Massive particle pair production and oscillation in Friedman Universe: dark energy and matter interaction

She-Sheng Xue

ICRANet Piazzale della Repubblica, 10 -65122, Pescara, Italy, Physics Department, University of Rome La Sapienza, P.le Aldo Moro 5, I–00185 Rome, Italy

E-mail: xue@icra.it

Abstract. The classical Friedman equations of time-varying Hubble function H, darkenergy and matter densities couple to quantised field equations for massive modes $M \gg H$. Numerically solving these equations, we show the particle-antiparticle pairs production and oscillation in microscopic time scale $\mathcal{O}(M^{-1})$. A massive pair plasma state is formed in macroscopic time scale $\mathcal{O}(H^{-1})$. Its density and pressure introduce the interaction of matter and dark energy densities in the Friedman equations. Focusing on epochs after reheating, we show that the negative dark energy tracks down the radiation energy in the radiation epoch. Such tracking dynamics ends, and dark energy becomes positive in the matter epoch. The matter converts to dark energy, and their present values are comparable, explaining the cosmic coincidence. As a result, a class of effective interacting dark energy models is advocated to confront cosmological observations.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Quantum pair production and oscillation	3
3	Massive pair plasma state	6
4	Cosmic rate equation	7
5	Radiation and matter dominate epochs	8
6	Cosmic coincidence of present dark and matter energies	11
7	Discussions	12
8	Supplemental Material: quantum pair oscillation details	13

1 Introduction

The Universe's evolution is gravitationally governed by matter and dark energy. The latter can be represented by the cosmological Λ term in the Einstein equation. In addition to the mystery of its origin, people have not yet fully understood dark energy properties in Universe evolution. In particular, how dark energy and matter interact with each other in Universe evolution and why their present values are coincidentally at the same order of magnitude. Such interacting dark energy can be simply represented by a time-varying cosmological $\tilde{\Lambda}(t)$ term in the Einstein equation or other modifications. Many theoretical ideas have been motivated for cosmology, and advocated to examine the H_0 tension recently observed Refs. [1–21]. Here, we attempt to present a theoretical scenario to explain the dark energy and matter interaction by gravitational production and oscillation of particle-antiparticle pairs via quantum back and forth reaction processes between dark energy $\tilde{\Lambda}(t)$ and massive pairs $M \gg H$.

The gravitational particle production in Friedman Universe expansion is an important theoretical issue [22–25] that has been intensively studied for decades [26–30]. Based on adiabaticity and non-back-reaction approximation for a slowly time-varying Hubble function H(t), one adopted the semi-classical WKB approaches to calculating the particle production rate, which is exponentially suppressed $e^{-M/H}$ for massive particles $M \gg H$. However, the non-adiabatic back-reactions of particle creations on the Hubble function can be large and have to be taken into account. The non-adiabatic back-reactions of massive particle productions have a quantum time scale O(1/M)that is much smaller than classical Universe evolution time scale O(1/H). To properly include the back-reaction of particle production on Universe evolution, one should separate fast components O(1/M) from slow components O(1/H) in the Friedman equation. Many efforts [31–45] have been made to study non-adiabatic back-reaction and understand massive particle productions without exponential suppression. It is important for reheating, possibly accounting for massive dark matter and total entropy of the present Universe [46–66].

In this article, we start with the Friedman equations for a flat Universe

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho; \quad \dot{H} = -\frac{8\pi G}{2}(\rho + p), \tag{1.1}$$

where energy density $\rho \equiv \rho_M + \rho_\Lambda$ and pressure $p \equiv p_M + p_\Lambda$. Equation of state $p_\Lambda = -\rho_\Lambda$ is for the cosmological term (dark energy), $p_M = \omega_M \rho_M$ for the matter terms representing relativistic (radiation) and/or non-relativistic components. The second equation is a gernalised conservation law for time-varying cosmological term $\rho_\Lambda(t) \equiv \tilde{\Lambda}(t)/8\pi G$ [67], and it reduces to the usual equation $\dot{\rho}_M + (1+\omega_M)H\rho_M = 0$ for time-constant ρ_Λ . We adopt the approach [42] to describe the decomposition of slow and fast components: scale factor $a = a_{\rm slow} + a_{\rm fast}$, Hubble function $H = H_{\rm slow} + H_{\rm fast}$, cosmological term and matter density $\rho_{\Lambda,M} = \rho_{\Lambda,M}^{\rm slow} + \rho_{\Lambda,M}^{\rm fast}$ and pressure $p_{\Lambda,M} = p_{\Lambda,M}^{\rm slow} + p_{\Lambda,M}^{\rm fast}$. The fast components vary much faster in time, but their amplitudes are much smaller than the slow components. According to the order of small ratio λ of fast and slow components, the Friedman equations (1.1) are decomposed into two sets. The slow components $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$ obey the same equations as usual Friedman equations

$$H_{\rm slow}^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} (\rho_{_M}^{\rm slow} + \rho_{_\Lambda}^{\rm slow});$$

$$\dot{H}_{\rm slow} = -\frac{8\pi G}{2} (\rho_{_M}^{\rm slow} + p_{_M}^{\rm slow}), \qquad (1.2)$$

where $H_{\text{slow}} = \dot{a}_{\text{slow}}/a \approx \dot{a}_{\text{slow}}/a_{\text{slow}}$, time derivatives \dot{H}_{slow} and \dot{a}_{slow} relate to the macroscopic "slow" time variation scale $\mathcal{O}(1/H)$. The faster components $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^1)$ obey,

$$H_{\text{fast}} = \frac{8\pi G}{2 \times 3H_{\text{slow}}} (\rho_M^{\text{fast}} + \rho_\Lambda^{\text{fast}});$$

$$\dot{H}_{\text{fast}} = -\frac{8\pi G}{2} (\rho_M^{\text{fast}} + p_M^{\text{fast}}),$$
 (1.3)

where $H_{\text{fast}} = \dot{a}_{\text{fast}}/a \approx \dot{a}_{\text{fast}}/a_{\text{slow}}$, time derivatives \dot{H}_{fast} and \dot{a}_{fast} relate to the microscopic "fast" time variation scale $\mathcal{O}(1/M)$, and slow components are approximated as constants in "fast" time variation. For the cosmological term, equation of state $p_{\Lambda} = -\rho_{\Lambda}$ becomes $p_{\Lambda}^{\text{slow,fast}} = -\rho_{\Lambda}^{\text{slow,fast}}$ respectively at order $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^1)$. In due course we shall clarify the equation of state $p_M = \omega_M \rho_M$ for the matter term.

We adopt the approach [31] to describe the fast components of matter density ρ_M^{fast} and pressure p_M^{fast} , that are attributed to the non-adiabatic production of particle and antiparticle pairs in fast time variation $H_{\text{fast}} = \dot{a}_{\text{fast}}/a_{\text{slow}}$. As a result, we find quantum pair production and oscillation and a macroscopic state of massive pair plasma. In radiation- and matter-dominated epochs after reheating, we study how it affects the Friedman equation (1.2) and introduces the interaction of dark-energy and matter densities. We show that the matter has converted to dark energy, and their present values are comparable, explaining the cosmic coincidence.

2 Quantum pair production and oscillation

A quantised massive scalar matter field inside the Hubble sphere volume $V \sim H_{\rm slow}^{-3}$ of Friedman Universe reads

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{n} A_n Y_n(\mathbf{x}) \psi_n(t), \qquad (2.1)$$

which exponentially vanishes outside the horizon H_{slow}^{-1} , and $\int_V Y_n(\mathbf{x}) Y_{n'}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) h^{1/2} d^3 x = \delta_{nn'}$. The principal quantum number " $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ " stands for for quantum states of physical wave vectors k_n , n = 0 and $k_0 = 0$ for the ground state ¹. The A_n and A_n^{\dagger} are time-independent annihilation and creation operators satisfying the commutation relation $[A_n^{\dagger}, A_n] = \delta_{n,n'}$. The time-separate equation for $\psi_n(t)$ is

$$\partial_t^2 \psi_n(t) + \omega_n(t)^2 \psi_n(t) = 0, \quad \omega_n(t)^2 = k_n^2 + M^2,$$
(2.2)

and Wronskian-type condition $\psi_n(t)\partial_t\psi_n^*(t) - \psi_n^*(t)\partial_t\psi_n(t) = i$. Expressing

$$\psi_n(t) = \frac{1}{(2V\omega_n)^{1/2}} \left(\alpha_n^*(t) e^{-i\int^t \omega_n dt} + \beta_n^*(t) e^{i\int^t \omega_n dt} \right)$$
(2.3)

in terms of $\alpha_n(t)$ and $\beta_n(t)$, Equation (2.2) becomes

$$\partial_t \alpha_n(t) = C_n e^{-2i \int^t \omega_n dt} \beta_n(t);$$

$$\partial_t \beta_n(t) = C_n e^{2i \int^t \omega_n dt} \alpha_n(t),$$
(2.4)

and $|\alpha_n|^2 - |\beta_n|^2 = 1$, where $C_n \equiv 3H\omega_n^{-2}[k_n^2/3 + M^2/2]$. In an adiabatic process for slowly time-varying $H = H_{\text{slow}}$, the particle state $\alpha_n(0) = 1$ and $\beta_n(0) = 0$ evolve to $|\alpha_n(t)| \gtrsim 1$ and $|\beta_n(t)| \neq 0$. Positive and negative frequency modes get mixed, leading to particle productions of probability $|\beta_n(t)|^2 \propto e^{-M/H_{\text{slow}}}$.

We will focus on studying particle production in non-adiabatic processes for rapidly time-varying H_{fast} , α_n and β_n in the ground state n = 0 of the lowest lying massive mode $M \gg H$. First, we recall that Parker and Fulling introduced the transformation [31],

$$A_0 = \gamma^* B + \delta B^{\dagger}, \quad B = \delta A_0^{\dagger} - \gamma A_0, \tag{2.5}$$

 $[B, B^{\dagger}] = 1$, and two mixing constants obeying $|\gamma|^2 - |\delta|^2 = 1$. For a given A_n and its Fock space, the state $|\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}\rangle$ is defined by the conditions $A_{n\neq 0}|\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}\rangle = 0$ and

$$B^{\dagger}B|\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}\rangle = \mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}|\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}\rangle.$$
 (2.6)

The B^{\dagger} and B are time-independent creation and annihilation operators of the pair of mixed positive frequency A_0 particle and negative frequency A_0^{\dagger} antiparticle. The

¹In Ref. [31], the principal quantum number n is the angular momentum number " $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ " and $Y_n(\mathbf{x}) = Y_{\ell,m}(\mathbf{x})$ are the four-dimensional spherical harmonics for the closed Robertson-Walker metric. The ground state is $\ell = 0$.

state $|\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}\rangle$ contains $\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}} = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ pairs, and $|\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}} = 0\rangle$ is the ground state of non-adiabatic interacting system of fast varying H_{fast} and massive pair production and annihilation². It is a coherent superposition of states of particle and anti-particle pairs. In this coherent condensate state $|\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}\rangle$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}} \gg 1$, neglecting higher mode $n \neq 0$ contributions, they obtained the negative quantum pressure and positive quantum density of coherent pair field, see Eqs. (59) and (60) of Ref. [31],

$$p_{M}^{\text{fast}} = -\frac{M(2\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}+1)}{2\pi^{2}V} \Big\{ \text{Re}[\gamma^{*}\delta(|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2})] \\ + (2|\delta|^{2}+1)\text{Re}(\alpha^{*}\beta e^{2iMt}) \Big\},$$

$$\rho_{M}^{\text{fast}} = \frac{M(2\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}+1)}{\pi^{2}V} \Big\{ \text{Re}[\gamma\delta^{*}\alpha\beta)]$$
(2.7)

$$+ (|\delta|^2 + 1/2)(|\beta|^2 + 1/2) \Big\},$$
(2.8)

where $\omega_{n=0} = M$, $\alpha_{n=0} = \alpha$ and $\beta_{n=0} = \beta$. Equations (2.7) and density (2.8) were adopted for studying the avoidance of cosmic singularity in curved Universe. Note that p_M^{fast} (2.7) and ρ_M^{fast} (2.8) represent the quantum pressure and density of massive coherent pair state (2.6) in short quantum time sales $\mathcal{O}(1/M)$. They do not follow an usual equation of state of classical matter.

Following their approach for the ground state n = 0, we arrive at the same quantum pressure (2.7) and density (2.8). We consider the state (2.6) as a coherent condensate state of very massive $M \gg H_{\text{slow}}$ and large number $\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}} \gg 1$ pairs, and $M(2\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}+1)$ in (2.7) and (2.8) can be larger than the Planck mass m_{pl} so that higher mode ($n \neq 0$) contributions can be neglected. Moreover, we adopt (2.7) and (2.8) as the fast components ρ_{M}^{fast} and p_{M}^{fast} in Eq. (1.3) to find their non-adiabatic back-reactions on fast components H_{fast} and $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\text{fast}}$.

Here, we study the epochs after reheating, when the Hubble scale and pair mass are very much smaller than the Planck mass, i.e., $H_{\rm slow} < M \ll m_{\rm pl}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\rm pair} \gg 1$. Therefore, for a given $H_{\rm slow}$, we express in unit of the critical density $\rho_{\rm crit} = 3m_{\rm pl}^2 H_{\rm slow}^2$ the dimensionless quantum pressure (2.7) and density (2.8) as

$$\mathcal{P}_{M}^{\text{fast}} = -\frac{MH_{\text{slow}}}{6\pi^{2}m_{\text{pl}}} \Big\{ \text{Re}[\gamma^{*}\delta(|\alpha|^{2} + |\beta|^{2})] + (2|\delta|^{2} + 1)\text{Re}(\alpha^{*}\beta e^{2iMt}) \Big\},$$
(2.9)

$$\varrho_{M}^{\text{fast}} = +\frac{MH_{\text{slow}}}{3\pi^{2}m_{\text{pl}}} \Big\{ \text{Re}[\gamma\delta^{*}\alpha\beta)] + (|\delta|^{2} + 1/2)(|\beta|^{2} + 1/2) \Big\},$$
(2.10)

where $\overline{M} \equiv (2\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}} + 1)(M/m_{\text{pl}})$ and the reduced Planck mass $m_{\text{pl}} \equiv (8\pi G)^{-1/2}$. The faster component equations (1.3) become,

$$h_{\text{fast}} = \frac{1}{2} (\varrho_{M}^{\text{fast}} + \varrho_{\Lambda}^{\text{fast}});$$

$$\dot{h}_{\text{fast}} = -\frac{3}{2} (\varrho_{M}^{\text{fast}} + \mathcal{P}_{M}^{\text{fast}}), \qquad (2.11)$$

²Discussions can be applied for fermion fields. Analogously, we discussed the back and forth processes of massive fermion and antifermion pairs production and annihilation in spacetime $S \Leftrightarrow \overline{F} + F$ in Refs. [45, 66]



Figure 1. We show the quantum pair density and pressure oscillations in microscopic time t in unit of M^{-1} , using $H_{\rm slow}/M \approx 10^{-3}$, $M \simeq 10^{-10} m_{\rm pl}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\rm pair} \simeq 10^{25}$ and $\delta = 1$. It is clear that for $H_{\rm slow} \ll m_{\rm pl}$ and $M \ll m_{\rm pl}$, a large amount of massive pairs $\mathcal{N}_{\rm pair} \gg 1$ is created for significant oscillating quantum pressure (2.9) and density (2.10). For details see Fig. 3 in Supplemental Material.

where $h_{\text{fast}} \equiv H_{\text{fast}}/H_{\text{slow}}$ and $\varrho_{\Lambda}^{\text{fast}} \equiv \rho_{\Lambda}^{\text{fast}}/\rho_{\text{crit}}$. Using negative $\mathcal{P}_{M}^{\text{fast}}$ (2.9) and positive definite $\varrho_{M}^{\text{fast}}$ (2.10), we search for a solution of fast component equation (2.11) and quantum fluctuating mode equations (2.4) in the period [-t, t] of the microscopic time $t \sim H_{\text{fast}}^{-1}$, which is around the macroscopic time $t_{\rm slow} \sim H_{\rm slow}^{-1}$, when the slow components $a_{\rm slow}$, $H_{\rm slow}$, $\rho_{M,\Lambda}^{\rm slow}$ and $p_{M,\Lambda}^{\rm slow}$ are valued, following the Friedman equations (1.2). The integrals $\int^t \omega_n dt$ are over the microscopic time t characterised by the Compton time scale 1/M. Its lower limit is t = 0 by setting $t_{\rm slow} = 0$ as a reference time, when $a_{\rm fast}(0) = 0$,

$$H_{\text{fast}}(0) = \dot{a}_{\text{fast}}/a_{\text{slow}} = 0; \quad \alpha(0) = 1, \quad \beta(0) = 0.$$
 (2.12)

The real value $\gamma^*\delta$ condition in Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) leads to the time symmetry: $a^{\text{fast}}(t) = a^{\text{fast}}(-t), \ \alpha(t) = \alpha^*(-t) \text{ and } \beta(t) = \beta^*(-t)$ [31]. When $t \leftrightarrow -t$, positive and negative frequency modes interchange. Here we use $a_{\text{slow}} \neq 0$, $H_{\text{slow}} \neq 0$ and co-moving radius $(Ha)^{-1} \approx (H_{\text{slow}}a_{\text{slow}})^{-1}$ of Hubble volume $V \sim H_{\text{slow}}^{-3}$.

In microscopic time t of unit M^{-1} , we numerically solve coupled Eqs. (2.4) and (2.9-2.11) with the initial condition (2.12). Figure 1 shows results for C_0 = $(3/2)h_{\text{fast}}(H_{\text{slow}}/M)$ and verified condition $|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1$. In the quantum period of microscopic time t, the negative quantum pressure $\mathcal{P}_{M}^{\text{fast}} < 0$ and back-reaction effects lead to the quantum pair oscillation characterised by the frequency $\omega = M$ of massive quantised pair fields. The positive quantum pair density $\rho_{M}^{\text{fast}} > 0$ indicates particle creations without $e^{-M/H}$ suppression. It is consistent with increasing Bogoliubov coefficient $|\beta(t)|^2$ that mixes positive and negative energy modes. Observe that $\varrho_M^{\text{fast}} \gg |\mathcal{P}_M^{\text{fast}}|$ and the sum $\varrho_M^{\text{fast}} + \mathcal{P}_M^{\text{fast}} > 0$ is positive definite, leading to the decreasing $h_{\text{fast}}(t)$ (1.3). As a consequence, for time t > 0, the fast components h_{fast} and ϱ_A^{fast} decrease in time, in order for pair production. Whereas for time t < 0, h_{fast} and $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\text{fast}}$ increases, due to pair annihilation. The small $a_{\text{fast}}(t)$ varies around a_{slow} at $t_{\text{slow}} \equiv 0$.

The quantum pair oscillation phenomenon is dynamically analogous to the plasma oscillation of electron-positron pair production in an external electric filed E [68] and pair production rate is not exponentially suppressed by $e^{-\pi M^2/E}$ [69]. The coherent plasma state of electron-positron pairs is analogous to the coherent pair state $|\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}\rangle$ (2.6).

3 Massive pair plasma state

As shown in Fig. 1, massive pair quantum pressure $\mathcal{P}_{M}^{\text{fast}}$ (2.9) and density $\varrho_{M}^{\text{fast}}$ (2.9) can be significantly large and rapidly oscillate with the fast components h_{fast} and $\rho_{\star}^{\text{fast}}$ (2.11) in microscopic time and space. Their oscillating amplitudes are not dampen in time, and it is therefore expected to form a massive pair plasma state in a long macroscopic time. However, to study their effective impacts on the classical Friedman equations (1.2) evolving in macroscopic time and space, we have to discuss two problems coming from scale difference $M \gg H_{\text{slow}}$. First, it is impossible to even numerically integrate slow and fast component coupled equations (1.2, 1.3) due to their vastly different time scales. On this aspect, we consider their non-vanishing averages $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$ over the microscopic period in time. Figure 1 shows $\langle \varrho_M^{\text{fast}} + \mathcal{P}_M^{\text{fast}} \rangle$ and other averages of fast oscillating components do not vanish. Second the spatial dependence of pair quantum pressure $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fast}}$ (2.7) and density ϱ_{fast} (2.7) are unknown, since they are obtained by using the vacuum expectation value of field $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ energy-momentum tensor over entire space. For the case $M \gg H_{\text{slow}}$, the Compton length M^{-1} of ground state n = 0 is much smaller than the Hubble horizon H_{slow}^{-1} . Therefore, the massive coherent pair state (2.6-2.8) and quantum plasma oscillation of Fig. 1 well localise inside the Hubble sphere. We speculate that their location should be nearby the horizon because of isotropic homogeneity extending up to the horizon.

Based on these considerations and non-vanishing averages of fast oscillating components (Fig. 1) over macroscopic time, we assume the formation of massive pair plasma state in macroscopic time scale. We describe such macroscopic state as a perfect fluid state of effective number n_M^H and energy ρ_M^H densities as,

$$\rho_M^H \equiv 2\chi m^2 H_{\rm slow}^2, \quad n_M^H \equiv \chi m H_{\rm slow}^2; \quad m^2 \equiv \sum_f g_d^f M_f^2, \tag{3.1}$$

and pressure $p_M^H = \omega_M^H \rho_M^H$. The $\omega_M^H \approx 0$ for $m \gg H_{\text{slow}}$ and its upper limit is 1/3. The introduced mass parameter m represents possible particle masses M_f , degeneracies g_d^f and the mixing coefficient δ (2.5). The degeneracies g_d^f plays the same role of pair number $\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}$ in Eq. (2.8), namely $\sum_f g_d^f \approx (2\mathcal{N}_{\text{pair}}+1)$. We explain the reasons why the densities (3.1) are proportional to $\chi m H_{\text{slow}}^2$, rather than H_{slow}^3 from the entire Hubble volume V. The "surface area" factor H_{slow}^2 is attributed to the spherical symmetry of Hubble volume. The "radial size" factor χm comes from the layer width λ_m introduced as an effective parameter to describe the properties (i) for $m \gg H_{\text{slow}}$ the massive pair plasma is localised as a spherical layer and (ii) its radial width $\lambda_m < H_{\text{slow}}^{-1}$ depends on the massive pair plasma oscillation dynamics ³, rather than the H_{slow} dynamics govern

³It may also include self-gravitating dynamics, due to pair plasma are very massive.

by the Friedman equations (1.2). The width parameter χ expresses the layer width $\lambda_m = (\chi m)^{-1} \gg 1/m$ in terms of the effective Compton length 1/m,

$$\lambda_m = (\chi m)^{-1} < H_{\text{slow}}^{-1}, \quad 1 \gg \chi > (H_{\text{slow}}/m).$$
 (3.2)

Because parameters m and χm represent time-averaged values over fast time oscillations of massive pair plasma state, we consider m and χm as approximate constants in slowly varying macroscopic time. However, the m and χm values, namely the M_f and g_d^f values (3.1) cannot be unique in entire Universe evolution, and should depend on Universe evolution epochs. One of the reasons is the fast-component equations for massive pair productions and oscillations depend on the $H_{\rm slow}$ value, see Sec. 2. We will duly come back to this point how characteristic value χm relates to the Hubble function $H_{\rm slow}$ in a given evolution epoch.

We have to point out that (i) the pressure p_M^H and density ρ_M^H (3.1) are effective descriptions of the massive pair plasma state in macroscopic scales, that may result from the coherence condensation state (2.6,2.7,2.8) and oscillating dynamics (Fig. 1) in microscopic scales; (ii) they play the role of "slow" components contributing to the Friedman equations (1.1) or (1.2). It means that in the Friedman equations, there are two sets of the matter: (i) the normal matter state of pressure and density $p_M = \omega_M \rho_M$ and (ii) the massive pair plasma state of pressure and density $p_M^H = \omega_M^H \rho_M^H$. These two sets interact with each other, shown below. We shall study the massive pair plasma state effects on each epoch of Universe evolution. Here we start to study its effects on the epoch after reheating. Henceforth sub- and super-scripts "slow" are dropped.

4 Cosmic rate equation

Up to macroscopic time H^{-1} , we estimate the total number of particles produced inside the Hubble sphere $N \approx n_{_M}^H H^{-3}/2$ and mean pair production rate w.r.t. macroscopic time

$$\Gamma_M \approx \frac{dN}{2\pi dt} \approx \frac{\chi m}{4\pi} \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \equiv -\frac{H}{H^2} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(1+\omega_M)\rho_M}{\rho_\Lambda + \rho_M}.$$
(4.1)

It is not a theoretical derivation, but modelling parameterized by χm and Universe evolution rate ϵ . The asymptotic values $\epsilon \approx 2$ and $\epsilon \approx 3/2$ are respectively for radiation and matter epoch. Here we neglect the back-reactions of slow time-varying components H, $\rho_{\Lambda,M}$ and $p_{\Lambda,M}$ on fast components H_{fast} , ρ_{M}^{fast} and p_{M}^{fast} .

We turn to study how the massive pair plasma density interacts with the matter density $\rho_{_M}$ that governs the Universe evolution,

$$\rho_{_M}^H \Leftrightarrow \rho_{_M}.\tag{4.2}$$

Recall the rate equation for the back and forth process $e^+e^- \Leftrightarrow \gamma\gamma$ [70–73]:

$$\frac{dn_{e^+e^-}(t)}{dt} + 3Hn_{e^+e^-}(t) = \langle \sigma v \rangle \Big(n_{e^+e^-}^2 \big|_{\rm eq} - n_{e^+e^-}^2 \Big), \tag{4.3}$$

where $n_{e^+e^-}(t)$ is the density governed by macroscopic evolution and $n_{e^+e^-}|_{eq}$ is the density in an equilibrium with photons. The RHS represents the averaged interacting rate $dN/dt \approx \langle \sigma v \rangle n_{e^+e^-}$ for microscopic detail balance between $n_{e^+e^-}$ and $n_{e^+e^-}|_{eq}$. They are coupled for $n_{e^+e^-}|_{eq} \approx n_{e^+e^-}$ and decoupled $n_{e^+e^-}|_{eq} \ll n_{e^+e^-}$. This motivates us to propose an effective cosmic rate equation,

$$\dot{\rho}_M + 3(1 + \omega_M) H \rho_M = \Gamma_M (\rho_M^H - \rho_M) \tag{4.4}$$

for the back and forth ρ_M and ρ_M^H interaction (4.2) in the Universe evolution. It actually represents a general conservation law of all matter including massive pair plasma density ρ_M^H (3.1) with the production rate (4.1). The term $3(1 + \omega_M)H\rho_M$ of the time scale $(3H)^{-1}$ represents the space-time expanding effect on the density ρ_M . While $\Gamma_M \rho_M^H$ is the source term and $\Gamma_M \rho_M$ is the depletion term. The time-varying horizon H and massive pair plasma state are coupled via the back and forth processes (4.2). The ratio $\Gamma_M/H > 1$ indicates the coupled case, and $\Gamma_M/H < 1$ indicate the decoupled case.

We see how the massive pair plasma density (3.1) and cosmic rate equation (4.4) affect on the Friedman equations (1.2). The cosmic rate equation (4.4) combines with Eqs. (1.2), yielding

$$\dot{\rho}_{\Lambda} = -\Gamma_M \left(\rho_M^H - \rho_M \right). \tag{4.5}$$

Equations (4.4) and (4.5) is reminiscent of a generally modeling interacting dark energy and matter $\delta Q = \Gamma_M(\rho_M^H - \rho_M)$, based on the total mass-energy conservation, see review [74,75] and [76–78]. It shows that the cosmological constant (dark energy) ρ_{Λ} and matter energy ρ_M interact via the massive pair plasma ρ_M^H produced by massive particle production and oscillation in the Friedman space. Two cases: (i) dark energy converts to matter energy when $\rho_M^H > \rho_M$ and (ii) matter energy converts to dark energy when $\rho_M^H < \rho_M$.

Equations (1.2) and (4.4) are a set of first-order ordinary differential equations, numerical solutions for ρ_M and ρ_{Λ} can be studied, provided that initial or transition conditions from one epoch to another are known. In this article, we approximately find asymptotic solutions of specific epochs to gain a qualitative insight into how dark energy and matter interact in Universe evolution.

5 Radiation and matter dominate epochs

Suppose that all radiation ρ_R and matter ρ_M densities were created in the reheating epoch, and they were much larger than the dark energy density ρ_{Λ}^{-4} . The standard cosmology started with the radiation dominated epoch and proceeded to the matter-dominated epoch. We adopt an analytical way to reveal approximate $\rho_{\Lambda} - \rho_R$ and $\rho_{\Lambda} - \rho_M$ relations.

 $^{^{4}}$ The reheating epoch is discussed in a separated article Ref. [66]

In radiation dominate epoch, we replace $\rho_M \to \rho_R$ and $\omega_M \to \omega_R \approx 1/3$ in Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5). Neglecting dark-energy and non-relativistic matter densities $H^2 \approx \rho_R/(3m_{\rm pl}^2)$, and $\rho_M^H \approx (2\chi/3)\bar{m}_R^2\rho_R$, we recast Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) as

$$\frac{d\rho_R}{dx} + 4\rho_R = +\langle \Gamma_M / H \rangle_R [\chi \bar{m}_R^2 - 1] \rho_R \tag{5.1}$$

$$\frac{d\rho_{\Lambda}}{dx} = -\langle \Gamma_M / H \rangle_R [\chi \bar{m}_R^2 - 1] \rho_R \tag{5.2}$$

by using the new variable $x = \ln a$ and dx = Hdt. Because the radiation epoch is very long and the H varies a lot, the mass m (3.1) and width parameter $\chi m \propto H$ (3.2) vary as well, which we cannot go to details. Thus we introduce the average mass parameter $m_R = \langle m \rangle_R$ and average rate $\langle \Gamma_M / H \rangle_R$ over the entire radiation epoch, assuming they vary much slowly than ρ_R and ρ_{Λ} . The dimensionless average mass parameter $\bar{m}_R \equiv (2/3)m_R/m_{\rm pl}$ and $\chi \bar{m}_R^2 < 1$.

The asymptotic solutions are

$$\rho_R = \rho_R^{\rm RH} \left(\frac{a_R}{a}\right)^{4-\gamma_R}, \quad \gamma_R \equiv \langle \Gamma_M / H \rangle_R (\chi \bar{m}_R^2 - 1) \tag{5.3}$$

$$\rho_{\Lambda} = \frac{\gamma_R}{4 - \gamma_R} \rho_R + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}, \quad \rho_{\Lambda} = \frac{\gamma_R}{4 - \gamma_R} \rho_R.$$
(5.4)

The dark-energy and matter coupling parameter $\gamma_R < 0$ ($|\gamma_R| < 1$) represents the $\rho_{\Lambda} - \rho_R$ interaction and ρ_R conversion to ρ_{Λ} . The initial values $\rho_R^{\rm RH}$ and \tilde{C}_{Λ} are given at the reheating end $a = a_R$. In this article, to study dark energy and radiation interaction, we select the initial condition $\tilde{C}_{\Lambda} = 0$, consistently with $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\rm RH} \propto \rho_R^{\rm RH}$ and $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\rm RH} \ll \rho_R^{\rm RH}$ at reheating end. The reasons are that the dark energy ρ_{Λ} converts to massive pair plasma energy ρ_M^H (3.1), and massive pairs decay to relativistic particles, producing radiation energy ρ_R [66]. With such an initial condition $\tilde{C}_{\Lambda} = 0$, the dark-energy in radiation epoch is negative $\rho_{\Lambda} < 0$ because of $\gamma_R < 0$. The detailed discussions about negative dark energy can be found in Refs. [79–85]. It requires more studies of the transition from reheating to radiation epochs to determine \tilde{C}_{Λ} .

As a result, the asymptotic solution (5.4) shows that ρ_{Λ} linearly tracks down (follows) ρ_R . Here we adopt the terminology "track down" used in the discussions of Ref. [86]. Such $\rho_{\Lambda} - \rho_R$ tracking dynamics continues in the entire radiation epoch. We will show that the tracking dynamics ends and ρ_{Λ} becomes positive during a continuous transition period from radiation epoch to matter epoch. We use an analytical approach to asymptotic solutions in different epochs. Therefore we cannot precisely determine the transition period. Therefore, we introduce the scale factor $a_{\rm tr}$ to characterize the transition time scale, and discuss two extremal cases:

- (i) $a_{\rm tr} \sim a_{\rm eq}$ transition occurs at the radiation-matter equilibrium moment;
- (ii) $a_{\rm tr} > a_{\rm eq}$ transition occurs at sometime around/after the last scattering surface.

More details of the transition behave and period need numerical studies of massive pair plasma (3.1,4.1), Friedman equation (1.2) and cosmic rate equation (4.4).

In the matter dominate epoch, we identify $\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ \rightarrow $\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ and $\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ \rightarrow $\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ \approx 0 in Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5). Analogously to the approach in radiation epoch, neglecting darkenergy and radiation-energy density, $H^2 \approx \rho_M/(3m_{\rm pl}^2)$, and $\rho_M^H \approx \chi \bar{m}_M^2 \rho_M$, we recast Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) as

$$\frac{d\rho_M}{dx} + 3\rho_M = +\langle \Gamma_M / H \rangle_M (\chi \bar{m}_M^2 - 1)\rho_M, \qquad (5.5)$$

$$\frac{d\rho_{\Lambda}}{dx} = -\langle \Gamma_M / H \rangle_{_M} (\chi \bar{m}_{_M}^2 - 1) \rho_{_M}, \qquad (5.6)$$

where $\bar{m}_{_M} \equiv (2/3)m_{_M}/m_{\rm pl}$ and $\chi \bar{m}_{_M}^2 < 1$. Here we introduce the average mass parameter m_{M} and rate $\langle \Gamma_{M}/H \rangle_{M}$ over the matter epoch, assuming they vary much slowly than ρ_{M} . The asymptotic solutions are

$$\rho_M = \rho_M^{\rm eq} \left(\frac{a_{\rm eq}}{a}\right)^{3-\gamma_M}, \quad \gamma_M \equiv \langle \Gamma_M / H \rangle_M (\chi \bar{m}_M^2 - 1), \tag{5.7}$$

$$\rho_{\Lambda} = \frac{\gamma_{M}}{3 - \gamma_{M}} \rho_{M} + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}^{\text{eq}}, \quad \rho_{\Lambda} \to \rho_{\Lambda}^{0} \approx \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}^{\text{eq}}.$$
(5.8)

The coupling parameter $\gamma_{_M} < 0 \ (|\gamma_{_M}| < 1)$ represents the $\rho_{_\Lambda} - \rho_{_M}$ interaction and $\rho_{_M}$ conversion into ρ_{Λ} . Here we adopt the case (i) $a_{\rm tr} \sim a_{\rm eq}$ for discussions. Namely, the $\rho_{\Lambda} - \rho_{R}$ tracking continues until the Universe reaches the radiation-matter equilibrium $\rho_{M}^{eq} = \rho_{R}^{eq}$ at $(a_{eq}/a_{R}) = (T_{\rm RH}/T_{eq}) \sim 10^{15} {\rm GeV}/10 {\rm eV} \sim 10^{23}$, where $T_{\rm RH}(T_{eq})$ is the reheating (equilibrium) temperature. The initial value ρ_{M}^{eq} is given at the radiationmatter equilibrium $\rho_{M}^{\text{eq}} = \rho_{R}^{\text{eq}}$ at $a = a_{\text{eq}}$, where the solutions (5.4) and (5.8) should match, yielding

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{eq}} = \frac{3\gamma_R - 4\gamma_M}{(4 - \gamma_R)(3 - \gamma_M)}\rho_M^{\mathrm{eq}}, \quad \rho_M^{\mathrm{eq}} \approx \rho_M^0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a_{\mathrm{eq}}}\right)^3, \tag{5.9}$$

where ρ_M^0 and ρ_Λ^0 are the values at the present time $a_0 = (1+z) \sim 10^4 a_{\rm eq}$. The solution (5.8) shows that the term $(\gamma_M/3)\rho_M$ decreases as a^{-3} , ρ_Λ fails to track down ρ_M , and becomes positive value approaching to the constant $\rho_{\Lambda}^0 \approx \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}^{eq}$. These results (5.7, 5.8, 5.9) depend on the transition period from radiation to matter epoch. As for the case (ii) that $\rho_{\Lambda} - \rho_{R}$ tracking dynamics ends and ρ_{Λ} becomes positive value at sometime $a_{\rm tr}$ around/after the last scattering surface. Discussions and results are similar with substitutions: $a_{\rm eq} \to a_{\rm tr}$, $\rho_M^{\rm eq} \to \rho_M^{\rm tr}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}^{\rm eq} \to \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}^{\rm tr}$ in Eqs. (5.7-5.9). To end this section, we mention ρ_{Λ} -dominate epoch in future, when $H^2 \approx \rho_{\Lambda}/(3m_{\rm pl}^2)$,

and $\rho_{_M}^H \approx \chi \bar{m}_{_\Lambda}^2 \rho_{_\Lambda}$, we recast Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) as

$$\frac{d\rho_M}{dx} + 3\rho_M = +\gamma_\Lambda (\chi \bar{m}_\Lambda^2 \rho_\Lambda - \rho_M), \qquad (5.10)$$

$$\frac{d\rho_{\Lambda}}{dx} = -\gamma_{\Lambda} (\chi \bar{m}_{\Lambda}^2 \rho_{\Lambda} - \rho_{M}), \qquad (5.11)$$

where positive $\gamma_{\Lambda} \equiv \langle \Gamma_M / H \rangle |_{\Lambda}$, $\bar{m}_{\Lambda} \equiv (2/3) m_{\Lambda} / m_{\rm pl}$ and $\chi \bar{m}_{\Lambda}^2 < 1$. Here we introduce the average mass parameter and rate for this epoch. For $\chi \bar{m}_{\Lambda}^2 \rho_{\Lambda}^2 > \rho_M$, namely $\rho_M^H > \rho_M$



Figure 2. The ratio ρ_{Λ}/ρ_{M} (6.1) is plotted as a function of $\ln(a/a_{\rm eq})$, where the scaling factor *a* runs from the reheating end a_{R} , through transition period $a_{\rm tr}$ to the present time $a_{0}, a_{R} < a_{\rm tr} < a_{0}$. It shows that (a) the tracking-down behavior: the ratio ρ_{Λ}/ρ_{R} is a small negative constant $\gamma_{R}/4$ for $\ln(a/a_{\rm tr}) < 0$; (b) tracking-down failure occurs and dark-energy density ρ_{Λ} becomes positive around $\ln(a/a_{\rm tr}) = 0$; (c) $\rho_{M} \sim (a/a_{\rm eq})^{-3}$ (5.7) and $\rho_{\Lambda} \approx \rho_{\Lambda}^{0}$ (5.8) constant, the ratio ρ_{Λ}/ρ_{M} increases to $\mathcal{O}(1)$. The upper panel: $|\gamma_{M}| \approx |\gamma_{R}| \sim 10^{-11}$ for the case (i) $a_{\rm tr} \sim a_{\rm eq} \sim 10^{4}a_{0}$ and the present time $\ln(a_{0}/a_{\rm eq}) \approx 9.2$. The lower panel: $|\gamma_{M}| \approx |\gamma_{R}| \sim 10^{-3}$ for the case (ii) $a_{\rm tr} \sim 30a_{0}$ and the present time $\ln(a_{0}/a_{\rm tr}) \approx 3.4$.

in the cosmic rate equation (4.4) or (4.5), asymptotic solutions are slowly time varying

$$\rho_{\Lambda} \approx \rho_{\Lambda}^0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^{\chi \bar{m}_{\Lambda}^2 \gamma_{\Lambda}}; \quad \rho_{M} \approx \chi \bar{m}_{\Lambda}^2 \gamma_{\Lambda} \rho_{\Lambda}.$$
(5.12)

It shows that dark energy decreases in time and converts to matter, and the latter tracks down the former.

6 Cosmic coincidence of present dark and matter energies

To discuss the cosmic coincidence, we use the ratio ρ_{Λ}/ρ_{M} which is independent of the characteristic scales in different epochs. We separately discuss two extremal cases: (i) $a_{\rm tr} \sim a_{\rm eq} \sim 10^4 a_0$ or (ii) $a_{\rm tr} \sim 10^2 a_0$, when the $\rho_{\Lambda} - \rho_{M}$ tracking ends and ρ_{Λ} becomes positive. In radiation epoch, solution (5.4) shows the ratio $\rho_{\Lambda}/\rho_R \approx \gamma_R/4$ keeps constant, as ρ_{Λ} tracks down ρ_R from the reheating end a_R to (i) the radiationmatter equilibrium $a_{\rm eq} \sim 10^{23} a_R$ or (ii) sometime after the last scattering surface $a_{\rm tr} \sim 10^{25} a_R$. This tracking dynamics avoids the fine tuning cosmic ρ_{Λ} and ρ_R coincidence of the order of (i) $(a_{\rm eq}/a_R)^4 \sim 10^{92}$ or (ii) $(a_{\rm tr}/a_R)^4 \sim 10^{100}$. Whereas, from the transition time (i) $a_{\rm tr} \sim a_{\rm eq} = (1 + z_{\rm eq})^{-1} a_0 \sim 10^{-4} a_0$ or (ii) $a_{\rm tr} \sim (1 + z_{\rm tr})^{-1} a_0 \sim 10^{-2} a_0$ to the present time a_0 , solutions (5.7) and (5.8) give

$$\frac{\rho_{\Lambda}}{\rho_{M}} \approx \frac{\gamma_{M}}{3 - \gamma_{M}} + \frac{(3\gamma_{R} - 4\gamma_{M})}{(4 - \gamma_{R})(3 - \gamma_{M})} \left(\frac{a}{a_{\rm tr}}\right)^{3 - \gamma_{M}}.$$
(6.1)

This ratio consistently approaches the constant $-\gamma_R/4$ when scale factor a traces back to the reheating end a_R . Using (i) $\gamma_M \approx \gamma_R \sim 10^{-11}$ for the case $a_{\rm tr} \sim a_{\rm eq} \sim 10^4 a_0$; (ii) $\gamma_M \approx \gamma_R \sim 10^{-3}$ for the case $a_{\rm tr} \sim 10^2 a_0$, we plot in Fig. 2 the ratio $\rho_\Lambda/\rho_{R,M}$ varying from $-\gamma_R/4$ to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ as a function of the scale factor $\ln(a/a_{\rm tr})$ from the reheating to present time. It shows that the cosmic coincidence of the present ρ_Λ^0 and ρ_M^0 values appear naturally without any extremely fine-tuning their values at the transition time. Namely, in Eq. ??6.1) the ratio $\rho_\Lambda/\rho_M \sim (a/a_{\rm tr})^3$ variation is about (i) $\mathcal{O}(10^{-12})$ or (ii) $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$, see the right column of Fig. 2. The reason is that the matter-dominated epoch of (i) $z_{\rm eq} \sim 10^4$ or (ii) $z_{\rm tr} \sim 10^2$ is much shorter than the radiation dominated epoch of (i) $(a_{\rm eq}/a_R) \sim 10^{23}$ or (ii) $(a_{\rm tr}/a_R) \sim 10^{25}$, when the ρ_Λ tracks down ρ_R and the ratio ρ_Λ/ρ_R is a constant, see the left column of Fig. 2. Otherwise, to reach present ρ_Λ and ρ_M observational values of the same order of magnitude, we would have the cosmic coincidence problem of incredibly fine-tuning their reheating values $\rho_\Lambda^{\rm RH}$ and $\rho_M^{\rm RH}$ at order of (i) $(10^{-23})^4 \times (10^{-4})^3 \sim 10^{-104}$ or (ii) $(10^{-25})^4 \times (10^{-2})^3 \sim 10^{-106}$.

7 Discussions

Massive pair productions and oscillations on the cosmic horizon lead to a massive pair plasma (3.1,4.1). It back reacts on Friedman equation (1.2) with matter ρ_M and dark energy ρ_Λ , via cosmic rate equation (4.4). As a consequence, matter and dark energy interact with each other in Universe evolution. The induced dark-energy and matter $\rho_\Lambda - \rho_M$ interacting strength $\langle \Gamma_M / H \rangle$ depends on evolution epochs. We study asymptotic solutions for radiation and matter epochs, starting from the reheating end. Because of different epoch transitions, $\rho_\Lambda - \rho_M$ tracking dynamics proceeds in the radiation epoch and ends in matter one. As a result, a slowly varying dark-energy density is of the same order of matter-energy density today. We can avoid the extremal fine-tuning problem of cosmic coincidence.

Due to the lack of enough knowledge, we have not been able to determine the details of epoch transitions. However, asymptotic solutions (5.3), (5.7) and (5.12) show modified scaling laws in contrast with the counterparts of Λ CDM. Therefore we consider the following phenomenological model of dark energy and matter interaction. The Hubble function $E(z)^2 = H^2/H_0^2$ can be parametrized

$$E(z)^{2} = \Omega_{R}(1+z)^{4-\delta_{R}^{G}} + \Omega_{M}(1+z)^{3-\delta_{M}^{G}} + \Omega_{\Lambda}(1+z)^{\delta_{\Lambda}}.$$
(7.1)

Here energy densities $\rho_{R,M,\Lambda}$ are in units of the critical density $\rho_c^0 = 3m_{\rm pl}^2 H_0^2$ today, and $\Omega_{R,M,\Lambda}$ are the present values and $\Omega_R + \Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$. Inserting E(z) (7.1) into the dark-energy and matter interacting equation (1.2), the dark energy term can be obtained as,

$$\Omega_{\Lambda}(1+z)^{\delta_{\Lambda}} = \Omega_{\Lambda} + \delta_{G}^{M} \frac{\Omega_{M}}{3} \left[(1+z)^{3-\delta_{G}^{M}} - 1 \right] + \delta_{G}^{R} \frac{\Omega_{R}}{4} \left[(1+z)^{4-\delta_{G}^{R}} - 1 \right].$$
(7.2)

Equations (7.1) and (7.2) give a class of effective interacting dark energy models with two parameters $\delta_{\rm G}^{M}$ and $\delta_{\rm G}^{R}$. These modified scaling laws (7.1) were also proposed from the view point that time-varying cosmological term $\tilde{\Lambda}(t)$ and gravitational coupling $\tilde{G}(t)$ obey scaling laws approaching to their present values (G, Λ) , where Ricci scalar term R and cosmological term Λ of classical Einstein gravity are realized [67] in the spirit of Weinberg asymptotic safety [87] for the quantum field theory of gravity. Based on observational data, the model is examined and parameters are constrained in Refs. [88] and [89], showing it greatly relieves the H_0 tensions of the standard cosmology model Λ CDM.

We end this article with some remarks. In radiation dominate epoch, negative dark-energy density $\rho_{\Lambda} \approx \gamma_R m_{\rm pl}^2 H^2$ (5.4) follows the "area law" $\propto H^2$. In matter dominate epoch, it changes sign at $\rho_{\Lambda} = 0$ in Eq. (5.8), and approaches a positive constant $\rho_{\Lambda}^0 \approx \tilde{C}_{\Lambda}^{\rm tr}$ (5.9). The dark energy undergoes these transitions and becomes dominant, converting to matter, and matter density ρ_M , in turn, tracks down dark energy density (5.12). We speculate that such ρ_{Λ} -transitions should induce the peculiar fluctuations of the gravitational field that possibly imprint on the CMB and matter spectrum, analogously to the integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect.

8 Supplemental Material: quantum pair oscillation details

In microscopic time, we plot the Bogoliubov coefficient $|\beta|^2$, the quantum pair density $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\text{fast}}$ and pressure $p_{\Lambda}^{\text{fast}}$, as well as the fast components of Hubble function H_{fast} , and cosmological term $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\text{fast}}$.

References

- E. Di Valentino, Crack in the cosmological paradigm, Nature Astron. 1 (2017) 569 [1709.04046].
- [2] E. Di Valentino, O. Mena, S. Pan, L. Visinelli, W. Yang, A. Melchiorri et al., In the realm of the hubble tension—a review of solutions, Class. Quant. Grav. 38 (2021) 153001 [2103.01183].
- [3] L. Verde, T. Treu and A. G. Riess, Tensions between the early and the late universe, Nature Astron. 3 (2019) 891 [1907.10625].
- [4] E. Di Valentino et al., Snowmass2021 letter of interest cosmology intertwined ii: The hubble constant tension, Astropart. Phys. 131 (2021) 102605 [2008.11284].



Figure 3. Corresponding to Fig. 1, the details of quantum pair oscillation are shown in microscopic time t in unit of M^{-1} . The oscillatory $|\beta(t)|^2$, h_{fast} and $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\text{fast}}$ structures are too small to see.

- [5] W. L. Freedman, Cosmology at a crossroads, Nature Astron. 1 (2017) 0121 [1706.02739].
- [6] A. G. Riess, The expansion of the universe is faster than expected, Nature Rev. Phys. 2 (2019) 10 [2001.03624].

- [7] D. Camarena and V. Marra, Impact of the cosmic variance on h₀ on cosmological analyses, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 023537 [1805.09900].
- [8] V. Salvatelli, A. Marchini, L. Lopez-Honorez and O. Mena, New constraints on coupled dark energy from the planck satellite experiment, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 023531 [1304.7119].
- [9] A. A. Costa, X.-D. Xu, B. Wang, E. G. M. Ferreira and E. Abdalla, Testing the interaction between dark energy and dark matter with planck data, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 103531 [1311.7380].
- [10] M. Li, X.-D. Li, Y.-Z. Ma, X. Zhang and Z. Zhang, Planck constraints on holographic dark energy, JCAP 09 (2013) 021 [1305.5302].
- [11] W. Yang, S. Pan and D. F. Mota, Novel approach toward the large-scale stable interacting dark-energy models and their astronomical bounds, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 123508 [1709.00006].
- [12] E. Di Valentino, S. Pan, W. Yang and L. A. Anchordoqui, Touch of neutrinos on the vacuum metamorphosis: Is the h₀ solution back?, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 123527
 [2102.05641].
- [13] W. Yang, S. Pan, E. Di Valentino, O. Mena and A. Melchiorri, 2021-h0 odyssey: closed, phantom and interacting dark energy cosmologies, JCAP 10 (2021) 008 [2101.03129].
- [14] E. Di Valentino, A. Melchiorri and O. Mena, Can interacting dark energy solve the h₀ tension?, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 043503 [1704.08342].
- [15] G.-B. Zhao et al., Dynamical dark energy in light of the latest observations, Nature Astron. 1 (2017) 627 [1701.08165].
- [16] M. Martinelli and I. Tutusaus, Cmb tensions with low-redshift h₀ and s₈ measurements: impact of a redshift-dependent type-ia supernovae intrinsic luminosity, Symmetry **11** (2019) 986 [1906.09189].
- [17] G. Alestas, L. Kazantzidis and L. Perivolaropoulos, h₀ tension, phantom dark energy, and cosmological parameter degeneracies, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 123516
 [2004.08363].
- [18] E. Di Valentino, A combined analysis of the h₀ late time direct measurements and the impact on the dark energy sector, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 502 (2021) 2065
 [2011.00246].
- [19] G. Efstathiou, A lockdown perspective on the hubble tension (with comments from the sh0es team), 2007.10716.
- [20] W. Yang, E. Di Valentino, S. Pan, Y. Wu and J. Lu, Dynamical dark energy after planck cmb final release and h₀ tension, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 501 (2021) 5845 [2101.02168].

- [21] Q.-G. Huang and K. Wang, How the dark energy can reconcile planck with local determination of the hubble constant, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 506 [1606.05965].
- [22] L. Parker, Particle creation in expanding universes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21 (1968) 562.
- [23] L. Parker, Quantized fields and particle creation in expanding universes. ii, Phys. Rev. D 3 (1971) 346.
- [24] L. Parker, Quantized fields and particle creation in expanding universes. i, Phys. Rev. 183 (1969) 1057.
- [25] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 2, 1984, 10.1017/CBO9780511622632.
- [26] E. Mottola, Particle creation in de sitter space, Phys. Rev. D 31 (1985) 754.
- [27] S. Habib, C. Molina-Paris and E. Mottola, Energy momentum tensor of particles created in an expanding universe, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 024010 [gr-qc/9906120].
- [28] P. R. Anderson and E. Mottola, Instability of global de sitter space to particle creation, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 104038 [1310.0030].
- [29] P. R. Anderson and E. Mottola, Quantum vacuum instability of "eternal" de sitter space, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 104039 [1310.1963].
- [30] A. Landete, J. Navarro-Salas and F. Torrenti, Adiabatic regularization and particle creation for spin one-half fields, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 044030 [1311.4958].
- [31] L. Parker and S. A. Fulling, Quantized matter fields and the avoidance of singularities in general relativity, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1973) 2357.
- [32] L. H. Ford, Gravitational particle creation and inflation, Phys. Rev. D 35 (1987) 2955.
- [33] E. W. Kolb, A. D. Linde and A. Riotto, Gut baryogenesis after preheating, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 4290 [hep-ph/9606260].
- [34] B. R. Greene, T. Prokopec and T. G. Roos, Inflaton decay and heavy particle production with negative coupling, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 6484 [hep-ph/9705357].
- [35] E. W. Kolb, A. Riotto and I. I. Tkachev, Gut baryogenesis after preheating: Numerical study of the production and decay of x bosons, Phys. Lett. B 423 (1998) 348 [hep-ph/9801306].
- [36] D. J. H. Chung, E. W. Kolb and A. Riotto, Superheavy dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1998) 023501 [hep-ph/9802238].
- [37] D. J. H. Chung, P. Crotty, E. W. Kolb and A. Riotto, On the gravitational production of superheavy dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 043503 [hep-ph/0104100].

- [38] D. J. H. Chung, E. W. Kolb, A. Riotto and I. I. Tkachev, Probing planckian physics: Resonant production of particles during inflation and features in the primordial power spectrum, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 043508 [hep-ph/9910437].
- [39] D. J. H. Chung, Classical inflation field induced creation of superheavy dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 083514 [hep-ph/9809489].
- [40] D. J. H. Chung, E. W. Kolb, A. Riotto and L. Senatore, *Isocurvature constraints on gravitationally produced superheavy dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* 72 (2005) 023511 [astro-ph/0411468].
- [41] Y. Ema, R. Jinno, K. Mukaida and K. Nakayama, Gravitational particle production in oscillating backgrounds and its cosmological implications, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 063517 [1604.08898].
- [42] D. J. H. Chung, E. W. Kolb and A. J. Long, Gravitational production of super-hubble-mass particles: an analytic approach, JHEP 01 (2019) 189 [1812.00211].
- [43] Y. Ema, K. Nakayama and Y. Tang, Production of purely gravitational dark matter, JHEP 09 (2018) 135 [1804.07471].
- [44] L. Li, T. Nakama, C. M. Sou, Y. Wang and S. Zhou, Gravitational production of superheavy dark matter and associated cosmological signatures, JHEP 07 (2019) 067 [1903.08842].
- [45] S.-S. Xue, Cosmological λ driven inflation and produced massive particles, 1910.03938.
- [46] L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. A. Starobinsky, Reheating after inflation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 3195 [hep-th/9405187].
- [47] L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. A. Starobinsky, Towards the theory of reheating after inflation, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 3258 [hep-ph/9704452].
- [48] V. Kuzmin and I. Tkachev, Ultrahigh-energy cosmic rays, superheavy long living particles, and matter creation after inflation, JETP Lett. 68 (1998) 271 [hep-ph/9802304].
- [49] V. Kuzmin and I. Tkachev, Matter creation via vacuum fluctuations in the early universe and observed ultrahigh-energy cosmic ray events, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 123006 [hep-ph/9809547].
- [50] E. W. Kolb, D. J. H. Chung and A. Riotto, Wimpzillas!, AIP Conf. Proc. 484 (1999) 91 [hep-ph/9810361].
- [51] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk, Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints, Phys. Rept. 405 (2005) 279 [hep-ph/0404175].
- [52] B. A. Bassett, S. Tsujikawa and D. Wands, Inflation dynamics and reheating, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78 (2006) 537 [astro-ph/0507632].
- [53] E. W. Kolb, A. A. Starobinsky and I. I. Tkachev, Trans-planckian wimpzillas, JCAP 07 (2007) 005 [hep-th/0702143].

- [54] R. Allahverdi, R. Brandenberger, F.-Y. Cyr-Racine and A. Mazumdar, *Reheating in inflationary cosmology: Theory and applications*, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60 (2010) 27 [1001.2600].
- [55] A. V. Frolov, Non-linear dynamics and primordial curvature perturbations from preheating, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 124006 [1004.3559].
- [56] L. J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell and S. M. West, Freeze-in production of fimp dark matter, JHEP 03 (2010) 080 [0911.1120].
- [57] J. L. Feng, Dark matter candidates from particle physics and methods of detection, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 48 (2010) 495 [1003.0904].
- [58] M. A. Amin, M. P. Hertzberg, D. I. Kaiser and J. Karouby, Nonperturbative dynamics of reheating after inflation: A review, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 24 (2014) 1530003 [1410.3808].
- [59] Y. Ema, R. Jinno, K. Mukaida and K. Nakayama, Gravitational effects on inflaton decay, JCAP 05 (2015) 038 [1502.02475].
- [60] M. Garny, M. Sandora and M. S. Sloth, Planckian interacting massive particles as dark matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) 101302 [1511.03278].
- [61] M. Garny, A. Palessandro, M. Sandora and M. S. Sloth, Theory and phenomenology of planckian interacting massive particles as dark matter, JCAP 02 (2018) 027 [1709.09688].
- [62] E. W. Kolb and A. J. Long, Superheavy dark matter through higgs portal operators, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 103540 [1708.04293].
- [63] S. Hashiba and J. Yokoyama, *Gravitational reheating through conformally coupled* superheavy scalar particles, JCAP **01** (2019) 028 [1809.05410].
- [64] S. Hashiba and J. Yokoyama, Gravitational particle creation for dark matter and reheating, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 043008 [1812.10032].
- [65] J. Haro, W. Yang and S. Pan, Reheating in quintessential inflation via gravitational production of heavy massive particles: A detailed analysis, JCAP 01 (2019) 023 [1811.07371].
- [66] S.-S. Xue, Cosmological λ converts to reheating energy and cold dark matter, 2006.15622.
- [67] S.-S. Xue, How universe evolves with cosmological and gravitational constants, Nucl. Phys. B 897 (2015) 326 [1410.6152].
- [68] Y. Kluger, J. M. Eisenberg, B. Svetitsky, F. Cooper and E. Mottola, Pair production in a strong electric field, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 2427.
- [69] R. Ruffini, G. Vereshchagin and S.-S. Xue, Electron-positron pairs in physics and astrophysics: from heavy nuclei to black holes, Phys. Rept. 487 (2010) 1 [0910.0974].

- [70] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, vol. 69. 1990, 10.1201/9780429492860.
- [71] B. W. Lee and S. Weinberg, Cosmological lower bound on heavy-neutrino masses, .
- [72] R. Ruffini, J. D. Salmonson, J. R. Wilson and S.-S. Xue, On evolution of the pair-electromagnetic pulse of a charged black hole, .
- [73] R. Ruffini, J. D. Salmonson, J. R. Wilson and S.-S. Xue, On the pair-electromagnetic pulse from an electromagnetic black hole surrounded by a baryonic remnant, Astron. Astrophys 359, 855-864 (2000) (2000) [astro-ph/0004257].
- [74] B. Wang, E. Abdalla, F. Atrio-Barandela and D. Pavon, Dark matter and dark energy interactions: Theoretical challenges, cosmological implications and observational signatures, Rept. Prog. Phys. 79 (2016) 096901 [1603.08299].
- [75] E. Di Valentino, A. Melchiorri, O. Mena and S. Vagnozzi, Interacting dark energy in the early 2020s: A promising solution to the h₀ and cosmic shear tensions, Phys. Dark Univ. **30** (2020) 100666 [1908.04281].
- [76] R.-Y. Guo, Y.-H. Li, J.-F. Zhang and X. Zhang, Weighing neutrinos in the scenario of vacuum energy interacting with cold dark matter: application of the parameterized post-friedmann approach, JCAP 05 (2017) 040 [1702.04189].
- [77] L. Feng, J.-F. Zhang and X. Zhang, Search for sterile neutrinos in a universe of vacuum energy interacting with cold dark matter, Phys. Dark Univ. 23 (2019) 100261 [1712.03148].
- [78] R.-Y. Guo, J.-F. Zhang and X. Zhang, Exploring neutrino mass and mass hierarchy in the scenario of vacuum energy interacting with cold dark matte, Chin. Phys. C 42 (2018) 095103 [1803.06910].
- [79] R. Calderón, R. Gannouji, B. L'Huillier and D. Polarski, Negative cosmological constant in the dark sector?, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 023526 [2008.10237].
- [80] B. Boisseau, H. Giacomini, D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, *Bouncing universes in scalar-tensor gravity models admitting negative potentials*, 1504.07927.
- [81] J. A. Vazquez, S. Hee, M. P. Hobson, A. N. Lasenby, M. Ibison and M. Bridges, Observational constraints on conformal time symmetry, missing matter and double dark energy, 1208.2542.
- [82] G. Ye and Y.-S. Piao, Is the hubble tension a hint of ads phase around recombination?, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 083507 [2001.02451].
- [83] O. Akarsu, J. D. Barrow, L. A. Escamilla and J. A. Vazquez, Graduated dark energy: Observational hints of a spontaneous sign switch in the cosmological constant, 1912.08751.
- [84] K. Dutta, Ruchika, A. Roy, A. A. Sen and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Beyond ΛCDM with low and high redshift data: Implications for dark energy, 1808.06623.

- [85] J. Grande, J. Sola and H. Stefancic, Lxcdm: a cosmon model solution to the cosmological coincidence problem?, gr-qc/0604057.
- [86] I. Zlatev, L.-M. Wang and P. J. Steinhardt, Quintessence, cosmic coincidence, and the cosmological constant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 896 [astro-ph/9807002].
- [87] S. Weinberg, Asymptotically safe inflation, 0911.3165.
- [88] D. Bégué, C. Stahl and S.-S. Xue, A model of interacting dark fluids tested with supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillations data, Nucl. Phys. B 940 (2019) 312 [1702.03185].
- [89] L.-Y. Gao, Z.-W. Zhao, S.-S. Xue and X. Zhang, Relieving the h 0 tension with a new interacting dark energy model, JCAP 07 (2021) 005 [2101.10714].