

Geometric optics approximation for the Einstein vacuum equations

Arthur Touati *

Abstract

We show the stability of the geometric optics approximation in general relativity by constructing a family $(g_\lambda)_{\lambda \in (0,1]}$ of high-frequency metrics solutions to the Einstein vacuum equations in 3+1 dimensions without any symmetry assumptions. In the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ this family approaches a fixed background g_0 solution of the Einstein-null dust system, illustrating the backreaction phenomenon. We introduce a precise second order high-frequency ansatz and identify a generalised wave gauge as well as polarization conditions at each order. The validity of our ansatz is ensured by a weak polarized null condition satisfied by the quadratic non-linearity in the Ricci tensor. The Einstein vacuum equations for g_λ are recast as a hierarchy of transport and wave equations, and their coupling induces a loss of derivatives. In order to solve it, we take advantage of a null foliation associated to g_0 as well as a Fourier cut-off adapted to our ansatz. The construction of high-frequency initial data solving the constraint equations is the content of our companion paper [Tou23b].

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Presentation of the result	3
1.2	Geometric optics	4
1.2.1	Towards weakly non-linear geometric optics and transparency	4
1.2.2	Approximate geometric optics in general relativity	5
1.2.3	Exact geometric optics in general relativity	5
1.3	Burnett’s conjecture	6
1.4	Acknowledgments	7
1.5	Outline of the article	7
2	Statement of the results	7
2.1	Preliminaries	8
2.1.1	Notations and function spaces	8
2.1.2	High-frequency notations	9
2.2	The background spacetime	9
2.3	Initial data	11
2.4	Local existence in generalised wave gauge	12
3	Strategy of proof	13
3.1	The high-frequency ansatz	13
3.1.1	Quasi-linear forbidden harmonics	15
3.1.2	Semi-linear forbidden harmonics	15
3.1.3	The gauge term leads to polarization conditions	17
3.1.4	The gauge term leads to a generalised wave condition	18
3.1.5	Propagation of the polarization conditions	18
3.1.6	A remark on null conditions	19
3.2	Solving the hierarchy of equations	19
3.2.1	The background transport equations	20

*Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, Bures-sur-Yvette, France (touati@ihes.fr)

3.2.2	The quasi-linear coupling and the loss of derivatives	20
3.2.3	Regaining the missing derivative	20
3.2.4	The order of precision of the ansatz	22
3.3	Outline of the proof	22
4	The high-frequency ansatz	22
4.1	High-frequency expansion of the metric	22
4.2	High-frequency expansion of the Ricci tensor	23
4.2.1	The wave part	24
4.2.2	The quadratic non-linearity	24
4.2.3	The gauge part	25
4.2.4	Expression of the Ricci tensor	26
4.3	Towards the hierarchy	27
4.3.1	Ensuring $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = 0$	27
4.3.2	Ensuring $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = 0$	28
4.3.3	Ensuring $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = 0$	29
5	The hierarchy of equations	29
5.1	The background system	30
5.2	The reduced system	30
5.3	Generalised wave gauge and polarization conditions	31
5.4	Definition of $g^{(3)}$	31
5.5	Initial data for the spacetime metric	32
5.5.1	Initial data for the background system	32
5.5.2	Initial data for the reduced system	33
5.5.3	Initial estimates and properties	36
5.6	Reformulation of Theorem 2.2	37
5.7	Basic transport and energy estimates	37
6	Solving the background system	39
7	Solving the reduced system	40
7.1	Bootstrap assumptions	40
7.2	First consequences	41
7.3	Estimates for \mathfrak{F}	43
7.4	Estimates for $\square_g \mathfrak{F}$	44
7.4.1	Estimates for $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$	45
7.4.2	Estimates for $(g - g_0)\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$	50
7.5	Estimates for \mathfrak{h}	52
7.6	Conclusion of the bootstrap argument	53
8	Propagation of polarization and gauge conditions	53
8.1	Algebraic properties of $F^{(1)}$ and \mathfrak{F}	54
8.2	The Einstein tensor and the contracted Bianchi identities	56
8.3	Conclusion	60
A	Computations of Section 4	62
A.1	Proof of Proposition 4.1	62
A.2	Proof of Proposition 4.2	64
A.3	Proof of Proposition 4.3	65
A.4	Proof of Proposition 4.4	66
B	The commutator $[\square_{g_0}, L_0]$ and proof of Lemma 3.1	68

C	The spectral projections	69
C.1	Littlewood-Paley theory	69
C.2	Properties of Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq}	72
C.3	Proof of Lemma 3.2	72

1 Introduction

1.1 Presentation of the result

In the present article, we are interested in oscillating solutions of the Einstein vacuum equations

$$R_{\alpha\beta}(g) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $R_{\alpha\beta}(g)$ is the Ricci tensor of the Lorentzian metric g defined on a manifold \mathcal{M} . Our main goal is to extend Choquet-Bruhat's construction from [CB69] in order to fully justify the geometric optics approximation for (1.1). Choquet-Bruhat constructs approximate oscillating vacuum spacetimes, i.e a family $(g_\lambda)_{\lambda \in (0,1]}$ of metrics oscillating at frequency λ^{-1} satisfying $R_{\alpha\beta}(g_\lambda) = O(\lambda)$. We improve this result by constructing a similar family satisfying $R_{\alpha\beta}(g_\lambda) = 0$. We give a rough version of our main result.

Theorem 1.1 (Rough version of Theorem 2.2). *There exists a family of metrics $(g_\lambda)_{\lambda \in (0,1]}$ of the form*

$$g_\lambda = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)} \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) + O(\lambda^2) \tag{1.2}$$

solving (1.1) in generalised wave gauge on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ where g_0 is a given background metric close to Minkowski, u_0 a solution of $g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = 0$ and $g^{(1)}$ is a periodic tensor in the variable $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$.

In addition to a more refined high-frequency ansatz compared to the one of [CB69], we need to choose a gauge in order to deal with the invariance by diffeomorphism of (1.1). We choose to work in a generalised wave gauge, i.e we rewrite (1.1) as a system of quasi-linear wave equations for the metric coefficients. The initial data for this system are given by our companion paper [Tou23b] which provides oscillating solutions of the constraint equations on \mathbb{R}^3 .

As it can be seen on (1.2), the sequence $(g_\lambda)_{\lambda \in (0,1]}$ constructed in this article illustrates the backreaction phenomenon, i.e it converges weakly to a non-vacuum spacetime in the high-frequency limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, denoted by g_0 in Theorem 1.1:

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda &\rightarrow g_0, && \text{uniformly in } L^\infty, \\ \partial g_\lambda &\rightharpoonup \partial g_0, && \text{weakly in } L^2_{loc}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

The background metric g_0 is a generic solution of the Einstein-null dust system

$$\begin{cases} R_{\alpha\beta}(g_0) = F_0^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0, \\ g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = 0, \\ 2g_0^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho u_0 \partial_\sigma F_0 + (\square_{g_0} u_0) F_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, our result is in agreement with Burnett's conjecture from [Bur89], which states that the closure of the set of vacuum spacetimes for the topology associated with the convergence (1.3) identifies with the set of kinetic spacetimes solving the massless Einstein-Vlasov system.

From the rough ansatz (1.2), we can also deduce that Theorem 1.1 is a low-regularity existence result for the Einstein vacuum equations. Indeed, by differentiating (1.2) twice, we obtain $\|g_\lambda\|_{H^2} \sim \lambda^{-1}$. This implies that a direct application of the best existence result for (1.1), the celebrated bounded L^2 curvature theorem from [KRS15], to the oscillating data provided by [Tou23b] would only give a time of existence of order λ . Therefore, our result requires a specific construction exploiting the structure of the high-frequency ansatz (1.2). Such a construction allows us to show existence on a time interval uniform in λ .

The remainder of this introduction discusses further the main two aspects of our result.

- In Section 1.2, we present the standard strategy of geometric optics and describes briefly the new challenges posed by the semi-linear terms and the gauge freedom of (1.1). We also discuss in depth the construction of [CB69].
- In Section 1.3, we compare our result with the state of the art on Burnett’s conjecture.

1.2 Geometric optics

From a physical point of view, the strategy of geometric optics aims at describing the appearance of rays from wave propagation. The word ”optics” reminds us of the very first motivations of physicists to describe the propagation of light from the Maxwell equations. From a mathematical point of view, geometric optics aims at constructing oscillating solutions to non-linear hyperbolic system of equations. We refer to [Rau12] and [M  09] for two very rich presentations of the field of geometric optics.

1.2.1 Towards weakly non-linear geometric optics and transparency

Consider a generic first order non-linear hyperbolic system

$$A_0(u)\partial_t u + \sum_{i=1}^d A_i(u)\partial_i u = F(u), \quad (1.4)$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is the unknown, A_0 is a positive definite $N \times N$ matrix, the A_i ’s are symmetric $N \times N$ matrices, and F is a \mathbb{R}^N -valued function. One can wonder what happens to oscillating initial data of the form

$$u_\lambda(0, x) = \exp\left(i\frac{\varphi_0(x)}{\lambda}\right) f_0(x),$$

where $f_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is the initial amplitude, $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar function and $\lambda > 0$ is a small wavelength. In the case where (1.4) is linear, i.e when A_0 and the A_i ’s don’t depend on u and F is a linear function of u , this question has been settled in [Lax57]. There, it is shown that the solution u_λ can be written as a so called WKB ansatz

$$u_\lambda = \exp\left(i\frac{\varphi}{\lambda}\right) \left(f^{(0)} + \lambda f^{(1)} + \lambda^2 f^{(2)} + \dots\right), \quad (1.5)$$

where the phase φ satisfies the eikonal equation $\det\left(A_0\partial_t\varphi + \sum_{i=1}^d A_i\partial_i\varphi\right) = 0$, and each $f^{(i)}$ satisfies a polarization condition and is transported along the rays, i.e along the level surfaces of φ . The whole point of geometric optics is to understand what survives when one goes to the non-linear system. In this case, one expects the waves to interact and to create harmonics. Therefore, a more refined ansatz than (1.5) is required

$$u_\lambda \sim \lambda^p \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n U_n\left(t, x, \frac{\varphi(t, x)}{\lambda}\right),$$

where the profiles $U_n(t, x, \theta)$ are periodic in θ . The prefactor λ^p measures the amplitude of the oscillations, and the value of p dictates the role played by the non-linear interaction. If p is large, the first profile is still linearly propagated. However if one decreases p , we reach the regime of weakly non-linear geometric optics when non-linear terms enter the transport equation for the first profile. We refer to [HK83, JR92, JMR93] for standard results on this regime. For quadratic interactions as in the Einstein vacuum equations, the threshold for this regime is $p = 1$. Looking at (1.2), this article deals with the weakly non-linear regime for the Einstein vacuum equations.

For some systems, the interaction between two waves vanishes due to the particular structure of the non-linear terms: the transport equations are then linear, even in the weakly non-linear regime. This situation is known as transparency in the geometric optics literature, see [JMR00]. As discussed in depth in [Lan13], transparency is closely related to the famous null condition for quadratic interaction introduced in [Chr86, Kla86]. As it is well known, the Einstein vacuum equations in wave gauge don’t satisfy the null condition but rather a weak form of it, which still plays a major role in both Choquet-Bruhat’s approximate construction and the present article’s exact construction.

1.2.2 Approximate geometric optics in general relativity

The application of geometric optics to general relativity and the Einstein vacuum equations is motivated by a potential non-linear description of gravitational waves. The standard description of gravitational waves is based on the linearized gravity setting, where one considers a metric of the form $g = m + h$ and linearizes (1.1) around the Minkowski metric m . Assuming the Lorentz gauge and the TT-gauge, one can consider plane wave solutions to the linearized equations with two degrees of freedom corresponding to two polarizations. See Chapter 35 of [MTW73] for more details.

Though being historically crucial, linearized gravity fails to describe gravitational waves in a more physical context. First, the restriction to small perturbations h can't, by definition, describe strong gravitational fields. Moreover, one cannot describe the energy of a gravitational wave since any quadratic expression in the perturbation h is neglected. This has the following consequence: the background spacetime on which the gravitational wave propagates doesn't see the wave. As first shown in [CB69], geometric optics provides a mathematical framework that goes beyond linearized gravity. Note that other averaging schemes have been considered in [BH64, Isa68a, Isa68b] to describe the energy of a gravitational wave.

We now describe the construction of [CB69] (see also Chapter 11 of [CB09]). The author considers WKB ansatz for the metric of the spacetime, i.e introduces a small parameter $\lambda > 0$ and construct g_λ of the form

$$g_\lambda = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \lambda^2 g^{(2)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \quad (1.6)$$

satisfying $R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda) = O(\lambda)$. As in the present article, the notation $g^{(i)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ means that $g^{(i)}$ are symmetric 2-tensor depending on an extra phase argument $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$. Since the Ricci tensor involves at most two derivatives of the metric, we have formally $R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} + R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + O(\lambda)$. The goal of Choquet-Bruhat's construction is to identify conditions on g_0 , $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$ so that $R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} = R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = 0$. These conditions are the following. To ensure $R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} = 0$, one must have $g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = 0$ and the polarization condition

$$g_0^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 g_{\alpha\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha u_0 g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

To ensure $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = 0$, one must have the following transport equation for $g^{(1)}$

$$2\partial^\alpha u_0 \mathbf{D}_\alpha g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + (\mathbf{D}^\alpha \mathbf{D}_\alpha u_0) g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover, one needs $R_{\mu\nu}(g_0) = \tau \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu u_0$ with $\tau > 0$ depending quadratically on $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$ to satisfy a polarization condition with a RHS also depending quadratically on $g^{(1)}$. Note that the polarization condition (1.7) is propagated by the transport equation (1.8) and corresponds to the two degrees of freedom of the TT-gauge from linearized gravity. Moreover, the equation for g_0 shows how this strategy successfully describes the impact of the wave on the background spacetime. Finally, as announced above, the special structure of the Einstein vacuum equations implies transparency, i.e that gravitational waves do not suffer self-distortion, which translates as the linearity of the transport equation (1.8).

Before we describe the exact construction of this article, let us mention that WKB ansatz have also been considered in the linearized gravity setting in [AJOR21] in order to describe new geometric effect such as the spin Hall effect for gravitational waves, by analogy with the spin Hall effect for light (see [OJD⁺20] from the same authors).

1.2.3 Exact geometric optics in general relativity

The article [CB69] constructs first order approximate high-frequency vacuum spacetimes. Two questions arise in the spirit of the geometric optics: first, can one construct approximate vacuum spacetimes of any order? That is, for a given $N \in \mathbb{N}$, can one construct $(g_\lambda)_{\lambda \in (0,1]}$ such that $R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda) = O(\lambda^N)$? *A priori*, an iterative procedure similar to Choquet-Bruhat's construction or the author's might give a positive

answer, though a very technical one. Of greater importance is the second question: given Choquet-Bruhat's g_λ , can one show stability of the geometric optics approximation? That is, can one show that g_λ stays close to an exact vacuum spacetime for a fixed time interval? This question is for instance raised in [M  09], and Theorem 1.1 and its precise version Theorem 2.2 provide a positive answer, thus fully justifying the geometric optics approximation for the Einstein vacuum equations. Note that this is far from automatic, and in many cases approximate solutions of arbitrary order are strongly unstable, see for example [Leb01].

Let us now describe the new challenges posed by the construction of exact high-frequency vacuum spacetimes compared with Choquet-Bruhat's construction or with standard geometric optics results. The most striking difference is the need for a more refined high-frequency ansatz, since satisfying $R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} = R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = 0$ is not enough anymore. The ansatz (1.6) is strictly included in the ansatz (1.2), and the $O(\lambda^2)$ in (1.2) hides some key terms such as a non-oscillating remainder, which absorbs every terms in the Ricci tensor of order λ^2 or higher. Compared with [CB69], we also provide the oscillating behaviour of $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$, thus describing the creation of harmonics. In order to control the creation of harmonics, we heavily rely on the exact structure of the quadratic non-linearity in (1.1). This is already present in [CB69] for second harmonic but we extend it to the third harmonic in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$.

The second difference is the need of a gauge choice, not needed if one only constructs approximate solutions to (1.1). We choose to work in a generalised wave gauge, which is part of our geometric optics construction. Concretely, the standard wave gauge $\square_g x^\alpha = 0$ condition is replaced by $\square_{g_\lambda} x^\alpha = O(\lambda)$ where the $O(\lambda)$ contains oscillating terms determined during the construction. This is the major difference with standard geometric optics results such as [JMR93], where the systems of equations at stake are by nature already hyperbolic, whereas for (1.1) hyperbolicity precisely comes at a price of a gauge choice. Note that geometric optics for semi-linear gauge invariant systems such as the Yang-Mills equations have been studied in [Jea02]. The situation here is different since (1.1) are quasi-linear. Dealing with the oscillations in the gauge and using them to absorb some non-linear interactions is the main formal challenge of this article.

The third difference is linked to well-posedness, and as for the second, is totally absent from [CB69]. As explained at the end of Section 1.1, the scaling of weakly non-linear geometric optics implies that Theorem 1.1 corresponds to a regime for which well-posedness is not proved, since the H^2 norm of g_λ diverges in the high-frequency limit, while only the H^1 norm is bounded. This loss of one derivative manifests itself in the coupled wave-transport system between the non-oscillating remainder and $g^{(2)}$. Regaining the missing derivative is the main analytical challenge of this article. A similar issue already occurs in [HL18b], where the elliptic gauge is of great help. Here, we introduce Fourier multipliers adapted to the high-frequency expansion of the metric and rely on the background null foliation.

1.3 Burnett's conjecture

We now turn to the second motivation of our result, the so-called Burnett conjecture, introduced by Burnett in [Bur89]. In this article, he considers a family of metrics $(h_\lambda)_\lambda$ converging to a metric h_0 as in (1.3), with the h_λ 's all satisfying (1.1). Burnett then asks: what is $R_{\mu\nu}(h_0) - \frac{1}{2}R(h_0)(h_0)_{\mu\nu}$? In other words, what effective stress-energy tensor can be produced via weak limits of vacuum spacetimes? He proposes the following double conjecture:

- the metric h_0 solves the massless Einstein-Vlasov system

$$\begin{cases} R_{\alpha\beta}(h_0) = \int_{h_0^{-1}(p,p)=0} f(x,p)p_\alpha p_\beta d\mu_{h_0}, \\ p^\alpha \partial_\alpha f - p^\alpha p^\beta \Gamma(g_0)^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \partial_{p^\rho} f = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where $d\mu_{h_0}$ is the measure on the tangent bundle,

- conversely, any solution of (1.9) is the limit in the sense (1.3) of a sequence of vacuum spacetimes $(h_\lambda)_\lambda$.

Before we review the literature, let us explain how the direct and indirect parts of the conjecture interact. The direct conjecture deals with given sequences of solutions to (1.1) and tries to characterize their limits' Ricci tensor. The singular nature of these vacuum solutions implies that their own existence is not trivial. Therefore, by answering the indirect conjecture one actually constructs concrete examples of sequences exhibiting the singular behaviour required by the direct conjecture.

The direct conjecture has first been addressed in [GW11], where it is proved that the effective stress-energy tensor is traceless and satisfies the weak energy condition. This work has led to strong debates among cosmologists, see [BCE⁺15, GW15], on the role played by backreaction in cosmology.

In a series of papers, Huneau and Luk prove Burnett's conjecture in $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry, a symmetry under which the 3+1 Einstein vacuum equations reduce to the 2+1 Einstein-wave map system (see [Mon86]). In this setting, the direct part of the conjecture is proved by the means of microlocal defect measure in [HL19] (see also [GdC21]), while the indirect conjecture is proved for N null dusts (a discretized multiphase version of (1.9)) in [HL18b] and will be fully addressed in the forthcoming [HL23]. The two articles [HL18b, HL23] are both based on high-frequency ansatz in the spirit of geometric optics, but highly benefit from the $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry which allows the construction of an elliptic gauge.

In [LR20], Luk and Rodnianski provide the first result without any symmetry assumption. Based on their work on the low-regularity characteristic Cauchy problem in double null gauge (see [Luk12, LR17]), they prove both sides of Burnett's conjecture. Their proof is not based on a high-frequency ansatz, and thus can handle loss of strong convergence not only by oscillation but also by concentration. It also sheds new light on the Einstein-null dusts system and on the formation of trapped surfaces. They impose extra regularity along the angular directions compared with the null directions associated to the double null gauge. This has the following consequence: [LR20] only proves Burnett's conjecture for two null dusts.

Our present geometric optics construction proves Burnett's indirect conjecture without any symmetry assumption but only for one null dust. Therefore, it is somehow an intermediate result between Huneau and Luk's approach and Luk and Rodnianski's. However, thanks to [HL18b] and multiphase geometric optics results such as [JMR93], there is hope that the present proof can be extended to an arbitrary number of null dusts, since the generalised wave gauge does not single out any null direction.

1.4 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank his advisor Cécile Huneau for all the helpful suggestions and encouragement. The author is also very grateful to the anonymous referees for their constructive inputs that considerably improve the initial manuscript.

1.5 Outline of the article

The remainder of this article is structured as follows.

- In Section 2, we introduce the usual analytical material, define our class of initial data and state the main result on the existence of the sequence $(g_\lambda)_\lambda$, i.e Theorem 2.2.
- In Section 3, we present the strategy of the proof of Theorem 2.2.
- Sections 4 to 8 are devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

2 Statement of the results

In this section, we first introduce some material, define the background spacetime and finally state our main result.

2.1 Preliminaries

2.1.1 Notations and function spaces

We will work on the manifold $\mathcal{M} := [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. For $t \in [0, 1]$, we denote by Σ_t the hypersurface $\{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^3$. We introduce the following standard conventions and notations.

- The greek indices go from 0 to 3 and will always refer to the usual global coordinates system (t, x^1, x^2, x^3) on \mathcal{M} , while latin lower case indices go from 1 to 3 and correspond to the three spatial directions.
- Let T and S be two symmetric 2-tensors and g a Lorentzian metric on \mathcal{M} . We define a scalar product by

$$|T \cdot S|_g = g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu} T_{\alpha\mu} S_{\beta\nu},$$

with associated norm $|T|_g^2 = |T \cdot T|_g$. The trace of T with respect to g is defined by $\text{tr}_g T = g^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}$.

- If f is a scalar function on \mathcal{M} , we denote by ∇f a spatial derivative of f and by ∂f a time derivative $\partial_t f$ or ∇f . The wave operator associated to a Lorentzian metric is defined by

$$\square_g f = g^{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta f - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \partial_\mu f \right),$$

where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu$ are the Christoffel symbols. The principal part of this operator is denoted $\tilde{\square}_g$, i.e $\tilde{\square}_g f = g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta f$.

On each slice Σ_t we consider the usual function spaces L^p and $W^{k,p}$, by which we always mean $L^p(\Sigma_t)$ and $W^{k,p}(\Sigma_t)$ for some t depending on the context. We will also use the weighted Sobolev spaces defined below.

Definition 2.1 (Weighted Sobolev spaces). *For $1 \leq p < +\infty$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the space $W_\delta^{k,p}$ as the completion of C_c^∞ for the norm*

$$\|u\|_{W_\delta^{k,p}} = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\delta+|\alpha|} \nabla^\alpha u \right\|_{L^p},$$

where the L^p norm is defined with the Euclidean volume element. We extend this definition to tensors of any type by summing over all components in coordinates. Some special cases are $H_\delta^k := W_\delta^{k,2}$ and $L_\delta^p := W_\delta^{0,p}$.

We also define the following L^∞ -based spaces.

Definition 2.2. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ we define C_δ^k as the completion of C_c^∞ for the norm*

$$\|u\|_{C_\delta^k} = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{\delta+|\alpha|} \nabla^\alpha u \right\|_{L^\infty},$$

Let us recall some usual facts about these spaces (see [CB09] for the proofs).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $s, s', s_1, s_2, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta, \delta', \delta_1, \delta_2, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p < +\infty$.*

(i) *If $s \leq \min(s_1, s_2)$, $s < s_1 + s_2 - \frac{3}{p}$ and $\delta < \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \frac{3}{p}$ we have the continuous embedding*

$$W_{\delta_1}^{s_1,p} \times W_{\delta_2}^{s_2,p} \subset W_\delta^{s,p}.$$

(ii) *If $m < s - \frac{3}{p}$ and $\beta \leq \delta + \frac{3}{p}$ we have the continuous embedding*

$$W_\delta^{s,p} \subset C_\beta^m.$$

There won't be any issue of regularity in the time variable so if f is a scalar function defined on \mathcal{M} , then $\|f\|_X \leq C$ without any precision on t will always mean

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|f\|_{X(\Sigma_t)} \leq C,$$

where X is one of the function spaces defined above.

2.1.2 High-frequency notations

In this section we introduce some notations regarding the oscillating behaviour of all the quantities involved, such as scalar functions, metrics, and more generally tensor fields defined on \mathcal{M} .

- Such a quantity is said to be high-frequency or oscillating if it admits an expansion in powers of the small parameter $\lambda > 0$ with coefficients of the form

$$\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) f, \quad (2.1)$$

where f is defined on \mathcal{M} and \mathbb{T} is a linear combination of trigonometric functions, i.e elements of

$$\{\theta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \sin(k\theta) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\theta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \cos(k\theta) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (2.2)$$

The phase u_0 is defined in the next section, as a solution of the eikonal equation for the background metric g_0 . The phase $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$ will be considered as the fundamental harmonic or first harmonic, while the phase $\frac{ku_0}{\lambda}$ is the k -th harmonic.

- When considering a high-frequency quantity such as a tensor S , we denote by $S^{(i)}$ the coefficients of λ^i in the expansion defining S , which thus expands formally as

$$S = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda^i S^{(i)}.$$

Note that $S^{(i)}$ is a tensor of the same type as S . Moreover, if $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define $S^{(\geq j)}$ by

$$S^{(\geq j)} = \sum_{k \geq j} \lambda^{k-j} S^{(k)}.$$

This allows us to clearly truncate high-frequency expansions at a fixed order as in

$$S = \sum_{k \leq j-1} \lambda^k S^{(k)} + \lambda^j S^{(\geq j)}.$$

- In terms of derivation, if $Q(t, x) = \mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0(t, x)}{\lambda}\right) q(t, x)$ is an oscillating quantity, then we introduce the two following notations

$$\partial_\theta Q = \mathbb{T}'\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) q, \quad \tilde{\partial}_\alpha Q = \mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_\alpha q.$$

In particular, we have

$$\partial_\alpha Q = \frac{\partial_\alpha u_0}{\lambda} \partial_\theta Q + \tilde{\partial}_\alpha Q.$$

2.2 The background spacetime

The background quantities are defined on \mathcal{M} and are composed of a metric g_0 , an optical function u_0 and a density F_0 . They solve the Einstein-null dust system on \mathcal{M} :

$$R_{\mu\nu}(g_0) = F_0^2 \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu u_0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$-2L_0 F_0 + (\square_{g_0} u_0) F_0 = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where L_0 is the spacetime gradient of u_0 , i.e

$$L_0 = -g_0^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta. \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.4), L_0 is null and geodesic, i.e

$$g_0(L_0, L_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D}_{L_0} L_0 = 0,$$

where \mathbf{D} denotes throughout this article the covariant derivative associated to g_0 . We also assume that g_0 satisfies in the coordinates (t, x^1, x^2, x^3) the standard wave condition

$$g_0^{\mu\nu} \Gamma(g_0)_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that this condition implies that the wave operator associated to g_0 reduces to its principal part, i.e. $\square_{g_0} = \tilde{\square}_{g_0}$. A standard computation shows that under the wave condition (2.7) the Einstein type equation (2.3) rewrites as

$$\tilde{\square}_{g_0}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} = P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0) - 2F_0^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0, \quad (2.8)$$

where $P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0)$ is a quadratic non-linearity (see (3.2) for its exact expression). We make the following assumptions on the background quantities (g_0, u_0, F_0) . In what follows, $N \geq 10$, $\delta > -\frac{3}{2}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is our smallness threshold.

- **Assumptions on the metric g_0 .** There exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\|g_0 - m\|_{H_\delta^{N+1}} + \|\partial_t g_0\|_{H_{\delta+1}^N} + \|\partial_t^2 g_0\|_{H_{\delta+2}^{N-1}} \leq \varepsilon \quad (2.9)$$

where m is the Minkowski metric on \mathcal{M} . For convenience, we also assume that ∂_t is the unit normal to Σ_0 of g_0 , and that the second fundamental form of Σ_0 is traceless. The former assumption simplifies the construction of the initial data for the high-frequency metric (see Section 5.5) and the latter is only used in [Tou23b] to simplify the construction of high-frequency solutions to the constraint equations. The estimates (2.9) corresponds to the asymptotically flat setting.

- **Assumptions on the optical function u_0 .** We assume that there exists a constant non-zero vector field $\mathfrak{z} = (\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2, \mathfrak{z}_3)$ such that

$$\|\nabla u_0 - \mathfrak{z}\|_{H_{\delta+1}^N} \leq \varepsilon, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\nabla u_0 = (\partial_1 u_0, \partial_2 u_0, \partial_3 u_0)$ is the spatial Euclidean gradient of u_0 . This implies that the level sets of u_0 restricted to any Σ_t are asymptotically planes in \mathbb{R}^3 and that if ε is small enough there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_0|(x) > c. \quad (2.11)$$

This in turn implies that u_0 has no critical point. Finally, we assume that L_0 defined by (2.6) is future-directed and since ∂_t is assumed to be the unit normal of g_0 to Σ_0 and u_0 solves (2.4), this implies

$$\partial_t u_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \quad (2.12)$$

on Σ_0 , where \bar{g}_0 is the induced metric on Σ_0 . Finally, we mention another consequence of (2.11): with a stationary phase argument we can show that

$$\left| \int_K \mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \psi \right| \lesssim \lambda \|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(K)},$$

where K is any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{T} is any trigonometric functions and ψ any test function defined on K . This in turn implies that the family $(\mathbb{T}(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}))_{\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]}$ converges weakly to 0 in $L^2(K)$ when λ tends to 0.

- **Assumptions on the density F_0 .** We assume that F_0 is initially compactly supported, i.e. there exists $R > 0$ such that $F_0 \upharpoonright \Sigma_0$ is supported in $\{|x| \leq R\}$. Since L_0 is null and geodesic, (2.5) implies that

$$\text{supp}(F_0) \subset J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\}),$$

where J_0^+ denotes the causal future associated to g_0 . We can assume for simplicity that

$$J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\}) \subset \{(t, x) \in \mathcal{M} \mid |x| \leq C_{\text{supp}} R\},$$

for some constant $C_{\text{supp}} > 0$. Finally we assume the following estimate:

$$\|F_0\|_{H^N} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (2.13)$$

In this article we don't prove the existence of the background solution (g_0, F_0, u_0) on \mathcal{M} , it follows from [CBF06] adapted to the null dust case. We will denote by C_0 any numerical constant depending on the background estimates stated here, that is depending on δ, N, R or \mathfrak{z} .

In addition to the usual coordinates on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ we will use a null frame attached to the optical function u_0 , which we define as follows. We denote by \mathcal{H}_u the level sets of u_0 , i.e

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \{(t, x) \in \mathcal{M} \mid u_0(t, x) = u\}.$$

Since u_0 is a solution of the eikonal equation (2.4), each \mathcal{H}_u is a null hypersurface generated by the geodesic vector field L_0 . Thanks to (2.11) they induce a foliation of the spacetime. For $t \in [0, 1]$ and u in the image of u_0 we define the following 2-surfaces

$$P_{t,u} = \Sigma_t \cap \mathcal{H}_u.$$

Thanks to (2.10), each $P_{t,u}$ have the topology of a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 . We denote by \hat{g}_0 the induced metric on $P_{t,u}$. We consider a null vector field \underline{L}_0 such that $g_0(\underline{L}_0, L_0) = -2$ and an orthonormal frame (e_1, e_2) of $TP_{t,u}$ for \hat{g}_0 . This defines the *background null frame* $(L_0, \underline{L}_0, e_1, e_2)$, and such a choice is always possible, at least locally. We use the latin upper case letters as indices for the frame (e_1, e_2) on $TP_{t,u}$. We consider the subset

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \{L_0, e_1, e_2\} \tag{2.14}$$

of the background null frame. The use of such a frame originates in the seminal work of Christodoulou and Klainerman on the stability of Minkowski spacetime (see [CK93]) and a concise presentation can be found in [Sze18].

Further geometric objects related to the background null frame will be needed for the proof of Theorem 2.2, linked to the commutator $[L_0, \square_{g_0}]$. We refer to Lemma 3.1 for the main estimate on this commutator and to Appendix B for its proof.

2.3 Initial data

We reproduce here the main result of [Tou23b] where we construct high-frequency solutions $(\bar{g}_\lambda, K_\lambda)$ of the constraint equations on \mathbb{R}^3 , that is

$$R(\bar{g}_\lambda) + (\text{tr}_{\bar{g}_\lambda} K_\lambda)^2 - |K_\lambda|_{\bar{g}_\lambda}^2 = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

$$-\text{div}_{\bar{g}_\lambda} K_\lambda + \text{dtr}_{\bar{g}_\lambda} K_\lambda = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let (g_0, u_0, F_0) be the solution of the Einstein-null dust system described in Section 2.2, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be the smallness threshold. There exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\delta, R) > 0$ such that if $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, there exists for all $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ a solution $(\bar{g}_\lambda, K_\lambda)$ solution of the constraint equations (2.15)-(2.16) on \mathbb{R}^3 of the form*

$$\bar{g}_\lambda = \bar{g}_0 + \lambda \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \left(\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}^{(2,1)} + \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}^{(2,2)} \right) + \lambda^2 \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda, \tag{2.17}$$

$$K_\lambda = K_\lambda^{(0)} + \lambda K_\lambda^{(1)} + \lambda^2 K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)}, \tag{2.18}$$

with

$$K_\lambda^{(0)} = K_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}^{(1)}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(K_\lambda^{(1)}\right)_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-N_0 \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} + (\partial_t + N_0)^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{\rho(i} \bar{F}_{j)k}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} (\square_{g_0} u_0) \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \left(\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,1)} - 2 \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,2)} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where K_0 is the second fundamental form of Σ_0 for g_0 and $N_0 = -\frac{\bar{g}_0^{ij} \partial_i u_0 \partial_j}{|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}}$. Moreover:

(i) the tensors $\bar{F}^{(1)}$, $\bar{F}^{(2,1)}$ and $\bar{F}^{(2,2)}$ are supported in $\{|x| \leq R\}$ and there exists $C_{\text{cons}} = C_{\text{cons}}(\delta, R) > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \bar{F}^{(1)} \right\|_{H^N} + \left\| \bar{F}^{(2,1)} \right\|_{H^{N-1}} + \left\| \bar{F}^{(2,2)} \right\|_{H^{N-1}} \leq C_{\text{cons}} \varepsilon, \quad (2.21)$$

(ii) the tensor $\bar{F}^{(1)}$ is \bar{g}_0 -traceless, tangential to $P_{0,u}$ and satisfies

$$\left| \bar{F}^{(1)} \right|_{\bar{g}_0}^2 = 8F_0^2, \quad (2.22)$$

(iii) the tensors $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda$ and $K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)}$ belong to the spaces H_δ^5 and $H_{\delta+1}^4$ respectively and satisfy

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \left\| \nabla^{r+1} \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda \right\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} \leq C_{\text{cons}} \varepsilon, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \left\| \nabla^r K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)} \right\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} \leq C_{\text{cons}} \varepsilon. \quad (2.24)$$

We make two comments about the formulation of Theorem 2.1, compared to the corresponding statement in [Tou23b].

- The main result of [Tou23b] is a purely elliptic result, it only requires a given solution of the maximal null dust constraint equations and not a spacetime solution of the Einstein-null dust system, as it may seem from the above formulation. Obviously, by projecting the background spacetime of Section 2.2 on Σ_0 , one recovers the assumptions of [Tou23b].
- Similarly, the expressions of $K_\lambda^{(0)}$ and $K_\lambda^{(1)}$ given by (2.19) and (2.20) are equal to the ones given in [Tou23b], thanks to some of the assumptions made on the background spacetime in the previous section, namely the fact that ∂_t is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g_0 and the wave condition.

2.4 Local existence in generalised wave gauge

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (g_0, u_0, F_0) be the solution of the Einstein-null dust system described in Section 2.2, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be the smallness threshold. There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\delta, R) > 0$ such that if $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, there exists for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ a solution g_λ of the form*

$$g_\lambda = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)} \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) + \lambda^2 g^{(2)} \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) + \lambda^2 \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda, \quad (2.25)$$

to (1.1) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ in generalised wave gauge. Moreover:

(i) the tensors $g^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ are supported in $J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\})$ and are periodic and smooth functions of the argument $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$,

(ii) there exists $C = C(\delta, R) > 0$ such that the following estimate holds

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 10 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \left\| \nabla^r g^{(1)} \right\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 6 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \left\| \nabla^r g^{(2)} \right\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in \llbracket 1, 5 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \left\| \nabla^{r+1} \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda \right\|_{L_{\delta+r}^2} \leq C \varepsilon, \quad (2.26)$$

(iii) the tensor $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ satisfies the following polarization condition, energy condition and transport equation,

$$g_0^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\frac{1}{8} \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 - \frac{1}{16} \left(\text{tr}_{g_0} F^{(1)} \right)^2 = F_0^2, \quad (2.28)$$

$$2\partial^\alpha u_0 \mathbf{D}_\alpha F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + (\square_{g_0} u_0) F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

Before we present the strategy behind the proof of Theorem 2.2 in Section 3, let us make some general remarks.

- The notation $g^{(i)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ is used in (2.25) to emphasize the oscillating character of $g^{(i)}$, but these tensors also depends on the spacetime variables and this notation actually stands for the following functions on the spacetime

$$g_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)(t, x) = g_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)}\left(t, x, \frac{u_0(t, x)}{\lambda}\right),$$

where $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

- The exact expression of the ansatz for g_λ is given in Section 4.1. Compared to (2.25), we will then describe precisely the oscillating behaviour of each $g^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$, i.e give a finite frequency decomposition. Note that in Theorem 2.2 we already give the frequency decomposition of $g^{(1)}$, that is $g^{(1)} = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F^{(1)}$.
- As explained in depth in the introduction, our main goal is to consider the high-frequency limit, that is $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. From this perspective, the restriction $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ with λ_0 potentially very small is meaningless and only plays a role in the improvement of one of the bootstrap assumptions (see Proposition 7.1).
- The generalised wave condition defining our gauge has a complicated expression and we choose not to present it in Theorem 2.2. In particular, it depends on the exact ansatz for g_λ and the coupling between the transport equations for the waves and the wave equation for the remainder in (2.25). See Section 5.3 for its exact expression.
- The tensor $g^{(2)}$ also satisfies polarization conditions similar to (2.27) but with RHS depending on $g^{(1)}$. See Section 5.3 for their exact expressions.
- The oscillating terms in (2.25) depend on the phase $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$, where u_0 is the solution of the background eikonal equation, i.e (2.4). We emphasize the fact that we don't solve the eikonal equation for the metric g_λ , as in [HL18a] but as opposed to [LR20]. This would require the construction of a null foliation in 3+1 dimension and hence would complicate our proof.

3 Strategy of proof

In this section, we sketch the proof of Theorem 2.2, the challenges of which we already introduced briefly in Section 1.2.3.

3.1 The high-frequency ansatz

In any coordinates system, the Ricci tensor of a Lorentzian metric g reads

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}(g) = -\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta} + H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} H^\rho + P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g). \quad (3.1)$$

We encounter three types of terms in (3.1).

- $\tilde{\square}_g$ stands for the principal part of the wave operator. Because of this term, the Einstein vacuum equations (1.1) are quasi-linear. Moreover, if we think of (1.1) as a system of second order partial differential equations for the metric coefficients, the $-\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$ term represents the diagonal part of the Ricci operator.
- The terms involving $H^\rho = g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$ are the gauge terms of the Ricci operator and their derivatives in (3.1) involve second order derivatives of the metric coefficients. They represent the non-diagonal part of the Ricci operator and working in generalised wave gauge precisely means that we prescribe the value of H^ρ in order to solve the loss of hyperbolicity it causes.

- The quadratic non-linearity $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ is given in coordinates by

$$P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g) = g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma} \left(\partial_{(\alpha}g_{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\mu}g_{\beta)\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}g_{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\beta}g_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\rho}g_{\alpha\nu}\partial_{\sigma}g_{\beta\mu} + \partial_{\rho}g_{\sigma\alpha}\partial_{\mu}g_{\nu\beta} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

It satisfies what we call the weak polarized null condition, see Section 3.1.2.

While being highly non-linear, the Ricci tensor and the three parts defining it all enjoy some special structures. The goal of this section is to show how we benefit from these various structures to construct g_{λ} , whose precise ansatz is given by

$$g_{\lambda} = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \left(g^{(2)} + \mathfrak{h}_{\lambda} \right) + \lambda^3 g^{(3)}. \quad (3.3)$$

The remainder \mathfrak{h}_{λ} is a symmetric 2-tensor and each $g^{(i)}$ are oscillating symmetric 2-tensor as defined in Section 2.1.2. In the rest of this section and for the sake of clarity we drop the index λ and only write g and \mathfrak{h} instead of g_{λ} and \mathfrak{h}_{λ} .

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $g^{(1)}$ has the form $g_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$, as stated in Theorem 2.2. The Ricci tensor being non-linear, we must incorporate the creation of harmonics into g . Even though terms like $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ are of the form $g^{-1}g^{-1}\partial g\partial g$, thus corresponding to the interaction of four oscillating terms, the derivatives lose λ powers so at leading order in λ the terms $g^{-1}g^{-1}\partial g\partial g$ corresponds actually to an interaction of only two oscillating terms. Therefore, we expect $g^{(2)}$ to oscillate with frequencies $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$ and $\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}$, i.e to contain the first and second harmonic. More precisely, by analogy with our toy model in [Tou23a], $g^{(2)}$ oscillates as $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and $\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$.

Since the Ricci tensor involves at most second order derivatives of the metric, it admits *a priori* a formal expansion of the form

$$R_{\mu\nu}(g_{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{\lambda}R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} + R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \lambda R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \dots. \quad (3.4)$$

As every geometric optics construction, it all comes down to plugging the high-frequency ansatz (3.3) in (1.1), obtaining the expression of the different orders in (3.4), equating them to zero and deduce equations and conditions for each terms in (3.3).

In order to understand the structures at stake, we introduce two key structural notions: admissible harmonics and non-tangential tensors.

Definition 3.1. *Let $k = 0, 1$. The **admissible harmonics** at the λ^k level in the Ricci tensor (i.e in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(k)}$) are $\frac{\ell u_0}{\lambda}$ for $\ell \in \llbracket 1, k+1 \rrbracket$. A non-admissible harmonic at a certain level is said to be forbidden at this level.*

Definition 3.2. *Let S be a symmetric 2-tensor and recall (2.14).*

- (i) *We say that S is **non-tangential** if $S_{XY} = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_0$. In coordinates this is equivalent to the existence of a 1-form Q such that $S_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{(\alpha}u_0Q_{\beta)}$.*
- (iii) *We say that S is **fully non-tangential** if the only non-zero null components of S is $S_{\underline{L}_0\underline{L}_0}$. In coordinates this is equivalent to the existence of a scalar function f such that $S_{\alpha\beta} = f\partial_{\alpha}u_0\partial_{\beta}u_0$.*

We also define the polarization tensor of a symmetric 2-tensor, already introduced in [CB69].

Definition 3.3. *Let S be a symmetric 2-tensor, we define its polarization tensor by*

$$\text{Pol}_{\sigma}(S) = g_0^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_{\mu}u_0S_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\sigma}u_0S_{\mu\nu} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Its components in the background null frame (see Section 2.2) are

$$\text{Pol}_{L_0}(S) = -S_{L_0L_0}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\text{Pol}_A(S) = -S_{AL_0}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\text{Pol}_{\underline{L}_0}(S) = -\delta^{AB}S_{AB}. \quad (3.8)$$

3.1.1 Quasi-linear forbidden harmonics

We start by looking at the wave operator in the Ricci tensor, also called the wave part in the sequel, that is $-\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$. Let us see how it acts on an oscillating scalar function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\square}_g \left(T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) f \right) &= T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \tilde{\square}_g f + \frac{1}{\lambda} T' \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) (2g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu f + (\tilde{\square}_g u_0) f) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} T'' \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{-1}(\mathrm{d}u_0, \mathrm{d}u_0) f, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for any scalar function f and T a trigonometric function. Thanks to (2.4) and (3.6), we have schematically

$$g^{-1}(\mathrm{d}u_0, \mathrm{d}u_0) = \lambda \mathrm{Pol}_{L_0} \left(g^{(1)} \right) + \lambda^2 \left(\mathrm{Pol}_{L_0} \left(g^{(2)} \right) + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} \right) + O(\lambda^3), \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda g^{(1)} \right) &= L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} + \mathrm{Pol}_{L_0} \left(g^{(1)} \right) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} + \lambda \left(\mathrm{Pol}_{L_0} \left(g^{(2)} \right) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} \right) + O(\lambda^2), \\ \tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda^2 g^{(2)} \right) &= \lambda \left(L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(2)} + \mathrm{Pol}_{L_0} \left(g^{(1)} \right) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(2)} \right) + O(\lambda^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we neglected lower order terms. Regrouping terms with respect to their λ power, we obtain the following idealized equations by equating $\tilde{\square}_g g$ to 0:

$$L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} + \mathrm{Pol}_{L_0} \left(g^{(1)} \right) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(2)} + \mathrm{Pol}_{L_0} \left(g^{(1)} \right) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(2)} + \mathrm{Pol}_{L_0} \left(g^{(2)} \right) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

We recover here the main feature of geometric optics: the waves $g^{(i)}$ solve transport equations along the rays of the optical function u_0 . These equations will absorb all the terms oscillating as $g^{(i)}$, i.e containing only admissible harmonics (recall Definition 3.1). The terms $\mathrm{Pol}_{L_0} \left(g^{(i)} \right) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(k+2-i)}$ in (3.11) and (3.12) for $k = 0, 1$ contains necessarily forbidden harmonics that can't be absorbed by the transport equations. They are precisely the terms expressing the quasi-linear nature of $\tilde{\square}_g g$, i.e the ones coming from (3.10). We refer to them as quasi-linear forbidden harmonics in the rest of this section. We will use the structures of the gauge part and the quadratic part of the Ricci tensor to remove them.

The remainder \mathfrak{h} in (3.3) being non-oscillating, its contribution to the Ricci tensor from the wave part is simply $-\lambda^2 \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}$. Therefore, the condition $R_{\mu\nu}^{(\geq 2)} = 0$ will require \mathfrak{h} to solve a wave equation, absorbing any harmonics appearing at the λ^2 level. For instance, one of the λ^2 contribution of $\tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda^2 g^{(2)} \right)$ is of the form $\lambda^2 \tilde{\partial}^2 g^{(2)}$, this oscillating term will appear in the RHS of the wave equation for \mathfrak{h}

$$\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h} = \tilde{\partial}^2 g^{(2)} + \text{other terms}. \quad (3.13)$$

We choose to highlight the term $\tilde{\partial}^2 g^{(2)}$ since it is the source of the loss of one derivative in the coupling with (3.12) (where $\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}$ appears), see Section 3.2.2 below.

The role played by \mathfrak{h} , i.e absorbing every terms in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(\geq 2)}$, explains why $g^{(3)}$ is different than $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$. Indeed the transport term $\lambda^2 L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(3)}$ (coming from $\tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda^3 g^{(3)} \right)$) will simply appear in the RHS of (3.13). Therefore, $g^{(3)}$ won't be defined as the solution of a transport equation, unlike $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$. See Section 3.1.3 below.

3.1.2 Semi-linear forbidden harmonics

We now turn our attention to the semi-linear terms, i.e the quadratic non-linearity $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ in the Ricci tensor given by (3.2). This term plays a key role in our construction, since it is the source of backreaction and the cause of new forbidden harmonics. To understand this, let us first look at its λ^0 contribution to the Ricci tensor. Schematically we have

$$P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g) = P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0) + g_0^{-2} \partial g_0 \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} + g_0^{-2} \left(\partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} \right)^2 + O(\lambda). \quad (3.14)$$

The first term in (3.14) will simply be identified with the corresponding term in the background equation (2.8). The second term is linear in $\partial_\theta g^{(1)}$, and thus can be added to the RHS of (3.11) since it corresponds to an admissible harmonic. The most crucial term in (3.14) is the third one. Since $g^{(1)} = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F^{(1)}$, it schematically expands as

$$g_0^{-2} \left(\partial_{u_0} \partial_\theta g^{(1)} \right)^2 = g_0^{-2} \left(\partial_{u_0} F^{(1)} \right)^2 + \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{-2} \left(\partial_{u_0} F^{(1)} \right)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

The first term (3.15) is non-oscillating and thus must be absorbed by the background equation (2.8). Looking at this equation, the only possibility is to identify it with $-2F_0^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0$, which explains why $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ is the source of backreaction. The oscillating term in (3.15) is a forbidden harmonic and for this reason cannot be absorbed by the transport equation (3.11). We refer to this term as the semi-linear forbidden harmonic, and as for the quasi-linear forbidden harmonic $\text{Pol}_{L_0}(g^{(1)}) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)}$ in (3.11), it needs to be removed by the gauge terms.

Similarly, the λ^1 contribution to the Ricci tensor of $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ contains among others the term $g_0^{-2} \partial_{u_0} \partial_\theta g^{(1)} \partial_{u_0} \partial_\theta g^{(2)}$. It is a forbidden harmonic and cannot be absorbed by the transport equation (3.12). It is also a semi-linear forbidden harmonic and must be removed by gauge terms as the quasi-linear forbidden harmonics $\text{Pol}_{L_0}(g^{(1)}) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(2)}$ and $\text{Pol}_{L_0}(g^{(2)}) \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)}$ in (3.12).

Let us understand the structure of the semi-linear forbidden harmonics

$$g_0^{-2} \left(\partial_{u_0} \partial_\theta g^{(1)} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad g_0^{-2} \partial_{u_0} \partial_\theta g^{(1)} \partial_{u_0} \partial_\theta g^{(2)}. \quad (3.16)$$

For this, we introduce the following quadratic form acting on symmetric 2 tensors

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, S) = g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 T_{\rho\sigma} \partial_{\mu} u_0 S_{\beta)\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha u_0 T_{\rho\sigma} \partial_\beta u_0 S_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\rho u_0 T_{\alpha\nu} \partial_\sigma u_0 S_{\beta\mu} \right).$$

This expression is obtained from (3.2) by replacing the contractions with g by contractions with g_0 and replacing the $\partial g \partial g$ by $\partial_{u_0} T \partial_{u_0} S$ (the fourth term in (3.2) does not contribute because of (2.4)). It is such that the problematic terms in (3.16) are precisely given by $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\theta g^{(1)}, \partial_\theta g^{(1)})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\theta g^{(1)}, \partial_\theta g^{(2)}) + \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\theta g^{(2)}, \partial_\theta g^{(1)})$ respectively. A cumbersome computation leads to

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, T) = \mathcal{E}(T, T) \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0 + g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \text{Pol}_\sigma(T) T_{\beta)\nu} - \text{Pol}_\alpha(T) \text{Pol}_\beta(T), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, S) + \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(S, T) &= 2\mathcal{E}(T, S) \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0 + g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 (\text{Pol}_\sigma(T) S_{\beta)\nu} + \text{Pol}_\sigma(S) T_{\beta)\nu}) \\ &\quad - \text{Pol}_{(\alpha}(T) \text{Pol}_{\beta)}(S), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(T, S) = \frac{1}{4} (\text{tr}_{g_0} T) (\text{tr}_{g_0} S) - \frac{1}{2} |T \cdot S|_{g_0}. \quad (3.19)$$

Since L_0 is a null vector field for g_0 , the nature of the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}$ can be understood in the context of null conditions. The standard null condition has been introduced in [Kla86, Chr86] as a criterion for global existence in the small data regime for solutions to quadratic wave systems in 3+1 dimensions. In our context, it would translate to $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, T) = 0$. In [CB00], Choquet-Bruhat introduces the polarized null condition, which here reads

$$\text{Pol}(T) = 0 \implies \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, T) = 0.$$

As it can be seen on (3.17), the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}$ does not satisfy neither the standard null condition nor the polarized null condition. However, it does satisfy what we call the weak polarized null condition:

$$\text{Pol}(T) = 0 \implies \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, T) \text{ is fully non-tangential,}$$

where we recall Definition 3.2. For $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, S) + \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(S, T)$, the weak polarized null condition reads

$$\text{Pol}(T) = 0 \implies \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, S) + \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(S, T) \text{ is non-tangential.}$$

More precisely, if $\text{Pol}(T) = 0$ then

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, T) = \mathcal{E}(T, T)\partial_\alpha u_0\partial_\beta u_0, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(T, S) + \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(S, T) = 2\mathcal{E}(T, S)\partial_\alpha u_0\partial_\beta u_0 + g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{(\alpha}u_0\text{Pol}_{\sigma)}(S)T_{\beta)\nu}. \quad (3.21)$$

Remark 3.1. *The expression for $\mathcal{E}(T, T)$ does not seem to have a sign in the general case, but it does if T satisfies $\text{Pol}(T) = 0$. Indeed, in this case, we can show that*

$$\mathcal{E}(T, T) = -\frac{1}{2}\delta^{AB}\delta^{CD}T_{AC}T_{BD},$$

where the letters A, B, C and D refer to vectors of the orthonormal basis (e_1, e_2) of $TP_{t,u}$. In this article, the energy $\mathcal{E}(T, T)$ is only used for tensors satisfying $\text{Pol}(T) = 0$, see in particular Section 8.1.

Remark 3.2. *The weak polarized null condition is linked to the weak null condition identified by Lindblad and Rodnianski in their proof of the stability of Minkowski in wave coordinates. We postpone the discussion of this aspect to Section 3.1.6.*

3.1.3 The gauge term leads to polarization conditions

In the last two sections, we showed how the quasi-linear aspects of the wave operator and the absence of the null condition for the quadratic non-linearity in the Ricci tensor cause forbidden harmonics to appear in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$. In this section, we show how the gauge terms in the Ricci tensor can deal with these forbidden harmonics.

The gauge term H^ρ rewrites

$$H^\rho = g^{\mu\nu}g^{\rho\sigma}\left(\partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}\right).$$

Its main contribution to the Ricci tensor of the oscillating metric g is then given by

$$g_{\rho(\alpha}\partial_{\beta)}H^\rho = \frac{1}{\lambda}\partial_{(\alpha}u_0\text{Pol}_{\beta)}\left(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)}\right) + \partial_{(\alpha}u_0\text{Pol}_{\beta)}\left(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(2)}\right) + \lambda\partial_{(\alpha}u_0\text{Pol}_{\beta)}\left(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(3)}\right) + O(\lambda^2), \quad (3.22)$$

where we neglected any lower order terms (recall also Definition 3.3). The fact that the gauge term manifests itself as polarization tensors in the Ricci tensor is the main reason why we introduced them in the first place, and why we expressed both the quasi-linear and semi-linear forbidden harmonics in terms of the polarization tensors of the different waves.

If we collect the expansions of the wave part and quadratic part of the Ricci tensor from the previous sections we obtain schematically an expression for the oscillating part of the Ricci tensor (we neglect the non-oscillating terms in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ and the $\mathfrak{h}_{L_0L_0}$ in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} &= \partial_{(\mu}u_0\text{Pol}_{\nu)}\left(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)}\right), \\ R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} &= L_0\partial_\theta g^{(1)} + \text{Pol}_{L_0}\left(g^{(1)}\right)\partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} + \text{admissible harmonic} \\ &\quad + \partial_{(\mu}u_0\text{Pol}_{\nu)}\left(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(2)}\right) + \text{semi-linear forbidden harmonic}, \\ R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} &= L_0\partial_\theta g^{(2)} + \text{Pol}_{L_0}\left(g^{(1)}\right)\partial_\theta^2 g^{(2)} + \text{Pol}_{L_0}\left(g^{(2)}\right)\partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} + \text{admissible harmonic} \\ &\quad + \partial_{(\mu}u_0\text{Pol}_{\nu)}\left(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(3)}\right) + \text{semi-linear forbidden harmonic}. \end{aligned}$$

From the requirement $R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} = 0$, we deduce that $\text{Pol}(g^{(1)}) = 0$. This polarization condition was already identified in [CB69], and it implies that the physically significant part of $g^{(1)}$ satisfies the TT-gauge assumptions. For our construction, it has three major consequences. First, the quasi-linear forbidden

harmonics in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ vanishes. Second, the semi-linear forbidden harmonic in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ are now fully non-tangential (recall (3.20)). This implies that we can absorb them by imposing a polarization condition on $g^{(2)}$:

$$\text{Pol}\left(g^{(2)}\right) = \text{const.} \times \mathcal{E}(T, T) du_0.$$

This condition implies in turn that the quasi-linear forbidden harmonic in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ vanishes (this would not hold if $Q(T, T)$ were only non-tangential). Finally, $\text{Pol}\left(g^{(1)}\right) = 0$ implies that the semi-linear forbidden harmonic in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ are now non-tangential (recall (3.21)). We can thus absorb them by imposing a polarization condition on $g^{(3)}$. Here, we used twice the following simple fact: the equation $\partial_{(\mu} u_0 \text{Pol}_{\nu)}(T) = U_{\mu\nu}$ is solvable if and only if $U_{\mu\nu}$ is non-tangential.

Assuming that these various polarization conditions hold, we now have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} &= 0, \\ R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} &= L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} + \text{admissible harmonic}, \\ R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} &= L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(2)} + \text{admissible harmonic}. \end{aligned}$$

We then obtain $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = 0$ by defining $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$ as the solutions of transport equations along the rays with appropriate RHS. In particular, since the admissible harmonic in $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ are linear terms in $g^{(1)}$ (see (3.14)), the transport equation for $g^{(1)}$ is linear. As explained in depth in the introduction, this is known as transparency in the geometric optics literature. As shown here, transparency is made possible by the particular structure of the quadratic non-linearity and the presence of gauge terms. The link between transparency and the standard null condition has already been discussed in [Lan13]. Here we see how it survives with a weaker form of the standard null condition with the help of gauge invariance.

3.1.4 The gauge term leads to a generalised wave condition

The gauge term H^ρ and its main contribution $g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} H^\rho$ to the Ricci tensor are also responsible for a more standard loss of hyperbolicity for the Einstein vacuum equations. In our case, this loss of hyperbolicity affects the wave equation for the remainder \mathfrak{h} . Very schematically, it reads

$$\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h} = \partial \mathfrak{h} \partial \mathfrak{h} + \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}, \quad (3.23)$$

where we neglected all contributions from the oscillating parts of (3.3), and singled out the second derivatives of \mathfrak{h} coming from $g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} H^\rho$. To remove $\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}$ from (3.23) and get a well-posed equation, we need to set to zero the corresponding parts of H^ρ , i.e the $\partial \mathfrak{h}$ in H^ρ . Moreover, as the discussion of Section 3.2.2 will show, some terms in $g^{(2)}$ are actually coupled to \mathfrak{h} and we also need to set their contribution to H^ρ to zero. The precise definition of the parts of H^ρ we want to cancel is postponed to Section 4.2.3.

3.1.5 Propagation of the polarization conditions

As explained in Section 3.1.3, we need the waves $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$ to satisfy both transport equations along the rays and polarization conditions. In [CB69], Choquet-Bruhat proves that the polarization condition for $g^{(1)}$, that is $\text{Pol}\left(g^{(1)}\right) = 0$, is propagated by the transport equation $g^{(1)}$ satisfies, which schematically reads $L_0 g^{(1)} = g^{(1)}$. By commuting L_0 and Pol , she deduced a transport equation for $\text{Pol}\left(g^{(1)}\right)$, allowing the propagation of $\text{Pol}\left(g^{(1)}\right) = 0$ from the data. Unfortunately, the transport equations and polarization conditions satisfied by $g^{(2)}$ (see Sections 5.1 and 5.3) are much more complicated than the one $g^{(1)}$ satisfies and the previous strategy seems unfeasible. Instead of deriving the equations for $\text{Pol}\left(g^{(2)}\right)$ directly from the equations that $g^{(2)}$ satisfies, we treat the polarization conditions for $g^{(2)}$ as gauge conditions. This means that we first solve the hierarchy of equations as if they were satisfied, and then derive the desired transport equations from the contracted Bianchi identities, which states that the Einstein tensor of a Lorentzian metric is divergence free. This shows how we recover the polarization conditions on the whole spacetime, since our choice of initial data will be such that they hold initially (see Corollary 5.1).

3.1.6 A remark on null conditions

In this cultural section, we intend to motivate the designation "weak polarized null condition" and to make the link with the weak null condition of Lindblad and Rodnianski. This condition is introduced in [LR03] for general non-linear wave systems with quadratic non-linearity. It is also proved there that the Einstein vacuum equations in wave coordinates do satisfy this condition. It plays a crucial role in the proof of the stability of Minkowski spacetime in wave coordinates, see [LR10].

Since we consider the same system of equations as Lindblad and Rodnianski, namely (1.1) in a similar gauge, their weak null condition is satisfied here. Actually, the term $\mathcal{E}(T, T) \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0$ in (3.17) precisely corresponds to the problematic term $P(\partial_\mu h, \partial_\nu h)$ from [LR10] (see in particular Proposition 3.1 there). However, the phenomena observed in the present article, that is a non-linear effect (backreaction) together with linear propagation (transparency), seem to single out the Einstein vacuum equations in (generalised or not) wave coordinates among systems satisfying Lindblad and Rodnianski's weak null condition. Indeed, consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} \square \varphi = (\partial_t \psi)^2, \\ \square \psi = Q(\partial \varphi, \partial \varphi), \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

where φ and ψ are scalar functions defined on \mathbb{R}^{3+1} , \square is the flat wave operator and Q satisfies the standard null condition. Let us perform a geometric optics expansion for φ and ψ , in the spirit of the present article. Consider functions of the form

$$\varphi = \varphi_0 + \lambda \varphi^{(1)} \left(\frac{v}{\lambda} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \psi_0 + \lambda \psi^{(1)} \left(\frac{v}{\lambda} \right), \quad (3.25)$$

where v solves the flat eikonal equation (say $v = t - x$ or $v = t - r$), and $\varphi^{(1)}$ and $\psi^{(1)}$ also depends on the variable (t, x) . The ansatz (3.25) defines approximate solutions of the system (3.24) up to terms of order λ if and only if the following hierarchy of equations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \square \varphi_0 &= (\partial_t \psi_0)^2 + (\partial_t v)^2 \pi \left(\partial_\theta \psi^{(1)} \right)^2, \\ \square \psi_0 &= Q(\partial \varphi_0, \partial \varphi_0), \\ \mathcal{L}_v \partial_\theta \varphi^{(1)} &= 2 \partial_t \psi_0 \partial_t v \partial_\theta \psi^{(1)} + (\partial_t v)^2 (\text{Id} - \pi) \left(\partial_\theta \psi^{(1)} \right)^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_v \partial_\theta \psi^{(1)} &= 2Q(\partial \varphi_0, \partial v) \partial_\theta \varphi^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where π denotes the mean operator with respect to the oscillating variable and $\mathcal{L}_v = 2\partial^\mu v \partial_\mu + \square v$ is the transport operator along the rays. Since (φ_0, ψ_0) does not satisfy (3.24), we observe backreaction (which is of no surprise since (3.24) doesn't satisfy the standard null condition). We don't observe transparency since the transport system for $(\varphi^{(1)}, \psi^{(1)})$ is non-linear. However, it is well known that the system (3.24) does satisfy the weak null condition. This simple example explains in particular the need in our work for a new designation "weak polarized null condition", where the adjective "polarized" refers to the fact that transparency is obtained through polarization conditions for the waves.

Remark 3.3. *Note that the specificity of the Einstein vacuum equations is even more evident in other gauges than wave gauges. For instance, in double null gauges or in Coulomb type gauges, (1.1) satisfy equivalents of the null condition. This is crucially used in seminal works such as [CK93] or [KRS15].*

3.2 Solving the hierarchy of equations

In this section, we explain how we prove well-posedness for the hierarchy of equations derived from putting the ansatz (3.3) into the Einstein vacuum equations (1.1). As explained above, the hierarchy of equations is made of transport equations for $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$ and a wave equation for \mathfrak{h} . As we will see, well-posedness would be trivial if not for the quasi-linear nature of the wave operator in the Ricci tensor.

3.2.1 The background transport equations

As explained above, the transport equation for $g^{(1)}$ is linear and reads schematically

$$L_0 g^{(1)} = g^{(1)}. \quad (3.26)$$

The transport equation for $g^{(2)}$ reads schematically

$$L_0 g^{(2)} = g^{(2)} + \tilde{\partial}^2 g^{(1)}, \quad (3.27)$$

where in the RHS of (3.27) we only consider the worst term from the regularity point of view. In particular, we neglected the term $\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}$ from (3.12). The system (3.26)-(3.27) is triangular and solving it causes no problem. In the sequel, the exact form of this system will be called the *background system* because the regularity of its solution only depends on the background spacetime and does not require any bootstrap argument.

Remark 3.4. *The threshold for the background regularity, i.e $N \geq 10$ (see Section 2.2), is chosen so that all these background quantities and their derivatives can be ultimately bounded in L^∞ . Let us explain briefly why the value 10 fills this requirement. If the background metric coefficients are in H^{N+1} (we neglect the weights at spacelike infinity in this discussion), then (3.26) and (3.27) imply that $g^{(1)} \in H^N$ and $g^{(2)} \in H^{N-2}$. Second derivatives of $g^{(2)}$ will appear as a source term in the wave equation for the remainder \mathfrak{h} . In order to prove local well-posedness for this equation we will differentiate it 4 times (see (3.36) below) and our worse term is thus $\tilde{\partial}^6 g^{(2)}$. We want to bound it in L^∞ so the usual Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ implies that we need $N - 2 \geq 8$.*

3.2.2 The quasi-linear coupling and the loss of derivatives

In this section, we discuss the major impact of the term $\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}$ in (3.12), which has been neglected so far since it causes no formal issues and thus does not enter the discussion on forbidden harmonics. Recall that the presence of this term as source term in the transport equation for $g^{(2)}$ is directly linked to the quasi-linear aspect of $\tilde{\square}_g g$.

To make things clearer, we assume that $\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}$ is the only source term in the transport equation for $g^{(2)}$, which we can thus write as $g_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta}$. Similarly, we assume that $\square_g \mathfrak{F}$ is the only source term in the wave equation for \mathfrak{h} (this term was already singled out in (3.13)). The coupled system between \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{h} then reads

$$L_0 \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{h}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\square_g \mathfrak{h} = \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \square_g \mathfrak{F}, \quad (3.29)$$

where we simply write \mathfrak{h} instead of $\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)}$. Performing energy estimates on (3.28) implies schematically that $\partial \mathfrak{F} \sim \partial \mathfrak{h}$ while the energy estimate for (3.29) gives $\partial \mathfrak{h} \sim \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$. Therefore, there is an *a priori* loss of one derivative in the coupling between (3.28) and (3.29). Regaining this derivative is the main analytical challenge of our work.

3.2.3 Regaining the missing derivative

The main idea is to show that $\square_g \mathfrak{F}$ is better than $\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$, i.e better than any second order derivatives of \mathfrak{F} . To show this, we would like to derive a transport operator for $\square_g \mathfrak{F}$ from (3.28). However we can't hope for any structural property of $[L_0, \square_g]$ since L_0 is a null vector for g_0 and not g . The idea is to first benefit from the expansion defining g and write schematically

$$\square_g \mathfrak{F} = \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F} + \lambda \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}. \quad (3.30)$$

We improve the first term in (3.30) by considering the commutator $[L_0, \square_{g_0}]$ for which we can now hope for structural properties. Formally we have from (3.28)

$$L_0 (\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) = [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \mathfrak{F} + \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{h}. \quad (3.31)$$

We need to know what are the second derivatives appearing in the commutator $[L_0, \square_{g_0}]$. This is the content of the following key lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f be compactly supported, we have*

$$\| [L_0, \square_{g_0}] f \|_{L^2} \leq C(C_0) (\| \partial L_0 f \|_{L^2} + \| \square_{g_0} f \|_{L^2} + \| \partial f \|_{L^2}). \quad (3.32)$$

Moreover, if $r \geq 1$ we have

$$\| \nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}] f \|_{L^2} \leq C(C_0) (\| \nabla^r \partial L_0 f \|_{L^2} + \| \nabla^r \square_{g_0} f \|_{L^2} + \| \partial f \|_{H^r} + \| \partial^2 f \|_{H^{r-1}}). \quad (3.33)$$

The proof of this lemma is postponed to Appendix B and is based on the background null foliation induced by the optical function u_0 . In the case of equation (3.31), the term $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ from (3.32) is treated with Gronwall's inequality and the term $\partial L_0 \mathfrak{F}$ rewrites as $\partial \mathfrak{h}$ thanks to (3.28). By using again the expansion for g , we can use equation (3.29) for the term $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{h}$ in (3.31). Therefore, we are able to show that $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ is at the level of $\partial \mathfrak{h}$, which is consistent with (3.29). This is done in detail in Section 7.4.1.

It remains to improve the second term in (3.30). As our notation suggests it, we don't use any structure of the second order derivatives involved but rather benefit from the small factor λ in front. The idea is to cancel one derivative with this factor, which rigorously involves a spatial Fourier projector Π_{\leq} , that is a Fourier multiplier supported in $\{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$. If we also define $\Pi_{\geq} = \text{Id} - \Pi_{\leq}$, we have indeed Bernstein-like estimates in L^2 :

$$\lambda \partial \Pi_{\leq}(f) \sim f \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_{\geq}(f) \sim \partial f.$$

The proof of these estimates and the exact definition of the projectors Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq} are postponed to Appendix C.3. In order to benefit from these projectors, we need to introduce them into the system (3.28)-(3.29). Instead of this system, we thus solve

$$L_0 \mathfrak{F} = \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}), \quad (3.34)$$

$$\square_g \mathfrak{h} = \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \square_g \mathfrak{F} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}). \quad (3.35)$$

Using the two Bernstein-like estimates, equation (3.34) now implies that $\lambda \partial^2 \mathfrak{F} \sim \partial \mathfrak{h}$ while the extra term in (3.35) is absorbed by the energy estimate for the wave operator. This modification of the system is only possible because (3.28)-(3.29) are obtained as part of the high-frequency expansion of the Ricci tensor. This allows us to artificially remove $\Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h})$ from the transport equation for \mathfrak{F} by simply rewriting the $\lambda \mathfrak{h}$ term as

$$\lambda \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) + \lambda^2 \times \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

In particular, this modification leaves (1.1) invariant. This shows how one can use (3.30) to gain a derivative, and obtain

$$\| \partial \nabla^r \mathfrak{h} \|_{L^2} + \| \partial \nabla^r \mathfrak{F} \|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^r}, \quad (3.36)$$

for $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$ (we omitted the weight at spacelike infinity for \mathfrak{h}). Note that since we modified the equation for \mathfrak{F} , we need the following commutator estimate to deal with the first term in (3.30):

Lemma 3.2. *Let u and v be two tempered distribution. We have*

$$\| [u, \Pi_{\leq}] \nabla v \|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\| \nabla u \|_{L^\infty} + \lambda \| u \|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}} \right) \| v \|_{L^2}. \quad (3.37)$$

This lemma will be used to estimate $[\Pi_{\leq}, \square_{g_0}]$. Its proof is postponed to Appendix C.3 and relies on the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, and we introduce in Section C.1 the material needed.

Remark 3.5. *Strictly speaking, the introduction of the Fourier projectors Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq} , going from (3.28)-(3.29) to (3.34)-(3.35), does not solve all of our issues. It actually replaces the loss of one derivative by the loss of one power of λ , since (3.34) now implies $\partial^2 \mathfrak{F} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial \mathfrak{h}$. Plugging this into the energy estimate for (3.35), this translates as a time of existence of order λ , which is far from satisfactory. A careful bootstrap argument is still required to regain this power of λ , see Section 7.*

3.2.4 The order of precision of the ansatz

Finally, let us comment on the order of precision of the high-frequency ansatz defining g . As (3.3) shows, the order of precision is 2, since the non-oscillating remainder \mathfrak{h} appears first at the order λ^2 in g . This is similar to the construction of [HL18b] in $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry, but different from the one of [Tou23a], where we are able to construct high-frequency ansatz of arbitrary precision for a semi-linear toy model.

As in [Tou23a], the value of this order of precision is linked to the estimates used to solve the equations at stake. To make this clearer, let us assume that this value, denoted by $K \in \mathbb{Z}$, is not fixed yet. The transport equations only involve the transport operator L_0 which is part of the background and therefore their resolution is independent from λ . However, we use energy estimates associated to g to solve (3.35). As shown in [Sog95] (see also Lemma 5.5 in this article) this energy estimates reads schematically

$$\|\partial u\|_{L^2}(t) \lesssim \exp\left(\int_0^t \|\partial g\|_{L^\infty}\right) \left(\|\partial u\|_{L^2}(0) + \int_0^t \|\tilde{\square}_{g_\lambda} u\|_{L^2}\right). \quad (3.38)$$

Therefore, in order to construct a solution to (3.35) with a time of existence independent of λ , we need $\|\partial g\|_{L^\infty}$ to be bounded uniformly in the high-frequency limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, since it appears as coefficient in (3.38). If we focus on the term $\lambda^K \mathfrak{h}$ in g , the usual Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ gives

$$\|\lambda^K \partial \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^K \|\partial \mathfrak{h}\|_{H^2} \lesssim \lambda^{K-2},$$

where we used the fact that one loses one power of λ for each derivative of $\partial \mathfrak{h}$ (see (3.36)). This shows why $K \geq 2$ is necessary. The present article then shows that $K = 2$ is sufficient to construct local high-frequency solutions to (1.1) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Remark 3.6. *As explained in the introduction, constructing similar solutions to (1.1) but of greater order of precision would be an interesting problem from the geometric optics point of view. Moreover, it could pave the way for the study of the long time dynamics of high-frequency gravitational waves. Indeed, the main result of [Tou23a] shows on a toy model how the vector field method and the Klainerman-Sobolev inequality can be applied to geometric optics approximation but require $K \geq 4$.*

3.3 Outline of the proof

We give here an outline of the article's remainder which is concerned with the proof of Theorem 2.2 and follows the strategy depicted in the previous sections.

- In Section 4, we give the final expression of the ansatz for g and compute its Ricci tensor.
- In Section 5, we give the hierarchy of equations as well as the polarization and generalised wave gauge conditions. The hierarchy is composed of the background system and the reduced system. We also define the initial data and provide basic estimates.
- In Section 6, we solve the background system.
- In Section 7, we solve the reduced system.
- In Section 8, we show that the metric g is actually a solution of (1.1) by propagating the polarization and generalised wave gauge conditions.

4 The high-frequency ansatz

4.1 High-frequency expansion of the metric

The metric we consider is given by

$$g_\lambda = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \left(g^{(2)} + \mathfrak{h}_\lambda\right) + \lambda^3 g^{(3)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$g^{(1)} = F^{(1)} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right), \quad (4.2)$$

$$g^{(2)} = \mathfrak{F}_\lambda \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F^{(2,1)} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F^{(2,2)} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right). \quad (4.3)$$

The symmetric 2-tensors $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2,i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ are called the background perturbations. They solve the background system, defined in Section 5.1. The symmetric 2-tensors \mathfrak{F}_λ and \mathfrak{h}_λ solve the reduced system, defined in Section 5.2. We use a different font to emphasize their special role. The exact definition of $g^{(3)}$ will be given in Section 5.4, let us just say for now that it is a polynomial in terms of the background perturbations and their derivatives and is independent from \mathfrak{h}_λ but does depend on \mathfrak{F}_λ without derivatives. The background perturbations tensors don't depend on λ , unlike the tensors \mathfrak{F}_λ , \mathfrak{h}_λ and the metric g_λ itself. However, for the sake of clarity we drop from now on this notation and only write \mathfrak{F} , \mathfrak{h} and g .

Remark 4.1. *The presence of \mathfrak{F} and $F^{(2,1)}$ in $g^{(2)}$ seems redundant and is not necessary. However it seems useful to distinguish between the part of the $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$ oscillation in $g^{(2)}$ coupled to \mathfrak{h} (see Sections 3.2.2 for an informal discussion) and the part whose only purpose is to absorb terms coming from $g^{(1)}$ such as $\square_{g_0} F^{(1)}$ and which can thus be treated as a background quantity.*

We can formally compute the high-frequency expansion of the inverse of g . In coordinates it is given by

$$g^{\mu\nu} = g_0^{\mu\nu} + \lambda(g^{\mu\nu})^{(1)} + \lambda^2(g^{\mu\nu})^{(2)} + \lambda^3 H^{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$(g^{\mu\nu})^{(1)} = -(F^{(1)})^{\mu\nu} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right), \quad (4.5)$$

$$(g^{\mu\nu})^{(2)} = g_0^{\mu\rho} (F^{(1)})^{\nu\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \cos^2\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) - \mathfrak{F}^{\mu\nu} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) - \mathfrak{h}^{\mu\nu} - (F^{(2,1)})^{\mu\nu} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) - (F^{(2,2)})^{\mu\nu} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right). \quad (4.6)$$

On the RHS of these expressions, all the inverses are with respect of the background metric g_0 . For example we have $(F^{(1)})^{\mu\nu} = g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)}$. The quantity $H^{\mu\nu}$ is defined so that (4.4) holds with $(g^{\mu\nu})^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ being defined by (4.5)-(4.6), and one can verify by hand that $H^{\mu\nu} = O(1)$.

Remark 4.2. *We denote by g^{-k} any product of k coefficients of the inverse metric g^{-1} . This also applies to the background inverse metric g_0^{-1} .*

We conclude this section by computing the Christoffel symbols of the metric g . They are given by

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \Gamma(g_0)_{\mu\nu}^\rho + (\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\nu}^\rho + O(\lambda), \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$(\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\nu}^\rho = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\nu\sigma}^{(1)} + \partial_\nu u_0 F_{\mu\sigma}^{(1)} - \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right). \quad (4.8)$$

4.2 High-frequency expansion of the Ricci tensor

In this section, we compute the Ricci tensor of the metric g given by (4.1). In order to lighten the computations, we assume that $F^{(1)}$ satisfies

$$F_{L_0\alpha}^{(1)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}_{g_0} F^{(1)} = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

These are very reasonable assumptions, since they correspond to the polarization condition $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0$ already identified in [CB69] and discussed in Section 3.1.3, together with the stronger requirement $F_{L_0\underline{L}_0}^{(1)} = 0$. The fact that $F^{(1)}$ satisfies these conditions will be proved in Section ??.

The expansion of the Ricci tensor is based on (3.1), i.e its decomposition in generalised wave coordinates, and we first expand the wave part $\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$, then the quadratic non-linearity $P_{\alpha\beta}$ and finally the gauge part H^ρ , in Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 respectively. Finally, Proposition 4.4 gives the expansion of the Ricci tensor of the oscillating metric g . The computations leading to these propositions are postponed to Appendix A.

4.2.1 The wave part

We start by expanding the wave part $\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$ of the Ricci tensor of g .

Proposition 4.1. *The wave part of the Ricci tensor of g admits the expansion*

$$\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + \lambda W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 W_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}, \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = \tilde{\square}_{g_0} (g_0)_{\alpha\beta} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}\right), \quad (4.11)$$

$$W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} - 2L_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}\right) \quad (4.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - 2 \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}, \\ W_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} & = \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda \tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

with lower order terms given schematically by

$$\tilde{W}^{(1,1)} = g_0^{-2} F^{(1)} \partial^2 g_0 + g_0^{-2} \partial^2 F^{(1)} + g_0^{-2} (F^{(1)})^2 \partial^2 u_0, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\tilde{W}^{(1,2)} = g_0^{-2} F^{(1)} \partial^2 g_0 + g_0^{-2} \partial^2 F^{(1)} + g_0^{-2} (F^{(1)})^2 \partial^2 u_0 + g_0^{-1} (\partial u_0)^2 F^{(2,1)} F^{(1)}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}^{(\geq 2)} & = (g^{-1})^{(\geq 3)} (\partial u_0)^2 F^{(1)} \\ & + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} \left(\partial^2 g_0 + \partial^2 u_0 F^{(1)} + \partial u_0 \left(\partial F^{(1)} + \partial F^{(2,1)} + \partial \mathfrak{F} \right) + (\partial u_0)^2 \left(F^{(2,i)} + \mathfrak{F} \right) \right) \\ & + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} \left(\partial^2 F^{(1)} + \partial^2 u_0 \left(F^{(2,i)} + \mathfrak{F} \right) \right) + g^{-1} \partial^2 F^{(2,i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Remark 4.3. *Let us briefly comment on these various expressions, recalling the formal discussion of Section 3.1.1.*

- The absence of the quasi-linear forbidden harmonic $\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}$ in $W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}$ coming from $F_{L_0 L_0}^{(1)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ is due to (4.9).
- The quasi-linear forbidden harmonic $\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}$ in $W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ is due to $F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2)}$, and will eventually vanish thanks to polarization conditions.

4.2.2 The quadratic non-linearity

In this section we expand $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ (see (3.2) for an exact expression).

Proposition 4.2. *The quadratic non-linearity in the Ricci tensor of g admits the expansion*

$$P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g) = P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + \lambda P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 P_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}, \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0) - \frac{1}{4} \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0 \quad (4.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho)}^\nu F_{\beta\nu}^{(1)} + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0, \\ P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} & = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho)}^\nu \left(\mathfrak{F}_{\nu\beta} + F_{\nu\beta}^{(2,1)} \right) + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,1)} \right) \\ & + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(4L_0^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho)}^\nu F_{\nu\beta}^{(2,2)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,2)} \right) + \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

with lower order terms given schematically by

$$\hat{P}^{(0,1)} = g_0^{-2} F^{(1)} \partial g_0, \quad (4.20)$$

$$P^{(1,k)} = \left(g_0^{-3} F^{(1)} \partial g_0 + g_0^{-2} \partial F^{(1)} \right) \left(\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 F^{(1)} \right), \quad (4.21)$$

$$\hat{P}^{(1,i)} = g_0^{-2} \left(\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 F^{(1)} \right) \left(\mathfrak{F} + F^{(2,k)} \right) + \partial u_0 g_0^{-3} (F^{(1)})^3, \quad (4.22)$$

$$P^{(\geq 2)} = (g^{-2} \partial g \partial g)^{(\geq 2)}, \quad (4.23)$$

for $k = 1, 2$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Remark 4.4. Let us briefly comment on these various expressions, recalling the formal discussion of Section 3.1.2.

- Thanks to $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0$ (which follows from (4.9)), the semi-linear forbidden harmonic $\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}$ in $P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}$ is fully non-tangential. See (3.20), where $\mathcal{E}(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)})$ is simplified thanks to $\text{tr}_{g_0} F^{(1)} = 0$ from (4.9).
- Again thanks to $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0$, the semi-linear forbidden harmonic $\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}$ in $P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ is non-tangential, see (3.21).

4.2.3 The gauge part

In this section we expand the gauge term H^ρ . As explained in Sections 3.1.3 and 3.1.4, it is responsible for polarization conditions for each wave in (4.1) and for a generalised wave gauge condition linked to the equation for the remainder \mathfrak{h} . The generalised wave gauge is equivalent to setting a group of problematic terms to 0, and these terms are grouped in Υ^ρ below.

Proposition 4.3. The gauge term H^ρ admits the expansion

$$H^\rho = \lambda(H^{(1)})^\rho + \lambda^2 \left((H^{(2)})^\rho + \Upsilon^\rho \right) + \lambda^3 (H^{(\geq 3)})^\rho, \quad (4.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{(1)})^\rho & = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma \left(F^{(2,1)} \right) + g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma \left(\mathfrak{F} \right) + (\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^\rho \right) \\ & + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma \left(F^{(2,2)} \right) - \frac{1}{4} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\sigma u_0 \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

$$(H^{(2)})^\rho = g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma \left(\partial_\theta g^{(3)} \right) + (\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon^\rho & = g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{F}_{\mu\nu} \right) + \lambda \left(\tilde{\partial}_\mu g_{\sigma\nu}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} \right) \right) \\ & - g_0^{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{h}^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma (g_0)_{\mu\nu} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

with lower order terms given schematically by

$$\tilde{H}^{(1,1)} = g_0^{-2} \left(\partial F^{(1)} + g_0^{-1} F^{(1)} \partial g_0 \right), \quad (4.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^{(2)} &= g_0^{-3} \left((1 + g_0^{-1})(F^{(1)})^2 + F^{(2,i)} + \mathfrak{F} \right) (\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 F^{(1)}) \\ &\quad + g_0^{-3} F^{(1)} (\partial F^{(1)} + \partial u_0 F^{(2,i)} + \partial u_0 \mathfrak{F}) + g_0^{-2} \partial F^{(2,i)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(\geq 3)} &= (g^{-2})^{(\geq 3)} \left(\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 F^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + (g^{-2})^{(\geq 2)} \left(\partial F^{(1)} + \partial u_0 F^{(2,i)} + \partial u_0 \mathfrak{F} \right) + (g^{-2})^{(\geq 1)} \partial F^{(2,i)} \\ &\quad + g^{-1} (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Remark 4.5. Concretely, Υ^ρ contains all derivatives of \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{F} present in H^ρ . Derivatives of \mathfrak{F} are also problematic since the analysis of the equations will show that $\partial \mathfrak{F}$ is at the level of $\partial \mathfrak{h}$. This also explains the presence of the $\partial g^{(3)}$ terms since $g^{(3)}$ will involve \mathfrak{F} . The second line in (4.27) is also linked to $g^{(3)}$ and will be fully explained in Remark 5.2.

For clarity, we denote by \mathring{H} the part of H containing only allowed terms from the wave equation perspective, that is

$$\mathring{H}^\rho = H^\rho - \lambda^2 \Upsilon^\rho. \quad (4.31)$$

4.2.4 Expression of the Ricci tensor

We conclude this section by putting together Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3. Before that, we define the main transport operator

$$\mathcal{L}_0 := -2\mathbf{D}_{L_0} + \square_{g_0} u_0, \quad (4.32)$$

where we recall that \mathbf{D} is the covariant derivative associated to g_0 . It acts on tensor fields of all type, including scalar functions.

Proposition 4.4. *Under the assumptions (4.9), the Ricci tensor of g admits the expansion*

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + \lambda R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}.$$

The term $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} &= -\tilde{\square}_{g_0} (g_0)_{\alpha\beta} + P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0) (\partial g_0, \partial g_0) - \frac{1}{4} \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0 \\ &\quad + \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\text{Pol}_{\beta)} \left(F^{(2,1)} \right) + \text{Pol}_{\beta)} (\mathfrak{F}) + \tilde{H}_{\beta)}^{(1,1)} + \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)} \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \cos \left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda} \right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(4\text{Pol}_{\beta)} \left(F^{(2,2)} \right) + \frac{3}{8} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

The term $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = & \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} - \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} - \mathcal{L}_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} - \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right. \\
& \left. + \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \left(\text{Pol} \left(F^{(2,1)} \right) + \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{F}) + \tilde{H}^{(1,1)} \right)_{\beta)} \right) \\
& + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + 2\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} \right. \\
& \left. + \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \left(-2\text{Pol} \left(F^{(2,2)} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \text{d}u_0 \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 \right)_{\beta)} \right) \\
& + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,1)} + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,2)} + \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,3)} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_{\sigma} \left(F^{(2,1)} \right) + g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{F}) + (\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^{\rho} \right) \partial_{\rho} u_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\
& - 2 \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \text{Pol}_{L_0} \left(F^{(2,2)} \right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\
& + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\text{Pol}_{\beta)} \left(\partial_{\theta}^2 g^{(3)} \right) + (g_0)_{\rho\beta} \partial_{\theta} (\tilde{H}^{(2)})^{\rho} + (g_0)_{\rho\beta} \partial_{\theta} (\Upsilon^{\rho})^{(0)} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \left(g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_{\sigma} \left(F^{(2,1)} \right) + g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{F}) + (\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^{\rho} \right) \\
& - 4 \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_{\sigma} \left(F^{(2,2)} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

The term $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = & -\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda \tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)} - \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} \\
& + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} + (H^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} H^{\rho})^{(\geq 2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

Remark 4.6. As discussed in Section 3.1.3, the high-frequency expansion of the Ricci tensor a priori contains a term of order λ^{-1} . This term is zero thanks to $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0$ which follows again from (4.9).

4.3 Towards the hierarchy

In this section, we give a first version of the various partial differential equations and algebraic conditions we impose on each tensors in (4.1) so that g solves (1.1). We thus deduce them from the requirements that

$$R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = 0, \quad R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = 0.$$

The final version will be properly given in Section 5, where the modification of the coupling between \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{F} will be introduced (see Section 3.2.3 for where this modification is first discussed).

4.3.1 Ensuring $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = 0$

Canceling the non-oscillating term and the two oscillating terms in (4.33) is enough to ensure $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = 0$. Set to zero, the non-oscillating term is a wave equation for the background metric g_0 with $F^{(1)}$ as source term

$$-\tilde{\square}_{g_0} (g_0)_{\alpha\beta} + P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0) - \frac{1}{4} \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 \partial_{\alpha} u_0 \partial_{\beta} u_0 = 0. \tag{4.36}$$

Given the equation (2.8) satisfied by g_0 , we see that (4.36) is equivalent to the following quadratic condition for $F^{(1)}$

$$\left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2 = 8F_0^2. \quad (4.37)$$

Recall also that all the computations of Section 4 (and in particular Proposition 4.4) are performed under the assumptions (4.9) on $F^{(1)}$. Canceling the $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ term in (4.33) is done by imposing the following transport equation for $F^{(1)}$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F^{(1)} = 0, \quad (4.38)$$

and the following polarization conditions on $F^{(2,1)}$ and \mathfrak{F}

$$\text{Pol}\left(F^{(2,1)}\right) = -\tilde{H}^{(1,1)} - \hat{P}^{(0,1)}, \quad (4.39)$$

$$\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{F}) = 0. \quad (4.40)$$

Canceling the $\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ term in (4.33) is done by imposing the following polarization conditions on $F^{(2,2)}$

$$\text{Pol}\left(F^{(2,2)}\right) = -\frac{3}{32}\left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2 du_0.$$

For convenience, we define the following tensors

$$V^{(2,1)} = \text{Pol}\left(F^{(2,1)}\right) + \tilde{H}^{(1,1)} + \hat{P}^{(0,1)}, \quad (4.41)$$

$$V^{(2,2)} = \text{Pol}\left(F^{(2,2)}\right) + \frac{3}{32}\left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2 du_0, \quad (4.42)$$

so that the polarization conditions on $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$ simply rewrite

$$V^{(2,1)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V^{(2,2)} = 0. \quad (4.43)$$

4.3.2 Ensuring $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = 0$

Before deriving equations and conditions from the requirement $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = 0$, let us rewrite $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ with the help of the tensors $V^{(2,1)}$ and $V^{(2,2)}$. From (4.34), (4.41) and (4.42) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} &= \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} - \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} - \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)} - \mathcal{L}_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} - \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + 2\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \frac{1}{2} g_0^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \hat{P}_{\sigma}^{(0,1)} \right. \\ &\quad \quad \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{L_0}^{(0,1)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{16} \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \left(du_0 \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 \right)_{\beta)} \right) \\ &\quad + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\text{Pol}_{\beta)} \left(\partial_{\theta}^2 g^{(3)} \right) + (g_0)_{\rho\beta} \partial_{\theta} (\tilde{H}^{(2)})^{\rho} + (g_0)_{\rho\beta} \partial_{\theta} (\Upsilon^{\rho})^{(0)} \right. \\ &\quad \quad \quad \left. + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,1)} + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,2)} + \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,3)} \right) \\ &\quad + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \left(V^{(2,1)} + \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{F}) \right)_{\beta)} - 2 \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\left(V_{L_0}^{(2,1)} + 2\text{Pol}_{L_0}(\mathfrak{F}) \right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - g_0^{\rho\sigma} \left(V_{\sigma}^{(2,1)} + \text{Pol}_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{F}) \right) F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \right) \\ &\quad - 4 \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 V_{\sigma}^{(2,2)} \\ &\quad + \left(\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) - 2 \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \right) V_{L_0}^{(2,2)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

where we also used

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{L_0 L_0} = -\text{Pol}_{L_0}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}),$$

and

$$F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} = -\text{Pol}_{L_0}(F^{(2,2)}) = -V_{L_0}^{(2,2)},$$

which follows from (4.42). We see that the terms in (4.44) can be put in three categories that are canceled by different mechanisms.

- The first two lines can be set to zero by imposing transport equations for $F^{(2,1)}$, $F^{(2,2)}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} = -\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} - \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)}, \quad (4.45)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} = \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \frac{1}{4} g_0^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \hat{P}_{\sigma}^{(0,1)} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{P}_{L_0}^{(0,1)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{32} \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \left(\text{d}u_0 \Big|_{g_0} F^{(1)} \Big|_{g_0}^2 \right)_{\beta)}, \quad (4.46)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha\beta} = -\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}. \quad (4.47)$$

- The third line is composed of non-tangential terms and can be set to zero by imposing the following polarization condition on $g^{(3)}$:

$$\text{Pol}_{\beta} \left(\partial_{\theta}^2 g^{(3)} \right) = -(g_0)_{\rho\beta} \partial_{\theta} (\tilde{H}^{(2)})^{\rho} - \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1,1)} - \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1,2)} - \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1,3)}. \quad (4.48)$$

Note that we deliberately leave $(g_0)_{\rho\beta} \partial_{\theta} (\Upsilon^{\rho})^{(0)}$ aside. Moreover, note that the RHS of (4.48) does not depend on \mathfrak{h} , thanks to the addition of the last line in Υ^{ρ} (see Remark 5.2 for why we want $g^{(3)}$ to be independent from \mathfrak{h}).

- The last four lines are proportional to either $V^{(2,1)}$, $V^{(2,2)}$ or $\text{Pol}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}})$, which will eventually vanish according to the previous discussion on ensuring $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = 0$, see (4.40) and (4.43).

4.3.3 Ensuring $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = 0$

From (4.35), we see that $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = 0$ is ensured if we impose the following wave equation for the remainder

$$\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} = -\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \tilde{\square}_g \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda \tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)} - \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} + \left(\mathring{H}^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \mathring{H}^{\rho} \right)^{(\geq 2)}, \quad (4.49)$$

and the following generalised wave gauge condition

$$\Upsilon^{\rho} = 0,$$

where we recall that $H^{\rho} = \mathring{H}^{\rho} + \lambda^2 \Upsilon^{\rho}$.

Remark 4.7. As explained in depth in Section 3.2.3, (4.47) and (4.49) are not the final version of the equations that $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ and \mathfrak{h} will solve, see Section 5.2 below.

5 The hierarchy of equations

In this section, we give the final version of the equations that the different terms in (4.1) solve. We divide them into two groups of equations, the background system presented in Section 5.1 and the reduced system presented in Section 5.2. Note that $g^{(3)}$ is defined in Section 5.4 but doesn't solve a differential equation.

5.1 The background system

The *background system* is the system satisfied by $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$. According to (4.38), (4.45) and (4.46) it writes

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} = -\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} - \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} = \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \frac{1}{4} g_0^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \hat{P}_{\sigma}^{(0,1)} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{P}_{L_0}^{(0,1)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{32} \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \left(du_0 \Big|_{g_0} \Big|_{\beta)}^2 \right). \quad (5.3)$$

The background system admits a triangular structure. It will be solved in Section 6 and all the estimates and support properties of the background perturbations are given in Theorem 6.1. Since (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) are first order transport equation, the initial data for the background system consist in

$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0.$$

They are given in Section 5.5.1.

5.2 The reduced system

We present now the system solved by the tensors \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{h} , called the *reduced system*. We already derived equations for \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{h} in Sections 4.3.2 and 4.3.3, namely (4.47) and (4.49). However, as explained in Section 3.2.3, the coupled system (4.47)-(4.49) is ill-posed and we need to modify it. We introduce the Fourier cut-offs Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq} .

Definition 5.1. *Let $\chi_1 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function supported in*

$$\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi| \leq 2\},$$

and such that $\chi_1(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq 1$. For $\lambda > 0$ we define $\chi_\lambda(\xi) = \chi_1(\lambda\xi)$, thus χ_λ is supported in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}\}$ and $\chi_\lambda(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$. We define Π_{\leq} by

$$\Pi_{\leq}(f) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_\lambda \mathcal{F}(f)),$$

for $f \in L^2$. We also define

$$\Pi_{\geq} = \text{Id} - \Pi_{\leq}.$$

The reduced system, i.e the equations for \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{h} , is then

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} = -\Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} = -\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda \tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \mathcal{R}(g), \quad (5.5)$$

where we set

$$\mathcal{R}(g) = -\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} + \left(\mathring{H}^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \mathring{H}^\rho \right)^{(\geq 2)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}. \quad (5.6)$$

Since (5.4) is a first order transport equation and (5.5) is a second order wave equation the initial data for the reduced system consist in

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0.$$

They are given in Section 5.5.2.

5.3 Generalised wave gauge and polarization conditions

As it is usual when solving the Einstein equations, we impose gauge conditions. Since we solve a wave equation for \mathfrak{h} , we need a generalised wave gauge. Moreover, we also impose polarization conditions on $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and \mathfrak{F} . These various algebraic conditions appeared naturally in the discussions of Sections 4.3.1, 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 and we recall them for convenience.

Definition 5.2. *The generalised wave gauge considered in Theorem 2.2 is defined by*

$$\Upsilon^\rho = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

where Υ^ρ is defined in (4.27).

The purpose of this generalised wave gauge is to remove from the RHS of the wave equation for \mathfrak{h} any second order derivatives of \mathfrak{h} , which would induce a loss of derivatives in the standard energy estimate. For later use, we define $\tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho$ as the terms in Υ^ρ independent from $\partial\mathfrak{h}$, i.e

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho = & g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \left(\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{F}_{\mu\nu} \right) + \lambda \left(\tilde{\partial}_\mu g_{\sigma\nu}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\partial}_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} \right) \right) \\ & - g_0^{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{h}^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma (g_0)_{\mu\nu} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

The polarization conditions prescribe the polarization tensors of $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and \mathfrak{F} .

Definition 5.3. *The polarization conditions are*

$$V_\beta^{(2,j)} = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

where $V^{(2,j)}$ are defined in (4.41) and (4.42), together with and

$$\text{Pol}_\beta \left(F^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (5.10)$$

$$F_{L_0 \underline{L}_0}^{(1)} = 0, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\text{Pol}_\beta (\mathfrak{F}) = 0. \quad (5.12)$$

Remark 5.1. *Note that (4.9) is equivalent to (5.10)-(5.11).*

5.4 Definition of $g^{(3)}$

Unlike the other terms in (4.1), the tensor $g^{(3)}$ doesn't solve any differential equation but only a polarization condition, already given in (4.48), which we recall for convenience:

$$\text{Pol}_\beta \left(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(3)} \right) = -(g_0)_{\rho\beta} \partial_\theta (\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho - \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_\beta^{(1,1)} - \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_\beta^{(1,2)} - \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_\beta^{(1,3)}. \quad (5.13)$$

Since the RHS of (5.13) is clearly purely oscillating (note that any ∂_θ -derivative is purely oscillating), it is possible to integrate twice (5.13) with respect to the oscillating variable and obtain $g^{(3)}$ if and only if we know how to solve an equation of the form

$$\text{Pol}_\beta(T) = \Omega_\beta, \quad (5.14)$$

with Ω_β a 1-form and for T a symmetric 2-tensor. According to (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) we just need to set

$$T_{L_0 L_0} = -\Omega_{L_0},$$

$$T_{L_0 A} = -\Omega_A,$$

$$T_{11} = -\Omega_{\underline{L}_0},$$

with all the other coefficients of T being zero. This implies that we can solve (5.14) for T satisfying $|T| \lesssim |\Omega|$ with an implicit constant depending only on the background spacetime.

Thanks to (4.29) and (4.22), the RHS of (5.13) depends on the background quantities g_0 , $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and their first derivatives and on the non-background field \mathfrak{F} . However, it does not depend on \mathfrak{h} . We summarize this discussion in the next lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Given $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and \mathfrak{F} , there exists a symmetric 2-tensor $g^{(3)}$ solution of (5.13) of the form*

$$g^{(3)} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}} T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(g^{(3,T)}(\mathfrak{F}) + g^{(3,T,BG)} \right),$$

where \mathcal{A} is a finite subset of trigonometric functions (see (2.2)), $g^{(3,T)}(\mathfrak{F})$ satisfies schematically

$$g^{(3,T)}(\mathfrak{F}) = g_0 g_0^{-3} \left(\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 F^{(1)} \right) \mathfrak{F}, \quad (5.15)$$

and where $g^{(3,T,BG)}$ is a polynomial in the background quantities g_0 , $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and their first derivatives. In the rest of this article, we will use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}} T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{(3,T)}(\mathfrak{F}), \\ g^{(3,BG)} &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}} T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{(3,T,BG)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $g^{(3)}$ only absorbs oscillating terms, it has the same support as $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ or \mathfrak{F} , which will be proved to be compact in this article.

5.5 Initial data for the spacetime metric

In this section, we define the initial data on Σ_0 for the different tensors appearing in the high-frequency ansatz (4.1). They are based on the solution of the constraint equations presented in Theorem 2.1, which is the main result of [Tou23b].

5.5.1 Initial data for the background system

We start with the tensors $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$. For the spatial components, we simply set

$$F_{ij}^{(1)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)}, \quad F_{ij}^{(2,1)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,1)}, \quad F_{ij}^{(2,2)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,2)}. \quad (5.16)$$

For the time components of $F^{(1)}$, we set

$$F_{0\alpha}^{(1)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0, \quad (5.17)$$

which is consistent with (4.9). For the time components of $F^{(2,i)}$, we need to ensure that $F^{(2,i)}$ satisfy on Σ_0 the polarization conditions (5.9). For clarity, we define the 1-forms $\Omega^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ so that

$$V_{\beta}^{(2,i)} = \text{Pol}_{\beta} \left(F^{(2,i)} \right) - \Omega_{\beta}^{(i)}. \quad (5.18)$$

Note from (4.41), (4.42), (4.28) and (4.20) that $\Omega^{(i)}$ involve only g_0 and its derivatives or $F^{(1)}$ and its first derivatives $\partial F^{(1)}$. For $\nabla F^{(1)}$, the initial data on Σ_0 are obtained by differentiating directly $F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ defined in (5.16) and (5.17) and for $\partial_t F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ they are obtained by rewriting the equation we want $F^{(1)}$ to satisfy, that is (5.1) which rewrites as

$$\partial_t F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = -N_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (\partial_t + N_0)^{\rho} \Gamma(g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} F_{\mu\beta)}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} (\square_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}, \quad (5.19)$$

where we used the fact that on Σ_0 we have $L_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} (\partial_t + N_0)$. At this stage, the RHS of (5.19) is fully defined on Σ_0 , which implies that this is also the case for $\Omega^{(i)}$. It remains to define the initial data for $F_{0\alpha}^{(2,i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ by

$$F_{0A}^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = -\bar{F}_{AN_0}^{(2,i)} - \frac{1}{|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} \Omega_A^{(i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0, \quad (5.20)$$

$$F_{0N_0}^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{F}_{N_0 N_0}^{(2,i)} - \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}^2} \Omega_{L_0}^{(i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0, \quad (5.21)$$

$$F_{00}^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Note that these definitions only ensure

$$V_{\mathcal{T}_0}^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0, \quad (5.23)$$

thanks to (3.6) and (3.7). The fact that $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)}$ also vanishes on Σ_0 is the content of Lemma 8.4.

5.5.2 Initial data for the reduced system

We define here the initial data for the solution of the reduced system. For the tensor \mathfrak{F} we simply set

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0. \quad (5.24)$$

Before we look at \mathfrak{h} , note that with (5.24) we can apply Lemma 5.1 and fully compute $g^{(3)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0$. Indeed it is independent from \mathfrak{h} and depends only on $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and their derivatives which can be computed on Σ_0 by looking at the transport equations we want them to satisfy, that is (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3).

Since \mathfrak{h} solves a second order equation we need to prescribe \mathfrak{h} and $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}$ on Σ_0 . We start with the spatial components of \mathfrak{h} , defined so that the induced metric on Σ_0 of the spacetime metric g defined by (4.1) is precisely the Riemannian metric \bar{g} obtained in Theorem 2.1. This imposes

$$\mathfrak{h}_{ij} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = \bar{h}_{ij} - \lambda g_{ij}^{(3)}. \quad (5.25)$$

where \bar{h} is given in (2.17).

Remark 5.2. *The definition (5.25) is the reason why we need $g^{(3)}$ to be independent of \mathfrak{h} . This requirement led to the presence of the term*

$$-g_0^{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{h}^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma (g_0)_{\mu\nu} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) \right), \quad (5.26)$$

in Υ^ρ (see (4.27)). Otherwise, this term would have been present in the RHS of (5.13), leading to $g^{(3)}$ being a function of \mathfrak{h} . Defining $\mathfrak{h}_{ij} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0$ would have thus required to invert a system of equations of the form

$$\mathfrak{h} + \lambda g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{h}) = \bar{h}.$$

If λ is small enough, one can easily solve this system of equations but we preferred to avoid this and to include (5.26) in Υ^ρ .

For the time components of \mathfrak{h} , we choose them so that they compensate the one of $g^{(3)}$, that is

$$\mathfrak{h}_{0\alpha} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = -\lambda g_{0\alpha}^{(3)}. \quad (5.27)$$

Note that we can now obtain the initial data for the derivatives of \mathfrak{F} . Indeed, (5.24) obviously gives us that $\nabla \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ and since we want \mathfrak{F} to solve (5.4), the time derivative of \mathfrak{F} is initially given by

$$\partial_t \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} \Pi_{\leq} (\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \quad (5.28)$$

where we also used (5.24) to simplify (5.4).

We now to define the initial data for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta}$. The spatial components, i.e $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$, are chosen so that the second fundamental form of Σ_0 as an hypersurface in (\mathcal{M}, g) is given by K obtained in Theorem 2.1. The second fundamental form is defined by $-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_T g$ where T is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g and \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative. *A priori*, the unit normal T depends on λ . This shows that in order to obtain the dependence of $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$ on λ , we need to know more about T .

Lemma 5.2. *The future unit normal T to Σ_0 for the metric g given by (4.1) satisfies*

$$T = \partial_t + Z, \quad (5.29)$$

with the vector field $Z = Z^t \partial_t + \bar{Z}^i \partial_i$ given by

$$Z^t = \left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1, \quad (5.30)$$

$$\bar{Z}^i = -\lambda^2 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0j}^{(2)} \left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.31)$$

Proof. Since the unit normal to a spacelike hypersurface for a given Lorentzian metric is unique, we just need to prove that we can construct the vector field Z such that T defined by (5.29) satisfies

$$g(T, T) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad g(T, \partial_i) = 0.$$

Note that thanks to (5.17), (5.22), (5.24) and (5.27) and the fact that ∂_t is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g_0 we have $g_{00} = -1$ and $g_{0i} = \lambda^2 g_{0i}^{(2)}$. This gives

$$g(T, \partial_i) = \lambda^2 g_{0i}^{(2)} (1 + Z^t) + \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{Z}^j,$$

so we impose

$$\bar{Z}^i = -\lambda^2 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0j}^{(2)} (1 + Z^t). \quad (5.32)$$

Moreover, we have

$$g(T, T) = -1 + 2g_{0\alpha} Z^\alpha + g_{\alpha\beta} Z^\alpha Z^\beta,$$

so we impose $2g_{0\alpha} Z^\alpha + g_{\alpha\beta} Z^\alpha Z^\beta = 0$. After expanding the quadratic term and inserting (5.32) this condition rewrites as a quadratic equation for Z^t

$$\left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right) (Z^t)^2 + 2 \left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right) Z^t + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)} = 0.$$

The two roots of this equation are

$$\pm \left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1.$$

Since we want T to be future directed, we choose the root where $\pm = +$, i.e (5.30). Inserting this into (5.32) gives (5.31). \square

We now compute the expression of the second fundamental form of Σ_0 as an hypersurface in (\mathcal{M}, g) with g being given by (4.1).

Lemma 5.3. *If g is given by (4.1) and if $\partial_t F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ is given by (5.19) then on Σ_0 the following holds*

$$-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_T g_{ij} = K_\lambda^{(0)} + \lambda K_\lambda^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_T \mathfrak{h} + \tilde{K}_{evol}^{(\geq 2)} \right),$$

where $K_\lambda^{(0)}$ and $K_\lambda^{(1)}$ are given by (2.19) and (2.20). Moreover, we have schematically

$$\lambda^2 \tilde{K}_{evol}^{(\geq 2)} = Z^\alpha \partial g_0 + \lambda Z^\alpha \partial g^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \left(\partial \mathfrak{F} + \partial F^{(2,i)} + Z^\alpha \partial g^{(2)} \right) + \lambda^3 T g^{(3)}. \quad (5.33)$$

Proof. Recalling that g is defined by (4.1) we have

$$-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_T g = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_T g_0 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathcal{L}_T g^{(1)} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \mathcal{L}_T g^{(2)} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \mathcal{L}_T \mathfrak{h} - \frac{\lambda^3}{2} \mathcal{L}_T g^{(3)}.$$

We compute or schematically estimate each term in this expression, using the expansion of T given in Lemma 5.2. The latter gives

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_0 = K_0 + O(Z^\alpha \partial g_0),$$

where Z^α denotes either Z^t or \bar{Z}^i and where we used the fact that ∂_t is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g_0 . Similarly, using $\partial_t u_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}$ on Σ_0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\lambda}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_{ij}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_t F_{ij}^{(1)} + O\left(\lambda Z^\alpha \partial g^{(1)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-N_0 \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} + (\partial_t + N_0)^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{\rho(i} \bar{F}_{kj)}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} (\square_{g_0} u_0) \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\lambda Z^\alpha \partial g^{(1)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.19) and (5.17) to compute $\partial_t F_{ij}^{(1)}$ and (5.16) to compute $F_{ij}^{(1)}$. Using in addition (5.24) we now obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_{ij}^{(2)} &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,1)} + \lambda \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,2)} \\ &\quad + O\left(\lambda^2 \left(\partial \mathfrak{F} + \partial F^{(2,i)} + Z^\alpha \partial g^{(2)} \right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma, by recalling the expression of $K_\lambda^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ given in (2.19) and (2.20). \square

As explained above, we want the initial data for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$ to ensure that the second fundamental form of Σ_0 for g , i.e. $-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_{ij}$, matches the tensor K_λ given by Theorem 2.1. According to the previous lemma, this is equivalent to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T \mathfrak{h} + \tilde{K}_{evol}^{(\geq 2)} = K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)}, \quad (5.34)$$

where $K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)}$ is given in Theorem 2.1. Using the expansion of T , this defines the initial data for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$ on Σ_0 by

$$\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = \frac{1}{1+Z^t} \left(-\bar{Z}^k \partial_k \mathfrak{h}_{ij} + \mathfrak{h}([Z, \partial_{[i}], \partial_{j]}) - 2(K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)})_{ij} + 2(\tilde{K}_{evol}^{(\geq 2)})_{ij} \right). \quad (5.35)$$

It remains to define the initial value for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{0\alpha}$. They are chosen so that the generalised wave condition (5.7) holds on Σ_0 . This condition rewrites as

$$g^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu} \right) = -g_{\sigma\rho} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho. \quad (5.36)$$

Note that the initial data for $\tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho$ are fully defined. Indeed the last line in (5.8) depends on background quantities and on $g \upharpoonright \Sigma_0$. For the first line, we use (5.24) for the tangential derivatives or (5.28) for the initial value of $\partial_t \mathfrak{F}$. A similar argument using Lemma 5.1 allows us to deal with $\partial g^{(3)}$ in $\tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho$.

Thanks to the decomposition of $g^{\mu\nu}$ on Σ_0 , (5.36) rewrites as

$$-T^\mu T^\nu \left(\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu} \right) = -g_{\sigma\rho} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho - \bar{g}^{k\ell} \left(\partial_k \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{k\ell} \right),$$

where the RHS is fully defined thanks to (5.25), (5.27) and (5.35). We project this expression onto the initial frame (T, ∂_i) and rearrange terms to obtain the initial value of $T\mathfrak{h}_{TT}$ and $T\mathfrak{h}_{iT}$:

$$T\mathfrak{h}_{TT} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 2\mathfrak{h}_{\mu T} T T^\mu + 2g_{T\rho} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho + 2T^\sigma \bar{g}^{k\ell} \left(\partial_k \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{k\ell} \right), \quad (5.37)$$

$$T\mathfrak{h}_{iT} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = \mathfrak{h}_{i\nu} T T^\nu + \frac{1}{2} T^\mu T^\nu \partial_i \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu} + g_{i\rho} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho + \bar{g}^{k\ell} \left(\partial_k \mathfrak{h}_{i\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \mathfrak{h}_{k\ell} \right). \quad (5.38)$$

Using the decomposition of T given by Lemma 5.2 we can deduce from (5.37) and (5.38) the initial value of $\partial_i \mathfrak{h}_{0\alpha}$ (similarly to how we obtain (5.35) from (5.34)).

5.5.3 Initial estimates and properties

In the following corollary, we summarize the formal properties satisfied by the initial data defined in the previous sections and give the estimates they satisfy. It shows in particular that the initial data defined above from the solution of the constraint equations obtained in [Tou23b] are compatible with the generalised wave gauge condition and the polarization conditions (with the exception of $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)} = 0$).

Corollary 5.1. *The initial data for the background and reduced systems defined in Sections 5.5.1 and 5.5.2 are such that*

- (i) *the first and second fundamental forms of the hypersurface Σ_0 are given by $(\bar{g}_\lambda, K_\lambda)$ from Theorem 2.1 and therefore solve the constraint equations,*
- (ii) *the tensors $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$ are initially supported in $\{|x| \leq R\}$ and there exists a constant $C_{\text{in}} > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| F^{(1)} \right\|_{H^N(\Sigma_0)} + \left\| F^{(2,1)} \right\|_{H^{N-1}(\Sigma_0)} + \left\| F^{(2,2)} \right\|_{H^{N-1}(\Sigma_0)} \leq C_{\text{in}} \varepsilon, \quad (5.39)$$

$$\max_{k \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \left\| \partial \nabla^r \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2(\Sigma_0)} \leq C_{\text{in}} \varepsilon, \quad (5.40)$$

- (iii) *the following initial polarization conditions hold*

$$\text{Pol} \left(F^{(1)} \right) \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0, \quad (5.41)$$

$$V_{\mathcal{T}_0}^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0, \quad (5.42)$$

$$\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{F}) \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0, \quad (5.43)$$

- (iv) *the initial backreaction condition holds*

$$\mathcal{E} \left(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)} \right) \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = -4F_0^2, \quad (5.44)$$

where \mathcal{E} is defined in (3.19),

- (v) *the initial generalised wave gauge condition holds*

$$\Upsilon^\rho \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0. \quad (5.45)$$

Proof. The first item of the corollary holds thanks to (5.16), (5.24), (5.25) and (5.34). We now turn to the second item the corollary. The support property of $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$ follows directly from the support property of $\bar{F}^{(1)}$, $\bar{F}^{(2,1)}$ and $\bar{F}^{(2,2)}$ stated in Theorem 2.1 and (5.16), (5.17), (5.20), (5.21) and (5.22). Similarly, we deduce (5.39) from (2.21).

Proving (5.40) amounts to bound the Sobolev norm of the initial data for \mathfrak{h} and $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}$. First, from (5.25) and (5.27) we can schematically write $\mathfrak{h} = \bar{\mathfrak{h}} + \lambda g^{(3)}$. On Σ_0 , $g^{(3)}$ is compactly supported and depends only on background quantities (see the discussion following (5.24)). The estimate

$$\left\| \nabla^{r+1} \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2(\Sigma_0)} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}, \quad (5.46)$$

for $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$ thus follows from (2.23). We now look at $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$, defined in (5.35). Since $Z = O(\lambda^2)$ and only contains background quantities (see (5.31) and (5.30)) the worse terms in (5.35) are the last two (the first two can be estimated with (5.46)). For them we use (2.24) and (5.33) (note that $\tilde{K}_{evol}^{(\geq 2)}$ involves the non-background quantities $\partial_t \mathfrak{F}$ for which we need to use (5.28)). This proves that

$$\|\partial_t \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}_{ij}\|_{L_{\delta^{r+1}}(\Sigma_0)} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^r},$$

for $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$. We proceed similarly for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{0\alpha}$ using (5.37) and (5.38). This concludes the proof of (5.40).

The initial polarization conditions (5.42) and (5.43) are consequences of (5.20)-(5.21)-(5.22) and (5.24), while (5.41) holds since $F^{(1)}$ is initially $P_{0,u}$ -tangent and g_0 -traceless (see the corresponding properties of $\tilde{F}^{(1)}$ in Theorem 2.1). Samewise, (5.44) follows from (2.22). The initial generalised wave gauge condition (5.45) follows from (5.37) and (5.38). \square

5.6 Reformulation of Theorem 2.2

The conclusion of Sections 4 and 5 is the following: proving Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to constructing solutions to both the background and reduced system ((5.1)-(5.3) and (5.4)-(5.5) respectively) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with initial data given in Section 5.5, and which satisfies moreover the generalised wave gauge condition and polarization conditions (see Definition 5.2 and 5.3 respectively). The rest of this article is devoted to this task, which we divide in three parts:

- in Section 6, we solve the background system (see Theorem 6.1),
- in Section 7, we solve the reduced system (see Theorem 7.1),
- in Section 8, we show that the generalised wave gauge condition and polarization conditions are satisfied (see Propositions 8.2 and 8.3).

5.7 Basic transport and energy estimates

Before proceeding with this program, we collect here the basic transport estimate for the operator L_0 and the energy estimate for the operator \square_g .

Lemma 5.4. *Let h a scalar function on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for some $T \leq 1$, compactly supported. The following holds for all $t \in [0, T]$*

$$\|h\|_{L^2}^2(t) \leq C(C_0) \left(\|h\|_{L^2}^2(0) + \int_0^t \left(\|h\|_{L^2}^2(s) + \|L_0 h\|_{L^2}^2(s) \right) ds \right). \quad (5.47)$$

Proof. We start by decomposing L_0 in the (∂_t, ∂_i) basis:

$$L_0 = L_0^t \partial_t + L_0^i \partial_i.$$

Recalling (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^t &= -g_0^{tt} \partial_t u_0 - g_0^{it} \partial_i u_0, \\ L_0^i &= -g_0^{ti} \partial_t u_0 - g_0^{ji} \partial_j u_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since u_0 satisfies the eikonal equation (2.4) we have

$$g_0^{tt} (\partial_t u_0)^2 + 2g_0^{ti} \partial_t u_0 \partial_i u_0 + |\nabla u_0|_{g_0}^2 = 0.$$

Taking ε small enough in (2.9) and (2.10) then ensures that

$$\left| \frac{1}{L_0^t} \right| + |L_0^i| + |\partial L_0^i| \leq C(C_0), \quad (5.48)$$

on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. We now prove the energy estimate. After multiplication by h , the equation $L_0 h = f$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t h^2 = \frac{fh}{L_0^t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_0^i}{L_0^t} \partial_i h^2. \quad (5.49)$$

For $s \in [0, T]$ we integrate (5.49) on Σ_s with respect to the usual Lebesgue measure and integrate by part in the last integral to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_s} \partial_t h^2 dx = \int_{\Sigma_s} \frac{fh}{L_0^t} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_s} \partial_i \left(\frac{L_0^i}{L_0^t} \right) h^2 dx. \quad (5.50)$$

In the integral of the LHS, we recognize the time derivative of an energy for h . Therefore (5.50) leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|h\|_{L^2}^2(s) = 2 \int_{\Sigma_s} \frac{fh}{L_0^t} dx + \int_{\Sigma_s} \partial_i \left(\frac{L_0^i}{L_0^t} \right) h^2 dx.$$

Using now the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the first integral in the RHS and (5.48) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|h\|_{L^2}^2(s) \leq C(C_0) \left(\|h\|_{L^2}^2(s) + \|f\|_{L^2}^2(s) \right).$$

Integrating this inequality on $[0, t]$ for $t \leq T$ concludes the proof. \square

For clarity we define

$$E_\sigma(h) = \|\partial_t h\|_{L^2_\sigma}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^2_\sigma}^2$$

for h a scalar function and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let h be a scalar function on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$, for all $t \in [0, T]$ we have*

$$\overline{E_\sigma}(h)(t) \lesssim E_\sigma(h)(0) + (1 + \|\partial g\|_{L^\infty}) \int_0^t E_\sigma(h)(s) ds + \int_0^t \|\tilde{\square}_g h\|_{L^2_\sigma}^2(s) ds.$$

Proof. Let $w(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^\sigma$. We set $f = \tilde{\square}_g h$ and multiply this identity by $w \partial_t h$ and integrate over Σ_t for $t \in [0, T]$ with the usual Lebesgue measure:

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} w g^{tt} \partial_t h \partial_t^2 h dx + 2 \int_{\Sigma_t} w g^{ti} \partial_t h \partial_i \partial_t h dx + \int_{\Sigma_t} w g^{ij} \partial_t h \partial_i \partial_j h dx = \int_{\Sigma_t} w \partial_t h f dx.$$

We rewrite the first integral and integrate by parts in the second and third integrals to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{dE}{dt} - \int_{\Sigma_t} w (\partial_t g^{tt}) (\partial_t h)^2 dx - \int_{\Sigma_t} \partial_i (w g^{0i}) (\partial_t h)^2 dx \\ & - \int_{\Sigma_t} \partial_i (w g^{ij}) \partial_t h \partial_j h dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_t} w (\partial_t g^{ij}) \partial_i h \partial_j h dx = \int_{\Sigma_t} w \partial_t h f dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

where

$$E(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} w (-g^{tt} (\partial_t h)^2 + g^{ij} \partial_i h \partial_j h).$$

Taking ε small enough in (2.9) we obtain the existence of a universal constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C'} E_\sigma(h) \leq E \leq C' E_\sigma(h). \quad (5.52)$$

Using $|\nabla w| \lesssim w$, we obtain $|\partial(wg)| \lesssim (1 + \|\partial g\|_{L^\infty}) w$. Going back to (5.51), this implies

$$\frac{dE}{dt}(t) \lesssim (1 + \|\partial g\|_{L^\infty}) E_\sigma(h)(t) + \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma}^2(t),$$

where we also used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Integrating this inequality from 0 to $t \in [0, T]$ we obtain

$$E(t) \leq E(0) + (1 + \|\partial g\|_{L^\infty}) \int_0^t E_\sigma(h)(s) ds + \int_0^t \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma}^2(s) ds.$$

Using (5.52) concludes the proof. \square

6 Solving the background system

In this section we solve the background system (5.1)-(5.3) defined in Section 5.1. The following theorem summarizes the estimates and the support properties of the background perturbations.

Theorem 6.1. *Given initial data as in Corollary 5.1 and if ε is small enough, there exists a unique solution*

$$\left(F^{(1)}, F^{(2,1)}, F^{(2,2)} \right), \quad (6.1)$$

of the background system (5.1)-(5.3) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover:

- (i) the tensors in (6.1) are supported in $\{|x| \leq C_{\text{supp}}R\}$,
- (ii) there exists $C_1 = C_1(C_0) > 0$ such that the following estimate holds

$$\left\| F^{(1)} \right\|_{H^N} + \left\| F^{(2,1)} \right\|_{H^{N-2}} + \left\| F^{(2,2)} \right\|_{H^{N-2}} \leq C_1 \varepsilon,$$

- (iii) $F^{(1)}$ satisfies (2.27), (2.28) and (2.29).

We want the background perturbations to solve the equations (5.1)-(5.2)-(5.3). The equations solved by their coefficients are of the form

$$(L_0 + \eta)h = f, \quad (6.2)$$

for f and η given scalar functions on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ (recall (4.32)). Moreover, thanks to Corollary 5.1 the initial data on Σ_0 for the background perturbations are compactly supported. For the model equation (6.2) this allows us to consider f supported in $[0, 1] \times K$ for K a compact of \mathbb{R}^3 and $h \upharpoonright \Sigma_0$ compactly supported. Since L_0 is the spacetime gradient of the background phase u_0 and thanks to (2.4) and (2.10) we can define characteristics for the equation (6.2) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. The existence of a solution h to this equation can thus be proved using the method of characteristics, we don't give the details.

A solution h of (6.2) can be proved to be as regular as f using its expression given by the characteristics method. However, since the proof of Theorem 2.2 is based on Sobolev spaces, we have derived an estimate for the transport operator L_0 in Lemma 5.4. As a byproduct, this standard estimate proves the uniqueness of the solution to (6.2) and therefore of the solutions to (5.1)-(5.2)-(5.3). These equations have a triangular structure:

- (5.1) only depends on the background quantities through the coefficients of the operator \mathcal{L}_0 ,
- the RHS of (5.2) depends in addition on $\partial^{\leq 2} F^{(1)}$,
- the RHS of (5.3) depends on the background quantities, $\partial^{\leq 2} F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2,1)}$.

Moreover, thanks to the support properties stated in Corollary 5.1, we obtain that the background perturbations are supported in $\{|x| \leq C_{\text{supp}}R\}$. The estimates stated in Theorem 6.1 follow directly from Lemma 5.4, the estimates of the RHS of (5.2)-(5.3) we prove in the following lemma and the initial regularity stated in Corollary 5.1, see (5.39).

Lemma 6.1. *We have*

$$\|RHS \text{ of } (5.2)\|_{H^{N-2}} \lesssim C(C_0) \left\| F^{(1)} \right\|_{H^N}, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\|RHS \text{ of } (5.3)\|_{H^{N-2}} \lesssim C(C_0) \left(\left\| F^{(1)} \right\|_{H^N} + \left\| F^{(2,1)} \right\|_{H^{N-2}} \right). \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. The estimates (6.3) and (6.4) follow from (4.14), (4.15), (4.21) and (4.20) and the background regularity stated in Section 2.2. \square

7 Solving the reduced system

In this section we solve the reduced system (5.4)-(5.5). This is done by a bootstrap argument, i.e by showing that we can improve *a priori* estimates using the equations.

7.1 Bootstrap assumptions

As explained in Section 3.2.2, the reduced system loses one derivative. The addition of the Fourier cut-off Π_{\leq} in the equation for \mathfrak{F} implies that two derivatives of \mathfrak{F} are actually at the level of one derivative of \mathfrak{h} , which is consistent with what we would obtain from an energy estimate on the wave equation for \mathfrak{h} . Indeed, by commuting (5.4) with ∇^2 (the case of time derivatives is similar) we obtain broadly from (C.12)

$$\|\nabla^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \int_0^t \|\nabla^2 \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}.$$

Putting this in the energy estimate for \mathfrak{h} would give $\|\nabla \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} T$ with T the time of existence. Compensating the largeness of $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ by the smallness of T would prove well-posedness for the system (5.4)-(5.5) on $[0, T_\lambda] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for $T_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ when λ tends to 0. This is far from what we want since it doesn't allow us to consider the high-frequency limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ of g_λ . For this we need a time of existence independent of λ . In other words, the addition of Π_{\leq} removes the loss of derivative but does not remove the "loss of λ ". This discussion explains our choice of bootstrap assumptions on \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{h} :

- \mathfrak{F} is supported in $J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\})$ and satisfies

$$\|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in [1, 6]} \lambda^{r-1} \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in [0, 5]} \lambda^r \|\partial_t \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in [0, 4]} \lambda^{r+1} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \leq A_1 \varepsilon, \quad (\mathbf{BA1})$$

$$\max_{r \in [0, 4]} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \leq A_2 \varepsilon, \quad (\mathbf{BA2})$$

- \mathfrak{h} satisfies

$$\max_{r \in [0, 4]} \lambda^r \left(\|\partial_t \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2} + \|\nabla \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2} \right) + \max_{r \in [0, 3]} \lambda^{r+1} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+2+r}^2} \leq A_3 \varepsilon. \quad (\mathbf{BA3})$$

These bootstrap assumptions solve the "loss of λ " since **(BA2)** implies that $\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}$ is bounded by λ^0 in L^2 while **(BA1)** implies boundedness by $\frac{1}{\lambda}$. Recovering **(BA2)** is the main challenge we face. Note that the support property of \mathfrak{F} just follows from the transport equation it satisfies (5.4), the fact that its RHS is supported in $J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\})$ and that $\mathfrak{F} \upharpoonright_{\Sigma_0} = 0$.

As explained above, a local in time solution to (5.4)-(5.5) exists *a priori* and by taking the constants A_i large enough (compared to C_{in} of Corollary 5.1) we can assume that the set of times t such that **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)** are satisfied on $[0, t]$ is non-empty. We define T to be the supremum of these times. We have $0 < T \leq 1$ but *a priori* T depends on λ . In the next sections, we prove that actually **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)** hold with better constants on $[0, T]$. This will contradict the definition of T and prove that a solution to (5.4)-(5.5) exists and satisfy **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)** on $[0, 1]$, that is the interval of existence of g_0 , $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$.

In the sequel, we will always precise the dependence on λ of the estimates. For X a numerical quantity, the notation $C(X)$ will denote any function of X . The symbol \lesssim will denote $\leq C$ for C depending only on δ , R and other irrelevant numerical constants. We already assume that $A_1 \ll A_2 \ll A_3$ and that $A_i \gg C(C_0)$ for all the $C(C_0)$ that will appear in the proofs.

Remark 7.1. *Since λ can be chosen as small as we want, the bootstrap assumptions **(BA1)** and **(BA3)** imply that $\|X\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|\nabla^s X\|_{L^2}$ for $X \in \{\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h}\}$ (for \mathfrak{h} this holds with the appropriate weights at spacelike infinity), i.e that the main term in each H^s norm is the norm of the top derivatives. Therefore in the sequel, when using (weighted) Sobolev embeddings we will only write down the norm of the top derivatives.*

7.2 First consequences

We give here the first consequences of the bootstrap assumptions **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)**. Note first that the inequality

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2}(t) \lesssim \|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2}(0) + \int_0^t \|\partial_t \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2} ds$$

together with **(BA3)** implies $\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2} \lesssim C_{\text{in}}\varepsilon + TA_3\varepsilon$. Since $T \leq 1$ and A_3 is assumed to be larger than C_{in} we obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2} \lesssim A_3\varepsilon. \quad (7.1)$$

We now deduce from the bootstrap assumptions some estimates for the metric g . We introduce the following split of the metric g :

$$g = g_{\text{BG}} + \tilde{g}, \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$g_{\text{BG}} = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \left(F^{(2,1)} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F^{(2,2)} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \right) + \lambda^3 g^{(3,\text{BG})}, \quad (7.3)$$

$$\tilde{g} = \lambda^2 \left(\mathfrak{F} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \mathfrak{h} + \lambda g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) \right). \quad (7.4)$$

Remark 7.2. Note that the term $g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F})$ in \tilde{g} will be omitted in the sequel (with the exception of Lemma 7.5) since it is basically a linear term in \mathfrak{F} (see Lemma 5.1) with an extra λ , and thus behaves at least better than $\mathfrak{F} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$.

On the one hand, the metric g_{BG} contains the background metric and all the background perturbations. Thanks to Theorem 6.1 it is very regular but because of $g^{(1)}$ its behaviour with respect to λ is bad. On the other hand, the metric \tilde{g} is less regular than g_{BG} but satisfies better estimates in terms of λ . The following lemma gathers the required properties of g , g_{BG} and \tilde{g} .

Lemma 7.1. *The following estimates hold*

$$\max_{r \in [0,3]} \lambda^{r-1} \|\nabla^r(g - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim A_3\varepsilon, \quad (7.5)$$

$$\|\nabla^4(g_{\text{BG}} - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim C(C_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^3}. \quad (7.6)$$

Proof. Let $r \leq 3$. We have $g - g_0 = g_{\text{BG}} - g_0 + \tilde{g}$. For $g_{\text{BG}} - g_0$ we simply use (7.3), the estimates of Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 5.1 to obtain

$$\|\nabla^r(g_{\text{BG}} - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim C(C_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r-1}}.$$

The proof of (7.6) is similar. For \tilde{g} we use the weighted Sobolev embedding $H_{\delta+r}^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ of Proposition 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^r \tilde{g}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \lambda^2 \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|\nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda^{r_2-2}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^2 \|\nabla^{r+2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+2}^2} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|\nabla^{r_1+2} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}}{\lambda^{r_2-2}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^2 \frac{A_3\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r+1}} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{1}{\lambda^{r_2-2}} \times \frac{A_1\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1+1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_3\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used **(BA3)**, **(BA1)** and $r \leq 3$. □

Also note that the estimates in Lemma 7.1 also hold for the inverse of g , which we can also decompose as in (7.2). In what follows we won't make any difference between a coefficient of g or a coefficient of its inverse.

We also deduce from the bootstrap assumptions an estimate for $\mathcal{R}(g)$, i.e the term in (5.5) containing only non-problematic terms.

Lemma 7.2. *The following estimate holds*

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r \mathcal{R}(g)\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2. \quad (7.7)$$

Proof. In terms of expansions in powers of λ , the definition of $\mathcal{R}(g)$ (see (5.6)) ensures the correct behaviour, that is $\mathcal{R}(g) = O(\lambda^0)$ but since it contains oscillating terms one loses a power of λ for each derivatives. This explains why

$$\|\nabla^r \mathcal{R}(g)\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.8)$$

In terms of its dependency on the metric coefficients, we don't need to be very precise about the exact expression of $\mathcal{R}(g)$, its only important property is that it does not contain second order derivatives of either \mathfrak{F} , $g^{(3)}$ or \mathfrak{h} . Indeed:

- the quadratic non-linearity term $P^{(\geq 2)}$ is of the form $g^{-2} \partial g \partial g$,
- the $\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$, $\tilde{\partial}^2 g^{(3)}$ and $\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}$ coming from the wave part of the Ricci tensor are already in (5.5) and are thus absent from $\tilde{W}^{(\geq 2)}$,
- the $\partial \mathfrak{F}$, $\tilde{\partial} g^{(3)}$ and $\partial \mathfrak{h}$ coming from the H^ρ term in the Ricci tensor are already put into Υ^ρ and therefore absent from \hat{H}^ρ , which implies that the $\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$, $\tilde{\partial}^2 g^{(3)}$ and $\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}$ coming from the gauge part are absent from $\mathcal{R}(g)$.

Therefore, the terms in $\mathcal{R}(g)$ can be put into two categories: first the purely background terms, i.e depends only on g_0 , $F^{(1)}$ or $F^{(2,i)}$ and their first and second derivatives, and second the non-background terms, depending quadratically on zeroth or first order derivatives of \mathfrak{F} or \mathfrak{h} with background coefficients.

We can bound all of terms from the first category in L^∞ thanks to the background regularity, Theorem 6.1 and the fact that $N \geq 10$ (see Remark 3.4). Since these terms are all background, the constant appearing in the estimate is of the form $C(C_0)$.

The terms from the second category can all be estimated using the bootstrap assumptions **(BA1)** and **(BA3)** and by bounding the background coefficients in L^∞ . We only give the details for the worse term in terms of λ behaviour and spatial support, i.e $\lambda^2 g_0^{-2} (\partial \mathfrak{h})^2$:

$$\left\| \lambda^2 \nabla^r \left(g_0^{-2} (\partial \mathfrak{h})^2 \right) \right\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} \lesssim C(C_0) \lambda^2 \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h} \partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2}.$$

If $r_1 \leq 2$, we put $\partial \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h}$ in L^∞ , i.e we use the product law of weighted Sobolev spaces from Proposition 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h} \partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} &\lesssim \|\partial \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h}\|_{H_{\delta+r_1+1}^2} \|\partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r_2+1}^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1+2}} \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $r_1 \geq 3$, then $r_2 \leq 2$ (since $r \leq 4$) and we proceed similarly. Finally we obtain

$$\left\| \lambda^2 \nabla^r \left(g_0^{-2} (\partial \mathfrak{h})^2 \right) \right\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}.$$

□

7.3 Estimates for \mathfrak{F}

We start by studying \mathfrak{F} , which solves the transport equation (5.4). For clarity, we simply write \mathfrak{h} instead of $\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}$ appearing in this equation.

Proposition 7.1. *The following estimates hold*

$$\|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim A_3 \varepsilon^2, \quad (7.9)$$

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 1, 6 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r-1} \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim (\lambda A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2), \quad (7.10)$$

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 5 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \|\partial_t \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r+1} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim (\lambda A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2). \quad (7.11)$$

Proof. We want to apply Lemma 5.4, so we need to estimate the L^2 norm of the RHS of (5.4), which we rewrite

$$L_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} (\square_{g_0} u_0) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + L_0^\mu \Gamma(g_0)_{\mu(\alpha} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu\beta)}. \quad (7.12)$$

The RHS of (7.12) is supported in $\{|x| \leq C_{\text{supp}} R\}$ thanks to the support property of \mathfrak{F} and $F^{(1)}$. We estimate all the background quantities (see Theorem 6.1) in L^∞ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{RHS of (7.12)}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim C(C_0) (\varepsilon \|\Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} + \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) A_3 \varepsilon^2 + C(C_0) \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we forgot about the projector Π_{\leq} and used (7.1). Therefore, $\mathfrak{F} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ and Lemma 5.4 imply that for all $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2(t) \lesssim C(C_0) A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + C(C_0) \int_0^t \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 ds,$$

which gives (7.9) after applying Gronwall's inequality.

We now turn to the proof of (7.10), for which we need to commute (7.12) with spatial derivatives. For $r \in \llbracket 1, 6 \rrbracket$ we schematically obtain

$$L_0(\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}) = [L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \left(\nabla^{r_1} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) \nabla^{r_2} F^{(1)} + \nabla^{r_1} (\square_{g_0} u_0 + L_0 \Gamma(g_0)) \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F} \right). \quad (7.13)$$

From Lemma 5.4, (7.13) and $\mathfrak{F} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ we have for $t \in [0, T]$

$$\|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2(t) \lesssim C(C_0) \int_0^t \left(\|[L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{r' \leq r} \left(\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla^{r'} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \right) ds, \quad (7.14)$$

where we again estimate all the background quantities in L^∞ .

We estimate the commutator $[L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F}$ using the following formula, true for any linear operators:

$$[A, B^n] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B^{n-1-k} [A, B] B^k. \quad (7.15)$$

With the usual Leibniz rule this gives

$$|[L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F}| \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} |\nabla^{r-1-k} ((\nabla L_0^\alpha) \partial_\alpha \nabla^k \mathfrak{F})| \lesssim C(C_0) \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} |\partial \nabla^k \mathfrak{F}| \quad (7.16)$$

where we used the background regularity.

We first treat the case $r = 1$ in (7.14). In order to treat the case of a time derivative in (7.16), we rewrite the equation satisfied by \mathfrak{F}

$$L_0^t \partial_t \mathfrak{F} = L_0^i \nabla \mathfrak{F} + \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) F^{(1)} + (\square_{g_0} u_0 + L_0 \Gamma(g_0)) \mathfrak{F} \quad (7.17)$$

which together with (7.1) gives $\|\partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(C_0) \|\nabla \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + C(C_0) A_3 \varepsilon^2$. Therefore, using (7.9) and (7.1) we obtain from (7.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2(t) &\lesssim C(C_0) A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + C(C_0) \int_0^t \left(\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) ds \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + C(C_0) \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we also forgot about Π_{\leq} and used **(BA3)**. Using Gronwall's lemma, this proves (7.10) in the case $r = 1$. If now $r \geq 2$ in (7.14), we have from **(BA1)**

$$\begin{aligned} \|[L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim C(C_0) \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \|\partial \nabla^k \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(C_0) \|\partial \nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{2(r-2)}} + C(C_0) \|\partial \nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term in this last expression we rewrite the equation for ∇^{r-1} :

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^t \partial_t \nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{F} &= [L_0, \nabla^{r-1}] \mathfrak{F} + L_0^i \nabla^r \mathfrak{F} \\ &+ \sum_{r_1+r_2=r-1} \left(\nabla^{r_1} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) \nabla^{r_2} F^{(1)} + \nabla^{r_1} (\square_{g_0} u_0 + L_0 \Gamma(g_0)) \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

which thanks to (7.16), **(BA1)**, **(BA3)** and (C.12) gives

$$\|\partial \nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim C(C_0) \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(C_0) \frac{A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{2(r-2)}}. \quad (7.19)$$

Therefore, we have estimated the commutator in (7.14):

$$\|[L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim C(C_0) \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(C_0) \frac{\lambda^2 A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + \lambda^2 A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{2(r-1)}}.$$

For the first sum in the time integral in (7.14), we distinguish between $r' = r$ and $r' \leq r - 1$ and use (C.12) and **(BA3)** (and $r - 1 \leq 5$):

$$\sum_{r' \leq r} \varepsilon^2 \left\| \nabla^{r'} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^2} \|\nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{r' \leq r-1} \varepsilon^2 \left\| \nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \frac{A_3^2 \varepsilon^4}{\lambda^{2(r-1)}}.$$

For the second sum in the time integral in (7.14), we simply use **(BA1)** if $r' \leq r - 1$. Putting everything together gives

$$\|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2(t) \lesssim C(C_0) \left(\frac{\lambda^2 A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{2(r-1)}} + \frac{A_3^2 \varepsilon^4}{\lambda^{2(r-1)}} \right) + C(C_0) \int_0^t \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 ds,$$

which gives (7.10) after applying Gronwall's lemma. Now that (7.10) is proved, we notice that (7.19) implies the first part of (7.11). For the second part, we apply ∂_t to (7.18) and estimate the result with (7.10). \square

7.4 Estimates for $\tilde{\square}_g \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$

In this section, we derive the estimates for $\tilde{\square}_g \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. This is the most crucial and intricate part of the proof, where we deal with the loss of derivatives exhibited in Section 3.2.2. As explained in Section 3.2.3, we start by using the high-frequency character of g and decompose $\tilde{\square}_g \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha\beta}$ as in

$$\tilde{\square}_g \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha\beta} + (g^{\mu\nu} - g_0^{\mu\nu}) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (7.20)$$

We are going to estimate separately the two terms in (7.20) in order to improve the bootstrap assumption **(BA2)**.

- The term $\tilde{\square}_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ is estimated in Section 7.4.1 thanks to the transport equation it satisfies. The heart of the proof is the use of various commutator estimates in Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4. We also benefit from $g - g_0 = O(\lambda)$ in Lemma 7.6. The final result of this section is the content of Proposition 7.2.
- The term $(g^{\mu\nu} - g_0^{\mu\nu}) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ is estimated in Section 7.4.2. The heart of the proof is the λ gain from $g - g_0 = O(\lambda)$, used in Proposition 7.3. Section 7.4.2 is concluded by Proposition 7.4 with the final estimate on $\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta}$.

7.4.1 Estimates for $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$

In this section, we prove by a finite strong induction argument on the value $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$ that

$$\|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_1\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.21)$$

The proof of the induction step or the base case are similar. Therefore, we will prove (7.21) for a fixed value of r and assume that it holds for all value strictly less than r in $\llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$. This induction assumption will only be used in the proof of Lemma 7.8.

In order to estimate $\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$, we start by deriving a transport equation from (5.4):

$$L_0(\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) = \nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \mathfrak{F} + [L_0, \nabla^r] \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F} + \nabla^r \square_{g_0} L_0 \mathfrak{F}. \quad (7.22)$$

We give names to the three terms in (7.22):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_r &:= \nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \mathfrak{F}, \\ \mathbf{B}_r &:= [L_0, \nabla^r] \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}, \\ \mathbf{C}_r &:= \nabla^r \square_{g_0} L_0 \mathfrak{F}. \end{aligned}$$

These notations and the induction argument presented above will allow us to use the triangular structure of the equations

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) &= \mathbf{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{C}_0, \\ L_0(\nabla \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) &= \mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{C}_1, \\ &\vdots \\ L_0(\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) &= \mathbf{A}_r + \mathbf{B}_r + \mathbf{C}_r. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, during the proof of Lemma 7.8, we will estimate \mathbf{B}_r in terms of the \mathbf{A}_k , \mathbf{B}_k and \mathbf{C}_k for $0 \leq k \leq r-1$ (see (7.36)).

We start by estimating \mathbf{A}_r .

Lemma 7.3. *We have*

$$\|\mathbf{A}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_1\varepsilon + A_3\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. We start with the case $r \geq 1$. We apply (3.33) to \mathfrak{F} :

$$\|\nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \partial L_0 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{H^r} + \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{H^{r-1}}.$$

Thanks to **(BA1)** and $r \leq 4$ we have

$$\|\partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{H^r} + \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{H^{r-1}} \lesssim \frac{A_1\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

Since the equation (5.4) schematically reads $L_0\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F} + \mathfrak{h}F^{(1)}$ we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^r \partial L_0 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^r (\|\partial \nabla^k \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon \|\partial \nabla^k \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r},\end{aligned}$$

where we used **(BA1)**, **(BA3)** and $r \leq 4$. This concludes the proof of the lemma in the case $r \geq 1$. The case $r = 0$ is treated similarly with (3.32) instead of (3.33). \square

We now estimate \mathbf{C}_r . Using (5.4) we see that

$$|\mathbf{C}_r| \lesssim \left| \nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) F^{(1)} \right| + |\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}| + |\mathcal{Q}_r|, \quad (7.23)$$

where \mathcal{Q}_r contains the terms where at most $r+1$ derivatives (out of the $r+2$ involved in $\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0}$) hit either \mathfrak{F} or \mathfrak{h} . In this case, the last derivative must therefore hit some background quantity. This implies that \mathcal{Q}_r contains only lower order terms and using the background regularity we easily obtain $\|\mathcal{Q}_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2$ and if $1 \leq r \leq 4$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{Q}_r\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{r' \leq r-1} \left(\|\partial^2 \nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon \|\partial^2 \nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r},\end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

where the ε in front of $\|\partial^2 \nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}$ comes from $F^{(1)}$ and where we used **(BA1)**, **(BA3)** and $r-1 \leq 3$.

We now turn to the estimates of the first term in (7.23), i.e $\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})$. Forgetting about Π_{\leq} and g_0 , this term is of the form $\square \mathfrak{h}$, for which we would like to use (5.5). In order to do this, we need first to commute $\tilde{\square}_{g_0}$ and Π_{\leq} and second to replace $\tilde{\square}_{g_0}$ by $\tilde{\square}_g$. This give the decomposition

$$\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) = \nabla^r [\tilde{\square}_{g_0}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h} + \nabla^r \Pi_{\leq}(\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}) - \nabla^r \Pi_{\leq}(\tilde{\square}_{g-g_0} \mathfrak{h}). \quad (7.25)$$

The lemmas 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6 below estimate the three different terms in the decomposition (7.25). Since $\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})$ is multiplied by $F^{(1)}$ in (7.23), giving an extra ε , we don't need to be very precise in terms of the bootstrap constants A_i . For the same reason, the support property of $F^{(1)}$ implies that we can forget about the weight at spacelike infinity for \mathfrak{h} or g (except in Lemma 7.5 below).

Lemma 7.4. *We have*

$$\|\nabla^r [\tilde{\square}_{g_0}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

Proof. Thanks to $[\nabla^r, \Pi_{\leq}] = 0$ and to the Leibniz rule we obtain

$$\|\nabla^r [\tilde{\square}_{g_0}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\nabla^{r_1} g_0 \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}.$$

We first study the case where $r_2 \leq 3$. We use $[\partial^2 \nabla^{r_2}, \Pi_{\leq}] = 0$ and (C.14)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^{r_1} g_0 \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} &= \|\nabla^{r_1} g_0, \Pi_{\leq}] \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda \|\nabla^{r_1+1} g_0\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \lambda \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2+1}} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r},\end{aligned}$$

where we used the background regularity and **(BA3)** (with $r_2 \leq 3$). If now $r_2 = 4$, then $r_1 = 0$ and $r = 4$. Since we can't estimate $\partial^2 \nabla^4 \mathfrak{h}$, we need to gain one spatial derivative, and for this purpose we use (3.37) instead of (C.14) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|[g_0 \partial^2 \nabla^4, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} &= \|[g_0, \Pi_{\leq}] \partial^2 \nabla^4 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \|\partial^2 \nabla^3 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^4}, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used the background regularity and **(BA3)**. \square

In the next lemma, we estimate the term $\nabla^r \Pi_{\leq} (\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h})$ in (7.25) and therefore we need to estimate the L^2 norm of

$$\nabla^r \Pi_{\leq} (\text{RHS of (5.5)}). \quad (7.26)$$

In the forthcoming Section 7.5 we will improve **(BA3)** with the energy estimate for the wave operator. Therefore, we will apply ∇^r to (5.5) and will need an estimate for the *weighted* L^2 norm of (7.26) *without* the projector Π_{\leq} (in addition to a commutator estimate, see Proposition 7.5). This explains why in the next lemma we project ourself and first prove (7.27) and then get (7.28) as a consequence.

Lemma 7.5. *We have*

$$\|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+1}} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_2 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}, \quad (7.27)$$

which in particular implies

$$\|\nabla^r \Pi_{\leq} (\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.28)$$

Proof. We only need to prove (7.27). As explained above, we rely on the equation satisfied by \mathfrak{h} , that is (5.5). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+1+r}} &\lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|\nabla^{r_1} \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}}{\lambda^{r_2}} + \lambda \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g g^{(3)}\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|\nabla^{r_1} \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2}}{\lambda^{r_2}} + \|\nabla^r \mathcal{R}(g)\|_{L^2_{\delta+1+r}} \\ &=: I + II + III + IV, \end{aligned} \quad (7.29)$$

where the ε in front of $\Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h})$ comes from $F^{(1)}$. For I we simply use **(BA2)** to get

$$I \lesssim \frac{A_2 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.30)$$

For II , we first note that $g^{(3)}$ is oscillating and therefore one loses potentially two powers of λ in $\tilde{\square}_g g^{(3)}$. However this is not the case since if T is a trigonometric function and f a scalar function then we have schematically

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) f \right) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) f + g \partial f + \lambda \tilde{\square}_g f \\ &= g \partial f + \lambda (\tilde{\square}_g f + g f), \end{aligned} \quad (7.31)$$

where we used $g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = O(\lambda^2)$ (see (A.2)) and did not write the trigonometric functions (see (3.9) for an exact computation). Therefore, we use the decomposition of Lemma 5.1 and obtain from (7.31) on the one hand

$$\lambda \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g g^{(3, \text{BG})}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon}{\lambda^r},$$

and on the other hand

$$\lambda \left\| \nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \left\| \nabla^{r_1} g \partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \lambda \left\| \nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2},$$

where for the sake of clarity we replaced each $g^{(3,T)}(\mathfrak{F})$ by \mathfrak{F} (see (5.15)). We study the sum. If $r_1 \leq 3$, then we use (7.5) and $\|g_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1$ and **(BA1)** to write

$$\left\| \nabla^{r_1} g \partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r-1}}.$$

If $r_1 = 4$, then we use the decomposition (7.2) and write

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \nabla^4 g \partial \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \left\| \nabla^4 g_{\text{BG}} \partial \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \nabla^4 \tilde{g} \partial \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \nabla^4 g_{\text{BG}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \partial \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \nabla^4 \tilde{g} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \partial \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^3} + \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used **(BA1)**. Using in addition **(BA2)** we obtain

$$\lambda \left\| \nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

We have proved that

$$II \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.32)$$

For *III*, we use (C.13) to obtain

$$III \lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\left\| \nabla \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L^2}}{\lambda^{r_2}} \lesssim \frac{A_3\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}, \quad (7.33)$$

where we used **(BA3)** and $r_1 \leq 4$. For *IV*, we simply use (7.7). Together with (7.30), (7.32) and (7.33) this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 7.6. *We have*

$$\left\| \nabla^r \Pi_{\leq} (\square_{g-g_0} \mathfrak{h}) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}.$$

Proof. We first treat the case $r = 0$. We forget about the projector Π_{\leq} and use (7.5) and **(BA3)** to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Pi_{\leq} ((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}) \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|g - g_0\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \partial^2 \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda A_3 \varepsilon \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda} \\ &\lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $r \geq 1$ we use (C.12) to get rid of one derivative and the Leibniz rule to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \nabla^r \Pi_{\leq} ((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}) \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\| \nabla^{r-1} ((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}) \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r-1} \left\| \nabla^{r_1} (g - g_0) \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1-1}} \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2+1}} \\ &\lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}, \end{aligned}$$

where we again use (7.5) in addition to **(BA3)** and the fact that $r_i \leq 3$. \square

Putting together Lemmas 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6 and the estimate (7.24) we obtain

Lemma 7.7. *We have*

$$\|\mathbf{C}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_1\varepsilon + A_3\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}.$$

We now estimate \mathbf{B}_r .

Lemma 7.8. *We have*

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_1\varepsilon + C(A_i)\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Note that $\mathbf{B}_0 = 0$ so we can assume $r \geq 1$. We first use (7.16) to obtain

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \|\nabla^{k+1} \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \|\partial_t \nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}, \quad (7.34)$$

where we distinguished between time and spatial derivatives. For the first sum in (7.34), we note that if $k \leq r-2$ we have

$$\|\nabla^{k+1} \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{\ell=0}^{k+1} \|\partial \nabla^\ell \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_1\varepsilon}{\lambda^r},$$

where we used **(BA1)**. This gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \|\nabla^{k+1} \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{A_1\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.35)$$

For the second sum in (7.34) we need to proceed differently since we didn't include time derivative of $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ in the bootstrap assumptions. We use the equation satisfied by $\nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ which schematically reads

$$\partial_t \nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F} = \nabla^{k+1} \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F} + \mathbf{A}_k + \mathbf{B}_k + \mathbf{C}_k.$$

Therefore, if we sum these equalities from 0 to $r-1$ and use (7.35) again we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{A_1\varepsilon}{\lambda^r} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (\|\mathbf{A}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{B}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{C}_k\|_{L^2}). \quad (7.36)$$

We can now use Lemmas 7.3 and 7.7:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (\|\mathbf{A}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{C}_k\|_{L^2}) &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left(\frac{A_1\varepsilon + A_3\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^k} + \|\nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1\varepsilon + C(A_i)\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{r-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the induction assumption (7.21) for $\|\nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}$ with $k \leq r-1$. Since we can assume $\lambda \leq \varepsilon$ this shows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (\|\mathbf{A}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{C}_k\|_{L^2}) \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}.$$

Going back to (7.36) this gives

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{A_1\varepsilon + C(A_i)\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \|\mathbf{B}_k\|_{L^2}.$$

Thanks to an iterative argument, this shows that

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{A_1\varepsilon + C(A_i)\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r},$$

where we again used the induction assumption (7.21) for $\|\nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}$ with $k \leq r-1$ and the fact that $\mathbf{B}_0 = 0$. \square

Putting everything together, we finally obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 7.2. *The following estimate holds*

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon. \quad (7.37)$$

Proof. We want to apply Lemma 5.4 to the equation (7.22). We estimate its RHS, by putting together Lemmas 7.3, 7.8 and 7.7:

$$\|\text{RHS of (7.22)}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

Lemma 5.4 then implies

$$\|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}(t) \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}(0) + \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r} + \int_0^t \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} ds. \quad (7.38)$$

We estimate the initial data term in (7.38). Since $\mathfrak{F} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$, the only cases we need to consider are $\partial_t \nabla^{r+1} \mathfrak{F}$ and $\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}$. The initial data for such terms are obtained by differentiating (5.28). Using the background regularity this gives

$$\|\partial_t \nabla^{r+1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}(0) \lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{r' \leq r+1} \|\nabla^{r'} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2}(0),$$

where the ε comes from $F^{(1)}$ in front of $\Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})$ in (5.28). Using $r \leq 4$ and **(BA3)** we obtain

$$\|\partial_t \nabla^{r+1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}(0) \lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}.$$

The proof is similar for $\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}$ and we omit the details. Going back to (7.38) we have proved that

$$\|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r} + \int_0^t \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} ds.$$

Gronwall's inequality then implies that

$$\|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

This concludes the induction proving that (7.21) holds for all values of $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$. Since $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ and $\tilde{\square}_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ only differs by lower order terms, this also concludes the proof of (7.37). \square

7.4.2 Estimates for $(g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$

We now estimate the second term in (7.20).

Proposition 7.3. *For $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$ we have*

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r ((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F})\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2. \quad (7.39)$$

Proof. We start by noticing that **(BA1)** imply

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r+1} \|\partial^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim A_1 \varepsilon, \quad (7.40)$$

which in turn implies

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 2 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r+3} \|\partial^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim A_1 \varepsilon, \quad (7.41)$$

with the usual $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ embedding. To prove the proposition, we start with the Leibniz rule:

$$\|\nabla^r ((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\nabla^{r_1} (g - g_0) \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}.$$

If $r_1 \leq 3$, then we use (7.5) and (7.40) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^{r_1} (g - g_0) \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla^{r_1} (g - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1-1}} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2+1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used the fact that $r_2 \leq 4$.

If now $r_1 = 4$, we have $r = 4$ and $r_2 = 0$. In this case we use the decomposition (7.2) to write

$$\|\nabla^4 (g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^4 (g_{\text{BG}} - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^4 \tilde{g} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}. \quad (7.42)$$

For the first term in (7.42) we put the background quantities in L^∞ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^4 (g_{\text{BG}} - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla^4 (g_{\text{BG}} - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^3} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{A_1 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.43)$$

where we used (7.6) and (7.40). For the second term in (7.42) we use (7.4) to decompose it further:

$$\|\nabla^4 \tilde{g} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \leq \lambda^2 \left\| \nabla^4 \left(\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \mathfrak{F} \right) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \lambda^2 \|\nabla^4 \mathfrak{h} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \lambda^3 \left\| \nabla^4 g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2}. \quad (7.44)$$

Using **(BA3)** and (7.41) we first obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \|\nabla^4 \mathfrak{h} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda^2 \|\nabla^4 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^2 \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^3} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^3} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1 A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.45)$$

Now, by using the Leibniz rule on the product $\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \mathfrak{F}$ and putting aside the case where 4 derivatives hit $\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \left\| \nabla^4 \left(\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \mathfrak{F} \right) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|\mathfrak{F} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=4 \\ a \leq 3}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{a-2}} \|\nabla^b \mathfrak{F} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=4 \\ a \leq 3}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{a-2}} \|\nabla^b \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=4 \\ a \leq 3}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{a-2}} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{b-1}} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^3} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.46)$$

where we used (7.40) and (7.41). We treat the better term $\lambda^3 \|\nabla^4 g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}$ in (7.44) similarly. Putting (7.42), (7.43), (7.45) and (7.46) together gives

$$\|\nabla^4 (g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4}.$$

This concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

Together with the decomposition (7.20), the results of Propositions 7.2 and 7.3 finally imply

Proposition 7.4. *The following estimate holds*

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon.$$

7.5 Estimates for \mathfrak{h}

Proposition 7.5. *We have*

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+1+r}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h}) \lesssim e^{1+C(A_i)\varepsilon} (C(A_i)\varepsilon^4 + (C_{\text{in}}^2 + A_2^2)\varepsilon^2), \quad (7.47)$$

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 3 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r+1} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+2}^2} \lesssim e^{1+C(A_i)\varepsilon} (C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + (C_{\text{in}} + A_2)\varepsilon). \quad (7.48)$$

Proof. We start with the proof of (7.47). We commute ∇^r and $\tilde{\square}_g$ (with $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$) to obtain the equation satisfied by $\nabla^r \mathfrak{h}$ from (5.5):

$$\tilde{\square}_g \nabla^r \mathfrak{h} = [\tilde{\square}_g, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{h} + \nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}. \quad (7.49)$$

From the energy estimate in Lemma 5.5 we need to estimate the $L_{\delta+1+r}^2$ norm of the RHS of (7.49). We estimate the commutator first. We have

$$\|[\tilde{\square}_g, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2} \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r-1} \|\nabla^{r_1+1} g \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2}.$$

We have $r_1 \leq 3$. If $r_1 \leq 2$, then mimicking the proof of Lemma 7.1 gives $\|\nabla^{r_1+1} g\|_{L_{r_1}^\infty} \lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1}}$ which in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^{r_1+1} g \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla^{r_1+1} g\|_{L_{r_1}^\infty} \|\partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+2+r_2}^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1}} \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2+1}} \\ &\lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used **(BA3)**. If $r_1 = 3$, then $r = 4$ and $r_2 = 0$ we use the decomposition (7.2):

$$\|\nabla^4 g \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+5}^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^4 g_{\text{BG}} \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+5}^2} + \|\nabla^4 \tilde{g} \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+5}^2}.$$

For the first term, we put $\nabla^4 g_{\text{BG}}$ in L_3^∞ as in the proof of Lemma 7.1 and use **(BA3)**. For the second term, we do as in the proof of Proposition 7.3, see (7.45) and (7.46). This proves that the commutator in (7.49) satisfies

$$\|[\tilde{\square}_g, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.50)$$

We now estimate the second term in (7.49). This has already been done in Lemma 7.5, we recall (7.27):

$$\|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

Together with (7.50) this implies that

$$\|\tilde{\square}_g \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.51)$$

We now apply Lemma 5.5 to (7.49) with $\sigma = \delta + r + 1$. Thanks to (7.5), we have $\|\partial g\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(A_i)\varepsilon$ and Lemma 5.5 gives for $t \in [0, T]$ (after multiplication by λ^{2r})

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(t) \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0) + (1 + C(A_i)\varepsilon) \int_0^t \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(s) ds + \int_0^t \lambda^{2r} \|[\tilde{\square}_g, \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}]\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2}^2(s) ds \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0) + C(A_i)\varepsilon^4 + A_2^2\varepsilon^2 + (1 + C(A_i)\varepsilon) \int_0^t \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Gronwall's lemma to obtain

$$\lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(t) \lesssim e^{1+C(A_i)\varepsilon} (\lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0) + C(A_i)\varepsilon^4 + A_2^2\varepsilon^2).$$

In order to estimate $E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0)$, we use (5.40):

$$\lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0) \leq C_{\text{in}}^2 \varepsilon^2.$$

This concludes the proof of (7.47).

We now turn to the proof of (7.48). For this we write the operator $\tilde{\square}_g$ in the following schematic way:

$$\tilde{\square}_g = g^{tt} \partial_t^2 + g \partial \nabla.$$

Thanks to the background regularity we can divide by g^{tt} and therefore obtain for $r \leq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+2}^2} &\lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\nabla^{r_1} g \nabla^{r_2} \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+2}^2} + \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\nabla^{r_1} g \nabla^{r_2} g \partial \nabla^{r_3+1} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+2}^2} \\ &=: I + II. \end{aligned}$$

For I , we use (7.5), the background regularity and (7.27):

$$I \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

For II we proceed similarly but use (7.47) instead:

$$II \lesssim \frac{e^{1+C(A_i)\varepsilon} (C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + (C_{\text{in}} + A_2)\varepsilon)}{\lambda^{r+1}}.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

7.6 Conclusion of the bootstrap argument

Looking at Propositions 7.1-7.4-7.5, we see that a choice of constants A_i such that $A_1 \ll A_2 \ll A_3$ together with ε and λ small enough compared to 1 (but with ε still independent from λ) allows us to improve the bootstrap assumptions **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)**, as long as the time of existence of $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h})$ is less than 1. This shows that the time of existence of the solution $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h})$ is actually equal to 1. We have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1. *Given initial data as in Corollary 5.1 and if ε and λ are small enough (with ε independent from λ), there exists a solution $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h})$ to the reduced system (5.4)-(5.5) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover there exists a numerical constant $C > 0$ such that **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)** hold with the A_i replaced by C .*

The estimates that $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h})$ satisfied, along with the ones satisfied by $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$ (see Theorem 6.1) prove the main estimate (2.26) in Theorem 2.2 if one defines

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda = \mathfrak{h} + \lambda g^{(3)}.$$

It only remains to prove that g given by (4.1) solves the Einstein vacuum equations.

8 Propagation of polarization and gauge conditions

By proving Theorems 6.1 and 7.1, we construct a metric g given by (4.1) solving both the background and the reduced systems on the manifold $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. In this section, we conclude the proof of Theorem 2.2 by proving that g is actually a solution to the Einstein vacuum equations, i.e that $R_{\mu\nu}(g) = 0$ on the same manifold. We will show in Section 8.2 that $R_{\mu\nu}(g)$ contains only polarization condition tensors $V^{(2,i)}$ or the generalised wave gauge term Υ^ρ . The fact that these terms vanish will be proved in Sections 8.3 using the contracted Bianchi identities, i.e the fact that the Einstein tensor of any Lorentzian metric is divergence free.

8.1 Algebraic properties of $F^{(1)}$ and \mathfrak{F}

In this section we prove that $F^{(1)}$ satisfies (2.27) and (2.28). To this purpose, we define

$$\underline{\zeta}_A = \frac{1}{2}g(\mathbf{D}_{L_0}\underline{L}_0, e_A). \quad (8.1)$$

Thanks to the properties of the background null frame, we obtain the following decomposition of $\mathbf{D}_{L_0}X$ for X a vector field of the null frame:

$$\mathbf{D}_{L_0}L_0 = 0, \quad (8.2)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{L_0}\underline{L}_0 = 2\underline{\zeta}_A e_A, \quad (8.3)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{L_0}e_A = \underline{\zeta}_A L_0 + \nabla_{L_0}e_A, \quad (8.4)$$

where $\nabla_{L_0}X$ is the projection of $\mathbf{D}_{L_0}X$ onto $TP_{t,u}$. Note that the regularity of the background stated in Section 2.2 is enough to ensure that $\underline{\zeta}_A$ as well as $g_0(\nabla_{L_0}e_A, e_B)$ are bounded on \mathcal{M} .

Remark 8.1. *The notation $\underline{\zeta}$ in (8.1) is standard when working with null frames and goes back to [CK93]. The equations (8.2), (8.3) and (8.4) are a subset of the structure equations of the null frame.*

Lemma 8.1. *Let T be a symmetric 2-tensor.*

(i) *If $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{L}_0T) = 0$, then $\text{Pol}(T)$ satisfies the following system*

$$\mathcal{L}_0\text{Pol}_{L_0}(T) = 0, \quad (8.5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0\text{Pol}_A(T) = -2\underline{\zeta}_A\text{Pol}_{L_0}(T) - 2\delta^{BC}g_0(\nabla_{L_0}e_A, e_B)\text{Pol}_C(T), \quad (8.6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0\text{Pol}_{\underline{L}_0}(T) = -4\delta^{AB}\underline{\zeta}_A\text{Pol}_B(T). \quad (8.7)$$

(ii) *If $(\mathcal{L}_0T)_{L_0\underline{L}_0} = 0$, then $T_{L_0\underline{L}_0}$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{L}_0T_{L_0\underline{L}_0} = 4\delta^{AB}\underline{\zeta}_A\text{Pol}(T)_B. \quad (8.8)$$

(iii) *If $\mathcal{L}_0T = 0$, $\text{Pol}(T) = 0$ and $T_{L_0\underline{L}_0} = 0$, then $\mathcal{E}(T, T)$ satisfies*

$$(-L_0 + \square_{g_0}u_0)\mathcal{E}(T, T) = 0. \quad (8.9)$$

Proof. Using (8.2) we have

$$L_0T_{L_0L_0} = (\mathbf{D}_{L_0}T)_{L_0L_0} + 2T_{L_0\alpha}\mathbf{D}_{L_0}L_0^\alpha = (\mathbf{D}_{L_0}T)_{L_0L_0}.$$

Using in addition (8.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_0T_{L_0A} &= (\mathbf{D}_{L_0}T)_{L_0A} + T_{L_0\alpha}\mathbf{D}_{L_0}e_A^\alpha + T_{A\alpha}\mathbf{D}_{L_0}L_0^\alpha \\ &= (\mathbf{D}_{L_0}T)_{L_0A} + \underline{\zeta}_A T_{L_0L_0} + \delta^{BC}g_0(\nabla_{L_0}e_A, e_B)T_{L_0C}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(\delta^{AB}T_{AB}) &= \delta^{AB}(\mathbf{D}_{L_0}T)_{AB} + \delta^{AB}T_{A\alpha}\mathbf{D}_{L_0}e_B^\alpha \\ &= \delta^{AB}(\mathbf{D}_{L_0}T)_{AB} + 2\delta^{AB}\underline{\zeta}_A T_{L_0B}, \end{aligned}$$

where we also use

$$\delta^{AB}\delta^{CD}T_{AC}g_0(\nabla_{L_0}e_B, e_D) = 0,$$

which holds because T is symmetric and $g_0(\nabla_{L_0}\cdot, \cdot)$ is antisymmetric. Recalling (4.32), this gives the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0T_{L_0L_0} &= (\mathcal{L}_0T)_{L_0L_0}, \\ \mathcal{L}_0T_{L_0A} &= (\mathcal{L}_0T)_{L_0A} - 2\underline{\zeta}_A T_{L_0L_0} - 2\delta^{BC}g_0(\nabla_{L_0}e_A, e_B)T_{L_0C}, \\ \mathcal{L}_0(\delta^{AB}T_{AB}) &= \delta^{AB}(\mathcal{L}_0T)_{AB} - 4\delta^{AB}\underline{\zeta}_A T_{BL_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, thanks to (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), the assumption $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{L}_0 T) = 0$ can be used to rewrite the previous system as (8.5), (8.6) and (8.7). Similarly, we can show that

$$\mathcal{L}_0 T_{L_0 \underline{L}_0} = (\mathcal{L}_0 T)_{L_0 \underline{L}_0} - 4\delta^{AB} \zeta_A T_{BL_0},$$

which becomes (8.8) after using the assumption $(\mathcal{L}_0 T)_{L_0 \underline{L}_0} = 0$. We now prove (8.9). First, note that the assumptions $\text{Pol}(T) = 0$ and $T_{L_0 \underline{L}_0} = 0$ imply that

$$\mathcal{E}(T, T) = -\left((T_{e_1 e_1})^2 + (T_{e_1 e_2})^2\right), \quad (8.10)$$

where we recall that $\mathcal{E}(T, T)$ is defined in (3.19). We now use the additional assumption $\mathcal{L}_0 T = 0$, the null structure equation (8.4) and the fact that $g_0(\nabla_{L_0} \cdot, \cdot)$ is antisymmetric to derive a transport equation for the quantities involved in (8.10):

$$\begin{aligned} -L_0(T_{e_1 e_1})^2 + (\square_{g_0} u_0)(T_{e_1 e_1})^2 &= -4T_{e_1 e_1} T_{e_1 e_2} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_1, e_2), \\ -L_0(T_{e_1 e_2})^2 + (\square_{g_0} u_0)(T_{e_1 e_2})^2 &= 2T_{e_1 e_2} T_{e_1 e_1} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_1, e_2) - 2T_{e_1 e_2} T_{e_2 e_2} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_1, e_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to $T_{e_2 e_2} = -T_{e_1 e_1}$ (consequence of $\text{Pol}_{\underline{L}_0}(T) = 0$) the RHS of the second equation becomes $4T_{e_1 e_2} T_{e_1 e_1} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_1, e_2)$ and we obtain (8.9) \square

When applied to $F^{(1)}$ and \mathfrak{F} , this lemma gives the following.

Corollary 8.1. *The tensor $F^{(1)}$ satisfies*

$$\text{Pol}\left(F^{(1)}\right) = 0, \quad (8.11)$$

$$F_{L_0 \underline{L}_0}^{(1)} = 0, \quad (8.12)$$

$$\mathcal{E}\left(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}\right) = -4F_0^2. \quad (8.13)$$

The tensor \mathfrak{F} satisfies

$$\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{F}) = 0. \quad (8.14)$$

Proof. Since $F^{(1)}$ satisfies the transport equation (5.1), $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)})$ and $F_{L_0 \underline{L}_0}^{(1)}$ satisfy the linear and homogeneous system (8.5)-(8.6)-(8.7)-(8.8). Since these quantities vanish on Σ_0 (see Section 5.5.1), we obtain (8.11) and (8.12). We can then use (2.5) and (8.9) and obtain

$$(-L_0 + \square_{g_0} u_0)\left(\mathcal{E}\left(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}\right) + 4F_0^2\right) = 0. \quad (8.15)$$

Moreover, (5.44) implies that $\mathcal{E}(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) + 4F_0^2$ vanishes on Σ_0 , equation (8.15) then implies (8.13). The proof of (8.14) is similar. Thanks to (8.11) and (5.4), we have $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{L}_0 \mathfrak{F}) = 0$. Therefore $\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{F})$ satisfies the linear and homogeneous system (8.5)-(8.6)-(8.7), while vanishing initially (since $\mathfrak{F} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$), we thus obtain (8.14). \square

Remark 8.2. *This corollary shows the consistency of the computations of Section 4.2, which were made under the assumptions that (8.11) and (8.12) hold, since (8.11) and (8.12) are equivalent to (4.9).*

The transport equations for $F^{(2,i)}$, i.e (5.2) and (5.3), are not as simple as (5.1) and we can't hope to compute $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{L}_0 F^{(2,i)})$ easily. Moreover, the polarization conditions for $F^{(2,i)}$ are not simply $\text{Pol}(F^{(2,i)}) = 0$ but rather involve non-linear expressions depending on $F^{(1)}$ (see (4.41) and (4.42)). For these two reasons, the propagation of the second polarization, i.e the propagation of the polarization conditions satisfied by $F^{(2,i)}$, can't be proved with Lemma 8.1 and is postponed to Section 8.3.

8.2 The Einstein tensor and the contracted Bianchi identities

We compute the Ricci tensor of the solution g to the background and reduced systems. This is based on Proposition 4.4, which provides the expression of the terms in the following expansion

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \lambda R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 R_{\mu\nu}^{(\geq 2)}. \quad (8.16)$$

Remark 8.3. *In the sequel, we will encounter a lot of terms proportional to the polarization terms $V^{(2,i)}$ for which a precise expression is useless. Therefore, we introduce the following practical notation: we denote by $O(V^{(2)})$ any combination of the tensors $V^{(2,j)}$ or its derivatives for $j = 1, 2$. This notation extends to tensors, in the sense that $O^\alpha(V^{(2)})$ will denote any 1-tensor with coefficients of the form $O(V^{(2)})$.*

Proposition 8.1. *The three terms in the expansion (8.16) of the Ricci tensor of the metric constructed in Theorems 6.1 and 7.1 are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)}, \\ R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} \\ &\quad + O^\rho(V^{(2)}) F_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 + O(V^{(2)}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 (g_0)_{\rho\beta)} \partial_\theta(\Upsilon^\rho)^{(0)}, \\ R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} &= \frac{1}{2\lambda} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} (\Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho)^{(\geq 0)}. \end{aligned}$$

We omit the proof of this proposition since it follows the same lines as the recipe presented in Section 4.3. Note that the term proportional to $\frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0})$ in $R^{(\geq 2)}$ cancels out with the same term present in $R^{(1)}$ so that it disappears from the final Einstein tensor computed below. Also note that

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 (g_0)_{\rho\beta)} \partial_\theta(\Upsilon^\rho)^{(0)} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (\Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho)^{(\geq 0)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (\Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho). \quad (8.17)$$

The first term on the LHS of (8.17) corresponds to the Υ terms in $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$, while the second one corresponds to the Υ terms in $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}$. Therefore the RHS of (8.17) completely describes the Υ terms in the Ricci tensor.

As Proposition 8.1 shows, the Ricci tensor of g contains only gauge terms or the polarization conditions, i.e terms depending only on Υ and $V^{(2,i)}$. In order to show that g is solution of the Einstein vacuum equations, it thus remains to show that they vanish. This is the content of Section 8.3 and it is proved using the contracted Bianchi identities, i.e the fact that the Einstein tensor of any metric is divergence free, which will give us extra equations satisfied by $V^{(2,i)}$ and Υ . Even though this is standard when working with the (generalised or not) wave coordinates, the high-frequency character of g (which manifests itself at this point by the presence of the polarization conditions tensors $V^{(2,i)}$) changes the situation. Schematically, we will show that the divergence of G satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}_g G = \mathbf{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{L}_0 V^{(2,i)} + \lambda^2 \tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon,$$

with \mathbf{T} a trigonometric function. The contracted Bianchi identities precisely state that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ we have

$$\mathbf{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{L}_0 V^{(2,i)} + \lambda^2 \tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon = 0, \quad (8.18)$$

with $\mathcal{L}_0 V^{(2,i)}$ independent of λ and $\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon$ depending on λ through g and Υ . Our goal is to extract from (8.18) the two equations $\mathcal{L}_0 V^{(2,i)} = 0$ and $\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon = 0$. However, because of the oscillating term in (8.18) and the fact that $\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon$ depends on λ (since Υ depends on \mathfrak{h} or \mathfrak{F}), we can't simply consider the expression

in (8.18) as a polynomial in λ vanishing on the interval $(0, \lambda_0]$. Instead we multiply (8.18) by $\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and use the fact that its weak limit in $L^2(K)$ when λ tends to 0 is 0, where $K := \{|x| \leq C_{\text{supp}} R\}$ is the maximal support of all the background perturbations, and in particular the support of $V^{(2,i)}$ (this fact was justified in Section 2.2). In order to consider the limit λ tends to 0 for $\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon$ we need precise estimates obtained in Lemma 8.2 and which follow from our bootstrap assumptions for \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{F} .

We define the Einstein tensor of g as

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (8.19)$$

where $R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$ is the scalar curvature of g . The terms $V^{(2,i)}$ and Υ will be treated differently so it is useful to decompose G in the following way:

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = G(V)_{\alpha\beta} + G(\Upsilon)_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (8.20)$$

with

$$G(\Upsilon)_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho - g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho \Upsilon^\rho + \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (8.21)$$

and $G(V)$ depending only on $V^{(2,i)}$. Thanks to Proposition 8.1, the tensor $G(V)$ admits a high-frequency expansion

$$G(V)_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + \lambda G_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + O(\lambda^2),$$

where

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,1)}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} \right) - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,2)}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} \right), \quad (8.22)$$

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - \text{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,1)}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} \right) - \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} - \text{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,2)}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} \right) + O^\rho \left(V^{(2)} \right) F_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 + O \left(V^{(2)} \right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}. \quad (8.23)$$

The (contracted) Bianchi identities precisely reads

$$\text{div}_g G = 0. \quad (8.24)$$

Thanks to (8.20), (8.24) reads $\text{div}_g G(\Upsilon) + \text{div}_g G(V) = 0$. These two terms are studied in Lemmas 8.2 and 8.3 respectively. In the proof of Lemma 8.2, we only consider the $\partial\mathfrak{h}$ and $\partial\mathfrak{F}$ terms in Υ^ρ (recall (4.27)) since they satisfy the worst estimates. Moreover, since we only care about $L^2(K)$ norms with K compact we can neglect the weights for \mathfrak{h} .

Lemma 8.2. *We have*

$$\|\text{div}_g G(\Upsilon)_\alpha\|_{L^2(K)} \lesssim \lambda.$$

Proof. The expression in coordinates

$$\text{div}_g G(\Upsilon)_\alpha = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu G(\Upsilon)_{\nu\alpha} - g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu(\alpha}^\rho G(\Upsilon)_{\rho\nu)},$$

and a standard computation first give

$$\text{div}_g G(\Upsilon)_\alpha = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(g_{\rho\alpha} \tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon^\rho + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha \right), \quad (8.25)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{(\alpha} \Upsilon^\rho \partial_{\mu} g_{\rho\nu)} - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\mu g_{\nu\alpha} + g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \left(\Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} \Upsilon^\gamma \partial_\gamma g_{\rho\sigma} g_{\alpha\nu} \right) - g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu(\alpha}^\rho G(\Upsilon)_{\rho\nu)}.$$

We use the notation $L^2 = L^2(K)$ for clarity. We start with $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$. Recall that g and ∂g are bounded in L^∞ irrespective of λ . Moreover, $\partial \mathfrak{h}$ and $\partial \mathfrak{F}$ are bounded in L^2 irrespective of λ thanks to **(BA1)** and **(BA3)**. Therefore, the only terms potentially losing one power of λ in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ are $\partial \Upsilon$ and $\partial^2 g$. Indeed thanks to (4.1), **(BA1)** and **(BA3)** these terms are bounded by $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ in L^2 , which shows that

$$\left\| \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (8.26)$$

Let us now look at $\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon^\rho$. We only focus on the main terms in Υ^ρ , that is $\partial \mathfrak{h} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial \mathfrak{F}$. For $\partial \mathfrak{h}$, we use the equation (5.5) and commute it with one derivative to obtain

$$\tilde{\square}_g \partial \mathfrak{h} = \partial \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h} + [\tilde{\square}_g, \partial] \mathfrak{h}.$$

We have

$$\left\| [\tilde{\square}_g, \partial] \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial g\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda},$$

where we used **(BA3)**. We now use the equation (5.5) (again, we only write down the terms depending on \mathfrak{F} with the highest derivative):

$$\left\| \partial \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\| \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \nabla \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \partial_t \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} + \left\| \partial_t \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2},$$

where we used **(BA2)**. This estimate can't directly be used to bound $\partial_t \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}$, instead we use (7.17):

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial_t \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \left\| [\partial_t, \tilde{\square}_g] \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \tilde{\square}_g \partial_t \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \partial^2 \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \nabla \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \partial^2 \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

We proved that

$$\left\| \tilde{\square}_g \partial \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (8.27)$$

For the $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial \mathfrak{F}$ term in Υ^ρ we use (3.9) to deduce that we need to estimate

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{L}_0 \partial \mathfrak{F} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\tilde{\square}_g \partial \mathfrak{F} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) \partial \mathfrak{F} \right).$$

First, using (A.2), **(BA1)** and **(BA2)** we obtain

$$\left\| \tilde{\square}_g \partial \mathfrak{F} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) \partial \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, using (5.4) commuted with one derivative we obtain

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_0 \partial \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| [\mathcal{L}_0, \partial] \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \partial \mathfrak{h} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1,$$

where we used **(BA1)** and **(BA3)**. We proved that

$$\left\| \tilde{\square}_g \left(\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial \mathfrak{F} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (8.28)$$

Together with (8.27), (8.28) proves that $\left\| \tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon^\rho \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}$, which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 8.3. *We have*

$$\operatorname{div}_g G(V)_\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(-1)} + \mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(0)} + O(\lambda), \quad (8.29)$$

with

$$\mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(-1)} = 0, \quad (8.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,1)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]} \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,2)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,2)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.31)$$

Proof. The $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ terms in $\operatorname{div}_g G(V)_\alpha$ comes from the differentiation of the oscillating parts of $G_{\nu\alpha}^{(0)}$, i.e

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(-1)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial^\nu u_0 \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,1)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,1)}(g_0)_{\alpha\nu} \right) \\ &\quad + 4 \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial^\nu u_0 \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,2)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,2)}(g_0)_{\alpha\nu} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we recall (8.22). If $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\partial^\nu u_0 \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,i)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,i)}(g_0)_{\alpha\nu} \right) = -\partial_\nu u_0 V_{L_0}^{(2,i)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,i)} \partial_\nu u_0 = 0,$$

which concludes the proof of (8.30). Now for (8.31), from the definition (8.29) we obtain

$$\operatorname{div}_g G(V)_\alpha = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu G(V)_{\nu\alpha} - g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho G(V)_{\rho\alpha} - g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\rho G(V)_{\rho\nu}, \quad (8.32)$$

where $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$ denotes the Christoffel symbols associated to g computed in (4.7). Note that $F_{L_0\alpha}^{(1)} = 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{g_0} F^{(1)} = 0$ imply $g_0^{\mu\nu} (\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\nu}^\rho = 0$ (see (4.8)). This gives

$$\mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(0)} = g_0^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\theta G_{\nu\alpha}^{(1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\mu G_{\nu\alpha}^{(0)} - g_0^{\mu\nu} (\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\alpha}^\rho G_{\rho\nu}^{(0)}, \quad (8.33)$$

where in $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\mu G^{(0)}$ we don't differentiate the oscillating functions, as for the corresponding notation $\tilde{\partial}$. We start by looking at the term depending on $G^{(1)}$, whose expression is given by (8.23). Thanks to $F_{L_0\alpha}^{(1)} = 0$ the terms proportional to $O(V^{(2)})$ or $O^\rho(V^{(2)})$ in (8.23) don't contribute to $\mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(0)}$. We are left with

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\theta G_{\nu\alpha}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(L_0^\nu \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\nu)}^{(2,1)} - \operatorname{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,1)}(L_0)_\alpha \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(L_0^\nu \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\nu)}^{(2,2)} - \operatorname{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,2)}(L_0)_\alpha \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.34)$$

Let us now look at the third term in (8.33). Let $i = 1, 2$, we expand using (4.8):

$$\begin{aligned} &(g_0)^{\mu\nu} (\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\alpha}^\rho \left(\partial_{(\rho} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,i)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,i)}(g_0)_{\rho\nu} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\mu\nu} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_{(\mu} u_0 F_{\sigma\alpha)}^{(1)} - \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\alpha}^{(1)} \right) \partial_{(\rho} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,i)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\mu\nu} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_{(\mu} u_0 F_{\sigma\alpha)}^{(1)} - \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\alpha}^{(1)} \right) V_{L_0}^{(2,i)}(g_0)_{\rho\nu} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\mu\nu} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_{(\mu} u_0 F_{\sigma\alpha)}^{(1)} - \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\alpha}^{(1)} \right) \partial_\nu u_0 V_\rho^{(2,i)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.35)$$

Therefore the third term in (8.33) vanishes. Finally, let us look at the second term in this expression.

From $\mathbf{D}g_0 = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g_0^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{D}_\mu G_{\nu\alpha}^{(0)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,1)} \mathbf{D}_\mu (L_0)_\alpha - V_\alpha^{(2,1)} \operatorname{div}_{g_0} L_0 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (L_0)_\alpha \operatorname{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,1)} - \mathbf{D}_{L_0} V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + \partial_\alpha V_{L_0}^{(2,1)} \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,2)} \mathbf{D}_\mu (L_0)_\alpha - V_\alpha^{(2,2)} \operatorname{div}_{g_0} L_0 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (L_0)_\alpha \operatorname{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,2)} - \mathbf{D}_{L_0} V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + \partial_\alpha V_{L_0}^{(2,2)} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.36}$$

Adding this to (8.34) concludes the proof. \square

8.3 Conclusion

Before proving the propagation of the second polarization, we first prove that $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)} = 0$ on Σ_0 . Recall that the tangential components of $V^{(2,i)}$ already vanish on Σ_0 thanks to our choice of initial data for $F^{(2,i)}$, see (5.42). Since $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)}$ only involves the projection of the metric on Σ_0 (see (3.8)), $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)} = 0$ can't be ensured by well-chosen initial data, it has to be directly satisfied by the solution of the constraint equations. This means that we could have performed this computation in [Tou23b]. However, it seems more consistent with the splitting between [Tou23b] and the present article to study $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)}$ here. Moreover, we will benefit from the reduced form of the Einstein tensor obtained above, and the fact that the constraint equations are solved on the initial hypersurface.

Lemma 8.4. *We have*

$$V^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright_{\Sigma_0} = 0.$$

Proof. Thanks to (5.23), it remains to show that $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)} = 0$ on Σ_0 . In fact, it is enough to show that

$$V_0^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright_{\Sigma_0} = 0. \tag{8.37}$$

Indeed, if (8.37) holds then $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)} = -V_{L_0}^{(2,i)}$ (where we used that $L_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}(\partial_t + N_0)$ and $\underline{L}_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}(\partial_t - N_0)$ on Σ_0) and (5.23) then gives the result. Therefore, let us prove (8.37). First, (8.22) and (5.23) imply that on Σ_0

$$G(V)_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} + O(\lambda).$$

Moreover, Υ^ρ contains oscillating terms (see (4.27)) and also terms depending on λ like \mathfrak{h} or \mathfrak{F} . More precisely, if we only write the top derivatives of the non-background terms we have

$$|G(\Upsilon)| \lesssim \lambda |\partial \mathfrak{F}| + \lambda^2 (|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}| + |\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}|).$$

Estimates **(BA1)** and **(BA3)** then imply that $G(\Upsilon)$ is $O(\lambda)$ in $L^2(K)$. Conclusion, we have

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} + O(\lambda), \tag{8.38}$$

where the $O(\lambda)$ has to be understood in $L^2(K)$. Now, Corollary 5.1 ensures that the constraint equations are satisfied on Σ_0 , which in particular gives $G_{TT} = 0$ on Σ_0 , where T is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g . Thanks to Lemma 5.2, we have $T = \partial_t + O(\lambda^2)$ so the previous identity implies that $G_{00} = O(\lambda^2)$ on Σ_0 . Thanks to (8.38) and (2.12) this rewrites as

$$-\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} V_0^{(2,1)} - 4 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} V_0^{(2,2)} = O(\lambda). \tag{8.39}$$

We multiply this identity by $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and take the weak limit in $L^2(K)$ when λ tends to 0. Moreover, the weak limit of $\sin^2\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ are respectively $\frac{1}{2}$ and 0, and $V_0^{(2,1)}$ does not depend on λ so we obtain $V_0^{(2,1)} = 0$. Then (8.39) becomes

$$\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}V_0^{(2,2)} = O(\lambda). \quad (8.40)$$

Multiplying this identity by $\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and taking the weak limit in $L^2(K)$ when λ tends to 0 gives similarly that $V_0^{(2,2)} = 0$. This proves (8.37) and concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

We can now prove the propagation of the second polarization.

Proposition 8.2. *The following holds on the whole spacetime*

$$V^{(2,i)} = 0, \quad (8.41)$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. We look at the quantity $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\operatorname{div}_g G_\alpha$, which thanks to Lemma 8.3 rewrites

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\operatorname{div}_g G_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu}V_\nu^{(2,1)}\mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}\right) \\ &\quad + 2\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)\left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,2)} + g_0^{\mu\nu}V_\nu^{(2,2)}\mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}\right) \\ &\quad + O(\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (8.42)$$

where we use the Bianchi identities (8.24), Lemma 8.2 and where the $O(\lambda)$ has to be understood in $L^2(K)$. The quantity

$$-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu}V_\nu^{(2,1)}\mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]},$$

only involves the background perturbations so it doesn't depend on λ . Therefore the weak limit when λ tends to 0 of the first two lines in (8.42) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu}V_\nu^{(2,1)}\mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}\right). \quad (8.43)$$

Thanks to (8.24), the weak limit of (8.42) is zero and we obtain the following equation for $V^{(2,1)}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} = g_0^{\mu\nu}V_\nu^{(2,1)}\mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}. \quad (8.44)$$

If we now multiply $\operatorname{div}_g G_\alpha$ by $\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$, Lemma 8.3 and (8.44) imply

$$\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)\operatorname{div}_g G_\alpha = 2\cos^2\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)\left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,2)} + g_0^{\mu\nu}V_\nu^{(2,2)}\mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}\right) + O(\lambda).$$

We again take the weak limit in L^2 when λ tends to 0 and thanks to (8.24) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,2)} = g_0^{\mu\nu}V_\nu^{(2,2)}\mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}. \quad (8.45)$$

Equations (8.44) and (8.45) are transport equations for the quantities $V^{(2,i)}$, $i = 1, 2$. Thanks to Lemma 8.4 we know that

$$V^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright_{\Sigma_0} = 0, \quad (8.46)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Together with the energy estimate for the transport operator L_0 (see Lemma 5.4) and Gronwall's inequality, (8.45) and (8.46) lead to (8.41). \square

It remains to prove that $\Upsilon^\rho = 0$. The Einstein tensor of g now reduces to $G(\Upsilon)$, i.e

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho - g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho \Upsilon^\rho + \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (8.47)$$

At this point, it is standard to deduce from the Bianchi identities (8.24) the fact that Υ^ρ vanishes if it vanishes initially, we redo the proof for completeness.

Proposition 8.3. *The following holds on the whole spacetime*

$$\Upsilon^\rho = 0.$$

Proof. The main ingredient is already contained in (8.25). Since $V^{(2,i)} = 0$, we have $G_{\alpha\beta} = G(\Upsilon)_{\alpha\beta}$ and (8.25) together with (8.24) then implies

$$\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon^\rho + A_{\nu}^{\mu\rho} \partial_\mu \Upsilon^\nu + B_\alpha^\rho \Upsilon^\alpha = 0. \quad (8.48)$$

Therefore, Υ solves (8.48), i.e a system of linear wave equations on the spacetime. If Υ^ρ and $T\Upsilon^\rho$ vanish on Σ_0 , then Υ^ρ vanishes on the whole spacetime (recall that T is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g , see Lemma 5.2). From Corollary 5.1 and our choice of initial data for g , we deduce what we need.

- From Corollary 5.1 we have $\Upsilon^\rho \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$. Thanks to (8.47) this also implies that on Σ_0 we have

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho - g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_t \Upsilon^0). \quad (8.49)$$

- Corollary 5.1 also states that the constraint equations are solved on Σ_0 , which reads $G_{TT} = 0$ and $G_{Ti} = 0$. Since $T = (1 + Z^t) \partial_t + \bar{Z}^i \partial_i$ and $g(T, \partial_i) = 0$ the previous components of the Einstein tensor rewrite

$$G_{TT} = 2T^\alpha g_{\rho\alpha} T \Upsilon^\rho + \partial_t \Upsilon^0 = -\frac{T \Upsilon^0}{1 + Z^t},$$

and

$$G_{Ti} = g_{0i} T \Upsilon^0 + \bar{g}_{ij} T \Upsilon^j,$$

where we used (8.49) and $\Upsilon^\rho \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ to cancel any spatial derivative of Υ^ρ . Since $Z^t = O(\lambda^4)$ (see (5.30)) the constraint equations indeed imply that $T \Upsilon^\rho \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$. □

Propositions 8.2 and 8.3 concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2, by showing that the metric g solves the Einstein vacuum equations.

A Computations of Section 4

A.1 Proof of Proposition 4.1

In this section, we derive the expressions of the coefficients in the expansion of the wave part of the Ricci tensor:

$$\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + \lambda W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 W_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}. \quad (A.1)$$

The main ingredient is the computation (3.9), applied to each oscillating term in (4.1). We also need to expand the eikonal term $g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0)$, $g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu f$ and $\tilde{\square}_g f$ (for various f), all appearing in (3.9). For that, we use the expansion of the inverse given in (4.4)-(4.6) and (4.9). This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) \\ &= g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) - \lambda F_{L_0 L_0}^{(1)} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \\ &\quad - \lambda^2 \left(\mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,1)} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} \right) + \lambda^3 (g^{-1})^{(\geq 3)} (\partial u_0)^2 \\ &= -\lambda^2 \left(\mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,1)} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} \right) + \lambda^3 (g^{-1})^{(\geq 3)} (\partial u_0)^2, \quad (A.2) \end{aligned}$$

where we also used (2.4). The absence of a λ^0 term in (A.2) explains why there is no λ^{-1} term in the expansion of $\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$ given by (4.10). Similarly we have

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu f = -L_0 f + \lambda^2 (g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} \partial u_0 \partial f, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and

$$\tilde{\square}_g f = \tilde{\square}_{g_0} f + \lambda (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} \partial^2 f, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

for f a scalar function. Note that (A.4) also gives the expansion of the wave operator applied to the background term in (4.1):

$$\tilde{\square}_g (g_0)_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\square}_{g_0} (g_0)_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} \partial^2 g_0.$$

We now apply (3.9) to each oscillating term in (4.1), using systematically (A.2), (A.3) and (A.4). For $\lambda g^{(1)}$ this gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda \cos \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \cos \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{-1} (du_0, du_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(2g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (\tilde{\square}_g u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right) \\ & \quad + \lambda \cos \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \tilde{\square}_g F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\ &= -\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right) \\ & \quad + \lambda \cos \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(\mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0} \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,1)} \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} \cos \left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda} \right) + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} \right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\ & \quad + \lambda \left((g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} F^{(1)} \partial^2 u_0 + g^{-1} \partial^2 F^{(1)} \right) + \lambda^2 \left((g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} \partial u_0 \partial F^{(1)} + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 3)} (\partial u_0)^2 F^{(1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda^2 g^{(2)}$ this gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda^2 \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ &= -\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{-1} (du_0, du_0) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda \cos \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(2g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + (\tilde{\square}_g u_0) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ & \quad + \lambda^2 \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= \lambda \cos \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(-2L_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \right) + \lambda^2 \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \\ & \quad + \lambda^2 \left((g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} (\partial u_0)^2 \mathfrak{F} + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} \partial^2 u_0 \mathfrak{F} \right) + \lambda^3 (g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} \partial u_0 \partial \mathfrak{F}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda^2 \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} \right) \\ &= \lambda \cos \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} \right) \\ & \quad + \lambda^2 \left((g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} (\partial u_0)^2 F^{(2,1)} + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} \partial^2 u_0 F^{(2,1)} + g^{-1} \partial^2 F^{(2,1)} \right) + \lambda^3 (g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} \partial u_0 \partial F^{(2,1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda^2 \cos \left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda} \right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} \right) \\ &= -2\lambda \sin \left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} \right) \\ & \quad + \lambda^2 \left((g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} (\partial u_0)^2 F^{(2,2)} + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} \partial^2 u_0 F^{(2,2)} + g^{-1} \partial^2 F^{(2,2)} \right) + \lambda^3 (g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} \partial u_0 \partial F^{(2,2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms for each power of λ in the previous expressions, we obtain the various expressions of Proposition 4.1.

A.2 Proof of Proposition 4.2

The quadratic non-linearity $P(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ is of the form $(g^{-1}\partial g)^2$, see (3.2) for an exact expression. Therefore, we have schematically

$$P^{(0)} = \underbrace{(g_0^{-1}\partial g_0)^2}_{:=A} + \underbrace{g_0^{-2}\partial g_0\partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(1)}}_{:=B} + \underbrace{(g_0^{-1}\partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(1)})^2}_{:=C},$$

since $(\partial g)^{(0)} = \partial g_0 + \partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(1)}$. Note that the purely background term $A_{\alpha\beta}$ simply corresponds to $P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0)$. For $B_{\alpha\beta}$, we have from (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha\beta} &= g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{(\alpha}(g_0)_{\rho\sigma}\partial_\mu u_0\partial_\theta g_{\beta)}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2}g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\alpha(g_0)_{\rho\sigma}\partial_\beta u_0\partial_\theta g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\rho(g_0)_{\alpha\nu}\partial_\sigma u_0\partial_\theta g_{\beta\mu}^{(1)} + g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\rho(g_0)_{\sigma\alpha}\partial_\mu u_0\partial_\theta g_{\nu\beta}^{(1)} \\ &\quad + g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{(\alpha}u_0\partial_\theta g_{\rho\sigma)}^{(1)}\partial_\mu(g_0)_{\beta)\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\alpha u_0\partial_\theta g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)}\partial_\beta(g_0)_{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad - g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\rho u_0\partial_\theta g_{\alpha\nu}^{(1)}\partial_\sigma(g_0)_{\beta\mu} + g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\rho u_0\partial_\theta g_{\sigma\alpha}^{(1)}\partial_\mu(g_0)_{\nu\beta} \\ &= -L_0^\rho g_0^{\mu\sigma}(\partial_{(\alpha}(g_0)_{\rho\sigma} + \partial_\rho(g_0)_{\sigma(\alpha} - \partial_\sigma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho)})\partial_\theta g_{\beta)\mu}^{(1)} \\ &\quad + g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{(\alpha}u_0\left(\partial_\rho(g_0)_{\beta)\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\beta(g_0)_{\rho\sigma}\right)\partial_\theta g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \\ &= -2L_0^\rho\Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho)}^\mu\partial_\theta g_{\beta)\mu}^{(1)} + g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{(\alpha}u_0\left(\partial_\rho(g_0)_{\beta)\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\beta(g_0)_{\rho\sigma}\right)\partial_\theta g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we now use (4.2) we obtain

$$B_{\alpha\beta} = -\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\left(-2L_0^\rho\Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho)}^\mu F_{\beta)\mu}^{(1)} + g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{(\alpha}u_0\left(\partial_\rho(g_0)_{\beta)\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\beta(g_0)_{\rho\sigma}\right)F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}\right).$$

For $C_{\alpha\beta}$, we have from (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha\beta} &= g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{(\alpha}u_0\partial_\theta g_{\rho\sigma)}^{(1)}\partial_\mu u_0\partial_\theta g_{\beta)\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2}g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\alpha u_0\partial_\theta g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)}\partial_\beta u_0\partial_\theta g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\rho u_0\partial_\theta g_{\alpha\nu}^{(1)}\partial_\sigma u_0\partial_\theta g_{\beta\mu}^{(1)} + g_0^{\mu\rho}g_0^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\rho u_0\partial_\theta g_{\sigma\alpha}^{(1)}\partial_\mu u_0\partial_\theta g_{\nu\beta}^{(1)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\left|\partial_\theta g^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2\partial_\alpha u_0\partial_\beta u_0 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2\partial_\alpha u_0\partial_\beta u_0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.4) and (4.9). This proves (4.18). Similarly, we have schematically

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(1)} &= (g^{-1}\partial g)^{(0)}(g^{-1}\partial g)^{(1)} \\ &= \underbrace{g_0^{-1}(g^{-1})^{(1)}(\partial g_0)^2}_{:=D} + \underbrace{g_0^{-1}(g^{-1})^{(1)}\partial g_0\partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(1)}}_{:=E} + \underbrace{g_0^{-1}(g^{-1})^{(1)}(\partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(1)})^2}_{:=F} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{g_0^{-2}\partial g_0\tilde{\partial}g^{(1)}}_{:=G} + \underbrace{g_0^{-2}\partial g_0\partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(2)}}_{:=H} + \underbrace{g_0^{-2}\partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(1)}\tilde{\partial}g^{(1)}}_{:=I} + \underbrace{g_0^{-2}\partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(1)}\partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(2)}}_{:=J}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $(\partial g)^{(1)} = \tilde{\partial}g^{(1)} + \partial u_0\partial_\theta g^{(2)}$. For D , E , G and I , we simply look for a schematic expression using (4.2) and (4.5):

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)g_0^{-3}F^{(1)}(\partial g_0)^2, \\ E &= \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)g_0^{-3}(F^{(1)})^2\partial g_0\partial u_0, \\ G &= \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)g_0^{-2}\partial g_0\partial F^{(1)}, \\ I &= \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)g_0^{-2}\partial u_0F^{(1)}\partial F^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $F_{\alpha\beta}$, we have from (3.2), (2.4), (4.5) and (4.9)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\alpha\beta} &= g_0^{\mu\rho} (g^{(1)})^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \partial_{\theta} g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \partial_{\mu} u_0 \partial_{\theta} g_{\beta\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \left(g_0^{\mu\rho} (g^{(1)})^{\nu\sigma} + (g^{(1)})^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \right) \partial_{\alpha} u_0 \partial_{\theta} g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta} u_0 \partial_{\theta} g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(-g_0^{\mu\rho} (F^{(1)})^{\nu\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \partial_{\mu} u_0 F_{\beta\nu}^{(1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left(g_0^{\mu\rho} (F^{(1)})^{\nu\sigma} + (F^{(1)})^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \right) F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta} u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now look at the terms depending on $g^{(2)}$, i.e H and J . The term H is actually equivalent to the term B above, therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha\beta} &= -2L_0^{\rho} \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho}^{\mu} \partial_{\theta} g_{\beta\mu}^{(2)} + g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\partial_{\rho} (g_0)_{\beta)\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} (g_0)_{\rho\sigma} \right) \partial_{\theta} g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0^{\rho} \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho}^{\mu} (\mathfrak{F} + F^{(2,1)})_{\beta)\mu} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\partial_{\rho} (g_0)_{\beta)\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} (g_0)_{\rho\sigma} \right) (\mathfrak{F} + F^{(2,1)})_{\mu\nu} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0^{\rho} \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho}^{\mu} F_{\beta\mu}^{(2,2)} + g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\partial_{\rho} (g_0)_{\beta)\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} (g_0)_{\rho\sigma} \right) F_{\mu\nu}^{(2,2)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.3). Finally for J , we have from (3.2), (2.4) and (4.9)

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha\beta} &= g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\partial_{\mu} u_0 \left(\partial_{\theta} g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \partial_{\theta} g_{\beta\nu}^{(2)} + \partial_{\theta} g_{\rho\sigma}^{(2)} \partial_{\theta} g_{\beta\nu}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} u_0 \partial_{\theta} g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \partial_{\theta} g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} \right) \\ &= -\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\partial_{\mu} u_0 \left(F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \mathfrak{F}_{\beta\nu} + \mathfrak{F}_{\rho\sigma} F_{\beta\nu}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} u_0 F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \mathfrak{F}_{\mu\nu} \right) \\ &\quad - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\partial_{\mu} u_0 \left(F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} F_{\beta\nu}^{(2,1)} + F_{\rho\sigma}^{(2,1)} F_{\beta\nu}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} u_0 F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} F_{\mu\nu}^{(2,1)} \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\partial_{\mu} u_0 \left(F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} F_{\beta\nu}^{(2,2)} + F_{\rho\sigma}^{(2,2)} F_{\beta\nu}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} u_0 F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} F_{\mu\nu}^{(2,2)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we also used (4.2) and (4.3). This concludes the proof of (4.19).

A.3 Proof of Proposition 4.3

The gauge term $H^{\rho} = g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}$ is of the form $g^{-2} \partial g$. In order to expand H^{ρ} , we will first expand ∂g and then g^{-2} . More precisely, we have

$$H^{\rho} = g^{\rho\sigma} g^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} \right).$$

For convenience, let us define

$$H^{\rho} [T] = g^{\rho\sigma} g^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_{\mu} T_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\sigma} T_{\mu\nu} \right),$$

for T a symmetric 2-tensor. With this notation, we have

$$H^{\rho} = H^{\rho}[g_0] + \lambda H^{\rho} [g^{(1)}] + \lambda^2 H^{\rho} [g^{(2)}] + \lambda^2 H^{\rho} [\mathfrak{h}] + \lambda^3 H^{\rho} [g^{(3)}].$$

We expand each term in this expression. For g_0 , we use (2.7) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\rho}[g_0] &= g^{\rho\sigma} g_0^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_{\mu} (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\sigma} (g_0)_{\mu\nu} \right) + \lambda g^{\rho\sigma} (g^{\mu\nu})^{(\geq 1)} \left(\partial_{\mu} (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\sigma} (g_0)_{\mu\nu} \right) \\ &= \lambda g^{\rho\sigma} (g^{\mu\nu})^{(\geq 1)} \left(\partial_{\mu} (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\sigma} (g_0)_{\mu\nu} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now use further the expansion of the inverse (especially (4.6)) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H^\rho[g_0] &= \lambda \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{-3} F^{(1)} \partial g_0 - \lambda^2 g_0^{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{h}^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma (g_0)_{\mu\nu} \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 g_0^{-3} \left((1 + g_0^{-1})(F^{(1)})^2 + F^{(2,i)} + \mathfrak{F} \right) \partial g_0 \\ &\quad + \lambda^3 \left(g^{-1}(g^{-1})^{(\geq 3)} \partial g_0 + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} (g^{-1})^{(1)} \partial g_0 + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} (g^{\mu\nu})^{(2)} \partial g_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

If T is an oscillating function and S a symmetric 2-tensor then

$$\begin{aligned} H^\rho \left[T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) S \right] &= \frac{1}{\lambda} T' \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{\rho\sigma} g^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 S_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 S_{\mu\nu} \right) + T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) H^\rho [S] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} T' \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(S) + T' \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{\rho\sigma} (g^{\mu\nu})^{(\geq 1)} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 S_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 S_{\mu\nu} \right) \\ &\quad + T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) H^\rho [S]. \end{aligned}$$

Using also $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0$ and $F_{L_0\alpha}^{(1)} = 0$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda H^\rho [g^{(1)}] &= -\frac{\lambda}{4} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\sigma u_0 \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2 + \lambda^2 \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{h}^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g^{-2} \partial F^{(1)} \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \left(g_0^{-3} \left((F^{(1)})^2 + F^{(2,i)} + \mathfrak{F} \right) \partial u_0 F^{(1)} + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} (g^{-1})^{(1)} \partial u_0 F^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda^3 \left(g^{-1} (g^{-1})^{(\geq 3)} \partial u_0 F^{(1)} + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} (g^{-1})^{(2)} \partial u_0 F^{(1)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 H^\rho [g^{(2)}] &= \lambda \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) + g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(F^{(2,1)}) \right) - \lambda \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(F^{(2,2)}) \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) H^\rho[\mathfrak{F}] + \lambda^2 \left(g^{-2} \partial F^{(2,i)} + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} g^{-1} \partial u_0 (\mathfrak{F} + F^{(2,i)}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda^3 H^\rho [g^{(3)}] = \lambda^2 g^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\partial_\theta g^{(3)}) + \lambda^3 H^\rho [\tilde{\partial} g^{(3)}] + \lambda^3 g^{-1} (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(3)}.$$

Collecting terms for each power of λ in the previous expressions, we obtain the various expressions of Proposition 4.3, using in particular the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon^\rho &= H^\rho[\mathfrak{h}] + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) H^\rho[\mathfrak{F}] + \lambda H^\rho[\tilde{\partial} g^{(3)}] \\ &\quad - g_0^{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{h}^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma (g_0)_{\mu\nu} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

A.4 Proof of Proposition 4.4

From (3.1) we obtain

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = -W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_\beta) u_0 \partial_\theta (H^{(1)})^\rho,$$

where we used (4.24) to compute $(H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_\beta) H^\rho)^{(0)}$. We now use (4.11), (4.18) and (4.25) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} &= -\tilde{\square}_{g_0}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}\right) \\
&\quad + P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0) - \frac{1}{4} \left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0 \\
&\quad - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho}^\nu F_{\beta)\nu}^{(1)} + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0 \\
&\quad - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\text{Pol}_{\beta)}\left(F^{(2,1)}\right) + \text{Pol}_{\beta)}(\mathfrak{F}) + (g_0)_{\rho\beta)}(\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^\rho\right) \\
&\quad + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(-2\text{Pol}_{\beta)}\left(F^{(2,2)}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Regrouping terms with respect to their oscillation behaviour concludes the proof of (4.33). Similarly, from (3.1) we obtain

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = -W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_\beta) H^\rho)^{(1)}.$$

Using (4.24) we compute the last term:

$$\begin{aligned}
(H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_\beta) H^\rho)^{(1)} &= (H^{(1)})^\rho \left(\partial_\rho(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_\rho u_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}\right) \\
&\quad + (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \left(\tilde{\partial}_{\beta)}(H^{(1)})^\rho + \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \partial_\theta \left((H^{(2)})^\rho + (\Upsilon^\rho)^{(0)}\right)\right) \\
&\quad + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \partial_\theta (H^{(1)})^\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

We now use (4.12), (4.19), (4.25) and (4.26) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} &= -\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} - 2L_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}\right) \\
&\quad + 2 \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\
&\quad + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2L_0^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho}^\nu (\mathfrak{F}_{\nu\beta)} + F_{\nu\beta}^{(2,1)}) + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,1)}\right) \\
&\quad + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(4L_0^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho}^\nu F_{\nu\beta)}^{(2,2)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,2)}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,3)} \\
&\quad + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma\left(F^{(2,1)}\right) + g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) + (\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^\rho\right) \left(\partial_\rho(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_\rho u_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}\right) \\
&\quad + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma\left(F^{(2,2)}\right) - \frac{1}{4} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\sigma u_0 \left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2\right) \left(\partial_\rho(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_\rho u_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}\right) \\
&\quad + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \left(g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma\left(F^{(2,1)}\right) + g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) + (\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^\rho\right) \\
&\quad + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \left(-2g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma\left(F^{(2,2)}\right) - \frac{1}{4} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\sigma u_0 \left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2\right) \\
&\quad + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\text{Pol}_{\beta)}\left(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(3)}\right) + (g_0)_{\rho\beta)} \partial_\theta (\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho + (g_0)_{\rho\beta)} \partial_\theta (\Upsilon^\rho)^{(0)}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \left(g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma\left(F^{(2,1)}\right) + g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) + (\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^\rho\right) \\
&\quad + 2 \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \left(-2g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma\left(F^{(2,2)}\right) - \frac{1}{4} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\sigma u_0 \left|F^{(1)}\right|_{g_0}^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We regroup terms with respect to their oscillation behaviour and use the following fact to conclude the proof of (4.34):

$$g_0^{\rho\sigma} \Omega_\sigma \partial_\rho (g_0)_{\alpha\beta} + (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} (g_0^{\rho\sigma} \Omega_\sigma) = \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \Omega_{\beta)}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where Ω is any 1-tensor. We conclude the proof by proving (4.35). From (3.1) we obtain

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = -W_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} + (H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} H^\rho)^{(\geq 2)},$$

and we simply use (4.13) to conclude.

B The commutator $[\square_{g_0}, L_0]$ and proof of Lemma 3.1

This appendix is devoted to the proof of Lemma 3.1, which estimates the commutator $[\square_{g_0}, L_0]$, where L_0 is defined by (2.6) and g_0 is the background metric. A general computation using the expression of the wave operator in coordinates shows that if X is any vector field and f a scalar function we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\square_{g_0}, X]f &= 2g_0^{\alpha\beta} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_\alpha X)_\mu \partial_\beta \partial_\nu f + \partial_\alpha f (\square_{g_0} X^\alpha + X (g_0^{\rho\sigma} \Gamma(g_0)_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha)) \\ &= 2g_0^{\alpha\beta} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_\alpha X)_\mu \partial_\beta \partial_\nu f + \partial_\alpha f \square_{g_0} X^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where we also used the wave coordinate condition for the background (2.7). In the context of Lemma 3.1, we consider $X = L_0$ and f compactly supported and we are only interested in the principal terms in $[\square_{g_0}, L_0]f$. Indeed the non-principal part simply satisfies

$$|\partial_\alpha f \square_{g_0} L_0^\alpha| \lesssim |\partial f|. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

with a constant only depending on the background spacetime. It remains to estimate the second derivatives of f in (B.1), i.e

$$g_0^{\alpha\beta} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_\alpha L_0)_\mu \partial_\beta \partial_\nu f,$$

by using the expression of the background metric in the background null frame defined in Section 2.2:

$$g_0^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} L_0^{(\alpha} \underline{L}_0^{\beta)} + \delta^{AB} e_A^\alpha e_B^\beta. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Using (B.3) twice and the fact that L_0 is geodesic, that is $\mathbf{D}_{L_0} L_0 = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^{\alpha\beta} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_\alpha L_0)_\mu \partial_\beta \partial_\nu f &= -\frac{1}{2} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu \underline{L}_0 f - \frac{1}{2} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{\underline{L}_0} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu L_0 f \\ &\quad + \delta^{AB} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu e_B f \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{\underline{L}_0} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu L_0 f - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{AB} L_0^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu \underline{L}_0 e_B f \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{AB} \underline{L}_0^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu L_0 e_B f + \delta^{AB} \delta^{CD} e_C^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu e_D e_B f \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{\underline{L}_0} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu L_0 f - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{AB} \underline{L}_0^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu L_0 e_B f \\ &\quad + \delta^{AB} \delta^{CD} e_C^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu e_D e_B f, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used $L_0^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu = 0$, which follows from the fact $g_0(L_0, L_0) = 0$ is constant. Using now the fact that $|L_0 e_B f| \lesssim |\partial L_0 f| + |\partial f|$ and recalling (B.1) and (B.2) we obtain

$$\|[\square_{g_0}, L_0]f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|e_A e_B f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial L_0 f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where we also used the compact support of f to switch from pointwise estimates to L^2 norms. Therefore, in order to prove Lemma 3.1 it remains to estimate $\|e_A e_B f\|_{L^2}$. This follows from elliptic estimates on $P_{t,u}$. If K denotes the Gauss curvature of $P_{t,u}$ and if $d\mu_{t,u}$ denotes the volume form on $P_{t,u}$ induced by \dot{g}_0 , then the scalar Bochner identity (Proposition 3.5 in [Sze18]) reads

$$\int_{P_{t,u}} |\text{Hess} f|_{\dot{g}_0}^2 d\mu_{t,u} = \int_{P_{t,u}} (\Delta f)^2 d\mu_{t,u} - \int_{P_{t,u}} K |\nabla f|_{\dot{g}_0}^2 d\mu_{t,u}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}f &= \delta^{AB}e_A e_B f + \delta^{AB}\delta^{CD}g(\nabla_{e_A}e_C, e_B)e_D f, \\ \nabla f &= \delta^{AB}(e_A f)e_B.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the regularity assumptions stated in Section 2.2 and the fact that the 2-surfaces $P_{t,u}$ foliates Σ_t , we can integrate (B.5) in the N_0 direction and obtain

$$\|e_A e_B f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbb{A}f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{L^2},$$

where we recall that $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ denotes $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$ and where the implicit constant depends only on background quantities. Together with (B.4) this gives

$$\|[\square_{g_0}, L_0]f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbb{A}f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial L_0 f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

We now use Lemma 2.5 of [Sze12] which gives the expression of the wave operator in the null frame and implies

$$\|\mathbb{A}f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\square_{g_0} f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial L_0 f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{L^2}.$$

Together with (B.6) this concludes the proof of the first part of Lemma 3.1.

The second part is proved in a similar way. For $r \geq 1$, we apply (3.32) to $\nabla^r f$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\| [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \nabla^r f \|_{L^2} &\lesssim \| \partial L_0 \nabla^r f \|_{L^2} + \| \square_{g_0} \nabla^r f \|_{L^2} + \| \partial f \|_{H^r} \\ &\lesssim \| \nabla^r \partial L_0 f \|_{L^2} + \| \nabla^r \square_{g_0} f \|_{L^2} + \| \partial f \|_{H^r} + \| [\partial L_0, \nabla^r] f \|_{L^2} + \| [\square_{g_0}, \nabla^r] f \|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\| [\partial L_0, \nabla^r] f \|_{L^2} + \| [\square_{g_0}, \nabla^r] f \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| \partial^2 f \|_{H^{r-1}},$$

where we recall that $r \geq 1$. It remains to notice that

$$\| \nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}] f \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \nabla^r f \|_{L^2} + \| \partial f \|_{H^r} + \| \partial^2 f \|_{H^{r-1}}.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

C The spectral projections

In this section, we prove Lemma 3.2. The proof is based on the dyadic decomposition at the heart of Littlewood-Paley theory, which we present shortly.

C.1 Littlewood-Paley theory

This presentation of the Littlewood-Paley theory is based on [BCD11]. We start by considering two smooth radial functions χ and φ from \mathbb{R}^3 to the interval $[0, 1]$ supported in $\{|\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$ and $\{\frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ respectively and such that

$$\chi + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) = 1, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

$$|j - i| \geq 2 \implies \text{supp}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)) \cap \text{supp}(\varphi(2^{-i}\cdot)) = \emptyset,$$

$$j \geq 1 \implies \text{supp}(\chi) \cap \text{supp}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)) = \emptyset,$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \chi^2 + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi^2(2^{-j}\cdot) \leq 1. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

The existence of χ and φ is the content of Proposition 2.10 in [BCD11]. They define a dyadic partition of unity, with which we can define the dyadic blocks Δ_j . If u is a tempered distribution on \mathbb{R}^3 we set $\Delta_i u = 0$ if $i \leq -2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{-1}u &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi\mathcal{F}(u)), \\ \Delta_j u &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)\mathcal{F}(u)),\end{aligned}$$

for $j \geq 0$ and where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^3 . We also define

$$S_j u = \sum_{j' \leq j-1} \Delta_{j'} u,$$

for $j \geq 0$. For u a tempered distribution, we use the notation $\text{spect}(u) = \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(u))$. Therefore the support properties of χ and φ imply

$$\text{spect}(\Delta_{-1}u) \subset \left\{ |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3} \right\}, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

$$\text{spect}(\Delta_j u) \subset 2^j \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3} \right\}, \quad (\text{C.4})$$

for $j \geq 0$. The following proposition contains all the basic estimates we need on the dyadic blocks.

Proposition C.1. *Let u be a tempered distribution.*

(i) *The so-called Bernstein estimates hold*

$$\|X_j \nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^p} \lesssim 2^{\alpha j} \|X_j u\|_{L^p}, \quad (\text{C.5})$$

for $X_j \in \{S_j, \Delta_j\}$, $\alpha = 0, 1$ and for all $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$.

(ii) *Moreover, the following estimates hold*

$$\|X_j u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \|X_j u\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

for $X_j \in \{S_j, \Delta_j\}$.

Proof. For $j \geq -1$, we have $\text{spect}(X_j \nabla u) \subset 2^j B$ for B a ball centered at 0 in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let ϕ be a compactly supported function on \mathbb{R}^3 such that $\phi \upharpoonright B = 1$. This implies

$$\mathcal{F}(X_j u) = \phi(2^{-j}\cdot) \mathcal{F}(X_j u).$$

Therefore, for $\alpha = 0, 1$ we have

$$X_j \nabla^\alpha u = 2^{(3+\alpha)j} (\nabla^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)) (2^j \cdot) * X_j u, \quad (\text{C.7})$$

where the symbol $*$ denotes the convolution between two functions. The Young inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_j \nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^p} &\lesssim 2^{(3+\alpha)j} \|(\nabla^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)) (2^j \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|X_j u\|_{L^p} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\alpha j} \|\nabla^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)\|_{L^1} \|X_j u\|_{L^p},\end{aligned}$$

where we change variable in the last step. This proves (C.5). Now, if $\alpha = 0$ in (C.7), another case of Young inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_j u\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{3j} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi) (2^j \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|X_j u\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)\|_{L^2} \|X_j u\|_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

The property (C.1) allows us to decompose each function u into an infinite sum of smooth and localized in Fourier space functions:

$$u = \sum_{j \geq -1} \Delta_j u. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

The series (C.8) is *a priori* purely formal, and though each dyadic blocks $\Delta_j u$ is smooth the function u might have to be defined merely as a distribution. However, one can link the regularity of u and the summability properties of the series. The next proposition gives a characterization of the Sobolev spaces in terms of the convergence of the series (C.8).

Proposition C.2. *If $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and u is a tempered distribution, then*

$$u \in H^s \iff (2^{js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2})_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all tempered distribution u we have

$$\frac{1}{C} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{2js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{2js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (\text{C.9})$$

Proof. By definition of the space H^s , we have $\|u\|_{H^s} = \| \langle \cdot \rangle^s \mathcal{F}(u) \|_{L^2}$. Using the first inequality in (C.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 &\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} \chi^2(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \sum_{j \geq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} \varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2s} \|\Delta_{-1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{2js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the support properties of χ and φ . This proves half of (C.9), the other half is proved similarly using the other inequality in (C.2). \square

The decomposition (C.8) is also very useful to study products of functions. Indeed, formally we have

$$uv = \sum_{j, j' \geq -1} \Delta_j u \Delta_{j'} v$$

for u and v two tempered distributions. As it is well known the product uv could not even be a tempered distribution. In order to understand the product's properties, Bony introduced in [Bon81] what is now called the Bony decomposition:

Definition C.1. *For u and v two tempered distributions, we define the paraproduct $T_u v$ and the remainder $R(u, v)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} T_u v &= \sum_j S_{j-1} u \Delta_j v, \\ R(u, v) &= \sum_{|j-k| \leq 1} \Delta_j u \Delta_k v. \end{aligned}$$

The Bony decomposition of uv is then

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v).$$

In the sequel, we don't directly use Bony's decomposition but rather the spectral property of the general terms in the series defining $T_u v$ and $R(u, v)$, namely if $i \geq -1$ and $|j - k| \leq 1$:

$$\text{spect}(S_{i-1} u \Delta_i v) \subset 2^i \mathcal{C}', \quad (\text{C.10})$$

$$\text{spect}(\Delta_j u \Delta_k v) \subset 2^j B', \quad (\text{C.11})$$

with $\mathcal{C}' = \{ \frac{1}{12} \leq |\xi| \leq 3 \}$ and $B' = \{ 0 \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{32}{3} \}$.

C.2 Properties of Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq}

Recall Definition 5.1, where we define the operators Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq} . Their behaviour with respect to derivatives is similar to the one of dyadic blocks (see Proposition C.1) thanks to the support property of their symbols.

Lemma C.1. *Let f be a scalar function. We have*

$$\lambda \|\nabla \Pi_{\leq}(f)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{C.12})$$

$$\|\Pi_{\geq}(f)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{C.13})$$

Proof. To prove (C.12), we use Parseval's identity twice and the support property of χ_{λ} :

$$\|\nabla \Pi_{\leq}(f)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}} |\xi|^2 |\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The proof of (C.13) is similar:

$$\|\Pi_{\geq}(f)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \int_{\lambda|\xi| \geq 1} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\xi|^2 |\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \lambda^2 \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

□

The following lemma is a special case of Lemma 2.97 in [BCD11]. For the sake of completeness, we redo the proof.

Lemma C.2. *Let u and v be two tempered distributions. We have*

$$\|[u, \Pi_{\leq}]v\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{C.14})$$

Proof. Using the usual notation of pseudo-differential calculus we have $\Pi_{\leq} = \chi_1(\lambda \nabla)$. For f a function we have $\chi_1(\lambda \nabla) f = \lambda^{-3} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1)(\lambda^{-1} \cdot) * f$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} ([u, \chi_1(\lambda \nabla)]v)(x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1)(\lambda^{-1}(x-y)) (u(y) - u(x))v(y) dy \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda^3} \int_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1)(\lambda^{-1}z) \langle \nabla u(x-z\tau), z \rangle v(x-z) dz d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Taylor expansion for formula for u . Defining $\Psi(z) = z(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1)(z)$ we obtain

$$|([u, \chi_1(\lambda \nabla)]v)(x)| \leq \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda^2} \int_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3} |\Psi(\lambda^{-1}z)| |v(x-z)| dz d\tau.$$

We take the L^2 norm of this inequality to obtain after a last change of variable in Ψ :

$$\|[u, \chi_1(\lambda \nabla)]v\|_{L^2} \leq \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \|\Psi\|_{L^1}.$$

This concludes the proof, noting that $\|\Psi\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|\chi_1\|_{C^k}$ for k large enough. □

C.3 Proof of Lemma 3.2

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the unique integer such that $\frac{1}{\lambda} \leq 2^N < \frac{2}{\lambda}$. In this proof, we will mainly use the fact that $\chi_{\lambda}(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq 2^{N-1}$ and $\chi_{\lambda}(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi| \geq 2^{N+1}$ (recall that χ_{λ} is defined in Definition 5.1). To benefit from this, we use the dyadic decompositions of u and v and regroup terms following the paraproduct decomposition (see Definition C.1) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} [u, \Pi_{\leq}] \nabla v &= \sum_j [S_{j-1}u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v + \sum_j [\Delta_j u, \Pi_{\leq}] S_{j-1} \nabla v + \sum_{|i-j| \leq 1} [\Delta_i u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v \\ &=: A_1 + A_2 + A_3. \end{aligned}$$

We start with A_1 . We have

$$[S_{j-1}u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v = S_{j-1}u \Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_j \nabla v) - \Pi_{\leq} (S_{j-1}u \Delta_j \nabla v). \quad (\text{C.15})$$

If j is such that $2^j \times \frac{1}{12} \geq 2^{N+1}$ then (C.4) implies $\Pi_{\leq} \Delta_j = 0$ and (C.10) implies that $\Pi_{\leq} (S_{j-1}u \Delta_j \nabla v) = 0$. Therefore in this case the two terms in (C.15) vanish. If $3 \times 2^j \leq 2^{N-1}$ then $\Pi_{\leq} \Delta_j = \Delta_j$ and $\Pi_{\leq} (S_{j-1}u \Delta_j \nabla v) = S_{j-1}u \Delta_j \nabla v$, so the two terms in (C.15) are equal. Therefore there exists $C > 0$ independent from λ such that

$$\|A_1\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|[S_{j-1}u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v\|_{L^2}.$$

We now use (C.14) and (C.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|\nabla S_{j-1}u\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_j \nabla v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} 2^j \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using now the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for finite sums, the characterization of L^2 given in Proposition C.2 and $\left(\sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} 2^{2j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-1}$ we obtain

$$\|A_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{C.16})$$

For A_2 , we have

$$[\Delta_j u, \Pi_{\leq}] S_{j-1} \nabla v = \Delta_j u \Pi_{\leq} (S_{j-1} \nabla v) - \Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_j u S_{j-1} \nabla v). \quad (\text{C.17})$$

If $3 \times 2^j \leq 2^{N-1}$ then $\Pi_{\leq} S_{j-1} = S_{j-1}$ and (C.10) still implies $\Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_j u S_{j-1} \nabla v) = \Delta_j u S_{j-1} \nabla v$, so the two terms in (C.17) are equal. If $2^j \times \frac{1}{12} \geq 2^{N+1}$, then $\Pi_{\leq} S_{j-1} = \Pi_{\leq}$ and $\Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_j u S_{j-1} \nabla v) = 0$. Therefore there exists C independent from λ such that

$$\|A_2\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|[\Delta_j u, \Pi_{\leq}] S_{j-1} \nabla v\|_{L^2} + \sum_{\frac{C}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \|\Delta_j u \Pi_{\leq} (\nabla v)\|_{L^2}.$$

We treat the first sum as we treated A_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|[\Delta_j u, \Pi_{\leq}] S_{j-1} \nabla v\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|\nabla \Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty} \|S_{j-1} \nabla v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \lambda \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} 2^j \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second sum, we use (C.12) and then (C.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\frac{C}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \|\Delta_j u \Pi_{\leq} (\nabla v)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \|v\|_{L^2} \sum_{\frac{C}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \|v\|_{L^2} \sum_{\frac{C}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} 2^{-2j} 2^{\frac{7}{2}j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda \|u\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}} \|v\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in ℓ^2 , the characterization of $H^{\frac{7}{2}}$ given in Proposition C.2 and $\left(\sum_{\frac{C}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} 2^{-2j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda^2$. We finally obtain

$$\|A_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \lambda \|u\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}}\right) \|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{C.18})$$

For A_3 , we define

$$R_j = \sum_{i=j-1}^{j+1} [\Delta_i u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v = \sum_{i=j-1}^{j+1} (\Delta_i u \Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_j \nabla v) - \Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_i u \Delta_j \nabla v)), \quad (\text{C.19})$$

so that $A_3 = \sum_j R_j$. If $2^j \times \frac{32}{3} \leq 2^{N-1}$ then (C.11) implies $\Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_i u \Delta_j \nabla v) = \Delta_i u \Delta_j \nabla v$ and (C.4) implies $\Pi_{\leq} \Delta_j = \Delta_j$, so that the two terms in (C.19) are equal and $R_j = 0$. Therefore there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent from λ such that

$$\|A_3\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{\frac{C}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \sum_{i=j-1}^{j+1} \|[\Delta_i u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v\|_{L^2}.$$

We use (C.14), (C.5) and (C.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_3\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda \sum_{\frac{C}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \sum_{i=j-1}^{j+1} 2^{\frac{5}{2}i+j} \|\Delta_i u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda \sum_{\frac{C}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} 2^{\frac{7}{2}j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we neglect the sum over i . Using again the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in ℓ^2 , the characterization of $H^{\frac{7}{2}}$ and L^2 given in Proposition C.2 we finally obtain

$$\|A_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda \|u\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}} \|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Together with (C.16) and (C.18) this last estimate concludes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

References

- [AJOR21] Lars Andersson, Jérémie Joudioux, Marius A. Oancea, and Ayush Raj. Propagation of polarized gravitational waves. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103:044053, Feb 2021.
- [BCD11] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 343 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [BCE⁺15] T Buchert, M Carfora, G F R Ellis, E W Kolb, M A H MacCallum, J J Ostrowski, S Räsänen, B F Roukema, L Andersson, A A Coley, and et al. Is there proof that backreaction of inhomogeneities is irrelevant in cosmology? *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 32(21):215021, Oct 2015.
- [BH64] Dieter R. Brill and James B. Hartle. Method of the self-consistent field in general relativity and its application to the gravitational geon. *Phys. Rev.*, 135:B271–B278, Jul 1964.
- [Bon81] Jean-Michel Bony. Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 14(2):209–246, 1981.
- [Bur89] Gregory A. Burnett. The high-frequency limit in general relativity. *J. Math. Phys.*, 30(1):90–96, 1989.
- [CB69] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat. Construction de solutions radiatives approchées des équations d’Einstein. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 12:16–35, 1969.
- [CB00] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat. The null condition and asymptotic expansions for the Einstein equations. *Ann. Phys. (8)*, 9(3-5):258–266, 2000.

- [CB09] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat. *General relativity and the Einstein equations*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009.
- [CBF06] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat and Helmut Friedrich. Motion of isolated bodies. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 23(20):5941–5949, 2006.
- [Chr86] Demetrios Christodoulou. Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39(2):267–282, 1986.
- [CK93] Demetrios Christodoulou and Sergiu Klainerman. *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*, volume 41 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [GdC21] André Guerra and Rita Teixeira da Costa. Oscillations in wave map systems and homogenization of the einstein equations in symmetry. *arXiv:2107.00942*, 2021.
- [GW11] Stephen R. Green and Robert M. Wald. New framework for analyzing the effects of small scale inhomogeneities in cosmology. *Physical Review D*, 83(8), Apr 2011.
- [GW15] Stephen R. Green and Robert M. Wald. Comments on backreaction. *arXiv:1506.06452*, 2015.
- [HK83] John K. Hunter and Joseph B. Keller. Weakly nonlinear high frequency waves. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 36:547–569, 1983.
- [HL18a] Cécile Huneau and Jonathan Luk. Einstein equations under polarized $U(1)$ symmetry in an elliptic gauge. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 361(3):873–949, 2018.
- [HL18b] Cécile Huneau and Jonathan Luk. High-frequency backreaction for the Einstein equations under polarized $U(1)$ -symmetry. *Duke Math. J.*, 167(18):3315–3402, 2018.
- [HL19] Cécile Huneau and Jonathan Luk. Trilinear compensated compactness and Burnett’s conjecture in general relativity. *arXiv:1907.10743*, 2019.
- [HL23] Cécile Huneau and Jonathan Luk. High-frequency backreaction for the Einstein equations under $U(1)$ symmetry: from Einstein-dust to Einstein-Vlasov. *In preparation*, 2023.
- [Isa68a] Richard A. Isaacson. Gravitational Radiation in the Limit of High Frequency. I. The Linear Approximation and Geometrical Optics. *Phys. Rev.*, 166:1263–1271, 1968.
- [Isa68b] Richard A. Isaacson. Gravitational Radiation in the Limit of High Frequency. II. Nonlinear Terms and the Effective Stress Tensor. *Phys. Rev.*, 166:1272–1279, 1968.
- [Jea02] Pierre-Yves Jeanne. Geometric optics for gauge invariant semilinear systems. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr., Nouv. Sér.*, 90:vi + 160, 2002.
- [JMR93] Jean-Luc Joly, Guy Metivier, and Jeffrey Rauch. Generic rigorous asymptotic expansions for weakly nonlinear multidimensional oscillatory waves. *Duke Math. J.*, 70(2):373–404, 1993.
- [JMR00] Jean-Luc Joly, Guy Metivier, and Jeffrey Rauch. Transparent nonlinear geometric optics and Maxwell-Bloch equations. *J. Differ. Equations*, 166(1):175–250, 2000.
- [JR92] Jean-Luc Joly and Jeffrey Rauch. Justification of multidimensional single phase semilinear geometric optics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 330(2):599–623, 1992.
- [Kla86] Sergiu Klainerman. The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations. In *Nonlinear systems of partial differential equations in applied mathematics, Part 1 (Santa Fe, N.M., 1984)*, volume 23 of *Lectures in Appl. Math.*, pages 293–326. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [KRS15] Sergiu Klainerman, Igor Rodnianski, and Jérémie Szeftel. The bounded L^2 curvature conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 202(1):91–216, 2015.

- [Lan13] David Lannes. Space time resonances [after Germain, Masmoudi, Shatah]. In *Séminaire Bourbaki volume 2011/2012 exposés 1043-1058*, number 352 in Astérisque. Société mathématique de France, 2013.
- [Lax57] Peter D. Lax. Asymptotic solutions of oscillatory initial value problems. *Duke Math. J.*, 24:627–646, 1957.
- [Leb01] Gilles Lebeau. Nonlinear optics and supercritical wave equation. *Bull. Soc. R. Sci. Liège*, 70(4-6):267–306, 2001.
- [LR03] Hans Lindblad and Igor Rodnianski. The weak null condition for Einstein’s equations. *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris*, 336(11):901–906, 2003.
- [LR10] Hans Lindblad and Igor Rodnianski. The global stability of Minkowski space-time in harmonic gauge. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(3):1401–1477, 2010.
- [LR17] Jonathan Luk and Igor Rodnianski. Nonlinear interaction of impulsive gravitational waves for the vacuum Einstein equations. *Camb. J. Math.*, 5(4):435–570, 2017.
- [LR20] Jonathan Luk and Igor Rodnianski. High-frequency limits and null dust shell solutions in general relativity. *arXiv:2009.08968*, 2020.
- [Luk12] Jonathan Luk. On the local existence for the characteristic initial value problem in general relativity. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2012(20):4625–4678, 2012.
- [Mon86] Vincent Moncrief. Reduction of Einstein’s equations for vacuum space-times with spacelike $U(1)$ isometry groups. *Annals of Physics*, 167(1):118 – 142, 1986.
- [MTW73] Charles W. Misner, Kip S. Thorne, and John Archibald Wheeler. *Gravitation*. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1973.
- [Mé09] Guy Métivier. The mathematics of nonlinear optics. In C.M. Dafermos and Milan Pokorný, editors, *Handbook of Differential Equations*, volume 5 of *Handbook of Differential Equations: Evolutionary Equations*, pages 169–313. North-Holland, 2009.
- [OJD⁺20] Marius A. Oancea, Jérémie Joudioux, I. Y. Dodin, D. E. Ruiz, Claudio F. Paganini, and Lars Andersson. Gravitational spin hall effect of light. *Phys. Rev. D*, 102:024075, Jul 2020.
- [Rau12] Jeffrey Rauch. *Hyperbolic partial differential equations and geometric optics*, volume 133 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [Sog95] Christopher D. Sogge. *Lectures on nonlinear wave equations*. Boston, MA: International Press, 1995.
- [Sze12] Jérémie Szeftel. Parametrix for wave equations on a rough background iv: control of the error term. *arXiv:1204.1771*, 2012.
- [Sze18] Jérémie Szeftel. *Parametrix for wave equations on a rough background. III: Space-time regularity of the phase*, volume 401 of *Astérisque*. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2018.
- [Tou23a] Arthur Touati. Global existence of high-frequency solutions to a semi-linear wave equation with a null structure. *Asymptotic Anal.*, 131(3):541–582, 2023.
- [Tou23b] Arthur Touati. High-frequency solutions to the constraint equations. *To appear in Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 2023.