

IRREDUCIBLE CONTACT CURVES VIA GRAPH STRATIFICATION

GIOSUÈ MURATORE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the moduli space of contact stable maps to \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} of degree d admits a stratification parameterized by graphs. We use it to determine the number of irreducible rational contact curves in \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} with any Schubert condition. We give explicitly some of these invariants for \mathbb{P}^3 and \mathbb{P}^5 . We give another proof of the formula for the number of plane contact curves in \mathbb{P}^3 meeting the appropriate number of lines.

1. INTRODUCTION

A fast-growing area of mathematics is Contact Geometry, that is the study of manifold with a contact structure. First defined by [Kob59], a contact structure on a smooth complex manifold X of odd dimension is a corank 1 non-integrable holomorphic distribution. All projective spaces of odd dimension admit a (unique) contact structure.

The interest for these manifolds comes from real geometry. Calabi [Cal67] proved that the study of harmonic maps of spheres $f: S^2 \rightarrow S^4$ is equivalent, modulo an involution, to the study of holomorphic horizontal curves $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ of fixed degree. Roughly speaking, f minimizes the energy functional if f or $-f$ has a lift \tilde{f} , such that $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is integral with respect to the contact structure of \mathbb{P}^3 .

The enumeration of contact curves (i.e., rationally connected curves integral with respect to the contact structure) with geometric conditions started in [LV11]. Levcovitz and Vainsencher found, among other results, the number of contact plane curves of degree d meeting $3 + d$ general lines in \mathbb{P}^3 . On the other hand, the first systematic study of enumerative invariants of those curves started in [Mur22] (strengthening results from [Amo14]). The strategy was to consider the closed subscheme $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ of stable maps whose image is a contact curve. Certain Gromov-Witten invariants related to $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ are enumerative. Using localization, the author was able to give enumerative invariants of contact curves with any Schubert condition.

The motivation to this paper is that very few enumerative invariants are known for **irreducible** rational contact curves. The reason is that $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ has many irreducible components. As already pointed out in [Mur22, Remark 3.10], the subscheme of $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ parameterizing reducible curves has codimension 0. That is, the “boundary” of $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ is not a divisor, but a component purely of the same

Date: May 29, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14N10, 14C17, 53D10; Secondary 14H10, 14D22, 14L30.

Key words and phrases. Legendrian, contact, enumeration, symplectic, stable maps, rational curves.

dimension. So that, the enumerative invariants get contribution from all irreducible components.

In this paper we give a decomposition of $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ in components parameterized by graphs, with a complete description of the dimension of all components (Theorem 4.4). This stratification is induced by a similar one of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$. We use this stratification to give an explicit formula to compute the number of reducible contact curves with any dual graph (Corollary 5.2). Moreover, we are able to give another proof of Levcovitz and Vainsencher's result (Proposition 5.7).

In Tables 5.1 and 5.2, we give enumerative invariants of irreducible rational contact curves in \mathbb{P}^3 and \mathbb{P}^5 of low degree. The strategy is to subtract, from the number of contact curves, the number of reducibles contact curves with the same Schubert conditions. Note that the enumerative numbers of contact curves in \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} are all known thanks to [MS22].

An alternative way to get enumerative invariants of irreducible contact curves may be to use another moduli space, like the Hilbert scheme. We plan to pursue this research path in the future.

I would like to thank Carlos Florentino for his many suggestions. This problem came out from one of the many conversations I had with Israel Vainsencher during my work at UFMG. I would like to thank him for his time. I also thank Giordano Cotti, Angelo Lopez and Filippo Viviani for useful conversations. Finally, I thank Csaba Schneider for his computational support.

The author is supported by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, under the project: UIDP/04561/2020. The author is a member of GNSAGA (INdAM).

2. CONTACT STRUCTURES

All varieties in this article are defined over \mathbb{C} . In this section n is any non-negative integer.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional complex manifold. A contact structure on X is an open cover $\{U_i\}_i$ of X together with 1-forms α_i on each U_i such that:

- (1) at every point of U_i , the form $\alpha_i \wedge (d\alpha_i)^{\wedge n}$ is non-zero, and
- (2) if the intersection $U_i \cap U_j$ is non empty, then there exists a non-vanishing holomorphic function f_{ij} on $U_i \cap U_j$ such that $\alpha_i = f_{ij}\alpha_j$ on $U_i \cap U_j$.

A contact curve is a rationally connected curve $C \subset X$ such that any local 1-form α_i vanishes at every smooth point of $C \cap U_i$.

Remark 2.2. An equivalent definition is the following: A contact structure on X is a pair (X, L) where L is a line subbundle of Ω_X^1 such that if s is a non trivial local section of L , then $s \wedge (ds)^{\wedge n}$ is everywhere non-zero.

Any symplectic 1-form on \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} defines a contact structure on \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} by the projection $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$. Vice versa any contact structure on \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} is induced by a symplectic form on \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} . Let $\{x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_n\}$ be local coordinates of \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} . All symplectic 1-forms on \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} are conjugated to

$$\alpha := \sum_{i=0}^n x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i.$$

In particular, there exists a unique contact structure on \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} modulo conjugation. See [OSS11, 1.4.2].

Example 2.3. On \mathbb{P}^3 , the distribution induced by α assigns to $p = [a : b : c : d]$ the plane with equation

$$H_p := \{[X : Y : Z : W] \in \mathbb{P}^3 / bX - aY - dZ + cW = 0\}.$$

A curve is said to be a contact curve if the tangent line at each smooth point p is contained in the plane H_p . The contact curves of degree 1 in \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} are parameterized by the symplectic Grassmannian of isotropic 2-spaces of \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} . See [LV11] for other examples.

Remark 2.4. We do not require that a contact curve C is irreducible. Since the property of being contact is local, every component of C is contact in its own.

2.1. Symplectic group. Let us denote by $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ the set of square matrices M of order $2n+2$ with complex coefficients such that $M^T \Omega M = \Omega$, where Ω is the block matrix

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and I is the unit matrix of order $n+1$. The following facts are well-known:

- The set $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ is a subgroup of the group of matrices with determinant 1. The two groups coincide if and only if $n = 0$.
- The standard action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} is transitive, and preserves the 1-form α .

There exists an explicit description of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$. Let M be a block matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where A, B, C, D are matrices of order $n+1$. Then M is in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad C^T A = A^T C$$

$$(2.2) \quad A^T D - C^T B = I$$

$$(2.3) \quad D^T B = B^T D.$$

Main references are [FH91, Lecture 16] and [O'M78]. It follows that the standard action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} preserves the contact structure given by α . More generally, for any contact structure on \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} there is an action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ preserving it. This follows from the fact that all contact structures are equivalent by a change of coordinates.

Let $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^n$ be the standard open cover of \mathbb{P}^n . It is well known that there exist subgroups $\{H_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n , such that the action $H_i \curvearrowright U_i$ is the translation (see [GH78, 1.5]). Unfortunately, such subgroups do not preserve the contact form. The following proposition may be known to experts, but I could not find any reference.

Proposition 2.5. *Consider the standard action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} . For every $i = 0, \dots, 2n+1$ there exist*

- a subgroup $H_i < \mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$,
- an open subset $U_i \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$, and

- two isomorphisms $\varphi_i: \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \rightarrow H_i$ and $\phi_i: \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \rightarrow U_i$,

such that

- (1) U_i is H_i -invariant,
- (2) the action of H_i on U_i is free and transitive, and
- (3) the open subsets $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^{2n+1}$ form an open cover of \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} .

Proof. Let $\{x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} , and $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Let U_i be the open subset of \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} of vectors with coefficient of x_i non-zero, and let $\phi_i: \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \rightarrow U_i$ be the standard isomorphism.

Let us define the map $\varphi_i: \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ in the following way. For every $(\vec{a}, \vec{c}) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_n, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$, $\varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{c})$ is the linear map sending

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &\mapsto x_j + c_j y_i \\ x_i &\mapsto x_i + c_i y_i + \sum_{k \neq i} a_k x_k + c_k y_k \\ y_j &\mapsto y_j - a_j y_i \\ y_i &\mapsto y_i \end{aligned}$$

where $j = 0, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n$. It is an easy exercise to prove that $\varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{c})$ defines a linear map, whose inverse is $\varphi_i(-\vec{a}, -\vec{c})$ and $\varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{c})\varphi_i(\vec{b}, \vec{d}) = \varphi_i(\vec{q}, \vec{w})$ where

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} q_j &= a_j + b_j \\ w_j &= c_j + d_j \\ w_i &= c_i + d_i + \sum_{k \neq i} (c_k b_k - a_k d_k). \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, $\varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{c})$ fixes the 1-form $\sum_{k=0}^n x_k dy_k - y_k dx_k$ (see also Remark 2.6). Finally the coefficient of x_i is preserved, hence U_i is $\varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{c})$ -invariant. We proved that the map $\varphi_i: \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ is an injective morphism and $H_i = \varphi_i(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ is a subgroup acting on U_i .

Note that $\varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{c}) \cdot \phi_i(\vec{b}, \vec{d}) = \phi_i(\vec{q}, \vec{w})$ where \vec{q} and \vec{w} are the vectors whose coordinates are in Eq. (2.4). It follows that the isomorphism $\phi_i \circ \varphi_i^{-1}: H_i \rightarrow U_i$ is H_i -linear. Hence the action of H_i on U_i is free and transitive.

Now, we prove that such a construction works for every i . Suppose $n < i \leq 2n+1$ and let f be the involutive linear automorphism of \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} such that $f(x_k) = y_k$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$. Let U_i be the open subset of \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} of vectors with coefficient of y_{i-n-1} non-zero, and let $\phi_i: \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \rightarrow U_i$ be the standard isomorphism. Let us define $\varphi_i := f \circ \varphi_{i-n-1} \circ f$. It is clear that such a morphism satisfies the conditions, and $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^{2n+1}$ is the standard open cover. \square

Remark 2.6. We can see that $\varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{c})$ is a symplectic linear isomorphism by looking at its matrix description. With respect to the basis $\{x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_n\}$, let us consider the representation

$$\varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{c}) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where A, B, C, D are square matrices of order $(n+1)$. For example, when $i = 0$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ a_1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ a_n & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} C = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_n \\ c_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ c_n & & & \end{pmatrix} D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a_1 & \cdots & -a_n \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and B is the zero matrix. It is easy to see that they satisfy Eqs (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3).

3. CONTACT STABLE MAPS

3.1. Stable trees. We give an introduction to stable trees as in [BM96, Part I]. A graph τ is a quadruple $(F_\tau, V_\tau, \partial_\tau, j_\tau)$, where F_τ is a finite set of flags, V_τ is a finite set of vertices, $\partial_\tau: F_\tau \rightarrow V_\tau$ is a map, and $j_\tau: F_\tau \rightarrow F_\tau$ is an involution. The elements of $E_\tau := \{\{f, f'\} \subseteq F_\tau / f' = j_\tau(f) \neq f\}$ are called the edges of τ , and the elements of $L_\tau := \{f \in F_\tau / j_\tau(f) = f\}$ are called the leaves (or tails) of τ . For each vertex $v \in V_\tau$, its valence $n(v)$ is the cardinality of $F_\tau(v) := \partial_\tau^{-1}(\{v\})$.

An isomorphism of graphs $\varphi: \tau \rightarrow \sigma$ is a pair (φ_F, φ_V) , where $\varphi_F: F_\tau \rightarrow F_\sigma$ and $\varphi_V: V_\tau \rightarrow V_\sigma$ are bijective maps such that $\varphi_V \circ \partial_\tau = \partial_\sigma \circ \varphi_F$ and $\varphi_F \circ j_\tau = j_\sigma \circ \varphi_F$. A graph is connected if for every two vertices $v, v' \in V_\tau$, there exists a sequence of vertices $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^r$ such that

- for each $i = 1, \dots, r$, there exists an edge $e = \{f, f'\}$ such that $\partial_\tau(f) = v_{i-1}$, $\partial_\tau(f') = v_i$, and
- $v_0 = v, v_r = v'$.

Definition 3.1. A tree is a connected graph such that $|E_\tau| + |L_\tau| + |V_\tau| = 1 + |F_\tau|$.

For any pair (m, d) of non negative integers, a (m, d) -tree is the datum (τ, l_τ, d_τ) where τ is a tree, A_τ is a set, $|A_\tau| = m$, $l_\tau: L_\tau \rightarrow A_\tau$ is a bijective map, and $d_\tau: V_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a map such that $\sum_{v \in V_\tau} d_\tau(v) = d$ and $d_\tau(v) \geq 0$ for every $v \in V_\tau$.

Definition 3.2. A (m, d) -tree (τ, l_τ, d_τ) is stable if for each $v \in V_\tau$, either $d_\tau(v) \neq 0$ or $n(v) \geq 3$.

Two stable (m, d) -trees (τ, l_τ, d_τ) and $(\sigma, l_\sigma, d_\sigma)$ are isomorphic if there exist isomorphisms $\varphi: \tau \rightarrow \sigma$, $\theta: A_\tau \rightarrow A_\sigma$ such that $\theta \circ l_\tau = l_\sigma \circ \varphi_F$ and $d_\tau = d_\sigma \circ \varphi_V$. By abuse of notation, we may denote a stable (m, d) -tree (τ, l_τ, d_τ) simply by τ .

Definition 3.3. The group of automorphisms of the stable (m, d) -tree τ is denoted by $\text{Aut}(\tau)$.

The set of all stable (m, d) -tree, modulo isomorphism, is denoted by $\Gamma(m, d)$. It is finite by [GP06, Proposition 4.3]. The subset of those trees such that $d_\tau(v) > 0$ for every $v \in V_\tau$ is denoted by $\Gamma(m, d)^+$.

Definition 3.4. The unique stable (m, d) -tree with only one vertex v is denoted by τ_v .

Since each edge joints two vertices, in a stable (m, d) -tree τ we have

$$(3.1) \quad |F_\tau| - 2|V_\tau| = m - 2.$$

3.2. Stable maps. In this section by n we will denote any odd number.

A stable map is the datum (C, f, p_1, \dots, p_m) where C is a projective, connected, nodal curve of arithmetic genus 0, the markings p_1, \dots, p_m are distinct nonsingular points of C , and $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is a morphism such that $f_*([C])$ is a 1-cycle of degree d . Moreover, for every rational component $E \subseteq C$ mapped to a point, E contains at least three points among marked points and nodal points.

The dual graph τ of the stable map (C, f, p_1, \dots, p_m) is defined as follows.

- For each vertex $v \in V_\tau$, there is an irreducible component C_v of C .

- For each nodal point of C , there is an edge in τ connecting the vertices corresponding to those components.
- For each p_i , there is a leaf of τ attached to the vertex corresponding to the irreducible component containing p_i .
- There is a map $d_\tau: V_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_\tau(v)$ is the degree of the restriction of f to C_v .

A map $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ of genus 0 with m marked points and degree d is stable if and only if its dual graph is a stable (m, d) -tree [GP06, Proposition 4.4].

Let $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ be the coarse moduli space of irreducible stable maps of genus 0 to \mathbb{P}^n , and let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ be its compactification. Let us denote by ev_i the evaluation map $\text{ev}_i: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ at the marked point p_i . Given an isomorphism class τ of stable (m, d) -trees, the locus $\mathcal{M}(\tau) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ parameterizes maps whose dual graph is isomorphic to τ . It is locally closed and of codimension $|E_\tau|$. For example, the unique stable (m, d) -tree with only one vertex corresponds to $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$. There is a stratification of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ given by $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)$. Finally, there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{M}(\tau) \cong \mathcal{M}_\square(\tau)/\text{Aut}(\tau)$ where $\mathcal{M}_\square(\tau)$ is the fibred product

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_\square(\tau) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in V_\tau} \mathcal{M}_{0, F_\tau(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_\tau(v)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{P}^n)^{E_\tau \sqcup L_\tau} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^n)^{F_\tau}. \end{array}$$

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\tau)}$ the topological closure of $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$.

Remark 3.5. We may define a scheme $\overline{\mathcal{M}_\square(\tau)}$ using the same square of Eq. (3.2), but using $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, F_\tau(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_\tau(v))$ instead of $\mathcal{M}_{0, F_\tau(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_\tau(v))$. Such a scheme has a natural ramified map to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ of degree $\text{Aut}(\tau)$. The image is the closure of $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$. See [BM96] or [MM08].

Let us now focus on contact curves.

Definition 3.6. Let (X, L) be a contact structure. A contact stable map is a stable map (C, f, p_1, \dots, p_m) such that for any local section s of L , $f^*s = 0$.

In [Mur22] we proved the following properties:

- The moduli space of contact stable maps $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ is the zero locus of a vector bundle \mathcal{E} of rank $2d - 1$.
- If $d > 0$, the irreducible components of $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ are purely of dimension $d(n - 1) + n + m - 2$.
- The Gromov-Witten invariant

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m) \cdot c_{2d-1}(\mathcal{E}) = \int_{\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m)$$

is enumerative.

Enumerative means that if $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^n in general position such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \text{codim}(\Gamma_i) = \dim \mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$, then (3.3) equals the number of contact curves passing through all Γ_i .

If the stable map (C, f, p_1, \dots, p_m) contracts C , then it is contact. It follows that $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, 0) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, 0) \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m} \times \mathbb{P}^n$. Hence

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, 0)} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_1 \cdots \Gamma_m & m = 3 \\ 0 & m \neq 3. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.7. Let H be the generator of $H^2(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{Z})$. It can be defined a ‘‘contact potential’’ $\Phi \in \mathbb{Q}[[h_0, \dots, h_n]]$, like in the usual GW-potential:

$$\begin{aligned} I_d(m_0, \dots, m_n) &= \int_{\mathcal{S}_{m_0+\dots+m_n}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)} \prod_{i=0}^n \text{ev}^*(H^i)^{m_i} \\ \Phi &= \sum_{m_0+\dots+m_n \geq 3} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} I_d(m_0, \dots, m_n) \frac{h_0^{m_0}}{m_0!} \cdots \frac{h_n^{m_n}}{m_n!}. \end{aligned}$$

For example, in the case $n = 3$,

$$\Phi = h_0 h_1 h_2 + \frac{h_1^3}{3!} + \frac{h_0^2 h_3}{2!} + 2 \frac{h_1^3}{3!} + h_1 h_2 h_3 + 2 \frac{h_2 h_3^2}{2} + \dots.$$

The WDVV differential equations is the system of PDE:

$$\sum_{e=0}^n \Phi_{ije} \Phi_{kl(n-e)} = \sum_{e=0}^n \Phi_{jke} \Phi_{il(n-e)},$$

where $\Phi_{ijk} := \frac{\partial^3}{\partial h_i \partial h_j \partial h_k} \Phi$. The usual GW-potential satisfies the WDVV equations [KM94]. The contact potential does not. In order to see this, it is enough to compute all derivatives Φ_{ijk} , and evaluate them at 0. There are not known differential equations satisfied by Φ .

4. STRATIFICATION OF THE MODULI OF CONTACT STABLE MAPS

Definition 4.1. For every $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ the space given by the intersection $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \cap \mathcal{M}(\tau)$.

We will denote by $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}$ the topological closure of $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$. The restriction of the evaluation maps $\text{ev}_i: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ to $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ or $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}$ will still be denoted by ev_i . We denote by $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)^\circ$ the open subset $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \cap \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$. The following result follows from Proposition 2.5. See also [Bag22, Proposition 3.8].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)$. The map $\text{ev}_i: \mathcal{S}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is Zariski locally trivial.*

Proof. We start by proving that $\text{ev}_i: \mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is Zariski locally trivial. As we saw in Proposition 2.5, there exists an open cover of \mathbb{P}^n such that each open set U is isomorphic to a subgroup H of $\text{Sp}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$, in such a way that U is H -invariant and the action of H on U is free and transitive. This induces an action $\beta: H \times \text{ev}_i^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \text{ev}_i^{-1}(U)$ sending $(h, (C, f, p_1, \dots, p_m))$ to $(C, h \cdot f, p_1, \dots, p_m)$. Let $o \in U$ be a point. There exists a map $\gamma: H \times \text{ev}_i^{-1}(\{o\}) \rightarrow \text{ev}_i^{-1}(U)$ given by

$$H \times \text{ev}_i^{-1}(\{o\}) \rightarrow H \times \text{ev}_i^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \text{ev}_i^{-1}(U),$$

where the first map is the embedding and the second is β . Let us prove that γ is invertible. Since the action of H on U is free and transitive, there exists a unique isomorphism of varieties $g: U \rightarrow H$ such that $g(x) \cdot x = o$ for all $x \in U$. Let us

consider the map $((g \circ \text{ev}_i), \text{id}): \text{ev}_i^{-1}(U) \rightarrow H \times \text{ev}_i^{-1}(U)$. The composition of that map with β has image contained in $H \times \text{ev}_i^{-1}(\{o\})$ and it is the inverse of f .

Since H preserves the dual graph of any contact stable map, it induces an action on any $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$, in such a way that $\text{ev}_i: \mathcal{S}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is Zariski locally trivial by restriction. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)$. Then $\mathcal{S}(\tau) \cong \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)/\text{Aut}(\tau)$ where $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)$ is the fibred product*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in V_{\tau}} \mathcal{S}_{F_{\tau}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\tau}(v))^{\circ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{P}^n)^{E_{\tau} \sqcup L_{\tau}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^n)^{F_{\tau}}. \end{array}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)$ are purely of the same dimension.

Proof. By standard properties of fibred product (e.g., [GW20, Proposition 4.16]), there is a double fibred product diagram involving $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\square}(\tau) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^n)^{E_{\tau} \sqcup L_{\tau}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{v \in V_{\tau}} \mathcal{S}_{F_{\tau}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\tau}(v))^{\circ} & \hookrightarrow & \prod_{v \in V_{\tau}} \mathcal{M}_{0, F_{\tau}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\tau}(v)) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^n)^{F_{\tau}}. \end{array}$$

That is, $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)$ is embedded in $\mathcal{M}_{\square}(\tau)$ and it is $\text{Aut}(\tau)$ -invariant. This induces an action of $\text{Aut}(\tau)$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)$, in particular $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)/\text{Aut}(\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\tau)$. As we said in Remark 2.4, a reducible curve is contact if and only if each one of its components is contact in its own. That is, if $(C, f, p_1, \dots, p_m) \in \mathcal{M}(\tau)$ is contact then for every irreducible component $C_i \subseteq C$, the restriction $f|_{C_i}: C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is contact too. Thus both $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)/\text{Aut}(\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)/\text{Aut}(\tau)$ are true.

The fact that $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)$ is purely dimensional follows from Proposition 4.2. Indeed, each $\mathcal{S}_{F_{\tau}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\tau}(v))^{\circ}$ is purely dimensional, so each fiber F of the locally trivial map $\mathcal{S}_{F_{\tau}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\tau}(v))^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is also. It follows that \mathcal{S}_{\square} is locally isomorphic to $F \times U$ where U is an open subset of $(\mathbb{P}^n)^{E_{\tau} \sqcup L_{\tau}}$. Since $\text{Aut}(\tau)$ is finite, $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ is also purely dimensional and $\dim \mathcal{S}(\tau) = \dim \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau)$. \square

Even if we use the fact that $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)^{\circ}$ is purely dimensional, we do not say that $\mathcal{S}_{F_{\tau}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\tau}(v))^{\circ}$ is irreducible. As already noted in the proof of [Mur22, Lemma 3.7], $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)^{\circ}$ is a quotient $\mathcal{M}_d/\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ where \mathcal{M}_d is the moduli space of harmonic maps $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ we cited in Introduction. The manifold \mathcal{M}_d need to be irreducible [Loo99, KL98]. In the same Lemma, it is claimed that

$$\dim(\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{P}^n, d_1) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{P}^n, d_2)) = \dim \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{P}^n, d_1) + \dim \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{P}^n, d_2) - n.$$

This follows from the fact the action of $\text{Sp}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{P}^n is transitive and preserves the fibers of $\text{ev}_1: \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{P}^n, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. So ev_1 is flat.

We are now ready to state the first important result of the paper.

Theorem 4.4. *Let n be an odd number and $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ be the moduli space of contact stable maps.*

- (1) *Every subspace $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ is locally closed in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$.*

- (2) There exists a stratification of $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ given by the union of all $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$.
 (3) For every $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)$, the spaces $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ are of pure codimension equal to $|V_\tau^0|$, where $V_\tau^0 = \{v \in V_\tau / d_\tau(v) = 0\}$.

In particular there exists a decomposition of $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ as union of components $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}$ for $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)^+$, so that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m) = \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)^+} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m).$$

Proof. The first two points follow from analogous properties of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$, so let us prove Point (3). By Proposition 4.3 we have

$$\dim \mathcal{S}(\tau) = n(|E_\tau| + |L_\tau| - |F_\tau|) + \sum_{v \in V_\tau} \dim \mathcal{S}_{F_\tau(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_\tau(v))^\circ.$$

Recall that if $d > 0$ (resp., $d = 0$) then $\dim \mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)^\circ = d(n-1) + n + m - 2$ (resp., $n + m - 3$). Thus the dimension of $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ is, using Eq. (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & n(1 - |V_\tau|) + \sum_{v \in V_\tau^0} \mathcal{S}_{F_\tau(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_\tau(v))^\circ + \sum_{v \in V_\tau \setminus V_\tau^0} \mathcal{S}_{F_\tau(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_\tau(v))^\circ \\ &= n(1 - |V_\tau|) + n|V_\tau| + |F_\tau| - 3|V_\tau^0| + d(n-1) - 2(|V_\tau| - |V_\tau^0|) \\ &= n + |F_\tau| - 2|V_\tau| - |V_\tau^0| + d(n-1) \\ &= d(n-1) + n + m - 2 - |V_\tau^0| \\ &= \dim \mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d) - |V_\tau^0|. \end{aligned}$$

In particular for those $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)^+$ we have $\dim \mathcal{S}(\tau) = \dim \mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$. Since $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ is purely of the expected dimension, it can be decomposed as union of $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}$ for $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)^+$. Equation (4.2) follows from linearity of the intersection product [Ful98, Example 1.8.1]. \square

In the next section, we will see how to use the theorem to compute all enumerative invariants of contact curves.

5. APPLICATIONS

Let us briefly introduce the decomposition of stable trees. Given a stable (m, d) -tree (τ, l_τ, d_τ) , for every edge $\{f, f'\} = e \in E_\tau$ there exist two unique stable trees $(\sigma, l_\sigma, d_\sigma)$ and $(\sigma', l_{\sigma'}, d_{\sigma'})$ with the following properties:

- there is a partition $F_\tau = F_\sigma \sqcup F_{\sigma'}$ such that $f \in F_\sigma$ and $f' \in F_{\sigma'}$,
- for every $x \in F_\tau \setminus \{f, f'\}$ such that $x \in F_\sigma$ (resp., $F_{\sigma'}$), $j_\sigma(x)$ (resp., $j_{\sigma'}(x)$) is equal to $j_\tau(x)$,
- f and f' are fixed points of, respectively, j_σ and $j_{\sigma'}$,
- $V_\sigma = \partial_\tau(F_\sigma)$ and $V_{\sigma'} = \partial_\tau(F_{\sigma'})$, and,
- d_σ (resp., $d_{\sigma'}$) is the restriction of d_τ to V_σ (resp., $V_{\sigma'}$).

It is immediate to deduce that $E_\tau \setminus \{e\} = E_\sigma \sqcup E_{\sigma'}$ and $L_\tau \sqcup \{f, f'\} = L_\sigma \sqcup L_{\sigma'}$. We will use the following notation: $E_\tau^* = E_\tau \setminus \{e\}$, $L_\sigma^* = L_\sigma \setminus \{f\}$ and $L_{\sigma'}^* = L_{\sigma'} \setminus \{f'\}$. The maps l_σ and $l_{\sigma'}$ are defined in the obvious way.

We will denote by $\tau = \sigma \times_e \sigma'$ the decomposition of τ with respect to the edge e . Note that every vertex v of σ or σ' has the same valence as a vertex of τ , so σ and σ' are always stable.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)$ such that $\tau = \sigma \times_e \sigma'$ for an edge $e = \{f, f'\}$. Then*

- (1) *There exists a projective variety $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau)$ and a map $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\tau)$ extending $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\tau)$.*
- (2) *There exist isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau) \cong \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\sigma'), \quad \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau) \cong \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\sigma').$$

Proof. Let us define $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau)$ as the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in V_{\tau}} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{F_{\tau}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\tau}(v))^{\circ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{P}^n)^{E_{\tau} \sqcup L_{\tau}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^n)^{F_{\tau}}. \end{array}$$

Point (1) follows from Remark 3.5: The image of the natural map $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau)$ is dense in every irreducible component of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau)$, and it factorizes the inclusion $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\square}(\tau)$. It follows that the restriction of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\square}(\tau) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ to $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau)$ maps to $\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\tau)$.

Let us prove Point (2). The isomorphism $V_{\tau} \cong V_{\sigma} \sqcup V_{\sigma'}$ preserves the valence of each vertex $v \in V_{\tau}$. So there exists an isomorphism

$$X := \prod_{v \in V_{\tau}} \mathcal{S}_{F_{\tau}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\tau}(v))^{\circ} \cong \prod_{v \in V_{\sigma}} \mathcal{S}_{F_{\sigma}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\sigma}(v))^{\circ} \times \prod_{v \in V_{\sigma'}} \mathcal{S}_{F_{\sigma'}(v)}(\mathbb{P}^n, d_{\sigma'}(v))^{\circ}.$$

Using the equality $F_{\tau} = F_{\sigma} \sqcup F_{\sigma'}$, it follows that we have a diagram

(5.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\sigma) \times \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\sigma') & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{P}^n)^e \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^{E_{\tau}^* \sqcup L_{\tau}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^n)^f \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^{f'} \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^{E_{\sigma} \sqcup L_{\sigma}^* \sqcup E_{\sigma'} \sqcup L_{\sigma'}^*} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^n)^{F_{\tau}}. \end{array}$$

The right-hand square is a fibred product diagram, it is obtained by (4.1) applied to σ and σ' . By applying again [GW20, Proposition 4.16], we deduce that also the left-hand square is a fibred product diagram. The immersion $(\mathbb{P}^n)^e \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^n)^f \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^{f'}$ is the diagonal, hence $\mathcal{S}_{\square}(\tau) \cong \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathcal{S}_{\square}(\sigma')$. The same proof applies equally well in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\tau) \cong \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\square}(\sigma')$. \square

The next corollary allows us to compute intersections in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\tau)$ recursively.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)$ such that $\tau = \sigma \times_e \sigma'$ for an edge $e = \{f, f'\}$. Then*

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\tau)} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m)$$

is equal to

(5.2)

$$\frac{|\text{Aut}(\sigma)| |\text{Aut}(\sigma')|}{|\text{Aut}(\tau)|} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma)} \prod_{i \in L_{\sigma}} \text{ev}_i^*(\Gamma_i) \cdot \text{ev}_f^*(H^{n-j}) \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma')} \prod_{i \in L_{\sigma'}} \text{ev}_i^*(\Gamma_i) \cdot \text{ev}_{f'}^*(H^j).$$

Proof. In Corollary 5.1 we proved that the map $\overline{\mathcal{S}_\square(\tau)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}$ is generically of degree $|\text{Aut}(\tau)|$, so by projection formula (see [Ful98, 1.4])

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m) = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\tau)|} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}_\square(\tau)}} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m).$$

Let us denote again by H the generator of $H^2(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{Z})$. The class of the diagonal of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$ is $\sum_{j=0}^n H^{n-j} \boxtimes H^j$, so using again the corollary:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}_\square(\sigma) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathcal{S}_\square(\sigma')}} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}_\square(\sigma) \times \mathcal{S}_\square(\sigma')}} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n \text{ev}_f^*(H^{n-j}) \cdot \text{ev}_{f'}^*(H^j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}_\square(\sigma)}} \prod_{i \in L_\sigma} \text{ev}_i^*(\Gamma_i) \cdot \text{ev}_f^*(H^{n-j}) \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}_\square(\sigma')}} \prod_{i \in L_{\sigma'}} \text{ev}_i^*(\Gamma_i) \cdot \text{ev}_{f'}^*(H^j) \\ &= \frac{|\text{Aut}(\sigma)|}{|\text{Aut}(\sigma')|^{-1}} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\sigma)}} \prod_{i \in L_\sigma} \text{ev}_i^*(\Gamma_i) \cdot \text{ev}_f^*(H^{n-j}) \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\sigma')}} \prod_{i \in L_{\sigma'}} \text{ev}_i^*(\Gamma_i) \cdot \text{ev}_{f'}^*(H^j). \end{aligned}$$

The last equation implies (5.2). \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)$ and let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ be subvarieties of \mathbb{P}^n in general position such that*

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \text{codim}(\Gamma_i) = \dim \mathcal{S}(\tau).$$

Then the number of contact stable maps in \mathbb{P}^n of degree d whose images meet all $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$, and with dual graph τ , is

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m).$$

In particular, if $\sum_{i=1}^m \text{codim}(\Gamma_i) = d(n-1) + n + m - 2$, the number of irreducible contact curves in \mathbb{P}^n of degree d meeting all $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ is

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m) - \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma(m, d) + \setminus \{\tau_v\}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}} \text{ev}_1^*(\Gamma_1) \cdots \text{ev}_m^*(\Gamma_m).$$

Proof. This proof follows the same line of [Mur22, Theorem 3.8], let us give a sketch. Let G be the group of invertible complex matrices of order $n+1$, and let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)} & \\ & \downarrow (\text{ev}_1 \times \cdots \times \text{ev}_m) & \\ \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m =: \Gamma & \longrightarrow & X^{\times m}. \end{array}$$

By applying the Kleiman-Bertini Theorem [Kle74], we deduce that for a general $\sigma \in G^{\times m}$, $\Gamma^\sigma \times_{X^{\times m}} \overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}$ is either empty, or of dimension 0. We assume, without

loss of generality, that Γ^σ is smooth by applying again Kleiman-Bertini. In the same way we may prove¹ that the intersection

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)} \cap \text{ev}_1^{-1}(g_1\Gamma_1) \cap \cdots \cap \text{ev}_m^{-1}(g_m\Gamma_m)$$

is supported in the intersection between the automorphisms-free part of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}(X, d)$ and the smooth part of $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}$. So, when $\Gamma^\sigma \times_{X \times m} \overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)}$ is not empty, it is reduced of dimension 0. Points of that intersection represent maps whose images meet all $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$, and it is equal to (5.4).

Finally, $\dim \mathcal{S}(\tau) = d(n-1) + n + m - 2$ implies that τ has no contracted components by Theorem 4.4. So if we are interested in a unique non-contracted component, it must be $\tau = \tau_v$. Equation (5.5) follows from (4.2). \square

Remark 5.4. The last results imply that for every $\tau \in \Gamma(m, d)$, we can compute every integral like in (5.4). Indeed, if $d = 1$ then $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau)} = \mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, 1)$ and we can use the package `AtiyahBott` of [MS22]. If $d > 1$, then Eqs. (5.2) and (5.5) allow us to reduce the computation to intersections in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\tau')$, for some $\tau' \in \Gamma(m', d')$ where $m' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d' < d$. The result follows by using again the package and recursion on d .

Let us see an example of these applications.

Example 5.5. Suppose we want to compute the number of *irreducible* contact curves of degree 2 in \mathbb{P}^3 passing through two points and a line in general position. Let H be the class of a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^3 . The number of contact curves is given by

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_3(\mathbb{P}^3, 2)} \text{ev}_1^*(H^2) \cdot \text{ev}_2^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_3^*(H^3) = 2.$$

The set $\Gamma(3, 2)^+$ consists of the following stable trees:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tau_1 & \tau_2 & \tau_3 & \tau_4 & \tau_5 \\ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \{1, 2, 3\} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ --- } 1 \\ \{1, 2\} \quad \{3\} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ --- } 1 \\ \{1, 3\} \quad \{2\} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ --- } 1 \\ \{2, 3\} \quad \{1\} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ --- } 1 \\ \{1, 2, 3\} \end{array} \end{array}$$

The vertices are labeled by their degree, under any vertex v we put its leaves. We want to compute $\int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau_1)}} \text{ev}_1^*(H^2) \cdot \text{ev}_2^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_3^*(H^3)$. Note that $\tau_2 = \sigma \times_e \sigma'$ where

$$\begin{array}{cc} \sigma & \sigma' \\ 1 & 1 \\ \{1, 2, f\} & \{3, f'\} \end{array}$$

Using Corollary 5.2 and Remark 5.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\tau_2)}} \text{ev}_1^*(H^2) \cdot \text{ev}_2^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_3^*(H^3) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^3 \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\sigma)}} \text{ev}_1^*(H^2) \cdot \text{ev}_2^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_f^*(H^{3-j}) \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\sigma')}} \text{ev}_3^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_{f'}^*(H^j) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\sigma)}} \text{ev}_1^*(H^2) \cdot \text{ev}_2^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_f^*(H^1) \int_{\overline{\mathcal{S}(\sigma')}} \text{ev}_3^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_{f'}^*(H^2) \\ &= 1 \cdot 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

¹Details can be found in [Alu97, Lemma p. 5] or [FP97, Lemma 14].

The computation of $\int_{\mathcal{S}(\tau_3)} \text{ev}_1^*(H^2) \cdot \text{ev}_2^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_3^*(H^3) = 1$ is similar. Finally, one can easily figure out that $\int_{\mathcal{S}(\tau)} \text{ev}_1^*(H^2) \cdot \text{ev}_2^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_3^*(H^3) = 0$ for $\tau \in \{\tau_4, \tau_5\}$. It follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}(\tau_1)} \text{ev}_1^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_2^*(H^3) \cdot \text{ev}_3^*(H^2) = 2 - 1 - 1 - 0 - 0 = 0.$$

So, there are no irreducible contact conics through two points and a line in general position. This result is completely expected since there are no irreducible contact conics in \mathbb{P}^3 ([LM07, Proposition 17.1]). Anyway, this shows that our method works.

Remark 5.6. If the value of (5.2) is non-zero, then $|\text{Aut}(\tau)| = 1$. Indeed, if $\sigma' = \tau_v$ where v is an extremal vertex with no leaves, then Eq. (5.2) becomes

$$\frac{|\text{Aut}(\sigma)|}{|\text{Aut}(\tau)|} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{\mathcal{S}(\sigma)} \prod_{i \in L_\sigma} \text{ev}_i^*(\Gamma_i) \cdot \text{ev}_f^*(H^{n-j}) \int_{\mathcal{S}(\tau_v)} \text{ev}_{f'}^*(H^j).$$

The value of $\int_{\mathcal{S}(\tau_v)} \text{ev}_{f'}^*(H^j)$ is zero for dimensional reasons, meaning that also (5.2) is zero. This implies that every vertex of τ with only one edge must have leaves. Since any automorphism preserves the leaves, all vertices with only one edge must be fixed by any automorphism, hence the identity is the only possible automorphism. Moreover, the sum (5.2) is non zero for at most one value of j , which is $j_0 = \dim \mathcal{S}(\tau_v) - \sum_{i \in L_{\tau_v}} \text{codim}(\Gamma_i)$, if $0 \leq j_0 \leq n$.

The following result is [LV11, Proposition 2.3(i)]. We provide another proof.

Proposition 5.7. *The number of contact plane curves of degree d meeting $d + 3$ general lines in \mathbb{P}^3 is*


$$\frac{d^2}{6}(d+3)(d+2)(d+1)(d-1) = 20d \binom{d+3}{5}.$$

Proof. We give a sketch of the proof, showing that it can be proved using graphs. A contact plane curve is linearly degenerate in \mathbb{P}^3 , then it is cone [LM07, Proposition 17.1]. On the other hand, cones in \mathbb{P}^3 are contact if they are linearly degenerate². The cases $d = 1, 2$ are trivial, so let us focus on the case $d \geq 3$. We are looking for curves given by the union of d contact lines, all of them passing through a fixed point. Let X be one of those contact curves. Any stable map (C, f, p_1, \dots, p_m) with dual graph τ whose image is X must have $m = d + 3$ marked points (since we are imposing $d + 3$ Schubert conditions). By condition (5.3), the number of contracted vertices of degree 0 of τ is $d - 2$. The inverse image under f of the singular point of X must be connected. Hence, the degree 0 vertices form a connected subtree. Since we are not imposing any condition on the singular point, no leaf is attached to a degree 0 vertex. So all leaves lie on d non-contracted components of degree 1.


The dual graph τ with these properties is not univoquely determined. An example is τ_1 in Figure 5.1 (we use the same notation of Example 5.5).

Equation (5.2) applied to any of these stable trees is equal to 4 (e.g., by induction on d), so there are exactly 4 maps having τ_1 as dual graph (Corollary 5.3). The image of τ_1 only depends on the vertices with 2 and 3 leaves. There are exactly $\binom{d+3}{3} \binom{d}{2}$ different dual graphs with the same support as τ_1 , but with different leaves in those vertices.


²Otherwise, the symplectic form defining the contact structure in \mathbb{C}^4 would be degenerate.


 FIGURE 5.1. Stable tree τ_1 .

If we consider another stable tree τ'_1 in Figure 5.2, this gives different stable maps but with the same images as τ_1 .


 FIGURE 5.2. Stable tree τ'_1 .

Since we are interested in the contact stable curves rather than in the stable maps, we can ignore all other stable trees if they give the same image. There is another family of graphs interesting to us, it is τ_2 in Figure 5.3.


 FIGURE 5.3. Stable tree τ_2 .

Arguing like before, we get $\frac{1}{3!} \binom{d+3}{2} \binom{d+1}{2} \binom{d-1}{2}$ different dual graphs with different images, each one with a contribution equal to 8. It is easy to see that there are no more interesting stable trees, for several reasons. Each non-contracted component must have at least one leaf by Remark 5.6, and no more than three leaves (there are no contact lines through 4 general lines). Each degree zero vertex v must have valence $n(v) = 3$ by Eq. (3.4). Moreover, it is not important the stable tree itself but how the $d+3$ leaves are distributed among the d vertices of degree 1. Hence τ_1 and τ_2 are the only interesting configurations. It follows that the number N of curves we are looking for is

$$\binom{d+3}{3} \binom{d}{2} \int_{\mathcal{S}(\tau_1)} \prod_{i=1}^{d+3} \text{ev}_i^*(H^2) + \frac{1}{3!} \binom{d+3}{2} \binom{d+1}{2} \binom{d-1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{S}(\tau_2)} \prod_{i=1}^{d+3} \text{ev}_i^*(H^2).$$

Using

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}(\tau_1)} \prod_{i=1}^{d+3} \text{ev}_i^*(H^2) = 4, \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}(\tau_2)} \prod_{i=1}^{d+3} \text{ev}_i^*(H^2) = 8,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \binom{d+3}{3} \binom{d}{2} 4 + \frac{1}{3!} \binom{d+3}{2} \binom{d+1}{2} \binom{d-1}{2} 8 \\ &= 20d \binom{d+3}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

as expected. □

5.1. Number of irreducible contact curves. We denote by $N_d^{irr}(a_2, \dots, a_n)$ the number of irreducible contact curves of degree d meeting a_i general linear subspaces of codimension i in \mathbb{P}^n . As application of Corollary 5.3, we give tables containing such numbers for $n = 3, 5$ and low values of d . We subtract from the number of contact curves given in [Mur22, MS22], the number of reducible ones. Using Julia ([BEKS17]), we implemented Eq. (5.2) in [Mur]. Csaba Schneider wrote the part based on the algorithm for generation of trees of [WROM86].

Remark 5.8. The number $N_3^{irr}(1, 3) = 3$ was first computed by [Kal18]. The number $N_3^{irr}(7, 0) = 1080$ was first computed by [Amo14] using hand-done computations of reducibles contact curves of degree 3. He also claimed, using the same strategy, that $N_4^{irr}(9, 0) = 378944$. Unfortunately, his computation is not complete.

d	$a = (a_2, a_3)$	$N_3^{irr}(a)$	d	a	$N_4^{irr}(a)$	d	a	$N_5^{irr}(a)$
3	(7, 0)	1080	4	(9, 0)	145664	5	(11, 0)	65619360
	(5, 1)	132		(7, 1)	12800		(9, 1)	4501008
	(3, 2)	18		(5, 2)	1216		(7, 2)	328824
	(1, 3)	3		(3, 3)	128		(5, 3)	25884
			(1, 4)	16	(3, 4)		2250	
					(1, 5)		225	

TABLE 5.1. Irreducible contact curves in \mathbb{P}^3 of degree $d \leq 5$.

$a = (a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$	$N_2^{irr}(a)$	a	$N_2^{irr}(a)$	a	$N_2^{irr}(a)$
(11, 0, 0, 0)	27184	(4, 2, 1, 0)	100	(1, 5, 0, 0)	48
(9, 1, 0, 0)	7554	(4, 0, 1, 1)	30	(1, 3, 0, 1)	2
(8, 0, 1, 0)	1262	(3, 4, 0, 0)	168	(1, 2, 2, 0)	4
(7, 2, 0, 0)	2112	(3, 2, 0, 1)	22	(1, 1, 0, 2)	0
(7, 0, 0, 1)	432	(3, 1, 2, 0)	16	(1, 0, 2, 1)	0
(6, 1, 1, 0)	355	(3, 0, 0, 2)	8	(0, 4, 1, 0)	8
(5, 3, 0, 0)	594	(2, 3, 1, 0)	28	(0, 2, 1, 1)	0
(5, 1, 0, 1)	119	(2, 1, 1, 1)	3	(0, 1, 3, 0)	0
(5, 0, 2, 0)	58	(2, 0, 3, 0)	2	(0, 0, 1, 2)	0

TABLE 5.2. Irreducible contact conics in \mathbb{P}^5 .

REFERENCES

- [Alu97] P. Aluffi (ed.), *Quantum cohomology at the Mittag-Leffler Institute*, Appunti dei Corsi Tenuti da Docenti della Scuola. [Notes of Courses Given by Teachers at the School], Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1997. MR 1664633
- [Amo14] Édén Amorim, *Curvas de contato no espaço projetivo*, Ph.D. thesis, UFMG, 2014, In English: "Contact curves in the projective space", arXiv:1907.03973.
- [Bag22] Massimo Bagnarol, *Betti numbers of stable map spaces to Grassmannians*, Math. Nachr. **295** (2022), no. 10, 1869–1900. MR 4515437
- [BEKS17] Jeff Bezanson, Alan Edelman, Stefan Karpinski, and Viral B. Shah, *Julia: a fresh approach to numerical computing*, SIAM Rev. **59** (2017), no. 1, 65–98. MR 3605826
- [BM96] K. Behrend and Yu. Manin, *Stacks of stable maps and Gromov-Witten invariants*, Duke Math. J. **85** (1996), no. 1, 1–60. MR 1412436
- [Cal67] Eugenio Calabi, *Minimal immersions of surfaces in Euclidean spheres*, J. Differential Geometry **1** (1967), 111–125. MR 233294
- [FH91] William Fulton and Joe Harris, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, A first course, Readings in Mathematics. MR 1153249
- [FP97] W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande, *Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology*, Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 62, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 45–96. MR 1492534
- [Ful98] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR 1644323
- [GH78] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978. MR 507725
- [GP06] Ezra Getzler and Rahul Pandharipande, *The Betti numbers of $M_{0,n}(r, d)$* , J. Algebraic Geom. **15** (2006), no. 4, 709–732. MR 2237267
- [GW20] Ulrich Görtz and Torsten Wedhorn, *Algebraic Geometry I. Schemes—with Examples and Exercises*, Second ed., Springer Studium Mathematik—Master, Springer Spektrum, Wiesbaden, 2020. MR 4225278
- [Kal18] N. Kalinin, *Legendrian curves in CP^3 : cubics and curves on a quadric surface*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **476** (2018), no. Geometriya i Topologiya. 13, 92–110. MR 3904044
- [KL98] Piotr Z. Kobak and Bonaventure Loo, *Moduli of quaternionic superminimal immersions of 2-spheres into quaternionic projective spaces*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **16** (1998), no. 6, 527–541. MR 1651377
- [Kle74] Steven L. Kleiman, *The transversality of a general translate*, Compositio Math. **28** (1974), 287–297. MR 0360616
- [KM94] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin, *Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **164** (1994), no. 3, 525–562. MR 1291244
- [Kob59] Shoshichi Kobayashi, *Remarks on complex contact manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 164–167. MR 111061
- [LM07] J. M. Landsberg and L. Manivel, *Legendrian varieties*, Asian J. Math. **11** (2007), no. 3, 341–359. MR 2372722
- [Loo99] Bonaventure Loo, *Irreducibility of moduli space of harmonic 2-spheres in S^4* , Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **30** (1999), no. 2, 163–181. MR 1701418
- [LV11] D. Levcovitz and I. Vainsencher, *Symplectic enumeration*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **42** (2011), no. 3, 347–358. MR 2833807
- [MM08] Anca M. Mustață and Andrei Mustață, *The Chow ring of $\overline{M}_{0,m}(\mathbb{P}^n, d)$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **615** (2008), 93–119. MR 2384333
- [MS22] Giosuè Muratore and Csaba Schneider, *Effective computations of the Atiyah-Bott formula*, J. Symbolic Comput. **112** (2022), 164–181. MR 4383164
- [Mur] Giosuè Muratore, *A calculator for reducible contact curves*, https://github.com/mgemath/Reducibles_Contact.

- [Mur22] ———, *Enumeration of Rational Contact Curves via Torus Actions*, Michigan Mathematical Journal (2022), 1 – 20.
- [O'M78] O. T. O'Meara, *Symplectic groups*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 16, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1978. MR 502254
- [OSS11] Christian Okonek, Michael Schneider, and Heinz Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011, Corrected reprint of the 1980 edition, With an appendix by S. I. Gelfand. MR 2815674
- [WROM86] Robert Alan Wright, Bruce Richmond, Andrew Odlyzko, and Brendan D. McKay, *Constant time generation of free trees*, SIAM J. Comput. **15** (1986), no. 2, 540–548. MR 837603

CMAFClO, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS DA ULISBOA, CAMPO GRANDE 1749-016 LISBOA,
PORTUGAL

Email address: muratore.g.e@gmail.com

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/giosue-muratore>