

Determining size of an optically trapped particle via modulated Raman spectroscopy

Rohin Sharma^{1,†}, Anusa Thapa¹, Rijan Maharjan¹, Ashim Dhakal^{1,*}

September 21, 2022

¹ *Biophotonics Lab, Phutung Research Institute, PO Box 12335, Kathmandu 44600, Nepal*

† Corresponding email: rohin.sharma@poinstitute.org

* Email: ashim.dhakal@poinstitute.org

Keywords: Brownian motion, optical trap, Raman scattering, Raman spectroscopy, trap modulation

Abstract: The average Raman signal power obtained in a modulated optical trap is dependent on the Brownian motion - therefore hydrodynamic properties of the trapped particle. Hence, in addition to the molecular properties obtained from the Raman signal, it is possible to study hydrodynamic properties (e.g. size) of the particle by analyzing the change in the average Raman power as a function of modulation frequency. Our results, based on the over-damped Langevin equation, show that several minimas exist for the Raman signal at unique modulating frequencies for a given particle size and signal acquisition time. In typical experimental conditions, such minimas can be as low as $\sim 50\%$ of the Raman signal in an unmodulated trap.

1 Introduction

Since the seminal report of optical trapping and manipulation of micron-sized particles by exploiting the intensity gradient of a highly focused laser beam [1], there have been numerous studies of utilizing this technique to manipulate and study the properties of single molecules, bio molecules and single cells [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The combination of optical trapping and Raman spectroscopy has been a powerful tool for the molecular characterization and identification of micro-particles with high specificity [8]. This combination has been used in studying aerosol particles [9], gas bubbles [10], living organelles [11], and cells [7, 12], allowing us to gain insights into the molecular properties that would otherwise be inaccessible (such as in sub-cellular level).

The optical trap can be approximated as a harmonic potential with a trap stiffness, κ . Therefore there have been numerous attempts of calibrating κ through various methods such as by measuring the displacement produced by a known external force [13, 14], or by analysing the force of thermal fluctuations by the power spectrum analysis [15]. A very practical method of calibrating κ is by periodically modulating the trap stiffness achieved by modulating the optical power of the trapping source [16]. The effects of such modulated optical trap on Brownian motion and the position variance of the trapped particle were studied both theoretically and experimentally along with the report of errors it can produce in force measurements in Ref. [16]. This method has subsequently been applied to perform quantitative measurement with bio-molecules [17].

Because the trap modulation directly modulates the harmonic potential, understanding the effects of trap modulation on the motion of Brownian particle is very important in optical traps, and has gained considerable interest in recent decades. While there have been studies on the dynamics of Brownian particle in the modulated harmonic trap [16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22], the effects of such modulation on the Raman signal of the trapped particle has not yet been explored. Since Raman spectroscopy does not distinguish materials of similar chemical composition but with different macro-level physical properties (eg. size, shape), identifying the response of trap modulation on the Raman signal would, in addition, allow investigations of hydrodynamic and optical properties of the trapped particle. Based on the theoretical formulation of the position variance of optically trapped particle shown in Ref. [16], our work here will theoretically estimate the variations in Raman power of the trapped particle as a function of modulation frequency.

In section 2, we discuss the theoretical background and introduce the expression for average Raman power. In section 3, we discuss the findings of our result.

2 Theoretical formulation

Since an optically trapped micron sized particle in a viscous medium exhibits Brownian motion, the particle has a natural tendency to diffuse away from the equilibrium position. The average time the particle will stay in the equilibrium position is determined by the the inverse of its *roll-off frequency*, ω_o ; defined as the ratio of

trap-stiffness, κ and drag coefficient, γ . As κ is directly proportional to the laser power, a sinusoidally modulated laser power results in the modulation of κ . So, the equation of motion given by the Langevin equation is

$$\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\kappa}{\gamma} [1 + \epsilon \cos(\omega t)] x(t) = \sqrt{2D} \eta(t). \quad (1)$$

Here, $x(t)$ is the trajectory of the Brownian particle, ω is the modulation frequency, ϵ is the modulation depth, $-\kappa x(t)$ is the harmonic force from the trap, and $D = k_B T / \gamma$, is the Einstein's equation relating diffusion constant with the Boltzmann energy and drag coefficient. For a spherical particle of radius r trapped in a medium of density ρ and kinematic viscosity ν , the drag coefficient γ is approximated by the Stokes' law as $\gamma = 6\pi\rho\nu r$. $\sqrt{2D}\eta(t)$, is a stochastic Gaussian process that represents Brownian forces at absolute temperature T such that for all t and t' :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta(t) \rangle &= 0; \\ \langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle &= \delta(t - t'). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Following the derivation of Itô's lemma [23, 24], the position variance of the trapped particle satisfies the equation (see Appendix A for the derivation)

$$\dot{\sigma}_{xx}^2(t) = 2 [D - \omega_o(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t) \sigma_{xx}^2(t)]. \quad (3)$$

From the equipartition theorem the initial position variance in the x direction takes the equilibrium value of

$$\sigma_{xx}^2(0) = \frac{k_B T}{\kappa}. \quad (4)$$

The solution of Eq. 3 with the initial position variance $\sigma_{xx}^2(0)$ is the position variance of the trapped particle. Writing the ratio of $\sigma_{xx}^2(t) / \sigma_{xx}^2(0)$ as $V(t)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= 1 - 2\omega_o \epsilon \exp \left[-2\omega_o \left(t + \frac{\epsilon}{\omega} \sin \omega t \right) \right] \\ &\times \int_0^t \exp \left[2\omega_o \left(\tau + \frac{\epsilon}{\omega} \sin \omega \tau \right) \right] \cos \omega \tau d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This equation gives insights as to how the modulation frequency affects the position variance of the trapped particle. From the simulation of Eq. (5) in Fig. 1, we see that the position variance approaches the equilibrium value, $\sigma_{xx}^2(0)$ in the high modulation frequency region, where as in the low frequency region, the particle will have enough time to diffuse away from the trapping potential, showing significant position variance.




Figure 1: Position variance as a function of modulation frequency, ω at two different modulation depths, $\epsilon = 1$ and 0.5 for an integration time of 300 oscillation cycles. The transition from high to low-frequency occurs at the roll-off frequency, ω_o .

Raman Power of the trapped particle

We are interested to know the nature of Raman power that can be collected from the optically trapped particle as a function of modulation frequency. For a trapped particle at position, $x(t)$ the Raman signal emitted from the particle with the Raman cross-section, σ_R is

$$P(x) = \sigma_R I_o \exp \left[-2 \frac{x^2(t)}{\sigma_{xx}^2(t)} \right], \quad (6)$$

where I_o is the intensity of the laser. Assuming the same excitation and collection coefficient, the normalized Raman power is

$$R(x) = \frac{P(x)}{\sigma_R I_o} = \exp \left[-4 \frac{x^2(t)}{w^2(z)} \right], \quad (7)$$

Writing $w(z)$ in terms of the position variance at the equilibrium $\sigma_{xx}^2(0)$

$$w^2(z) = \xi \sigma_{xx}^2(0), \quad (8)$$

where ξ is the conversion factor, the average Raman power of modulated Raman signal will be (see Appendix B for an alternate derivation using Itô's lemma [23, 24] for stochastic processes)

$$R_{mod} = \langle R(x) \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{8V(t) + \xi}}. \quad (9)$$

For unmodulated case, $V(t) = 1$

$$R_{unmod} = \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{8 + \xi}}, \quad (10)$$

which is the change in Raman signal due to diffusion. Therefore,

$$\frac{R_{mod}}{R_{unmod}} = \sqrt{\frac{8 + \xi}{8V(t) + \xi}}. \quad (11)$$

Using Eq. (5) and choosing the value of the conversion factor, ξ according to the source Gaussian beam, we can analyse Eq. (11) to gain some insights into the nature of Raman signal with respect to the modulating frequency.

3 Results and discussion

For an optical trap with a source wavelength, $\lambda = 785\text{nm}$, a high Numerical Aperture objective lens of $NA = 1$, the Gaussian beam waist radius at the focus $w(z = 0)$ is 250 nm . From the simulation of Eq. (11) for a $1\ \mu\text{m}$ particle trapped in an aqueous medium with $\rho = 10^3\ \text{kg/m}^3$ as the density of water at room temperature and $\nu = 10^{-6}\ \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ as the kinematic friction coefficient of water shown in Fig. 2, we see that the *maximum Raman power variance*, $(R_{\text{mod}}/R_{\text{unmod}})_{\text{min}}$, is seen at a particular modulation frequency, ω_R in the low frequency region ($\omega < \omega_o$) due to the increased position variance of the particle in the low-frequency region, while in the high frequency region the Raman power approaches the value for an unmodulated case given by Eq. (10). Since from Eq. (11) we know that the Raman power variance depends on the trap-stiffness κ and drag coefficient γ (determined by the the friction coefficient of the medium and particle diameter), and also since the conversion factor ξ is dependent on the trap stiffness, it is necessary to first calibrate the trap stiffness of the optical trap. We first analyse Eq. (11) over a range of trap stiffness to optimize κ that show maximum average Raman power variance for a $1\ \mu\text{m}$ trapped particle with the measurement time t as that for 300 cycles of oscillation shown in Fig. 3a. Then for the optimised κ , we show the dependence of ω_R with the diameter, d of the trapped particle.

From Fig. 3b we see that for a given particle size, the maximum Raman power variance occurs at a unique modulation frequency, ω_R for the measurement time of a 300 oscillation cycles. Hence it is possible to calibrate the size of the trapped particle according to the modulating frequency at which the maximum Raman power variance is seen. The dependence of Raman power variance on the diameter of the particle for a given κ was insignificant (data not shown).

The particle size calibration can also be done by solely studying the Raman power. If the measurement is done at a constant measurement time for particles of different sizes in a given trap-stiffness, then the Raman power variances for different particles will be seen at the same modulation frequency, but the values of such fluctuations will be different according to the size of the particle as shown in Fig. 4. In such a case, the size of the trapped particle can be calibrated by studying the Raman signal fluctuations in the modulated optical trap.

To conclude, in addition to molecular identification and characterization offered by Raman spectroscopy, the size of the trapped particle can be investigated in a single experiment by measuring the average Raman power as a function of the modulation frequency relative to the roll-off frequency in the low frequency regime.




Figure 2: Raman power variance of a one micron trapped particle plotted as a function of modulation frequency, ω from $10\ \text{rad/s}$ to $5000\ \text{rad/s}$ for trap-stiffness, $\kappa = 1.32 \times 10^{-5}\ \text{N/m}$ and conversion factor, $\xi = 200$. The vertical line denote the roll-off frequency at $\omega_o = 1400.6\ \text{rad/s}$ which defines the high-frequency and low-frequency region for the trap modulation.




Figure 3: (a) The dependence of maximum Raman power variance, $\left(\frac{R_{\text{mod}}}{R_{\text{unmod}}}\right)_{\text{min}}$ and the frequency corresponding to the maximum Raman power variance, ω_R on the trap stiffness, κ for a one micron diameter particle in the modulation frequency range of $10\ \text{rad/s}$ to $1500\ \text{rad/s}$. The average optimum trap-stiffness is $8.17 \times 10^{-6}\ \text{N/m}$, where about 35% Raman power variation is seen. The discontinuities seen below $\kappa = 1.32 \times 10^{-5}\ \text{N/m}$ is due to the theoretical limits of the approximation presented in Appendix B. (b) The profile of modulation frequencies showing maximum Raman power variance with respect to the diameter of the particle along with the corresponding measurement time (shows at top axis) for the optimized trap-stiffness.




Figure 4: Raman power fluctuations for three different diameters $0.5 \mu m$, $1.0 \mu m$ and $2.0 \mu m$ for $\kappa = 8.17 \times 10^{-6} N/m$, showing fluctuations of approximately 50%, 41% and 37% respectively.

5 Appendix

Appendix A

The overdamped equation of motion of the trapped particle is

$$\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\kappa}{\gamma} [1 + \epsilon \cos(\omega t)] x(t) = \sqrt{2D} \eta(t). \quad (12)$$

where $\kappa/\gamma = \omega_o$ is the particle's roll-off frequency. Comparing Eq. (12) with the stochastic differential equation of the form

$$dX_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dB_t, \quad (13)$$

we have $\mu = -\omega_o(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t)x_t$ and $\sigma_t = \sqrt{2D}$. Here B_t is a Wiener process [23]. To calculate the position variance of the Brownian motion described by Eq. (12) we apply Itô's lemma [24] to calculate the mean of x^2 . Taking $f = x^2$, df is calculated as

$$df = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\sigma_t^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) dt + \sigma_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dB_t. \quad (14)$$

Here $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \rangle = 0$, $\langle \mu_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \rangle = -2\omega_o(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t)\sigma_{xx}^2(t)$ and $\langle \frac{\sigma_t^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \rangle = 2D$ and $\langle \sigma_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dB_t \rangle = 0$ as $\langle x dB_t \rangle = 0$. So the position variance is

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle x^2 \rangle &= d\sigma_{xx}^2(t) = -2\omega_o(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t)\sigma_{xx}^2(t)dt + 2Ddt. \\ \dot{\sigma}_{xx}^2(t) &= 2 [D - \omega_o(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t)\sigma_{xx}^2(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Appendix B

Here we present an alternate derivation of the Raman signal for a modulated Gaussian beam using the stochastic differential equation.

The Taylor's expansion of the normalized Raman power Eq. (7) is:

$$R(x) = 1 - \frac{4x^2}{w^2(z)} + \frac{192w^4(z)x^4}{4! \times w^{10}} - \dots \quad (16)$$

Taking the first two terms, we write $f = 1 - \frac{4x^2}{w^2(z)}$. Since $\mu_t = -\omega_o(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t)x_t$ and $\sigma_t = \sqrt{2D}$, $\langle \mu_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \rangle = 8\omega_o(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t) \frac{\sigma_{xx}^2(t)}{w^2(z)}$, $\langle \frac{\sigma_t^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \rangle = -8 \frac{D}{w^2(z)}$ and $\langle \sigma_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dB_t \rangle = 0$ as $\langle x dB_t \rangle = 0$. Using Eq. (14), we have

$$d \left\langle 1 - 4 \frac{x^2}{w^2(z)} \right\rangle = \frac{8}{w^2(z)} [\omega_o \sigma_{xx}^2(t)(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t) - D] dt. \quad (17)$$

Using equations Eq. (5) and Eq. (8) to express in terms of $V(t)$ and ξ we have,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle R'(x) \rangle = \frac{8\omega_o}{\xi} [(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t)V(t) - 1]. \quad (18)$$

4 Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by TWAS/SIDA/UNESCO under Grants 18-013RG/Phys/AS.I and 21-334 RG/PHYS/AS.G.

Now to compare this expression with our equation of the normalized Raman power for the modulated case, we take the time derivative of Eq. (9).

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle R(x) \rangle = \frac{8\omega_o}{\xi} [(1 + \epsilon \cos \omega t)V(t) - 1] \left(\frac{\xi}{\xi + 8V(t)} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (19)$$

Since Eq. (18) and Eq. (19) differs by a factor $\left(\frac{\xi}{\xi + 8V(t)} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$, for an optical trap system with the ξ value such that, the factor $\left(\frac{\xi}{\xi + 8V(t)} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sim 1$, Eq. (11) would be a good approximation to estimate the fluctuations of the average Raman signal for the modulated case.

References

- [1] A. Ashkin, "Acceleration and trapping of particles by radiation pressure," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 24, pp. 156–159, 1970.
- [2] S. B. Smith, Y. Cui, and C. Bustamante, "Overstretching b-dna: the elastic response of individual double-stranded and single-stranded dna molecules," *Science*, vol. 271, no. 5250, pp. 795–799, 1996.
- [3] K. Schakenraad, A. S. Biebricher, M. Sebregts, B. ten Bonsel, E. J. G. Peterman, G. J. L. Wuite, I. Heller, C. Storm, and P. van der Schoot, "Hyperstretching dna," *Nature Communications*, vol. 8, pp. 2041–1723, 2017.
- [4] S. M. Block, L. S. Goldstein, and B. J. Schnapp, "Bead movement by single kinesin molecules studied with optical tweezers," *Nature*, vol. 348, no. 6299, pp. 348–352, 1990.
- [5] B. Jagannathan and S. Marqusee, "Protein folding and unfolding under force," *Biopolymers*, vol. 99, no. 11, pp. 860–869, 2013.
- [6] A. Ashkin and J. M. Dziedzic, "Optical trapping and manipulation of viruses and bacteria," *Science*, vol. 235, no. 4795, pp. 1517–1520, 1987.
- [7] A. Ashkin, J. M. Dziedzic, and T. Yamane, "Optical trapping and manipulation of single cells using infrared laser beams," *Nature*, vol. 330, no. 6150, pp. 769–771, 1987.
- [8] R. Thurn and W. Kiefer, "Raman-microsampling technique applying optical levitation by radiation pressure," *Applied spectroscopy*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 78–83, 1984.
- [9] G. Schweiger, "Raman scattering on single aerosol particles and on flowing aerosols: a review," *Journal of Aerosol Science*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 483–509, 1990.
- [10] M. Lankers, J. Popp, G. Rössling, and W. Kiefer, "Raman investigations on laser-trapped gas bubbles," *Chemical physics letters*, vol. 277, no. 4, pp. 331–334, 1997.
- [11] K. Ajito and K. Torimitsu, "Laser trapping and raman spectroscopy of single cellular organelles in the nanometer range," *Lab Chip*, vol. 2, pp. 11–14, 2002.
- [12] C. Xie, M. A. Dinno, and Y.-q. Li, "Near-infrared raman spectroscopy of single optically trapped biological cells," *Optics letters*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 249–251, 2002.
- [13] S. C. Kuo and M. P. Sheetz, "Force of single kinesin molecules measured with optical tweezers," *Science*, vol. 260, no. 5105, pp. 232–234, 1993.
- [14] G. J. Wuite, R. J. Davenport, A. Rappaport, and C. Bustamante, "An integrated laser trap/flow control video microscope for the study of single biomolecules," *Biophysical Journal*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 1155–1167, 2000.
- [15] K. Berg-Sørensen and H. Flyvbjerg, "Power spectrum analysis for optical tweezers," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 594–612, 2004.
- [16] Y. Deng, J. Bechhoefer, and N. R. Forde, "Brownian motion in a modulated optical trap," *Journal of Optics A: Pure and Applied Optics*, vol. 9, no. 8, p. S256, 2007.
- [17] A. van der Horst and N. R. Forde, "Calibration of dynamic holographic optical tweezers for force measurements on biomaterials," *Opt. Express*, vol. 16, no. 25, pp. 20987–21003, 2008.
- [18] Y. Seol, K. Visscher, and D. B. Walton, "Suppression of noise in a noisy optical trap," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 93, p. 160602, 2004.

- [19] R. Di Leonardo, G. Ruocco, J. Leach, M. J. Padgett, A. J. Wright, J. M. Girkin, D. R. Burnham, and D. McGloin, "Parametric resonance of optically trapped aerosols," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 99, p. 010601, 2007.
- [20] G. Volpe, S. Perrone, J. M. Rubi, and D. Petrov, "Stochastic resonant damping in a noisy monostable system: Theory and experiment," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 77, p. 051107, 2008.
- [21] N. V. Agudov, A. V. Krichigin, D. Valenti, and B. Spagnolo, "Stochastic resonance in a trapping overdamped monostable system," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 81, p. 051123, 2010.
- [22] C. Zerbe, P. Jung, and P. Hänggi, "Brownian parametric oscillators," *Physical Review E*, vol. 49, no. 5, p. 3626, 1994.
- [23] C. W. Gardiner *et al.*, *Handbook of stochastic methods*, vol. 3. springer Berlin, 1985.
- [24] K. Itô, *On stochastic differential equations*. No. 4, American Mathematical Soc., 1951.