

HIDDEN SYMMETRIES OF THE GROTHENDIECK–TEICHMÜLLER GROUP

N.C. COMBE, A. KALUGIN

ABSTRACT. We consider the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group under a new aspect. Using real algebraic geometry and web theory we show that it preserves dihedral symmetry relations, present in the fundamental groupoids of configuration spaces of marked points on \mathbb{C} . The motivation of this paper is to be understood in the light of Grothendieck’s initial philosophy stating that throughout hidden symmetries of the moduli spaces of curves one can shed some light on the absolute Galois group. This appears as a new development of the construction of the avatar of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group and prepares as well the ground for studying further relations to the motivic Galois group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Topological groupoids and their orbits	3
2.1. Topological groupoids	3
2.2. Orbit groupoids	5
Conditions ♣	6
2.3. Pro-unipotent completion of Poincaré groupoids	6
3. Configuration spaces, Harmonic webs and towers	8
3.1. Harmonic webs	9
3.2. Dihedral Coxeter decomposition	11
3.3. Coxeter chambers and galleries decomposition of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$	13
3.4. Parenthesised words and harmonic polynomials.	15
3.5. The Fulton–MacPherson–Axelrod–Singer (FMAS) compactification	16
3.6. The PaB tower	17
4. Hidden symmetries of GT^{un} and proofs	18
4.1. Automorphisms of PaB	19
4.2. Proof of statements	20
4.3. Conclusions	22
References	22

Key words: Grothendieck–Teichmüller group, groupoids, Dihedral groups, Coxeter chambers

1. INTRODUCTION

The Grothendieck–Teichmüller group is a mysterious object which is at the intersection of deep conjectures mixing number theory, algebraic geometry and topology

([Gro84, Dri91, Kon03, LS06]). It appeared first in Grothendieck’s *Esquisse d’un programme* [Gro84], with the aim of giving a new description of the absolute Galois group $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Later on this object turned out to play a key role in an impressive number of seemingly unrelated areas of mathematics, such as: number theory and quasi-Hops algebras [Dri91]; number theory and braid groups [Iha91]; pro-finite groups and number theory [SiGP16, LNS97]; the quantization conjecture for Lie bialgebras [EK96]; formality theories [Kon03]; deformation quantizations of Poisson structures [Tam03]; solution to the Kashiwara–Vergne problem [AT12]; theory of the cohomology groups of moduli spaces \mathcal{M}_g of genus g algebraic curves [CGP21]; cohomologies of graph complexes [Wil15]. Further investigations concerning conjectures in [Dri91] have lead to other important results concerning the motivic Galois group and the pro-unipotent GT^{un} group in [Bro12], [Del89] [Fur11]. Nowadays, it remains a source of important investigations [Hai21], [CGP21], [Bro21].

In this paper, a new perspective on the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group is given. Using an approach based on real algebraic geometry and web theory (see Sec. 3), as well as the recent developments of [Com18, Com22, CM22, CM21, CMM21], we prove that the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group preserves the dihedral symmetry relations of the fundamental groupoids $\Pi_1(\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ (showed in details in [Com22]), where $\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the configuration space of n marked points on \mathbb{C} . This is depicted in Th. 1.0.1.

Our paper is to be understood in the spirit of the *initial philosophy* of Grothendieck, stating that throughout hidden symmetries of the moduli spaces of curves one can shed some light on the absolute Galois group.

The construction, presented in this article, is based on the approach of [Com22, CM21]. The latter served to introduce the notion of an *avatar of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group*. One key tool of this construction was to start by considering cyclotomic polynomials and their roots. This situation offers a rich interaction between what happens on the side of the Galois action on orderings of marked points and a very geometric (and symmetric) framework.

Here, we deform these cyclotomic polynomials of type $z^n - 1$ into any degree n complex polynomial, by adding monomials in one variable of strictly lower degree than n . This provides a configuration space of unordered marked points $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$. The key to proving the existence of hidden dihedral relations within the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group is to proceed by using the *Gauss decomposition approach* 3. It is a stratification of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ which allows to index the strata by chord diagrams (the Gauss diagrams), having dihedral symmetries.

The Gauss decomposition was introduced first by Gauss in his proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra and, gave birth (around two centuries later!), to a real algebraic stratification of the configuration space of n marked points on the complex plane, thoroughly investigated in [MSS07, Berv3, Ghy17, A’C20, Com18, Com11, Com04].

This decomposition carries, among others, interesting dihedral symmetries [Berv3, Com04, Com22] that imply that to consider $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ one can define a system of Coxeter chambers, where the Coxeter group is a group of dihedral type [Com18, Com04].

Furthermore, [Com22] introduces a construction allowing to lift the dihedral symmetries of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ onto the case of configuration spaces with ordered marked points $Conf_n(\mathbb{C})$. This allows to show that the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group

preserves the dihedral symmetry relations, present in the collection of fundamental groupoids $\{\Pi_1(\overline{Conf}_n, \overline{Conf}_n^0(\mathbb{R}))\}_{n \geq 2}$. This work prepares the ground for studying further relations to the motivic Galois group, in relation to [BCS10, CGP21].

Theorem 1.0.1 (Main Theorem). Let $Conf_n(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. $Conf_n(\mathbb{R})$) be the configuration space of n labelled marked points in \mathbb{C} (resp. \mathbb{R}). Let $\Pi_1(Conf_n(\mathbb{C}), Conf_n^0(\mathbb{R}))$ be the fundamental groupoid, where $Conf_n^0(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of base points. Then, the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group GT preserves the dihedral symmetry relations, existing in $\Pi_1(\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}), \overline{Conf}_n^0(\mathbb{R}))$, for all $n \geq 2$.

NB. This statement holds for any of the three versions of Grothendieck–Teichmüller group, i.e. the pro-unipotent, profinite and the de Rham version that goes by the name of *GRT* (see [Dri91]).

So to summarise, our approach allows to unravel some hidden symmetries existing within the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group, in the spirit of the initial philosophy of Grothendieck, giving thus a more geometric and different understanding of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group.

Those symmetries simplify the approach to this object which, such as stated, is difficult to explicitly compute. This also highlights potential relations to its counterpart: the dihedral symmetries of the motivic Galois group found in [BCS10].

Plan.

The paper is devoted to proving one main statement. The plan of the paper accordingly presents the proof of the statement and goes as follows.

- Sec. 2 – 3 are recollections of important tools for the proof of the statement.
- Sec. 2 surveys results about orbit groupoids based on the works of R. Brown, Higgins and Taylor. The pro-unipotent completion is discussed.
- Sec. 3 presents the new framework in which consider the configuration space of marked points on \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R} is considered. This relies on developments given in [Com18, Com11, Com04], where a Gauss decomposition stratification is investigated, Sec. 3.1–Sec. 3.3. It turns out that the best compactification framework to work in is given by the Fulton–MacPherson–Axelrod–Singer (FMAS) compactification Sec. 3.5.
- Sec. 4 is concerned with proving the main statement, i.e. that the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group GT preserves the dihedral symmetry relations, existing in $\Pi_1(\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}), \overline{Conf}_n^0(\mathbb{R}))$, for all $n \geq 2$. It is done in several smaller steps, given by propositions and lemmas.

Acknowledgements The first author thanks Yuri I. Manin and Dror Bar-Natan for interesting discussions on the subject and acknowledges the Minerva fast track grant for supporting her research. The second author is grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Maths in Sciences for supporting his research.

2. TOPOLOGICAL GROUPOIDS AND THEIR ORBITS

2.1. Topological groupoids. We recall for the convenience of the reader some standard definitions on groupoids and fundamental groupoids, relying on the works of Brown, Higgins and Taylor [Bro87, BDN75, Hig63, Hig71, JJ82, BH71, Tay88]. Let X be a connected, (locally simply connected) topological space and $A \subset X$ is a subspace such that each connected component of A is simply connected. We

define the *Poincaré fundamental groupoid* $\Pi_1(X, A)$ of X with base points in A as the following category:

(a) $\text{Ob}_{\Pi_1(X, A)} = \pi_0(A)$

(b) Let A_i, A_j be connected components of X . Then, $\text{Mor}_{\Pi_1(X, A)}(A_i, A_j)$ is set of homotopy classes of paths from a point $y_i \in A_i$ to a point $y_j \in A_j$.¹

It is important notion to keep in mind that a Poincaré groupoid is a *functor* from the category of topological spaces to the category of groupoids \mathbf{Grp} . More precisely if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of topological spaces, then for any subset $A \subset X$ such that both A and $f(A) \subset Y$ satisfy the conditions above, we have the *pushforward functor*:

$$(1) \quad f_\bullet: \Pi_1(X, A) \rightarrow \Pi_1(Y, f(A))$$

Consider X a (path connected) topological space and its subspaces X_0, X_1, X_2 such that X_0 denotes the intersection $X_1 \cap X_2$; the interiors of X_1, X_2 covers X . Then, we have the following pushout diagram, defined in the category of topological spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X_1 \\ \downarrow u_2 & & \downarrow i_2 \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{u_1} & X. \end{array}$$

Consider a subset A of X such that A meets each path component of X_0, X_1, X_2 . Then, in the category of groupoids, we have the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi_1(X_0, A) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_1} & \Pi_1(X_1, A) \\ \downarrow \tilde{i}_2 & & \downarrow \tilde{u}_1 \\ \Pi_1(X_2, A) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}_2} & \Pi_1(X, A), \end{array}$$

where the notations \tilde{i}_1 (resp. \tilde{i}_2) stand for the morphisms between $\Pi_1(X_0, A) \rightarrow \Pi_1(X_1, A)$ (resp. $\Pi_1(X_0, A) \rightarrow \Pi_1(X_2, A)$), with respective inclusions given by $i_1: X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ (resp. $i_2: X_0 \rightarrow X_2$). Analogously \tilde{u}_1 stands for the morphism $\Pi_1(X_1, A) \rightarrow \Pi_1(X, A)$, where $u_2: X_1 \hookrightarrow X$.

Remark 2.1.1. This pushout diagram implies a certain commutativity of the diagram and a *universality property*. This universality property implies that the free product with amalgamation of groupoids exists.

This pushout diagram construction leads to the Seifert Van Kampen theorem for groupoids (see works of [BHS11], Part II, Chap. 10). Let A denote the set of *base points*, being a subset of X_0 . Take two open sets X_1 and X_2 such that their union is X (i.e. $X_1 \cup X_2 = X$). Then, there exists a natural morphism of groupoids:

$$(2) \quad \Pi_1(X_1, A_1) \star_{\Pi_1(X_0, A_0)} \Pi_1(X_2, A_2) \rightarrow \Pi_1(X, A),$$

being an isomorphism and “ \star ” stands for the free product with amalgamation of groupoids. Here, we chose $A_i = X_i \cap A$ where $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

¹Note that every choice of a point in A_i gives canonically isomorphic groupoids.

2.2. Orbit groupoids. We now pass to the notion of orbit groupoids. Take G a discrete group. We are interested in the action of this discrete group on a groupoid. For simplicity in this subsection we denote by $\Pi_1 X$ the Poincaré fundamental groupoid of X . We give the explicit the conditions under which the fundamental groupoid of an orbit space X/G is isomorphic to the orbit groupoid of the induced action of G on the fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1 X$. This is denoted $\Pi_1 X // G$ (see Def. 2.2.1 and Def. 2.2.2 for more details) and [BH71, JJ82].

Consider (G, \cdot) a group, with its group operation denoted \cdot and X is a set on which G acts. An action of G on X is given by a function $G \times X \rightarrow X$, written as

$$(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x.$$

One has the properties that $1 \cdot x = x$ and $g \cdot (h \cdot x) = (gh) \cdot x$. An equivalence relation is given by $x \sim y$ iff there exists $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot x = y$. Equivalence classes form the orbits of the action and the set of all those orbits is usually denoted as X/G .

The action of a group G on X is said to be *discontinuous* if the stabiliser of each point of X is finite and each point x in X has a neighborhood V_x so that any element of g not lying in the stabiliser of x satisfies $V_x \cap g \cdot V_x = \emptyset$.

Take the case of groupoids. We define the action of G on a groupoid as follows.

Definition 2.2.1. Let Γ be a groupoid. The action of G on Γ is such that to each element $g \in G$ one assigns a morphism of groupoids $g_\bullet : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ with the properties that $1_\bullet = 1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ and for $g, h \in G$ then $(hg)_\bullet = h_\bullet g_\bullet$. If x lies in the set Ob_Γ then $g \cdot x$ corresponds to $g_\bullet(x)$; if a lies in Mor_Γ then we have $g \cdot a$ corresponding to $g_\bullet(a)$.

So, if G acts on X then G acts on the fundamental groupoid: each $g \in G$ acts as a homeomorphism of X and $g_* : \Pi_1 X \rightarrow \Pi_1 X$ is the induced morphism.

This leads us to the notion of an *orbit groupoid*.

Definition 2.2.2. Let G be a group acting on a groupoid Γ . An orbit of the action is a groupoid denoted $\Gamma // G$ equipped with an orbit morphism $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma // G$ such that if $g \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma$ then $p(g \cdot \gamma) = p(\gamma)$.

The morphism p is universal. This implies that if $\Gamma // G$ exists then it is unique up to some canonical morphism. In particular, given a morphism of groupoids $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Phi$ and $\phi(g \cdot \gamma) = \phi(\gamma)$, for all $g \in G$, there exists a unique morphism $\phi^* : \Gamma // G \rightarrow \Phi$ of groupoids such that $\phi^* p = \phi$. One can resume this in the following triangular diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Phi \\ \downarrow p & \nearrow \phi^* & \\ \Gamma // G & & \end{array} .$$

[BH71], Prop.1.8, shows that the groupoid morphism $p_\bullet : \Pi_1 X \rightarrow \Pi_1(X/G)$ is an *isomorphism*

$$p_\bullet : \Pi_1 X // G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi_1(X/G),$$

provided that specific conditions (referred to as \clubsuit conditions) are satisfied.

Conditions ♣.

- (1) The projection $p : X \rightarrow X/G$ has a path lifting property. This means that if a path $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ is defined in the orbit space X/G then there is a path \mathbf{a} in X such that $p(\mathbf{a}) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}$. Concerning this path lifting property, note that if the group G acts on the (Hausdorff space) then the quotient map has naturally the path lifting property.
- (2) If $x \in X$, then this point x has an open neighbourhood U_x such that:
 - for $g \in G$ not in the stabiliser one has: $U_x \cap g \cdot U_x = \emptyset$.
 - If \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{a}_2 are paths (starting at x) in the neighbourhood U_x such that $p(\mathbf{a}_1)$ and $p(\mathbf{a}_2)$ are homotopic rel end points² in X/G then there is an element g in the stabiliser such that $g \cdot \mathbf{a}_1$ and \mathbf{a}_2 are homotopic in X rel end points.

So, to conclude, if both conditions are satisfied the induced morphism makes $\Pi_1(X/G)$ the orbit groupoid of $\Pi_1 X$ by the action of G .

We can now finally, mention the final statement of this subsection. Define A to be a subset of the objects of the groupoid Γ . By ΓA we denote the full subgroupoid of Γ on the object set A . This means that all the morphisms of ΓA are contained in the set of morphisms of Γ . Take $\lambda : \Gamma A \rightarrow \Gamma$ a morphism of G -groupoids.

Now, suppose that A is a G -invariant subset of the set Ob_Γ of objects of Γ ; A meets each component of the subgroupoid of G left fixed by the action of G . Then, $(\Gamma A) // G$ is a full subgroupoid of $\Gamma // G$ so that the restriction of the orbit morphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma // G$ to $\Gamma A \rightarrow (\Gamma // G)(A/G)$ is itself an orbit morphism.

By R. Brown we have the following:

Corollary 2.2.3 ([BH71], Cor.2.14 and [Bro06],11.6.2 (Corollary 2)). Suppose the action of G on X satisfies the ♣ conditions. Let A be a G -stable subset of X meeting each path component of the fixed point set of each element of G . Then, $\Pi_1(X/G, A/G)$, the fundamental groupoid of X/G on the set A/G , is canonically isomorphic to the orbit groupoid $\Pi_1(X, A) // G$ of $\Pi_1(X, A)$.

2.3. Pro-unipotent completion of Poincaré groupoids. We survey the construction of the pro-unipotent completion of Poincaré groupoids, that we refer to as the *Betti fundamental groupoids* and end up on a remark important for the construction of our result (see Rem. 2.3.2) concerning the product of pro-unipotent completions of (Poincaré) groupoids.

Some facts about Hopf algebroids are recollected, based on [DT05] and [Fre17]. Let (C, Δ, ε) be a coassociative, counital coalgebra over a field K , where $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ is a comultiplication and $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow K$ is a counit of C . By I we denote the kernel of a map ε i.e. $I := \text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ which we will call the augmentation ideal. By a completion of C we understand the object $\widehat{C} := \lim_{\leftarrow} C/I^n$.

The completion \widehat{C} of a coalgebra is a filtered and complete vector spaces over a field K , i.e. there is an increasing finite filtration F_\bullet on \widehat{C} such that quotient are finite dimensional and $\widehat{C} = \lim_{\leftarrow} C/F_n(C)$. By the abuse of notation we denote by

²Given a topological space X , two paths starting at the points x_0 and ending at x_1 are said to be *homotopic rel endpoints* or path homotopic if there is a homotopy H between them such that the endpoints remain fixed during the homotopy. In other words, $\forall t \in I, H(0, t) = x_0$, and $H(1, t) = x_1$.

\otimes the tensor product of complete vector spaces V and W :

$$V \otimes W := \lim_{\leftarrow} (V/F_n(V)) \otimes (W/F_m(W)).$$

With respect to this product, the completion \widehat{C} is a coalgebra. The category of complete filtered K -coalgebras will be denoted by \mathbf{CoAlg}_K . This is a monoidal category with respect to a completed tensor product \otimes over K .

A Hopf K -algebroid \mathcal{H} is a groupoid enriched in the monoidal category \mathbf{CoAlg}_K such that for any objects x and y the underlying K -vector space:

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y)$$

is a free left (resp. right) module over $\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{H}}(x, x)$ (resp. over $\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{H}}(y, y)$) of rank one.

Hopf algebroids form a category in a natural way which we will denote by $\mathbf{HopfAlbrd}_K$. Note that a Hopf algebroid with one object is the same as a complete filtered Hopf algebra.

Let \mathbf{Grp} be a category of groupoids, i.e. objects are groupoids and morphisms are given by functors. Define the functor:

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{U}: \mathbf{Grp} \longrightarrow \mathbf{HopfAlbrd}_K,$$

known as the *universal enveloping functor*.

Given a groupoid Γ , define the corresponding *universal enveloping Hopf K -algebroid* $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma) \in \mathbf{HopfAlbrd}_K$ as follows:

- (a) $\mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)} := \mathrm{Ob}_{\Gamma}$.
- (b) For any $x, y \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)}$ we set:

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)}(x, y) := \langle \widehat{\mathrm{Mor}(x, y)} \rangle_K,$$

where $\langle \mathrm{Mor}(x, y) \rangle_K$ is a free K -vector space generated by a set $\mathrm{Mor}(x, y)$ with a comultiplication Δ defined by declaring elements from $\mathrm{Mor}(x, y)$ to be group-like, i.e.

$$\Delta(a) = a \otimes a, \quad a \in \mathrm{Mor}(x, y).$$

Define the counit $\varepsilon: \langle \widehat{\mathrm{Mor}(x, y)} \rangle_K \rightarrow K$ on generators by the rule $\varepsilon(a) = 1$.

The construction (3) defines monoidal functor, with respect to a cartesian product of categories (note that category $\mathbf{HopfAlbrd}_K$ is also monoidal with respect to the cartesian product).

Define the right adjoint functor to the functor (3):

$$(4) \quad (\)^\times: \mathbf{HopfAlbrd}_K \longrightarrow \mathbf{Grp},$$

which is called the *functor of group like elements*.

For an object $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{HopfAlbrd}_K$ we define a *groupoid* $\mathcal{C}^\times \in \mathbf{Grp}$ of *group-like elements*:

- (a) $\mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{C}^\times} := \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{C}}$
- (b) For any $x, y \in \mathrm{Ob}_{\mathcal{C}^\times}$ we set:

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}^\times}(x, y) := \{a \in \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) \mid \Delta(a) = a \otimes a \varepsilon(a) = 1\}.$$

Since the functor of group like elements (given in (4)) is a right adjoint to the monoidal functor in (3), it follows that the functor of group like elements is lax-monoidal.

For a groupoid Γ , define the **Mal'cev completion $\widehat{\Gamma}(K)$ of a groupoid Γ over a field K** by the rule:

$$\widehat{\Gamma}(K) := \mathcal{U}(\Gamma)^\times.$$

Note that when Γ is a group (i.e. a groupoid with one object) we recover the original construction of Mal'cev completion [Mal49] [Qui69]³ Since the functor of the Mal'cev completion is defined as a composition of adjoint functors for each groupoid Γ we have a canonical adjunction morphism $\Gamma \longrightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}(K)$.

The image of the category \mathbf{Grp} under the Mal'cev completion functor is denoted by \mathbf{Grp}^{un} and called the category of *pro-unipotent groupoids*. Note that since the functor $(\)^\times$ is faithful the isomorphism of Mal'cev groupoids is given by the isomorphism of the underlying Hopf algebroids. We define colimits in the \mathbf{Grp}^{un} as follows. Given a system $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i \in C}$ of pro-unipotent groupoids, put:

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i \in C} \mathcal{G}_i := (\operatorname{colim}_{i \in C} \mathcal{A}_i)^\times,$$

where \mathcal{A}_i are the underlying Hopf algebroids. The construction makes the Mal'cev completion functor $\Gamma \longmapsto \widehat{\Gamma}$ into the colimit preserving functor.

Definition 2.3.1. Let X be a topological space with a subspace $A \subset X$. We define the **Betti fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1^B(X, A)$** as:

$$\Pi_1^B(X, A) := \Pi_1(\widehat{X, A})(K).$$

In particular for each $Y_i \in \Pi_1^B(X, A)$ we have that $\operatorname{Mor}_{\Pi_1^B(X, A)}(A_i, A_j)$ is continuous dual to the algebra of function on pro-variety which coincides with the Betti fundamental torseur of paths $\pi_1(X, y_i, y_j)$ where $y_i \in A_i$ and $y_j \in A_j$ [Del89].

Remark 2.3.2. Let X be a topological space with a set of base points A , covered by spaces X_1 and X_2 , (see Sec.2.1). Applying the construction of pushouts in the category of pro-unipotent groupoids, we have a version of Seifert van Kampen Theorem, for Betti groupoids. So, the pro-unipotent version of equation (11) satisfies the following:

$$(5) \quad \Pi_1^B(X_1, A_1) \star_{\Pi_1^B(X_0, A_0)} \Pi_1^B(X_2, A_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_1^B(X, A).$$

Analogous to Poincaré groupoids (1) we have a functorial property of Betti groupoids:

$$f_\bullet : \Pi_1^B(X, A) \longrightarrow \Pi_1^B(Y, f(A)),$$

where $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a morphism of topological spaces such that each connected component of $f(A)$ is simply connected.

3. CONFIGURATION SPACES, HARMONIC WEBS AND TOWERS

Gauss's first proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra lead in recent years to the construction of a stratification of the configuration configurations spaces $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ of n unordered points on the complex plane investigated in [MSS07, Berv3, Ghy17, A'C20] and developed in [Com18] in the aim of giving a new, rigorous

³Sometimes also called the pro-unipotent completion.

construction for the computation of the Čech cohomology of braid groups with values in any sheaf. We call this decomposition a Gauss decomposition.

[Com18, Com11, Com04] shows that this stratification offers a refinement of the Fulton–MacPherson decomposition [FM94], (it is a Goresky–MacPherson stratification with more strata than the standard Fulton–MacPherson decomposition) and that the stratification is invariant under the symmetries of Coxeter group of dihedral type. We use these properties to prove our main statement.

3.1. Harmonic webs. We prepare the ground for the proof of the main theorem (Th. 1.0.1) given in Sec. 4, by recalling the properties of the Gauss stratification.

The idea behind this decomposition is that one identifies the configuration space $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ to the space of complex univariate monic polynomials of degree n (where each root of the polynomials corresponds to a marked point of \mathbb{C}) and stratifies $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ by using different classes of isotopic diagrams, produced by the level curves of the harmonic curves given by the real and imaginary parts of the polynomial.

Somehow, given the tight relation between the space of complex univariate monic polynomials of degree n and Saito’s Frobenius manifolds ([Man99], Chap.1, Def. 1.3. and Sec. 4.5) it is worth considering this stratification through the perspective of web theory. The reason for this being that webs, attached to a Frobenius manifold, enjoy many good properties ([AS92] Appendix A.1, [CMM22], Sec. 4) such as vanishing curvature and parallelisability ([Gol88] Sec.1.4–1.5).

E. Cartan [Car37] initially introduced by webs, as a movable frame method, so as to outline local analytic invariants on given manifolds.

Definition 3.1.1 ([Gol88]). Given an open domain D of a differentiable manifold of dimension nr , a d -web consists of (a number of) d foliations which are of codimension r .

Definition 3.1.2. We will designate by *harmonic webs* the level curves given by the real and imaginary parts of a complex univariate monic polynomial.

In the rest of this paper, we shall focus on $2n$ -webs of codimension 1 on a open domain of \mathbb{R}^2 being harmonic webs.

3.1.1. Harmonic polynomials. Consider $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$. It is easy to step towards the space of complex univariate polynomials by identifying each n -tuple of marked points $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ to the set of n roots of a given degree n polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $P(x_i) = 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Now any complex polynomial P can be written as the sum of its real part $\Re P$ and its imaginary part $\Im P$, so that we have: $P = \Re P(x, y) + i\Im P(x, y)$, where $\Re P(x, y)$ and $\Im P(x, y)$ are both degree n harmonic polynomials in two real variables. These form a pair of harmonic polynomials.

Harnack’s methods of perturbation of real curves and later developments in [San97, Vir89] have lead to a theory of *dissipation* or *perturbation* of real algebraic curves, which is used in [Com18, Com11, Com04, Com07]. The idea, related to the desingularisation process of a real algebraic curve, is to algebraically perturb a given (algebraic) curve in order to desingularise some of its singular points (and vice-versa, one can singularise back the curve).

Essentially, here we consider a set \mathcal{X}_n of harmonic real polynomials in two variables (being the real or imaginary part of a complex polynomial P) (discussed in

Prop. 3.1.5) and apply to there the theory of (real) curve deformation which is obtained from algebraic perturbation of the coefficients of polynomials (see [Vir89], Sec. 3.3, p.1089 for details about dissipation).

3.1.2. *Webs and chord diagrams.* Let P be a complex univariate monic polynomial of degree n . Using the decomposition of $P = \Re P(x, y) + i\Im P(x, y)$, we obtain webs by using the *level sets* of harmonic polynomials i.e. given by the algebraic equations $\Re P(x, y) = 0$ and $\Im P(x, y) = 0$.

Remark 3.1.3. In the context of the affine space \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{C} the (level set) curves do not form ovals. However, on the projective line $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the situation is different and one has only ovals. An important property of the level sets of harmonic polynomials on \mathbb{R}^2 is that they are directly connected to planar graphs: forests (i.e. graphs with no cycles).

Definition 3.1.4. We call harmonic web the codimension 1 foliations in \mathbb{R}^2 obtained from the level sets of the degree n algebraic equations $\Re P(x, y) = 0$ and $\Im P(x, y) = 0$.

Each of these algebraic equations give n foliations of codimension 1 (i.e. real one dimensional curves properly embedded) in \mathbb{R}^2 . So, we have a pair of superimposed n webs in an open domain of \mathbb{R}^2 .

The configurations of the foliations in the webs present different “geometries”. Therefore, we visualise them under the shape of a chord diagram in a given disc: the chords of the diagrams corresponding to the foliations given by the webs. We develop this aspect below.

A tree is a kind of graph, being a finite connected contractible 1-complex with at least one edge. The vertices of the graph are split into two kinds:

- those having valency one (i.e. one incident edge) are called *leaves*;
- the other vertices are called *inner nodes*.

A disjoint union of trees forms a forest. Now, an embedded forest is a subset of the plane which is the image of a proper embedding of a forest minus leaves to the plane.

Such forests are visualised in the shape of a *chord diagram* in a given disc. We obtain it by embedding a forest in the closed disc such that leaves are mapped to the boundary circle and the rest of the forest are mapped into the open disc.

[EJN07] established the relation from forests to harmonic polynomials. To any level set of a real harmonic polynomial u i.e. $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : u(z) = 0\}$ corresponds an embedded graph, forming a forest. Also, since the asymptotic directions of the level curves are given by the $2n$ roots of unit, we place the leaves at the roots of unity of degree $2n$ on the boundary of the disc.

Proposition 3.1.5. Any harmonic web, attached to a degree n \mathbb{C} -polynomial, corresponds to a chord diagram such that:

- chords do not form any cycle,
- there exist $4n$ leaves,

- inner nodes must be of even valency
- inner nodes corresponding to the zeros of the corresponding polynomial are of valency 4.

Proof. Let us denote \mathcal{X}_n the space of all degree n real harmonic polynomials defined on the complex plane. It can be shown that forests corresponding to levels set of harmonic polynomials in \mathcal{X}_n have $2n$ leaves and that their inner nodes have even valencies. Now, by Th 1.3 [EJN07], the reciprocal statement holds too. A proof of the generic case can be done using a construction of Belyi [BG80]. We denote the set of forests corresponding to the degree n polynomial harmonic polynomials \mathfrak{F}_n . \square

The bijection between \mathfrak{F}_n and harmonic polynomials in \mathcal{X}_n is important to the construction of our stratification. Each connected component of the stratification is indexed by an equivalence class of embedded graphs in \mathfrak{F}_n . This equivalence class is defined naturally as follows. We call subsets F_1 and F_2 of homeomorphic topological spaces U_1 and U_2 equivalent if there is a homeomorphism $h : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ such that $h(F_1) = F_2$ (the ambient spaces X_1 and X_2 are clear here from context).

We call *generic* the level curves of a polynomial in \mathcal{X}_n being a disjoint union of n curves (or trees with one unique edge and 2 leaves in its boundary, if we take the chord diagram presentation) properly embedded in the plane. The generic strata of degree n polynomials are indexed by generic graphs. Those graphs are given by the union of n trees having one edge each.

To summarise: we can stratify the configuration space $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ in such a way that each stratum A_σ is a connected component of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to polynomials having equivalent webs and which are indexed by the same chord diagram σ .

[Com11](Main Theorem) shows that this forms a Goresky–MacPherson stratification and even a good Čech cover.

Theorem 3.1.6 ([Com11]). Let A_σ be a generic stratum (i.e. of codimension 0). Then, the topological closure A_σ defines a Goresky–MacPherson stratification.

Each stratum A_σ is formed from a family of univariate n rooted \mathbb{C} -polynomials indexed by one chord diagram σ . This chord diagram can be interpreted as an equivalence class of webs.

3.2. Dihedral Coxeter decomposition. Using the chord diagrams defined above has many advantages. One of them is that the Gauss decomposition turns out to have hidden Coxeter groups symmetries. Let us first introduce some terminology coming from Coxeter groups.

[Bou06] defines a Coxeter system (called for simplicity a Coxeter group) as being given by the pair (W, S) , where:

- W is a group
- S is a set of independent generators of W such that $S = S^{-1}$.

Every element of W is the product of a finite sequence of elements of S . Furthermore, if one takes two generators s, s' of S and calls $m(s, s')$ the order of ss' and let I be the set of pairs (s, s') such that $m(s, s')$ is finite. Then the generating set S and the relations $(ss')^{m(s, s')} = 1$ for $(s, s') \in I$ form a presentation of the group W .

A dihedral group of order $2n$ is a Coxeter group generated by two distinct elements s, s' of order two such that $(ss')^{2n} = 1$. The standard Coxeter group terminology of chambers requires that for any chamber C , the closure \overline{C} of C is a fundamental domain for the action of W on a real affine space of some finite dimension. The dihedral group D_n acts on \mathbb{R}^2 by rotating or reflecting an n -polygon.

We now show that the configuration space of n marked points on \mathbb{C} carries an explicit action of a dihedral group D_{2n} .

Proposition 3.2.1 (F. Bergeron, Sec.4 [Berv3], [Com18, Com04]). The configuration $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ carries the explicit action of a dihedral group D_{4n} .

Two different proofs of this statement are given in Sec.4 [Berv3] and [Com18]. We recall the one from [Berv3].

Proof. The configuration space $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be identified to the space \mathcal{P}_n of complex polynomials of degree n , being unitary. Note that \mathcal{P}_n differs from \mathcal{X}_n in that it is not a real harmonic polynomial.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be a polynomial. Then, define the dihedral action as follows. For $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we have

$$\iota P(\exp^{-i\pi/2n} z) \in \mathcal{P}_n,$$

which exchanges the roles of the real and imaginary part of P . Given \mathfrak{s} the rotation of a Gauss chord diagram by an angle of $\pi/2n$, one has

$$(6) \quad \Re(\iota P(\exp^{-i\pi/2n} z)) = \mathfrak{s}(\Im P), \quad \Im(\iota P(\exp^{-i\pi/2n} z)) = \mathfrak{s}(\Re P).$$

Moreover, there is also a reflection operation \mathfrak{t} given by:

$$(7) \quad \Re(\overline{P}(\overline{z})) = \mathfrak{t}(\Re(P)), \quad \Im(\overline{P}(\overline{z})) = \mathfrak{t}(\Im(P)).$$

The maps $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}$ generate the dihedral group and we have $D_{4n} = \langle \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s} \rangle$ of symmetries acting on \mathcal{P}_n of order $4n$. \square

We show that there exists a dihedral group D_{2n} acting on the set of forests in \mathfrak{F}_n . This highlights an intrinsic symmetry of the space of harmonic polynomials \mathcal{X}_n , implying that the zero locus of polynomials lying in \mathcal{X}_n has invariance under dihedral symmetry.

Proposition 3.2.2. There exists an action of dihedral group D_{2n} on the zero locus of the polynomials of \mathcal{X}_n .

Proof. To prove this we first show that there is a dihedral symmetry action on the graphs of \mathfrak{F}_n , these graphs being in bijection with the zero locus of polynomials in \mathcal{X}_n , the conclusion follows naturally. We use the chord diagram visualisation. Since the $2n$ leaves of the forests lie on the roots of unity, it is possible to identify this decorated disc to a regular $2n$ -gon. This polygon is itself partitioned into smaller polygons, where boundaries are delimited by the arrangement of chords, defining the forest, and by the edges of the polygon. Since we know that n -gons have a dihedral symmetry (i.e. D_{2n} acts on \mathbb{R}^2 by rotating or reflecting an n -polygon) it follows that we have a dihedral group D_{2n} acting on the decorated $2n$ -gons. Forgetting the polygon analogy, we can thus state that there exists a dihedral action on the chord diagrams representing \mathfrak{F}_n and thus that this statement holds for the zero locus of the polynomials of \mathcal{X}_n . \square

We equip our topological space $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ with a real structure. This is possible if and only if there exists an antiholomorphic involution on $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$, the set of complex points of the configuration space.

Definition 3.2.3. Let A be a set and let H be a group operating on A . We will denote A^H the set of fixed points of A under the action of H . Supposing that $H = Gal(\mathbb{C}|\mathbb{R})$ (where $Gal(\mathbb{C}|\mathbb{R})$ is the Galois group) we have $Conf_n(\mathbb{R}) = Conf_n(\mathbb{C})^{Gal(\mathbb{C}|\mathbb{R})}$.

Remark 3.2.4. For the statement of the principal theorem, we take as the set of base points A the set $UConf_n(\mathbb{R})$, the configuration space of points on the real line. Note that this is G invariant, when one uses the chord diagram description, where here G is the dihedral group of order $4n$.

Lemma 3.2.5. Let $X = UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the configuration space of n (distinct) marked points on the complex line. Consider the set of base points $A \subset X$, which is given by the space of n (distinct) marked points on the real line. Then A forms a G -invariant set, where G is the dihedral group D_{4n} .

Proof. Consider the configuration space $UConf_n(\mathbb{R})$ from the chord diagram point of view. This space is indexed by only one equivalence class of chord diagrams. We describe this equivalence class now. The n marked points lie on the real line and correspond to the n real roots of a degree n polynomial. So, following the rules of construction of these diagrams, along the real line lies a chord and there exist n disjoint red curves intersecting transversally this chord (only once).

By Gauss–Lucas’ theorem, given a \mathbb{C} -polynomial $P(z)$, the zeroes of $P'(z)$ lie in the convex hull of the zeroes of $P(z)$ and moreover by a theorem of Dotson [DJ68], a polynomial P with complex coefficients has Rolle’s property if, and only if, its zeroes are collinear. This is exactly our case. So, applying these theorems, given real roots ordered as follows $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$, the $n - 1$ critical points are also real and lie within the intervals (x_i, x_{i+1}) for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This implies that each of the remaining $n - 1$ chords intersect transversally the real line at those critical points. This type of chord diagram is invariant under reflection τ of D_{4n} and the only allowed rotation is the one by π (otherwise we obtain a polynomial with complex roots) since any point of $UConf_n(\mathbb{R})$. However, the equivalence class of diagrams turns out to remain invariant under a rotation by π . So, we have that A is D_{4n} stable. \square

3.3. Coxeter chambers and galleries decomposition of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$. Sec. 3.2 and [Bou06] (Chap V, section 4.3 and 4.4) introduce the setting for Coxeter chambers and galleries decomposition, on which we rely. Consider the finite reflection group W in (a vector space) V , being is a finite subgroup of $GL_n(V)$. It is generated by reflections, where by reflection of V we mean an automorphism of order 2 whose set of fixed points form an hyperplane. The reflection representation of W is obtained as follows.

Define a bilinear form on V by $B(e_{s_i}, e_{s_j}) = -\cos(\frac{\pi}{m_{i,j}})$, where e_{s_i}, e_{s_j} are the vectors of the canonical basis of V and $m_{i,j}$ is the Coxeter matrix. The reflection on V is given by: $\rho_s(x) = x - 2B(e_s, x)e_s$. The map $s \rightarrow \rho_s$ extends to an injective group morphism, $W \rightarrow GL_n(V)$, the reflection representation of W . The reflection hyperplane is called a mirror.

Denote by Mirr_W the set of mirrors of W . The connected components of the set $V - \cup_{H \in \text{Mirr}_W} H$ are the chambers of W . The group W acts simply transitively on the set of chambers [Bou06] (section V. 3 theorem 1.2). The closure of a chamber is a fundamental domain for the action of W on V .

Definition 3.3.1. By gallery of length n we intend a sequence of adjacent chambers,

These properties allow to define a stratification of the configuration space, which is invariant under a Coxeter group (the dihedral group). This geometric aspect has been developed furthermore by the first author in [Com07], using a purely geometric construction.

Theorem 3.3.2 ([Com11, Com07]). There exists a topological stratification of the configuration space of n marked points $U\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$, forming a decomposition of $U\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ being invariant under a Coxeter–Weyl group of Dihedral type D_{4n} .

Remark 3.3.3. There exist $4n$ Coxeter–Weyl chambers formed from the topological stratification and the closure of one chamber is a fundamental domain.

We can write this as the following decomposition:

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \bigcup_{s \in D_{4n}} \overline{C}_s,$$

where \overline{C}_s stands for a chamber in the space of polynomials \mathcal{P}_n . This terminology is borrowed from Coxeter groups. It stands for a connected space which is a fundamental domain. One can move from one chamber to another one by using the Dihedral group action on the diagrams, just as in the classical Coxeter group theory. The union of all chambers forms a gallery.

In particular, we can define a projection morphism such as $p : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n/D_{4n}$.

Lemma 3.3.4. The morphism $p : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n/D_{4n}$ has a path lifting property.

Proof. Indeed, since D_{4n} is a discrete group acting on a Hausdorff space, it implies that the quotient map admits naturally this path lifting property. \square

We can check that the \clubsuit conditions are satisfied in this framework. It is clear that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $s \in D_{4n}$ (not in the stabiliser) one has that for a neighbourhood U_P of P , the property that $U_P \cap s \cdot U_P = \emptyset$. Moreover, one also has:

Lemma 3.3.5. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and consider a neighbourhood of P , denoted U_P . Then if a_1 and a_2 are paths both starting at the polynomial P , defined in the neighbourhood U_P s.t. $p(a_1)$ and $p(a_2)$ are homotopic relative to their end points in \mathcal{P}_n/D_{4n} . Then, there is an element s in the stabiliser such that $s \cdot a_1$ and a_2 are homotopic in \mathcal{P}_n rel end points.

Proof. This statement is related to the previous one: if $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $s \in D_{4n}$ (where s is not in the stabiliser) one has that for a neighbourhood U_P of P , the property $U_P \cap s \cdot U_P = \emptyset$ holds.

By hypothesis, we suppose that a_1 and a_2 are paths, both starting at the given polynomial P and defined in the neighbourhood U_P . Their image under the projection morphism $p(a_1)$ and $p(a_2)$ are homotopic relative to their end points in \mathcal{P}_n/D_{4n} . Now suppose by contradiction that s is not in the stabiliser. Then, by the previous statement, we have $U_P \cap s \cdot U_P = \emptyset$. But this contradicts the fact that

$p(a_1)$ and $p(a_2)$ are homotopic relative to their end points in \mathcal{P}_n/D_{4n} . So, s lies in the stabiliser and $s \cdot a_1$ and a_2 are homotopic relative end points in \mathcal{P}_n . \square

Remark 3.3.6. Going back to Sec. 3.5, remark that the direction maps and relative distance maps are particularly important to this decomposition. Indeed, deforming each foliation of the web/ level set of the harmonic polynomials requires different tools than classical deformation theory, due to its intrinsic type of geometry (we can not express the foliations using a coordinate ring, see the discussion in [Com04]). The answer resides in a Hamilton–Jacobi type of equation (see [Com04])

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0,$$

where \mathbf{v} is a vector field, and the level set function $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a real function in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$, corresponding respectively to the real and/or imaginary part of the complex polynomial P . This vector field \mathbf{v} plays a central role concerning:

- the direction maps (equation (??))
- the relative distance maps (equation (??))
- the deformation of the chord diagram.

3.4. Parenthesised words and harmonic polynomials. This section is a digression in a more combinatorial flavour and can be independently considered from the rest of the paper.

We can prove that each forest can be identified to a parenthesized word of $n + 1$ letters with n parenthesis. From this it turns out that the topological realisation of the stratification of this space, using the graphs \mathfrak{F}_n has the structure of an associahedron. Finally, it follows that the Drinfeld’s Pentagon relation [Dri91] is satisfied, for any $n \geq 4$.

The following construction requires to define paths in the space \mathcal{X}_n . Our starting point (polynomial) will always be indexed by a generic chord diagram such that the 1-edged trees connect only leaves of the boundary of the disc which are adjacent. For example, the leaf 1 is connected to the the leaf 2; the leaf 3 is connected to the leaf 4; and so on. We identify this disc to a $2n$ -gon, for simplicity and call this case the “0” diagram.

Label every second edge of the $2n$ -gon by a letter (or a number), such that every 1-edged tree of the generic diagram is labelled by a letter (or a number). For clarity we label the edges of the chord diagram in order so that the first edge (connecting leaf 1 and leaf 2) is labelled a (or 1); the edge connecting leaf 3 to leaf 4 is labelled b (or 2) and so on.

Any deformation operation shrinking two level curves together say (a and b) corresponds defines a parenthesis between the letters a and b . For instance if we have five 1-edged trees labelled, respectively a, b, c, d, e , and supposing that a and b deform into having an intersection at a nodal point then we write it as a word $(ab)cde$.

Lemma 3.4.1. Any forest of \mathfrak{F}_n can be encoded as a parenthesised word in n letters. The number of pairs of (open and closed) parenthesis corresponds to the number of critical points of the corresponding polynomial. The number of letters

between a pair of open and closed parenthesis indicates the multiplicity of the critical point.

Proof. Our statement is first based on a simple evidence: that the space of polynomials is simply connected. Starting from a given point (a polynomials) we can create a loop or a path in this space. Start with the 0 diagram corresponding to a generic harmonic polynomial u_0 . As mentioned previously, to every curve of the level set we attribute a letter (or a number). The first letter of the word is the one corresponding to the curve starting with the first leaf, the second letter is the one corresponding to the second leaf and so on. One can perturb the coefficients of the corresponding harmonic polynomial in such a way that a given subset of curves in the level set deforms until they intersect at a point: the critical point of the perturbed polynomial u_ϵ , $\epsilon > 0$. This is a path starting at u_0 and ending at u_ϵ . The number of curves intersecting at this precise point determines uniquely the multiplicity of the critical point. This operation is encoded by an opened and closed parenthesis, surrounding a word made of the letters attributed to the deformed curves. The other curves remain the same up to homotopy so there is no additional parenthesis appearing. The letters in the newly built word are inscribed following the (counterclockwise) order in which the leaves have been numbered initially. One can iterate this procedure and obtain more parenthesis in the word. The maximal number of parenthesis is $n - 1$. \square

Remark 3.4.2. Note that there is another way of defining parenthesised words using only generic forests. Indeed, it is easy to prove that the number of generic forests is a Catalan number. The n -th Catalan number is $\frac{\binom{2n}{n}}{n+1}$ and counts the number of ways to insert n pairs of parentheses in a word of $n + 1$ letters. So, one can give a construction where all the generic forests are described by n pairs of parentheses.

We thus conclude with the following.

Lemma 3.4.3. The Drinfeld pentagon relation is satisfied for harmonic polynomials in two real variables, with degree $n \geq 4$.

3.5. The Fulton–MacPherson–Axelrod–Singer (FMAS) compactification. [Kon99, Gai03, Sin04] present a type of compactification that fits the best our Gauss decomposition. We refer to this as the Fulton–MacPherson–Axelrod–Singer compactification (FMAS, in short). Initially it was defined by Kontsevich [Kon99] and Gaiffi [Gai03], and was fully developed by Sinha [Sin04]. We briefly survey the construction and explain how and why it matches the best our approach.

Given M be an arbitrary manifold, equipped with a metric, a configuration space $Conf_n(M)$ on n labeled points x_i , indexed by $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, is defined as the subspace of $I = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in M^I$ such that $x_i \neq x_j$ if $i \neq j$. In our case we will take M to be \mathbb{R}^1 , \mathbb{R}^2 or a disc, equipped with a metric.

In the case where $M = \mathbb{R}^N$, a configuration space $Conf_n(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of n -labelled points in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 1$) is the complement to all diagonals in the real affine space \mathbb{R}^{nN} .

One advantage of this compactification is that it has differential geometry properties, which do not appear in the Fulton–MacPherson approach and which exist naturally *per se* in our decomposition. From the Gauss decomposition follow two typical properties of the FMAS compactification being:

- the *relative distance map* between three distinct points;
- the *direction between vectors map* (which we sometimes refer to as distance map for simplicity).

Now, translating this into the language of Gauss decompositions, those maps are *indicators* of the dissipation procedure of critical points, lying on the real and imaginary parts of a polynomial in \mathcal{P}_n . Indeed, different infinitesimal perturbations of our harmonic polynomials (and their level sets) lead to giving different possible diagrams, indexing different strata (see Sec.4, developed in the work [Com11] and more combinatorially [Com07]). Therefore, those maps determine the incidence relations between different adjacent Gauss strata.

On the other side, these maps were initially used to define the FMAS compactification. So, we use this setting for our compactification. This will be used later for the construction of the parenthesized braids PaB tower in the spirit of Bar-Natan’s approach [BN98].

[Sin04], Thm 4.4 implies that the compactified space $\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a manifold with corners such that its interior of it is $Conf_n(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Naturally, this compactification holds naturally for unordered marked points. The symmetric group Σ_n acts canonically on $\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, the compactifications of the configuration space of unordered points is given by $\overline{UCConf}_n(\mathbb{R}^N) = \overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R}^N)/\Sigma_n$ and is also a manifold with corners.

The boundary $\partial\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of this manifold is:

$$(8) \quad \partial\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R}^N) = \bigcup_{\substack{\pi: [n] \rightarrow [m] \\ m \leq n}} \prod_{j \in [m]} \overline{Conf}_{\pi^{-1}(j)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \overline{Conf}_m(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Remark 3.5.1. The configuration spaces defined in Sec 3 defined initially for unordered points $UCConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be easily lifted to the case of ordered configuration spaces (see [Com22]) using the covering morphism $Conf_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Conf_n(\mathbb{C})/\mathbb{S}_n$. One fiber can be visualised as follows.

Take a (Gauss) chord diagram, indexing a stratum of the decomposition in Sec. 3. Then, to describe each diagram we use its "combinatorial datum", obtained by labelling vertices, leaves and edges of the graph in an formal and accurate manner, so that we can determine the incidence relations between the set of vertices and edges of the graph. Therefore, this amounts to choosing a convention allowing a "labelling" of the vertices (and edges). The other fibers are obtained by permuting in a given way the labels of vertices/ edges. This construction allows us to work on the ordered configuration space without losing the symmetries of the decomposition depicted in Sec. [?].

[Sin04], Sec. 6, Def. 6.3 and Rem. 3.5.1, provides us with the possibility of constructing the PaB tower, by first defining the doubling d_i and forgetting maps s_i for the compactified configuration space $\overline{Conf}_n(M)$. This leads to the following section.

3.6. The PaB tower. Relying on Sec. 3.5 we have the configuration space $Conf_n(\mathbb{C})$ which is given by n -tuples of points lying on the complex line \mathbb{C} , and defined as the complement in \mathbb{C}^n to diagonals $\{\Delta_{ij} := z_i - z_j\}$, here $(z_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a coordinate in \mathbb{C}^n .

The collection $\overline{Conf}(\mathbb{C}) := \{\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})\}_{n \geq 2}$ forms a tower of topological spaces:

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\quad d^1 \quad} & \overline{Conf}_3(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\quad d^1 \quad} & \overline{Conf}_4(\mathbb{C}) & \dots & , \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad d^2 \quad} & & \xrightarrow{\quad d^2 \quad} & & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad d^3 \quad} & & \xrightarrow{\quad d^3 \quad} & & & \\ & & & \xrightarrow{\quad d^4 \quad} & & & \end{array}$$

where d_{\bullet}^i is the corresponding pushward morphism.

For any finite set of cardinality n we consider a locus $\overline{Conf}_n^0(\mathbb{R}) \subset \overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of strata of zero dimension. Elements of $\overline{Conf}_n^0(\mathbb{R})$ can be identified with binary n -trees or equivalently as a set of pairs $(\bar{\sigma}, p)$, where $\bar{\sigma}$ is a linear order on the set of n elements and p is a maximal parenthesization of $\underbrace{\bullet \cdots \bullet}_n$. Analogous to (9) the

collection $\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R})_{n \geq 2}^0$ with the induced morphisms⁴ forms a tower which will be called the *tower of parenthesized permutations* (cf. [BN98]). Note that the natural inclusion of manifold with boundary $\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ defines a morphism of topological towers:

$$\overline{Conf}_n^0(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \overline{Conf}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We give:

Definition 3.6.1 (Tower of PaBs). The **tower of parenthesized braids** $\text{PaB} := \{\text{PaB}(n), d_{\bullet}^i\}_{n \geq 2}$ in the category of pro-unipotent groupoids is defined by:

$$\text{PaB}(n) := \Pi_1^B(\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}), \overline{Conf}_n^0(\mathbb{R})).$$

For any $n \geq 2$ we designate $\text{PaB}(n)$ as the level of the tower PaB . For any $1 \leq i \leq n$ the morphism d_{\bullet}^i is the corresponding pushforward morphism for pro-unipotent groupoids.

Remark 3.6.2. Note that for the definition of $\text{PaB}(n)$ in itself, which does not require any pushward morphism, it is unnecessary to pass to the compactification of the configuration spaces. One may remain with the smooth strata of $Conf_n(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. $Conf_n^0(\mathbb{R})$).

4. HIDDEN SYMMETRIES OF GT^{un} AND PROOFS

Notation. From now on—and so as to keep consistent with Sec. 2.1— the symbol A^n (as defined in Sec. 2.1) stands for the set of base points $\overline{UConf}_n(\mathbb{R})^0$, i.e. in the fundamental groupoid we write $\Pi_1^B(\overline{UConf}_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n)$. This section is devoted to the proof of the following statement.

NB. The main theorem will be based on the construction of [Com22], where the passage from the unordered configuration space and its Gauss decomposition to the ordered configuration space is detailed. In particular, the lifting procedure preserves the dihedral symmetries, which are naturally present in $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ as developed in Sec. 3 (based on the works [Berv3, Com18, Com11, Com04]).

⁴In terms of binary trees the composition law is given by a substitution of a tree.

Theorem 4.0.1. Consider the tower of fundamental groupoids of the (unordered) configuration spaces $\{\Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n)\}_{n \geq 2}$. Then, each level $\Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n)$ of the tower, where $n \geq 2$, is endowed with the following splitting:

$$\Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n) \cong \mathcal{G}_1^n \star_{\mathcal{G}_3} (\mathcal{G}_2^n // D_{4n}),$$

where $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{G}_3$ are subgroupoids of $\Pi_1(\overline{UConf}_n(\mathbb{C}), \overline{UConf}_n(\mathbb{R})^0)$ constructed in Sec. 4.2 and “ $\star_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ ” stands for the free amalgamation product.

As a consequence, and using the lifting to the ordered case depicted in [Com22] we can say that the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group preserves the dihedral symmetries existing in $\Pi_1(\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}), \overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R}))$, for every $n \geq 2$ i.e:

Theorem (Main theorem). Let $Conf_n(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. $Conf_n(\mathbb{R})$) be the configuration space of n labelled marked points in \mathbb{C} (resp. \mathbb{R}). Let $\Pi_1(Conf_n(\mathbb{C}), Conf_n^0(\mathbb{R}))$ be the fundamental groupoid, where $Conf_n^0(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of base points. Then, the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group GT preserves the dihedral symmetry relations, existing in $\Pi_1(\overline{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}), \overline{Conf}_n^0(\mathbb{R}))$, for all $n \geq 2$.

4.1. Automorphisms of PaB. Let $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n \in \Delta}$ be a tower with values in a category \mathbf{Gr}^{un} . Following [BN98] by an automorphism of a tower $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n \in \Delta}$ we understand a collection of natural equivalences $\{F_n\}_{n \in \Delta}$:

$$F_n : \mathcal{G}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_n$$

such that:

- (i) F_n is identity on objects.
- (ii) The following diagrams commute:

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_n & \xrightarrow{d^i} & \mathcal{G}_{n+1} \\ \uparrow F_n \sim & & \uparrow F_{n+1} \sim \\ \mathcal{G}_n & \xrightarrow{d^i} & \mathcal{G}_{n+1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_n & \xleftarrow{s^i} & \mathcal{G}_{n+1} \\ \uparrow F_n \sim & & \uparrow F_{n+1} \sim \\ \mathcal{G}_n & \xleftarrow{s^i} & \mathcal{G}_{n+1} \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that automorphisms of a tower \mathcal{G} form a group which we will denote by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$. Moreover it is easy to see that this group will be pro-algebraic (cf. [BN98]). We give:

Definition 4.1.1 (D. Bar-Natan [BN98]). The **(pro-unipotent) Grothendieck–Teichmüller group** GT^{un} is the pro-algebraic group defined by the rule:

$$\text{GT}^{un} := \text{Aut}(\text{PaB})$$

Note that this definition coincides (see [BN98] [Fre17]) with the original definition given by V. Drinfeld [Dri91]. We have a natural morphism $\text{GT}^{un} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, with the corresponding kernel denoted by GT_1^{un} . The group GT_1^{un} is pro-unipotent.

4.2. Proof of statements. A proof of our main statement will now be given, using the tools presented in the sections above (i.e. Sec 2–Sec. 3). An important building block will be to prove the following.

Consider the fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n)$.

- (1) By Prop. 3.2.1 there exists a dihedral group D_{4n} acting on the topological space $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$. Also, it has been shown that A^n is D_{4n} -stable.
- (2) One can check easily that the \clubsuit conditions outlined in Sec. 2.2 are satisfied.

Therefore, theorems of Brown [Bro06] and Brown–Higgins–Taylor [BH71, JJ82, Tay88] (i.e. Corr. 2.2.3 concerning the orbit groupoids, see Sec.2.2) can be applied. Namely, we have that:

Proposition 4.2.1. Take the stratification of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ in chambers and consider the action of D_{4n} on it. Then, the groupoid morphism:

$$p_* : \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n) // D_{4n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C})/D_{4n}, A^n/D_{4n})$$

is a *canonical isomorphism*.

Proof. This follows from the discussion in the paragraph above: there exists a dihedral group D_{4n} acting on the topological space $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ and A^n is D_{4n} -stable. Since \clubsuit conditions are satisfied we apply the theorems of Brown and Brown–Higgins–Taylor. \square

Ingredients for applying the Seifert van Kampen theorem for groupoids are now presented. It is necessary to choose a pair of open subspaces X_1 and X_2 of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that their union forms the space $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ and such that their intersection is non-empty, i.e. $X_0 = X_1 \cap X_2$.

– Define X_1 in $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ as the quotient $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})/D_{4n}$, where the action of D_{4n} on $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ has been defined in Prop. 3.2.1, by the Equ. (6) and Equ. (7). This quotient corresponds to a fundamental domain of the Coxeter-Weyl decomposition (Sec. 3.3) and by Thm. 3.3.2 forms a chamber. We choose *the specific chamber* containing 0 dimensional strata of $\overline{UConf_n}(\mathbb{R})$ (there exists only one chamber satisfying this condition).

– Define X_2 as the union of chambers in $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by $\cup_{g \in D_{4n}} C_g$, where $g \neq 1_G$ forming the orbit space of D_{4n} acting on the set of chambers of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$. We choose it so that it is the complement to X_1 . Following the thickening of strata method of Sec. 3 [Com18] (or Sec. 4.2. [Com11]) we thicken X_1 so that it is an open and contains A^n .

Lemma 4.2.2. Let us consider $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$. There exist subspaces of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ denoted X_1 , and X_2 such that:

- their intersection, X_0 , is non-empty,
- the union of their interiors is $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$ and such that:

$$(11) \quad \Pi_1(X_1, A^n) \star_{\Pi_1(X_0, A^n)} \Pi_1(X_2, A^n) \xrightarrow{\cong}$$

$$\Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n).$$

Proof. Consider our Coxeter stratification of $Conf_n(\mathbb{C})$ into chambers. Using the description of X_1 and X_2 as above one can check easily that the union of the interiors of those subspaces is indeed $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$. The intersection X_0 is clearly non empty since it contains A^n . So, we satisfy all the conditions so to define the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \cap X_2 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X_2 \\ \downarrow u_2 & & \downarrow i_2 \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{u_1} & UConf_n(\mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

Now, considering the subset A^n of $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have that it satisfies the condition that A^n meets each path component of X_0, X_1, X_2 . Therefore, we can state that the next pushout diagram can be defined in the category of groupoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi_1(X_0, A^n) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \Pi_1(X_2, A^n) \\ \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow u_1 \\ \Pi_1(X_1, A^n) & \xrightarrow{u_2} & \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n). \end{array}$$

This pushout diagram construction is the key tool to apply the Seifert van Kampen theorem for groupoids. So this gives the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi_1(X_1, A^n) \star_{\Pi_1(X_0, A^n)} \Pi_1(X_2, A^n) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n). \end{aligned}$$

□

We can now apply Cor. 2.2.3 and Prop. 4.2.1 to this statement. This results in the following property:

Proposition 4.2.3. The fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n)$ is equipped with a dihedral symmetry given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n) \cong \\ & \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n) // D_{4n} \star_{\Pi_1(X_0, A^n)} \Pi_1(X_2, A^n) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1- Prop. 4.2.1 implies that we have the following isomorphism:

$$(12) \quad p_* : \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n) // D_{4n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C})/D_{4n}, A^n/D_{4n}).$$

The quotient space $UConf_n(\mathbb{C})/D_{4n}$ gives the subspace X_1 . So, we apply Prop. 4.2.1 to the formula (11).

2- Applying the Seifert van Kampen relation, we get:

$$\Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n) \cong \Pi_1(X_1, A^n) \star_{\Pi_1(X_0, A^n)} \Pi_1(X_2, A^n)$$

and thus we can conclude that:

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n) \cong \\ & \Pi_1(UConf_n(\mathbb{C}), A^n) // D_{4n} \star_{\Pi_1(X_0, A^n)} \Pi_1(X_2, A^n). \end{aligned}$$

□

We now prove the main theorem, presented in the introduction.

Proof. Now, it is enough to use the construction in [Com22] in order to lift this dihedral symmetry relation to the ordered configuration space (see Rem. 3.5.1). The dihedral symmetries remain preserved under this procedure and hold also for the compactified configuration space (we use the compactification from Sec. 3.5 and 4.2 of the configuration spaces, in order to obtain a tower \mathbf{PaB}). Now, it is enough to apply the result above in order to deduce the Main Theorem. \square

4.3. Conclusions. We have shown the existence of dihedral symmetry relations, within the components on which the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group acts. We end this paper by highlighting that the dihedral symmetry relations remain preserved, independently from how were deformed the cyclotomic polynomials. This is particularly visible, when considering the modified Grothendieck–Teichmüller group [CM21], which is a combinatorial version of the (profinite) Grothendieck–Teichmüller group, encoding arithmetical data related to cyclotomic polynomials. It also turns out to somewhat *contain* the dihedral symmetries relations.

The latter is a “modified profinite Grothendieck–Teichmüller group” \mathbf{mGT} , in the sense that it is obtained from a tower given by the projective limit of groups \mathbf{mGT}_q , where q is a positive integer, with respect to given homomorphisms $u_{q,p}$ ($u_{q,p} : \mathbf{mGT}_q \rightarrow \mathbf{mGT}_p$ for each p, q with p/q) and where the group \mathbf{mGT}_q is defined as the *subgroup* of permutations of $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, generated by the following maps:

- (a) multiplications by all elements $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$;
- (b) the involution $\theta_q : a \mapsto 1 - a$.

It is essential to keep in mind, the deep connection to the absolute Galois group which is partially encoded in \mathbf{mGT} . Indeed, considering the field generated by roots of unity, one replaces each group $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ by the group μ_q of roots of unity of degree q : $a \bmod q \mapsto \exp^{2\pi i a}$.

Then, the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ becomes the action of the respective Galois group $\exp^{2\pi i a} \mapsto \exp^{2\pi i da}$. Finally, θ_q encodes the reflection with respect to 0 or ∞ , rather than 1 in Ihara’s works [Iha91].

We remark that dihedral relations are as well present in the modified Grothendieck–Teichmüller group as is shown below:

Proposition 4.3.1. For any $n \geq 2$, the \mathbf{mGT}_n inherits dihedral symmetry relations of the dihedral group D_{2n} .

Proof. Indeed, \mathbf{mGT}_n is a subgroup of permutations of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. The group of permutations contains the dihedral group D_{2n} . So, \mathbf{mGT}_n inherits some dihedral symmetry relations. \square

Sec. 6 [CM21] defines the entire tower constructing \mathbf{mGT} . Applying this, we can say that:

Corollary 4.3.2. The avatar of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group \mathbf{mGT} comes equipped with dihedral relations, in the sense that each \mathbf{mGT}_n inherits dihedral symmetry relations from the dihedral group D_{2n} .

REFERENCES

- [A’C20] N. A’Campo, *Signatures of monic polynomials*, pp. 527–543, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020.

- [AS92] M. A. Akivis and A. M. Shelekhov, *Geometry and algebra of multidimensional three-webs*, vol. 82, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 1992.
- [AT12] A. Alekseev and C. Torossian, *The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture and Drinfeld's associators*, *Annals of Mathematics* **175** (2012), no. 2, 415–463.
- [BCS10] F. Brown, S. Carr, and L. Schneps, *The algebra of cell-zeta values*, *Compositio Mathematica* **146** (2010), no. 3, 731–771.
- [BDN75] R. Brown and G. Danesh-Naruie, *The fundamental groupoid as a topological groupoid*, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **19** (1975), 237–244.
- [Berv3] F. Bergeron, *Combinatorial cellular decompositions for the space of complex coefficient polynomials*, (2011), <https://arxiv.org/0901.4030v3>.
- [BG80] A. Belyi and V. Gennadii, *Galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field*, *Math. USSR Izv.* **14** (1980), 247–256.
- [BH71] R. Brown and Ph. J. Higgins, *The fundamental groupoid of the quotient of a hausdorff space by a discontinuous action of a discrete group is the orbit groupoid of the induced action*, 2002, <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0212271>.
- [BHS11] R. Brown, Ph. J. Higgins, and R. Sivera, *Nonabelian algebraic topology: filtered spaces, crossed complexes, cubical homotopy groupoids*, vol. 15, 2011.
- [BN98] D. Bar-Natan, *On associators and the grothendieck-teichmuller group, i*, *Selecta Mathematica* **4** (1998), no. 2, 183–212.
- [Bou06] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie. chapters 4, 5, 6*, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006.
- [Bro87] R. Brown, *From groups to groupoids: a brief survey*, *Bull. London Math. Soc* **19** (1987), 113–134.
- [Bro06] ———, *Topology: a geometric account of general topology, homotopy types, and the fundamental groupoid*, 2006.
- [Bro12] F. Brown, *Mixed Tate motives over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$* , *Annals of Mathematics* **175** (2012), 949–976 (english).
- [Bro21] ———, *Invariant differential forms on complexes of graphs and feynman integrals*, *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications* (2021).
- [Car37] E. Cartan, *La théorie des groupes finis et continus et la géométrie différentielle traitées par la méthode du repère mobile*, Paris: Gauthier-Villars, 1937.
- [CGP21] M. Chan, S. Galatius, and S. Payne, *Tropical curves, graph complexes, and top weight cohomology of \mathcal{M}_g* , *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **34** (2021), 565–594.
- [CM21] N.C. Combe and Yu. I. Manin, *Hidden symmetry of genus 0 modular operad and its stacky versions*, *Integrability, Quantization and Geometry, Dubrovin memorial volume, Proceedings Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, 2021.
- [CM22] N. C. Combe and Yu. I. Manin, *Hidden symmetry of genus 0 modular operad and absolute galois group*, *North-Western European Journal of Mathematics* **8** (2022).
- [CMM21] N.C. Combe, Yu. I. Manin, and M. Marcolli, *Dessins for modular operad and Grothendieck-Teichmuller group*, *Topology Geometry*, collection dedicated to V. Turaev **33** (2021), 537–560.
- [CMM22] ———, *Moufang patterns and geometry of information*, *Pure and Applied Maths Quaterly*, dedicated to Don Zagier (2022).
- [Com04] N. C. Combe, *Cech cover of the complement of the discriminant variety. part II: Deformations of Gauss-skizze*, (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.01604>.
- [Com18] ———, *On a new cell decomposition of a complement of the discriminant variety: application to the cohomology of braid groups*, Ph.D. thesis, 2018.
- [Com22] N.C. Combe, *Dihedral symmetries of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group*, To appear (2022).
- [Com07] N. C. Combe, *Decomposition in Coxeter-chambers of the configuration space of d marked points on the complex plane*, (2018), To be published, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.08207>.
- [Com11] ———, *Cech cover of the complement of the discriminant variety. part I: Goresky-Macpherson stratification*, (2018) To be published <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.08411>.
- [Del89] P. Deligne, *Le Groupe Fondamental de la Droite Projective Moins Trois Points*, *Galois Groups over \mathbb{Q}* (Y. Ihara, K. Ribet, and JP. Serre, eds.), *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*, vol. 16, Springer, New York, 1989 (french).

- [DJ68] W. G. Dotson-Jr, *A note on complex polynomials having Rolle's property and the mean value property for derivatives*, Math. Mag **41** (1968), 140–144.
- [Dri91] V. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$* , Leningrad Mathematical Journal **4** (1991), 829–860.
- [DT05] P. Deligne and T. Terasoma, *Harmonic shuffle relation for associators*, unpublished, 2005.
- [EJN07] A. Eremenko, D. Jakobson, and N. Nadirashvili, *On nodal sets and nodal domains on \mathbb{S}^2 and \mathbb{R}^2* , Annales de l'Institut Fourier **57** (2007), no. 7, 2345–2360 (en). MR 2394544
- [EK96] P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan, *Quantization of lie bialgebras i.*, Selecta Math **2** (1996), 1–41.
- [FM94] W. Fulton and R. MacPherson, *A compactification of configuration spaces*, Annals of Mathematics **139** (1994), no. 1, 183–225.
- [Fre17] B. Fresse, *Homotopy of operads and Grothendieck–Teichmüller groups*, American Mathematical Society, Apr (2017).
- [Fur11] H. Furusho, *Double shuffle relation for associators*, Annals of Mathematics **174** (2011), no. 1, 341–360.
- [Gai03] G. Gaiffi, *Models for real subspace arrangements and stratified manifolds*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2003** (2003), no. 12, 627–656.
- [Ghy17] E. A. Ghys, *A singular mathematic promenade*, Lyon ENS éditions, 2017.
- [Gol88] V. Goldberg, *Theory of multicodimensional $(n + 1)$ -webs.*, vol. 44, Mathematics and its Application, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrechts, 1988.
- [Gro84] A. Grothendieck, *Esquisse d'un programme*, vol. 242, Geometric Galois Action, Eds. Schneps, L. and Lochak, P, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series Cambridge University Press, 1997, manuscript 1984.
- [Hai21] R. Hain, *Hodge theory of the Turaev cobracket and the Kashiwara–Vergne problem*, Journal of the European Mathematical Society **23** (2021), no. 12, 3889–3933.
- [Hig63] Ph. J. Higgins, *Algebras with a scheme of operators*, Math. Nach. **27** (1963), 115–132.
- [Hig71] ———, *Categories and groupoids*, vol. Repr. Theory Appl. Categ. van Nostrand, New York., (1971).
- [Iha91] Y. Ihara, *Braids, Galois groups, and some arithmetic functions*, Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians (ICM), August 21-29, 1990, Kyoto, Japan. Volume I, Tokyo etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1991, pp. 99–120 (English).
- [JJ82] Higgins Ph. J. and Taylor J., *The fundamental groupoid and homotopy crossed complex of an orbit space*, in Category Theory: Proceedings Gummertsbach 1981, K. H. Kamps et al., ed., vol. Springer-Verlag. Lecture Notes in Math 962., 1982, p. 115–122.
- [Kon99] M. Kontsevich, *Operads and motives in deformation quantization*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **48** (1999), 35–72.
- [Kon03] ———, *Deformation quantization of poisson manifolds*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **66** (2003), no. 3, 157–216.
- [LNS97] P. Lochak, H. Nakamura, and L. Schneps, *On a new version of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group*, Note aux CR Acad. Sci. **315** (1997).
- [LS06] P. Lochak, and L. Schneps, *Open problems in Grothendieck–Teichmüller theory*, vol. 74, Amer. Math. Soc., 2006.
- [Mal49] A. I. Mal'tsev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces.*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **13** (1949), 9–32 (Russian).
- [Man99] Y. I. Manin, *Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology, and moduli spaces*, 1999.
- [MSS07] J.L. Martin, M. Savitt, and D. Singer, *Harmonic algebraic curves and non-crossing partitions*, Discrete and Computational Geometry **37** (2007), no. 2, 67–286.
- [Qui69] D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Annals of Mathematics **90** (1969), no. 2, 205–295.
- [San97] F. Santos, *Optimal degree construction of real algebraic plane nodal curves with prescribed topology. i. the orientable case.*, Revista Matemática de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid **10** (1997), no. Supl., 291–310 (eng).
- [SiGP16] Maps Symmetry in Graphs and Polytopes (eds.), *The Grothendieck–Teichmüller group of a finite group and G -dessins d'enfants*, vol. 159, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 2016.
- [Sin04] D. Sinha, *Manifold-theoretic compactifications of configuration spaces*, Selecta Mathematica **10** (2004), no. 3, 391.

- [Tam03] D. Tamarkin, *Formality of chain operad of small squares*, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003), 65–72.
- [Tay88] J. Taylor, *Quotients of groupoids by an action of a group*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **103** (1988), no. 2, 239–249.
- [Vir89] O. Viro, *Real algebraic plane curves: constructions with controlled topology*, Algebra i Analiz **1** (1989), 1–73.
- [Wil15] Th. Willwacher, *M. Kontsevich’s graph complex and the Grothendieck–Teichmüller Lie algebra*, Inventiones mathematicae **200** (2015), 671–760 (english).

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN SCIENCES, INSELSTR. 22, 04103 LEIPZIG, GERMANY