A Simple Proof that Major Index and Inversions are Equidistributed

Michael J. Collins Daniel H. Wagner Associates mjcollins10@gmail.com

July 13, 2022

Abstract

We present a short proof of MacMahon's classic result that the number of permutations with k inversions equals the number whose major index (sum of positions at which descents occur) is k.

1 Introduction

Let $p = p_0 p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}$ be a permutation of $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. A descent of p is an index i at which $p_{i-1} > p_i$, and an *inversion* of p is a pair of indices i < j with $p_i > p_j$. Define inv(p) to be the number of inversions in p, and define maj(p), the "major index" of p, to be the sum of all descent positions (so for instance maj(241350) = 2 + 5 = 7). See ([1, 4]) for other standard definitions and results regarding permutations.

MacMahon ([3]) proved that inv and maj are equidistributed: the number of length-n permutations with inv(p) = k equals the number of such permutations with maj(p) = k. This common value is denoted b(n, k). MacMahon originally proved this by showing that the generating functions coincide, and Foata ([2]) gave a bijective proof; in this note we present a simpler proof.

2 Proof of Equidistribution

An inversion table of length n is an n-tuple of nonnegative integers $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ such that $a_j \leq j$ for all j. Clearly there are n! inversion tables, and each represents a distinct permutation of [n] as follows: starting with the empty permutation, we repeatedly insert j so that it will have a_j items to its right¹. For instance the inversion table (0, 1, 0, 3, 3)

¹to simplify our presentation we have reversed the usual convention which would have $a_j \leq n-j$

yields the permutation 31402, building it as

$$\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
10 \\
102 \\
3102 \\
34102
\end{array}$$
(1)

The insertion of j creates a_j inversions, proving the well-known result that b(n, k) is the number of inversion tables whose elements sum to k. To prove equidistribution, we reinterpret $(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1})$ as meaning repeated insertion of j at a position that will increase the major index by a_j . Finding such a position is always possible. For instance (using boldface to emphasize descents) we have maj(241350) = 2 + 5 = 7, and the possibilities for insertion of 6 are:

$$maj(2413506) = 7 + 0 = 2 + 5$$

$$maj(2413560) = 7 + 1 = 2 + 6$$

$$maj(2413650) = 7 + 6 = 2 + 5 + 6$$

$$maj(2416350) = 7 + 5 = 2 + 4 + 6$$

$$maj(2461350) = 7 + 2 = 3 + 6$$

$$maj(2641350) = 7 + 4 = 2 + 3 + 6$$

$$maj(6241350) = 7 + 3 = 1 + 3 + 6$$
(2)

In general, say the κ inversions of a permutation of [j] occur at positions $d_{\kappa} < d_{\kappa-1} < \cdots < d_1$. Inserting j at the rightmost position will not change the major index. Insertion at d_t $(1 \le t \le \kappa)$ will create no new descents, but the descents at d_t through d_1 will be shifted to positions $d_t + 1, \cdots , d_1 + 1$, so maj will increase by t. Finally, consider inserting j at the r^{th} position (from the left) which is not a descent: if there are r' descents to the left of this position, we create a new descent at r + r' and shift $\kappa - r'$ old descents to the right, increasing the major index by $\kappa + r$. Thus the number of permutations with $\operatorname{maj}(p) = k$ is again the number of inversion tables with entries summing to k.

3 Symmetric Joint Distribution

Our proof of equidistribution is simpler than Foata's, but the machinery of Foata's proof can be used to prove the stronger result that maj and inv have a symmetric joint distribution ([2, 4]): for any pair of integers k, k' the number of p with inv(p) = k, maj(p) = k' equals the number with inv(p) = k', maj(p) = k. We now note that this result can be stated entirely in terms of inversion tables.

To do this we define another way to interpret an inversion table $(a_0, \dots a_{n-1})$ as a way to build a permutation, one which makes the relationship between inv and maj more direct. Now a_j will mean "put $j - a_j$ in the rightmost position, and increment all other elements which are greater than or equal to $j - a_j$ ". More formally, if $(a_0 \cdots a_{j-1})$ generates the permutation $p_0 \cdots p_{j-1}$ then $(a_0 \cdots a_j)$ generates the permutation p' with $p'_j = j - a_j$ and otherwise

$$p'_k = p_k + [p_k > j - a_j]$$

Here we make use of the "Iverson bracket" notation, where [S] = 1 if the statement S is true, 0 if it is false.

In fact this just yields the inverse of the permutation generated by reading $(a_0, \dots a_{n-1})$ as an inversion table. For instance our previous example of (0, 1, 0, 3, 3) now yields the permutation 32401, building it as

$$\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
10 \\
102 \\
2130 \\
32401
\end{array}$$
(3)

At step j we create a_j new inversions; the increments do not change any existing inversions, since a pair r < s is either unchanged or becomes r + 1 < s + 1 or r < s + 1. Furthermore we create a descent at position j if and only if $a_j > a_{j-1}$ (i.e. if position j is an *ascent* of a), and similarly the increments do not destroy or create any descents. So the resulting permutation p has

Therefore, since inv and maj are equidistributed over permutations, the "sum of elements" and "sum of ascent positions" are equidistributed over the set of all inversion tables.

References

- [1] Miklos Bona. Combinatorics of Permutations, volume 1 of Discrete Mathematics and its Applications. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 3 edition, 2022.
- [2] Dominique Foata. On the netto inversion number of a sequence. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 19(1):236-240, 1968.
- [3] P. MacMahon. Two applications of general theorems in combinatory analysis. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 15:314–321, 1916.
- [4] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics*, volume 1 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 2 edition, 2012.