
Precision-medicine-toolbox: AN OPEN-SOURCE PYTHON PACKAGE FOR FACILITATION OF QUANTITATIVE MEDICAL IMAGING AND RADIOMICS ANALYSIS

A PREPRINT

✉ Sergey Primakov

The D-Lab, Department of Precision Medicine
GROW—School for Oncology, Maastricht University
6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands
s.primakov@maastrichtuniversity

✉ Elizaveta Lavrova

The D-Lab, Department of Precision Medicine
GROW—School for Oncology, Maastricht University
6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands
GIGA Cyclotron Research Center
University of Liege, Belgium
e.lavrova@maastrichtuniversity.nl

✉ Zohaib Salahuddin

The D-Lab, Department of Precision Medicine
GROW—School for Oncology, Maastricht University
6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands
z.salahuddin@maastrichtuniversity.nl

✉ Henry Woodruff

The D-Lab, Department of Precision Medicine
GROW—School for Oncology, Maastricht University
6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands
Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine
Maastricht University Medical Centre
Maastricht, The Netherlands
h.woodruff@maastrichtuniversity.nl

✉ Philippe Lambin


The D-Lab, Department of Precision Medicine
GROW—School for Oncology, Maastricht University
6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands
Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine
Maastricht University Medical Centre
Maastricht, The Netherlands
philippe.lambin@maastrichtuniversity.nl

March 1, 2022

ABSTRACT

Medical image analysis plays a key role in precision medicine as it allows the clinicians to identify anatomical abnormalities and it is routinely used in clinical assessment. Data curation and pre-processing of medical images are critical steps in the quantitative medical image analysis that can have a significant impact on the resulting model performance. In this paper, we introduce a *precision-medicine-toolbox* that allows researchers to perform data curation, image pre-processing and handcrafted radiomics extraction (via Pyradiomics) and feature exploration tasks with Python. With this open-source solution, we aim to address the data preparation and exploration problem, bridge the gap between the currently existing packages, and improve the reproducibility of quantitative medical imaging research.

Keywords Medical imaging research · DICOM · Radiomics · Statistical analysis · Features · Pre-processing



1 Introduction

Medical imaging allows the visualisation of anatomical structures and metabolic processes of the human body and plays an integral part in clinical decision-making for diagnostic, prognostic, and treatment purposes (Beheshti and Mottaghy [2021], Wei et al. [2019]). Medical imaging is becoming increasingly popular in clinical practice due to increasing accessibility of hardware, rising population and growing confidence in the utility of multiple imaging modalities (Smith-Bindman et al. [2008]). Precision medicine aims to enhance individual patient care by identifying subgroups of patients within a disease group using genotypic and phenotypic data for better understanding of the disease characteristics and consequently targeting the disease with more precise treatment (Niu et al. [2019], Carrier-Vallières [2018]). Medical image analysis plays a key role in precision medicine as it allows the clinicians to identify anatomical abnormalities and it is routinely used in clinical assessment (Acharya et al. [2018]).

The amount of healthcare imaging data from disparate imaging sources is exploding and it is not possible for radiologists to cope up with the increasing demand. Multiple studies have shown that there is a significant inter-observer variability for various clinical tasks (Kinkel et al. [2000], Luijnenburg et al. [2010]). Hence, there is a need for quantitative image analysis tools to aid the clinicians in meeting the challenges of rising demand and better clinical performance. Radiomics is the extraction of quantitative image features and correlating them with biological and clinical outcomes (Lambin et al. [2017]). The field of radiomics is gaining traction each year due to increase in computational power and increasing amount of multimodal data (Oren et al. [2020], Aggarwal et al. [2021], Zhou et al. [2021]) as illustrated by Figure 1. The field of radiomics has demonstrated promising results in various clinical applications including diagnostics, prognosis and decision support systems (Tagliafico et al. [2020], Zhang et al. [2017], Wang et al. [2021], Mu et al. [2020]). Radiomics can broadly be classified into two different categories: handcrafted radiomics and deep learning. Handcrafted radiomics utilises machine learning techniques and image biomarker standardisation initiative (IBSI)-compliant handcrafted features (such as shape, intensity, and texture features) extracted from a specific region of interest (Rogers et al. [2020]). Pyradiomics is one of the available open source tools that allows the extraction of IBSI-compliant handcrafted radiomics features (van Griethuysen et al. [2017]). Deep learning automatically learns representative image features from the high dimensional image data without the

need of feature engineering by using non-linear modules that constitute a neural network (Schmidhuber [2015]). Convolutional neural networks are deep neural networks that became popular in 2012 after the AlexNet architecture demonstrated state-of-the-art performance for image recognition (Krizhevsky et al. [2017]). Since then, convolutional neural networks have demonstrated state-of-the-art performance for many clinical tasks (Murtaza et al. [2020], Bhatt et al. [2021], Mazurowski et al. [2019]). Tensorflow (Abadi et al. [2016]) with Keras interface (Gulli and Pal [2017]) and Pytorch (Paszke et al. [2019]) are popular deep learning frameworks for the implementation of deep neural networks.




Figure 1 - Number of publications, by year, containing the keyword ‘radiomics’ in PubMed database (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=radiomics&timeline=expanded>).

Data Curation and pre-processing of medical images are time-taking and critical steps in the radiomics workflow that can have a significant impact on the resulting model performance (Fave et al. [2016], Hosseini et al. [2021], Zhang et al. [2019]). The data curation step usually comprises several steps such as image format conversion, out-of-distribution detection and checks for redundant modalities, unacceptable convolution kernel, and missing or overlapping slices. These steps may be performed manually or using low level python libraries such as Pydicom (Mason [2011]), Nibabel (Brett et al. [2020]), SimpleITK (Yaniv et al. [2018]), Numpy (van der Walt et al. [2011]), Pandas (McKinney and Others [2011]), Scipy (Virtanen et al. [2020]), Scikit-image (van der Walt et al. [2014]), and Scikit-learn (Kramer [2016]). The re-implementation of the above-mentioned data curation steps by the researchers makes it error-prone and results in increased difficulty for reproducibility. It is also important to investigate the potential of image processing during the development of a radiomics workflow. Image biomarker standardisation initiative (IBSI) also emphasises on the need of image processing before the extraction of radiomics features (Zwanenburg et al. [2020]). Moreover, it is also important to perform an exploratory analysis on the handcrafted radiomics features and visualise discriminatory statistics. While there are available tools for the implementation of entire radiomics pipeline such as Nipype (Gorgolewski et al. [2016]), Pymia (Jungo et al. [2021]), and MONAI (MONAI Consortium [2020]), there is still a need of a toolbox that allows researchers to perform critical tasks such as data curation, image pre-processing and handcrafted radiomics feature exploration during the development of the radiomics study.

In this paper, we introduce the *precision-medicine-toolbox* that allows researchers to perform data curation, image pre-processing and handcrafted radiomics feature exploration tasks. This toolbox will also benefit the researchers without a strong programming background to implement these critical steps and increase the reproducibility of quantitative medical imaging research. In this paper, we discuss the functionality of the first release of this open source project. In future, more functionality will be added to the toolbox.

2 Methods

2.1 Example data

The functionality of the toolbox is demonstrated on the Lung1 open-source dataset. The Lung1 dataset (Jungo et al. [2021], MONAI Consortium [2020], Aerts et al. [2014]) contains pretreatment CT scans of 422 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients, as well as manually delineated gross tumor volume (GTV) for each patient, and clinical outcomes. The imaging data is presented in Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) format. The delineations are available in Radiotherapy Structure (RT Structure) format. The clinical data is present in Comma-Separated Values (CSV) format.

2.2 Design and implementation

2.2.1 Organisation of the toolbox

The toolbox allows for the preparation of the imaging datasets and exploration of the feature datasets. As illustrated in Figure 2, dedicated base classes have been implemented for each dataset type (imaging or features) to extract the corresponding data, as well as the associated metadata. The functionality classes inherit from the base classes. This approach allows for the separation of reading and processing tasks and makes it readily available for new data formats or functions.




Figure 2 - Organisation of the *precision-medicine-toolbox*: The *DataSet* class takes an imaging dataset as input and is inherited by the *ToolBox* class; the *FeaturesSet* class takes a features dataset as an input and is inherited by the *AnalysisBox* class.

2.2.2 Imaging module

This module allows for pre-processing and exploration of the imaging datasets. It consists of the base *DataSet* class and the inheriting *ToolBox* class. The *DataSet* class reads the imaging data and the corresponding metadata and initializes a dataset object. The *ToolBox* class allows for high-level functionality while working with the raw computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance (MR) imaging data. Currently, the following functions are implemented:

- dataset parameters exploration by parsing of the imaging metadata,
- dataset basic quality examination, including check of imaging modality, slice thickness, number of slices, in-plane resolution and pixel spacing, and reconstruction kernel,
- conversion of DICOM dataset into volumetric Nearly Raw Rusted Data (NRRD) dataset,
- image basic pre-processing, including bias field correction, intensity rescaling and normalization, histogram matching, intensities resampling, histogram equalization, image reshaping,
- unrolling NRRD images and ROI masks into Joint Photographic experts Group (JPEG) slices for a quick check of the converted images or any existing NRRD or MetaImage Medical Format (MHA) dataset,
- radiomics features extraction from NRRD/MHA data using PyRadiomics package (van Griethuysen et al. [2017]).

2.2.3 Features module

This module allows for the exploration of the feature datasets. It consists of the base *FeaturesSet* class and the inheriting *AnalysisBox* class. The *FeaturesSet* class reads the features data and the corresponding metadata, and initializes a *FeaturesSet* object. The *AnalysisBox* class allows for the basic analysis of the features. Currently, the following functions are implemented:

- visualization of feature values distributions in classes,
- visualization of features mutual Spearman correlation matrix,
- calculation of corrected p-values for Mann-Whitney test for features mean values in groups,
- visualization of univariate receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for each feature and calculation of the area under the curve (AUC),
- volumetric analysis, including visualization of volume-based precision-recall curve and calculation of Spearman correlation coefficient between every feature and volume,
- calculation of basic statistics (number of missing values, mean, std, min, max, Mann-Whitney test p-values for binary classes, univariate ROC AUC for binary classes, Spearman's correlation with volume if volumetric feature name is sent to function) for every feature.

2.3 Online documentation and tutorials

The online documentation for the *precision-medicine-toolbox* contains information about the source code, third-party packages, package installation, quick start, instructions for contribution, information about the authors, code licence, and acknowledgments. The examples of the toolbox functionality implementation are presented in tutorials. The full description of the classes and methods is presented in Application Programming Interface (API) specifications.

3 Results

3.1 Design and implementation

3.1.1 Organisation of the toolbox

The *precision-medicine-toolbox* is implemented in Python (Python Software Foundation, Wilmington, DA, U.S.) and requires version 3.6 or higher. The source code is hosted on GitHub (<https://github.com/primakov/precision-medicine-toolbox>) and Zenodo platform (DOI 10.5281/zenodo.6126913). It depends on the following packages: NumPy (Harris et al. [2020]), SimpleITK (Lowekamp et al. [2013]), Tqdm (Lowekamp et al. [2013], da Costa-Luis [2019]), Pydicom (Mason [2011]), Pandas (Mason [2011], McKinney [2010]), PyRadiomics (van Griethuysen et al. [2017]), Scikit-image (van der Walt et al. [2014]), Ipywidgets (jupyter-widgets), Matplotlib (Hunter [2007]), Pillow (Clark [2015]), Scikit-learn (Buitinck et al. [2013]), Scipy (Buitinck et al. [2013], Virtanen et al. [2020]), Plotly (noa), Statmodels (Seabold and Perktold [2010]). The *precision-medicine-toolbox* package has been released under the BSD-3-Clause License and is available from the Python Package Index (PyPI) repository (<https://pypi.org/project/precision-medicine-toolbox/>). An easy installation of the latest version is possible with “pip install precision-medicine-toolbox” command. At the time of submission of this work, *precision-medicine-toolbox* is at 0.0 release. The project has the following structure:

- README.MD: file with the project overview,
- Requirements.txt: file with the list of the packages to be installed,
- LICENSE: statement of the license applicable to the project's software and manuscripts,
- .gitignore: specification of the files, intentionally untracked by Git,
- .readthedocs.yaml: Read the Docs configuration file,
- Mkdocs.yml: Mkdocs configuration file,
- Setup.cfg and setup.py: configuration files for PyPi package,
- Data: folder with the raw data for the examples as well as generated files,
- Docs: folder with the documentation files,
- Examples: folder with the examples:
 - Imaging_module.ipynb: tutorial illustrating functionality for the imaging datasets,

- Features_module.ipynb: tutorial illustrating functionality for the features datasets,
- Pmtool: folder with the toolbox scripts:
 - __init__.py: initialization file,
 - data_set.py: script defining the base class for imaging datasets,
 - tool_box.py: script defining the inheriting class for imaging datasets methods,
 - features_set.py: script defining the base class for features datasets,
 - analysis_box.py: script defining the inheriting class for features datasets methods.

The next sections shortly summarize the examples that cover the current functionalities of the *precision-medicine-toolbox*.

3.1.2 Imaging module

The example ‘Imaging module’ illustrates how to explore the imaging parameters retrieved from the DICOM tags, perform data quality check, convert DICOM slices to volume format, perform image basic pre-processing, check ROI segmentation, and extract the radiomic features. At first, the *ToolBox* class needs to be initialized with the user-defined parameters, such as the path to the dataset, data format, mask availability, mask file names, and image file names. After the *ToolBox* object is created, the corresponding methods can be called. In the example, to speed up the process, we read only one mask per patient. To get an insight of the data and plan the following pre-processing routines, we perform the exploration of the dataset by collecting its imaging metadata. After the initialization of the *ToolBox* object, the *get_dataset_description* method is implemented. The outcome is stored in the *dataset_description* DataFrame. If we call this method with the default parameters, we get modality, slice thickness, pixel spacing, date, and manufacturer for every DICOM file. After calling this method with the indication of the imaging modality (CT), the collected information contains patient name, CT convolution kernel, slice thickness, pixel spacing, kilovoltage peak, exposure, X-Ray tube current, and series date. For a better understanding of the data we are dealing with, we are using Python Matplotlib functionality to plot the distributions of these parameters. The results are presented in Figure 3.




Figure 3 - Distributions of some of the CT imaging parameters in Lung1 data set.

The *get_quality_checks* method allows to perform a simple quality check of the data and possibly detect irrelevant scans. These might be scans of wrong imaging modality, with wrong imaging projections, with non-consistent

(missing/overlapping) slices, with insufficient amount of slices, with slice thickness inconsistent or out of the defined range, with pixel spacing out of range, with unknown or unacceptable convolution kernel, with wrong axial plane resolution, with missing slope/intercept tags. To perform this check, the target scanning parameters are to be passed to the function. While removing some of the input parameters, the corresponding checks are disabled. For each patient, the output DataFrame contains the following flags: '1' - check passed, '0' - check failed. The *convert_to_nrrd* method is converting the DICOM data into volumetric NRRD format and saves it into the created folder ('.../data/converted_nrrd/'). Currently supported modalities are: CT, MRI, PET, RTSTRUCT. In the example, we performed conversion of the DICOM dataset with CT and corresponding RTSTRUCTs containing GTV contours. Image basic pre-processing is performed by the *pre_process* method. According to IBSI recommendations, radiomic analysis should be performed for the raw images, except for the modalities, represented in arbitrary units (e.g., MRI, ultrasound). For these modalities, Z-scoring is recommended. Nevertheless, some image pre-processing can be performed to keep the same data shape within the dataset, decrease diversity of the data, or harmonize the images from different datasets. The following functionality is available in the *pre_process* method: N4 bias field correction (Tustison et al. [2010]), intensity rescaling and normalization, histogram matching and histogram equalization, intensity resampling, image reshaping. The pre-processing step is not executed, if the corresponding parameter is not passed to the method. It is possible to visualise every pre-processing step for every patient and print out the processing parameters and basic intensity statistics for input and output scans. To perform a sanity check of the converted images and masks and their co-alignment, we initialize a *ToolBox* class for the newly converted NRRD dataset. Then we call the *get_jpegs* method, which saves the converted JPEG slices into the .../data/images_quick_check/ folder. The example of the output is presented in Figure 4.




Figure 4 - Example of the quick segmentation check.

To extract the PyRadiomics features, a *ToolBox* class for the newly converted NRRD dataset needs to be initialized. Then the features are extracted with the *extract_features* method. The extraction parameters are imported from the *example_ct_parameters.yaml* file. The parameter file is required by the PyRadiomics package and contains information about the preferred image types, features to extract, resampling and discretization settings. In the example we use the parameters suggested for the CT features extraction provided by the PyRadiomics repository. The *extract_features* method returns a Pandas DataFrame with radiomics features which can be further exported into the Excel file, CSV or any other format supported by Pandas.

3.1.3 Features module

The example 'Features module' illustrates how to visualize features distribution in classes, plot the feature correlation matrix, check Mann-Whitney U-test p-values, plot univariate ROC and calculate AUC for each feature, perform volumetric analysis, and save all the scores. The tutorial is using the radiomics features, extracted from the Lung1 dataset, and the clinical data file, provided with the dataset. Using the clinical data, we generated three binary

outcomes of 1-, 1.5-, and 2-years survival. In the tutorial, we present two cases: binary class dataset and multi-class dataset. The *AnalysisBox* class is calling a *FeaturesSet* initialization with the user-defined parameters, such as paths to the tabular data with the features and outcomes, a list of the features to be included or excluded, names of the patient and outcome columns, and a list of the patients to be excluded. The dataset estimated parameters are the available class labels and dataset balance in terms of the outcome values. For the binary class dataset, we declared 1yearsurvival as an outcome column. After *AnalysisBox* object initialization, we get the class labels ('0' and '1') and class balance (0.42 and 0.58). After using the *handle_nan* method for the patients, there were no changes in the dataset, which means we did not have any missing values. After calling the *plot_distribution* method, for each feature, the value distributions were plotted as bin histograms. The result is presented in Figure 5A. The class affiliation is highlighted with a color. The class label is presented on the plot. After calling the *plot_correlation_matrix* method, the mutual feature correlation coefficient (Spearman's) matrix is visualized. The result is presented in Figure 5B. The values are the absolute values. Colorbar is presented on the right side of the matrix. After calling the *plot_MW_p* method, Mann-Whitney (with Bonferroni correction) p-values for binary classes test are visualized as a barplot. The result is presented in Figure 5C. The p-value scale is logarithmic. If the p-value for some feature is below the set significance level ($\alpha=0.05$), the corresponding bar is highlighted with a yellow color, whereas the other bars are purple. After calling the *plot_univariate_roc* method, the univariate ROC curves are visualized for all the features. The ROC AUC scores are reported as well. The result is presented in Figure 5D. If the ROC AUC score is exceeding the set threshold ($\text{auc_threshold}=0.70$), the curve is highlighted with the purple color. Otherwise, it is yellow. After calling the *volume_analysis* method with sending there a volumetric feature name ('original_shape_VoxelVolume'), a volume precision-recall curve is visualized (with AUC calculated) as well as a barplot with volume Spearman's correlation coefficient absolute values with all the features. The resulting plots are presented in Figures 5E and 5F. If the correlation coefficient exceeds a threshold value ($\text{corr_threshold}=0.75$), the bar is highlighted with the purple color. Otherwise, it is yellow. After calling the *calculate_basic_stats* method, the basic statistics are calculated for all the features. As the dataset has two classes, Mann-Whitney test p-values and univariate ROC AUC scores are calculated. We also define the feature, which is representing the volume ('original_shape_VoxelVolume'), thus Spearman's correlation coefficient with volume is calculated. The results are saved into the 'extracted_features_full_basic_stats.xlsx' file, which belongs to the same directory as the features file.



Figure 5 - Feature analysis plots for binary outcomes for eight features: A - feature value distributions in binary classes, B - Spearman's correlation matrix between features, C - Mann-Whitney test (Bonferroni corrected) p-values, D - univariate ROC curves for binary classification, E - volume based precision-recall curve, F - features Spearman's correlation with volume.

The next part of the tutorial is devoted to multi-class analysis. The AnalysisBox is initialized in the same way, but the outcome column is changed to ‘Overall.Stage’. The available class labels are ‘I’, ‘II’, ‘IIIa’, ‘IIIb’, and the empty value. The class balance is 0.24, 0.09, 0.23, 0.42, and 0.01, respectively. While implementing the *handle_nan* method at the patient’s level, one patient with an unknown outcome is dropped. Therefore, after the re-initialization of the class object, we have 148 patients with ‘I’, ‘II’, ‘IIIa’, and ‘IIIb’ labels. The class proportions are 0.24, 0.09, 0.23, and 0.43, respectively. The *plot_distribution* method works for all the presented classes as well as for the selected classes. The result is presented in Figure 6.




Figure 6 - Feature value distributions in multiple classes: A - for all the presented classes, B - for the selected classes I and IIIb.

The *plot_MW_p* and *plot_univariate_roc* methods are not supported for the multi-class data, but they can still be implemented for the observations from any of the selected two classes. The other methods are working in the same way, as for binary classes. The *calculate_basic_stats* method does not calculate Mann-Whitney test p-values and univariate ROC AUC scores.

3.2 Online documentation and tutorials

The documentation (<http://precision-medicine-toolbox.readthedocs.io/>) is built with Mkdocs (<https://www.mkdocs.org/>) and hosted on the Read the Docs platform (<http://readthedocs.io>). Code quality is reviewed with CodeFactor (<http://codefactor.io>). The API specifications for all the classes and methods are generated automatically from the source code annotations with Mkdocstrings (<https://mkdocstrings.github.io/>). This enables keeping documentation up to date with the latest developments of the package. The documentation also contains links to tutorials with examples generated from Jupyter notebooks. These notebooks are included in the *precision-medicine-toolbox* package (<https://github.com/primakov/precision-medicine-toolbox/tree/master/examples>) and are available for any user.

4 Discussion

This paper introduced the open-source *precision-medicine-toolbox* for imaging data preparation and exploratory analysis. It aims to address the data preparation and exploration problem, bridge the gap between the currently existing packages and improve the reproducibility of quantitative medical imaging research.

The functionality of the toolbox aims to meet some challenges that are specific to the radiomics field. One of these challenges is the lack of data and pipelines standardisation (van Timmeren et al. [2020], Ibrahim et al. [2021], Zwanenburg et al. [2020]). Therefore, reproducibility is one of the key criterias for the radiomics studies. The *precision-medicine-toolbox* has the functionality for the preliminary data check, including both investigation of the imaging parameters and features properties. This enables a rapid evaluation of the existing data, models, and studies. The other challenge is related to the large amount of the volume surrogate features. This means that many features are highly correlated with volume and do not add any value. In order to identify such features, volumetric analysis functions have been implemented in the *precision-medicine-toolbox*.

The toolbox is mostly dedicated for the radiomics analysis, as it allows for handling of both raw imaging data and derivative features. Nevertheless, its modules can be used separately for other medical imaging research applications. Imaging module is applicable for the deep learning tasks to prepare the imaging data and get the information about its inhomogeneity. Features module can be used for any tabular data analysis, such as health records variables, or histology-derived features.

The *precision-medicine-toolbox* was successfully utilised and tested during the development of multiple projects including DUNE.AI, automatic NSCLC segmentation on the CT (Primakov et al. [2021]), repeatability of breast MRI radiomic features (Granzier et al. [2021]), prognostic and predictive analysis of Glioblastoma MRI (Verduin et al. [2021]), quantitative MRI biomarkers discovery in multiple sclerosis (Lavrova et al. [2021]). The development of *precision-medicine-toolbox* not only aims for democratisation of the machine learning and deep learning pipelines for the researchers without strong programming skills but also drives a programming community effort to improve this package and add its own variables and methods. Therefore, user contributions are very welcome.

5 Conclusions

The development of *precision-medicine-toolbox* aims to lower the entry barrier for researchers who are starting to work in medical imaging and provide an open source solution for the researchers who already have their inhouse workflow of managing data to increase the reproducibility of the quantitative medical imaging research. We would also like to encourage the community to improve this open-source toolbox by contributing to it.

6 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Precision Medicine department colleagues for their support and feedback. We also would like to thank PyRadiomics authors for a reliable open-source tool for radiomic features extraction. And we would like to thank TCIA for the open-source Lung1 dataset we used to demonstrate our functionality.

References

- Mohsen Beheshti and Felix M Mottaghy. Special issue: Emerging technologies for medical imaging diagnostics, monitoring and therapy of cancers. *J. Clin. Med. Res.*, 10(6), March 2021.
- Hong Wei, Hanyu Jiang, and Bin Song. Role of medical imaging for immune checkpoint blockade therapy: From response assessment to prognosis prediction. *Cancer Med.*, 8(12):5399–5413, September 2019.
- Rebecca Smith-Bindman, Diana L Miglioretti, and Eric B Larson. Rising use of diagnostic medical imaging in a large integrated health system. *Health Aff.*, 27(6):1491–1502, November 2008.
- Tianye Niu, Xiaoli Sun, Pengfei Yang, Guohong Cao, Khin K Tha, Hiroki Shirato, Kathleen Horst, and Lei Xing. Pathways to radiomics-aided clinical decision-making for precision medicine, 2019.
- Martin Carrier-Vallières. *Radiomics: Enabling Factors Towards Precision Medicine*. McGill University Libraries, 2018.
- U Rajendra Acharya, Yuki Hagiwara, Vidya K Sudarshan, Wai Yee Chan, and Kwan Hoong Ng. Towards precision medicine: from quantitative imaging to radiomics. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B*, 19(1):6–24, January 2018.

- K Kinkel, T H Helbich, L J Esserman, J Barclay, E H Schwerin, E A Sickles, and N M Hylton. Dynamic high-spatial-resolution MR imaging of suspicious breast lesions: diagnostic criteria and interobserver variability. *AJR Am. J. Roentgenol.*, 175(1):35–43, July 2000.
- Saskia E Luijnenburg, Daniëlle Robbers-Visser, Adriaan Moelker, Hubert W Vliegen, Barbara J M Mulder, and Willem A Helbing. Intra-observer and interobserver variability of biventricular function, volumes and mass in patients with congenital heart disease measured by CMR imaging. *Int. J. Cardiovasc. Imaging*, 26(1):57–64, January 2010.
- Philippe Lambin, Ralph T H Leijenaar, Timo M Deist, Jurgen Peerlings, Evelyn E C de Jong, Janita van Timmeren, Sebastian Sanduleanu, Ruben T H M Larue, Aniek J G Even, Arthur Jochems, Yvonka van Wijk, Henry Woodruff, Johan van Soest, Tim Lustberg, Erik Roelofs, Wouter van Elmpt, Andre Dekker, Felix M Mottaghy, Joachim E Wildberger, and Sean Walsh. Radiomics: the bridge between medical imaging and personalized medicine. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.*, 14(12):749–762, December 2017.
- Ohad Oren, Bernard J Gersh, and Deepak L Bhatt. Artificial intelligence in medical imaging: switching from radiographic pathological data to clinically meaningful endpoints. *Lancet Digit Health*, 2(9):e486–e488, September 2020.
- Ravi Aggarwal, Viknesh Sounderajah, Guy Martin, Daniel S W Ting, Alan Karthikesalingam, Dominic King, Hutan Ashrafian, and Ara Darzi. Diagnostic accuracy of deep learning in medical imaging: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *NPJ Digit Med*, 4(1):65, April 2021.
- S Kevin Zhou, Hayit Greenspan, Christos Davatzikos, James S Duncan, Bram Van Ginneken, Anant Madabhushi, Jerry L Prince, Daniel Rueckert, and Ronald M Summers. A review of deep learning in medical imaging: Imaging traits, technology trends, case studies with progress highlights, and future promises. *Proc. IEEE Inst. Electr. Electron. Eng.*, 109(5):820–838, May 2021.
- Alberto Stefano Tagliafico, Michele Piana, Daniela Schenone, Rita Lai, Anna Maria Massone, and Nehmat Houssami. Overview of radiomics in breast cancer diagnosis and prognostication. *Breast*, 49:74–80, February 2020.
- Yucheng Zhang, Anastasia Oikonomou, Alexander Wong, Masoom A Haider, and Farzad Khalvati. Radiomics-based prognosis analysis for Non-Small cell lung cancer. *Sci. Rep.*, 7:46349, April 2017.
- Shouchao Wang, Feng Xiao, Wenbo Sun, Chao Yang, Chao Ma, Yong Huang, Dan Xu, Lanqing Li, Jun Chen, Huan Li, and Haibo Xu. Radiomics analysis based on magnetic resonance imaging for preoperative overall survival prediction in isocitrate dehydrogenase Wild-Type glioblastoma. *Front. Neurosci.*, 15:791776, 2021.
- Wei Mu, Lei Jiang, Jianyuan Zhang, Yu Shi, Jhanelle E Gray, Ilke Tunali, Chao Gao, Yingying Sun, Jie Tian, Xinming Zhao, Xilin Sun, Robert J Gillies, and Matthew B Schabath. Non-invasive decision support for NSCLC treatment using PET/CT radiomics. *Nat. Commun.*, 11(1):5228, October 2020.
- William Rogers, Sithin Thulasi Seetha, Turkey A G Refaee, Relinde I Y Lieverse, Renée W Y Granzier, Abdalla Ibrahim, Simon A Keek, Sebastian Sanduleanu, Sergey P Primakov, Manon P L Beuque, Damiënne Marcus, Alexander M A van der Wiel, Fadila Zerka, Cary J G Oberije, Janita E van Timmeren, Henry C Woodruff, and Philippe Lambin. Radiomics: from qualitative to quantitative imaging. *Br. J. Radiol.*, 93(1108):20190948, April 2020.
- Joost J M van Griethuysen, Andriy Fedorov, Chintan Parmar, Ahmed Hosny, Nicole Aucoin, Vivek Narayan, Regina G H Beets-Tan, Jean-Christophe Fillion-Robin, Steve Pieper, and Hugo J W L Aerts. Computational radiomics system to decode the radiographic phenotype. *Cancer Res.*, 77(21):e104–e107, November 2017.
- Jürgen Schmidhuber. Deep learning in neural networks: an overview. *Neural Netw.*, 61:85–117, January 2015.
- Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks, 2017.
- Ghulam Murtaza, Liyana Shuib, Ainuddin Wahid Abdul Wahab, Ghulam Mujtaba, Ghulam Mujtaba, Henry Friday Nweke, Mohammed Ali Al-garadi, Fariha Zulfiqar, Ghulam Raza, and Nor Aniza Azmi. Deep learning-based breast cancer classification through medical imaging modalities: state of the art and research challenges. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 53(3):1655–1720, March 2020.
- Chandradeep Bhatt, Indrajeet Kumar, V Vijayakumar, Kamred Udham Singh, and Abhishek Kumar. The state of the art of deep learning models in medical science and their challenges. *Multimedia Systems*, 27(4):599–613, August 2021.
- Maciej A Mazurowski, Mateusz Buda, Ashirbani Saha, and Mustafa R Bashir. Deep learning in radiology: An overview of the concepts and a survey of the state of the art with focus on MRI. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging*, 49(4):939–954, April 2019.
- Martín Abadi, Ashish Agarwal, Paul Barham, Eugene Brevdo, Zhifeng Chen, Craig Citro, Greg S Corrado, Andy Davis, Jeffrey Dean, Matthieu Devin, Sanjay Ghemawat, Ian Goodfellow, Andrew Harp, Geoffrey Irving, Michael Isard,

- Yangqing Jia, Rafal Jozefowicz, Lukasz Kaiser, Manjunath Kudlur, Josh Levenberg, Dan Mane, Rajat Monga, Sherry Moore, Derek Murray, Chris Olah, Mike Schuster, Jonathon Shlens, Benoit Steiner, Ilya Sutskever, Kunal Talwar, Paul Tucker, Vincent Vanhoucke, Vijay Vasudevan, Fernanda Viegas, Oriol Vinyals, Pete Warden, Martin Wattenberg, Martin Wicke, Yuan Yu, and Xiaoqiang Zheng. TensorFlow: Large-Scale machine learning on heterogeneous distributed systems. March 2016.
- Antonio Gulli and Sujit Pal. *Deep Learning with Keras*. April 2017.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Kopf, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. PyTorch: An imperative style, High-Performance deep learning library. *Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, 32, 2019.
- Xenia Fave, Lifei Zhang, Jinzhong Yang, Dennis Mackin, Peter Balter, Daniel Gomez, David Followill, A Kyle Jones, Francesco Stingo, and Laurence E Court. Impact of image preprocessing on the volume dependence and prognostic potential of radiomics features in non-small cell lung cancer. *Transl. Cancer Res.*, 5(4):349–363, August 2016.
- Seyyed Ali Hosseini, Isaac Shiri, Ghasem Hajianfar, Pardis Ghafarian, Mehrdad Bakhshayesh Karam, and Mohammad Reza Ay. The impact of preprocessing on the PET-CT radiomics features in non-small cell lung cancer, 2021.
- Ruiping Zhang, Lei Zhu, Zhengting Cai, Wei Jiang, Jian Li, Chengwen Yang, Chunxu Yu, Bo Jiang, Wei Wang, Wengui Xu, Xiangfei Chai, Xiaodong Zhang, and Yong Tang. Potential feature exploration and model development based on 18F-FDG PET/CT images for differentiating benign and malignant lung lesions. *Eur. J. Radiol.*, 121:108735, December 2019.
- D Mason. SU-E-T-33: Pydicom: An open source DICOM library. *Med. Phys.*, 38(6Part10):3493–3493, June 2011.
- Matthew Brett, Christopher J Markiewicz, Michael Hanke, Marc-Alexandre Côté, Ben Cipollini, Paul McCarthy, Dorota Jarecka, Christopher P Cheng, Yaroslav O Halchenko, Michiel Cottaar, Eric Larson, Satrajit Ghosh, Demian Wassermann, Stephan Gerhard, Gregory R Lee, Hao-Ting Wang, Erik Kastman, Jakub Kaczmarzyk, Roberto Guidotti, Or Duek, Jonathan Daniel, Ariel Rokem, Cindee Madison, Brendan Moloney, Félix C Morency, Mathias Goncalves, Ross Markello, Cameron Riddell, Christopher Burns, Jarrod Millman, Alexandre Gramfort, Jaakko Leppäkangas, Anibal Sólón, Jasper J F van den Bosch, Robert D Vincent, Henry Braun, Krish Subramaniam, Krzysztof J Gorgolewski, Pradeep Reddy Raamana, Julian Klug, B Nolan Nichols, Eric M Baker, Soichi Hayashi, Basile Pinsard, Christian Haselgrove, Mark Hymers, Oscar Esteban, Serge Koudoro, Fernando Pérez-García, Nikolaas N Oosterhof, Bago Amirbekian, Ian Nimmo-Smith, Ly Nguyen, Samir Reddigari, Samuel St-Jean, Egor Panfilov, Eleftherios Garyfallidis, Gael Varoquaux, Jon Haitz Legarreta, Kevin S Hahn, Oliver P Hinds, Bennet Fauber, Jean-Baptiste Poline, Jon Stutters, Kesshi Jordan, Matthew Cieslak, Miguel Estevan Moreno, Valentin Haenel, Yannick Schwartz, Zvi Baratz, Benjamin C Darwin, Bertrand Thirion, Carl Gauthier, Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos, Igor Solovey, Ivan Gonzalez, Jath Palasubramaniam, Justin Lecher, Katrin Leinweber, Konstantinos Raktivan, Markéta Calábková, Peter Fischer, Philippe Gervais, Syam Gadde, Thomas Ballinger, Thomas Roos, Venkateswara Reddy Reddam, and freec. nipy/nibabel: 3.2.1, 2020.
- Ziv Yaniv, Bradley C Lowekamp, Hans J Johnson, and Richard Beare. SimpleITK Image-Analysis notebooks: a collaborative environment for education and reproducible research. *J. Digit. Imaging*, 31(3):290–303, June 2018.
- Stefan van der Walt, S Chris Colbert, and Gael Varoquaux. The NumPy array: A structure for efficient numerical computation. *Computing in Science Engineering*, 13(2):22–30, March 2011.
- Wes McKinney and Others. pandas: a foundational python library for data analysis and statistics. *Python for high performance and scientific computing*, 14(9):1–9, 2011.
- Pauli Virtanen, Ralf Gommers, Travis E Oliphant, Matt Haberland, Tyler Reddy, David Cournapeau, Evgeni Burovski, Pearu Peterson, Warren Weckesser, Jonathan Bright, Stéfan J van der Walt, Matthew Brett, Joshua Wilson, K Jarrod Millman, Nikolay Mayorov, Andrew R J Nelson, Eric Jones, Robert Kern, Eric Larson, C J Carey, İlhan Polat, Yu Feng, Eric W Moore, Jake VanderPlas, Denis Laxalde, Josef Perktold, Robert Cimrman, Ian Henriksen, E A Quintero, Charles R Harris, Anne M Archibald, Antônio H Ribeiro, Fabian Pedregosa, Paul van Mulbregt, and SciPy 1.0 Contributors. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in python. *Nat. Methods*, 17(3): 261–272, March 2020.
- Stéfan van der Walt, Johannes L Schönberger, Juan Nunez-Iglesias, François Boulogne, Joshua D Warner, Neil Yager, Emmanuelle Gouillart, Tony Yu, and scikit-image contributors. scikit-image: image processing in python. *PeerJ*, 2: e453, June 2014.
- Oliver Kramer. Scikit-Learn. In Oliver Kramer, editor, *Machine Learning for Evolution Strategies*, pages 45–53. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016.

- Alex Zwanenburg, Martin Vallières, Mahmoud A Abdalah, Hugo J W L Aerts, Vincent Andrearczyk, Aditya Apte, Saeed Ashrafinia, Spyridon Bakas, Roelof J Beukinga, Ronald Boellaard, Marta Bogowicz, Luca Boldrini, Irène Buvat, Gary J R Cook, Christos Davatzikos, Adrien Depeursinge, Marie-Charlotte Desseroit, Nicola Dinapoli, Cuong Viet Dinh, Sebastian Echegaray, Issam El Naqa, Andriy Y Fedorov, Roberto Gatta, Robert J Gillies, Vicky Goh, Michael Götz, Matthias Guckenberger, Sung Min Ha, Mathieu Hatt, Fabian Isensee, Philippe Lambin, Stefan Leger, Ralph T H Leijenaar, Jacopo Lenkowitz, Fiona Lippert, Are Losnegård, Klaus H Maier-Hein, Olivier Morin, Henning Müller, Sandy Napel, Christophe Nioche, Fanny Orlhac, Sarthak Pati, Elisabeth A G Pfaehler, Arman Rahmim, Arvind U K Rao, Jonas Scherer, Muhammad Musib Siddique, Nanna M Sijtsema, Jairo Socarras Fernandez, Emiliano Spezi, Roel J H M Steenbakkens, Stephanie Tanadini-Lang, Daniela Thorwarth, Esther G C Troost, Taman Upadhaya, Vincenzo Valentini, Lisanne V van Dijk, Joost van Griethuysen, Floris H P van Velden, Philip Whybra, Christian Richter, and Steffen Löck. The image biomarker standardization initiative: Standardized quantitative radiomics for High-Throughput image-based phenotyping. *Radiology*, 295(2):328–338, May 2020.
- Krzysztof J Gorgolewski, Oscar Esteban, Christopher Burns, Erik Ziegler, Basile Pinsard, Cindee Madison, Michael Waskom, David Gage Ellis, Dav Clark, Michael Dayan, Alexandre Manhães-Savio, Michael Philipp Notter, Hans Johnson, Blake E Dewey, Yaroslav O Halchenko, Carlo Hamalainen, Anisha Keshavan, Daniel Clark, Julia M Huntenburg, Michael Hanke, B Nolan Nichols, Demian Wassermann, Arman Eshaghi, Christopher Markiewicz, Gael Varoquaux, Benjamin Acland, Jessica Forbes, Ariel Rokem, Xiang-Zhen Kong, Alexandre Gramfort, Jens Kleesiek, Alexander Schaefer, Sharad Sikka, Martin Felipe Perez-Guevara, Tristan Glatard, Shariq Iqbal, Siqi Liu, David Welch, Paul Sharp, Joshua Warner, Erik Kastman, Leonie Lampe, L Nathan Perkins, R Cameron Craddock, René Küttner, Dmytro Bielevtsov, Daniel Geisler, Stephan Gerhard, Franziskus Liem, Janosch Linkersdörfer, Daniel S Margulies, Sami Kristian Andberg, Jörg Stadler, Christopher John Steele, William Broderick, Gavin Cooper, Andrew Floren, Lijie Huang, Ivan Gonzalez, Daniel McNamee, Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos, John Pellman, William Triplett, and Satrajit Ghosh. Nipype: A flexible, lightweight and extensible neuroimaging data processing framework in python. 0.12.0-rc1, 2016.
- Alain Jungo, Olivier Scheidegger, Mauricio Reyes, and Fabian Balsiger. pymia: A python package for data handling and evaluation in deep learning-based medical image analysis. *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.*, 198:105796, January 2021.
- MONAI Consortium. MONAI: Medical open network for AI, 2020.
- Hugo J W L Aerts, Emmanuel Rios Velazquez, Ralph T H Leijenaar, Chintan Parmar, Patrick Grossmann, Sara Carvalho, Johan Bussink, René Monshouwer, Benjamin Haihe-Kains, Derek Rietveld, Frank Hoebbers, Michelle M Rietbergen, C René Leemans, Andre Dekker, John Quackenbush, Robert J Gillies, and Philippe Lambin. Decoding tumour phenotype by noninvasive imaging using a quantitative radiomics approach. *Nat. Commun.*, 5:4006, June 2014.
- Charles R Harris, K Jarrod Millman, Stéfan J van der Walt, Ralf Gommers, Pauli Virtanen, David Cournapeau, Eric Wieser, Julian Taylor, Sebastian Berg, Nathaniel J Smith, Robert Kern, Matti Picus, Stephan Hoyer, Marten H van Kerkwijk, Matthew Brett, Allan Haldane, Jaime Fernández Del Río, Mark Wiebe, Pearu Peterson, Pierre Gérard-Marchant, Kevin Sheppard, Tyler Reddy, Warren Weckesser, Hameer Abbasi, Christoph Gohlke, and Travis E Oliphant. Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*, 585(7825):357–362, September 2020.
- Bradley C Lowekamp, David T Chen, Luis Ibáñez, and Daniel Blezek. The design of SimpleITK. *Front. Neuroinform.*, 7:45, December 2013.
- Casper O da Costa-Luis. tqdm: A fast, extensible progress meter for python and CLI. *J. Open Source Softw.*, 4(37): 1277, May 2019.
- Wes McKinney. Data structures for statistical computing in python. In *Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference*. SciPy, 2010.
- jupyter-widgets. GitHub - jupyter-widgets/ipywidgets: Interactive widgets for the jupyter notebook. <https://github.com/jupyter-widgets/ipywidgets>. Accessed: 2022-2-16.
- John D Hunter. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Comput. Sci. Eng.*, 9(3):90–95, 2007.
- Alex Clark. Pillow (PIL fork) documentation. readthedocs, 2015.
- Lars Buitinck, Gilles Louppe, Mathieu Blondel, Fabian Pedregosa, Andreas Mueller, Olivier Grisel, Vlad Niculae, Peter Prettenhofer, Alexandre Gramfort, Jaques Grobler, Robert Layton, Jake Vanderplas, Arnaud Joly, Brian Holt, and Gaël Varoquaux. API design for machine learning software: experiences from the scikit-learn project. September 2013.
- Plotly: The front end for ML and data science models. <https://plot.ly>. Accessed: 2022-2-15.
- Skipper Seabold and Josef Perktold. Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical modeling with python, 2010.

- Nicholas J Tustison, Brian B Avants, Philip A Cook, Yuanjie Zheng, Alexander Egan, Paul A Yushkevich, and James C Gee. N4ITK: improved N3 bias correction. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*, 29(6):1310–1320, June 2010.
- Janita E van Timmeren, Davide Cester, Stephanie Tanadini-Lang, Hatem Alkadhi, and Bettina Baessler. Radiomics in medical imaging-“how-to” guide and critical reflection. *Insights Imaging*, 11(1):91, August 2020.
- A Ibrahim, S Primakov, M Beuque, H C Woodruff, I Halilaj, G Wu, T Refaee, R Granzier, Y Widaatalla, R Hustinx, F M Mottaghy, and P Lambin. Radiomics for precision medicine: Current challenges, future prospects, and the proposal of a new framework. *Methods*, 188:20–29, April 2021.
- S Primakov, A Ibrahim, J van Timmeren, G Wu, S Keek, M Beuque, R Granzier, M Scrivener, S Sanduleanu, E Kayan, and Others. OC-0557 AI-based NSCLC detection and segmentation: faster and more prognostic than manual segmentation. *Radiother. Oncol.*, 161:S441–S443, 2021.
- R W Y Granzier, A Ibrahim, S Primakov, S A Keek, I Halilaj, A Zwanenburg, S M E Engelen, M B I Lobbes, P Lambin, H C Woodruff, and M L Smidt. Test-Retest data for the assessment of breast MRI radiomic feature repeatability. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging*, December 2021.
- Maikel Verduin, Sergey Primakov, Inge Compter, Henry C Woodruff, Sander M J van Kuijk, Bram L T Ramaekers, Maarten te Dorsthorst, Elles G M Revenich, Mark ter Laan, Sjoert A H Pegge, Frederick J A Meijer, Jan Beckervordersandforth, Ernst Jan Speel, Benno Kusters, Wendy W J de Leng, Monique M Anten, Martijn P G Broen, Linda Ackermans, Olaf E M G Schijns, Onno Teernstra, Koos Hovinga, Marc A Vooijs, Vivianne C G Tjan-Heijnen, Danielle B P Eekers, Alida A Postma, Philippe Lambin, and Ann Hoeben. Prognostic and predictive value of integrated qualitative and quantitative magnetic resonance imaging analysis in glioblastoma. *Cancers*, 13(4), February 2021.
- Elizaveta Lavrova, Emilie Lommers, Henry C Woodruff, Avishek Chatterjee, Pierre Maquet, Eric Salmon, Philippe Lambin, and Christophe Phillips. Exploratory radiomic analysis of conventional vs. quantitative brain MRI: Toward automatic diagnosis of early multiple sclerosis. *Front. Neurosci.*, 15:679941, August 2021.