

Renormalization of three-quark operators at two loops in the RI'/SMOM scheme

Bernd A. Kniehl^b, Oleg L. Veretin^b

^a*II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg,
Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany*

^b*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Regensburg,
Universitätsstraße 31, 93040 Regensburg, Germany*

Abstract

We consider the renormalization of the three-quark operators without derivatives at next-to-next-to-leading order in QCD perturbation theory at the symmetric subtraction point. This allows us to obtain conversion factors between the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme and the regularization invariant symmetric MOM (RI/SMOM, RI'/SMOM) schemes. The results are presented both analytically in R_ξ gauge in terms of a set of master integrals and numerically in Landau gauge. They can be used to reduce the errors in determinations of baryonic distribution amplitudes in lattice QCD simulations.

Keywords: Lattice QCD, Baryonic distribution amplitudes, $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, Regularization invariant symmetric MOM scheme, Two-loop approximation

1. Introduction

Light cone distribution amplitudes (DAs) play an important rôle in the analysis of hard exclusive reactions involving large momentum transfer from the initial to the final state. The cases of baryon asymptotic states have been considered already long ago [13, 7, 5].

Email addresses: kniehl@desy.de (Bernd A. Kniehl), oleg.veretin@desy.de (Oleg L. Veretin)

The theoretical description of DAs is based on the relation of their moments to matrix elements of local operators.¹ Such matrix elements involve long-distance dynamics and, thus, cannot be accessed via perturbation theory alone.

First estimates of the lower moments of the baryon DAs have been obtained more than 30 years ago using QCD sum rules [6, 9, 4]. An alternative way to access the moments is to calculate them from first principles using lattice QCD. Such studies for the nucleon DAs have a long history [14, 8, 3, 2]. More recently, this analysis has been extended to include the full SU(3) octet of baryons [1].

To renormalize the matrix elements on the lattice, the RI'/SMOM scheme [15] has been used in Ref. [1]. However, in order to embed lattice estimations of hadronic matrix elements into the complex of other studies and to assure comparability, it is necessary to present the result in the widely used $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. Since the RI'/SMOM prescription can be used in both perturbative and non-perturbative calculations, the conversion from the RI'/SMOM to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme can be evaluated perturbatively as a series in the strong-coupling constant $\alpha_s(\mu)$ at some typical scale μ of the order of a few GeV. In our previous works [11, 10], we have evaluated the matching constants for the bilinear quark operators with up to two derivatives and up to three loops.

In this paper, we perform the renormalization of the three-quark operators at two loops for RI'/SMOM kinematics, which allows for the conversion between the RI'/SMOM and $\overline{\text{MS}}$ schemes for the lowest moments of the baryonic DAs.

As for baryonic operators, there are additional subtleties due to contributions of evanescent operators that have to be taken into account. In this work, we adopt the calculational scheme proposed in Ref. [12], which allows one to avoid the necessity of additional finite renormalizations and the consideration of evanescent operators. Instead of contracting the operators with different Dirac γ matrices, we will consider the operators with open spinor indices. The price that one has to pay is that one has a large number of

¹The three-quark operators relevant for the baryonic DAs have the general form

$$\epsilon^{ijk} (D_{\mu_1} \dots D_{\mu_l} \psi_1)_{\alpha_1}^i (D_{\mu_{l+1}} \dots D_{\mu_{l+m}} \psi_2)_{\alpha_2}^j (D_{\mu_{l+m+1}} \dots D_{\mu_{l+m+n}} \psi_3)_{\alpha_3}^k, \quad (1)$$

where i, j, k are color indices, μ_k are Lorentz indices, and α_l are spinor indices.

different spinor tensor structures.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce our notations and definitions. In Section 3, we discuss the tensor decomposition and the renormalization procedure. In Section 4, we present a sample result, while our complete result is provided in ancillary files submitted to the ArXiv along with this paper. In Section 5, we present our conclusions. In Appendix A, we expose the relevant spin tensor structures.

2. Basic setup

The basic object for the three-quark operators without derivatives located at the origin is the amputated four-point function,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3,\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}(p_1,p_2,p_3) &= - \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 d^4x_3 e^{i(p_1x_1+p_2x_2+p_3x_3)} \epsilon^{b_1b_2b_3} \epsilon^{a_1a_2a_3} \\
&\times \langle u_{\beta_1}^{b_1}(0) d_{\beta_2}^{b_2}(0) s_{\beta_3}^{b_3}(0) \bar{u}_{\alpha_1}^{a_1}(p_1) \bar{d}_{\alpha_2}^{a_2}(p_2) \bar{s}_{\alpha_3}^{a_3}(p_3) \rangle \\
&\times G_2^{-1}(p_1)_{\alpha_1\alpha_1} G_2^{-1}(p_2)_{\alpha_2\alpha_2} G_2^{-1}(p_3)_{\alpha_3\alpha_3}, \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

where all quantities are to be understood as Euclidean. The quark flavors are called u , d , and s , but the only essential feature is that they are all different. All masses are supposed to vanish. α_i and β_j are spinor indices, a_k and b_l are color indices in the fundamental representation, and p_m are the external momenta. The matrix element of the three-quark operator is shown schematically in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Matrix element $\langle u_{\beta_1}^{b_1}(0) d_{\beta_2}^{b_2}(0) s_{\beta_3}^{b_3}(0) \bar{u}_{\alpha_1}^{a_1}(p_1) \bar{d}_{\alpha_2}^{a_2}(p_2) \bar{s}_{\alpha_3}^{a_3}(p_3) \rangle$ of a three-quark baryonic operator in momentum space. The momentum $p_4 = -(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)$ is the momentum coming into the operator.

The two-point function $G_2(p)$ required for the amputation of the external legs is defined by

$$\delta^{a'a} G_2(p)_{\alpha'\alpha} = \int d^4x e^{ipx} \langle u_{\alpha'}^{a'}(0) \bar{u}_{\alpha}^a(x) \rangle. \quad (3)$$

To compute the conversion factor for a particular multiplet of operators, the amputated four-point function (2) has to be contracted with coefficients

$$C^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3,\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}, \quad (4)$$

which can be easily provided. Notice, however, that these coefficients refer to a particular representation of the Dirac matrices.

In the following, we use the kinematics that was adopted in the analysis of Ref. [1],

$$p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p_3^2 = (p_1 + p_2 + p_3)^2 = \mu^2, \quad (5)$$

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 = p_3 \cdot p_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2, \quad (6)$$

$$p_2 \cdot p_3 = 0, \quad (7)$$

where μ is some euclidean point that fixes the SMOM subtraction point.

3. Tensor decomposition and projection

As was already mentioned in the Introduction, we renormalize Eq. (2) without contracting the spinor indices and projecting on some particular baryonic currents. For this purpose, let us decompose the tensor in Eq. (2) as

$$H_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3,\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \sum_{n=1}^N T_{n,\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3,\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}(p_1, p_2, p_3) f_n(\{p_i p_j\}), \quad (8)$$

where T_n are spin tensor structures and f_n are scalar form factors. The explicit construction of these structures is discussed in Appendix A. The form factors f_n generally depend on six kinematic invariants, p_1^2 , p_2^2 , p_3^2 , $p_1 \cdot p_2$, $p_2 \cdot p_3$, and $p_3 \cdot p_1$. In the following discussion, we omit spinor indices and arguments and simply write

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^N T_n f_n. \quad (9)$$

The upper limit N of summation in Eqs. (8) and (9) is the number of the linearly independent spin tensor structures. It depends on the number of loops. We also have to distinguish between the decompositions in d and four

# of loops	0	1	2
N (in d dimensions)	1	67	581
N (in 4 dimensions)	1	64	247

Table 1: Number of form factors for different numbers of loops in d and four dimensions.

dimensions. In d dimensions, the number of independent structures is larger, owing to the presence of evanescent operators. The values N of independent form factors through two loops are given in Table 1.

Let us introduce the following notation. If $X_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3,\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}$ is an object with six spinor indices, we denote by $\text{tr}_3(X)$ the trace over three pairs of indices, i.e.,

$$\text{tr}_3(X) = \sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3=1}^4 X_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3,\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}. \quad (10)$$

Using this definition, we can introduce the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix

$$M_{kn} = \text{tr}_3(T_k T_n), \quad (11)$$

where T_j are the spin tensor structures from Eqs. (8) and (9). Then, the projectors on the form factors f_j take the form

$$P_l = \sum_{k=1}^N M_{lk}^{-1} T_k, \quad (12)$$

where M^{-1} is the inverse matrix, and we obviously have

$$f_l = \text{tr}_3(P_l H). \quad (13)$$

The use of Eqs. (12) and (13) for unrenormalized amplitudes is delicate within dimensional regularization, since the projectors P_l depend nontrivially on the dimension d . A better way is to first renormalize the amplitude H and then use the projectors in four dimensions. In order to achieve this, we construct N scalar amplitudes A_k as

$$A_k = \text{tr}_3(T_k H), \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (14)$$

After renormalization of all A_k amplitudes in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, the form factors can be obtained as²

$$f_l = \sum_{k=1}^N M_{lk}^{-1} A_k, \quad (15)$$

where M^{-1} is now taken in four dimensions. In this limit, all elements of M^{-1} are just rational numbers.

However, in four dimensions, we cannot apply the formula in Eq. (15) directly, since the determinant of the matrix M_{lk} is then zero. This may be understood from Table 1 by observing that the number of independent structures in four dimensions is less than in d dimensions. In this case, we need to solve the system (in matrix notation)

$$M\vec{A} = \vec{f}, \quad (16)$$

where $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_N)^T$ etc.

The system (16) is over-determined, but consistent by construction. We find the solution for \vec{f} in the form

$$\vec{f} = \vec{f}_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{N_d - N_4} C_j \vec{y}_j, \quad (17)$$

where \vec{f}_0 is some particular solution of the system (16), the vectors \vec{y}_j form a basis of the $N_d - N_4 = 334$ dimensional null space of the matrix M , and C_j are arbitrary constants.

After renormalization, we have 581 two-loop form factors f_n in four dimensions, 247 of which are linearly independent. We have calculated all of them analytically in R_ξ gauge in terms of a set of complicated master integrals, which we have evaluated numerically.

4. Results

Because of their large number, we refrain from listing the renormalized two-loop form factors f_n here, but supply them in ancillary files submitted to

²Indeed, we have $\sum_k M_{lk}^{-1} A_k = \sum_{k,n} M_{lk}^{-1} M_{kn} f_n = \sum_n \delta_{ln} f_n = f_l$.

the ArXiv along with this manuscript. Specifically, we present our analytic results in R_ξ gauge in the form of Eq. (17) including explicit expressions for the constants C_i , and our numerical results in Landau gauge ($\xi = 0$) for $C_i = 0$.

To illustrate the structure and typical size of the corrections, we present here, in numerical form, the two-loop form factor f_1 , corresponding to the structure $\Gamma_0 \otimes \Gamma_0 \otimes \Gamma_0$, in R_ξ gauge:

$$\frac{f_1}{f_{1,\text{Born}}} = 1 + a(0.6204053 + 0.595702 \xi) + a^2[10.45 + 3.59 \xi + 1.42 \xi^2 \pm 0.03 - (0.689 \pm 0.001)n_f], \quad (18)$$

where $f_{1,\text{Born}} = \epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon^{ijk} = 6$ is the Born result, $a = \alpha_s/\pi$, n_f is the number of light quark flavors, and ξ is the gauge parameter.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we have established a framework for the evaluation of the corrections to the baryonic current without derivatives through the two-loop order. The main difficulty in the study of the baryonic operators is the presence of evanescent operators that mix under renormalization with the physical operators. This leads to a large mixing matrix and the necessity for finite renormalizations. On the other hand, if we use the open-indices approach, there is no ambiguity in the interpretation in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. Exploiting this observation, we have evaluated all the form factors appearing through two loops and presented them in a numerical form that is ready for use in lattice QCD simulations.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Vladimir M. Braun and Meinulf Göckeler, and Alexander N. Manashov for fruitful discussions. O.L.V. is grateful to the University of Hamburg for the warm hospitality. This work was supported in part by the German Research Foundation DFG through Research Unit FOR 2926 “Next Generation Perturbative QCD for Hadron Structure: Preparing for the Electron-Ion Collider” with Grant No. KN 365/13-1.

Appendix A. Spin tensor structures

In this Section, we explicitly enumerate all linearly independent spin tensor structures T_n through two loops in d dimensions. All tensors T_n are

represented as a tensor products of three Dirac structures, as

$$T_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3,\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} = \Gamma_{\alpha_1\beta_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\alpha_2\beta_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\alpha_3\beta_3}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The building blocks Γ are anti-symmetric products of Dirac γ matrices,

$$\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{1}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2} = \frac{1}{2!} \gamma_{[\mu_1} \gamma_{\mu_2]}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} = \frac{1}{4!} \gamma_{[\mu_1} \gamma_{\mu_2} \gamma_{\mu_3} \gamma_{\mu_4]}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the unit Dirac matrix and square brackets $[\dots]$ denote antisymmetrisation. Notice that Dirac structures with odd numbers of Dirac matrices do not appear in our calculation.

We also introduce the following notation for the contraction of a vector and a tensor (Schoonship notation)

$$p^\mu \Gamma_{\dots\mu\dots} = \Gamma_{\dots p\dots}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Furthermore, we introduce the following wild-cards: \mathbf{p} can take one of p_1, p_2, p_3 , \mathbf{pp} can take one of p_1p_2, p_2p_3, p_3p_1 , and \mathbf{ppp} stands for $p_1p_2p_3$.

For the sake of systematics, we assign to each tensor structure a signature, which is an ordered triplet of the numbers 0, 2, and 4 of γ matrices appearing in each Γ factor, and a number $[p]$ counting the overall appearances of momenta. Furthermore, we distinguish between symmetric and non-symmetric structures. The symmetric structures do not have co-partners arising under the change of order of the Γ factors in the tensor products, while the non-symmetric ones do. So, the numbers of non-symmetric structures should be multiplied by 3. In Tables A.2 and A.3, we systematically list the symmetric and non-symmetric tensor structures, respectively, and specify the number ($\#$) of entities for each signature and each value of $[p]$. We also give the total number ($\#\#$) of entities for each signature.

signature	$[p]$	tensor structure	#	##
000	0	$\Gamma_0 \otimes \Gamma_0 \otimes \Gamma_0$	1	1
222	0	$\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_2\mu_3} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_3\mu_1}$	1	
222	6	$1/(-\mu^2)^3 \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}}$	27	28

Table A.2: Symmetric structures ordered according to their signatures and values of $[p]$, numbers # of entities for given signature and value of $[p]$, and total numbers ## of entities for given signature.

signature	$[p]$	tensor structure	#	##
200	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}} \otimes \Gamma_0 \otimes \Gamma_0$	3	3
220	0	$\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_0$	1	
220	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_0$	9	
220	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}} \otimes \Gamma_0$	9	19
222	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2}$	9	
222	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2}$	3	
222	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1}$	27	39
402	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_0 \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2}$	3	
402	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{ppp}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_0 \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1}$	3	6
420	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_0$	3	
420	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{ppp}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_0$	3	6
440	0	$\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} \otimes \Gamma_0$	1	
440	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} \otimes \Gamma_0$	9	
440	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{pp}\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_0$	9	
440	6	$1/(-\mu^2)^3 \Gamma_{\mathbf{ppp}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{ppp}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_0$	1	20

422	0	$\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_3\mu_4}$	1	
422	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_2\mu_3}$	9	
422	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_2\mu_3} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1}$	9	
422	2	$1/(-\mu^2)^1 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_2\mu_3} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_3\mu_1}$	3	
422	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_2}$	27	
422	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2}$	9	
422	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}}$	9	
422	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2}$	3	
422	4	$1/(-\mu^2)^2 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_2}$	3	
422	6	$1/(-\mu^2)^3 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1}$	9	
422	6	$1/(-\mu^2)^3 \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mu_1} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}}$	9	91

Table A.3: Non-symmetric structures. The meaning of the columns is the same as in Table A.2.

References

- [1] Gunnar S. Bali et al. Light-cone distribution amplitudes of the baryon octet. *JHEP*, 02:070, 2016.
- [2] V. M. Braun, S. Collins, B. Gläfle, M. Göckeler, A. Schäfer, R. W. Schiel, W. Söldner, A. Sternbeck, and P. Wein. Light-cone Distribution Amplitudes of the Nucleon and Negative Parity Nucleon Resonances from Lattice QCD. *Phys. Rev. D*, 89:094511, 2014.
- [3] Vladimir M. Braun et al. Nucleon distribution amplitudes and proton decay matrix elements on the lattice. *Phys. Rev. D*, 79:034504, 2009.
- [4] V. L. Chernyak, A. A. Ogloblin, and I. R. Zhitnitsky. Wave Functions of Octet Baryons. *Yad. Fiz.*, 48:1410–1422, 1988.

- [5] V. L. Chernyak and A. R. Zhitnitsky. Asymptotic Behavior of Exclusive Processes in QCD. *Phys. Rept.*, 112:173, 1984.
- [6] V. L. Chernyak and I. R. Zhitnitsky. Nucleon Wave Function and Nucleon Form-Factors in QCD. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 246:52–74, 1984.
- [7] A. V. Efremov and A. V. Radyushkin. Factorization and Asymptotical Behavior of Pion Form-Factor in QCD. *Phys. Lett. B*, 94:245–250, 1980.
- [8] Meinulf Gockeler et al. Nucleon distribution amplitudes from lattice QCD. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 101:112002, 2008.
- [9] I. D. King and Christopher T. Sachrajda. Nucleon Wave Functions and QCD Sum Rules. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 279:785–803, 1987.
- [10] Bernd A. Kniehl and Oleg L. Veretin. Bilinear quark operators in the RI/SMOM scheme at three loops. *Phys. Lett. B*, 804:135398, 2020.
- [11] Bernd A. Kniehl and Oleg L. Veretin. Moments $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ of the Wilson twist-two operators at three loops in the RI'/SMOM scheme. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 961:115229, 2020.
- [12] Susanne Krankl and Alexander Manashov. Two-loop renormalization of three-quark operators in QCD. *Phys. Lett. B*, 703:519–523, 2011.
- [13] G. Peter Lepage and Stanley J. Brodsky. Exclusive Processes in Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 22:2157, 1980.
- [14] G. Martinelli and Christopher T. Sachrajda. The Quark Distribution Amplitude of the Proton: A Lattice Computation of the Lowest Two Moments. *Phys. Lett. B*, 217:319–324, 1989.
- [15] C. Sturm, Y. Aoki, N. H. Christ, T. Izubuchi, C. T. C. Sachrajda, and A. Soni. Renormalization of quark bilinear operators in a momentum-subtraction scheme with a nonexceptional subtraction point. *Phys. Rev. D*, 80:014501, 2009.