

A NEW COMBINATORIAL FORMULA FOR ALTERNATING DESCENT POLYNOMIALS

QIONGQIONG PAN

ABSTRACT. We prove a combinatorial formula for the alternating descent polynomials of type A and B. Combining with Josuat-Vergès' combinatorial interpretation for Hoffman's derivative polynomials for tangent and secant functions, we obtain a unified combinatorial proof of Ma-Yeh's two formulae linking these two families of polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{S}_n denote the symmetric group of all permutations of $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For a permutation $\sigma = \sigma(1)\sigma(2)\dots\sigma(n) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, an index $i \in [n-1]$ is a *descent* if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$. The generating function of descent statistic is the classical Eulerian polynomials. In 2008 Chebikin [2] studied new statistics on permutations that are variations of the descent statistic. We say that $\sigma = \sigma(1)\sigma(2)\dots\sigma(n)$ has an *alternating descent* at position i if either $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$ and i is odd, or else if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$ and i is even.

Let $\hat{D}(\sigma)$ be the set of *alternating descent positions* of σ , and set $\hat{d}(\sigma) = |\hat{D}(\sigma)|$. We define the alternating Eulerian polynomials $\hat{A}(x)$ by

$$\hat{A}_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\hat{d}(\sigma)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{A}(n, k)x^k. \quad (1)$$

Denote by \mathcal{B}_n the collection of permutations σ of the set $[\pm n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \cup \{-1, -2, \dots, -n\}$ such that $\sigma(-i) = \sigma(i)$ for all $i \in [n]$. Obviously, the word $|\sigma| := |\sigma(1)| \cdots |\sigma(n)|$ is a permutation in \mathfrak{S}_n . An element of \mathcal{B}_n is called a permutation of type B. As usual, we always assume that type B permutations are prepended by 0. That is, we identify an element $\sigma = \sigma(1)\dots\sigma(n)$ in \mathcal{B}_n with the word $\sigma(0)\sigma(1)\dots\sigma(n)$, where $\sigma(0) = 0$. Following Ma and Yeh [9] we say that $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$ has an alternating descent at position $i \in \{0\} \cup [n-1]$ if either $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$ and i is even, or else if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$ and i is odd.

Let $\hat{D}_B(\sigma)$ be the set of positions at which σ has an alternating descent, and set $\hat{d}_B(\sigma) = |\hat{D}_B(\sigma)|$. Similarly, we define the type B alternating Eulerian polynomials $\hat{B}_n(x)$ by

$$\hat{B}_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} x^{\hat{d}_B(\sigma)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{B}(n, k)x^k. \quad (2)$$

In what follows, to any $\sigma = \sigma(1) \dots \sigma(n) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ we append $\sigma(n+1)$ and prepend $\sigma(0)$ in two different ways:

- (1) $\sigma(0) = n+1$ and $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$;
- (2) $\sigma(0) = 0$ and $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$.

Let \mathfrak{S}_n be the set of permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n with the first convention and \mathfrak{S}_n^0 the second convention, respectively. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \cup \mathfrak{S}_n^0$, an integer $i \in [n]$ is

- a double ascent of σ if $\sigma(i-1) < \sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$,
- a double descent of σ if $\sigma(i-1) > \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$,
- a valley of σ if $\sigma(i-1) > \sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$,
- a peak of σ if $\sigma(i-1) < \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$.

Let $\text{da}(\sigma)$, $\text{dd}(\sigma)$, $\text{val}(\sigma)$ and $\text{pk}(\sigma)$ denote the number of double ascents, double descents, valleys and peaks of σ , respectively. And let $\text{DA}(\sigma)$, $\text{DD}(\sigma)$, $\text{VAL}(\sigma)$ and $\text{PEAK}(\sigma)$ denote the set of double ascents, double descents, valleys and peaks of σ , respectively. It is easy to verify the following facts:

$$\forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \quad \text{val}(\sigma) = \text{pk}(\sigma) + 1, \quad 2 \text{val}(\sigma) + \text{dda}(\sigma) = n + 1, \quad (3)$$

$$\forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^0, \quad \text{val}(\sigma) = \text{pk}(\sigma), \quad 2 \text{val}(\sigma) + \text{dda}(\sigma) = n, \quad (4)$$

where $\text{dda}(\sigma) = \text{da}(\sigma) + \text{dd}(\sigma)$. Our starting point is the following result.

Theorem 1. *We have*

$$2^n \hat{A}_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (1+x)^{\text{dda}(\sigma)} 2^{\text{val}(\sigma)} (1+x^2)^{\text{pk}(\sigma)}, \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{B}_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^0} (1+x)^{\text{dda}(\sigma)} 2^{\text{val}(\sigma)} (1+x^2)^{\text{pk}(\sigma)}. \quad (6)$$

Hoffman [5] considered the derivative polynomials $P_n(x)$ and $Q_n(x)$ appearing in the successive derivatives of $\tan x$ and $\sec x$:

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \tan(x) = P_n(\tan(x)), \quad \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \sec(x) = Q_n(\tan(x)) \sec(x).$$

Clearly these polynomials satisfy the differential equations

$$P_{n+1}(x) = (1+x^2)P_n'(x), \quad Q_{n+1}(x) = xQ_n(x) + (1+x^2)Q_n'(x). \quad (7)$$

Hoffman proved the following generating function formulas:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} P_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{\sin(z) + x \cos(z)}{\cos(z) - x \sin(z)}, \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} Q_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{\cos(z) - x \sin(z)}. \quad (9)$$

For $n \geq 1$, the following combinatorial interpretation are due to Josuat-Vergès [6].

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{dda}(\sigma)} (1 + x^2)^{\text{val}(\sigma)}, \quad (10)$$

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^0} x^{\text{dda}(\sigma)} (1 + x^2)^{\text{val}(\sigma)}. \quad (11)$$

By (3) and (4), we derive from the above identities that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-x)^{n+1} P_n \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (1+x)^{\text{dda}(\sigma)} (2+2x^2)^{\text{val}(\sigma)} \\ &= (1+x^2) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (1+x)^{\text{dda}(\sigma)} 2^{\text{val}(\sigma)} (1+x^2)^{\text{pk}(\sigma)}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1-x)^n Q_n \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^0} (1+x)^{\text{dda}(\sigma)} (2+2x^2)^{\text{val}(\sigma)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^0} (1+x)^{\text{dda}(\sigma)} 2^{\text{val}(\sigma)} (1+x^2)^{\text{pk}(\sigma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Combining Theorem 1 with (12) and (13) we derive the following relation linking the derivative polynomials and descent polynomials.

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$2^n (1+x^2) \hat{A}_n(x) = (1-x)^{n+1} P_n \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right), \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{B}_n(x) = (1-x)^n Q_n \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right). \quad (15)$$

Now, invoking the generating functions (8) and (9), Theorem 2 implies immediately the generating functions of the descent polynomials.

Theorem 3. *We have*

$$\sum_{n>0} \hat{A}_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{\sec(1-x)z + \tan(1-x)z - 1}{1 - x(\sec(1-x)z + \tan(1-x)z)}, \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{B}_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{x-1}{(x-1)\cos(z(1-x)) + (x+1)\sin(z(1-x))}. \quad (17)$$

Ma and Yeh [9] proved that (14) and (16) are equivalent and conjectured (15). Formula (16) is due to Chebikin [2] and further generalized by Remmel [10] and Gessel and Zhuang [4]. Recently, several *classical approaches* to (16) and (17) were given by Ma-Fang-Mansour-Yeh [8], Lin-Ma-Wang-Wang [7, Theorem 2.1] and Ding-Zhu [3]. Here, by *classical approach* we mean that the proof consists of first establishes a linear recurrence

relation of the polynomials, then converting the latter into a differential equation of the generating function, and finally solving the differential equation.

We shall prove Theorem 1 in section 2 and give another proof of (17) in Section 3, which is inspired by Chebikin's proof of (16) in [2].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

For a $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we can obtain 2^n of type B permutations by signing $\sigma(i)$ with $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, for $i \in [n]$. Since sign ϵ_i 's can be seen as involutions and that they commute, hence the group \mathbb{Z}_2^n acts on \mathfrak{S}_n via the functions $\prod_{i \in S} \epsilon_i$, $S \subset [n]$. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let $\text{Orb}(\sigma) = \{g(\sigma) : g \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n\}$ be the orbit of σ under the signed action. For example, the orbit of 123 in \mathcal{B}_3 has the following elements:

$$\text{Orb}(123) = \{123, \bar{1}23, 1\bar{2}3, \bar{1}\bar{2}3, \bar{1}2\bar{3}, 1\bar{2}\bar{3}, \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\}.$$

For convention, we still use $\hat{d}(\pi)$ to denote the number of alternating descent of $\pi \in \mathcal{B}_n$, the only difference of $\hat{d}(\pi)$ from $\hat{d}_B(\pi)$ is that we do not consider the 0 position in $\hat{d}(\pi)$, i.e.,

$$\hat{d}(\pi) = \begin{cases} \hat{d}_B(\pi) - 1, & \text{if } \pi(1) > 0 \\ \hat{d}_B(\pi), & \text{if } \pi(1) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $E = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a subset of \mathbb{Z} . A permutation (or list) π of elements of E is order isomorphic to $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ if σ is the permutation obtained from π by replacing its i -th smallest entry with i . For any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let $\text{Iso}(\sigma)$ be the set of permutations isomorphic to σ in \mathcal{B}_n . For example, the permutations isomorphic to 123 in \mathcal{B}_3 are

$$\text{Iso}(123) = \{123, \bar{1}23, \bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{1}, \bar{3}\bar{2}1, \bar{2}13, \bar{2}\bar{1}3, \bar{3}12, \bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{2}\}.$$

Clearly we have

$$2^n \hat{A}_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\pi \in \text{Iso}(\sigma)} x^{\hat{d}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{B}_n} x^{\hat{d}(\pi)}. \quad (19)$$

Lemma 1. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\pi = \epsilon_1\sigma(1)\epsilon_2\sigma(2)\cdots\epsilon_n\sigma(n) \in \text{Orb}(\sigma)$.

- (i) If i is a double ascent in σ , then whether $i - 1$ is an alternating descent position in π or not, it is only determined by the sign of $\pi(i)$.
- (ii) If i is a double descent in σ , then whether i is an alternating descent position in π or not, it is only determined by the sign of $\pi(i)$.
- (iii) If i is a peak, then whether both $i - 1$ and i are alternating descents positions or neither $i - 1$ nor i is alternating descent position, it is only determined by the sign of $\pi(i)$.
- (iv) If i is a valley, then whether i is an alternating descent or not, it is only determined by the sign of $\pi(i + 1)$.

Proof. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let $\pi = \epsilon_1\sigma(1)\epsilon_2\sigma(2)\cdots\epsilon_n\sigma(n) \in \text{Orb}(\sigma)$, if $i \in [n-1]$ and $\sigma(i-1) < \sigma(i)$, then we have

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_{i-1}\sigma(i-1) < \epsilon_i\sigma(i), & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 1 \\ \epsilon_{i-1}\sigma(i-1) > \epsilon_i\sigma(i), & \text{if } \epsilon_i = -1. \end{cases}$$

So, whether $i-1$ is an alternating descent position in π or not, it is only determined by the sign of $\pi(i)$. Similarly, if $\sigma(i-1) > \sigma(i)$, whether $i-1$ is an alternating descent position in π or not, it is only determined by the sign of $\pi(i-1)$.

The properties (i)-(iv) can be verified easily by the above observations. \square

Then we prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \text{Orb}(\sigma)} x^{\hat{d}(\pi)} = (1+x)^{\text{dda}(\sigma)} 2^{\text{val}(\sigma)} (1+x^2)^{\text{pk}(\sigma)}. \quad (21)$$

Proof. In Lemma 1, for the case (iv), if i is a valley of σ , then $i+1$ must be a peak or a double ascent in σ , so for position i , whether it can be an alternating descent or not should be considered in (i) or (iii) case, which means for $\pi \in \text{Orb}(\sigma)$, the number of alternating descent of π is only determined by the signs of peaks, double ascents and double descents of σ . That is, if sign a peak of σ with $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, then it will contribute either 0 or 2 alternating descents, i.e., $(1+x^2)$. If sign a double descent or a double ascent with $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, then it will contribute either 0 or 1 alternating descent, i.e., $(1+x)$. For valleys of σ , each valley has two possibilities to sign it. Then we have (21). \square

Since

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{B}_n} x^{\hat{d}(\pi)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\pi \in \text{Orb}(\sigma)} x^{\hat{d}(\pi)}, \quad (22)$$

by Lemma 2 we have (5). Similarly, we prove (6).

Remark 1. *In [6], Josuat-Vergès considered a q -version of $Q_n(x)$ with a combinatorial interpretation in terms of cycle-alternating permutations, so it is natural to ask if one can give a unified q -version for (14) and (15).*

3. ANOTHER PROOF OF THEOREM (17)

Let σ be a permutation of type A or B. We say that σ is an (*down-up*) *alternating permutation* with length n if $\sigma(1) > \sigma(2) < \sigma(3) > \sigma(4) \cdots$. It is well known that the numbers of alternating permutations of type A are the Euler numbers E_n , which have the exponential generating function

$$1 + \sum_{n>0} E_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \tan x + \sec x. \quad (23)$$

Let $\mathcal{DU}_n^{(B)}$ be the set of (down-up) alternating permutations of type B with length n . Let $DU_n^{(B)}$ be the cardinality of $\mathcal{DU}_n^{(B)}$, clearly, we have $DU_n^{(B)} = 2^n E_n$. Similarly we define the *up-down alternating permutations of type A or B*. An alternating permutation of type B starting with a positive entry is called a *snake* (of type B). Let \mathcal{S}_n be the set of snakes of length n . Arnol'd [1] showed that the numbers of snakes $S_n := |\mathcal{S}_n|$ have the generating function [11],

$$1 + \sum_{n>0} S_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{\cos(x) - \sin(x)}. \quad (24)$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_n^- = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n | \sigma(1) < 0\}$, $\mathcal{B}_n^+ = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n | \sigma(1) > 0\}$, and denote

$$\hat{B}_n^-(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^-} x^{\hat{d}_B(\sigma)}, \quad \hat{B}_n^+(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^+} x^{\hat{d}_B(\sigma)},$$

then $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}_n^- \cup \mathcal{B}_n^+$ and $\hat{B}_n(x) = \hat{B}_n^-(x) + \hat{B}_n^+(x)$.

We begin by deducing a formula for the number of permutations in \mathcal{B}_n^- with a given alternating descent set. For $\bar{S} \subseteq [n-1]$, let $\hat{\beta}_n^-(\bar{S})$ be the number of permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^-$ with $\hat{D}_B(\sigma) = \bar{S}$, and let $\hat{\alpha}_n^-(\bar{S}) = \sum_{T \subseteq \bar{S}} \hat{\beta}_n^-(T)$ be the number of permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^-$ with $\hat{D}_B(\sigma) \subseteq \bar{S}$. For $\bar{S} = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k\} \subseteq [n-1]$, let $co(\bar{S})$ be the composition $(s_1, s_2 - s_1, s_3 - s_2, \dots, s_k - s_{k-1}, n - s_k)$ of n , and for a composition $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l)$ of n , let \bar{S}_γ be the subset $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{l-1}\}$ of $[n-1]$. Also, define

$$\binom{n}{\gamma} := \binom{n}{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l} = \frac{n!}{\gamma_1! \dots \gamma_l!}.$$

For $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$, let $\sigma^- = \sigma^-(1) \dots \sigma^-(n) \in \mathcal{B}_n$, where $\sigma^-(i) = -\sigma(i)$. Obviously, $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^-$ is a bijection on \mathcal{B}_n . Now, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$\hat{\alpha}_n^-(\bar{S}) = \binom{n}{s_1, s_2 - s_1, \dots, n - s_k} \cdot S_{s_1} \cdot DU_{s_2 - s_1}^{(B)} \dots DU_{n - s_k}^{(B)}. \quad (25)$$

Proof. Let $\bar{S} = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k\} \subseteq [n-1]$. Set $s_0 = 0$ and $s_{k+1} = n$ for convenience. The alternating descent set of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^-$ is contained in \bar{S} if and only if for all $1 < i \leq k+1$, the subword $\tau_i = \sigma(s_{i-1} + 1)\sigma(s_{i-1} + 2) \dots \sigma(s_i)$ forms either an up-down (if s_{i-1} is even) or a down-up (if s_{i-1} is odd) alternating permutations of type B. And for the subword $\tau_1 = \sigma(s_0 + 1)\sigma(s_0 + 2) \dots \sigma(s_1)$, τ_1^- forms a snake of type B. Thus to construct a permutation σ with $\hat{D}_B(\sigma) \subseteq \bar{S}$, one must choose one of the $\binom{n}{co(\bar{S})}$ ways to distribute the elements of $[n]$ among the subwords $|\tau_1|, \dots, |\tau_{k+1}|$, then for each $1 < i \leq k$ choose one of the $DU_{s_i - s_{i-1}}^{(B)}$ ways of ordering the signed elements within the subword τ_i and for $i = 1$ choose one of the S_{s_1} ways of ordering the signed elements within the subword τ_1^- . Then the equation (25) follows. \square

Now, consider the sum

$$\sum_{\bar{S} \subseteq [n-1]} \hat{\alpha}_n^-(\bar{S}) \cdot x^{|\bar{S}|} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^-} \left(\sum_{\hat{D}_B(\sigma) \subseteq T} x^{|\hat{D}_B(\sigma)|} \right). \quad (26)$$

The right hand side of (26) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^-} \sum_{T \supseteq \hat{D}_B(\sigma)} x^{|\hat{D}_B(\sigma)| + |T - \hat{D}_B(\sigma)|} &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^-} x^{|\hat{D}_B(\sigma)|} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1-|\hat{D}_B(\sigma)|} \binom{n-1-|\hat{D}_B(\sigma)|}{i} x^i \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^-} x^{|\hat{D}_B(\sigma)|} (1+x)^{n-1-|\hat{D}_B(\sigma)|}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

as there are $\binom{n-1-|\hat{D}_B(\sigma)|}{i}$ subsets with i elements of $[n-1] \setminus \hat{D}_B(\sigma)$ containing $\hat{D}_B(\sigma)$. Continuing with the right hand side of (27), we get

$$(1+x)^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n^-} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^{|\hat{D}_B(\sigma)|} = (1+x)^{n-1} \hat{B}_n^- \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right). \quad (28)$$

Combining equations (26)-(28), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\bar{S} \subseteq [n-1]} \hat{\alpha}_n^-(\bar{S}) \cdot x^{|\bar{S}|} \right) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{1+x} \sum_{n \geq 1} \hat{B}_n^- \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \cdot \frac{z^n (1+x)^n}{n!}, \quad (29)$$

since $\bar{S} \mapsto \text{co}(\bar{S})$ is a bijection between $[n-1]$ and the set of compositions of n , the left hand side of (29) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\gamma} \frac{S_{s_1}}{\gamma_1!} \cdot \frac{DU_{\gamma_2}^{(B)}}{\gamma_2!} \cdots \frac{DU_{\gamma_l}^{(B)}}{\gamma_l!} \cdot x^{l-1} \right) \cdot z^n \\ = \sum_{l \geq 1} x^{l-1} \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{DU_i^{(B)} z^i}{i!} \right)^{l-1} \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{S_i z^i}{i!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As $DU_n^{(B)} = 2^n E_n$, by (23) and (24), the last sum is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l \geq 1} x^{l-1} (\tan(2z) + \sec(2z) - 1)^{l-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\cos(z) - \sin(z)} - 1 \right) \\ = \left(\frac{1}{\cos(z) - \sin(z)} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - x(\tan(2z) + \sec(2z) - 1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

thus, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \hat{B}_n^- \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \frac{[z(1+x)]^n}{n!} = \frac{1+x}{1 - x(\tan(2z) + \sec(2z) - 1)} \left(\frac{1}{\cos(z) - \sin(z)} - 1 \right).$$

Replacing z by $\frac{z}{1+x}$, x by $\frac{x}{1-x}$, we obtain an explicit formula for the exponential generating function of $\hat{B}_n^-(x)$,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \hat{B}_n^-(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{\cos(z(x-1)) + \sin(z(x-1)) - 1}{(x-1)\cos(z(x-1)) - (x+1)\sin(z(x-1))}. \quad (30)$$

Now, let $\hat{B}_0(x) = 1$ then we can give an explicit formula for the exponential generating function of alternating Eulerian polynomials of type B.

Proof of Theorem 3. For $\sigma \in \hat{B}_n^-$, the bijection $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^-$ from \hat{B}_n^- to \hat{B}_n^+ defined by $\sigma^-(i) = -\sigma(i)$, shows that

$$\hat{B}_n^-(1/x)x^n = \hat{B}_n^+(x),$$

combine with $\hat{B}_n(x) = \hat{B}_n^+(x) + \hat{B}_n^-(x)$ and after some calculations, the equation (17) follows. \square

Proposition 4. For $n \geq 1$, the numbers $\hat{B}(n, k)$ satisfy the recurrence relation,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}(n+1, k) = & (n+1-k)(\hat{B}(n, k) + \hat{B}(n, k-2)) + k(\hat{B}(n, k+1) + \hat{B}(n, k-1)) \\ & + \hat{B}(n, k+1) + \hat{B}(n, k-2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{B}(n, k) = 0$ if $k < 0$ and $\hat{B}(n, 0) = S_n$, see (24).

Proof. By (15) and (7), we have

$$\hat{B}_{n+1}(x) = (n+1+x+nx^2)\hat{B}_n(x) + (1-x)(1+x^2)\hat{B}'_n(x).$$

Then the recurrence follows through comparing the coefficients of two sides of the above equation. \square

Remark 2. Remmel [10] considered a different alternating descent of type B permutations. Lin-Ma-Wang-Wang [7] also studied the positivity and divisibility of alternating Eulerian polynomials of type A.

REFERENCES

- [1] Vladimir I. Arnol'd. The calculus of snakes and the combinatorics of bernoulli, euler and springer numbers of coxeter groups. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 47(1):1–51, feb 1992.
- [2] Denis Chebikin. Variations on descents and inversions in permutations. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 15(1):Research Paper 132, 34, 2008.
- [3] Ming-Jian Ding and Bao-Xuan Zhu. Stability of combinatorial polynomials and its applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2016.12176*, 2021.
- [4] Ira M. Gessel and Yan Zhuang. Counting permutations by alternating descents. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 21(4):P4–23, 2014.
- [5] Michael E. Hoffman. Derivative polynomials, Euler polynomials, and associated integer sequences. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 6:Research Paper 21, 13, 1999.
- [6] Matthieu Josuat-Vergès. Enumeration of snakes and cycle-alternating permutations. *The Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 60:279–305, 2014.

- [7] Zhicong Lin, Shi-Mei Ma, David GL Wang, and Liuquan Wang. Positivity and divisibility of enumerators of alternating descents. *The Ramanujan Journal*, pages 1–26, 2021.
- [8] Shi-Mei Ma, Qi Fang, Toufik Mansour, and Yeong-Nan Yeh. Alternating eulerian polynomials and left peak polynomials. *Discrete Mathematics*, 345(3):112714, 2022.
- [9] Shi-Mei Ma and Yeong-Nan Yeh. Enumeration of permutations by number of alternating descents. *Discrete Mathematics*, 339(4):1362–1367, 2016.
- [10] Jeffrey B. Remmel. Generating functions for alternating descents and alternating major index. *Annals of Combinatorics*, 16(3):625–650, 2012.
- [11] Tonny A. Springer. Remarks on a combinatorial problem. *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde. Derde Serie (3)*, 19:30–36, 1971.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, WENZHOU UNIVERSITY, WENZHOU 325035, PR CHINA
Email address: qpan@wzu.edu.cn