

Thermodynamical aspects of Bianchi type-I Universe in quadratic form of $f(Q)$ gravity

M. Koussour^{1*}, S. H. Shekh^{2†}, M. Govender^{3‡}, M. Bennai^{1,4§}

¹ *Quantum Physics and Magnetism Team, LPMC,
Faculty of Science Ben M'sik, Casablanca Hassan II University, Morocco.*

² *Department of Mathematics, S.P.M. Science and Gilani Arts and
Commerce College, Ghatanji, Yavatmal, Maharashtra-445301, India.*

³ *Department of Mathematics, Steve Biko Campus,
Durban University of Technology, Durban 4000, South Africa and*

⁴ *Lab of High Energy Physics, Modeling and Simulations,
Faculty of Science, University Mohammed V-Agdal, Rabat, Morocco.*

Abstract: In this paper, we study a LRS Bianchi type-I cosmological model in the framework of symmetric teleparallel $f(Q)$ gravity in which the non-metricity term (Q) is responsible for the gravitational interaction. We have considered a special form of the $f(Q)$ gravity function which can be cast as $f(Q) = \gamma Q^n$ (where γ and n are both the dynamical model constant parameters). Such a choice can be viewed as a hybrid scale factor and the cosmic time and the redshift are related via $t = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) W \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right]$ which describes a Λ CDM model of the Universe with the expansion evolving from decelerating to an acceleration phase. We further study the validity of our model with an investigation of the thermodynamical quantities and energy conditions along with some physical variables such as the EoS, jerk, and statefinder parameters. Our results are discussed in the light of current observational data and trends.

PACS numbers: 04.50+h

Keywords: Bianchi type-I Universe, $f(Q)$ gravity, Dark energy, Energy conditions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Contemporary astrophysical observations demonstrating that the present-day Cosmos experiences an accelerated expansion due to an almost mystical energy with a large negative pressure called Dark Energy [1, 2] along with the other observations such as cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies measured with WMAP satellite [3] and large-scale structure [4] advocated just about two-thirds of the universe be made up of dark energy while the remainder consists of relativistic Dark Matter and baryons [5]. The ultimate nature of the dark energy is commonly categorized by the ratio of spatially homogeneous pressure to the energy density of dark energy, the so-called equation of state (EoS) parameter. According to recent cosmological observations one can understand the worth of the EoS parameter, $\omega < -1/3$ is prerequisite for the speeded up cosmic expansion. The crucial candidates in this classification are scalar field models in which the EoS parameter is $-1 < \omega < -1/3$ articulating as a Quintessence field dark energy [6, 7] whereas $\omega < -1$ as phantom field dark energy [8]. Amongst this, the phantom field dark energy has attracted widespread attention in line of its strange properties. The phantom model characterizes developing dark energy that perpetuates in an exciting future spreading, leading to finite-time future

singularity. It also violates all four energy conditions that help to constrain wormholes [9]. Also, the worth of the EoS parameter for dark energy attained by the combined observations of WMAP9 [10] and the H_0 measurements, SNe-Ia, cosmic microwave background and BAO show that $\omega = -1.084 \pm 0.063$. This is in light of the fact that in 2015, the Planck collaboration showed that $\omega = -1.006 \pm 0.0451$ [11] and furthermore, in 2018 we had a refinement of $\omega = -1.028 \pm 0.032$ [12].

Motivated by research on black hole thermodynamics [13], Hooft proposed for the first time the famous holographic principle (HP) [14]. Later on the holographic principle was applied to the dark energy (DE) problem, prompting a new model of DE referred to as the Holographic Dark Energy (HDE) in which the energy density relies physical quantities on the boundary of the universe these are the reduced Planck mass and the cosmological length scale which is chosen as the future event horizon of the universe [15]. Several researchers [16-21] have inspected altered holographic dark energies by making an allowance for different types of cut-offs, for example, Hubble's and Granda-Oliveros'. In the current analysis, we envisage the dark energy propagating from the gravitational region as an alternative of the matter part. Such a line of attack has been extensively used in the collected works in the framework of so-called modified theories of gravity [22-24]. The so-called $f(Q)$ gravity has peaked interest amongst cosmologists because of its robustness. The first cosmological solutions in $f(Q)$ gravity appear in References [25, 26], although $f(Q)$ cosmography with energy conditions can be found in [27, 28] whereas cosmological clarifications and evolution index of matter perturbations have been examined for a polynomial functional form of $f(Q)$ [29]. Harko et al. investigated the connection matter in $f(Q)$ gravity by supposing a power-law function [30].

Motivated by previous work and results we analyse $f(Q)$ gravity in anisotropic background and discussed some

*Email: pr.mouhssine@gmail.com

†Email: dasalim@rediff.com

‡Email: megandhreg@dut.ac.za

§Email: mdbennai@yahoo.fr

physical parameters along with energy conditions, thermodynamical aspects like temperature and entropy density and statefinder parameters of the Universe. Here is an outline of the work contained in this paper: in section II and III respectively, we provide the $f(Q)$ gravity formalism and associated field equation in view of LRS Bianchi type-I space-time. Using some physical conditions the solution of the field equations with the help of Hybrid Law of Expansion are derived in section IV while some physical and kinematical properties of the derived model is discussed in section V. The energy conditions, thermodynamical aspects and statefinder parameters are discussed in section VI, VII and VIII respectively.

II. $f(Q)$ GRAVITY FORMALISM

The action of symmetric teleparallel gravity has been well presented in the current literature. For a more de-

tailed discussion the reader is referred to the works contained in references [25, 27]. Starting off with the action

$$S = \int \left[\frac{1}{2k} f(Q) + L_m \right] d^4x \sqrt{-g}, \quad (1)$$

where $f(Q)$ is an arbitrary function of the non-metricity Q , g is the determinant of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and L_m is the matter Lagrangian density. The variation of the action (S) in Eq. (1) with respect to the metric, yields the following gravitational field equation

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \nabla_\lambda (\sqrt{-g} f_Q P^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} f g_{\mu\nu} + f_Q (P_{\nu\rho\sigma} Q_\mu{}^{\rho\sigma} - 2P_{\rho\sigma\mu} Q^{\rho\sigma}{}_\nu) = k T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where $f_Q = \frac{df}{dQ}$ and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor. In a recent exposition models of holographic dark energy in $f(Q)$ gravity, Shekh [31] investigated Holographic and Renyi holographic dark energy inflation with Hubble and Granda–Oliveros cut-off and observed that the models were ruled out by Galaxy Clustering Statistics with range $-1.33 \leq \omega \leq -0.79$. Furthermore, the Universe exhibits the transition from early deceleration phase to the observed current acceleration phase. Koussour et al. [32] studied a spatially homogeneous and isotropic FLRW cosmological model in the framework of symmetric teleparallel gravity of the form $f(Q) = \alpha Q^n + \beta$ with Lambert function. This model achieved quintessence field in present epoch of the Universe and Λ CDM model in future with the strong justification jerk and statefinder parameters.

III. METRIC AND FIELD EQUATIONS

In this paper, we consider the spatially homogeneous and anisotropic Bianchi type-I space-time in the form

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A^2(t)dx^2 + B^2(t)(dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (3)$$

where the metric potentials $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are functions of cosmic time t only. The corresponding non-metricity

scalar is given by

$$Q = -2 \left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} \right)^2 - 4 \frac{\dot{A}}{A} \frac{\dot{B}}{B}. \quad (4)$$

The energy-momentum tensor for the anisotropic fluid is defined as

$$T_\nu^\mu = \text{diag} [-\rho, p_x, p_y, p_z], \quad (5)$$

where ρ is the energy density, p_x , p_y and p_z are pressures in the directions of x , y and z axes respectively. Considering the anisotropy in pressure and the equation of state (EoS) parameter, we have

$$T_\nu^\mu = \text{diag} [-1, \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z] \rho = \text{diag} [-1, \omega, (\omega + \delta), (\omega + \delta)] \rho \quad (6)$$

where δ is the skewness parameter, i.e. the deviation from ω along the y and z axes ($\omega_x = \omega$). The parameters ω and δ are not constants and may be functions of cosmic time t . Using a co-moving coordinate system, the field equations (2) for the metric given in (3) with the help of Eq. (6) take the form

$$\frac{f}{2} + f_Q \left[4 \frac{\dot{A}}{A} \frac{\dot{B}}{B} + 2 \left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} \right)^2 \right] = \rho, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{f}{2} - f_Q \left[-2 \frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} - 2 \frac{\ddot{B}}{B} - 2 \left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} \right)^2 \right] + 2 \frac{\dot{B}}{B} \dot{Q} f_{QQ} = -\omega \rho, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{f}{2} - f_Q \left[-3 \frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} - \frac{\ddot{A}}{A} - \frac{\ddot{B}}{B} - \left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} \right)^2 \right] + \left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A} + \frac{\dot{B}}{B} \right) \dot{Q} f_{QQ} = -(\omega + \delta) \rho \quad (9)$$

where the dot ($\dot{}$) denotes the derivative with respect to cosmic time t .

The spatial volume for the Bianchi type-I model is given by

$$V = a^3(t) = AB^2. \quad (10)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the Universe. The deceleration parameter (q) is defined as

$$q = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) - 1. \quad (11)$$

where H is the Hubble parameter. The deceleration parameter is the quantity that describes the evolution of the expansion of the Universe. This parameter is positive ($q > 0$) when the Universe is decelerating over time and is negative ($q < 0$) in the case of an accelerating Universe. The average Hubble parameter H is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{3} (H_x + H_y + H_z), \quad (12)$$

where H_x , H_y and H_z are the directional Hubble parameters along x , y and z axes respectively. In view of eq. (3), these parameters take the form $H_x = \frac{\dot{A}}{A}$ and $H_y = H_z = \frac{\dot{B}}{B}$.

The Hubble parameter, spatial volume, and scale factor are connected by

$$H = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\dot{V}}{V} = \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{\dot{A}}{A} + 2 \frac{\dot{B}}{B} \right] = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}. \quad (13)$$

The scalar expansion $\theta(t)$, shear scalar $\sigma^2(t)$ and the mean anisotropy parameter Δ are given by

$$\theta(t) = \frac{\dot{A}}{A} + 2 \frac{\dot{B}}{B}, \quad (14)$$

$$\sigma^2(t) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A} - \frac{\dot{B}}{B} \right)^2, \quad (15)$$

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_i - H}{H} \right)^2, \quad (16)$$

where H_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are directional Hubble parameters.

IV. SOLUTIONS OF THE FIELD EQUATIONS

The field equations (7)-(9) constitute a system of three independent equations in seven unknowns, A , B , $f(Q)$, ρ , ω , δ , Q . So the system is initially undetermined. To find exact solutions of the field equations, additional physical constraints are needed. We first propose a physical condition that the shear is proportional to the expansion scalar ($\sigma^2 \propto \theta$) and this leads to the relation

$$A = B^m, \quad (17)$$

where $m \neq 1$ is an arbitrary constant. For the case $m = 1$ we obtain an isotropic model, otherwise the model is anisotropic. The main reasons behind the assumptions that led to this condition are discussed in detail here [33]. Observations of the velocity redshift relation for extragalactic sources indicate that the Hubble expansion of the Universe can reach isotropy when $\frac{\sigma^2}{\theta}$ is constant. This condition has been used in many studies. Also, consider the following form of the $f(Q)$ function

$$f(Q) = \gamma Q^n, \quad (18)$$

where γ is an arbitrary constant. If we consider the case where $n = 2$, then $f(Q) = \gamma Q^2$, we get the ordinary field equations of quadratic $f(Q)$ theory of gravity governing the Bianchi type-I Universe. Finally, we add a constraint to the scale factor which takes the form of the Hybrid Expansion Law, this form produces a transition of the Universe from deceleration to the acceleration phase and is given as follows:

$$a(t) = a_1 t^\alpha e^{\beta t}, \quad (19)$$

where a_1 , $\alpha \geq 0$, and $\beta \geq 0$ are constants. It is known in the literature that this law is a generalization of both the power-law cosmology and the exponential law cosmology. For $\alpha = 0$ hybrid expansion law in equation (19) reduces to exponential law $a = a_1 e^{\beta t}$ and for $\beta = 0$, it reduces to power-law $a = a_1 t^\alpha$. Here we take $a_1 = 0.2$. Using the same law of hybrid expansion explored by Shekh et al. [34] in which they showed that the holographic dark energy fluid with perfect fluid mimicked a Λ CDM model. By transforming the above hybrid law into regular bounce the same author [32] discussed the dynamical analysis with thermodynamic aspects of anisotropic dark energy bounce cosmological model in $f(R, G)$ gravity and observed that equation of state parameter takes a negative value which is an acceptable form observed by SNe-Ia observations.

Now, from Eqs. (10), (17) and (19) we obtain the metric potentials as

$$A(t) = (a_1 t^\alpha e^{\beta t})^{\frac{3m}{2+m}}, \quad (20)$$

$$B(t) = (a_1 t^\alpha e^{\beta t})^{\frac{3}{2+m}}. \quad (21)$$

Through the above equations, it is clear that the metric potentials initially vanish. Hence, the model has an early singularity. Also, the scale factors diverge to infinity when $t \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, there will be Big Rip at minimum in the distant future because the metric potentials tend to infinity at $t \rightarrow \infty$. Using Eqs. (20) and (21), the metric in Eq. (3) becomes,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + (a_1 t^\alpha e^{\beta t})^{\frac{6m}{2+m}} dx^2 + (a_1 t^\alpha e^{\beta t})^{\frac{6}{2+m}} (dy^2 + dz^2). \quad (22)$$

V. PHYSICAL AND KINEMATICAL PROPERTIES

In cosmology, there is a set of physical and kinematic parameters whose behavior can generally be studied either by analyzing their expressions or by interpreting the graphic representations. In this section, we will analyze the expressions of some of the necessary parameters such as the mean Hubble parameter H , the expansion scalar $\theta(t)$, the shear scalar $\sigma^2(t)$, the anisotropy parameter Δ , the spatial volume V and deceleration parameter q defined in the previous section.

The mean Hubble parameter is given by

$$H = \beta + \frac{\alpha}{t}, \quad (23)$$

Expansion scalar takes the form

$$\theta(t) = 3 \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha}{t} \right), \quad (24)$$

Shear scalar is expressed as

$$\sigma^2(t) = 3 \left(\frac{m-1}{m+2} \right)^2 \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha}{t} \right)^2, \quad (25)$$

Using the directional and mean Hubble parameter, the anisotropy parameter is obtained as

$$\Delta = \frac{2}{9H^2} (H_x - H_y)^2 = 2 \left(\frac{m-1}{m+2} \right)^2, \quad (26)$$

The spatial volume is derived as

$$V = (a_1 t^\alpha e^{\beta t})^3. \quad (27)$$

The redshift parameter (z) for our model is determined as follows

$$z = -1 + \frac{a_0}{a} = -1 + \frac{1}{a_1 t^\alpha e^{\beta t}} \quad (28)$$

where $a_0 = 1$ is the present value of the scale factor. The above relation in the form of Lambert function (W) can be expressed as

$$t = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right) W \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \quad (29)$$

The deceleration parameter is derived as

$$q = -1 + \frac{1}{\alpha \left(1 + W \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^2}. \quad (30)$$

From Eqs. (23)-(27), it is clear that the spatial volume is zero at an early period (i.e. at $t = 0$) and the parameters H , $\theta(t)$, and $\sigma^2(t)$ diverge during this epoch, and as $t \rightarrow \infty$, spatial volume $V \rightarrow \infty$ and H , $\theta(t)$, and $\sigma^2(t)$ all tend to zero. Consequently, the model initiates evolving with zero volume with an infinite rate of expansion and this expansion rate slows down via the evolution of the studied model. The anisotropy parameter is constant (i.e. $\Delta = \text{const} \neq 0$) throughout the evolution of the Universe. Therefore, the studied model is evolving with an anisotropy. From (30), we observe that $q > 0$ for $t < \frac{1}{\beta}(\sqrt{\alpha} - \alpha)$ and $q < 0$ for $t > \frac{1}{\beta}(\sqrt{\alpha} - \alpha)$. Also, the transition phase from deceleration to acceleration phase at $t = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \pm \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\beta}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$. We further observe that with the negativity of the second term with a negative time indicates an unphysical background of the Big Bang cosmology. We conclude that the cosmic transition may have taken place at $t = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} - \alpha}{\beta}$. Current observations of SNe Ia, indicate that, at present-day, the Universe is accelerating and the value of deceleration parameter situated in the range of $-1 \leq q < 0$. Using Eq. (30), we plot the behavior of the deceleration parameter in terms of redshift in Fig. 1 and 2 respectively for $\alpha = 0.215, 0.225, 0.235$ and $\alpha \in [0.215, 0.235]$. We can see from this figure, that our model shows a transition from early deceleration to late-time acceleration for all the values of the parameter α which is keeping with the findings of the work contained in [31, 32] and is in excellent agreement with current observations.

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{\theta} = \beta \left(\frac{m-1}{m+2} \right)^2 \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right) \quad (31)$$

From Eq. (31), it is clear that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\theta} \right)$ becomes a constant value, this leads to the maintenance of anisotropy in the universe throughout evolution. The non-metricity scalar for the model becomes

$$Q = \frac{-18\beta^2(1+2m)}{(m+2)^2} \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right) \quad (32)$$

It is observed that the non-metricity of the Universe for our model is time-dependent. Using (18) and (32) in (7), we obtained the expressions of energy density as

$$\rho = \frac{-486\beta^2\lambda(1+2m)^2}{(m+2)^4} \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 \quad (33)$$

The behavior of energy density for our model versus redshift with different values of α is shown in Fig. 3. From this figure, it is observed that the energy density is positive and is a decreasing function of redshift. Also, when $z = 0$, the energy density of Universe is positive and increases with the escalation in the value of z . We have the expression of pressure as




FIG. 1: Evolution of deceleration parameter in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.




FIG. 2: Evolution of deceleration parameter in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) and α for the appropriate choice of constants.




FIG. 3: Evolution of energy density in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.

$$p = \frac{162\beta^4\lambda(2m-7)(1+2m)}{(m+2)^4} \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 - \frac{648\alpha\beta^2\lambda(1+2m)}{t^2(m+2)^3} \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^2 \quad (34)$$

From Eqs. (8) and (9), the skewness parameters is obtained as

$$\delta = \frac{2(1-m)(m+2)}{3(1+2m)} \left[1 - \frac{\alpha}{\left(1 + W \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^2} \right] \quad (35)$$

It is clear from Fig. 4 that the pressure for the all different values of α is negative throughout the evolution of the Universe. In the context of modified theories of gravity, negative pressure is caused by dark energy which is responsible for the acceleration of the current Universe. By definition, the skewness parameter is the quantity of anisotropy in a dark energy fluid, denoted by δ . Fig. 5

represents its behavior in terms of redshift. We note that the skewness parameter is positive in the early period and attains a negative value in recent times (i.e. $z \rightarrow -1$). We can conclude that our model is anisotropic throughout the evolution of the Universe. Subtracting (7) from (8), we obtain the expressions of the EoS parameter in the model as




FIG. 4: Evolution of pressure in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.




FIG. 5: Evolution of skewness parameter in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.




FIG. 6: Evolution of equation of state parameter in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.




FIG. 7: Evolution of EoS parameter parameter in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) and α for the appropriate choice of constants.

$$\omega = \frac{[648\lambda(m+2) - 162\lambda(1+2m)] \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)}\right)^{1/\alpha}\right]\right) - \frac{648\lambda(m+2)}{\alpha} \left(W^{-2} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)}\right)^{1/\alpha}\right]\right)}{-486\lambda(1+2m) \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)}\right)^{1/\alpha}\right]\right)^2} \quad (36)$$

From Eq. (36), we note that the EoS parameter for our model is a function of redshift and approaches -1 at present. It is known in the literature that the EoS parameter describes the evolution of the universe from the early deceleration phase to the current acceleration phase. It includes stiff fluid epoch ($\omega = 1$), radiation epoch ($\omega = \frac{1}{3}$), dust epoch (matter-dominated) ($\omega = 0$), quintessence ($-1 < \omega < -\frac{1}{3}$), cosmological constant (vacuum) ($\omega = -1$) and the phantom ($\omega < -1$). Figs. 6 and 7, show the behavior of the EoS parameter with redshift z for different values of α . It is observed that for all the different values of α , ω is situated in the quintessence region for high redshift z and over time ω becomes -1 at the present epoch and approaches the

phantom field region in the future (i.e. $z \rightarrow -1$). Also, an interesting result of our model is that the current value of the EoS parameter is in good agreement with recent Planck observational data. In Ref. [35], Aghanim et al. give the constraints on the EoS parameter for dark energy. It can be seen that our estimates of the current value of the EoS parameter is within the observational limits mentioned in the previous reference. It is worth pointing out that the observations made in Ref. [31] are in sync with our findings for future time.

VI. ENERGY CONDITIONS

In this section we study the energy conditions to validate the physical viability of our model. The energy conditions are given by

- Weak energy conditions (WEC) if $\rho \geq 0$;
- Null energy condition (NEC) if $\rho + p \geq 0$;

- Dominant energy conditions (DEC) if $\rho - p \geq 0$;
- Strong energy conditions (SEC) if $\rho + 3p \geq 0$.

Hence with the help of equations (33) and (34), the energy conditions can be recast as

$$p + \rho = \psi_1 \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 - \psi_2 W^{-2} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 \quad (37)$$

$$\rho - p = \psi_3 \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 + \psi_2 W^{-2} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 \quad (38)$$

$$\rho + 3p = \psi_4 \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 - 3\psi_2 W^{-2} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 \quad (39)$$

where $\psi_1 = \frac{162\beta^4\lambda(2m-7)(1+2m)(\beta^2(2m-7)-3(1+2m))}{(m+2)^4}$;
 $\psi_2 = \frac{648\beta^2\lambda(1+2m)}{\alpha(m+2)^3}$; $\psi_3 = \frac{-162\beta^2\lambda(1+2m)(1+2m-\beta^2(2m-1))}{(m+2)^4}$
and $\psi_4 = \frac{-162\beta^2\lambda(1+2m)(1+2m+\beta^2(2m-1))}{(m+2)^4}$.

Energy conditions in symmetric teleparallel $f(Q)$ gravity are discussed. In our model, we have considered the behaviour of these energy conditions, as displayed in Fig. 7. It is noted that $\rho + p \geq 0$, and $\rho - p \geq 0$, which represents that null energy condition (NEC) and dominant energy conditions (DEC), respectively are satisfied throughout the cosmic evolution, although $\rho + 3p \geq 0$ (SEC) is violated at recent times. Hence, the violation of SEC leads to the acceleration of the Universe. Also, we note $\rho + 3p \leq 0$ at $z = 0$, which indicates that the SEC is violated at the present time.

VII. THERMODYNAMICAL ASPECTS AND ENTROPY OF THE UNIVERSE

In this section, we discuss the thermodynamical aspects of our model. According to the second law of thermodynamics, the entropy of the horizon (inside and the boundary) is always positive and increases with cosmic time. However, applying the combination of the first- and second-law of thermodynamics to the system with volume V , leads to

$$TdS = d(\rho V) + pdV = d[(\rho + p)V] - Vdp, \quad (40)$$

where, T and S represent the temperature and entropy, respectively. In a thermodynamical system, the relation between the pressure (energy density) and temperature

for the perfect fluid is given by

$$dp = \left(\frac{p + \rho}{T} \right) dT. \quad (41)$$

By inserting Eq. (41) into Eq. (40), we have the differential relation

$$dS = \frac{1}{T} d[(p + \rho)V] - (p + \rho)V \frac{dT}{T^2} = d \left[\frac{(p + \rho)V}{T} + C \right], \quad (42)$$

where C is a constant. By integrating, this gives

$$S = \frac{(p + \rho)V}{T}. \quad (43)$$

Also, for an adiabatic process i.e. $S = const$, we can find the same definition of entropy starting from the conservation law which can be rewritten as $d[(\rho + p)V] = Vdp$. We denote the entropy density by \tilde{S} and rewrite Eq. (43) as

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{S}{V} = \frac{(p + \rho)}{T} = \frac{(1 + \omega)\rho}{T}, \quad (44)$$

Now, in order to find the entropy density in terms of temperature, the first law of thermodynamics may be formulated as

$$d(\rho V) + \omega \rho dV = (1 + \omega) T d \left(\frac{\rho V}{T} \right). \quad (45)$$

With a few simple mathematical calculations, through the above equations, we can get the expressions for entropy density and temperature as follows

$$\tilde{S} = (1 + \omega) \rho^{\frac{1}{1+\omega}}, \quad (46)$$




FIG. 8: Evolution of null energy condition in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.




FIG. 9: Evolution of dominant energy condition in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.

$$T = \rho^{\frac{\omega}{1+\omega}}. \quad (47)$$

Using Eq. (33) and (36) we find the entropy density and temperature for our model in the form

$$\tilde{S} = (1 + \omega) \left[\frac{-486\beta^2\lambda(1+2m)^2}{(m+2)^4} \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}}, \quad (48)$$

$$T = \left[\frac{-486\beta^2\lambda(1+2m)^2}{(m+2)^4} \left(1 + W^{-1} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right] \right)^4 \right]^{\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}}. \quad (49)$$

We observe from Fig. 11 that the temperature for our model is a decreasing function of redshift. Furthermore, the thermodynamic temperature is infinite at the early phase and with the cosmic evolution of the Universe it decreases and finally attains a constant value for the present epoch. Hence, the model confirms the second-law of thermodynamics. From Fig. 12, it appears that the entropy density of Bianchi type-I cosmological model is a positive

increasing function of redshift. It can be noticed that its behavior is totally opposite to that of the energy density in Eq. (33). Moreover, the total entropy of the Universe increases during cosmological evolution i.e. $d\tilde{S} \geq 0$.




FIG. 10: Evolution of strong energy condition in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.




FIG. 11: Evolution of thermodynamic temperature in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants..




FIG. 12: Evolution of thermodynamic entropy density in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.

VIII. STATEFINDER DIAGNOSTIC

In order to check the validity of various dark energy models, statefinder parameters (r, s) are proposed. The statefinder parameters take several values depending on the known dark energy regions

which are presented as follows: Λ CDM corresponds to ($r = 1, s = 0$), CDM corresponds to ($r = 1, s = 1$), holographic dark energy corresponds to ($r = 1, s = \frac{2}{3}$), CG corresponds to ($r > 1, s < 0$), Quintessence corresponds to ($r < 1, s > 0$). In the present study, we only analyzed their expressions which are given as follows:

$$r = 1 - \frac{3}{\left(1 + W \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right] \right)^2} + \frac{2}{\left(\left(1 + W \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right] \right)^2 \right)^3}, \quad (50)$$

$$s = \frac{r - 1}{3 \left(q - \frac{1}{2} \right)}. \quad (51)$$

From Eqs. (48) and (49), it can be seen that the statefinder parameters are completely dependent on the constants α, β and z . The statefinder parameters take

the values $r = 1$ and $s = 0$ (see Fig. 13), which confirms that our model coincides with the flat Λ CDM model at late times, which is in accordance with the recent obser-

vational data.




FIG. 13: Evolution of (r-s) - plane in $f(Q)$ gravity model versus redshift (z) for the appropriate choice of constants.

IX. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have investigated LRS Bianchi type-I cosmological models in symmetric teleparallel $f(Q)$ gravity of the form $f(Q) = \gamma Q^n$ using the relation between cosmic time and redshift as $t = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) W \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{a_1(1+z)}\right)^{1/\alpha} \right]$. The features of the derived model are analyzed for different values of dynamical constant parameter α . Our findings can be summarised as follows:

The evolution of our model initiates with zero volume and an infinite rate of expansion which slows down for later epochs. The model incorporates anisotropy due to the radial and tangential stresses being unequal. Our model shows a transition from early deceleration to late-time acceleration and acquires a fixed value of deceleration parameter which is in excellent agreement with current observations.

The energy density for our model is positive and is a decreasing function of redshift. At present when $z = 0$, the energy density of Universe is positive and decreases with the escalation in the value of z . The pressure of the derived model is always negative which is responsible for the acceleration of the current Universe.

The equation of state parameter for our derived model is a function of redshift and approaches -1 at present. It is observed that the equation of state parameter is situated in the quintessence region for high redshift z and evolves to -1 in the present epoch and approaches to phantom field region in the future (i.e. $z \rightarrow -1$). An interesting result of our model is that the current value of the equation of state parameter is in good agreement with recent Planck observational data. In addition, the skewness parameter is positive in the early period and attains a negative value in recent times which is the evidence that our model is anisotropic throughout the evolution of the Universe.

In the derived model the null energy condition and dominant energy condition both are satisfied throughout the cosmic evolution, although strong energy condition is violated at recent times. Hence, the violation of strong

energy condition conduct to the acceleration of the Universe.

From a thermodynamic perspective, we note that the temperature for our model is a decreasing function. The thermodynamic temperature is infinite at the early phase and with the cosmic evolution of the Universe it decreases and finally attains a constant value. The entropy density is positive increasing function and its behavior is totally opposite to that of the energy density. Moreover, the total entropy of the Universe increases during cosmological evolution. It is seen that the statefinder parameters take the values $r = 1$ and $s = 0$, which confirms that our model coincides with the flat Λ CDM model at late times, which is in accordance with the recent observational data.

X. REFERENCES

- [1] S. Perlmutter et al., *Astrophys. J.*, 483 (1997) 565-581
- [2] S. Perlmutter et al., *Nature*, 391(1998) 51-54
- [3] D. Spergel et al., *Astrophys. J. Suppl.*, 148 (2003)175-194
- [4] L. Verde et. al., *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 335 (2002) 432-440
- [5] G. Hinshaw et al., *Astrophys. J. Suppl.*, 180 (2009) 225-245
- [6] I. Zlatev, L. Wang, P. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 (1999) 896-899
- [7] P. Steinhardt, L. Wang, I. Zlatev. *Phys. Rev. D* 59(1999) 123504
- [8] R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski, N. Weinberg. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91(2003) 071301
- [9] Z. Hassan, S. Mandal and P.K. Sahoo, *Fortschr. Phys.* 69, 2100023 (2021)
- [10] G. Hinshaw et al., *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* 208, 19 (2013)
- [11] P.A.R. Ade et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* 594, A13 (2015)
- [12] N. Aghanim et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* 641, A6 (2020)
- [13] S.W. Hawking, *Particle Creation by Black Holes*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 43 (1975) 199
- [14] Gerard't Hooft, *Dimensional Reduction in Quantum Gravity*, arXiv:gr-qc/ 9310026
- [15] M. Li, *A Model of HDE*, *Phys. Lett. B* 603 (2004)

- [16] V. R. Chirde, S. H. Shekh, Indian J. Phys. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12648-018-1236-y>
- [17] S. Wang, Y. Wang, M. Li, Phys. Rep. 696 (2017) 1
- [18] A. Iqbal, A. Jawad, Phys. Dark Univ. 26 (2019) 100349
- [19] U.K. Sharma, V.C. Dubey, 2020, arXiv:2001.02368v1 [gr-qc]
- [20] H. Moradpour, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 829
- [21] S. Bhattacharjee, Astrophys. Space Sci. 365 (2020) 103
- [22] L. Amendola et al., Phys. Rev. D 75, 083504 (2007)
- [23] S. Appleby and R. Battye, Phys. Lett. B 654, 7 (2007)
- [24] R. Ferraro, F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D 75, 084031 (2007)
- [25] J. B. Jimenez et al., Phys. Rev. D 101, 103507(2020)
- [26] W. Khyllep et al., Phys. Rev. D 103, 103521(2021)
- [27] S. Mandal et al., Phys. Rev. D 102, 124029(2020)
- [28] S. Mandal et al., Phys. Rev. D 102, 024057(2020)
- [29] W. Khyllep, A. Paliathanasis, and J. Dutta, Phys. Rev. D 103, 103521 (2021)
- [30] T. Harko et al., Phys. Rev. D 98, 084043 (2018)
- [31] S. H. Shekh, Physics of the dark Universe 33 100850 (2021)
- [32] M. Koussour, S. H. Shekh, M. Bennai, arXiv:2202.01921v1 [gr-qc] 21 Jan 2022
- [33] S. H. Shekh, New astronomy 83 101464 (2021)
- [34] S. H. Shekh, S. D. Katore, V. R. Chirde, S. V. Raut, New astronomy 84 101535 (2021)
- [35] N. Aghanim et al., A A, 641 (2020) A6