

# Systematics of fully heavy dibaryons

Xin-Zhen Weng<sup>1,\*</sup> and Shi-Lin Zhu<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Physics and Center of High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

(Dated: February 7, 2024)

We systematically study the mass spectra of the fully heavy dibaryons in an extended chromomagnetic model, which includes both the colorelectric and chromomagnetic interactions. We find no stable state below the corresponding baryon-baryon thresholds. Besides the masses, we also estimate the relative width ratios of the two-body decay channels. We hope our study will be of help for future experiments.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the past few decades, we have witnessed huge progress in searching for the exotic states, which cannot be explained as the conventional mesons or baryons. In 2003, the Belle Collaboration observed the  $X(3872)$  state in the exclusive  $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$  decays [1]. Its quantum numbers are  $I^G J^{PC} = 0^{+1^{++}}$  [2]. Since then, lots of  $XYZ$  states have been discovered, such as the  $Z_c(3900)$  [3, 4],  $Y(3940)$  [5],  $Z_c(4020)$  [6],  $Y(4140)$  [7],  $Y(4260)$  [8],  $Y(4360)$  [9],  $Y(4660)$  [10],  $Z_{cs}(3985)$  [11],  $Z_{cs}(4000)$ , and  $Z_{cs}(4020)$  [12],  $Z_b(10610)$ , and  $Z_b(10650)$  [13] and so on. They are called the charmonium- or bottomonium-like states since they consist of at least a heavy  $c\bar{c}$  or  $b\bar{b}$  pair. Besides the charmonium- and bottomonium-like states, various open heavy flavor exotic states have also been found in experiments. In 2020, the LHCb Collaboration observed two singly-charmed resonances  $X_{0/1}(2900)$  in the  $D^- K^+$  channel [14, 15]. Later, they observed a narrow doubly-charmed tetraquark  $T_{cc}^+$  in the  $D^0 D^0 \pi^+$  mass spectrum, just below the  $D^{*+} D^0$  threshold [16, 17]. Moreover, in 2020, the LHCb collaboration observed two structures in the  $J/\psi$ -pair invariant mass spectrum in the range of 6.2–7.2 GeV, which could be the  $cc\bar{c}\bar{c}$  tetraquarks [18]. They are good candidates of the exotic structures like the compact tetraquark [19–23], the hybrid meson [24, 25], the molecule [26–33], and so on. More detailed reviews can be found in Refs. [33–44].

Compared to the tetraquark systems, the experimental progress in the six-quark system is relatively scarce. The first well-understood six-quark system is the deuteron observed by Urey *et al.* in 1932 [45, 46]. It is a loosely bound molecular state composed of a proton and a neutron, with a binding energy of only 2.2 MeV. Another dibaryon candidate is the  $d^*(2380)$ , with quantum numbers  $IJ^P = 03^+$ , observed by the WASA-at-COSY Collaboration [47–52]. Theoretically, such a system was first explored by Dyson and Xuong in 1964 [53], with an impressive prediction of its mass around 2350 MeV. In 1977, Kamae and Fujita used a non-relativistic one-boson-exchange (OBE) potential model to study the  $\Delta\Delta$  system and found that the two  $\Delta$  isobars are bound by about 100 MeV [54]. More details can be found in the recent review Ref. [55] and references therein.

Another interesting system would be the fully heavy dibaryon [56–61]. For such a system, the relativistic effects are negligible, and the kinetic energy is small since the constituent quarks are heavy. In Ref. [56], Lyu *et al.* used lattice QCD to study the  $\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccc}$  in the  $^1S_0$  channel. They found this system is loosely bound by about 5.68 MeV. Within the same methodology, Mathur *et al.* replaced the charm quarks by the bottom quarks, and found a very deeply bound  $\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbb}$  dibaryon in the same channel, with a binding energy about 89 MeV [57]. A recent study in the extended OBE model also supported the existence of these bound states, although the binding energy for the  $\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbb}$  system is considerably smaller ( $\sim 6$  MeV) [58]. On the other hand, Alcaraz-Pelegri *et al.* studied the fully heavy dibaryons with the Diffusion Monte Carlo method within quark model [59]. They found that all these states are above the thresholds of the two fully heavy baryons. In Ref. [60], Richard *et al.* explored the  $bbbccc$  dibaryons and found no bound states below the lowest dissociation threshold as well.

For the fully heavy dibaryon, interactions are provided by gluon exchange and string confinement. Usually, the interactions include the spin-independent Coulomb and confinement interactions, and the spin-dependent chromomagnetic, spin-orbit and tensor interactions [62–66]. When restricted to the ground state, the tensor and spin-orbit interactions can be ignored. Then we have the simplified chromomagnetic model interactions

$$H_{\text{int}} = - \sum_{i<j} a_{ij} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j - \sum_{i<j} v_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j. \quad (1)$$

\* xinzhenweng@mail.tau.ac.il

† zhysl@pku.edu.cn

Note that for color-singlet hadrons the effective quark masses can be absorbed into the colorelectric interaction [67]. These interactions give a good account of the ground state mesons and baryons [67]. These effective interactions were used to study tetraquarks [68–72], pentaquarks [73–76] and baryonia [77]. In this work, we use these interactions to study fully heavy dibaryons. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the extended chromomagnetic model and construct the dibaryon wave functions. We discuss the dibaryon masses and decay properties in Sec. III and conclude in Sec. IV.

## II. THE EXTENDED CHROMOMAGNETIC MODEL

In the quark model, the Hamiltonian of a  $S$ -wave hadron reads [20, 41, 78, 79]

$$H = \sum_i m_i + H_{\text{CE}} + H_{\text{CM}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $m_i$  is the effective mass of the  $i$ th quark (or antiquark).  $H_{\text{CE}}$  is the colorelectric (CE) interaction [80]

$$H_{\text{CE}} = - \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j, \quad (3)$$

and  $H_{\text{CM}}$  is the chromomagnetic (CM) interaction

$$H_{\text{CM}} = - \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j. \quad (4)$$

Here,  $A_{ij}$  and  $v_{ij}$  are the effective coupling constants which depend on the constituent quark masses and the spatial wave function.  $\mathbf{S}_i = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i/2$  and  $\mathbf{F}_i = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i/2$  are the quark spin and color operators. For the antiquark,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\bar{q}} = -\mathbf{S}_q^*, \quad \mathbf{F}_{\bar{q}} = -\mathbf{F}_q^*. \quad (5)$$

Since

$$\sum_{i < j} (m_i + m_j) \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j = \left( \sum_i m_i \mathbf{F}_i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_i \mathbf{F}_i \right) - \frac{4}{3} \sum_i m_i, \quad (6)$$

and the total color operator  $\sum_i \mathbf{F}_i$  nullifies any color-singlet physical state, we can rewrite the Hamiltonian as [67]

$$H = -\frac{3}{4} \sum_{i < j} m_{ij} V_{ij}^{\text{C}} - \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} V_{ij}^{\text{CM}}, \quad (7)$$

by introducing the quark pair mass parameter

$$m_{ij} = (m_i + m_j) + \frac{4}{3} a_{ij}, \quad (8)$$

where  $V_{ij}^{\text{C}} \equiv \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j$  and  $V_{ij}^{\text{CM}} \equiv \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j$  are the colorelectric and CM interactions between quarks. Here  $\{m_{ij}, v_{ij}\}$  are unknown parameters. In Ref. [67], we fitted these parameters from the conventional mesons and baryons. The related parameters are presented in Table I. In this work, we use the same set of parameters to study the ground state fully heavy dibaryons.

TABLE I. Parameters of the  $qq$  pairs for the baryons [67] (in units of MeV).

Parameter	$m_{nn}^b$	$m_{ns}^b$	$m_{ss}^b$	$m_{nc}^b$	$m_{sc}^b$	$m_{cc}^b$	$m_{nb}^b$	$m_{sb}^b$	$m_{cb}^b$	$m_{bb}^b$
Value	724.85	906.65	1049.36	2079.96	2183.68	3171.51	5412.25	5494.80	6416.07	9529.57
Parameter	$v_{nn}^b$	$v_{ns}^b$	$v_{ss}^b$	$v_{nc}^b$	$v_{sc}^b$	$v_{cc}^b$	$v_{nb}^b$	$v_{sb}^b$	$v_{cb}^b$	$v_{bb}^b$
Value	305.34	212.75	195.30	62.81	70.63	56.75	19.92	8.47	31.45	30.65

To investigate the mass spectra of the dibaryon states, we need to construct the wave functions. A detail construction of the dibaryon wave functions can be found in Appendix A. Diagonalizing the Hamiltonian in these bases, we can obtain the masses and eigenvectors of the fully heavy dibaryons.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

#### A. The $c^6$ and $b^6$ systems

Inserting the parameters into the Hamiltonian, we can obtain the mass spectra of dibaryons. Their masses and eigenvectors are listed in Table II. In Fig. 1, we plot their relative position along with baryon-baryon thresholds which they may decay into through quark rearrangement.

TABLE II. Masses and eigenvectors of the fully heavy dibaryons. All the masses are in units of MeV.

System	$J^P$	Mass	Eigenvector	Scattering state
$cccccc$	$0^+$	9684.8	{1}	
$bbbbbb$	$0^+$	28680.7	{1}	
$cccccb$	$0^+$	12904.0	{1}	
	$1^+$	12862.1	{1}	
$bbbbbc$	$0^+$	25568.0	{1}	
	$1^+$	25526.0	{1}	
$cccbb$	$0^+$	16015.5	{0.361, 0.933}	
		16129.9	{0.933, -0.361}	
	$1^+$	16019.9	{1}	
	$2^+$	15999.0	{1}	
$bbbcc$	$0^+$	22347.5	{0.361, 0.933}	
		22461.9	{0.933, -0.361}	
	$1^+$	22351.9	{1}	
	$2^+$	22330.9	{1}	
$ccbbb$	$0^+$	19090.4	{-0.153, 0.988}	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbb}$
		19297.9	{0.988, 0.153}	
	$1^+$	19091.6	{-0.155, 0.988}	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbb}$
		19244.3	{0.988, 0.155}	
	$2^+$	19095.3	{1}	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbb}$
	$3^+$	19095.3	{1}	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbb}$

First we consider the  $c^6$  and  $b^6$  systems. Only the scalar states are allowed for these systems, namely the  $D$  ( $cccccc, 9684.8, 0^+$ ) and  $D$  ( $bbbbbb, 28680.7, 0^+$ ). From Fig. 1(a), we see that they are all above the baryon-baryon thresholds. We may also see this from the Hamiltonian. For the fully heavy dibaryon with the identical quarks, we have [81, 82]

$$\langle H(Q^6, 0^+) \rangle = \left\langle -\frac{3}{4}m_{QQ}^D \sum_{i<j} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j - v_{QQ}^D \sum_{i<j} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \right\rangle = 3m_{QQ}^D + 3v_{QQ}^D, \quad (9)$$

where the superscript  $D$  is an abbreviation of dibaryon. On the other hand, the mass of the fully heavy  $\Omega_{QQQ}$  baryon reads [67]

$$M_{\Omega_{QQQ}} = \frac{3}{2}m_{QQ}^B + \frac{1}{2}v_{QQ}^B, \quad (10)$$

where the superscript  $B$  is an abbreviation of the baryon. In the present work, we assume that the dibaryons and the baryons share the same parameters, namely  $m_{QQ}^D \approx m_{QQ}^B \equiv m_{QQ}$  and  $v_{QQ}^D \approx v_{QQ}^B \equiv v_{QQ}$ . Thus the dibaryons are above




FIG. 1. Masses of the fully heavy dibaryons. The dotted lines indicate various baryon-baryon thresholds, where the baryon masses are calculated in the same model [67]. The scattering states are marked with a dagger ( $\dagger$ ), along with the proportion of their dominant components. The masses are all in units of MeV.

the baryon-baryon thresholds by

$$\Delta E \approx 2v_{QQ} = \begin{cases} 113.5 \text{ MeV} & \text{for } cccccc, \\ 61.3 \text{ MeV} & \text{for } bbbbbb. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Of course, applying the baryon parameters to the dibaryon systems will cause some uncertainties. Note that the dibaryon systems should have larger size compared to the baryon systems. Thus the distance between two quarks within the dibaryons should be larger than that of the baryons. So the attractive force between two quarks within the dibaryons should be weaker than that of the baryons. Consequently, the realistic masses of the dibaryons should be slightly larger than the masses calculated in this work [74, 83]. We see that even with this consideration, the  $c^6$  ( $b^6$ ) dibaryon should be above the  $\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccc}$  ( $\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbb}$ ) threshold.

### B. The $c^5b$ and $b^5c$ systems

Next we consider the  $c^5b$  and  $b^5c$  systems. In both cases, the lightest states have quantum numbers  $J^P = 1^+$ . They are  $D(cccccb, 12862.1, 1^+)$  and  $D(bbbbbc, 25526.0, 1^+)$ , respectively. From Fig. 1, we can easily see that these states are all above thresholds. Interestingly, numerical result suggests that the scalar state is heavier than the axial-vector one. Let's consider the colorelectric interaction (here we use  $cccccb$  as an example)

$$\langle H_C(cccccb) \rangle = 2m_{cc} + m_{cb}, \quad (12)$$

which gives an identical contribution to the two states. Thus the splitting comes from the chromomagnetic interaction. By introducing the  $SU(6)_{cs} = SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_s$  group [81], we have

$$\langle H_{CM}(cccccb) \rangle = 2v_{cc} + v_{cb} \left[ 1 + \frac{S(S+1)}{12} - \frac{\langle C_6(cccccb) \rangle}{32} \right], \quad (13)$$

where  $C_6$  is the  $SU(6)_{cs}$  Casimir operator. The Pauli principle requires that the five charm quarks be anti-symmetric, thus we have two possible  $SU(6)_{cs}$  representations

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline c \\ \hline c \\ \hline c \\ \hline c \\ \hline c \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array}_{cs} \sim \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & c \\ \hline c & c \\ \hline c & b \\ \hline \end{array}_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline c & c & c \\ \hline c & c & b \\ \hline \end{array}_s, \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline c \\ \hline c \\ \hline c \\ \hline c \\ \hline c \\ \hline c \\ \hline \end{array}_{cs} \sim \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & b \\ \hline c & \\ \hline c & \\ \hline c & \\ \hline c & \\ \hline c & \\ \hline \end{array}_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline c & c & c & b \\ \hline c & c & & \\ \hline c & c & & \\ \hline \end{array}_s. \quad (14)$$

The first one ( $J = 0$ ) is a  $SU(6)_{cs}$  singlet with  $\langle C_6 \rangle = 0$ , while the second one ( $J = 1$ ) is a 35-plet with  $\langle C_6 \rangle = 48$  [81]. Thus we have

$$\langle H_{CM}(cccccb) \rangle = \begin{cases} 2v_{cc} + v_{cb} & \text{for } J = 0, \\ 2v_{cc} - \frac{v_{cb}}{3} & \text{for } J = 1. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

We conclude that the chromomagnetic interaction favors the axial-vector state.

Besides the spectra, the eigenvectors can also be used to estimate the decay properties of the dibaryons [84–87]. We can calculate the overlap between the dibaryon and a particular baryon  $\times$  baryon channel. Then we can estimate the decay amplitude of the dibaryon into that particular channel. More precisely, we transform the wave function into the  $QQQ \otimes QQQ$  configuration. Usually, the  $QQQ$  component in the dibaryon can be either of color-singlet or of color-octet. The former one,  $|(QQQ)^{1_c}(QQQ)^{1_c}\rangle$ , can easily dissociate into two  $S$ -wave baryons in relative  $S$  wave (the so-called ‘‘Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka- (OZI)-superallowed’’ decays), while the latter one,  $|(QQQ)^{8_c}(QQQ)^{8_c}\rangle$ , cannot fall apart without the gluon exchange. For simplicity, we follow Refs. [81, 84, 85] and only consider the ‘‘OZI-superallowed’’ decays in this work. In Table III, we transform the dibaryon eigenvectors into the  $QQQ \otimes QQQ$  configuration. For simplicity, we only present the color-singlet components, and rewrite the bases as a direct product of two baryons

$$\Psi = \sum_i c_i |\psi_i(B \otimes B)\rangle + \dots \quad (16)$$

For each decay channel, the decay width is proportional to the square of the coefficient  $c_i$  of the corresponding component in the eigenvector, and also depends on the phase space. For two body decay [73, 88]

$$\Gamma_i = \gamma_i \alpha \frac{k^{2L+1}}{m^{2L}} \cdot |c_i|^2, \quad (17)$$

where  $\gamma_i$  is a quantity related to the decay dynamics,  $\alpha$  is an effective coupling constant,  $k$  is the momentum of the final baryons in the rest frame of the initial dibaryon,  $L$  is the relative partial wave between the two baryons, and  $m$  is the dibaryon mass. For the decay processes in this work,  $(k/m)^2$ 's are always of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$  or even smaller. Thus the higher wave decays are all suppressed. We only consider the  $S$ -wave decays. Next we have to estimate the  $\gamma_i$ . Generally,  $\gamma_i$  depends on the spatial wave functions of the initial and final states, which are different for each decay process. In the quark model, the spatial wave functions of the ground state  $1/2^+$  and  $3/2^+$  baryons are similar. Thus for *each* dibaryon, we have

$$\gamma_{B_1 B_2} = \gamma_{B_1 B_2^*} = \gamma_{B_1^* B_2} = \gamma_{B_1^* B_2^*}, \quad (18)$$

where  $B_i$  and  $B_i^*$  denote the ground state  $1/2^+$  and  $3/2^+$  baryons with the same flavor contents. In Tables IV and V, we calculate the values of  $k \cdot |c_i|^2$  and the relative widths for the fully heavy dibaryon decays. The scalar state  $D(cccccb, 12904.0, 0^+)$  can easily decay into  $\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}^*$ , but its phase space is quite small. Thus it may not be very broad [84]. The axial-vector state  $D(cccccb, 12862.1, 1^+)$  can decay to the  $\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}^{(*)}$  channels with comparable widths. More precisely,

$$\frac{\Gamma[D(cccccb, 12862.1, 1^+) \rightarrow \Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}^*]}{\Gamma[D(cccccb, 12862.1, 1^+) \rightarrow \Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}]} \sim 1.004. \quad (19)$$

The decay properties of the  $bbbbbc$  dibaryon states are similar. For example,

$$\frac{\Gamma[D(bbbbbc, 25526.0, 1^+) \rightarrow \Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbc}^*]}{\Gamma[D(bbbbbc, 25526.0, 1^+) \rightarrow \Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbc}]} \sim 1.3. \quad (20)$$

### C. The $c^4b^2$ and $b^4c^2$ systems

Next we consider the  $c^4b^2$  and  $b^4c^2$  systems. As shown in Fig. 1(e-f), the lowest states of these two systems have quantum numbers  $J^P = 2^+$ . They are the  $D(ccccbb, 15999.0, 2^+)$  and  $D(bbbbcc, 22330.9, 2^+)$ . The highest states are  $D(ccccbb, 16129.9, 0^+)$  and  $D(bbbbcc, 22461.9, 0^+)$ . Their splittings are all about 130 MeV.

Among these states, the  $0^+$  states are of particular interests since they have two bases. Taking  $ccccbb$  as an example, their color configurations are  $|(ccc)^{\bar{6}_c} \otimes (bb)^{6_c}\rangle$  and  $|(ccc)^{3_c} \otimes (bb)^{\bar{3}_c}\rangle$  respectively. For simplicity, we denote them as  $\bar{6}_c \otimes 6_c$  and  $3_c \otimes \bar{3}_c$ . In Table II, we present the eigenvectors of the  $ccccbb$  dibaryon states. We see that the lower mass state  $D(ccccbb, 16015.5, 0^+)$  is dominated by the  $3_c \otimes \bar{3}_c$  component (86.9%), while the higher one  $D(ccccbb, 15999.0, 0^+)$  is dominated by the  $\bar{6}_c \otimes 6_c$  component. The reason is that the colorelectric interaction favors the color-triplet configuration. More precisely,

$$\langle H_C(ccccbb) \rangle = 2m_{cc} + m_{bb} + \delta m_{cb} \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\delta m_{cb} = \frac{m_{cc} + m_{bb} - 2m_{cb}}{4} = -32.77 \text{ MeV}. \quad (22)$$

The contribution of the colorelectric interaction to the  $3_c \otimes \bar{3}_c$  configuration is smaller than the  $\bar{6}_c \otimes 6_c$  one by nearly 100 MeV. The chromomagnetic interaction  $[\delta v_{cb} = (v_{cc} + v_{bb} - 2v_{cb})/4]$

$$\langle H_{CM}(ccccbb) \rangle = 2v_{cc} + v_{bb} - \delta v_{cb} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 10/3 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{v_{cb}}{32} \langle C_6(ccccbb) \rangle \quad (23)$$

will mix the two bases. It is interesting to note that the off-diagonal terms and the difference of the diagonal terms of the Hamiltonian are symmetric over the  $c$  and  $b$  quarks. In Table III, we find that the mixing between the two scalar  $ccccbb$  states is almost identical with the mixing between the two scalar  $bbbbcc$  states. This can be explained from the Hamiltonian. From Eqs. (21-23), we see that the off-diagonal term is suppressed by  $v_{cb} \sim 1/m_c m_b$ , while the diagonal terms are both of  $\mathcal{O}(m_b)$ . Their ratio are even more suppressed. Numerically, we have

$$\langle H(ccccbb) \rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -0.0024 \\ & 0.9947 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle H(bbbbcc) \rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -0.0017 \\ & 0.9962 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

TABLE III. The eigenvectors of the dibaryon states in various  $QQQ\otimes QQQ$  configurations. The scattering states are marked with a dagger ( $\dagger$ ). All the masses are in units of MeV.

$ccc\otimes cb$				$bbb\otimes bbc$					
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}^*$	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}$	System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbc}$
$cccccb$	$0^+$	12904.0	-0.447		$bbbbbc$	$0^+$	25568.0	-0.447	
	$1^+$	12862.1	-0.333	0.298		$1^+$	25526.0	-0.333	0.298
$ccc\otimes bbc$				$ccb\otimes ccb$					
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{ccb}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{ccb}$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{ccb}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{ccb}$	
$cccbb$	$0^+$	16015.5	0.538		0.009			-0.374	
		16129.9	-0.208		-0.509			-0.213	
	$1^+$	16019.9	0.527	0.236	$\times$	-0.236	-0.236	$\times$	
	$2^+$	15999.0	0.408	0.408	-0.272	0.136	-0.136		
$bbb\otimes ccb$				$bbc\otimes bbc$					
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{ccb}^*$	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{ccb}$	$\Omega_{bbc}^*\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{bbc}^*\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{bbc}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{bbc}\Omega_{bbc}$	
$bbbcc$	$0^+$	22347.5	0.538		0.009			-0.374	
		22461.9	-0.208		-0.509			-0.213	
	$1^+$	22351.9	0.527	0.236	$\times$	-0.236	-0.236	$\times$	
	$2^+$	22330.9	0.408	0.408	-0.272	0.136	-0.136		
$ccb\otimes bbc$									
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{bbc}$			
$ccbbb$	$0^+$	19090.4 $\dagger$	-0.042			-0.335			
		19297.9	-0.456			0.107			
	$1^+$	19091.6 $\dagger$	0.015	-0.141	0.141	-0.272			
		19244.3	-0.333	-0.172	0.172	0.222			
	$2^+$	19095.3 $\dagger$	0.111	-0.222	0.222				
	$3^+$	19095.3 $\dagger$	0.333						

Note that a scale factor does not affect the eigenvectors. The difference of the two matrices begins from the third digits after the decimal point. So it is natural that the two Hamiltonians give nearly same eigenvectors.

Next we consider their decay properties. Similar to previous cases, we find that all states are above thresholds. For the highest state  $D(cccbb, 16129.9, 0^+)$ , we have (see Table V)

$$\Gamma_{\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{ccb}^*} : \Gamma_{\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{ccb}} \sim 4.4. \quad (25)$$

Thus the  $\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{ccb}^*$  mode is dominant. For the  $1^+$  and  $2^+$  states, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma[D(cccbb, 16019.9, 1^+) \rightarrow \Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}]}{\Gamma[D(cccbb, 16019.9, 1^+) \rightarrow \Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}^*]} = 0.3, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma[D(cccbb, 15999.0, 2^+) \rightarrow \Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}]}{\Gamma[D(cccbb, 15999.0, 2^+) \rightarrow \Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}^*]} = 1.7. \quad (27)$$

TABLE IV. The values of  $k \cdot |c_i|^2$  for the dibaryon states (in units of MeV). The scattering states are marked with a dagger ( $\dagger$ ).

$ccc \otimes ccb$					$bbb \otimes bbc$				
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}^*$	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}$	System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbc}$
$ccccc$	$0^+$	12904.0	152.6		$bbbbc$	$0^+$	25568.0	176.4	
	$1^+$	12862.1	63.7	64.0		$1^+$	25526.0	55.6	71.4
$ccc \otimes bbc$					$ccb \otimes ccb$				
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{ccb}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{ccb}$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{ccb}$		
$ccccb$	$0^+$	16015.5	137.3		$\times$		73.9		
		16129.9	43.4		216.0		49.5		
	$1^+$	16019.9	140.1	37.9	$\times$	55.7	$\times$		
	$2^+$	15999.0	56.1	95.0	$\times$	$\times$			
$bbb \otimes ccb$					$bbc \otimes bbc$				
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{bbc}^*\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{bbc}^*\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{bbc}\Omega_{bbc}$		
$bbbcc$	$0^+$	22347.5	117.6		$\times$		61.8		
		22461.9	50.4		228.0		54.9		
	$1^+$	22351.9	127.4	40.6	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$		
	$2^+$	22330.9	$\times$	93.9	$\times$	$\times$			
$ccb \otimes bbc$									
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{bbc}$			
$ccbbb$	$0^+$	19090.4 $^\dagger$	$\times$			$\times$			
		19297.9	179.8			13.2			
	$1^+$	19091.6 $^\dagger$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$			
		19244.3	54.7	21.7	21.7	45.0			
	$2^+$	19095.3 $^\dagger$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$				
	$3^+$	19095.3 $^\dagger$	$\times$						

The decay properties of the  $bbbcc$  dibaryon states are similar.

#### D. The $c^3b^3$ system

Now we turn to the  $ccbbb$  system. From Table II, we see that the lowest eigenstate

$$D(ccbbb, 19090.4, 0^+) = 0.988\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbb} + \dots \quad (28)$$

This state couples very strongly to the  $\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbb}$  channel. Thus it is likely to be very broad and is just part of the continuum. Actually, this kind of eigenstate also exists in the calculation of the tetraquarks/pentaquarks, where the lower mass states couple very strongly with the meson  $\otimes$  meson/baryon channels [20, 68, 70, 72, 73, 89]. Recently, a diffusion Monte Carlo simulation within the dynamical quark model also suggested such a state which is probably *two independent baryons close to each other and not a compact hexaquark* [59]. Moreover, the states of 19091.6 MeV



TABLE V. The partial width ratios for the dibaryon states. For each state, we choose one mode as the reference channel, and the partial width ratios of the other channels are calculated relative to this channel. The scattering states are marked with a dagger ( $\dagger$ ). All the masses are in units of MeV.

$ccc \otimes ccb$					$bbb \otimes bbc$				
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}^*$	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccb}$	System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbc}$
$ccccb$	$0^+$	12904.0	1		$bbbbc$	$0^+$	25568.0	1	
	$1^+$	12862.1	1	1.004		$1^+$	25526.0	1	1.3
$ccc \otimes bbc$					$ccb \otimes ccb$				
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{ccb}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{ccb}$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{ccb}$		
$cccbb$	$0^+$	16015.5	1		$\times$		$1^a$		
		16129.9	1		4.4		1		
	$1^+$	16019.9	1	0.3	$\times$	1	$\times$		
	$2^+$	15999.0	1	1.7	$\times$	$\times$			
$bbb \otimes ccb$					$bbc \otimes bbc$				
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{ccb}^*$	$\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{ccb}$	$\Omega_{bbc}^*\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{bbc}^*\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{bbc}\Omega_{bbc}$		
$bbbcc$	$0^+$	22347.5	1		$\times$		1		
		22461.9	1		4.1		1		
	$1^+$	22351.9	1	0.3	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$		
	$2^+$	22330.9	$\times$	1	$\times$	$\times$			
$ccb \otimes bbc$									
System	$J^P$	Mass	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{bbc}$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{bbc}^*$	$\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{bbc}$			
$ccbbb$	$0^+$	19090.4 $\dagger$	$\times$		$\times$				
		19297.9	13.6		1				
	$1^+$	19091.6 $\dagger$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$			
		19244.3	2.5	1	1	2.1			
	$2^+$	19095.3 $\dagger$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$				
	$3^+$	19095.3 $\dagger$	$\times$						

<sup>a</sup> For a dibaryon state decays into two channels through two different quark rearrangements, the  $\gamma_i$ 's, which depend on the wave functions of final states, may not equal (or approximately equal). Thus we compare only the decay width ratios between channels through same quark rearrangement type.

(with  $J^P = 1^+$ ), 19095.3 MeV (with  $J^P = 2^+$ ) and 19095.3 MeV (with  $J^P = 3^+$ ) also couple strongly to the  $\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbb}$  channel. They are also scattering states. For clarity, we indicate these states in the last column of Table II. As shown in Fig. 1(g), all scattering states (mark with  $\dagger$ ) lie close to the  $\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{bbb}$  threshold.

After identifying the scattering states, there are still one scalar and one axial-vector genuine dibaryon states. They lie above all baryon-baryon thresholds. The higher mass state  $D(cccbbb, 19297.9, 0^+)$  can decay into  $\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{bbc}^*$  and  $\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{bbc}$  channels with relative width ratio

$$\Gamma_{\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{bbc}^*} : \Gamma_{\Omega_{ccb}\Omega_{bbc}} \sim 13.6. \quad (29)$$

Thus the  $\Omega_{ccb}^*\Omega_{bbc}^*$  mode dominates. The other one,  $D(cccbbb, 19244.3, 1^+)$ , decays into all  $\Omega_{ccb}^{(*)}\Omega_{bbc}^{(*)}$  channels with

comparable widths

$$\Gamma_{\Omega_{ccb}^* \Omega_{bbc}^*} : \Gamma_{\Omega_{ccb}^* \Omega_{bbc}} : \Gamma_{\Omega_{ccb} \Omega_{bbc}^*} : \Gamma_{\Omega_{ccb} \Omega_{bbc}} \sim 2.5 : 1 : 1 : 2.1. \quad (30)$$

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have systematically studied the fully heavy dibaryons in an extended chromomagnetic model, which consists of effective color-electric and color-magnetic (chromomagnetic) interactions. We find that there is no dibaryon state below the corresponding baryon-baryon thresholds. Our numerical results suggest that the energy levels are mainly determined by the effective color-electric interaction. For example, the  $cccc/bb$  cluster in the  $ccccbb$  dibaryons can be a color-triplet or a color-sextet. The effective color-electric interaction splits the two configurations and makes the color-triplet configuration lighter than the color-sextet one by nearly 100 MeV, resulting in a clear two-band structure. The chromomagnetic interaction contributes small splittings for the two bands. We find that the lightest state always has a higher spin. The reason is that the Pauli principle imposes large restriction over the wave functions. More precisely, the color and spin wave functions must be coupled in some particular form restricted by the  $SU(6)_{cs} = SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_s$  symmetry. Then the chromomagnetic interaction depends not only on the  $SU(2)_s$  Casimir operator (spin), but also on the  $SU(3)_c$  and  $SU(6)_{cs}$  Casimir operators ( $C_3$  and  $C_6$ ). The  $C_6$  term has opposite effect compared to the spin term [for example, see Eq. (13)]. When the  $C_6$  term prevails, the higher spin state becomes lighter.

With the eigenvectors obtained, we have also studied the decay properties of the dibaryons. We hope that future experiments can search for these states.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

X. Z. W thanks Dr. Ranjit Nayak for proofreading the manuscript. We are grateful to Professor Marek Karliner for helpful discussion. This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 11975033 and No. 12070131001; and the NSFC-ISF under Grant No. 3423/19.

#### Appendix A: The wave function

In this section, we construct the dibaryon wave functions. In principle, the total wave function is a direct product of the spatial, flavor, color and spin wave functions. In this work, we consider the ground state and assume that the spatial wave function is totally symmetric. Then we need to construct the totally anti-symmetric flavor  $\otimes$  color  $\otimes$  spin wave functions. According to the flavor configurations, we can divide the fully heavy dibaryons into four categories, depending on their heavy flavor content:

1.  $c^6$  and  $b^6$ ,
2.  $c^5b$  and  $b^5c$ ,
3.  $c^4b^2$  and  $b^4c^2$ ,
4.  $c^3b^3$ .

To satisfy the Pauli principle, we first construct the totally anti-symmetric wave functions for the clusters with the identical quarks (see Appendix B), then we combine the clusters to construct the dibaryon wave functions.

For the  $c^6$ ,  $b^6$ ,  $c^5b$  and  $b^5c$  systems, we need to construct the wave functions in the  $\{[(q_1 q_2 \otimes q_3) \otimes q_4] \otimes q_5\} \otimes q_6$  configuration. There are five possible color wave functions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\alpha 1} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^6 q_3]^8 q_4\}^{\bar{6}} q_5)^{\bar{3}} q_6\rangle^1, \\ \phi_{\alpha 2} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^{\bar{3}} q_3]^8 q_4\}^{\bar{6}} q_5)^{\bar{3}} q_6\rangle^1, \\ \phi_{\alpha 3} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^6 q_3]^8 q_4\}^3 q_5)^{\bar{3}} q_6\rangle^1, \\ \phi_{\alpha 4} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^{\bar{3}} q_3]^8 q_4\}^3 q_5)^{\bar{3}} q_6\rangle^1, \\ \phi_{\alpha 5} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^{\bar{3}} q_3]^1 q_4\}^3 q_5)^{\bar{3}} q_6\rangle^1, \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

where the superscripts are color representations. The spins of the dibaryons can be 0, 1, 2 and 3:

1.  $J = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\alpha 1}^0 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} q_4\}_1 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_0, \\
\chi_{\alpha 2}^0 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_1 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_0, \\
\chi_{\alpha 3}^0 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_1 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_0, \\
\chi_{\alpha 4}^0 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_0 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_0, \\
\chi_{\alpha 5}^0 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_0 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

2.  $J = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\alpha 1}^1 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} q_4\}_2 q_5)_{3/2} q_6\rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\alpha 2}^1 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} q_4\}_1 q_5)_{3/2} q_6\rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\alpha 3}^1 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_1 q_5)_{3/2} q_6\rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\alpha 4}^1 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_1 q_5)_{3/2} q_6\rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\alpha 5}^1 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} q_4\}_1 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\alpha 6}^1 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_1 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\alpha 7}^1 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_1 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\alpha 8}^1 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_0 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\alpha 9}^1 &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} q_4\}_0 q_5)_{1/2} q_6\rangle_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

where the subscripts are spins. Note that  $J = 2$  and  $J = 3$  cases cannot satisfy the Pauli principle.

Combining the flavor, color and spin wave functions, we have the following bases

1. Type A:  $\varphi_A = \{c^6, b^6\}$

(a)  $J = 0$ :

$$\Psi_{A1}^0 = \varphi_A \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\phi_{\alpha 1} \chi_{\alpha 5}^0 - \phi_{\alpha 2} \chi_{\alpha 4}^0 - \phi_{\alpha 3} \chi_{\alpha 3}^0 + \phi_{\alpha 4} \chi_{\alpha 2}^0 - \phi_{\alpha 5} \chi_{\alpha 1}^0), \tag{A4}$$

2. Type B:  $\varphi_B = \{c^5 b, b^5 c\}$

(a)  $J = 0$ :

$$\Psi_{B1}^0 = \varphi_B \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\phi_{\alpha 1} \chi_{\alpha 5}^0 - \phi_{\alpha 2} \chi_{\alpha 4}^0 - \phi_{\alpha 3} \chi_{\alpha 3}^0 + \phi_{\alpha 4} \chi_{\alpha 2}^0 - \phi_{\alpha 5} \chi_{\alpha 1}^0), \tag{A5}$$

(b)  $J = 1$ :

$$\Psi_{B1}^1 = \varphi_B \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\phi_{\alpha 1} \chi_{\alpha 9}^1 - \phi_{\alpha 2} \chi_{\alpha 8}^1 - \phi_{\alpha 3} \chi_{\alpha 7}^1 + \phi_{\alpha 4} \chi_{\alpha 6}^1 - \phi_{\alpha 5} \chi_{\alpha 5}^1). \tag{A6}$$

Next we consider the  $c^4 b^2$  and  $b^4 c^2$  systems. Here we use the wave functions in the  $[(q_1 q_2 \otimes q_3) \otimes q_4] \otimes q_5 q_6$  configuration. The color wave functions read

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\beta 1} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^6 q_3]_8 q_4\}^{\bar{6}} (q_5 q_6)^6)^1, \\
\phi_{\beta 2} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^{\bar{3}} q_3]_8 q_4\}^{\bar{6}} (q_5 q_6)^6)^1, \\
\phi_{\beta 3} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^6 q_3]_8 q_4\}^3 (q_5 q_6)^{\bar{3}})^1, \\
\phi_{\beta 4} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^{\bar{3}} q_3]_8 q_4\}^3 (q_5 q_6)^{\bar{3}})^1, \\
\phi_{\beta 5} &= |(\{[(q_1 q_2)^{\bar{3}} q_3]_1 q_4\}^3 (q_5 q_6)^{\bar{3}})^1.
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

And the spin wave functions read (the  $J = 3$  case does not satisfy the Pauli principle)

1.  $J = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\beta 1}^0 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_0 , \\
\chi_{\beta 2}^0 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_0 , \\
\chi_{\beta 3}^0 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_0 , \\
\chi_{\beta 4}^0 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_0 (q_5 q_6)_0 \rangle_0 , \\
\chi_{\beta 5}^0 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_0 (q_5 q_6)_0 \rangle_0 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

2.  $J = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\beta 1}^1 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2 q_4} \}_2 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_1 , \\
\chi_{\beta 2}^1 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_1 , \\
\chi_{\beta 3}^1 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_1 , \\
\chi_{\beta 4}^1 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_1 , \\
\chi_{\beta 5}^1 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_0 \rangle_1 , \\
\chi_{\beta 6}^1 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_0 \rangle_1 , \\
\chi_{\beta 7}^1 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_0 \rangle_1 , \\
\chi_{\beta 8}^1 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_0 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_1 , \\
\chi_{\beta 9}^1 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_0 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_1 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

3.  $J = 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\beta 1}^2 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2 q_4} \}_2 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_2 , \\
\chi_{\beta 2}^2 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2 q_4} \}_2 (q_5 q_6)_0 \rangle_2 , \\
\chi_{\beta 3}^2 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_2 , \\
\chi_{\beta 4}^2 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_2 , \\
\chi_{\beta 5}^2 &= | \{ [(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2 q_4} \}_1 (q_5 q_6)_1 \rangle_2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{A10}$$

Then the possible total wave functions are

3. Type C:  $\varphi_C = \{c^4 b^2, b^4 c^2\}$

(a)  $J = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{C1}^0 &= \varphi_C \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_{\beta 1} \chi_{\beta 5}^0 - \phi_{\beta 2} \chi_{\beta 4}^0) , \\
\Psi_{C2}^0 &= \varphi_C \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\phi_{\beta 3} \chi_{\beta 3}^0 - \phi_{\beta 4} \chi_{\beta 2}^0 + \phi_{\beta 5} \chi_{\beta 1}^0) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

(b)  $J = 1$ :

$$\Psi_{C1}^1 = \varphi_C \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\phi_{\beta 3} \chi_{\beta 4}^1 - \phi_{\beta 4} \chi_{\beta 3}^1 + \phi_{\beta 5} \chi_{\beta 2}^1) , \tag{A12}$$

(c)  $J = 2$ :

$$\Psi_{C1}^2 = \varphi_C \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\phi_{\beta 3} \chi_{\beta 5}^2 - \phi_{\beta 4} \chi_{\beta 4}^2 + \phi_{\beta 5} \chi_{\beta 3}^2) , \tag{A13}$$

Finally, we construct the  $c^3 b^3$  bases in the  $(q_1 q_2 \otimes q_3) \otimes (q_4 q_5 \otimes q_6)$  configurations. The color wave functions are

$$\phi_{\gamma 1} = | [(q_1 q_2)^6 q_3]^8 [(q_4 q_5)^6 q_6]^8 \rangle^1 ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\gamma 2} &= |[(q_1 q_2)^6 q_3]^8 [(q_4 q_5)^3 q_6]^8 \rangle^1, \\
\phi_{\gamma 3} &= |[(q_1 q_2)^3 q_3]^8 [(q_4 q_5)^6 q_6]^8 \rangle^1, \\
\phi_{\gamma 4} &= |[(q_1 q_2)^3 q_3]^8 [(q_4 q_5)^3 q_6]^8 \rangle^1, \\
\phi_{\gamma 5} &= |[(q_1 q_2)^3 q_3]^1 [(q_4 q_5)^3 q_6]^1 \rangle^1.
\end{aligned} \tag{A14}$$

And the spin wave functions are

1.  $J = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\gamma 1}^0 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{3/2} \rangle_0, \\
\chi_{\gamma 2}^0 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_0, \\
\chi_{\gamma 3}^0 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_0 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_0, \\
\chi_{\gamma 4}^0 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_0, \\
\chi_{\gamma 5}^0 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_0 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{A15}$$

2.  $J = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\gamma 1}^1 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{3/2} \rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\gamma 2}^1 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\gamma 3}^1 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} [(q_4 q_5)_0 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\gamma 4}^1 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{3/2} \rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\gamma 5}^1 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{3/2} \rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\gamma 6}^1 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\gamma 7}^1 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_0 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\gamma 8}^1 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_1, \\
\chi_{\gamma 9}^1 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_0 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{A16}$$

3.  $J = 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\gamma 1}^2 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{3/2} \rangle_2, \\
\chi_{\gamma 2}^2 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_2, \\
\chi_{\gamma 3}^2 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} [(q_4 q_5)_0 q_6]_{1/2} \rangle_2, \\
\chi_{\gamma 4}^2 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{3/2} \rangle_2, \\
\chi_{\gamma 5}^2 &= |[(q_1 q_2)_0 q_3]_{1/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{3/2} \rangle_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{A17}$$

4.  $J = 3$ :

$$\chi_{\gamma 1}^3 = |[(q_1 q_2)_1 q_3]_{3/2} [(q_4 q_5)_1 q_6]_{3/2} \rangle_3. \tag{A18}$$

Then the possible total wave functions for the  $c^3 b^3$  system are

4. Type D:  $\varphi_D = \{c^3 b^3\}$

(a)  $J = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{D1}^0 &= \varphi_D \otimes \frac{1}{2} (\phi_{\gamma 1} \chi_{\gamma 5}^0 - \phi_{\gamma 2} \chi_{\gamma 4}^0 - \phi_{\gamma 3} \chi_{\gamma 3}^0 + \phi_{\gamma 4} \chi_{\gamma 2}^0), \\
\Psi_{D2}^0 &= \varphi_D \otimes \phi_{\gamma 5} \chi_{\gamma 1}^0,
\end{aligned} \tag{A19}$$

(b)  $J = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{D1}^1 &= \varphi_D \otimes \frac{1}{2} (\phi_{\gamma 1} \chi_{\gamma 9}^1 - \phi_{\gamma 2} \chi_{\gamma 8}^1 - \phi_{\gamma 3} \chi_{\gamma 7}^1 + \phi_{\gamma 4} \chi_{\gamma 6}^1), \\ \Psi_{D2}^1 &= \varphi_D \otimes \phi_{\gamma 5} \chi_{\gamma 1}^1,\end{aligned}\tag{A20}$$

(c)  $J = 2$ :

$$\Psi_{D1}^2 = \varphi_D \otimes \phi_{\gamma 5} \chi_{\gamma 1}^2,\tag{A21}$$

(d)  $J = 3$ :

$$\Psi_{D1}^3 = \varphi_D \otimes \phi_{\gamma 5} \chi_{\gamma 1}^3.\tag{A22}$$

### Appendix B: The totally anti-symmetric wave functions for the $q^N$ clusters

There are two methods to obtain the totally anti-symmetric wave functions for the  $q^N$  clusters. The first one is by using the properties of permutation group, namely the Clebsch-Gordon (CG) coefficients of the  $S_N$  group. The details can be found in Ref. [90]. On the other hand, we can also obtain the wave functions by applying the quark exchange operators and imposing the anti-symmetric properties over the identical quarks [or  $u$  and  $d$  quarks (collectively as  $n$ ) in the isospin  $SU(2)$  symmetry]. More precisely, assuming  $\{\psi_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  the total wave function bases. The wave function that satisfies the Pauli principle can be expanded as their superposition

$$\Psi = \sum_i k_i \psi_i.\tag{B1}$$

The Pauli principle gives the secular equations

$$\sum_j \langle \psi_i | A | \psi_j \rangle k_j = -k_i,\tag{B2}$$

where  $A = \{A_{\alpha\beta}\} = \{A_{12}, A_{13}, \dots\}$  are the operators that exchange the positions of  $q_\alpha$  and  $q_\beta$  in the wave functions. Imposing these constraints and the orthonormal condition, we can obtain all possible wave functions that satisfy the Pauli principle. As an example, here we use a simple system, the nucleon, to illustrate the methods. First we use the method of the permutation group. The total wave function is the direct product of the spatial, flavor, color and spin wave functions. Note that for the nucleon, the spatial wave function ( $R$ ) is totally symmetric, and the color wave function is totally anti-symmetric

$$\Psi = R \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_{sf}.\tag{B3}$$

For  $I = S = 1/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_{sf} &= S \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_s \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_f + S \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_s \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_f \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_s \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_f + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}_s \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}_f,\end{aligned}\tag{B4}$$

where

$$S \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \quad S \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right)\tag{B5}$$

are CG coefficients of the  $S_3$  group, whose values can be found in Ref. [90]. Next we use the exchange operators. The matrix element  $\langle \psi_i | A | \psi_j \rangle$  can be viewed as a direct product of the matrix elements in the spatial ( $R$ ), flavor ( $F$ ), color ( $\varphi$ ) and spin ( $\chi$ ) wave function bases. For the present case, we have

$$\langle R | A_{\alpha\beta} | R \rangle = I_{1 \times 1}\tag{B6}$$

and

$$\langle \varphi | A_{\alpha\beta} | \varphi \rangle = -I_{1 \times 1}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

There are two possible spin wave functions

$$\chi_1 = |(n_1 n_2)_{1_s} n_3\rangle_{1/2_s}, \quad \chi_2 = |(n_1 n_2)_{0_s} n_3\rangle_{1/2_s}. \quad (\text{B8})$$

In these bases, we have ( $A_{13} = A_{12}A_{23}A_{12}$  is not independent, and thus cannot give additional constraint for the wave function) [82]

$$A_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B9})$$

Similarly, there are two possible flavor wave functions

$$F_1 = |(n_1 n_2)_{1_i} n_3\rangle_{1/2_i}, \quad F_2 = |(n_1 n_2)_{0_i} n_3\rangle_{1/2_i}. \quad (\text{B10})$$

In the space of the total wave function bases,  $R\varphi \otimes \{\chi_1 F_1, \chi_1 F_2, \chi_2 F_1, \chi_2 F_2\}$ , the secular equations give

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & +1 & & \\ & & +1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \\ k_4 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \\ k_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & -3 \\ \sqrt{3} & 1 & -3 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -3 & 1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -3 & -\sqrt{3} & -\sqrt{3} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \\ k_4 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \\ k_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{B11})$$

or

$$k_1 = k_4, \quad k_2 = k_3 = 0. \quad (\text{B12})$$

Applying the normalization condition and choosing a suitable phase, we have

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} R\varphi (\chi_1 F_1 + \chi_2 F_2). \quad (\text{B13})$$

As expected, the two methods give same result.

In the following, we list the totally anti-symmetric wave functions for the  $Q^N$  clusters with  $N = 3, 4, 5, 6$ .

1. The  $Q^3$  cluster

(a)  $(\lambda\mu) = (11), S = 1/2$

$$\Psi_1^{N=3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \end{array} \right)_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \end{array} \Big|_s - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \end{array} \Big|_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \end{array} \Big|_s \right) \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \Big|_f, \quad (\text{B14})$$

(b)  $(\lambda\mu) = (00), S = 3/2$

$$\Psi_2^{N=3} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \end{array} \Big|_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \Big|_s \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \Big|_f. \quad (\text{B15})$$

2. The  $Q^4$  cluster

(a)  $(\lambda\mu) = (02), S = 0$

$$\Psi_1^{N=4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \end{array} \right)_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \end{array} \Big|_s - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \end{array} \Big|_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \end{array} \Big|_s \right) \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array} \Big|_f, \quad (\text{B16})$$

(b)  $(\lambda\mu) = (10), S = 1$

$$\Psi_2^{N=4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \end{array} \Big|_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & \end{array} \Big|_s - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \end{array} \Big|_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & \end{array} \Big|_s + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & \end{array} \Big|_c \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & \end{array} \Big|_s \right) \otimes \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array} \Big|_f. \quad (\text{B17})$$

3. The  $Q^5$  cluster

(a)  $(\lambda\mu) = (01)$ ,  $S = 1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{N=5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \left( \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2} \\ \boxed{3\ 4} \\ \boxed{5} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 3\ 5} \\ \boxed{2\ 4} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 3} \\ \boxed{2\ 4} \\ \boxed{5} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2\ 5} \\ \boxed{3\ 4} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2} \\ \boxed{3\ 5} \\ \boxed{4} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 3\ 4} \\ \boxed{2\ 5} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} \right. \\ & \left. + \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 3} \\ \boxed{2\ 5} \\ \boxed{4} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2\ 4} \\ \boxed{3\ 5} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 4} \\ \boxed{2\ 5} \\ \boxed{3} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2\ 3} \\ \boxed{4\ 5} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} \right) \otimes \boxed{1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5} \begin{array}{c} f \\ f \end{array}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B18})$$

4. The  $Q^6$  cluster

(a)  $(\lambda\mu) = (00)$ ,  $S = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{N=6} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \left( \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2} \\ \boxed{3\ 4} \\ \boxed{5\ 6} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 3\ 5} \\ \boxed{2\ 4\ 6} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 3} \\ \boxed{2\ 4} \\ \boxed{5\ 6} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2\ 5} \\ \boxed{3\ 4\ 6} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2} \\ \boxed{3\ 5} \\ \boxed{4\ 6} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 3\ 4} \\ \boxed{2\ 5\ 6} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} \right. \\ & \left. + \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 3} \\ \boxed{2\ 5} \\ \boxed{4\ 6} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2\ 4} \\ \boxed{3\ 5\ 6} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 4} \\ \boxed{2\ 5} \\ \boxed{3\ 6} \end{array} \otimes_c \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1\ 2\ 3} \\ \boxed{4\ 5\ 6} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} \right) \otimes \boxed{1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6} \begin{array}{c} f \\ f \end{array}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B19})$$

**Appendix C: Some formulae for the colorelectric and chromomagnetic interactions**

In this section, we introduce the  $SU(6)_{cs} = SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_s$  group, then we can simplify the colorelectric and chromomagnetic interactions for hadron consists of at most *two* flavors.

Following Ref. [81], the generators of  $SU(6)_{cs}$  are defined by the products of  $\sigma^k$  and  $\lambda^a$

$$\alpha^\mu = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sigma^k, & k = 1, 2, 3, \\ \lambda^a, & a = 1, 2, \dots, 8, \\ \sigma^k \lambda^a, & \end{cases} \quad (\text{C1})$$

which are normalized to  $\text{tr}\alpha^2 = 4$ . The Casimir operators of the  $SU(2)_s$ ,  $SU(3)_c$  and  $SU(6)_{cs}$  groups are defined as

$$C_2 = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 4S(S+1), \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$C_3 = \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$C_6 = \sum_{\mu=1}^{35} (\alpha^\mu)^2 = \frac{2}{3}C_2 + C_3 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}. \quad (\text{C4})$$

Now we can deal with the colorelectric and chromomagnetic interactions.

For  $q^s Q^t$  system,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i<j} m_{ij} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j \\ & = m_{qq} \sum_{i<i'=1}^s \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_{i'} + m_{QQ} \sum_{j<j'=s+1}^{s+t} \mathbf{F}_j \cdot \mathbf{F}_{j'} + m_{qQ} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=s+1}^{s+t} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j \\ & = \frac{m_{qQ}}{8} C_3 (q^s Q^t) + \frac{m_{qq} - m_{qQ}}{8} C_3 (q^s) + \frac{m_{QQ} - m_{qQ}}{8} C_3 (Q^t) - \frac{4}{3} \times \frac{sm_{qq} + tm_{QQ}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C5})$$

For color-singlet hadron, the first term vanishes. The colorelectric interaction becomes

$$\left\langle -\frac{3}{4} \sum_{i<j} m_{ij} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j \right\rangle = \frac{sm_{qq} + tm_{QQ}}{2} - \frac{3}{8} \delta m_{qQ} \langle C_3 (q^s) \rangle, \quad (\text{C6})$$



where

$$\delta m_{qQ} = \frac{m_{qq} + m_{QQ} - 2m_{qQ}}{4}. \quad (\text{C7})$$

As shown in Appendix A, The bases have definite  $SU(3)_c$  color representations for  $q^s$  and  $Q^t$  clusters. Thus the colorelectric interaction is diagonal. If  $t = 0$  or  $t = 1$ , the formula can be further simplified, as shown in Eq. (9) and Eq. (12).

Similarly, the chromomagnetic interaction

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \\ = & -v_{qq} \sum_{i < i'=1}^s \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_{i'} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i'} - v_{QQ} \sum_{j < j'=s+1}^{s+t} \mathbf{F}_j \cdot \mathbf{F}_{j'} \mathbf{S}_j \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j'} - v_{qQ} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=s+1}^{s+t} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \\ = & -\frac{v_{qQ}}{32} \left( C_6 - \frac{2}{3} C_2 - C_3 \right)_{q^s Q^t} - \frac{v_{qq} - v_{qQ}}{32} \left( C_6 - \frac{2}{3} C_2 - C_3 \right)_{q^s} - \frac{v_{QQ} - v_{qQ}}{32} \left( C_6 - \frac{2}{3} C_2 - C_3 \right)_{Q^t} + \frac{sv_{qq} + tv_{QQ}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C8})$$

As shown in Appendices A–B, the bases have definite  $SU_{cs}(6)$  representations for the  $q^s$  and  $Q^t$  clusters, but not for the  $q^s Q^t$  system. Thus the bases will be mixed by the chromomagnetic interaction. However, for  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$  cases, the  $q^s Q^t$  systems do have definite  $SU_{cs}(6)$  representations [see Eq. (14)], then the formula can be simplified to Eq. (9) and Eq. (15), respectively. Note that similar formulae have also been obtained in Refs. [81, 82].

- 
- [1] S. K. Choi *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Observation of a Narrow Charmoniumlike State in Exclusive  $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$  Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 262001 (2003), [arXiv:hep-ex/0309032](#).
- [2] P. A. Zyla *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Review of Particle Physics, *PTEP* **2020**, 083C01 (2020).
- [3] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike Structure in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$  at  $\sqrt{s}=4.26$  GeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 252001 (2013), [arXiv:1303.5949 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [4] Z. Q. Liu *et al.* (Belle), Study of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$  and Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike State at Belle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 252002 (2013), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 111, 019901 (2013)], [arXiv:1304.0121 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [5] K. Abe *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Observation of a Near-Threshold  $\omega J/\psi$  Mass Enhancement in Exclusive  $B \rightarrow K\omega J/\psi$  Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 182002 (2005), [arXiv:hep-ex/0408126 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [6] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike Structure  $Z_c(4020)$  and Search for the  $Z_c(3900)$  in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- h_c$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 242001 (2013), [arXiv:1309.1896 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [7] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Evidence for a Narrow Near-Threshold Structure in the  $J/\psi\phi$  Mass Spectrum in  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$  Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 242002 (2009), [arXiv:0903.2229 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [8] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Observation of a Broad Structure in the  $\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$  Mass Spectrum around 4.26 GeV/ $c^2$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 142001 (2005), [arXiv:hep-ex/0506081 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [9] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Evidence of a Broad Structure at an Invariant Mass of 4.32 GeV/ $c^2$  in the Reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$  Measured at BABAR, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 212001 (2007), [arXiv:hep-ex/0610057 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [10] X. L. Wang *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Observation of Two Resonant Structures in  $e^+e^-$  to  $\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$  via Initial State Radiation at Belle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 142002 (2007), [arXiv:0707.3699 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [11] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Observation of a Near-Threshold Structure in the  $K^+$  Recoil-Mass Spectra in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+(D_s^- D^{*0} + D_s^{*-} D^0)$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 102001 (2021), [arXiv:2011.07855 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [12] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of New Resonances Decaying to  $J/\psi K^+$  and  $J/\psi\phi$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 082001 (2021), [arXiv:2103.01803 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [13] A. Bondar *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Observation of Two Charged Bottomoniumlike Resonances in  $\Upsilon(5S)$  Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 122001 (2012), [arXiv:1110.2251 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [14] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Model-Independent Study of Structure in  $B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$  Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 242001 (2020), [arXiv:2009.00025 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [15] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Amplitude analysis of the  $B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$  decay, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 112003 (2020), [arXiv:2009.00026 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [16] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of an exotic narrow doubly charmed tetraquark, (2021), [arXiv:2109.01038 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [17] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Study of the doubly charmed tetraquark  $T_{cc}^+$ , (2021), [arXiv:2109.01056 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [18] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of structure in the  $J/\psi$ -pair mass spectrum, *Sci. Bull.* **65**, 1983 (2020), [arXiv:2006.16957 \[hep-ex\]](#).

- [19] L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa, and V. Riquer, Diquark-antidiquark states with hidden or open charm and the nature of  $X(3872)$ , *Phys. Rev.* **D71**, 014028 (2005), [arXiv:hep-ph/0412098 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] Y. Cui, X.-L. Chen, W.-Z. Deng, and S.-L. Zhu, Possible Heavy Tetraquarks  $qQ\bar{q}\bar{Q}$ ,  $qq\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$  and  $qQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ , *HEP NP* **31**, 7 (2007), [arXiv:hep-ph/0607226 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, and W. Lucha, Masses of tetraquarks with two heavy quarks in the relativistic quark model, *Phys. Rev.* **D76**, 114015 (2007), [arXiv:0706.3853 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [22] W. Park and S. H. Lee, Color spin wave functions of heavy tetraquark states, *Nucl. Phys.* **A925**, 161 (2014), [arXiv:1311.5330 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [23] M. N. Anwar, J. Ferretti, and E. Santopinto, Spectroscopy of the hidden-charm  $[qc][\bar{q}\bar{c}]$  and  $[sc][\bar{s}\bar{c}]$  tetraquarks in the relativized diquark model, *Phys. Rev.* **D98**, 094015 (2018), [arXiv:1805.06276 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [24] S.-L. Zhu, The possible interpretations of  $Y(4260)$ , *Phys. Lett.* **B625**, 212 (2005), [arXiv:hep-ph/0507025 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [25] A. Esposito, A. Pilloni, and A. D. Polosa, Hybridized Tetraquarks, *Phys. Lett.* **B758**, 292 (2016), [arXiv:1603.07667 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [26] N. A. Törnqvist, From the deuteron to deusons, an analysis of deuteronlike meson-meson bound states, *Z. Phys. C* **61**, 525 (1994), [arXiv:hep-ph/9310247](#).
- [27] N. A. Törnqvist, Isospin breaking of the narrow charmonium state of Belle at 3872 MeV as a deuson, *Phys. Lett. B* **590**, 209 (2004), [arXiv:hep-ph/0402237](#).
- [28] E. S. Swanson, Short range structure in the  $X(3872)$ , *Phys. Lett.* **B588**, 189 (2004), [arXiv:hep-ph/0311229 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [29] C. Hanhart, Y. S. Kalashnikova, A. E. Kudryavtsev, and A. V. Nefediev, Reconciling the  $X(3872)$  with the near-threshold enhancement in the  $D^0\bar{D}^{*0}$  final state, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 034007 (2007), [arXiv:0704.0605 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [30] T. F. Caramés, A. Valcarce, and J. Vijande, Charged charmonium molecules, *Phys. Rev.* **D82**, 054032 (2010).
- [31] F. Aceti, R. Molina, and E. Oset,  $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$  decay in the  $D\bar{D}^*$  molecular picture, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 113007 (2012), [arXiv:1207.2832 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [32] R. Chen, X. Liu, Y.-R. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Predictions of the hidden-charm molecular states with the four quark components, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76**, 319 (2016), [arXiv:1511.03439 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [33] F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao, and B.-S. Zou, Hadronic molecules, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 015004 (2018), [Erratum: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **94**, 029901 (2022)], [arXiv:1705.00141 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [34] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, The hidden-charm pentaquark and tetraquark states, *Phys. Rept.* **639**, 1 (2016), [arXiv:1601.02092 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [35] A. Esposito, A. Pilloni, and A. D. Polosa, Multiquark Resonances, *Phys. Rept.* **668**, 1 (2017), [arXiv:1611.07920 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [36] R. F. Lebed, R. E. Mitchell, and E. S. Swanson, Heavy-quark QCD exotica, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **93**, 143 (2017), [arXiv:1610.04528 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [37] A. Ali, J. S. Lange, and S. Stone, Exotics: Heavy pentaquarks and tetraquarks, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **97**, 123 (2017), [arXiv:1706.00610 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [38] M. Karliner, J. L. Rosner, and T. Skwarnicki, Multiquark States, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **68**, 17 (2018), [arXiv:1711.10626 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [39] S. L. Olsen, T. Skwarnicki, and D. Zieminska, Nonstandard heavy mesons and baryons: Experimental evidence, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 015003 (2018), [arXiv:1708.04012 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [40] C.-Z. Yuan, The XYZ states revisited, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A33**, 1830018 (2018), [arXiv:1808.01570 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [41] Y.-R. Liu, H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Pentaquark and Tetraquark States, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **107**, 237 (2019), [arXiv:1903.11976 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [42] N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, C.-P. Shen, C. E. Thomas, A. Vairo, and C.-Z. Yuan, The XYZ states: Experimental and theoretical status and perspectives, *Phys. Rept.* **873**, 1 (2020), [arXiv:1907.07583 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [43] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y.-R. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, An updated review of the new hadron states, (2022), [arXiv:2204.02649 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [44] L. Meng, B. Wang, G.-J. Wang, and S.-L. Zhu, Chiral perturbation theory for heavy hadrons and chiral effective field theory for heavy hadronic molecules, (2022), [arXiv:2204.08716 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [45] H. C. Urey, F. G. Brickwedde, and G. M. Murphy, A Hydrogen Isotope of Mass 2, *Phys. Rev.* **39**, 164 (1932).
- [46] H. C. Urey, F. G. Brickwedde, and G. M. Murphy, A Hydrogen Isotope of Mass 2 and its Concentration, *Phys. Rev.* **40**, 1 (1932).
- [47] M. Bashkanov *et al.* (CELSIUS/WASA Collaboration), Double-Pionic Fusion of Nuclear Systems and the “ABC” Effect: Approaching a Puzzle by Exclusive and Kinematically Complete Measurements, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 052301 (2009), [arXiv:0806.4942 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [48] P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration), Abashian-Booth-Crowe Effect in Basic Double-Pionic Fusion: A New Resonance?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 242302 (2011), [arXiv:1104.0123 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [49] P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration), Isospin decomposition of the basic double-pionic fusion in the region of the ABC effect, *Phys. Lett. B* **721**, 229 (2013), [arXiv:1212.2881 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [50] P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration and SAID Data Analysis Center), Evidence for a New Resonance from Polarized Neutron-Proton Scattering, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 202301 (2014), [arXiv:1402.6844 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [51] P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration and SAID Data Analysis Center), Neutron-proton scattering in the context of the  $d^*$  (2380) resonance, *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 035204 (2014), [arXiv:1408.4928 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [52] P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration), Measurement of the  $np \rightarrow np\pi^0\pi^0$  reaction in search for the recently observed  $d^*$  (2380) resonance, *Phys. Lett. B* **743**, 325 (2015), [arXiv:1409.2659 \[nucl-ex\]](#).

- [53] F. Dyson and N. H. Xuong,  $Y = 2$  States in SU(6) Theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13**, 815 (1964).
- [54] T. Kamae and T. Fujita, Possible Existence of a Deeply Bound  $\Delta - \Delta$  System, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38**, 471 (1977).
- [55] H. Clement and T. Skorodko, Dibaryons: Molecular versus compact hexaquarks, *Chin. Phys. C* **45**, 022001 (2021), [arXiv:2008.07200 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [56] Y. Lyu, H. Tong, T. Sugiura, S. Aoki, T. Doi, T. Hatsuda, J. Meng, and T. Miyamoto, Dibaryon with Highest Charm Number near Unitarity from Lattice QCD, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 072003 (2021), [arXiv:2102.00181 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [57] N. Mathur, M. Padmanath, and D. Chakraborty, The most beautiful strongly bound dibaryon, (2022), [arXiv:2205.02862 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [58] M.-Z. Liu and L.-S. Geng, Prediction of an  $\Omega_{bbb}\Omega_{bbb}$  Dibaryon in the Extended One-Boson Exchange Model, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **38**, 101201 (2021), [arXiv:2107.04957 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [59] J. M. Alcaraz-Pelegrina and M. C. Gordillo, Diffusion Monte Carlo calculations of fully-heavy compact hexaquarks, (2022), [arXiv:2205.13886 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [60] J.-M. Richard, A. Valcarce, and J. Vijande, Very Heavy Flavored Dibaryons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 212001 (2020), [arXiv:2005.06894 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [61] H. Huang, J. Ping, X. Zhu, and F. Wang, Full heavy dibaryons, (2020), [arXiv:2011.00513 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [62] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane, and T.-M. Yan, Charmonium: The Model, *Phys. Rev.* **D17**, 3090 (1978), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev.* D21, 313(E) (1980)].
- [63] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Hyperfine interactions in negative parity baryons, *Phys. Lett.* **72B**, 109 (1977).
- [64] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Mesons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **32**, 189 (1985).
- [65] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Baryons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 2809 (1986).
- [66] A. De Rújula, H. Georgi, and S. L. Glashow, Hadron masses in a gauge theory, *Phys. Rev.* **D12**, 147 (1975).
- [67] X.-Z. Weng, X.-L. Chen, and W.-Z. Deng, Masses of doubly heavy-quark baryons in an extended chromomagnetic model, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 054008 (2018), [arXiv:1801.08644 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [68] X.-Z. Weng, X.-L. Chen, W.-Z. Deng, and S.-L. Zhu, Systematics of fully heavy tetraquarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 034001 (2021), [arXiv:2010.05163 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [69] T. Guo, J. Li, J. Zhao, and L. He, Mass spectra and decays of open-heavy tetraquark states, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 054018 (2022), [arXiv:2108.06222 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [70] X.-Z. Weng, W.-Z. Deng, and S.-L. Zhu, Doubly heavy tetraquarks in an extended chromomagnetic model, *Chin. Phys. C* **46**, 013102 (2022), [arXiv:2108.07242 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [71] T. Guo, J. Li, J. Zhao, and L. He, Mass spectra of doubly heavy tetraquarks in an improved chromomagnetic interaction model, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 014021 (2022), [arXiv:2108.10462 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [72] X.-Z. Weng, W.-Z. Deng, and S.-L. Zhu, Triply heavy tetraquark states, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 034026 (2022), [arXiv:2109.05243 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [73] X.-Z. Weng, X.-L. Chen, W.-Z. Deng, and S.-L. Zhu, Hidden-charm pentaquarks and  $P_c$  states, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 016014 (2019), [arXiv:1904.09891 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [74] H.-T. An, K. Chen, and X. Liu, Manifestly exotic pentaquarks with a single heavy quark, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 034018 (2022), [arXiv:2010.05014 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [75] H.-T. An, K. Chen, Z.-W. Liu, and X. Liu, Fully heavy pentaquarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 074006 (2021), [arXiv:2012.12459 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [76] H.-T. An, K. Chen, Z.-W. Liu, and X. Liu, Heavy flavor pentaquarks with four heavy quarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 114027 (2021), [arXiv:2106.02837 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [77] Z. Liu, H.-T. An, Z.-W. Liu, and X. Liu, Where are the hidden-charm hexaquarks?, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 034006 (2022), [arXiv:2112.02510 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [78] F. Buccella, H. Høgaasen, J.-M. Richard, and P. Sorba, Chromomagnetism, flavour symmetry breaking and  $S$ -wave tetraquarks, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C49**, 743 (2007), [arXiv:hep-ph/0608001 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [79] S.-Q. Luo, K. Chen, X. Liu, Y.-R. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Exotic tetraquark states with the  $qq\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$  configuration, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77**, 709 (2017), [arXiv:1707.01180 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [80] H. Høgaasen, E. Kou, J.-M. Richard, and P. Sorba, Isovector and hidden-beauty partners of the  $X(3872)$ , *Phys. Lett.* **B732**, 97 (2014), [arXiv:1309.2049 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [81] R. L. Jaffe, Multiquark Hadrons. II. Methods, *Phys. Rev.* **D15**, 281 (1977).
- [82] W. Park, A. Park, and S. H. Lee, Dibaryons in a constituent quark model, *Phys. Rev.* **D92**, 014037 (2015), [arXiv:1506.01123 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [83] C. Deng, H. Chen, and J. Ping, Towards the understanding of fully-heavy tetraquark states from various models, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 014001 (2021), [arXiv:2003.05154 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [84] R. L. Jaffe, Multiquark Hadrons. I. The Phenomenology of  $Q^2\bar{Q}^2$  Mesons, *Phys. Rev.* **D15**, 267 (1977).
- [85] D. Strottman, Multiquark Baryons and the MIT Bag Model, *Phys. Rev.* **D20**, 748 (1979).
- [86] L. Zhao, W.-Z. Deng, and S.-L. Zhu, Hidden-charm tetraquarks and charged  $Z_c$  states, *Phys. Rev.* **D90**, 094031 (2014), [arXiv:1408.3924 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [87] Z.-G. Wang, Analysis of  $P_c(4380)$  and  $P_c(4450)$  as pentaquark states in the diquark model with QCD sum rules, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76**, 70 (2016), [arXiv:1508.01468 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [88] C. Gao, *Group Theory and its Application in Particle Physics (in Chinese)* (Higher Education Press, 1992).
- [89] H. Høgaasen, J. M. Richard, and P. Sorba, Chromomagnetic mechanism for the  $X(3872)$  resonance, *Phys. Rev.* **D73**, 054013 (2006), [arXiv:hep-ph/0511039 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [90] F. Stancu and S. Pepin, Isoscalar Factors of the Permutation Group, *Few Body Syst.* **26**, 113 (1999).