois hypercohomology of this stable crossed module with the usual first Galois hypercohomology of the complex $T^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} T$ in degrees $-1, 0$:

$$\mathbb{H}^1(F, T^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} T, \theta_T, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_T) = \mathbb{H}^1(F, T^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} T).$$

The morphism of stable crossed modules

$$(A.5.1) \quad j_T: (T^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} T, \theta_T, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_T) \hookrightarrow (G^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} G, \theta, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$$

is an *equivalence* (quasi-isomorphism), that is, it induces isomorphisms of F -group schemes

$$\ker[T^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow T] \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker[G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{coker}[T^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow T] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coker}[G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G].$$

Following an idea sketched by Labesse and Lemaire [15], we observe that (A.5.1) induces isomorphisms on groups of F_s -points

$$\begin{aligned} \ker [T^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow T(F_s)] &\xrightarrow{\sim} \ker [G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow G(F_s)] \\ \text{coker} [T^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow T(F_s)] &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coker} [G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow G(F_s)] \end{aligned}$$

(in arbitrary characteristic); see Theorem B.1 in Appendix B below. It follows that the induced map on Galois hypercohomology

$$(j_T)_*: \mathbb{H}^1(F, T^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(F, G^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} G, \theta, \{, \}) =: H_{\text{ab}}^1(F, G)$$

is an isomorphism of abelian groups; see Noohi [17, Proposition 5.6]. This shows that the abelian group structure on the pointed set $\mathbb{H}^1(F, G^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\rho} G, \theta)$ defined using the bijection $(j_T)_*$ (as in [4, Section 3.8]) coincides with the abelian group structure defined by the symmetric braiding $\{, \}$.

Remark A.6. González-Avilés [12] defined the abelian fppf cohomology group $H_{\text{fppf, ab}}^1(X, G)$ and the abelianization map

$$\text{ab}: H_{\text{fppf}}^1(X, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppf, ab}}^1(X, G)$$

for a reductive group scheme G over an arbitrary base scheme X , which includes the case of a reductive group over a field F of arbitrary characteristic. However, his definition uses the center Z_G of G , and hence it is functorial only with respect to the *normal* homomorphisms $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ (homomorphisms with normal image, hence sending Z_{G_1} to Z_{G_2}), whereas our definition above (over a field only) is functorial with respect to all homomorphisms.

APPENDIX B. EQUIVALENCE ON F_s -POINTS IN ARBITRARY CHARACTERISTIC

Zev Rosengarten

In this appendix we prove the following theorem:

Theorem B.1. *Let F be a field of arbitrary characteristic and let F_s be a fixed separable closure of F . Let*

$$\rho: G^{\text{sc}} \twoheadrightarrow [G, G] \hookrightarrow G$$

be as in Subsection 2.3. Let $T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus. We write $T^{\text{sc}} = \rho^{-1}(T)$. Then the morphism of crossed modules

$$(T^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow T(F_s)) \longrightarrow (G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow G(F_s))$$

is an equivalence (quasi-isomorphism).

Proof. We must show that the maps

$$(B.1.1) \quad i_{\ker}: \ker [T^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow T(F_s)] \longrightarrow \ker [G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow G(F_s)]$$

and

$$(B.1.2) \quad i_{\text{cok}}: \text{coker} [T^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow T(F_s)] \longrightarrow \text{coker} [G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow G(F_s)]$$

are isomorphisms.

For (B.1.1), the injectivity is obvious. Moreover, any element of $\ker [G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow G(F_s)]$ lies in the preimage T^{sc} of T , hence it is an element of $T^{\text{sc}}(F_s)$ and of $\ker [T^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow T(F_s)]$, which gives the surjectivity of i_{\ker} .

We prove the injectivity of (B.1.2). Let $[t] \in \text{coker} [T^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow T(F_s)]$, $t \in T(F_s)$, and $[t] \in \ker i_{\text{cok}}$; then $t = \rho(s)$ for some $s \in G^{\text{sc}}(F_s)$. Since $T^{\text{sc}} = \rho^{-1}(T)$, we see that $s \in T^{\text{sc}}(F_s)$, whence $[t] = 1$, as required.

We prove the surjectivity of (B.1.2). Let $C \subseteq G$ denote the radical (largest central torus) of G . Then the map

$$\psi: C \times G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G, \quad (c, s) \mapsto c \cdot \rho(s) \text{ for } c \in C, s \in G^{\text{sc}}$$

is surjective with central kernel $Z \cong \rho^{-1}(C \cap [G, G])$ (which might be non-smooth). We have an exact commutative diagram of F -group schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & C \times T^{\text{sc}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_T} & T \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & C \times G^{\text{sc}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

in which the maps on F_s -points

$$\psi_T: C(F_s) \times T^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow T(F_s) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi: C(F_s) \times G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) \rightarrow G(F_s)$$

might not be surjective. This diagram gives rise to an exact commutative diagram of fppf cohomology groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C(F_s) \times T^{\text{sc}}(F_s) & \xrightarrow{\psi_T} & T(F_s) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{fppf}}^1(F_s, Z) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{fppf}}^1(F_s, C \times T^{\text{sc}}) = 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ C(F_s) \times G^{\text{sc}}(F_s) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G(F_s) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{fppf}}^1(F_s, Z) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{fppf}}^1(F_s, C \times G^{\text{sc}}) = 1 \end{array}$$

in which the rightmost term in both rows is trivial because F_s is separably closed and the F -groups $C \times T^{\text{sc}}$, $C \times G^{\text{sc}}$ are smooth. The latter diagram shows that

$$G(F_s) = T(F_s) \cdot \psi(C(F_s) \times G^{\text{sc}}(F_s)) = T(F_s) \cdot C(F_s) \cdot \rho(G^{\text{sc}}(F_s)) = T(F_s) \cdot \rho(G^{\text{sc}}(F_s)),$$

whence the surjectivity of (B.1.2). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author is very grateful to Vladimir Hinich for his short proof of Theorem 4.1 (together with Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 4.5) included in this paper instead of the original lengthy proof of the author, and to Zev Rosengarten for his Appendix B. Both the author of the article and the author of the appendix thank the anonymous referee for his/her insightful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.F. Atiyah and C.T.C. Wall, *Cohomology of groups*, In Algebraic Number Theory (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965), 94–115. Thompson, Washington, D.C., 1967.
- [2] A. Borel and G. Harder, *Existence of discrete cocompact subgroups of reductive groups over local fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 298 (1978), 53–64.
- [3] A. Borel et J. Tits, *Compléments à l'article: “Groupes réductifs”*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 41 (1972), 253–276.
- [4] M. Borovoi, *Abelian Galois cohomology of reductive groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1998), no. 626.
- [5] M. Borovoi (<https://mathoverflow.net/users/4149/mikhail-borovoi>), *Is Deligne’s braiding functorial?*, URL (version: 2022-12-06): <https://mathoverflow.net/q/436002>.
- [6] M. Borovoi and D.A. Timashev, *Galois cohomology and component group of a real reductive group*, To appear in Israel J. Math., <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.13062>.
- [7] L. Breen, Letter to Borovoi of May 27, 1991.
- [8] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Résolutions flasques des groupes linéaires connexes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 618 (2008), 77–133.

- [9] D. Conduché, *Modules croisés généralisés de longueur 2*, Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983). J. Pure Appl. Algebra 34 (1984), no. 2–3, 155–178.
- [10] B. Conrad, O. Gabber, and G. Prasad, *Pseudo-reductive groups*, 2nd edition. New Mathematical Monographs, 26. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [11] P. Deligne, *Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques*, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, pp. 247–289, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [12] C.D. González-Avilés, *Abelian class groups of reductive group schemes*, Israel J. Math. 196 (2013), no. 1, 175–214.
- [13] R.E. Kottwitz, *Stable trace formula: elliptic singular terms*, Math. Ann. 275 (1986), no. 3, 365–399.
- [14] J.-P. Labesse, *Cohomologie, stabilisation et changement de base*, Appendix A by L. Clozel and Labesse, and Appendix B by L. Breen. Astérisque No. 257 (1999).
- [15] J.-P. Labesse and B. Lemaire, *On abelianized cohomology for reductive groups*, Appendix B to the paper by E. Lapid and Z. Mao “A conjecture on Whittaker-Fourier coefficients of cusp forms”, J. Number Theory 146 (2015), 448–505.
- [16] A.S. Merkurjev, *K-theory and algebraic groups*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Budapest, 1996), 43–72, Progr. Math., 169, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [17] B. Noohi, *Group cohomology with coefficients in a crossed module*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 10 (2011), no. 2, 359–404.
- [18] A. Petrov (SashaP) (<https://mathoverflow.net/users/39304/sashap>), *Is this exact sequence known?*, URL (version: 2022-09-04): <https://mathoverflow.net/q/429772>.
- [19] G. Prasad and A.S. Rapinchuk, *On the existence of isotropic forms of semi-simple algebraic groups over number fields with prescribed local behavior*, Adv. Math. 207 (2006), no. 2, 646–660.
- [20] J.-P. Serre, *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [21] C.A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

BOROVoi: RAYMOND AND BEVERLY SACKLER SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, 6997801 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL

Email address: borovoi@tauex.tau.ac.il

ROSENGARTEN: EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, 91904 JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

Email address: zev.rosengarten@mail.huji.ac.il