

**PRECISE LATE-TIME ASYMPTOTICS OF SCALAR FIELD IN THE  
INTERIOR OF A SUBEXTREME KERR BLACK HOLE AND ITS  
APPLICATION IN STRONG COSMIC CENSORSHIP CONJECTURE**

SIYUAN MA<sup>†</sup> AND LIN ZHANG<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup>*Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, Sorbonne Université, 4 place Jussieu 75005 Paris, France  
and  
Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics, Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam, Germany  
and  
Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190,  
China*

<sup>\*</sup>*College of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, China.*

ABSTRACT. In this work, we compute the precise late-time asymptotics for the scalar field in the interior of a non-static subextreme Kerr black hole, based on recent progress on deriving its precise asymptotics in the Kerr exterior region. This provides a new proof of the generic  $H_{\text{loc}}^1$ -inextendibility of the Kerr Cauchy horizon against scalar perturbations that is first shown by Luk–Sbierski [39]. The analogous results in Reissner–Nordström spacetimes are also discussed.

CONTENTS

1. Main statement and introduction	2
1.1. Literature on the Strong Cosmic Censorship conjecture	6
1.2. Sketch of the proof	7
1.3. Overview of the paper	8
2. Geometry of Kerr spacetimes and preliminaries	8
2.1. Scalar functions in the Kerr interior	8
2.2. Operators and derivatives	9
2.3. Eddington–Finkelstein coordinates	10
2.4. Double-null-like coordinate systems	10
2.5. Scalar wave equation in Kerr	11
2.6. Mode decomposition	11
3. Precise late-time asymptotics in the region $\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_{\text{b}} \leq r \leq r_+\}$	11
3.1. Energy decay for scalar field in region <b>I</b>	12
3.2. Energy decay for $\psi_{\ell=0}$ and $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$ in <b>I</b>	15
3.3. Precise late-time asymptotics in region <b>I</b>	16
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1	20
4.1. Energy decay estimates in region <b>II</b>	20
4.2. Precise late-time asymptotics in region <b>II</b>	25
4.3. Complete the proof of main Theorem 1.1	30
Acknowledgement	30
References	31

---

*E-mail address:* <sup>†</sup>siyuan.ma@aei.mpg.de, <sup>\*</sup>lzhang\_math@cqu.edu.cn.

## 1. MAIN STATEMENT AND INTRODUCTION

A Kerr metric  $\mathbf{g}_{M,a}$  is a Lorentzian metric that takes the following form in the Boyer–Lindquist coordinates  $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$ :

$$\mathbf{g}_{M,a} = -dt^2 + \frac{q^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + q^2 d\theta^2 + \frac{2Mr}{q^2} (a \sin^2 \theta d\phi - dt)^2 + (r^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2. \quad (1.1)$$

Here,  $q = \sqrt{r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta}$ ,  $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$ ,  $M$  and  $Ma$  are the mass and the angular momentum of the Kerr black hole. In this work, we consider the **non-static subextreme Kerr**, that is, we require  $0 < |a| < M$ . The two roots  $r_+$  and  $r_-$  of function  $\Delta$ , which satisfy  $0 < r_- < M < r_+$ , are the locations of the event horizon  $\mathcal{H}$  and the Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}$ .

We fix a time orientation on the manifold  $(\mathcal{M} \doteq \mathbb{R} \times (r_-, r_+) \times \mathbb{S}^2, \mathbf{g}_{M,a})$  by requiring  $-\partial_r$  to be future-directed, and we call this time-oriented manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}_{M,a})$  as the *Kerr interior*. The event horizon  $\mathcal{H}$  and the Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}$  can be properly attached as the boundaries to the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  in Kruskal-type coordinates.  $\mathcal{H}$  is a union of two bifurcate parts  $\mathcal{H}_+$  and  $\mathcal{H}'_+$ , and  $\mathcal{CH}$  is a union of two bifurcate parts  $\mathcal{CH}_+$  and  $\mathcal{CH}'_+$ , and we denote their intersection spheres by  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \doteq \mathcal{H}_+ \cap \mathcal{H}'_+$  and  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{CH}}^2 \doteq \mathcal{CH}_+ \cap \mathcal{CH}'_+$ . In fact, Kruskal-type coordinates can be defined such as to extend the manifold beyond the event horizon to include the exterior regions  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}'_{\text{ext}}$  of the Kerr black hole, with the extended manifold still being a proper subset of the Kruskal maximal extension of the Kerr spacetime; see for instance [48]. See Figure 1 for the Penrose diagram of the Kerr interior and Kerr exterior regions.




FIGURE 1. Kerr interior and Kerr exterior regions




FIGURE 2. Two-ended initial value problem

In this work, we derive the precise late-time asymptotics of a (real) scalar field  $\psi$ , solving the (rescaled) scalar wave equation

$$q^2 \square_{\mathbf{g}_{M,a}} \psi = q^2 \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \psi = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

in the Kerr interior  $\mathcal{M}$ , with smooth, compactly supported initial data imposed on a two-ended hypersurface  $\Sigma_{\text{init}}$ . This initial hypersurface  $\Sigma_{\text{init}}$  is the union of  $\Sigma'_{\text{ext}} \doteq \{(\tau', \rho', \omega) : \tau' = \text{const}, \rho' \geq r_+, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ ,  $\Sigma_{\text{ext}} \doteq \{(\tau, \rho, \omega) : \tau = \text{const}, \rho \geq r_+, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ <sup>1</sup> and a spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma_{\text{int}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  connecting<sup>2</sup> the two spheres  $\Sigma'_{\text{ext}} \cap \mathcal{H}'_+$  and  $\Sigma_{\text{ext}} \cap \mathcal{H}_+$ , with  $(\tau', \rho', \omega)$  and  $(\tau, \rho, \omega)$  being hyperboloidal coordinates in  $\mathcal{M}'_{\text{ext}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}$  respectively. This initial value problem is well-posed in the future maximal Cauchy development of  $\Sigma_{\text{init}}$ , which is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}^+(\Sigma_{\text{init}})$ . We moreover

<sup>1</sup>Without loss of generality, we assume that on spheres  $\Sigma'_{\text{ext}} \cap \mathcal{H}'_+$  and  $\Sigma_{\text{ext}} \cap \mathcal{H}_+$ ,  $u = 2$  and  $\underline{u} = 2$  are satisfied respectively, where  $u$  and  $\underline{u}$  are defined as in Section 2.3. In addition,  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are hyperboloidal time functions, and  $\rho = \rho' = r$ . The explicit way of defining the hyperboloidal time functions is not relevant in this work; instead, the only requirement for this hyperboloidal function is such that its level hypersurfaces are spacelike hypersurfaces that are transversal to the event horizon and asymptotic to the future null infinity. We refer to [1, 44] for an explicit construction of the hyperboloidal time function in the Kerr black hole exterior regions.

<sup>2</sup>The way how this hypersurface  $\Sigma_{\text{int}}$  connects these two spheres is not relevant, as long as this hypersurface is strictly spacelike.

denote  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \doteq \mathcal{D}^+(\Sigma_{\text{init}}) \cap \mathcal{M}$ , in which the precise asymptotics for scalar field will be shown. See Figure 2.

The main motivation of this current work arises from its intimate connection to the **Strong Cosmic Censorship** (SCC) conjecture which concerns the fundamental question of determinism in the theory of General Relativity. The method developed here is expected to be employed to consider more complicated model problems, such as the linearized gravity, in addressing the SCC conjecture about the Kerr spacetimes. We guide the readers to Section 1.1 for more background on SCC conjecture.

To properly state our main result, let  $u = r^* - t$  and  $\underline{u} = r^* + t$  be the retarded time and forward time in  $\mathcal{M}$  respectively, where  $r^*$  be the tortoise coordinate, see Section 2.1 for the explicit definition. Further, define  $T \doteq \partial_t$  and two principal null vectors<sup>3</sup>

$$e_3 \doteq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta} \partial_t + \frac{a}{\Delta} \partial_\phi - \partial_r \right), \quad e_4 \doteq \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_t + \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2} \partial_\phi + \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \partial_r \right). \quad (1.3)$$

Also, define

$$e'_3 \doteq (-\mu) e_3, \quad e'_4 \doteq (-\mu)^{-1} e_4, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mu \doteq \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2}$ . Let  $\bar{\nabla}$  be the set of tangential derivatives on  $\mathcal{H}_+$ , and let  $\bar{\nabla}'$  be the set of tangential derivatives on  $\mathcal{H}'_+$ .




FIGURE 3. Region  $r\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$  and its subregions




FIGURE 4. Region  $l\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$  and its subregions

**Theorem 1.1** (Global precise late-time asymptotics for the scalar field and its derivatives in Kerr interior). *Let  $0 < |a| < M$ . Let  $\psi$  be a solution to the scalar wave equation (1.2) arising from smooth, compactly supported initial data on  $\Sigma_{\text{init}}$ .*

*Let  $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_1 > 0$  be suitably large<sup>4</sup>. Assume that for  $\psi_{\ell \geq 1} = \psi - f_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi$ ,<sup>5</sup> where  $f_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi$  is the spherical mean of  $\psi$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  and a  $D_0 \geq 0$  such that all multiindex  $\mathbf{s}$  with  $|\mathbf{s}| \leq s_1$  and all  $0 \leq j \leq j_1$ ,*

$$|T^j \bar{\nabla}^{\mathbf{s}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1}| \leq D_0 \underline{u}^{-4-j-\delta}, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}_+ \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+, \quad (1.5)$$

$$|T^j (\bar{\nabla}')^{\mathbf{s}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1}| \leq D_0 u^{-4-j-\delta}, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}'_+ \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+. \quad (1.6)$$

<sup>3</sup>We remark that the null vectors  $e_3, e_4$  are regular at both the right event horizon  $\mathcal{H}_+$  and the left Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}'_+$  and  $e_4$  is degenerate at both the right Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}_+$  and the left event horizon  $\mathcal{H}'_+$ . A similar argument applies to the vectors  $e'_3$  and  $e'_4$  by interchanging the primed and unprimed notations.

<sup>4</sup>By examining the proof, we find that  $j_1 = 4$  and  $s_1 = 9$  are sufficient. The reason that we loss much regularity is partly due to the application of Sobolev-embedding on spheres to obtain pointwise decay estimates from energy decay estimates.

<sup>5</sup> $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  and  $f_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi$  are actually the  $\ell \geq 1$  spherical harmonic modes and the  $\ell = 0$  spherically symmetric mode of  $\psi$ , respectively.

Assume moreover that there are real constants  $c_0$  and  $c'_0$  and an  $\epsilon > 0^6$  such that for all  $0 \leq j \leq j_1$ ,

$$|T^j \psi - c_0 T^j(\underline{u}^{-3})| \leq D_0 \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon}, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}_+ \cap \mathcal{D}_{init}^+, \quad (1.7a)$$

$$|T^j \psi - c'_0 T^j(u^{-3})| \leq D_0 u^{-3-j-\epsilon}, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}'_+ \cap \mathcal{D}_{init}^+. \quad (1.7b)$$

Then, in region  ${}_r\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \doteq \mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \cap \{\underline{u} \geq 1\}$ ,<sup>7</sup>

1) for  $\psi$  itself, there exists a smooth function  $\Psi(u, \omega)$  and  $\Upsilon_0(\omega)$ ,  $\omega$  being the spherical coordinates on  $\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2$ , such that<sup>8</sup>

$$|\psi - c_0 \underline{u}^{-3}| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } {}_r\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \cap \{2r^* \leq \underline{u}^{\gamma_0}\}, \quad (1.8a)$$

$$\left| \psi - \Psi(u, \omega) - \frac{1}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) \underline{u}^{-3} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } {}_r\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \cap \{2r^* \geq \underline{u}^{\gamma_0}\}, \quad (1.8b)$$

where  $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$  is an arbitrary constant and

$$\left| \Psi(u, \omega) + \frac{1}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) u^{-3} \right| \lesssim |u|^{-3-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (1.8c)$$

$$\left| \Psi(\gamma_\omega(u)) - \Upsilon_0(\omega) - \frac{1}{2} c'_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) u^{-3} \right| \lesssim u^{-3-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.8d)$$

where  $\gamma_\omega(u)$  is the integral curve of  $e'_3|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}$  starting from the sphere  $\{u = 1\} \cap \mathcal{CH}_+$ ;

2) for  $e_4 \psi$ , we have

$$\left| e_4 \psi + \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } {}_r\mathcal{D}_{init}^+; \quad (1.9)$$

3) for  $e'_3 \psi$ , we have

$$\left| e'_3 \psi - \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim (r_+ - r) \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } {}_r\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \cap \{2r^* \leq \underline{u}^{\gamma_0}\}, \quad (1.10a)$$

$$\left| e'_3 \psi - e'_3|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \omega)) \right| \lesssim -\mu \quad \text{in } {}_r\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \cap \{r^* \geq 0\}, \quad (1.10b)$$

where  $\Psi(u, \omega)$  and  $\gamma_0$  are as in Point 1) and it holds that

$$\left| e'_3|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \omega)) - \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) u^{-4} \right| \lesssim |u|^{-4-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (1.10c)$$

$$\left| e'_3|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \omega)) + \frac{3}{2} c'_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) u^{-4} \right| \lesssim u^{-4-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.10d)$$

Meanwhile, there exist smooth functions  $\Psi'(\underline{u}, \omega)$  and  $\Upsilon'_0(\omega)$  such that the above estimates are valid in  ${}_l\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \doteq \mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \cap \{u \geq 1\}$  if we make the replacements  $u \rightarrow \underline{u}$ ,  $\underline{u} \rightarrow u$ ,  $e'_3 \rightarrow e_4$ ,  $e_4 \rightarrow e'_3 = -\mu e_3$ ,  $\Psi(u, \omega) \rightarrow \Psi'(\underline{u}, \omega)$ ,  $\Upsilon_0(\omega) \rightarrow \Upsilon'_0(\omega)$ ,  $\Psi(\gamma_\omega(u)) \rightarrow \Psi'(\gamma_\omega(\underline{u}))$ ,  ${}_r\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \rightarrow {}_l\mathcal{D}_{init}^+$ ,  $\{2r^* \leq \underline{u}^{\gamma_0}\} \rightarrow \{2r^* \leq u^{\gamma_0}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{CH}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{CH}'_+$ , respectively.

Further, each of these estimates remains valid by taking  $T$  on all terms (except that  $\Upsilon_0(\omega)$  is replaced by some function  $\Upsilon_j(\omega)$  if taking  $j$  times  $T$  derivatives and that the term  $-\mu$  on the right-hand side of (1.10b) remains undifferentiated).

A couple of remarks are ready to illustrate that Theorem 1.1 indeed contains the global precise late-time asymptotics for the scalar field, as well as its principal null derivatives, in  $\mathcal{D}_{init}^+$ .

**Remark 1.2** (Global late-time precise asymptotics in  ${}_l\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \cup {}_r\mathcal{D}_{init}^+$ ). From the above theorem, we have  $|(-\mu e_3)\psi + \frac{3}{2} c'_0 (1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2}) u^{-4}| \lesssim u^{-4-\epsilon}$  in  ${}_l\mathcal{D}_{init}^+$  which is an analog of the estimate (1.9) by making the replacements as stated in the theorem, hence this estimate provides the asymptotics of  $(-\mu e_3)\psi$  in the region  ${}_l\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \cap {}_r\mathcal{D}_{init}^+ \cap \{r^* \geq 0\}$ . This complements the estimates (1.10b)–(1.10c)

<sup>6</sup>Without loss of generality, we assume  $\epsilon \leq \delta$ .

<sup>7</sup>Figures 3 and 4 have depicted the different regions stated in this theorem.

<sup>8</sup>We use the notation  $A \lesssim B$  to denote the bound of the form  $A \leq CB$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are nonnegative and  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on the black hole parameters  $a$  and  $M$  and the constant  $D_0$  appearing in (1.7).

and provides global precise asymptotics for  $(-\mu e_3)\psi$  in  ${}_r\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$ . Therefore, this provides the global precise late-time asymptotics of  $\psi$  and its principal null derivatives in  ${}_r\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$ , and similarly in  ${}_l\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$ .

**Remark 1.3** (Global late-time precise asymptotics for  $\psi$  and its principal null derivatives in  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$ ). Theorem 1.1 actually provides global precise late-time asymptotics for  $\psi$  and its principal null derivatives in  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$ , since the asymptotics in  ${}_l\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cup {}_r\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$  is proved as demonstrated in Remark 1.2 and the remaining region  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{\underline{u} \leq 1\} \cap \{u \leq 1\}$  is a compact region with both  $u$  and  $\underline{u}$  uniformly bounded from above and below.

We have chosen to state the asymptotics for the null derivatives  $e_4$  and  $(-\mu e_3)$  in Theorem 1.1. Nevertheless, Theorem 1.1 has already included the late-time asymptotics for the regular, nondegenerate derivative  $e_3\psi$  near the right event horizon. This is explained in the following remark.

**Remark 1.4** (Precise late-time asymptotics for nondegenerate transverse derivative near event horizon). The above estimate (1.10a) in fact includes the precise late-time asymptotics for the regular derivative  $e_3\psi$  near the right event horizon  $\mathcal{H}_+$ . Indeed, one finds  $|e_3\psi + \frac{3}{2}c_0 \frac{r+r_+}{r-r_-} \underline{u}^{-4}| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}$  in  ${}_r\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_0 \leq r \leq r_+\}$  for any given  $r_0 \in (r_-, r_+)$ . Similarly, it holds that  $|(-\mu)^{-1}e_4\psi + \frac{3}{2}c_0 \frac{r+r_+}{r-r_-} u^{-4}| \lesssim u^{-4-\epsilon}$  in  ${}_l\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_0 \leq r \leq r_+\}$ .

With minor modification in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain an analogous result in the charged Reissner–Nordström spacetimes. We state it here but omit the proof.

**Theorem 1.5** (Global precise late-time asymptotics for scalar field in the interior of a Reissner–Nordström spacetime). *In the interior  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}_{M,e})$  of a charged subextreme  $R$ – $N$  spacetime, where  $M$  and  $e$  are the mass and charge of the black hole and satisfy  $0 < |e| < M$ , the statements in Theorem 1.1 are valid for the scalar field if we set  $a = 0$  and  $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + e^2$  in both the formula (1.3) of  $e_3$  and  $e_4$  and the estimates (1.8)–(1.10), still let  $r_\pm$  be the roots of function  $\Delta$ , and redefine  $\mu = \frac{\Delta}{r^2} = \frac{r^2 - 2Mr - e^2}{r^2}$ .*

The assumed estimates (1.7) for  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{H}_+ \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$  and  $\mathcal{H}'_+ \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$  in Theorem 1.1 are recently shown to hold true, and the constants  $c_0$  and  $c'_0$  can be computed from the initial data on  $\Sigma_{\text{ext}}$  and  $\Sigma'_{\text{ext}}$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.6** (Precise asymptotics for the scalar field on the Kerr event horizon [27, 4, 44]). *For scalar field  $\psi$  arising from smooth, compactly supported initial data on a two-ended hypersurface  $\Sigma_{\text{init}}$ , the assumed estimates (1.7) on  $\mathcal{H}_+ \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$  and  $\mathcal{H}'_+ \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$  are satisfied for any  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and*

$$c_0 = -\frac{2M}{\pi} \left( (r_+^2 + a^2) \int_{\Sigma_{\text{ext}} \cap \mathcal{H}_+} \psi d\omega - \int_{\Sigma_{\text{ext}}} \langle \nabla \tau, \nabla \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{g}_{M,a}} q^2 d\rho d\omega \right), \quad (1.11)$$

$$c'_0 = -\frac{2M}{\pi} \left( (r_+^2 + a^2) \int_{\Sigma'_{\text{ext}} \cap \mathcal{H}'_+} \psi d\omega - \int_{\Sigma'_{\text{ext}}} \langle \nabla \tau', \nabla \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{g}_{M,a}} q^2 d\rho' d\omega \right). \quad (1.12)$$

Further, for generic such initial data, the constants  $c_0$  and  $c'_0$  are non-zero.

**Remark 1.7** (Generic inextendibility for scalar field in Kerr interior). Theorems 1.1 and 1.6 together imply that the regular derivative  $(-\mu)^{-1}e_4\psi$  generically blows up towards the right Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}_+$  and the nondegenerate energy of  $\psi$  on hypersurface  $\mathcal{C}_w \cap \{\underline{u} \geq \underline{u}_0\}$ <sup>9</sup>, which in particular bounds  $\int_{\mathcal{C}_w \cap \{\underline{u} \geq \underline{u}_0\}} |\mu|^{-1} |e_4\psi|^2 d\underline{u}$ , generically goes to  $+\infty$  for any  $\underline{u}_0$ . This proves the SCC conjecture for the model of scalar field in the class of  $H^1_{\text{loc}}$ , an argument that is first demonstrated by Luk–Sbierski [39].<sup>10</sup> The same argument applies to the Reissner–Nordström spacetimes. Further, these precise asymptotics can be used to examine the validity of SCC conjecture for scalar field in a given weak regularity space.

Let us briefly discuss the above two theorems in the following Figure 5. The statements in Theorem 1.6 are actually to prove the so-called ‘‘Price’s law (PL)’’ (see more in Section 1.1) in the

<sup>9</sup> $\mathcal{C}_w$  is a constant- $w$  spacelike hypersurface with  $w = u - r + r_-$ .

<sup>10</sup>Theorems 1.1 and 1.6 together also show that the scalar field is  $C^0$ -extendible to the Cauchy horizon; this argument has been shown by Hintz [26] and Franzen [19, 20] independently.

exterior of a Kerr black hole and correspond to the green-colored region. The statements in Theorem 1.1 contain the precise asymptotics of the scalar field in the red-colored and blue-colored regions, in which the red-shift and blue-shift effects are present respectively. In particular, the blue-colored region is where we show the  $H_{\text{loc}}^1$ -inextendibility.




FIGURE 5. Different asymptotic regions in the exterior and interior of a Kerr black hole

In the remainder of this section, we explain the Strong Cosmic Censorship conjecture and review the relevant works in the literature.

**1.1. Literature on the Strong Cosmic Censorship conjecture.** It is well-known that the Kerr metric and the Reissner–Nordström (R–N) metric can be extended smoothly beyond the Cauchy horizon in non-unique ways. The SCC conjecture, proposed by Penrose [51], concerns the global uniqueness for the initial value problem of the Einstein’s equation and is currently formulated as:

**SCC Conjecture:** *For generic vacuum, asymptotically flat initial data, the maximal Cauchy development is inextendible as a suitably regular Lorentzian manifold.*

This conjecture suggests that the above scenario in exact R–N and Kerr spacetimes that it admits non-unique smooth extensions beyond the Cauchy horizon is not generic and, in particular, is unstable in a suitable regularity sense against small initial data perturbations.

For relevant physics literature towards the SCC conjecture, see for instance [46, 29, 8, 52, 49, 50, 7]. Here, we provide a brief overview of the mathematical results on this conjecture in the literature.

The first complete result on this problem is for the Einstein–Maxwell–(real) scalar field system under spherical symmetry. Dafermos [10] and Dafermos–Rodnianski [14] showed the existence of the Cauchy horizon and proved the  $C^0$ -extendibility across the Cauchy horizon. Afterwards, Luk–Oh [36, 37] proved generic  $C^2$ -inextendibility for this model based on deriving the precise asymptotics for the scalar field in the black hole exterior; this result is recently improved by Sbierski [57] to  $C_{\text{loc}}^{0,1}$ -inextendibility. See also the works [62, 63] by Van de Moortel for different nonlinear model problems under spherical symmetry.

The above results are however restricted to the spherical symmetry assumption, which itself does not generically hold. For the full Einstein’s equation without imposing any symmetry condition, the only available result is by Dafermos–Luk [13] who proved the  $C^0$ -stability of the Kerr Cauchy horizon under suitable assumptions on the dynamical metric settling down to Kerr on a hypersurface inside the black hole.

Alternatively, one can consider simplified models of the Einstein’s equation and impose no symmetry condition, and the scalar wave equation is a simple ideal model equation to start with.

The first result for the scalar field along this direction is by Franzen [19] who proved the  $C^0$ -extendibility across the R–N Cauchy horizon. This result is further extended to Kerr by Hintz [26] and Franzen [20]. On the other hand, it is expected from the SCC conjecture that the field shall be generically inextendible in a suitable regularity class. Sbierski [56] used the Gaussian beam approximation to construct a sequence of solutions to the scalar wave equation whose  $H^1$  energy near the

Kerr (or R–N) Cauchy horizon tends to infinity. See also [15]. The first generic  $H_{\text{loc}}^1$ -inextendibility in any neighborhood near the Cauchy horizon is proved by Luk–Oh [35] in R–N spacetimes. Afterwards, Luk–Sbierski [39] imposed suitable upper and lower bounds of decay for the horizon flux of the scalar field on the event horizon of the Kerr spacetimes and showed the  $H_{\text{loc}}^1$ -inextendibility. In these two  $H_{\text{loc}}^1$ -inextendibility results, they both rely on conservation laws associated to Killing vector fields to derive the lower bound for the energy flux on a hypersurface transversally asymptotic to the Cauchy horizon. Recently, Luk–Oh–Shlapentokh–Rothman [38] reproved the  $H_{\text{loc}}^1$ -inextendibility result of [35] in R–N spacetimes by analyzing the scattering map near 0 time-frequency, and Sbierski [58] adapted this approach to show the instability of the Kerr Cauchy horizon for the linearized gravity by assuming suitable integrated upper and lower bounds of decay on the event horizon<sup>11</sup>.

This generic  $H_{\text{loc}}^1$ -inextendibility in fact represents the so-called “weak null singularity” proposed by Christodoulou [9], which prevents the metric to be interpreted as even a weak solution to the Einstein’s equation. Luk [34] constructed the weak null singularities in vacuum and showed that they locally propagate.

As discussed already, the above results on  $H_{\text{loc}}^1$ -inextendibility for the scalar field on Kerr and R–N are based on suitable lower bounds of decay on the event horizon. These lower bounds of decay rates correspond to the so-called “Price’s law” [53, 54, 6, 55, 24] which predicts that the sharp decay rate, as both an upper and a lower bounds, is  $\underline{u}^{-3}$  in any finite radius region in the Kerr exterior and on the event horizon.

This upper bound of decay for the scalar field is verified by Tataru [60] and Donninger–Schlag–Soffer [16]. The corresponding sharp upper bound of decay rates on dynamic backgrounds is obtained by Metcalfe–Tataru–Tohaneanu [47], and recently by Looi [33]. Recently, Hintz [27] and Angelopoulos–Aretakis–Gajic [4, 5] derived the precise late-time asymptotics and confirmed the Price’s law for scalar field outside the Kerr and R–N black holes. We [40, 45, 43, 44] built on the the first author’s works [41, 42] and derived the precise late-time asymptotics, thus proving the corresponding Price’s law, for the solutions to the Teukolsky equation [61], which governs the dynamics of the scalar field, the massless Dirac field, the electromagnetic field and the linearized gravity, in the exterior and on the event horizon of Schwarzschild and Kerr black holes.

We end this subsection with a brief summary of the works on the nonlinear stability of the Kerr exterior. On one hand, the Price’s law is intimately connected to the Kerr exterior stability problem; on the other hand, a complete proof of the Kerr exterior stability serves as an indispensable precursor for a full resolution of the SCC conjecture about Kerr. The linear stability of Schwarzschild and subextreme R–N spacetimes has been shown in [11, 21], and linear stability of Kerr spacetimes is proved in [1, 25, 2, 3]. For nonlinear stability results, we refer to [31, 12] for Schwarzschild and [28, 17, 18] for slowly rotating Kerr-de Sitter. The nonlinear stability of slowly rotating Kerr is recently proved in a series of works by Giorgi–Klainerman–Szeftel [30, 22, 32, 23] and [59].

**1.2. Sketch of the proof.** To obtain precise asymptotics in the interior region of Kerr spacetime, we decompose the scalar field  $\psi$  into its lower mode part  $\psi_{\ell=0}$  and higher mode part  $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$ . By assuming suitable late-time behavior for each part on the event horizon, we can prove that the higher mode part decays faster than the lower mode part in the black hole interior region. Consequently, we can determine the leading order term of  $\psi$  solely from the asymptotics of the lower mode part  $\psi_{\ell=0}$ , which can in turn be obtained through an ODE argument. This technique was employed in [5, 4] to derive the precise late-time asymptotics of the scalar field in the exterior region of the R–N and Kerr spacetimes and by us [40, 43, 44] to study the precise late-time behaviors of the solutions to the Teukolsky equation [61] in Schwarzschild and Kerr spacetimes. The current paper builds on the detailed information (sharp decay for modes) of the scalar field on the event horizon established by previous works, and extends the idea beyond the event horizon, thus completing the precise asymptotics globally in the Kerr spacetimes.

To clarify the method, let us briefly outline the procedure in computing the leading order term for only  $T^j \psi_{\ell=0}$  and  $\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0}$  near the right Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}_+$ . This can be achieved by integrating

---

<sup>11</sup>These assumed bounds of decay on event horizon are satisfied in view of our work [44].





Let  $\kappa_+ = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4Mr_+}$  and  $\kappa_- = \frac{r_- - r_+}{4Mr_-}$  be the values of the surface gravity on the event and Cauchy horizons respectively. The asymptotics of  $r^*$  towards the event and Cauchy horizons are given by

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow r_+} \frac{r^*}{\frac{1}{2\kappa_+} \ln(r_+ - r)} = 1, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow r_-} \frac{r^*}{\frac{1}{2\kappa_-} \ln(r - r_-)} = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Define the forward time  $\underline{u}$  and retarded time  $u$  functions by

$$\underline{u} \doteq r^* + t, \quad u \doteq r^* - t. \quad (2.3)$$

They satisfy  $u + \underline{u} = 2r^*$ .

In the Kerr interior, the constant- $r$  hypersurfaces are spacelike hypersurfaces since  $\mathbf{g}_{M,a}(dr, dr) = \frac{\Delta}{q^2} < 0$ , while the constant- $u$  and  $-\underline{u}$  hypersurfaces are timelike away from the poles and null at the poles since  $\mathbf{g}_{M,a}(du, du) = \mathbf{g}_{M,a}(d\underline{u}, d\underline{u}) = \frac{a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{q^2} \geq 0$ . Instead, we define functions

$$w \doteq u - r + r_-, \quad \underline{w} \doteq \underline{u} - r + r_+, \quad (2.4)$$

and the constant- $w$  and  $-\underline{w}$  hypersurfaces are spacelike with

$$\mathbf{g}_{M,a}(dw, dw) = \mathbf{g}_{M,a}(d\underline{w}, d\underline{w}) = -\frac{r^2 + 2Mr + a^2 \cos^2 \theta}{q^2} < -1. \quad (2.5)$$

The constant- $u$ ,  $-\underline{u}$ ,  $-w$ , and  $-\underline{w}$  hypersurfaces are depicted in Figure 6.




FIGURE 6.  $\underline{u} = c$  and  $u = c'$  are timelike hypersurfaces;  $\underline{w} = c$  and  $w = c'$  are spacelike hypersurfaces

**2.2. Operators and derivatives.** Recall that  $T = \partial_t$ . Let us further define

$$\Phi \doteq \partial_\phi, \quad \Theta \doteq \partial_\theta. \quad (2.6)$$

When viewed as vector fields,  $T$  and  $\Phi$  are Killing vector fields of the Kerr spacetime.

Denote the Carter operator by

$$\mathbf{C} \doteq \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} + a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  is the spherical Laplacian on unit 2-sphere. This is a second-order symmetry operator for the scalar wave equation (1.2) in the sense that it commutes with equation (1.2).

Recall formula (1.3) of  $e_3$  and  $e_4$ . Note that  $e_3$  and  $e_4$  are future-directed null vectors satisfying

$$\mu e_3 + e_4 = T + \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi, \quad (2.8a)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{M,a}(e_3, e_3) = \mathbf{g}_{M,a}(e_4, e_4) = 0, \quad \mathbf{g}_{M,a}(e_3, e_4) = -\frac{q^2}{2(r^2 + a^2)}, \quad (2.8b)$$

and they are aligned with the principal null directions on the Kerr background.

Further, it is convenient to define

$$e_1 \doteq \Theta, \quad e_2 \doteq \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \Phi + a \sin \theta T. \quad (2.9)$$

The frame  $(\tilde{e}_3, \tilde{e}_4, \tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2) = (2e_3, \frac{2(r^2+a^2)}{q^2}e_4, q^{-1}e_1, q^{-1}e_2)$  constitutes a principal null frame of the Kerr background which satisfies  $\mathbf{g}_{M,a}(\tilde{e}_3, \tilde{e}_4) = -2$ ,  $\mathbf{g}_{M,a}(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_1) = \mathbf{g}_{M,a}(\tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_2) = 1$  and other products being zero.

**2.3. Eddington–Finkelstein coordinates.** Apart from extending the Kerr metric beyond the Kerr interior  $\mathcal{M}$  using the Kruskal-type coordinates, the Kerr metric  $\mathbf{g}_{M,a}$  can be extended beyond  $\mathcal{M}$  in a different manner by using the Eddington–Finkelstein coordinates.

Define  $r_{\text{mod}} = r_{\text{mod}}(r)$  by  $\frac{dr_{\text{mod}}}{dr} = \frac{a}{\Delta}$  and  $r_{\text{mod}}(M) = 0$ , and define

$$\phi_{\text{in}} \doteq \phi + r_{\text{mod}} \pmod{2\pi}, \quad \phi_{\text{out}} \doteq \phi - r_{\text{mod}} \pmod{2\pi}. \quad (2.10)$$

We can extend  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{M} \cup (\mathcal{H}_+ \setminus \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^2) \cup (\mathcal{CH}'_+ \setminus \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{CH}}^2)$  using the ingoing Eddington–Finkelstein (E–F) coordinates  $(\underline{u}_{\text{in}} = \underline{u}, r_{\text{in}} = r, \theta_{\text{in}} = \theta, \phi_{\text{in}})$ , and their partial derivatives in these ingoing E–F coordinates are related to the ones in B–L coordinates by

$$\partial_{\underline{u}_{\text{in}}} = \partial_t = T, \quad \partial_{r_{\text{in}}} = \partial_r - \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta} \partial_t - \frac{a}{\Delta} \partial_\phi = -e_3, \quad \partial_{\theta_{\text{in}}} = \partial_\theta = \Theta, \quad \partial_{\phi_{\text{in}}} = \partial_\phi = \Phi. \quad (2.11)$$

Analogously, the Kerr interior manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  can be extended to  $\mathcal{M} \cup (\mathcal{H}'_+ \setminus \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^2) \cup (\mathcal{CH}_+ \setminus \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{CH}}^2)$  using the outgoing E–F coordinates  $(u_{\text{out}} = u, r_{\text{out}} = r, \theta_{\text{out}} = \theta, \phi_{\text{out}})$ , and one finds

$$\partial_{u_{\text{out}}} = T, \quad \partial_{r_{\text{out}}} = \mu^{-1} e_4, \quad \partial_{\theta_{\text{out}}} = \Theta, \quad \partial_{\phi_{\text{out}}} = \Phi. \quad (2.12)$$

**2.4. Double-null-like coordinate systems.** We define in  $\mathcal{M}$  a coordinate system  $(u, \underline{u}, \theta, \phi_{\text{in}})$ , and in this coordinate system,

$$\partial_u = -\mu e_3, \quad \partial_{\underline{u}} = T - \mu e_3 = e_4 - \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi, \quad \partial_\theta = \Theta, \quad \partial_{\phi_{\text{in}}} = \Phi. \quad (2.13)$$

We also define in  $\mathcal{M}$  a coordinate system  $(u, \underline{u}, \theta, \phi_{\text{out}})$ , and in these coordinates,

$$\partial_u = e_4 - T = -\mu e_3 + \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi, \quad \partial_{\underline{u}} = e_4, \quad \partial_\theta = \Theta, \quad \partial_{\phi_{\text{out}}} = \Phi. \quad (2.14)$$

We call these two coordinate systems as “double-null-like” coordinate systems for the reason that they are in fact double null coordinates in the interior of a Schwarzschild black hole.

We should emphasize that these two different coordinates system will **only** be used in the regions  $\mathbf{I} \doteq \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_{\text{b}} \leq r \leq r_+\} \cap \{\underline{u} \geq 1\}$  and  $\mathbf{II} \doteq \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_- \leq r \leq r_{\text{b}}\} \cap \{\underline{u} \geq 1\}$  that are close to  $\mathcal{H}_+$  and  $\mathcal{CH}_+$  (as well as regions  $\mathbf{I}'$  and  $\mathbf{II}'$  close to  $\mathcal{H}'_+$  and  $\mathcal{CH}'_+$ , as defined in the beginning of Sections 3 and 4) separately in Sections 3 and 4 for some constant  $r_{\text{b}} \in (r_-, r_+)$ , both of which are the so-called *red-shift* and *blue-shift* subregions of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence, in the context, whenever we write partial derivatives  $\partial_u$  and  $\partial_{\underline{u}}$ , it is clear of what they mean depending on which region we are considering.

We relate the coordinates  $(u, \underline{u}, \theta, \phi_{\text{in}})$  with the ingoing E–F coordinates  $(\underline{u}_{\text{in}}, r_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}}, \phi_{\text{in}})$  by

$$u = 2r^*(r_{\text{in}}) - \underline{u}_{\text{in}}, \quad \underline{u} = \underline{u}_{\text{in}}, \quad \theta = \theta_{\text{in}}. \quad (2.15)$$

Hence, the right event horizon  $\mathcal{H}_+ \setminus \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ , on which  $r_{\text{in}} = r_+$  and  $r^*(r_{\text{in}}) = -\infty$ , can be identified as the limiting hypersurface  $\{(u, \underline{u}, \theta, \phi_{\text{in}}) | u = -\infty\}$ . In the same manner, the left event horizon  $\mathcal{H}'_+ \setminus \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ , the right Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}_+ \setminus \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{CH}}^2$  and the left Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}'_+ \setminus \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{CH}}^2$  are identified as the limiting hypersurface  $\{(u, \underline{u}, \theta, \phi_{\text{out}}) | \underline{u} = -\infty\}$ , the limiting hypersurface  $\{(u, \underline{u}, \theta, \phi_{\text{out}}) | \underline{u} = +\infty\}$  and the limiting hypersurface  $\{(u, \underline{u}, \theta, \phi_{\text{in}}) | u = +\infty\}$ , respectively.

**2.5. Scalar wave equation in Kerr.** The scalar wave equation (1.2) in the Boyer–Lindquist coordinates takes the following form:

$$-\frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta}{\Delta} \partial_{tt}^2 \psi + \partial_r(\Delta \partial_r \psi) - \frac{4aMr}{\Delta} \partial_{t\phi}^2 \psi - \frac{a^2}{\Delta} \partial_{\phi\phi}^2 \psi + \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  is the covariant spherical Laplacian on unit 2-sphere and  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_\theta(\sin \theta \partial_\theta \psi) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_{\phi\phi}^2 \psi$  in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates.

In view of (1.3), the scalar wave equation can be rewritten as

$$-4(r^2 + a^2)e_3 e_4 \psi + \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi + a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2 \psi + 2aT\Phi\psi + 2r(e_4 - \mu e_3)\psi + \frac{2ar}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi\psi = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

**2.6. Mode decomposition.** Let  $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  be the volume element of the standard unit 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . At any point  $(u, \underline{u}, w)$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , for a real-valued function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , we define

$$\varphi_{\ell=0} = \varphi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) \doteq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2(u, \underline{u})} \varphi \, d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}, \quad \varphi_{\ell \geq 1} = \varphi_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{u}, w) \doteq \varphi - \varphi_{\ell=0}. \quad (2.18)$$

These are the  $\ell = 0$  mode (i.e., the spherically symmetric part) and the  $\ell \geq 1$  modes of the scalar function  $\varphi$ , respectively. Further, we define two mode projection operators by

$$\mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\varphi) \doteq \varphi_{\ell=0}, \quad \mathbb{P}_{\ell \geq 1}(\varphi) \doteq \varphi_{\ell \geq 1}. \quad (2.19)$$

### 3. PRECISE LATE-TIME ASYMPTOTICS IN THE REGION $\mathcal{D}_{\text{INIT}}^+ \cap \{r_{\mathbf{b}} \leq r \leq r_+\}$

In this section, we derive the energy decay estimates and prove the precise late-time asymptotic estimates for the scalar field in the region  $\mathbf{I} = \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_{\mathbf{b}} \leq r \leq r_+\} \cap \{\underline{u} \geq 1\}$  close to the right event horizon  $\mathcal{H}_+$ , as well as in the region  $\mathbf{I}' = \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_{\mathbf{b}} \leq r \leq r_+\} \cap \{u \geq 1\}$  close to the left event horizon  $\mathcal{H}'_+$ , under the assumed estimates (1.7) on the event horizon. Note that  $r_{\mathbf{b}}$  is a constant in  $(r_-, r_+)$  and will be fixed in Section 4.

Our main statement on the precise asymptotics in this region is included in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** (Precise late-time asymptotics in the region  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_{\mathbf{b}} \leq r \leq r_+\}$ ). *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, we have*

- in region  $\mathbf{I} = \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_{\mathbf{b}} \leq r \leq r_+\} \cap \{\underline{u} \geq 1\}$ ,

$$|\psi - c_0 \underline{u}^{-3}| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-\epsilon}, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\left| e_4 \psi + \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}, \quad (3.1b)$$

$$\left| e_3 \psi + \frac{3}{2} c_0 \frac{r + r_+}{r - r_-} \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}; \quad (3.1c)$$

- and in region  $\mathbf{I}' = \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{r_{\mathbf{b}} \leq r \leq r_+\} \cap \{u \geq 1\}$ ,

$$|\psi - c'_0 u^{-3}| \lesssim u^{-3-\epsilon}, \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\left| e'_3 \psi + \frac{3}{2} c'_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) u^{-4} \right| \lesssim u^{-4-\epsilon}, \quad (3.2b)$$

$$\left| e'_4 \psi + \frac{3}{2} c'_0 \frac{r + r_+}{r - r_-} u^{-4} \right| \lesssim u^{-4-\epsilon}. \quad (3.2c)$$

We shall from now on consider only the region  $\mathbf{I}$ , since the proof of the other region  $\mathbf{I}'$  follows in an exactly same manner by making the replacements  $u \rightarrow \underline{u}$ ,  $\underline{u} \rightarrow u$ ,  $c_0 \rightarrow c'_0$ ,  $e_3 \rightarrow e'_3$ , and  $e_4 \rightarrow e'_4$ .

**Recall from Section 2.4 that in this region  $\mathbf{I}$ , we use the coordinates  $(u, \underline{u}, \theta, \phi_{\text{in}})$ , and the derivatives in this coordinate system are expressed in (2.13).** In particular,  $e_3 = -\mu^{-1} \partial_u$  and  $e_4 = \partial_{\underline{u}} + \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi$ .

**3.1. Energy decay for scalar field in region I.** Multiplying the scalar wave equation (2.17) by  $\mu$  on both sides, it becomes

$$4(r^2 + a^2)\partial_u e_4(\psi) + \mu\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi + 2\mu a T\Phi\psi + \mu a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2\psi + 2\mu r(\partial_u \psi + e_4(\psi)) + \frac{2\mu ar}{r^2 + a^2}\Phi\psi = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

We then multiply on both sides of (3.3) by  $X(\psi) \doteq f(r)\partial_u \psi + g(r)e_4(\psi)$  and integrate over  $\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2$ , and we get the following energy identity:

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2} \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \right) + \partial_u \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2} \mathfrak{F}_u[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \right) + \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2} \mathcal{B}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] = 2(r^2 + a^2)f(r)|\partial_u \psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu g(r)((e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2) + a\mu \sin \theta e_2(\psi)X(\psi), \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_u[\psi] = 2(r^2 + a^2)g(r)|e_4(\psi)|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu f(r)((e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2) - a\mu \sin \theta e_2(\psi)X(\psi), \quad (3.5b)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}[\psi] &= 2(r\mu g(r) - \partial_u((r^2 + a^2)g(r)))|e_4(\psi)|^2 + 2(r\mu f(r) - e_4((r^2 + a^2)f(r)))|\partial_u \psi|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[\partial_u(\mu f(r)) + e_4(\mu g(r))][(e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2] \\ &\quad + 2\mu r(g(r) + f(r))e_4(\psi)\partial_u \psi + \frac{2\mu ar}{r^2 + a^2}\Phi\psi(-f(r)\partial_u \psi + g(r)e_4(\psi)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5c)$$

These computations are most convenient to perform by multiplying  $f(r)\partial_u \psi$  and  $g(r)e_4(\psi)$  on both sides of

$$4(r^2 + a^2)\partial_u e_4(\psi) + \mu \left( \frac{e_1(\sin \theta e_1(\psi))}{\sin \theta} + e_2 e_2(\psi) \right) + 2\mu r(\partial_u \psi + e_4(\psi)) + \frac{2\mu ar}{r^2 + a^2}\Phi\psi = 0 \quad (3.6a)$$

$$4(r^2 + a^2)e_4 \partial_u(\psi) + \mu \left( \frac{e_1(\sin \theta e_1(\psi))}{\sin \theta} + e_2 e_2(\psi) \right) + 2\mu r(\partial_u \psi + e_4(\psi)) - \frac{2\mu ar}{r^2 + a^2}\Phi\psi = 0 \quad (3.6b)$$

respectively, both of the above subequations in (3.6) being alternative forms of equation (3.3).

**Proposition 3.2.** *Under the assumed estimates (1.7) in Theorem 1.1, we have for any  $1 \leq \underline{w}_1 < \underline{w}_2$ ,*

$$\iint_{\{\underline{w}=\underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{E}[T^j \psi](-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{-8-2j}, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\iint_{\{r=r_b\} \cap \{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\}} \mathbb{E}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} + \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{E}[T^j \psi](-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{-8-2j} + \int_{\underline{w}_1}^{\underline{w}_2} \underline{w}^{-8-2j} d\underline{w}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi] = \sum_{j=1}^4 |e_j(\psi)|^2. \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove  $j = 0$  case since  $T$  commutes with the scalar wave equation.

By integrating (3.4) in the region  $\{(u, \underline{u}) | \underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w}(u, \underline{u}) \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}$  with respect to  $d\underline{u} du$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\{\underline{w}=\underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \iint_{\{r=r_b\} \cap \{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\}} \mathbb{T}_r[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} + \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathcal{B}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} du \\ &= \iint_{\{\underline{w}=\underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \iint_{\mathcal{H}_+ \cap \{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\}} \mathbb{T}_u[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where  $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu)\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] - \frac{1}{2}\mu\mathfrak{F}_u[\psi]$ ,  $\mathbb{T}_r[\psi] = -\frac{1}{2}\mu(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] + \mathfrak{F}_u[\psi])$  and  $\mathbb{T}_u[\psi] = \mathfrak{F}_u[\psi]$ . We choose

$$f(r) = (r^2 + a^2)^p(-\mu)^{-1}, \quad g(r) = (r^2 + a^2)^p, \quad (3.11)$$

with  $p$  being a positive number to be fixed.

Given this choice of functions  $f$  and  $g$ , we calculate the coefficients in  $\mathcal{B}[\psi]$  as follows:

$$r\mu g(r) - \partial_u((r^2 + a^2)g(r)) = -\mu pr(r^2 + a^2)^p, \quad (3.12a)$$

$$r\mu f(r) - e_4((r^2 + a^2)f(r)) = (-\mu)^{-1}[(r - M) - \mu(p + 1)r](r^2 + a^2)^p, \quad (3.12b)$$

$$\partial_u(\mu f(r)) + e_4(\mu g(r)) = -\mu(r^2 + a^2)^{p-1} \left( \frac{2Mpr^2}{r^2 + a^2} + r\mu - (r - M) \right). \quad (3.12c)$$

Since  $(r - M) - \mu(p + 1)r \geq (r - M) + \frac{(p+1)r_-(r_+ - r_-)}{r_+^2 + a^2}(r_+ - r_-)$  in  $\mathbf{I}$ , we have  $(r - M) + (p + 1)r(-\mu) \gtrsim -\mu p + 1$  in  $\mathbf{I}$ . By taking  $p$  large, this implies that the first two lines of (3.5c) are bounded below by

$$c(r^2 + a^2)^p (p[(-\mu)(|e_4(\psi)|^2 + (e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2)] + (p + (-\mu)^{-1})|\partial_u\psi|^2), \quad (3.13)$$

for a constant  $c > 0$ . We then use the following formula

$$\frac{q^2}{r^2 + a^2}\Phi = \sin\theta e_2 - a\sin^2\theta \left( T + \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2}\Phi \right) = \sin\theta e_2 - a\sin^2\theta e_4 + a\sin^2\theta \partial_u \quad (3.14)$$

to expand the last line of (3.5c) and apply Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to the last line, and one finds the absolute value of the last line of (3.5c) is bounded by

$$C(r^2 + a^2)^p [\varepsilon_0((-\mu)^{-1} + 1)|\partial_u\psi|^2 - \varepsilon_0^{-1}\mu(|e_4(\psi)|^2 + |e_2(\psi)|^2)]. \quad (3.15)$$

By taking  $\varepsilon_0$  small and then  $p$  sufficiently large (compared to  $\varepsilon_0^{-1}$ ), the last line is absorbed by the first two lines of (3.5c). Consequently, we achieve

$$\mathcal{B}[\psi] \gtrsim (-\mu)\mathbb{E}[\psi], \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I}. \quad (3.16)$$

Now fix the value of  $p$ , and consider the boundary terms. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] &= (1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu)\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] - \frac{1}{2}\mu\mathfrak{F}_u[\psi] \\ &= 2(r^2 + a^2)f(r)|\partial_u\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu g(r)((e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2) + a\mu \sin\theta e_2(\psi)X(\psi) \\ &\quad + (-\mu) \left[ (r^2 + a^2)(f(r)|\partial_u\psi|^2 + g(r)|e_4(\psi)|^2) + \frac{1}{4}(-\mu)(f(r) + g(r))((e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2) \right] \\ &\sim (-\mu)(|e_4(\psi)|^2 + |\mu^{-1}\partial_u\psi|^2 + (e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2) \\ &\sim (-\mu)\mathbb{E}[\psi], \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_r[\psi] &= -\frac{1}{2}\mu(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] + \mathfrak{F}_u[\psi]) \\ &= (-\mu) \left[ (r^2 + a^2)f(r)|\partial_u\psi|^2 + (r^2 + a^2)g(r)|e_4(\psi)|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\mu(f(r) + g(r))((e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2) \right] \\ &\sim \mathbb{E}[\psi], \quad \text{on } \{r = r_6\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

For the boundary term on  $\mathcal{H}_+$ , since  $\mathbb{T}_u[\psi] = \mathfrak{F}_u[\psi]$ , one finds from (3.5b) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \iint_{\mathcal{H}_+ \cap \{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\}} \mathbb{T}_u[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{w} \right| &\lesssim \left| \iint_{\mathcal{H}_+ \cap \{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\}} (|e_4(\psi)|^2 + (e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{w} \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\underline{w}_1}^{\underline{w}_2} \underline{w}^{-8} d\underline{w}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Here, we have used the fact that when restricted on  $\mathcal{H}_+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &|e_4(\psi)|^2 + (e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2 \\ &\lesssim (|e_4(\psi_{\ell=0})|^2 + (e_1(\psi_{\ell=0}))^2 + (e_2(\psi_{\ell=0}))^2) + (|e_4(\psi_{\ell \geq 1})|^2 + (e_1(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}))^2 + (e_2(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}))^2) \\ &\lesssim |T(\psi_{\ell=0})|^2 + (|e_4(\psi_{\ell \geq 1})|^2 + (e_1(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}))^2 + (e_2(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}))^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \underline{u}^{-8} \lesssim \underline{w}^{-8}, \quad (3.20)$$

by the assumption (1.7) in Theorem 1.1 and by the formula  $\underline{u} = \underline{w}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_+$ .

The above discussions together thus yield that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{\underline{w}=\underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{E}[\psi](-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} + \iint_{\{r=r_b\} \cap \{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\}} \mathbb{E}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} + \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{E}[\psi](-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} d\underline{u} \\ & \lesssim \iint_{\{\underline{w}=\underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{E}[\psi](-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} + \int_{\underline{w}_1}^{\underline{w}_2} \underline{w}^{-8} d\underline{w}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

In the end, the energy decay estimate (3.7) follows by applying the following Lemma 3.4 with  $\alpha = 0$  to the above inequality, and (3.8) follows from the above inequality and the estimate (3.7).  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** As a consequence of the proof, we can also obtain the boundedness of the energy on the spacelike hypersurface  $r = r_b$ , given by

$$\iint_{r=r_b} \mathbb{E}[\psi] \lesssim 1. \quad (3.22)$$

In fact, this statement follows from combining the estimates in  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}'$ , along with a standard estimate in the remaining region  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{\underline{u} \leq 1\} \cap \{u \leq 1\}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $p > 1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , and let  $f(x) : [1, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a continuous function. Assume that there are constants  $C_0 > 0$ ,  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 \geq 0$  and  $C_3 \geq 0$  such that for any  $1 \leq x_1 < x_2$ ,*

$$f(x_2) + C_1 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} x^{-\alpha} f(x) dx \leq C_0 f(x_1) + C_2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} x^{-p} dx + C_3 x_1^{-p}. \quad (3.23)$$

Then, we have, for any  $x_1 \geq 1$ ,

$$f(x_1) \leq C x_1^{-p+\alpha}, \quad (3.24)$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $f(1)$ ,  $C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3, p$  and  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Using (3.23), we get, for  $x \geq 1$ ,

$$f(x) \leq C_0 f(1) + C_2 \int_1^x (x')^{-p} dx' + C_3 \leq C_0 f(1) + \frac{C_2}{p-1} + C_3. \quad (3.25)$$

To prove (3.24), we define

$$g(x) := \max\{f(x) - \frac{C_2}{C_1} x^{-p+\alpha}, 0\}. \quad (3.26)$$

Take an arbitrary  $x \geq 1$ . Let  $p_\alpha$  be the minimal integer greater than or equal to  $\frac{p}{1-\alpha}$ . If  $g(2^{p_\alpha} x) = 0$ , then we have  $f(2^{p_\alpha} x) \leq \frac{C_2}{C_1} (2^{p_\alpha} x)^{-p+\alpha}$ . Otherwise, it suffices to consider  $g(2^{p_\alpha} x) > 0$  case, and we divide it into the following two subcases.

The first subcase is  $g(y) > 0$  for all  $y \in [x, 2^{p_\alpha} x]$ . The definition of  $g$  and inequality (3.23) yield

$$g(x_2) + C_1 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} x^{-\alpha} g(x) dx \leq C_0 g(x_1) + \tilde{C}_3 x_1^{-p+\alpha} \quad (3.27)$$

for any  $x \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq 2^{p_\alpha} x$ , with  $\tilde{C}_3 = \frac{C_0 C_2}{C_1} + C_3$ . By the mean-value principle, there exists an  $\tilde{x} \in [x, 2x]$ , such that  $x\tilde{x}^{-\alpha} g(\tilde{x}) = \int_x^{2x} x^{-\alpha} g(x') dx'$ . Hence, by (3.27), we have  $2^{-\alpha} C_1 g(\tilde{x}) \leq C_0 x^{-1+\alpha} g(x) + \tilde{C}_3 x^{-p-1+2\alpha}$  and  $g(2x) \leq C_0 g(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{C}_3 \tilde{x}^{-p+\alpha}$ , which means

$$g(2x) \leq \frac{2^\alpha C_0^2}{C_1} x^{-1+\alpha} g(x) + \left( \frac{2^\alpha C_0}{C_1} + 1 \right) \tilde{C}_3 x^{-p+\alpha}, \quad (3.28)$$

where we have used  $-1 + 2\alpha < \alpha$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ . Iterating the above inequality  $p_\alpha$  times, we obtain

$$g(2^{p_\alpha} x) \leq C (x^{-p_\alpha(1-\alpha)} g(x) + x^{-p+\alpha}) \leq C (x^{-p} g(x) + x^{-p+\alpha}), \quad (3.29)$$

with  $C$  being a constant depending only on  $\{C_j\}_{j=0,1,2,3}$ ,  $p$  and  $\alpha$ . Together with the definition (3.26) of  $g(x)$  and the estimate (3.25) of  $f(x)$ , we derive  $g(2^{p_\alpha} x) \leq C (2^{p_\alpha} x)^{-p+\alpha}$ , and hence  $f(2^{p_\alpha} x) \leq C (2^{p_\alpha} x)^{-p+\alpha}$ , with  $C$  being a constant depending only on  $\{C_j\}_{j=0,1,2,3}$ ,  $p$  and  $\alpha$ .

The remaining subcase is that there exists a  $\bar{x} \in [x, 2^{p\alpha}x]$  such that  $g(\bar{x}) = 0$  and  $g(y) > 0$  for  $y \in (\bar{x}, 2^{p\alpha}x]$ . This yields  $f(\bar{x}) = \frac{C_2}{C_1}\bar{x}^{-p+\alpha}$  and  $f(y) > \frac{C_2}{C_1}y^{-p+\alpha}$  for  $y \in (\bar{x}, 2^{p\alpha}x]$ . Then, because of this fact, we use (3.23) with  $x_2 = 2^{p\alpha}x$  and  $x_1 = \bar{x}$  and deduce

$$f(2^{p\alpha}x) \leq C_0 f(\bar{x}) + C_3 \bar{x}^{-p} \leq \left( \frac{C_0 C_2}{C_1} + C_3 \right) x^{-p+\alpha}. \quad (3.30)$$

Since  $x \geq 1$  is arbitrary, we have proved from the above that for any  $x \in [2^{p\alpha}, +\infty)$ , the estimate (3.24) holds true. The estimate (3.24) for  $x \in [1, 2^{p\alpha}]$  follows easily from (3.25).  $\square$

**3.2. Energy decay for  $\psi_{\ell=0}$  and  $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  in I.** By projecting the wave equation (3.3) onto  $\ell \geq 1$  modes, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} & 4(r^2 + a^2)\partial_u e_4(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) + 2a\mu T\Phi(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) + \mu\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) + 2\mu r(\partial_u(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) + e_4(\psi_{\ell \geq 1})) + \frac{2ar\mu}{r^2 + a^2}\Phi(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) \\ &= -\mu a^2 \mathbb{P}_{\ell \geq 1}(\sin^2 \theta T^2 \psi) \\ &= -\mu a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) - \mu a^2 [\mathbb{P}_{\ell \geq 1}, \sin^2 \theta](T^2 \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Instead, if we project equation (3.3) onto the  $\ell = 0$  mode, we obtain the same equation as (3.31) but replacing  $\ell \geq 1$  by  $\ell = 0$  everywhere. Since  $\Phi\psi_{\ell=0} = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\psi_{\ell=0}) = 0$ , one can further simplify its equation to

$$\begin{aligned} & 4(r^2 + a^2)\partial_u \partial_{\underline{u}}(\psi_{\ell=0}) + 2\mu r(\partial_u(\psi_{\ell=0}) + \partial_{\underline{u}}(\psi_{\ell=0})) + \mu a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2(\psi_{\ell=0}) \\ &= -\mu a^2 [\mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}, \sin^2 \theta](T^2 \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Under the assumed estimates (1.7) in Theorem 1.1, we have for any  $\underline{u}_1 \geq 1$  that*

$$\int_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap \{r_b \leq r < r_+\}} (-\mu)^{-1} |\partial_u T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2 du \lesssim \underline{u}_1^{-8-2j}, \quad (3.33)$$

and, for any  $\underline{w}_1 \geq 1$  and  $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0$ ,

$$\iint_{\{\underline{w}=\underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{E}[T^j(\mathbf{C}^{k_2} \Phi^{k_1} \psi)_{\ell \geq 1}] (-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{-8-2j-2\delta}, \quad (3.34)$$

where  $\delta$  is given in (1.5).

*Proof.* The proof is the same as the one of Lemma 3.2 by taking the multiplier  $X(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) := f(r)\partial_u(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) + g(r)e_4(\psi_{\ell \geq 1})$  with the same choice of functions  $f(r)$  and  $g(r)$  and integrating over  $\{(u, \underline{u}) \times \mathbb{S}^2(u, \underline{u}) | \underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\}$ . For the same reason, we only consider  $j = 0$  case. Moreover, since both the Carter tensor  $\mathbf{C}$  and the vector field  $\Phi$  commute with the wave operator, it suffices to consider  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ . To complete the proof, it suffices to control the error term arising from the last term of equation (3.31) by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mu a^2 [\mathbb{P}_{\ell \geq 1}, \sin^2 \theta](T^2 \psi) \times X(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du d\underline{u} \right| \\ & \lesssim \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \left( \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[\psi_{\ell \geq 1}] + \varepsilon^{-1} |a^2 [\mathbb{P}_{\ell \geq 1}, \sin^2 \theta](T^2 \psi)|^2 \right) (-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du d\underline{u} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{E}[\psi_{\ell \geq 1}] (-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du d\underline{u} + \varepsilon^{-1} \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{E}[T\psi] (-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du d\underline{u} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{E}[\psi_{\ell \geq 1}] (-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du d\underline{u} + \varepsilon^{-1} \left( \underline{w}_1^{-10} + \int_{\underline{w}_1}^{\underline{w}_2} \underline{w}^{-10} d\underline{w} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where we have used the estimate (3.8) in the last step. By taking  $\varepsilon$  small enough such that the first term in the last line is absorbed, the desired estimate (3.34) is proved.

For the mode  $\psi_{\ell=0}$ , the proof is the same but integrating in the region  $\{(u, \underline{u}) \times \mathbb{S}^2(u, \underline{u}) | \underline{u}_1 \leq \underline{u} \leq \underline{u}_2\}$ , and we omit it.  $\square$

**3.3. Precise late-time asymptotics in region I.** We now prove the estimates (3.1) of Theorem 1.1 under the assumed estimates (1.7).

Prior to the proof, a lemma on Sobolev-imbedding estimates is necessary.

**Lemma 3.6.** *We have*<sup>12</sup>

$$|\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2)}^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (\mathbb{E}_{deg}[(\mathbf{C}^{\leq 1}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}] + \mathbb{E}_{deg}[T\psi]) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}, \quad (3.36a)$$

$$|\mu e_3 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2)}^2 + |e_4 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2)}^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (\mathbb{E}_{deg}[(\mathbf{C}^{\leq 1}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}] + \mathbb{E}_{deg}[T^2\psi]) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}, \quad (3.36b)$$

$$|e_1 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2)}^2 + |e_2 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2)}^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (\mathbb{E}_{deg}[T^{\leq 1}(\mathbf{C}^{\leq 1}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}] + \mathbb{E}_{deg}[T^{\leq 1}T\psi]) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}, \quad (3.36c)$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{deg}[\psi] = |e_1(\psi)|^2 + |e_2(\psi)|^2 + |\mu e_3 \psi|^2 + |e_4 \psi|^2$ .

*Proof.* Recall the definition  $\mathbf{C} = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} + a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2$ . Hence we have

$$(\mathbf{C}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1} = (\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi + a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2\psi)_{\ell \geq 1} = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) + (a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}, \quad (3.37)$$

where we have used  $(\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1} = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\psi_{\ell=0} + \psi_{\ell \geq 1}) = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\psi_{\ell \geq 1})$ . Then, by a standard Sobolev-imbedding estimate on sphere, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2)}^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (|\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (|(\mathbf{C}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |(a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (|(\mathbf{C}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2\psi|^2 + |\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (|\nabla(\mathbf{C}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |a|^2 |a \sin \theta T^2\psi|^2 + |\nabla\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} \left( |\nabla(\mathbf{C}^{\leq 1}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |e_2 T\psi|^2 + \left| \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \Phi T\psi \right|^2 \right) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

where we have used the Poincaré inequality in the second line for  $(\mathbf{C}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}$  and  $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  which are not supported on  $\ell = 0$  mode. The estimate (3.36a) follows from the above inequality by substituting  $|\nabla\psi|^2 = |\partial_\theta\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}|\Phi\psi|^2$  and  $\frac{a^2}{r^2+a^2}\Phi\psi = \sin\theta e_2(\psi) - a \sin^2\theta(e_4\psi + \mu e_3\psi)$  into the last line.

By  $[\mu e_3, \mathbf{C}] = [e_4, \mathbf{C}] = 0$ , one can easily derive

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu e_3 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2)}^2 + |e_4 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2)}^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (|\mu e_3(\mathbf{C}^{\leq 1}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |\mu e_3 T^2\psi|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (|e_4(\mathbf{C}^{\leq 1}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |e_4 T^2\psi|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

and this implies (3.36b).

In the end, note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla\psi|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2)}^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (|\nabla(\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi)|^2 + |\nabla\psi|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (|\nabla(\mathbf{C}\psi)|^2 + |\nabla(\sin^2 \theta T^2\psi)|^2 + |\nabla\psi|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u,\underline{u}}^2} (|\nabla(\mathbf{C}^{\leq 1}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |\nabla^{\leq 1}T^2\psi|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

This together with (3.36a) and  $|e_1 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |e_2 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 \lesssim |\nabla\psi|^2 + |T\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2$  yields (3.36c).  $\square$

<sup>12</sup>For a functional  $G : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X, Y$  are function spaces, we use the notation  $G(P^{\leq k}u)$  to denote the sum  $\sum_{j=0}^k G(P^j u)$ , where  $u \in X$  and  $P$  is an operator from  $X \rightarrow X$ .



*Proof of the estimates (3.1) in Theorem 3.1.* We divide the proof into three steps.

*Step 1: Computing the asymptotics of  $T^j\psi$ .* By (3.33), we have for the  $\ell = 0$  mode that

$$\begin{aligned} |T^j\psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) - T^j\psi_{\ell=0}(-\infty, \underline{u})| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^u \partial_u T^j\psi_{\ell=0}(u', \underline{u}) du' \right| \\ &\leq \left( \int_{-\infty}^u -\mu(u', \underline{u}) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^u (-\mu)^{-1} |\partial_u T^j\psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u', \underline{u}) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (r_+ - r(u, \underline{u}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^u (-\mu)^{-1} |\partial_u T^j\psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u', \underline{u}) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim (-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{u}^{-4-j}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

By the assumed estimates (1.7a), one achieves

$$|T^j\psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) - c_0 T^j(\underline{u}^{-3})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon}. \quad (3.42)$$

For  $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$ , we integrate

$$\partial_u (T^j\psi_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{w}_1 + r - r_+, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta)) = (\partial_u T^j\psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \partial_{\underline{u}} T^j\psi_{\ell \geq 1})(u, \underline{w}_1 + r - r_+, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) \quad (3.43)$$

along  $\underline{w} = \underline{w}_1$  from  $u = -\infty$  and claim that  $|T^j\psi_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{u})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\delta}$  in  $\mathbf{I}$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^u (\partial_u T^j\psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \partial_{\underline{u}} T^j\psi_{\ell \geq 1})(u', \underline{w}_1 + r - r_+, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) du' \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{-\infty}^u -\mu(u', \underline{w}_1 + r - r_+) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{-\infty}^u [(-\mu)^{-1} |\partial_u T^j\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + (-\mu) |\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2](u', \underline{w}_1 + r - r_+, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim (-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \iint_{\{\underline{w}=\underline{w}_1\} \cap \{u' \leq u\}} (\mathbb{E}[T^{\leq 1} T^j(\mathbf{C}^{\leq 1}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}] + \mathbb{E}[T^{\leq 1} T^{j+1}\psi]) (-\mu) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim (-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{w}_1^{-4-j-\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

where we have used  $\partial_{\underline{u}} = e_4 - \frac{a}{q^2}[\sin\theta e_2 - a \sin^2\theta(e_4 + \mu e_3)]$  and the Sobolev imbedding estimate (3.36) to the second inequality, and (3.34) and (3.7) to the last step. The claim then follows from the assumed estimate (1.5) on the event horizon. Meanwhile, since  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\Phi$  commute with the wave operator, we conclude for  $k_1 \geq 0$ ,  $k_2 \geq 0$ , and  $k_1 + k_2 \leq 1$ ,

$$|T^j \Phi^{k_1}(\mathbf{C}^{k_2}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta)| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\delta}, \quad (3.45)$$

which together with  $\Phi\psi = \Phi\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  implies

$$|T^j \Phi\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta)| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\delta} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I}. \quad (3.46)$$

In summary, the estimates (3.45), (3.41) and the assumed estimate (1.7a) together yield

$$|T^j\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - c_0 T^j(\underline{u}^{-3})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I}. \quad (3.47)$$

Moreover, we have  $|\mathbf{C}\psi| \leq |(a^2 \sin^2\theta T^2\psi)_{\ell=0}| + |(\mathbf{C}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1}| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\delta}$  in  $\mathbf{I}$ , since  $|(a^2 \sin^2\theta T^2\psi)_{\ell=0}| \lesssim a^2 |T^2\psi|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2)} \lesssim \underline{u}^{-5}$ .

*Step 2: Computing the asymptotics of  $\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi$ .* We recast the wave equation (3.32) satisfied by  $\psi_{\ell=0}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_u \left( (r^2 + a^2) \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell=0} - \frac{1}{2} (r^2 + a^2) T \psi_{\ell=0} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (r^2 + a^2) \partial_u T \psi_{\ell=0} - \frac{1}{4} a^2 \mu \mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\sin^2\theta T^2\psi) \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

and integrate this equation along  $\underline{u} = \text{constant}$  from  $u = -\infty$ . Note that on  $\mathcal{H}_+$ ,

$$(r^2 + a^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell=0} - \frac{1}{2}(r^2 + a^2)T\psi_{\ell=0} = \frac{1}{2}(r_+^2 + a^2)T\psi_{\ell=0} = -\frac{3}{2}c_0(r_+^2 + a^2)\underline{u}^{-4} + O(\underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}). \quad (3.49)$$

Further, the integral of the first term on the right-hand side of (3.48) is bounded by  $C(-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}\underline{u}^{-5}$  by using (3.41) with  $j = 1$ , and the integral of the second term on the right-hand side of (3.48) is controlled as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{-\infty}^u \mu \mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\sin^2 \theta T^2 \psi)(u', \underline{u}_1) du' \right| \\ & \lesssim (-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^u -\mu |\mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\sin^2 \theta T^2 \psi)|^2(u', \underline{u}_1) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim (-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^u \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u', \underline{u}}^2} -\mu |\mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\sin^2 \theta T^2 \psi)|^2(u', \underline{u}_1, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim (-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap \{u' \leq u\}} -\mu |T^2 \psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim -\mu \underline{u}_1^{-5}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

where we have used the inequality (3.47) with  $j = 2$  to the last step. Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| (r^2 + a^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) - \frac{1}{2}(r^2 + a^2)T\psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) + \frac{3}{2}c_0(r_+^2 + a^2)\underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}. \quad (3.51)$$

Substituting (3.47) back into the above inequality, we arrive at

$$\left| \partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) + \frac{3}{2}c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}. \quad (3.52)$$

We next turn to deriving the pointwise decay estimates for  $e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$ . Instead, we integrate  $\partial_u(e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{w}_1 + r - r_+, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta))$  along  $\underline{w} = \underline{w}_1$  from  $u = -\infty$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{w}_1 + r(u, \underline{u}) - r_+, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1}(-\infty, \underline{w}_1, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^u \left( \partial_u e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \frac{1}{2}\mu \partial_{\underline{u}} e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1} \right)(u', \underline{w}_1 + r(u', \underline{u}) - r_+, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) du' \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^u \left( \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu \right) \partial_u e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \frac{1}{2}\mu e_4 T \psi_{\ell \geq 1} \right)(u', \underline{w}_1 + r(u', \underline{u}) - r_+, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) du' \\ & \lesssim (-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^u \left( (-\mu)^{-1} |\partial_u e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + (-\mu) |e_4 T \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 \right)(u', \underline{w}_1 + r(u', \underline{u}) - r_+, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim (-\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \underline{w}_1^{-4-\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

where we have used  $\partial_{\underline{u}} = T + \partial_u$  to the third line and the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} & 4(r^2 + a^2)\partial_u e_4(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) \\ & = -\mu \left( 2aT\Phi(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) + (\mathbf{C}\psi)_{\ell \geq 1} + 2r(\partial_u(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) + e_4(\psi_{\ell \geq 1})) + \frac{2ar}{r^2 + a^2}\Phi(\psi_{\ell \geq 1}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

the Sobolev imbedding (3.36) and the estimates (3.34) and (3.7) to the last line. Consequently, it holds by the assumed estimate (1.5) for  $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_+$  that

$$|e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1}| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\delta} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I}. \quad (3.55)$$

Combining this estimate with the estimate (3.52) for  $\psi_{\ell=0}$  and (3.46) which says  $|\Phi\psi_{\ell \geq 1}| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\delta}$ , we conclude

$$\left| \partial_{\underline{u}}\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) + \frac{3}{2}c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I}, \quad (3.56)$$

and this together with (3.46) gives

$$\left| e_4 \psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) + \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I}. \quad (3.57)$$

*Step 3: Computing the asymptotics of  $\partial_u \psi$ .* A straightforward application of the estimates (3.47) and (3.56) and the relation  $\partial_u = \partial_{\underline{u}} - T$  yields

$$\left| \partial_u \psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - \frac{3}{2} c_0 \mu \frac{r + r_+}{r - r_-} \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I}. \quad (3.58)$$

This however does not yield the estimate (3.1c) for  $e_3 \psi$  that we wish to show, thus we shall now improve the estimate (3.58) near the event horizon. Recall the expression  $\partial_r \mu = \frac{2}{r^2 + a^2} (r - M - r\mu)$ , thus one can choose  $r_{\text{red}} \in (r_-, r_+)$  such that  $\partial_r \mu(r) \geq c_0 > 0$  for  $r \in [r_{\text{red}}, r_+]$ . It then follows that in  $[r_{\text{red}}, r_+)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_4 (|\mu|^{-1} |\partial_u \psi|^2) &= \partial_{\underline{u}} ((-\mu)^{-1}) |\partial_u \psi|^2 + 2|\mu|^{-1} \partial_u \psi e_4 \partial_u \psi \\ &\leq c\mu^{-1} |\partial_u \psi|^2 + C|\mu|^{-1} |e_4 \partial_u \psi|^2 \\ &\leq c\mu^{-1} |\partial_u \psi|^2 + C(-\mu) \underline{u}^{-8} \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

for some constants  $c > 0$  and  $C > 0$ . Here, we have used  $\partial_{\underline{u}} ((-\mu)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \mu^{-1} \partial_r \mu$  and Cauchy-Schwarz in the second line, and  $[\partial_u, e_4] = -\frac{ar\mu}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \Phi$ , equation (3.3) and the pointwise decay estimates of  $\mathbf{C}\psi, T\Phi\psi, \Phi\psi, \partial_u \psi, e_4 \psi$  to control the term  $|\mu|^{-1} |e_4 \partial_u \psi|^2$  in the third line. Integrating (3.59) in  $\{\underline{u}_1 < \underline{u} < \underline{u}_2\} \cap \{r_1 < r < r_+\}$  for  $r_{\text{red}} \leq r_1 < r_+$  with respect to  $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du d\underline{u}$ , we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_2\} \cap [r_1, r_+)} |\mu|^{-1} |\partial_u \psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \iint_{\{\underline{u}_1 \leq \underline{u} \leq \underline{u}_2\} \cap [r_1, r_+)} |\mu|^{-1} |\partial_u \psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du d\underline{u} \\ &\lesssim \iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap [r_1, r_+)} |\mu|^{-1} |\partial_u \psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap [r_1, r_+)} (-\mu) \underline{u}^{-8} du d\underline{u} \\ &\lesssim \iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap [r_1, r_+)} |\mu|^{-1} |\partial_u \psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + (r_+ - r_1) \int_{\underline{u}_1}^{\underline{u}_2} \underline{u}^{-8} d\underline{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

where we have dropped the boundary term on  $\{r = r_1\}$  on the left side. Because of the well-posedness of the scalar wave equation, all the nondegenerate derivatives are finite on  $\{\underline{u} = \underline{u}_0\} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$  hypersurface for any finite  $\underline{u}_0 > 0$ , therefore, it holds  $\iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_0\} \cap [r_1, r_+)} |\mu|^{-1} |\partial_u T^j \psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \lesssim (r_+ - r_1)$

for any  $r_1 \in [r_{\text{red}}, r_+)$ . Then, we apply Lemma 3.4 with  $\alpha = 0$  to (3.60) and achieve

$$\iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap [r_1, r_+)} |\mu|^{-1} |\partial_u T^j \psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \lesssim (r_+ - r_1) \underline{u}_1^{-8-2j}. \quad (3.61)$$

In a similar manner, we get

$$\iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap [r_1, r_+)} |\mu|^{-1} (|\partial_u T^j \mathbf{C}^{\leq 1} \mathbf{C}\psi|^2 + |\partial_u T^j \mathbf{C}^{\leq 1} \Phi\psi|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \lesssim (r_+ - r_1) \underline{u}_1^{-8-2j-2\delta}, \quad (3.62)$$

by using  $|\mathbf{C}^{k_1} \Phi^{k_2} X T^j \psi| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\delta}$  with  $X \in \{\mathbf{C}, \Phi, \partial_u, \partial_{\underline{u}}\}$  and  $k_1 + k_2 = 1$ .

An application of (3.62) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &|T^j \psi(u, \underline{u}_1, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - T^j \psi(-\infty, \underline{u}_1, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta)| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^u \partial_u T^j \psi(u', \underline{u}_1, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) du' \right| \\ &\leq \left( \int_{-\infty}^u -\mu(u', \underline{u}_1) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^u (-\mu)^{-1} |\partial_u T^j \psi|^2(u', \underline{u}_1, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(r_+ - r(u, \underline{u}_1))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap [r(u, \underline{u}_1), r_+]} (-\mu)^{-1} (|\partial_u \mathbf{C}^{\leq 1} T^j \psi|^2 + |\partial_u T^{j+2} \psi|^2) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim (-\mu) \underline{u}_1^{-4-j},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

where we have used (3.39) to the second last line. Similarly, we also have

$$|\Phi\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - \Phi\psi(-\infty, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta)| \lesssim (-\mu) \underline{u}^{-4-\delta}. \tag{3.64}$$

Integrating the following formula

$$4\partial_u \left( (r^2 + a^2) \left( e_4 \psi - \frac{1}{2} T \psi \right) \right) = -2(r^2 + a^2) \partial_u T \psi - \mu \mathbf{C} \psi - 2\mu a T \Phi \psi \tag{3.65}$$

along  $\underline{u} = \text{constant}$ , we arrive at

$$\left| \left( e_4 \psi - \frac{1}{2} T \psi \right) (u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \cdot \left( e_4 \psi - \frac{1}{2} T \psi \right) (-\infty, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) \right| \lesssim (-\mu) \underline{u}^{-4-\delta}. \tag{3.66}$$

Substituting (3.64) into this inequality and using  $\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi = T \psi$  on  $\mathcal{H}_+$ , this yields

$$\left| (\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi + \partial_u \psi) (u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \cdot \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi (-\infty, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) \right| \lesssim (-\mu) \underline{u}^{-4-\delta}. \tag{3.67}$$

We use (3.63) again and achieve

$$\left| \partial_u \psi (u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \mu \frac{r + r_+}{r - r_-} \cdot \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi (-\infty, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) \right| \lesssim (-\mu) \underline{u}^{-4-\delta}, \tag{3.68}$$

which is, by (3.56) and  $e_3 = -\mu^{-1} \partial_u$ , simplified to

$$\left| e_3 \psi (u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) + \frac{3}{2} c_0 \frac{r + r_+}{r - r_-} \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}. \tag{3.69}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we provide a proof of our main Theorem 1.1. In Sections 4.1 and 4.2, we derive the energy decay estimates and prove the precise late-time asymptotic estimates in the region  $\mathbf{II} \doteq \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{\underline{u} \geq 1\} \cap \{r_- \leq r \leq r_b\}$  (close to the right Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}_+$ ) and the region  $\mathbf{II}' \doteq \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{u \geq 1\} \cap \{r_- \leq r \leq r_b\}$  (close to the left Cauchy horizon  $\mathcal{CH}'_+$ ), under the assumed estimates (1.7) on the event horizon. In the last Section 4.3, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We divide the region  $\mathbf{II}$  into two subregions by a hypersurface  $\Gamma$ , where the hypersurface  $\Gamma$  and the subregion  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$  in  $\mathbf{II}$  are defined by

$$\Gamma \doteq \mathbf{II} \cap \{2r^*(u, \underline{u}) = \underline{u}^\gamma\}, \quad \mathbf{II}_\Gamma \doteq \mathbf{II} \cap \{2r_b^* \leq 2r^*(u, \underline{u}) \leq \underline{u}^\gamma\}, \tag{4.1}$$

with  $0 < \gamma < 1$  being a suitably small constant to be fixed. The other subregion is  $\mathbf{II} \setminus \mathbf{II}_\Gamma$ . Similarly, we define a hypersurface  $\Gamma' \doteq \mathbf{II}' \cap \{2r^* = \underline{u}^\gamma\}$  and the subregions  $\mathbf{II}'_{\Gamma'}$  and  $\mathbf{II}' \setminus \mathbf{II}'_{\Gamma'}$  of region  $\mathbf{II}'$ . See Figure 7 for each divided subregions of region  ${}_r \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$ .

For the same reason as stated in Section 3, we consider only the region  $\mathbf{II}$  in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, and only the region  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{\underline{u} \geq 1\}$  in Section 4.3. **Recall from Section 2.4 that we use the coordinates  $(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)$  in this region  $\mathbf{II}$  and the derivatives in this coordinates are expressed in formula (2.14).**

**4.1. Energy decay estimates in region  $\mathbf{II}$ .** We first show the energy decay estimates for  $\psi$ .

Multiplying the scalar wave equation (2.17) by  $\mu$  on both sides, it becomes

$$4(r^2 + a^2) \hat{e}_3 \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi + \mu \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi + 2a\mu T \Phi \psi + \mu a^2 \sin^2 \theta T^2 \psi + 2\mu r (\hat{e}_3 \psi + \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi) + \frac{2a\mu}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi \psi = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where we have defined  $\hat{e}_3 \doteq -\mu e_3 = \partial_u - \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi$  for convenience.




FIGURE 7. Subregions **I**, **II**<sub>Γ</sub> and **II** \setminus **II**<sub>Γ</sub> in  $r\mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Under the assumed estimates (1.7) in Theorem 1.1, we have, for any  $\underline{w}_1 \geq 1$  such that  $(w_1, \underline{w}_1)$  lies in region **II**,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{w \leq w_1, \underline{w} = \underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{II}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} + \iint_{\{w = w_1, \underline{w} \geq \underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1)\}} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} \\ & + \iint_{\{w \leq w_1\} \cap \{r_- < r < r_b\}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{3}{2})}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} \lesssim (\max\{\underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1), 1\})^{-7-2j}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\iint_{\{\underline{w} = \underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{II}_\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{-8-2j+\gamma}, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1)$  is such that  $r(w_1, \underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1)) = r_b$  and the energies are

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(\alpha)}[\psi] = |\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi|^2 - \mu |\log(-\mu)|^\alpha (|\hat{e}_3 \psi|^2 + (e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2), \quad (4.5a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(\alpha)}[\psi] = |\log(-\mu)|^\alpha |\hat{e}_3 \psi|^2 - \mu (|\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi|^2 + (e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2), \quad (4.5b)$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(\alpha)}[\psi] = |\log(-\mu)|^\alpha |\hat{e}_3 \psi|^2 + |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi|^2 - \mu ((e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2). \quad (4.5c)$$

Moreover, we have for any  $\underline{w}_1 \geq 1$  that

$$\iint_{\{\underline{w} = \underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{II}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} + \iint_{\{r_- < r < r_b\}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{3}{2})}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} \lesssim 1, \quad (4.6)$$

*Proof.* Similar to the discussions in Section 3.1, we multiply equation (4.2) with  $X(\psi) \doteq f(r)\hat{e}_3\psi + g(r)\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi$ , integrate on  $S_{u, \underline{u}}$  and get

$$\partial_{\underline{u}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2} \mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \right) + \partial_u \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2} \mathfrak{F}_u[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} \right) + \int_{\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2} \mathcal{B}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] = 2(r^2 + a^2)f(r)|\hat{e}_3\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu g(r)[(e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2] + a\mu \sin \theta e_2(\psi)X(\psi), \quad (4.8a)$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_u[\psi] = 2(r^2 + a^2)g(r)|\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu f(r)[(e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2] - a\mu \sin \theta e_2(\psi)X(\psi), \quad (4.8b)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}[\psi] = (2r\mu g(r) - 2\hat{e}_3((r^2 + a^2)g(r)))|\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi|^2 + (2r\mu f(r) - 2\partial_{\underline{u}}((r^2 + a^2)f(r)))|\hat{e}_3\psi|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{e}_3(\mu f(r)) + \partial_{\underline{u}}(\mu g(r))][(e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2] \\
& + 2\mu r(g(r) + f(r))\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi\hat{e}_3\psi + \frac{2ar\mu}{r^2+a^2}\Phi\psi(-f(r)\hat{e}_3\psi + g(r)\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi). \tag{4.8c}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $f(r) = (|\log(-\mu)|)^{-s}$  and  $g(r) = (r^2 + a^2)^p$  with  $p > 0, s > 0$  to be fixed, the coefficients in  $\mathcal{B}[\psi]$  can be estimated as follows:

$$r\mu g(r) - \hat{e}_3((r^2 + a^2)g(r)) = -\mu pr(r^2 + a^2)^p, \tag{4.9a}$$

$$r\mu f(r) - \partial_{\underline{u}}((r^2 + a^2)f(r)) = -s(r - M - r\mu)|\log(-\mu)|^{-s-1} \geq C_1 s |\log(-\mu)|^{-s-1}, \tag{4.9b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{e}_3(\mu f(r)) + \partial_{\underline{u}}(\mu g(r)) & = (r^2 + a^2)^{-1}(r - M - r\mu)\mu(1 + s|\log(-\mu)|^{-1})|\log(-\mu)|^{-s} \\
& \quad + \mu[(r - M) + (p - 1)r\mu](r^2 + a^2)^{p-1} \\
& \geq -C_1(r^2 + a^2)^{-1}\mu|\log(-\mu)|^{-s} - C_1\mu(r^2 + a^2)^{p-1}, \tag{4.9c}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $r \in (r_-, r_1]$ , where  $r_1$  is given to satisfy  $\min_{r \in [r_-, r_1]} \{-r + M + (1 - p)r\mu\} = C_1 > 0$  and we have used  $\partial_r \mu = 2(r^2 + a^2)^{-1}(r - M - r\mu)$ ,  $\partial_{\underline{u}}\mu = \frac{1}{2}\mu\partial_r\mu$  and  $\partial_u\mu = \frac{1}{2}\mu\partial_r\mu$ .

For any fixed  $s > 0$ , we deduce that there are constants  $p > 0$  and  $r_b \in (r_-, r_1]$ , both of which depend on  $s$ , such that

$$\mathcal{B}[\psi] \gtrsim_{p,s,r_b} |\log(-\mu)|^{-s-1}|\hat{e}_3\psi|^2 + (-\mu)(|\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi|^2 + (e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2), \quad r \in (r_-, r_b]. \tag{4.10}$$

In fact, we apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the last line in (4.8c) and use  $\frac{q^2}{r^2+a^2}\Phi\psi = \sin\theta e_2(\psi) - a\sin^2\theta(\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi - \hat{e}_3\psi)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2\mu r(g(r) + f(r))\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi\hat{e}_3\psi & \geq \mu r(|\log(-\mu)|^{-s} + (r^2 + a^2)^p) \left( \epsilon_1 |\hat{e}_3\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} |\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi|^2 \right) \\
& = \mu r \left( |\log(-\mu)| + (r^2 + a^2)^p |\log(-\mu)|^{s+1} \right) \epsilon_1 (|\log(-\mu)|)^{-s-1} |\hat{e}_3\psi|^2 \\
& \quad + \left( |\log(-\mu)|^{-s} (r^2 + a^2)^{-p} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \mu r (r^2 + a^2)^p |\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi|^2 \\
& \geq -2\epsilon_1 |\log(-\mu)|^{-s-1} |\hat{e}_3\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{\epsilon_1} \mu r (r^2 + a^2)^p |\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi|^2, \tag{4.11a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{2ar\mu f(r)}{r^2+a^2}\Phi\psi\hat{e}_3\psi & = -\frac{2r\mu f(r)}{q^2} \left( a^2 \sin^2\theta |\hat{e}_3\psi|^2 + a \sin\theta e_2(\psi) \hat{e}_3\psi - a^2 \sin^2\theta \partial_{\underline{u}}\psi \hat{e}_3\psi \right) \\
& \geq \frac{2r\mu |\log(-\mu)|^{-s}}{q^2} (\epsilon_2^{-1} |\hat{e}_3\psi|^2 + (a^2 |\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi|^2 + \epsilon_2 (e_2(\psi))^2)) \\
& \geq \frac{2\mu |\log(-\mu)|^{-s}}{\epsilon_2 r} |\hat{e}_3\psi|^2 + \mu (a^2 r (r^2 + a^2)^p |\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi|^2 + \epsilon_2 (r^2 + a^2)^{p-1} (e_2(\psi))^2), \tag{4.11b}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2ar\mu g(r)}{r^2+a^2}\Phi\psi\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi & \geq \frac{a^2 r \mu g(r)}{q^2} \frac{2}{\epsilon_3} |\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi|^2 + \frac{r(r^2 + a^2)\epsilon_3}{q^2} \mu (r^2 + a^2)^{p-1} (e_2(\psi))^2 \\
& \quad - \epsilon_3 |\log(-\mu)|^{-s-1} |\hat{e}_3\psi|^2, \tag{4.11c}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $r \in (r_-, r_2]$ , where  $r_2$  is chosen depending on  $p, s$  such that all the functions  $-\mu r |\log(-\mu)|$ ,  $-\mu r (r^2 + a^2)^p |\log(-\mu)|^{s+1}$ ,  $(r^2 + a^2)^{-p} |\log(-\mu)|^{-s}$ ,  $r^{-2} (r^2 + a^2)^{-p} |\log(-\mu)|^{-s}$ ,  $-r^{-1} \mu |\log(-\mu)|^{s+1}$  and  $r^{-1} (r^2 + a^2)^{-p+1} |\log(-\mu)|^{-s}$  are bounded by 1 in  $(r_-, r_2]$ . We choose  $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}C_1 s$ ,  $\epsilon_2 = \frac{C_1}{4}$  and  $\epsilon_3 = \min\{\frac{C_1 r^2}{8|a|r_+(r_+^2+a^2)}, \frac{C_1 s}{2|a|}\}$ , then the terms in (4.11) are absorbed by the terms in (4.9) if taking  $p$  sufficiently large and choosing  $r_b \in (r_-, \min\{r_1, r_2\}]$  such that  $\frac{-2\mu |\log(-\mu)|}{\epsilon_2 r} \leq \frac{1}{4}C_1 s$  for  $r \in (r_-, r_b]$ . This yields (4.10).

For  $(w_1, \underline{w}_1) \in \mathbf{II}$ , integrating (4.7) in  $\{(u, \underline{u}) | w \leq w_1, \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_1\} \cap \{r_- < r < r_b\}$  with respect to  $dud\underline{u}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{w_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1) \leq w \leq w_1, \underline{w} = \underline{w}_1\}} \mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \iint_{\{w = w_1, \underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1) \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_1\}} \mathbb{T}_w[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} \\ & + \iint_{\{w \leq w_1, \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_1\} \cap \{r_- < r < r_b\}} \mathcal{B}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} dud\underline{u} = \iint_{\{r = r_b\} \cap \{\underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1) \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_1\}} \mathbb{T}_r[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where  $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu)\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] - \frac{1}{2}\mu\mathfrak{F}_u[\psi]$ ,  $\mathbb{T}_r[\psi] = -\frac{1}{2}\mu(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] + \mathfrak{F}_u[\psi])$  and  $\mathbb{T}_w[\psi] = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu)\mathfrak{F}_u[\psi] - \frac{1}{2}\mu\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi]$ . Now we consider the boundary terms. Substituting the following inequalities

$$|a\mu \sin \theta e_2(\psi) f(r) \hat{e}_3 \psi| \leq a^2 f(r) |\hat{e}_3 \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \mu^2 f(r) (e_2(\psi))^2 \quad (4.13a)$$

$$|a\mu \sin \theta e_2(\psi) g(r) \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi| \leq -\frac{3}{4} a^2 \mu g(r) |\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi|^2 - \frac{1}{3} \mu g(r) (e_2(\psi))^2 \quad (4.13b)$$

into  $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi]$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] \sim |\log(-\mu)|^{-s} |\hat{e}_3 \psi|^2 - \mu (|\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi|^2 + (e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2). \quad (4.14)$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\mathbb{T}_w[\psi] \sim |\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi|^2 - \mu |\log(-\mu)|^{-s} (|\hat{e}_3 \psi|^2 + (e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2). \quad (4.15)$$

We fix  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  in the above equality (4.12). Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{w \leq w_1, \underline{w} = \underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{II}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \iint_{\{w = w_1, \underline{w} \geq \underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1)\}} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} \\ & + \iint_{\{w \leq w_1\} \cap \{r_- < r < r_b\}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{3}{2})}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} dud\underline{u} \lesssim (\max\{\underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1), 1\})^{-7-2j}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

in which we have used (3.8) for  $\underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1) \geq 1$  or Remark 3.3 for  $\underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1) < 1$  to control the boundary terms on  $r = r_b$ . To show (4.3), it suffices to improve the spacetime estimate of  $\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi$ . In fact, one can take  $f(r) = |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $g(r) = |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  in (4.12) and run again the above argument, then (4.3) follows. Moreover, taking  $w_1 \rightarrow +\infty$  (and thus  $\underline{w}_{r_b}(w_1) \rightarrow -\infty$ ) in (4.3) and by Remark 3.3 on the boundedness of the energy on  $r = r_b$ , we get the estimate (4.6).

To prove (4.4), we integrate (4.7) in  $\{(u, \underline{u}) | \underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{II}_\Gamma$  with respect to  $dud\underline{u}$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{\underline{w} = \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{II}_\Gamma} \mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \Gamma} \mathbb{T}_\Gamma[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u} + \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{II}_\Gamma} \mathcal{B}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} dud\underline{u} \\ & = \iint_{\{\underline{w} = \underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{II}_\Gamma} \mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \iint_{\{r = r_b\} \cap \{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\}} \mathbb{T}_r[\psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where  $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}}[\psi] = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu)\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] - \frac{1}{2}\mu\mathfrak{F}_u[\psi]$ ,  $\mathbb{T}_r[\psi] = -\frac{1}{2}\mu(\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi] + \mathfrak{F}_u[\psi])$  and  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma[\psi] = \mathfrak{F}_u[\psi] + (1 - \gamma \underline{u}^{\gamma-1})\mathfrak{F}_{\underline{u}}[\psi]$ . We note that  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma[\psi] \gtrsim f(r)|\hat{e}_3 \psi|^2 + g(r)|\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi|^2 - \mu(g(r) + f(r))[(e_1(\psi))^2 + (e_2(\psi))^2]$  for  $\gamma > 0$  sufficiently small.

The same argument as in proving (4.3) applies and, using (3.8) to control the boundary term on  $\{r = r_b\}$ , we achieve, for any  $s > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{\underline{w} = \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{II}_\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-s)}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \iint_{\{\underline{w}_1 \leq \underline{w} \leq \underline{w}_2\} \cap \mathbf{II}_\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-s-1)}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} dud\underline{u} \\ & \lesssim \iint_{\{\underline{w} = \underline{w}_1\} \cap \mathbf{II}_\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-s)}[T^j \psi] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du + \underline{w}_1^{-8-2j} + \int_{\underline{w}_1}^{\underline{w}_2} \underline{w}^{-8-2j} d\underline{w}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

By definition, one finds

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-s-1)}[T^j\psi] \geq |\log(-\mu)|^{-1}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-s)}[T^j\psi] \sim (r^*)^{-1}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-s)}[T^j\psi] \geq \underline{u}^{-\gamma}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-s)}[T^j\psi] \sim \underline{u}^{-\gamma}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-s)}[T^j\psi] \quad (4.19)$$

in  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$ . Hence, by applying Lemma 3.4 with  $\alpha = \gamma$  to (4.18) with  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , we obtain (4.4).  $\square$

Next, we derive the energy decay estimates for both  $\psi_{\ell=0}$  and  $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  in region  $\mathbf{II}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Under the assumed estimates (1.7) in Theorem 1.1, we have for any  $(u_1, \underline{u}_1) \in \mathbf{II}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u_1)}^{+\infty} |\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u_1, \underline{u}) d\underline{u} + \int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{u}_1)}^{u_1} |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_u T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u, \underline{u}_1) du \\ & + \int_{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u_1)}^{+\infty} \int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{u})}^{u_1} \left( |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_u T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2 + |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{3}{2}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2 \right)(u, \underline{u}) du d\underline{u} \\ & \lesssim (\max\{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u_1), 1\})^{-7-2j}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and, for any  $\underline{u}_1 \geq 1$ ,  $k_1 \geq 0$  and  $k_2 \geq 0$ ,

$$\iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap \mathbf{II}_\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}[T^j \Phi^{k_1}(\mathbf{C}^{k_2} \psi)_{\ell \geq 1}] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \lesssim \underline{u}_1^{-8-2j-2\delta+\gamma}, \quad (4.21a)$$

$$\iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap \mathbf{II}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})}[T^j \Phi^{k_1}(\mathbf{C}^{k_2} \psi)_{\ell \geq 1}] d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \lesssim 1. \quad (4.21b)$$

*Proof.* The proof is the same as the one for Lemma 3.5, and we omit it.  $\square$

Finally, we derive the boundedness estimate for  $T^j\psi$  by some Hardy-type argument.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Under the assumed estimates (1.7) in Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{u}_1)}^{+\infty} |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{5}{2}} |T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u, \underline{u}_1) du \lesssim 1, \quad (4.22a)$$

$$\iint_{\{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1\} \cap \mathbf{II}} |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{5}{2}} |T^j \psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \lesssim 1. \quad (4.22b)$$

*Proof.* A direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{u}} (|\log(-\mu)|^{-p} (T^j \psi_{\ell=0})^2) &= \frac{1}{2} p \partial_r \mu |\log(-\mu)|^{-p-1} |T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2 + 2 |\log(-\mu)|^{-p} T^j \psi_{\ell=0} \partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} p \partial_r \mu |\log(-\mu)|^{-p-1} |T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2 + \delta |\log(-\mu)|^{-p-1} |T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\delta} |\log(-\mu)|^{-p+1} |\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

We integrate the above inequality in  $\{(u, \underline{u}) | u \leq u_1, \underline{u} \leq \underline{u}_1\} \cap \{r_- < r < r_b\}$ , take  $\delta$  sufficiently small and obtain for  $p > 1$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\underline{u}_{r_b}(\underline{u}_1)}^{u_1} |\log(-\mu)|^{-p} |T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u, \underline{u}_1) du + \int_{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u_1)}^{\underline{u}_1} \int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{u})}^{u_1} |\log(-\mu)|^{-p-1} |T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u, \underline{u}) du d\underline{u} \\ & \lesssim \int_{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u_1)}^{\underline{u}_1} \int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{u})}^{u_1} |\log(-\mu)|^{-p+1} |\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u, \underline{u}) du d\underline{u} + \int_{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u_1)}^{\underline{u}_1} |\log(-\mu)|^{-p} |T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2(2r_b^* - \underline{u}, \underline{u}) d\underline{u}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

By (4.20), the first term in the second line is bounded by  $(\max\{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u_1), 1\})^{-7-2j}$  for  $p = \frac{5}{2}$ . Then (4.22a) holds by the estimates on  $r = r_b$ .

The proof of (4.22b) is similar, so we omit it.  $\square$



**4.2. Precise late-time asymptotics in region II.** We derive the precise late-time asymptotics for the scalar field and its derivatives in the subregion  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$  of  $\mathbf{II}$  and in the entire  $\mathbf{II}$  in Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2, respectively.

4.2.1. *Estimates in  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$ . Step 1. Computing the asymptotics of  $T^j\psi$  in  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$ .* For any  $(u, \underline{u}) \in \mathbf{II}_\Gamma$  and  $\underline{u} \gg 1$ , we have

$$0 \leq \underline{u} - \underline{u}_{r_b}(u) \leq \underline{u}^\gamma - 2r_b^*, \quad 0 \leq u - u_{r_b}(\underline{u}) \leq \underline{u}^\gamma - 2r_b^*, \quad 1 + \frac{2r_b^*}{\underline{u}} - \underline{u}^{\gamma-1} \leq \frac{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u)}{\underline{u}} \leq 1. \quad (4.25)$$

In fact, the definition of  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$  implies  $2r_b^* = \underline{u}_{r_b}(u) + u \leq \underline{u}_{r_b}(u) - \underline{u} + \underline{u}^\gamma$  and  $2r_b^* = u_{r_b}(\underline{u}) + \underline{u} \leq u_{r_b}(\underline{u}) - u + \underline{u}^\gamma$ , then the above inequalities are straightforward.

Integrating  $\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0}$  along  $\underline{u} = \text{constant}$  from  $\{r = r_b\}$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} |T^j \psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) - T^j \psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}_{r_b}(u))| &= \left| \int_{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u)}^{\underline{u}} \partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}') d\underline{u}' \right| \\ &\lesssim (\underline{u} - \underline{u}_{r_b}(u))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\underline{u}_{r_b}(u)}^{\underline{u}} |\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u, \underline{u}') d\underline{u}' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim (\underline{u}^\gamma - 2r_b^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\underline{u}_{r_b}(u))^{-\frac{7}{2}-j} \\ &\lesssim (\underline{u}_{r_b}(u))^{-\frac{7}{2}-j+\frac{1}{2}\gamma} \lesssim \underline{u}^{-\frac{7}{2}-j+\frac{1}{2}\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where we have used (4.20) in the third step. This estimate, the estimate (3.41), the second inequality in (4.25) and the assumption on event horizon together yield

$$|T^j \psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) - c_0 T^j(\underline{u}^{-3})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{for } (u, \underline{u}) \in \mathbf{II}_\Gamma. \quad (4.27)$$

In coordinates  $(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)$ , for any  $\underline{w}_1$  and  $(\phi_{\text{in}})_1$ , we define a curve  $\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}(u) : u \mapsto (u, \underline{u}(u), \phi_{\text{out}}(u), \theta(u))$  with parameter  $u$ , satisfying that  $\underline{u}(u) - r(u, \underline{u}(u)) + r_+ = \underline{w}_1$ ,  $\phi_{\text{out}}(u) + 2r_{\text{mod}}(u, \underline{u}(u)) = (\phi_{\text{in}})_1$  and  $\theta(u) = \text{const}$ .

For  $T^i \psi_{\ell \geq 1}$ , we integrate  $\partial_u (T^j \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}}) = (\hat{e}_3 T^j \psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \frac{1}{2} \mu \partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell \geq 1})|_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}}$  along  $\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}$  from  $\{r = r_b\}$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|T^j \psi_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{w}_1 + r, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1 - 2r_{\text{mod}}, \theta) - T^j \psi_{\ell \geq 1}(u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1), \underline{w}_1 + r, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1 - 2r_{\text{mod}}, \theta)| \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1)}^u |\log(-\mu)|^{\frac{1}{2}}(u', \underline{w}_1 + r) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1)}^u \left( |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|\hat{e}_3 T^j \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + \mu^2 |\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2) \right) \Big|_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}(u')} du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \underline{w}_1^{\frac{3}{4}\gamma} \left( \iint_{\underline{w}=\underline{w}_1, u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1) \leq u' \leq u} \left\{ |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|\hat{e}_3 T^{j+2} \psi|^2 + \mu^2 |\partial_{\underline{u}} T^{j+2} \psi|^2) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k \leq 1} \left( |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|\hat{e}_3 T^j(\mathbf{C}^k \psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + \mu^2 |\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j(\mathbf{C}^k \psi)_{\ell \geq 1}|^2) \right) \right\} d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \underline{w}_1^{\frac{3}{4}\gamma} \left( \iint_{\underline{w}=\underline{w}_1, u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1) \leq u' \leq u} \left\{ \sum_{k \leq 1} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\text{deg}}^{(-\frac{1}{2})} [T^j(\mathbf{C}^k \psi)_{\ell \geq 1}] + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\text{deg}}^{(-\frac{1}{2})} [T^{j+2} \psi] \right\} d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\underline{u}' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \underline{w}_1^{\frac{3}{4}\gamma} \underline{w}_1^{-4-j-\delta+\frac{1}{2}\gamma} \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{-4-j-\delta+\frac{5}{4}\gamma} \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{-4-j-\epsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

for  $\frac{5}{4}\gamma \leq \delta - \epsilon$ , where we have used

$$\int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1)}^u |\log(-\mu)|^{\frac{1}{2}}(u', \underline{w}_1 + r) du' \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma} (u - u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1)) \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{\frac{3}{4}\gamma} \quad (4.29)$$

and (3.36) to the second inequality, and (4.4) and (4.21a) to the third inequality. This together with (3.45) then gives

$$|T^j \Phi^{k_1}(\mathbf{C}^{k_2} \psi)_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II}_\Gamma. \quad (4.30)$$

In summary, in view of (4.27) and (4.30), we conclude

$$|T^j \psi - c_0 T^j(\underline{u}^{-3})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II}_\Gamma. \quad (4.31)$$

*Step 2. Computing the asymptotics of  $\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi$  in  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$ .* Same to (3.48), we rewrite the equation of  $\psi_{\ell=0}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\underline{u}} \left( (r^2 + a^2) \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell=0} + \frac{1}{2} (r^2 + a^2) T \psi_{\ell=0} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (r^2 + a^2) \partial_{\underline{u}} T \psi_{\ell=0} - \frac{1}{4} a^2 \mu \mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\sin^2 \theta T^2 \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Then  $(r^2 + a^2) \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell=0} + \frac{1}{2} (r^2 + a^2) T \psi_{\ell=0}$  can be calculated through integrating the above equation from  $\{r = r_b\}$ . The integral of  $\partial_{\underline{u}} T \psi_{\ell=0}$  is bounded by  $\underline{u}^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma} \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}$  for  $\gamma$  small enough, and the term  $\mu \mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\sin^2 \theta T^2 \psi)$  is bounded by  $\underline{u}^{-5}$  from the pointwise decay estimate (4.31), hence the absolute value of the integral of the right-hand side of (4.32) is bounded by  $\underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}$ . Using the precise asymptotics estimates (3.42) for  $T \psi_{\ell=0}$  on  $r = r_b$  and (4.27) for  $T \psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  in  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$ , we arrive at

$$\left| \partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) - \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-4}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II}_\Gamma, \quad (4.33)$$

where the general  $j \geq 0$  case follows since  $T$  commutes with the wave operator. Here, we have also used on  $r = r_b$  that  $\partial_{\underline{u}} T^j \psi_{\ell=0} = (\partial_{\underline{u}} - T) T^j(\psi_{\ell=0}) = \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_b^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-4}) + O(\underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon})$  from (3.42) and (3.52).

Substituting (4.31) with  $j = 1$  to the above inequality, we get

$$\left| T^j (\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u})) + \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-4}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II}_\Gamma. \quad (4.34)$$

Similarly, we integrate  $\partial_{\underline{u}} (\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}}) = (\hat{e}_3 \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \frac{1}{2} \mu \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 \psi_{\ell \geq 1})|_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}}$  along  $\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}$  in  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$  from  $\{r = r_b\}$ . Recall the wave equation satisfied by  $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$ , i.e.,

$$4(r^2 + a^2) \hat{e}_3 \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \mu (\mathbf{C} \psi)_{\ell \geq 1} + 2a\mu T \Phi \psi_{\ell \geq 1} + 2\mu r (\hat{e}_3 \psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1}) + \frac{2ar\mu}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi \psi_{\ell \geq 1} = 0. \quad (4.35)$$

This equation can be used to estimate the integral with respect to  $\hat{e}_3 \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1)}^u \hat{e}_3 \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1} |_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}}(u') du' \right| \\ & \lesssim \left( \int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1)}^u -\mu du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1)}^u -\mu (|\mathbf{C} \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |T^{\leq 1} \Phi \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |\hat{e}_3 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2 + |\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|^2) |_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}} du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim (r_b - r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \iint_{\substack{\underline{w} = \underline{w}_1, u_{r_b}(\underline{w}_1) \leq u' \leq u \\ j \leq 1}} (\sum_{j \leq 1} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})} [T^j(\mathbf{C}^{\leq 2} \psi)_{\ell \geq 1}] + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{deg}^{(-\frac{1}{2})} [\mathbf{C}^{\leq 1} T \psi]) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{-4-\delta+\frac{1}{2}\gamma} \lesssim \underline{w}_1^{-4-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II}_\Gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

for  $\frac{1}{2}\gamma \leq \delta - \epsilon$ , where we have used (3.36) for the second step and (4.4) and (4.21a) for the third step. On the other hand,  $\mu \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  can be expressed as  $\mu (\hat{e}_3 \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2} \partial_{\underline{u}} \Phi \psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \partial_{\underline{u}} T \psi_{\ell \geq 1})$ , hence one finds the integral of  $\mu \partial_{\underline{u}}^2 \psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  along  $\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}$  is bounded by  $\underline{w}_1^{-4-\epsilon}$ , which implies that the integral of  $\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}}$  along  $\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}$  in  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$  is bounded by  $\underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}$ . Further, since the absolute value of  $\partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  on  $\{r = r_b\}$  is bounded by  $\underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}$  from the estimate (3.55), we achieve

$|\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta)| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}$  in  $\mathbf{II}_\Gamma$ . Commuting with  $T$ , we generalize it to the following estimate for any  $j \geq 0$ :

$$|\partial_{\underline{u}}T^j\psi_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta)| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon} \text{ in } \mathbf{II}_\Gamma. \quad (4.37)$$

In the end, combining (4.34) and (4.37) together, and due to  $e_4 = \partial_{\underline{u}}$ , we deduce

$$\left| T^j(e_4\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)) + \frac{3}{2}c_0 \left(1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2}\right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-4}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon} \text{ in } \mathbf{II}_\Gamma. \quad (4.38)$$

Again, the general  $j \geq 0$  case is manifest since  $T$  commutes with the wave operator. Meanwhile, by  $\hat{e}_3 = \partial_{\underline{u}} - T - \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2}\Phi$ , and by  $|\Phi\psi| = |\Phi(\psi_{\ell \geq 1})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon}$  which follows from (4.30), one finds

$$\left| T^j(\hat{e}_3\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)) - \frac{3}{2}c_0 \left(1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2}\right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-4}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon} \text{ in } \mathbf{II}_\Gamma. \quad (4.39)$$

4.2.2. *Estimates in region II. Step 1. Computing the asymptotics of  $\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi$ .* Integrating equation

$$\partial_u((r^2 + a^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell=0}) = \frac{1}{2}\mu r T\psi_{\ell=0} - \frac{1}{4}a^2\mu \mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\sin^2\theta T^2\psi) \quad (4.40)$$

along  $\underline{u} = \underline{u}_1$  from  $\Gamma$ , we can show

$$|(r^2 + a^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}_1) - (r^2 + a^2)\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell=0}(u_\Gamma(\underline{u}_1), \underline{u}_1)| \lesssim e^{-(1-\epsilon')|\kappa_-|\underline{u}_1^\gamma}. \quad (4.41)$$

In fact, the error terms are controlled as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{u_\Gamma(\underline{u})}^u \mu r T\psi_{\ell=0}(u', \underline{u}) du' \right| \\ & \lesssim \left( \int_{u_\Gamma(\underline{u})}^u \mu^2 |\log(-\mu)|^{\frac{5}{2}}(u', \underline{u}) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{u_\Gamma(\underline{u})}^u |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{5}{2}} |T\psi_{\ell=0}|^2(u', \underline{u}) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim e^{-(1-\epsilon')|\kappa_-|\underline{u}^\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.42a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{u_\Gamma(\underline{u})}^u \mu \mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\sin^2\theta T^2\psi)(u', \underline{u}) du' \right| \\ & \lesssim \left( \int_{u_\Gamma(\underline{u})}^u \mu^2 |\log(-\mu)|^{\frac{5}{2}}(u', \underline{u}) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left( \int_{u_\Gamma(\underline{u})}^u |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{5}{2}} |\mathbb{P}_{\ell=0}(\sin^2\theta T^2\psi)|^2(u', \underline{u}) du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim e^{-(1-\epsilon')|\kappa_-|\underline{u}^\gamma} \left( \iint_{\substack{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1, u_\Gamma(\underline{u}_1) \leq u \leq u_1}} |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{5}{2}} |\sin^2\theta T^2\psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim e^{-(1-\epsilon')|\kappa_-|\underline{u}^\gamma} \left( \iint_{\substack{\underline{u}=\underline{u}_1, u_\Gamma(\underline{u}_1) \leq u \leq u_1}} |\log(-\mu)|^{-\frac{5}{2}} |T^2\psi|^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim e^{-(1-\epsilon')|\kappa_-|\underline{u}^\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.42b)$$

where we have used (4.22a), (4.22b) and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u_\Gamma(\underline{u})}^u \mu^2 |\log(-\mu)|^{\frac{5}{2}}(u', \underline{u}) du' & \lesssim \int_r^{r(u_\Gamma(\underline{u}), \underline{u})} (r - r_-) |\log(r - r_-)|^{\frac{5}{2}} dr \\ & \lesssim |\mu(u_\Gamma(\underline{u}), \underline{u})|^{2-2\epsilon'} \lesssim e^{-(2-2\epsilon')|\kappa_-|\underline{u}^\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

for any  $1 > 2\epsilon' > 0$ .

Substituting (4.34) to (4.41), and using

$$|r^2(u, \underline{u}) - r^2(u_\gamma(\underline{u}), \underline{u})\underline{u}^{-4} \lesssim -\mu(u_\gamma(\underline{u}), \underline{u})\underline{u}^{-4} \lesssim e^{-|\kappa_-|\underline{u}^\gamma}\underline{u}^{-4}, \quad (4.44)$$

we obtain

$$\left| \partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell=0}(u, \underline{u}) + \frac{3}{2}c_0 \left(1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2}\right) \underline{u}^{-4} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-\epsilon} \text{ in } \mathbf{II} \setminus \mathbf{II}_\Gamma. \quad (4.45)$$

Similarly, for higher modes  $\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$ , we integrate  $\partial_u(\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell \geq 1}|_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}}) = (\hat{e}_3\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell \geq 1} + \frac{1}{2}\mu\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\psi_{\ell \geq 1})|_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}}$  along  $\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}$  from  $\Gamma$ . One can obtain from (4.35) that

$$\left| \int_{u_\Gamma(\underline{w}_1)}^u \hat{e}_3 \partial_{\underline{u}} \psi_{\ell \geq 1} |_{\gamma_{\underline{w}_1, (\phi_{\text{in}})_1}(u')} du' \right| \lesssim (r(u_\Gamma(\underline{w}_1), \underline{w}_1) - r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |\mu(u_\Gamma(\underline{w}_1), \underline{w}_1)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\kappa - |\underline{u}^\gamma|}, \quad (4.46)$$

which can be proved in a similar way as proving (4.36) by using the boundedness estimates (4.21b) and (4.3). Further, the integral of  $\mu\partial_{\underline{u}}^2\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  is bounded by  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\kappa - |\underline{u}^\gamma|}$ . Combined with the estimate (4.37) of  $\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi_{\ell \geq 1} = e_4\psi_{\ell \geq 1}$  on  $\Gamma$ , we achieve for any  $k \geq 0$  and  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$|\partial_{\underline{u}}\Phi^k T^j \psi_{\ell \geq 1}(u, \underline{u})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon} \text{ in } \mathbf{II} \setminus \mathbf{II}_\Gamma, \quad (4.47)$$

where the general case with  $k \geq 0$  and  $j \geq 0$  holds by the simple fact that  $\Phi$  and  $T$  commute with the wave operator.

In the end, we conclude from (4.38), (4.45) and (4.47) that

$$\left| T^j (e_4 \psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)) + \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-4}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon} \text{ in } \mathbf{II}. \quad (4.48)$$

By the same argument in region  $\mathbf{II}'$ , we obtain

$$\left| T^j (e'_3 \psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta)) + \frac{3}{2} c'_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(u^{-4}) \right| \lesssim u^{-4-j-\epsilon} \text{ in } \mathbf{II}'. \quad (4.49)$$

*Step 2. Stability on Cauchy horizon.* Since the decay rate of  $\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)$  in (4.48) is integrable, we conclude

$$\Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) \doteq \lim_{\underline{u} \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) \text{ exists and is finite.} \quad (4.50)$$

Integrating (4.48) with respect to  $d\underline{u}$ , and using the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\underline{u}}^{+\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) (\underline{u}')^{-4} d\underline{u}' - \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) \int_{\underline{u}}^{+\infty} (\underline{u}')^{-4} d\underline{u}' \right| \\ & \lesssim \int_{\underline{u}}^{+\infty} (-\mu)(\underline{u}')^{-4} d\underline{u}' \lesssim -\mu(u, \underline{u})\underline{u}^{-4}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

we get

$$\left| \psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - \Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - \frac{1}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) \underline{u}^{-3} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-\epsilon} \text{ in } \mathbf{II}. \quad (4.52)$$

Similar to (4.50), we can also conclude that  $\lim_{\underline{u} \rightarrow \infty} T\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)$  exists. In view that  $\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi$  converges to 0 uniformly, and using  $T = \partial_{\underline{u}} - \partial_u$ , this yields the uniform convergence of  $\partial_u\psi$  as  $\underline{u} \rightarrow +\infty$ . Together with the uniform convergence of  $\psi$  to  $\Psi$  as  $\underline{u} \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain from the above that

$$\lim_{\underline{u} \rightarrow +\infty} \partial_u \psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) = \partial_u \Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) = -T\Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) \text{ in } \mathbf{II}. \quad (4.53)$$

Combining this with (4.48), and in view that  $T = \partial_{\underline{u}} - \partial_u$ , we obtain

$$\left| T^j \psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - T^j \Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - \frac{1}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-3}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon} \text{ in } \mathbf{II}. \quad (4.54)$$

Here, the general  $j \geq 0$  follows by an induction.

*Step 3. Computing the asymptotics of  $\Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)$  for  $u \leq 1$ .* Recall the estimate (4.31) on  $\Gamma$ :

$$|T^j \psi(u_\Gamma(\underline{u}), \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - c_0 T^j(\underline{u}^{-3})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon}. \quad (4.55)$$

Substituting this inequality back into (4.54), we obtain

$$\left| T^j \Psi(u_\Gamma(\underline{u}), \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - \frac{1}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-3}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon}. \quad (4.56)$$

The definition of the hypersurface  $\Gamma$ , on which  $u_\Gamma(\underline{u}) + \underline{u} = 2r^*(u_\Gamma(\underline{u}), \underline{u}) = \underline{u}^\gamma$ , implies  $\frac{u_\Gamma(\underline{u})}{\underline{u}} = -1 + \underline{u}^{\gamma-1}$ . Thus, we get

$$\left| T^j \Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) + \frac{1}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(u^{-3}) \right| \lesssim |u|^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (4.57)$$

*Step 4. Computing the asymptotics of  $\hat{e}_3\psi$ .* By rewriting (4.2) as

$$4(r^2 + a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{\underline{u}} ((r^2 + a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{e}_3\psi) + \mu \left( \mathbf{C}\psi + 2aT\Phi\psi + 2r\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi - \frac{2ar}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi\psi \right) = 0, \quad (4.58)$$

and using the boundedness of  $\mathbf{C}\psi$ ,  $T^{\leq 1}\Phi\psi$  and  $\partial_{\underline{u}}\psi$  and the fact that  $r^2 + a^2 = r_-^2 + a^2 + O(|\mu|)$ , the limit  $\Psi_1(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) := \lim_{\underline{u} \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{e}_3\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)$  exists and it holds

$$|\hat{e}_3\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - \Psi_1(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)| \lesssim -\mu(u, \underline{u}) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II} \setminus \mathbf{II}_\Gamma. \quad (4.59)$$

Recall that  $\hat{e}_3 = \partial_u - \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2} \Phi = \partial_u - \frac{a}{r_-^2 + a^2} \Phi + O(|\mu|)\Phi = \hat{e}_3|_{\mathcal{CH}_+} + O(|\mu|)\Phi$ . This yields  $|\hat{e}_3|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - \Psi_1(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)| \lesssim -\mu(u, \underline{u})$  in  $\mathbf{II} \setminus \mathbf{II}_\Gamma$ , and thus  $\Psi_1 = \hat{e}_3|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi)$ . Plugging this back to (4.59), we achieve  $|\hat{e}_3\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - \hat{e}_3|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta))| \lesssim -\mu(u, \underline{u})$  in  $\mathbf{II} \setminus \mathbf{II}_\Gamma$ . Notice that this argument works for  $\mathbf{II}$  as well, hence, by recalling  $\hat{e}_3 = -\mu e_3$ , we obtain

$$|T^j((-\mu e_3)\psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)) - T^j((-\mu e_3)|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)))| \lesssim -\mu(u, \underline{u}) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II}, \quad (4.60)$$

where the general  $j \geq 0$  case is trivial by commuting the wave equation with  $T^j$ .

Similar to the argument in Step 3, we have from (4.39) that

$$|T^j(\hat{e}_3\psi(u_\Gamma(\underline{u}), \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)) - \frac{3}{2} c_0 \frac{r_-^2 - r_+^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} T^j(\underline{u}^{-4})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon}, \quad (4.61)$$

and thus,  $|T^j(\hat{e}_3|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta))) - \frac{3}{2} c_0 (1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2}) T^j(u^{-4})| \lesssim u^{-4-j-\epsilon}$ , which then yields

$$\left| T^j((-\mu e_3)|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta))) - \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(u^{-4}) \right| \lesssim |u|^{-4-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (4.62)$$

*Step 5. Computing the asymptotics of  $\Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)$  in  $u \geq 1$ .* In view of the two estimates (4.49) and (4.60) in  $\mathbf{II} \cap \mathbf{II}'$ , it actually holds that

$$\left| T^j((-\mu e_3)|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \phi_{\text{out}}, \theta))) + \frac{3}{2} c'_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(u^{-4}) \right| \lesssim u^{-4-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4.63)$$

where we recall that  $e'_3 = -\mu e_3$ . Integrating the above inequality along the integral curve  $\gamma_{(\phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)}(u)$  of  $(-\mu e_3)|_{\mathcal{CH}_+} = \partial_u - \frac{a}{r_-^2 + a^2} \partial_{\phi_{\text{out}}}$  which starts from  $\mathbb{S}_{1, +\infty}^2$ , we achieve

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} T^j \Psi(\gamma_{(\phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)}(u)) = \Upsilon_j(\phi_{\text{out}}, \theta), \quad (4.64)$$

for some function  $\Upsilon_j$  defined on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , and

$$\left| T^j \Psi(\gamma_{(\phi_{\text{out}}, \theta)}(u)) - \Upsilon_j(\phi_{\text{out}}, \theta) - \frac{1}{2} c'_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(u^{-3}) \right| \lesssim u^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (4.65)$$

Similar to (4.54) and (4.65), we have

$$\left| T^j \psi(u, \underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - T^j \Psi'(\underline{u}, \phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - \frac{1}{2} c'_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(u^{-3}) \right| \lesssim u^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II}', \quad (4.66)$$

and

$$\left| T^j \Psi'(\gamma_{(\phi_{\text{in}}, \theta)}(\underline{u})) - \Upsilon'_j(\phi_{\text{in}}, \theta) - \frac{1}{2} c'_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-3}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } \underline{u} \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4.67)$$

for some functions  $\Psi'$  defined on  $\mathcal{CH}'_+$  and  $\Upsilon'_j$  defined on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

**4.3. Complete the proof of main Theorem 1.1.** By the estimates (3.1a), (4.54), (4.57) and (4.63), for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist smooth functions  $\Psi(u, \omega)$  and  $\Upsilon_j(\omega)$ ,  $\omega$  being the spherical coordinates on  $\mathbb{S}_{u, \underline{u}}^2$ , such that

$$|T^j \psi - c_0 T^j(\underline{u}^{-3})| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{II}_\Gamma, \quad (4.68a)$$

$$\left| T^j \psi - T^j \Psi(u, \omega) - \frac{1}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-3}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II}, \quad (4.68b)$$

where

$$\left| T^j \Psi(u, \omega) + \frac{1}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-3}) \right| \lesssim |u|^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (4.68c)$$

$$\left| T^j \Psi(\gamma_\omega(u)) - \Upsilon_j(\omega) - \frac{1}{2} c'_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-3}) \right| \lesssim |u|^{-3-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4.68d)$$

with  $\gamma_\omega(u)$  being the integral curve of  $(-\mu e_3)|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}$  starting from  $\mathbb{S}_{1, +\infty}^2$ . These justify the estimates (1.8) for any choice of  $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$ .<sup>13</sup> In fact, in  $\mathbf{II} \cap \{2r^* \leq \underline{u}^{\gamma_0}\}$ , we have

$$\left| 1 + \frac{u}{\underline{u}} \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{\gamma_0-1}, \quad (4.69)$$

thus, applying this relation, one finds the inequality (4.68a) holds true in  $\mathbf{II} \cap \{2r^* \leq \underline{u}^{\gamma_0}\}$  by combining the estimates (4.68b) and (4.68c).

For  $e_4 \psi$ , by the estimate (3.1b) in region  $\mathbf{I}$  and the estimates (4.38) and (4.48) in region  $\mathbf{II}$ , we conclude the following precise late-time asymptotics for  $e_4 \psi$ :

$$\left| T^j(e_4 \psi) + \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 + \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-4}) \right| \lesssim \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}_{\text{init}}^+ \cap \{\underline{u} \geq 1\}, \quad (4.70)$$

which is exactly (1.9).

For  $(-\mu e_3) \psi$ , we conclude from the estimates (3.1c), (4.39), (4.60) and (4.62) the following precise late-time asymptotics:

$$\left| T^j((-\mu e_3) \psi) - \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-4}) \right| \lesssim (r_+ - r) \underline{u}^{-4-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{II}_\Gamma, \quad (4.71a)$$

$$|T^j((-\mu e_3) \psi) - T^j((-\mu e_3)|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \omega)))| \lesssim -\mu \quad \text{in } \mathbf{II}, \quad (4.71b)$$

where

$$\left| T^j((-\mu e_3)|_{\mathcal{CH}_+}(\Psi(u, \omega))) - \frac{3}{2} c_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{r_-^2 + a^2} \right) T^j(\underline{u}^{-4}) \right| \lesssim |u|^{-4-j-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (4.71c)$$

These prove the estimates (1.10) for any choice of  $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$  by the same argument above via using the relation (4.69).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author S. M. acknowledges the support by the ERC grant ERC-2016 CoG 725589 EPGR and the Alexander von Humboldt postdoc fellowship. The second author L. Z. acknowledges the support by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12201083). The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for many valuable comments and suggestions.

<sup>13</sup>Here, the choice of  $\gamma_0$  is independent of the  $\gamma$  in Subsection 4.2.1.

## REFERENCES

1. Lars Andersson, Thomas Bäckdahl, Pieter Blue, and Siyuan Ma, *Stability for linearized gravity on the Kerr spacetime*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.03859 (2019).
2. Lars Andersson, Thomas Bäckdahl, Pieter Blue, and Siyuan Ma, *Nonlinear radiation gauge for near Kerr spacetimes*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **396** (2022), 45-90.
3. Lars Andersson, Dietrich Häfner, and Bernard F Whiting, *Mode analysis for the linearized Einstein equations on the Kerr metric: the large  $a$  case*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12952 (2022).
4. Yannis Angelopoulos, Stefanos Aretakis, and Dejan Gajic, *Late-time tails and mode coupling of linear waves on Kerr spacetimes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.11884 (2021).
5. Yannis Angelopoulos, Stefanos Aretakis, and Dejan Gajic, *Price's law and precise late-time asymptotics for subextremal Reissner–Nordström black holes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.11888 (2021).
6. Leor Barack and Amos Ori, *Late-time decay of gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations along the event horizon*, Physical Review D **60** (1999), no. 12, 124005.
7. Vitor Cardoso, João L. Costa, Kyriakos Destounis, Peter Hintz, and Aron Jansen, *Quasinormal Modes and Strong Cosmic Censorship*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018), no. 3, 031103.
8. Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar and James B Hartle, *On crossing the cauchy horizon of a Reissner–Nordström black-hole*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences **384** (1982), no. 1787, 301–315.
9. Demetrios Christodoulou, *The global initial value problem in general relativity*, The Ninth Marcel Grossmann Meeting: On Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Gravitation and Relativistic Field Theories (In 3 Volumes), World Scientific, 2002, pp. 44–54.
10. Mihalis Dafermos, *Stability and instability of the Cauchy horizon for the spherically symmetric Einstein–Maxwell-scalar field equations*, Annals of Mathematics **158** (2003), no. 3, 875–928.
11. Mihalis Dafermos, Gustav Holzegel, and Igor Rodnianski, *The linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution to gravitational perturbations*, Acta Mathematica **222** (2019), no. 1, 1–214.
12. Mihalis Dafermos, Gustav Holzegel, Igor Rodnianski, and Martin Taylor, *The non-linear stability of the Schwarzschild family of black holes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08222 (2021).
13. Mihalis Dafermos and Jonathan Luk, *The interior of dynamical vacuum black holes I: The  $C^0$ -stability of the Kerr Cauchy horizon*, arXiv e-prints (2017), arXiv:1710.01722.
14. Mihalis Dafermos and Igor Rodnianski, *A proof of Price's law for the collapse of a self-gravitating scalar field*, Invent. Math. **162** (2005), no. 2, 381–457.
15. Mihalis Dafermos and Yakov Shlapentokh-Rothman, *Time-translation invariance of scattering maps and blue-shift instabilities on Kerr black hole spacetimes*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **350** (2017), no. 3, 985–1016.
16. Roland Dominger, Wilhelm Schlag, and Avy Soffer, *A proof of Price's law on Schwarzschild black hole manifolds for all angular momenta*, Advances in Mathematics **226** (2011), no. 1, 484–540.
17. Allen Juntao Fang, *Nonlinear stability of the slowly-rotating Kerr-de Sitter family*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.07183 (2021).
18. Allen Juntao Fang, *Linear stability of the slowly-rotating Kerr-de Sitter family*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.07902 (2022).
19. Anne T Franzen, *Boundedness of massless scalar waves on Reissner–Nordström interior backgrounds*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **343** (2016), no. 2, 601–650.
20. Anne T Franzen, *Boundedness of massless scalar waves on Kerr interior backgrounds*, Annales Henri Poincaré **21** (2020), no. 4, 1045–1111.
21. Elena Giorgi, *The linear stability of Reissner–Nordström spacetime: the full subextremal range  $|Q| < M$* , Communications in Mathematical Physics **380** (2020), 1313–1360.
22. Elena Giorgi, Sergiu Klainerman, and Jérémie Szeftel, *A general formalism for the stability of Kerr*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.02740 (2020).

23. Elena Giorgi, Sergiu Klainerman, and Jérémie Szeftel, *Wave equations estimates and the nonlinear stability of slowly rotating Kerr black holes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.14808 (2022).
24. Reinaldo J Gleiser, Richard H Price, and Jorge Pullin, *Late-time tails in the Kerr spacetime*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **25** (2008), no. 7, 072001.
25. Dietrich Häfner, Peter Hintz, and András Vasy, *Linear stability of slowly rotating Kerr black holes*, *Invent. Math.* **223** (2021), no. 3, 1227–1406.
26. Peter Hintz, *Boundedness and decay of scalar waves at the Cauchy horizon of the Kerr spacetime*, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* **92** (2017), no. 4, 801–837.
27. Peter Hintz, *A sharp version of Price’s law for wave decay on asymptotically flat spacetimes*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **389** (2022), no. 1, 491–542.
28. Peter Hintz and András Vasy, *The global non-linear stability of the Kerr-de Sitter family of black holes*, *Acta Mathematica* **220** (2018), 1–206.
29. William A. Hiscock, *Evolution of the interior of a charged black hole*, *Physics Letters A* **83** (1981), no. 3, 110–112.
30. Sergiu Klainerman and Jérémie Szeftel, *Constructions of GCM spheres in perturbations of Kerr*, *Annals of PDE* **8** (2022), no. 17.
31. Sergiu Klainerman and Jérémie Szeftel, *Global Nonlinear Stability of Schwarzschild Spacetime under Polarized Perturbations*, *Annals of Math Studies*, vol. 210, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 11 2020.
32. Sergiu Klainerman and Jérémie Szeftel, *Kerr stability for small angular momentum*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.11857 (2021).
33. Shi-Zhuo Looi, *Improved decay for quasilinear wave equations close to asymptotically flat spacetimes including black hole spacetimes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.05439 (2022).
34. Jonathan Luk, *Weak null singularities in general relativity*, *Journal of the American mathematical society* **31** (2018), no. 1, 1–63.
35. Jonathan Luk and Sung-Jin Oh, *Proof of linear instability of the Reissner–Nordström Cauchy horizon under scalar perturbations*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **166** (2017), no. 3, 437–493.
36. Jonathan Luk and Sung-Jin Oh, *Strong cosmic censorship in spherical symmetry for two-ended asymptotically flat initial data I. The interior of the black hole region*, *Annals of Mathematics* **190** (2019), no. 1, 1–111.
37. Jonathan Luk and Sung-Jin Oh, *Strong cosmic censorship in spherical symmetry for two-ended asymptotically flat initial data II: the exterior of the black hole region*, *Annals of PDE* **5** (2019), no. 1, 1–194.
38. Jonathan Luk, Sung-Jin Oh, and Yakov Shlapentokh-Rothman, *A scattering theory approach to Cauchy horizon instability and applications to mass inflation*, *Annales Henri Poincaré* **24** (2023), 363–411.
39. Jonathan Luk and Jan Sbierski, *Instability results for the wave equation in the interior of Kerr black holes*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **271** (2016), no. 7, 1948–1995.
40. Siyuan Ma, *Almost Price’s law in Schwarzschild and decay estimates in Kerr for Maxwell field*, *Journal of Differential Equations* **339** (2022), 1–89.
41. Siyuan Ma, *Uniform energy bound and Morawetz estimate for extreme components of spin fields in the exterior of a slowly rotating Kerr black hole I: Maxwell field*, *Annales Henri Poincaré – A Journal of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **21** (2020), no. 3.
42. Siyuan Ma, *Uniform energy bound and Morawetz estimate for extreme components of spin fields in the exterior of a slowly rotating Kerr black hole II: linearized gravity*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **377** (2020), 2489–2551.
43. Siyuan Ma and Lin Zhang, *Price’s law for spin fields on a schwarzschild background*, *Annals of PDE* **8** (2022), no. 2, 1–100.
44. Siyuan Ma and Lin Zhang, *Sharp decay for Teukolsky equation in Kerr spacetimes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.04489, online at *Communications in Mathematical Physics* (2023), doi:10.1007/s00220-023-04640-w.
45. Siyuan Ma and Lin Zhang, *Sharp decay estimates for massless Dirac fields on a Schwarzschild background*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **282** (2022), no. 6, 109375.



46. J. M. McNamara, *Instability of Black Hole Inner Horizons*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A **358** (1978), no. 1695, 499–517.
47. Jason Metcalfe, Daniel Tataru, and Mihai Tohaneanu, *Price’s law on nonstationary space–times*, Advances in Mathematics **230** (2012), no. 3, 995–1028.
48. Barrett O’Neill, *The geometry of Kerr black holes*, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995.
49. Amos Ori, *Inner structure of a charged black hole: An exact mass-inflation solution*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991), no. 7, 789–792.
50. Amos Ori, *Evolution of scalar-field perturbations inside a Kerr black hole*, Physical Review D **58** (1998), no. 8, 084016.
51. Roger Penrose, *Gravitational collapse*, Symposium-International Astronomical Union, vol. 64, Cambridge University Press, 1974, pp. 82–91.
52. E. Poisson and W. Israel, *Inner-horizon instability and mass inflation in black holes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63** (1989), no. 16, 1663–1666.
53. Richard H Price, *Nonspherical perturbations of relativistic gravitational collapse. I. Scalar and gravitational perturbations*, Physical Review D **5** (1972), no. 10, 2419.
54. Richard H Price, *Nonspherical perturbations of relativistic gravitational collapse. II. Integer-spin, zero-rest-mass fields*, Physical Review D **5** (1972), no. 10, 2439.
55. Richard H Price and Lior M Burko, *Late time tails from momentarily stationary, compact initial data in Schwarzschild spacetimes*, Physical Review D **70** (2004), no. 8, 084039.
56. Jan Sbierski, *Characterisation of the energy of Gaussian beams on Lorentzian manifolds: with applications to black hole spacetimes*, Analysis & PDE **8** (2015), no. 6, 1379–1420.
57. Jan Sbierski, *On holonomy singularities in general relativity and the  $C_{loc}^{0,1}$ -inextendibility of spacetimes*, Duke Mathematical Journal (2021).
58. Jan Sbierski, *Instability of the Kerr Cauchy horizon under linearised gravitational perturbations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.12295 (2022).
59. Dawei Shen, *Construction of GCM hypersurfaces in perturbations of Kerr*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.12336 (2022).
60. Daniel Tataru, *Local decay of waves on asymptotically flat stationary space-times*, American Journal of Mathematics **135** (2013), no. 2, 361–401.
61. S. A. Teukolsky, *Rotating black holes: Separable wave equations for gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations*, Physical Review Letters **29** (1972), no. 16, 1114–1118.
62. Maxime Van de Moortel, *Stability and instability of the sub-extremal Reissner–Nordström black hole interior for the Einstein–Maxwell–Klein–Gordon equations in spherical symmetry*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **360** (2018), no. 1, 103–168.
63. Maxime Van de Moortel, *Mass inflation and the  $C^2$ -inextendibility of spherically symmetric charged scalar field dynamical black holes*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **382** (2021), no. 2, 1263–1341.