

From Rotating to Charged Black Holes and Back Again

Lars Aalsma, Gary Shiu

Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1150 University Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

E-mail: laalsma@wisc.edu, shiu@physics.wisc.edu

ABSTRACT: The mild form of the Weak Gravity Conjecture (WGC) requires higher derivative corrections to extremal charged black holes to increase their charge-to-mass ratio. This allows decay via emission of a smaller extremal black hole. In this paper, we investigate if similar constraints hold for extremal rotating black holes. We do so by considering the leading higher derivative corrections to the four-dimensional Kerr black hole and five-dimensional Myers-Perry black hole. We use a known mapping of these rotating solutions to a four-dimensional non-rotating dyonic Kaluza-Klein black hole and impose the WGC on this charged solution. Going back again to the rotating solutions, this fixes the sign of the corrections to the rotating extremality bounds. The sign of the corrections is non-universal, depending on the black hole under consideration. We argue that this is not at odds with black hole decay, because of the presence of a superradiant instability that persists in the extremal limit. When this instability is present, the WGC is implied for the four-dimensional charged black hole.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Mapping Rotation to Charge	3
2.1	Kaluza-Klein Black Hole	3
2.2	Myers-Perry Black Hole	5
2.3	Kerr Black Hole	7
3	Higher Derivative Corrections and the Weak Gravity Conjecture	9
3.1	Higher Derivative Corrections to Myers-Perry Black Hole	10
3.2	Higher Derivative Corrections to Kaluza-Klein Black Hole	10
3.3	Higher Derivative Corrections to Kerr Black Hole	12
4	Superradiant Instabilities	14
4.1	Rotational Superradiance	14
4.2	Charged Superradiance	15
4.3	Superradiance vs. Weak Gravity Conjecture	16
5	Conclusions	17
A	Details on Kaluza-Klein Reduction	18

1 Introduction

According to the Weak Gravity Conjecture (WGC), black holes should be able to decay even in the extremal limit where their temperature goes to zero and decay via Hawking radiation shuts off [1]. Although this motivation is somewhat heuristic and difficult to substantiate, it provides a useful intuition that is helpful in investigating various swampland conjectures. Indeed, the instability of gravitational solutions seems to be a key property of quantum gravity in the absence of stabilizing symmetries such as supersymmetry [2, 3]. Of course, most rigorous evidence in favor of the WGC comes from string theory compactifications. In fact, string theory considerations have motivated stronger versions of the WGC [4–6] which require infinitely many superextremal states. By now, numerous tests of the WGC, including its strong forms, have been carried out in different string compactifications [7–12]. No single violation has been found to date substantiating the heuristic black hole decay argument.

Various works have therefore applied this logic to different spacetimes, such as charged black holes in asymptotically Anti-de Sitter space [13, 14] and de Sitter space [15, 16], which gives new constraints on the particle spectrum of a theory for it to belong to the landscape. This idea has also been applied to bound the sign of higher derivative corrections to extremal

black holes [17–25]. Satisfying this so-called mild version of the WGC requires the sign of the Wilson coefficients to increase the charge-to-mass ratio of extremal black holes. Vice versa, positivity bounds on Wilson coefficients have been used to give derivations of the WGC [19, 26, 27], and such positivity arguments have been extended to different classes of black holes [28, 29].

It should be noted that the scattering positivity bounds are weakened by gravity [19, 30, 31], and so their applicability to proving the WGC holds under the assumption that the gravitational modification is small [19, 32]. Higher derivative corrections to purely rotating solutions have also been considered [33–35].¹

At first sight, requiring black hole decay does not seem to yield any constraints on rotating black holes. Extremal rotating black holes can lose their angular momentum via superradiance. This instability allows these black holes to lose their angular momentum without a constraint on the angular momentum-to-mass ratio that bears resemblance to the WGC with charge exchanged for angular momentum. Moreover, for pure gravity in six and higher spacetime dimensions, black holes with a fixed mass may have arbitrarily large angular momentum [39]. On the other hand, assuming a weakly coupled UV completion, coupling a tower of higher-spin states to gravity does seem to impose a WGC-like constraint on the light particle spectrum² for the theory to be causal [40]. Furthermore, it was shown that for BTZ black holes perturbed by a relevant deformation, a spinning WGC follows from the holographic c-theorem [41]. Overall, these arguments suggest that the status of a rotating WGC is unclear.

In this paper, we will further study the rotating WGC by focussing on a particular class of rotating black hole solutions in four and five dimensions, that can be mapped to charged and non-rotating four-dimensional Kaluza-Klein black holes. This mapping has the advantage that, without rotation, the WGC can be unambiguously imposed on the four-dimensional Kaluza-Klein black hole. By including the leading higher derivative corrections to these black holes and imposing the WGC, we obtain new positivity bounds that need to be satisfied by extremal four-dimensional Kerr and five-dimensional Myers-Perry black holes with two equal rotations. This can be viewed as the total landscaping principle [41] on steroids: we fix the sign of the Wilson coefficients by imposing the WGC on one black hole solution and see how this constraint propagates under an intricate chain of dualities. The logic we apply here is similar to that of [24]. In that paper, black holes with a NUT charge were constrained by reducing to one dimension lower where this charge becomes magnetic. What is even more interesting here, as we shall see, is that mapping rotation to charge allows us to fix the sign of a Wilson coefficient whose positivity bound from scattering is currently unknown. Similar relations between charged and rotating black holes have been exploited in [41].

¹Higher derivative corrections have also been found to decrease the Euclidean action of axionic wormholes with a fixed charge [36, 37]. Moreover, pure axionic wormholes were recently shown to be perturbatively stable [38]. These results combined seems to suggest that the axionic WGC is a statement about wormhole fragmentation dominating the path integral

²More precisely, the WGC-like constraint applies to higher spin states with mass $m_J \ll \Lambda_{\text{QFT}}$ where Λ_{QFT} is the energy scale where QFT breaks down.

Our main finding is that the sign of the corrections to the extremality bound of rotating black holes do not seem to fall into a pattern consistent with a rotating WGC bound. We show in detail that this is consistent with the black hole decay argument, because, as anticipated, these black holes have a superradiant instability. However, satisfying the charged WGC still constrains the sign of the Wilson coefficients since this instability can only occur when the charged WGC is satisfied.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we show how the Kerr and five-dimensional Myers-Perry black hole can be mapped to a non-rotating dyonic Kaluza-Klein black hole. Then, in section 3 we compute the leading higher derivative corrections to the extremality bound of extremal Kerr, Myers-Perry and non-rotating dyonic Kaluza-Klein black holes. We discuss the relation between superradiance and the WGC in section 4 and conclude in section 5.

2 Mapping Rotation to Charge

2.1 Kaluza-Klein Black Hole

To map extremal rotating Kerr and five-dimensional Myers-Perry black holes to pure charge solutions, we start with a rotating five-dimensional black hole constructed in [42] that is a solution to the following five-dimensional action.

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G_5} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g_5} R_5 . \quad (2.1)$$

The line element of interest is (following the presentation of [43]) given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{H_q}{H_p} (dy + \mathbf{A})^2 - \frac{\Delta_\theta}{H_q} (dt - \mathbf{B})^2 + H_p \left(\frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\theta^2 + \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_\theta} \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right) . \quad (2.2)$$

The explicit expressions appearing in this metric are quite lengthy and given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_p &= r^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta + r(p - 2m) + \frac{p}{p+q} \frac{(p-2m)(q-2m)}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{p}{2m(p+q)} \sqrt{(q^2 - 4m^2)(p^2 - 4m^2)} \alpha \cos \theta , \\ H_q &= r^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta + r(q - 2m) + \frac{q}{p+q} \frac{(p-2m)(q-2m)}{2} \\ &\quad - \frac{q}{2m(p+q)} \sqrt{(q^2 - 4m^2)(p^2 - 4m^2)} \alpha \cos \theta , \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= r^2 + \alpha^2 - 2mr , \\ \Delta_\theta &= r^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta - 2mr , \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} &= - \left[\frac{Q}{4\pi} \left(r + \frac{p-2m}{2} \right) - \sqrt{\frac{q^3(p^2-4m^2)}{4m^2(p+q)}} \alpha \cos \theta \right] H_q^{-1} dt - \left[\frac{P}{4\pi} (H_q + \alpha^2 \sin^2 \theta) \cos \theta \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sqrt{\frac{p(q^2-4m^2)}{4m^2(p+q)^3}} [(p+q)(pr-m(p-2m)) + q(p^2-4m^2)] \alpha \sin^2 \theta \right] H_q^{-1} d\phi , \\
\mathbf{B} &= -\sqrt{pq} \frac{(pq+4m^2)r - m(p-2m)(q-2m)}{2m(p+q)\Delta_\theta} \alpha \sin^2 \theta d\phi .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The quantities \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} can be viewed as gauge fields when performing a reduction along the y and t -direction respectively. The associated electric and magnetic charges are³

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{q(q^2-4m^2)}{p+q}} , \\
P &= 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{p(p^2-4m^2)}{p+q}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

We work with positive charges, such that $p, q \geq 0$. After making the y -direction compact with radius R_5 , such that $y = y + 2\pi R_5$, we perform a Kaluza-Klein reduction (whose details can be found in Appendix A) to obtain a rotating dyonic Kaluza-Klein black hole in four dimensions. In the Einstein frame, the action is given by

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G_4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_4} \left(R_4 - \frac{1}{4} e^{-\sqrt{3}\Phi} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\Phi)^2 \right) , \tag{2.7}$$

where Φ is the canonically normalized scalar field. The equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{ab} - 8\pi G_4 T_{ab} &= 0 , \\
\nabla_a (e^{-\sqrt{3}\Phi} F^{ab}) &= 0 , \\
\Box\Phi + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} e^{-\sqrt{3}\Phi} F_{ab} F^{ab} &= 0 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where the stress tensor is given by

$$16\pi G_4 T_{ab} = e^{-\sqrt{3}\Phi} \left(F_a{}^c F_{bc} - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} F_{cd} F^{cd} \right) + \partial_a \Phi \partial_b \Phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} (\partial\Phi)^2 . \tag{2.9}$$

By reducing the five-dimensional black hole solution we find that the dilaton is given by

$$\varphi = e^{-\Phi/\sqrt{3}} = \sqrt{\frac{H_q}{H_p}} , \tag{2.10}$$

the field strength is defined as $\mathbf{F} = d\mathbf{A}$ and the metric of the Kaluza-Klein black hole in the Einstein frame is

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta_\theta}{\sqrt{H_p H_q}} (dt + \mathbf{B})^2 + \sqrt{H_p H_q} \left(\frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\theta^2 + \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_\theta} \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right) . \tag{2.11}$$

³Our definition of the charges differs by a factor 8π and a minus sign for the \mathbf{B} -field as compared with [42, 43].

This metric, dilaton and field strength configuration solves the equations of motion (2.8). We find that the ADM mass and rotation are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_4^{\text{KK}} &= \frac{p+q}{4G_4} , \\ J_4^{\text{KK}} &= \frac{\sqrt{pq}(pq+4m^2)\alpha}{4G_4(p+q)m} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

The horizon radii are given by the roots of $\Delta(r)$ which yields

$$r_{\pm} = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - \alpha^2} . \quad (2.13)$$

Later, we will be interested in imposing the WGC on purely charged solutions, so with $\alpha = 0$. In that case, the extremality bound is given by $m \geq 0$ which in terms of the ADM mass and charges reads

$$M_4^{\text{KK}} \geq \frac{1}{16\pi G_4} (Q^{2/3} + P^{2/3})^{3/2} . \quad (2.14)$$

By adding a T^6 to the four-dimensional Kaluza-Klein black hole metric these black holes can be interpreted as solutions of type IIA string theory. They then have a natural microscopic interpretation as a bound state of D0 and D6 branes [42], which give rise to the electric and magnetic charges. Denoting N_0 and N_6 as the number of D0 and D6 branes, the charges are naturally quantized in the four-dimensional theory as [43]

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{16\pi G_4}{R_5} N_0 , \\ P &= 2\pi R_5 N_6 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

We will now show how this purely charged solution is related to the rotating five-dimensional Myers-Perry black hole and the four-dimensional Kerr solution.

2.2 Myers-Perry Black Hole

We will now relate this rotating Kaluza-Klein solution to the Myers-Perry solution, which is the higher-dimensional generalization of the Kerr black hole [39]. In five dimensions, its line element is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + \tilde{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{\tilde{\Delta}} d\rho^2 + d\tilde{\theta}^2 \right) + (\rho^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} d\phi^2 + (\rho^2 + b^2) \cos^2 \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\psi}^2 \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{\tilde{\Sigma}} \left(dt - a \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\phi} - b \cos^2 \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\psi} \right)^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma} &= \rho^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \tilde{\theta} + b^2 \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} , \\ \tilde{\Delta} &= (\rho^2 + a^2)(\rho^2 + b^2) - \mu\rho^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Here we put tildes on several quantities and coordinates that could be confused with similar expressions for the Kaluza-Klein black hole. Note that the angular coordinates obey $(\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\theta}) = (\tilde{\psi} + 2\pi, \tilde{\phi} + 2\pi, \tilde{\theta} + \frac{\pi}{2})$.

The parameters (μ, a, b) appearing in the line element are related to the ADM mass M_5^{MP} and two rotations $(J_{\tilde{\phi}}, J_{\tilde{\psi}})$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} M_5^{\text{MP}} &= \frac{3\pi}{8G_5} \mu , \\ J_{\tilde{\phi}} &= \frac{2}{3} M_5^{\text{MP}} a , \\ J_{\tilde{\psi}} &= \frac{2}{3} M_5^{\text{MP}} b . \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

The horizon radii are determined by the real and positive roots of $\tilde{\Delta}(\rho)$ and given by

$$\rho_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\mu - a^2 - b^2 \pm \sqrt{(a^2 + b^2 - \mu)^2 - 4a^2b^2} \right)^{1/2} , \tag{2.19}$$

Five-dimensional Myers-Perry black holes have an extremality bound given by $\mu \geq a^2 + b^2 + 2|ab|$ which in terms of the ADM quantities is

$$M_5^{\text{MP}} \geq \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{4G_5} (J_{\tilde{\phi}}^2 + J_{\tilde{\psi}}^2 + 2|J_{\tilde{\phi}}J_{\tilde{\psi}}|) \right)^{1/3} . \tag{2.20}$$

Extremal black holes have an horizon radius given by $\rho_+ = \sqrt{|ab|}$ which, just as the area, vanishes when one of the rotations goes to zero. Thus, regular extremal black holes always have to be rotating in both rotation planes.

To obtain this solution from the Kaluza-Klein black hole we discussed previously, one can use the following identification of parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \frac{\mu}{4p} , \\ \alpha &= \frac{1}{8p} \sqrt{\mu - (a+b)^2} (a-b) , \\ m &= \frac{1}{8p} \sqrt{\mu(\mu - (a+b)^2)} , \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

and the following coordinate transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{1}{4p} \left[\rho^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu - a^2 - b^2 - \sqrt{\mu(\mu - (a+b)^2)} \right) \right] , \\ y &= p\psi . \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

It is also convenient to define angular coordinates as

$$\tilde{\psi} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi + \phi) , \quad \tilde{\phi} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi - \phi) , \quad \tilde{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{2} . \tag{2.23}$$

Using these relations, in the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ the five-dimensional form of the Kaluza-Klein black hole (2.2) reduces to the Myers-Perry black hole (2.16). From the periodicity $y = y + 2\pi R_5$ and (2.15) we find that in this limit $\psi = \psi + 4\pi/N_6$, such that $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi} + 2\pi/N_6$. This implies that for $N_6 > 1$ the solution is asymptotically the orbifold $\mathbb{R}^4/\mathbb{Z}_{N_6}$. We are interested in asymptotically flat solutions so we set $N_6 = 1$.

We can now also relate the different thermodynamic quantities. The mass of the Kaluza-Klein black hole diverges in the $p \rightarrow \infty$ limit. However, it can be regulated by subtracting the mass of the Kaluza-Klein monopole [43]. This results in

$$M_5^{\text{MP}} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left(M_4^{\text{KK}} - \frac{P}{16\pi G_4} \right) = \frac{3\pi}{8G_5} \mu . \quad (2.24)$$

The two rotations of the Myers-Perry solution are related to the Kaluza-Klein charges as

$$J_{\tilde{\psi}} = \frac{PQ}{64\pi^2 G_4} + J_4^{\text{KK}} , \quad J_{\tilde{\phi}} = \frac{PQ}{64\pi^2 G_4} - J_4^{\text{KK}} . \quad (2.25)$$

Thus, we can relate the different linear combinations of the angular momenta of the Myers-Perry black hole to the Kaluza-Klein charge and rotation respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\tilde{\psi}} &= \frac{PQ}{64\pi^2 G_4} + J_4^{\text{KK}} = \frac{N_0 N_6}{2} + J_4^{\text{KK}} , \\ J_{\tilde{\phi}} &= \frac{PQ}{64\pi^2 G_4} - J_4^{\text{KK}} = \frac{N_0 N_6}{2} - J_4^{\text{KK}} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

We note that the combination $\frac{1}{2}(J_{\tilde{\psi}} + J_{\tilde{\phi}})$ is aligned along the Kaluza-Klein y -direction, whereas the combination $\frac{1}{2}(J_{\tilde{\psi}} - J_{\tilde{\phi}})$ is aligned along ϕ . Therefore, equal rotations $J_{\tilde{\psi}} = J_{\tilde{\phi}}$ corresponds to vanishing Kaluza-Klein rotation: $J_4^{\text{KK}} = 0$.

From the five-dimensional perspective, the Kaluza-Klein black hole can be interpreted as a black hole that is sitting at the tip of a Taub-NUT space [44]. This description is valid only when $N_6 = 1$, since the space otherwise has an orbifold singularity. As we showed, in the limit where the radius of the black hole is much smaller than the compactification radius, i.e. $R_5/r_+ \gg 1$, this black hole becomes the Myers-Perry solution.

2.3 Kerr Black Hole

Finally, we relate the Kaluza-Klein and Myers-Perry solutions to the rotating Kerr black hole using the procedure of [45]. We start with the following Kerr metric.

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{\hat{\Delta}}{\hat{\Sigma}} \left(dt - \hat{\alpha} \sin^2 \hat{\theta} d\hat{\phi} \right)^2 + \hat{\Sigma} \left(\frac{d\hat{r}^2}{\hat{\Delta}} + d\hat{\theta}^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{\sin^2 \hat{\theta}}{\hat{\Sigma}} \left((\hat{r}^2 + \hat{\alpha}^2) d\hat{\phi} - \hat{\alpha} dt \right)^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Delta} &= \hat{r}^2 - \hat{r}_s \hat{r} + \hat{\alpha}^2 , \\ \hat{\Sigma} &= \hat{r}^2 + \hat{\alpha}^2 \cos^2 \hat{\theta} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

These quantities are related to the mass and angular momentum ($M_4^{\text{Kerr}}, J_4^{\text{Kerr}}$) as

$$\hat{r}_s = 2G_4 M_4^{\text{Kerr}} , \quad \hat{\alpha} = J_4^{\text{Kerr}} / M_4^{\text{Kerr}} . \quad (2.29)$$

The horizons are given by

$$\hat{r}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{r}_s \pm \sqrt{\hat{r}_s^2 - 4\hat{\alpha}^2}) , \quad (2.30)$$

and the extremality bound by $\hat{r}_s \geq 2\hat{\alpha}$, which in terms of physical quantities reads

$$M_4^{\text{Kerr}} \geq \sqrt{J_4^{\text{Kerr}}/G_4}. \quad (2.31)$$

We now take this metric, add a line to it and boost along that direction. If we now compactify on this boosted direction with radius R_5 we obtain a rotating Kaluza-Klein solution that carries electric charge. Taking the product with a T^6 we obtain a solution of type IIA string theory with D0 charge. We will consider solutions with $N_0 = 1$. Using T-duality along all directions of the T^6 (which we assume to be string scale so it does not change size) we obtain a solution with one unit of D6 charge. Reducing again on the T^6 we now obtain a rotating and magnetically charged Kaluza-Klein black hole in four dimensions with $N_6 = 1$. From our previous discussion, we know that in the limit that this black hole is small with respect to R_5 this becomes the Myers-Perry solution. From the relation (2.26) we find that because $N_0 = 0$ this results in a Myers-Perry black hole with opposite rotations.

$$J_{\tilde{\psi}} = -J_{\tilde{\phi}} = J_4^{\text{KK}}. \quad (2.32)$$

As observed in [45], this leads to the interesting fact that from a five-dimensional perspective, we can send $J_{\tilde{\phi}} \rightarrow -J_{\tilde{\phi}}$ such that we are now describing a Myers-Perry black hole with equal rotations. In the four-dimensional theory this exchanges Kaluza-Klein rotation for electric charge. Microscopically, we now have a D0-D6 system with $N_6 = 1$ and $N_0 = 2J_4^{\text{KK}}$. We give a schematic overview of this duality chain in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Duality chain that maps the Kerr solution to a non-rotating dyonic Kaluza-Klein black hole. The start and endpoint of the chain are indicated in blue.

Because the flip in angular momentum is a discrete symmetry of the Myers-Perry solution, the five-dimensional theory in the limit where the compactification radius is large cannot distinguish between a four-dimensional rotating black hole with one unit of magnetic charge and a non-rotating dyonic black hole with one unit of magnetic charge. However, their microscopic descriptions will be very different. To distinguish these two situations, the embedding of the Myers-Perry black hole in Taub-NUT needs to be known. This is an important point that will become relevant when we discuss the relation between superradiance and the WGC.

In summary, we have reviewed how the four-dimensional Kerr black hole and five-dimensional Myers-Perry black hole can both be mapped to a four-dimensional non-rotating

Kaluza-Klein black hole. Because we can unambiguously impose the WGC on this non-rotating solution we can investigate how the WGC constrains the rotating solutions.

3 Higher Derivative Corrections and the Weak Gravity Conjecture

We are now ready to learn how the WGC constrains extremal rotating black hole solutions. Our focus is on the mild form of the WGC which states that higher derivative corrections should increase their charge-to-mass ratio [1]. This condition ensures that even if a particle that satisfies the WGC (such as an electron) is integrated out, the resulting effective theory still has a way of allowing extremal black holes to decay by emitting smaller higher derivative corrected black holes. Initial computations of higher derivative corrections to black holes were performed by explicitly solving the higher derivative corrected equations of motion. However, by now these derivations have been greatly improved (see section 6.3 of [46] for a review and relevant references). In particular, in a canonical ensemble (fixed temperature, electric and magnetic charge) the leading correction to the extremal mass can be related to the Euclidean action as follows.⁴

$$\delta M = - \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \left(T \int d^d x \sqrt{g_d} \delta \mathcal{L}_E \right) . \quad (3.1)$$

As usual, the time direction is periodic with $t = t + T^{-1}$, where T is the temperature of the black hole and $\delta \mathcal{L}_E$ is the Euclidean Lagrangian containing the higher derivative corrections. We evaluate this identify on the two-derivative uncorrected solution. This expression can be obtained by noting that, in a grand canonical ensemble, the Euclidean action is equal to the Gibbs free energy: $I_E = T^{-1} G(T, \Psi_q, P)$. Here Ψ_q is the electric potential and P the magnetic charge. Using standard thermodynamic relations this can then be related to the mass correction of an extremal black hole in a canonical ensemble [28]. The WGC is now satisfied when the correction to the mass is negative, such that the charge-to-mass ratio increases:

$$\text{WGC: } \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \left(T \int d^d x \sqrt{g_d} \delta \mathcal{L}_E \right) \geq 0 . \quad (3.2)$$

Using the Iyer-Wald formalism it can be shown that a necessary condition for this to happen is a violation of the dominant energy condition [35].

Because we are considering solutions of pure gravity, the leading higher derivative corrections we have to compute involve just gravitational tensors. In five dimensions, the leading correction can be written in a basis where it is just given by the Gauss-Bonnet term. In four-dimensional pure gravity, this term is topological and the leading correction is a Riemann cubed term. Because we are considering vacuum solutions, the Gauss-Bonnet term reduces to the Riemann squared term. Thus, in total the corrections we have to study in five dimensions are

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_E = \frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 , \quad (3.3)$$

⁴This relation is sometimes also presented as $\delta M = +T \delta I_E$. In (3.1) we made explicit the minus sign that arises from the Wick rotation to Euclidean signature.

where we used the shorthand

$$\mathcal{R}^2 = \mathcal{R}_{abcd}\mathcal{R}^{abcd}, \quad \mathcal{R}^3 = \mathcal{R}_{ab}{}^{cd}\mathcal{R}_{cd}{}^{ef}\mathcal{R}_{ef}{}^{ab}. \quad (3.4)$$

L is a length scale to make the Wilson coefficients (λ, η) dimensionless.

3.1 Higher Derivative Corrections to Myers-Perry Black Hole

We will first compute how the corrections (3.3) modify the extremality bound of the five-dimensional Myers-Perry solution. Making use of the relation (3.1) the extremal mass correction is given by

$$\delta M_5^{\text{MP}} = - \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \left[T \int d^5x \sqrt{g_5} \left(\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right) \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

Evaluating this on the Myers-Perry solution (2.16) in the extremal limit $\mu \rightarrow a^2 + b^2 + 2|ab|$ we find

$$\delta M_5^{\text{MP}} = - \frac{4\pi^2 \lambda}{L} \frac{a^2 + b^2 - 6|ab|}{|ab|} - 16\pi^2 \eta L \frac{(a^2 - 14|ab| + b^2)(a^2 - |ab| + b^2)}{7|ab|^3}. \quad (3.6)$$

We note that for fixed Wilson coefficients, the sign of the corrections to the extremality bound depends on the ratio $|a/b|$. If one of the linear combinations of the rotations vanishes, i.e. $a \pm b = 0$, we find that this expression simplifies and reduces to

$$\delta M_5^{\text{MP}}|_{a \pm b = 0} = \frac{16\pi^2 \lambda}{L} + \frac{192\pi^2 \eta L}{7a^2}. \quad (3.7)$$

In this case, we see that the higher derivative corrections take a definite sign, depending on the sign of the Wilson coefficients. Interestingly, the limit $a = b$ is precisely the one where we can map the Myers-Perry black hole to a four-dimensional non-rotating dyonic Kaluza-Klein black hole. We will make use of this fact to constrain the higher derivative corrections to the Myers-Perry black hole.

3.2 Higher Derivative Corrections to Kaluza-Klein Black Hole

We now perform the same procedure to derive the corrections to the extremality bound of the four-dimensional Kaluza-Klein solution. However, it is convenient to use the five-dimensional parent solution to compute them. The correction to the five-dimensional solution is given by

$$\delta M_5^{\text{KK}} = - \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \left[T \int d^5x \sqrt{g_5} \left(\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right) \right], \quad (3.8)$$

where evaluate on the solution (2.2) in the extremal limit $m \rightarrow 0$. We will now show how the four-dimensional correction can be extracted from this. By integrating over the y -direction we can get the four-dimensional Euclidean action.

$$I_E = \int d^5x \sqrt{g_5} \left(\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right) = 2\pi R_5 \int d^4x \sqrt{g_4} \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right). \quad (3.9)$$

This corresponds to the string frame action, whereas we are typically interested in the black hole solution in the Einstein frame. To remedy this, we can first perform a Weyl transformation on the five-dimensional metric. Denoting the “old” metric as \tilde{g}_{ab} , we transform $\tilde{g}_{ab} = \varphi^{-1}g_{ab}$. This results in the action

$$I_E = \int d^5x \sqrt{g_5} \left(\varphi^{-1/2} \frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \varphi^{+1/2} \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right) = 2\pi R_5 \int d^4x \sqrt{g_4} \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \varphi \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right) \quad (3.10)$$

The form of this Weyl transformation is chosen such that we get the four-dimensional action in the Einstein frame. We therefore find that the four-dimensional mass correction is given by

$$\delta M_4^{\text{KK}} = - \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \left[T \int d^5x \sqrt{g_5} \left(\varphi^{-1/2} \frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \varphi^{+1/2} \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right) \right], \quad (3.11)$$

where we evaluate on the five-dimensional metric (2.2) with Kaluza-Klein rotation set to zero ($\alpha = 0$). Performing the integral and taking the extremal limit $m \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the mass correction induced by the two higher derivative terms.

$$\delta M_4^{\text{KK}} = - \frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{M}_\lambda + \eta L \mathcal{M}_\eta. \quad (3.12)$$

The form of these corrections is quite complicated and can be expressed in a relatively nice manner in terms of the ratio $\Gamma = q/p$. We then find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_\lambda &= \frac{8\pi^2 R_5}{p} \frac{(1 + \Gamma)}{(1 - \Gamma)^2 \sqrt{\Gamma^2 - 1}} \left(3\pi \Gamma^2 \text{sgn}(\Gamma - 1) + (1 - 4\Gamma) \sqrt{\Gamma^2 - 1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 6\Gamma^2 \arctan \left[\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma + 1}{\Gamma - 1}} \right] \right), \\ \mathcal{M}_\eta &= \frac{16\pi^2 R_5}{7p^3} \frac{(\Gamma + 1)^{3/2}}{(\Gamma - 1)^{9/2} \Gamma} \left(105\pi \Gamma^4 \text{sgn}(\Gamma - 1) + (6 - 32\Gamma + 81\Gamma^2 - 160\Gamma^3) \sqrt{\Gamma^2 - 1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 210\Gamma^4 \arctan \left[\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma + 1}{\Gamma - 1}} \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

For equal charges $p = q$ these expressions simplify greatly and the mass correction is

$$\delta M_4^{\text{KK}}|_{p=q} = - \frac{32\pi^2 R_5 \lambda}{5qL} + \frac{512\pi^2 R_5 \eta L}{21q^3}. \quad (3.14)$$

Importantly, the functions $\mathcal{M}_{(\lambda, \eta)}$ are positive along the entire domain of Γ , see Figure 2. In the limit $\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$ the corrections asymptote to

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Gamma \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_\lambda &= \frac{4\pi^2 R_5}{p} (3\pi - 8), \\ \lim_{\Gamma \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_\eta &= \frac{40\pi^2 R_5}{7p^3} (21\pi - 64). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$




Figure 2. Plot of the mass corrections (3.13) as a function of the ratio $\Gamma = p/q$. These are positive function that asymptote towards a finite positive value in the limit $\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$. To make this figure we set $R_5 = p = 1$.

In the limit where the compactification radius R_5 is much larger than the black hole radius, the Kaluza-Klein black hole becomes a Myers-Perry black hole. Indeed, if we use the coordinate transformation (2.21-2.23) on the higher derivative corrections of an extremal and non-rotating Kaluza-Klein black hole and take the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$, we find that the higher derivative corrections become

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right) &= \frac{\lambda}{L} \frac{384 (3a^8 - 10a^6 \rho^2 + 3a^4 \rho^4)}{(a^2 + \rho^2)^6} \\ &\quad - \eta L \frac{12288a^6 (a^6 - 7a^4 \rho^2 + 7a^2 \rho^4 - \rho^6)}{(a^2 + \rho^2)^9}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Then, performing the integral (with the Myers-Perry volume form) to obtain the mass correction we obtain

$$- \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \left[T \int d^5x \sqrt{g_5} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right) \right] = \frac{16\pi^2 \lambda}{L} + \frac{192\pi^2 \eta L}{7a^2}, \quad (3.17)$$

which exactly matches (3.7). Unlike the mass in the two-derivative theory, the correction does not need to be regulated in this limit, because the correction to the monopole mass vanishes in this limit.

3.3 Higher Derivative Corrections to Kerr Black Hole

Finally, we consider higher derivative corrections to the Kerr solution. The Kerr solution is more indirectly related to the Kaluza-Klein solution via the duality chain described in section 2.3. On the level of the action we can view it as a solution of the five-dimensional pure gravity action reduced to four dimensions with both the dilaton and electric gauge field set to zero. In four dimensions, the higher derivative corrections are then simply the Riemann squared and Riemann cubed terms. Because the Gauss-Bonnet term is topological

in four dimensions, the Riemann squared term does not contribute to the correction to the extremality bound. Therefore the mass correction is solely given by

$$\delta M_4^{\text{Kerr}} = - \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \left[T \int d^4x \sqrt{g_4} \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right], \quad (3.18)$$

where now $\mathcal{R}^3 = R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu\nu}$. Evaluating the integral and taking the extremal limit we obtain

$$\delta M_4^{\text{Kerr}} = \frac{8\pi\eta L}{7\hat{\alpha}^3}. \quad (3.19)$$

This matches earlier results [34, 35]. Now that we have computed the four and six-derivative corrections to the Myers-Perry, Kaluza-Klein and Kerr black hole we can see how the WGC constrains the rotating solution. Imposing the WGC on the non-rotating, dyonic and extremal Kaluza-Klein solution fixes $\lambda \geq 0$.⁵ If the four-derivative term is zero (which could be the case in a particular UV-completion), the leading term is the six-derivative term. Imposing this term to decrease the mass requires $\eta \leq 0$. The constraints this places on the rotating solutions are displayed in Table 1. The main takeaway from these results is

	$\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2$	$\eta L \mathcal{R}^3$
δM_4^{KK}	$-\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{M}_\lambda$	$\eta L \mathcal{M}_\eta$
WGC:	$\lambda \geq 0$	$\eta \leq 0$
δM_5^{MP}	$\frac{\lambda}{L} 16\pi^2$	$\eta L \frac{192\pi^2}{7a^2}$
Sign:	+	-
δM_4^{Kerr}	0	$\eta L \frac{8\pi}{7\hat{\alpha}^3}$
Sign:	n.a.	-

Table 1. Overview of the four and six-derivative corrections to the extremal mass of the different black holes studied in this paper. The functions $\mathcal{M}_{(\lambda,\eta)} \geq 0$ are given in (3.13). Imposing that both higher derivative corrections decrease the mass fixes the sign of the corrections to an extremal five-dimensional Myers-Perry and four-dimensional Kerr black hole. A + sign indicates an increase and a - sign a decrease in mass.

that the sign of the corrections to the extremality bound of rotating black holes does not seem to be universal when we impose the WGC. The four-derivative correction *increases* the extremal mass of the Myers-Perry solution, whereas the six-derivative correction *decreases* the mass of the extremal Myers-Perry and Kerr solutions. We give an interpretation of this non-universal behavior in terms of the instability of these black holes in the next section.

⁵Interestingly, while there is currently no known scattering positivity bound on the Gauss-Bonnet term, the sign of λ chosen by the WGC is consistent with that of string theory examples [47, 48] that violates the KSS viscosity bound [49].

4 Superradiant Instabilities

From the results in Table 1 it is clear that the higher derivative terms we considered do not correct the extremality bound of extremal Kerr and five-dimensional Myers-Perry black holes with a universal sign. In that sense, there does not seem to be a sharp statement, like the charged WGC, that requires corrections to extremal rotating black holes to increase their angular momentum-to-mass ratio.⁶

At first sight, one might not be surprised by this observation. As discussed in the introduction, one of the motivations for the charged WGC, extremal black hole decay, does not hold for rotating black holes due to superradiance. A necessary condition for superradiance to occur in rotating black holes is the presence of an ergosphere where the timelike Killing vector flips sign. In the extremal limit, the radius of the ergosphere is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kerr:} \quad r_e &= \hat{\alpha}(1 + \sin \theta) \geq r_+ , \\ \text{Myers-Perry:} \quad \rho_e &= \sqrt{a^2 \sin^2 \theta + b^2 \cos^2 \theta + 2|ab|} \geq \rho_+ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Because the radius of the ergosphere lies outside of the black hole horizon, this allows the presence of negative energy states that can be used to extract energy and angular momentum by scattering a particle off a black hole. This also implies that, quantum mechanically, these modes can be spontaneously created. From this perspective, no WGC-like constraint is expected for rotating black holes. On the other hand, the rotating black holes we study can be mapped to purely charged solutions. Charged extremal black holes do not have an ergoregion and are typically stable if we do not impose the WGC. This therefore raises the question how the superradiant instability of the rotating solutions arises in the charged solutions. We will now show in detail that, in order for the superradiant instability to exist, the charged solutions need to satisfy the WGC. A similar observation about the spectrum of charged states was made in [43], but here we demonstrate the relationship with the WGC.

4.1 Rotational Superradiance

The condition for superradiance to occur can be derived in an elegant manner from black hole thermodynamics [50]. The first law for rotating black holes is

$$dM = TdS + \Omega_i dJ^i . \tag{4.2}$$

Here Ω_i is the angular potential and the index i runs over different possible angular momenta. The potentials are given by

$$\Omega_\phi = \frac{\hat{r}_s - \sqrt{\hat{r}_s^2 - 4\hat{\alpha}^2}}{2\hat{\alpha}\hat{r}_s} , \quad \Omega_{\tilde{\phi}} = \frac{a}{\rho_+^2 + a^2} , \quad \Omega_{\tilde{\psi}} = \frac{b}{\rho_+^2 + b^2} . \tag{4.3}$$

⁶As mentioned before, BTZ black holes are an exception. When corrections to the extremality bound can be viewed as relevant perturbations in the CFT the holographic c-theorem can be used to argue for a spinning WGC [41].

Let's say that we perform a scattering experiment and want to extract energy ω and angular momentum j^i from the black hole such that $dM/dJ^i = \omega/j^i$. Using the first law we can then write

$$dM = TdS \frac{\omega}{\omega - j^i \Omega_i} . \quad (4.4)$$

Using the second law ($dS \geq 0$) and imposing we extract energy ($dM \leq 0$) we then find that the condition for superradiance to occur is

$$\omega \leq j^i \Omega_i . \quad (4.5)$$

The extremal limit should be taken after this condition has been derived and not directly in the first law. Evaluating the angular potential in the extremal limit for the solutions of interest we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kerr:} \quad \Omega_\phi &= \frac{1}{2\hat{\alpha}} , \\ \text{Myers-Perry:} \quad \Omega_{(\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\phi})} &= \frac{1}{a+b} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We can now compare the superradiance condition (4.5) against a possible spinning WGC bound. These conditions can be obtained from the extremality bound and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WGC for Kerr:} \quad \omega \sqrt{\frac{G_4}{j^\phi}} &\leq 1 , \\ \text{WGC for Myers-Perry:} \quad \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{4G_5}{\pi} \right)^{1/3} \frac{\omega}{\left((j^{\tilde{\psi}})^2 + (j^{\tilde{\phi}})^2 + 2|j^{\tilde{\psi}} j^{\tilde{\phi}}| \right)^{1/3}} &\leq 1 . \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Comparing this spinning WGC bound with the condition for superradiance, we see that it is possible to obey (4.5) while not satisfying (4.7). From the perspective of the rotating black holes, there is thus no clear relationship between the onset of superradiance and the extremality bound. However, as we will now show this behaviour is different for charged black holes.

4.2 Charged Superradiance

Charged black holes have no ergosphere, so in a strict sense they do not superradiate. However, they can lose mass and charge when a similar condition as (4.5) is satisfied and we will refer to this as charged superradiance. We will see that in this case there is a clear relation between the WGC and the condition for superradiance to occur. This was observed earlier in [51]. The first law for dyonic black holes is given by

$$dM = TdS + \Psi_q dQ + \Psi_p dP . \quad (4.8)$$

Here $\Psi_{q,p}$ are the electric and magnetic potentials and (Q, P) the electric and magnetic charges. In the extremal limit the potentials are

$$16\pi G_4 \Psi_q = A_t|_{r=r_+}^{r=\infty} = \sqrt{\frac{p+q}{q}} , \quad 16\pi G_4 \Psi_p = \sqrt{\frac{p+q}{p}} , \quad (4.9)$$

where we obtained the magnetic potential from the electric one by sending $q \leftrightarrow p$. Let us consider extracting energy ω and electric and magnetic charge $(k_q, k_p) \geq 0$. This results in the following change in black hole parameters

$$\frac{dM}{dQ} = \frac{16\pi G_4 \omega}{k_q}, \quad \frac{dM}{dP} = \frac{16\pi G_4 \omega}{k_p}. \quad (4.10)$$

We normalized the charges $k_{q,p}$ in such a way that k_q corresponds to the integer quantized electric charge in units of R_5 . Following the same steps as before (imposing the second law and requiring $dM \leq 0$) we can derive the following condition for charged superradiance:

$$16\pi G_4 \omega \leq k_q \Psi_q + k_p \Psi_p. \quad (4.11)$$

We find that the conditions for superradiance and the WGC are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Superradiance: } & \frac{16\pi G_4 \omega}{k_q \sqrt{1 + (P/Q)^{2/3}} + k_p \sqrt{1 + (Q/P)^{2/3}}} \leq 1, \\ \text{WGC: } & \frac{16\pi G_4 \omega}{(k_q^{2/3} + k_p^{2/3})^{3/2}} \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

It is straightforward to check that when the superradiance condition is satisfied, this implies the WGC condition (but not the other way around).

$$\frac{16\pi G_4 \omega}{k_q \sqrt{1 + (P/Q)^{2/3}} + k_p \sqrt{1 + (Q/P)^{2/3}}} \leq \frac{16\pi G_4 \omega}{(k_q^{2/3} + k_p^{2/3})^{3/2}}. \quad (4.13)$$

The superradiance mass-to-charge ratio is maximized when $k_p/k_q = P/Q$, where it equals the WGC condition. We note that if we were to consider a solution that is purely electric or magnetic, the superradiance and WGC condition are always equivalent.

4.3 Superradiance vs. Weak Gravity Conjecture

Although for the rotating black holes we considered in this paper there is no obvious relation between the condition for superradiant emission and the extremality bound, the situation is qualitatively different for charged solutions. Whenever the extremal Kaluza-Klein black hole has a charged superradiant instability, the superradiant states also satisfy the WGC. This gives an interesting perspective on the superradiant instability for the extremal rotating solutions we considered in this paper. As discussed previously, from the perspective of the five-dimensional Myers-Perry black hole with equal rotations, it is not clear if in four-dimensions this is described by a rotating black hole with one unit of magnetic charge or a non-rotating dyonic black hole. The former solution naturally has a superradiant instability without a strong constraint on the particle spectrum, whereas the second solution can only have a superradiant instability when the WGC is satisfied. Thus, whenever the five-dimensional Myers-Perry black hole is described in four-dimensions by a dyonic solution, its superradiant instability implies the WGC in four dimensions.

With this knowledge, it is perhaps not unexpected that the signs of the higher derivative corrections in Table 1 do not conform to a spinning WGC. The rotating extremal black holes

are already unstable without the need of having superextremal rotating particles. When we can map these rotating solutions to pure charge black holes, this instability manifests itself as the ordinary charged WGC. What is interesting, is that the WGC can still be used to constrain the Wilson coefficients of higher derivative operators correcting the extremality bound.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we computed the leading higher derivative corrections to extremal rotating black holes. We focused on the four-dimensional Kerr and five-dimensional Myers-Perry black hole, both of which can be mapped to a four-dimensional non-rotating dyonic Kaluza-Klein black hole. By imposing the WGC on this purely charged solution we fixed the sign of the Wilson coefficients and, with that, the sign of the corrections to the extremality bound of the rotating solutions. This way, the WGC leads to new bounds that extremal rotating black holes must satisfy to belong to the landscape.

The sign of the corrections, however, does not seem to be universal. While the extremal mass of the Kerr solution decreases, the mass of the Myers-Perry solution increases. We gave an interpretation of this non-universality in terms of the instability of rotating black holes: unlike charged extremal solutions, rotating black holes have a superradiant instability that allows them to shed their angular momentum without a constraint on the spectrum that is directly related to the extremality bound. Nonetheless, the mapping of rotating to charged black holes shows that this superradiant instability can only be satisfied when the charged WGC is satisfied.

It would therefore be interesting to understand if there are extremal rotating black holes that do not exhibit superradiance. If the heuristic argument that extremal black holes should be able to decay is correct, we expect a stronger constraint on the spectrum of spinning states when considering such black holes. Indeed, there are extremal rotating (and charged) solutions such as the BMPV black hole that are stable because they saturate a BPS bound [52]. However, precisely because of their BPS-ness, corrections to the extremality bound vanish [53] saturating a possible WGC-like constraint. One is therefore led to the question if there exist non-BPS solutions that do not exhibit superradiance.

Furthermore, recently there has been a sharpened understanding of the constraints that Wilson coefficients of higher derivative corrections to pure gravity, like the ones considered in this paper, need to satisfy (see e.g. [54–58]). Here, we assumed the WGC to obtain constraints on rotating black holes but it would be interesting to see if such techniques can provide an independent way of determining the sign of the Wilson coefficients of gravitational operators. Turning the argument around, if certain swampland constraints are established, we can use them in combination with duality (as we have done in this paper) to obtain new positivity bounds that would otherwise be difficult to prove directly with amplitude techniques. Hopefully, these diverse efforts will lead to a sharper understanding of the physical principles that separate the landscape from the swampland.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the DOE under Grant No. DE-SC0017647. We gratefully acknowledge the hospitality of the Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications and the Physics Department at Harvard University where parts of this work were completed. GS would additionally like to thank Toshifumi Noumi and the participants of the "Possible and Impossible in Effective Field Theory: From the S-Matrix to the Swampland" Workshop at the Institute for Advanced Study in May 2022 for discussions.

A Details on Kaluza-Klein Reduction

We want to take the action of pure five-dimensional gravity perturbed by higher derivative corrections up to six derivatives and perform a Kaluza-Klein reduction. The relevant action is given by

$$I = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g_5} \left(\frac{R_5}{16\pi G_5} + \frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We use the following short-hand notation for the higher derivative corrections.

$$\mathcal{R}^2 = \mathcal{R}_{abcd} \mathcal{R}^{abcd}, \quad \mathcal{R}^3 = \mathcal{R}_{ab}{}^{cd} \mathcal{R}_{cd}{}^{ef} \mathcal{R}_{ef}{}^{ab}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Here R_5 is the five-dimensional Ricci scalar \mathcal{R}_{abcd} is the five-dimensional Riemann tensor. To perform the Kaluza-Klein reduction, we assume the standard ansatz

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \varphi^2 (A_\mu dx^\mu + dy)^2, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the four-dimensional metric and $y = y + 2\pi R_5$. Note that roman indices run as $a = (0, \dots, 4)$ and greek indices as $\mu = (0, \dots, 3)$. In order to derive the various expressions for the geometric tensors in the four-dimensional theory, it is useful to first go to a flat basis using the vielbeins $e^a_{\hat{a}}$ such that

$$g_{ab} = e^{\hat{a}}_a e^{\hat{b}}_b \eta_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where $\eta_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}$ is the Minkowski metric.

In this flat basis, the different components of the five-dimensional Riemann tensor are given by [59]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= \hat{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{(4)} - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^2 \left(2\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} \hat{F}_{\rho\sigma} + \hat{F}_{\mu\rho} \hat{F}_{\nu\sigma} - \hat{F}_{\mu\sigma} \hat{F}_{\nu\rho} \right), \\ \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{4\mu\nu\rho} &= \frac{1}{2} \varphi \hat{\nabla}_\mu \hat{F}_{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \left(2(\hat{\partial}_\mu \varphi) \hat{F}_{\nu\rho} + (\hat{\partial}_\nu \varphi) \hat{F}_{\mu\rho} - (\hat{\partial}_\rho \varphi) \hat{F}_{\mu\nu} \right), \\ \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu 4\nu 4} &= -\varphi^{-1} \hat{\nabla}_\mu (\hat{\partial}_\nu \varphi) - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^2 \hat{F}_{\mu\rho} \hat{F}^\rho{}_\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Here we used the hat to indicate these expressions are valid in the flat basis. From these expressions, the components of the five-dimensional Ricci tensor can also be constructed.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} &= \hat{R}_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi^2 \hat{F}_\mu{}^\rho \hat{F}_{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{-2} \hat{\nabla}_\mu (\hat{\partial}_\nu \varphi^2) + \varphi^{-2} (\hat{\partial}_\mu \varphi) (\hat{\partial}_\nu \varphi), \\ \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu 4} &= \frac{1}{2} \varphi^2 \hat{\nabla}_\nu \hat{F}_\mu{}^\nu + \frac{3}{2} \hat{F}_\mu{}^\nu \hat{\partial}_\nu \varphi, \\ \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{44} &= \frac{1}{4} \varphi^2 \hat{F}_{\mu\nu} \hat{F}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{-2} \hat{\square} \varphi + \varphi^{-1} (\hat{\partial}_\mu \varphi) (\hat{\partial}^\mu \varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

We can transform these expressions back to the non-flat basis using the vielbeins. Explicitly, in the directions we compactify over they are

$$e^{\hat{\mu}}_4 = 0, \quad e^{\hat{4}}_{\mu} = \varphi A_{\mu}, \quad e^{\hat{4}}_4 = \varphi. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Then, by appropriately contracting the expressions (A.5) we can obtain four-dimensional expressions for the higher derivative corrections \mathcal{R}^2 and \mathcal{R}^3 . For our purposes, it will be enough to leave them in their five-dimensional form. The four-dimensional expression for the Ricci scalar is

$$R_5 = R_4 - \frac{1}{4}\varphi^2 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \varphi^{-2} \square \varphi^2 + 2\varphi^{-2} (\partial_{\mu} \varphi) (\partial^{\mu} \varphi). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Integrating over the y direction, the four-dimensional action becomes

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G_4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_4} \varphi \left(R_4 - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^2 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) + 2\pi R \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_4} \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda}{L} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L \mathcal{R}^3 \right) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The four-dimensional Newton constant is $G_4 = G_5/(2\pi R_5)$. This is the action in the string frame. Performing a Weyl transformation we can bring it to the Einstein frame. Under a transformation of the form $\tilde{g}_{ab} = e^{2\omega} g_{ab}$ the relevant quantities transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-\tilde{g}_d} &= e^{d\omega} \sqrt{-g_d}, \\ \tilde{R}_d &= e^{-2\omega} \left(R_d - 2(d-1) \square \omega - (d-2)(d-1) (\partial_a \omega) (\partial^a \omega) \right), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^a_{bcd} &= \mathcal{W}^a_{bcd}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Here \mathcal{W}^a_{bcd} is the Weyl tensor, which is invariant under Weyl transformations. Because we are interested in vacuum solution in five dimensions, the five-dimensional Riemann tensor is equal to the five-dimensional Weyl tensor. This implies that the higher derivative terms transform covariantly under Weyl transformations as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^2 &= e^{-4\omega} \mathcal{R}^2, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^3 &= e^{-6\omega} \mathcal{R}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Identifying $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ as the string frame metric and taking $e^{2\omega} \varphi = 1$ puts the action in the Einstein frame. Finally, introducing a canonically normalized scalar field $\omega = \Phi/\sqrt{12}$ we obtain the final form of the action

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{16\pi G_4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_4} \left(R_4 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \Phi) (\partial^{\mu} \Phi) - \frac{1}{4} e^{-\sqrt{3}\Phi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) \\ &+ 2\pi R_5 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_4} \left(\frac{\lambda}{L} e^{-\Phi/\sqrt{3}} \mathcal{R}^2 + \eta L e^{-2\Phi/\sqrt{3}} \mathcal{R}^3 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

References

- [1] N. Arkani-Hamed, L. Motl, A. Nicolis, and C. Vafa, *The String landscape, black holes and gravity as the weakest force*, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 060, [[hep-th/0601001](#)].

- [2] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Non-supersymmetric AdS and the Swampland*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **21** (2017) 1787–1801, [[arXiv:1610.01533](#)].
- [3] B. Freivogel and M. Kleban, *Vacua Morghulis*, [arXiv:1610.04564](#).
- [4] B. Heidenreich, M. Reece, and T. Rudelius, *Evidence for a sublattice weak gravity conjecture*, *JHEP* **08** (2017) 025, [[arXiv:1606.08437](#)].
- [5] M. Montero, G. Shiu, and P. Soler, *The Weak Gravity Conjecture in three dimensions*, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 159, [[arXiv:1606.08438](#)].
- [6] S. Andriolo, D. Junghans, T. Noumi, and G. Shiu, *A Tower Weak Gravity Conjecture from Infrared Consistency*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **66** (2018), no. 5 1800020, [[arXiv:1802.04287](#)].
- [7] S.-J. Lee, W. Lerche, and T. Weigand, *Tensionless Strings and the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JHEP* **10** (2018) 164, [[arXiv:1808.05958](#)].
- [8] S.-J. Lee, W. Lerche, and T. Weigand, *A Stringy Test of the Scalar Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **938** (2019) 321–350, [[arXiv:1810.05169](#)].
- [9] S.-J. Lee, W. Lerche, and T. Weigand, *Modular Fluxes, Elliptic Genera, and Weak Gravity Conjectures in Four Dimensions*, *JHEP* **08** (2019) 104, [[arXiv:1901.08065](#)].
- [10] L. Aalsma, A. Cole, and G. Shiu, *Weak Gravity Conjecture, Black Hole Entropy, and Modular Invariance*, *JHEP* **08** (2019) 022, [[arXiv:1905.06956](#)].
- [11] N. Gendler and I. Valenzuela, *Merging the weak gravity and distance conjectures using BPS extremal black holes*, *JHEP* **01** (2021) 176, [[arXiv:2004.10768](#)].
- [12] D. Klaewer, S.-J. Lee, T. Weigand, and M. Wiesner, *Quantum corrections in $4d N = 1$ infinite distance limits and the weak gravity conjecture*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 252, [[arXiv:2011.00024](#)].
- [13] D. Harlow, *Wormholes, Emergent Gauge Fields, and the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JHEP* **01** (2016) 122, [[arXiv:1510.07911](#)].
- [14] M. Montero, *A Holographic Derivation of the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JHEP* **03** (2019) 157, [[arXiv:1812.03978](#)].
- [15] M. Montero, T. Van Riet, and G. Venken, *Festina Lente: EFT Constraints from Charged Black Hole Evaporation in de Sitter*, *JHEP* **01** (2020) 039, [[arXiv:1910.01648](#)].
- [16] M. Montero, C. Vafa, T. Van Riet, and G. Venken, *The FL bound and its phenomenological implications*, *JHEP* **10** (2021) 009, [[arXiv:2106.07650](#)].
- [17] Y. Kats, L. Motl, and M. Padi, *Higher-order corrections to mass-charge relation of extremal black holes*, *JHEP* **12** (2007) 068, [[hep-th/0606100](#)].
- [18] C. Cheung, J. Liu, and G. N. Remmen, *Proof of the Weak Gravity Conjecture from Black Hole Entropy*, *JHEP* **10** (2018) 004, [[arXiv:1801.08546](#)].
- [19] Y. Hamada, T. Noumi, and G. Shiu, *Weak Gravity Conjecture from Unitarity and Causality*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019), no. 5 051601, [[arXiv:1810.03637](#)].
- [20] S. Cremonini, C. R. T. Jones, J. T. Liu, and B. McPeak, *Higher-Derivative Corrections to Entropy and the Weak Gravity Conjecture in Anti-de Sitter Space*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 003, [[arXiv:1912.11161](#)].
- [21] C. R. T. Jones and B. McPeak, *The Black Hole Weak Gravity Conjecture with Multiple Charges*, *JHEP* **06** (2020) 140, [[arXiv:1908.10452](#)].

- [22] P. A. Cano, S. Chimento, R. Linares, T. Ortín, and P. F. Ramírez, α' corrections of Reissner-Nordström black holes, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 031, [[arXiv:1910.14324](#)].
- [23] P. A. Cano, T. Ortín, and P. F. Ramirez, On the extremality bound of stringy black holes, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 175, [[arXiv:1909.08530](#)].
- [24] S. Cremonini, C. R. T. Jones, J. T. Liu, B. McPeak, and Y. Tang, NUT charge weak gravity conjecture from dimensional reduction, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021), no. 10 106011, [[arXiv:2011.06083](#)].
- [25] P. A. Cano, T. Ortín, A. Ruipérez, and M. Zatti, Non-supersymmetric black holes with α' corrections, *JHEP* **03** (2022) 103, [[arXiv:2111.15579](#)].
- [26] B. Bellazzini, M. Lewandowski, and J. Serra, Positivity of Amplitudes, Weak Gravity Conjecture, and Modified Gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019), no. 25 251103, [[arXiv:1902.03250](#)].
- [27] N. Arkani-Hamed, Y.-t. Huang, J.-Y. Liu, and G. N. Remmen, Causality, unitarity, and the weak gravity conjecture, *JHEP* **03** (2022) 083, [[arXiv:2109.13937](#)].
- [28] G. J. Loges, T. Noumi, and G. Shiu, Thermodynamics of 4D Dilatonic Black Holes and the Weak Gravity Conjecture, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020), no. 4 046010, [[arXiv:1909.01352](#)].
- [29] G. J. Loges, T. Noumi, and G. Shiu, Duality and Supersymmetry Constraints on the Weak Gravity Conjecture, *JHEP* **11** (2020) 008, [[arXiv:2006.06696](#)].
- [30] L. Alberte, C. de Rham, S. Jaitly, and A. J. Tolley, Positivity Bounds and the Massless Spin-2 Pole, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020), no. 12 125023, [[arXiv:2007.12667](#)].
- [31] J. Tokuda, K. Aoki, and S. Hirano, Gravitational positivity bounds, *JHEP* **11** (2020) 054, [[arXiv:2007.15009](#)].
- [32] J. Henriksson, B. McPeak, F. Russo, and A. Vichi, Bounding Violations of the Weak Gravity Conjecture, [[arXiv:2203.08164](#)].
- [33] P. A. Cano and A. Ruipérez, Leading higher-derivative corrections to Kerr geometry, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 189, [[arXiv:1901.01315](#)]. [Erratum: *JHEP* **03**, 187 (2020)].
- [34] H. S. Reall and J. E. Santos, Higher derivative corrections to Kerr black hole thermodynamics, *JHEP* **04** (2019) 021, [[arXiv:1901.11535](#)].
- [35] L. Aalsma, Corrections to extremal black holes from Iyer-Wald formalism, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022), no. 6 066022, [[arXiv:2111.04201](#)].
- [36] S. Andriolo, T.-C. Huang, T. Noumi, H. Ooguri, and G. Shiu, Duality and axionic weak gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020), no. 4 046008, [[arXiv:2004.13721](#)].
- [37] S. Andriolo, G. Shiu, P. Soler, and T. Van Riet, Axion wormholes with massive dilaton, [[arXiv:2205.01119](#)].
- [38] G. J. Loges, G. Shiu, and N. Sudhir, Complex Saddles and Euclidean Wormholes in the Lorentzian Path Integral, [[arXiv:2203.01956](#)].
- [39] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, Black Holes in Higher Dimensional Space-Times, *Annals Phys.* **172** (1986) 304.
- [40] J. Kaplan and S. Kundu, Closed Strings and Weak Gravity from Higher-Spin Causality, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 145, [[arXiv:2008.05477](#)].

- [41] L. Aalsma, A. Cole, G. J. Loges, and G. Shiu, *A New Spin on the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 085, [[arXiv:2011.05337](#)].
- [42] F. Larsen, *Rotating Kaluza-Klein black holes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **575** (2000) 211–230, [[hep-th/9909102](#)].
- [43] R. Emparan and A. Maccarrone, *Statistical description of rotating Kaluza-Klein black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 084006, [[hep-th/0701150](#)].
- [44] R. Emparan and G. T. Horowitz, *Microstates of a Neutral Black Hole in M Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 141601, [[hep-th/0607023](#)].
- [45] G. T. Horowitz and M. M. Roberts, *Counting the Microstates of a Kerr Black Hole*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007) 221601, [[arXiv:0708.1346](#)].
- [46] D. Harlow, B. Heidenreich, M. Reece, and T. Rudelius, *The Weak Gravity Conjecture: A Review*, [arXiv:2201.08380](#).
- [47] Y. Kats and P. Petrov, *Effect of curvature squared corrections in AdS on the viscosity of the dual gauge theory*, *JHEP* **01** (2009) 044, [[arXiv:0712.0743](#)].
- [48] A. Buchel, R. C. Myers, and A. Sinha, *Beyond $\eta/s = 1/4 \pi$* , *JHEP* **03** (2009) 084, [[arXiv:0812.2521](#)].
- [49] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son, and A. O. Starinets, *Holography and hydrodynamics: Diffusion on stretched horizons*, *JHEP* **10** (2003) 064, [[hep-th/0309213](#)].
- [50] R. Brito, V. Cardoso, and P. Pani, *Superradiance: New Frontiers in Black Hole Physics*, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **906** (2015) pp.1–237, [[arXiv:1501.06570](#)].
- [51] L. Aalsma and J. P. van der Schaar, *Extremal Tunneling and Anti-de Sitter Instantons*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 145, [[arXiv:1801.04930](#)].
- [52] J. C. Breckenridge, R. C. Myers, A. W. Peet, and C. Vafa, *D-branes and spinning black holes*, *Phys. Lett. B* **391** (1997) 93–98, [[hep-th/9602065](#)].
- [53] J. T. Liu and R. J. Saskowski, *Four-derivative Corrections to Minimal Gauged Supergravity in Five Dimensions*, [arXiv:2201.04690](#).
- [54] C. de Rham, A. J. Tolley, and J. Zhang, *Causality Constraints on Gravitational Effective Field Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022), no. 13 131102, [[arXiv:2112.05054](#)].
- [55] C. Y. R. Chen, C. de Rham, A. Margalit, and A. J. Tolley, *A cautionary case of casual causality*, *JHEP* **03** (2022) 025, [[arXiv:2112.05031](#)].
- [56] A. Guerrieri, J. Penedones, and P. Vieira, *Where Is String Theory in the Space of Scattering Amplitudes?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021), no. 8 081601, [[arXiv:2102.02847](#)].
- [57] S. Caron-Huot, D. Mazac, L. Rastelli, and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Sharp boundaries for the swampland*, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 110, [[arXiv:2102.08951](#)].
- [58] S. Caron-Huot, Y.-Z. Li, J. Parra-Martinez, and D. Simmons-Duffin, *Causality constraints on corrections to Einstein gravity*, [arXiv:2201.06602](#).
- [59] I. K. Wehus and F. Ravndal, *Dynamics of the scalar field in five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein theory*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **19** (2004) 4671–4686, [[hep-ph/0210292](#)].