

MONODROMY REPRESENTATIONS OF p -ADIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN FAMILIES

KIRAN S. KEDLAYA

ABSTRACT. We derive a relative version of the local monodromy theorem for ordinary differential equations on an annulus over a mixed-characteristic nonarchimedean field, and give several applications in p -adic cohomology and p -adic Hodge theory. These include a simplified proof of the semistable reduction theorem for overconvergent F -isocrystals, a relative version of Berger’s theorem that de Rham representations are potentially semistable, and a multivariate version of the local monodromy theorem in the style of Drinfeld’s lemma on fundamental groups.

Let K be a nonarchimedean field (i.e., a field which is complete with respect to a specified nonarchimedean absolute value) of mixed characteristics $(0, p)$. As presented in [21], there is a long-standing theory of *ordinary differential equations* over K , by which we mean connections on vector bundles over a disc, annulus, or other smooth one-dimensional analytic space over K . A particularly important sector of this theory is the study of connections on an annulus which are “solvable at a boundary”; such connections appear naturally in the study of coefficients in p -adic cohomology (namely *overconvergent isocrystals*) and in p -adic Hodge theory (see below). A central result is the *p -adic local monodromy theorem* [2, 14, 32], which classifies solvable connections in terms of certain finite étale covers of the annulus; roughly speaking, these covers have “wildly ramified reduction mod p ” which eliminates the “irregularity” of the connections in question.

The purpose of this paper is to gather some extensions of this theory to *relative connections*, defined on the product of annulus with some base space, which are again (fiberwise) solvable at a boundary of the annulus. The main result is a relativization of the p -adic local monodromy theorem based on the form of the latter exposed in [21, Chapter 22]. Compared to earlier incarnations of the p -adic local monodromy theorem, the aforementioned version achieves two crucial improvements: it does not require K to be discretely valued, and it does not require the connection to admit a Frobenius structure. This makes it feasible to carry out a relatively formal process to relativize the result using the quasicompactness of affinoid spaces. We anticipate a variety of applications of this result; here we limit ourselves to three general directions. (There is some potential to “mix and match” these directions, but we also eagerly expect some further applications in unforeseen directions.)

Our first application is a new and significantly simpler proof of the *semistable reduction theorem* for overconvergent F -isocrystals [17] (see Theorem 3.1.3, Remark 3.1.4). This result plays a foundational role in the study of *rigid cohomology*, a Weil cohomology with p -adic coefficients introduced by Berthelot [9]; in particular, the semistable reduction theorem is essential for the construction of a six functors formalism in which overconvergent F -isocrystals occur as the lisse coefficient objects [1]. The approach we take here is much more flexible

Date: September 1, 2022.

The author was supported by NSF (grant DMS-2053473) and UC San Diego (Warschawski Professorship).

than the original proof, and should therefore be more readily adaptable to variations of the semistable reduction theorem which may be needed in the future.

Our second application is a relative version of Berger’s proof [5] of Fontaine’s conjecture C_{st} : every p -adic Galois representation which is de Rham is also potentially semistable. A relative version of this conjecture, for a de Rham \mathbb{Z}_p -local system on a smooth rigid analytic space over a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , has been proposed by Liu–Zhu [31, Remark 1.4]. This was previously known locally in the neighborhood of a classical point [34]; here, we obtain a similar statement locally around an arbitrary Berkovich point (Theorem 3.2.6).

Our third application is a multivariate analogue of the p -adic local monodromy theorem for integrable connections on a product of annuli equipped with a full set of *partial* Frobenius structures (Theorem 3.3.6). This can be thought of as a combination of the original local monodromy theorem with a local analogue of Drinfeld’s lemma for F -isocrystals [22, 30]. This statement can be further relativized (Theorem 3.3.8).

1. CONNECTIONS ON ANNULI

In this section, we collect and reformulate a number of results from [21] concerning ordinary p -adic differential equations on an annulus. We assume the reader either has a passing familiarity with [21, Chapters 9–13] or has a copy of the text handy for easy reference.

1.1. Preliminaries.

Definition 1.1.1. Throughout this paper, let K be a nonarchimedean field of mixed characteristics $(0, p)$. Let κ_K be the residue field of K . We use throughout Huber’s model of analytic geometry in terms of *adic spaces* over K [12], although much of our intuition will be derived from Berkovich’s model [6, 7]. (It would also be possible to use *reified adic spaces* in the sense of [19].) In particular, when we refer to an *affinoid* adic space, we mean the space associated to a Huber pair over K in which the underlying Huber ring need *not* be a classical affinoid algebra in the sense of Tate; to obtain the latter, we add the condition *tft* (topologically of finite type).

Let \overline{X} be the maximal Hausdorff quotient of X , which set-theoretically consists of the points of X corresponding to valuations of height 1. For $x \in X$, let $\mathcal{H}(x)$ denote the completed residue field of x ; this depends only on the image of X in \overline{X} .

For I an open or closed subinterval of $(0, \infty)$, write $A_K[I]$ for the annulus $|t| \in I$ over K . For m a positive integer, let $A_K[I]^m$ be the m -fold product of $A_K[I]$ over K . We omit the outer brackets when the interval is written out explicitly; for instance, if $I = [\epsilon, 1)$, we expand the notation $A_K[I]$ as $A_K[\epsilon, 1)$ rather than $A_K[[\epsilon, 1))$.

For $\rho \in I$, let $\eta_\rho \in A_K[I]$ denote the *Gauss point* of radius ρ , i.e., the supremum norm over the circle $|t| = \rho$.

Definition 1.1.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a *connection of rank n* on $A_K[I]$, i.e., a vector bundle of rank n equipped with an integrable connection. (Since $A_K[I]$ is one-dimensional, the integrability condition is vacuous here.) For each $\rho \in I$, let $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho) \in (0, 1]$ denote the *intrinsic generic radius of convergence* of \mathcal{E} at ρ ; here we use [21, Definition 9.4.7] as the underlying definition, but thanks to [21, Theorem 11.9.2] we may also interpret $\rho \text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$ as the radius of the largest disc centered at η_ρ on which \mathcal{E} admits a basis of horizontal sections. In particular, $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2, \rho) \leq \max\{\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}_1, \rho), \text{IR}(\mathcal{E}_2, \rho)\}$ and $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho) = \text{IR}(\mathcal{E}^\vee, \rho)$ (compare [21, Lemma 9.4.6]); moreover, the definition of $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$ is invariant under base extension on K [21, Remark 9.4.9].

For $n = \text{rank } \mathcal{E}$, we also define the *intrinsic subsidiary radii of convergence* $s_1(\mathcal{E}, \rho) \leq \dots \leq s_n(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$ of \mathcal{E} at ρ by the property that $\rho s_i(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$ is the radius of the largest disc centered at η_ρ on which \mathcal{E} admits $n - i + 1$ linearly independent horizontal sections [21, Definition 9.8.1]. In particular, $s_1(\mathcal{E}, \rho) = \text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$.

Theorem 1.1.3. *For $i = 1, \dots, n$, the function $r \mapsto -\log s_1(\mathcal{E}, e^{-r}) - \dots - \log s_i(\mathcal{E}, e^{-r})$ is continuous, convex, and piecewise affine with slopes in $\frac{1}{n!}\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. See [21, Theorem 11.3.2]. □

Lemma 1.1.4. *For I an open interval, \mathcal{E} is unipotent (i.e., a successive extension of trivial connections) if and only if $M_{\log} := \Gamma(A_K[I], \mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(A_K[I])} \mathcal{O}(A_K[I])[\log t]$ admits a horizontal basis.*

Proof. For the “only if” direction, it suffices to identify the Yoneda extension group of two trivial rank-1 differential modules over $\mathcal{O}(A_K[I])[\log t]$ with the cokernel of $\frac{d}{dt}$, and then to observe that the latter is trivial: the monomial $t^i(\log t)^j$ antidifferentiates to $\frac{1}{j+1}(\log t)^{j+1}$ if $i = -1$, and otherwise to $\frac{1}{i+1}t^{i+1}(\log t)^j$ minus the antiderivative of $\frac{j}{i+1}t^i(\log t)^{j-1}$.

For the “if” direction, it is sufficient to verify that if $\mathcal{E} \neq 0$ and M_{\log} admits a horizontal basis, then $H^0(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$. For this, pick any nonzero horizontal section of M_{\log} and let i be the largest power of $\log t$ that occurs in this section. If we write this section as a polynomial in $\log t$ with coefficients in $\Gamma(A_K[I], \mathcal{E})$, then the coefficient of $(\log t)^i$ is killed by the action of $\frac{d}{dt} + i$; consequently, multiplying this coefficient by t^{-i} yields a nonzero horizontal section of \mathcal{E} . (Compare [21, Exercise 18.2].) □

1.2. Regular connections.

Definition 1.2.1. For I an open interval, we say that a connection \mathcal{E} on $A_K[I]$ is *regular* if $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho) = 1$ for all $\rho \in I$. This condition implies the existence of an action of K^\times on \mathcal{E} via the substitutions $t \mapsto \lambda t$ (compare the discussion in [21, Definition 13.5.2]).

In the literature on p -adic differential equations, regular objects are also said to satisfy the *Robba condition* [21, Chapter 13]. Our terminology here is meant to convey an analogy between these objects and regular connections in the theory of ordinary differential equations over \mathbb{C} [21, Chapter 7].

Definition 1.2.2. We say that two tuples $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ are *equivalent* if they belong to the same orbit under $\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$ (i.e., the group generated by integer shifts and permutation of indices).

For $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, let $\langle x \rangle$ denote the smallest (for the usual absolute value) element of $\mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}]$ congruent to x modulo \mathbb{Z}_p . (This is ambiguous when $p = 2$ and $x \equiv \frac{1}{2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, in which case either choice is fine for what follows.) Following [21, Definition 13.4.2], we say that A and B are *weakly equivalent* if there exist a constant $c > 0$ and a sequence $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$ of permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\left| p^m \left\langle \frac{A_i - B_{\sigma_m(i)}}{p^m} \right\rangle \right| \leq cm \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; m = 1, 2, \dots)$$

This holds if A and B are equivalent, but not conversely [21, Example 13.4.6]. See however Lemma 1.2.3.

Lemma 1.2.3. *Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ be a tuple with p -adic non-Liouville differences, that is, the difference between any two elements of A is not a p -adic Liouville number [21, Definition 13.1.2]. Then any $B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ which is weakly equivalent to A is also equivalent to A .*

Proof. See [21, Proposition 13.4.5]. □

Definition 1.2.4. Let I be an open interval, let \mathcal{E} be a regular connection of rank n on $A_K[I]$, and fix a closed subinterval J of I . We define an *exponent* for \mathcal{E} on J to be a tuple $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ as in [21, Definition 13.5.2]. If A can be chosen uniformly over J , we say that it is an *exponent for \mathcal{E}* .

Remark 1.2.5. In lieu of including more details of the definition of exponents, we recall some key properties.

- If $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ are equivalent and A is an exponent for \mathcal{E} on J , then so is B .
- If A is an exponent for \mathcal{E} on J , then A is also an exponent for \mathcal{E} on any closed subinterval of J .
- If \mathcal{E} is trivial, then the zero tuple is an exponent for \mathcal{E} .
- If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of regular connections and A_i is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_i on J , then the concatenation of A_1 and A_2 is an exponent for \mathcal{E} on J . In particular, if \mathcal{E} is unipotent, then the zero tuple is an exponent for \mathcal{E} . The converse also holds but is much deeper; see Theorem 1.2.6.

Theorem 1.2.6 (Christol–Mebkhout). *Let I be an open interval, let \mathcal{E} be a regular connection of rank n on $A_K[I]$, and fix a closed subinterval J of I .*

- (a) *There exists an exponent for \mathcal{E} on J .*
- (b) *If J is of positive length, then any two exponents for \mathcal{E} on J are weakly equivalent in the sense of [21, Definition 13.4.2]. In particular, if there is an exponent with p -adic non-Liouville differences, then any other exponent is equivalent to it.*
- (c) *If the zero n -tuple is an exponent for \mathcal{E} , then \mathcal{E} is unipotent.*

Proof. See [21, Theorem 13.5.5, Theorem 13.5.6, Theorem 13.6.1]. □

Corollary 1.2.7. *Let I be an open interval and let \mathcal{E} be a regular connection of rank n on $A_K[I]$. Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ be a tuple with p -adic non-Liouville differences. If there exists some closed subinterval J of I of positive length such that A is an exponent for \mathcal{E} on J , then A is an exponent for \mathcal{E} .*

Proof. It suffices to check that A is an exponent for \mathcal{E} on any closed subinterval J' containing J , as we may then restrict to any closed subinterval of J' and thereby cover all closed subintervals of I . By Theorem 1.2.6(a), there exists an exponent $B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ for \mathcal{E} on J' , which is then also an exponent for \mathcal{E} on J . By Theorem 1.2.6(b), A and B are weakly equivalent, and hence equivalent by our condition on (a) plus Lemma 1.2.3. Hence A is also an exponent for \mathcal{E} on J' , as claimed. □

1.3. Solvable connections.

Definition 1.3.1. For $I = [\epsilon, 1)$ or $I = (\epsilon, 1)$, we say that a connection \mathcal{E} on $A_K[I]$ is *solvable (at 1)* if $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho) \rightarrow 1$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$. For \mathcal{E} solvable of rank n , for $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $b_i(\mathcal{E})$ be the slope of the function $r \mapsto -\log s_i(\mathcal{E}, e^{-r})$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$; we sometimes write $b(\mathcal{E})$ instead of $b_1(\mathcal{E})$. By Theorem 1.1.3, $b_i(\mathcal{E})$ is well-defined and belongs to $\frac{1}{n!}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Definition 1.3.2. Let \mathcal{C}_K denote the 2-colimit of the categories of solvable connections on $A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ over all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, or equivalently on $A_K[\epsilon, 1)$ over all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.

For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ of rank n , the intrinsic subsidiary radii of convergence of \mathcal{E} at ρ are not well-defined as functions on any specific interval $(\epsilon, 1)$, but they are well-defined as germs at 1^- . In particular, the quantities $b_1(\mathcal{E}), \dots, b_n(\mathcal{E})$ are well-defined and belong to $\frac{1}{n!}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Lemma 1.3.3. *Let \mathcal{E} be a solvable connection of rank n on $A_K[\epsilon, 1)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. For $c \in \frac{1}{n!}\mathbb{Z}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $b_1(\mathcal{E}) + \dots + b_i(\mathcal{E}) > c$ if and only if*

$$(1.3.4) \quad s_1(\mathcal{E}, \rho) \cdots s_i(\mathcal{E}, \rho) \leq \rho^{c+1/n!} \quad (\rho \in [\epsilon, 1)).$$

Proof. For the “if” direction, note that $s_1(\mathcal{E}, \rho) \cdots s_i(\mathcal{E}, \rho) = \rho^{b_1(\mathcal{E}) + \dots + b_i(\mathcal{E})}$ for ρ sufficiently close to 1. For the “only if” direction, suppose that $b_1(\mathcal{E}_x) + \dots + b_i(\mathcal{E}_x) > c$; then the function $r \mapsto -\log s_1(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r}) - \dots - \log s_i(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r})$ on $(0, -\log \epsilon]$ is convex, tends to 0 as $r \rightarrow 0^+$, and by Theorem 1.1.3 is convex and piecewise affine with slope at 0 at least $c + \frac{1}{n!}$. It follows that the function is bounded below by $(c + \frac{1}{n!})r$ for all r , proving the claim. \square

Theorem 1.3.5 (Christol–Mebkhout). *For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$, there exists a unique direct sum decomposition (called the slope decomposition)*

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{E}_s$$

such that for $s \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathcal{E}_s)\}$ we have $b_i(\mathcal{E}_s) = s$.

Proof. See [21, Theorem 12.6.4]. \square

Definition 1.3.6. For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ of rank n , we define the *slopes* of \mathcal{E} as the multisubset of $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ of cardinality n containing s with multiplicity equal to $\text{rank } \mathcal{E}_s$. We refer to \mathcal{E}_s as the *slope- s component* of \mathcal{E} ; in case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_s \neq 0$, we say that \mathcal{E} is a *slope- s object* of \mathcal{C}_K . We also refer to slope-0 components and objects as *regular* components and objects.

1.4. Quasiconstant connections.

Definition 1.4.1. For $\alpha \in I$, let $|\bullet|_\alpha$ denote the Gauss norm on the ring $\mathcal{O}(A_K[I])$. The elements of $\mathcal{O}(A_K[I])$ correspond to power series $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_i t^i$ with $x_i \in K$ subject to the appropriate convergence condition, and we have $|x|_\alpha = \sup_i \{|x_i| \alpha^i\}$.

Let \mathcal{R}_K be the colimit of the rings $\mathcal{O}(A_K[\epsilon, 1))$ over all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let $\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$ be the subring of \mathcal{R}_K consisting of series $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_i t^i$ for which $|x_i| \leq 1$ for all i ; equivalently, these are the series x for which $\limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} |x|_\alpha \leq 1$.

Remark 1.4.2. The ring $\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$ is local with residue field $\kappa_K(\overline{t})$. Every $x \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$ with $|x|_1 < 1$ belongs to the maximal ideal, but not conversely unless K is discretely valued.

Lemma 1.4.3. *For $x \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$, the following statements hold.*

- (a) *If x belongs to the preimage of $\kappa_K[\overline{t}]$, then there exists $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $|x|_\alpha \leq 1$ for all $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1)$.*
- (b) *If x belongs to the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$, there exists $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $|x|_\alpha < 1$ for all $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1)$.*

Proof. Write $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_i t^i$. We first treat (b). By hypothesis, we have $|x_i| < 1$ for all i ; consequently, for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we have $|x_i| \alpha^i < 1$ for all $i \geq 0$. On the other hand, for

some $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$, the quantities $|x_i|\alpha_1^i$ are uniformly bounded over all $i < 0$. By replacing α_1 with any value $\alpha_2 \in (\alpha_1, 1)$, we achieve that $|x_i|\alpha_2^i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow -\infty$, and so the set S of $i < 0$ for which $|x_i|\alpha_2^i \geq 1$ is finite. We may thus choose $\alpha_0 \in (\alpha_2, 1)$ so that $|x_i|\alpha_0^i < 1$ for each $i \in S$, and this does the job.

To treat (a), put $y = \sum_{i \geq 0} x_i t^i$; then $x - y$ belongs to the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$, so by (b) there exists $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $|x - y|_\alpha < 1$ for all $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1)$. On the other hand, since $|x_i| \leq 1$ for all i , it is evident that $|y|_\alpha \leq 1$ and hence $|x|_\alpha \leq 1$. \square

Lemma 1.4.4. *The local ring $\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$ is henselian. Consequently, base extension induces an equivalence of categories between finite étale algebras over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$ and over $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$.*

Proof. This is asserted in [21, Lemma 22.1.2(b)], but the proof is only explained in detail when K is discretely valued (see [21, Lemma 15.1.3(c)]). We give a more detailed explanation here.

It suffices to check that for any monic polynomial $P(x) \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}[x]$ and any simple root $\bar{r} \in \kappa_K((\bar{t}))$ of $\bar{P}(x) \in \kappa_K((\bar{t}))[x]$, there exists a (necessarily unique) root $r \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$ of $P(x)$ lifting \bar{r} . By a variable substitution on $P(x)$, we may reduce to the case where $\bar{r} = 0$, $\bar{P}(x) \in \kappa_K[[\bar{t}]] [x]$, and $\bar{P}'(\bar{r}) = t^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Write $P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i$ with $c_n = 1$. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently large, the coefficients of $P(x)$ belong to $\mathcal{O}(A_K[\alpha, 1])$; moreover, by Lemma 1.4.3, for suitable α we also have $|c_i|_\alpha \leq 1$ for all i , $|c_0|_\alpha < \alpha^{2m}$, and $|t^m - c_1|_\alpha < 1$. In particular we have $|P(0)|_\alpha < |P'(0)|_\alpha^2$, so we may compute the Newton–Raphson iteration starting from 0 in the ring of $y \in \mathcal{O}(A_K[\alpha, 1])$ for which $|y|_\beta \leq 1$ for all $\beta \in [\alpha, 1)$; the limit is the desired root r . \square

Definition 1.4.5. For $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, a finite étale covering $Y \rightarrow A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ is *eligible* if it is induced by a finite étale algebra over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{int}}$; this algebra can then be identified with

$$\bigcup_{\epsilon' \in [\epsilon, 1)} \{f \in \mathcal{O}(Y \times_{A_K(\epsilon, 1)} A_K(\epsilon', 1)) : \limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} |f|_\alpha \leq 1\}.$$

Suppose that κ_K is perfect. Then every finite separable extension L of $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$ can be written as $\kappa'((\bar{u}))$ for some finite (separable) extension κ' of κ_K and some \bar{u} . Consequently, the corresponding connected eligible covering of $A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ can (after increasing ϵ) be itself viewed as an annulus over the unramified extension of K with residue field κ' . We refer to a pullback along such a covering as a *pullback along L* .

If κ_K is not perfect, then we may apply similar logic after replacing K with a suitable extension (to ensure that κ' is separable over κ_K). In fact, this can always be taken to be a finite extension of K (depending on L).

Definition 1.4.6. For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$, we say that \mathcal{E} is *quasiconstant* if there exists some finite separable extension L of $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$ such that, after replacing K with some extension field K' for which the pullback of \mathcal{E} along L is defined, this pullback is a trivial connection. Since the triviality of a connection can be checked after a base extension, the choice of K' does not matter.

Definition 1.4.7. Suppose that $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ is quasiconstant. If κ_K is perfect, then we may choose a finite Galois extension L of $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$ such that the pullback of \mathcal{E} along L is a trivial connection. The Galois group G of this extension acts on the space of horizontal sections of the pullback of \mathcal{E} along L . For I the inertia subgroup of G , we obtain a representation

$\tilde{\rho} : I \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K')$ for $n = \mathrm{rank} \mathcal{E}$, where K' is some finite (unramified) extension of K . This then factors through a representation $\rho : I_{\kappa_K(\bar{t})} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{K})$ for $I_{\kappa_K(\bar{t})}$ the inertia subgroup of $G_{\kappa_K(\bar{t})}$; we call this the *monodromy representation* associated to \mathcal{E} . Let $W_{\kappa_K(\bar{t})}$ denote the wild inertia subgroup of $I_{\kappa_K(\bar{t})}$; we call the restriction of ρ to $W_{\kappa_K(\bar{t})}$ the *wild monodromy representation* associated to \mathcal{E} .

The definition of the monodromy representation and the wild monodromy representation commute with extension of the base field. We may thus extend them via base extension to the case where κ_K is not perfect.

Theorem 1.4.8 (Matsuda). *Suppose that κ_K is perfect. For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ quasiconstant, let ρ be the wild monodromy representation of \mathcal{E} . Then for $s \in \mathbb{Q}$, the rank of the slope- s component of \mathcal{E} equals the multiplicity of s as a ramification break of ρ . In particular, \mathcal{E} is regular if and only if the pullback of \mathcal{E} along some tame extension of $\kappa_K(\bar{t})$ is trivial.*

Proof. Note that \mathcal{E} can always be realized using a discretely valued coefficient field. Consequently, we may apply [21, Theorem 19.4.1] and references therein. \square

1.5. The p -adic local monodromy theorem. We are now ready to formulate the p -adic local monodromy theorem. Throughout §1.5, assume that κ_K is perfect.

Theorem 1.5.1. *For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$, there exists a finite separable extension L of $\kappa_K(\bar{t})$ (depending on \mathcal{E}) such that the pullback of \mathcal{E} along L is regular.*

Proof. See [21, Theorem 22.1.4]. \square

Corollary 1.5.2. *For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$, suppose that there exists a (not necessarily eligible) finite étale cover Y of $A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ such that the pullback of \mathcal{E} to Y is trivial. Then \mathcal{E} is quasiconstant.*

Proof. Using Theorem 1.5.1, we may reduce to the case where \mathcal{E} is regular. We may further assume that $\pi : Y \rightarrow A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ is Galois and that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$, as a connection on $A_K(\epsilon, 1)$, is regular. We may now argue as in [20, Lemma 6.12] to deduce that \mathcal{E} becomes constant under pullback along a tame extension of $\kappa_K(\bar{t})$. \square

Corollary 1.5.3. *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ be a finite étale cover such that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$, as a connection on $A_K(\epsilon, 1)$, is solvable. Then π is an eligible cover.*

Proof. By Corollary 1.5.2, we may reduce to the case where $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ is in fact constant. In this case, we may use the horizontal sections of $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ to show that π splits completely. \square

As an application, we can recover the original formulation of the p -adic local monodromy theorem [21, Theorem 20.1.4], but without the restriction that K be discretely valued.

Definition 1.5.4. For q a power of p , a *relative (q -power) Frobenius lift* on $A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ is the composition of an endomorphism of $A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ induced by an isometric endomorphism of K with a K -linear map $\varphi : A_K(\epsilon, 1) \rightarrow A_K(\epsilon^{1/q}, 1)$ with the property that for some power q of p , $|\varphi^*(t) - t^q|_\rho < 1$ for $\rho \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently close to 1.

A *relative (q -power) Frobenius structure* on an object $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ is an isomorphism $\varphi^* \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$ for some relative (q -power) Frobenius lift φ on $A_K(\epsilon, 1)$. Using the Taylor isomorphism as in [21, Proposition 17.3.1], we may canonically transform relative Frobenius structures between different choices of φ .

Lemma 1.5.5. *Any regular object of \mathcal{C}_K admitting a relative Frobenius structure becomes unipotent (i.e., a successive extension of trivial connections) after pullback along a tame extension of $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$.*

Proof. We may assume that the underlying relative Frobenius lift φ has the form $\varphi(t) = t^q$. In this case, this becomes an application of the theory of p -adic exponents; see [21, Proposition 13.6.2]. \square

Corollary 1.5.6. *Suppose that K is discretely valued. Any regular object of \mathcal{C}_K admitting a relative Frobenius structure of pure slope becomes trivial (not just unipotent) after pullback along a tame extension of $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.5.5, we may start with a unipotent object \mathcal{E} . By [21, Lemma 9.2.3], \mathcal{E} admits a basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ on which $t \frac{d}{dt}$ acts via a nilpotent matrix N over K . Let A be the matrix via which the Frobenius structure acts on the same basis; then

$$NA + t \frac{d}{dt}(A) = pA\varphi_K^*(N).$$

By writing $A = \sum_i A_i t^i$, we see that A_i is zero when i is not divisible by p , then when i is not divisible by p^2 , and so on; we conclude that A also has entries in K , and the previous equation becomes

$$NA = pA\varphi_K^*(N).$$

At this point we are free to enlarge K , so we may assume that its residue field is strongly difference-closed [21, Remark 14.3.5]. By the pure slope hypothesis, we may then rechoose the basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ so that A becomes a scalar matrix [21, Theorem 14.6.3]. The previous equation then becomes $N = p\varphi_K^*(N)$; since φ_K^* is an isometry, this forces $N = 0$ and thus proves the claim. \square

Theorem 1.5.7. *Every object of \mathcal{C}_K admitting a relative Frobenius structure becomes unipotent after pullback along some finite separable extension of $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 1.5.1 with Lemma 1.5.5. \square

1.6. Wild monodromy representations. We next move towards a reformulation of the local monodromy theorem in which we avoid explicitly referencing an eligible cover.

Definition 1.6.1. For $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathcal{C}_K$, let \mathcal{F} be the regular component of $\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$. We say that $\mathcal{E}_1 \sim \mathcal{E}_2$ if the contractions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \cong (\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_1) \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \\ \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \cong (\mathcal{E}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \end{aligned}$$

are surjective.

Lemma 1.6.2. *In Definition 1.6.1, the relation \sim defines an equivalence relation on isomorphism classes of \mathcal{C}_K .*

Proof. If $\mathcal{E}_1 \cong \mathcal{E}_2$, then \mathcal{F} contains a trivial connection \mathcal{G} generated by the isomorphism. The contraction $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ is then an isomorphism, so $\mathcal{E}_1 \sim \mathcal{E}_2$. In particular, \sim defines a reflexive and manifestly symmetric relation; it thus remains to check transitivity.

To this end, suppose that $\mathcal{E}_1 \sim \mathcal{E}_2$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 \sim \mathcal{E}_3$. Let \mathcal{G} be the slope-0 component of $\mathcal{E}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_3$ and let \mathcal{H} be the slope-0 component of $\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_3$. Then the contraction

$$(\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) \otimes (\mathcal{E}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_3$$

carries $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ to \mathcal{H} . Hence the map

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3,$$

which is written as the composition of two surjections, factors through $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3$ and thus forces this map to also be surjective. By similar logic, $\mathcal{H}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$ is also surjective. \square

Remark 1.6.3. For any $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ and any positive integer n , $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{E}^{\oplus n}$. From this we see that \sim does not preserve rank.

Remark 1.6.4. If $\mathcal{E}_1 \sim \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathcal{C}_K$ and \mathcal{E}_1 is regular, then \mathcal{E}_2 is a quotient of $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{E}_1$ and both of the factors in the tensor product are regular. Consequently, \mathcal{E}_2 is also regular.

Lemma 1.6.5. For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ with semisimplification \mathcal{E}^{ss} , we have $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{E}^{\text{ss}}$.

Proof. It suffices to check that for any exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow 0$$

we have $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$. Tensoring with $(\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2)^\vee$ yields another exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_1) \oplus (\mathcal{E}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) \oplus (\mathcal{E}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the regular component of $(\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}$; then \mathcal{F} admits the trace component of $\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_1$ as a subobject and the trace component of $\mathcal{E}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ as a quotient. This implies that the image of $\mathcal{F} \otimes (\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ contains \mathcal{E}_1 and that the composition $\mathcal{F} \otimes (\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ is surjective, so $\mathcal{F} \otimes (\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is surjective.

Similarly, \mathcal{F}^\vee admits the trace component of $\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_1$ as a quotient and the trace component of $\mathcal{E}_2^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ as a subobject. This implies that the image of $\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2$ contains \mathcal{E}_2 and that the composition $\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$ is surjective, so $\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2$ is also surjective. \square

Proposition 1.6.6. For any $\mathcal{E}_1 \in \mathcal{C}_K$, there exists a quasiconstant object $\mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathcal{C}_K$ such that $\mathcal{E}_1 \sim \mathcal{E}_2$.

Proof. Suppose first that κ_K is perfect. By Lemma 1.6.5, we may assume that \mathcal{E}_1 is irreducible. Apply Theorem 1.5.1 to choose a finite separable extension L of $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$ such that the pullback of \mathcal{E}_1 along L is regular. Let \mathcal{E}' be the pushforward of the trivial connection from L to $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$; note that \mathcal{E}' is isomorphic to its own dual. The regular component of $\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}'$ is nonzero: for a generic disc D of radius sufficiently close to 1, \mathcal{E}_1^\vee is trivial on the pullback of D , and any nonzero horizontal section defines a horizontal section of $\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}'$. Since \mathcal{E}' is semisimple, there is an irreducible subobject \mathcal{E}_2 of \mathcal{E}' such that the regular component \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ is nonzero. Since the maps $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$, $\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$ are nonzero and their targets are irreducible, both maps are surjective.

Suppose now that κ_K is general. We may then apply the previous argument after replacing K with a suitable finite extension K' . We may then taking the resulting object \mathcal{E}_2 , take its pushforward from K' to K , then choose an irreducible constituent of the result to achieve the desired goal. \square

Lemma 1.6.7. *For $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathcal{C}_K$ quasiconstant and irreducible, if $\mathcal{E}_1 \sim \mathcal{E}_2$, then the wild monodromy representations associated to \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are isomorphic.*

Proof. We may assume that κ_K is perfect. Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be the wild monodromy representations associated to \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 . By hypothesis, $\mathcal{E}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ contains a nonzero regular component; by Theorem 1.4.8, this implies that $\rho_1^\vee \otimes \rho_2$ contains a trivial subrepresentation. This implies the desired isomorphism. \square

Lemma 1.6.8. *Suppose that $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ is quasiconstant and remains irreducible after pullback along any tame extension of $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$. Then for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ with $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{F}$, for \mathcal{F}_0 the regular component of $\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{F}$, the map $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $(\text{rank } \mathcal{F})/(\text{rank } \mathcal{E}) = \text{rank } \mathcal{F}_0$ is a positive integer.*

Proof. We may assume that κ_K is perfect. Our hypothesis on \mathcal{E} ensures that the regular component of $\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}$ coincides with the trace component. Consequently, it suffices to show that there exists some regular object \mathcal{F}_0 for which there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, as then we can recover the description of \mathcal{F}_0 as the regular component of $\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{F}$.

We may assume without loss of generality that there is a finite separable, totally tamely ramified extension L of $\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$ such that the pullback of \mathcal{E} along L is trivial. We induct on the degree of this extension, the case of the trivial extension being evident (as in this case \mathcal{E} is itself of rank 1).

By standard group theory (e.g., [21, Exercise 3.2]), we can find a subextension L_0 of $L/\kappa_K((\bar{t}))$ which is cyclic of degree p . Let f^* and f_* be the pullback and pushforward functors for this extension. By the induction hypothesis, we have an isomorphism $f^*\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}'_0 \otimes \mathcal{E}'$ for some quasiconstant \mathcal{E}' and some regular object \mathcal{F}'_0 (in the appropriate category); we may also identify \mathcal{F}'_0 with the regular component of $(\mathcal{E}')^\vee \otimes f^*\mathcal{F}$. In particular, we have $\text{rank } \mathcal{F} = (\text{rank } \mathcal{F}'_0)(\text{rank } \mathcal{E}')$. The following cases are mutually exclusive and exhaustive.

- The wild monodromy representation of \mathcal{E} remains irreducible upon restriction to L . In this case, $\mathcal{E}' \cong f^*\mathcal{E}$ and \mathcal{F}'_0 contains $f^*\mathcal{F}_0$. We thus have

$$(\text{rank } \mathcal{F}_0)(\text{rank } \mathcal{E}) \leq (\text{rank } \mathcal{F}'_0)(\text{rank } \mathcal{E}') = \text{rank } \mathcal{F}.$$

- The wild monodromy representation of \mathcal{E} becomes reducible upon restriction to L . In this case, $\mathcal{E} \cong f_*\mathcal{E}'$. In particular, if we choose a rank-1 quasiconstant object $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ corresponding to a nontrivial character of $\text{Gal}(L_0/\kappa_K((\bar{t})))$, then $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathcal{F}'_0)$ embeds into $\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{F}$ and its pullback is contained in \mathcal{F}'_0 . We thus have

$$(\text{rank } \mathcal{F}_0)(\text{rank } \mathcal{E}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} \text{rank } \mathcal{F}'_0\right)(p \text{rank } \mathcal{E}') = \text{rank } \mathcal{F}.$$

In both cases, we deduce that the surjective map $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ must be an isomorphism. \square

Definition 1.6.9. Using Theorem 1.5.1, we may associate a *wild monodromy representation* to any $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ as follows. For \mathcal{E} irreducible, apply Proposition 1.6.6 to choose a quasiconstant object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ with $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{F}$. We then include the wild monodromy representation associated to \mathcal{F} as a summand with multiplicity $(\text{rank } \mathcal{E})/(\text{rank } \mathcal{F})$, which is an integer by Remark 1.6.8.

By the same token, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) quasiconstant object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}_K$ such that \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} have isomorphic wild monodromy representations. We call \mathcal{F} a *quasiconstant model* of \mathcal{E} .

Remark 1.6.10. Lemma 1.6.8 gives us a way to view objects of \mathcal{C}_K , up to a tame base extension, as tensor products of regular objects with quasiconstant objects; this can be thought of as a loose p -adic analogue of the Turrittin–Levelt–Hukuhara decomposition theorem [21, Theorem 7.5.1]. Unfortunately, it seems extremely difficult to further analyze the structure of regular objects more closely without extra hypotheses (like the existence of a Frobenius structure). For some partial results, see the discussion of p -adic exponents in [21, Chapter 13].

2. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS ON RELATIVE ANNULI

In this section, we consider a relative version of the previous situation. Notably, we consider relative annuli over a base space X and vector bundles with \mathcal{O}_X -linear connections, rather than K -linear connections; in particular, we are still only differentiating in one direction, so integrability will play no role.

Hypothesis 2.0.1. Throughout §2, let X be an adic space over K . Let $I \subset (0, +\infty)$ be an interval (which if unspecified may be open, closed, or half-open). Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle of rank n on $X \times_K A_K[I]$ equipped with an (integrable) \mathcal{O}_X -linear connection. For $x \in X$, we write \mathcal{E}_x for the pullback connection on $x \times_K A_K[I]$; this depends only on the underlying point of \overline{X} .

Remark 2.0.2. Unless otherwise specified, we do not require X to be locally tft (topologically of finite type). We do rely on the fact that X is covered by the spectra of *sheafy* Huber rings, but even this hypothesis could be relaxed by being more careful in formulation of some statements.

2.1. Relative connections. We start with some relative versions of results from [21, Chapter 11].

Definition 2.1.1. Suppose that X is affinoid and I is closed. A function $f : X \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *strongly subharmonic* if locally on X and I , it can be written in the form

$$(x, \rho) \mapsto \max\{a_i |t_i|_{x, \rho} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and some $t_i \in \mathcal{O}(X \times_K A_K[I])$, where $|t_i|_{x, \rho}$ denotes the ρ -Gauss norm on the restriction of t_i to $x \times_K A_K[I]$. (To define a *subharmonic* function we should allow certain limits; we will not need to clarify this here.)

Lemma 2.1.2. *Suppose that X is affinoid and I is closed, and let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on $X \times_K A_K[I]$. Then for every $x \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood U of X such that the restriction of \mathcal{E} to $U \times_K A_K[I]$ is free.*

Proof. By Nakayama’s lemma, this reduces to the case where X is a point. In this case, it suffices to recall that $\mathcal{O}(A_K[I])$ is a principal ideal domain (e.g., see [21, Proposition 8.3.2]). \square

Remark 2.1.3. A theorem of Bartenwerfer [4] implies that the analogue of Lemma 2.1.2 holds for vector bundles on $X \times_K A_K[I_1] \times_K \cdots \times_K A_K[I_n]$ for any closed intervals I_1, \dots, I_n . (Bartenwerfer’s theorem is stated in the language of rigid analytic geometry, and so implicitly assumes that X is tft; however, by Nakayama’s lemma again we may bootstrap to the case where X is a point to the general case.)

Lemma 2.1.4. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, the function $F_i : \overline{X} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$F_i(x, \rho) = \sum_{j=1}^i \max\{\epsilon, -\log s_i(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho)\}$$

is strongly subharmonic.

Proof. We argue as in [21, Theorem 11.3.2]. Since the claim is local on X and I , we may work locally around some $x_0 \in X$, $\rho_0 \in I$; to begin with, we may thus assume that X is affinoid and I is closed. Using Frobenius pushforwards [21, Theorem 10.5.1], we may reduce to the case where $\epsilon > \frac{1}{p-1} \log p$. We may then Lemma 2.1.2 to further reduce to the case where \mathcal{E} admits a basis. By the same token, we may further reduce to the case where \mathcal{E} admits a basis whose restriction to the ρ_0 -Gauss point over x_0 is a “good basis” in the sense of the proof of [21, Lemma 11.5.1]. We may then deduce the claim by applying [21, Theorem 6.7.4] again as in the proof of [21, Lemma 11.5.1]. \square

Corollary 2.1.5. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, the function $\overline{X} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(x, \rho) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^i -\log s_i(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho)$$

is continuous. In particular, the function $\overline{X} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ taking (x, ρ) to $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho)$ is continuous.

Proof. Since the claim is local on X and I , we may reduce to the case where X is affinoid and I is closed. By applying Lemma 2.1.4 for every $\epsilon > 0$, we may deduce the claim. \square

Corollary 2.1.6. Suppose that X is affinoid and let S be a boundary of X in the sense of [6, § 2.4]. Then for $x \in X$, $\rho \in I$,

$$\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho) \geq \inf_{y \in S} \{\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}_y, \rho)\}.$$

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 2.1.4. \square

2.2. Decompositions of relative connections. We continue with some relative versions of results from [21, Chapter 12].

Definition 2.2.1. For $\rho > 0$, let F_ρ be the field of analytic elements over K in the variable t , i.e., the completion of $K(t)$ for the multiplicative extension of the ρ -Gauss norm on $K[t]$ [21, Definition 9.4.1]. We view F_ρ as a differential field for the continuous derivation $\frac{d}{dt}$.

For X affinoid, we view $\mathcal{O}(X) \widehat{\otimes}_K F_\rho$ as a differential ring for the derivation $\frac{d}{dt}$. Note that for any interval I containing ρ , for any morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y)$ is injective, within $\mathcal{O}(Y) \widehat{\otimes}_K F_\rho$ we have the equality

$$(2.2.2) \quad (\mathcal{O}(X) \widehat{\otimes}_K F_\rho) \cap (\mathcal{O}(Y \times_K A_K(I))) = \mathcal{O}(X \times_K A_K(I))$$

Lemma 2.2.3. Suppose that X is affinoid. Let M be a finite projective differential module of rank n over $\mathcal{O}(X) \widehat{\otimes}_K F_\rho$ for the derivation $\frac{d}{dt}$. Suppose that for some $i < n$, we have $s_i(M_x, \rho) > s_{i+1}(M_x, \rho)$ for all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique direct sum decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ of differential modules with $\text{rank } M_1 = i$ such that for all $x \in \overline{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(M_{1,x}, \rho) &= s_j(M_x, \rho) & (j = 1, \dots, i) \\ s_j(M_{2,x}, \rho) &= s_{j-i}(M_x, \rho) & (j = i + 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We may check the claim locally around some $x_0 \in X$. Using Frobenius descendants as in the proof of [21, Lemma 12.3.2], we may reduce to the case where $s_i(M_{x_0}, \rho) > p^{-1/(p-1)}$; by Corollary 2.1.5, we may shrink X to further ensure that $s_i(M_x, \rho) > p^{-1/(p-1)}$ for all $x \in X$.

Note that the colimit of $\mathcal{O}(U) \widehat{\otimes}_K F_\rho$ over all neighborhoods U of x_0 in X is a field. We may thus apply [21, Theorem 5.4.2] after base extension; in other words, after shrinking X we may assume that M admits a cyclic vector \mathbf{v} . Put $D = \frac{d}{dt}$ and write $D^n(\mathbf{v}) = a_0\mathbf{v} + a_1D(\mathbf{v}) + \cdots + a_{n-1}D^{n-1}(\mathbf{v})$ with $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{O}(X) \widehat{\otimes}_K F_\rho$. We may now obtain the desired factorization by applying [21, Theorem 2.2.2] to the polynomial $T^n - a_0 - a_1T - \cdots - a_{n-1}T^{n-1}$ in the twisted polynomial ring over $\mathcal{O}(X) \widehat{\otimes}_K F_\rho$, as in the proof of [21, Theorem 6.6.1]. \square

Lemma 2.2.4. *Suppose that I is open and that for some $i < n$, the following conditions hold for each $x \in \overline{X}$.*

- (a) *The function $r \mapsto \log s_1(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r}) + \cdots + \log s_i(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r})$ is affine for $r \in -\log I$.*
- (b) *We have $s_i(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho) > s_{i+1}(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho)$ for $\rho \in I$.*

Then there exists a unique direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2$ of connections with $\text{rank } \mathcal{E}_1 = i$ such that for all $x \in \overline{X}$, $\rho \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(\mathcal{E}_{1,x}, \rho) &= s_j(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho) & (j = 1, \dots, i) \\ s_j(\mathcal{E}_{2,x}, \rho) &= s_{j-i}(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho) & (j = i + 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [21, Theorem 12.5.2], the claim holds when $X = \{x\}$; combining this with Lemma 2.2.3 using (2.2.2) yields the claim. \square

2.3. Regular relative connections. We continue with some relative versions of results from [21, Chapter 13].

Definition 2.3.1. Suppose that I is open. We say that \mathcal{E} is *regular* if \mathcal{E}_x is regular for each $x \in X$.

Example 2.3.2. Let R be the ring of continuous functions $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow K$, equipped with the compact-open topology, and put $X := \text{Spa}(R, R^\circ)$. The connected components of X correspond to elements of \mathbb{Z}_p^n .

Let $\iota_1, \dots, \iota_n \in R$ be the elements corresponding to the projection maps $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow K$. Let \mathcal{E} be the connection on $X \times_K A_K(0, 1)$ which is free on the basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ for which

$$\nabla(\mathbf{e}_i) = \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \iota_i \frac{dt}{t};$$

then \mathcal{E} is regular [21, Example 9.5.2]. For $x \in X$ in the connected component corresponding to $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_x .

Example 2.3.2 does not rule out the possibility that one can find constant exponents for regular connections whenever X is connected. We make a more modest conjecture here.

Conjecture 2.3.3. *Suppose that I is open, X is connected and affinoid with a finite Shilov boundary, and \mathcal{E} is regular of rank n . Suppose that there exist some $x \in X$, some closed subinterval J of I of positive length, and some $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ with p -adic non-Liouville differences such that A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_x on J . Then for all $x \in X$, A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_x .*

We prove some partial results towards Conjecture 2.3.3. A key point is the following “bridge lemma”.

Lemma 2.3.4. *Suppose that I is open and \mathcal{E} is regular of rank n . Suppose that for some $x, y \in X$ and some closed subinterval J of I with nonempty interior,*

- (a) *there exists $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ with p -adic non-Liouville differences which is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_x on J ;*
- (b) *there exists $B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ which is an exponent for both \mathcal{E}_x and \mathcal{E}_y on J .*

Then A is an exponent for both \mathcal{E}_x and \mathcal{E}_y .

Proof. By Theorem 1.2.6(b), A and B are weakly equivalent, and hence equivalent since A has p -adic non-Liouville differences. Hence A is also an exponent for \mathcal{E}_y on J . By Corollary 1.2.7, A is an exponent for both \mathcal{E}_x and \mathcal{E}_y . \square

Lemma 2.3.5. *Suppose that I is open, X is connected and affinoid, and \mathcal{E} is regular of rank n . Let S be a subset of X for which there exists a bounded map $\lambda : \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow V$ of K -Banach spaces with $1 \notin \ker(\lambda)$ which is submetric with respect to each element of S . Then for every closed subinterval J of I such that $\Gamma(X \times_K A_K[J], \mathcal{E})$ is a free module over $\mathcal{O}(X \times_K A_K[J])$, there exists $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that for all $x \in S$, A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_x on J .*

Proof. This follows from a careful reading of the proof of [21, Theorem 13.5.5]. By hypothesis, \mathcal{E} admits a basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ on $X \times_K A_K[J]$. For $m = 0, 1, \dots$ and $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, define the matrices $S_{m,A}$ over $\mathcal{O}(X \times_K A_K[J])$ as in the proof of [21, Theorem 13.5.5]; note that $S_{m,A}$ depends only on the class of A in $(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^n$. These matrices have the property that $\det(S_{0,A}) = 1$ and

$$\det(S_{m+1,A}) = \sum_B \det(S_{m,B})$$

where B runs over a set of coset representatives for $A + p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ in $A + p^m\mathbb{Z}_p^n$. In particular, we may choose A so that the constant terms of $\det(S_{m,A})$ have images in V whose norms are bounded away from 0. By our assumption on S , we deduce that A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_x on J for each $x \in S$. \square

Lemma 2.3.6. *Suppose that I is open, X is connected and affinoid with a one-point boundary $\{x_0\}$, and \mathcal{E} is regular of rank n and admits a basis. Then for every closed subinterval J of I and every $x \in X$, there exists $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that A is an exponent for both \mathcal{E}_{x_0} and \mathcal{E}_x on J .*

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.3.5 with $S = \{x_0, x\}$ and $\lambda : \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(x)$ the natural ring homomorphism. \square

Corollary 2.3.7. *Conjecture 2.3.3 holds in all cases where X is connected and affinoid with a one-point boundary $\{x_0\}$ (but is not necessarily tft) and \mathcal{E} admits a basis.*

Proof. With notation as in the hypotheses of Conjecture 2.3.3, we may apply Lemma 2.3.6 to produce $B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that B is an exponent for both \mathcal{E}_{x_0} and \mathcal{E}_x on J . By Lemma 2.3.4, A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_{x_0} .

For any closed subinterval J' of I and any $x' \in X$, we may apply Lemma 2.3.6 again to produce $B' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that B' is an exponent for both \mathcal{E}_{x_0} and $\mathcal{E}_{x'}$ on J . By Lemma 2.3.4, A is an exponent for $\mathcal{E}_{x'}$. \square

Lemma 2.3.8. *Conjecture 2.3.3 holds in all cases where X is a finite étale cover of a closed annulus over K and \mathcal{E} admits a basis.*

Proof. Let S be the skeleton of X . In case $X = A_K[J]$ for some J , then the constant coefficient map defines a bounded K -linear functional on $\mathcal{O}(X)$ which is submetric with respect to every $x \in S$; in the general case, we obtain such a functional by first taking the trace down to an annulus. We may thus apply Lemma 2.3.5 to deduce that for every closed subinterval J of I , there exists $B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that for all $x \in X$, B is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_x on I .

Now set notation as in the hypotheses of Conjecture 2.3.3. Then there exists a unique point $x_0 \in S$ which dominates x , and Corollary 2.3.7 implies that A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_{x_0} . By Lemma 2.3.4, A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_y for each $y \in S$. By Corollary 2.3.7 once more, A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_y for each $y \in X$. \square

Theorem 2.3.9. *Conjecture 2.3.3 holds in all cases where X is tft and \mathcal{E} admits a basis.*

Proof. We may assume that K is algebraically closed. We first treat the case where X is of dimension 1; by pulling back from X to its normalization, we may also assume that X is smooth. Using Corollary 2.3.7 and a further base extension, we may reduce to the case where x is a K -rational point. There is a unique point x_1 in the skeleton of S which dominates x ; by Corollary 2.3.7, A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_{x_1} . By repeated application of Lemma 2.3.8, we deduce that A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_y for every y in the skeleton of S . By Corollary 2.3.7 again, we deduce the same for every $y \in X$.

In the general case, we may again assume that x is a K -rational point. For every complete extension L of K and every $y \in X(L)$, we may join x and y with a curve in X and then apply the previous argument to deduce that A is an exponent for \mathcal{E}_y . We may then use Corollary 2.3.7 again to deduce the claim for arbitrary $y \in X$. \square

2.4. Solvable relative connections. We next introduce the relative analogue of the category \mathcal{C}_K .

Definition 2.4.1. For X quasicompact, let \mathcal{C}_X be the 2-colimit over all $\epsilon > 0$ of the category of \mathcal{O}_X -linear connections \mathcal{E} on $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ for which \mathcal{E}_x is solvable for each $x \in X$. For general X , we define the corresponding category by glueing; the point is that an object of \mathcal{C}_X need not be defined over $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ for any particular value of ϵ .

The construction of \mathcal{C}_X is contravariantly functorial in X ; in particular, for each $x \in X$ we have a pullback functor $\mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_x$. We say that $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_X$ is *regular* if $b(\mathcal{E}_x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.4.2. *Assume that X is quasicompact and that $I = (\epsilon, 1)$ for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let q be a power of p . Let $\varphi : X \times_K A_K[I^{1/q}] \rightarrow X \times_K A_K[I]$ be a (not necessarily K -linear) morphism such that $|\varphi(t) - t^q|_\rho < 1$ for $\rho \in (\epsilon^{1/q}, 1)$, and suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\varphi^*\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$ of \mathcal{O}_X -linear connections over $X \times_K A_K[I^{1/q}]$. Then $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_X$.*

Proof. Define $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho) = \inf_{x \in X} \{\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho)\}$. As in [21, Theorem 17.2.1], we have

$$\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho^{1/q}) \geq \min\{\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho)^{1/q}, q \text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho)\}.$$

It follows that for any $\rho \in (0, 1)$, $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}, \rho^{1/q^n}) \rightarrow 1^-$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each $x \in X$, we have $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho^{1/q^m}) \rightarrow 1^-$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$; we may then apply Theorem 1.1.3 to deduce that \mathcal{E}_x is solvable. \square

Hypothesis 2.4.3. For the remainder of §2, assume that $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_X$.

Lemma 2.4.4. *Suppose that X is quasicompact. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, the following statements hold.*

(a) *The quantity*

$$F_i(r) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^i -\log s_j(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r}) : x \in X \right\}$$

is finite.

(b) *The function $F_i : (0, -\log \epsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex with nonnegative slopes and tends to 0 as $r \rightarrow 0^+$.*

(c) *The function $x \mapsto b_i(\mathcal{E}_x)$ assumes only finitely many distinct values.*

Proof. We deduce (a) directly from Lemma 2.1.4. To deduce (b), view F_i as the supremum of the functions $r \mapsto F_i(x, r) := \sum_{j=1}^i -\log s_j(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r})$ over all $x \in X$. By Theorem 1.1.3, each of these functions is convex with nonnegative slopes, as then is F_i . Since $F_i(x, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$ (by our assumption that \mathcal{E} is solvable), we also have

$$F_i(x, r) \leq \frac{r}{-\log \epsilon} F_i(x, -\log \epsilon) \leq \frac{r}{-\log \epsilon} F_i(-\log \epsilon),$$

from which we conclude that $F_i(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$. Similarly, $F_i(-\log \epsilon)/(-\log \epsilon)$ is an upper bound on the least slope of $F_i(r, x)$, so the function $x \mapsto b_1(\mathcal{E}_x) + \dots + b_i(\mathcal{E}_x)$ assumes only finitely many distinct values; this yields (c). \square

Theorem 2.4.5. *The following statements hold.*

- (a) *For $i = 1, \dots, n$, the function $x \mapsto b_1(\mathcal{E}_x) + \dots + b_i(\mathcal{E}_x)$ on \overline{X} is upper semicontinuous. In particular, $\{x \in X : b(\mathcal{E}_x) = 0\}$ is open and partially proper.*
- (b) *Suppose that X is affinoid and S is a closed subset of \overline{X} which is a boundary. Then the supremum of $b(\mathcal{E}_x)$ over all $x \in \overline{X}$ is achieved by some $x \in S$.*
- (c) *Suppose that \mathcal{E} is regular. Then for some $\epsilon' \in [\epsilon, 1)$, we have $\text{IR}(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho) = 1$ for all $x \in X, \rho \in [\epsilon', 1)$.*

Proof. We may assume throughout that X is affinoid. To prove (a), it will suffice to show that for each $c \in \frac{1}{n!}\mathbb{Z}$, the set U of $x \in \overline{X}$ for which $b_1(\mathcal{E}_x) + \dots + b_i(\mathcal{E}_x) > c$ is closed. By Lemma 1.3.3, U can be viewed as an intersection of sets, one defined by the inequality in (1.3.4) for each ρ ; by Corollary 2.1.5, each of those inequalities defines a closed condition.

We next address (b). By Lemma 2.4.4, the supremum b is achieved by some $x_0 \in X$. For $\rho \in [\epsilon, 1)$, let S_ρ be the subset of $x \in S$ such that $s_1(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho) \geq \rho^b$; by comparison with x_0 , we see that S_ρ is nonempty for all ρ . On the other hand, by convexity (Theorem 1.1.3) we have $S_\rho \subseteq S_{\rho'}$ whenever $\rho \in [\rho', 1)$. Since \overline{X} is compact, we conclude that $\bigcap_\rho S_\rho$ is nonempty, and any x in the intersection has the property that $b(\mathcal{E}_x) = b$.

To prove (c), it suffices to check that for $x_0 \in X$, the claim holds after replacing X with some neighborhood of x_0 . Since \mathcal{E}_{x_0} is regular, we may choose $\epsilon_1 \in [\epsilon, 1)$ such that $s_1(\mathcal{E}_{x_0}, \rho) = 1$ for $\rho \in [\epsilon_1, 1)$. Choose some $\delta \in (\epsilon_1^{1/n!}, 1)$; by Corollary 2.1.5, we may replace X with a neighborhood of x_0 so as to ensure that $s_1(\mathcal{E}_x, \epsilon_1) > \delta$ for all $x \in X$. By Theorem 1.1.3, for each $x \in X$ the function $r \mapsto -\log s_1(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r})$ is continuous and convex with slopes in

$\frac{1}{n!}\mathbb{Z}$; in particular, none of these slopes lie in the interval $(0, 1/n!)$. Consequently, the claim holds for $\epsilon' = \epsilon_1/\delta^{n!}$. \square

2.5. The relative monodromy theorem. We finally formulate the relative version of the local monodromy theorem.

Definition 2.5.1. We say that a finite étale cover Y of $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ is *eligible* if for each $x \in X$, the pullback cover of $x \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ is eligible.

Lemma 2.5.2. *Choose $x_0 \in X$ and let Y_0 be an eligible finite étale cover of $x_0 \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)$. Then there exist a neighborhood U of x_0 in X , a value $\epsilon' \in (\epsilon, 1)$, and an eligible finite étale cover Y of $U \times_K A_K(\epsilon', 1)$ whose restriction to $x \times_K A_K(\epsilon', 1)$ is isomorphic to $Y_0 \times_{A_K(\epsilon, 1)} A_K(\epsilon', 1)$.*

Proof. We may assume at once that Y_0 is connected. Let L be the finite étale extension of $\kappa_{\mathcal{H}(x_0)}(\bar{t})$ giving rise to $Y \times_X x_0$. By induction on the degree of L over $\kappa_{\mathcal{H}(x_0)}(\bar{t})$, it suffices to treat the cases where this extension is unramified, totally tamely ramified, or wild and cyclic of degree p .

- In the unramified case, L is generated by an unramified extension of $\kappa_{\mathcal{H}(x_0)}$. We may lift this to an unramified extension of $\mathcal{H}(x_0)$ and then spread it out over an open (and partially proper) neighborhood of x_0 .
- In the tamely ramified case, L is a Kummer extension, which we may again lift.
- In the wild cyclic case, L is generated by an element z for which $z^p - z \in t^{-1}\kappa_{\mathcal{H}(x_0)}[t^{-1}]$. By rescaling t suitably, we may force this polynomial to have coefficients in the valuation subring of $\kappa_{\mathcal{H}(x_0)}$; we may then again lift. (Note that this case does not yield a partially proper neighborhood; this is why we use Huber spaces instead of Berkovich spaces throughout.) \square

Definition 2.5.3. For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_X$ (per Hypothesis 2.4.3), a *quasiconstant model* of \mathcal{E} is an object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}_X$ such that:

- (a) \mathcal{F}_x is a quasiconstant model of \mathcal{E}_x for each $x \in X$;
- (b) for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists an eligible finite étale cover of $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ which at each $x \in X$ specializes to a cover which makes \mathcal{F}_x trivial (after suitable extension of the constant field).

Remark 2.5.4. If X is smooth over K , then condition (b) in Definition 2.5.3 allows us to promote \mathcal{F} to a K -linear integrable connection on $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)$. One consequence of this is that if \mathcal{F}' is another quasiconstant model, then the local horizontal sections of $\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{F}'$ form an integrable connection on X . In particular, if X is affinoid then \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' are isomorphic as relative connections, but *not canonically*.

Theorem 2.5.5. *For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_X$, for each $x_0 \in X$, there exist an affinoid subspace U of X containing x_0 and a quasiconstant model of $\mathcal{E}|_U \in \mathcal{C}_U$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.5.1, the claim holds when $X = \{x_0\}$. To prove the general case, we may spread out the resulting eligible cover using Lemma 2.5.2 and then pull back to reduce to the case where \mathcal{E}_{x_0} is regular. In this case, Theorem 2.4.5 implies that there exists some choice of U for which \mathcal{E}_x is regular for all $x \in U$; this proves the claim. \square

Corollary 2.5.6. *If \mathcal{E}_x is regular for each x in some dense subset of X , then \mathcal{E} is regular.*

Proof. We may work locally on X , so by Theorem 2.5.5 we may assume that \mathcal{E} admits a quasiconstant model, and then replace \mathcal{E} with said model. By induction on the minimum degree of the eligible finite cover, we may further reduce to the case that \mathcal{E} becomes fiberwise constant after a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extension. In this setting, \mathcal{E} decomposes as a direct sum of line bundles, so we may assume that $n = 1$. We may assume that K contains an element π with $\pi^{p-1} = -p$.

Suppose by way of contradiction that \mathcal{E} is not regular. Then \mathcal{E} is free on a single generator \mathbf{v} satisfying $\nabla(\mathbf{v}) = \pi \sum_{i=1}^m f_i t^{-i-1} dt$ where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, $|f_i| \leq 1$ for all i , and $|f_m| = 1$. (The eligible cover is then the Artin–Schreier cover $z^p - z = \sum_i \overline{f}_i t^i$.) By replacing X with a suitable finite flat cover of X , we may further ensure that m is not divisible by p . Now let U be the set of $x \in X$ for which $|f_m|_x \geq 1$; then U is an open subset of X with the property that $b_1(\mathcal{E}_x) = m$ for all $x \in U$. However, this contradicts the hypothesis that \mathcal{E}_x is regular for each x in some dense subset of X . \square

We illustrate the limitations of Theorem 2.5.5 with an example derived from the classical Bessel equation.

Example 2.5.7. Assume that $p \neq 2$ and that K contains an element π with $\pi^{p-1} = -p$. Let \mathcal{E}_0 be the K -linear connection on $X \times_K A_K(0, 1)$ defined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-1} dt \\ \pi^2 t^{-2} dt & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As explained in [21, Example 20.2.1] (following [35, Example 6.2.6]), this becomes regular after pullback along the extension

$$L_0 = \kappa_K((\bar{t}))[\bar{t}^{1/2}, z]/(z^p - z - \bar{t}^{-1/2}).$$

Now let X be the closed unit disc in the coordinate x and let \mathcal{E} be the pullback of \mathcal{E}_0 along the map $(x, t) \mapsto x^{-1}t$. Let $\eta \in X$ be the Gauss point; then \mathcal{E}_η becomes regular after pullback along the extension

$$L = \kappa_K(\bar{x})((\bar{t}))[\bar{x}^{1/2}\bar{t}^{1/2}, z]/(z^p - z - \bar{x}^{1/2}\bar{t}^{-1/2}),$$

which does not extend to a finite étale cover of $\kappa_K[x]((t))$.

Note that in this example, the relative connection does not extend to a K -linear connection unless we allow a logarithmic singularity along $x = 0$. It may be that one can obtain better results for K -linear connections; we will not pursue this point here.

3. APPLICATIONS

We conclude with some applications of the relative p -adic local monodromy theorem.

3.1. Semistable reduction for isocrystals.

Definition 3.1.1. Let W be an adic space over K with a one-point boundary η . Consider the space $X := W \times_K A_K[\epsilon, 1)$ equipped with only the second projection map t ; then we cannot define fibers of X over points of W due to the lack of a projection map. However, the fiber X_η is well-defined: its points can be characterized by the fact that for each $\rho \in [\epsilon, 1)$, the fiber of t_ρ for the second projection admits a unique one-point boundary, and this point belongs to X_η .

Now suppose that W is smooth over K and \mathcal{E} is an integrable K -linear connection on X . Then for any choice of the first projection $X \rightarrow W$, we may view \mathcal{E} as an object of \mathcal{C}_W and

ask whether or not the resulting object is regular. By Theorem 2.4.5 this depends only on the restriction to X_η , where the condition can be phrased in a manner that does not refer to the first projection: namely, we want that for ρ sufficiently close to 1, the restriction of the connection to the disc $|t - t_\rho| < \rho$ is isomorphic to the fiber at t_ρ (further equipped with the action of derivations that kill t). Consequently, the regularity property is independent of the choice of the first projection.

Definition 3.1.2. We may associate to every smooth scheme X over k the category of *overconvergent isocrystals on X with coefficients in K* , e.g., by considering crystals on the overconvergent site of Le Stum [29]. To make this concrete, consider an open affine subscheme U of X and an open immersion $U \rightarrow \overline{U}$ of affine k -schemes whose complement Z is the smooth integral k -scheme cut out by some $t \in \overline{U}$. Fix a smooth formal scheme P over \mathfrak{o}_K lifting Z and let W be the Raynaud generic fiber of P . Then an overconvergent isocrystal \mathcal{E} on X with coefficients in K restricts to an integrable K -linear connection on $W \times_K A_K[\epsilon, 1)$ for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Using Definition 3.1.1, we may define the condition that \mathcal{E} is *regular along Z* . Note that we may keep track of the choice of Z in terms of its associated divisorial valuation on the function field $k(U)$.

Theorem 3.1.3. *Let X be a smooth scheme over $k := \kappa_K$. Let \mathcal{E} be an overconvergent isocrystal on X with coefficients in K . Then there exist a dominant, generically finite morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ such that for any dominant morphism $g : U \rightarrow Y$ with U smooth and any open immersion $j : U \rightarrow \overline{U}$ with \overline{U} smooth over k and $\overline{U} \setminus U$ a strict normal crossings divisor, $(g \circ f)^* \mathcal{E}$ is regular along each component of $\overline{U} \setminus Y$.*

Proof. We may check the claim after replacing X with an open dense subscheme or pushing forward along a finite étale morphism. Since X is covered by open dense subschemes each of which can be written as a finite étale cover of an affine space [15], we may reduce to the case $X = \mathbb{A}^n$. If we blow up \mathbf{P}^n at a point in X , we obtain a \mathbf{P}^1 -fibration Y over \mathbf{P}^{n-1} ; we now obtain from \mathcal{E} a K -linear integrable connection on an annulus bundle \tilde{Y} over $\mathbf{P}_K^{n-1, \text{an}}$.

We now apply Theorem 2.5.5; this yields a collection of eligible finite covers, each defined over $U_i \times_K A_K[\epsilon, 1)$ for some open subset U_i of $\mathbf{P}_K^{n-1, \text{an}}$ and some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Passing to Raynaud's point of view, the covering of $\mathbf{P}_K^{n-1, \text{an}}$ by the U_i arises from a Zariski covering of some admissible blowup of the formal completion of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{o}_K}^{n-1}$ along \mathbf{P}_k^{n-1} . Let P be the special fiber of the blowup, so that each U_i corresponds to some open affine subset $\text{Spec } R_i$ of P , and the eligible cover associated to U_i corresponds to a finite étale cover of $R_i((t))$; using the Katz–Gabber construction [13] we may realize the latter as the pullback of a finite étale cover $g_i : V_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } R_i[t^{-1}]$. We now construct f by choosing a generically finite map to $Y \times_P \mathbf{P}_k^{n-1}$ dominating each g_i ; this has the desired effect. \square

Remark 3.1.4. Theorem 3.1.3 gives a new proof of the semistable reduction theorem for overconvergent F -isocrystals as stated in [17, Theorem 2.4.4]. In that statement, K is assumed to be discretely valued and \mathcal{E} is assumed to carry a Frobenius structure.

Let us spell out in more detail how this implication works. In Theorem 3.1.3, by de Jong's alterations theorem [11] the map f can be chosen so that Y itself admits a good compactification \overline{Y} . For each boundary component Z of $\overline{Y} \setminus Y$, Theorem 1.5.7 implies that after replacing f with a further tame covering, $f^* \mathcal{E}$ has unipotent monodromy along each Z . We may then apply [16, Proposition 6.3.2] to obtain an extension of $f^* \mathcal{E}$ to a convergent

log- F -isocrystal with nilpotent residues on \overline{Y} for the canonical logarithmic structure defined by Z .

The method of proof of Theorem 3.1.3 is flexible enough to make it easily adaptable to related situations. We leave such adaptations to the interested reader.

The following question arises if one tries to prove Theorem 3.1.3 without reduction to the case of projective space.

Conjecture 3.1.5. *Let \mathcal{E} be an integrable K -linear connection on $A_K[\epsilon, 1]^m$ for some positive integer m and some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then for some $\epsilon' \in [\epsilon, 1)$, there exists some finite étale covering $Y \rightarrow A_K[\epsilon', 1]^m$ such that for every nonarchimedean field L and every morphism $A_L[\epsilon'', 1] \rightarrow Y \times_K L$ of adic spaces over L , the pullback of \mathcal{E} to $A_L[\epsilon'', 1]$ is regular as an object of \mathcal{C}_L . More precisely, $Y \rightarrow A_K[\epsilon', 1]^m$ is “eligible” in the sense of being induced by a finite étale ring extension of the bounded subring of $\mathcal{O}(A_K[\epsilon', 1]^m)$.*

Remark 3.1.6. By imitating the proof of Theorem 2.5.5, one can deduce from Conjecture 3.1.5 a corresponding relative version: for X an adic space over K and \mathcal{E} an integrable \mathcal{O}_X -linear connection on $X \times_K A_K[\epsilon, 1]^m$, locally on X there is an “eligible” finite étale covering $Y \rightarrow X \times_K A_K[\epsilon', 1]^m$ with a similar effect.

3.2. de Rham local systems. We spell out some remarks which are left implicit in [25, §7].

Remark 3.2.1. Let L be a complete discretely valued field of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with perfect residue field. We may use Fontaine’s period rings to define the conditions *crystalline* and *semistable* on a continuous representation of G_L on a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space. However, we use the term *log-crystalline* in place of *semistable* so as to avoid confusion with the semistable condition on vector bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve.

Definition 3.2.2. For any perfectoid field L of characteristic 0, let FF_L denote the Fargues–Fontaine curve (with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}_p) associated to the field L ; it admits a distinguished point with residue field L , which we call the *de Rham point*.

There is a functorial “Narasimhan–Seshadri” correspondence between continuous representations of G_L on finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces and vector bundles on FF_L which are semistable of degree 0. More generally, vector bundles which are semistable of degree r/s , where $r/s \in \mathbb{Q}$ is written in lowest terms, correspond to continuous representations of G_L on finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_{p^s} -vector spaces.

A *modification* of vector bundles on FF_L consists of a pair of vector bundles V, V' together with a meromorphic map $V \rightarrow V'$ which is an isomorphism away from the de Rham point.

Definition 3.2.3. For any nonarchimedean field L containing \mathbb{Q}_p , we may define FF_L in the category of diamonds, and define the category of vector bundles on it by descent from the case of a perfectoid field. We then obtain a fully faithful functor from the category of continuous representations of G_L on finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces to the category of vector bundles on FF_L , whose essential image consists of bundles whose pullbacks to the Fargues–Fontaine curve over any perfectoid field containing L are semistable of degree 0.

Let L be a complete discretely valued field of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with perfect residue field. By the Beauville–Laszlo theorem, a representation of G_L is de Rham if and only if it corresponds to a vector bundle V admitting a modification $V \rightarrow V'$ such that the

pullback of V' to the formal completion at the de Rham point is trivial as a G_L -representation. This modification is unique if it exists; we call it the *canonical modification* of V .

Lemma 3.2.4. *Let L be a complete discretely valued field of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with perfect residue field. Let ρ be a de Rham representation of G_L , let V be the corresponding vector bundle on FF_L , and let $V \rightarrow V'$ be the canonical modification. Then ρ is log-crystalline if and only if each successive quotient of the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of V' corresponds to a trivial G_L -representation.*

Proof. We deduce this from [5, Théorème 3.6] as follows. Let K_0 be the maximal absolutely unramified subfield of $L(\mu_{p^\infty})$. Let M be the (φ, Γ) -module over the Robba ring

$$\mathcal{R}_{K_0} = \varinjlim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{O}(A_{K_0}[\epsilon, 1))$$

associated to V' . From the construction of the canonical modification, we see that the action of Γ induces an action of its Lie algebra with removable singularities at the zero locus of t . By [5, Théorème 3.6] and Lemma 1.1.4, this connection is unipotent if and only if ρ is log-crystalline and the space of logarithmic horizontal sections carries a trivial Γ_L -action. Note that the connection on M carries an action of Frobenius whose slope filtration corresponds to the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of V' ; by Corollary 1.5.6 the connection is unipotent if and only if the induced connection on each successive quotient of the slope filtration is trivial. This yields the desired result. \square

Definition 3.2.5. Assume that K is discretely valued and that κ_K is perfect. Let X be an affinoid space over K which admits an étale morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^k$. For simplicity, we assume that X is a finite étale cover of a rational subspace of some polyannulus $\alpha_i \leq |T_i| \leq \beta_i$ (this being true locally on X); it is harmless to further assume $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in p^\mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$,

Let K_∞ be the completion of $K(\mu_{p^\infty})$, which is a perfectoid field. Let X_n be the space obtained from X by pulling back along the p^n -power map on \mathbb{G}_m^k , then base extending from K to $K(\mu_{p^n})$. Let ψ be the tower formed by the spaces X_n ; this is a *relative toric tower* in the sense of [25, §7.2]. In particular, it admits a tilde-inverse limit \tilde{X}_ψ which is a perfectoid space; this space (resp. its tilt) is a finite étale cover of a rational subspace of the perfectoid polyannulus $\alpha_i \leq |U_i| \leq \beta_i$ over K_∞ (resp. over K_∞^\flat). The tower ψ is Galois with Galois group $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p^k \rtimes \Gamma_0$ where $\Gamma_0 = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, so Γ acts on \tilde{X}_ψ ; we fix the splitting of the semidirect product for which Γ_0 fixes the U_i .

Let L be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system (for the pro-étale topology) on X . We may then associate to L a vector bundle V on the relative Fargues–Fontaine curve $\mathrm{FF}_{\tilde{X}_\psi}$ which is fiberwise (over \tilde{X}_ψ) semistable of degree 0 [24, Theorem 9.3.13]; since V is functorial in L , it inherits an action of Γ . The vector bundle V can also be interpreted as a (φ, Γ) -module \tilde{M} over the perfect period ring $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_\psi$; using the decompletion process described in [25, §5.7], we may descend \tilde{M} canonically to a (φ, Γ) -module M over the imperfect period ring \mathbf{C}_ψ . (This generalizes the setting considered by Andreatta–Brinon [3].)

To make this more explicit, let K_0 be the maximal unramified subextension of $K(\mu_{p^\infty})$. We claim that for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, for $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ sufficiently close to 1, there exist an affinoid space X' over K_0 such that $\mathbf{C}_\psi^{[r/q, s]} = \mathcal{O}(X' \times_{K_0} A_{K_0}[\rho^r, \rho^{r/q}])$ and φ induces a map from $X' \times_{K_0} A_{K_0}[\rho^{r/q}, \rho^{r/q^2}]$ to $X' \times_{K_0} A_{K_0}[\rho^r, \rho^{r/q}]$. To see this, we may first check the claim explicitly when X is the polyannulus $\alpha_i \leq |T_i| \leq \beta_i$ for $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$, in which we

may take X' to also be a polyannulus; then observe that the claim is preserved by replacing X with a rational localization or a finite étale covering.

To make this more precise, let ψ_0 be the tower consisting of the points $\mathrm{Spa}(K(\mu_{p^n}), K(\mu_{p^n})^\circ)$. In case $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, the ring \mathbf{C}_{ψ_0} is a ring of Laurent series in the variable π and the action of φ and Γ is given by

$$\varphi(1 + \pi) = (1 + \pi)^p, \quad \gamma(1 + \pi) = (1 + \pi)^\gamma \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times).$$

In general this ring is a subring of \mathbf{C}_{ψ_0} ; in particular, \mathbf{C}_{ψ_0} and \mathbf{C}_ψ contain the element $t = \log(1 + \pi)$ on which \mathbb{Z}_p^\times acts via $\gamma(t) = \gamma t$ (i.e., via the cyclotomic character on Γ_0).

The action of Γ on \mathbf{C}_ψ induces an action of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}\Gamma$ by derivations. In particular, using the aforementioned splitting $\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma$, we obtain an action of $\mathrm{Lie}\Gamma_0$. The subring R of \mathbf{C}_ψ which is killed by this action is an affinoid algebra over K_0 ; put $X' := \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^\circ)$.

The action of the Lie algebra of Γ provides M with a K_0 -linear connection, compatible with φ , which is singular along the zero locus of $t \in \mathbf{C}_\psi$. Notably, this connection is *not integrable* because Γ is not commutative. Nonetheless, we may restrict to $\mathrm{Lie}\Gamma_0$ to get a singular $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -linear connection \mathcal{E} on $X' \times_{K_0} A_{K_0}[\rho^r, 1)$.

Theorem 3.2.6. *Assume that K is discretely valued and that κ_K is perfect. Let L be a de Rham \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on a smooth (in particular tft) adic space X over K . Then for every $x \in X$, there exist an open neighborhood U of x in X and a finite étale covering $V \rightarrow U$ such that the pullback of L to every classical point of V is log-crystalline (semistable).*

Proof. Since the claim is local at x , we may assume that X is affinoid and admits an étale morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^k$ which factors as a composition of rational localizations, finite étale morphisms, and the embedding of a polyannulus $\alpha_i \leq |T_i| \leq \beta_i$ with $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. We may then set notation as in Definition 3.2.5.

As in Berger's construction [5], the de Rham condition ensures that the singularities of \mathcal{E} are removable. Let \mathcal{E}' be the $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -linear connection obtained by removing the singularities; by Lemma 2.4.2 this connection is solvable. By applying Theorem 2.5.5 to \mathcal{E}' , we may reduce to the case where this connection is regular. In this case, the restriction of the connection to any classical point of X' admits a relative Frobenius structure and so by Lemma 1.5.5 is unipotent. By Theorem 2.3.9, the restriction of \mathcal{E}' to any point of X' is unipotent. By Lemma 1.1.4, the horizontal sections of $\mathcal{E}'[\log t]$ themselves form a vector bundle on X' carrying an integrable connection induced by the action of $\mathrm{Lie}\ker(\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_0)$; we may thus deduce that \mathcal{E}' is globally unipotent, that is, \mathcal{E}' admits a filtration whose successive quotients are constant. On each of these successive quotients, Γ_0 acts through some finite quotient. By adjoining a suitable p -power root of unity to K , we can ensure that these actions of Γ_0 are in fact trivial; Lemma 3.2.4 now implies that the pullback of L to every classical point of V is log-crystalline. \square

Remark 3.2.7. We remind the reader that notwithstanding the last clause of [31, Remark 1.4], Theorem 3.2.6 cannot be upgraded to assert that the covering $V \rightarrow U$ is the base extension from K to some finite extension; see [28].

Remark 3.2.8. The proof of Theorem 3.2.6 also applies in case we start with a vector bundle on the relative Fargues–Fontaine curve over X in the sense of [24]; such a bundle corresponds to a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system if and only if it is fiberwise semistable of degree 0.

Remark 3.2.9. Xin Tong suggests that it should be possible to adapt the proof of Theorem 3.2.6 to handle arithmetic families of Galois representations valued in an affinoid algebra A over \mathbb{Q}_p , as in [23], or even A -vector bundles on the relative Fargues–Fontaine curve over X , as in [26]. The tricky part is to formulate the correct conclusion; we do not attempt to do this here.

3.3. Drinfeld’s lemma. The following arguments are similar to [20, §7].

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let X be the subset of the analytic m -space over K in the variables T_1, \dots, T_m defined by the condition $(\log |T_1|, \dots, \log |T_m|) \in U$ for some convex subset U of \mathbb{R}^m , then set notation as in §2.1. Let $\eta_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m} \in X$ be the Gauss point $|T_i| = e^{\rho_i}$. Let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{O}_X -linear connection of rank n on $X \times_K A_K[I]$ for some I . Define the functions $F_1, \dots, F_n : U \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula*

$$F_i(r_1, \dots, r_m, r) = -\log s_1(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r}) - \dots - \log s_i(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r}), \quad x = \eta_{e^{-r_1}, \dots, e^{-r_m}}.$$

Then the functions F_1, \dots, F_d are convex.

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 2.1.4. Alternatively, see [27] for a slightly different approach to a similar result. \square

Definition 3.3.2. Let X be an adic space over K . Choose a positive integer m and a value $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. By a *partial relative Frobenius system*, we will mean a tuple $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ where $\varphi_i : A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m \rightarrow A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$ is a be the “partial relative Frobenius” map given by composing some isometric endomorphism of K with a K -linear substitution of the form $t \mapsto t^q + u$ where $|u|_1 < 1$.

Lemma 3.3.3. *Set $X := A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$ for some positive integer m and some $\epsilon > 0$. Let \mathcal{E} be an object of \mathcal{C}_X of rank n such that for $i = 1, \dots, m$, there exists an isomorphism $\varphi_i^* \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$ where φ_i is the map induced by a relative Frobenius lift on the i -th factor of X .*

- (a) *The functions $b_i(\mathcal{E}, \bullet) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are constant for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*
- (b) *There is a direct sum decomposition of \mathcal{E} that specializes to the slope decomposition of \mathcal{E}_x for each $x \in X$.*

Proof. By choosing an affinoid subspace of X whose Frobenius translates cover X , we may find a single $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$ such that \mathcal{E} can be realized as an \mathcal{O}_X -linear connection on $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon', 1)$. By increasing ϵ' , we can ensure that for some $x_0 \in X$, we have $s_i(\mathcal{E}_{x_0}, \rho) = \rho^{b_i(\mathcal{E}_{x_0})}$ for $\rho \in (\epsilon', 1)$.

For each $\rho \in (\epsilon', 1)$, Lemma 3.3.1 implies that the functions F_1, \dots, F_n are convex on $(0, -\log \epsilon)^m \times (0, -\log \epsilon')$. On the other hand, the partial Frobenius structures implies that these functions are periodic on $(0, -\log \epsilon)^m$. Consequently, each F_i depends only on the last argument. By Lemma 2.1.4 again, we deduce further that $-\log s_1(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r}) - \dots - \log s_i(\mathcal{E}_x, e^{-r})$ is independent of $x \in X$ whether or not x is a Gauss point. Combining this with the previous paragraph, we deduce that $s_i(\mathcal{E}_x, \rho) = \rho^{b_i(\mathcal{E}_{x_0})}$ for $x \in X, \rho \in (\epsilon, 1)$. This immediately yields (a); we deduce (b) by appealing to Lemma 2.2.4. \square

Theorem 3.3.4. *With notation as in Lemma 3.3.3, for some $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$ there exists an eligible finite étale cover Y of $A_K(\epsilon', 1)$ such that the pullback of \mathcal{E} along $X \times_K Y \rightarrow X \times_K A_K(\epsilon', 1)$ is regular.*

Proof. By applying Theorem 1.5.1 at some single point x_0 of X , we obtain a cover that makes \mathcal{E}_{x_0} regular. Then Lemma 3.3.3 implies that this cover makes every \mathcal{E}_x regular for all $x \in X$. \square

Corollary 3.3.5. *Let X be an adic space over K and put $X' := X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$. Let \mathcal{E} be an object of $\mathcal{C}_{X'}$ of rank n such that for $i = 1, \dots, m$, there exists an isomorphism $\varphi_i^* \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$ where φ_i is the map induced by a relative Frobenius lift on the i -th factor of $A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$. Then for each $x_0 \in X$, there exist an open neighborhood U of x_0 in X , a value $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$, and an eligible finite étale cover Y of $U \times_K A_K(\epsilon', 1)$ such that the pullback of \mathcal{E} from $X' \times_K A_K(\epsilon', 1) \cong X \times_K A_K(\epsilon', 1) \times A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$ to $Y \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$ is regular.*

Proof. This follows immediately by combining Theorem 3.3.4, Lemma 2.5.2, and Theorem 2.4.5. \square

Note that in the previous results, we have products of $m + 1$ annuli with one factor being treated separately. If we symmetrize the hypothesis, we end up with a stronger conclusion. For clarity, we first state a restricted version of the result and one of its corollaries, then immediately state and prove the full result.

Theorem 3.3.6. *Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on $A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$ for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ equipped with an integrable K -linear connection. Suppose that for $i = 1, \dots, m$, \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to its pullback along the map on $A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$ induced by a partial Frobenius lift on the i -th factor. Then for some $\epsilon' \in (\epsilon, 1)$, there exists a finite eligible cover Y of $A_K(\epsilon', 1)$ such that the pullback of \mathcal{E} to $Y \times_K \cdots \times_K Y$ is unipotent (as a connection).*

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 3.3.8 below. \square

Corollary 3.3.7. *With notation as in Theorem 3.3.6, suppose further that \mathcal{E} is irreducible. Then there exist quasiconstant objects $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_m \in \mathcal{C}_K$ such that $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_m$.*

Proof. The pushforward of the trivial connection from Y to $A_K(\epsilon', 1)$ is semisimple, so it decomposes as a direct sum $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_i$ of irreducible objects in \mathcal{C}_K . By Theorem 3.3.6 plus adjunction, \mathcal{E} admits a nonzero map to the m -th box power of $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_i$; this proves the claim. \square

Theorem 3.3.8. *Let X be an adic space over K . Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$ for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ equipped with an integrable \mathcal{O}_X -linear connection. Suppose that for $i = 1, \dots, m$, \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to its pullback along the map on $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$ induced by a partial Frobenius lift on the i -th factor of $A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$. Then for each $x_0 \in X$, there exist an open neighborhood U of x_0 , some $\epsilon' \in (\epsilon, 1)$, and a finite eligible cover Y of $U \times_K A_K(\epsilon', 1)$ such that the pullback of \mathcal{E} from*

$$X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m \cong (X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)) \times_X \cdots \times_X (X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1))$$

to $Y \times_X \cdots \times_X Y$ is unipotent.

Proof. For each i , write $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$ as a product $X_i \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ in which $X_i \cong X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)^{m-1}$ omits the i -th factor of $A_K(\epsilon, 1)^m$. By Lemma 2.4.2 (using the i -th partial Frobenius structure), $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}_{X_i}$ and so we may apply Corollary 3.3.5 (using the other partial Frobenius structures) to obtain (after shrinking X towards x_0) a cover Y_i of $X \times_K A_K(\epsilon, 1)$ that makes \mathcal{E} regular in the i -th direction. By Theorem 1.5.7 (using the i -th partial Frobenius structure), we may replace “regular” with “fiberwise unipotent”. Since we can choose a single Y that dominates each of the Y_i , we obtain the desired conclusion. \square

Remark 3.3.9. Xin Tong has suggested using Theorem 3.3.6 to study the analogue of “de Rham implies potentially semistable” for representations of a power of G_K where K is a complete discretely valued field of mixed characteristics with perfect residue field.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abe, Langlands correspondence for isocrystals and existence of crystalline companion for curves, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (2018), 921–1057.
- [2] Y. André, Filtrations de type Hasse-Arf et monodromie p -adique, *Invent. Math.* **148** (2002), 285–317.
- [3] F. Andreatta and O. Brinon, Surconvergence des représentations p -adiques: le cas relatif, *Astérisque* **319** (2008), 39–116.
- [4] W. Bartenwerfer, k -holomorphe Vektorraumbündel auf offenen Polyzylindern, *J. reine angew. Math.* **326** (1981), 214–220.
- [5] L. Berger, Représentations p -adiques et équations différentielles, *Invent. Math.* **148** (2002), 219–284.
- [6] V. Berkovich, *Spectral Theory and Analytic Geometry over Non-Archimedean Fields*, Math. Surveys and Monographs 33, Amer. Math. Soc., 1990.
- [7] V. Berkovich, Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces, *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **78** (1993), 5–161.
- [8] V.G. Berkovich, Smooth p -adic analytic spaces are locally contractible, *Invent. Math.* **137** (1999), 1–84.
- [9] P. Berthelot, Géométrie rigide et cohomologie des variétés algébriques de caractéristique p , in *Introductions aux cohomologies p -adiques* (Luminy, 1982), *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)* **23** (1986), 7–32.
- [10] A.J. de Jong, Étale fundamental groups of non-archimedean analytic spaces, *Compos. Math.* **97** (1995), 89–118.
- [11] A.J. de Jong, Smoothness, semi-stability, and alterations, *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **83** (1996), 51–93.
- [12] R. Huber, *Étale Cohomology of Rigid Analytic Varieties and Adic Spaces*, Aspects of Math. 30, Springer Fachmedien, Wiesbaden, 1996.
- [13] N.M. Katz, Local-to-global extensions of representations of fundamental groups, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **36** (1986), 69–106.
- [14] K.S. Kedlaya, A p -adic local monodromy theorem, *Annals of Math.* **160** (2004), 93–184.
- [15] K.S. Kedlaya, More étale covers of affine spaces in positive characteristic, *J. Alg. Geom.* **14** (2005), 187–192.
- [16] K.S. Kedlaya, Semistable reduction for overconvergent F -isocrystals, I: Unipotence and logarithmic extensions, *Compos. Math.* **143** (2007), 1164–1212; errata, [33].
- [17] K.S. Kedlaya, Semistable reduction for overconvergent F -isocrystals, IV: Local semistable reduction at nonmonomial valuations, *Compos. Math.* **147** (2011), 467–523; erratum, [18, Remark 4.6.7].
- [18] K.S. Kedlaya, Local and global structure of connections on nonarchimedean curves, *Compos. Math.* **151** (2015), 1096–1156; errata (with Atsushi Shiho), *ibid.* **153** (2017), 2658–2665.
- [19] K.S. Kedlaya, Reified valuations and adic spectra, *Res. Number Theory* **1** (2015), 1–42.
- [20] K.S. Kedlaya, Simple connectivity of Fargues-Fontaine curves, *Annales Henri Lebesgue* **4** (2021), 1203–1233.
- [21] K.S. Kedlaya, *p -adic Differential Equations*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022.
- [22] K.S. Kedlaya, Drinfeld’s lemma for F -isocrystals, I, in preparation.
- [23] K.S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, On families of (φ, Γ) -modules, *Algebra and Number Theory* **4** (2010), 943–967.
- [24] K.S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, Relative p -adic Hodge theory: Foundations, *Astérisque* **371** (2015), 239 pages.
- [25] K.S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, Relative p -adic Hodge theory, II: Imperfect period rings, arXiv:1602.06899v3 (2019).
- [26] K.S. Kedlaya and J. Pottharst, On categories of (φ, Γ) -modules, in *Algebraic Geometry: Salt Lake City 2015, Part 2*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 97, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2018, 281–304.
- [27] K.S. Kedlaya and L. Xiao, Differential modules on p -adic polyannuli (with Liang Xiao), *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **9** (2010), 155–201; erratum, *ibid.* **9** (2010), 669–671.
- [28] B. Lawrence and S. Li, A counterexample to an optimistic guess about étale local systems, arXiv:2020.03799v1 (2020).
- [29] B. Le Stum, *Rigid Cohomology*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 172, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

- [30] K.S. Kedlaya and D. Xu, Drinfeld’s lemma for F -isocrystals, II: Tannakian approach, in preparation.
- [31] R. Liu and X. Zhu, Rigidity and a Riemann–Hilbert correspondence for p -adic local systems, *Invent. Math.* **2017** (207), 291–343.
- [32] Z. Mebkhout, Analogue p -adique du théorème du Turrittin et le théorème de la monodromie p -adique, *Invent. Math.* **148** (2002), 319–351.
- [33] A. Shiho, On logarithmic extension of overconvergent isocrystals, *Math. Ann.* **348** (2010), 467–512.
- [34] K. Shimizu, A p -adic monodromy theorem for de Rham local systems, preprint.
- [35] N. Tsuzuki, Slope filtration of quasi-unipotent overconvergent F -isocrystals, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **48** (1998), 379–412.